

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: _____

Project Title: Jamul Indian Village Land Disposal and Exchange

Lead Agency: California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW)

Contact Name: Glen M. Lubcke

Email: Glen.Lubcke@wildlife.ca.gov

Phone Number: (858) 204-8774

Project Location: Jamul

City

San Diego County

County

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The Proposed Project is a land exchange in unincorporated San Diego County between the Jamul Indian Village of California (Tribe) and the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW). CDFW proposes to dispose of the 1.1-acre Disposal Property, part of the Rancho Jamul Ecological Reserve (RJER), to the Tribe for future cemetery expansion. In exchange, the Tribe would exchange a 4.0-acre parcel to CDFW to be added to the Hollenbeck Canyon Wildlife Area (HCWA) for conservation. The project does not propose any development activities and future activities associated with the Disposal Property would be subject to applicable Federal, State, and local regulations.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

The Draft IS/ND found that the Project would have no significant environmental effects. All resource areas, including aesthetics, agriculture and forest resources, air quality, biological resources, cultural resources, energy, geology and soils, greenhouse gas emissions, hazards, hydrology, land use and planning, mineral resources, noise, population and housing, public services, recreation, transportation, tribal cultural resources, utilities and service systems, and wildfire were analyzed and determined to have either no impact or less than significant impacts without the need for mitigation.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

N/A

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
California Department of Fish and Wildlife
California Wildlife Conservation Board
State Lands Commission