



State of California – Natural Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND WILDLIFE
South Coast Region
3883 Ruffin Road
San Diego, CA 92123
wildlife.ca.gov

GAVIN NEWSOM, Governor
CHARLTON H. BONHAM, Director



May 29, 2025

Manraj Bhatia
City of Long Beach
411 W Ocean Blvd
Long Beach, CA 90802
LBDS-EIR-Comments@longbeach.gov

SUBJECT: NOTICE OF PREPARATION OF A DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT FOR THE SEA RANCH BUSINESS PARK PROJECT, SCH NO. 2025050183, LOS ANGELES COUNTY, CA

Dear Manraj Bhatia:

The California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) reviewed the Notice of Preparation (NOP) from City of Long Beach (City) for the Sea Ranch Business Park Project (Project) pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and CEQA Guidelines¹.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments and recommendations regarding those activities involved in the Project that may affect California fish and wildlife. Likewise, CDFW appreciates the opportunity to provide comments regarding those aspects of the Project that CDFW, by law, may be required to carry out or approve through the exercise of its own regulatory authority under the Fish and Game Code.

CDFW ROLE

CDFW is California’s Trustee Agency for fish and wildlife resources and holds those resources in trust by statute for all the people of the State (Fish & G. Code, §§ 711.7, subd. (a) & 1802; Pub. Resources Code, § 21070; CEQA Guidelines § 15386, subd. (a)). CDFW, in its trustee capacity, has jurisdiction over the conservation, protection, and management of fish, wildlife, native plants, and habitat necessary for biologically sustainable populations of those species (Fish & G. Code, § 1802). Similarly, for purposes of CEQA, CDFW is charged by law to provide, as available, biological expertise during public agency environmental review efforts, focusing specifically on projects and related activities that have the potential to adversely affect fish and wildlife resources.

¹ CEQA is codified in the California Public Resources Code in section 21000 et seq. The “CEQA Guidelines” are found in Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations, commencing with section 15000.

Manraj Bhatia
City of Long Beach
May 29, 2025
Page 2 of 16

CDFW may also act as a Responsible Agency under CEQA. (Pub. Resources Code, § 21069; CEQA Guidelines, § 15381). CDFW expects that it may need to exercise regulatory authority as provided by the Fish and Game Code. As proposed, for example, the Project may be subject to CDFW's lake and streambed alteration regulatory authority (Fish & G. Code, § 1600 et seq.). Likewise, to the extent implementation of the Project as proposed may result in "take" as defined by State law² of any species protected under the California Endangered Species Act (CESA; Fish & G. Code, § 2050 et seq.) or the Native Plant Protection Act (NPPA; Fish & G. Code, §1900 et seq.), the Project proponent may seek related take authorization as provided by the Fish and Game Code.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION SUMMARY

Proponent: Sares Regis Group

Objective: The objective of the Project is to remove all existing structures on the site and develop 10 new industrial buildings. The new buildings would provide 200,000 square feet of office space and 738,681 square feet of light industrial space for storage, shipping, assembly, packing, and manufacturing uses. A minimum of 100 feet of undisturbed land would remain as a buffer adjacent to the salt marsh and riverine sensitive habitat. Primary Project activities include demolishing seven tanks, a perimeter fence, streetlights, storm drains, hardscaping, and two small buildings. Additional Project activities include landscaping and hardscaping for parking, vehicular access, and infrastructure improvements. Off-site improvements include new signalized intersections, new landscaped parkways, and new sidewalks.

Location: The Project site encompasses approximately 53 acres and is located at 6801 2nd Street, Long Beach, California. The site is approximately 0.4 miles northwest of State Route (SR) 1 and 0.6 miles south of SR-22. The site is currently developed with the Alamitos Generating Station Fuel Tank Farm. The Assessor's Parcel Number for this Project site is 7237-029-007.

Biological Setting: The site is bordered by the San Gabriel River to the east, the Los Cerritos Channel to the north, Studebaker Road and salt marsh habitat to the west, and 2nd Street and salt marsh habitat to the south. Aerial imagery and the California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDDB) depict Southern Coastal Salt Marsh (State Rank S2.1), estuary, stream habitat, and other herbaceous vegetation adjacent to the Project site. Species that have the potential to occur and may be impacted include, but are not limited to, California least tern (*Sternula antillarum browni*; Endangered Species Act (ESA) endangered; CESA endangered; fully protected), light-footed Ridgway's rail (*Rallus obsoletus levipes*; ESA endangered; CESA endangered; fully protected), Belding's savannah sparrow (*Passerculus sandwichensis beldingi*; CESA endangered),

² "Take" is defined in Section 86 of the Fish and Game Code as "hunt, pursue, catch, capture, or kill, or attempt to hunt, pursue, catch, capture, or kill."

Manraj Bhatia
 City of Long Beach
 May 29, 2025
 Page 3 of 16

western burrowing owl (*Athene cunicularia*; CESA candidate), western snowy plover (*Charadrius nivosus nivosus*; ESA threatened; California Species of Special Concern (SSC)), monarch - California overwintering population (*Danaus plexippus plexippus*; ESA proposed threatened), western spadefoot (*Spea hammondi*; ESA proposed threatened; SSC), southern California legless lizard (*Anniella stebbinsi*; SSC), south coast marsh vole (*Microtus californicus stephensi*; SSC), southern California saltmarsh shrew (*Sorex ornatus salicornicus*; SSC), southern tarplant (*Centromadia parryi* ssp. *australis*; California Rare Plant Rank (CRPR) 1B.1), Coulter's goldfields (*Lasthenia glabrata* ssp. *coulteri*; CRPR 1B.1), salt marsh bird's-beak (*Chloropyron maritimum* ssp. *maritimum*; CRPR 1B.2), Davidson's saltscale (*Atriplex serenana* var. *davidsonii*; CRPR 1B.2), coast woolly-heads (*Nemacaulis denudata* var. *denudate*; CRPR 1B.2), estuary seablite (*Suaeda esteroa*; CRPR 1B.2), mud nama (*Nama stenocarpa*; CRPR 2B.2), and salt spring checkerbloom (*Sidalcea Neomexicana*; CRPR 2B.2).

COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

CDFW offers the comments and recommendations below to assist the City in adequately identifying and/or mitigating the Project's significant, or potentially significant, direct and indirect impacts on fish and wildlife (biological) resources.

Specific Comments

- 1) Fully Protected Species. According to CNDDDB, there are historical observations of fully protected species within 2 miles of the Project site. Protected species that have the potential to occur and may be impacted by the Project include California least tern and light-footed Ridgway's rail. Fully protected species may not be taken or possessed at any time according to the Fish and Game Code § 3511. CDFW cannot authorize take for the California least tern or light-footed Ridgway's rail for this Project. The Project proponent must completely avoid impacts to these species during the Project's construction and operational activities.
- 2) CESA. Several CESA protected species (e.g., burrowing owl, Belding's savannah sparrow) have been observed and recorded within two miles of the Project site and have the potential of being present during Project activities. As to CESA, take of any endangered, threatened, candidate species, or CESA-listed plant species that results from the Project is prohibited, except as authorized by state law (Fish & G. Code §§ 2080, 2085; Cal. Code Regs., tit. 14, §786.9). If the Project or any Project-related activity will result in take of a species designated as endangered or threatened, or a candidate for listing under CESA, CDFW recommends the Project proponent coordinate with CDFW and seek appropriate take authorization under CESA prior to implementing the Project. Appropriate authorization from CDFW may include an Incidental Take Permit (ITP) or a consistency determination in certain circumstances, among other options [Fish & G. Code, §§ 2080.1, 2081, subs. (b) and (c)].

Manraj Bhatia
City of Long Beach
May 29, 2025
Page 4 of 16

To ensure that burrowing owls do not currently occupy the Project site, CDFW recommends that the City requires the Project proponent to retain a qualified biologist to conduct focused surveys for burrowing owls. The focused surveys should be conducted prior to the preparation of the Project's environmental document. A qualified biologist should survey for burrowing owls adhering to survey methods described in CDFW's [Staff Report on Burrowing Owl Mitigation](#) (CDFW 2012).

Additionally, the DEIR should provide data on the presence or absence and Project's impact of CESA protected species and associated habitat. An impact assessment should consider that these species are somewhat transitory seasonally, and evaluate impacts resulting from Project activities (e.g., demolition, construction) as well as from habitat loss on site and cumulatively in the surrounding region. The DEIR should also provide measures to minimize and mitigate potential impacts.

Early consultation is encouraged, as significant modification to a project and mitigation measures may be required to obtain a CESA Permit. Revisions to the Fish and Game Code, effective January 1998, may require that CDFW issue a separate CEQA document for the issuance of an ITP unless the Project CEQA document addresses all Project impacts to CESA-listed species and specifies a mitigation monitoring and reporting program that will meet the requirements of an ITP. For these reasons, biological mitigation monitoring and reporting proposals should be of sufficient detail and resolution to satisfy the requirements for a CESA ITP.

- 3) Rare Plants. Southern tarplant, Coulter's goldfields, salt marsh bird's-beak, Davidson's saltscale, coast woolly-heads, estuary seablite, mud nama, salt spring checkerbloom, and other rare plants have been observed within a two-mile radius of the Project site. Project activities, such as demolition, grading, construction, and landscaping may directly impact these rare plant species. Impacts to special status plant species should be analyzed in the DEIR as they meet the definition of endangered, rare, or threatened species (CEQA Guidelines, § 15380). The City should retain a qualified biologist with the appropriate handling permits to conduct a rare plant survey throughout the Project site to confirm presence/absence of rare plants. Surveys should adhere to CDFW's [Protocols for Surveying and Evaluating Impacts to Special Status Native Plant Populations and Sensitive Natural Communities](#) (CDFW 2018). Findings of the rare plant survey should be disclosed in the DEIR. CDFW recommends the City provide full disclosure of the Project's impact on rare plants and their associated seedbank. CDFW also recommends the City incorporate mitigation measures in the DEIR that avoid, minimize, and mitigate potential impacts on rare plants and supporting habitat.

Manraj Bhatia
City of Long Beach
May 29, 2025
Page 5 of 16

- 4) Species of Special Concern. Western spadefoot, Southern California legless lizard, south coast marsh vole, and southern California saltmarsh shrew have been observed near the Project site. Project activities may result in death or injury of adults, juveniles, eggs, or hatchlings. Moreover, buildout of the Project may eliminate foraging, breeding, or nesting habitat and refugia for these SSC. In preparation of the DEIR, CDFW recommends that the City thoroughly discuss the potential impacts to these SSC. The City should also incorporate suitable mitigation measures to offset the impacts on sensitive species and their habitats. It should be noted that the temporary relocation of wildlife does not constitute effective mitigation for the purpose of offsetting permanent Project impacts associated with habitat loss.
- 5) Monarch. Monarchs are commonly known to utilize eucalyptus trees as overwintering sites throughout Los Angeles County. According to CNDDDB, there are historical observations of monarch overwintering sites within two miles of the Project site. Demolition, construction, tree trimming, and vegetation removal may directly impact any monarch butterflies overwintering in the Project site. Additionally, noise from demolition or construction activities may disturb overwintering roosts. Given the presence of eucalyptus trees on site, the DEIR should evaluate the Project's potential direct, indirect, and cumulative impacts on monarchs and overwintering habitat during Project activities.

CDFW recommends the Project proponent retain a qualified biologist to assess the Project site for monarchs and overwintering habitat. The qualified biologist should survey eucalyptus and other trees within the Project site that are suitable for overwintering monarchs. The qualified biologist should conduct multiple surveys for overwintering monarchs where potential overwintering habitat has been identified. Monitoring should be done as frequently as possible during the overwintering season (typically September 15 through March 11) to capture changing distributions throughout the season and in response to storm events. Findings should be incorporated in the DEIR for public review.

If the Project would have impacts on monarchs, the DEIR should include measures to first avoid and minimize impacts on monarchs and overwintering habitat. If the Project would result in loss of overwintering habitat, CDFW recommends the Project proponent provide compensatory mitigation so that there is no net loss of overwintering habitat. Mitigation for monarchs should be developed in consultation with USFWS. CDFW recommends the Project proponent consult the following resources to develop appropriate measures to mitigate the Project's potential impacts on monarchs.

- [Western Monarch Butterfly Conservation Plan](#) (WAFWA 2019);
- [Overwintering Site Management and Protection](#) (Western Monarch Count 2022);
- [Protecting California's Butterfly Groves](#) (Xerces Society 2017);
- [Managing Monarch Habitat in the West](#) (Xerces Society 2024a);

Manraj Bhatia
City of Long Beach
May 29, 2025
Page 6 of 16

- [Pollinator-Friendly Native Plant Lists](#) (Xerces Society 2024b); and,
- CDFW's [Monarch Butterfly](#) webpage (CDFW 2024b).

Given the candidate listing under the ESA, we also recommend the City scope the impacts to this species and possible mitigation options with the USFWS.

- 6) Lake and Streambed Alteration Agreement. The Project site is bordered by the San Gabriel River to the east, the Los Cerritos Channel to the north, and salt marsh habitat to the south and west. The DEIR should discuss the Project's impact on the San Gabriel River and evaluate impacts on the nearby stream habitat. CDFW has regulatory authority over activities in streams that will divert or obstruct the natural flow, or change the bed, channel, or bank (which may include associated riparian resources) of any river, stream, or lake or use material from a river, stream, or lake. For any such activities, the Project proponent (or "entity") must provide written notification to CDFW pursuant to section 1600 et seq. of the Fish and Game Code. Based on this notification and other information, CDFW determines whether a Lake and Streambed Alteration Agreement (LSAA) with the proponent is required prior to conducting the proposed activities. CDFW's issuance of a LSAA for a project that is subject to CEQA will require CEQA compliance actions by CDFW as a Responsible Agency. CDFW recommends that the City assess whether notification is appropriate. A Notification package for a LSAA may be obtained by accessing CDFW's [Lake and Streambed Alteration Program website](#).
- 7) Nesting Birds. Western snowy plover have been observed and recorded near the Project site. To avoid impacts to western snowy plover and other nesting birds, CDFW recommends that any vegetation removal, site clearance, and demolition activities occur outside of the peak avian breeding season, which general runs from February 1 through September 1 (as early as January 1 for some raptors). If Project activities are necessary during the bird breeding season, a qualified biologist with experience in conducting breeding bird surveys should conduct a nesting bird survey within three days prior to work in the area. If an active nest is identified, a buffer shall be established between the Project activities and the nest so that nesting activities are not interrupted. The buffer should be delineated by temporary fencing and remain in effect as long as construction is occurring. No Project construction shall occur within the fenced nest zone until the young have fledged, are no longer being fed by the parents, have left the nest, and will no longer be impacted by the Project.

General Comments

- 1) Disclosure. The DEIR should provide an adequate, complete, and detailed disclosure about the effects which a proposed project is likely to have on the environment (Pub. Resources Code, § 20161; CEQA Guidelines, § 15151). Such disclosure is necessary so CDFW may provide comments on the adequacy of

Manraj Bhatia
City of Long Beach
May 29, 2025
Page 7 of 16

proposed avoidance, minimization, or mitigation measures, as well as assess the significance of the specific impact relative to plant and wildlife species impacted (e.g., current range, distribution, population trends, and connectivity).

- 2) Project Description and Alternatives. To enable adequate review and comment on the proposed Project from the standpoint of the protection of fish, wildlife, and plants, CDFW recommends the following information be included in the DEIR.
 - a. A complete discussion of the purpose and need for, and description of the proposed Project.
 - b. A range of feasible alternatives to the Project location to avoid or otherwise minimize direct and indirect impacts on sensitive biological resources and wildlife movement areas. CDFW recommends the City select Project designs and alternatives that would avoid or otherwise minimize direct and indirect impacts on biological resources. CDFW also recommends the City consider establishing appropriate setbacks from sensitive and special status biological resources. Setbacks should not be impacted by ground disturbance or hydrological changes from any future Project-related construction, activities, maintenance, and development. As a general rule, CDFW recommends reducing or clustering a development footprint to retain unobstructed spaces for vegetation and wildlife and provide connections for wildlife between properties and minimize obstacles to open space.
 - c. Project alternatives should be thoroughly evaluated, even if an alternative would impede, to some degree, the attainment of the Project objectives or would be more costly (CEQA Guidelines, § 15126.6). The DEIR shall include sufficient information about each alternative to allow meaningful evaluation, public participation, analysis, and comparison with the proposed Project (CEQA Guidelines, § 15126.6).
 - d. Where the Project may impact aquatic and riparian resources, CDFW recommends the City select Project designs and alternatives that would fully avoid impacts to such resources. CDFW also recommends an alternative that would not impede, alter, or otherwise modify existing surface flow, watercourse and meander, and water-dependent ecosystems and natural communities. Project designs should consider elevated crossings to avoid channelizing or narrowing of watercourses. Any modifications to a river, creek, or stream may cause or magnify upstream bank erosion, channel incision, and drop in water level, which may cause the watercourse to alter its course of flow.
- 3) Biological Baseline Assessment. An adequate biological resources assessment should provide a complete assessment and impact analysis of the flora and fauna within and adjacent to the Project site and where the Project may result in ground disturbance. The assessment and analysis should place emphasis on identifying

Manraj Bhatia
City of Long Beach
May 29, 2025
Page 8 of 16

endangered, threatened, rare, and sensitive species; regionally and locally unique species; and sensitive habitats. An impact analysis will aid in determining the Project's potential direct, indirect, and cumulative biological impacts, as well as specific mitigation or avoidance measures necessary to offset those impacts. CDFW also considers impacts to Species of Special Concern (SSC) a significant direct and cumulative adverse effect without implementing appropriate avoidance and/or mitigation measures. The DEIR should include the following information.

- a. Information on the regional setting that is critical to an assessment of environmental impacts, with special emphasis on resources that are rare or unique to the region (CEQA Guidelines, § 15125(c)). The DEIR should include measures to fully avoid and otherwise protect Sensitive Natural Communities. CDFW considers Sensitive Natural Communities as threatened habitats having both regional and local significance. Natural communities, alliances, and associations with a State-wide rarity ranking of S1, S2, and S3 should be considered sensitive and declining at the local and regional level. These ranks can be obtained by visiting the [Vegetation Classification and Mapping Program - Natural Communities webpage](#).
- b. A thorough, recent, floristic-based assessment of special status plants and natural communities following CDFW's [Protocols for Surveying and Evaluating Impacts to Special Status Native Plant Populations and Sensitive Natural Communities](#). Botanical field surveys should be comprehensive over the entire Project site, including areas that will be directly or indirectly impacted by the Project. Adjoining properties should also be surveyed where direct or indirect Project effects could occur, such as those from fuel modification, herbicide application, invasive species, and altered hydrology. Botanical field surveys should be conducted in the field at the times of year when plants will be both evident and identifiable. Usually, this is during flowering or fruiting. Botanical field survey visits should be spaced throughout the growing season to accurately determine what plants exist in the Project site. This usually involves multiple visits to the Project site (e.g., in early, mid, and late season) to capture the floristic diversity at a level necessary to determine if special status plants are present.
- c. Floristic alliance- and/or association-based mapping and vegetation impact assessments conducted in the Project site and within adjacent areas. The [Manual of California Vegetation](#), second edition, (Sawyer, Keeler-Wolf, & Evens, 2009) should also be used to inform this mapping and assessment. Adjoining habitat areas should be included in this assessment where the Project's construction and activities could lead to direct or indirect impacts offsite.
- d. A complete and recent assessment of the biological resources associated with each habitat type in the Project site and within adjacent areas. A full literature

Manraj Bhatia
City of Long Beach
May 29, 2025
Page 9 of 16

review includes but is not limited to CDFW's CNDDDB. The CNDDDB should be accessed to obtain current information on any previously reported sensitive species and habitat. An assessment should include a minimum nine-quadrangle search of the CNDDDB to determine a list of species potentially present in the Project site. A nine-quadrangle search should be provided in the Project's CEQA document for adequate disclosure of the Project's potential impact on biological resources.

- e. A complete, recent, assessment of endangered, rare, or threatened species and other sensitive species within the Project site and adjacent areas, including SSC and California Fully Protected Species (Fish & G. Code, §§ 3511, 4700, 5050, and 5515). Species to be addressed should include all those which meet the CEQA definition of endangered, rare, or threatened species (CEQA Guidelines, § 15380). Seasonal variations in use of the Project site should also be addressed such as wintering, roosting, nesting, and foraging habitat. Focused species-specific surveys, conducted at the appropriate time of year and time of day when the sensitive species are active or otherwise identifiable, may be required if suitable habitat is present. See [CDFW's Survey and Monitoring Protocols and Guidelines](#) for established survey protocol. Acceptable species-specific survey procedures may be developed in consultation with CDFW and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
 - f. A recent wildlife and rare plant survey. A lack of records in the CNDDDB does not mean that rare, threatened, or endangered plants and wildlife do not occur. Field verification for the presence or absence of sensitive species is necessary to provide a complete biological assessment for adequate CEQA review (CEQA Guidelines, § 15003(i)). CDFW generally considers biological field assessments for wildlife to be valid for a one-year period, and assessments for rare plants may be considered valid for a period of up to three years. Some aspects of the proposed Project may warrant periodic updated surveys for certain sensitive taxa, particularly if Project implementation build out could occur over a protracted time frame or in phases.
- 4) Direct and Indirect Impacts on Biological Resources. The DEIR should provide a thorough discussion of direct and indirect impacts expected to affect biological resources with specific measures to offset such impacts. The DEIR should address the following.
- a. A discussion of potential impacts from lighting, noise, temporary and permanent human activity, and exotic species, and identification of any mitigation measures. A discussion regarding Project-related indirect impacts on biological resources. These include resources in nearby public lands, open space, adjacent natural habitats, riparian ecosystems, and any designated and/or

Manraj Bhatia
City of Long Beach
May 29, 2025
Page 10 of 16

proposed or existing reserve lands (e.g., preserve lands associated with a Natural Community Conservation Plan (Fish & G. Code, § 2800 et. seq.)).

- b. A discussion of both the short-term and long-term effects of the Project on species population distribution and concentration, as well as alterations of the ecosystem supporting those species impacted (CEQA Guidelines, § 15126.2(a)).
 - c. Impacts on, and maintenance of, wildlife corridor/movement areas, including access to undisturbed habitats in areas adjacent to the Project, should be fully analyzed and discussed in the DEIR.
 - d. A discussion of post-Project fate of drainage patterns, surface flows, and soil erosion and/or sedimentation in streams and water bodies. The discussion should also address the potential water extraction activities and the potential resulting impacts on habitat supported by the groundwater. Measures to mitigate such impacts should be included.
 - e. An analysis of impacts from proposed changes to land use designations and zoning, and existing land use designation and zoning located nearby or adjacent to natural areas that may inadvertently contribute to wildlife-human interactions. A discussion of possible conflicts and mitigation measures to reduce these conflicts should be included in the DEIR.
- 5) Cumulative Impact. Cumulative impacts on biological resources can result from collectively significant projects which are individually insignificant. The Project, when considered collectively with prior, concurrent, and probable future projects, may have a significant cumulative effect on biological resources. The Project may have the potential to substantially reduce the number or restrict the range of endangered, rare, or threatened species. Species that may be impacted by the Project include, but are not limited to, the biological resources described in this letter.

Accordingly, CDFW recommends the DEIR evaluate the Project's potential cumulative impacts on biological resources. The Project may have a "significant effect on the environment" if the possible effects of the Project are individually limited but cumulatively considerable. "Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of an individual project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects (Pub. Resources Code, § 21083(b)). The City's conclusions regarding the significance of the Project's cumulative impact should be justified and supported by evidence to make those conclusions. Specifically, if the City concludes that the Project would not result in cumulative impacts on biological resources, the City, "shall identify facts and analysis

Manraj Bhatia
City of Long Beach
May 29, 2025
Page 11 of 16

supporting the Lead Agency's conclusion that the cumulative impact is less than significant" (CEQA Guidelines section § 15130(a)(2)).

- 6) Mitigation Measures. Public agencies have a duty under CEQA to prevent significant, avoidable damage to the environment by requiring changes in a project through the use of feasible alternatives or mitigation measures (CEQA Guidelines, §§ 15002(a)(3), 15021). Pursuant to CEQA Guidelines section 15126.4, an environmental document shall describe feasible measures which could mitigate impacts below a significant level under CEQA. Mitigation measures must be feasible, effective, implementable, and fully enforceable/imposed by the lead agency through permit conditions, agreements, or other legally binding instruments (Pub. Resources Code, § 21081.6(b); CEQA Guidelines, § 15126.4).
 - a. The DEIR should provide mitigation measures that are specific and detailed (i.e., responsible party, timing, specific actions, location) in order for a mitigation measure to be fully enforceable and implemented successfully via a mitigation monitoring and/or reporting program (Pub. Resources Code, § 21081.6; CEQA Guidelines, § 15097).
 - b. If a proposed mitigation measure would cause one or more significant effects, in addition to impacts caused by the proposed Project, the DEIR should include a discussion of the effects of proposed mitigation measures (CEQA Guidelines, § 15126.4(a)(1)). In that regard, the DEIR should provide an adequate, complete, and detailed disclosure about the Project's proposed mitigation measure(s). Adequate disclosure is necessary so CDFW may assess the potential impacts of proposed mitigation measures.
- 7) Compensatory Mitigation. The DEIR should include compensatory mitigation measures for the Project's significant impacts (direct and/or through habitat modification) to sensitive and special status plants, animals, and habitats. Mitigation measures should emphasize avoidance and minimization of Project-related impacts. For unavoidable impacts, on-site habitat restoration or enhancement should be discussed in detail. If on-site mitigation is not feasible or would not be biologically viable and therefore inadequate to mitigate the loss of biological functions and values, off-site mitigation through habitat creation and/or acquisition and preservation in perpetuity should be addressed. Areas proposed as mitigation lands should be protected in perpetuity with a conservation easement and financial assurance and dedicated to a qualified entity for long-term management and monitoring.
- 8) Long-term Management of Mitigation Lands. For proposed mitigation lands, the DEIR should include measures to protect the targeted habitat values in perpetuity. The mitigation should offset Project-induced qualitative and quantitative losses of biological resources. Issues that should be addressed include (but are not limited

Manraj Bhatia
City of Long Beach
May 29, 2025
Page 12 of 16

to) restrictions on access, proposed land dedications, monitoring and management programs, control of illegal dumping, water pollution, and increased human intrusion. An appropriate endowment should be set aside to provide for long-term management of mitigation lands.

- 9) Translocation/Salvage of Plants and Animal Species. Translocation and transplantation is the process of removing plants and wildlife from one location and permanently moving it to a new location. CDFW generally does not support the use of translocation or transplantation as the primary mitigation strategy for unavoidable impacts to endangered, rare, or threatened plants and animals. These efforts are experimental, and the outcome is unreliable. CDFW has found that permanent preservation and management of habitat capable of supporting these species is often a more effective long-term strategy for conserving plants and animals and their habitats.
- 10) Scientific Collecting Permit. A Scientific Collecting Permit would be necessary if there is a plan to capture and relocate wildlife. Pursuant to the California Code of Regulations, title 14, section 650, qualified biologist(s) must obtain appropriate handling permits to capture, temporarily possess, and relocate wildlife to avoid harm or mortality in connection with Project-related activities. CDFW has the authority to issue permits for the take or possession of wildlife, including mammals; birds, nests, and eggs; reptiles, amphibians, fish, plants; and invertebrates (Fish & G. Code, §§ 1002, 1002.5, 1003). A Scientific Collecting Permit is required to monitor project impacts on wildlife resources, as required by environmental documents, permits, or other legal authorizations; and, to capture, temporarily possess, and relocate wildlife to avoid harm or mortality in connection with otherwise lawful activities (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 14, § 650). For more information, please see CDFW's [Scientific Collecting Permit webpage](#).
- 11) Wetland Resources. CDFW, as described in Fish and Game Code section 703(a), is guided by the [Fish and Game Commission's \(Commission\) policies](#). Through its Wetlands Resources policy, the Commission "...seek[s] to provide for the protection, preservation, restoration, enhancement, and expansion of wetland habitat in California" (California Fish and Game Commission, 2005). It is the policy of the Commission to strongly discourage development in or conversion of wetlands. It opposes, consistent with its legal authority, any development or conversion that would result in a reduction of wetland acreage or wetland habitat values. To that end, the Commission opposes wetland development proposals unless, at a minimum, project mitigation assures there will be 'no net loss' of either wetland habitat values or acreage. The Commission strongly prefers mitigation which would achieve expansion of wetland acreage and enhancement of wetland habitat values."

Manraj Bhatia
City of Long Beach
May 29, 2025
Page 13 of 16

- a. The Wetlands Resources policy provides a framework for maintaining wetland resources and establishes mitigation guidance. CDFW encourages avoidance of wetland resources as a primary mitigation measure and discourages the development or type conversion of wetlands to uplands. CDFW encourages activities that would avoid the reduction of wetland acreage, function, or habitat values. Once avoidance and minimization measures have been exhausted, a project should include mitigation measures to assure a “no net loss” of either wetland habitat values, or acreage, for unavoidable impacts to wetland resources. Conversions include, but are not limited to, conversion to subsurface drains, placement of fill or building of structures within the wetland, and channelization or removal of materials from the streambed. All wetlands and watercourses, whether ephemeral, intermittent, or perennial, should be retained and provided with substantial setbacks, which preserve the riparian and aquatic values and functions benefiting local and transient wildlife populations. CDFW recommends mitigation measures to compensate for unavoidable impacts be included in the DEIR and these measures should compensate for the loss of function and value.
 - b. The Fish and Game Commission’s Water policy guides CDFW on the quantity and quality of the waters of this State that should be apportioned and maintained respectively so as to produce and sustain maximum numbers of fish and wildlife; to provide maximum protection and enhancement of fish and wildlife and their habitat; encourage and support programs to maintain or restore a high quality of the waters of this State; prevent the degradation thereof caused by pollution and contamination; and, endeavor to keep as much water as possible open and accessible to the public for the use and enjoyment of fish and wildlife. CDFW recommends avoidance of water practices and structures that use excessive amounts of water, and minimization of impacts that negatively affect water quality, to the extent feasible (Fish & G. Code, § 5650).
- 12) Use of Native Plants and Trees. CDFW recommends the City require the Project Applicant to provide a native plant palette for the Project. The Project’s landscaping plan should be disclosed and evaluated in the DEIR for potential impacts on biological resources such as natural communities adjacent to the Project site (e.g., introducing non-native, invasive species). CDFW supports the use of native plants for the Project especially considering the Project’s location adjacent to protected open space and natural areas. CDFW strongly recommends avoiding non-native, invasive species for landscaping and restoration, particularly any species listed as ‘Moderate’ or ‘High’ by the [California Invasive Plant Council](#) CDFW supports the use of native species found in naturally occurring plant communities within or adjacent to the Project site. In addition, CDFW supports planting species of trees, such as oaks (*Quercus* genus), and understory vegetation (e.g., ground cover, subshrubs, and shrubs) that create habitat and provide a food source for birds. CDFW recommends retaining any standing, dead, or dying tree (snags) where

Manraj Bhatia
City of Long Beach
May 29, 2025
Page 14 of 16

possible because snags provide perching and nesting habitat for birds and raptors. Finally, CDFW supports planting species of vegetation with high insect and pollinator value.

ENVIRONMENTAL DATA

CEQA requires that information developed in environmental impact reports and negative declarations be incorporated into a database which may be used to make subsequent or supplemental environmental determinations. (Pub. Resources Code, § 21003, subd. (e).) Accordingly, please report any special status species and natural communities detected during Project surveys to CNDDDB. The [CNDDDB website](#) provides direction regarding the types of information that should be reported and allows on-line submittal of field survey forms.

In addition, information on special status native plant populations and sensitive natural communities, should be submitted to CDFW's Vegetation Classification and Mapping Program using the [Combined Rapid Assessment and Relevé Form](#).

The City should ensure data collected for the preparation of the DEIR is properly submitted.

FILING FEES

The Project, as proposed, would have an impact on fish and/or wildlife, and assessment of filing fees is necessary. Fees are payable upon filing of the Notice of Determination by the Lead Agency and serve to help defray the cost of environmental review by CDFW. Payment of the fee is required in order for the underlying project approval to be operative, vested, and final. (Cal. Code Regs, tit. 14, § 753.5; Fish & G. Code, § 711.4; Pub. Resources Code, § 21089.)

CONCLUSION

CDFW appreciates the opportunity to comment on the NOP to assist the City in identifying and mitigating Project impacts on biological resources.

Manraj Bhatia
City of Long Beach
May 29, 2025
Page 15 of 16

Questions regarding this letter or further coordination should be directed to Andrew Aitken³, Environmental Scientist.

Sincerely,

DocuSigned by:

5991E19EF8094C3...
Victoria Tang
Environmental Program Manager
South Coast Region

ec: California Department of Fish and Wildlife
Steve Gibson, Senior Environmental Scientist (Supervisor)

Office of Planning and Research
State.Clearinghouse@opr.ca.gov

REFERENCES

[Cal-IPC] California Invasive Plant Council. 2024. The Cal-IPC Inventory. Available at:
<https://www.cal-ipc.org/plants/inventory/>

[CBD] Center for Biological Diversity. 2024. Petition To The State of California Fish And Game Commission And Supporting Information For Listing The California Population Of The Western Burrowing Owl (*Athene Cunicularia Hypugaea*) As An Endangered Or Threatened Species Under The California Endangered Species Act. Available at:
https://www.biologicaldiversity.org/species/birds/western_burrowing_owl/pdfs/petition.pdf

[CDFW] California Department of Fish and Game. (2011). *CNDDDB Data Use Guidelines*. Retrieved from
<https://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/FileHandler.ashx?DocumentID=27285&inline>

[CDFW] California Department of Fish and Wildlife. (2021). *Protocols for Surveying and Evaluating Impacts to Special Status Native Plant Populations and Sensitive Natural Communities*.
<https://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/FileHandler.ashx?DocumentID=18959&inline>.

[CDFW] California Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2024a. California Natural Diversity Database. Available at: <https://wildlife.ca.gov/Data/CNDDDB>

³Phone: (562) 525-9688; Email: Andrew.Aitken@wildlife.ca.gov

Manraj Bhatia
City of Long Beach
May 29, 2025
Page 16 of 16

[CDFW] California Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2024b. Monarch Butterfly. Available at: <https://wildlife.ca.gov/Conservation/Invertebrates/Monarch-Butterfly>

[CDFW] California Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2024f. Natural Communities - Submitting Information. Combined Rapid Assessment and Revele Form. Available at: <https://wildlife.ca.gov/Data/VegCAMP/Natural-Communities/Submit>

[CDFW] California Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2024d. Scientific Collecting Permit. Available at: <https://wildlife.ca.gov/Licensing/Scientific-Collecting#53949678>

[CDFW] California Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2024. Lake and Streambed Alteration Program. Available at: <https://wildlife.ca.gov/Conservation/Environmental-Review/LSA>

[CDFW] California Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2024e. Surveying and Monitoring Protocols and Guidelines. Available at: <https://wildlife.ca.gov/Conservation/SurveyProtocols>

[CDFW] California Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2012. Staff Report on Burrowing Owl Mitigation. Available at: <https://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/FileHandler.ashx?DocumentID=83843>

[CDFW] California Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2024c. Vegetation Classification and Mapping Program Natural Communities. Available at: <https://wildlife.ca.gov/Data/VegCAMP/Natural-Communities>

[CFGFC] California Fish and Game Commission. (2005). *Miscellaneous Policies*. Retrieved from <https://fgc.ca.gov/About/Policies/Miscellaneous>

[CFGFC] California Fish and Game Commission. 2024. Wetland Resources. Available at: <https://fgc.ca.gov/About/Policies/Miscellaneous>

[CNPS] Sawyer, J. O., Keeler-Wolf, T., & Evens, J. M. (2009). *A Manual of California Vegetation* (Second ed.). Sacramento, CA: California Native Plant Society. Retrieved from <https://vegetation.cnps.org/>