



State of California – Natural Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND WILDLIFE
South Coast Region
3883 Ruffin Road
San Diego, CA 92123
wildlife.ca.gov

GAVIN NEWSOM, Governor
CHARLTON H. BONHAM, Director



October 17, 2025

Dawnalyn Murakawa-Leopard
Deputy Superintendent
Manhattan Beach Unified School District
325 South Peck Avenue
Manhattan Beach, CA 90266
(310) 318-7345
dmurakawa@mbusd.org

Subject: Notice of Preparation of a Draft Environmental Impact Report for the Mira Costa High School Field Renovation, Lighting Improvement, and Facilities Master Plan Project, SCH No. 2025090918, Los Angeles County, CA

Dear Dawnalyn Murakawa-Leopard:

The California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) reviewed the Notice of Preparation (NOP) from the Manhattan Beach Unified School District (MBUSD) for the Mira Costa High School Field Renovation, Lighting Improvement, and Facilities Master Plan Project (Project) pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and CEQA Guidelines¹.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments and recommendations regarding those activities involved in the Project that may affect California fish and wildlife. Likewise, CDFW appreciates the opportunity to provide comments regarding those aspects of the Project that CDFW, by law, may be required to carry out or approve through the exercise of its own regulatory authority under the Fish and Game Code.

CDFW ROLE

CDFW is California's Trustee Agency for fish and wildlife resources and holds those resources in trust by statute for all the people of the State (Fish & G. Code, §§ 711.7, subd. (a) & 1802; Pub. Resources Code, § 21070; CEQA Guidelines § 15386, subd. (a)). CDFW, in its trustee capacity, has jurisdiction over the conservation, protection, and management of fish, wildlife, native plants, and habitat necessary for biologically sustainable populations of those species (Fish & G. Code, § 1802). Similarly, for purposes of CEQA, CDFW is charged by law to provide, as available, biological expertise during public agency environmental review efforts, focusing specifically on

¹ CEQA is codified in the California Public Resources Code in section 21000 et seq. The "CEQA Guidelines" are found in Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations, commencing with section 15000.

Dawnalyn Murakawa-Leopard
Manhattan Beach Unified School District
October 17, 2025
Page 2 of 14

projects and related activities that have the potential to adversely affect fish and wildlife resources.

CDFW may also act as a Responsible Agency under CEQA. (Pub. Resources Code, § 21069; CEQA Guidelines, § 15381). CDFW expects that it may need to exercise regulatory authority as provided by the Fish and Game Code. As proposed, for example, the Project may be subject to CDFW's lake and streambed alteration regulatory authority (Fish & G. Code, § 1600 et seq.). Likewise, to the extent implementation of the Project as proposed may result in "take" as defined by State law² of any species protected under the California Endangered Species Act (CESA) (Fish & G. Code, § 2050 et seq.) or the Native Plant Protection Act (NPPA; Fish & G. Code, §1900 et seq.), the Project proponent may seek related take authorization as provided by the Fish and Game Code.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION SUMMARY

Objective: The objective of the Project is to replace existing field lights at the Waller Football Stadium, install new field lights at the West Field and softball/baseball fields, installation of two new electric bike parking areas, and various improvements to school facilities as outlined in MBUSD's Mira Costa High School Facilities Master Plan (FMP). The activities include the demolition and reconstruction of a one-story library and renovations to 2 one-story classroom buildings and a one-story multi-purpose Food and Service building.

Location: The Project site is located at 1401 Artesia Boulevard (Assessor's Parcel Number 4168-002-906) in the City of Manhattan Beach, Los Angeles County. The Project site is bounded by Artesia Boulevard to the south, S. Peck Avenue to the east, S. Meadows Avenue to the west, and developed land to the north.

Timeframe: The proposed lighting improvements are expected to be installed over a three-month period and the activities covered by the FMP will occur over the next five years.

Biological Setting: The Project site is a high school campus that is generally surrounded on all sides by developed residential and commercial lots. Aerial imagery depicts an undeveloped, and potentially undisturbed lot to the south across Artesia Boulevard. Biological resource assessments have not been performed for the Project site.

Species that have the potential to occur and may be impacted by the Project include, but are not limited to: Crotch's bumble bee (*Bombus crotchii*; California Endangered Species Act (CESA) Candidate), beach spectaclepod (*Dithyrea maritima*; California Rare Plant Rank (CRPR) 1B.1), monarch (*Danaus plexipus* ssp. *plexipus*; Endangered

² "Take" is defined in Section 86 of the Fish and Game Code as "hunt, pursue, catch, capture, or kill, or attempt to hunt, pursue, catch, capture, or kill."

Dawnalyn Murakawa-Leopard
Manhattan Beach Unified School District
October 17, 2025
Page 3 of 14

Species Act (ESA) Proposed Threatened), and Southern California legless lizard (*Annella stebbinsi*; SSC).

COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

CDFW offers the comments and recommendations below to assist the City in adequately identifying and/or mitigating the Project's significant, or potentially significant, direct and indirect impacts on fish and wildlife (biological) resources.

Specific Comments

- 1) **Crotch's Bumble Bee.** Crotch's bumble bee is a generalist bee species that can utilize a variety of habitats included in the Project area, such as open grasslands, shrublands, and semi-urban settings for nesting and foraging opportunities. According to CNDDDB, Crotch's bumble bee has been observed within two miles of the Project site. Focused surveys should be conducted to determine Crotch's bumble presence or absence within the Project site. Without a focused survey, Project activities could result in permanent loss of floral resources and nesting sites, nest abandonment, and/or direct injury or mortality of Crotch's bumble bee.

In preparation of the DEIR, CDFW recommends the Project proponent retain a qualified biologist with the appropriate handling permits to conduct focused surveys. Focused surveys should follow CDFW's [Survey Considerations for California Endangered Species Act Candidate Bumble Bee Species](#) (CDFW 2023). Focused surveys should also be conducted throughout the suitable habitat within the Project area during the appropriate flying season to ensure no missed detection of Crotch's bumble bee occurs. Findings from the focused survey should be included in the DEIR for complete public disclosure and review.

The DEIR should also provide a discussion of habitat suitability for Crotch's bumble bee. Additionally, the DEIR should provide a discussion of the Project's potential direct and indirect impacts on Crotch's bumble bee. If the Project would impact Crotch's bumble bee, the DEIR should provide measures to minimize, and/or mitigate potential impacts to Crotch's bumble bee as well as habitat supporting the species. Coordination with CDFW is recommended and may include obtaining appropriate take authorization under CESA.

- 2) **Species of Special Concern.** A review of CNDDDB indicates observations of southern California legless lizard within a two-mile radius of the Project site. Project activities may result in death or injury of adults, juveniles, eggs, or hatchlings. Moreover, the Project may eliminate foraging, breeding, or nesting habitat and refugia for these species. In preparation of the DEIR, CDFW recommends that the City thoroughly discuss potential impacts and include mitigation measures that provide compensatory mitigation for Project impacts on SSC.

Dawnalyn Murakawa-Leopard
 Manhattan Beach Unified School District
 October 17, 2025
 Page 4 of 14

- 3) **Rare Plants**. According to CNDDDB, beach spectaclepod have been observed within a two-mile radius of the Project site. Potential Project activities, such as grading, construction, and landscaping may have impacts to these plant species. Impacts to special status plant species should be analyzed in the DEIR as they meet the definition of endangered, rare, or threatened species (CEQA Guidelines, § 15380). CDFW recommends the City provide full disclosure of the Project's impact on rare plants and their associated seedbank. CDFW also recommends the City incorporate mitigation measures in the DEIR that avoid, minimize, and mitigate potential impacts on rare plants and supporting habitat. The City should also retain a qualified biologist with the appropriate handling permits to conduct a rare plant survey throughout the Project site to confirm presence/absence of rare plants. Surveys should adhere to CDFW's [Protocols for Surveying and Evaluating Impacts to Special Status Native Plant Populations and Sensitive Natural Communities](#) (CDFW 2021). Findings of the rare plant survey should be disclosed in the DEIR.

- 4) **Monarchs**. A review of CNDDDB shows multiple observations of monarchs within two miles of the Project site. Additionally, the Project site is within two miles of monarch overwintering habitat, which suggests the Project site may also have potential monarch overwintering habitat (CDFW 2025). As the Project area may provide suitable habitat for monarchs, Project activities may directly impact monarch butterfly overwintering habitat. Moreover, noise from construction activities may disturb overwintering roosts. The DEIR should evaluate the Project's potential direct, indirect, and cumulative impacts on monarchs and overwintering habitat during the construction and operational phase of the Project. CDFW recommends that MBUSD incorporate a measure to perform focused surveys for monarch on the Project site and within a reasonable buffer.

- 5) **Night Lighting**: According to the DEIR, the Project proposes the replacement of existing lighting poles and installation of new lighting poles for athletic fields. Artificial night lighting can affect plants and wildlife through attraction and disorientation, loss of connectivity, interference with pollination and foraging, and disruption of circadian rhythms and lunar and seasonal cycles (Barrientos et al. 2023). CDFW recommends the DEIR evaluate lighting impacts, especially nighttime lighting, on wildlife species and biological resources within the Project area during the construction and operational phases. CDFW also recommends the County prepare a lighting plan that discusses the criteria used in selecting the types of light fixtures, a schedule detailing the hours various lights will be on, and steps taken by MBUSD to minimize adverse effects on wildlife species. Methods for minimizing adverse effects of artificial night lighting include lighting only where light is necessary, turning lights off when they are not in use (e.g., motion detector), only using as much light as is needed, directing the light only where it is needed, and using the lowest possible correlated color temperature for the goal of the lighting.

Dawnalyn Murakawa-Leopard
Manhattan Beach Unified School District
October 17, 2025
Page 5 of 14

General Comments

- 1) Disclosure. The DEIR should provide an adequate, complete, and detailed disclosure about the effects which a proposed project is likely to have on the environment (Pub. Resources Code, § 20161; CEQA Guidelines, § 15151). Such disclosure is necessary so CDFW may provide comments on the adequacy of proposed avoidance, minimization, or mitigation measures, as well as assess the significance of the specific impact relative to plant and wildlife species impacted (e.g., current range, distribution, population trends, and connectivity).
- 2) Project Description and Alternatives. To enable adequate review and comment on the proposed Project from the standpoint of the protection of fish, wildlife, and plants, CDFW recommends the following information be included in the DEIR.
 - a. A complete discussion of the purpose and need for, and description of the proposed Project.
 - b. A range of feasible alternatives to the Project location to avoid or otherwise minimize direct and indirect impacts on sensitive biological resources and wildlife movement areas. CDFW recommends the City select Project designs and alternatives that would avoid or otherwise minimize direct and indirect impacts on biological resources. CDFW also recommends the City consider establishing appropriate setbacks from sensitive and special status biological resources. Setbacks should not be impacted by ground disturbance or hydrological changes from any future Project-related construction, activities, maintenance, and development. As a general rule, CDFW recommends reducing or clustering a development footprint to retain unobstructed spaces for vegetation and wildlife and provide connections for wildlife between properties and minimize obstacles to open space.
 - c. Project alternatives should be thoroughly evaluated, even if an alternative would impede, to some degree, the attainment of the Project objectives or would be more costly (CEQA Guidelines, § 15126.6). The DEIR shall include sufficient information about each alternative to allow meaningful evaluation, public participation, analysis, and comparison with the proposed Project (CEQA Guidelines, § 15126.6).
 - d. Where the Project may impact aquatic and riparian resources, CDFW recommends the City select Project designs and alternatives that would fully avoid impacts to such resources. CDFW also recommends an alternative that would not impede, alter, or otherwise modify existing surface flow, watercourse and meander, and water-dependent ecosystems and natural communities. Project designs should consider elevated crossings to avoid channelizing or narrowing of watercourses. Any modifications to a river, creek, or stream may cause or magnify upstream bank erosion, channel incision, and drop in water level, which may cause the watercourse to alter its course of flow.

Dawnalyn Murakawa-Leopard
 Manhattan Beach Unified School District
 October 17, 2025
 Page 6 of 14

- 3) **Biological Baseline Assessment.** An adequate biological resources assessment should provide a complete assessment and impact analysis of the flora and fauna within and adjacent to the Project site and where the Project may result in ground disturbance. The assessment and analysis should place emphasis on identifying endangered, threatened, rare, and sensitive species; regionally and locally unique species; and sensitive habitats. An impact analysis will aid in determining the Project's potential direct, indirect, and cumulative biological impacts, as well as specific mitigation or avoidance measures necessary to offset those impacts. CDFW also considers impacts to Species of Special Concern (SSC) a significant direct and cumulative adverse effect without implementing appropriate avoidance and/or mitigation measures. The DEIR should include the following information.
 - a. Information on the regional setting that is critical to an assessment of environmental impacts, with special emphasis on resources that are rare or unique to the region (CEQA Guidelines, § 15125(c)). The DEIR should include measures to fully avoid and otherwise protect Sensitive Natural Communities. CDFW considers Sensitive Natural Communities as threatened habitats having both regional and local significance. Natural communities, alliances, and associations with a State-wide rarity ranking of S1, S2, and S3 should be considered sensitive and declining at the local and regional level. These ranks can be obtained by visiting the [Vegetation Classification and Mapping Program - Natural Communities webpage](#)³.
 - b. A thorough, recent, floristic-based assessment of special status plants and natural communities following CDFW's [Protocols for Surveying and Evaluating Impacts to Special Status Native Plant Populations and Sensitive Natural Communities](#)⁴. Botanical field surveys should be comprehensive over the entire Project site, including areas that will be directly or indirectly impacted by the Project. Adjoining properties should also be surveyed where direct or indirect Project effects could occur, such as those from fuel modification, herbicide application, invasive species, and altered hydrology. Botanical field surveys should be conducted in the field at the times of year when plants will be both evident and identifiable. Usually, this is during flowering or fruiting. Botanical field survey visits should be spaced throughout the growing season to accurately determine what plants exist in the Project site. This usually involves multiple visits to the Project site (e.g., in early, mid, and late season) to capture the floristic diversity at a level necessary to determine if special status plants are present.
 - c. Floristic alliance- and/or association-based mapping and vegetation impact assessments conducted in the Project site and within adjacent areas. The [Manual of California Vegetation](#)⁵, second edition, (Sawyer, Keeler-Wolf, & Evens,

³ <https://wildlife.ca.gov/Data/VegCAMP/Natural-Communities>

⁴ <https://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/FileHandler.ashx?DocumentID=18959&inline>

⁵ <https://vegetation.cnps.org/>

Dawnalyn Murakawa-Leopard
 Manhattan Beach Unified School District
 October 17, 2025
 Page 7 of 14

2009) should also be used to inform this mapping and assessment. Adjoining habitat areas should be included in this assessment where the Project's construction and activities could lead to direct or indirect impacts offsite.

- d. A complete and recent assessment of the biological resources associated with each habitat type in the Project site and within adjacent areas. A full literature review includes but is not limited to CDFW's [California Natural Diversity Database](https://wildlife.ca.gov/Data/CNDDDB)⁶ (CNDDDB). The CNDDDB should be accessed to obtain current information on any previously reported sensitive species and habitat. An assessment should include a minimum nine-quadrangle search of the CNDDDB to determine a list of species potentially present in the Project site. A nine-quadrangle search should be provided in the Project's CEQA document for adequate disclosure of the Project's potential impact on biological resources.
 - e. A complete, recent, assessment of endangered, rare, or threatened species and other sensitive species within the Project site and adjacent areas, including SSC and California Fully Protected Species (Fish & G. Code, §§ 3511, 4700, 5050, and 5515). Species to be addressed should include all those which meet the CEQA definition of endangered, rare, or threatened species (CEQA Guidelines, § 15380). Seasonal variations in use of the Project site should also be addressed such as wintering, roosting, nesting, and foraging habitat. Focused species-specific surveys, conducted at the appropriate time of year and time of day when the sensitive species are active or otherwise identifiable, may be required if suitable habitat is present. See [CDFW's Survey and Monitoring Protocols and Guidelines](https://wildlife.ca.gov/conservation/survey-protocols)⁷ for established survey protocol. Acceptable species-specific survey procedures may be developed in consultation with CDFW and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
 - f. A recent wildlife and rare plant survey. A lack of records in the CNDDDB does not mean that rare, threatened, or endangered plants and wildlife do not occur. Field verification for the presence or absence of sensitive species is necessary to provide a complete biological assessment for adequate CEQA review (CEQA Guidelines, § 15003(i)). CDFW generally considers biological field assessments for wildlife to be valid for a one-year period, and assessments for rare plants may be considered valid for a period of up to three years. Some aspects of the proposed Project may warrant periodic updated surveys for certain sensitive taxa, particularly if Project implementation build out could occur over a protracted time frame or in phases.
- 4) Direct and Indirect Impacts on Biological Resources. The DEIR should provide a thorough discussion of direct and indirect impacts expected to affect biological

⁶ <https://wildlife.ca.gov/Data/CNDDDB>

⁷ <https://wildlife.ca.gov/conservation/survey-protocols>

Dawnalyn Murakawa-Leopard
Manhattan Beach Unified School District
October 17, 2025
Page 8 of 14

resources with specific measures to offset such impacts. The DEIR should address the following.

- a. A discussion of potential impacts from lighting, noise, temporary and permanent human activity, and exotic species, and identification of any mitigation measures. A discussion regarding Project-related indirect impacts on biological resources. These include resources in nearby public lands, open space, adjacent natural habitats, riparian ecosystems, and any designated and/or proposed or existing reserve lands (e.g., preserve lands associated with a Natural Community Conservation Plan (Fish & G. Code, § 2800 et. seq.)).
 - b. A discussion of both the short-term and long-term effects of the Project on species population distribution and concentration, as well as alterations of the ecosystem supporting those species impacted (CEQA Guidelines, § 15126.2(a)).
 - c. Impacts on, and maintenance of, wildlife corridor/movement areas, including access to undisturbed habitats in areas adjacent to the Project, should be fully analyzed and discussed in the DEIR.
 - d. A discussion of post-Project fate of drainage patterns, surface flows, and soil erosion and/or sedimentation in streams and water bodies. The discussion should also address the potential water extraction activities and the potential resulting impacts on habitat supported by the groundwater. Measures to mitigate such impacts should be included.
 - e. An analysis of impacts from proposed changes to land use designations and zoning, and existing land use designation and zoning located nearby or adjacent to natural areas that may inadvertently contribute to wildlife-human interactions. A discussion of possible conflicts and mitigation measures to reduce these conflicts should be included in the DEIR.
- 5) Cumulative Impact. Cumulative impacts on biological resources can result from collectively significant projects which are individually insignificant. The Project, when considered collectively with prior, concurrent, and probable future projects, may have a significant cumulative effect on biological resources. The Project may have the potential to substantially reduce the number or restrict the range of endangered, rare, or threatened species. Species that may be impacted by the Project include, but are not limited to, the biological resources described in this letter.

Accordingly, CDFW recommends the DEIR evaluate the Project's potential cumulative impacts on biological resources. The Project may have a "significant effect on the environment" if the possible effects of the Project are individually limited but cumulatively considerable. "Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of an individual project are considerable when viewed in

Dawnalyn Murakawa-Leopard
 Manhattan Beach Unified School District
 October 17, 2025
 Page 9 of 14

connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects (Pub. Resources Code, § 21083(b)). The City's conclusions regarding the significance of the Project's cumulative impact should be justified and supported by evidence to make those conclusions. Specifically, if the City concludes that the Project would not result in cumulative impacts on biological resources, the City, "shall identify facts and analysis supporting the Lead Agency's conclusion that the cumulative impact is less than significant" (CEQA Guidelines section § 15130(a)(2)).

- 6) Nesting Birds. To avoid impacts to nesting birds, CDFW recommends that clearing of vegetation occur outside of the peak avian breeding season, which general runs from February 1 through September 1 (as early as January 1 for some raptors). If Project construction is necessary during the bird breeding season, a qualified biologist with experience in conducting breeding bird surveys should conduct a nesting bird survey within three days prior to work in the area. If an active nest is identified, a buffer shall be established between the construction activities and the nest so that nesting activities are not interrupted. For the given Project site, CDFW generally recommends a 100-foot buffer from common avian species, 300 feet for listed or highly sensitive, and 500 feet for raptors. The buffer should be delineated by temporary fencing and remain in effect as long as construction is occurring. No Project construction shall occur within the fenced nest zone until the young have fledged, are no longer being fed by the parents, have left the nest, and will no longer be impacted by the Project. Reductions in the nest buffer distance may be appropriate depending on the avian species involved, ambient levels of human activity, screening vegetation, or possibly other factors.
- 7) Mitigation Measures. Public agencies have a duty under CEQA to prevent significant, avoidable damage to the environment by requiring changes in a project through the use of feasible alternatives or mitigation measures (CEQA Guidelines, §§ 15002(a)(3), 15021). Pursuant to CEQA Guidelines section 15126.4, an environmental document shall describe feasible measures which could mitigate impacts below a significant level under CEQA. Mitigation measures must be feasible, effective, implementable, and fully enforceable/imposed by the lead agency through permit conditions, agreements, or other legally binding instruments (Pub. Resources Code, § 21081.6(b); CEQA Guidelines, § 15126.4).
 - a. The DEIR should provide mitigation measures that are specific and detailed (i.e., responsible party, timing, specific actions, location) in order for a mitigation measure to be fully enforceable and implemented successfully via a mitigation monitoring and/or reporting program (Pub. Resources Code, § 21081.6; CEQA Guidelines, § 15097).
 - b. If a proposed mitigation measure would cause one or more significant effects, in addition to impacts caused by the proposed Project, the DEIR should include a discussion of the effects of proposed mitigation measures (CEQA Guidelines,

Dawnalyn Murakawa-Leopard
 Manhattan Beach Unified School District
 October 17, 2025
 Page 10 of 14

§ 15126.4(a)(1)). In that regard, the DEIR should provide an adequate, complete, and detailed disclosure about the Project's proposed mitigation measure(s). Adequate disclosure is necessary so CDFW may assess the potential impacts of proposed mitigation measures.

- 8) Compensatory Mitigation. The DEIR should include compensatory mitigation measures for the Project's significant impacts (direct and/or through habitat modification) to sensitive and special status plants, animals, and habitats. Mitigation measures should emphasize avoidance and minimization of Project-related impacts. For unavoidable impacts, on-site habitat restoration or enhancement should be discussed in detail. If on-site mitigation is not feasible or would not be biologically viable and therefore inadequate to mitigate the loss of biological functions and values, off-site mitigation through habitat creation and/or acquisition and preservation in perpetuity should be addressed. Areas proposed as mitigation lands should be protected in perpetuity with a conservation easement and financial assurance and dedicated to a qualified entity for long-term management and monitoring.
- 9) Long-term Management of Mitigation Lands. For proposed mitigation lands, the DEIR should include measures to protect the targeted habitat values in perpetuity. The mitigation should offset Project-induced qualitative and quantitative losses of biological resources. Issues that should be addressed include (but are not limited to) restrictions on access, proposed land dedications, monitoring and management programs, control of illegal dumping, water pollution, and increased human intrusion. An appropriate endowment should be set aside to provide for long-term management of mitigation lands.
- 10) Translocation/Salvage of Plants and Animal Species. Translocation and transplantation is the process of removing plants and wildlife from one location and permanently moving it to a new location. CDFW generally does not support the use of translocation or transplantation as the primary mitigation strategy for unavoidable impacts to endangered, rare, or threatened plants and animals. These efforts are experimental, and the outcome is unreliable. CDFW has found that permanent preservation and management of habitat capable of supporting these species is often a more effective long-term strategy for conserving plants and animals and their habitats.
- 11) Scientific Collecting Permit. A Scientific Collecting Permit would be necessary if there is a plan to capture and relocate wildlife. Pursuant to the California Code of Regulations, title 14, section 650, qualified biologist(s) must obtain appropriate handling permits to capture, temporarily possess, and relocate wildlife to avoid harm or mortality in connection with Project-related activities. CDFW has the authority to issue permits for the take or possession of wildlife, including mammals; birds, nests, and eggs; reptiles, amphibians, fish, plants; and invertebrates (Fish & G. Code, §§ 1002, 1002.5, 1003). A Scientific Collecting Permit is required to

Dawnalyn Murakawa-Leopard
 Manhattan Beach Unified School District
 October 17, 2025
 Page 11 of 14

monitor project impacts on wildlife resources, as required by environmental documents, permits, or other legal authorizations; and, to capture, temporarily possess, and relocate wildlife to avoid harm or mortality in connection with otherwise lawful activities (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 14, § 650). For more information, please see CDFW's [Scientific Collecting Permit webpage](#)⁸.

- 12) Wetland Resources. CDFW, as described in Fish and Game Code section 703(a), is guided by the [Fish and Game Commission's \(Commission\) policies](#)⁹. Through its Wetlands Resources policy, the Commission "...seek[s] to provide for the protection, preservation, restoration, enhancement, and expansion of wetland habitat in California" (California Fish and Game Commission, 2005). It is the policy of the Commission to strongly discourage development in or conversion of wetlands. It opposes, consistent with its legal authority, any development or conversion that would result in a reduction of wetland acreage or wetland habitat values. To that end, the Commission opposes wetland development proposals unless, at a minimum, project mitigation assures there will be 'no net loss' of either wetland habitat values or acreage. The Commission strongly prefers mitigation which would achieve expansion of wetland acreage and enhancement of wetland habitat values."
 - a. The Wetlands Resources policy provides a framework for maintaining wetland resources and establishes mitigation guidance. CDFW encourages avoidance of wetland resources as a primary mitigation measure and discourages the development or type conversion of wetlands to uplands. CDFW encourages activities that would avoid the reduction of wetland acreage, function, or habitat values. Once avoidance and minimization measures have been exhausted, a project should include mitigation measures to assure a "no net loss" of either wetland habitat values, or acreage, for unavoidable impacts to wetland resources. Conversions include, but are not limited to, conversion to subsurface drains, placement of fill or building of structures within the wetland, and channelization or removal of materials from the streambed. All wetlands and watercourses, whether ephemeral, intermittent, or perennial, should be retained and provided with substantial setbacks, which preserve the riparian and aquatic values and functions benefiting local and transient wildlife populations. CDFW recommends mitigation measures to compensate for unavoidable impacts be included in the DEIR and these measures should compensate for the loss of function and value.
 - b. The Fish and Game Commission's Water policy guides CDFW on the quantity and quality of the waters of this State that should be apportioned and maintained respectively so as to produce and sustain maximum numbers of fish and wildlife; to provide maximum protection and enhancement of fish and wildlife and their habitat; encourage and support programs to maintain or restore a high quality of

⁸ <https://wildlife.ca.gov/Licensing/Scientific-Collecting>

⁹ <https://fgc.ca.gov/About/Policies/Miscellaneous>

Dawnalyn Murakawa-Leopard
 Manhattan Beach Unified School District
 October 17, 2025
 Page 12 of 14

the waters of this State; prevent the degradation thereof caused by pollution and contamination; and, endeavor to keep as much water as possible open and accessible to the public for the use and enjoyment of fish and wildlife. CDFW recommends avoidance of water practices and structures that use excessive amounts of water, and minimization of impacts that negatively affect water quality, to the extent feasible (Fish & G. Code, § 5650).

- 13) Use of Native Plants and Trees. CDFW recommends the City require the Project Applicant to provide a native plant palette for the Project. The Project's landscaping plan should be disclosed and evaluated in the DEIR for potential impacts on biological resources such as natural communities adjacent to the Project site (e.g., introducing non-native, invasive species). CDFW supports the use of native plants for the Project especially considering the Project's location adjacent to protected open space and natural areas. CDFW strongly recommends avoiding non-native, invasive species for landscaping and restoration, particularly any species listed as 'Moderate' or 'High' by the [California Invasive Plant Council](#)¹⁰ CDFW supports the use of native species found in naturally occurring plant communities within or adjacent to the Project site. In addition, CDFW supports planting species of trees, such as oaks (*Quercus* genus), and understory vegetation (e.g., ground cover, subshrubs, and shrubs) that create habitat and provide a food source for birds. CDFW recommends retaining any standing, dead, or dying tree (snags) where possible because snags provide perching and nesting habitat for birds and raptors. Finally, CDFW supports planting species of vegetation with high insect and pollinator value.
- 14) Lake and Streambed Alteration Agreement. CDFW has regulatory authority over activities in streams that will divert or obstruct the natural flow, or change the bed, channel, or bank (which may include associated riparian resources) of any river, stream, or lake or use material from a river, stream, or lake. For any such activities, the Project Proponent (or "entity") must provide written notification to CDFW pursuant to section 1600 et seq. of the Fish and Game Code. Based on this notification and other information, CDFW determines whether a Lake and Streambed Alteration Agreement (LSAA) with the applicant is required prior to conducting the proposed activities. CDFW's issuance of a LSAA for a project that is subject to CEQA will require CEQA compliance actions by CDFW as a Responsible Agency. CDFW recommends that the Project Proponent assess whether notification is appropriate. A Notification package for a LSAA may be obtained by accessing CDFW's Lake and Streambed Alteration Program website¹¹.

ENVIRONMENTAL DATA

CEQA requires that information developed in environmental impact reports and negative declarations be incorporated into a database which may be used to make

¹⁰ <https://www.cal-ipc.org/plants/inventory/>

¹¹ <http://www.wildlife.ca.gov/Conservation/LSA>

Dawnalyn Murakawa-Leopard
Manhattan Beach Unified School District
October 17, 2025
Page 13 of 14

subsequent or supplemental environmental determinations. (Pub. Resources Code, § 21003, subd. (e).) Accordingly, please report any special status species and natural communities detected during Project surveys to the California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDDB). The [CNDDDB website](#)¹² provides direction regarding the types of information that should be reported and allows on-line submittal of field survey forms.

In addition, information on special status native plant populations and sensitive natural communities, should be submitted to CDFW's Vegetation Classification and Mapping Program using the [Combined Rapid Assessment and Relevé Form](#)¹³.

The City should ensure data collected for the preparation of the DEIR is properly submitted.

FILING FEES

The Project, as proposed, would have an impact on fish and/or wildlife, and assessment of filing fees is necessary. Fees are payable upon filing of the Notice of Determination by the Lead Agency and serve to help defray the cost of environmental review by CDFW. Payment of the fee is required in order for the underlying project approval to be operative, vested, and final. (Cal. Code Regs, tit. 14, § 753.5; Fish & G. Code, § 711.4; Pub. Resources Code, § 21089.)

CONCLUSION

CDFW appreciates the opportunity to comment on the NOP to assist the City in identifying and mitigating Project impacts on biological resources.

Questions regarding this letter or further coordination should be directed to Riley Scott¹⁴, Environmental Scientist.

Sincerely,

DocuSigned by:

5991E19EF8094C3...

Victoria Tang
Environmental Program Manager
South Coast Region

ec: California Department of Fish and Wildlife
Victoria Tang, Environmental Program Manager

¹² <https://wildlife.ca.gov/Data/CNDDDB>

¹³ <https://wildlife.ca.gov/Data/VegCAMP/Natural-Communities/Submit>

¹⁴ Phone: (858) 861-8020; Email: Riley.Scott@wildlife.ca.gov

Dawnalyn Murakawa-Leopard
Manhattan Beach Unified School District
October 17, 2025
Page 14 of 14

Steve Gibson, Senior Environmental Scientist (Supervisory)
Riley Scott, Environmental Scientist
Cindy Hailey, Staff Services Analyst

Office of Planning and Research
State.Clearinghouse@opr.ca.gov

REFERENCES

California Department of Fish and Game. (2011). *CNDDDB Data Use Guidelines*. Retrieved from <https://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/FileHandler.ashx?DocumentID=27285&inline>

[CDFW] California Department of Fish and Wildlife. (2021). *Protocols for Surveying and Evaluating Impacts to Special Status Native Plant Populations and Sensitive Natural Communities*. <https://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/FileHandler.ashx?DocumentID=18959&inline>

[CDFW] California Department of Fish and Wildlife. (2023). *Survey Considerations for California Endangered Species Act (CESA) Candidate Bumble Bee Species*. Available from: <https://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/FileHandler.ashx?DocumentID=213150&inline>

[CDFW] California Department of Fish and Wildlife. (2025). Monarch – ACE [ds2861]. Available from: <https://gis.data.ca.gov/datasets/CDFW::monarch-overwintering-areas-ace-ds2861/explore>

California Fish and Game Commission. (2005). *Miscellaneous Policies*. Retrieved from <https://fgc.ca.gov/About/Policies/Miscellaneous>