Print From

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: _____

Project Title: Old Moccasin Powerhouse Hazard Mitigation

Lead Agency: City and County of San Francisco

Contact Name: Timothy Johnston

Email: timothy.johnston@sfgov.org Phone Number: 628-652-7569

Project Location: Town of Moccasin (*City*) Tuolumne (*County*)

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The project site encompasses approximately 1.3 acres and is located in the rural unincorporated town of Moccasin (Moccasin) near the junction of State Route 49 and State Route 120, about eight miles east of the Town of Groveland in Tuolumne County. The San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC) proposes the demolition of the Old Moccasin Powerhouse due to on-going structural deficiencies and the presence of hazardous materials. These combined factors present a potential risk to public health and the environment in the event of a structural failure, which could result in debris becoming airborne and entering nearby water sources such as the adjacent Moccasin reservoir and Moccasin Creek. Site preparation and hazards abatement would occur prior to demolition. During the demolition, the structure would be removed, the basement filled and site leveled. Site restoration would include site cleanup and placement of compacted gravel at the site. Project demolition and site restoration would occur over a six-month period and may begin as early as 2028.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

Impacts to an eligible historic resource would likely be significant and unavoidable with mitigation.

Mitigation is to be determined but could involve interpretive panels or a short film describing the resource.

Mitigation for impacts to special-status bats could involve exclusion netting prior to demolition.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

None yet raised

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

State Office of Intergovernmental Management
California Department of Transportation, dist. #10
California Integrated Waste Management Board
California Department of Fish and Wildlife, region #4
Department of Toxic Substances Control
Central California Information Center, Cal State Stanislaus
Office of Historic Preservation
California Native American Heritage Commission
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
Regional Water Quality Control Board, #5
Tuolumne County Air Pollution Control District