

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: _____

Project Title: Wells W9 and W10 PFAS Groundwater Treatment Improvements Project

Lead Agency: Santa Clarita Valley Water Agency

Contact Name: Yoganathan Thierumaran

Email: ythierumaran@scvwa.org Phone Number: (661) 259-2117

Project Location: Santa Clarita Los Angeles

City

County

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The Wells W9 and W10 PFAS Groundwater Treatment Improvements Project ("proposed project" or "project") involves construction of a per- and polyfluoroalkyl (PFAS) groundwater treatment and disinfection facility, rehabilitation of Wells W9 and W10, and construction of an approximately 8,000-foot raw water pipeline along San Francisquito Creek Trail. To allow for truck access to the treatment facility, the existing access easement along San Francisquito Creek Trail would be expanded in three locations. The proposed facility would treat PFAS in pumped groundwater to levels below the federal Maximum Contaminant Level, restore the use of Wells W9 and W10, and reduce SCV Water's dependency on imported water.

Proposed approximate locations of the groundwater treatment facility, the new Well W9 site, and the raw water pipeline are shown in Figure 1 and Figure 2 of the document; the final location of project components would be located within the Maximum Project Boundary identified in the Draft IS-MND.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

The project would result in potentially significant impacts to biological resources, cultural resources, geology and soils, hazards and hazardous materials, hydrology and water quality, noise, tribal cultural resources, and wildfire. However, implementation of the following mitigation measures was determined in the IS-MND to reduce these impacts to less-than-significant levels:

- BIO-1 Worker Environmental Awareness Program
- BIO-2 General Best Management Practices
- BIO-3 Pre-Construction Surveys for Special-Status Species
- BIO-4 Protection of Nesting Avian Species
- BIO-5 Protection of Nesting Avian Species
- CR-1 Unanticipated Discovery of Cultural Resources
- CR-2 Worker's Environmental Sensitivity (WEAP) Training
- CR-3 Treatment and Disposition Plan
- CR-4 Cultural Resources Monitoring and Mitigation Plan
- GEO-1 Paleontological Resources Monitoring and Mitigation
- HAZ-1 Hazardous Materials Management and Spill Control Plan
- HAZ-2 Fire Hazards Measures
- NOI-1 Nighttime Construction Noise Reduction Measures
- TCR-1 Disposition and Treatment of Inadvertent Discoveries
- TCR-2 Native American Monitoring

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

None known at this time.

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

City of Santa Clarita
State Water Resources Control Board
California Department of Fish and Wildlife
Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board