Docusign Envelope ID: F8EDC7F5-4FDB-4B86-AC5F-97581C37F2E5

State of California – Natural Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND WILDLIFE
Inland Deserts Region
3602 Inland Empire Boulevard, Suite C-220
Ontario, CA 91764
www.wildlife.ca.gov

GAVIN NEWSOM, Governor
CHARLTON H. BONHAM, Director

November 17, 2025
Sent via email

Travis Clark, Senior Planner
City of Victorville
14343 Civic Drive
Victorville, California 92392

Subject: Notice of Preparation of a Draft Environmental Impact Report
Inland Empire North Logistics Victorville Project
State Clearinghouse No. 2025101086

Dear Travis Clark:

The California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) received a Notice of Preparation (NOP) of a Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR) from the City of Victorville (City) for the Inland Empire North Logistics Victorville Project (Project) pursuant the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and CEQA Guidelines.[1]

Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments and recommendations regarding those activities involved in the Project that may affect California fish and wildlife. Likewise, we appreciate the opportunity to provide comments regarding those aspects of the Project that CDFW, by law, may be required to carry out or approve through the exercise of its own regulatory authority under the Fish and Game Code.

CDFW ROLE

CDFW is California's Trustee Agency for fish and wildlife resources, and holds those resources in trust by statute for all the people of the State. (Fish & G. Code, §§ 711.7, subd. (a) & 1802; Pub. Resources Code, § 21070; CEQA Guidelines § 15386, subd. (a).) CDFW, in its trustee capacity, has jurisdiction over the conservation, protection, and management of fish, wildlife, native plants, and habitat necessary for biologically sustainable populations of those species. (*Id.*, § 1802.) Similarly, for purposes of CEQA, CDFW is charged by law to provide, as available, biological expertise during public agency environmental review efforts, focusing specifically on projects and related activities that have the potential to adversely affect fish and wildlife resources.

CDFW is also submitting comments as a Responsible Agency under CEQA. (Pub. Resources Code, § 21069; CEQA Guidelines, § 15381.) CDFW expects that it may need to exercise regulatory authority as provided by the Fish and Game Code. As

1 CEQA is codified in the California Public Resources Code in section 21000 et seq. The "CEQA Guidelines" are found in Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations, commencing with section 15000.

Docusign Envelope ID: F8EDC7F5-4FDB-4B86-AC5F-97581C37F2E5

proposed, for example, the Project may be subject to CDFW's lake and streambed alteration regulatory authority. (Fish & G. Code, § 1600 et seq.) Likewise, to the extent implementation of the Project as proposed may result in "take" as defined by State law of any species protected under the California Endangered Species Act (CESA) (Fish & G. Code, § 2050 et seq.), the Project proponent may seek related take authorization as provided by the Fish and Game Code.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION SUMMARY

The Project is located in the City of Victorville at latitude 34.582833 and longitude -117.268333, adjacent to Interstate 15. The Project includes the construction and operation of two industrial/warehouse buildings totaling approximately 1,663,112 square feet on approximately 113 acres. Building 1 will be approximately 1,014,159 square feet and Building 2 will be approximately 648,953 square feet. The Project would include passenger vehicle parking spaces, trailer parking spaces, tractor-trailer loading docks, and other associated site improvements such as landscaping, sidewalks, and driveways. Off-site improvements include improvements to Stoddard Wells Road fronting the Project site, and utility and water supply infrastructure.

COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

CDFW offers the comments and recommendations below to assist the City in adequately identifying and/or mitigating the Project's significant, or potentially significant, direct and indirect impacts on fish and wildlife (biological) resources.

CDFW recommends that the forthcoming DEIR address the following:

Assessment of Biological Resources

Section 15125(c) of the CEQA Guidelines states that knowledge of the regional setting of a project is critical to the assessment of environmental impacts and that special emphasis should be placed on environmental resources that are rare or unique to the region. To enable CDFW staff to adequately review and comment on the Project, the DEIR should include a complete assessment of the flora and fauna within and adjacent to the Project footprint, with particular emphasis on identifying rare, threatened, endangered, and other sensitive species and their associated habitats.

The CDFW recommends that the DEIR specifically include:

1. An assessment of the various habitat types located within the Project footprint, and a map that identifies the location of each habitat type. CDFW recommends that floristic, alliance- and/or association based mapping and assessment be completed following *The Manual of California Vegetation*, second edition (Sawyer et al. 2009). Adjoining habitat areas should also be included in this assessment where site

Docusign Envelope ID: F8EDC7F5-4FDB-4B86-AC5F-97581C37F2E5

activities could lead to direct or indirect impacts offsite. Habitat mapping at the alliance level will help establish baseline vegetation conditions.

2. A general biological inventory of the fish, amphibian, reptile, bird, and mammal species that are present or have the potential to be present within each habitat type onsite and within adjacent areas that could be affected by the Project. CDFW's California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDB) should be searched at https://apps.wildlife.ca.gov/bios6/ to obtain current information on any previously reported sensitive species and habitat, including Significant Natural Areas identified under Chapter 12 of the Fish and Game Code, in the vicinity of the proposed Project.

   Please note that CDFW's CNDDB is not exhaustive in terms of the data it houses, nor is it an absence database. CDFW recommends that it be used as a starting point in gathering information about the *potential presence* of species within the general area of the Project site.

3. A complete, *recent* inventory of rare, threatened, endangered, and other sensitive species located within the Project footprint and within offsite areas with the potential to be affected, including California Species of Special Concern (CSSC) and California Fully Protected Species (Fish and Game Code § 3511). Species to be addressed should include all those which meet the CEQA definition (CEQA Guidelines § 15380). The inventory should address seasonal variations in use of the Project area and should not be limited to resident species. Focused species-specific surveys, completed by a qualified biologist and conducted at the appropriate time of year and time of day when the sensitive species are active or otherwise identifiable, are required. Acceptable species-specific survey procedures should be developed in consultation with CDFW and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), where necessary. Note that CDFW generally considers biological field assessments for wildlife to be valid for a one-year period, and assessments for rare plants may be considered valid for a period of up to three years. Some aspects of the proposed Project may warrant periodic updated surveys for certain sensitive taxa, particularly if the Project is proposed to occur over a protracted time frame, or in phases, or if surveys are completed during periods of drought.

4. A thorough, recent, floristic-based assessment of special status plants and natural communities, following CDFW's Protocols for Surveying and Evaluating Impacts to Special Status Native Plant Populations and Natural Communities (see https://www.wildlife.ca.gov/Conservation/Plants).

5. Information on the regional setting that is critical to an assessment of environmental impacts, with special emphasis on resources that are rare or unique to the region (CEQA Guidelines § 15125[c]).

Docusign Envelope ID: F8EDC7F5-4FDB-4B86-AC5F-97581C37F2E5

6. The impacts of both lighting and noise from the continuous operation of the Project should be analyzed for impacts for both resident species and species that are attempting to move through the Project area for as part of either seasonal migration or dispersal.

7. A full accounting of all open space and mitigation/conservation lands within and adjacent to the Project.

8. Species specific surveys for special status species that the Project footprint has the potential to support, including burrowing owl, Agassiz's desert tortoise, Mohave ground squirrel, and western Joshua tree.

   Burrowing Owl (*Athene cunicularia*)

   On October 10, 2024, the Fish and Game Commission designated burrowing owl as a candidate species under CESA. The Project, as described, may result in take of burrowing owl. California Fish and Game Code section 86 defines "take" as "hunt, pursue, catch, capture, or kill, or attempt to hunt, pursue, catch, capture, or kill". CDFW considers the take of burrowing owl and the loss of the species' habitat as a significant impact, unless mitigated to a level of less than significant, which would occur through a CESA Incidental Take Permit (ITP). Further, burrowing owl is protected under Fish and Game Code section 3503.5 and the Migratory Bird Treaty Act. The Project site has the potential to provide suitable foraging and/or nesting habitat for burrowing owl.

   Thus, CDFW recommends that the City follow the recommendations and guidelines provided in the Staff Report on Burrowing Owl Mitigation (Department of Fish and Game, March 2012); available for download from CDFW's website: https://www.wildlife.ca.gov/conservation/survey-protocols. The Staff Report on Burrowing Owl Mitigation, specifies three steps for project impact evaluations:

   a) A habitat assessment;
   b) Surveys; and
   c) An impact assessment

   As stated in the Staff Report on Burrowing Owl Mitigation, the three progressive steps are effective in evaluating whether a project will result in impacts to burrowing owls, and the information gained from the steps will inform any subsequent avoidance, minimization, and mitigation measures. Habitat assessments are conducted to evaluate the likelihood that a site supports burrowing owl. Burrowing owl surveys provide information needed to determine the potential effects of proposed projects and activities on burrowing owls, and to avoid take in accordance with Fish and Game Code sections 86, 3503, and 3503.5. Impact assessments

Docusign Envelope ID: F8EDC7F5-4FDB-4B86-AC5F-97581C37F2E5

evaluate the extent to which burrowing owls and their habitat may be impacted, directly or indirectly, on and within a reasonable distance of a proposed CEQA project activity or non-CEQA project.

Agassiz's Desert Tortoise (*Gopherus agassizii*)

The proposed Project occurs within the range of desert tortoise, a federally listed threatened species and a species designated as endangered pursuant to CESA. The Project has the potential to result in permanent and temporary loss, degradation and impacts to desert tortoise and desert tortoise habitat. CDFW recommends the City completes protocol level surveys over all areas (i.e., 100 percent coverage) proposed to be directly or indirectly affected by the Project, using qualified biologists and following the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2009 Desert Tortoise Field Manual. (https://www.fws.gov/sites/default/files/documents/Desert-Tortoise-Field-Manual.pdf). To reduce the likelihood of nonconcurrence with proposed surveys, methodology, and qualifications of biologists, CDFW recommends working with the USFWS and CDFW concurrently to ensure a consistent and adequate approach.

If desert tortoise are found within the Project area during surveys or construction activities, and complete avoidance is not possible CDFW strongly recommends the City acquire a CESA ITP prior to any vegetation- or ground-disturbing activities. Any take of desert tortoise without take authorization would be a violation of Fish and Game Code section 2080.

Mohave ground squirrel (*Xerospermophilus mohavensis*)

The proposed Project occurs within the range of the Mohave ground squirrel, a CESA threatened species. CDFW recommends the DEIR provide a discussion of habitat suitability, as well as potential direct and indirect impacts on Mohave ground squirrel. CDFW recommends the Project proponent retain a qualified biologist to conduct a focused survey during the appropriate period and adhering to CDFW Mojave Ground Squirrel Survey Guidelines (CDFW, January 2003; revised July 2010, October 2023). Findings from the focused survey should be included in the DEIR for complete public disclosure and review. If the Project would impact Mohave ground squirrel, the DEIR should provide measures to avoid, minimize, and/or mitigate potential impacts to Mohave ground squirrel as well as habitat supporting the species. For unavoidable impacts, CDFW recommends consultation with CDFW and obtaining appropriate take authorization under CESA. Please visit CDFW's California Endangered Species Act (CESA) Permits webpage at https://wildlife.ca.gov/Conservation/CESA/Permitting for more information.

Docusign Envelope ID: F8EDC7F5-4FDB-4B86-AC5F-97581C37F2E5

Western Joshua Tree (*Yucca brevifolia*)

Based on the Project location and aerial imagery, there may be at least one western Joshua trees on the Project site. In order for the Project to be completed as proposed, western Joshua trees (WJT) would need to be removed. WJT is a candidate species under CESA. Under CESA, species classified as a candidate species are afforded the same protection as CESA-listed species. Thus, take of WJT is prohibited except as authorized by state law (Fish and Game Code, §§ 2080 & 2085). California state legislature has enacted the Western Joshua Tree Conservation Act (WJTCA) which aims to provide protection of WJT while removing some of the barriers faced by developers when working on or adjacent to sites where the species is present.

For unavoidable impacts, CDFW recommends consultation with CDFW and obtaining appropriate take authorization. Take authorization may be obtained through a traditional ITP or through a WJTCA permit. For more information, on obtaining an ITP for WJT, please visit https://wildlife.ca.gov/Conservation/Environmental-Review/WJT/Permitting.

Analysis of Direct, Indirect, and Cumulative Impacts to Biological Resources

1. The DEIR should provide a thorough discussion of the direct, indirect, and cumulative impacts expected to adversely affect biological resources as a result of the Project (including the plan's land use designations, policies and programs).

2. The DEIR should include a discussion of potential impacts from lighting, noise, operations and maintenance activities, exotic and/or invasive species, and drainage. The latter subject should address Project-related changes on drainage patterns and water quality within, upstream, and downstream of the Project site, including: volume, velocity, and frequency of existing and post-Project surface flows; polluted runoff; soil erosion and/or sedimentation in streams and water bodies; and post-Project fate of runoff from the Project site.

3. A discussion of potential indirect Project impacts on biological resources should be included in the DEIR, including resources in areas adjacent to the Project footprint, such as nearby public lands (e.g. Bureau of Land Management lands, State and County Parks, etc.), open space, adjacent natural habitats, riparian ecosystems, wildlife corridors, and any designated and/or proposed reserve or mitigation lands.

4. A cumulative effects analysis developed as described under CEQA Guidelines section 15130. The DEIR should analyze the cumulative effects of the plan's land use designations, policies and programs on the environment. Please include all potential direct and indirect Project related impacts to riparian areas, wetlands, wildlife corridors

Docusign Envelope ID: F8EDC7F5-4FDB-4B86-AC5F-97581C37F2E5

or wildlife movement areas, aquatic habitats, sensitive species and other sensitive habitats, open lands, open space, and adjacent natural habitats in the cumulative effects analysis. General and specific plans, as well as past, present, and anticipated future projects, should be analyzed relative to their impacts on similar plant communities and wildlife habitats.

Alternatives Analysis

CDFW recommends the DEIR describe and analyze a range of reasonable alternatives to the Project that are potentially feasible, would "feasibly attain most of the basic objectives of the Project," and would avoid or substantially lessen any of the Project's significant effects (CEQA Guidelines § 15126.6[a]). The alternatives analysis should also evaluate a "no Project" alternative (CEQA Guidelines § 15126.6[e]).

Mitigation Measures for Project Impacts to Biological Resources

The DEIR should identify mitigation measures and alternatives that are appropriate and adequate to avoid or minimize potential impacts, to the extent feasible. The City should assess all direct, indirect, and cumulative impacts that are expected to occur as a result of the implementation of the Project and its long-term operation and maintenance. When proposing measures to avoid, minimize, or mitigate impacts, CDFW recommends consideration of the following:

1. *Fully Protected Species*: CDFW may issue permits authorizing take of fully protected species if the requirements under Fish and Game Code section 2081.15 are met, otherwise fully protected species may not be taken or possessed at any time and projects should be designed to completely avoid any fully protected species that have the potential to be present within or adjacent to the project areas. CDFW recommends that the City analyzes impacts to fully protected species and their habitats, and includes in the DEIR measures to avoid, minimize, and mitigate impacts to fully protected species,

2. *Sensitive Plant Communities*: CDFW considers sensitive plant communities to be imperiled habitats having both local and regional significance. Plant communities, alliances, and associations with a statewide ranking of S-1, S-2, S-3, and S-4 should be considered sensitive and declining at the local and regional level. These ranks can be obtained by querying the CNDDB and are included in *The Manual of California Vegetation* (Sawyer et al. 2009). The DEIR should include measures to fully avoid and otherwise protect sensitive plant communities from Project-related direct and indirect impacts.

3. *California Species of Special Concern* (CSSC): CSSC status applies to animals generally not listed under the federal Endangered Species Act or the CESA, but which nonetheless are declining at a rate that could result in listing, or historically

Docusign Envelope ID: F8EDC7F5-4FDB-4B86-AC5F-97581C37F2E5

occurred in low numbers and known threats to their persistence currently exist. CSSCs should be considered during the environmental review process. CSSC that have the potential or have been documented to occur within or adjacent to the project area, including, but not limited to: Le Conte's thrasher, coast horned lizard, loggerhead shrike, and yellow-breasted chat.

4. *Mitigation*: CDFW considers adverse Project-related impacts to sensitive species and habitats to be significant to both local and regional ecosystems, and the DEIR should include mitigation measures for adverse Project-related impacts to these resources. Mitigation measures should emphasize avoidance and reduction of Project impacts. For unavoidable impacts, onsite habitat restoration and/or enhancement, and preservation should be evaluated and discussed in detail. Where habitat preservation is not available onsite, offsite land acquisition, management, and preservation should be evaluated and discussed in detail.

   The DEIR should include measures to perpetually protect the targeted habitat values within mitigation areas from direct and indirect adverse impacts in order to meet mitigation objectives to offset Project-induced qualitative and quantitative losses of biological values. Specific issues that should be addressed include restrictions on access, proposed land dedications, long-term monitoring and management programs, control of illegal dumping, water pollution, increased human intrusion, etc.

   If sensitive species and/or their habitat may be impacted from the Project, CDFW recommends the inclusion of specific mitigation in the DEIR. CEQA Guidelines section 15126.4, subdivision (a)(1)(8) states that formulation of feasible mitigation measures should not be deferred until some future date. The Court of Appeal in *San Joaquin Raptor Rescue Center* v. *County* of *Merced* (2007) 149 Cal.App.4th 645 struck down mitigation measures which required formulating management plans developed in consultation with State and Federal wildlife agencies after Project approval. Courts have also repeatedly not supported conclusions that impacts are mitigable when essential studies, and therefore impact assessments, are incomplete *(Sundstrom* v. *County* of *Mendocino* (1988) 202 Cal. App. 3d. 296; *Gentry* v. *City* of *Murrieta (1995)* 36 Cal. App. 4th 1359; *Endangered Habitat League, Inc.* v. *County* of *Orange* (2005) 131 Cal. App. 4th 777).

   CDFW recommends that the DEIR specify mitigation that is roughly proportional to the level of impacts, in accordance with the provisions of CEQA (CEQA Guidelines, §§ 15126.4(a)(4)(B), 15064, 15065, and 16355). The mitigation should provide long-term conservation value for the suite of species and habitat being impacted by the Project. Furthermore, in order for mitigation measures to be effective, they need to be specific, enforceable, and feasible actions that will improve environmental conditions.

Docusign Envelope ID: F8EDC7F5-4FDB-4B86-AC5F-97581C37F2E5

5. *Habitat Revegetation/Restoration Plans*: Plans for restoration and revegetation should be prepared by persons with expertise in southern California ecosystems and native plant restoration techniques. Plans should identify the assumptions used to develop the proposed restoration strategy. Each plan should include, at a minimum: (a) the location of restoration sites and assessment of appropriate reference sites; (b) the plant species to be used, sources of local propagules, container sizes, and seeding rates; (c) a schematic depicting the mitigation area; (d) a local seed and cuttings and planting schedule; (e) a description of the irrigation methodology; (f) measures to control exotic vegetation on site; (g) specific success criteria; (h) a detailed monitoring program; (i) contingency measures should the success criteria not be met; and (j) identification of the party responsible for meeting the success criteria and providing for conservation of the mitigation site in perpetuity. Monitoring of restoration areas should extend across a sufficient time frame to ensure that the new habitat is established, self-sustaining, and capable of surviving drought.

   CDFW recommends that local onsite propagules from the Project area and nearby vicinity be collected and used for restoration purposes. Onsite seed collection should be initiated in the near future in order to accumulate sufficient propagule material for subsequent use in future years. Onsite vegetation mapping at the alliance and/or association level should be used to develop appropriate restoration goals and local plant palettes. Reference areas should be identified to help guide restoration efforts. Specific restoration plans should be developed for various project components as appropriate.

   Restoration objectives should include protecting special habitat elements or re-creating them in areas affected by the Project; examples could include retention of woody material, logs, snags, rocks, and brush piles.

6. *Nesting Birds and Migratory Bird Treaty Act:* Please note that it is the Project proponent's responsibility to comply with all applicable laws related to nesting birds and birds of prey. Fish and Game Code sections 3503, 3503.5, and 3513 afford protective measures as follows: Fish and Game Code section 3503 makes it unlawful to take, possess, or needlessly destroy the nest or eggs of any bird, except as otherwise provided by Fish and Game Code or any regulation made pursuant thereto. Fish and Game Code section 3503.5 makes it unlawful to take, possess, or destroy any birds in the orders Falconiformes or Strigiformes (birds-of-prey) to take, possess, or destroy the nest or eggs of any such bird except as otherwise provided by Fish and Game Code or any regulation adopted pursuant thereto. Fish and Game Code section 3513 makes it unlawful to take or possess any migratory nongame bird except as provided by the rules and regulations adopted by the Secretary of the Interior under provisions of the Migratory Bird Treaty Act of 1918, as amended (16 U.S.C. § 703 et seq.).

Docusign Envelope ID: F8EDC7F5-4FDB-4B86-AC5F-97581C37F2E5

CDFW recommends that the DEIR include the results of avian surveys, as well as specific avoidance and minimization measures to ensure that impacts to nesting birds do not occur. Project-specific avoidance and minimization measures may include, but not be limited to: Project phasing and timing, monitoring of Project-related noise (where applicable), sound walls, and buffers, where appropriate. The DEIR should also include specific avoidance and minimization measures that will be implemented should a nest be located within the Project site. If pre-construction surveys are proposed in the DEIR, CDFW recommends that they be required no more than three (3) days prior to vegetation clearing or ground disturbance activities, as instances of nesting could be missed if surveys are conducted sooner.

7. *Moving out of Harm's Way:* To avoid direct mortality, CDFW recommends that the lead agency condition the DEIR to require that a CDFW-approved qualified biologist be retained to be onsite prior to and during all ground- and habitat-disturbing activities to move out of harm's way special status species or other wildlife of low or limited mobility that would otherwise be injured or killed from Project-related activities. Movement of wildlife out of harm's way should be limited to only those individuals that would otherwise by injured or killed, and individuals should be moved only as far a necessary to ensure their safety (i.e., CDFW does not recommend relocation to other areas). Furthermore, it should be noted that the relocation of wildlife does not constitute effective mitigation for the purposes of offsetting Project impacts associated with habitat loss.

8. *Translocation of Species*: CDFW generally does not support the use of relocation, salvage, and/or transplantation as mitigation for impacts to rare, threatened, or endangered species as studies have shown that these efforts are experimental in nature and largely unsuccessful.

California Endangered Species Act

CDFW is responsible for ensuring appropriate conservation of fish and wildlife resources including threatened, endangered, and/or candidate plant and animal species, pursuant to CESA. CDFW recommends that a CESA ITP be obtained if the Project has the potential to result in take of State-listed or CESA candidate species, either through construction or over the life of the Project. It is the policy of CESA to conserve, protect, enhance, and restore CESA species and their habitats.

CDFW encourages early consultation, as significant modification to the proposed Project and avoidance, minimization, and mitigation measures may be necessary to obtain a CESA ITP. CDFW must comply with CEQA for issuance of a CESA ITP. CDFW therefore recommends that the DEIR addresses all Project impacts to listed species and candidate species, and specify a mitigation monitoring and reporting program that will meet the requirements of CESA.

Docusign Envelope ID: F8EDC7F5-4FDB-4B86-AC5F-97581C37F2E5

Based on review of CNDDB, and/or knowledge of the Project site/vicinity/general area, CDFW is aware that the following CESA protected species have the potential to occur onsite/have previously been reported onsite: desert tortoise, Mojave ground squirrel, burrowing owl, and western Joshua tree.

Lake and Streambed Alteration Program

Based on review of material submitted with the NOP and review of aerial photography potential drainage features traverse the site. Depending on how the Project is designed and constructed, it is likely that the Project applicant will need to notify CDFW per Fish and Game Code section 1602. Fish and Game Code section 1602 requires an entity to notify CDFW prior to commencing any activity that may do one or more of the following: Substantially divert or obstruct the natural flow of any river, stream or lake; Substantially change or use any material from the bed, channel or bank of any river, stream, or lake; or Deposit debris, waste or other materials that could pass into any river, stream or lake. Please note that "any river, stream or lake" includes those that are episodic (i.e., those that are dry for periods of time) as well as those that are perennial (i.e., those that flow year-round). This includes ephemeral streams, desert washes, and watercourses with a subsurface flow.

Upon receipt of a complete notification, CDFW determines if the proposed Project activities may substantially adversely affect existing fish and wildlife resources and whether a Lake and Streambed Alteration (LSA) Agreement is required. An LSA Agreement includes measures necessary to protect existing fish and wildlife resources. CDFW may suggest ways to modify your Project that would eliminate or reduce harmful impacts to fish and wildlife resources.

CDFW’s issuance of an LSA Agreement is a “project” subject to CEQA (see Pub. Resources Code 21065). To facilitate issuance of an LSA Agreement, if necessary, the DEIR should fully identify the potential impacts to the lake, stream, or riparian resources, and provide adequate avoidance, mitigation, and monitoring and reporting commitments. Early consultation with CDFW is recommended, since modification of the proposed Project may be required to avoid or reduce impacts to fish and wildlife resources. To submit a Lake or Streambed Alteration notification package, please go to https://wildlife.ca.gov/Conservation/Environmental-Review/EPIMS.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

To ameliorate the water demands of this Project, CDFW recommends incorporation of water-wise concepts in Project landscape design plans. In particular, CDFW recommends xeriscaping with locally native California species, and installing water-efficient and targeted irrigation systems (such as drip irrigation). Local water agencies/districts, and resource conservation districts in your area may be able to

Docusign Envelope ID: F8EDC7F5-4FDB-4B86-AC5F-97581C37F2E5

provide information on plant nurseries that carry locally native species, and some facilities display drought-tolerant locally native species demonstration gardens. Information on drought-tolerant landscaping and water-efficient irrigation systems is available on California's Save our Water website: https://saveourwater.com/?s=landscaping.

ENVIRONMENTAL DATA

CEQA requires that information developed in environmental impact reports and negative declarations be incorporated into a database which may be used to make subsequent or supplemental environmental determinations. (Pub. Resources Code, § 21003, subd. (e).) Accordingly, please report any special status species and natural communities detected during Project surveys to CNDDB. Information can be submitted online or via completion of the CNDDB field survey form at the following link: https://wildlife.ca.gov/Data/CNDDB/Submitting-Data. The completed form can be mailed electronically to CNDDB at the following email address: CNDDB@wildlife.ca.gov. The types of information reported to CNDDB can be found at the following link: https://wildlife.ca.gov/Data/CNDDB/Plants-and-Animals.

FILING FEES

The Project, as proposed, would have an impact on fish and/or wildlife, and assessment of filing fees is necessary. Fees are payable upon filing of the Notice of Determination by the Lead Agency and serve to help defray the cost of environmental review by CDFW. Payment of the fee is required in order for the underlying Project approval to be operative, vested, and final. (Cal. Code Regs, tit. 14, § 753.5; Fish & G. Code, § 711.4; Pub. Resources Code, § 21089.).

CONCLUSION

CDFW appreciates the opportunity to comment on the NOP of a DEIR for the Project and recommends that the City address CDFW's comments and concerns in the forthcoming DEIR. If you should have any questions pertaining to the comments provided in this letter, please contact Jason Bill, Senior Environmental Scientist, Specialist, at (909) 549-5878 or at Christopher.Bill@wildlife.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

DocuSigned by:
Alisa Ellsworth
84FBB8273E4C480...

Alisa Ellsworth
Environmental Program Manager

Docusign Envelope ID: F8EDC7F5-4FDB-4B86-AC5F-97581C37F2E5

ec: Cindy Castañeda, Senior Environmental Scientist, Supervisor
California Department of Fish and Wildlife
Inland Deserts Region
cindy.castaneda@wildlife.ca.gov

Office of Planning and Research, State Clearinghouse, Sacramento
state.clearinghouse@lci.ca.gov

Cindi Hoover, AICP, CEQA/NEPA Project Manager
Dudek
choover@dudek.com

Jessica Haughton, President
FGFW IV, LLC c/o Synergy Consulting
jhaughton@synergyconsultingca.com

REFERENCES

California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG). 2012. Staff report on burrowing owl mitigation. State of California, Natural Resources Agency. Available for download at: https://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/FileHandler.ashx?DocumentID=83843&inline

California Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2003 (revised 2023). Mohave ground squirrel survey guidelines. Sacramento, California. 16 pp. Available for download at: https://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/FileHandler.ashx?DocumentID=83975&inline

California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW). 2018. Protocols for surveying and evaluating impacts to special status native plant populations and sensitive natural communities. Available for download at: https://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/FileHandler.ashx?DocumentID=18959&inline

Sawyer, J. O., T. Keeler-Wolf, and J. M. Evens. 2009. A manual of California Vegetation, 2nd ed. California Native Plant Society Press, Sacramento, California. http://vegetation.cnps.org/

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2009. Desert Tortoise (Mojave Population) Field Manual: (*Gopherus agassizii*). Region 8, Sacramento, California. Available for download at: https://www.fws.gov/sites/default/files/documents/Desert-Tortoise-Field-Manual.pdf