Print From

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: _____

Project Title: Martin Luther King Jr. Park Master Plan

Lead Agency: City of East Palo Alto

Contact Name: Maurice Baker

Email: mbaker@cityofepa.org Phone Number: 650-660-2527

Project Location: East Palo Alto (*City*) San Mateo (*County*)

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The proposed project would redevelop the existing 4.7-acre park and playing fields, expand the park into undeveloped land adjacent to the east and north resulting in a total of 13.89 acres, and construct a new access road south from the Daisy Lane parking lot to O'Connor Street. The project would construct one new lighted synthetic multi-sport playing field with a perimeter track, one new lighted synthetic baseball field, lighted sports courts, lighted amphitheater/ performance stage, all-inclusive playground, exercise equipment, fenced dog park, public address (PA) system, and a new surface 44 space parking lot with access from Beech Street. The project would preserve the existing seasonal wetlands and potential seasonal wetlands on the project site. The existing concessions/restroom building, play structure, and BBQ/picnic areas would be retained and renovated, the existing fence along the western project boundary would be replaced with a new eight to 10-foot-tall chain-link fence with privacy screen, and the existing parking lot off Daisy Lane would be expanded from 25 to 71 spaces.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

The project would result in impacts to air quality, biological resources, unrecorded cultural resources, unrecorded paleontological resources, and generate noise during construction. These impacts would be reduced to less than significant levels through implementation of mitigation measures including best practices to control dust, use of efficient construction equipment, completion of pre-construction biological resources surveys, work stoppage, and establishing avoidance buffers around resources, if identified on-site.

During project operations, the project would have significant impacts to biological resources and related to the availability of water supplies to serve the project. These impacts would be reduced to less than significant levels through implementation of mitigation measures to prohibit the use of rodenticide and restrict the use of pesticides on-site, install avian perch deterrents, restrict off-leash dog activity, manage food waste, install fencing around the seasonal and potentially seasonal wetlands, maintain the existing hydrology and water quality of the seasonal wetlands, design lighting to avoid light spillover into the wetlands, prepare a wetland delineation, and secure additional water supplies needed to serve the project.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

None

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

None