

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: _____

Project Title: Reclamation District No. 1600 DMP Drainage Pipe Replacement Project

Lead Agency: Reclamation District No. 1600

Contact Name: Mike Kynett

Email: kynett@mbkengineers.com

Phone Number: 1-916-456-4400

Project Location: N/A
City

Yolo County
County

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

Reclamation District No. 1600 (referred to herein as RD 1600 or District) proposes the Deferred Maintenance Program (DMP) Drainage Pipe Replacement Project (Proposed Project), which will replace a single, through-levee, in-kind, 48-inch gravity drainage pipe that drains water from agricultural land east of Woodland, California, to the Tule Canal, within the Yolo Bypass. Since 1913, the District has provided flood control and drainage to approximately 6,924 acres of agricultural land east of Woodland through the operation and maintenance of a system of levees (4.2 miles along the Yolo Bypass and 10.5 miles along the Sacramento River), drainage canals, and a drainage pump station. The District primarily discharges seepage, irrigation tailwater, and surface runoff into the Tule Canal using the passive 48-inch gravity discharge pipe passing through the levee embankment. The original pipe was constructed in the early 1900s and subsequently replaced in 1959. The drainage pipe and its gate structure have outlived their functional lifespan and require replacement. Through the California Department of Water Resources (DWR), the District has entered into a project funding agreement (PFA) under the Deferred Maintenance Program (DMP) to replace the pipe.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

The Initial Study/proposed Mitigated Negative Declaration identifies impacts on environmental resources as less than significant with mitigation incorporated, less than significant, or no impact. The proposed project would result in no significant impacts or no potentially significant impacts that cannot be mitigated. The proposed project would have impacts that are less than significant with mitigation incorporated on the following resources: air quality, biological resources, cultural resources, hydrology and water quality, and tribal cultural resources.

The mitigation measures (MM) proposed for these resources are: MM AQ-1, Implement Strategies to Reduce Dust (PM10) During Project Construction; MM BIO-1, Conduct Preconstruction Surveys for Swainson's Hawk; MM BIO-2, Conduct Preconstruction Surveys for Western Burrowing Owl; MM BIO-3, Conduct Preconstruction Surveys for Modesto Song Sparrow; MM BIO-4, Conduct Preconstruction Surveys for Giant Garter Snake; MM BIO-5, Conduct Preconstruction Surveys for Western Pond Turtle; MM CUL-1, Establish a No-Work Buffer and Notify Archaeologist; MM CUL-2, Inadvertent Discovery of Human Remains; MM WQ-1 Install Silt Curtains to Reduce Turbidity; MM TCR-1, Conduct Cultural Resources Sensitivity Training.

The proposed project would have less than significant impacts on the following resources: energy, geology and soils, greenhouse gas emissions, hazards and hazardous materials, noise, transportation, utilities and service systems, and wildfire. The proposed project would have no impact on: aesthetics, agricultural and forestry resources, land use and planning, mineral resources, population and housing, public services, and recreation.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

No known areas of controversy.

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

California Department of Water Resources
California Department of Fish and Wildlife
California Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board
Central Valley Flood Protection Board