RECORDING REQUESTED
WHEN RECORDING MAIL TO:

County of Sacramento
Planning and Environmental Review
827 7th Street, Room 225
Sacramento, CA 95814
CONTACT PERSON: Julie Newton
TELEPHONE: (916)874-6141

ENDORSED
SACRAMENTO COUNTY
DEC 08 2025
FLORENCE EVANS CLERK/RECORDER
BY _____ DEPUTY

SPACE ABOVE RESERVED FOR RECORDER'S USE

NOTICE OF EXEMPTION

Project Title:
125 W M St. Barn (UPM)

Control Number:
PLNP2025-00206

Project Location:
The project is located at 125 W M Street Rio Linda, CA 95673

APN:
206-0142-019-0000

Description of Project:
The project consists of a **Minor Use Permit** to allow an existing agricultural structure to deviate from required setbacks set forth in the Zoning Code, on a 2.27-acre parcel, zoned for agricultural residential (AR-2) use. The site is located approximately 340 feet east of the 2nd Street and M Street intersection and is within the Rio Linda / Elverta community.

Name of Public Agency Approving Project:
Sacramento County – cega@saccounty.gov

Person or Agency Carrying out Project:
Victor Rodriguez
125 W M Street
Rio Linda, CA 95673
(661) 210-6245
victorrodriguez1985@hotmail.com

Exempt Status:
Categorical Exemption (PRC Section 21084; CEQA Guidelines Sections 15300-15333):

- CEQA Guidelines Section 15301 – Existing Facilities
- CEQA Guidelines Section 15305 – Minor Alterations to Land Use Limitations

Reasons Why Project is Exempt:
The current request (PLNP2025-00206) includes a request for a minor use permit to allow an existing general agricultural structure to deviate from side yard setback requirements in the AR-2 zoning district. Being that the structure is an existing facility on the site, it is compliant with Class 1 criteria and is exempt from the provisions of CEQA. Class 5 consists of minor alterations in land use limitations in areas with an average slope of less than 20% which do not result in any changes in land use or density, including but not limited to minor lot line adjustments, side yard, and setback variances not resulting in the creation of any new parcel,

issuance of minor encroachment permits, and/or reversion to acreage in accordance with the Subdivision Map Act. The allowance of the structure is consistent with adjacent properties and will not result in any changes to land use allowances or density; therefore, the project is exempt from the provisions of CEQA.

Section 15300.2-Exceptions

Section 15300.2 of the CEQA Guidelines, Exceptions, provides conditions under which categorical exemptions are inapplicable. Review of the Project indicates that it will not violate any of the exceptions, as described in the following section.

1. *For Classes 3, 4, 5, 6, and 11, will the project have an impact on an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law by federal, state, or local agencies?*

   The project site is developed with an existing general agricultural structure in a predominantly developed agricultural-residential community. There is an unnamed creek that traverses east/west across the northern portion of the project site. According to the FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Map panel 06067C0054H, there is a 500-year (shaded X) flood plain associated with the feature extending 166 feet south. The subject site delineates an approximate 1.0-acre buildable area south of the existing flood plain and indicates no structures shall be constructed within the flood plain. The existing general agricultural structure is located within the 1.0-acre buildable area. Therefore, the project reduced setback will not result in impacts on an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern.

2. *Will cumulative impacts be considerable because successive projects of the same type will occur at the same place over time?*

   As it stands, the project will not result in potentially significant impacts. The project would not result in any new construction or changes in allowable development. Therefore, no cumulative impacts will result from the project and successive projects of the same type and at the same place over time.

3. *Is there a reasonable possibility that the activity will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances?*

   There are no known unusual circumstances that will have a significant effect on the environment.

4. *Will the project result in damage to scenic resources, including but not limited to trees, historic buildings, rock outcroppings, or similar resources within a highway officially designated as a scenic highway?*

   River Road/ Highway 160 (from the Sacramento City Limits at the northern edge of Freeport to the southern tip of the Delta at Antioch Bridge) is a designated State Scenic Highway. The Project is not located within the vicinity of this scenic highway. Therefore, the project will not result in damage to scenic resources or similar resources within a highway that is officially designated as a scenic highway.

5. *Is the project located on a site which is included on any list compiled pursuant to Section 65962.5 of the Government Code?*

   The project site is not included on any list compiled pursuant to Section 65962.5 of the Government Code. Therefore, implementation of the project will not have an impact related to being located on a hazardous waste site.

6. *Will the project cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historic resource?*

   The project site does not contain any historical resources.

Julie Newton

Julie Newton
ENVIRONMENTAL COORDINATOR OF
SACRAMENTO COUNTY, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Copy To:

☒ **County Clerk of Sacramento**
County Clerk
3636 American River Drive, Suite 110
Sacramento, CA 95864

☒ **Office of Land Use and Climate Innovation**
State Clearinghouse
1400 10th Street
Sacramento, CA 95814