

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: _____

Project Title: Development Permit Application No. P22-04560 - Pacific Storage

Lead Agency: City of Fresno

Contact Name: Thomas Veatch

Email: thomas.veatch@fresno.gov Phone Number: (559) 621-8076

Project Location: Fresno Fresno
City *County*

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

Development Permit Application No. P22-04560 was filed by Spencer Freeman on behalf of Pacific Storage Fresno. The applicant proposes construction of a new 228,989-square-foot self-storage facility on a 7.64-acre undeveloped parcel in the generally developed northwest area of the City of Fresno. The project includes both exterior and interior accessed indoor storage units; a 1,203-SF one-story leasing office building, surface parking, a trash enclosure, landscaping along portions of the site perimeter, wall mounted lighting and three standards, and perimeter fencing. The project also includes paving the unpaved portion of the pedestrian trail that extends within the storage site property (~845 linear feet), but there would be no direct access from the trail to the storage facility. The project site is accessed from a driveway extending from North Figarden Drive to the main storage facility entrance.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

1. Bio Res. Implementation of the project could impact burrowing owls by removing their foraging and nesting habitat, potentially causing significant effects. Implementation of Mitigation Measure BIO-1 (Western Burrowing Owl Clearance Surveys) would reduce this impact to a less than significant level.
2. Bio Res. Implementation has the potential to impact nesting bird species that are protected under The Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA) and the California Fish and Game Code (CFG) Section 3510 and 3503. Implementation of Mitigation Measure BIO-2 (Nesting Birds Protection Measures) would reduce this impact to a less than significant level.
3. Cult Res. The project involves grading, excavation, and utility trenching, which could result in the inadvertent discovery of cultural and tribal cultural resources. Implementation of Mitigation Measure CUL-1 (Inadvertent Discovery of Cultural and Tribal Cultural Resources) would reduce this impact to a less than significant level.
4. Paleo Res. The project involves grading, excavation, and utility trenching, which could result in the inadvertent discovery of paleontological resources. Implementation of Mitigation Measure GEO-1 (Inadvertent Discovery of Paleontological Resources) would reduce this impact to a less than significant level.
5. GHG. Implementation of the project would potentially generate substantial greenhouse gas emissions, or conflict with plans, policies, or regulations adopted for the purpose of reducing GHG emissions prior to mitigation. Implementation of Mitigation Measure GHG-1 (Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reducing Design Features) would reduce impacts to less than significant.

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

None known

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.