

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: _____

Project Title: CRESCENT CITY CITIZENS DOCK AND SEAWALL

Lead Agency: Crescent City Harbor District

Contact Name: Michael Rademaker

Email: mrademaker@ccharbor.com

Phone Number: (707) 464-6174

Project Location: Crescent City

Del Norte

City

County

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The Crescent City Harbor District (CCHD) proposes the demolition and reconstruction of the aging Citizens Dock and a nearby failing seawall in Crescent City Harbor, Del Norte County, California. The "Citizens Dock and Seawall Replacement Project" (the Project) consists of two primary components: 1. Demolition and reconstruction of the Citizens Dock and seawall, including public access improvements along the shoreline and parking lot upgrades. 2. Construction of the South Harbor Habitat Restoration Project to provide compensatory mitigation for biological impacts resulting from the dock and seawall reconstruction. The project is situated in Crescent City Harbor, an unincorporated area near Crescent City. The harbor is a vital economic hub, supporting commercial and recreational fishing, seafood processing, a Coast Guard station, and various businesses. The Citizens Dock and adjacent parking lot are essential for the commercial fishing industry, serving as the primary location for loading gear and offloading seafood. The site's coordinates are approximately 41°44'45.22"N, -124°10'59.39"W.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

Aesthetics - Impact: Minor changes to scenic vistas and visual character due to replacement of existing structures with similar features. Temporary construction lighting could increase glare. Mitigation: AES-1: Use LED lighting with a color temperature $\leq 3,000$ Kelvin and shielding to minimize glare and light spill. **Air Quality - Impact:** Temporary emissions of dust and pollutants during construction; no operational increase. Mitigation: Implement dust control measures (e.g., watering, covering trucks). Limit idling times for construction equipment. **Biological Resources - Impact:** Temporary and permanent disturbance to benthic habitat. Potential noise and water quality impacts to fish, marine mammals, and birds during pile driving and in-water work. Risk of accidental impact to eelgrass beds. Mitigation: BIO-1: Monitor and enforce marine mammal exclusion zones during pile driving. BIO-2: Suspend pile driving if marine mammals enter exclusion zones. BIO-3: Use soft start, cushion blocks, and bubble curtains to reduce underwater noise. BIO-4: Limit in-water work to July 1–October 15 to avoid sensitive fish migration periods. BIO-5: Monitor for marbled murrelet and implement shutdown zones as needed. BIO-6: Prohibit storage, mooring, or staging in known eelgrass areas. BIO-7: Complete the South Harbor Habitat Restoration Project to offset benthic impacts at a 1:1 ratio. **Cultural and Tribal Cultural Resources - Impact:** Low likelihood of encountering significant archaeological or historic resources; potential for inadvertent discovery. Mitigation: CUL-1: Stop work and consult a qualified archaeologist if cultural materials are discovered during construction. **Energy - Impact:** Short-term use of fossil fuels during construction; no significant operational increase. Mitigation: Use energy-efficient construction practices and comply with CALGreen standards. **Greenhouse Gas Emissions - Impact:** Temporary increase during construction; no significant operational emissions. Mitigation: Use of EV charging infrastructure and grid connections for cold storage trailers to reduce generator use.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

There are no project areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency. The project has multiple Environmental and Public Benefits

- Resilience: The new infrastructure is designed to withstand future climate hazards, ensuring long-term viability for the harbor's commercial and public uses.
- Public Access: Enhanced pathways, observation areas, and interpretive signage will improve recreational and educational opportunities.
- Environmental Stewardship: The habitat restoration component ensures no net loss of benthic habitat and improves water quality by removing toxic materials.

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

the Crescent City Harbor District is the Lead Agency. Responsible Agencies include County of Del Norte and Coastal Commission. Trustee Agencies include: The California Department of Fish and Game and The State Lands Commission