

Elaina Cano
San Luis Obispo
County Clerk-Recorder
Main Office: (805) 781-5080
Atascadero: (805) 461-6041
www.slovote.com

Receipt: 26-19484

Product	Name	Extended
FISH	FISH AND WILDLIFE FILING	\$81.00
	# Pages	4
	Document #	40-06162026-148
	Document Info:	SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY
	Filing Type	NOE
Total		\$81.00
Tender (On Account)		\$81.00
Account#	CTY	
Account Name	JE except TX & DSS	
Customer Name	LEE	
Balance	\$3,944.75	

PLEASE KEEP FOR REFERENCE

6/16/26 9:58 AM MMaltby
San Luis Obispo

**2026 ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT FILING FEE CASH RECEIPT**

DFW 753.5a (REV. 01/01/26) Previously DFG 753.5a

RECEIPT NUMBER:

40-06162026-148

STATE CLEARINGHOUSE NUMBER (If applicable)

SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON REVERSE. TYPE OR PRINT CLEARLY.

LEAD AGENCY

SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY

LEAD AGENCY EMAIL

EMORENO@CO.SLO.CA.US

DATE

06/16/2026

COUNTY/STATE AGENCY OF FILING

COUNTY OF SAN LUIS OBISPO

DOCUMENT NUMBER

PROJECT TITLE

ADRIENNE LEE MAJOR GRADING PERMIT GRAD2025-00183 / ED25-0168

PROJECT APPLICANT NAME

ADRIENNE LEE

PROJECT APPLICANT EMAIL

ADRIENNEALEE@MSN.COM

PHONE NUMBER

(408) 204-1004

PROJECT APPLICANT ADDRESS

2333 BRANT STREET

CITY

ARROYO GRANDE

STATE

CA

ZIP CODE

93420

PROJECT APPLICANT (Check appropriate box)

☐ Local Public Agency☐ School District☐ Other Special District☐ State Agency☒ Private Entity

CHECK APPLICABLE FEES:

☐ Environmental Impact Report (EIR)

\$4,227.50

\$

☐ Mitigated/Negative Declaration (MND)(ND)

\$3,043.75

\$

☐ Certified Regulatory Program (CRP) document - payment due directly to CDFW

\$1,437.25

\$

☒ Exempt from fee☒ Notice of Exemption (attach)☐ CDFW No Effect Determination (attach)☐ Fee previously paid (attach previously issued cash receipt copy)☐ Water Right Application or Petition Fee (State Water Resources Control Board only)

\$850.00

\$

☒ County documentary handling fee

\$

\$81.00

☐ Other

\$

PAYMENT METHOD:

☐ Cash☐ Credit☐ Check☒ Other

TOTAL RECEIVED

\$

\$81.00

SIGNATURE

X

AGENCY OF FILING PRINTED NAME AND TITLE

Michelle Maltby, Deputy County Clerk-Recorder

Filed in County Clerk's Office

Elaina Cano

San Luis Obispo - County Clerk-Recorder

40-06162026-148

06/16/2026

FISH

Pages: 4

Fee: \$ 81.00

By MMaltby, Deputy





General Rule Exemption

Project Title and No.: Adrienne Lee Major Grading Permit GRAD2025-00183 / ED25-0168

Project Location and APN:

APN: 091-204-056

Project Applicant/Phone No./Email:

Adrienne Lee / (408) 204-1004 /
adriennealee@msn.com

Applicant Address (Street, City, State, Zip):

2333 Brant Steet, Arroyo Grande, CA. 93420

Description of Nature, Purpose, and Beneficiaries of Project:

A request for a Major Grading Permit GRAD2025-00183 for a 2,499 square feet (SF) single family residence with a 1,232 SF attached garage, 320 SF covered patio SF, 67 SF porch and a 1,200 SF accessory dwelling unit. The proposed project will disturb approximately 42,829 square feet of the 4.86-acre parcel, including 2,700 cubic-yards of cut and fill. The proposed parcel is within the Residential Rural Land Use category and is located at Willow Road, in the Community of Nipomo, in the South Inland Sub-Area of the South County Planning Area.

Name of Public Agency Approving Project: County of San Luis Obispo

Exempt Status/Findings: This project is covered by the general rule that CEQA applies only to projects which have the potential for causing a significant effect on the environment. It can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that this project may have a significant effect on the environment; therefore, the activity is not subject to CEQA. [Reference: State CEQA Guidelines sec. 15061(b)(3), General Rule Exemption].

Reasons why project is exempt: The project includes grading for the construction of a residence with an attached garage, covered patio, porch and a 1,200 SF accessory dwelling unit. The proposed project will disturb approximately 42,829 square feet of the 4.86-acre parcel, including 2,700 cubic-yards of cut and fill. The project area is located within the South Inland Sub-Area of the South County Planning Area and is subject to the applicable sub-area standards outlined in County Code Section 22.94.030. This project, as proposed, meets all applicable community standards for development. Additionally, the project is proposed to be placed in an area that is not categorized as prime farmland and will not convert prime farmland to a non-agricultural use.

The applicant submitted a Biological Resource Assessment dated January 20, 2026, prepared by EAM Ecological Assets Management, LLC. The report was later amended on May 11, 2026, to include a focused botanical study. The report confirmed that the project site does not contain any special species of plant species such as Pismo Clarkia therefore, no mitigation measures are required. The project will not result in the removal of any heritage oak trees or other native tree species. The project will maintain compliance with local policies and ordinances protecting biological resources.

The applicant submitted a Cultural Resource Survey prepared by Central Coast Archaeological Research Consultants dated January 2026. The report concluded that in negative findings. In the unlikely event

resources are uncovered during grading activities, implementation of County Code Section 22.10.040 (Archaeological Resources) would be required, which states:

A. Construction activities shall cease, and the Department shall be notified so that the extent and location of discovered materials may be recorded by a qualified archaeologist, and disposition of artifacts may be accomplished in accordance with state and federal law.

B. In the event archeological resources are found to include human remains, or in any other case when human remains are discovered during construction, the County Coroner shall be notified in addition to the Department so proper disposition may be accomplished.

The project will conform to the applicable General Plan and Area Plan standards, and no measures beyond those required by County Code are necessary to address the environmental impacts associated with the proposed project.

Additional Information: Additional information pertaining to this notice of general rule exemption may be found on the next page of this document and by contacting the Planning and Building Department, 976 Osos St., Rm 200, San Luis Obispo, CA 93408 (805) 781-5600.

Elizabeth Moreno emoreno@co.slo.ca.us

(805) 721-5721

Lead Agency Contact Person

Telephone

Signature: *Elizabeth Moreno*

Date: 6/15/2026

If filed by applicant:

1. Attach certified document of exemption finding
2. Has a notice of exemption been filed by the public agency approving the project? Yes ☐ No ☐

On June 15, 2026, the project was approved by:

- ☐ Board of Supervisors ☐ Subdivision Review Board ☒ Chief Building Official
☐ Planning Commission ☐ Planning Dept Hearing Officer ☐ Other _____

Notice of General Rule Exemption

Project Title and No.: Adrienne Lee Major Grading Permit GRAD2025-00183 / ED25-0168

Pursuant to section 15061 of the State California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines, the preliminary review of a project includes a determination as to whether a project is exempt from CEQA. This checklist represents a summary of this project's review for exemption.

	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>
1. Does this project fall within any exempt class as listed in sections 15301 through 15333 of the State CEQA Guidelines?	☐	☒
2. Is there a reasonable possibility that the project could have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances?	☐	☒
3. Is the project inconsistent with any Federal, State, or local law or administrative requirement relating to the environment?	☐	☒
4. Will the project involve substantial public controversy regarding environmental issues?	☐	☒
5. Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?	☐	☒
6. Does the project have the potential to achieve short-term environmental goals to the disadvantage of achieving long-term environmental goals? (A short-term impact on the environment is one which occurs in a relatively brief, definitive period of time while long-term impacts will endure well into the future.)	☐	☒
7. Does the project have adverse impacts which are individually insignificant, but cumulatively significant? Cumulatively significant means that the incremental effects of an individual project are substantially adverse when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects.	☐	☒
8. Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?	☐	☒

On the basis of this initial evaluation, I find that the proposed project does not have the potential to cause a significant effect on the environment and is therefore exempt from CEQA.