July 23, 2025 Sent via email

Kinika Hesterly Riverside County Department of Waste Resources 14310 Frederick Street Moreno Valley, CA 92553 khesterl@rivco.org

Subject: Notice of Preparation of a Draft Environmental Impact Report

Lamb Canyon Landfill Phase 3 Expansion Project

State Clearinghouse No. 2025061126

Dear Kinika Hesterly:

The California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) received a Notice of Preparation (NOP) of a Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR) from the Riverside County Department of Waste Resources for the Lamb Canyon Landfill Phase 3 Expansion Project (Project) pursuant the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and CEQA Guidelines.¹

Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments and recommendations regarding those activities involved in the Project that may affect California fish and wildlife. Likewise, we appreciate the opportunity to provide comments regarding those aspects of the Project that CDFW, by law, may be required to carry out or approve through the exercise of its own regulatory authority under the Fish and Game Code.

CDFW ROLE

CDFW is California's Trustee Agency for fish and wildlife resources, and holds those resources in trust by statute for all the people of the State. (Fish & G. Code, §§ 711.7, subd. (a) & 1802; Pub. Resources Code, § 21070; CEQA Guidelines § 15386, subd. (a).) CDFW, in its trustee capacity, has jurisdiction over the conservation, protection, and management of fish, wildlife, native plants, and habitat necessary for biologically sustainable populations of those species. (*Id.*, § 1802.) Similarly, for purposes of CEQA, CDFW is charged by law to provide, as available, biological expertise during public

.

¹ CEQA is codified in the California Public Resources Code in section 21000 et seq. The "CEQA Guidelines" are found in Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations, commencing with section 15000.

Kinika Hesterly Riverside County Department of Waste Resources July 23, 2025 Page 2 of 18

agency environmental review efforts, focusing specifically on projects and related activities that have the potential to adversely affect fish and wildlife resources.

CDFW is also submitting comments as a Responsible Agency under CEQA (Pub. Resources Code, § 21069; CEQA Guidelines, § 15381.). CDFW expects that it may need to exercise regulatory authority as provided by the Fish and Game Code. As proposed, for example, the Project may be subject to CDFW's lake and streambed alteration regulatory authority. (Fish & G. Code, § 1600 et seq.) Likewise, to the extent implementation of the Project as proposed may result in "take" as defined by State law of any species protected under the California Endangered Species Act (CESA) (Fish & G. Code, § 2050 et seq.), the Project proponent may seek related take authorization as provided by the Fish and Game Code.

PROJECT LOCATION

The proposed Project is located at 16411 Lamb Canyon Road, Beaumont, CA 92223 (State Route 79), in unincorporated land within Riverside County, south of Interstate 10 and city of Beaumont along State Route 79.

The Project is in Criteria Cells 1600, 1601, 1697, 1698, 1790, and 1795; Cell Groups B, E, and F; in the Subunit Portrero/Badlands and Gilman Springs/Southern Bandlands of the Western Riverside County Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan (MSHCP).

PROJECT DESCRIPTION SUMMARY

The Project involves the expansion of the Lamb Canyon Landfill (LCL) to increase permitted disturbance area by 180.5 acres, from 703.4 to 883.9 acres, and expand the disposal footprint by 357.6 acres, from 144.6 to 502.2 acres (Proposed Project). This will provide approximately 50 years of additional landfill capacity to the region. An expansion of the waste disposal footprint and operation, and other landfill activities will continue to occur including -

- Soil Excavation: Each phase of landfill development would require soil excavation from the canyon floor and slopes to create the design airspace for refuse placement and foundation for liner construction. The Project design is expected to generate excavated soil with a portion of it to be used for daily cover throughout the life of the landfill, and another portion to be used for final closure after the landfill ceases operation, and the remaining soil would be stockpiled.
- Soil Stockpiling: Stockpile areas would be used to store excavated material that
 may be used as engineered fill or daily landfill cover over the current and future
 landfill areas.
- Retention and Sedimentation Basins: The Project area will implement fiber rolls, check dams, concrete v-ditches, sedimentation basins, or other water quality

Kinika Hesterly Riverside County Department of Waste Resources July 23, 2025 Page 3 of 18

basins as methods to reduce the velocity of water runoff as well as impacts to water quality.

- Drainage Control: Protection against runoff from precipitation would be provided by maintaining drainage grade on terraces and construction of berms and drains that divert runoff from the landfill into a water quality basin rather than the San Jacinto Valley. Silt fences, sandbags, rumble rocks, gravel/rock entrances, sediment traps, and fiber rolls, would also be available for use as necessary to provide erosion control. During wet weather conditions, landfill operations would relocate the disposal pad to the Wet Weather pad. The surface runoff from the Wet Weather pad would be diverted into a percolation basin. Any surface runoff accumulated in the percolation basin would be allowed to percolate through the landfill's intermediate cover and into the landfill unit. No ponding would be allowed for more than 48 hours within the percolation basin. Wastewater remaining in the basin after 48 hours would either be used to moisture condition the static food compost piles to achieve the necessary optimal moisture content and temperature for composting or be used as dust control within the lined portions of the landfill.
- Flare Systems and Energy Recovery: Flare systems are currently utilized as the
 primary treatment method to burn off excess landfill gas (LFG), minimizing
 environmental impact by preventing the release of methane into the atmosphere.
 Recent energy recovery technologies have increased the feasibility for beneficial
 use of LFG as a sustainable fuel source to generate renewable natural gas or
 electric power.
- Waste Recycling and Organics Processing: The LCL currently accepts greenwaste (processed green material) and processed construction and demolition (C&D) waste for beneficial reuse as erosion prevention on fill slope surfaces and alternative daily cover.
- Household Hazardous Waste Management and Collection: The existing Waste Recycling Program at LCL currently handles, collects, stores, and ships out universal hazardous waste (such as universal waste electronic devices, batteries, mercury switches, electrical ballasts, bulbs, etc.)
- Landfill Gas Monitoring and Management: The landfill gas (LFG) system consists of an extensive network of horizontal and vertical gas collection wells, multi-level subterranean gas monitoring probes, a blower that applies negative pressure to collect LFG from the wells, and flare stations that combust the LFG. As additional refuse is placed and the landfill footprint expands, the LFG system would be expanded to maintain the integrity of the system. Although not a physical part of the LFG system, the composite bottom and slope liner system also serves as a gas barrier restricting the downward migration of LFG and condensate into the groundwater table and lateral migration of LFG beyond the refuse footprint.

Kinika Hesterly Riverside County Department of Waste Resources July 23, 2025 Page 4 of 18

Groundwater/Leachate Monitoring and Management: Additional groundwater
monitoring wells would be added to the groundwater monitoring system with the
proposed landfill development. The Project will also add additional leachate
collection and removal systems (LRCS) to collect and drain leachate. Leachate
refers to a liquid that is the result of percolation of water (e.g. rain) through solid
waste or from decomposition of or release of liquids from the waste itself. The
stored leachate is periodically pumped out, tested, and treated, as necessary. If it
is determined not to be harmful to people and the environment, leachate is
commonly used for dust control at the landfill.

Lastly, the Project will also include the development of a truck scale/fee-booth facility, access road improvements, materials storage and equipment parking, and electric vehicle charging stations.

COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

CDFW offers the comments and recommendations below to assist the Riverside County Department of Waste Resources in adequately identifying and/or mitigating the Project's significant, or potentially significant, direct and indirect impacts on fish and wildlife (biological) resources. The comments and recommendations are also offered to enable the CDFW to adequately review and comment on the proposed Project with respect to the Project's consistency with the MSHCP.

CDFW recommends that the forthcoming DEIR address the following:

Assessment of Biological Resources

Section 15125(c) of the CEQA Guidelines states that knowledge of the regional setting of a project is critical to the assessment of environmental impacts and that special emphasis should be placed on environmental resources that are rare or unique to the region. To enable CDFW staff to adequately review and comment on the Project, the DEIR should include a complete assessment of the flora and fauna within and adjacent to the Project footprint, with particular emphasis on identifying rare, threatened, endangered, and other sensitive species and their associated habitats.

CDFW recommends that the DEIR specifically include:

 An assessment of the various habitat types located within the Project footprint, and a map that identifies the location of each habitat type. CDFW recommends that floristic, alliance- and/or association-based mapping and assessment be completed following Kinika Hesterly Riverside County Department of Waste Resources July 23, 2025 Page 5 of 18

The Manual of California Vegetation, second edition (Sawyer et al. 2009²). Adjoining habitat areas should also be included in this assessment where site activities could lead to direct or indirect impacts offsite. Habitat mapping at the alliance level will help establish baseline vegetation conditions.

2. A general biological inventory of the fish, amphibian, reptile, bird, and mammal species that are present or have the potential to be present within each habitat type onsite and within adjacent areas that could be affected by the Project. CDFW's California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDB) in Sacramento should be contacted at (916) 322-2493 or CNDDB@wildlife.ca.gov or https://wildlife.ca.gov/Data/CNDDB/Maps-and-Data to obtain current information on any previously reported sensitive species and habitat, including Significant Natural Areas identified under Chapter 12 of the Fish and Game Code, in the vicinity of the proposed Project.

CDFW's CNDDB is not exhaustive in terms of the data it houses, nor is it an absence database. CDFW recommends that it be used as a starting point in gathering information about the *potential presence* of species within the general area of the Project site.

3. A complete, recent inventory of rare, threatened, endangered, and other sensitive species located within the Project footprint and within offsite areas with the potential to be affected, including California Species of Special Concern (CSSC) and California Fully Protected Species (Fish & G. Code, § 3511). Species to be addressed should include all those which meet the CEQA definition (CEQA Guidelines § 15380). The inventory should address seasonal variations in use of the Project area and should not be limited to resident species. Focused species-specific/MSHCP surveys, completed by a qualified biologist and conducted at the appropriate time of year and time of day when the sensitive species are active or otherwise identifiable, are required. Acceptable species-specific survey procedures should be developed in consultation with CDFW and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, where necessary. Note that CDFW generally considers biological field assessments for wildlife to be valid for a one-year period, and assessments for rare plants may be considered valid for a period of up to three years. Some aspects of the proposed Project may warrant periodic updated surveys for certain sensitive taxa, particularly if the Project is proposed to occur over a protracted time frame, or in phases, or if surveys are completed during periods of drought.

² Sawyer, J. O., T. Keeler-Wolf, and J. M. Evens. 2009. A manual of California Vegetation, 2nd ed. California Native Plant Society Press, Sacramento, California. http://vegetation.cnps.org/

Kinika Hesterly Riverside County Department of Waste Resources July 23, 2025 Page 6 of 18

- 4. A thorough, recent, floristic-based assessment of special status plants and natural communities, following CDFW's Protocols for Surveying and Evaluating Impacts to Special Status Native Plant Populations and Natural Communities (CDFW 2018^{3).}
- 5. Information on the regional setting that is critical to an assessment of environmental impacts, with special emphasis on resources that are rare or unique to the region (CEQA Guidelines § 15125[c]).
- 6. A full accounting of all open space and mitigation/conservation lands within and adjacent to the Project.

Analysis of Direct, Indirect, and Cumulative Impacts to Biological Resources

The DEIR should provide a thorough discussion of the direct, indirect, and cumulative impacts expected to adversely affect biological resources as a result of the Project. To ensure that Project impacts to biological resources are fully analyzed, the following information should be included in the DEIR:

- 1. A discussion of potential impacts from lighting, noise, human activity (e.g., recreation), defensible space, and wildlife-human interactions created by zoning of development projects or other Project activities adjacent to natural areas, exotic and/or invasive species, and drainage. The latter subject should address Project-related changes on drainage patterns and water quality within, upstream, and downstream of the Project site, including: volume, velocity, and frequency of existing and post-Project surface flows; polluted runoff; soil erosion and/or sedimentation in streams and water bodies; and post-Project fate of runoff from the Project site.
- 2. A discussion of potential indirect Project impacts on biological resources, including resources in areas adjacent to the Project footprint, such as nearby public lands (e.g., National Forests, State Parks, etc.), open space, adjacent natural habitats, riparian ecosystems, wildlife corridors, and any designated and/or proposed reserve or mitigation lands (e.g., preserved lands associated with a Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other conserved lands).
- 3. An evaluation of impacts to on-site and adjacent open space lands from both the construction of the Project and any long-term operational and maintenance needs.
- 4. A cumulative effects analysis developed as described under CEQA Guidelines section 15130. The DEIR should analyze the cumulative effects of the plan's land use

³ CDFW, 2018. Protocols for Surveying and Evaluating Impacts to Special Status Native Plant Populations and Sensitive Natural Communities, State of California, California Natural Resources Agency, Department of Fish and Wildlife: March 20, 2018 (https://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/FileHandler.ashx?DocumentID=18959&inline)

Kinika Hesterly Riverside County Department of Waste Resources July 23, 2025 Page 7 of 18

designations, policies, and programs on the environment. Please include all potential direct and indirect Project related impacts to riparian areas, wetlands, vernal pools, alluvial fan habitats, wildlife corridors or wildlife movement areas, aquatic habitats, sensitive species and other sensitive habitats, open lands, open space, and adjacent natural habitats in the cumulative effects analysis. General and specific plans, as well as past, present, and anticipated future projects, should be analyzed relative to their impacts on similar plant communities and wildlife habitats.

Alternatives Analysis

CDFW recommends the DEIR describe and analyze a range of reasonable alternatives to the Project that are potentially feasible, would "feasibly attain most of the basic objectives of the Project," and would avoid or substantially lessen any of the Project's significant effects (CEQA Guidelines § 15126.6[a]). The alternatives analysis should also evaluate a "no project" alternative (CEQA Guidelines § 15126.6[e]).

Objectives

Section 15124(b) of the CEQA Guidelines requires that the project description contain a clear statement of the project objectives. CDFW recommends that the DEIR should include an objective to demonstrate consistency with the MSHCP, including the biological issues and considerations for Subunit 1 (Potrero/Badlands, page-242; Gilman Springs/Southern Badlands, page 3-338 of the MSHCP). These objectives include, but are not limited to, conservation of existing wetlands and upland habitat adjacent to San Jacinto River as well as maintaining a continuous linkage along San Jacinto River.

Mitigation Measures for Project Impacts to Biological Resources

The DEIR should identify mitigation measures and alternatives that are appropriate and adequate to avoid or minimize potential impacts, to the extent feasible. The Lead Agency should assess all direct, indirect, and cumulative impacts that are expected to occur as a result of the implementation of the Project and its long-term operation and maintenance. When proposing measures to avoid, minimize, or mitigate impacts, CDFW recommends consideration of the following:

1. Fully Protected Species: Fully protected species may not be taken or possessed at any time (with the exception of certain projects set forth in SB 147, which was passed on July 10, 2023). Project activities described in the DEIR should be designed to completely avoid any fully protected species that have the potential to be present within or adjacent to the Project area. CDFW also recommends that the DEIR fully analyze potential adverse impacts to fully protected species due to habitat modification, loss of foraging habitat, and/or interruption of migratory and breeding behaviors. CDFW recommends that the Lead Agency include in the analysis how appropriate avoidance, minimization, and mitigation measures will reduce indirect impacts to fully protected species.

Kinika Hesterly Riverside County Department of Waste Resources July 23, 2025 Page 8 of 18

- 2. Sensitive Plant Communities: CDFW considers sensitive plant communities to be imperiled habitats having both local and regional significance. Plant communities, alliances, and associations with a statewide ranking of S-1, S-2, S-3, and S-4 should be considered sensitive and declining at the local and regional level. These ranks can be obtained by querying the CNDDB and are included in *The Manual of California Vegetation* (Sawyer et al. 2009). The DEIR should include measures to fully avoid and otherwise protect sensitive plant communities from Project-related direct and indirect impacts.
- 3. California Species of Special Concern (CSSC): CSSC status applies to animals generally not listed under the federal Endangered Species Act or the CESA, but which nonetheless are declining at a rate that could result in listing, or historically occurred in low numbers and known threats to their persistence currently exist. CSSCs should be considered during the environmental review process. CSSC that have the potential or have been documented to occur within or adjacent to the Project area, including, but not limited to: San Diego desert woodrat, red-diamond rattlesnake, coastal California gnatcatcher, coast horned lizard, coastal cactus wren, western spadefoot, burrowing owl, Los Angeles pocket mouse, and San Bernardino Kangaroo Rat.
- 4. Mitigation: CDFW considers adverse Project-related impacts to sensitive species and habitats to be significant to both local and regional ecosystems, and the DEIR should include mitigation measures for adverse Project-related impacts to these resources. Mitigation measures should emphasize avoidance and reduction of Project impacts. For unavoidable impacts, onsite habitat restoration and/or enhancement, and preservation should be evaluated and discussed in detail. Where habitat preservation is not available onsite, offsite land acquisition, management, and preservation should be evaluated and discussed in detail.

The DEIR should include measures to perpetually protect the targeted habitat values within mitigation areas from direct and indirect adverse impacts in order to meet mitigation objectives to offset Project-induced qualitative and quantitative losses of biological values. Specific issues that should be addressed include restrictions on access, proposed land dedications, long-term monitoring and management programs, control of illegal dumping, water pollution, increased human intrusion, etc.

If sensitive species and/or their habitat may be impacted from the Project, CDFW recommends the inclusion of specific mitigation in the DEIR. CEQA Guidelines section 15126.4, subdivision (a)(1)(8) states that formulation of feasible mitigation measures should not be deferred until some future date. The Court of Appeal in *San Joaquin Raptor Rescue Center* v. *County* of *Merced* (2007) 149 Cal.App.4th 645 struck down mitigation measures which required formulating management plans developed in consultation with State and Federal wildlife agencies after Project approval. Courts have also repeatedly not supported conclusions that impacts are mitigable when essential studies, and therefore impact assessments, are incomplete (*Sundstrom* v. *County* of *Mendocino* (1988) 202 Cal. App. 3d. 296; *Gentry* v. *County* of *Murrieta*

Kinika Hesterly Riverside County Department of Waste Resources July 23, 2025 Page 9 of 18

(1995) 36 Cal. App. 4th 1359; Endangered Habitat League, Inc. v. County of Orange (2005) 131 Cal. App. 4th 777).

CDFW recommends that the DEIR specify mitigation that is roughly proportional to the level of impacts, in accordance with the provisions of CEQA (CEQA Guidelines, §§ 15126.4(a)(4)(B), 15064, 15065, and 16355). The mitigation should provide long-term conservation value for the suite of species and habitat being impacted by the Project. Furthermore, in order for mitigation measures to be effective, they need to be specific, enforceable, and feasible actions that will improve environmental conditions.

5. Habitat Revegetation/Restoration Plans: Plans for restoration and revegetation should be prepared by persons with expertise in southern California ecosystems and native plant restoration techniques. Plans should identify the assumptions used to develop the proposed restoration strategy. Each plan should include, at a minimum: (a) the location of restoration sites and assessment of appropriate reference sites; (b) the plant species to be used, sources of local propagules, container sizes, and seeding rates; (c) a schematic depicting the mitigation area; (d) a local seed and cuttings and planting schedule; (e) a description of the irrigation methodology; (f) measures to control exotic vegetation on site; (g) specific success criteria; (h) a detailed monitoring program; (i) contingency measures should the success criteria not be met; and (j) identification of the party responsible for meeting the success criteria and providing for conservation of the mitigation site in perpetuity. Monitoring of restoration areas should extend across a sufficient time frame to ensure that the new habitat is established, self-sustaining, and capable of surviving drought.

CDFW recommends that local onsite propagules from the Project area and nearby vicinity be collected and used for restoration purposes. Onsite seed collection should be initiated in advance of Project impacts in order to accumulate sufficient propagule material for subsequent use in future years. Onsite vegetation mapping at the alliance and/or association level should be used to develop appropriate restoration goals and local plant palettes. Reference areas should be identified to help guide restoration efforts. Specific restoration plans should be developed for various Project components as appropriate.

Restoration objectives should include protecting special habitat elements or recreating them in areas affected by the Project; examples could include retention of woody material, logs, snags, rocks, and brush piles.

6. Nesting Birds and Migratory Bird Treaty Act: Please note that it is the Project proponent's responsibility to comply with all applicable laws related to nesting birds and birds of prey. Fish and Game Code sections 3503, 3503.5, and 3513 afford protective measures as follows: Fish and Game Code section 3503 makes it unlawful to take, possess, or needlessly destroy the nest or eggs of any bird, except as otherwise provided by Fish and Game Code or any regulation made pursuant thereto. Fish and Game Code section 3503.5 makes it unlawful to take, possess, or destroy

Kinika Hesterly Riverside County Department of Waste Resources July 23, 2025 Page 10 of 18

any birds in the orders Falconiformes or Strigiformes (birds-of-prey) to take, possess, or destroy the nest or eggs of any such bird except as otherwise provided by Fish and Game Code or any regulation adopted pursuant thereto. Fish and Game Code section 3513 makes it unlawful to take or possess any migratory nongame bird as designated in the Migratory Bird Treaty Act or any part of such migratory nongame bird except as provided by rules and regulations adopted by the Secretary of the Interior under provisions of the Migratory Treaty Act.

CDFW recommends that the DEIR include the results of avian surveys, as well as specific avoidance and minimization measures to ensure that impacts to nesting birds do not occur. Project-specific avoidance and minimization measures may include, but not be limited to: Project phasing and timing, monitoring of Project-related noise (where applicable), sound walls, and buffers, where appropriate. The DEIR should also include specific avoidance and minimization measures that will be implemented should a nest be located within the Project site. If pre-construction surveys are proposed in the DEIR, the CDFW recommends that they be required no more than three (3) days prior to vegetation clearing or ground disturbance activities, as instances of nesting could be missed if surveys are conducted sooner.

- 7. Moving out of Harm's Way: To avoid direct mortality, CDFW recommends that the lead agency condition the DEIR to require that a CDFW-approved qualified biologist be retained to be onsite prior to and during all ground- and habitat-disturbing activities to move out of harm's way special status species or other wildlife of low or limited mobility that would otherwise be injured or killed from Project-related activities. Movement of wildlife out of harm's way should be limited to only those individuals that would otherwise by injured or killed, and individuals should be moved only as far a necessary to ensure their safety (i.e., CDFW does not recommend relocation to other areas). Furthermore, it should be noted that the temporary relocation of onsite wildlife does not constitute effective mitigation for the purposes of offsetting Project impacts associated with habitat loss.
- 8. *Translocation of Species*: CDFW generally does not support the use of relocation, salvage, and/or transplantation as mitigation for impacts to rare, threatened, or endangered species as studies have shown that these efforts are experimental in nature and largely unsuccessful.

California Endangered Species Act

CDFW is responsible for ensuring appropriate conservation of fish and wildlife resources including threatened, endangered, and/or candidate plant and animal species, pursuant to CESA. CDFW recommends that a CESA Incidental Take Permit (ITP) be obtained if the Project has the potential to result in "take" (California Fish and Game Code Section 86 defines "take" as "hunt, pursue, catch, capture, or kill, or attempt to hunt, pursue, catch, capture, or kill") of State-listed CESA species, either through construction or over the life

Kinika Hesterly Riverside County Department of Waste Resources July 23, 2025 Page 11 of 18

of the Project. It is the policy of CESA to conserve, protect, enhance, and restore Statelisted CESA species and their habitats.

CDFW encourages early consultation, as significant modification to the proposed Project and avoidance, minimization, and mitigation measures may be necessary to obtain a CESA ITP. The California Fish and Game Code requires that CDFW comply with CEQA for issuance of a CESA ITP. CDFW therefore recommends that the DEIR addresses all Project impacts to listed species and specifies a mitigation monitoring andreporting program that will meet the requirements of CESA.

Crotch's Bumble Bee

The California Fish and Game Commission accepted a petition to list Crotch bumble bee as endangered under CESA, determining the listing "may be warranted" and advancing the species to the candidacy stage of the CESA listing process. Crotch bumble bee is granted full protection of a threatened species under CESA. Take of any endangered, threatened, candidate species that results from the Project is prohibited, except as authorized by State law (Fish & G. Code, §§ 86, 2062, 2067, 2068, 2080, 2085; Cal. Code Regs., tit. 14, § 786.9). In addition, Crotch bumble bee has a State ranking of S1/S2. This means that the Crotch bumble bee is considered critically imperiled or imperiled and is extremely rare (often five or fewer populations). Crotch bumble bee is also listed as an invertebrate of conservation priority under the Terrestrial and Vernal Pool Invertebrates of Conservation Priority (CDFW 2017).

The Project may result in temporal or permanent loss of suitable nesting and foraging habitat for Crotch's bumble bee. Project ground-disturbing activities may cause death or injury of adults, eggs, and larva; burrow collapse; nest abandonment; and reduced nest success.

To guide the establishment of measures in the DEIR, the Project should conduct site specific surveys for Crotch's bumble bee in accordance with any Crotch's bumble bee survey protocol provided by CDFW. If "take" or adverse impacts to Crotch's bumble bee cannot be avoided either during Project activities or over the life of the Project, the Project should obtain appropriate take authorization from CDFW pursuant to Fish and Game Code section 2081 subdivision (b).

Western Riverside County Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan

CDFW issued Natural Community Conservation Plan Approval and Take Authorization for the Western Riverside County MSHCP per Section 2800, *et seq.*, of the California Fish and Game Code on June 22, 2004. The MSHCP establishes a multiple species conservation program to minimize and mitigate habitat loss and provides for the incidental take of covered species in association with activities covered under the permit.

Kinika Hesterly Riverside County Department of Waste Resources July 23, 2025 Page 12 of 18

Compliance with approved habitat plans, such as the MSHCP, is discussed in CEQA. Specifically, Section 15125(d) of the CEQA Guidelines requires that the CEQA document discuss any inconsistencies between a proposed Project and applicable general plans and regional plans, including habitat conservation plans and natural community conservation plans. An assessment of the impacts to the MSHCP as a result of this Project is necessary to address CEQA requirements. To obtain additional information regarding the MSHCP please go to: https://www.wrc-rca.org/.

The proposed Project occurs within the MSHCP area and is subject to the provisions and policies of the MSHCP. To be considered a covered activity, Permittees need to demonstrate that proposed actions are consistent with the MSHCP, the Permits, and the Implementing Agreement. The Riverside County Department of Waste Resources is the Lead Agency and is signatory to the Implementing Agreement of the MSHCP. To demonstrate consistency with the MSHCP, as part of the CEQA review, the Riverside County Department of Waste Resources shall ensure the Project implements the following:

- 1. Pays Local Development Mitigation Fees and other relevant fees as set forth in Section 8.5 of the MSHCP.
- 2. Demonstrates compliance with the HANS process (MSHCP Section 6.1.1) or equivalent process to ensure application of the Criteria and thus, satisfaction of the local acquisition obligation.
- 3. Demonstrates compliance with the policies for 1) the Protection of Species Associated with Riparian/Riverine Areas and Vernal Pools, set forth in Section 6.1.2 of the MSHCP; 2) the policies set forth in Section 6.3.2; and 3) compliance with the Best Management Practices and the siting, construction, design, operation and maintenance guidelines as set forth in Section 7.0 and Appendix C of the MSHCP.

Following this sequential identification of the relationship of the Project to the MSHCP the DEIR should then include an in-depth discussion of the Project in the context of these aforementioned elements, and as mentioned, examine how the Project might contribute to, or conflict with, the conservation criteria of the MSHCP.

The Project is located within the MSHCP Criteria Area and therefore, pursuant to the Implementing Agreement public and private projects are expected to be designed and implemented in accordance with the Criteria for each Area Plan and all other MSHCP requirements as set forth in the MSHCP and in Section 13.0 of the Implementing Agreement. Section 13.2 of the Implementing Agreement identifies that Riverside County Department of Waste Resources obligations under the MSHCP and the Implementing Agreement include, but are not limited to: as necessary, and the amendment of general plans as appropriate, to implement the requirements and to fulfill the purposes of the Permits, the MSHCP, and the Implementing Agreement for private and public development projects (including siting, construction, design, operation and maintenance

Kinika Hesterly Riverside County Department of Waste Resources July 23, 2025 Page 13 of 18

guidelines as set forth in Section 7.0 and Appendix C of the MSHCP); and taking all necessary and appropriate actions, following applicable land use permit enforcement procedures and practices, to enforce the terms of the project approvals for public and private projects, including compliance with the MSHCP, the Permits, and the Implementing Agreement. The Riverside County Department of Waste Resources is also obligated to notify the Western Riverside County Regional Conservation Authority (RCA), through the Joint Project/Acquisition Review Process (JPR) set forth in Section 6.6.2 of the MSHCP or proposed discretionary Projects within the Criteria Area and participate in any further requirements imposed by MSHCP Section 6.6.2.

The Riverside County Department of Waste Resources is also obligated to notify the Western Riverside County Regional Conservation Authority (RCA), through the Joint Project/Acquisition Review Process (JPR) set forth in Section 6.6.2 of the MSHCP or proposed discretionary Projects within the Criteria Area and participate in any further requirements imposed by MSHCP Section 6.6.2.

To examine how the Project might contribute to, or conflict with, assembly of the MSHCP Conservation Area consistent with the reserve configuration requirements, CDFW recommends that the DEIR identify the specific Area Plan and Area Plan Subunit within which the Project is located, and the associated Planning Species and Biological Issues and Considerations that may apply to the Project, further discussed below. The DEIR should also discuss the specific Criteria for Cells within which the Project is located and identify the associated Core(s) and/or Linkage(s); (i.e., Proposed Core 3). Next, the DEIR should identify the vegetation communities toward which conservation should be directed along with the connectivity requirements. Finally, the DEIR should examine the Project with respect to the percentage conservation portion within Criteria Cells 1600, 1601, 1697, 1698, 1790, and 1795.)

Protection of Species Associated with Riparian/Riverine Areas and Vernal Pools.

The procedures described in Protection of Species Associated with Riparian/Riverine Areas and Vernal Pools section (MSHCP Section 6.1.2) are to ensure that the biological functions and values of these areas are maintained throughout the MSHCP area. Additionally, this process helps identify areas to consider for priority acquisition, as well as those functions that may affect downstream values related to Conservation of Covered Species within the MSHCP Conservation Area. The assessment of riparian/riverine and vernal pool resources may be completed as part of the CEQA review process as set forth in Article V of the State CEQA Guidelines. However, the MSHCP identifies that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and CDFW shall be notified in advance of approval of public or private projects of draft determinations for the biologically equivalent or superior determination findings associated with the Protection of Wetland Habitats and Species policies presented in Section 6.1.2 of the MSHCP (MSHCP Section 6.11). As required by MSHCP, completion of the DBESP process prior to adoption of the environmental document ensures that the project is consistent with the MSHCP and provides public disclosure and transparency during the CEQA process

Kinika Hesterly Riverside County Department of Waste Resources July 23, 2025 Page 14 of 18

by identifying the project impacts and mitigation for wetland habitat, a requirement of CEQA Guidelines, §§ 15071, subds.(a)-(e).

The MSHCP identifies that assessment of these areas include identification and mapping of riparian/riverine areas and vernal pools. The assessment shall consider species composition, topography/ hydrology, and soil analysis, where appropriate. The documentation for the assessment shall include mapping and a description of the functions and values of the mapped areas with respect to the species identified in Section 6.1.2 of the MSHCP. Factors to be considered include hydrologic regime, flood storage and flood-flow modification, nutrient retention and transformation, sediment trapping and transport, toxicant trapping, public use, wildlife Habitat, and aquatic Habitat.

The MSHCP identifies that for mapped riparian/riverine and vernal pool resources that are not included in the MSHCP conservation area, applicable mitigation under CEQA, shall be imposed by the Permittee (in this case the Lead Agency). Further, the MSHCP identifies that to ensure the standards in Section 6.1.2 are met, the Permittee shall ensure that, through the CEQA process, project applicants develop project alternatives demonstrating efforts that first avoid, and then minimize direct and indirect effects to the wetlands mapped pursuant to Section 6.1.2. If an avoidance alternative is not feasible, a practicable alternative that minimizes direct and indirect effects to riparian/riverine areas and vernal pools and associated functions and values to the greatest extent possible shall be selected. Those impacts that are unavoidable shall be mitigated such that the lost functions and values as they relate to Covered Species are replaced as through the Determination of Biologically Equivalent or Superior Preservation (DBESP). The Lead Agency is required to ensure the Applicant completes the DBESP process prior to completion of the DEIR to demonstrate implementation of MSHCP requirements in the CEQA documentation.

Burrowing Owl (Athene cunicularia)

On October 10, 2024, the Fish and Game Commission determined that western burrowing owl warrants protection as a candidate species under the California Endangered Species Act (Fish & G. Code, § 2050 et seq.). During the candidacy period, western burrowing owl will be afforded the same protection as threatened and endangered species under CESA.

The Project site has the potential to provide suitable foraging and/or nesting habitat for burrowing owl. Take of individual burrowing owls and their nests is defined by Fish and Game Code section 86, and prohibited by sections 3503, 3503.5 and 3513. Take is defined in Fish and Game Code section 86 as "hunt, pursue, catch, capture or kill."

CDFW recommends that the Lead Agency follow the survey instructions in the "Burrowing Owl Survey Instructions for the Western Riverside Multiple Species Habitat

Kinika Hesterly Riverside County Department of Waste Resources July 23, 2025 Page 15 of 18

Conservation Plan Area"⁴. The Survey Instructions specify that first a habitat assessment is conducted. If suitable habitat is not found on site, simply reporting the site is disturbed or under agricultural/dairy use is not acceptable. A written report must be provided detailing results of the habitat assessment with photographs and indicating whether or not the project site contains suitable burrowing owl habitat. If suitable habitat is found, then focused surveys at the appropriate time of year (March 1 to August 31), time of day, and weather conditions must be completed. Surveys will not be accepted if they are conducted during rain, high winds (> 20 mph), dense fog, or temperatures over 90 °F. The surveys must include focused burrow surveys and burrowing owl surveys. For the focused burrow surveys, the location of all suitable burrowing owl habitat, potential owl burrows, burrowing owl sign, and any owls observed should be recorded and mapped, including GPS coordinates in the report. The focused burrowing owl surveys include site visits on four separate days. CDFW recommends that the site visits are conducted at least a week apart to avoid missing owls that may be using the site. Finally, CDFW recommends the report also include an impact assessment evaluating the extent to which burrowing owls and their habitat may be impacted, directly or indirectly by Project activities. A final report discussing the survey methodology, transect width, duration, conditions, and results of the Survey shall be submitted to the RCA and the Riverside County Department of Waste Resources.

Habitat assessments are conducted to evaluate the likelihood that a site supports burrowing owl. Burrowing owl surveys provide information needed to determine the potential effects of proposed projects and activities on burrowing owls, and to avoid take in accordance with Fish and Game Code sections 86, 3503, and 3503.5. Impact assessments evaluate the extent to which burrowing owls and their habitat may be impacted, directly or indirectly, on and within a reasonable distance of a proposed CEQA project activity or non-CEQA project.

Additionally, CDFW recommends that the Riverside County Department of Waste Resources review and follow requirements for burrowing owl outlined in the MSHCP, specifically Section 6.3.2 (Additional Survey Needs and Procedures) and Appendix E (Summary of Species Survey Requirements). Appendix E of the MSHCP outlines survey requirements, actions to be taken if survey results are positive, and species-specific conservation objectives, among other relevant information.

Stephens' Kangaroo Rat Habitat Conservation Plan

The Project occurs within the Stephens' kangaroo rat (*Dipodomys stephensi*) Habitat Conservation Plan (SKR HCP) fee area boundary, SKR HCP plan area map available here: https://rchca.us/DocumentCenter/View/200/SKR-Plan-Area. State and federal authorizations associated with the SKR HCP provide take authorization for Stephens'

⁴ https://www.wrc-rca.org/species/survey protocols/burrowing owl survey instructions.pdf

Kinika Hesterly Riverside County Department of Waste Resources July 23, 2025 Page 16 of 18

kangaroo rat within its boundaries, and the MSHCP provides Take Authorization for Stephens' kangaroo rat outside of the boundaries of the SKR HCP, but within the MSHCP area boundaries. The DEIR should identify if any portion of the Project will occur on SKR HCP lands, or on Stephens' kangaroo rat habitat lands outside of the SKR HCP, but within the MSHCP. Note that the SKR HCP allows for encroachment into the Stephens' kangaroo rat Core Reserve for public projects, however, there are no provisions for encroachment into the Core Reserve for privately owned projects. If impacts to Stephens' kangaroo rat habitat will occur from the proposed Project, the DEIR should specifically identify the total number of permanent impacts to Stephens' kangaroo rat core habitat and the appropriate mitigation to compensate for those impacts.

Lake and Streambed Alteration Program

Based on review of material submitted with the NOP, drainage features may traverse some of the parcels within the Project's scope. Depending on how the Project is designed and constructed, it is likely that the Project applicant will need to notify CDFW per Fish and Game Code section 1602. Fish and Game Code section 1602 requires an entity to notify CDFW prior to commencing any activity that may do one or more of the following: substantially divert or obstruct the natural flow of any river, stream, or lake; substantially change or use any material from the bed, channel or bank of any river, stream, or lake; or deposit debris, waste or other materials that could pass into any river, stream, or lake. Please note that "any river, stream or lake" includes those that are episodic (i.e., those that are dry for periods of time) as well as those that are perennial (i.e., those that flow year-round). This includes ephemeral streams, desert washes, andwatercourses with a subsurface flow.

Upon receipt of a complete notification, CDFW determines if the proposed Project activities may substantially adversely affect existing fish and wildlife resources and whether a Lake and Streambed Alteration (LSA) Agreement is required. An LSA Agreement includes measures necessary to protect existing fish and wildlife resources. CDFW may suggest ways to modify your Project that would eliminate or reduce harmful impacts to fish and wildlife resources.

CDFW's issuance of an LSA Agreement is a "project" subject to CEQA (see Pub. Resources Code § 21065). To facilitate issuance of an LSA Agreement, if necessary, the DEIR should fully identify the potential impacts to the lake, stream, or riparian resources, and provide adequate avoidance, mitigation, and monitoring and reporting commitments. Early consultation with CDFW is recommended, since modification of the proposed Project may be required to avoid or reduce impacts to fish and wildlife resources. To submit a Lake or Streambed Alteration notification, please go to https://wildlife.ca.gov/Conservation/Environmental-Review/EPIMS.

Kinika Hesterly Riverside County Department of Waste Resources July 23, 2025 Page 17 of 18

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Native Landscaping

To ameliorate the water demands of this Project, CDFW recommends incorporation of water-wise concepts in Project landscape design plans. In particular, CDFW recommends xeriscaping with locally native California species, and installing water-efficient and targeted irrigation systems (such as drip irrigation). Native plants support butterflies, birds, reptiles, amphibians, small mammals, bees, and other pollinators that evolved with those plants, more information on native plants suitable for the Project location and nearby nurseries is available at CALSCAPE: https://calscape.org/. Local water agencies/districts and resource conservation districts in your area may be able to provide information on plant nurseries that carry locally native species, and some facilities display drought-tolerant locally native species demonstration gardens (for example the Riverside-Corona Resource Conservation District in Riverside). Information on drought-tolerant landscaping and water-efficient irrigation systems is available on California's Save our Water website: https://saveourwater.com/.

ENVIRONMENTAL DATA

CEQA requires that information developed in environmental impact reports and negative declarations be incorporated into a database which may be used to make subsequent or supplemental environmental determinations. (Pub. Resources Code, § 21003, subd. (e).) Accordingly, please report any special status species and natural communities detected during Project surveys to the California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDB). Information can be submitted online or via completion of the CNDDB field survey form at the following link: https://wildlife.ca.gov/Data/CNDDB/Submitting-Data. The types of information reported to CNDDB can be found at the following link: https://www.wildlife.ca.gov/Data/CNDDB/Plants-and-Animals.

ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT FILING FEES

The Project, as proposed, would have an impact on fish and/or wildlife, and assessment of filing fees is necessary. Fees are payable upon filing of the Notice of Determination by the Lead Agency and serve to help defray the cost of environmental review by CDFW. Payment of the fee is required in order for the underlying Project approval to be operative, vested, and final. (Cal. Code Regs, tit. 14, § 753.5; Fish & G. Code, § 711.4; Pub. Resources Code, § 21089.).

CONCLUSION

CDFW appreciates the opportunity to comment on the NOP of a DEIR for the Lamb Canyon Landfill Phase 3 Expansion Project (SCH No. 2025061126) and recommends that the Riverside County Department of Waste Resources address the CDFW's comments and concerns in the forthcoming DEIR. Questions regarding this letter or

Kinika Hesterly Riverside County Department of Waste Resources July 23, 2025 Page 18 of 18

further coordination should be directed to Enola Fulgencio, Environmental Scientist, at Enola.Fulgencio@wildlife.ca.gov.

Sincerely,



Kim Freeburn Environmental Program Manager

ec:

Carly Beck, Senior Environmental Scientist Supervisor Inland Deserts Region Carly.Beck@wildlife.ca.gov

Office of Planning and Research, State Clearinghouse, Sacramento state.clearinghouse@lci.ca.gov

Tricia Campbell (Western Riverside County Regional Conservation Authority)
Director of Reserve Management and Monitoring
tcampbell@rctc.org