Print From

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: _____

Project Title: Foster campus Connectivity Project

Lead Agency: California State Polytechnic University at Humboldt

Contact Name: Deirdre Clem

Email: Deirdre.Clem@humboldt.edu Phone Number: 707-826-5894

Project Location: Arcata, Humboldt
City *County*

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The California State Polytechnic University at Humboldt (Cal Poly Humboldt) proposes to develop and operate a parking lot and shuttle station (the project) at 2000 Foster Avenue (Assessor's Parcel Number 505-161-011). The project site is owned by the Board of Trustees of the California State University (CSU). The project would be developed in a single phase and would result in paving of the project site to support long-term student parking, improved access to the project site, provision of construction of a shuttle stops within the parking lot and bus stop at the intersection of 17th and Q Street, landscaping, lighting, and bioretention facilities to support on-site stormwater management. In addition, the project involves modification of the Humboldt State University 2004 Master Plan (Campus Master Plan) to remove the approved Parking Structure P from the main campus and include the project site as part of the Campus Master Plan.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

Impacts to aesthetic resources would be reduced to a less than significant impact with Mitigation Measure 3.1-4: Reduce Light Pollution from Exterior Lighting.
Impacts to biological resources would be reduced to a less than significant impact with Mitigation Measure 3.3-1: Conduct Biological Resources Environmental Awareness Training, Mitigation Measure 3.3-2: Conduct Special-Status Plant Surveys, Mitigation Measure 3.3-3: Install Temporary Fencing to Protect Environmentally Sensitive Habitat Areas, Mitigation Measure 3.3-4: Avoid Disturbance of Special-Status Reptiles and Amphibians, Mitigation Measure 3.3-5: Avoid Disturbance of Nesting Birds and Raptors, Mitigation Measure 3.3-6: Design Project to Avoid and Minimize Disturbances to State and Federally Protected Wetlands and Other Waters; Obtain Authorization for Fill and Required Permits; and Compensate for Unavoidable Degradation or Loss of Wetland Resources
Impacts to cultural resources would be mitigated to less than significant with Mitigation Measure 3.5-1: For All Ground-Disturbing Construction Activities, Halt Ground Disturbance Upon Discovery of Subsurface Archaeological Features
Impacts on noise would be reduced to a less than significant impact with Mitigation Measure 3.13-1: Implement Construction-Noise Reduction Measures
Impacts on tribal cultural resources would be reduced to a less than significant impact with Mitigation Measure 3.18-1: Unanticipated Discoveries of Potential Tribal Cultural Resources

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

Use of the project site
Potential to affect biological resources, including nesting bird and amphibians

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

California State University, Board of Trustees
California Division of State Architect
California Department of Fish and Wildlife
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board
City of Arcata