



State of California – Natural Resources Agency  
DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND WILDLIFE  
Inland Deserts Region  
3602 Inland Empire Boulevard, Suite C-220  
Ontario, CA 91764  
[www.wildlife.ca.gov](http://www.wildlife.ca.gov)

*GAVIN NEWSOM, Governor*  
*CHARLTON H. BONHAM, Director*



August 29, 2025  
*Sent via email*

Damaris Abraham, Community Development Director  
City of Lake Elsinore Community Development Department  
130 South Main Street  
Lake Elsinore, CA 92530  
dabraham@lake-elsinore.org

Subject: Notice of Preparation of a Draft Environmental Impact Report  
Lake Elsinore General Plan Update, Zoning Code and Map Amendment,  
and Climate Action and Adaptation Plan  
State Clearinghouse No. 2025080060

Dear Damaris Abraham:

The California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) received a Notice of Preparation (NOP) of a Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR) from the City of Lake Elsinore Community Development Department (City) for the Lake Elsinore General Plan Update, Zoning Code and Map Amendment, and Climate Action and Adaptation Plan (Project) pursuant the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and CEQA Guidelines.<sup>1</sup>

Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments and recommendations regarding those activities involved in the Project that may affect California fish and wildlife. Likewise, we appreciate the opportunity to provide comments regarding those aspects of the Project that CDFW, by law, may be required to carry out or approve through the exercise of its own regulatory authority under the Fish and Game Code.

### **CDFW ROLE**

CDFW is California's Trustee Agency for fish and wildlife resources, and holds those resources in trust by statute for all the people of the State. (Fish & G. Code, §§ 711.7, subd. (a) & 1802; Pub. Resources Code, § 21070; CEQA Guidelines § 15386, subd. (a).) CDFW, in its trustee capacity, has jurisdiction over the conservation, protection, and management of fish, wildlife, native plants, and habitat necessary for biologically sustainable populations of those species. (*Id.*, § 1802.) Similarly, for purposes of CEQA, CDFW is charged by law to provide, as available, biological expertise during public

---

<sup>1</sup> CEQA is codified in the California Public Resources Code in section 21000 et seq. The "CEQA Guidelines" are found in Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations, commencing with section 15000.

agency environmental review efforts, focusing specifically on projects and related activities that have the potential to adversely affect fish and wildlife resources.

CDFW is also submitting comments as a Responsible Agency under CEQA (Pub. Resources Code, § 21069; CEQA Guidelines, § 15381.). CDFW expects that it may need to exercise regulatory authority as provided by the Fish and Game Code. As proposed, for example, the Project may be subject to CDFW's lake and streambed alteration regulatory authority. (Fish & G. Code, § 1600 et seq.) Likewise, to the extent implementation of the Project as proposed may result in "take" as defined by State law of any species protected under the California Endangered Species Act (CESA) (Fish & G. Code, § 2050 et seq.), the Project proponent may seek related take authorization as provided by the Fish and Game Code.

## **PROJECT DESCRIPTION SUMMARY**

The proposed Project includes a comprehensive General Plan Update, associated Zoning Map and Zoning Text Amendments, and a Climate Action and Adaptation Plan. The 2045 planning horizon for the Planning Area is estimated to result in increases of approximately 27,664 dwelling units and 16.1 million square feet of non-residential building area when compared to existing conditions.

The City of Lake Elsinore contains nearly 30,849 parcels encompassing almost 22,052.4 acres (excluding street rights-of-way). The Planning Area comprises a total of 115 square miles within western Riverside that encompasses all properties within the incorporated City limits, as well as unincorporated properties within the City's sphere of influence. The Sphere of Influence adds an additional 17,971.9 acres to the Planning Area.

The City of Lake Elsinore is located in southwest Riverside County and is bordered by the City of Wildomar to the south and east, the City of Menifee to the East, the City of Canyon Lake to the east and north, and unincorporated portions of Riverside County to the north, west and south.

The Project is city wide, and covers multiple Criteria Cells and their associated Cell Groups and subunits of the Western Riverside County Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan (MSHCP).

## **COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

CDFW offers the comments and recommendations below to assist the City in adequately identifying and/or mitigating the Project's significant, or potentially significant, direct and indirect impacts on fish and wildlife (biological) resources. The comments and recommendations are also offered to enable the CDFW to adequately review and comment on the proposed Project with respect to the Project's consistency with the MSHCP.

CDFW recognizes that the general plan EIR need not be as detailed as CEQA documents prepared for specific projects that may follow (CEQA Guidelines § 15146). CDFW also recognizes that the level of detail should be reflective of the level contained in the plan or plan element being considered (*Rio Vista Farm Bureau Center v. County of Solano* (1992) 5 Cal.App.4<sup>th</sup> 351). However, please note that the City cannot defer the analysis of significant effects of the general plan to later-tiered CEQA documents (*Stanislaus Natural Heritage Project v. County of Stanislaus* (1996) 48 Cal.App.4<sup>th</sup> 182).

CDFW recommends that the forthcoming DEIR address the following:

### **Assessment of Biological Resources**

Section 15125(c) of the CEQA Guidelines states that knowledge of the regional setting of a project is critical to the assessment of environmental impacts and that special emphasis should be placed on environmental resources that are rare or unique to the region. To enable CDFW staff to adequately review and comment on the Project, the DEIR should include a complete assessment of the flora and fauna within and adjacent to the Project footprint, with particular emphasis on identifying rare, threatened, endangered, and other sensitive species and their associated habitats.

CDFW recommends that the DEIR specifically include:

1. An assessment of the various habitat types located within the Project footprint, and a map that identifies the location of each habitat type. CDFW recommends that floristic, alliance- and/or association-based mapping and assessment be completed following *The Manual of California Vegetation*, second edition (Sawyer et al. 2009<sup>2</sup>). Adjoining habitat areas should also be included in this assessment where site activities could lead to direct or indirect impacts offsite. Habitat mapping at the alliance level will help establish baseline vegetation conditions.
2. A general biological inventory of the fish, amphibian, reptile, bird, and mammal species that are present or have the potential to be present within each habitat type onsite and within adjacent areas that could be affected by the Project. CDFW's California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDDB) in Sacramento should be contacted at (916) 322-2493 or [CNDDDB@wildlife.ca.gov](mailto:CNDDDB@wildlife.ca.gov) or <https://wildlife.ca.gov/Data/CNDDDB/Maps-and-Data> to obtain current information on any previously reported sensitive species and habitat, including Significant Natural

---

<sup>2</sup> Sawyer, J. O., T. Keeler-Wolf, and J. M. Evens. 2009. A manual of California Vegetation, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. California Native Plant Society Press, Sacramento, California. <http://vegetation.cnps.org/>

Areas identified under Chapter 12 of the Fish and Game Code, in the vicinity of the proposed Project.

CDFW's CNDDDB is not exhaustive in terms of the data it houses, nor is it an absence database. CDFW recommends that it be used as a starting point in gathering information about the *potential presence* of species within the general area of the Project site.

3. A complete, *recent* inventory of rare, threatened, endangered, and other sensitive species located within the Project footprint and within offsite areas with the potential to be affected, including California Species of Special Concern (CSSC) and California Fully Protected Species (Fish & G. Code, § 3511). Species to be addressed should include all those which meet the CEQA definition (CEQA Guidelines § 15380). The inventory should address seasonal variations in use of the Project area and should not be limited to resident species. Focused species-specific/MSHCP surveys, completed by a qualified biologist and conducted at the appropriate time of year and time of day when the sensitive species are active or otherwise identifiable, are required. Acceptable species-specific survey procedures should be developed in consultation with CDFW and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, where necessary. Note that CDFW generally considers biological field assessments for wildlife to be valid for a one-year period, and assessments for rare plants may be considered valid for a period of up to three years. Some aspects of the proposed Project may warrant periodic updated surveys for certain sensitive taxa, particularly if the Project is proposed to occur over a protracted time frame, or in phases, or if surveys are completed during periods of drought.
4. A thorough, recent, floristic-based assessment of special status plants and natural communities, following CDFW's Protocols for Surveying and Evaluating Impacts to Special Status Native Plant Populations and Natural Communities (CDFW 2018<sup>3</sup>).
5. Information on the regional setting that is critical to an assessment of environmental impacts, with special emphasis on resources that are rare or unique to the region (CEQA Guidelines § 15125[c]).
6. A full accounting of all open space and mitigation/conservation lands within and adjacent to the Project.

---

<sup>3</sup> CDFW, 2018. Protocols for Surveying and Evaluating Impacts to Special Status Native Plant Populations and Sensitive Natural Communities, State of California, California Natural Resources Agency, Department of Fish and Wildlife: March 20, 2018 (<https://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/FileHandler.ashx?DocumentID=18959&inline>)

## **Analysis of Direct, Indirect, and Cumulative Impacts to Biological Resources**

The DEIR should provide a thorough discussion of the direct, indirect, and cumulative impacts expected to adversely affect biological resources as a result of the Project (including the plan's land use designations, policies and programs). To ensure that Project impacts to biological resources are fully analyzed, the following information should be included in the DEIR:

1. A discussion of potential impacts from lighting, noise, human activity (e.g., recreation), defensible space, and wildlife-human interactions created by zoning of development projects or other Project activities adjacent to natural areas, exotic and/or invasive species, and drainage. The latter subject should address Project-related changes on drainage patterns and water quality within, upstream, and downstream of the Project site, including: volume, velocity, and frequency of existing and post-Project surface flows; polluted runoff; soil erosion and/or sedimentation in streams and water bodies; and post-Project fate of runoff from the Project site.
2. A discussion of potential indirect Project impacts on biological resources, including resources in areas adjacent to the Project footprint, such as nearby public lands (e.g., National Forests, State Parks, etc.), open space, adjacent natural habitats, riparian ecosystems, wildlife corridors, and any designated and/or proposed reserve or mitigation lands (e.g., preserved lands associated with a Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other conserved lands).
3. An evaluation of impacts to on-site and adjacent open space lands from both the construction of the Project and any long-term operational and maintenance needs.
4. A cumulative effects analysis developed as described under CEQA Guidelines section 15130. The DEIR should analyze the cumulative effects of the plan's land use designations, policies, and programs on the environment. Please include all potential direct and indirect Project related impacts to riparian areas, wetlands, vernal pools, alluvial fan habitats, wildlife corridors or wildlife movement areas, aquatic habitats, sensitive species and other sensitive habitats, open lands, open space, and adjacent natural habitats in the cumulative effects analysis. General and specific plans, as well as past, present, and anticipated future projects, should be analyzed relative to their impacts on similar plant communities and wildlife habitats.

## **Alternatives Analysis**

CDFW recommends the DEIR describe and analyze a range of reasonable alternatives to the Project that are potentially feasible, would "feasibly attain most of the basic objectives of the Project," and would avoid or substantially lessen any of the Project's significant effects (CEQA Guidelines § 15126.6[a]). The alternatives analysis should also evaluate a "no project" alternative (CEQA Guidelines § 15126.6[e]). The no-Project alternative should evaluate how the changing environment, such as climate change and drought,

may affect the community if a new or revised general plan were not adopted.

## **Objectives**

Section 15124(b) of the CEQA Guidelines requires that the project description contain a clear statement of the project objectives. CDFW recommends that the DEIR should include an objective to demonstrate consistency with the MSHCP, including the biological issues and considerations for the Elsinore Plan Area (pages 3-133 to 3-166 of the MSHCP) and its six associated subunits (Estelle Mountain/Indian Canyon, Alberhill, Elsinore, Sedco Hills, Ramsgate, and Steele Park). These objectives include, but are not limited to: maintaining Core and Linkage Habitat for mountain lion, bobcat, Stephen's kangaroo rat, Quino checkerspot butterfly, Western pond turtle, and Riverside fairy shrimp; conserving wetlands including Temescal Wash, Collier Marsh, Alberhill Creek, Lake Elsinore and the floodplain east of Lake Elsinore (including marsh Habitats), lower San Jacinto River, and Wasson Creek; conserving clay soils supporting sensitive plants such as Munz's onion, many-stemmed dudleya, small-flowered morning glory and Palmer's grappling hook; and conserving foraging Habitat for raptors, providing a sage scrub-grassland ecotone.

## **Mitigation Measures for Project Impacts to Biological Resources**

The DEIR should identify mitigation measures and alternatives that are appropriate and adequate to avoid or minimize potential impacts, to the extent feasible. The Lead Agency should assess all direct, indirect, and cumulative impacts that are expected to occur as a result of the implementation of the Project and its long-term operation and maintenance. When proposing measures to avoid, minimize, or mitigate impacts, CDFW recommends consideration of the following:

1. *Fully Protected Species*: Fully protected species may not be taken or possessed at any time (with the exception of certain projects set forth in SB 147, which was passed on July 10, 2023). Project activities described in the DEIR should be designed to completely avoid any fully protected species that have the potential to be present within or adjacent to the Project area. CDFW also recommends that the DEIR fully analyze potential adverse impacts to fully protected species due to habitat modification, loss of foraging habitat, and/or interruption of migratory and breeding behaviors. CDFW recommends that the Lead Agency include in the analysis how appropriate avoidance, minimization, and mitigation measures will reduce indirect impacts to fully protected species.
2. *Sensitive Plant Communities*: CDFW considers sensitive plant communities to be imperiled habitats having both local and regional significance. Plant communities, alliances, and associations with a statewide ranking of S-1, S-2, S-3, and S-4 should be considered sensitive and declining at the local and regional level. These ranks can

be obtained by querying the CNDDDB and are included in *The Manual of California Vegetation* (Sawyer et al. 2009). The DEIR should include measures to fully avoid and otherwise protect sensitive plant communities from Project-related direct and indirect impacts.

3. *California Species of Special Concern (CSSC)*: CSSC status applies to animals generally not listed under the federal Endangered Species Act or the CESA, but which nonetheless are declining at a rate that could result in listing, or historically occurred in low numbers and known threats to their persistence currently exist. CSSCs should be considered during the environmental review process. CSSC that have the potential or have been documented to occur within or adjacent to the Project area, including, but not limited to: California glossy snake, loggerhead shrike, Los Angeles pocket mouse, red-diamond rattlesnake, and western mastiff bat.
4. *Mitigation*: CDFW considers adverse Project-related impacts to sensitive species and habitats to be significant to both local and regional ecosystems, and the DEIR should include mitigation measures for adverse Project-related impacts to these resources. Mitigation measures should emphasize avoidance and reduction of Project impacts. For unavoidable impacts, onsite habitat restoration and/or enhancement, and preservation should be evaluated and discussed in detail. Where habitat preservation is not available onsite, offsite land acquisition, management, and preservation should be evaluated and discussed in detail.

The DEIR should include measures to perpetually protect the targeted habitat values within mitigation areas from direct and indirect adverse impacts in order to meet mitigation objectives to offset Project-induced qualitative and quantitative losses of biological values. Specific issues that should be addressed include restrictions on access, proposed land dedications, long-term monitoring and management programs, control of illegal dumping, water pollution, increased human intrusion, etc.

If sensitive species and/or their habitat may be impacted from the Project, CDFW recommends the inclusion of specific mitigation in the DEIR. CEQA Guidelines section 15126.4, subdivision (a)(1)(8) states that formulation of feasible mitigation measures should not be deferred until some future date. The Court of Appeal in *San Joaquin Raptor Rescue Center v. County of Merced* (2007) 149 Cal.App.4th 645 struck down mitigation measures which required formulating management plans developed in consultation with State and Federal wildlife agencies after Project approval. Courts have also repeatedly not supported conclusions that impacts are mitigable when essential studies, and therefore impact assessments, are incomplete (*Sundstrom v. County of Mendocino* (1988) 202 Cal. App. 3d. 296; *Gentry v. County of Murrieta* (1995) 36 Cal. App. 4th 1359; *Endangered Habitat League, Inc. v. County of Orange* (2005) 131 Cal. App. 4th 777).

CDFW recommends that the DEIR specify mitigation that is roughly proportional to the level of impacts, in accordance with the provisions of CEQA (CEQA Guidelines, §§

15126.4(a)(4)(B), 15064, 15065, and 16355). The mitigation should provide long-term conservation value for the suite of species and habitat being impacted by the Project. Furthermore, in order for mitigation measures to be effective, they need to be specific, enforceable, and feasible actions that will improve environmental conditions.

5. *Habitat Revegetation/Restoration Plans*: Plans for restoration and revegetation should be prepared by persons with expertise in southern California ecosystems and native plant restoration techniques. Plans should identify the assumptions used to develop the proposed restoration strategy. Each plan should include, at a minimum: (a) the location of restoration sites and assessment of appropriate reference sites; (b) the plant species to be used, sources of local propagules, container sizes, and seeding rates; (c) a schematic depicting the mitigation area; (d) a local seed and cuttings and planting schedule; (e) a description of the irrigation methodology; (f) measures to control exotic vegetation on site; (g) specific success criteria; (h) a detailed monitoring program; (i) contingency measures should the success criteria not be met; and (j) identification of the party responsible for meeting the success criteria and providing for conservation of the mitigation site in perpetuity. Monitoring of restoration areas should extend across a sufficient time frame to ensure that the new habitat is established, self-sustaining, and capable of surviving drought.

CDFW recommends that local onsite propagules from the Project area and nearby vicinity be collected and used for restoration purposes. Onsite seed collection should be initiated in advance of Project impacts in order to accumulate sufficient propagule material for subsequent use in future years. Onsite vegetation mapping at the alliance and/or association level should be used to develop appropriate restoration goals and local plant palettes. Reference areas should be identified to help guide restoration efforts. Specific restoration plans should be developed for various Project components as appropriate.

Restoration objectives should include protecting special habitat elements or re-creating them in areas affected by the Project; examples could include retention of woody material, logs, snags, rocks, and brush piles.

6. *Nesting Birds and Migratory Bird Treaty Act*: Please note that it is the Project proponent's responsibility to comply with all applicable laws related to nesting birds and birds of prey. Fish and Game Code sections 3503, 3503.5, and 3513 afford protective measures as follows: Fish and Game Code section 3503 makes it unlawful to take, possess, or needlessly destroy the nest or eggs of any bird, except as otherwise provided by Fish and Game Code or any regulation made pursuant thereto. Fish and Game Code section 3503.5 makes it unlawful to take, possess, or destroy any birds in the orders Falconiformes or Strigiformes (birds-of-prey) to take, possess, or destroy the nest or eggs of any such bird except as otherwise provided by Fish and Game Code or any regulation adopted pursuant thereto. Fish and Game Code section 3513 makes it unlawful to take or possess any migratory nongame bird as designated in the Migratory Bird Treaty Act or any part of such migratory nongame bird except as

provided by rules and regulations adopted by the Secretary of the Interior under provisions of the Migratory Treaty Act.

CDFW recommends that the DEIR include the results of avian surveys, as well as specific avoidance and minimization measures to ensure that impacts to nesting birds do not occur. Project-specific avoidance and minimization measures may include, but not be limited to: Project phasing and timing, monitoring of Project-related noise (where applicable), sound walls, and buffers, where appropriate. The DEIR should also include specific avoidance and minimization measures that will be implemented should a nest be located within the Project site. If pre-construction surveys are proposed in the DEIR, the CDFW recommends that they be required no more than three (3) days prior to vegetation clearing or ground disturbance activities, as instances of nesting could be missed if surveys are conducted sooner.

7. *Moving out of Harm's Way*: To avoid direct mortality, CDFW recommends that the lead agency condition the DEIR to require that a CDFW-approved qualified biologist be retained to be onsite prior to and during all ground- and habitat-disturbing activities to move out of harm's way special status species or other wildlife of low or limited mobility that would otherwise be injured or killed from Project-related activities. Movement of wildlife out of harm's way should be limited to only those individuals that would otherwise be injured or killed, and individuals should be moved only as far as necessary to ensure their safety (i.e., CDFW does not recommend relocation to other areas). Furthermore, it should be noted that the temporary relocation of onsite wildlife does not constitute effective mitigation for the purposes of offsetting Project impacts associated with habitat loss.
8. *Translocation of Species*: CDFW generally does not support the use of relocation, salvage, and/or transplantation as mitigation for impacts to rare, threatened, or endangered species as studies have shown that these efforts are experimental in nature and largely unsuccessful.

### **California Endangered Species Act**

CDFW is responsible for ensuring appropriate conservation of fish and wildlife resources including threatened, endangered, and/or candidate plant and animal species, pursuant to CESA. CDFW recommends that a CESA Incidental Take Permit (ITP) be obtained if the Project has the potential to result in "take" (California Fish and Game Code Section 86 defines "take" as "hunt, pursue, catch, capture, or kill, or attempt to hunt, pursue, catch, capture, or kill") of State-listed CESA species, either through construction or over the life of the Project. It is the policy of CESA to conserve, protect, enhance, and restore State-listed CESA species and their habitats.

CDFW encourages early consultation, as significant modification to the proposed Project and avoidance, minimization, and mitigation measures may be necessary to obtain a CESA ITP. The California Fish and Game Code requires that CDFW comply with CEQA

for issuance of a CESA ITP. CDFW therefore recommends that the DEIR addresses all Project impacts to listed species and specifies a mitigation monitoring and reporting program that will meet the requirements of CESA.

### *Crotch's Bumble Bee*

The California Fish and Game Commission accepted a petition to list Crotch's bumble bee as endangered under CESA, determining the listing "may be warranted" and advancing the species to the candidacy stage of the CESA listing process. Crotch's bumble bee is granted full protection of a threatened species under CESA. Take of any endangered, threatened, candidate species that results from the Project is prohibited, except as authorized by State law (Fish & G. Code, §§ 86, 2062, 2067, 2068, 2080, 2085; Cal. Code Regs., tit. 14, § 786.9). In addition, Crotch's bumble bee has a State ranking of S1/S2. This means that the Crotch's bumble bee is considered critically imperiled or imperiled and is extremely rare (often five or fewer populations). Crotch's bumble bee is also listed as an invertebrate of conservation priority under the [Terrestrial and Vernal Pool Invertebrates of Conservation Priority](#) (CDFW 2017).

### **Western Riverside County Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan**

CDFW issued Natural Community Conservation Plan Approval and Take Authorization for the Western Riverside County MSHCP per Section 2800, *et seq.*, of the California Fish and Game Code on June 22, 2004. The MSHCP establishes a multiple species conservation program to minimize and mitigate habitat loss and provides for the incidental take of covered species in association with activities covered under the permit.

Compliance with approved habitat plans, such as the MSHCP, is discussed in CEQA. Specifically, Section 15125(d) of the CEQA Guidelines requires that the CEQA document discuss any inconsistencies between a proposed Project and applicable general plans and regional plans, including habitat conservation plans and natural community conservation plans. An assessment of the impacts to the MSHCP as a result of this Project is necessary to address CEQA requirements. To obtain additional information regarding the MSHCP please go to: <https://www.wrc-rca.org/>.

The proposed Project occurs within the MSHCP area and is subject to the provisions and policies of the MSHCP. To be considered a covered activity, Permittees need to demonstrate that proposed actions are consistent with the MSHCP, the Permits, and the Implementing Agreement. The City is the Lead Agency and is signatory to the Implementing Agreement of the MSHCP. To demonstrate consistency with the MSHCP, as part of the CEQA review, the City shall ensure the Project implements the following:

1. Pays Local Development Mitigation Fees and other relevant fees as set forth in Section 8.5 of the MSHCP.

2. Demonstrates compliance with the HANS process (MSHCP Section 6.1.1) or equivalent process to ensure application of the Criteria and thus, satisfaction of the local acquisition obligation.
3. Demonstrates compliance with the policies for 1) the Protection of Species Associated with Riparian/Riverine Areas and Vernal Pools, set forth in Section 6.1.2 of the MSHCP; 2) the policies for the Protection of Narrow Endemic Plant Species set forth in Section 6.1.3 of the MSHCP; 3) compliance with the Urban/Wildlands Interface Guidelines as set forth in Section 6.1.4 of the MSHCP; 4) the policies set forth in Section 6.3.2 and associated vegetation survey requirements identified in Section 6.3.1; and 5) compliance with the Best Management Practices and the siting, construction, design, operation and maintenance guidelines as set forth in Section 7.0 and Appendix C of the MSHCP.

Following this sequential identification of the relationship of the Project to the MSHCP the DEIR should then include an in-depth discussion of the Project in the context of these aforementioned elements, and as mentioned, examine how the Project might contribute to, or conflict with, the conservation criteria of the MSHCP. Amending the Zoning Areas within Criteria Cells have potential to impact that lands that come into conservation by increasing the cost and cause harm to the MSHCP reserve design and implementation of the MSHCP. Thus, the DEIR should include an analysis that would identify if the zone changes within the new general plan update would have a significant impact on the MSHCP Conservation Area assembly.

The Project is located within the MSHCP Criteria Area and therefore, pursuant to the Implementing Agreement public and private projects are expected to be designed and implemented in accordance with the Criteria for each Area Plan and all other MSHCP requirements as set forth in the MSHCP and in Section 13.0 of the Implementing Agreement. Section 13.2 of the Implementing Agreement identifies that City obligations under the MSHCP and the Implementing Agreement include, but are not limited to: the amendment of general plans as appropriate, to implement the requirements and to fulfill the purposes of the Permits, the MSHCP, and the Implementing Agreement for private and public development projects (including siting, construction, design, operation and maintenance guidelines as set forth in Section 7.0 and Appendix C of the MSHCP); and taking all necessary and appropriate actions, following applicable land use permit enforcement procedures and practices, to enforce the terms of the project approvals for public and private projects, including compliance with the MSHCP, the Permits, and the Implementing Agreement. The City is also obligated to notify the Western Riverside County Regional Conservation Authority (RCA), through the Joint Project/Acquisition Review Process (JPR) set forth in Section 6.6.2 of the MSHCP or proposed discretionary Projects within the Criteria Area and participate in any further requirements imposed by MSHCP Section 6.6.2.

The City is also obligated to notify the Western Riverside County Regional Conservation Authority (RCA), through the Joint Project/Acquisition Review Process (JPR) set forth in

Section 6.6.2 of the MSHCP or proposed discretionary Projects within the Criteria Area and participate in any further requirements imposed by MSHCP Section 6.6.2.

To examine how the Project might contribute to, or conflict with, assembly of the MSHCP Conservation Area consistent with the reserve configuration requirements, CDFW recommends that the DEIR identify the specific Area Plan and Area Plan Subunit within which the Project is located, and the associated Planning Species and Biological Issues and Considerations that may apply to the Project, further discussed below. The DEIR should also discuss the specific Criteria for Cells within which the Project is located and identify the associated Core(s) and/or Linkage(s) (Proposed Linkages 1, 2, 3, 7, and 8; Proposed Constrained Linkages 5 and 6; Proposed Core 1; and Proposed Extension of Existing Cores 2 and 3). Next, the DEIR should identify the vegetation communities toward which conservation should be directed along with the connectivity requirements. Finally, the DEIR should examine the Project with respect to the percentage conservation portion within all Criteria Cells citywide.

#### Covered Activities

CDFW also recommends that the City demonstrate how the Project is consistent with Covered Activities/Allowable Uses (Section 7.0) of the MSHCP.

#### *Roads*

For projects proposed inside the MSHCP Criteria Area, the DEIR should include a discussion of the Project and its consistency with Covered Activities (Section 7.3 of the MSHCP) and specifically Existing Roads Within the Criteria Area (Section 7.3.4) and Planned Roads Within the Criteria Area (7.3.5). Where maintenance of existing roads within the Criteria Area is proposed, CDFW recommends that the Lead Agency reference MSHCP Section 7.3.4 and Table 7-3, which provides a summary of the existing roads permitted to remain in the MSHCP Criteria Area. Planned roads within the MSHCP Criteria Area are discussed in MSHCP Section 7.3.5 and identified on Figure 7-1. Please note that roadways other than those identified in Section 7.3.5 of the MSHCP are not covered without an amendment to the MSHCP in accordance with the procedures described in MSHCP Section 6.10. CDFW recommends that the City review MSHCP Section 7.3.5 and include in the DEIR information that demonstrates that Project-related roads are MSHCP covered activities. The DEIR should also discuss design and siting information for all proposed roads to ensure that the roads are sited, designed, and constructed in a manner consistent with MSHCP conservation objectives.

#### *Allowable Uses in MSHCP Conservation Areas - Trails*

CDFW recommends that the DEIR also include a discussion of the Project and MSHCP Allowable Uses (Section 7.4) and Conditionally Compatible Uses (Section 7.4.2) in MSHCP Conservation Area such as trails. For example, if trails are proposed as part of the Project, the DEIR should discuss whether the trail is identified on Figure 7-4, and

provide details regarding trail construction (siting and design), and operations and maintenance that demonstrate that the proposed trail is consistent with MSHCP Section 7.4.

## **ADDITIONAL COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

### *Native Landscaping*

To ameliorate the water demands of this Project, CDFW recommends incorporation of water-wise concepts in Project landscape design plans. In particular, CDFW recommends xeriscaping with locally native California species, and installing water-efficient and targeted irrigation systems (such as drip irrigation). Native plants support butterflies, birds, reptiles, amphibians, small mammals, bees, and other pollinators that evolved with those plants, more information on native plants suitable for the Project location and nearby nurseries is available at CALSCAPE: <https://calscape.org/>. Local water agencies/districts and resource conservation districts in your area may be able to provide information on plant nurseries that carry locally native species, and some facilities display drought-tolerant locally native species demonstration gardens (for example the Riverside-Corona Resource Conservation District in Riverside). Information on drought-tolerant landscaping and water-efficient irrigation systems is available on California's Save our Water website: <https://saveourwater.com/>.

## **ENVIRONMENTAL DATA**

CEQA requires that information developed in environmental impact reports and negative declarations be incorporated into a database which may be used to make subsequent or supplemental environmental determinations. (Pub. Resources Code, § 21003, subd. (e).) Accordingly, please report any special status species and natural communities detected during Project surveys to the California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDDB). Information can be submitted online or via completion of the CNDDDB field survey form at the following link: <https://wildlife.ca.gov/Data/CNDDDB/Submitting-Data>. The types of information reported to CNDDDB can be found at the following link: <https://www.wildlife.ca.gov/Data/CNDDDB/Plants-and-Animals>.

## **ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT FILING FEES**

The Project, as proposed, would have an impact on fish and/or wildlife, and assessment of filing fees is necessary. Fees are payable upon filing of the Notice of Determination by the Lead Agency and serve to help defray the cost of environmental review by CDFW. Payment of the fee is required in order for the underlying Project approval to be operative, vested, and final. (Cal. Code Regs, tit. 14, § 753.5; Fish & G. Code, § 711.4; Pub. Resources Code, § 21089.).

Damaris Abraham, Community Development Director  
City of Lake Elsinore Community Development Department  
August 29, 2025  
Page 14 of 14

## CONCLUSION

CDFW appreciates the opportunity to comment on the NOP of a DEIR for the Lake Elsinore General Plan Update, Zoning Code and Map Amendment, and Climate Action and Adaptation Plan Project (SCH No. 2025080060) and recommends that the City address the CDFW's comments and concerns in the forthcoming DEIR. Questions regarding this letter or further coordination should be directed to Alta Vasquez, Scientific Aid, at [alta.vasquez@wildlife.ca.gov](mailto:alta.vasquez@wildlife.ca.gov).

Sincerely,

DocuSigned by:  
  
84F92FFEEFD24C8...

Kim Freeburn  
Environmental Program Manager

ec:

Carly Beck, Senior Environmental Scientist Supervisor  
Inland Deserts Region  
[Carly.Beck@wildlife.ca.gov](mailto:Carly.Beck@wildlife.ca.gov)

Office of Planning and Research, State Clearinghouse, Sacramento  
[state.clearinghouse@lci.ca.gov](mailto:state.clearinghouse@lci.ca.gov)

Harry Sandoval (Western Riverside County Regional Conservation Authority)  
Director of Reserve Management and Monitoring  
[hsandoval@rctc.org](mailto:hsandoval@rctc.org)