CITY OF ESCONDIDO
PLANNING DIVISION
201 NORTH BROADWAY
ESCONDIDO, CA 92025-2798
760-839-4671

NOTICE OF DETERMINATION

DATE: Sept 29, 2025

TO: — Office of Planning Research
State Clearinghouse
P.O. Box 3044
Sacramento, CA 95812-3044

Street Address:
1400 Tenth St. Rm 113
Sacramento, CA 95814

FROM: City of Escondido
201 North Broadway
Escondido, CA 92025
(Lead Agency)

X San Diego County Recorder's Office
Attn: Fish and Wildlife Notices
1600 Pacific Highway, Room 260
San Diego, CA 92101
MS: A-33

SUBJECT: **Filing of Notice of Determination in compliance with Section 21152 of the Public Resources Code.**

"Extension of Time Via Hondita"/PL23-0461
Project Title/Case No.

N/A City of Escondido
State Clearinghouse Number (if submitted to State Clearinghouse) Lead Agency

Joseph Holasek, 3990 Old Town Avenue, C107, San Diego, CA 92111, 619-507-1001
Project Applicant, Address and Phone Number

Ivan Flores, AICP, Principal Planner 760-839-4529 ivan.flores@escondido.gov
Contact Person Telephone Number Email

Project Location (Include County): The 3.39-acre site is located towards the eastern terminus of Via Hondita, north of El Norte Parkway, and East of La Honda Drive (APN(s): 225-042-26-00/225-042-28-00/225-042-27-00).

Project Description: An Extension of Time to extend the expiration date of a previously approved Tentative Subdivision Map (SUB17-003) which consisted of a six-lot subdivision with lot sizes ranging from 20,087 square-feet to 21,887 square-feet ("Project"). There are no changes or additions that meet of any of the requirements for preparing a subsequent or supplemental negative declaration per Section 15162 of the State CEQA Guidelines.

This is to advise that on September 24, 2025, the Zoning Administrator of the City of Escondido (Lead Agency) has approved the above described Project and relies on a previously adopted Mitigated Negative Declaration (City Case No. ENV 17-0006 and Resoultion No. 2019-26) and has made the following determinations regarding the proposed project:

1. The project **will not** have a significant effect on the environment.

2. A Mitigated Negative Declaration was previously prepared and adopted for the original project pursuant to to the provisions of CEQA. The adopted Mitigated Negative Declaracation adequately addresses environmental impacts.

3. The conditions outlined in CEQA Guidelines Section 15162 requiring preparation of a subsequent mitigated negative declaration would not be triggered:

    1. The proposed Extension of Time would not result in new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified effects of the Project, because the Project has not changed and would not generate new environmental impacts;

    2. No substantial changes have occurred with respect to the circumstance under which the Project will be undertaken because the scope of the Project has not changed and the Project's environmental impacts are within the scope of the previously approved Mitigated Negative Declaration; and

    3. There is no new information of substantial importance with respect to the Project's environmental impacts that was not known at the time the previous Mitigated Negative Declaration was adopted and no new information of substantial importance has come to light since adoption of the Mitigated Negative Declaration that shows any of the following:

        A. The project will have one or more significant effects not discussed in the previous EIR or negative declaration;

        B. Significant effects previously examined will be substantially more severe than shown in the previous EIR;

        C. Mitigation measures or alternatives which are considerably different from those analyzed in the previous EIR would substantially reduce one or more significant effects on the environment, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measure or alternative.

        D. Mitigation measures or alternatives which are considerably different from those analyzed in the previous EIR would substantially reduce one or more significant effects on the environment, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measure or alternative.

4. Mitigation Measures were made a condition of the approval of the Project.

5. No further environmental review is necessary, and all mitigation measures from the adopted IS/MND have been incorporated into the Resolution of approval.

This is to certify that a copy of the adopted Final Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration and Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (City File No. ENV 17-0006 and Resolution No. 2019-26), and record of project approval(s) with any comments and responses are available for review by the general public at the City of Escondido Planning Division, 201 N. Broadway, Escondido, California 92025. Telephone number: 760-839-4671. The City of Escondido has complied with the provision of CEQA.

Name of Official Filing Notice: Ivan Flores, AICP, Princpial Planner

City of Escondido
Lead Agency

Signature: Ivan Flores

Date: Sept 29, 2025

Case No. PL23-0461
Via Hondita Extension of Time
September 29, 2025

Date Received for Filing _____

Filing Fee Transmitted to County Clerk: $50.00 (see original CDFW receipt attached)