Print Form

# Notice of Exemption

**Appendix E**

**To:** Office of Planning and Research
P.O. Box 3044, Room 113
Sacramento, CA 95812-3044

County Clerk
County of: Los Angeles
12400 Imperial Highway
Norwalk, California

**From:** (Public Agency): _Long Beach Unified School District
2425 Webster Avenue
Long Beach, California 90810
(Address)

Project Title: Addams Elementary School Modernization Plus

Project Applicant: Long Beach Unified School District

Project Location - Specific:

Addams Elementary School, 15320 Pine Street, Long Beach, CA 90805

Project Location - City: Long Beach Project Location - County: Los Angeles

Description of Nature, Purpose and Beneficiaries of Project:

HVAC installation in all classrooms, offices and support spaces (including Auditorium, Cafeteria and Kitchen) located in permanent buildings on site, Accessibility upgrades to path of travel, parking, restrooms and drinking fountain per current building code, Utility systems upgrades (e.g. electrical, low voltage, water, sewer, etc.) as required to support HVAC installation, Hardware upgrades (e.g. LED lighting, Extron audio-visual system, overhead projectors, etc.), Upgrades to building finishes (e.g. new ceilings, flooring, painting), New tactile signage required throughout the campus for rooms and exits. The entire campus will undergo building/room re-numbering per current District standards, Roofing Repairs, Door replacement as required, Campus-wide Fire Alarm upgrades, Seismic upgrades, if required, per DSA IR EB-4, Health department and city submission as required, Existing portables to receive fire alarm upgrades and signage, Additional scope for Measure-Q upgrades, based on LBUSD's 2022 Facility Master Plan

Name of Public Agency Approving Project: Long Beach Unified School District

Name of Person or Agency Carrying out Project: Long Beach Unified School District

Exempt Status: **(check one):**

- ☐ Ministerial (Sec. 21080(b)(1); 15268);
- ☐ Declared Emergency (Sec. 21080(b)(3); 15269(a));
- ☐ Emergency Project (Sec. 21080(b)(4); 15269(b)(c));
- ☒ Categorical Exemption. State type and section number: Class 1:15301; Class 2:15302; Class 14:15314
- ☐ Statutory Exemptions. State code number: _____

Reasons why project is exempt:

The Proposed Project consists of minor alterations, repair, replacement, and upgrades to existing school facilities, including installation of HVAC systems in permanent buildings, accessibility and utility improvements, hardware and finish upgrades, roofing and door replacement, campus-wide fire alarm and seismic safety improvements, and related signage and numbering updates, as well as other health, safety, and code compliance measures consistent with the District's Facility Master Plan. All work would occur within the existing developed campus and would not expand capacity or change the use of the site. Refer to Attachment A for further reasons of the applicability of Class 1, 2, and 14 Exemptions.

Lead Agency
Contact Person: Dana Grudem Area Code/Telephone/Extension: 562-997-7550

**If filed by applicant:**

1. Attach certified document of exemption finding.
2. Has a Notice of Exemption been filed by the public agency approving the project? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Signature: Dana Grudem Date: 10 24 25 Title: Senior Program Manager

☒ Signed by Lead Agency ☐ Signed by Applicant

Authority cited: Sections 21083 and 21110, Public Resources Code.
Reference: Sections 21108, 21152, and 21152.1, Public Resources Code.

Date Received for filing at QPR: _____

THIS NOTICE WAS POSTED

ON October 24 2025

UNTIL November 24 2025

REGISTRAR – RECORDER/COUNTY CLERK

2025 241906

FILED
Oct 24 2025

Dean C. Logan, Registrar – Recorder/County Clerk

Electronically signed by TODD TRAN

Revised 2011

☐

**LBUSD Addams Elementary School Modernization Plus Project**
**Notice of Exemption (NOE)**

The Long Beach Unified School District (District), as the Lead Agency under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), proposes the Addams Elementary School (Addams ES) Modernization Plus Project (Proposed Project), which entails renovations and upgrades to all existing campus buildings.

## Project Location

Addams ES was originally built in 1935, but modifications and additional buildings were added throughout the year 2003. Addams Elementary School is located at 5320 Pine Street in Long Beach, California 90805 and is situated in a primarily residential area surrounded by housing to the north, east, south, and west. The school accommodates grades TK-5. The school was built over various phases between 1930 and 2003 and is approximately 4.75 acres in size.

## Description of Project

The Addams Elementary School Modernization Plus Project consists of renovation of all the existing buildings on campus. The scope includes the following items:

- HVAC installation in all classrooms, offices and support spaces (including Auditorium, Cafeteria and Kitchen) located in permanent buildings on site.
- Accessibility upgrades to path of travel, parking, restrooms and drinking fountain per current building code.
- Utility systems upgrades (e.g. electrical, low voltage, water, sewer, etc.) as required to support HVAC installation.
- Hardware upgrades (e.g. LED lighting, Extron audio-visual system, overhead projectors, etc.).
- Upgrades to building finishes (e.g. new ceilings, flooring, painting)
- New tactile signage required throughout the campus for rooms and exits. The entire campus will undergo building/room re-numbering per current District standards.
- Roofing Repairs
- Door replacement as required.
- Campus-wide Fire Alarm upgrades.
- Seismic upgrades, if required, per DSA IR EB-4
- Health department and city submission as required
- Existing portables to receive fire alarm upgrades and signage.
- Additional scope for Measure-Q upgrades, based on LBUSD's 2022 Facility Master Plan

## Project Schedule

The construction Proposed Project is expected to occur over 305 construction days, from approximately June 2027 to August 2028. The construction would occur in one phase. Construction activities would take place between the hours of 7:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. Mondays through Fridays, and 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. on Saturday on an as-needed basis.

## Reasons Why Project Is Exempt:

The proposed activity is a project subject to CEQA. However, it is exempt from further environmental analysis under a Class 1, *Existing Facilities (*CEQA Guidelines §15301), Class 2, *Replacement or Reconstruction* (CEQA Guidelines §15302), and Class 14 *Minor Additions to Schools* (CEQA Guidelines §15314).

2025 241906
FILED
Oct 24 2025
Dean C. Logan, Registrar – Recorder/County Clerk
Electronically signed by TODD TRAN

- Class 1 categorical exemption consists of the operation, repair, maintenance, permitting, leasing, licensing, or minor alteration of existing public or private structures, facilities, mechanical equipment, or topographical features, involving negligible or no expansion of use beyond that existing at the time of the lead agency's determination.
- Class 2 consists of the replacement or reconstruction of existing structures and facilities where the new structure will be located on the same site as the structure replaced and will have substantially the same purpose and capacity of the structure replaced.
- Class 14 consists of minor additions to existing schools within existing school grounds where the addition does not increase original student capacity by more than 25% or ten classrooms, whichever is less.

The Proposed Project consists of minor alterations, repair, replacement, and upgrades to existing school facilities, including installation of HVAC systems in permanent buildings, accessibility and utility improvements, hardware and finish upgrades, roofing and door replacement, campus-wide fire alarm and seismic safety improvements, and related signage and numbering updates, as well as other health, safety, and code compliance measures consistent with the District's Facility Master Plan. All work would occur within the existing developed campus and would not expand capacity or change the use of the site.

Therefore, the application of Class 1, Class 2, and Class 14 categorical exemptions is appropriate for the Proposed Project. The Proposed Project does not have the potential to trigger any of the exceptions identified in CEQA Guidelines §15300.2. Consequently, as documented below, the Proposed Project is exempt from further CEQA review.

(a) **Location.** The improvements will occur entirely within the existing campus, which is located in an urbanized community. The existing conditions on and around the elementary school consist of disturbed and paved ground, which is not considered environmentally sensitive. Minor ground disturbance would occur during construction but will be limited to the existing school property on previously disturbed surfaces. The Proposed Project will not impact environmentally sensitive areas or an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern. This exception does not apply to the Proposed Project.

(b) **Cumulative Impact.** As further discussed below under (c) Significant Effects, the Proposed Project would not have a significant effect on the environment, including those due to unusual circumstances. Accordingly, since the Proposed Project would not result in any significant environmental impacts, Project implementation would not add appreciably to impacts of any existing or foreseeable future projects listed on LBUSD's Current + Upcoming Projects site (LBUSD 2025). Evaluation of any potential impacts of the future modernization projects will be completed in a separate environmental analysis.

Further, the less than significant impacts associated with the Proposed Project would be temporary, limited to the duration of the 12-month construction period, and are limited to the immediate vicinity of Addams ES. As such, no other cumulatively considerable projects have been identified that could incrementally contribute to the impacts associated with the Proposed Project. This exception does not apply to the Proposed Project.

(c) **Significant Effect.** The proposed renovations of the campus are not considered an unusual circumstance as campus updates are not unusual for school sites. All upgrades associated with the Proposed Project would be installed on or within existing facilities. Therefore, the

2025 241906
FILED
Oct 24 2025
Dean C. Logan, Registrar – Recorder/County Clerk
Electronically signed by TODD TRAN

Proposed Project would not appear visually incompatible with existing equipment and accessories on the Project site. Normal operations will be similar and consistent with current uses within the Project boundary.

The Proposed Project is located within a disturbed, urbanized environment that does not contain high value habitat or suitable habitat for sensitive status species. No tree removal is proposed, nor would the Project impact any scenic vistas within the immediate area.

A detailed discussion regarding the Proposed Project's air quality, noise, and vibration impacts is provided below.

**Air Quality:** On April 16, 2025, an Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Emissions Technical Memorandum was prepared by Vista Environmental for the Proposed Project. Construction and operational emissions associated with the Proposed Project are discussed separately below.

Construction Emissions

Construction of the Proposed Project would create air emissions from the operation of construction equipment as well as from fugitive dust generated from the movement of dirt and materials onsite. The CalEEMod model has been utilized to calculate the construction-related emissions from the Proposed Project and the input parameters utilized in this analysis have been detailed above. The daily construction-related criteria pollutant emissions from the Proposed Project is shown below in Table 1.

**Table 1 – Construction-Related Criteria Pollutant Emissions**

| Season and Year of Construction | Maximum Daily Pollutant Emissions (pounds/day) | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | VOC | NOx | CO | $SO_2$ | PM10 | PM2.5 |
| Winter 2025 | 2.46 | 22.5 | 20.9 | 0.03 | 2.76 | 1.60 |
| Summer 2026 | 1.13 | 10.1 | 14.1 | 0.02 | 0.64 | 0.41 |
| Winter 2026 | 19.7 | 10.1 | 13.9 | 0.02 | 0.64 | 0.41 |
| Maximum Daily Construction Emissions | 19.7 | 22.5 | 20.9 | 0.03 | 2.76 | 1.60 |
| SCQAMD Regional Thresholds | 75 | 100 | 550 | 150 | 150 | 55 |
| SCAQMD Local Thresholds[1] | -- | 123 | 1,530 | -- | 14 | 8 |
| Exceeds Thresholds? | No | No | No | No | No | No |

Notes:
[1] The nearest sensitive receptors to the project site are single-family homes located as near as 6 feet (1.8 meters) west of the project site. According to SCAQMD methodology, all receptors closer than 25 meters are based on the 25-meter threshold. Calculated from SCAQMD's Mass Rate Look-up Tables for five acres in Air Monitoring Area 4, South Coastal Los Angeles County.
Source: CalEEMod Version 2022.1.

Table 1 shows that none of the analyzed criteria pollutants would exceed either the regional or local emissions thresholds during construction of the Proposed Project. Therefore, a less than significant regional or local air quality impact would occur from construction of the Proposed Project.

Operational Emissions

The Proposed Project would consist of operation of the modernized school structures. The Proposed Project would generate air emissions from area sources, and energy usage. The Proposed Project would not increase the capacity of the school and would not increase the

2025 241906
FILED
Oct 24 2025
Dean C. Logan, Registrar – Recorder/County Clerk
Electronically signed by TODD TRAN

vehicle trips generated by the school. As such the Proposed Project would not create any mobile source emissions.

The operations-related criteria air quality impacts created by the Proposed Project have been analyzed through use of the CalEEMod model. CalEEMod calculates maximum daily emissions for the summer and winter periods. The worst-case summer or winter VOC, NOx, CO, SO¬2, PM10, and PM2.5 daily emissions created from the Proposed Project's long-term operations are summarized below in Table 2.

**Table 2 – Operations-Related Criteria Pollutant Emissions**

| Emissions Source | Pollutant Emissions (pounds/day) | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | ROG | NOx | CO | $SO_2$ | PM10 | PM2.5 |
| Mobile Sources[1] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Area Sources[2] | 1.17 | 0.01 | 1.64 | <0.01 | <0.01 | <0.01 |
| Energy Usage[3] | 0.01 | 0.21 | 0.18 | <0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| **Total Operational Emissions** | 1.18 | 0.22 | 1.82 | <0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| **SCAQMD Regional Thresholds** | 55 | 55 | 550 | 150 | 150 | 55 |
| **SCAQMD Local Thresholds[4]** | -- | 123 | 1,530 | -- | 4 | 2 |
| Exceeds Thresholds? | No | No | No | No | No | No |

Notes:
[1] Mobile sources consist of emissions from vehicles and road dust. The proposed project would not generate any additional vehicle trips.
[2] Area sources consist of emissions from consumer products, architectural coatings, and landscaping equipment.
[3] Energy usage consists of emissions from natural gas usage.
[4] The nearest sensitive receptors to the project site are single-family homes located as near as 6 feet (1.8 meters) west of the project site. According to SCAQMD methodology, all receptors closer than 25 meters are based on the 25-meter threshold. Calculated from SCAQMD's Mass Rate Look-up Tables for five acres in Air Monitoring Area 4, South Coastal Los Angeles County
Source: CalEEMod Version 2022.1

The data provided in Table 2 shows that none of the analyzed criteria pollutants would exceed either the regional or local emissions thresholds during operation of the Proposed Project. Therefore, a less than significant regional and local air quality impacts would occur from operation of the Proposed Project.

**Noise:** On April 18, 2025, a Noise Impact Analysis was prepared by Vista Environmental for the Proposed Project. Construction and operational noise impacts are discussed separately below.

Construction- Related Noise

The construction activities for the Proposed Project are anticipated to include demolition and grading of up to 10,000 square feet pavement area, renovation of all the existing permanent and portable buildings on campus, paving, and application of architectural coatings. Noise impacts from construction activities associated with the Proposed Project would be a function of the noise generated by construction equipment, equipment location, sensitivity of nearby land uses, and the timing and duration of the construction activities.

Section 8.80.202 of the City's Noise Ordinance restricts construction activities from occurring between the hours of 7:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m. on weekdays, between 6:00 p.m. and 9:00 a.m. on Saturdays, or anytime on Sundays or federal holidays. Through adherence to the construction-related noise requirements provided in the City's Noise Ordinance, construction-related noise levels would not exceed any noise standards established in the General Plan or Noise Ordinance. However, as detailed above in Section 4.1, the General Plan

2025 241906
FILED
Oct 24 2025
Dean C. Logan, Registrar – Recorder/County Clerk
Electronically signed by TODD TRAN

Noise Element details that the federal standards may be used when local criteria are not established. As such, the Federal Transit Authority (FTA) construction noise level standard of 90 dBA at the nearby homes and daycare facility have been utilized in this analysis.

The nearest sensitive receptors to the Project site are single-family homes located between 53rd Street and Mountain View Street that are adjacent to the west side of the school, with the nearest homes located as near as 6 feet from the west property line for the school. There are also homes on the north side of Plymouth Street that are as near as 60 feet from the school, on the east side of Locust Avenue that are as near as 55 feet from the school, and on the south side of Mountain View Street that are as near as 50 feet from the school.

Construction noise levels to the nearby sensitive receptors have been calculated through use of the FHWA's Roadway Construction Noise Model (RCNM) and the parameters and assumptions detailed in Section 6.1 and Table D – Construction Equipment Noise Emissions and Usage Factors of the Noise Impact Analysis. The results are shown below in Table 3 and the RCNM printouts are provided in Appendix C of the Noise Impact Analysis.

**Table 3 – Construction Noise Levels at the Nearby Sensitive Receptors**

| | Construction Noise Level (dBA Leq) at: | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Construction Phase** | **Homes to North[1]** | **Homes to West[2]** | **Homes to South[3]** | **Homes to East[4]** |
| Demolition | 70 | 75 | 71 | 73 |
| Grading | 70 | 75 | 70 | 72 |
| Building Construction | 71 | 76 | 71 | 73 |
| Paving | 68 | 73 | 69 | 71 |
| Painting | 58 | 63 | 58 | 60 |
| **FTA Construction Noise Threshold[5]** | **90** | **90** | **90** | **90** |
| **Exceed Thresholds?** | No | No | No | No |

[1] The homes to the north are located as near as 320 feet from the center of the School.
[2] The homes to the west are located as near as 180 feet from center of the School.
[3] The homes to the south are located as near as 310 feet from the center of the School.
[4] The homes to the east are located as near as 240 feet from the center of the School.
[5] The FTA Construction noise thresholds are detailed above in Table A in Appendix C of the Noise Impact Analysis.
Source: FHA 2006

Table 3 shows that the greatest noise impacts would occur during the building construction phase, with noise levels as high as 76 dBA Leq at the homes to the west. All calculated construction noise levels shown in Table 3 are within the FTA daytime construction noise standard of 90 dBA for residential uses. Therefore, through adherence to allowable construction times provided in Section 8.80.202 of the Municipal Code, the construction activities for the Proposed Project would not create a substantial temporary increase in ambient noise levels that are in excess of applicable noise standards. Impacts would be less than significant.

2025 241906
FILED
Oct 24 2025
Dean C. Logan, Registrar – Recorder/County Clerk
Electronically signed by TODD TRAN

Operational Noise

The Proposed Project consists of the operation of the modernized school structures that would not result in an increase in student enrollment, nor would it result in a new use on campus. As such, no offsite roadway noise impacts are anticipated to be created from

operation of the Proposed Project. In addition, the Proposed Project would include outdoor improvements that include a new shade structure as an outdoor learning environment and new turf play area but no new noise-generating sources such as outdoor play equipment would be added.

Potential new onsite sources that may be created from operation of the Proposed Project are limited to new HVAC units on the modernized structures. Section 8.80.160 of the Municipal Code limits onsite noise sources at the property lines of the nearby homes to 50 dBA between 7 a.m. and 10 p.m. and 45 dBA between 10 p.m. and 7 a.m.

In order to determine the noise impacts from the operation of the HVAC units, a reference noise measurement was taken of a commercial HVAC unit, which was utilized to calculate the noise levels at the nearby sensitive receptors based on the standard geometric spreading of noise, which provides an attenuation rate of 6 dB per doubling the distance between source and receptor. The reference noise measurement printouts are provided in Appendix D of the Noise Impact Analysis. Table 4 below shows the calculated HVAC unit noise levels at the nearby homes.

**Table 4 – Operational Onsite Noise Levels at the Nearby Homes**

| Noise Source | Calculated Noise Levels (dBA Leq) at[1]: | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Homes to North[2] | Homes to West[3] | Homes to South[4] | Homes to East[5] |
| HVAC Equipment[6] | 36 | 38 | 39 | 38 |
| **City Noise Standards (Day/Night)[7]** | 50/45 | 50/45 | 50/45 | 50/45 |
| **Exceed City Noise Standards (Day/Night)?** | No/No | No/No | No/No | No/No |

Notes:
[1] Noise levels calculated through standard geometric spreading of noise, which results in a 6 dB drop in noise when distance is doubled.
[2] The homes to the north are located as near as 75 feet from potential HVAC unit locations.
[3] The homes to the west are located as near as 60 feet from potential HVAC unit locations.
[4] The homes to the south are located as near as 55 feet from potential HVAC unit location.
[5] The homes to the east are located as near as 60 feet from potential HVAC unit location.
[4] The HVAC reference noise measurement captured a noise level of 53.5 dBA at 10 feet.
[5] The City Noise Standards were obtained from Section 8.80.160 of the Municipal Code.

Table 4 shows that the operation of new HVAC equipment on the Project site would create a noise level as high as 39 dBA at the nearest homes to the south, which would be within the City's noise standards of 50 dBA during the daytime and 45 dBA during the nighttime. Therefore, the operational activities for the Proposed Project would not create a substantial long-term increase in ambient noise levels that are in excess of applicable noise standards. Impacts would be less than significant.

**Vibration:** The Proposed Project would not expose persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels. The following section analyzes the potential vibration impacts associated with the construction and operations of the Proposed Project.

<u>Construction-Related Vibration Impacts</u>

2025 241906
FILED
Oct 24 2025
Dean C. Logan, Registrar – Recorder/County Clerk
Electronically signed by TODD TRAN

The construction activities for the Proposed Project are anticipated to include accessibility upgrades to path of travel and outdoor improvements that include a new shade structure as an outdoor learning environment and new turf play area that will require the use of heavy equipment for demolition and grading activities. The nearest offsite sensitive receptors to these proposed improvements are the homes to the west that are located as near as 6 feet from the west property line for the school.

Section 8.80.200(G) of the City's Municipal Code limits vibration impacts to the nearby single-family homes to 0.001 g's in the frequency range of 0 to 30 hertz and 0.003 g's in the frequency range of 30 to 100 hertz. The acceleration of gravity (g), which is 32.2 feet per second can be converted into peak particle velocity by multiplying 0.001 g's by 32.2 and then converting to inch per second, which results in a threshold of 0.386 inch per second PPV.

The primary source of vibration during construction would be from the operation of a small bulldozer, since the area to be demolished and graded is too small to utilize a large bulldozer. According to Table E in Noise Impact Analysis, a small bulldozer would create a vibration level of 0.003 inch per second PPV at 25 feet. Based on typical propagation rates, the vibration level at the nearest offsite homes (6 feet away) would be 0.01 inch per second PPV. The vibration level at the nearest offsite homes would be below the City's 0.386 inch per second PPV threshold. Therefore, construction-related vibration impacts would be less than significant.

Operations-Related Vibration Impacts

The Proposed Project would consist of the operation of the modernized school structures. The on-going operation of the Proposed Project would not include the operation of any known vibration sources. Therefore, a less than significant vibration impact is anticipated from the operation of the Proposed Project.

Therefore, no reasonable possibility exists that the Proposed Project would have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances. This exception does not apply to this Project.

(d) **Scenic Highways.** The nearest highway is Highway 1 (Pacific Coast Highway) which is approximately one mile south of the Proposed Project site. Although parts of California State Highway 1 are eligible for state scenic highway designation, the Proposed Project site is not visible from the nearest section that is eligible or officially designated (Caltrans 2025). Therefore, implementation of the Proposed Project would not result in an impact associated with scenic resources within a scenic highway, and this exception does not apply to the Proposed Project.

(e) **Hazardous Waste Sites.** The Proposed Project would not result in any significant native soil disturbance that would expose school occupants or construction workers to any potentially hazardous soil materials. The Project site is not on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65862.5 (SWRCB 2025; DTSC 2025); therefore, implementation of the Proposed Project would not result in an impact associated with known hazardous materials sites. This exception does not apply to the Proposed Project.

(f) **Historical Resources.**

2025 241906
FILED
Oct 24 2025
Dean C. Logan, Registrar – Recorder/County Clerk
Electronically signed by TODD TRAN

Project-related impacts toward historical and archaeological resources are discussed separately below.

**Historical Resources Assessment**

The Proposed Project was previously reviewed for its historical significance and for compliance with the Secretary of Interior's Standards by PCR Services in 2017 in the District-Wide Historical Resources Assessment for Long Beach Unified School District (PCR Historic Report) (PCR 2017).

As part of the PCR Historic Report, PCR services recommended that Project site is Addams ES is eligible for the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) under Criterion C and the California Register of Historic Resources (CRHR) under Criterion 3 as a representative example of Period Revival style school campus designed after the 1933 Long Beach earthquake and as the work of architect Edwall James Baume. The period of significance is circa 1934.

In March 2025, Golden State Historic Resources Consulting prepared a Phase II Intensive Historic Assessment Report (Golden State Historic Report) for the Proposed Project, in compliance with Compliance Activity B as required by the PCR Historic Report.

The Golden State Historic Report identified the character-defining features that make Addams ES eligible for listing in the NRHP and CRHR. Based on a review of available Project plans and the scope of the Proposed Project, the Golden State Historic Report concluded that the Project will not result in the significant modification or destruction of the character-defining features of Addams ES that would result in a loss in the ability of the buildings to convey their historic significance. Therefore, based on the available Project plans, the Project will not result in a substantial adverse change that would impair the ability of Addams ES to convey its historical significance.

**Archaeological Resources Assessment**

In 2023, Kleinfelder prepared a Long Beach Unified School District Districtwide Archaeological and Tribal Resources Assessment Update for the Long Beach Unified School District (Kleinfelder Archaeological Report). This updated report includes an updated cultural resources records search through the California Historical Resources Information System at the South Central Coastal Information Center (SCCIC), a review of archaeological and ethnographic literature, and relevant cultural resource management reports, a review of the Native American Heritage Commission's (NAHC) Sacred Lands File, updates to the previous impacts analysis and suggested mitigation measures, and regulatory guidance for both CEQA and Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act.

According to the Kleinfelder Archeological Report, no known archaeological resources are located on the Proposed Project site (Kleinfelder 2025). Furthermore, this report states that the sensitivity for archaeological resources at the Project site is low. Although unanticipated, if any archaeological resources are encountered during construction activities, the District's Construction BMPs related to cultural resources will be followed. Ground disturbances for path-of-travel improvements and installation of the synthetic turf field would occur within previously disturbed areas. No disturbances will occur on native soils or on soils not previously disturbed. Therefore, no impacts are expected.

Thus, for the reasons detailed above, this exception does not apply to the Proposed Project.

2025 241906
FILED
Oct 24 2025
Dean C. Logan, Registrar – Recorder/County Clerk
Electronically signed by TODD TRAN

## References

California Department of Transportation (Caltrans).
2025 California Scenic Highway Mapping System. Online URL: https://caltrans.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=465dfd3d807c46cc8e8057116f1aacaa

Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC)
2018 EnviroStor Database. Online URL: https://www.envirostor.dtsc.ca.gov/public/map/?myaddress=Search

Kleinfelder
2025 District-Wide Cultural Resources Assessment Report Update for the Long Beach Unified School District, Los Angeles County, California

Long Beach Unified School District (LBUSD)
2025 Current + Upcoming Projects. Online URL: https://www.lbschoolbonds.net/projects-by-school/current-projects

PCR Services (PCR)
2017 District-Wide Historical Resources Assessment for Long Beach Unified School District.

State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB)
2018 GeoTracker Database. Online URL: https://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/map/?CMD=runreport&myaddress=Search+GeoTracker

2025 241906
FILED
Oct 24 2025
Dean C. Logan, Registrar – Recorder/County Clerk
Electronically signed by TODD TRAN