Print From

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: _____

Project Title: Hotchkiss Tract Levee Rehabilitation Project

Lead Agency: Reclamation District 799

Contact Name: Mike Alvarez

Email: dholder@rd799.com Phone Number: 925-684-2398

Project Location: Oakley (*City*) Contra Costa (*County*)

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

Reclamation District (RD) 799, as the lead agency pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), is proposing to implement the Hotchkiss Tract Levee Rehabilitation Project (project). In order to improve flood protection, the project would rehabilitate the existing Dutch Slough levee along Dutch Slough to meet the California Department of Water Resources Bulletin 192-82 Agricultural Standard, and incorporate habitat enhancements and vegetation management, where feasible. The project is located in eastern Contra Costa County, California. A portion of the project site is located in the city of Oakley, although the City's primary developed area lies west of the project area. The project area encompasses two primary segments within RD 799's levee system: the Dutch Slough and Sandmound Slough levees. The Dutch Slough levee is the continuation of the Little Dutch Slough levee near the Jersey Island Bridge. The project area is predominantly rural and surrounded by tidal wetlands, levee systems, and agricultural lands typical of the Delta region.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

The project would result in potential significant impacts to biological resources, cultural resources, tribal cultural resources, and utilities and service systems without the implementation of mitigation measures. With mitigation, all potentially significant impacts would be reduced to less-than-significant levels. Mitigation measures include conducting rare plant surveys and appropriate avoidance, cultivation, reestablishment, or compensation strategies, worker environmental awareness program for species, biological monitoring, pre-construction surveys, and focused surveys, addressing previously undiscovered historic resources, archaeological resources, and tribal cultural resources, avoiding potential effects on undiscovered burials, and utility verification, utility provider coordination, and worker trainer for utility damage.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

No areas of controversy

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

United States Army Corps of Engineers
National Marine Fisheries Service
United States Fish and Wildlife Service
State Historic Preservation Office
Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board
California Department of Fish and Wildlife
California Department of Water Resources
Delta Stewardship Council
Reclamation District 799