Docusign Envelope ID: 93CD704A-B090-420E-9EBB-2052D77AC4D6

State of California – Natural Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND WILDLIFE
Northern Region
601 Locust Street
Redding, CA 96001
www.wildlife.ca.gov

GAVIN NEWSOM, Governor
CHARLTON H. BONHAM, Director

October 08, 2025

Elisabeth Towers
Associate Planner
Shasta County Planning Division
1855 Placer Street, Suite 103
Redding, CA 96001
etowers@shastacounty.gov

Subject: Review of RCPA Battle Creek Bottom Road Solar Project, Use Permit 24-0009, State Clearinghouse Number 2025090229

Dear Elisabeth Towers:

The California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) has reviewed the Initial Study and Mitigated Negative Declaration (ISMND), dated September 5, 2025, for the above-referenced project (Project). CDFW appreciates this opportunity to comment on the Project pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines[1].

CDFW's Role

CDFW is California's Trustee Agency for fish and wildlife resources and holds those resources in trust by statute for all the people of the State. (Fish & G. Code, §§ 711.7, subd. (a) & 1802; Pub. Resources Code, § 21070; CEQA Guidelines § 15386, subd. (a).) CDFW, in its trustee capacity, has jurisdiction over the conservation, protection, and management of fish, wildlife, native plants, and habitat necessary for biologically sustainable populations of those species. (*Id.*, § 1802.) Similarly, for purposes of CEQA, CDFW is charged by law to provide, as available, biological expertise during public agency environmental review efforts, focusing specifically on projects and related activities that have the potential to adversely affect fish and wildlife resources.

CDFW is also submitting comments as a Responsible Agency under CEQA. (Pub. Resources Code, § 21069; CEQA Guidelines, § 15381.) CDFW expects that it may need to exercise regulatory authority as provided by the Fish and Game Code. As proposed, for example, the Project may be subject to CDFW's lake

[1] CEQA is codified in the California Public Resources Code in section 21000 et seq. The "CEQA Guidelines" are found in Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations, commencing with section 15000.

Docusign Envelope ID: 93CD704A-B090-420E-9EBB-2052D77AC4D6

and streambed alteration regulatory authority. (Fish & G. Code, § 1600 et seq.) Likewise, to the extent implementation of the Project as proposed may result in "take" as defined by State law of any species protected under the California Endangered Species Act (CESA) (Fish & G. Code, § 2050 et seq.), the project proponent may seek related take authorization as provided by the Fish and Game Code.

Project Description

As outlined in the referral packet, the proposed Project is described as follows:

"*RPCA Solar 14, LLC has applied for Use Permit (UP 23-0009) for an approximately 25-acre solar generation facility that would generate up to 3 megawatts (MW) of alternating current and 5 MW of direct current energy. The project will interconnect to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's (PG&E) existing electrical distribution system located adjacent to the site. The project will utilize approximately 8,721 solar modules and 40 string inverters. The solar modules will be mounted to a steel racking system which will be anchored to the ground using driven steel piers. The overall height of the array will be no more than 15-feet above ground surface.*"

Comments and Recommendations

CDFW offers the following comments and recommendations to assist the Lead Agency in adequately identifying, avoiding, and minimizing potentially significant, direct, and indirect impacts on biological resources with the implementation of the Project.

CESA Species

During early consultation, CDFW recommended that the following CESA-listed, which have potential to occur within or near the Project parcel, be assessed and/or surveyed by a qualified professional using species-specific protocols recommended below prior to the development and release of the CEQA document:

1. Bald Eagle

   The biological resource assessment (BRA) submitted for the Project states on page 18 that a CEQA impact threshold would be met by the Project having "*a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat*

Docusign Envelope ID: 93CD704A-B090-420E-9EBB-2052D77AC4D6

modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species…"
Bald eagle (*Haliaeetus leucocephalus*) is endangered pursuant to the Calfornia Endangered Species Act (CESA), and according to the BRA, "*Suitable [bald eagle] nesting habitat is present in and adjacent to the Project Area, and suitable foraging habitat is present in the pond northeast of the Project Area, as well as North Fork Battle Creek and other ponds, within 1-2 miles of the Project Area.*"

CDFW reiterates that supplemental species-specific surveys should be conducted for special status species with potential to occur prior to Project approval. CDFW recommends that focused bald eagle surveys be prepared and completed by a qualified biologist using CDFW's 2010 Bald Eagle Breeding Survey Instructions[2] and guidance from United States Fish and Wildlife Service's (USFWS) 2004 Protocol for Evaluating Bald Eagle Habitat and Populations in California[3] in order to determine the presence of bald eagles on or near the Project site and their use of the site and to determine the potential for direct and indirect impacts to breeding, nesting, or foraging behaviors from Project construction and operation.

Other Special Status Species

SSC status applies to animals generally not listed under ESA or CESA, but which nonetheless are declining at a rate that could result in listing or have historically occurred in low numbers and known threats to their persistence exist. SSCs should be considered during the environmental review process, and the BRA submitted for the Project states on page 18 that a CEQA impact threshold would be evaluated in terms of the Project having "*a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species…*"

The BRA also states that, "*Results of the CNDDB and IPaC searches returned 23 special-status wildlife species known to occur within the two-mile/nine-quad search radius of the Project Area (CDFW 2024a; USFWS 2024a). Of these, three have a moderate potential to occur within the Project Area and 20 are not expected to occur due to a lack of suitable habitat, or the site is outside of the species' known range. As such, these 20 species were removed from further consideration.*" CDFW believes that additional special status species warrant additional consideration for their potential to occur and/or use the site.

[2] https://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/FileHandler.ashx?DocumentID=83706&inline
[3] https://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/FileHandler.ashx?DocumentID=83707&inline

Docusign Envelope ID: 93CD704A-B090-420E-9EBB-2052D77AC4D6

1. Sharp-shinned Hawk

   BIOS indicates that the Project site falls within sharp-shinned hawk (*Accipiter striatus*, CDFW watch list) winter range, and multiple sharp-shinned hawk observations have been recorded on eBird in Manton. Aerial imagery shows that there is potentially suitable foraging habitat for sharp-shinned hawk on or near the Project site. CDFW recommended that the BRA include an assessment for the potential of sharp-shinned hawk to occur at the Project site, and a discussion of any direct or indirect impacts that may occur.

2. Yellow Warbler

   BIOS indicates that the Project site falls within yellow warbler (*Setophaga petechia*, CDFW SSC) range and predicted habitat and multiple recent observations have been recorded on eBird between Manton and Shingletown. Aerial imagery shows that there is potentially suitable habitat for yellow warbler to occur on or near the Project site. CDFW recommended that the BRA include an assessment for the potential of yellow warbler to occur at the Project site, and a discussion of any direct or indirect impacts that may occur.

CDFW cannot meaningfully concur that the Project will have a less-than-significant substantial effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as special-status without a complete assessment of special status species that may occur at the Project site.

Jurisdictional Waters and Wetlands

The Project site is located within the Battle Creek Watershed and slopes towards North Fork Battle Creek, which is approximately 800 feet south of the Project site. The ISMND indicates that a formal aquatic resource delineation was not performed. The Battle Creek watershed exhibits unique hydrology. Much of the annual water charge permeates through volcanic strata as cold springs that contribute stable flows for biological resources throughout the year. During early consultation, CDFW strongly recommended that a delineation of all wetlands, vernal pools, lakes, streams, and any associated riparian habitats (as defined by the State of California) be performed for the Project parcel. A corresponding impact analysis was also requested to be included for any potentially affected wetlands, lakes, streams, and riparian habitat found on and adjacent to the Project site. CDFW considers impacts to

Docusign Envelope ID: 93CD704A-B090-420E-9EBB-2052D77AC4D6

any wetlands (as defined by CDFW) as potentially significant and discourages disturbance, staging, and development in these sensitive areas.

Furthermore, the ISMND state that, "*After the Project is developed, mechanical and chemical vegetation control methods would be used to maintain fire breaks and reduce fire hazard...Chemical control will include the use of commercially available chemicals including Milestone, Transline, Roundup Custon, and Garlon 3A may be used as spot treatment for vegetation management. To the greatest extent feasible while maintaining compliance with the use permit, vegetation management methods should minimize disturbance to native vegetation and avoid damage from herbicide use or other control methods to any environmentally sensitive areas (ESAs; oaks, wetlands, etc.) and where applied shall be applied in conformance with applicable regulations and in accordance with manufactures instructions.*"

Without an aquatic delineation of Project parcel, Project proponents may inadvertently impact aquatic resources such as ephemeral drainages or wetlands which may in turn impact North Fork Battle Creek, where special status species occur. Without the provision of such a delineation, CDFW cannot comment as to how aquatic resources may be impacted by Project construction and operation.

Low Impact Development

The Project area is adjacent to suitable habitat for aquatic special status species. CDFW recommends the implementation of low impact development[4] (LID) strategies to prevent a net-increase in stormwater runoff from new development**.** LID strategies may include permeable pavement, vegetated stormwater bio-swales and retention basins to treat, retain, and infiltrate stormwater runoff onsite. These LID strategies are typically designed to prevent project-generated stormwater runoff from exceeding that of a 100-year storm event to protect water quality and manage stormwater as close to its source as possible, thus mitigating potential flooding and outflow of toxic pollutants such as 6PPD-quinone, a chemical contaminant derived from vehicle tires that is suspected to negatively impact aquatic organisms. Ideally, post-project stormwater runoff volume, rate, and duration will match pre-project conditions, and hydro-modification would not occur as a result of the Project. CDFW supports the use of LID strategies because they minimize impacts to aquatic habitats by filtering out pollutants, decreasing peak flows, minimizing erosion, and increasing ground water recharge.

[4] https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/low_impact_development/

Docusign Envelope ID: 93CD704A-B090-420E-9EBB-2052D77AC4D6

California Endangered Species Act

Please be advised that a CESA Incidental Take Permit[5] must be obtained if the Project has the potential to result in "take" (hunt, pursue, catch, capture, kill, or attempt thereof) of plants or animals listed under CESA, either during construction or over the life of the project. Issuance of a CESA permit is subject to CEQA documentation; the CEQA document must specify impacts, mitigation measures, and a mitigation monitoring and reporting program. If the Project has the potential to result in take of a CESA-listed species, early consultation is encouraged, as significant modification to the Project may be necessary to minimize and fully mitigate impacts as required by Fish and Game Code, section 2081, subdivision (b)(2).

Lake and Streambed Alteration Agreement

Fish and Game Code section 1602 requires any person, state or local governmental agency, or public utility to notify CDFW prior to beginning any activity that may do one or more of the following:

- Substantially divert or obstruct the natural flow of the bed, channel, or bank of any river, stream, or lake; or
- Substantially change or use any material from the bed, channel, or bank of any river, stream, or lake; or
- Deposit or dispose of debris, waste, or other material containing crumbled, flaked, or ground pavement where it may pass into any river, stream, or lake.

To obtain information about the 1602 Notification process, please access the Lake and Streambed Alteration Program[6].

Submitting Data

CEQA requires that information developed in environmental documents be incorporated into a database which may be used to make subsequent or supplemental environmental determinations. (Pub. Resources Code, § 21003, subd. (e).) Accordingly, please report any special status species and natural communities detected during this Project's surveys to CNDDB. For additional information about submitting data, please see Submitting Data to the CNDDB[7].

[5] https://wildlife.ca.gov/Conservation/CESA/Permitting
[6] https://wildlife.ca.gov/Conservation/Environmental-Review/LSA
[7] https://wildlife.ca.gov/Data/CNDDB/Submitting-Data

Docusign Envelope ID: 93CD704A-B090-420E-9EBB-2052D77AC4D6

Promoting Collaboration

CDFW is dedicated to preserving and protecting California's diverse ecosystems and wildlife. To that end, we maintain a strong commitment to collaborate with our local counterparts. We look forward to continuing our partnership with the Lead Agency to implement comprehensive avoidance, minimization and mitigation strategies that benefit California's sensitive biological resources. We appreciate the collaborative efforts that have taken place thus far and are eager to further align our regulatory frameworks to enhance conservation outcomes. CDFW staff are available to attend site visits and begin early consultation for CESA-related impacts.

Environmental Document Filing Fees

The Project, as proposed, would have an impact on fish and/or wildlife, and assessment of environmental document filing fees is necessary. Fees are payable upon filing the Notice of Determination by the Lead Agency and serve to help defray the cost of environmental review by CDFW. Payment of the environmental document filing fee is required in order for the underlying project approval to be operative, vested, and final. (Cal. Code Regs, tit. 14, § 753.5; Fish & G. Code, § 711.4; Pub. Resources Code, § 21089.)

Conclusion

CDFW staff appreciate the opportunity to comment and assist the Lead Agency in identifying potentially significant Project impacts on biological resources. If you have any questions, please contact Helen Bowman, Senior Environmental Scientist (Specialist) by email at R1CEQARedding@wildlife.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

DocuSigned by:
Tina Bartlett
1D82ADE7303A474...

Tina Bartlett, Regional Manager
Northern Region

ec: Helen Bowman, California Department of Fish and Wildlife
R1CEQARedding@wildlife.ca.gov

State Clearing House
State.Clearinghouse@lci.ca.gov