Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: _____

Project Title: Residences at Oak Glen Creek Specific Plan Development (Case No. 23-105 GPA/SP/TTM)

Lead Agency: City of Yucaipa, Development Services Department

Contact Name: Benjamin Matlock, Deputy Director of Community Development/ City Planner

Email: bmatlock@yucaipa.gov Phone Number: (909) 797-2489

Project Location: Yucaipa *City* San Bernardino *County*

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The proposed Project includes a General Plan Amendment, (GPA), Specific Plan (SP), and a Tentative Tract Map (TTM 20649) for a gated single family townhome development, which would consist of 145 two-story residential units and 8,000 square feet of retail use development or, if there is not sufficient demand for the retail, the project would consist of a total of 153 two-story residential units. The proposed General Plan Amendment would facilitate the Residences of Oak Glen Creek Specific Plan in amending the current General Plan Land Use Designation from Neighborhood Commercial (CN) to Specific Plan (SP).

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

The proposed Project would have potentially significant impacts to species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special-status species as determined by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife and or The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service through the indirect or direct impacts to suitable habitat occurring onsite. However, with implementation of Mitigation Measures MM BIO-2, MM BIO-3, MM BIO-4, and MM BIO-5, potential impacts would be reduced to less than significant. Mitigation Measure MM BIO-2 ensures the in-perpetuity preservation of 9.81 acres of scalebroom scrub habita acquired at a 1:1 ratio as offsite mitigation. Mitigation Measure MM BIO-3 ensures avian (bird) species, special-status and those protected under the migratory bird treaty act, would not be impacted by development of the Project. Mitigation Measure MM BIO-4 ensures that Crotch's bumblebee would not be impacted by development of the Project. Mitigation Measure MM BIO-5 ensures that burrowing owls would not be impacted by development of the Project.

A records search was conducted to determine if any known cultural resources were recorded within a half-mile of the Project area. The SCCIC lists 12 cultural resources within a half-mile of the Project site. Of the twelve resources, at least two are prehistoric; however, none are within the Project site. During the Sacred Lands Files (SLF) Search, the Yuhaaviatam of San Manuel Nation stated that the space is of a sensitive nature for tribal resources. Because of the proximity of these sites and the results of the SLF Search, the Project has a heightened sensitivity for cultural resources but would have a less than significant impact with Mitigation Measures CUL-1 through CUL-4 which include retaining a qualified archaeologist and Native American Tribal representative to monitor grading.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

No known areas of controversy.

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

California Department of Fish and Wildlife
California State Water Resources Control Board