Elaina Cano
San Luis Obispo
County Clerk-Recorder
Main Office: (805) 781-5080
Atascadero: (805) 461-6041
www.slovote.com

Receipt: 26-33607

ProductName		**Extended**
FISH	FISH AND WILDLIFE FILING	$90.00
	# Pages	4
	Document #	40-10152026-273
	Document Info:	SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY
	Filing Type	NOE
Total		$90.00

Tender (On Account) $90.00

Account#	CTY
Account Name	JE except TX & DSS
Customer Name	DAVAR
Balance	$18,439.50

PLEASE KEEP FOR REFERENCE

10/15/26, 1:54 PM PST MMaltby
San Luis Obispo

State of California - Department of Fish and Wildlife

2026 ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT FILING FEE CASH RECEIPT

DFW 753.5a (REV. 01/01/26) Previously DFG 753.5a

RECEIPT NUMBER: 40-10152026-273

STATE CLEARINGHOUSE NUMBER *(If applicable)*

SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON REVERSE. TYPE OR PRINT CLEARLY.

LEAD AGENCY	LEAD AGENCY EMAIL	DATE
SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY	dmueller@co.slo.ca.us	10/15/2026

COUNTY/STATE AGENCY OF FILING	DOCUMENT NUMBER
COUNTY OF SAN LUIS OBISPO	

PROJECT TITLE

MELERO MAJOR GRADING PERMIT: GRAD2026-00092 ED24-227

PROJECT APPLICANT NAME	PROJECT APPLICANT EMAIL	PHONE NUMBER
ALEJI DAVAR	info@davarengineering.com	(805) 458-0627

PROJECT APPLICANT ADDRESS	CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE
119 MCKINLEY	ARROYO GRANDE	CA	93420

PROJECT APPLICANT *(Check appropriate box)*

☐ Local Public Agency ☐ School District ☐ Other Special District ☐ State Agency ☒ Private Entity

CHECK APPLICABLE FEES:

☐ Environmental Impact Report (EIR)	$4,227.50	$
☐ Mitigated/Negative Declaration (MND)(ND)	$3,043.75	$
☐ Certified Regulatory Program (CRP) document - payment due directly to CDFW	$1,437.25	$

☒ Exempt from fee

- ☒ Notice of Exemption (attach)
- ☐ CDFW No Effect Determination (attach)

☐ Fee previously paid (attach previously issued cash receipt copy)

☐ Water Right Application or Petition Fee (State Water Resources Control Board only)	$850.00	$
☒ County documentary handling fee		$ $90.00
☐ Other		$

PAYMENT METHOD:

☐ Cash ☐ Credit ☐ Check ☒ Other **TOTAL RECEIVED** $ $90.00

SIGNATURE	AGENCY OF FILING PRINTED NAME AND TITLE
X Michelle Maltby	Michelle Maltby, Deputy County Clerk-Recorder

Filed in County Clerk's Office
Elaina Cano
San Luis Obispo - County Clerk-Recorder

40-10152026-273

10/15/2026
FISH
Pages: 4
Fee: $ 90.00

By MMaltby, Deputy

COUNTY OF SAN LUIS OBISPO
DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING & BUILDING

Notice of Exemption/General Rule Exemption

Project Title : Melero Major Grading Permit: GRAD2026-00092 ED24-227	
Project Location: 6265 Deer Creek Rd Arroyo Grande, CA 93420 (APN: 085-061-022)	**Project Applicant/Phone No./Email:** Aleji Davar (Applicant) / (805) 458-0627 / info@davarengineering.com
	Applicant Address (Street, City, State, Zip): 119 MCKINLEY ARROYO GRANDE, CA 93420

Description of Nature, Purpose, and Beneficiaries of Project:

A request for a Major Grading Permit (GRAD2026-00092) to allow for a grading to accommodate a new Accessory Dwelling Unit. The proposed project will result in approximately .25 acres of site disturbance on the approximately 20-acre parcel, including 800-cubic-yards of cut and fill. The proposed project is within the Rural Lands land use category and is located at 6265 Deer Creek Rd, in the Huasna-Lopez Sub-area of the South County Planning Area.

Name of Public Agency Approving Project: County of San Luis Obispo

Exempt Status/Findings: This project is covered by the general rule that CEQA applies only to projects which have the potential for causing a significant effect on the environment. It can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that this project may have a significant effect on the environment; therefore, the activity is not subject to CEQA. [Reference: State CEQA Guidelines sec. 15061(b)(3), General Rule Exemption].

Reasons why project is exempt: The project includes grading for the construction of a new Accessory Dwelling Unit within the Rural Lands land use category with access to an existing roadway network that is adequate to serve the project. The applicant has designed the grading and site improvements to minimize site disturbance, and the project has a relatively small area of disturbance of approximately .25 acres. The project area is located within the Huasna-Lopez Sub-area of the South County Planning Area and is subject to the applicable sub-area standards outlined in County Code Section 22.98.030. This project, as proposed, meets all applicable community standards for development. Additionally, the project is proposed to be placed in an area that is not categorized as prime farmland and will not convert prime farmland to a non-agricultural use.

The project area does not fall within San Joaquin Kit Fox habitat and, therefore, does not require related mitigation measures. The project will not result in the removal of any heritage oak trees or other native tree species. No special status species are known to occur in the vicinity of the project site as indicated by information included in the California Natural Diversity Database. No mapped Environmentally Sensitive Habitat Areas are located nearby. Therefore, the project will maintain compliance with local policies and ordinances protecting biological resources.

The project is not located near a stream or in an area with known archaeological resources; therefore, impacts to cultural resources are not anticipated. In the unlikely event resources are uncovered during grading activities, implementation of County Code Section 22.10.040 (Archaeological Resources) would be required, which states:

> A. Construction activities shall cease, and the Department shall be notified so that the extent and location of discovered materials may be recorded by a qualified archaeologist, and disposition of artifacts may be accomplished in accordance with state and federal law.
>
> B. In the event archeological resources are found to include human remains, or in any other case when human remains are discovered during construction, the County Coroner shall be notified in addition to the Department so proper disposition may be accomplished.

The project area has low potential for liquefaction, lateral spreading, or landslide based upon the site's Official Maps of the County of San Luis Obispo Land Use Element

Existing grading and drainage regulations will adequately address surface water quality impacts during construction and permanent use of the residence. The project will conform to the applicable General Plan and Area Plan standards, and no measures beyond those required by County Code are necessary to address the environmental impacts associated with the proposed project.

Additional Information: Additional information pertaining to this notice of general rule exemption may be obtained by reviewing the second page of this document and by contacting the Environmental Coordinator, 976 Osos St., Rm 300, San Luis Obispo, CA 93408 (805) 781-5600.

Lead Agency Contact Person: Dane Mueller, Planner / Dmueller@co.slo.ca.us / 805-788-2959

Signature: Dane Mueller Date: 9/24/2026

If filed by applicant:
1. Attach certified document of exemption finding
2. Has a notice of exemption been filed by the public agency approving the project? Yes ☐ No ☐

On September 28 the project was Approved by:

☐ Board of Supervisors ☐ Subdivision Review Board ☒ Other Chief Building Official

☐ Planning Commission ☐ Planning Dept Hearing Officer

Project Title and No.: Cunninham Major Grading Permit; GRAD2026-00092 ED26-0148

Pursuant to section 15061 of the State California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines, the preliminary review of a project includes a determination as to whether a project is exempt from CEQA. This checklist represents a summary of this project's review for exemption.

		YES	NO
1.	Does this project fall within any exempt class as listed in sections 15301 through 15329 of the State CEQA Guidelines?	☐	☒
2.	Is there a reasonable possibility that the project could have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances?	☐	☒
3.	Is the project inconsistent with any Federal, State, or local law or administrative requirement relating to the environment?	☐	☒
4.	Will the project involve substantial public controversy regarding environmental issues?	☐	☒
5.	Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?	☐	☒
6.	Does the project have the potential to achieve short-term environmental goals to the disadvantage of achieving long-term environmental goals? (A short-term impact on the environment is one which occurs in a relatively brief, definitive period of time while long-term impacts will endure well into the future.)	☐	☒
7.	Does the project have adverse impacts which are individually insignificant, but cumulatively significant? Cumulatively significant means that the incremental effects of an individual project are substantially adverse when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects.	☐	☒
8.	Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?	☐	☒

On the basis of this initial evaluation, I find that the proposed project does not have the potential to cause a significant effect on the environment and is therefore exempt from CEQA.