



# County of Fresno

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS AND PLANNING  
STEVEN E. WHITE, DIRECTOR

## EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

APPLICANT: Nassri Jaber

APPLICATION NOS.: Initial Study Application No. 8605 and PCOC Application No. 3588

DESCRIPTION: Allow the creation of a 2-acre homesite parcel for conveyance to a relative from an existing 20-acre (gross) parcel located within the AE-20 (Exclusive Agricultural, 20-acre minimum parcel size) Zone District.

LOCATION: The subject parcel is generally located along East Parlier Avenue approximately one and one quarter mile east of the nearest boundary of the City of Reedley (APN: 373-340-19) (21184 E. Parlier Ave) (Sup. Dist.: 4).

### I. AESTHETICS

Except as provided in Public Resources Code Section 21099, would the project:

- A. Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista; or
- B. Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway; or
- C. In non-urbanized areas, substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of public views of the site and its surroundings. (Public views are those that are experienced from publicly accessible vantage points.) If the project is in an urbanized area, would the project conflict with applicable zoning and other regulations governing scenic quality; or
- D. Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?

FINDING: NO IMPACT:

The proposal is to create a 2-acre homesite parcel for conveyance to a relative from an existing 20-acre (gross) parcel. The proposed 2-acre parcel is already improved with one existing single-family residence, and the remainder parcel is already improved with one existing single-family residence. No additional construction is proposed. The

proposed use is characteristic of the existing use and structures, and therefore, will not degrade the existing visual character or quality of site and surroundings nor will it create a new source of substantial light or glare.

## II. AGRICULTURAL AND FORESTRY RESOURCES

In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Department of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to information compiled by the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection regarding the state's inventory of forest land, including the Forest and Range Assessment Project and the Forest Legacy Assessment project; and forest carbon measurement methodology in Forest Protocols adopted by the California Air Resources Board. Would the project:

- A. Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance, as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use; or
- B. Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act Contract?

FINDING: LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT:

The subject parcel is currently enrolled in the Williamson Act Program under contract number 6514. The proposed 2-acre parcel is substandard in size and therefore ineligible to remain under this contract because it does not meet the minimum parcel size requirement. Likewise, the 18-acre (gross) remainder parcel fails to meet the minimum acreage required to remain under Williamson Act contract. These actions, however, are requirements of the Williamson Act and are not CEQA mitigation measures, nor do they represent environmental impacts caused by the project. A Notice of Non-Renewal has already been filed and recorded on the entire 20-acre (gross) parcel, initiating the statutory contract phase-out process under Government Code 51245. In addition, a contract cancellation application has been filed for the proposed 2-acre homesite parcel, as required for its removal from contract status. The proposed parcel split does not involve or induce the conversion of agricultural land to non-agricultural use. Existing agricultural operations on the property will continue on the remainder parcel, and the homesite use on the 2-acre parcel already exists. No new development or land use change is proposed, and no impacts to surrounding agricultural lands or operations are anticipated. Compatibility findings under Government Code 51238.1 are not triggered by this proposal because the project does not introduce a new non-agricultural use; it simply establishes a parcel boundary around an existing residence. Accordingly, the project does not conflict with agricultural zoning, Williamson Act principles, or ongoing agricultural use.

- C. Conflict with existing zoning for forest land, timberland or timberland zoned Timberland Production; or

D. Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?

FINDING: NO IMPACT:

The project site is not located in forest land, timberland or timberland zoned Timberland Production. The project will not result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use.

E. Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of farmland to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?

FINDING: LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT:

The proposed parcel split will not involve changes to the existing environment that could result in conversion of Farmland to non-agricultural use. The subject parcel is actively farmed; however, the proposed 2-acre homesite parcel is already improved with one single-family residence. The proposed parcel split does not involve the conversion of agricultural land to non-agricultural use, and existing farming operations will continue.

### III. AIR QUALITY

Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management district or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the project:

- A. Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable Air Quality Plan; or
- B. Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard?

FINDING: NO IMPACT:

The proposal is to create a 2-acre homesite parcel for conveyance to a relative from an existing 20-acre (gross) parcel. The project will not conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable Air Quality Plan and will not result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant. The San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District was given the opportunity to review the project and expressed no concerns with the proposal.

- C. Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations; or
- D. Result in other emissions (such as those leading to odors) adversely affecting a substantial number of people?

FINDING: NO IMPACT:

The project site is currently utilized as an agricultural operation with the property improved with agricultural crops. The proposed parcel is already improved with one single-family residence, and no additional construction is proposed. Both the agricultural operation and the single-family residences are not uses that are associated with substantial pollutant generation and will not expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations. The project will not result in other emissions adversely affecting a substantial number of people. The project activity is limited to administrative mapping; no construction is proposed, therefore emissions are minimal and below thresholds.

#### IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES

Would the project:

- A. Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special-status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?

FINDING: NO IMPACT:

According to the California Natural Diversity Database, the project site is not located within any reported occurrence areas of a special status species. The proposal is to create a new parcel from an existing parcel. The subject parcel is actively being farmed. The Applicant has stated that the proposed 2-acre homesite parcel is already improved with one single-family residence, and the remainder parcel is already improved with one existing single-family residence. The rest of the parcel will continue to be used for agricultural purposes. Based on current site conditions and the project proposal, the parcel lacks suitable habitat for special-status species due to ongoing disturbances. Therefore, the project will have no significant impact on protected species or their associated habitats.

- B. Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service; or
- C. Have a substantial adverse effect on state or federally-protected wetlands (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?

FINDING: NO IMPACT:

According to the National Wetlands Inventory, the subject parcel is not located along the boundary of identified wetlands. There are no riparian or other sensitive natural community identified on or near the subject parcel.

- D. Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?

FINDING: NO IMPACT:

The project will not interfere with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species. No native resident or migratory wildlife corridor or native wildlife nursery site has been identified on the subject parcel. The subject parcel is currently being utilized for agricultural purposes and disturbance of the site has deterred wildlife species from inhabiting the site.

- E. Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance; or
- F. Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state Habitat Conservation Plan?

FINDING: NO IMPACT:

The project will not conflict with any local, state, or federal policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, nor will it conflict with the provisions of any adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state Habitat Conservation Plan.

## V. CULTURAL RESOURCES

Would the project:

- A. Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource pursuant to Section 15064.5; or
- B. Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to Section 15064.5; or
- C. Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?

FINDING: NO IMPACT:

The project proposes to create a 2-acre homesite parcel from an existing 20-acre (gross) parcel. The property is currently utilized for agricultural purposes and has experienced ground disturbance from existing agricultural activities. As no historical or archaeological resources have been identified on or near the project and considering past ground disturbing activities related to the existing agricultural use, no impact is seen on Cultural Resources.

## VI. ENERGY

Would the project:

- A. Result in potentially significant environmental impact due to wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary consumption of energy resources during project construction or operation; or
- B. Conflict with or obstruct a state or local plan for renewable energy or energy efficiency?

FINDING: NO IMPACT:

The project proposes to create a 2-acre homesite parcel from an existing 20-acre (gross) parcel. The project will not result in potentially significant environmental impact due to wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary consumption of energy resources as there is no project construction or operation proposed. The Applicant has stated that the proposed 2-acre homesite parcel is already improved with one single-family residence, and the remainder parcel is already improved with one existing single-family residence. No further construction or development is proposed. Therefore, the project will not conflict with or obstruct a state or local plan for renewable energy or energy efficiency.

## VII. GEOLOGY AND SOILS

Would the project:

- A. Directly or indirectly cause potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:
  - 1. Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault?
  - 2. Strong seismic ground shaking?
  - 3. Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?

FINDING: NO IMPACT:

According to the California Department of Conservation Earthquake Hazard Zone Application (EQ Zapp), the project is not located on a known earthquake fault zone. In considering the lower chance of reaching peak horizontal ground acceleration and no proposed development, there are minimal adverse risks associated with the project related to strong seismic ground shaking or seismic-related ground failure. No agencies expressed any concerns related to ground shaking, ground failure or liquefaction.

- 4. Landslides?

FINDING: NO IMPACT:

The subject parcel is not associated with sloping lands and is not in an area of steep sloping lands.

B. Result in substantial soil erosion or loss of topsoil?

FINDING: NO IMPACT:

The proposal would result in creation of a 2-acre homesite parcel from an existing 20-acre (gross) parcel. The existing parcel is actively used for the purpose of agricultural production. The Applicant, per the submitted findings, indicate the parcel is still intended to be used as such but also be utilized as a homesite. The proposed parcel will create a property line around an existing home, and no further development is included. The project will not result in substantial soil erosion.

C. Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction, or collapse?

FINDING: NO IMPACT:

Approval of the mapping application and removal of the 2-acre homesite from the Williamson Act Program will not result in intensive development. Further, there is no evidence that the site is located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable potentially resulting in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction, or collapse.

D. Be located on expansive soil as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial direct or indirect risks to life or property?

FINDING: NO IMPACT:

Per Figure 7-1 of the FCGPBR, the subject parcel is not located in identified Expansive Soil areas.

E. Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative wastewater disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of wastewater?

FINDING: NO IMPACT:

The Applicant has indicated that the subject proposed 2-acre homesite parcel will be utilized for the existing agricultural operation and for the existing homesites. There is no development proposed, therefore the proposal is seen as having no impact on wastewater disposal systems.

- F. Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?

FINDING: NO IMPACT:

There is no known unique paleontological resource, site, or geologic feature. The site is heavily disturbed by agricultural processes and residential development. The proposed 2-acre homesite parcel is unlikely to increase the risk of disturbing any unknown paleontological or geologic resource or features.

## VIII. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

Would the project:

- A. Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment; or
- B. Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?

FINDING: NO IMPACT

The project proposal will allow creation of a 2-acre homesite parcel and a remainder parcel. The Applicant has indicated that the proposed parcel will be utilized as a home site, as there is an existing home at the location of the proposed parcel. The project will not generate greenhouse gas emissions. San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District (SJVAPCD) has been given the opportunity to review and provide comments on the project. There were no expressed concerns from SJVAPCD to indicate that the proposal would conflict with an applicable plan, policy, or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gas emissions.

## IX. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS

Would the project:

- A. Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials; or
- B. Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?

FINDING: NO IMPACT:

The project proposal is to allow creation of a 2-acre homesite parcel from an existing 20-acre (gross) parcel. The existing parcel is utilized as agricultural land and residential use. The proposal will not create a significant hazard to the public through the transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials, nor will it create a significant hazard to the

public or environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment.

- C. Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one quarter-mile of an existing or proposed school?

FINDING: NO IMPACT:

The project site does not emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous materials and is not located within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school site.

- D. Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment; or
- E. For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, result in a safety hazard or excessive noise for people residing or working in the project area?

FINDING: NO IMPACT:

There are no hazardous material sites located on or near the project site. There are no private airstrips in the vicinity of the project. The project site is not located within a clear and runway zone, inner or outer approach zone, and traffic pattern zone or horizontal or conical zone.

- F. Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan; or
- G. Expose people or structures, either directly or indirectly, to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires?

FINDING: NO IMPACT:

Reviewing agencies and departments did not express concerns to indicate that the project would impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan. The project will not expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury, or death involving wildland fires.

## X. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY

Would the project:

- A. Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements or otherwise substantially degrade surface or groundwater quality; or

- B. Substantially decrease groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that the project may impede sustainable groundwater management of the basin?

FINDING: NO IMPACT:

The Water and Natural Resources Division of the Department of Public Works and Planning reviewed the proposal and determined it will not have a significant impact on the existing water levels in the area. In addition, the subject parcel is not located within an area of the County defined as being a water short area. The project proposes to create a 2-acre homesite parcel from an existing 20-acre (gross) parcel. The proposed parcel is already improved with one single-family residence, and no new construction is anticipated.

The State Water Resources Control Board did not express concerns that the project proposal would violate water quality standards or waste discharge requirements, nor were concerns expressed to indicate that the project would substantially decrease groundwater supplies or interfere with groundwater recharge.

- C. Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river or through the addition of impervious surfaces, in a manner which would:
  - i. Result in substantial erosion or siltation on or off site?
  - ii. Substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on or off site?
  - iii. Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned storm water drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff; or
  - iv. Impede or redirect flood flows?
- D. In flood hazard, tsunami, or seiche zones, risk release of pollutants due to project inundation; or

FINDING: NO IMPACT:

According to FEMA FIRM Panel 2625H, the parcel is not subject to the 100-year storm. There are no bodies of water near the project site that would indicate the site is at increased risk from tsunami or seiche zones.

- E. Conflict with or obstruct implementation of a water quality control plan or sustainable groundwater management plan?

FINDING: NO IMPACT:

The proposal will not conflict with or obstruct implementation of a water quality control plan or sustainable management plan.

## XI. LAND USE AND PLANNING

Would the project:

- A. Physically divide an established community; or
- B. Cause a significant environmental impact due to a conflict with any land use plan, policy, or regulation adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?

FINDING: NO IMPACT:

The proposed project will not physically divide an established community nor cause a significant environmental impact due to a conflict with any land use plan, policy, or regulation. The County General Plan Policies relevant to the proposal is as follows:

LU-A.1: The County shall maintain agriculturally designated areas for agriculture use and shall direct urban growth away from valuable agricultural lands to cities, unincorporated communities, and other areas planned for such development where public facilities and infrastructure are available.

LU-A.6: The County shall maintain twenty (20) acres as the minimum permitted parcel size in areas designated Agriculture, except as provided in Policies LU-A.9, LU-A.10 and LU-A.11. The County may require parcel sizes larger than twenty (20) acres based on zoning, local agricultural conditions, and to help ensure the viability of agricultural operations.

LU-A.7: The County shall generally deny requests to create parcels less than the minimum size specified in Policy LU-A.6 based on concerns that these parcels are less viable economic farming units, and that the resultant increase in residential density increases the potential for conflict with normal agricultural practices on adjacent parcels. Evidence that the affected parcel may be an uneconomic farming unit due to its current size, soil conditions, or other factors shall not alone be considered a sufficient basis to grant an exception. The decision-making body shall consider the negative incremental and cumulative effects such land divisions have on the agricultural community.

LU-A.9: The County may allow creation of homesite parcels smaller than the minimum parcel size required by Policy LU-A.6, if the parcel involved in the division is at least twenty (20) acres in size, subject to the following criteria:

- a) The \*minimum lot size shall be two to two and a half acres of gross area, except that a lesser area shall be permitted when the owner submits evidence satisfactory to the Health Officer that the soils meet the Water Quality Control Board Guidelines for liquid waste disposal.; and
- b) One of the following conditions exists:

1. A lot less than twenty (20) acres is required for financing construction of a residence to be owned and occupied by the owner of abutting property; or
2. The lot or lots to be created are intended for use by persons involved in the farming operation and related to the owner by adoption, blood, or marriage within the second degree of consanguinity, there is only one (1) lot per related person, and there is no more than one (1) gift lot per twenty (20) acres; or
3. The present owner owned the property prior to the date these policies were implemented and wishes to retain his/her homesite and sell the remaining acreage for agricultural purposes.

\*Parcels enrolled in a Williamson Act Contract are subject to minimum sizes as required by the Act - homesite exceptions parcels, currently 10 acres minimum.

LU-A.12: In adopting land use policies, regulations and programs, the County shall seek to protect agricultural activities from encroachment of incompatible land uses.

LU-A.13: The County shall protect agricultural operations from conflicts with non-agricultural uses by requiring buffers between proposed non-agricultural uses and adjacent agricultural operations.

The Agriculture and Land Use Element of the General Plan sets goals and policies promoting the long-term conservation of productive agricultural lands. General Plan Policy LU-A.1 states that the County shall maintain agriculturally designated areas for agricultural use and shall direct urban growth to cities and unincorporated communities. General Plan Policy LU-A.6 states that the County shall maintain twenty (20) acres as the minimum permitted parcel size in areas designated Agriculture. General Plan Policy LU-A.7 states that the County shall generally deny requests to create parcels less than the minimum size specified in Policy LU-A.6 based on concerns that such parcels would be less viable economic farming units and the resultant increase in residential density would increase the potential for conflict with normal agricultural practices on adjacent parcels. General Plan Policy LU-A.9 lays out the requirements for substandard parcels created in Ag land supporting section 822.3.080.F.1.(2). of the Zoning Ordinance. General Plan Policy LU-A.12 requires the protection of agricultural activities from the encroachment of incompatible uses. General Plan Policy LU-A.13 states that the County shall protect agricultural operations from conflicts with non-agricultural uses.

According to section 822.3.080.F.1.(2) of the Zoning Ordinance, the substandard homesite parcel proposed by this application created in the AE Zone District is The lot or lots to be created are intended as a conveyance or devise exclusively for use by a person related to the owner by adoption, blood, or marriage within the second degree of consanguinity and only for persons involved in the farming operation; the existing lot before division contains a minimum of 20 gross acres; there is only one lot per related person, or per related married couple, and there is no more than one lot per each 20 gross acres

## XII. MINERAL RESOURCES

Would the project:

- A. Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state; or
- B. Result in the loss of availability of a locally important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local General Plan, Specific Plan or other land use plan?

FINDING: NO IMPACT:

According to Figure 7-12 of the Fresno County General Plan Background Report (FCGPBR) the project site is not located on or near any identified mineral resource locations. Additionally, the project proposal does not directly indicate any further development of the project site that would result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource or resource recovery site.

### XIII. NOISE

Would the project result in:

- A. Generation of a substantial temporary or permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the vicinity of the project in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies; or
- B. Generation of excessive ground-borne vibration or ground-borne noise levels?

FINDING: NO IMPACT:

The proposal is to create a 2-acre home site parcel from an existing 20-acre (gross) parcel. There is no development or proposed use involved with this project that would result in generation of substantial noise levels, ground-borne vibrations, or ground-borne noise levels. Existing land uses for the surrounding area are agricultural or residential in nature. The subject parcel is utilized as agricultural land and a homesite and does not produce noise in excess of the standard noise generation associated with agricultural or residential uses.

- C. For a project located within the vicinity of a private airstrip or an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?

FINDING: NO IMPACT:

The project site is not located within two miles of a private airstrip, airport land use plan, public airport or public use airport.

### XIV. POPULATION AND HOUSING

Would the project:

- A. Induce substantial unplanned population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure); or
- B. Displace substantial numbers of existing people or housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?

FINDING: NO IMPACT:

The project proposal will not result in substantial population growth, nor does it propose any development that would induce substantial population growth. The project site is utilized for agriculture and with existing residences onsite. The project proposes to split the subject parcel into two parcels and will not displace people or housing.

## XV. PUBLIC SERVICES

Would the project:

- A. Result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically-altered governmental facilities, or the need for new or physically-altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the following public services:

- 1. Fire protection;

FINDING: NO IMPACT:

The Fresno County Fire Protection District did not express any concerns related to the proposal. Further, the proposed subdivision of land does not pose any increase in demands for services or impede delivery of services.

- 2. Police protection;

- 3. Schools;

- 4. Parks; or

- 5. Other public facilities?

FINDING: NO IMPACT:

Due to its limited scope, the creation of a 2-acre homesite parcel will not result in increased demand for police, schools, parks, or other public services.

## XVI. RECREATION

Would the project:

- A. Increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated; or
- B. Include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities, which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?

FINDING: NO IMPACT:

The project will not result in an increased use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities and will not require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities.

## XVII. TRANSPORTATION

Would the project:

- A. Conflict with a program, plan, ordinance or policy addressing the circulation system, including transit, roadway, bicycle and pedestrian facilities?

FINDING: NO IMPACT:

Reviewing agencies and departments did not express concern to indicate that the proposal conflicts with a program, plan, ordinance or policy addressing the circulation system.

- B. Be in conflict or be inconsistent with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines Section 15064.3, subdivision (b)?

FINDING: NO IMPACT:

There is no increase in traffic trip generation, or vehicle miles traveled associated with the project proposal. The project site is currently utilized for agricultural production and with traffic generation associated with the agricultural operation and existing homesites. There is no direct development proposed with the project.

- C. Substantially increase hazards due to a geometric design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment); or
- D. Result in inadequate emergency access?

FINDING: NO IMPACT:

Reviewing agencies and departments did not express concerns regarding the design features of the project or regarding emergency access to indicate that the project will

result in hazards or inadequate emergency access. The subject parcel is not located in an area that has characteristics or sharp curves or dangerous intersection.

## XVIII. TRIBAL CULTURAL RESOURCES

Would the project:

- A. Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a tribal cultural resource, defined in Public Resources Code Section 21074 as either a site, feature, place, cultural landscape that is geographically defined in terms of the size and scope of the landscape, sacred place, or object with cultural value to a California Native American tribe, and that is:
  - 1. Listed or eligible for listing in the California Register of Historical Resources, or in a local register of historical resources as defined in Public Resources Code Section 5020.1(k); or
  - 2. A resource determined by the lead agency, in its discretion and supported by substantial evidence, to be significant pursuant to criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resources Code Section 5024.1? (In applying the criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resource Code Section 5024.1, the lead agency shall consider the significance of the resource to a California Native American tribe.)

FINDING: NO IMPACT:

Per Assembly Bill 52 (AB52) participating California Native American Tribes were notified of the subject application and given the opportunity to enter into consultation with the County on discussing the presence of tribal cultural resources on or near the project site. No participating California Native American Tribe expressed concern with the project proposal. Additionally, the subject parcel has historically been in agricultural use and has experienced ground disturbance resulting from the use. Furthermore, there is no development directly associated with the subject application. Therefore, it can be determined that the project does not have an impact on tribal cultural resources.

## XIX. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS

Would the project:

- A. Require or result in the relocation or construction of new or expanded water, wastewater treatment or storm water drainage, electric power, natural gas, or telecommunications facilities, the construction or relocation of which could cause significant environmental effects; or
- B. Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project and reasonably foreseeable future development during normal, dry and multiple dry years; or

- C. Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments; or
- D. Generate solid waste in excess of State or local standards, or in excess of the capacity of local infrastructure, or otherwise impair the attainment of solid waste reduction goals; or
- E. Comply with federal, state, and local management and reduction statutes and regulations related to solid waste?

FINDING: NO IMPACT:

The project proposes to create a 2-acre homesite parcel from an existing 20-acre (gross) parcel. There is no development proposed with this project, and there are existing homes at the site of the proposed parcel, and on the site of the remainder parcel. As there is no development directly involved with the subject application, the project will not require the relocation or construction of water, wastewater treatment or storm water drainage, electric power, natural gas, or telecommunications facilities. The subject site has been historically utilized for agricultural purposes. No change in water usage will occur. The project will not produce wastewater; therefore no impact will occur on capacity. Solid waste generation will not increase as a result of the project therefore, the project will comply with federal, state and local management and reduction statutes and regulation.

## XX. WILDFIRE

If located in or near state responsibility areas or lands classified as very high fire hazard severity zones, would the project:

- A. Substantially impair an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan, or telecommunications facilities, the construction or relocation of which could cause significant environmental effects; or
- B. Due to slope, prevailing winds, and other factors, exacerbate wildfire risks, and thereby expose project occupants to pollutant concentrations from a wildfire or the uncontrolled spread of a wildfire; or
- C. Require the installation or maintenance of associated infrastructure (such as roads, fuel breaks, emergency water sources, power lines or other utilities) that may exacerbate fire risk or that may result in temporary or ongoing impacts to the environment; or
- D. Expose people or structures to significant risks, including downslope or downstream flooding or landslides, as a result of runoff, post-fire slope instability, or drainage changes?

FINDING: NO IMPACT:

According to the Fresno County Fires Hazard Severity Zones in LRA Map by the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection (Cal Fire), the geographic center of this parcel is located in an area that the State Fire Marshal has identified as having no Fire Hazard Severity Zone in Local Responsibility Area, per Government Code section 51178 There is no new development proposed, but if future development of the site were to occur, it would be subject to applicable fire and building code standards. The project will not result in adverse impacts associated with wildfires. The Fresno County Fire Protection District was given the opportunity to provide comments and did not identify any significant concerns.

## XXI. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE

Would the project:

- A. Have the potential to substantially degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, substantially reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?

FINDING: NO IMPACT:

Based on the project scope, no proposal for new development associated with the application, and current agricultural use, the project does not have the potential to substantially degrade the quality of the environment or reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species.

- B. Have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable (“cumulatively considerable” means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?

FINDING: NO IMPACT

There are no cumulatively considerable impacts identified from the analysis of the subject proposal.

- C. Have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings either directly or indirectly?

FINDING: NO IMPACT:

There were no identified environmental effects that could substantially cause adverse effects on human beings.

## CONCLUSION/SUMMARY

Based upon the Initial Study prepared for Pre-Application for Certificate of Compliance No. 3588, staff have concluded that the project will not have a significant effect on the environment. It has been determined that there would be no impacts to Aesthetics, Air Quality, Biological Resources, Cultural Resources, Energy, Geology and Soils, Greenhouse Gas Emissions, Hazards and Hazardous Materials, Hydrology and Water Quality, Land Use and Planning, Mineral Resources, Noise, Population and Housing, Public Services, Recreation, Transportation, Tribal Cultural Resources, Utilities and Service Systems, and Wildfire.

Potential impacts related to Agricultural and Forestry Resources have been determined to be less than significant.

A Negative Declaration is recommended and is subject to approval by the decision-making body. The Initial Study is available for review at 2220 Tulare Street, Suite B, located on the southwest corner of Tulare and "M" Street, Fresno, California.

RR

\\pacific\pwp\4360Dev\_Eng\PCOC & COC\3500-3599\3588 Jaber\Initial Study\PCOC 3588 IS 8605 Writeup.docx