

Noise Impact Assessment for the North Star 2 Project

County of Imperial, California

Prepared For:

ZGlobal, Inc.
604 Sutter Street, Suite 250
Folsom, California 95630

Prepared By:



ECORP Consulting, Inc.
ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANTS

2525 Warren Drive
Rocklin, California 95677

December 2022

CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Project Overview.....	1
1.2	Project Location.....	1
2.0	ENVIRONMENTAL NOISE AND GROUNDBORNE VIBRATION ANALYSIS.....	1
2.1	Fundamentals of Noise and Environmental Sound.....	1
2.1.1	Addition of Decibels.....	1
2.1.2	Sound Propagation and Attenuation	2
2.1.3	Noise Descriptors.....	4
2.1.4	Human Response to Noise.....	6
2.1.5	Effects of Noise on People.....	7
2.2	Fundamentals of Environmental Groundborne Vibration	7
2.2.1	Vibration Sources and Characteristics.....	7
3.0	EXISTING ENVIRONMENTAL NOISE SETTING.....	9
3.1	Noise Sensitive Land Uses.....	9
3.2	Existing Ambient Noise Environment	9
4.0	REGULATORY FRAMEWORK.....	11
4.1	Federal.....	11
4.1.1	Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970	11
4.1.2	Federal Interagency Commission on Noise	11
4.2	State	11
4.2.1	State of California General Plan Guidelines	11
4.2.2	State Office of Planning and Research Noise Element Guidelines.....	12
4.2.3	California Department of Transportation	12
4.3	Local	12
4.3.1	Imperial County General Plan Noise Element.....	12
5.0	IMPACT ASSESSMENT	15
5.1	Thresholds of Significance.....	15
5.2	Methodology	15
5.3	Impact Analysis.....	16
6.0	REFERENCES.....	23

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Common Acoustical Descriptors.....	5
---	---

Table 2. Human Reaction and Damage to Buildings for Continuous or Frequent Intermittent Vibration Levels8

Table 3. ANSI Standard 12.9-2013/Part 3 A-weighted Sound Levels Corresponding to Land Use and Population Density9

Table 4. County of Imperial Property Line Noise Standards 12

Table 5. County of Imperial Noise/Land Use Compatibility Guidelines..... 14

Table 6. Construction Average (dBA) Noise Levels at Nearest Receptor 17

Table 7. Operational Noise Levels at Nearest Sensitive Receptor 19

Table 8. Representative Vibration Source Levels for Construction Equipment 20

Table 9. Construction Vibration Levels at 5,457 Feet..... 20

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Common Noise Levels.....3

APPENDICES

Appendix A – Federal Highway Administration Roadway Construction Noise Model Outputs – Project Construction

LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

Term	Definition
ANSI	American National Standards Institute
APN	Assessor’s Parcel Number
Aqueduct	Imperial Irrigation District Aqueduct
BESS	Battery Electric Storage System
BLM	Bureau of Land Management
Caltrans	California Department of Transportation
CEQA	California Environmental Quality Act
County	Imperial County
CNEL	Community Noise Equivalent Level
CUP	Conditional Use Permit
dB	Decibel
dBA	Decibel is A-weighted
FHWA	Federal Highway Administration
FICON	Federal Interagency Commission on Noise
FTA	Federal Transit Administration
HSAT	Horizontal Single Axis Tracker
Hz	Hertz
IID	Imperial Irrigation District

Term	Definition
kV	Kilovolt
L_{eq}	Measure of ambient noise
L_{dn}	a 24-hour average L_{eq} with a 10-dBA "weighting" added to noise during the hours of 10:00 pm to 7:00 am to account for noise sensitivity in the nighttime
L_{max}	The maximum A-weighted noise level during the measurement period
L_{min}	The maximum and minimum A-weighted noise level during the measurement period
MWAC	Mega Watt Alternating Current
MWH	Mega Watts Per Hour
OPR	Office of Planning and Research
OSHA	Federal Occupational Safety and Health Administration
OSHPD	Office of State Health Planning and Development
PPV	Peak particle velocity
PV	Photovoltaic
Project	North Star 2 Project
RE Overlay Zone	Renewable Energy and Transmission Overlay Zone
RMS	Root mean square
S-2	Open Space/Preservation
SR	State Route
STC	Sound Transmission Class
VdB	Vibration Velocity Level
WEAL	Western Electro-Acoustic Laboratory, Inc.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report documents the results of a Noise Impact Assessment completed for the North Star 2 Project (Project), which includes the construction of a 130-megawatt (MW) alternating current solar field and a 175 MW battery electric storage system (BESS) on approximately 614 acres of vacant land on two parcels in Imperial County, California (APN 039-140-013, 460 acres, and APN 039-140-014, 154 acres). This report was prepared as a comparison of predicted Project noise levels to noise standards promulgated by the County of Imperial General Plan Noise Element. The purpose of this report is to estimate Project-generated noise and to determine the level of impact the Project would have on the environment.

1.1 Project Overview

The Project proposes to construct a 130-MW alternating current solar field, consisting of 289,800 tracker modules in 9,660 strings and associated collector and inverter facilities, and a 175 MW BESS, on approximately 614 acres of vacant land. The Project would connect to the grid offsite through an approximately 1.25-mile gen-tie line to the 230 kilovolt (kV) KN transmission line near the East Highland Canal. Operational water supply for the Project would be trucked in from offsite over the life of the Project. Neither parcel is within the County's Renewable Energy and Transmission (RE) Element. An amendment to the County's General Plan will be needed to include and classify the Project Site within the RE Overlay Zone. Additionally, a conditional use permit (CUP) to allow construction and operation of the solar energy generation facility with battery storage within the RE Overlay Zone will be required to implement the Project.

1.2 Project Location

The total combined Project Site, consisting of two separate parcels of 154 acres and 460 acres in size, spans approximately 614 acres on land between the East Highline Canal and Coachella Canal, abutting State Route 78 on the Site's southern boundary and approximately 13 miles east of Brawley. The Site is currently vacant, undeveloped land, and is surrounded by Open Space on all sides. The California Department of Conservation's Imperial County Important Farmland Map (2018) categorizes the parcels as "Other Land," indicating that they are not considered important farmland under any category Prime Farmland, Farmland of Statewide Importance, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Local Importance).

2.0 ENVIRONMENTAL NOISE AND GROUNDBORNE VIBRATION ANALYSIS

2.1 Fundamentals of Noise and Environmental Sound

2.1.1 Addition of Decibels

The decibel (dB) scale is logarithmic, not linear, and therefore sound levels cannot be added or subtracted through ordinary arithmetic. Two sound levels 10 dB apart differ in acoustic energy by a factor of 10. When the standard logarithmic decibel is A-weighted (dBA), an increase of 10 dBA is generally perceived as a doubling in loudness. For example, a 70-dBA sound is half as loud as an 80-dBA sound and twice as loud as a 60-dBA sound. When two identical sources are each producing sound of the same loudness, the

resulting sound level at a given distance would be three dB higher than one source under the same conditions (Federal Transit Administration [FTA] 2018). For example, a 65-dB source of sound, such as a truck, when joined by another 65 dB source results in a sound amplitude of 68 dB, not 130 dB (i.e., doubling the source strength increases the sound pressure by three dB). Under the decibel scale, three sources of equal loudness together would produce an increase of five dB.

Typical noise levels associated with common noise sources are depicted in Figure 1.

2.1.2 Sound Propagation and Attenuation

Noise can be generated by a number of sources, including mobile sources such as automobiles, trucks and airplanes, and stationary sources such as construction sites, machinery, and industrial operations. Sound spreads (propagates) uniformly outward in a spherical pattern, and the sound level decreases (attenuates) at a rate of approximately 6 dB (dBA) for each doubling of distance from a stationary or point source (FHWA 2017). Sound from a line source, such as a highway, propagates outward in a cylindrical pattern, often referred to as cylindrical spreading. Sound levels attenuate at a rate of approximately 3 dBA for each doubling of distance from a line source, such as a roadway, depending on ground surface characteristics (Federal Highway Administration [FHWA] 2017). No excess attenuation is assumed for hard surfaces like a parking lot or a body of water. Soft surfaces, such as soft dirt or grass, can absorb sound, so an excess ground-attenuation value of 1.5 dBA per doubling of distance is normally assumed. For line sources, an overall attenuation rate of three dB per doubling of distance is assumed (FHWA 2011).

Noise levels may also be reduced by intervening structures; generally, a single row of detached buildings between the receptor and the noise source reduces the noise level by about five dBA (FHWA 2006), while a solid wall or berm generally reduces noise levels by 10 to 20 dBA (FHWA 2011). However, noise barriers or enclosures specifically designed to reduce site-specific construction noise can provide a sound reduction 35 dBA or greater (Western Electro-Acoustic Laboratory, Inc. 2000). To achieve the most potent noise-reducing effect, a noise enclosure/barrier must physically fit in the available space, must completely break the "line of sight" between the noise source and the receptors, must be free of degrading holes or gaps, and must not be flanked by nearby reflective surfaces. Noise barriers must be sizable enough to cover the entire noise source and extend lengthwise and vertically as far as feasibly possible to be most effective. The limiting factor for a noise barrier is not the component of noise transmitted through the material, but rather the amount of noise flanking around and over the barrier. In general, barriers contribute to decreasing noise levels only when the structure breaks the "line of sight" between the source and the receiver.

The manner in which older homes in California were constructed generally provides a reduction of exterior-to-interior noise levels of about 20 to 25 dBA with closed windows (Caltrans 2002). The exterior-to-interior reduction of newer residential units is generally 30 dBA or more (Harris Miller, Miller & Hanson Inc. 2006).

Common Outdoor Activities	Noise Level (dBA)	Common Indoor Activities
<u>Jet Fly-over at 300m (1000 ft)</u>	110	<u>Rock Band</u>
<u>Gas Lawn Mower at 1 m (3 ft)</u>	100	
<u>Diesel Truck at 15 m (50 ft), at 80 km (50 mph)</u>	90	<u>Food Blender at 1 m (3 ft)</u>
<u>Noisy Urban Area, Daytime</u>	80	<u>Garbage Disposal at 1 m (3 ft)</u>
<u>Gas Lawn Mower, 30 m (100 ft)</u>	70	<u>Vacuum Cleaner at 3 m (10 ft)</u>
<u>Commercial Area</u>		<u>Normal Speech at 1 m (3 ft)</u>
<u>Heavy Traffic at 90 m (300 ft)</u>	60	
<u>Quiet Urban Daytime</u>	50	<u>Large Business Office</u>
		<u>Dishwasher Next Room</u>
<u>Quiet Urban Nighttime</u>	40	<u>Theater, Large Conference Room (Background)</u>
<u>Quiet Suburban Nighttime</u>		
	30	<u>Library</u>
<u>Quiet Rural Nighttime</u>		<u>Bedroom at Night,</u>
	20	<u>Concert Hall (Background)</u>
		<u>Broadcast/Recording Studio</u>
	10	
<u>Lowest Threshold of Human Hearing</u>	0	<u>Lowest Threshold of Human Hearing</u>

Source: California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) 2020a

Generally, in exterior noise environments ranging from 60 dBA Community Noise Equivalent Level (CNEL) to 65 dBA CNEL, interior noise levels can typically be maintained below 45 dBA, a typical residential interior noise standard, with the incorporation of an adequate forced air mechanical ventilation system in each residential building, and standard thermal-pane residential windows/doors with a minimum rating of Sound Transmission Class (STC) 28. (STC is an integer rating of how well a building partition attenuates airborne sound. In the U.S., it is widely used to rate interior partitions, ceilings, floors, doors, windows, and exterior wall configurations). In exterior noise environments of 65 dBA CNEL or greater, a combination of forced-air mechanical ventilation and sound-rated construction methods is often required to meet the interior noise level limit. Attaining the necessary noise reduction from exterior to interior spaces is readily achievable in noise environments less than 75 dBA CNEL with proper wall construction techniques following California Building Code methods, the selections of proper windows and doors, and the incorporation of forced-air mechanical ventilation systems.

2.1.3 Noise Descriptors

The decibel scale alone does not adequately characterize how humans perceive noise. The dominant frequencies of a sound have a substantial effect on the human response to that sound. Several rating scales have been developed to analyze the adverse effect of community noise on people. Because environmental noise fluctuates over time, these scales consider that the effect of noise on people is largely dependent on the total acoustical energy content of the noise, as well as the time of day when the noise occurs. The noise descriptors most often encountered when dealing with traffic, community, and environmental noise include the average hourly noise level (in L_{eq}) and the average daily noise levels/community noise equivalent level (in L_{dn} /CNEL). The L_{eq} is a measure of ambient noise, while the L_{dn} and CNEL are measures of community noise. Each is applicable to this analysis and defined as follows:

- **Equivalent Noise Level (L_{eq})** is the average acoustic energy content of noise for a stated period of time. Thus, the L_{eq} of a time-varying noise and that of a steady noise are the same if they deliver the same acoustic energy to the ear during exposure. For evaluating community impacts, this rating scale does not vary, regardless of whether the noise occurs during the day or the night.
- **Day-Night Average (L_{dn})** is a 24-hour average L_{eq} with a 10-dBA "weighting" added to noise during the hours of 10:00 pm to 7:00 am to account for noise sensitivity in the nighttime. The logarithmic effect of these additions is that a 60 dBA 24-hour L_{eq} would result in a measurement of 66.4 dBA L_{dn} .
- **Community Noise Equivalent Level (CNEL)** is a 24-hour average L_{eq} with a 5-dBA weighting during the hours of 7:00 pm to 10:00 pm and a 10-dBA weighting added to noise during the hours of 10:00 pm to 7:00 am to account for noise sensitivity in the evening and nighttime, respectively.

Table 1 provides a list of other common acoustical descriptors.

Table 1. Common Acoustical Descriptors	
Descriptor	Definition
Decibel, dB	A unit describing the amplitude of sound, equal to 20 times the logarithm to the base 10 of the ratio of the pressure of the sound measured to the reference pressure. The reference pressure for air is 20.
Sound Pressure Level	Sound pressure is the sound force per unit area, usually expressed in micropascals (or 20 micronewtons per square meter), where 1 pascal is the pressure resulting from a force of 1 newton exerted over an area of 1 square meter. The sound pressure level is expressed in decibels as 20 times the logarithm to the base 10 of the ratio between the pressures exerted by the sound to a reference sound pressure (e.g., 20 micropascals). Sound pressure level is the quantity that is directly measured by a sound level meter.
Frequency, Hertz (Hz)	The number of complete pressure fluctuations per second above and below atmospheric pressure. Normal human hearing is between 20 Hz and 20,000 Hz. Infrasonic sounds are below 20 Hz and ultrasonic sounds are above 20,000 Hz.
A-Weighted Sound Level, dBA	The sound pressure level in decibels as measured on a sound level meter using the A-weighting filter network. The A-weighting filter de-emphasizes the very low and very high-frequency components of the sound in a manner similar to the frequency response of the human ear and correlates well with subjective reactions to noise.
Equivalent Noise Level, L_{eq}	The average acoustic energy content of noise for a stated period of time. Thus, the L_{eq} of a time-varying noise and that of a steady noise are the same if they deliver the same acoustic energy to the ear during exposure. For evaluating community impacts, this rating scale does not vary, regardless of whether the noise occurs during the day or the night.
L_{max} , L_{min}	The maximum and minimum A-weighted noise level during the measurement period.
L_{01} , L_{10} , L_{50} , L_{90}	The A-weighted noise levels that are exceeded 1%, 10%, 50%, and 90% of the time during the measurement period.
Day/Night Noise Level, L_{dn} or DNL	A 24-hour average L_{eq} with a 10 dBA "weighting" added to noise during the hours of 10:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m. to account for noise sensitivity in the nighttime. The logarithmic effect of these additions is that a 60 dBA 24-hour L_{eq} would result in a measurement of 66.4 dBA L_{dn} .
Community Noise Equivalent Level, CNEL	A 24-hour average L_{eq} with a 5 dBA "weighting" during the hours of 7:00 p.m. to 10:00 p.m. and a 10 dBA "weighting" added to noise during the hours of 10:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m. to account for noise sensitivity in the evening and nighttime, respectively. The logarithmic effect of these additions is that a 60 dBA 24-hour L_{eq} would result in a measurement of 66.7 dBA CNEL.
Ambient Noise Level	The composite of noise from all sources near and far. The normal or existing level of environmental noise at a given location.
Intrusive	That noise which intrudes over and above the existing ambient noise at a given location. The relative intrusiveness of a sound depends on its amplitude, duration, frequency, and time of occurrence and tonal or informational content, as well as the prevailing ambient noise level.
Decibel, dB	A unit describing the amplitude of sound, equal to 20 times the logarithm to the base 10 of the ratio of the pressure of the sound measured to the reference pressure. The reference pressure for air is 20.

The A-weighted decibel sound level scale gives greater weight to the frequencies of sound to which the human ear is most sensitive. Because sound levels can vary markedly over a short period of time, a method for describing either the average character of the sound or the statistical behavior of the variations must be utilized. Most commonly, environmental sounds are described in terms of an average level that has the same acoustical energy as the summation of all the time-varying events.

The scientific instrument used to measure noise is the sound level meter. Sound level meters can accurately measure environmental noise levels to within about ± 1 dBA. Various computer models are used to predict environmental noise levels from sources, such as roadways and airports. The accuracy of the predicted models depends on the distance between the receptor and the noise source. Close to the noise source, the models are accurate to within about ± 1 to 2 dBA.

2.1.4 Human Response to Noise

The human response to environmental noise is subjective and varies considerably from individual to individual. Noise in the community has often been cited as a health problem, not in terms of actual physiological damage, such as hearing impairment, but in terms of inhibiting general well-being and contributing to undue stress and annoyance. The health effects of noise in the community arise from interference with human activities, including sleep, speech, recreation, and tasks that demand concentration or coordination. Hearing loss can occur at the highest noise intensity levels.

Noise environments and consequences of human activities are usually well represented by median noise levels during the day or night or over a 24-hour period. Environmental noise levels are generally considered low when the CNEL is below 60 dBA, moderate in the 60 to 70 dBA range, and high above 70 dBA. Examples of low daytime levels are isolated, natural settings with noise levels as low as 20 dBA and quiet, suburban, residential streets with noise levels around 40 dBA. Noise levels above 45 dBA at night can disrupt sleep. Examples of moderate-level noise environments are urban residential or semi-commercial areas (typically 55 to 60 dBA) and commercial locations (typically 60 dBA). People may consider louder environments adverse, but most will accept the higher levels associated with noisier urban residential or residential-commercial areas (60 to 75 dBA) or dense urban or industrial areas (65 to 80 dBA). Regarding increases in A-weighted noise levels (dBA), the following relationships should be noted in understanding this analysis:

- Except in carefully controlled laboratory experiments, a change of 1 dBA cannot be perceived by humans.
- Outside of the laboratory, a 3-dBA change is considered a just-perceivable difference.
- A change in level of at least 5 dBA is required before any noticeable change in community response would be expected. An increase of 5 dBA is typically considered substantial.
- A 10-dBA change is subjectively heard as an approximate doubling in loudness and would almost certainly cause an adverse change in community response.

2.1.5 Effects of Noise on People

2.1.5.1 Hearing Loss

While physical damage to the ear from an intense noise impulse is rare, a degradation of auditory acuity can occur even within a community noise environment. Hearing loss occurs mainly due to chronic exposure to excessive noise but may be due to a single event such as an explosion. Natural hearing loss associated with aging may also be accelerated from chronic exposure to loud noise.

The Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) has a noise exposure standard that is set at the noise threshold where hearing loss may occur from long-term exposures. The maximum allowable level is 90 dBA averaged over eight hours. If the noise is above 90 dBA, the allowable exposure time is correspondingly shorter.

2.1.5.2 Annoyance

Attitude surveys are used for measuring the annoyance felt in a community for noises intruding into homes or affecting outdoor activity areas. In these surveys, it was determined that causes for annoyance include interference with speech, radio and television, house vibrations, and interference with sleep and rest. The L_{dn} as a measure of noise has been found to provide a valid correlation of noise level and the percentage of people annoyed. People have been asked to judge the annoyance caused by aircraft noise and ground transportation noise. There continues to be disagreement about the relative annoyance of these different sources.

2.2 Fundamentals of Environmental Groundborne Vibration

2.2.1 Vibration Sources and Characteristics

Sources of earthborne vibrations include natural phenomena (e.g., earthquakes, volcanic eruptions, sea waves, landslides) or manmade causes (explosions, machinery, traffic, trains, construction equipment, etc.). Vibration sources may be continuous (e.g., factory machinery) or transient (e.g., explosions).

Ground vibration consists of rapidly fluctuating motions or waves with an average motion of zero. Several different methods are typically used to quantify vibration amplitude. One is the peak particle velocity (PPV); another is the root mean square (RMS) velocity. The PPV is defined as the maximum instantaneous positive or negative peak of the vibration wave. The RMS velocity is defined as the average of the squared amplitude of the signal. The PPV and RMS vibration velocity amplitudes are used to evaluate human response to vibration.

PPV is generally accepted as the most appropriate descriptor for evaluating the potential for building damage. For human response, however, an average vibration amplitude is more appropriate because it takes time for the human body to respond to the excitation (the human body responds to an average vibration amplitude, not a peak amplitude). Because the average particle velocity over time is zero, the RMS amplitude is typically used to assess human response. The RMS value is the average of the amplitude squared over time, typically a 1- sec. period (FTA 2018).

Table 2 displays the reactions of people and the effects on buildings produced by continuous vibration levels. The annoyance levels shown in the table should be interpreted with care since vibration may be found to be annoying at much lower levels than those listed, depending on the level of activity or the sensitivity of the individual. To sensitive individuals, vibrations approaching the threshold of perception can be annoying. Low-level vibrations frequently cause irritating secondary vibration, such as a slight rattling of windows, doors, or stacked dishes. The rattling sound can give rise to exaggerated vibration complaints, even though there is very little risk of actual structural damage. In high-noise environments, which are more prevalent where groundborne vibration approaches perceptible levels, this rattling phenomenon may also be produced by loud airborne environmental noise causing induced vibration in exterior doors and windows.

Ground vibration can be a concern in instances where buildings shake, and substantial rumblings occur. However, it is unusual for vibration from typical urban sources such as buses and heavy trucks to be perceptible. For instance, heavy-duty trucks generally generate groundborne vibration velocity levels of 0.006 PPV at 50 feet under typical circumstances, which as identified in Table 2 is considered very unlikely to cause damage to buildings of any type. Common sources for groundborne vibration are planes, trains, and construction activities such as earth-moving which requires the use of heavy-duty earth moving equipment.

Table 2. Human Reaction and Damage to Buildings for Continuous or Frequent Intermittent Vibration Levels			
Peak Particle Velocity (inches/second)	Approximate Vibration Velocity Level (VdB)	Human Reaction	Effect on Buildings
0.006–0.019	64–74	Range of threshold of perception	Vibrations unlikely to cause damage of any type
0.08	87	Vibrations readily perceptible	Recommended upper level to which ruins and ancient monuments should be subjected
0.1	92	Level at which continuous vibrations may begin to annoy people, particularly those involved in vibration sensitive activities	Virtually no risk of architectural damage to normal buildings
0.2	94	Vibrations may begin to annoy people in buildings	Threshold at which there is a risk of architectural damage to normal dwellings
0.4–0.6	98–104	Vibrations considered unpleasant by people subjected to continuous vibrations and unacceptable to some people walking on bridges	Architectural damage and possibly minor structural damage

Source: Caltrans 2020b

3.0 EXISTING ENVIRONMENTAL NOISE SETTING

3.1 Noise Sensitive Land Uses

Noise-sensitive land uses are generally considered to include those uses where noise exposure could result in health-related risks to individuals, as well as places where quiet is an essential element of their intended purpose. Residential dwellings are of primary concern because of the potential for increased and prolonged exposure of individuals to both interior and exterior noise levels. Additional land uses such as hospitals, historic sites, cemeteries, and certain recreation areas are considered sensitive to increases in exterior noise levels. Schools, churches, hotels, libraries, and other places where low interior noise levels are essential are also considered noise-sensitive land uses.

The nearest existing noise-sensitive land use to the Project Site is a single-family residence located approximately 2.5 miles from the western Project boundary.

3.2 Existing Ambient Noise Environment

The American National Standards Institute (ANSI) Standard 12.9-2013/Part 3 "Quantities and Procedures for Description and Measurement of Environmental Sound – Part 3: Short-Term Measurements with an Observer Present" provides a table of approximate background sound levels in L_{dn} , daytime L_{eq} , and nighttime L_{eq} , based on land use and population density. The ANSI standard estimation divides land uses into six distinct categories. Descriptions of these land use categories, along with the typical daytime and nighttime levels, are provided in Table 3. At times, one could reasonably expect the occurrence of periods that are both louder and quieter than the levels listed in the table. ANSI notes, "95% prediction interval [confidence interval] is on the order of +/- 10 dB." The majority of the Project Area would be considered ambient noise Category 6.

Category	Land Use	Description	People per Square Mile	Typical L_{dn}	Daytime L_{eq}	Nighttime L_{eq}
1	Noisy Commercial & Industrial Areas and Very Noisy Residential Areas	Very heavy traffic conditions, such as in busy, downtown commercial areas; at intersections for mass transportation or other vehicles, including elevated trains, heavy motor trucks, and other heavy traffic; and at street corners where many motor buses and heavy trucks accelerate.	63,840	67 dBA	66 dBA	58 dBA

Table 3. ANSI Standard 12.9-2013/Part 3 A-weighted Sound Levels Corresponding to Land Use and Population Density						
Category	Land Use	Description	People per Square Mile	Typical L_{dn}	Daytime L_{eq}	Nighttime L_{eq}
2	Moderate Commercial & Industrial Areas and Noisy Residential Areas	Heavy traffic areas with conditions similar to Category 1, but with somewhat less traffic; routes of relatively heavy or fast automobile traffic, but where heavy truck traffic is not extremely dense.	20,000	62 dBA	61 dBA	54 dBA
3	Quiet Commercial, Industrial Areas and Normal Urban & Noisy Suburban Residential Areas	Light traffic conditions where no mass-transportation vehicles and relatively few automobiles and trucks pass, and where these vehicles generally travel at moderate speeds; residential areas and commercial streets, and intersections, with little traffic, compose this category.	6,384	57 dBA	55 dBA	49 dBA
4	Quiet Urban & Normal Suburban Residential Areas	These areas are similar to Category 3, but for this group, the background is either distant traffic or is unidentifiable; typically, the population density is one-third the density of Category 3.	2,000	52 dBA	50 dBA	44 dBA
5	Quiet Residential Areas	These areas are isolated, far from significant sources of sound, and may be situated in shielded areas, such as a small wooded valley.	638	47 dBA	45 dBA	39 dBA
6	Very Quiet Sparse Suburban or rural Residential Areas	These areas are similar to Category 4 but are usually in sparse suburban or rural areas; and, for this group, there are few if any nearby sources of sound.	200	42 dBA	40 dBA	34 dBA

Source: The American National Standards Institute (ANSI) 2013

4.0 REGULATORY FRAMEWORK

4.1 Federal

4.1.1 Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970

OSHA regulates onsite noise levels and protects workers from occupational noise exposure. To protect hearing, worker noise exposure is limited to 90 decibels with A-weighting (dBA) over an eight-hour work shift (29 Code of Regulations 1910.95). Employers are required to develop a hearing conservation program when employees are exposed to noise levels exceeding 85 dBA. These programs include provision of hearing protection devices and testing employees for hearing loss on a periodic basis.

4.1.2 Federal Interagency Commission on Noise

The 2000 Federal Interagency Commission on Noise (FICON) findings provide guidance as to the significance of changes in ambient noise levels due to transportation noise sources. FICON recommendations are based on studies that relate aircraft and traffic noise levels to the percentage of persons highly annoyed by the noise. FICON's measure of substantial increase for transportation noise exposure is as follows:

- If the existing ambient noise levels at existing noise-sensitive land uses (e.g. residential, etc.) are less than 60 dBA CNEL and the Project creates a readily perceptible 5 dBA CNEL or greater Project-related noise level increase and the resulting noise level would exceed acceptable exterior noise standards; or
- If the existing noise levels range from 60 to 65 dBA CNEL and the Project creates a barely perceptible 3 dBA CNEL or greater Project-related noise level increase and the resulting noise level would exceed acceptable exterior noise standards; or
- If the existing noise levels already exceed 65 dBA CNEL, and the Project creates a community noise level increase of greater than 1.5 dBA CNEL.

4.2 State

4.2.1 State of California General Plan Guidelines

The State of California regulates vehicular and freeway noise affecting classrooms, sets standards for sound transmission and occupational noise control, and identifies noise insulation standards and airport noise/land-use compatibility criteria. The State of California General Plan Guidelines (State of California 2003), published by the Governor's Office of Planning and Research (OPR), also provides guidance for the acceptability of projects within specific CNEL/L_{dn} contours. The guidelines also present adjustment factors that may be used in order to arrive at noise acceptability standards that reflect the noise control goals of the community, the particular community's sensitivity to noise, and the community's assessment of the relative importance of noise pollution.

4.2.2 State Office of Planning and Research Noise Element Guidelines

The State OPR *Noise Element Guidelines* include recommended exterior and interior noise level standards for local jurisdictions to identify and prevent the creation of incompatible land uses due to noise. The Noise Element Guidelines contain a Land Use Compatibility table that describes the compatibility of various land uses with a range of environmental noise levels in terms of the CNEL.

4.2.3 California Department of Transportation

In 2020, the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) published the Transportation and Construction Vibration Manual (Caltrans 2020b). The manual provides general guidance on vibration issues associated with the construction and operation of projects concerning human perception and structural damage. Table 2 presents recommendations for levels of vibration that could result in damage to structures exposed to continuous vibration.

4.3 Local

4.3.1 Imperial County General Plan Noise Element

The County of Imperial General Plan Noise Element establishes maximum allowable average-hourly noise limits for various land use designations (refer to Table 4). These noise standards are to be applied at the property line of the noise-generating land use. In instances where the adjoining land use designations differ from that of the noise-generating land use, the more restrictive noise standard shall apply. Where the ambient noise level is equal to or exceeds the property line noise standard, the increase of the existing or proposed noise shall not exceed 3 dBA L_{eq} , which is a just-perceivable increase in noise. L_{eq} is defined as the average acoustic energy content of noise for a stated period of time. Thus, the L_{eq} of a time-varying noise and that of a steady noise are the same if they deliver the same acoustic energy to the ear during exposure.

Land Use Zone	Time Period	Average-Hourly Noise Level (dBA L_{eq})
Residential	7 a.m. - 10 p.m.	50
	10 p.m. - 7 a.m.	45
Multi-residential	7 a.m. - 10 p.m.	55
	10 p.m. - 7 a.m.	50
Commercial	7 a.m. - 10 p.m.	60
	10 p.m. - 7 a.m.	55
Light Industrial/Industrial Park	Any time	70
General Industrial	Any time	75

Source: Imperial County 2015.

Notes: When the noise-generating property and the receiving property have different uses, the more restrictive standard shall apply. When the ambient noise level is equal to or exceeds the Property Line noise standard, the increase of the existing or proposed noise shall not exceed 3 dBA L_{eq} .

4.3.1.1 Construction Noise Standards

Construction noise, from a single piece of equipment or a combination of equipment, shall not exceed 75 dB L_{eq} , when averaged over an eight (8) hour period, and measured at the nearest sensitive receptor. This standard assumes a construction period, relative to an individual sensitive receptor of days or weeks. In cases of extended length construction times, the standard may be tightened so as not to exceed 75 dB L_{eq} when averaged over a one (1) hour period.

Construction equipment operations are required to be limited to the hours of 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, and 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Saturday. No commercial construction operations are permitted on Sunday or holidays. In cases of a person constructing or modifying a residence for himself/herself, and if the work is not being performed as a business, construction equipment operations may be performed on Sundays and holidays between the hours of 9:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. Such non-commercial construction activities may be further restricted where disturbing, excessive, or offensive noise causes discomfort or annoyance to reasonable persons of normal sensitivity residing in an area.

4.3.1.2 Significant Increase of Ambient Noise Levels

The increase of noise levels generally results in an adverse impact to the noise environment. The Noise/Land Use Compatibility Guidelines are not intended to allow the increase of ambient noise levels up to the maximum without consideration of feasible noise reduction measures. The following guidelines are established by the County of Imperial for the evaluation of significant noise impact.

- If the future noise level after a project is completed will be within the "normally acceptable" noise levels shown in the Noise/Land Use Compatibility Guidelines, but will result in an increase of 5 dB CNEL or greater, the project will have a potentially significant noise impact and mitigation measures must be considered.
- If the future noise level after a project is completed will be greater than the "normally acceptable" noise levels shown in the Noise/Land Use Compatibility Guidelines, a noise increase of 3 dB CNEL or greater shall be considered a potentially significant noise impact and mitigation measures must be considered.

4.3.1.3 Noise/Land use Compatibility

The Imperial County General Plan Noise Element Noise/Land Use Compatibility Standards defines the acceptability of a land use in a specified noise environment. Table 5 provides the County of Imperial Noise/Land Use Compatibility Guidelines. When an acoustical analysis is performed, conformance of the proposed project with the Noise/Land Use Compatibility Guidelines will be used to evaluate potential noise impact and will provide criteria for environmental impact findings and conditions for project approval.

Table 5. County of Imperial Noise/Land Use Compatibility Guidelines		
Land Use Category	Community Noise Exposure L_{dn} or CNEL, dB	Acceptability
Residential	< 60	Normally Acceptable
	60 - 70	Conditionally Acceptable
	70 - 75	Normally Unacceptable
	> 75	Clearly Unacceptable
Transient Lodging-Motels, Hotels	< 60	Normally Acceptable
	60 - 75	Conditionally Acceptable
	75 - 80	Normally Unacceptable
	> 80	Clearly Unacceptable
Schools, Libraries, Churches, Hospitals, Nursing Homes	< 60	Normally Acceptable
	60 - 70	Conditionally Acceptable
	70 - 80	Normally Unacceptable
	> 80	Clearly Unacceptable
Auditoriums, Concert Halls, Amphitheaters	< 70	Conditionally Acceptable
	> 70	Clearly Unacceptable
Sports Arenas, Outdoor Spectator Sports	< 70	Conditionally Acceptable
	70 - 75	Normally Unacceptable
	> 75	Clearly Unacceptable
Playgrounds, Neighborhood Parks	< 70	Normally Acceptable
	70 - 75	Normally Unacceptable
	> 75	Clearly Unacceptable
Golf Courses, Riding Stables, Water Recreation, Cemeteries	< 70	Normally Acceptable
	70 - 80	Normally Unacceptable
	> 80	Clearly Unacceptable
Office Buildings, Business Commercial and Professional	< 65	Normally Acceptable
	65 - 75	Conditionally Acceptable
	75 - 80	Normally Unacceptable
	> 80	Clearly Unacceptable
Industrial, Manufacturing Utilities, Agriculture	< 70	Normally Acceptable
	70 - 75	Conditionally Acceptable
	75 - 80	Normally Unacceptable
	> 80	Clearly Unacceptable

Table 5. County of Imperial Noise/Land Use Compatibility Guidelines		
Land Use Category	Community Noise Exposure L_{dn} or CNEL, dB	Acceptability

Source: Imperial County 2015.

Notes: Interpretation (For Land Use Planning Purposes):

Normally Acceptable: Specified land use is satisfactory, based upon the assumption that any buildings involved are of normal conventional construction, without any special noise insulation requirements.

Conditionally Acceptable: New construction or development should be undertaken only after a detailed analysis of the noise reduction requirements is made and needed noise insulation features included in the design

Normally Unacceptable: New construction or development should be discouraged. If new construction or development does proceed, a detailed analysis of the noise reduction requirements must be made and needed noise insulation features included in the design.

Clearly Unacceptable: New construction or development clearly should not be undertaken.

5.0 IMPACT ASSESSMENT

5.1 Thresholds of Significance

The impact analysis provided below is based on the following California Environmental Quality Act Guidelines Appendix G thresholds of significance. The Project would result in a significant noise-related impact if it would produce:

- 1) Generation of a substantial temporary or permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the vicinity of the project in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies.
- 2) Generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels.
- 3) For a project located within the vicinity of a private airstrip or an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels.

In order to evaluate the potential health-related effects (physical damage to the ear and mental damage from lack of sleep or focus) from construction noise, such noise generated by the Project is compared against the construction-related noise level threshold established by the County. For purposes of this analysis, Project construction noise is compared to the County’s construction noise standard of 75 dBA, when averaged over an eight-hour period and measured at the nearest sensitive receptor. The increase in transportation-related noise is compared to the FICON recommendation for evaluating the impact of increased traffic noise. Noise generated onsite is compared against the County’s property line standards identified in Table 4.

5.2 Methodology

This analysis of the existing and future noise environments is based on empirical observations. Predicted construction noise levels were calculated utilizing the FHWA’s Roadway Construction Noise Model (2006). Groundborne vibration levels associated with construction-related activities for the Project have been

evaluated utilizing typical groundborne vibration levels associated with construction equipment. Potential groundborne vibration impacts related to structural damage and human annoyance were evaluated, taking into account the distance from construction activities to nearby structures and typically applied criteria for structural damage and human annoyance.

5.3 Impact Analysis

Would the Project Result in Short-Term Construction-Generated Noise in Excess of County Standards?

Onsite Solar and Battery Storage Facilities Construction Noise

Construction noise associated with the Proposed Project would be temporary and would vary depending on the nature of the activities being performed. Noise generated would primarily be associated with the operation of off-road equipment for onsite construction activities as well as construction vehicle traffic on area roadways. Construction noise typically occurs intermittently and varies depending on the nature or phase of construction (e.g., land clearing, grading, excavation, paving). Noise generated by construction equipment, including earth movers, pile drivers, and portable generators, can reach high levels. Typical operating cycles for these types of construction equipment may involve one or two minutes of full power operation followed by three to four minutes at lower power settings. Other primary sources of acoustical disturbance would be random incidents, which would last less than one minute (such as dropping large pieces of equipment or the hydraulic movement of machinery lifts). During construction, exterior noise levels could negatively affect sensitive land uses in the vicinity of the construction site.

The nearest existing noise-sensitive land use to the Project Site is a single-family residence located approximately 2.5 miles from the western boundary of the Project boundary. As previously described, the County's General Plan Noise Element states construction equipment operation shall be limited to the hours of 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, and 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. on Saturdays. No commercial construction operations are permitted on Sundays or holidays. Construction noise, from a single piece of equipment or a combination of equipment, shall not exceed 75 dB L_{eq} , when averaged over an eight-hour period, and measured at the nearest sensitive receptor. This standard, established by the County to prevent physical and mental damage consistent with exposure to excessive noise, assumes a construction period, relative to an individual sensitive receptor of days or weeks. In cases of extended length construction times, the standard may be tightened so as not to exceed 75 dB L_{eq} when averaged over a one-hour period.

The anticipated short-term construction noise levels generated for the necessary construction equipment during the onsite solar and battery storage facility component of the Proposed Project are presented in Table 6.

Table 6. Construction Average (dBA) Noise Levels at Nearest Receptor			
Equipment	Estimated Exterior Construction Noise Level at Existing Residences (dBA)	Construction Noise Standards (dBA L_{eq})	Exceeds Standards?
Site Preparation			
Rubber Tired Dozers (2)	29.3 (each)	75	No
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes (2)	31.6 (each)	75	No
Combined Site Preparation Equipment:	36.6	75	No
Grading			
Excavators (4)	28.3 (each)	75	No
Graders (3)	32.6 (each)	75	No
Rubber Tired Dozers (2)	29.3 (each)	75	No
Scrapers (2)	31.2 (each)	75	No
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes (4)	31.6 (each)	75	No
Combined Grading Equipment:	42.6	75	No
Facility Construction			
Crane	24.2	75	No
Paver	25.8	75	No
Paving Equipment (2)	34.1 (each)	75	No
Plate Compactors (4)	27.8 (each)	75	No
Forklifts (4)	31.0 (each)	75	No
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes (4)	31.6 (each)	75	No
Trenchers (2)	28.9 (each)	75	No
Welder	21.6	75	No
Combined Facility Construction Equipment:	43.2	75	No

Source: Construction noise levels were calculated by ECORP Consulting using the FHWA Roadway Noise Construction Model (FHWA 2006). Refer to Appendix A for Model Data Outputs.

Notes: Construction equipment used during construction derived from the California Emission Estimator Model (CalEEMod) 2020.4.0. CalEEMod contains default construction equipment and usage parameters for typical construction projects based on several construction surveys conducted in order to identify such parameters. The nearest residence is located approximately 13,200 feet from the Project boundary.

L_{eq} = The equivalent energy noise level, is the average acoustic energy content of noise for a stated period of time. Thus, the L_{eq} of a time-varying noise and that of a steady noise are the same if they deliver the same acoustic energy to the ear during exposure. For evaluating community impacts, this rating scale does not vary, regardless of whether the noise occurs during the day or the night.

As shown in Table 6, no individual or cumulative pieces of construction equipment would exceed the 75 dBA County construction noise standard during any phase of construction at the nearby noise-sensitive receptors. It is acknowledged that a limited amount of construction activities associated with the gen-tie line would occur nearer to the sensitive residential receptor (1.6 miles at the nearest). In addition to the fact that less construction equipment would be employed at the gen-tie locations, this distance is still great enough to limit all construction noise as experienced at the vicinity residential receptor to near imperceptible levels.

Would the Project Result in a Substantial Permanent Increase in Ambient Noise Levels in Excess of County Standards During Operations?

As previously described, noise-sensitive land uses are locations where people reside or where the presence of unwanted sound could adversely affect the use of the land. Residences, schools, hospitals, guest lodging, libraries, and some passive recreation areas would each be considered noise-sensitive and may warrant unique measures for protection from intruding noise. The nearest existing noise-sensitive land use to the Project Site is a single-family residence located approximately 2.5 miles (13,200 feet) from the western boundary of the Project Site.

5.3.1.1 Operational Offsite Traffic Noise

Project operations would result in minimal additional traffic on adjacent roadways. The only visitors to the site would be that of water deliveries, repair or maintenance workers, whose presence at the site would be required infrequently and inconsistently. According to the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) *Technical Noise Supplement to the Traffic Noise Analysis Protocol* (2013), doubling of traffic on a roadway is required to result in an increase of 3 dB (outside of the laboratory, a 3-dBA change is considered a just-perceivable difference). The Proposed Project would not result in a doubling of traffic on vicinity roadways, and therefore its contribution to existing traffic noise would not be perceptible.

5.3.1.2 Operational Onsite Noise

As previously stated, noise sensitive land uses are locations where people reside or where the presence of unwanted sound could adversely affect the use of the land. Residences, schools, hospitals, guest lodging, libraries, and some passive recreation areas would each be considered noise-sensitive and may warrant unique measures for protection from intruding noise. The nearest existing noise-sensitive land use to the Project Site is a single-family residence located approximately 2.5 miles (13,200 feet) from the western boundary of the Project Site.

The main stationary operational noise associated with the Project would be from the proposed transformers, inverters, substation, and transmission lines. Previous measurements were taken by ECORP staff during a weekday in the middle of a solar facility with identified noise levels reaching 47.1 dBA at approximately 50 feet distant. These measurements were taken with a Larson Davis SoundExpert LxT precision sound level meter, which satisfies the American National Standards Institute for general environmental noise measurement instrumentation. Prior to the measurements, the SoundExpert LxT

sound level meter was calibrated according to manufacturer specifications with a Larson Davis CAL200 Class I Calibrator.

As previously stated, the nearest noise sensitive receptor to the Project Site is a single family residence located approximately 2.5 miles (13,200 feet) west of the Project Site. Noise attenuates a rate of approximately six dB for each doubling of distance from a stationary or point source (FHWA 2011). Considering the solar facility noise measurement of 47.1 dBA at approximately 50 feet distant, the nearest noise sensitive receptor from the Proposed Project (over two miles away) would experience operational stationary noise levels well below existing ambient noise levels currently experienced. Thus, the Proposed Project would not result in noise levels in excess of County noise standards.

Table 7. Operational Noise Levels at Nearest Sensitive Receptor				
Location	Operational Noise Attributed to Project (L_{eq} dBA)	County Daytime Standard (L_{eq} dB)	County Nighttime Standard (L_{eq} dB)	Exceed Standard?
Residence located west of Project Site, 13,200 feet from the western boundary	<20.0	50.0	45.0	No

Note: Reference noise measurement used to calculate Project onsite noise propagation identified at 47.1 dBA, per 30-minute measurements taken at a solar generation facility in Imperial County.

As shown in Table 7, Project operational noise would not exceed County daytime or nighttime standards.

Would the Project Expose Structures to Substantial Groundborne Vibration During Construction?

Excessive groundborne vibration impacts result from continuously occurring vibration levels. Increases in groundborne vibration levels attributable to the Project would be primarily associated with short-term construction-related activities. Construction on the Project Site would have the potential to result in varying degrees of temporary groundborne vibration, depending on the specific construction equipment used and the operations involved. Ground vibration generated by construction equipment spreads through the ground and diminishes in magnitude with increases in distance.

Construction-related ground vibration is normally associated with impact equipment such as pile drivers, jackhammers, and the operation of some heavy-duty construction equipment, such as dozers and trucks. It is noted that pile drivers would be necessary during Project construction. Vibration decreases rapidly with distance and it is acknowledged that construction activities would occur throughout the Project Site and would not be concentrated at the point closest to sensitive receptors. Groundborne vibration levels associated with typical construction equipment at 25 feet distant are summarized in Table 8.

Table 8. Representative Vibration Source Levels for Construction Equipment	
Equipment Type	Peak Particle Velocity at 25 Feet (inches per second)
Large Bulldozer	0.089
Pile Driver	0.170
Loaded Trucks	0.076
Hoe Ram	0.089
Jackhammer	0.035
Small Bulldozer/Tractor	0.003
Vibratory Roller	0.210

Source: Caltrans 2020b; FTA 2018

The County of Imperial does not regulate vibrations associated with construction. However, a discussion of construction vibration is included for full disclosure purposes. For comparison purposes, the Caltrans (2020b) recommended standard of 0.2 inch per second PPV with respect to the prevention of structural damage for older residential buildings is used as a threshold. This is also the level at which vibrations may begin to annoy people in buildings. Consistent with FTA recommendations for calculating construction vibration, construction vibration was measured from the center of the Project Site (FTA 2018). The nearest structure of concern to the construction site, with regard to groundborne vibrations, is the Orita Drain located approximately one mile (5,457 feet) west of the Project Site.

Based on the representative vibration levels presented for various construction equipment types in Table 8 and the construction vibration assessment methodology published by the FTA (2018), it is possible to estimate the potential project construction vibration levels. The FTA provides the following equation:

$$[PPV_{\text{equip}} = PPV_{\text{ref}} \times (25/D)^{1.5}]$$

Table 9 presents the expected Project related vibration levels at a distance of 5,457 feet.

Table 9. Construction Vibration Levels at 5,457 Feet							
Receiver PPV Levels (in/sec)¹					Peak Vibration	Threshold	Exceed Threshold
Large Bulldozer, Caisson Drilling, & Hoe Ram	Loaded Trucks	Jackhammer	Pile Driver	Vibratory Roller			
0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.2	No

Notes: ¹Based on the Vibration Source Levels of Construction Equipment included on Table 8 (FTA 2018). Distance to the nearest structure of concern is approximately 350 feet measured from Project Site boundary.

As shown in Table 9, vibration as a result of construction activities would not exceed 0.2 PPV at the nearest structure. Thus, Project construction would not exceed the recommended threshold.

Would the Project Expose Structures to Substantial Groundborne Vibration During Operations?

Project operations would not include the use of any large-scale stationary equipment that would result in excessive vibration levels. Therefore, the project would not result groundborne vibration impacts during operations.

Would the Project Expose People Residing or Working in the Project area to Excessive Airport Noise?

The Project Site is located approximately 20 miles northeast of the Imperial County Airport in Imperial and 19 miles southeast of the Calipatria Municipal Airport in Calipatria. The Imperial County Airport Land Use Commission has established a set of land use compatibility criteria for lands surrounding the airports in Imperial County in the Imperial County Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan (1996). As identified in the Imperial County Airport Land Use Compatibility Maps, the Proposed Project Site lays outside of the noise contours of all airports. Therefore, the Project would not expose Project workers to excessive airport noise.

5.3.1.3 Cumulative Noise

Would the Project Contribute to Cumulatively Considerable Noise During Construction?

Construction activities associated with the Proposed Project and other construction projects in the area may overlap, resulting in construction noise in the area. However, construction noise impacts primarily affect the areas adjacent to the construction site. Construction noise for the Project was determined to be less than significant following compliance with County noise standards. Cumulative development in the vicinity of the Project Site could result in elevated construction noise levels at sensitive receptors in the Project vicinity. However, each project would be required to comply with the applicable noise limitations on construction. Therefore, the Project would not contribute to cumulative impacts during construction.

Would the Project Contribute to Cumulatively Considerable Noise from Offsite Traffic?

As described previously, Project operations would result in extremely minimal additional traffic on adjacent roadways. The only visitors to the site would be that of water deliveries, repair or maintenance work that would be done infrequently. Thus, any cumulative noise impacts from project-related traffic would be minimal. Therefore, the Project's contribution to cumulative noise impacts from traffic would be less than significant.

Would the Project Contribute to Cumulatively Considerable Noise from Stationary Sources?

Cumulative noise impacts would primarily be associated with the transformers, inverters, substation, and transmission lines from the solar facility. Long-term noise sources associated with development at the

Project, combined with other cumulative projects, could cause local noise-level increases. Noise levels associated with the Proposed Project and related cumulative projects together could result in higher noise levels than considered separately. However, noise increase as a result of the Project would not be perceivable and would not exceed County standards.

6.0 REFERENCES

- California Department of Conservation. 2018. Imperial County Important Farmland Map.
- California Department of Transportation (Caltrans). 2020a. IS/EA Annotated Outline.
<http://www.dot.ca.gov/ser/vol1/sec4/ch31ea/chap31ea.htm>.
- _____. 2020b. Transportation and Construction Vibration Guidance Manual.
- _____. 2018. Traffic Census Program: 2017 Traffic Volumes. <https://dot.ca.gov/programs/traffic-operations/census>
- _____. 2013. Technical Noise Supplement to the Traffic Noise Analysis Protocol.
- Federal Highway Administration (FHWA). 2017. *Construction Noise Handbook*.
https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/Environment/noise/construction_noise/handbook/handbook02.cfm.
- _____. 2011. Effective Noise Control During Nighttime Construction. Available online at:
http://ops.fhwa.dot.gov/wz/workshops/accessible/schexnayder_paper.htm.
- _____. 2006. Roadway Construction Noise Model.
- Federal Transportation Agency (FTA). 2018. Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment.
- Harris Miller, Miller & Hanson, Inc.. 2006. Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, Final Report.
- Imperial County. 2015. General Plan Noise Element.
- _____. 2008. General Plan Circulation and Scenic Highways Element.
- _____. 1996. Imperial County Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan.
- Office of Planning and Research (OPR). 2003. State of California General Plan Guidelines.
- Western Electro-Acoustic Laboratory, Inc. 2000. Sound Transmission Sound Test Laboratory Report
No. TL 96-186.

Federal Highway Administration Roadway Construction Noise Model Outputs – Project
Construction Noise

Report date: 7/8/2022
 Case Description: North Star #2

Description Affected Land Use
 Site Preparation Residential

Description	Impact Device	Usage(%)	Equipment		Receptor Distance (feet)
			Spec Lmax (dBA)	Actual Lmax (dBA)	
Dozer	No	40		81.7	13200
Dozer	No	40		81.7	13200
Tractor	No	40	84		13200
Tractor	No	40	84		13200

Results

Calculated (dBA)

Equipment	*Lmax	Leq
Dozer	33.2	29.3
Dozer	33.2	29.3
Tractor	35.6	31.6
Tractor	35.6	31.6
Total	35.6	36.6

*Calculated Lmax is the Loudest value.

Roadway Construction Noise Model (RCNM),Version 1.1

Report date: 7/8/2022
 Case Description: North Star #2

Description Affected Land Use
 Grading Residential

Description	Impact Device	Usage(%)	Equipment		Receptor Distance (feet)
			Spec Lmax (dBA)	Actual Lmax (dBA)	
Excavator	No	40		80.7	13200
Excavator	No	40		80.7	13200
Excavator	No	40		80.7	13200
Excavator	No	40		80.7	13200
Grader	No	40	85		13200
Grader	No	40	85		13200
Grader	No	40	85		13200
Dozer	No	40		81.7	13200
Dozer	No	40		81.7	13200
Scraper	No	40		83.6	13200
Scraper	No	40		83.6	13200
Tractor	No	40	84		13200
Tractor	No	40	84		13200
Tractor	No	40	84		13200
Tractor	No	40	84		13200

Results

Calculated (dBA)

Equipment	*Lmax	Leq
Excavator	32.3	28.3
Excavator	32.3	28.3
Excavator	32.3	28.3
Excavator	32.3	28.3
Grader	36.6	32.6
Grader	36.6	32.6
Grader	36.6	32.6
Dozer	33.2	29.3
Dozer	33.2	29.3
Scraper	35.1	31.2
Scraper	35.1	31.2
Tractor	35.6	31.6
Tractor	35.6	31.6

Tractor	35.6	31.6
Tractor	35.6	31.6
Total	36.6	42.6

*Calculated Lmax is the Loudest value.

Report date: 7/8/2022
Case Description: North Star #2

Description **Land Use**
 Facility Construction Residential

Description	Impact Device	Usage(%)	Equipment		Receptor Distance (feet)
			Spec Lmax (dBA)	Actual Lmax (dBA)	
Crane	No	16		80.6	13200
Gradall	No	40		83.4	13200
Gradall	No	40		83.4	13200
Gradall	No	40		83.4	13200
Gradall	No	40		83.4	13200
Paver	No	50		77.2	13200
Pavement Scarafier	No	20		89.5	13200
Pavement Scarafier	No	20		89.5	13200
Compactor (ground)	No	20		83.2	13200
Compactor (ground)	No	20		83.2	13200
Compactor (ground)	No	20		83.2	13200
Compactor (ground)	No	20		83.2	13200
Tractor	No	40	84		13200
Tractor	No	40	84		13200
Tractor	No	40	84		13200
Tractor	No	40	84		13200
Slurry Trenching Machine	No	50		80.4	13200
Slurry Trenching Machine	No	50		80.4	13200
Welder / Torch	No	40		74	13200

Results

Calculated (dBA)

Equipment	*Lmax	Leq
Crane	32.1	24.2
Gradall	35	31
Gradall	35	31
Gradall	35	31
Gradall	35	31
Paver	28.8	25.8
Pavement Scarafier	41.1	34.1
Pavement Scarafier	41.1	34.1
Compactor (ground)	34.8	27.8

Compactor (ground)	34.8	27.8
Compactor (ground)	34.8	27.8
Compactor (ground)	34.8	27.8
Tractor	35.6	31.6
Tractor	35.6	31.6
Tractor	35.6	31.6
Tractor	35.6	31.6
Slurry Trenching Machine	31.9	28.9
Slurry Trenching Machine	31.9	28.9
Welder / Torch	25.6	21.6
Total	41.1	43.2

*Calculated Lmax is the Loudest value.