

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: _____

Project Title: North Point Isabel Park Cap Resilience Project

Lead Agency: East Bay Regional Park District

Contact Name: Kim Thai

Email: kthai@ebparks.org Phone Number: 510-544-2320

Project Location: Richmond, CA

City

County

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

Through a funding partnership with California State Parks, the proposed project seeks to beautify and improve the project site's resilience to sea level rise by enhancing the existing remedial cap to provide long-term, sustainable recreational use of the park through effective grading, drainage, and planting to prevent soil erosion. The proposed project would: (1) provide sea level rise resilience by raising the site's elevation with import soils and increased rock slope protection along the shoreline, (2) effectively stabilize the cap by raising the site's elevation above pre-existing classified soils and installing a new paved and gravel trail network to provide universal site access, (3) grade planted slopes and install a continuous vegetated swale to reduce soil erosion and filter sediments from site-generated stormwater before discharge into the San Francisco Bay, (4) provide more site furnishings to enhance and improve the user experience of the large off-leash dog area, and (5) install resource protection fencing to prevent dogs from reaching shorebirds in the adjacent mudflats.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

The proposed project could result in potentially significant impacts to biological resources, cultural resources, geological resources, and tribal cultural resources. Implementation of mitigation measures would reduce impacts to a less-than-significant level. Proposed mitigation measures include worker environmental awareness training, delineation of sensitive resources, preconstruction surveys, mitigation measures for impacts to nesting birds, mitigation measures for impacts to burrowing owl, mitigation measures for impacts to California Ridgway's rail and salt marsh harvest mouse, mitigation measures for impacts to bumble bees, mitigation measures for noise impacts to Hoffman Marsh, biological monitoring, archaeological evaluation in the event of discovery of historical/archaeological resources, halting work in the event of discovery of human remains, halting work in the event of discovery of fossils or fossil-bearing deposits, and mitigation measures in the event of identifying any previously unidentified tribal cultural resource.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

There are no known CEQA areas of controversy.

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

California State Parks
San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board
California Department of Fish and Wildlife