

Armtec Master Plan

NOISE IMPACT ANALYSIS CITY OF COACHELLA

PREPARED BY:

William Maddux, INCE bmaddux@urbanxroads.com (619) 788-1971

Noah Johnson njohnson@urbanxroads.com

JULY 26, 2024

15967_02 Noise Study.docx





TABLE OF CONTENTS

TA	BLE O	F CONTENTS	. III				
AF	APPENDICESIV						
LIS	IST OF EXHIBITS IV						
LIS	ST OF T	ABLES	V				
		ABBREVIATED TERMS	VI				
СЛ 1			1 2				
1							
	1.1	Site Location	3				
	1.2	Project Description	3				
2	FU	NDAMENTALS	7				
	2.1	Range of Noise	7				
	2.2	Noise Descriptors	8				
	2.3	Sound Propagation	8				
	2.4	Noise Control	9				
	2.5	Noise Barrier Attenuation	9				
	2.6	Land Use Compatibility With Noise	. 10				
	2./ 20	Exposure to High Noise Levels	. 10				
	2.0 2 Q	Vibration	. 11 11				
~	2.5						
3	REG	JULATORY SETTING	15				
	3.1	State of California Noise Requirements	. 15				
	3.2	City of Coachella General Plan Noise Element	. 15				
	3.3 2.4	Operational Noise Standards	. 17				
	3.4 25	Construction Noise Standards	. 17 10				
	3.5		18				
	0.0		. 10				
4	SIG		. 21				
	4.1	Noise Level Increases (Threshold A)	. 21				
	4.2	Vibration (Threshold B)	. 22				
	4.3 1 1	Airport Noise Impacts (Threshold C)	. 22				
_	4.4		. 22				
5	EXI	STING NOISE LEVEL MEASUREMENTS	25				
	5.1	Measurement Procedure and Criteria	. 25				
	5.2	Noise Measurement Locations	. 25				
	5.3	Noise Measurement Results	. 27				
6	TR/	AFFIC NOISE METHODS AND PROCEDURES	29				
	6.1	FHWA Traffic Noise Prediction Model	. 29				
7	OF	F-SITE TRAFFIC NOISE IMPACTS	33				
	71	Traffic Noise Level Contours	22				
	7.2	Existing Condition Project Traffic Noise Levels	. 37				
	7.3	EA 2026 Traffic Noise Level Increases	. 38				
	7.4	EAC 2026 Traffic Noise Level Increases	. 39				



8	ŀ	AIRCRAFT NOISE	41
9	F	RECEIVER LOCATIONS	43
10	C	DPERATIONAL NOISE IMPACTS	45
	10.	1 Operational Noise Sources	45
	10.	2 Reference Noise Levels	45
	10.	3 CadnaA Noise Prediction Model	47
	10.4	4 Operational Noise Levels	48
	10.	5 Operational Noise Level Compliance	49
	10.	6 Project Operational Noise Contribution	50
11	C	CONSTRUCTION NOISE IMPACTS	53
	11.	1 Construction Noise Levels	53
	11.	2 Construction Reference Noise Levels	53
	11.	3 Construction Noise Analysis	55
	11.	4 Construction Noise Level Compliance	56
	11.	5 Construction Vibration Analysis	57
12	F	REFERENCES	59
13	C	ERTIFICATION	61

APPENDICES

APPENDIX 3.1: CITY OF COACHELLA MUNICIPAL CODE APPENDIX 5.1: STUDY AREA PHOTOS APPENDIX 5.2: NOISE LEVEL MEASUREMENT WORKSHEETS APPENDIX 7.1: OFF-SITE NOISE CALCULATIONS APPENDIX 10.1: OPERATIONAL NOISE CALCULATIONS APPENDIX 11.1: CONSTRUCTION NOISE LEVEL CALCULATIONS

LIST OF EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT 1-A: L	LOCATION MAP	. 4
EXHIBIT 1-B: S	SITE PLAN	. 5
EXHIBIT 2-A: 1	TYPICAL NOISE LEVELS	. 7
EXHIBIT 2-B: N	NOISE LEVEL INCREASE PERCEPTION	11
EXHIBIT 2-C: T	TYPICAL LEVELS OF GROUND-BORNE VIBRATION	13
EXHIBIT 3-A: L	LAND USE/NOISE COMPATIBILITY MATRIX	16
EXHIBIT 3-B: J	ICRA COMPATIBILITY ZONES	19
EXHIBIT 3-C: J	CRA COMPATIBILITY CRITERIA	20
EXHIBIT 5-A: N	NOISE MEASUREMENT LOCATIONS OVERVIEW	26
EXHIBIT 8-A: J	ACQUELINE COCHRAN REGIONAL AIRPORT ALUCP NOISE LEVEL CONTOURS	42
EXHIBIT 9-A: F	RECEIVER LOCATIONS	44
EXHIBIT 10-A:	OPERATIONAL NOISE LOCATIONS	46
EXHIBIT 11-A:	TYPICAL CONSTRUCTION NOISE SOURCE LOCATIONS	54



LIST OF TABLES

LIST OF ABBREVIATED TERMS

(1)	Reference
ANSI	American National Standards Institute
CEQA	California Environmental Quality Act
CNEL	Community Noise Equivalent Level
dBA	A-weighted decibels
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
FHWA	Federal Highway Administration
FICON	Federal Interagency Committee on Noise
FTA	Federal Transit Administration
INCE	Institute of Noise Control Engineering
Leq	Equivalent continuous (average) sound level
Lmax	Maximum level measured over the time interval
Lmin	Minimum level measured over the time interval
MCL	Maximum contaminant levels
NIOSH	National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health
PPV	Peak particle velocity
Project	Armtec Master Plan
RCNM	Roadway Construction Noise Model
VdB	Vibration Decibels



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This noise study has been prepared to determine the noise exposure and the necessary noise mitigation measures for the proposed Armtec Master Plan Project. The Project is located on the southwest corner of Tyler Street and Avenue 53 in the City of Coachella. The Project is proposed to consist of the expansion of an existing defense technologies facility which currently manufactures combustible ordinance. The purpose of this noise analysis is to ensure that the proposed operational and construction activities within the Project study area are compatible with the existing and future noise environment. The potential noise impacts on the sensitive land uses near the A summary of findings for CEQA significance criteria is shown in Table ES-1.

Anglusia	Report	Significance Findings		
Analysis	Section	Unmitigated	Mitigated	
Off-Site Traffic Noise	7	Less Than Significant	-	
Aircraft Noise	9	Less Than Significant	-	
Operational Noise	10	Less Than Significant	-	
Construction Noise	11	Less Than Significant	-	
Construction Vibration		Less Than Significant	-	

TABLE ES-1: SUMMARY OF CEQA SIGNIFICANCE FINDINGS



This page intentionally left blank



1 INTRODUCTION

This noise analysis has been completed to determine the potential noise impacts related to the development of the proposed Armtec Master Plan ("Project"). This noise study briefly describes the proposed Project, provides information regarding noise fundamentals, describes the local regulatory setting, and evaluates the potential Project-related long-term operational and temporary noise impacts associated with the construction of the.

1.1 SITE LOCATION

The Project is located on the southwest corner of Tyler Street and Avenue 53 in the City of Coachella, as shown on Exhibit 1-A. To the east and north are residential uses and to the south and west are agricultural fields. The closest highway is Highway 111 located .28 miles to the east and the closest airport is the Jacqueline Cochran Regional Airport, located 1.3 miles to the south.

1.2 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The Project is proposed to consist of the expansion of an existing defense technologies facility which currently manufactures combustible ordinance and is split by the road Avenue 53. The Project includes the construction and operation of new warehouses totaling 30,000 square feet (SF), new production facilities totaling 18,000 SF, expanding existing warehouses by a total of 6,000 SF as well as two new storage facilities totaling 1,800 SF and a new truck staging area. The site plan is shown on Exhibit 1-B.





EXHIBIT 1-A: LOCATION MAP





EXHIBIT 1-B: SITE PLAN

LEGEND:



Ń

This page intentionally left blank



2 FUNDAMENTALS

Noise has been simply defined as "unwanted sound." Sound becomes unwanted when it interferes with normal activities, when it causes actual physical harm, or when it has adverse effects on health. Noise is measured on a logarithmic scale of sound pressure level known as a decibel (dB). A-weighted decibels (dBA) approximate the subjective response of the human ear to broad frequency noise source by discriminating against very low and very high frequencies of the audible spectrum. They are adjusted to reflect only those frequencies which are audible to the human ear. Exhibit 2-A presents a summary of the typical noise levels and their subjective loudness and effects that are described in more detail below.

COMMON OUTDOOR ACTIVITIES	COMMON INDOOR ACTIVITIES	A - WEIGHTED SOUND LEVEL dBA	SUBJECTIVE LOUDNESS	EFFECTS OF NOISE	
THRESHOLD OF PAIN		140			
NEAR JET ENGINE		130	INTOLERABLE OR	HEARING LOCG	
		120	DEAFENING		
JET FLY-OVER AT 300m (1000 ft)	ROCK BAND	110			
LOUD AUTO HORN		100			
GAS LAWN MOWER AT 1m (3 ft)		90	VERY NOISY		
DIESEL TRUCK AT 15m (50 ft), at 80 km/hr (50 mph)	FOOD BLENDER AT 1m (3 ft)	80		1	
NOISY URBAN AREA, DAYTIME	VACUUM CLEANER AT 3m (10 ft)	70	LOUD	SPEECH INTERFERENCE	
HEAVY TRAFFIC AT 90m (300 ft)	NORMAL SPEECH AT 1m (3 ft)	60	1000		
QUIET URBAN DAYTIME	LARGE BUSINESS OFFICE	50	MODERATE	SLEEP DISTURBANCE	
QUIET URBAN NIGHTTIME	THEATER, LARGE CONFERENCE ROOM (BACKGROUND)	40			
QUIET SUBURBAN NIGHTTIME	LIBRARY	30			
QUIET RURAL NIGHTTIME	BEDROOM AT NIGHT, CONCERT HALL (BACKGROUND)	20	FAINT		
	BROADCAST/RECORDING STUDIO	10	VERY FAINT	NO EFFECT	
LOWEST THRESHOLD OF HUMAN HEARING	LOWEST THRESHOLD OF HUMAN HEARING	0	VENT FAINT		

EXHIBIT 2-A: TYPICAL NOISE LEVELS

Source: Environmental Protection Agency Office of Noise Abatement and Control, Information on Levels of Environmental Noise Requisite to Protect Public Health and Welfare with an Adequate Margin of Safety (EPA/ONAC 550/9-74-004) March 1974.

2.1 RANGE OF NOISE

Since the range of intensities that the human ear can detect is so large, the scale frequently used to measure intensity is a scale based on multiples of 10, the logarithmic scale. The scale for measuring intensity is the decibel scale. Each interval of 10 decibels indicates a sound energy ten times greater than before, which is perceived by the human ear as being roughly twice as loud. (1) The most common sounds vary between 40 dBA (very quiet) to 100 dBA (very loud). Normal conversation at three feet is at roughly 60 dBA, while loud jet engine noises equate to 110 dBA

at approximately 100 feet, which can cause serious discomfort. (2) Another important aspect of noise is the duration of the sound and the way it is described and distributed in time.

2.2 NOISE DESCRIPTORS

Environmental noise descriptors are generally based on averages, rather than instantaneous, noise levels. The most commonly used figure is the equivalent level (Leq). Equivalent sound levels are not measured directly but are calculated from sound pressure levels typically measured in A-weighted decibels (dBA). The equivalent sound level (Leq) represents a steady state sound level containing the same total energy as a time varying signal over a given sample period and is commonly used to describe the "average" noise levels within the environment.

Peak hour or average noise levels, while useful, do not completely describe a given noise environment. Noise levels lower than peak hour may be disturbing if they occur during times when quiet is most desirable, namely evening and nighttime (sleeping) hours. To account for this, the Community Noise Equivalent Level (CNEL), representing a composite 24-hour noise level is utilized. The CNEL is the weighted average of the intensity of a sound, with corrections for time of day, and averaged over 24 hours. The time of day corrections require the addition of 5 decibels to dBA Leq sound levels in the evening from 7:00 p.m. to 10:00 p.m., and the additions are made to account for the noise sensitive time periods during the evening and night hours when sound appears louder. CNEL does not represent the actual sound level heard at any particular time, but rather represents the total sound exposure. The City of Coachella relies on the 24-hour CNEL level to assess land use compatibility with transportation-related noise sources.

2.3 SOUND PROPAGATION

When sound propagates over a distance, it changes in level and frequency content. The manner in which noise reduces with distance depends on the following factors.

2.3.1 GEOMETRIC SPREADING

Sound from a localized source (i.e., a stationary point source) propagates uniformly outward in a spherical pattern. The sound level attenuates (or decreases) at a rate of 6 dB for each doubling of distance from a point source. Highways consist of several localized noise sources on a defined path and hence can be treated as a line source, which approximates the effect of several point sources. Noise from a line source propagates outward in a cylindrical pattern, often referred to as cylindrical spreading. Sound levels attenuate at a rate of 3 dB for each doubling of distance from a line source.

2.3.2 GROUND ABSORPTION

The propagation path of noise from a highway to a receptor is usually very close to the ground. Noise attenuation from ground absorption and reflective wave canceling adds to the attenuation associated with geometric spreading. Traditionally, the excess attenuation has also been expressed in terms of attenuation per doubling of distance. This approximation is usually



sufficiently accurate for distances of less than 200 feet. For acoustically hard sites (i.e., sites with a reflective surface between the source and the receptor, such as a parking lot or body of water), no excess ground attenuation is assumed. For acoustically absorptive or soft sites (i.e., those sites with an absorptive ground surface between the source and the receptor such as soft dirt, grass, or scattered bushes and trees), an excess ground attenuation value of 1.5 dB per doubling of distance is normally assumed. When added to the cylindrical spreading, the excess ground attenuation results in an overall drop-off rate of 4.5 dB per doubling of distance from a line source.

2.3.3 ATMOSPHERIC EFFECTS

Receptors located downwind from a source can be exposed to increased noise levels relative to calm conditions, whereas locations upwind can have lowered noise levels. Sound levels can be increased at large distances (e.g., more than 500 feet) due to atmospheric temperature inversion (i.e., increasing temperature with elevation). Other factors such as air temperature, humidity, and turbulence can also have significant effects.

2.3.4 SHIELDING

A large object or barrier in the path between a noise source and a receptor can substantially attenuate noise levels at the receptor. The amount of attenuation provided by shielding depends on the size of the object and the frequency content of the noise source. Shielding by trees and other such vegetation typically only has an "out of sight, out of mind" effect. That is, the perception of noise impact tends to decrease when vegetation blocks the line-of-sight to nearby residents. However, for vegetation to provide a substantial, or even noticeable, noise reduction, the vegetation area must be at least 15 feet in height, 100 feet wide and dense enough to completely obstruct the line-of-sight between the source and the receiver. This size of vegetation may provide up to 5 dBA of noise reduction. The FHWA does not consider the planting of vegetation to be a noise abatement measure.

2.4 NOISE CONTROL

Noise control is the process of obtaining an acceptable noise environment for a particular observation point or receptor by controlling the noise source, transmission path, receptor, or all three. This concept is known as the source-path-receptor concept. In general, noise control measures can be applied to any and all of these three elements.

2.5 NOISE BARRIER ATTENUATION

Effective noise barriers can reduce noise levels by 10 to 15 dBA, cutting the loudness of traffic noise in half. A noise barrier is most effective when placed close to the noise source or receptor. Noise barriers, however, do have limitations. For a noise barrier to work, it must be high enough and long enough to block the path of the noise source. (3)



2.6 LAND USE COMPATIBILITY WITH NOISE

Some land uses are more tolerant of noise than others. For example, schools, hospitals, churches and residences are more sensitive to noise intrusion than are commercial or industrial developments and related activities. As ambient noise levels affect the perceived amenity or livability of a development, so too can the mismanagement of noise impacts impair the economic health and growth potential of a community by reducing the area's desirability as a place to live, shop and work. For this reason, land use compatibility with the noise environment is an important consideration in the planning and design process.

The FHWA encourages State and Local government to regulate land development in such a way that noise-sensitive land uses are either prohibited from being located adjacent to a highway, or that the developments are planned, designed, and constructed in such a way that noise impacts are minimized. (4)

2.7 COMMUNITY RESPONSE TO NOISE

Community responses to noise may range from registering a complaint by telephone or letter, to initiating court action, depending upon each individual's susceptibility to noise and personal attitudes about noise. Several factors are related to the level of community annoyance including:

- Fear associated with noise-producing activities;
- Socio-economic status and educational level;
- Perception that those affected are being unfairly treated;
- Attitudes regarding the usefulness of the noise-producing activity;
- Belief that the noise source can be controlled.

Approximately ten percent of the population has a very low tolerance for noise and will object to any noise not of their making. Consequently, even in the quietest environment, some complaints will occur. Another 25 percent of the population will not complain even in very severe noise environments. Thus, a variety of reactions can be expected from people exposed to any given noise environment. (5) Surveys have shown that about ten percent of the people exposed to traffic noise of 60 dBA will report being highly annoyed with the noise, and each increase of one dBA is associated with approximately two percent more people being highly annoyed. When traffic noise exceeds 60 dBA or aircraft noise exceeds 55 dBA, people may begin to complain. (5)

Despite this variability in behavior on an individual level, the population can be expected to exhibit the following responses to changes in noise levels as shown on Exhibit 2-B. An increase or decrease of 1 dBA cannot be perceived except in carefully controlled laboratory experiments, a change of 3 dBA is considered *barely perceptible*, and changes of 5 dBA are considered *readily perceptible*. (3)





EXHIBIT 2-B: NOISE LEVEL INCREASE PERCEPTION

2.8 EXPOSURE TO HIGH NOISE LEVELS

The Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) sets legal limits on noise exposure in the workplace. The permissible exposure limit (PEL) for a worker over an eight-hour day is 90 dBA. The OSHA standard uses a 5 dBA exchange rate. This means that when the noise level is increased by 5 dBA, the amount of time a person can be exposed to a certain noise level to receive the same dose is cut in half. The National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) has recommended that all worker exposures to noise should be controlled below a level equivalent to 85 dBA for eight hours to minimize occupational noise induced hearing loss. NIOSH also recommends a 3 dBA exchange rate so that every increase by 3 dBA doubles the amount of the noise and halves the recommended amount of exposure time. (6)

OSHA has implemented requirements to protect all workers in general industry (e.g., the manufacturing and the service sectors) for employers to implement a Hearing Conservation Program where workers are exposed to a time weighted average noise level of 85 dBA or higher over an eight-hour work shift. Hearing Conservation Programs require employers to measure noise levels, provide free annual hearing exams and free hearing protection, provide training, and conduct evaluations of the adequacy of the hearing protectors in use unless changes to tools, equipment, and schedules are made so that they are less noisy and worker exposure to noise is less than the 85 dBA. This noise study does not evaluate the noise exposure of workers within a project or construction site based on CEQA requirements, and instead, evaluates Project-related operational and construction noise levels at the nearby sensitive receiver locations in the Project study area. Further, periodic exposure to high noise levels in short duration, such as Project construction, is typically considered an annoyance and not impactful to human health. It would take several years of exposure to high noise levels to result in hearing impairment. (7)

2.9 VIBRATION

Per the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) *Transit Noise Impact and Vibration Assessment* (8), vibration is the periodic oscillation of a medium or object. The rumbling sound caused by the vibration of room surfaces is called structure-borne noise. Sources of ground-borne vibrations include natural phenomena (e.g., earthquakes, volcanic eruptions, sea waves, landslides) or human-made causes (e.g., explosions, machinery, traffic, trains, construction equipment). Vibration sources may be continuous, such as factory machinery, or transient, such as explosions.



As is the case with airborne sound, ground-borne vibrations may be described by amplitude and frequency.

There are several different methods that are used to quantify vibration. The peak particle velocity (PPV) is defined as the maximum instantaneous peak of the vibration signal. The PPV is most frequently used to describe vibration impacts to buildings, but is not always suitable for evaluating human response (annoyance) because it takes some time for the human body to respond to vibration signals. Instead, the human body responds to average vibration amplitude often described as the root mean square (RMS). The RMS amplitude is defined as the average of the squared amplitude of the signal, and is most frequently used to describe the effect of vibration on the human body. Decibel notation (VdB) is commonly used to measure RMS. Decibel notation (VdB) serves to reduce the range of numbers used to describe human response to vibration. Typically, ground-borne vibration generated by man-made activities attenuates rapidly with distance from the source of the vibration. Sensitive receivers for vibration include structures (especially older masonry structures), people (especially residents, the elderly, and sick), and vibration-sensitive equipment.

The background vibration-velocity level in residential areas is generally 50 VdB. Ground-borne vibration is normally perceptible to humans at approximately 65 VdB. For most people, a vibration-velocity level of 75 VdB is the approximate dividing line between barely perceptible and distinctly perceptible levels. Typical outdoor sources of perceptible ground-borne vibration are construction equipment, steel-wheeled trains, and traffic on rough roads. If a roadway is smooth, the ground-borne vibration is rarely perceptible. The range of interest is from approximately 50 VdB, which is the typical background vibration-velocity level, to 100 VdB, which is the general threshold where minor damage can occur in fragile buildings. Exhibit 2-C illustrates common vibration sources and the human and structural response to ground-borne vibration.





EXHIBIT 2-C: TYPICAL LEVELS OF GROUND-BORNE VIBRATION

* RMS Vibration Velocity Level in VdB relative to 10⁻⁶ inches/second

Source: Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Transit Noise Impact and Vibration Assessment.



This page intentionally left blank



3 REGULATORY SETTING

To limit population exposure to physically and/or psychologically damaging as well as intrusive noise levels, the federal government, the State of California, various county governments, and most municipalities in the state have established standards and ordinances to control noise. In most areas, automobile and truck traffic is the major source of environmental noise. Traffic activity generally produces an average sound level that remains constant with time. Air and rail traffic, and commercial and industrial activities are also major sources of noise in some areas. Federal, state, and local agencies regulate different aspects of environmental noise. Federal and state agencies generally set noise standards for mobile sources such as aircraft and motor vehicles, while regulation of stationary sources is left to local agencies.

3.1 STATE OF CALIFORNIA NOISE REQUIREMENTS

The State of California regulates freeway noise, sets standards for sound transmission, provides occupational noise control criteria, identifies noise standards, and provides guidance for local land use compatibility. State law requires that each county and city adopt a General Plan that includes a Noise Element which is to be prepared according to guidelines adopted by the Governor's Office of Planning and Research. (9) The purpose of the Noise Element is to *limit the exposure of the community to excessive noise levels*. In addition, the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requires that all known environmental effects of a project be analyzed, including environmental noise impacts.

3.2 CITY OF COACHELLA GENERAL PLAN NOISE ELEMENT

The City of Coachella has adopted a Noise Element of the General Plan as *a tool for local planners to use in achieving and maintaining land uses that are compatible with environmental noise levels*. (10) The Noise Element identifies noise goals and policies to protect City of Coachella residents from excessive noise. The goals of the Noise Element are as follows:

- Goal 1 Land Use Planning and Design. A community where noise compatibility between differing types of land uses is ensured through land use planning and design strategies.
- Goal 2 Stationary Source Noise. A community where excessive noise from stationary sources is minimized.
- Goal 3 Mobile Source Noise. A community where excessive noise from mobile sources is minimized.

To ensure noise-sensitive land uses are protected from high levels of noise (Goal 1), Exhibit 3-A identifies exterior noise level guidelines for new developments impacted by transportation noise sources such as arterial roads, freeways, airports and railroads. In addition, Table 1 of the Noise Technical Appendix provides an exterior noise level standard of 65 dBA CNEL and an interior noise level standard of 45 dBA CNEL for new residential developments impacted by transportation noise. The Noise Element also requires the analysis of new developments, as necessary, to identify mitigation measures to reduce noise levels to those found in Exhibit 3-A.



LAND USE CATEGORIES			CNEL					
CATEGORIES	USES	55 60 65 70 75 80						
RESIDENTIAL	Single Family, Duplex, Multiple Family							
RESIDENTIAL	Mobile Homes							
COMMERCIAL - Regional, District	Hotel, Motel, Transient Lodging							
COMMERCIAL - Regional, Village District, Special	Commercial Retail, Bank, Restaurant, Movie Theater							
COMMERCIAL NDUSTRIAL	Office Building, Research and Development, Professional Offices, City Office Building							
COMMERCIAL - Recreation NSTITUTIONAL - Civic Center	Amphitheater, Concert Hall Auditorium, Meeting Hall							
COMMERCIAL - Recreation	Children's Amusement Park, Miniature Golf Course, Go-cart Track, Equestrian Center, Sports Club							
COMMERCIAL - General, Special NDUSTRIAL, INSTITUTIONAL	Automobile Service Station, Auto Dealership, Manufacturing, Warehousing, Wholesale, Utilities							
NSTITUTIONAL - General	Hospital, Church, Library, School Classroom							
OPEN SPACE	Parks							
OPEN SPACE	Golf Couse, Cemeteries, Nature Centers, Wildlife Reserves, Wildlife Habitat							
AGRICULTURE	Agriculture							
NTERPRETATION								
ZONE A (GREEN) CLEARLY COMPATIBLE	Specified land use is satisfactory, based upon the ass construction, without any special noise insulation requi	umption f	that any	building	gs involv	/ed are	ofnon	
ZONE B (YELLOW) NORMALLY COMPATIBLE	New construction or development should be undertake requirements is made and needed noise insulation fea Conventional construction, with closed windows and fr normally suffice.	en only a atures inc resh air s	fter an a luded in upply sy	nalysis the des stems o	of the n sign are or air co	oise re determ nditioni	duction iined. ng will	
ZONE C (ORANGE) New construction or development should be discouraged. If new construction or development of proceed, a detailed analysis of the noise reduction requirements must be made and needed no insulation features included in the design.					does noise			
ZONE D (RED) CLEARLY INCOMPATIBLE	New construction or development should generally not	t be unde	ertaken.					

EXHIBIT 3-A: LAND USE/NOISE COMPATIBILITY MATRIX

* Construction of new residential uses will not be allowed within the 65 dBA CNEL contour for airport noise.

Source: City of Coachella General Plan Noise Element, Figure 10-1.

The noise criteria identified in the City of Coachella Noise Element are guidelines to evaluate the land use compatibility of transportation-related noise. The compatibility criteria, shown on Exhibit 3-A, provides the City with a planning tool to gauge the compatibility of land uses relative to existing and future exterior noise levels.

Exhibit 3-A provides guidelines to evaluate the acceptability of the transportation-related noise level impacts. These guidelines are based on the Governor's Office of Planning and Research and are used to assess the long-term traffic noise impacts on land uses. According to the land use compatibility guidelines of the General Plan, the utility/institutional land use of the Project is considered *clearly compatible* with exterior noise levels approaching 70 dBA CNEL. For



comparison, noise-sensitive residential land uses are considered *clearly compatible* with exterior noise levels of 60 dBA CNEL. (10)

3.3 OPERATIONAL NOISE STANDARDS

To analyze noise impacts originating from a designated fixed location or private property such as the Armtec Master Plan Project, operational source noise such as the roof top air conditioners and a truck staging/loading area are typically evaluated against standards established under a City's Municipal Code. For noise-sensitive residential properties, the City of Coachella Municipal Code, Section 7.04.030 (A), identifies exterior operational noise level limits for the daytime (6:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m.) hours of 55 dBA Leq and 45 dBA Leq during the nighttime (10:00 p.m. to 6:00 a.m.) hours. The City of Coachella Municipal Code noise level standards are shown in Table 3-1 and provided in Appendix 3.1.

Jurisdiction	Land Use	Time Period	Exterior Noise Level Standards (dBA Leq) ²
Casaballa ¹	Decidential	Daytime (6:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m.)	55
Coachella	Residential	Nighttime (10:00 p.m. to 6:00 a.m.)	45

TABLE 3-1: OPERATIONAL NOISE STANDARDS

¹ Source: City of Coachella Municipal Code, Section 7.04.030 (A).

² Leq represents a steady state sound level containing the same total energy as a time varying signal over a given sample period.

3.4 CONSTRUCTION NOISE STANDARDS

To control noise impacts associated with the construction of the proposed Project, the City has established limits to the hours of operation. Section 7.04.070 of the City's Municipal Code, provided in Appendix 3.1, indicates that construction activities shall be limited from October 1st through April 30th, Monday to Friday, between the hours of 6:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m., and between 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. on Saturdays, Sundays, and holidays. From May 1st through September 30th, construction is limited to between 5:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. Monday to Friday, and between 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. on Saturdays, Sundays, and holidays. (11) However, neither the City of Coachella General Plan nor Municipal Code establish numeric maximum acceptable construction source noise levels at potentially affected receivers. Therefore, a numerical construction threshold based on Federal Transit Administration (FTA) *Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment Manual* is used for analysis of daytime construction impacts, as discussed below.

According to the FTA, local noise ordinances are typically not very useful in evaluating construction noise. They usually relate to nuisance and hours of allowed activity, and sometimes specify limits in terms of maximum levels, but are generally not practical for assessing the impact of a construction project. Project construction noise criteria should account for the existing noise environment, the absolute noise levels during construction activities, the duration of the construction, and the adjacent land use. Due to the lack of standardized construction noise thresholds, the FTA provides guidelines that can be considered reasonable criteria for



construction noise assessment. The FTA considers a daytime exterior construction noise level of 80 dBA L_{eq} as a reasonable threshold for noise sensitive residential land use (12 p. 179).

3.5 CONSTRUCTION VIBRATION STANDARDS

Construction activity can result in varying degrees of ground-borne vibration, depending on the equipment and methods used, distance to the affected structures and soil type. Construction vibration is generally associated with pile driving and rock blasting. Other construction equipment such as air compressors, light trucks, hydraulic loaders, etc., generates little or no ground vibration (12).

To analyze vibration impacts associated with the Project, vibration-generating activities are appropriately evaluated against standards established under a City's Municipal Code if such standards exist. The City of Coachella does not identify specific construction vibration level limits. Therefore, for analysis purposes, the Caltrans *Transportation and Construction Vibration Guidance Manual*, (13 p. 38) Table 19, vibration damage are used in this noise study to assess potential temporary construction-related impacts at adjacent building locations. The nearest noise sensitive buildings adjacent to the Project site can best be described as "older residential structures" with a maximum acceptable continuous vibration threshold of 0.3 PPV (in/sec).

3.6 AIRCRAFT NOISE

The County of Riverside is responsible for the management and development of the Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan (ALUCP) for each public use and military airport in Riverside County. Each ALUCP identifies land use and noise level compatibility due to operations at airports as well as forecasted noise level contours based on future operations at each airport. These noise level contours and land use compatibility noise levels are used in determining whether a proposed land use is consistent with forecasted noise levels. The ALUCP for the Project site is the Jacqueline Cochran Regional Airport (JCRA) ALUCP. Exhibits 3-B and 3-C present the JCRA Compatibility Zones and the JCRA Compatibility Criteria, respectively. The Project is located in Zone D as shown on Exhibit 3-B





EXHIBIT 3-B: JCRA COMPATIBILITY ZONES



EXHIBIT 3-C: JCRA COMPATIBILITY CRITERIA



Exhibit JC-10, continued

4 SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA

The following significance criteria are based on currently adopted guidance provided by Appendix G of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines (14). For the purposes of this report, impacts would be potentially significant if the Project results in or causes:

- A. Generation of a substantial temporary or permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the vicinity of the project in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?
- B. Generation of excessive ground-borne vibration or ground-borne noise levels?
- C. For a project located within the vicinity of a private airstrip or an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?

4.1 Noise Level Increases (Threshold A)

Noise level increases resulting from the Project are evaluated based on the Appendix G CEQA Guidelines described above at the closest sensitive receiver locations. Under CEQA, consideration must be given to the magnitude of the increase, the existing ambient noise levels, and the location of noise-sensitive receivers to determine if a noise increase represents a significant adverse environmental impact. This approach *recognizes that there is no single noise increase that renders the noise impact significant.* (15) This is primarily because of the wide variation in individual thresholds of annoyance and differing individual experiences with noise. Thus, an important way of determining a person's subjective reaction to a new noise is the comparison of it to the existing environment to which one has adapted—the so-called *ambient* environment.

In general, the more a new noise exceeds the previously existing ambient noise level, the less acceptable the new noise will typically be judged. The Federal Interagency Committee on Noise (FICON) (16) developed guidance to be used for the assessment of project-generated increases in noise levels that consider the ambient noise level. The FICON recommendations are based on studies that relate aircraft noise levels to the percentage of persons highly annoyed by aircraft noise. Although the FICON recommendations were specifically developed to assess aircraft noise impacts, these recommendations are often used in environmental noise impact assessments involving the use of cumulative noise exposure metrics, such as the average-daily noise level (CNEL) and equivalent continuous noise level (L_{eq}). The FICON guidance provides an established source of criteria to assess the impacts of substantial temporary or permanent increase in ambient noise levels. Based on the FICON criteria, the amount to which a given noise level increase is considered acceptable is reduced when the without Project noise levels are already shown to exceed certain land-use specific exterior noise level criteria. The specific levels are based on typical responses to noise level increases of 5 dBA or *readily perceptible*, 3 dBA or *barely* perceptible, and 1.5 dBA depending on the underlying without Project noise levels for noisesensitive uses. These levels of increases and their perceived acceptance are consistent with guidance provided by both the Federal Highway Administration (17 p. 9) and Caltrans (1).

4.2 VIBRATION (THRESHOLD B)

As described in Section 3.5, the vibration impacts originating from the construction of the Project Armtec Master Planare appropriately evaluated using the Caltrans vibration damage thresholds to assess potential temporary construction-related impacts at adjacent building locations. The nearest noise sensitive buildings adjacent to the Project site can best be described as "older residential structures" with a maximum acceptable continuous vibration threshold of 0.30 PPV (in/sec).

4.3 AIRPORT NOISE IMPACTS (THRESHOLD C)

CEQA Noise Threshold C applies when there are nearby public and private airports and/or air strips and focuses on land use compatibility of the Project to nearby airports and airstrips. The Project is located approximately 1.3 miles north of the Jacqueline Cochran Regional Airport (JCRA). As such, the Project site would potentially be exposed to excessive noise levels from airport operations, and therefore, further noise analysis is conducted in relation to Appendix G to the CEQA Guidelines, Noise Threshold C.

4.4 SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA SUMMARY

Noise impacts shall be considered significant if any of the following occur as a direct result of the proposed Project. Table 4-1 shows the significance criteria summary matrix.

Amahusia	Land Has	Condition(c)	Significance Criteria			
Analysis	Land Use	Condition(s)	Daytime	Nighttime		
		if ambient is < 60 dBA Leq ¹	≥ 5 dBA Leq Pr	roject increase		
Offsite	Noise- Sensitive	if ambient is 60 - 65 dBA Leq ¹	≥ 3 dBA Leq Pr	roject increase		
Noise	Jensitive	if ambient is > 65 dBA Leq ¹	≥ 1.5 dBA Leq F	Project increase		
Aircraft	All	Exterior Noise Level Standards ²	See Exh	nibit 3-C		
	Noise- Sensitive	Exterior Noise Level Standards ³	55 dBA Leq	45 dBA Leq		
Operational		if ambient is < 60 dBA Leq ¹	≥ 5 dBA Leq Project increase			
Noise		if ambient is 60 - 65 dBA Leq ¹	≥ 3 dBA Leq Project increase			
		if ambient is > 65 dBA Leq ¹	≥ 1.5 dBA Leq F	Project increase		
Construction	Permitted Construction Hours ⁴	October 1st to April 30th 6:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. Mondays to Fridays	May 1st to Se 5:00 a.m. t Mondays	ptember 30th o 7:00 p.m. to Fridays		
Noise &	nours	All Year: 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. S	aturdays, Sundays, and holidays			
Vibration	Noise-	Noise Level Threshold ⁵	80 dBA Leq	n/a		
	Noise- Sensitive	Vibration Level Threshold ⁶	0.30 PPV (in/sec)	n/a		

TABLE 4-1: SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA SUMMARY

¹ Source: FICON, 1992.

² Source: Riverside County ALUCP, 2004

³ Source: City of Coachella Municipal Code, Section 7.04.030 (A).

⁴ Source: City of Coachella Municipal Code, Section 7.04.070.

⁵ Source: Federal Transit Administration, Transit Noise Vibration Impact Assessment Manual.

⁶ Source: U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Transit Administration, Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, May 2006.

"Daytime" = 6:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m.; "Nighttime" = 10:00 p.m. to 6:00 a.m.; "n/a" = No nighttime operation is anticipated at the Project site and no nighttime construction activity is permitted, and therefore, no nighttime noise level thresholds are identified.

This page intentionally left blank

5 EXISTING NOISE LEVEL MEASUREMENTS

To assess the existing noise level environment, five 24-hour noise level measurements were taken at sensitive receiver locations in the Project study area. The receiver locations were selected to describe and document the existing noise environment within the Project study area. Exhibit 5-A provides the boundaries of the Project study area and the noise level measurement locations. To fully describe the existing noise conditions, noise level measurements were collected by Urban Crossroads, Inc. on Thursday, June 13th, 2024. Appendix 5.1 includes study area photos.

5.1 MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE AND CRITERIA

To describe the existing noise environment, the hourly noise levels were measured during typical weekday conditions over a 24-hour period. By collecting individual hourly noise level measurements, it is possible to describe the daytime and nighttime hourly noise levels and calculate the 24-hour CNEL. The long-term noise readings were recorded using Piccolo Type 2 integrating sound level meter and dataloggers. The Piccolo sound level meters were calibrated using a Larson-Davis calibrator, Model CAL 150. All noise meters were programmed in "slow" mode to record noise levels in "A" weighted form. The sound level meters and microphones were equipped with a windscreen during all measurements. All noise level measurement equipment satisfies the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) standard specifications for sound level meters ANSI S1.4-2014/IEC 61672-1:2013. (18)

5.2 NOISE MEASUREMENT LOCATIONS

The long-term noise level measurements were positioned as close to the nearest sensitive receiver locations as possible to assess the existing ambient hourly noise levels surrounding the Project site. Based on recommendations found in the FTA *Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment*, it is not necessary to collect measurements at each individual building or residence, because each receiver measurement represents a group of buildings that share acoustical equivalence. (8) In other words, the area represented by the receiver shares similar shielding, terrain, and geometric relationship to the reference noise source. Receivers represent a location of noise sensitive areas and are used to estimate the future noise level impacts. Collecting reference ambient noise level measurements at the nearby sensitive receiver locations allows for a comparison of the before and after Project noise levels and is necessary to assess potential noise impacts due to the Project's contribution to the ambient noise levels.



EXHIBIT 5-A: NOISE MEASUREMENT LOCATIONS OVERVIEW

Site Boundary 🛕 Measurement Locations N

5.3 NOISE MEASUREMENT RESULTS

To describe the existing ambient noise environment, the noise measurements presented below focus on the average or equivalent sound levels (Leq). The equivalent sound level (Leq) represents a steady state sound level containing the same total energy as a time varying signal over a given sample period. Table 5-1 identifies the average hourly daytime (6:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m.) and nighttime (10:00 p.m. to 6:00 a.m.) noise levels at each noise level measurement location. Appendix 5.2 provides a summary of the existing hourly ambient noise levels described below.

Table 5-1 provides the (energy average) noise levels used to describe the daytime and nighttime ambient conditions. These daytime and nighttime energy average noise levels represent the average of all hourly noise levels observed during these time periods expressed as a single number. Appendix 5.2 provides summary worksheets of the noise levels for each hour as well as the minimum, maximum, L₁, L₂, L₅, L₈, L₂₅, L₅₀, L₉₀, L₉₅, and L₉₉ percentile noise levels observed during the daytime and nighttime periods.

The background ambient noise levels in the Project study area are dominated by the transportation-related noise associated with the arterial roadway network. This includes auto and heavy truck activities near the noise level measurement locations. The 24-hour existing noise level measurements shown in Table 5-1 present the worst-case existing unmitigated ambient noise conditions.

Measurement ¹	Location	Energy Average Hourly Noise Level (dBA Leq) ²			
		Daytime	Nighttime		
L1	Located west of the site near the residence at 53330 Shady Ln.	49.5	45.3		
L2	Located south of the site near the residence at 85755 Avenue 54.	64.4	62.2		
L3	Located east of the site near the residence at 53460 Tyler St.	64.4	64.0		
L4	Located east of the site near the residences at 53450 Tyler St.	63.0	61.8		
L5	Located north of the site near the residence at 85925 Avenida Raylynn.	65.5	63.6		

TABLE 5-1: 24-HOUR AMBIENT NOISE LEVEL MEASUREMENTS

This page intentionally left blank

6 TRAFFIC NOISE METHODS AND PROCEDURES

The following section outlines the methods and procedures used to estimate and analyze the future traffic noise environment. Consistent with the City of Coachella *Land Use Compatibility* guidelines, all transportation-related noise levels are presented in terms of the 24-hour CNEL.

6.1 FHWA TRAFFIC NOISE PREDICTION MODEL

The expected roadway noise level increases from vehicular traffic were calculated by Urban Crossroads, Inc. using a computer program that replicates the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) Traffic Noise Prediction Model- FHWA-RD-77-108. (19) The FHWA Model arrives at a predicted noise level through a series of adjustments to the Reference Energy Mean Emission Level (REMEL). In California, the national REMELs are substituted with the California Vehicle Noise (Calveno) Emission Levels. (20) Adjustments are then made to the REMEL to account for: the roadway classification (e.g., collector, secondary, major, or arterial), the roadway active width (i.e., the distance between the center of the outermost travel lanes on each side of the roadway), the total average daily traffic (ADT), the travel speed, the percentages of automobiles, medium trucks, and heavy trucks in the traffic volume, the roadway grade, the angle of view (e.g., whether the roadway view is blocked), the site conditions ("hard" or "soft" relates to the absorption of the ground, pavement, or landscaping), and the percentage of total ADT which flows each hour throughout a 24-hour period. Research conducted by Caltrans has shown that the use of soft site conditions is appropriate for the application of the FHWA traffic noise prediction model used in this analysis. (21)

6.1.1 OFF-SITE TRAFFIC NOISE PREDICTION MODEL INPUTS

Table 6-1 presents the roadway parameters used to assess the Project's off-site transportation noise impacts. Table 6-1 identifies the eight off-site study area roadway segments, the distance from the centerline to adjacent receiving land use based on the functional roadway classifications per the City of Coachella General Plan Circulation Element, and the posted vehicle speeds. The ADT volumes used in this study are presented in Table 6-2 are based on the *Armtec Master Plan Traffic Impact Analysis*, prepared by Urban Crossroads, Inc. (22) for the following traffic conditions:

- Existing 2024 Without Project Conditions
- Existing 2024 With Project Conditions
- Existing Plus Ambient Growth (EA) 2026 Without Project Conditions
- EA 2026 With Project Conditions
- Existing Plus Ambient Growth Plus Cumulative (EAC) 2026 Without Project Conditions
- EAC 2026 With Project Conditions

ID	Roadway	Segment	Classification ¹	Distance from Centerline to Receiving Land Use (Feet) ³	Vehicle Speed (mph)
1	Tyler St.	s/o Grapefruit Blvd.	Primary Arterial	40'	50
2	Tyler St.	s/o Avenue 53	Primary Arterial	40'	50
З	Tyler St.	s/o Armtec Entrance	Primary Arterial	40'	50
4	Palm St.	s/o Grapefruit Blvd.	Local	30'	40
5	Grapefruit Blvd.	w/o Tyler St.	Major Arterial	30'	50
6	Grapefruit Blvd.	w/o Palm St.	Major Arterial	30'	50
7	Grapefruit Blvd.	e/o Palm St.	Major Arterial	30'	50
8	Airport Blvd.	w/o Palm St.	Major Arterial	46'	45

TABLE 6-1: OFF-SITE ROADWAY PARAMETERS

¹ City of Coachella and City General Plan Circulation Element

² Distance to receiving land use is based upon the right-of-way distances.

TABLE 6-2: AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC VOLUMES

ID	Roadway	Segment	Average Daily Traffic Volumes ¹					
			Existing		Existing Plus Ambient Growth		Existing Plus Ambient Growth Plus Cumulative	
			Without Project	With Project	Without Project	With Project	Without Project	With Project
1	Tyler St.	s/o Grapefruit Blvd.	2,090	2,190	2,170	2,270	2,250	2,350
2	Tyler St.	s/o Avenue 53	1,700	1,810	1,770	1,880	1,830	1,940
3	Tyler St.	s/o Armtec Entrance	1,330	1,340	1,380	1,390	1,440	1,450
4	Palm St.	s/o Grapefruit Blvd.	1,510	1,540	1,580	1,610	2,170	2,200
5	Grapefruit Blvd.	w/o Tyler St.	7,970	8,000	8,290	8,320	8,410	8,440
6	Grapefruit Blvd.	w/o Palm St.	6,050	6,060	6,300	6,310	6,970	6,980
7	Grapefruit Blvd.	e/o Palm St.	3,100	3,110	3,220	3,230	3,610	3,620
8	Airport Blvd.	w/o Palm St.	3,420	3,460	3,560	3,600	3,730	3,770

¹ Armtec Master Plan Traffic Analysis, Urban Crossroads.
The ADT volumes vary for each roadway segment based on the existing traffic volumes and the combination of Project traffic distributions. Tables 6-3 and 6-4 provide the time of day (daytime, evening, and nighttime) vehicle splits and Table 6-5 presents the traffic flow distributions (vehicle mix) used for this analysis. The vehicle mix provides the hourly distribution percentages of automobile, medium trucks, and heavy trucks for input into the FHWA noise prediction model.

Time Devied	Vehicle Type					
Time Period	Autos	Medium Trucks	Heavy Trucks			
Daytime (7:00 a.m 7:00 p.m.)	75.5%	48.9%	47.3%			
Evening (7:00 p.m 10:00 p.m.)	14.0%	2.2%	5.4%			
Nighttime (10:00 p.m 7:00 a.m.)	10.5%	48.9%	47.3%			
Total:	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			

TABLE 6-3: TIME OF DAY VEHICLE SPLITS (SECONDARY, COLLECTOR)

Source: County of Riverside Office of Industrial Hygiene - Secondary, Collector

TABLE 6-4: TIME OF DAY VEHICLE SPLITS (MAJOR, ARTERIAL, URBAN ARTERIAL)

Time Devied	Vehicle Type					
	Autos	Medium Trucks	Heavy Trucks			
Daytime (7:00 a.m 7:00 p.m.)	75.5%	48.0%	48.0%			
Evening (7:00 p.m 10:00 p.m.)	14.0%	2.0%	2.0%			
Nighttime (10:00 p.m 7:00 a.m.)	10.5%	50.0%	50.0%			
Total:	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			

Source: County of Riverside Office of Industrial Hygiene - Major, Arterial, Urban Arterial

TABLE 6-5: TRAFFIC FLOW BY VEHICLE TYPE (VEHICLE MIX)

	т	otal % Traffic Flo	v	
Roadway	Autos	Medium Trucks	Heavy Trucks	Total
Expressway, Arterial, Major ¹	92.00%	3.00%	5.00%	100.00%
Secondary, Collector ¹	97.42%	1.84%	0.74%	100.00%

¹ Source: County of Riverside Office of Industrial Hygiene, 2017.

This page intentionally left blank

7 OFF-SITE TRAFFIC NOISE IMPACTS

To assess the off-site traffic CNEL noise level impacts associated with development of the proposed Project, noise level contours were developed based on *Armtec Master Plan Traffic Impact Analysis.* (23) Noise level contour boundaries represent the equal levels of noise exposure and are measured in CNEL from the center of the roadway. Noise level contours were developed for the following traffic scenarios:

- Existing 2024 Without Project Conditions
- Existing 2024 With Project Conditions
- Existing Plus Ambient Growth (EA) 2026 Without Project Conditions
- EA 2026 With Project Conditions
- Existing Plus Ambient Growth Plus Cumulative (EAC) 2026 Without Project Conditions
- EAC 2026 With Project Conditions

7.1 TRAFFIC NOISE LEVEL CONTOURS

Noise contours were used to assess the Project's incremental 24-hour dBA CNEL traffic-related noise impacts at land uses adjacent to roadways conveying Project traffic. The noise contours represent the distance to noise levels of a constant value and are measured from the center of the roadway for the 70, 65, and 60 dBA CNEL noise levels. The noise contours do not consider the effect of any existing noise barriers or topography that may attenuate ambient noise levels. In addition, because the noise contours reflect modeling of vehicular noise on area roadways, they appropriately do not reflect noise contributions from the surrounding stationary noise sources within the Project study area.

Tables 7-1 through 7-6 present a summary of the exterior dBA CNEL traffic noise levels. Roadway segments are analyzed in each of the following timeframes: Existing with and without Project conditions, EA with and without Project conditions, EAC with and without Project conditions. Appendix 7.1 includes a summary of the dBA CNEL traffic noise level contours for each of the traffic scenarios.

10	Road Segment	CNEL at Receiving	Distance to Contour from Centerline (Feet)			
טו	коао	Segment	Land Use (dBA) ¹	70 dBA CNEL	65 dBA CNEL	60 dBA CNEL
1	Tyler St.	s/o Grapefruit Blvd.	70.2	41	88	190
2	Tyler St.	s/o Avenue 53	69.3	36	77	166
3	Tyler St.	s/o Armtec Entrance	68.2	30	65	141
4	Palm St.	s/o Grapefruit Blvd.	62.5	9	20	44
5	Grapefruit Blvd.	w/o Tyler St.	76.4	81	174	374
6	Grapefruit Blvd.	w/o Palm St.	75.2	67	145	312
7	Grapefruit Blvd.	e/o Palm St.	72.3	43	93	200
8	Airport Blvd.	w/o Palm St.	72.0	62	134	289

TABLE 7-1: EXISTING 2024 WITHOUT PROJECT CONDITIONS NOISE LEVEL CONTOURS

 1 The CNEL is calculated at the boundary of the right-of-way of the receiving adjacent land use.

"RW" = Location of the respective noise contour falls within the right-of-way of the road.

TABLE 7-2: EXISTING 2024 WITH PROJECT CONDITIONS NOISE LEVEL CONTOURS

10	Deed	Road Segment	CNEL at Receiving	Distance to Contour from Centerline (Feet)		
ID	коао		Land Use (dBA) ¹	70 dBA CNEL	65 dBA CNEL	60 dBA CNEL
1	Tyler St.	s/o Grapefruit Blvd.	70.4	42	91	196
2	Tyler St.	s/o Avenue 53	69.5	37	80	173
3	Tyler St.	s/o Armtec Entrance	68.2	30	66	142
4	Palm St.	s/o Grapefruit Blvd.	62.6	10	21	45
5	Grapefruit Blvd.	w/o Tyler St.	76.5	81	174	375
6	Grapefruit Blvd.	w/o Palm St.	75.3	67	145	312
7	Grapefruit Blvd.	e/o Palm St.	72.4	43	93	200
8	Airport Blvd.	w/o Palm St.	72.0	63	135	292

¹ The CNEL is calculated at the boundary of the right-of-way of the receiving adjacent land use.

"RW" = Location of the respective noise contour falls within the right-of-way of the road.

10	Road Segment	Comment	CNEL at Receiving	NEL at Distance to Contour from ceiving Centerline (Feet)		
ID		Segment	Land Use (dBA) ¹	70 dBA CNEL	65 dBA CNEL	60 dBA CNEL
1	Tyler St.	s/o Grapefruit Blvd.	70.3	42	91	195
2	Tyler St.	s/o Avenue 53	69.4	37	79	170
3	Tyler St.	s/o Armtec Entrance	68.4	31	67	144
4	Palm St.	s/o Grapefruit Blvd.	62.7	10	21	45
5	Grapefruit Blvd.	w/o Tyler St.	76.6	83	178	384
6	Grapefruit Blvd.	w/o Palm St.	75.4	69	149	320
7	Grapefruit Blvd.	e/o Palm St.	72.5	44	95	205
8	Airport Blvd.	w/o Palm St.	72.2	64	138	297

TABLE 7-3: EA 2026 WITHOUT PROJECT CONDITIONS NOISE LEVEL CONTOURS

¹ The CNEL is calculated at the boundary of the right-of-way of the receiving adjacent land use.

"RW" = Location of the respective noise contour falls within the right-of-way of the road.

TABLE 7-4: EA 2026 WITH PROJECT CONDITIONS NOISE LEVEL CONTOURS

10	Road Segment	CNEL at Receiving	Distance to Contour from Centerline (Feet)			
ID		Segment	Land Use (dBA) ¹	70 dBA CNEL	65 dBA CNEL	60 dBA CNEL
1	Tyler St.	s/o Grapefruit Blvd.	70.5	43	93	201
2	Tyler St.	s/o Avenue 53	69.7	38	82	177
3	Tyler St.	s/o Armtec Entrance	68.4	31	67	145
4	Palm St.	s/o Grapefruit Blvd.	62.8	10	21	46
5	Grapefruit Blvd.	w/o Tyler St.	76.6	83	179	385
6	Grapefruit Blvd.	w/o Palm St.	75.4	69	149	320
7	Grapefruit Blvd.	e/o Palm St.	72.5	44	95	205
8	Airport Blvd.	w/o Palm St.	72.2	64	139	299

¹ The CNEL is calculated at the boundary of the right-of-way of the receiving adjacent land use.

"RW" = Location of the respective noise contour falls within the right-of-way of the road.

10	Road Segment	Comment	CNEL at Receiving	Distance to Contour from Centerline (Feet)		
ID		Segment	Land Use (dBA) ¹	70 dBA CNEL	65 dBA CNEL	60 dBA CNEL
1	Tyler St.	s/o Grapefruit Blvd.	70.5	43	93	200
2	Tyler St.	s/o Avenue 53	69.6	38	81	174
3	Tyler St.	s/o Armtec Entrance	68.5	32	69	148
4	Palm St.	s/o Grapefruit Blvd.	64.1	12	26	56
5	Grapefruit Blvd.	w/o Tyler St.	76.7	84	180	388
6	Grapefruit Blvd.	w/o Palm St.	75.9	74	159	342
7	Grapefruit Blvd.	e/o Palm St.	73.0	48	103	221
8	Airport Blvd.	w/o Palm St.	72.4	66	142	307

TABLE 7-5: EAC 2026 WITHOUT PROJECT CONDITIONS NOISE LEVEL CONTOURS

¹ The CNEL is calculated at the boundary of the right-of-way of the receiving adjacent land use.

"RW" = Location of the respective noise contour falls within the right-of-way of the road.

TABLE 7-6: EAC 2026 WITH PROJECT CONDITIONS NOISE LEVEL CONTOURS

	Road Segment	Comment.	CNEL at Receiving	Distance to Contour from Centerline (Feet)		
U	коао	Jegment	Land Use (dBA) ¹	70 dBA CNEL	65 dBA CNEL	60 dBA CNEL
1	Tyler St.	s/o Grapefruit Blvd.	70.7	44	96	206
2	Tyler St.	s/o Avenue 53	69.8	39	84	181
3	Tyler St.	s/o Armtec Entrance	68.6	32	69	149
4	Palm St.	s/o Grapefruit Blvd.	64.1	12	26	57
5	Grapefruit Blvd.	w/o Tyler St.	76.7	84	181	389
6	Grapefruit Blvd.	w/o Palm St.	75.9	74	159	343
7	Grapefruit Blvd.	e/o Palm St.	73.0	48	103	221
8	Airport Blvd.	w/o Palm St.	72.4	67	143	309

¹ The CNEL is calculated at the boundary of the right-of-way of the receiving adjacent land use.

"RW" = Location of the respective noise contour falls within the right-of-way of the road.

7.2 EXISTING CONDITION PROJECT TRAFFIC NOISE LEVELS

Table 7-1 presents the Existing 2024 without Project conditions, expected to range from 62.5 to 76.4 dBA CNEL, without accounting for any noise attenuation features such as noise barriers or topography. Table 7-2 shows the Existing 2024 with Project conditions will range from 62.6 to 76.5 dBA CNEL. As shown in Table 7-7, the addition of the Project will generate a noise level increase of up to 0.2 dBA CNEL on the study area roadway segments. Based on the significance criteria in Section 4.2 for off-site traffic noise impacts, the Project-related noise level increases are considered less than significant under Existing conditions at the land uses adjacent to roadways conveying Project traffic.

ID	Road Segment		CNEL at Receiving Land Use (dBA) ¹			Incremental Noise Level Increase Threshold ²	
			No Project	With Project	Project Addition	Limit	Exceeded?
1	Tyler St.	s/o Grapefruit Blvd.	70.2	70.4	0.2	1.5	No
2	Tyler St.	s/o Avenue 53	69.3	69.5	0.2	1.5	No
3	Tyler St.	s/o Armtec Entrance	68.2	68.2	0.0	1.5	No
4	Palm St.	s/o Grapefruit Blvd.	62.5	62.6	0.1	3.0	No
5	Grapefruit Blvd.	w/o Tyler St.	76.4	76.5	0.1	1.5	No
6	Grapefruit Blvd.	w/o Palm St.	75.2	75.3	0.1	1.5	No
7	Grapefruit Blvd.	e/o Palm St.	72.3	72.4	0.1	1.5	No
8	Airport Blvd.	w/o Palm St.	72.0	72.0	0.0	1.5	No

TABLE 7-7: EXISTING OFF-SITE PROJECT-RELATED TRAFFIC NOISE IMPACTS

¹ The CNEL is calculated at the boundary of the right-of-way of each roadway and the property line of the receiving land use.

² Does the Project create an incremental noise level increase exceeding the significance criteria (Table 4-1)?

7.3 EA 2026 TRAFFIC NOISE LEVEL INCREASES

Table 7-3 presents the EA 2026 without Project conditions, expected to range from 62.7 to 76.6 dBA CNEL, without accounting for any noise attenuation features such as noise barriers or topography. Table 7-4 shows the EA 2026 with Project conditions will range from 62.8 to 76.6 dBA CNEL. As shown in Table 7-8, the addition of the Project will generate noise level increases of up to 0.3 dBA CNEL. Based on the significance criteria for off-site traffic noise presented in Section 4.2 for off-site traffic noise impacts, land uses adjacent to the study area roadway segments would experience *less than significant* noise level increases due to unmitigated EA 2026 Project-related traffic noise levels.

ID	Road	Segment	CNEL at Receiving Land Use (dBA) ¹		Incremental Noise Level Increase Threshold ²		
		, j	No Project	With Project	Project Addition	Limit	Exceeded?
1	Tyler St.	s/o Grapefruit Blvd.	70.3	70.5	0.2	1.5	No
2	Tyler St.	s/o Avenue 53	69.4	69.7	0.3	1.5	No
3	Tyler St.	s/o Armtec Entrance	68.4	68.4	0.0	1.5	No
4	Palm St.	s/o Grapefruit Blvd.	62.7	62.8	0.1	3.0	No
5	Grapefruit Blvd.	w/o Tyler St.	76.6	76.6	0.0	1.5	No
6	Grapefruit Blvd.	w/o Palm St.	75.4	75.4	0.0	1.5	No
7	Grapefruit Blvd.	e/o Palm St.	72.5	72.5	0.0	1.5	No
8	Airport Blvd.	w/o Palm St.	72.2	72.2	0.0	1.5	No

TABLE 7-8: EXISTING AND AMBIENT OFF-SITE PROJECT-RELATED TRAFFIC NOISE IMPACTS

¹ The CNEL is calculated at the boundary of the right-of-way of each roadway and the property line of the receiving land use. ² Does the Project create an incremental noise level increase exceeding the significance criteria (Table 4-1)?

7.4 EAC 2026 TRAFFIC NOISE LEVEL INCREASES

Table 7-5 presents the EAC 2026 without Project conditions, expected to range from 64.1 to 76.7 dBA CNEL, without accounting for any noise attenuation features such as noise barriers or topography. Table 7-6 shows the EAC 2026 with Project conditions will range from 64.1 to 76.7 dBA CNEL. As shown in Table 7-9, the addition of the Project will generate noise level increases up to 0.2 dBA CNEL. Based on the significance criteria for off-site traffic noise presented in Section 4.4 for off-site traffic noise impacts, land uses adjacent to the study area roadway segments would experience *less than significant* noise level increases due to unmitigated EAC 2026 Project-related traffic noise levels.

ID	Road Segment	CNEL at Receiving Land Use (dBA) ¹			Incremental Noise Level Increase Threshold ²		
			No Project	With Project	Project Addition	Limit	Exceeded?
1	Tyler St.	s/o Grapefruit Blvd.	70.5	70.7	0.2	1.5	No
2	Tyler St.	s/o Avenue 53	69.6	69.8	0.2	1.5	No
3	Tyler St.	s/o Armtec Entrance	68.5	68.6	0.1	1.5	No
4	Palm St.	s/o Grapefruit Blvd.	64.1	64.1	0.0	3.0	No
5	Grapefruit Blvd.	w/o Tyler St.	76.7	76.7	0.0	1.5	No
6	Grapefruit Blvd.	w/o Palm St.	75.9	75.9	0.0	1.5	No
7	Grapefruit Blvd.	e/o Palm St.	73.0	73.0	0.0	1.5	No
8	Airport Blvd.	w/o Palm St.	72.4	72.4	0.0	1.5	No

TABLE 7-9: EXISTING, AMBIENT AND CUMULATIVE OFF-SITE PROJECT-RELATED TRAFFIC NOISE IMPACTS

¹ The CNEL is calculated at the boundary of the right-of-way of each roadway and the property line of the receiving land use.

² Does the Project create an incremental noise level increase exceeding the significance criteria (Table 4-1)?

This page intentionally left blank



8 AIRCRAFT NOISE

The Jacqueline Cochran Regional Airport (JCRA) is located approximately 1.3 miles south of the Project site. The Project is within Zone D of the Riverside County ALUCP compatibility zones and, as can be seen in Exhibit 3-C, the ALUCP stipulates that so long as the density of the Project is restricted to 100 people/acre the potential conflict can be abated for non-residential uses within the zone. As shown in Exhibit 8-A, the Project lies outside of the 55 dBA CNEL contour for JCRA. This is below the compatibility standards of 55 dBA CNEL as shown on the significance criteria matrix in Table 4-1. Therefore, impacts due to aircraft noise would be *less than significant*.





EXHIBIT 8-A: JACQUELINE COCHRAN REGIONAL AIRPORT ALUCP NOISE LEVEL CONTOURS



9 **RECEIVER LOCATIONS**

To assess the potential for long-term operational and short-term construction noise impacts, the following sensitive receiver locations, as shown on Exhibit 9-A, were identified as representative locations for analysis. Sensitive receivers are generally defined as locations where people reside or where the presence of unwanted sound could otherwise adversely affect the use of the land. Noise-sensitive land uses are generally considered to include schools, hospitals, single-family dwellings, mobile home parks, churches, libraries, and recreation areas. Moderately noise-sensitive land uses typically include multi-family dwellings, hotels, motels, dormitories, outpatient clinics, cemeteries, golf courses, country clubs, athletic/tennis clubs, and equestrian clubs. Land uses that are considered relatively insensitive to noise include business, commercial, and professional developments. Land uses that are typically not affected by noise include: industrial, manufacturing, utilities, agriculture, undeveloped land, parking lots, warehousing, liquid and solid waste facilities, salvage yards, and transit terminals.

To describe the potential off-site Project noise levels, five receiver locations in the vicinity of the Project site were identified. The selection of receiver locations is based on FHWA guidelines and is consistent with additional guidance provided by Caltrans and the FTA, as previously described in Section 5.2. Other sensitive land uses in the Project study area that are located at greater distances than those identified in this noise study will experience lower noise levels than those presented in this report due to the additional attenuation from distance and the shielding of intervening structures. Distance is measured in a straight line from the Project boundary to each receiver location.

- R1: Location R1 represents the private residence at 53330 Shady Lane, approximately 1,363 feet west of the Project site. R1 is placed in the residence's outdoor living area (backyard) facing the Project site. A 24-hour noise measurement was taken near this location, L1, to describe the existing ambient noise environment.
- R2: Location R2 represents the private residence at 85755 Avenue 54, approximately 1,403 feet south of the Project site. Receiver R2 is placed at the façade facing the Project site. A 24-hour noise measurement was taken near this location, L2, to describe the existing ambient noise environment.
- R3: Location R3 represents the private residence at 53460 Tyler Street, approximately 100 feet east of the Project site. Receiver R3 is placed at the façade facing the Project site. A 24-hour noise measurement was taken near this location, L3, to describe the existing ambient noise environment.
- R4: Location R4 represents the existing noise sensitive residence at 53450 Tyler Street, approximately 114 feet east of the Project site. Receiver R4 is placed at the façade facing the Project site. A 24-hour noise measurement was taken near this location, L4, to describe the existing ambient noise environment.
- R5: Location R5 represents the existing noise sensitive residence at 85925 Avenida Raylynn, approximately 102 feet north of the Project site. Receiver R5 is placed in the outdoor living area (backyard) facing the Project site. A 24-hour noise measurement was taken near this location, L5, to describe the existing ambient noise environment.





EXHIBIT 9-A: RECEIVER LOCATIONS

Site Boundary Receiver Locations - Distance from receiver to Project site boundary (in feet)

N



10 OPERATIONAL NOISE IMPACTS

This section analyzes potential impacts resulting from the activities associated with the operation of the Project, including roof top air conditioners and a truck staging/loading area.

10.1 OPERATIONAL NOISE SOURCES

This operational noise analysis is intended to describe noise level impacts associated with the expected typical daytime and nighttime activities at the Project site. The on-site Project-related noise sources are expected to include: roof top air conditioners and a truck staging/loading area.

10.2 REFERENCE NOISE LEVELS

To estimate the Project operational noise impacts, reference noise level measurements were collected from similar types of activities or taken from manufacturer's specification sheets to represent the noise levels expected with the development of the proposed Project. This section provides a detailed description of the reference noise levels shown in Table 10-1 used to estimate the Project operational noise impacts. It is important to note that the following projected noise levels assume the worst-case noise environment with the roof top air conditioners and a truck staging/loading area all operating at the same time. These sources of noise activity will likely vary throughout the day.

10.2.1 MEASUREMENT PROCEDURES

Unless noted in the following descriptions, the reference noise level measurements presented in this section were collected using a Larson Davis LxT Type 1 precision sound level meter (serial number 01146). The LxT sound level meter was calibrated using a Larson-Davis calibrator, Model CAL 200, which was programmed in "slow" mode to record noise levels in "A" weighted form and was located at approximately five feet above the ground elevation for each measurement. The sound level meters and microphones were equipped with a windscreen during all measurements. All noise level measurement equipment satisfies the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) standard specifications for sound level meters ANSI S1.4-2014/IEC 61672-1:2013. (18)





EXHIBIT 10-A: OPERATIONAL NOISE LOCATIONS

LEGEND:

Roof-Top Air Conditioning Unit K Truck Staging/Loading Area

N



Naice Source	Noise Source	Min./Hour ¹		Reference Noise Level	Sound Power Level (dBA) ²	
Noise source	(Feet)	Day Night		@50 feet (dBA L _{eq})		
Roof-Top Air Conditioning Units	5'	39	28	57.2	88.9	
Staging/Loading Activities	5'	60	0	71.8	103.7	

TABLE 10-1: REFERENCE NOISE LEVEL MEASUREMENTS

¹ Anticipated duration (minutes within the hour) of noise activity during typical hourly conditions expected at the Project site.

² Sound power level represents the total amount of acoustical energy (noise level) produced by a sound source independent of distance or surroundings. Sound power levels calculated using the CadnaA noise model at the reference distance to the noise source.

"Day" = 7:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.; "Evening" = 6:00 p.m. to 10:00 p.m.; "Night" = 10:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m.

10.2.2 ROOF-TOP AIR CONDITIONING UNITS

To assess the noise levels created by the roof-top air conditioning units, reference noise level measurements were collected from a Lennox SCA120 series 10-ton model packaged air conditioning unit. At the uniform reference distance of 50 feet, the reference noise levels are 57.2 dBA L_{eq}. Based on the typical operating conditions observed over a four-day measurement period, the roof-top air conditioning units are estimated to operate for an average of 39 minutes per hour during the daytime hours, and 28 minutes per hour during the nighttime hours. For this noise analysis, the air conditioning units are expected to be located on the roof of the proposed building. This reference noise level describes the expected roof-top air conditioning units located 5 feet above the roof.

10.2.3 TRUCK STAGING/LOADING ACTIVITIES

To represent the noise levels associated with truck staging/loading activities, Urban Crossroads collected a reference noise level measurement of a loaded semi-truck parking. The measured reference noise level at the uniform 50-foot reference distance is 71.8 dBA Leq for truck staging and loading. The truck staging and loading noise levels include opening/closing doors, engines revving, brakes engaging, transferring cargo, and engines idling. Noise associated with truck staging is expected to occur for the entire hour (60 minutes) during the daytime hours.

10.3 CADNAA NOISE PREDICTION MODEL

To fully describe the exterior operational noise levels from the Project, Urban Crossroads, Inc. developed a noise prediction model using the CadnaA (Computer Aided Noise Abatement) computer program. CadnaA can analyze multiple types of noise sources using the spatially accurate Project site plan, georeferenced Nearmap aerial imagery, topography, buildings, and barriers in its calculations to predict outdoor noise levels.

Using the ISO 9613-2 protocol, CadnaA will calculate the distance from each noise source to the noise receiver locations, using the ground absorption, distance, and barrier/building attenuation inputs to provide a summary of noise level at each receiver and the partial noise level

contributions by noise source. Consistent with the ISO 9613-2 protocol, the CadnaA noise prediction model relies on the reference sound power level (L_w) to describe individual noise sources. While sound pressure levels (e.g., L_{eq}) quantify in decibels the intensity of given sound sources at a reference distance, sound power levels (L_w) are connected to the sound source and are independent of distance. Sound pressure levels vary substantially with distance from the source and diminish because of intervening obstacles and barriers, air absorption, wind, and other factors. Sound power is the acoustical energy emitted by the sound source and is an absolute value that is not affected by the environment. The operational noise level calculations provided in this noise study account for the distance attenuation provided due to geometric spreading, when sound from a localized stationary source (i.e., a point source) propagates uniformly outward in a spherical pattern. A default ground attenuation factor of 0.5 was used in the noise analysis to account for mixed ground representing a combination of hard and soft surfaces.

10.4 OPERATIONAL NOISE LEVELS

Based upon the reference noise levels, it is possible to estimate the Project operational stationary/area-source noise levels at each of the sensitive receiver locations. The daytime project stationary/area-source noise level calculations shown in Tables 10-2 through 10-4 account for the distance attenuation provided due to geometric spreading, when sound from a localized stationary source (i.e., a point source) propagates uniformly outward in a spherical pattern. With geometric spreading, sound levels attenuate (or decrease) at a rate of 6 dB for each doubling of distance from a point source (roof-top air conditioning units) and 4.5 dB for each doubling of distance from an area source (parking lot vehicle movements). Table 10-2 indicates that the hourly noise levels associated with the roof top air conditioners and a truck staging/loading area are expected to range from 41.0 to 48.4 dBA Leq at the nearby sensitive receiver locations for the daytime. Table 10-3 indicates a range of 17.2 to 37.2 dBA Leq for the nighttime.

Noise Source ¹	Daytime Noise Level (dBA L _{eq})					
Noise Source-	R1	R2	R3	R4	R5	
Roof-Top Air Conditioning Units	19.6	21.7	36.8	39.6	24.2	
Staging/Loading Activities	48.4	40.9	43.6	45.5	46.8	
Total (All Noise Sources)	48.4	41.0	44.4	46.5	46.8	

TABLE 10-2: DAYTIME PROJECT STATIONARY/AREA-SOURCE NOISE LEVELS (DBA LEC	2)
--	----

¹ See Exhibit 10-A for the noise source locations. CadnaA noise model calculations are included in Appendix 10.1.



Noise Source ¹	Daytime Noise Level (dBA L _{eq})					
Noise Source-	R1	R2	R3	R4	R5	
Roof-Top Air Conditioning Units	17.1	19.3	34.4	37.2	21.8	
Staging/Loading Activities	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total (All Noise Sources)	17.2	19.4	34.4	37.2	21.8	

TABLE 10-3: NIGHTTIME PROJECT STATIONARY/AREA-SOURCE NOISE LEVEL (DBA LEQ)

¹ See Exhibit 10-A for the noise source locations. CadnaA noise model calculations are included in Appendix 10.1.

10.5 OPERATIONAL NOISE LEVEL COMPLIANCE

The operational noise levels related to the roof top air conditioners and a truck staging/loading area associated with the Project are considered exempt from the City of Coachella Municipal Code noise standards. However, to demonstrate compliance with CEQA Guidelines, this analysis evaluates the potential operational noise levels against the City of Coachella Municipal Code exterior noise standards at the closest noise-sensitive receiver locations.

Table 10-4 shows that the Project-related operational noise levels at the closest sensitive receiver locations will range from 17.2 to 48.4 dBA Leq and will satisfy the residential daytime 55 dBA Leq and nighttime 45 dBA Leq noise level standards of the City of Coachella Municipal Code. Appendix 10.1 includes the operational noise level calculations.

Receiver Location ¹	Project Operational Noise Levels (dBA Leq) ²		Noise Level Standards (dBA Leq) ³		Threshold Exceeded? ⁴	
	Day	Night	Day	Night	Day	Night
R1	48.4	17.2	55	45	No	No
R2	41.0	19.4	55	45	No	No
R3	44.4	34.4	55	45	No	No
R4	46.5	37.2	55	45	No	No
R5	46.8	21.8	55	45	No	No

TABLE 10-4: OPERATIONAL NOISE LEVEL COMPLIANCE

¹ See Exhibit 8-A for the receiver locations.

² Proposed Project operational noise level calculations included in Appendix 10.1.

³ City of Coachella exterior noise level standards by land use, as shown in Table 3-1.

⁴ Do the estimated Project operational noise source activities exceed the noise level standards?

"Day" = 7:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.; "Evening" = 6:00 p.m. to 10:00 p.m.; "Night" = 10:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m.

The Project-related operational noise levels will still satisfy the City of Coachella Municipal Code noise level standards at nearby sensitive receiver locations, and therefore, the operational noise impacts will be *less than significant*. No exterior noise mitigation measures are required since there is not a significant noise impact.



10.6 PROJECT OPERATIONAL NOISE CONTRIBUTION

To describe the Project operational noise level contributions, the Project operational noise levels were combined with the existing ambient noise levels measurements for the off-site receiver locations potentially impacted by Project operational noise sources. Since the units used to measure noise, decibels (dB), are logarithmic units, the Project-related operational and existing ambient noise levels cannot be combined using standard arithmetic equations. (1) Instead, they must be logarithmically added using the following base equation:

 $SPL_{Total} = 10log_{10}[10^{SPL1/10} + 10^{SPL2/10} + \dots 10^{SPLn/10}]$

Where "SPL1," "SPL2," etc. are equal to the sound pressure levels being combined, or in this case, the Project-related operational and existing ambient noise levels. The difference between the combined Project and ambient noise levels describe the Project noise level contributions. Noise levels that would be experienced at receiver locations when Project-source noise is added to the ambient daytime and nighttime conditions are presented in Tables 10-5 and 10-6, respectively.

As indicated in Table 10-5, the Project will contribute an operational noise level increase of up to 2.5 Leq during the daytime hours at the closest sensitive receiver locations. Table 10-6 shows that the nighttime Project-related operational noise level increases will approach less than 0.01 dBA Leq. Since the Project-related operational noise level contributions will satisfy the significance criteria discussed in Section 4, the increases at the sensitive receiver locations will be *less than significant*. On this basis, Project operational stationary-source noise would not result in a substantial temporary/periodic, or permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the Project vicinity above levels existing without the Project and impacts in these regards will be *less than significant*.



_	TABLE 10-5. DATTIME OF ERATIONAL NOISE LEVEL CONTRIBUTIONS (DBA LEQ)							
Receiver Location ¹	Total Project Operational Noise Level ²	Measurement Location ³	Reference Ambient Noise Levels ⁴	Combined Project and Ambient ⁵	Project Increase ⁶	Increase Criteria ⁷	Increase Criteria Exceeded? ⁷	
R1	48.4	L1	49.5	52.0	2.5	5.0	No	
R2	41.0	L2	64.4	64.4	0.0	3.0	No	
R3	44.4	L3	64.4	64.4	0.0	3.0	No	
R4	46.5	L4	63.0	63.1	0.1	3.0	No	
R5	46.8	L5	65.5	65.6	0.1	1.5	No	

TABLE 10-5: DAYTIME OPERATIONAL NOISE LEVEL CONTRIBUTIONS (DBA LEQ)

¹ See Exhibit 8-A for the receiver locations.

² Total Project operational noise levels as shown in Table 10-5.

³ Reference noise level measurement locations as shown on Exhibit 5-A.

⁴ Observed daytime ambient noise levels as shown in Table 5-1.

⁵ Represents the combined ambient conditions plus the Project activities.

⁶ The noise level increase expected with the addition of the proposed Project activities.

⁷ Significance Criteria as defined in Section 4.



TABLE 10-6	: NIGHTTIME OPERATIONAL	L NOISE LEVEL CO	ONTRIBUTIONS (DE	SA LEQ)

Receiver Location ¹	Total Project Operational Noise Level ²	Measurement Location ³	Reference Ambient Noise Levels ⁴	Combined Project and Ambient ⁵	Project Increase ⁶	Increase Criteria ⁷	Increase Criteria Exceeded? ⁷
R1	17.2	L1	45.3	45.3	0.0	5.0	No
R2	19.4	L2	62.2	62.2	0.0	3.0	No
R3	34.4	L3	64.0	64.0	0.0	3.0	No
R4	37.2	L4	61.8	61.8	0.0	3.0	No
R5	21.8	L5	63.6	63.6	0.0	3.0	No

¹ See Exhibit 8-A for the receiver locations.

² Total Project operational noise levels as shown in Table 10-5.

³ Reference noise level measurement locations as shown on Exhibit 5-A.

⁴ Observed nighttime ambient noise levels as shown in Table 5-1.

⁵ Represents the combined ambient conditions plus the Project activities.

⁶ The noise level increase expected with the addition of the proposed Project activities.

⁷ Significance Criteria as defined in Section 4.



11 CONSTRUCTION NOISE IMPACTS

This section analyzes potential impacts resulting from the temporary activities associated with the construction of the Project. Exhibit 11-A shows the construction noise source locations in relation to the nearest sensitive receiver locations previously described in Section 8. To control noise impacts associated with the construction of the Project, the City has established limits to the hours of operation. Section 7.04.070 of the City's Municipal Code, provided in Appendix 3.1, indicates that construction activities shall be limited from October 1st through April 30th, Monday to Friday, between the hours of 6:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m., and between 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. on Saturdays, Sundays, and holidays. From May 1st through September 30th, construction is limited to between 5:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. Monday to Friday, and between 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. on Saturdays, Sundays, and holidays. (11)

11.1 CONSTRUCTION NOISE LEVELS

The FTA *Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment Manual* recognizes that construction projects are accomplished in several different stages and outlines the procedures for assessing noise impacts during construction. Each stage has a specific equipment mix, depending on the work to be completed during that stage. As a result of the equipment mix, each stage has its own noise characteristics - some stages have higher continuous noise levels than others, and some have higher impact noise levels than others. The Project construction activities are expected to occur in the following stages:

- Site Preparation
- Grading
- Building Construction
- Paving
- Architectural Coating

11.2 CONSTRUCTION REFERENCE NOISE LEVELS

To describe construction noise activities, this construction noise analysis was prepared using reference construction equipment noise levels published in the Roadway Construction Noise Model (RCNM), which includes a national database of construction equipment reference noise emission levels. (24) The RCNM equipment database provides a comprehensive list of the noise generating characteristics for specific types of construction equipment. In addition, the database provides an acoustical usage factor to estimate the fraction of time each piece of construction equipment is operating at full power (i.e., its loudest condition) during a construction operation.





EXHIBIT 11-A: TYPICAL CONSTRUCTION NOISE SOURCE LOCATIONS

💯 Construction Activity 🕀 Receiver Locations 🛛 🗢 Distance from receiver to construction activity (in feet)

N



11.3 CONSTRUCTION NOISE ANALYSIS

Using the reference construction equipment noise levels and the CadnaA noise prediction model, calculations of the Project construction noise level impacts at the nearby sensitive receiver locations were completed. For construction noise assessment, construction equipment can be considered to operate in two modes: stationary and mobile. As defined, stationary equipment operates in a single location for one or more days at a time, with either fixed-power operation (e.g., pumps, generators, and compressors) or variable-power operation (e.g., pile drivers, rock drills, and pavement breakers). Mobile equipment moves around the construction site with power applied in cyclic fashion, such as bulldozers, graders, and loaders (FTA 2018). The FTA and FHWA recommend noise impacts from stationary equipment be assessed from the center of the equipment activity area (e.g., construction site). Thus, to assess a more realistic and reasonable worst-case construction scenario while accounting for the dynamic nature of construction activities, this Project construction noise analysis models the equipment combination with the highest reference combined level as a moving point within the construction area (Project site boundary).

Construction Stage	Reference Construction Activity	Reference Noise Level @ 50 Feet (dBA L _{eq}) ¹	Combined Noise Level (dBA L _{eq}) ²	Combined Sound Power Level (PWL) ³	
	Crawler Tractors	78.0			
Site	Hauling Trucks	72.0	80.0	111.6	
reparation	Rubber Tired Dozers	75.0			
	Graders	81.0			
Grading	Excavators	77.0	83.0	114.6	
	Compactors	76.0			
	Cranes	73.0		112.6	
Building	Tractors	80.0	81.0		
construction	Welders	70.0			
	Pavers	74.0			
Paving	Paving Equipment	82.0	83.0	114.6	
	Rollers	73.0			
	Cranes	73.0			
Architectural	Air Compressors	74.0	77.0	108.6	
couring	Generator Sets	70.0			

TABLE 11-1: CONSTRUCTION REFERENCE NOISE LEVELS

¹ FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model (RCNM).

² Represents the combined noise level for all equipment assuming they operate at the same time consistent with FTA Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment guidance.

³ Sound power level represents the total amount of acoustical energy (noise level) produced by a sound source independent of distance or surroundings. Sound power levels calibrated using the CadnaA noise model at the reference distance to the noise source.



Construction impacts are based on the highest noise level calculated at each receiver location. As shown in Table 11-2, the construction noise levels are expected to range from 41.5 to 58.6 dBA L_{eq} at the nearby receiver locations. Appendix 11.1 includes the detailed CadnaA construction noise model inputs.

. .	Construction Noise Levels (dBA L _{eq})					
Receiver Location ¹	Site Preparation	Grading	Building Construction	Paving	Architectural Coating	Highest Levels ²
R1	45.6	48.6	46.6	48.6	42.6	48.6
R2	44.5	47.5	45.5	47.5	41.5	47.5
R3	55.1	58.1	56.1	58.1	52.1	58.1
R4	55.3	58.3	56.3	58.3	52.3	58.3
R5	55.6	58.6	56.6	58.6	52.6	58.6

TABLE 11-2: CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT NOISE LEVEL SUMMARY

¹Noise receiver locations are shown on Exhibit 11-A.

² Construction noise level calculations based on distance from the construction activity, which is measured from the Project site boundary to the nearest receiver locations. CadnaA construction noise model inputs are included in Appendix 11.1.

11.4 CONSTRUCTION NOISE LEVEL COMPLIANCE

To evaluate whether the Project will generate potentially significant short-term noise levels at nearest receiver locations, a construction-related daytime noise level threshold of 80 dBA L_{eq} is used as a reasonable threshold to assess the daytime construction noise level impacts. The construction noise analysis shows that the nearest receiver locations will satisfy the reasonable daytime 80 dBA L_{eq} significance threshold during Project construction activities as shown in Table 11-3. Therefore, the noise impacts due to Project construction noise are considered *less than significant* at all receiver locations.

Dession	Construction Noise Levels (dBA L _{eq})						
Receiver Location ¹	Highest Construction Noise Levels ² Threshold		Threshold Exceeded? ⁴				
R1	48.6	80	No				
R2	47.5	80	No				
R3	58.1	80	No				
R4	58.3	80	No				
R5	58.6	80	No				

TABLE 11-3: CONSTRUCTION NOISE LEVEL COMPLIANCE

¹Noise receiver locations are shown on Exhibit 11-A.

² Highest construction noise level calculations based on distance from the construction noise source activity to the pagaret required locations as shown in Table 10.2

the nearest receiver locations as shown in Table 10-2.

³ Construction noise level thresholds as shown in Table 4-1.

⁴ Do the estimated Project construction noise levels exceed the construction noise level threshold?



11.5 CONSTRUCTION VIBRATION ANALYSIS

Construction activity can result in varying degrees of ground vibration, depending on the equipment and methods employed. Operation of construction equipment causes ground vibrations that spread through the ground and diminish in strength with distance. Ground vibration levels associated with various types of construction equipment are summarized in Table 11-4. Based on the representative vibration levels presented for various construction equipment types, it is possible to estimate the potential for human response (annoyance) and building damage using the following vibration assessment methods defined by Caltrans. To calculate vibration levels at distance, Caltrans provides the following equation: $PPV_{equip} = PPV_{ref} \times (25/D)^{1.5}$

Equipment	PPV (in/sec) at 25 feet
Small Bulldozer	0.003
Jackhammer	0.035
Loaded Trucks	0.076
Large Bulldozer	0.089
Vibratory Roller	0.210

TABLE 11-4: VIBRATION SOURCE LEVELS FOR CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT

Federal Transit Administration, Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment Manual

Table 11-5 presents the expected Project-related vibration levels at the nearby receiver building façade locations. At distances ranging from 100 to 1,403 feet from the building façade to the Project construction activities, construction vibration velocity levels are estimated to range from less than 0.01 up to 0.03 (in/sec). Based on the maximum acceptable continuous vibration threshold of 0.30 PPV (in/sec), the typical Project construction vibration levels will fall below the building damage thresholds at all noise sensitive receiver locations. Therefore, the Project-related vibration impacts are considered *less than significant* during typical construction activities at the Project site. Moreover, the vibration levels reported at the sensitive receiver locations are unlikely to be sustained during the entire construction period but will occur rather only during the times that heavy construction equipment is operating adjacent to the Project site perimeter.



Location ¹	Distance to Const. Activity (Feet) ²	Typical Construction Vibration Levels PPV (in/sec) ³						Thresholds	Thresholds
		Small Bulldozer	Jackhammer	Loaded Trucks	Large Bulldozer	Vibratory Roller	Highest Vibration Level	(in/sec) ⁴	Exceeded? ⁵
R1	1,363'	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.30	No
R2	1,403'	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.30	No
R3	100'	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.03	0.30	No
R4	114'	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.30	No
R5	102'	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.03	0.30	No

TABLE 11-5: PROJECT CONSTRUCTION VIBRATION LEVELS

¹Receiver locations are shown on Exhibit 11-A.

² Distance from receiver building facade to Project construction boundary (Project site boundary).

³ Based on the Vibration Source Levels of Construction Equipment (Table 11-4).

⁴ Caltrans Transportation and Construction Vibration Guidance Manual, April 2020, Table 19, p. 38.

⁵ Does the peak vibration exceed the acceptable vibration thresholds?

"PPV" = Peak Particle Velocity



12 REFERENCES

- 1. California Department of Transportation Environmental Program. *Technical Noise Supplement A Technical Supplement to the Traffic Noise Analysis Protocol.* Sacramento, CA : s.n., September 2013.
- 2. Environmental Protection Agency Office of Noise Abatement and Control. Information on Levels of Environmental Noise Requiste to Protect Public Health and Welfare with an Adequate Margin of Safety. March, 1974. EPA/ONAC 550/9/74-004.
- 3. U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, Office of Environment and Planning, Noise and Air Quality Branch. *Highway Traffic Noise Analysis and Abatement Policy and Guidance*. June, 1995.
- 4. U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration. *Highway Traffic Noise in the United States, Problem and Response.* April 2000. p. 3.
- 5. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Office of Noise Abatement and Control. *Noise Effects Handbook-A Desk Reference to Health and Welfare Effects of Noise*. October 1979 (revised July 1981). EPA 550/9/82/106.
- 6. Occupational Safety and Health Administration. Standard 29 CRF, Part 1910.
- 7. Center for Disease Control and Prevention. About Hearing Loss. [Online] [Cited: 04 15, 2016.] http://www.cdc.gov/healthyschools/noise/signs.htm.
- 8. U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Transit Administration. *Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment.* May 2006. FTA-VA-90-1003-06.
- 9. Office of Planning and Research. State of California General Plan Guidlines 2003. October 2003.
- 10. City of Coachella. General Plan Noise Element. April 2015.
- 11. —. Municipal Code, Title 7 Noise Control.
- 12. U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Transit Administration. *Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment Manual.* September 2018.
- 13. California Department of Transportation. *Transportation and Construction Vibration Guidance Manual.* April 2020.
- 14. State of California. California Environmental Quality Act, Appendix G. 2018.
- 15. California Court of Appeal. *Gray v. County of Madera, F053661.* 167 Cal.App.4th 1099; Cal.Rptr.3d, October 2008.
- 16. Federal Interagency Committee on Noise. Federal Agency Review of Selected Airport Noise Analysis Issues. August 1992.
- 17. U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, Office of Environment and Planning, Noise and Air Quality Branch. *Highway Traffic Noise Analysis and Abatement Policy and Guidance*. December 2011.
- 18. American National Standards Institute (ANSI). Specification for Sound Level Meters ANSI S1.4-2014/IEC 61672-1:2013.
- 19. U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration. FHWA Highway Traffic Noise Prediction Model. December 1978. FHWA-RD-77-108.
- 20. California Department of Transportation Environmental Program, Office of Environmental Engineering. Use of California Vehicle Noise Reference Energy Mean Emission Levels (Calveno REMELs) in FHWA Highway Traffic Noise Prediction. September 1995. TAN 95-03.



- 21. California Department of Transportation. *Traffic Noise Attenuation as a Function of Ground and Vegetation Final Report*. June 1995. FHWA/CA/TL-95/23.
- 22. Urban Crossroads, Inc. Desert Island Hotel Focused Traffic Analysis. 2022.
- 23. Urban Crossroads. Armtec Master Plan Traffic Analysis. 2024.
- 24. U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, Office of Environment and Planning. FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model. January, 2006.

13 CERTIFICATION

The contents of this noise study report represent an accurate depiction of the noise environment and impacts associated with the proposed Armtec Master Plan. The information contained in this noise study report is based on the best available data at the time of preparation. If you have any questions, please contact me directly at (619) 788-1971.

William Maddux, INCE Senior Associate URBAN CROSSROADS, INC. (619) 788-1971

EDUCATION

Bachelor of Science in Urban and Regional Planning California Polytechnic State University, Pomona • June 2000

PROFESSIONAL AFFILIATIONS

ASA – Acoustical Society of America AEP – Association of Environmental Planners AWMA – Air and Waste Management Association INCE – Institute of Noise Control Engineers

PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATIONS

Approved Acoustical Consultant • County of San Diego FHWA Traffic Noise Model of Training • November 2004 CadnaA Basic and Advanced Training Certificate • January 2024



This page intentionally left blank



APPENDIX 3.1:

CITY OF COACHELLA MUNICIPAL CODE

This page intentionally left blank



Title 7 - NOISE CONTROL

Chapters:

Chapter 7.04 - NOISE CONTROL^[1]

Sections:

Footnotes:

--- (1) ---

Editor's note—Ord. No. 1022, adopted Nov. 17, 2010, amended ch. 7.04 in its entirety to read as herein set out. Former ch. 7.04 pertained to similar subject matter, consisted of §§ 7.04.010—7.04.140, and derived from Ord. 940.

7.24.010 - Purpose.

The city council finds and declares that:

- A. Inadequately controlled noise presents a growing danger to the health and welfare of the residents of the city of Coachella;
- B. The making and creation of excessive, unnecessary or unusually loud noises within the limits of the city of Coachella is a condition that has existed for some time, however, the extent and volume of such noises is increasing;
- C. The making, creation or maintenance of such excessive, unnecessary, unnatural or unusually loud noises that are prolonged, unusual and unnatural in their time, place and use affect and are a detriment to public health, comfort, convenience, safety, welfare and prosperity of the residents of the city of Coachella;
- D. Every person is entitled to an environment in which the noise is not detrimental to his life, health, or enjoyment of property; and
- E. The necessity in the public interest for the provisions and prohibitions hereinafter contained and enacted, is declared as a matter of legislative determination and public policy, and it is further declared that the provisions and prohibitions hereinafter contained and enacted are in pursuance of and for the purpose of securing and promoting the public health, comfort, convenience, safety, welfare and prosperity and the peace and quiet of the residents of the city of Coachella.

(Ord. No. 1022, § 1, 11-17-10; Ord. No. 1024, 11-17-10)

7.04.020 - Definitions.

[As used in this chapter, the following terms have the meanings given:]

Coachella, CA Code of Ordinances

"A-weighted sound level" means the sound pressure level in decibels as measured on a sound level meter using the A-weighting network. The level to read is designated db(A) or dBA.

"Ambient noise level" means the all-encompassing noise level associated with a given environment, being a composite of sounds from all sources, excluding the alleged offensive noise, at the location and approximate time at which a comparison with the alleged offensive noise is to be made.

"Amplified music" means instrumental and/or vocal music amplified through electronic means.

"Average sound level" means a sound level typical of the sound levels at a certain place during a given period of time; also, means an equivalent continuous sound level.

"Commercial establishments" includes, but is not limited to, any nightclub, restaurant, sports bar, industrial, retail or business establishment or combination thereof.

"Construction equipment" means any tools, machinery or equipment used in connection with construction operations, including all types of "special construction" equipment as defined in the pertinent sections of the California Vehicle Code when used in the construction process on any construction site, home improvement site or property maintenance site, regardless of whether such site be located onhighway or off-highway.

"Cumulative period" means an additive period of time composed of individual time segments which may be continuous or interrupted.

"Decibel" means a unit measure of sound level noise.

"Disturbance" means any disturbance of the peace as defined by California Penal Code Section 415 or as otherwise defined herein.

"Disturbing, excessive or offensive noise" means any sound or noise from any source in excess of the sound level or noise level set forth in <u>Section 7.04.030</u>.

"Emergency machinery," "vehicle" or "work" means any machinery, vehicle or work used, employed or performed in an effort to protect, provide or restore safe conditions in the community or for the citizenry, or work by private or public utilities when restoring utility service.

"Fixed noise source" means a stationary device which creates sounds which are fixed or motionless including, but not limited to, industrial and commercial machinery and equipment, pumps, fans, compressors, generators, air conditioners and refrigeration equipment.

"Gathering" means any convergence of five or more persons.

"Impact noise" means the noise produced by the collision of one mass in motion with a second mass which may be either in motion or in rest.

66
"Noise level" means the same as "sound level." The terms may be used interchangeably herein.

"Peace officer" means a duly appointed officer of the city, as defined in California Penal Code, Sections 830, et seq.

"Person" means a person, firm, association, copartnership, joint venture, corporation or any entity, public or private in nature.

"Portable powered blower" means any mechanically powered device, regardless of the source of power, which is not stationary, and used for the purpose of blowing leaves, dirt or other debris off sidewalks, lawns or other surfaces.

"Premises" means any real property or location at which a gathering may be held.

"Sound level" (noise level) in decibels is the quantity measured using the frequency weighting of A of a sound level meter as defined herein.

"Sound level meter" means an instrument meeting American National Standard Institute's Standard SL. 4-1974 for type 1 or type 2 sound level meters or an instrument and the associated recording and analyzing equipment which will provide equivalent data.

(Ord. No. 1022, § 1, 11-17-10; Ord. No. 1024, 11-17-10)

7.04.030 - Sound level limits as related to fixed noise sources.

A. Regardless of whether an objective measurement by sound level meter is involved, it shall be unlawful for any person to make, continue, or cause to be made or continued, within the city limits any disturbing excessive or offensive noise or vibration which causes discomfort or annoyance to any reasonable person of normal sensitivity residing in the area or that is plainly audible at a distance greater than fifty (50) feet from the sources point for any purpose. The following ten-minute average sound level limits, unless otherwise specifically indicated, shall apply as indicated in the following table as it relates to a fixed noise source or leaf blowers pursuant to <u>Section 7.04.075</u>.

Zone	Time	Applicable Ten-Minute Average Decibel Limit (A- weighted)
Residential—All zones	6:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m. 10:00 p.m. to 6:00 a.m.	55 45

67

Commercial—All zones	6:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m. 10:00 p.m. to 6:00 a.m.	65
		55

- B. If the measured ambient noise level exceeds the applicable limit as noted in the table in subsection (A) of this section, the allowable average sound level shall be the ambient noise level. The ambient noise level shall be measured when the alleged noise violation sources are not operating.
- C. The sound level limit between two zoning districts shall be measured at the higher allowable district. (Ord. No. 1022, § 1, 11-17-10; Ord. No. 1024, 11-17-10)

7.04.040 - Prohibited noise generally.

- A. It is unlawful for any person or property owner within the city of Coachella to make, cause, or continue to make or cause, loud, excessive, impulsive or intrusive sound or noise that annoys or disturbs persons of ordinary sensibilities.
- B. The factors, standards, and conditions that may be considered in determining whether a violation of the provisions of this section has been committed, include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - 1. The level of the noise;
 - 2. The level and intensity of the background (ambient) noise, if any;
 - 3. The proximity of the noise to residential or commercial sleeping areas;
 - 4. The nature, density and zoning of the area within which the noise emanates;
 - 5. The density of inhabitation of the area within which the noise emanates;
 - 6. The time of day and night the noise occurs;
 - 7. The duration of the noise;
 - 8. Whether the nature of the noise is natural or unnatural;
 - 9. Whether the noise is constant, recurrent or intermittent; and
 - 10. Whether the noise is produced by a commercial or noncommercial activity.

(Ord. No. 1022, § 1, 11-17-10; Ord. No. 1024, 11-17-10)

7.04.050 - Disturbing, excessive, offensive noises—Declaration of certain acts constituting.

The following activities, are declared to be deemed disturbing, excessive or offensive noises and any of the following shall constitute prima facie evidence of a violation:

Α.

Horns, Signaling Devices, Muffler Systems, Car Alarms, Etc. Unnecessary use or operation of horns, signaling devices, uncontrolled muffler noises, car alarms on vehicles of all types, including motorcycles, and other equipment.

- The operation of any such sound production or reproduction device, radio receiving set, musical instrument, drum, phonograph, television set, machine, loudspeaker and sound amplifier or similar machine or device in such a manner as to be plainly audible at a distance of fifty (50) feet or more from the building, structure or vehicle in which located, or from the source point.
- 2. The operation of any sound amplifier, which is part of, or connected to, any radio, stereo receiver, compact disc player, cassette tape player, or other similar device when operated in such a manner as to be plainly audible at a distance of fifty (50) feet from the source point or when operated in such a manner as to cause a person to be aware of vibration at a distance of fifty (50) feet or more from the source point.
- B. Uses Restricted. The use, operation, or permitting to be played, used or operated, any sound production or reproduction device, radio receiving set, musical instrument, drums, phonograph, television set, loudspeakers and sound amplifiers or other machine or device for the producing or reproducing of sound in such a manner as to disturb the peace, quiet, and comfort of any reasonable person of normal sensitiveness.
- C. Prima Facie Violations. Any of the following shall constitute evidence of a prima facie violation of this section:
 - The operation of any such sound production or reproduction device, radio receiving set, musical instrument, drum, phonograph, television set, machine, loudspeaker and sound amplifier or similar machine or device in such a manner as to be plainly audible at a distance of fifty (50) feet from the building, structure or vehicle in which located, or from the source point.
 - 2. The operation of any sound amplifier, which is part of, or connected to, any radio, stereo receiver, compact disc player, cassette tape player, or other similar device when operated in such a manner as to be plainly audible at a distance of fifty (50) feet from the source point or when operated in such a manner as to cause a person to be aware of vibration at a distance of fifty (50) feet from the source point.
- D. Enforcement of Prima Facie Violations. Any peace office, as defined in California Penal Code, Sections 830, et seq., and/or the city manager or his or her designees, who are authorized to enforce the provisions of this chapter and who encounters evidence of a prima facie violation of this section whereby the component(s) amplifying or transmitting the sound in such a manner as to disturb the peace, quiet, or comfort of any reasonable person of normal sensitivity in any area of the city shall be empowered to issue a citation and/or to confiscate and impound as evidence, any or all of the components amplifying or transmitting the sound.

(Ord. No. 1022, § 1, 11-17-10; Ord. No. 1024, 11-17-10)

7.04.060 - Special provisions—Exemptions.

The following activities shall be exempted from the provisions of this chapter:

- A. School bands, school athletic and school entertainment events;
- B. Outdoor gatherings, public dances, shows and sporting and entertainment events; provided, the events are authorized by the city;
- C. Activities conducted in public parks and public playgrounds;
- D. Any mechanical device, apparatus or equipment used, related to or connected with emergency machinery, vehicle or work;
- E. All mechanical devices, apparatus or equipment which are utilized for the protection or salvage of agricultural crops during periods of potential or actual frost damage or other adverse weather conditions;
- F. Mobile noise sounds associated with agricultural operations provided such operations do not take place between the hours of 8:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m. on weekdays, including Saturdays, or at any time on Sunday or a federal holiday;
- G. Mobile noise sources associated with agricultural pest control through pesticide application;
- H. Carillon chimes between the hours of 8:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m.;
- I. For noise sources associated with property maintenance, refer to <u>Section 7.04.075</u>, "property maintenance activities";
- J. For noise sources associated with construction activities, refer to <u>Section 7.04.070</u>, "construction activities"; and
- K. The provisions of this regulation shall not preclude the construction, operation, maintenance and repairs of equipment, apparatus or facilities of park and recreation departments, public work projects or essential public services and facilities, including those of public utilities subject to the regulatory jurisdiction of the California Public Utilities Commission.

(Ord. No. 1022, § 1, 11-17-10; Ord. No. 1024, 11-17-10)

7.04.070 - Construction activities.

No person shall perform, nor shall any person be employed, nor shall any person cause any other person to be employed to work for which a building permit is required by the city in any work of construction, erection, demolition, alteration, repair, addition to or improvement of any building, structure, road or improvement to realty except between the hours as set forth as follows:

70

October 1st through April 30th

Monday—Friday: 6:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

Saturday: 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

Sunday: 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

Holidays: 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

May 1st through September 30th

Monday—Friday: 5:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m.

Saturday: 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

Sunday: 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

Holidays: 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

Emergency work and/or unusual conditions may cause work to be permitted with the consent of the city manager, or his or her designee, upon recommendation of the building director or the city engineer.

(Ord. No. 1022, § 1, 11-17-10; Ord. No. 1024, 11-17-10)

7.04.075 - Property maintenance activities.

A. Noise sources associated with property maintenance activity and all portable blowers, lawnmowers, edgers or similar devices shall be prohibited except during the following hours:

October 1st through April 30th

Monday—Sunday: 9:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

Holidays: Not allowed.

May 1st through September 30th

Monday—Friday: 8:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

Saturday and Sunday: 9:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

Holidays: Not allowed.

Notwithstanding the hours of permitted operations, such equipment that constitutes a public nuisance may be abated as otherwise provided in this Code.

B. No person shall willfully make or continue, or willfully cause to be made or continued, any noise from any portable powered blower at a level which exceeds seventy (70) decibels dBA measured at the midpoint of a wall area twenty (20) feet long and ten (10) feet high and at the horizontal distance fifty (50) feet away from the midpoint of the wall, or not more than seventy-six (76) decibels dBA at a horizontal distance of twenty-four (24) feet using a sound level meter.

71

- C. No portable powered blower shall be operated in a manner which will permit dirt, dust, debris, leaves, grass clippings, cuttings, or trimmings from trees or shrubs to be blown or deposited onto neighboring property or public right-of-way. All waste shall be removed and disposed of in a sanitary manner by the use or property occupant.
- D. Leaf blowers shall not be operated within a horizontal distance of ten (10) feet of any operable window, door, or mechanical air intake opening or duct.

(Ord. No. 1022, § 1, 11-17-10; Ord. No. 1024, 11-17-10)

7.04.080 - Schools, hospitals and churches—Special provisions.

It is unlawful for any person to create any noise which causes the noise level at any school, hospital or church while the same is in use, to exceed the noise limits, as specified in subsection (A) of <u>Section 7.04.030</u>, prescribed for the assigned noise zone in which the school, hospital or church is located, or which noise level unreasonably disturbs or annoys patients in the hospital.

(Ord. No. 1022, § 1, 11-17-10; Ord. No. 1024, 11-17-10)

7.04.090 - Air conditioning, refrigeration and pool equipment.

The noise standards enumerated in <u>Section 7.04.030</u> shall be increased by eight dBA when the alleged offensive noise source is an air conditioning or refrigeration system or associated equipment which was installed prior to the effective date of the ordinance codified in this chapter. Installation of new equipment must be certified to be within the provisions of this chapter. Installation of new equipment must be certified to be within the provisions of this chapter. Installation noise level.

(Ord. No. 1022, § 1, 11-17-10; Ord. No. 1024, 11-17-10)

7.04.100 - Noise level measurement.

A. The location selected for measuring exterior noise levels between residential properties shall be at the property line of the affected residential property. Affected residential property shall be the address from which the complaint was received. Interior noise measurement shall be made within the affected residential unit. The measurement shall be made at a point at least four feet from the wall, ceiling or floor nearest the noise source.

The location selected for measuring exterior noise levels between nonresidential properties shall be at the property line of the affected property.

B. The location selected for measuring exterior noise levels between two zoning districts shall be at the boundary of the two districts.

(Ord. No. 1022, § 1, 11-17-10; Ord. No. 1024, 11-17-10)

7.04.110 - Interference with authorized personnel is prohibited.

No person shall interfere with, oppose or resist any authorized person charged with enforcement of this chapter while such person is engaged in the performance of his duty.

(Ord. No. 1022, § 1, 11-17-10; Ord. No. 1024, 11-17-10)

7.04.120 - Pre-existing noise source—Time extension.

Those commercial and/or industrial noise sources in existence prior to the date of adoption of the ordinance codified in this chapter, which noise sources are an integral part of a building, structure or similar fixed and permanent installation if in compliance with local zoning structures, shall be granted a three-year period from the date of adoption with which to comply with the provisions of the chapter. If, at the end of the three-year period, it can be shown that compliance with the provisions herein constitutes a hardship in terms of technical and economic feasibility, the time to comply may be extended on an annual basis until such time as compliance may be affected.

(Ord. No. 1022, § 1, 11-17-10; Ord. No. 1024, 11-17-10)

7.04.130 - Violation—Infractions.

Any person violating any of the provisions of this chapter shall be deemed guilty of an infraction.

(Ord. No. 1022, § 1, 11-17-10; Ord. No. 1024, 11-17-10)

7.04.140 - Continuing or subsequent violations—Misdemeanor.

Any person having been convicted of a violation of any provisions of this chapter who thereafter commits a violation of the same provisions of this chapter shall be guilty of a misdemeanor.

(Ord. No. 1022, § 1, 11-17-10; Ord. No. 1024, 11-17-10)

7.04.150 - Severability.

If any provision of this chapter is held to be unconstitutional or otherwise invalid by any court of competent jurisdiction, the remaining provisions of this chapter shall not be invalidated.

(Ord. No. 1022, § 1, 11-17-10; Ord. No. 1024, 11-17-10)

Chapter 7.05 - MULTIPLE RESPONSES TO LOUD OR UNRULY PARTIES, GATHERINGS OR OTHER SIMILAR EVENTS

7.05.010 - Declaration of findings and policy.

73

It is hereby found and declared that:

- A. Due to inadequate supervision, some large gatherings of people, such as parties, frequently become loud and unruly to the point that they constitute a threat to the peace, health, safety, or general welfare of the public as a result of conduct such as one or more of the following: excessive noise, excessive traffic, obstruction of public streets or crowds who have spilled over into public streets, public drunkenness, the service of alcohol to minors, fights, disturbances of the peace, and litter.
- B. The city of Coachella is required to make multiple responses to such unruly gatherings in order to restore and maintain the peace and protect public safety. Such gatherings are a burden on scarce city resources and can result in police responses to regular and emergency calls being delayed and police protection to the rest of the city being reduced.
- C. In order to discourage the occurrence of repeated loud and unruly gatherings, the persons responsible for the public nuisance created by these gatherings should be fined.

(Ord. No. 1023, § 1, 11-17-10; Ord. No. 1025, 11-17-10)

7.05.020 - Loud or unruly gatherings—Public nuisance.

It shall be unlawful and a public nuisance to conduct a gathering of ten (10) or more persons on any private property in a manner which constitutes a substantial disturbance of the quiet enjoyment of private or public property in a significant segment of a neighborhood, as a result of conduct constituting a violation of law. Illustrative of such unlawful conduct is excessive noise or traffic, obstruction of public streets by crowds or vehicles, public drunkenness, the service of alcohol to minors, fights, disturbances of the peace, litter. A gathering constituting a public nuisance may be abated by the city by all reasonable means including, but not limited to, an order requiring the gathering to be disbanded and citation and/or arrest of any law violators under any applicable local laws and state statutes.

(Ord. No. 1023, § 1, 11-17-10; Ord. No. 1025, 11-17-10)

7.05.030 - Notice of unruly gatherings—Posting, mail.

A. When the city intervenes at a gathering which constitutes a public nuisance under this chapter, the premises at which such nuisance occurred shall be posted with a notice substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit "A" stating that a public nuisance under this chapter was caused by a gathering at the premises, the date and time of the police intervention, and that any subsequent or second police intervention with respect to a nuisance under this chapter at said premises, including a second intervention that same day or night, within sixty (60) days of the first intervention, shall result in the joint and several liability of any guests causing the public nuisance, persons who are residents or in control of the property at which the public nuisance occurred, persons who sponsored the gathering constituting the public nuisance, and owners of the premises as more fully set forth in Sections
https://www.municode.com/library/ca/coachella/codes/code of ordinances?nodeld=TIT7NOCO_CH7.04NOCO_7.04.100NOLEME

7.05.040—7.05.060, below. The residents and persons in control of such property, and the sponsors of the event, shall be responsible for ensuring that such notice is not removed or defaced and shall be liable for a civil penalty of one hundred dollars (\$100.00) in addition to any other penalties which may be due under this chapter, if such notice is removed or defaced, provided, however, that the residents of the premises or sponsor of the event, if present, shall be consulted as to the location in which such notice is posted in order to achieve both the security of the notice and its prominent display. The notice shall remain posted for the entire 60-day period.

B. Notice of the police intervention shall also be mailed to any property owner at the address shown on the city's property tax assessment records and shall advise the property owner that any subsequent gathering resulting in a public nuisance within sixty (60) days on the same premises necessitating city intervention shall result in liability of the property owner for all penalties associated with such intervention as more particularly set forth below.

EXHIBIT A IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING PUBLIC NUISANCE

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT, pursuant to Coachella Municipal Code (CMC) Chapter 7.05, on:

Date: _____/ ____, 20_____, at _____ a.m./p.m.

The Coachella Police Department found that a gathering, at the below-listed premises caused a public nuisance as defined by CMC <u>Chapter 7.05</u> (e.g., disturbance of the peace, threat to public safety, etc.):

Address: _____, Coachella, California.

WARNING

IF THE POLICE RESPOND TO ANOTHER DISTURBANCE CONSTITUTING A NUISANCE (AS DEFINED BY CMC <u>CHAPTER 7.05</u>) AT THE ABOVE PREMISES WITHIN 60 DAYS OF THIS NOTICE, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO A DISTURBANCE LATER TODAY OR TONIGHT, A SUBSEQUENT RESPONSE FEE WILL BE IMPOSED UPON:

- 1. ALL GUESTS CAUSING THE NUISANCE;
- 2. ALL SPONSORS OF THE GATHERING;
- 3. ALL RESIDENTS OF THE PREMISES;
- 4. ALL PERSONS IN CONTROL OF THE PREMISES; AND
- 5. ALL OWNERS OF THE PREMISES THAT RESIDE ON OR ADJACENT TO THE PREMISES, OR ARE PRESENT AT THE PREMISES WHEN THIS NOTICE IS FIRST POSTED.

Property owners who do not reside on or adjacent to the above premises, and who are not present when this Notice is first posted, are also jointly and severally liable for said fee, if the next disturbance occurs after two weeks after this Notice is mailed to said owner.

THIS NOTICE MUST REMAIN POSTED ON THE PREMISES FOR 60 DAYS

\$100 FINE FOR UNAUTHORIZED REMOVAL OF THIS NOTICE

(Name and Signature of the Officer Issuing This Notice)

(Officer's Phone Number)

Date: _____

Case Number: _____

(Ord. No. 1023, § 1, 11-17-10; Ord. No. 1025, 11-17-10)

7.05.040 - Persons liable for subsequent response to a gathering constituting a public nuisance.

If the city is required to intervene as to a gathering constituting a public nuisance on the same premises more than once in any 60-day period, including a second intervention during the same day or night as the first intervention, the following persons shall be jointly and severally liable for civil penalties as set forth in <u>Section 7.05.050</u>, below, in addition to liability for any injuries to city personnel or damage to city property.

- A. The person or persons who own the premises where the gathering constituting a public nuisance took place if any of the following are the case:
 - (1) Said owner resides on or adjacent to the premises;
 - (2) Said owner was present when the notice described in Exhibit "A" was first posted; or
 - (3) The notice described in Exhibit "A" was mailed to said owner and fourteen (14) days have elapsed since the date of said mailing.

For purposes of this subsection, where a gathering takes place within the confines of a single unit in a building owned by a housing cooperative, the owner of the property shall be deemed to be the owner of the single unit and not the members of the housing cooperative in general. Where the gathering took place in the common area of a building owned by a housing cooperative, only the members of the cooperative owning units in the building where the gathering took place shall be deemed the owners of the property for purposes of this subsection. Other members of the housing cooperative may still be liable if they fall within the categories of person made liable by <u>Section 7.05.040</u>, subsections (B), (C), or (D), below.

- B. The person or persons residing on or otherwise in control of the property where such gathering took place.
- C. The person or persons who organized or sponsored such gathering.
- D. All persons attending such gathering who engaged in any activity resulting in the public nuisance. **76**

- E. Nothing in this section shall be construed to impose liability on the resident or owners of the premises or sponsor of the gathering, for the conduct of persons who are present without the express or implied consent of the resident or sponsor, as long as the resident and sponsor have taken all steps reasonably necessary to exclude such uninvited participants from the premises. Where an invited guest engages in conduct which the sponsor or resident could not reasonably foresee and the conduct is an isolated instance of a guest at the event violating the law which the sponsor is unable to reasonably control without the intervention of the police, the unlawful conduct of the individual guest shall not be attributable to the sponsor, owner, or resident for the purposes of determining whether the event constitutes a public nuisance under this section.
- F. There shall be no liability for civil penalties under this chapter for a subsequent intervention during the same day or night as the prior intervention, unless a reasonable time has been provided to abate the public nuisance, taking into account the size of the gathering, the time of day, and other relevant factors.
- G. There shall be no liability for civil penalties under this chapter for a second response during the same day or night as the first response when a person who would otherwise be liable under subsection (A) seeks assistance from the police department to abate a public nuisance under this chapter, and the person cooperates fully with the police while taking reasonable action to abate the public nuisance.
- H. If the city is required to intervene at a gathering constituting a public nuisance on the same premises more than once in any 60-day period, excluding a second intervention during the same day or night as the first intervention, the 60-day period shall be extended by another sixty (60) days from the date of the second intervention.

(Ord. No. 1023, § 1, 11-17-10; Ord. No. 1025, 11-17-10)

7.05.050 - Recovery of subsequent response fee.

- A. After given proper notice pursuant to <u>Section 7.05.030</u> and a reasonable opportunity to abate a gathering constituting a public nuisance, a subsequent response fee shall be assessed against all persons liable for the city's intervention. The subsequent response fee shall include:
 - 1. The actual cost to the city of law enforcement services incurred as a result of a subsequent response;
 - 2. The actual cost of any medical treatment required by a police officer for injuries sustained during a subsequent response; and
 - 3. The cost of repairing or replacing any city equipment or property damaged or destroyed during a subsequent response.
- B. Except as provided in subsection (A) of this section, the subsequent response fee shall not exceed one thousand dollars (\$1,000.00) for any subsequent response.

The remedies set forth in this chapter shall be in addition to any other penalties imposed by law for particular violations of law committed during the course of an event which is a public nuisance under this chapter, provided however, that if the only violation of law which constituted the public nuisance under this chapter is excessive noise, the remedies provided under this chapter shall be exclusive of any other remedies provided by law to the city for such excessive noise.

D. The city shall bill all persons liable for subsequent response fees by mail by sending a letter in substantially the form attached hereto as Exhibit "B." Payment of the fees shall be due within thirty (30) days of the date the bill is deposited in the mail. If full payment is not received within the required time for payment, the bill will be delinquent, and all persons liable for the fees shall be charged interest at the maximum legal rate from the date the payment period expires and a further civil penalty in the amount of one hundred dollars (\$100.00).

EXHIBIT B

Date:

To:

Dear:

The City of Coachella was required to abate the public nuisance caused by a gathering of 10 or more persons at (location of property), which substantially disrupted the quiet enjoyment of property in a significant segment of the adjacent neighborhood. This is the (second/third/fourth, etc.) such public nuisance at this property within the last 60 days, and thus, a fee of _____/ ____/ _____ is imposed on you. If you fail to remit this fine to the City of Coachella by (30 days from the date of this notification) you will be liable for an additional \$100 penalty, plus interest. The payment should be remitted to the address listed below. Your liability is based on the fact that you were:

[] An owner of the property to whom was sent prior notice of a public nuisance at the property within the previous 60 days; and/or

[] An owner of the property who resided on or adjacent to the property when the public nuisance took place; and/or

[] An owner of the property who was present when a Notice of a public nuisance was first posted at the property; and/or

[] A person who resided on or was otherwise in control of the property when the public nuisance took place there; and/or

[] A person who organized or sponsored the event that created the public nuisance at such property; and/or

[] A person who attended the event constituting the public nuisance at such property and engaged in the conduct which resulted in the public nuisance.

If you believe that you are not liable you may defend this claim in the civil action which the City of Coachella will file against you upon your failure to remit the fee. You should be aware, however, that if you fail to prevail in that action you will be liable for the additional penalty of \$100 and interest on the total fee.

Sincerely yours,

(Name, title, address and phone number of signatory)

(Ord. No. 1023, § 1, 11-17-10; Ord. No. 1025, 11-17-10)

7.05.060 - Collection of delinquent costs for a subsequent city response.

The penalties assessed as a result of a subsequent city response to a loud or unruly gathering shall constitute a debt of all persons liable for the penalties in favor of the city and may be collected in any manner authorized by law and are recoverable in a civil action filed by the city in a court of competent jurisdiction. The remedies provided by this chapter are in addition to all other civil and criminal remedies available to the city with respect to the unlawful conduct constituting the public nuisance which gave rise to the need for the city response under this chapter.

(Ord. No. 1023, § 1, 11-17-10; Ord. No. 1025, 11-17-10)

This page intentionally left blank



APPENDIX 5.1:

STUDY AREA PHOTOS



This page intentionally left blank



15967 - Armtec Master Plan 15967_L1_East 15967_L1_North



15967_L1_South



15967_L1_West





15967 - Armtec Master Plan 15967_L2_East 15967_L2_North



15967_L2_South









15967 - Armtec Master Plan 15967_L3_East 15967_L3_North



15967_L3_South



15967_L3_West





15967 - Armtec Master Plan 15967_L4_East 15967_L4_North



15967_L4_South









15967 - Armtec Master Plan 15967_L5_East 15967_L5_North



15967_L5_South









This page intentionally left blank



APPENDIX 5.2:

NOISE LEVEL MEASUREMENT WORKSHEETS

This page intentionally left blank



						24-Ho	ur Noise L	evel Meas	urement S	Summary						
Date:	Thursday, J	une 13, 2024			Location:	L1 - Located	west of the s	site near the	residence at	t 53330	Meter:	Piccolo II			JN:	15967
Project:	Armtec Ma	ster Plan			Source:	Shady Ln.									Analyst:	N. Johnson
							Hourly L _{eq}	dBA Readings	(unadjusted)						
05.0	`															
85.0	$5 \rightarrow 1$															
8 75.0																
e5.0																
→ 55.0	5 — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —															
5 50.0 9 45.0		v v		6.1	<u>.</u>	4 N	80	<mark>6.</mark> 9.	N		9.0	<u>, 4</u>	59	<u>.</u>	<u>v</u>	6
± 40.0	0 7	- 7 - 7	4	4 4	41			4 4	4	4 4 -		t	- 4		<mark>- 4</mark> 4	- 45 -
	0	1 2	3	4 5	6	7 8	9 1	LO 11	12 1	L3 14	15 1	6 17	18 19	20	21 22	23
								Hour Be	eginning							
Timeframe	Hour	L _{eq}	L _{max}	L _{min}	L1%	L2%	L5%	L8%	L25%	L50%	L90%	L95%	L99%	L _{eq}	Adj.	Adj. L _{eq}
	0	41.0	44.3	39.1	43.8	43.5	42.8	42.5	41.4	40.7	39.6	39.4	39.2	41.0	10.0	51.0
	1	42.2	45.5	39.4	45.2	44.8	44.3	44.0	42.9	42.1	40.0	39.9	39.5	42.2	10.0	52.2
Night	2	39.5	4/.1	36.6	46.8	46.3	44.3	42.8	38.9	38.0	37.1	36.9	36.7	39.5	10.0	49.5
Nigitt	4	40.1	40.0	43.1	40.4	48.2	47.8	47.0	40.8	40.1	44.0	43.5	43.2	40.1	10.0	54.9
	5	46.7	50.4	44.9	49.9	49.5	48.6	48.2	47.1	46.3	45.4	45.2	45.0	46.7	10.0	56.7
	6	47.5	51.9	45.3	51.4	50.9	50.3	49.9	47.9	46.9	45.8	45.6	45.4	47.5	10.0	57.5
	7	45.4	51.2	41.5	50.8	50.5	49.8	49.0	45.7	43.8	42.2	41.9	41.6	45.4	0.0	45.4
	8	47.2	56.6	38.1	55.6	54.8	53.2	52.3	47.8	42.5	38.9	38.6	38.3	47.2	0.0	47.2
	9 10	43.8	51.2	30.0	50.8	50.4	49.3 54.3	48.5	44.1	41.3	37.8	37.3	30.8	43.8	0.0	43.8
	10	40.6	46.9	36.5	46.5	46.1	45.0	43.9	41.3	39.1	37.2	37.0	36.7	40.6	0.0	40.6
	12	41.2	48.0	37.6	47.4	46.6	44.9	44.1	41.7	40.1	38.3	38.0	37.7	41.2	0.0	41.2
	13	40.1	45.2	37.1	44.7	44.1	42.9	42.3	40.6	39.5	37.9	37.5	37.2	40.1	0.0	40.1
Day	14	42.9	49.0	38.4	48.4	47.9	46.9	46.3	44.0	41.5	39.3	38.9	38.6	42.9	0.0	42.9
	15	39.6	45.6	36.9	45.0	44.2	43.2	42.6	39.8	38.6	37.5	37.3	37.0	39.6	0.0	39.6
	10	45.5	49.0 50.3	42.4	49.1	48.4	47.2 48.0	40.4	44.0	42.2	40.2	40.0	42.5	45.5	0.0	45.5
	18	47.1	51.3	44.0	51.0	50.6	49.9	49.4	47.8	46.5	44.9	44.5	44.2	47.1	0.0	47.1
	19	59.4	73.9	43.5	73.4	72.1	66.8	61.6	49.0	46.1	44.2	43.9	43.6	59.4	5.0	64.4
	20	47.9	53.6	44.8	53.1	52.4	50.8	49.9	48.4	47.2	45.5	45.2	44.9	47.9	5.0	52.9
	21	47.5	52.6	44.3	52.1	51.5	50.4	49.8	48.2	46.9	45.1	44.8	44.4	47.5	5.0	52.5
Night	22	47.1	52.8	44.0	52.4	52.1	50.6	49.5	47.5	46.3	44.7	44.4	44.1	47.1	10.0	57.1
Timeframe	Hour	45.9	50.9	42.6	50.5	50.0	49.0	48.4	46.5	45.1	43.3	43.0	42.7	45.9	10.0	(dBA)
Timejrume	Min	39.6	45.2	- min 36.5	44.7	44.1	42.9	42.3	39.8	38.6	37.2	37.0	36.7	24-Hour	Daytime	Nighttime
Day	Max	59.4	73.9	44.8	73.4	72.1	66.8	61.6	49.0	47.2	45.5	45.2	44.9	CNEL	(7am-10pm)	(10pm-7am)
Energy	Average	49.5	Ave	erage:	51.6	50.9	49.5	48.5	45.1	42.9	40.7	40.4	40.0			
Night	Min	39.5	44.3	36.6	43.8	43.5	42.8	42.5	38.9	38.0	37.1	36.9	36.7	54.3	49.5	45.3
Energy	Max	47.5	52.8	45.3	52.4	52.1	50.6	49.9	47.9	46.9	45.8	45.6	45.4			
Lincigy	A lage	45.5	Ave	and be.	40.4	40.0	47.1	40.5	44.5	44.0	42.0	42.4	42.1			



						24-Ho	ur Noise L	evel Meas	urement S	ummary						
Date:	Thursday, J	une 13, 2024	<u>.</u>		Location:	L2 - Located	south of the	site near the	residence a	t 85755	Meter:	Piccolo II			JN:	15967
Project:	Armtec Mas	ster Plan			Source:	Avenue 54									Analyst:	N. Johnson
							Hourly L _{eq}	dBA Readings	(unadjusted)							
85.0	n															
2 80.0																
8 70.0	5															
				ດຸ	4.	<u></u>		ю——		<mark>ວ</mark>						
<u></u>			o,	66		65.	<mark>64</mark>	<u>64.</u>	6 <mark>64</mark>	<u>65</u>	65 64.	<u> </u>	63.1 52.0	22.2	9.5	<u>م</u>
P 45.0	ğ — 8 —	54.0											- -		9 <u> </u>	- 20
35.0	5	_ w														
	0	1 2	3	4 5	6	7 8	9 :	10 11	12 1	3 14	15 16	5 17	18 19	20	21 22	23
								Hour Be	eginning							
Timeframe	Hour	L _{eq}	L max	L _{min}	L1%	L2%	L5%	L8%	L25%	L50%	L90%	L95%	L99%	L _{eq}	Adj.	Adj. L _{eq}
	0	58.0	70.0	41.0	69.7	69.1	66.4	63.2	54.2	44.3	41.6	41.4	41.1	58.0	10.0	68.0
	2	51.4	66.5	41.3	66.3	65.8	59.7 62.5	57.0	47.1	43.9	42.1	41.9	41.5	51.4	10.0	64.0
Night	3	58.0	70.7	44.3	70.4	69.6	66.3	62.9	50.7	46.7	45.1	44.8	44.5	58.0	10.0	68.0
	4	61.7	72.3	47.5	72.0	71.5	69.2	67.5	60.3	53.7	48.8	48.3	47.8	61.7	10.0	71.7
	5	66.9	77.0	53.7	76.6	75.7	73.8	72.4	67.0	61.0	55.6	54.9	54.0	66.9	10.0	76.9
	6	67.4	77.2	52.1	76.8	76.3	74.1	72.7	67.8	61.6	53.8	52.9	52.3	67.4	10.0	77.4
	8	65.6	77.6	46.2	75.8	76.0	73.4	70.7	64.5	56.3	46.7	49.2	46.4	65.6	0.0	65.6
	9	64.3	75.5	43.1	75.2	74.5	71.7	69.9	63.0	55.4	46.3	44.6	43.5	64.3	0.0	64.3
	10	64.5	74.9	44.6	74.6	74.0	71.9	70.2	64.1	56.2	47.0	46.0	44.9	64.5	0.0	64.5
	11	63.7	74.5	41.5	74.2	73.6	71.2	69.4	62.9	53.6	43.5	42.8	41.9	63.7	0.0	63.7
	12	64.9	75.7	44.2	75.4	74.8	72.2	70.5	64.2	56.3	46.6	45.6	44.5	64.9	0.0	64.9
Dav	13	65.0	75.9	44.3	75.6	75.0	72.2	70.3	66.4	56.1	46.2	45.2	44.5	65.0	0.0	65.0
50,	15	65.9	75.8	43.2	75.6	75.0	72.4	70.9	66.6	60.4	46.7	44.8	43.5	65.9	0.0	65.9
	16	64.5	74.2	45.6	73.9	73.2	71.1	70.1	65.1	58.6	48.0	46.8	45.9	64.5	0.0	64.5
	17	63.9	74.8	50.0	74.3	73.4	70.6	68.8	63.7	58.8	52.5	51.4	50.4	63.9	0.0	63.9
	18	63.1	73.5	51.4	73.0	72.2	69.3	67.4	63.1	59.3	53.8	52.9	51.8	63.1	0.0	63.1
	19	62.0	/3.5	49.5	72.9	/1.8	68.5 68.5	66./	61.0	56.7	51./	50.8	49.8	62.0	5.0	67.0
	20	60.8	72.0	50.5	70.3	69.6	67.3	65.4	60.7	57.1	52.6	51.7	50.7	60.8	5.0	65.8
Nialat	22	59.5	70.1	48.7	69.8	69.3	67.0	64.9	58.0	54.2	50.3	49.6	48.9	59.5	10.0	69.5
Night	23	56.9	68.1	46.8	67.8	67.1	64.2	61.8	54.8	51.3	48.0	47.5	46.9	56.9	10.0	66.9
Timeframe	Hour	L _{eq}	L _{max}	L _{min}	L1%	L2%	L5%	L8%	L25%	L50%	L90%	L95%	L99%	24-Hour	Leq	(dBA)
Day	Min	60.8	70.6	41.5	70.3	69.6 76.0	67.3	65.4	60.7	53.6	43.5	42.8	41.9	CNEL	Daytime	Nighttime
Energy	Average	64.4	Ave	rage:	74.4	73.6	73.4	69.3	63.9	57.5	48.9	47.8	46.8		(Full-Tobili)	
Nicht	Min	51.4	62.8	40.0	62.6	62.1	59.7	57.0	45.9	41.9	40.7	40.5	40.2	69.2	64.4	62.2
Night	Max	67.4	77.2	53.7	76.8	76.3	74.1	72.7	67.8	61.6	55.6	54.9	54.0			
Energy	Average	62.2	Ave	rage:	70.2	69.6	67.0	64.6	56.2	51.0	47.3	46.9	46.4			



						24-Ho	ur Noise L	evel Meas	urement S	ummary						
Date:	Thursday, J	une 13, 2024			Location:	L3 - Located	east of the si	te near the r	esidence at !	53460 Tyler	Meter:	Piccolo II			JN:	15967
Project:	Armtec Ma	ster Plan			Source:	St.									Analyst:	N. Johnson
							Hourly L _{eq} d	dBA Readings	(unadjusted)							
85.0	85.0 80.0 75.0 75.0 75.0															
₹ 80.0	S															
B 70.0																
– 60.0			<u>ل</u>	.3		6.7	<u>-</u>		<u>.</u>		5.9 6.4	m	7 ~ ~	<mark>. م</mark>	N N	
<u>-</u> 55.0	5 - : -	0.0	61.	0.03		9 9	- <mark>6</mark> - C	<u> </u>	- <mark>6</mark> - C	8 <mark>8</mark> -	<mark></mark>	<u>e3</u>	64 62.	<u> </u>	61.2 61.2	Ŭ –
우 45.0 40.0	0 <u> </u>	- 22 2					二 二			= =		 		中 中		
35.0	D + + C	1 2	2	4 5	6	7 0	1	0 11	12 1	2 14	15 16	17	19 10	20	21 22	
	0	1 2	5	4 5	0	/ 0	9 1	Hour Be	ginning	5 14	15 10	1/	10 19	20 .	21 22	25
Timeframe	Hour	Las	Lmax	Lmin	L1%	L2%	L5%	L8%	L25%	L50%	L90%	L95%	L99%	Las	Adi.	Adi. L
	0	57.1	68.0	52.0	67.6	66.8	63.8	61.4	54.4	53.0	52.4	52.3	52.1	57.1	10.0	67.1
	1	56.0	66.5	51.7	66.0	65.1	62.4	59.9	53.8	52.6	52.0	51.9	51.8	56.0	10.0	66.0
	2	57.9	69.6	52.6	69.2	68.1	64.7	61.5	54.7	53.7	52.9	52.8	52.6	57.9	10.0	67.9
Night	3	61.5	72.4	57.2	72.1	71.1	67.9	65.0	59.2	58.4	57.6	57.4	57.2	61.5	10.0	71.5
	4	67.6	74.2	57.7	77.5	76.5	73.8	72.2	67.1	63.1	58.1 60.4	57.9 60.1	57.8	67.6	10.0	73.3
	6	68.0	78.4	60.1	77.9	77.1	74.6	72.9	67.5	63.0	60.6	60.3	60.1	68.0	10.0	78.0
	7	66.7	76.6	59.4	76.1	75.2	73.1	71.6	66.5	62.2	59.9	59.7	59.5	66.7	0.0	66.7
	8	65.4	75.8	58.4	75.4	74.6	72.3	70.4	64.2	60.5	58.9	58.7	58.5	65.4	0.0	65.4
	9	64.7	75.3	57.6	74.9	74.0	71.2	69.4	63.6	59.9	58.1	57.9	57.7	64.7	0.0	64.7
	10	63.7	74.5	55.4	74.1	73.2	70.7	69.1	61.5	57.7	55.9	55.7 53.6	55.5	63.7	0.0	63.7
	11	64.9	77.3	51.9	76.8	75.8	72.4	70.0	61.7	55.4	52.6	52.3	52.0	64.9	0.0	64.9
	13	63.0	74.1	50.8	73.7	72.7	70.3	68.8	61.6	54.5	51.5	51.2	50.9	63.0	0.0	63.0
Day	14	64.6	75.0	48.8	74.5	73.6	71.2	69.8	65.0	58.7	50.1	49.4	48.9	64.6	0.0	64.6
	15	65.9	76.6	48.5	76.2	75.5	73.2	71.4	65.5	58.3	50.0	49.3	48.7	65.9	0.0	65.9
	16	65.4	76.1	53.8	75.5	74.6	71.9	70.4	65.3	59.9	54.8	54.3	54.0	65.4	0.0	65.4
	17	64.1	72.8	55.0	72.3	71.5	69.4 70.6	68.0	63.7	59.4 60.0	56.3	55.2	55.1	64.1	0.0	64.1
	19	62.2	72.2	54.0	71.6	70.6	68.3	66.9	62.0	58.5	55.2	54.7	54.2	62.2	5.0	67.2
	20	63.5	74.3	54.2	73.8	73.1	69.7	67.6	62.7	59.5	55.7	55.0	54.3	63.5	5.0	68.5
	21	61.7	70.4	54.7	69.9	69.1	67.2	66.0	62.1	59.2	55.9	55.4	54.8	61.7	5.0	66.7
Night	22	61.2	70.9	54.5	70.4	69.7	67.4	65.8	60.1	57.4	55.3	54.9	54.6	61.2	10.0	71.2
Timeframe	23 Hour	66.3	/9.9	52.5	/9.3	//.8	/5.5	68.2	59.2	55.1	53.2	52.9	52.6	66.3	10.0	(dBA)
Thinejitaile	Min	61.7	70.4	48.5	69.9	69.1	67.2	66.0	61.5	54.5	50.0	49.3	48.7	24-Hour	Daytime	Nighttime
Day	Max	66.7	77.3	59.4	76.8	75.8	73.2	71.6	66.5	62.2	59.9	59.7	59.5	CNEL	(7am-10pm)	(10pm-7am)
Energy	Average	64.4	Ave	rage:	74.2	73.3	70.8	69.1	63.4	58.6	55.0	54.6	54.2			
Night	Min	56.0	66.5	51.7	66.0	65.1	62.4 75.5	59.9	53.8	52.6	52.0	51.9	51.8	70.7	64.4	64.0
Energy	Average	64.0	Ave	rage:	79.5	71.7	68.9	66.0	59.8	57.3	55.8	55.6	55.4			



						24-Ho	ur Noise Le	evel Meas	urement S	ummary						
Date:	Thursday, J	une 13, 2024			Location:	L4 - Located	east of the si	te near the r	esidences a	t 53450 Tyler	Meter:	Piccolo II			JN:	15967
Project:	Armtec Ma	ster Plan			Source:	St.									Analyst:	N. Johnson
							Hourly L _{eq} d	IBA Readings	(unadjusted))						
0.5																
85.0	5 — — —															
8 75.0	2															
e 70.0	<u> </u>												_			
60.0 تـ 60.0				6.0	2.96	3.6	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	4.4	4.2	4.6	0. 0	+ +	<mark>∞</mark>	
50.0	0 – i –	- 6; 1;		- 62		<mark>9 9</mark>	<mark></mark>	<mark>8 8</mark>	<mark>- 62</mark> - 1	- <mark>0</mark> -	<u> </u>	° °	- <mark>59.</mark> -		- <u>59.</u>	- 89.6
H 45.0 40.0		5								— —				+ +		
35.0	+ + C	1 2	3	4 5	6	7 8	9 1	0 11	12 1	3 14	15 16	5 17	18 19	20	21 22	23
	Ū		Ū		Ū	, 0	<u> </u>	Hour Be	eginning		10 10		10 10	20		20
Timeframe	Hour	Leg	Lmax	L min	L1%	L2%	L5%	L8%	L25%	L50%	L90%	L95%	L99%	Lea	Adi.	Adj. L 👦
	0	55.5	67.4	47.1	67.0	66.3	63.1	60.1	52.0	49.1	47.8	47.6	47.2	55.5	10.0	65.5
	1	54.9	66.0	47.2	65.7	65.0	62.2	60.0	52.0	49.2	47.6	47.5	47.3	54.9	10.0	64.9
	2	55.1	67.4	46.4	67.1	66.3	62.8	59.5	50.3	48.0	46.9	46.7	46.5	55.1	10.0	65.1
Night	3	58.9	70.3	52.5	69.9	68.8	65.1	62.2	57.3	55.0	53.0	52.8	52.6	58.9	10.0	68.9
	4	62.7	73.6	53.9	73.3	72.5	69.6	67.4	61.1	57.6	54.6	54.3	54.0	62.7	10.0	72.7
	5	66.0	/6.1	55.9	/5.6	/4.8	/2.5	/1.1	65.8	61.4	56.8	56.3	56.0	66.0 66.7	10.0	/6.0
	7	64.6	74.7	52.3	74.3	73.5	71.5	70.1	64.5	58.1	53.1	52.7	52.4	64.6	0.0	64.6
	8	63.6	74.3	50.2	74.0	73.2	70.9	69.3	62.5	55.8	51.1	50.7	50.3	63.6	0.0	63.6
	9	62.9	74.4	49.8	73.9	73.0	69.7	68.0	61.9	55.8	50.9	50.4	49.9	62.9	0.0	62.9
	10	62.0	72.8	48.5	72.4	71.6	69.3	67.8	61.1	54.2	49.4	49.0	48.6	62.0	0.0	62.0
	11	63.2	74.8	48.7	74.5	73.7	71.0	68.7	60.6	53.6	49.6	49.2	48.8	63.2	0.0	63.2
	12	62.0	73.1	49.3	72.7	71.8	69.4	67.5	60.9	53.9	50.0	49.7	49.4	62.0	0.0	62.0
Dav	13	61.7	72.9	46.6	72.5	71.6	69.2 71.1	67.5	60.4 64.4	51.8	47.5	47.1	46.7	61.7	0.0	61./
Day	14	64.4	75.5	46.2	74.9	74.0	71.1	69.4	64.4	57.5	40.2	47.2	40.4	64.4	0.0	64.4
	16	64.8	74.7	49.0	74.3	73.6	71.1	69.8	65.5	59.4	50.7	49.9	49.2	64.8	0.0	64.8
	17	64.6	73.6	51.7	73.3	72.7	70.9	69.9	65.4	59.9	53.7	53.0	52.0	64.6	0.0	64.6
	18	63.0	74.1	51.6	73.4	72.3	69.4	67.9	62.5	57.5	52.8	52.3	51.7	63.0	0.0	63.0
	19	59.9	71.4	48.2	70.8	69.8	66.8	65.0	58.6	53.8	49.2	48.8	48.3	59.9	5.0	64.9
	20	59.4	70.8	49.5	70.3	69.1	65.9	64.1	58.4	53.8	50.5	50.1	49.7	59.4	5.0	64.4
	21	59.8	/1.6	50.2	/1.2	/0.2	66.7	64.1	58.0	54.2	51.2	50.8	50.3	59.8	5.0	64.8
Night	22	58.0	70.2	49.4	70.3	69.2	65.9	64.2	55.4	52.5	49.1	48.8	49.0	58.0	10.0	68.6
Timeframe	Hour	L		L min	L1%	L2%	L5%	L8%	L25%	L50%	L90%	L95%	L99%	50.0	Lea	(dBA)
David	Min	59.4	70.8	46.2	70.3	69.1	65.9	64.1	58.0	51.8	47.5	47.1	46.4	24-Hour	Daytime	Nighttime
Day	Max	64.8	75.3	52.3	74.9	74.0	71.5	70.1	65.5	59.9	53.7	53.0	52.4	CNEL	(7am-10pm)	(10pm-7am)
Energy	Average	63.0	Ave	rage:	73.1	72.2	69.6	67.9	62.0	55.8	50.4	49.9	49.4			
Night	Min	54.9	66.0	46.4	65.7	65.0	62.2	59.5	50.3	48.0	46.9	46.7	46.5	68.6	63.0	61.8
Energy	Max	66.7	//.0	56.2	76.6	/5.8	/3.3	/1.8	66.5	61.7	57.1	56.7	56.3			
Lifergy	Average	01.0	Ave	iuge.	70.0	09.7	00.0	04.5	57.5	54.0	51.5	J J1.2	30.9			



						24-Ho	ur Noise L	evel Meas	urement S	ummary						
Date:	Thursday, J	une 13, 2024	ļ		Location:	L5 - Located	north of the	site near the	residence a	t 85925	Meter:	Piccolo II			JN:	15967
Project:	Armtec Ma	ster Plan			Source:	Avenida Ray	lynn								Analyst:	N. Johnson
							Hourly L _{eq}	dBA Readings	(unadjusted)							
85.0	n															
8 75.0	5															
e 65.0	2		_			<u>ס</u>				N	m.			╧╼		e
→ 55.0	<u> </u>	L.5		1.7	.99	67		54.0	3.4		68	89	54.4 54.3	— <mark>89</mark> ——	0	66.
5 50.0 9 45.0	0 - 4	e: 5	26.0	6.			<u> </u>					 		二 二		
40.0		- <u>S</u>														
	0	1 2	3	4 5	6	7 8	9 1	10 11	12 1	3 14	15 10	5 17	18 19	20	21 22	23
								Hour Be	eginning							
Timeframe	Hour	L _{eq}	L _{max}	L _{min}	L1%	L2%	L5%	L8%	L25%	L50%	L90%	L95%	L99%	L _{eq}	Adj.	Adj. L _{eq}
	0	54.8	68.8	36.4	68.3	67.2	62.6	58.9	45.2	39.2	37.0	36.7	36.4	54.8	10.0	64.8
	1	52.2	65.6	36.1	65.2	64.2	60.5	57.0	42.6	38.4	36.6	36.4	36.2	52.2	10.0	62.2
All also	2	61.5	75.7	34.9	75.0	73.9	68.7	64.6	56.1	46.8	36.1	35.3	35.0	61.5	10.0	71.5
Night	3	56.0	6/.1	44.9	66.8	66.3 67 5	64.1	61./	52.6	48.6	45./	45.3	45.0	56.0	10.0	66.0
	5	61.7	72.6	52.4	72.3	71.6	68.8	66.6	59.9	56.4	53.4	53.0	52.5	61.7	10.0	71.7
	6	66.1	79.9	48.5	79.4	78.2	73.8	69.8	60.4	54.2	49.5	49.0	48.6	66.1	10.0	76.1
	7	67.9	81.8	44.1	81.1	80.2	75.9	71.9	60.2	52.7	45.5	44.9	44.3	67.9	0.0	67.9
	8	62.9	75.6	40.2	75.1	74.0	70.8	68.2	59.3	51.1	41.6	40.9	40.4	62.9	0.0	62.9
	9	61.1	74.0	38.9	73.6	72.9	69.2	66.2	55.6	46.7	40.2	39.6	39.0	61.1	0.0	61.1
	10	64.6	//.5	42.8	76.9	76.2	72.9	69.2 69.5	59.5	52.7	44.6	43.7	43.0	64.6	0.0	64.6
	12	63.4	77.1	42.1	76.5	75.3	71.4	67.9	57.1	49.2	45.0	42.0	42.2	63.4	0.0	63.4
	13	60.1	72.7	42.7	72.2	71.1	68.0	65.8	56.3	48.0	43.5	43.2	42.8	60.1	0.0	60.1
Day	14	66.2	79.8	43.9	79.1	77.9	73.5	70.2	62.1	53.5	45.6	44.7	44.1	66.2	0.0	66.2
	15	68.3	83.3	44.2	82.3	80.6	75.3	71.3	61.4	52.7	45.7	45.0	44.4	68.3	0.0	68.3
	16	64.0	76.2	43.6	75.7	74.8	71.7	69.2	61.5	54.1	45.6	44.6	43.8	64.0	0.0	64.0
	17	68.5	82.1	44.6	81.4	79.9	75.9	73.0	64.5	57.1	47.0	45.6	44.8	68.5	0.0	68.5
	18	64.4	70.9	40.5	76.4	75.3	72.0	69.4	60.8	54.5	47.9	47.1	40.0	64.4	5.0	69.3
	20	68.1	82.0	45.5	81.4	80.2	75.8	72.1	61.7	53.3	46.7	46.1	45.6	68.1	5.0	73.1
	21	63.9	76.8	45.5	76.2	75.1	71.4	68.9	60.6	53.8	47.1	46.4	45.7	63.9	5.0	68.9
Night	22	69.0	82.9	43.7	82.3	81.5	76.5	72.2	61.5	51.8	45.5	44.4	43.8	69.0	10.0	79.0
Nigitt	23	66.3	79.9	41.5	79.5	78.6	74.4	70.0	57.6	51.8	42.4	42.1	41.6	66.3	10.0	76.3
Timeframe	Hour	L _{eq}		L _{min}	L1%	L2%	L5%	L8%	L25%	L50%	L90%	L95%	L99%	24-Hour	Leq	(dBA)
Day	Max	68.5	/2./	38.9	82.3	/1.1	68.0 75.9	73.0	55.b 64.5	46.7	40.2	39.6	39.0	CNEL	(7am-10nm)	Nighttime (10pm-7am)
Energy	Average	65.5	Ave	erage:	77.4	76.3	72.4	69.4	60.1	52.1	47.5	44.4	43.8		pani zopinj	(20pm-yam)
Night	Min	52.2	65.6	34.9	65.2	64.2	60.5	57.0	42.6	38.4	36.1	35.3	35.0	70.7	65.5	63.6
Nigrit	Max	69.0	82.9	52.4	82.3	81.5	76.5	72.2	61.5	56.4	53.4	53.0	52.5			
Energy	Average	63.6	Ave	erage:	73.0	72.1	68.2	64.8	54.6	48.8	44.0	43.5	43.1			



This page intentionally left blank



APPENDIX 7.1:

OFF-SITE NOISE CALCULATIONS

This page intentionally left blank



Scenario: Existing Road Name: Tyler St. Road Segment: s/o Grapefruit Blvd. Project Name: Armtec Master Plan Job Number: 15967

SITES	SPECIFIC INP	UT DATA			NOISE	MODE	LINPUTS	S	
Highway Data				Site Conditio	ns (Hard	= 10, Sc	oft = 15)		
Average Daily Peak Hour Peak H	Traffic (Adt): 2 Percentage: lour Volume:	2,090 vehicles 8.25% 172 vehicles		Medium Heavy	Trucks (2 Trucks (3-	Autos: 2 Axles): + Axles):	15 15 15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	50 mph	1	Vehicle Mix					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	49 feet		VehicleT	ype	Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data Bai Barrier Type (0-W Centerline Dis	r rier Height: /all, 1-Berm): st. to Barrier:	0.0 feet 0.0 40.0 feet		Mediur Heav Noise Source	Autos: n Trucks: y Trucks: e Elevatic	48.0% 48.0% 48.0%	5 14.0% 5 2.0% 5 2.0%	10.5% 50.0% 50.0%	92.00% 3.00% 5.00%
Centerline Dist. Barrier Distance Observer Height (Pa	to Observer: to Observer: Above Pad): ad Elevation:	40.0 feet 0.0 feet 5.0 feet 0.0 feet		A Medium Tri Heavy Tri	utos: ucks: ucks:	0.000 2.297 8.006	Grade Adj	iustment:	0.0
Roa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet	1	Lane Equival	ent Dista	nce (in t	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%		A	utos: 3	2.012			
	Left View: Right View:	-90.0 degrees 90.0 degrees		Medium Tri Heavy Tri	ucks: 3 ucks: 3	1.734 1.761			
FHWA Noise Mode	el Calculations								
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow D	istance	Finite Roa	d Fre	snel	Barrier Atte	en Bern	n Atten
Autos:	70.20	-10.29	2.8	0 -1.	20	-4.59	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	81.00	-25.16	2.8	6 -1. -	20	-4.87	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	85.38	-22.94	2.8	5 -1.	20	-5.56	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (withou	ut Topo and barr	ier atten	uation)				1	
VehicleType Autos:	Leq Peak Hour 61.5	Leq Day 60.5	Leq E	vening L 59.0	eq Night 5	3.0	Ldn 61.5	CN	<i>EL</i> 62.1
Medium Trucks:	57.5	54.4		46.6	5	5.8	61.9) -	62.0
Heavy Trucks:	64.1	60.9		53.2	62	2.4	68.5)	68.6
Vehicle Noise:	66.6	64.2		60.2	6	3.6	70.0)	70.2
Centerline Distance	e to Noise Con	tour (in feet)						1	
			70 0	dBA	65 dBA	6	60 dBA	55 0	3BA
		Ldn:	4	0	87		187	40)3
		CNEL:	4	1	88		190	41	0

Scenario: Existing Road Name: Tyler St. Road Segment: s/o Avenue 53 Project Name: Armtec Master Plan Job Number: 15967

SITE	SPECIFIC INF	PUT DATA				N	OISE	MODE	L INPUT	S	
Highway Data					Site Conc	litions	(Hard =	= 10, Sc	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	1,700 vehicles	\$					Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	8.25%			Mec	lium Tru	ıcks (2	Axles):	15		
Peak H	lour Volume:	140 vehicles	6		Hea	ivy Truc	:ks (3+	Axles):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	50 mph			Vohiclo N	liv					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	49 feet			Venicie IV Vehic	leTvpe		Dav	Evenina	Niaht	Dailv
Site Data							lutos:	77.5%	5 14.0%	10.5%	92.00%
Ba	rrier Height	0 0 feet			Me	dium Tı	ucks:	48.0%	2.0%	50.0%	3.00%
Barrier Type (0-W	/all. 1-Berm):	0.0			Н	eavy Tr	ucks:	48.0%	2.0%	50.0%	5.00%
Centerline Di	st. to Barrier:	40.0 feet			Naina Sa	uroo El	ovotio	na (in fi	- - (
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	40.0 feet		-	voise soi				et)		
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Madium	Autos	s: 0	.000			
Observer Height (Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Medium	Trucks	5. Z	.297	Grado Ad	iustmont	· 0 0
Pa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet			neavy	/ TTUCKS	<i>s.</i> o	.000	Graue Au	Justinent	. 0.0
Roa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		1	Lane Equ	ivalent	Distar	nce (in i	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos	s: 32	.012			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	s		Medium	n Trucks	s: 31	.734			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	es		Heavy	/ Trucks	s: 31	.761			
FHWA Noise Mode	el Calculations										
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dista	ance	Finite F	Road	Fres	nel	Barrier Att	en Ber	m Atten
Autos:	70.20	-11.19		2.8	0	-1.20		-4.59	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	81.00	-26.05		2.8	6	-1.20		-4.87	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	85.38	-23.84		2.8	5	-1.20		-5.56	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (witho	ut Topo and	barrier	r atten	uation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hour	Leq Day		Leq E	vening	Leq	Night		Ldn	Cl	VEL
Autos:	60.0	6	59.6		58.1		52	1	60.6	6	61.2
Medium Trucks:	56.0	6	53.5		45.7		54	9	61.0)	61.1
Heavy Trucks:	63.2	2	60.1		52.3		61.	5	67.6	5	67.7
Vehicle Noise:	65.	7	63.3		59.3		62	.7	69.1	1	69.3
Centerline Distance	ce to Noise Col	ntour (in feet)			T						
				70 0	dBA	65 (dBA	6	60 dBA	55	dBA
			Ldn:	3	5	7	6		163	3	51
		CI	VEL:	3	6	7	7		166	3	57

Friday, July 26, 2024

Scenario: Existing Road Name: Tyler St. Road Segment: s/o Armtec Entrance Project Name: Armtec Master Plan Job Number: 15967

SITE S	SPECIFIC IN	IPUT DATA				NO	ISE M	ODE	LINPUT	S	
Highway Data				S	Site Condi	itions (H	lard = 1	0, So	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	1,330 vehicles	S				A	utos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	8.25%			Medi	um Truc	ks (2 A)	xles):	15		
Peak H	lour Volume:	110 vehicles	S		Heav	/y Truck	s (3+ A	xles):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	50 mph		L.	/ohiclo Mi	Y					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	49 feet			Vehicl	A leTvpe	L	Dav	Evenina	Niaht	Dailv
Site Data						Au	tos: 7	7.5%	14.0%	10.5%	92.00%
Bai	rrier Heiaht [.]	0 0 feet			Mea	lium Truc	cks: 4	8.0%	2.0%	50.0%	3.00%
Barrier Type (0-W	all. 1-Berm):	0.0			He	avy Truc	cks: 4	8.0%	2.0%	50.0%	5.00%
Centerline Dis	st. to Barrier:	40.0 feet			laise Cou	raa Elay	otiono	(in fo	ad)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	40.0 feet		T.	voise sou			(<i>III</i> 16	et)		
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Madiuma	Autos:	0.0	00			
Observer Height (Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Mealum	Trucks:	2.2	97	Grada Ad	iustmont	· 0 0
Pa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet			пеаvy	TTUCKS.	0.0	06	Graue Au	usiment	. 0.0
Roa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		L	.ane Equi	valent D	istance	e (in f	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos:	32.0	12			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	es		Medium	Trucks:	31.7	34			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	es		Heavy	Trucks:	31.7	61			
FHWA Noise Mode	el Calculations	S									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dist	tance	Finite R	oad	Fresne	e/	Barrier Att	en Ber	m Atten
Autos:	70.20	-12.25		2.80)	-1.20	-	4.59	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	81.00	-27.12		2.86	6	-1.20	-	4.87	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	85.38	-24.90		2.85	5	-1.20	-	5.56	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (with	out Topo and	barrie	r atteni	uation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	ır Leq Day	/	Leq Ev	vening	Leq Ni	ght		Ldn	C	NEL
Autos:	59	.6	58.5		57.1		51.1		59.8	5	60.1
Medium Trucks:	55	.5	52.4		44.6		53.8		60.0)	60.0
Heavy Trucks:	62	.1	59.0		51.2		60.4		66.6	6	66.6
Vehicle Noise:	64	.6	62.2		58.3		61.7		68.2		68.2
Centerline Distance	e to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)								
				70 a	IBA	65 dE	BA	6	60 dBA	55	dBA
			Ldn:	30)	64			138	2	98
		Cl	NEL:	30)	65			141	3	803

Friday, July 26, 2024

Scenario: Existing Road Name: Palm St. Road Segment: s/o Grapefruit Blvd. Project Name: Armtec Master Plan Job Number: 15967

SITES	SPECIFIC INF	UT DATA			NOI	SE MODE	L INPUTS	5
Highway Data				Site Con	ditions (Ha	ard = 10, \overline{S}	oft = 15)	
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt): 1	,510 vehicles				Autos:	15	
Peak Hour	Percentage:	8.25%		Me	dium Truck	s (2 Axles).	15	
Peak H	lour Volume:	125 vehicles		He	avy Trucks	(3+ Axles).	15	
Ve	hicle Speed:	40 mph		Vehicle I	Mix			
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	12 feet		Veh	icleType	Day	Evening	Night Daily
Site Data					Auto	os: 75.5%	<i>ы</i> 14.0%	10.5% 97.42
Bai	rrier Heiaht:	0.0 feet		Me	edium Truc	ks: 48.9%	6 2.2%	48.9% 1.84
Barrier Type (0-W	/all, 1-Berm):	0.0		ŀ	leavy Truc	ks: 47.3%	5.4%	47.3% 0.74
Centerline Dis	st. to Barrier:	30.0 feet		Noise Sc	urce Eleva	ations (in f	oot)	
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	30.0 feet		110/36 30				
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet		Modiu	Autos. m Trucko:	2 207		
Observer Height (Above Pad):	5.0 feet		Heav	n Trucks. N Trucks:	8 006	Grade Adi	ustment: 0.0
Pa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		Tieav	y TTUCKS.	0.000	Crado riaj	
Roa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		Lane Equ	uivalent Di	stance (in	feet)	
	Road Grade:	0.0%			Autos:	29.816		
	Left View:	-90.0 degrees	3	Mediui	n Trucks:	29.518		
	Right View:	90.0 degrees	3	Heav	y Trucks:	29.547		
FHWA Noise Mode	el Calculations							
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distance	Finite	Road	Fresnel	Barrier Atte	en Berm Atter
Autos:	66.51	-10.49	3.	26	-1.20	-4.49	0.0	0.00
Medium Trucks:	77.72	-27.72	3.	33	-1.20	-4.86	0.0	0.00
Heavy Trucks:	82.99	-31.68	3.	32	-1.20	-5.77	0.0	0.00
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (withou	ut Topo and b	arrier atte	nuation)				
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hour	Leq Day	Leq	Evening	Leq Nig	iht	Ldn	CNEL
Autos:	58.1	5	6.9	55.6		49.6	58.0) 58
Medium Trucks:	52.1	4	9.1	41.6		50.3	56.5	5 56
Heavy Trucks:	53.4	5	0.2	46.8		51.5	57.7	7 57
Vehicle Noise:	60.1	5	8.3	56.3		55.3	62.2	2 62
Centerline Distand	e to Noise Con	tour (in feet)		1		I		
			70) dBA	65 dB/	4 0	60 dBA	55 dBA
		L	dn:	9	20		42	91
		CN	EL:	9	20		44	95

Friday, July 26, 2024
Scenario: Existing Road Name: Grapefruit Blvd. Road Segment: w/o Tyler St. Project Name: Armtec Master Plan Job Number: 15967

SITE	SPECIEIC IN	ίρι ιτ πάτλ							S	
Highway Data		II UT DATA		S	ite Cond	litions (H	lard = 10. S	oft = 15)		
Average Daily Peak Hour Peak H	Traffic (Adt): Percentage: lour Volume:	7,970 vehicles 8.25% 658 vehicles	6		Med Hea	lium Truc vy Truck	Autos ks (2 Axles) s (3+ Axles)	: 15 : 15 : 15 : 15		
Near/Far La	nicie Speed: na Distanca:	50 mpn 12 feet		ν	ehicle M	ix		-1		
	ne Distance.	12 1661			Vehic	leType	Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data Bai Barrier Type (0-W	rrier Height: 'all, 1-Berm):	0.0 feet 0.0			Me H	Au dium Truc eavy Truc	tos: 77.5% cks: 48.0% cks: 48.0%	6 14.0% 6 2.0% 6 2.0%	10.5% 50.0% 50.0%	92.00% 3.00% 5.00%
Centerline Dis	st. to Barrier:	30.0 feet		Λ	loise Sou	ırce Elev	vations (in f	eet)		
Centerline Dist. Barrier Distance Observer Height (Pa	to Observer: to Observer: Above Pad): ad Elevation:	30.0 feet 0.0 feet 5.0 feet 0.0 feet			Medium Heavy	Autos: Trucks: Trucks:	0.000 2.297 8.006	Grade Ad	iustment	: 0.0
Roa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		L	ane Equ	ivalent D	istance (in	feet)		
/	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos:	29.816			
	Left View: Right View:	-90.0 degree 90.0 degree	es es		Medium Heavy	Trucks: Trucks:	29.518 29.547			
FHWA Noise Mode	el Calculation	S		I						
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dista	ance	Finite F	Road	Fresnel	Barrier Att	en Ber	m Atten
Autos:	70.20	-4.48		3.26	5	-1.20	-4.49	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	81.00	-19.34		3.33	5	-1.20	-4.86	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	85.38	-17.13		3.32		-1.20	-5.77	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (with	out Topo and	barrier	attenu	uation)					
VehicleType Autos:	Leq Peak Hou 67	ır Leq Day .8	, 66.7	Leq Ev	ening 65.3	Leq Ni	ght 59.3	Ldn 67.8	Cl 3	VEL 68.4
Medium Trucks:	63	.8	60.6		52.9		62.1	68.2	2	68.3
Heavy Trucks:	70	.4	67.2		59.4		68.7	74.8	3	74.8
Vehicle Noise:	72	.9	70.5		66.5		69.9	76.3	3	76.4
Centerline Distance	e to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)		1					
				70 d	BA	65 dE	BA	60 dBA	55	dBA
			Ldn:	79)	171		368	7	92
		CI	NEL:	81		174		374	8	07

Scenario: Existing Road Name: Grapefruit Blvd. Road Segment: w/o Palm St. Project Name: Armtec Master Plan Job Number: 15967

									\$	
Highway Data	SPECIFIC IN	IFUI DATA			Site Cond	itions (H		<u>nPU1</u> ∂oft = 15)	٢	
Average Daily Peak Hour Peak H	Traffic (Adt): Percentage: our Volume:	6,050 vehicles 8.25% 499 vehicles	3		Med. Hea	ium Truc vy Truck	Autos ks (2 Axles, s (3+ Axles,	2: 15 2: 15 2: 15		
Ve.	hicle Speed:	50 mph		V	/ehicle M	ix				
Near/Far Lai	ne Distance:	12 feet			Vehic	leType	Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data Bar Barrier Type (0-W	rrier Height: all, 1-Berm):	0.0 feet 0.0			Mec He	Au dium Tru eavy Tru	tos: 77.5° cks: 48.0° cks: 48.0°	% 14.0% % 2.0% % 2.0%	10.5% 50.0% 50.0%	92.00% 3.00% 5.00%
Centerline Dis	st. to Barrier:	30.0 feet		٨	loise Sou	ırce Elev	vations (in	feet)		
Barrier Distance Observer Height (Pa	to Observer: to Observer: Above Pad): ad Elevation:	0.0 feet 0.0 feet 5.0 feet 0.0 feet			Medium Heavy	Autos: Trucks: Trucks:	0.000 2.297 8.006	Grade Ad	justment	: 0.0
Roa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		L	ane Equi	ivalent D)istance (in	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos:	29.816			
	Left View: Right View:	-90.0 degree 90.0 degree	es es		Medium Heavy	Trucks: Trucks:	29.518 29.547			
FHWA Noise Mode	al Calculation	S								
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dist	ance	Finite F	Road	Fresnel	Barrier Att	en Ber	m Atten
Autos:	70.20	-5.68		3.26	5	-1.20	-4.49	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	81.00	-20.54		3.33	3	-1.20	-4.86	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	85.38	-18.32		3.32	2	-1.20	-5.77	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	Levels (with	out Topo and	barrie	r atteni	uation)					
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	ır Leq Day		Leq Ev	rening	Leq Ni	ight	Ldn	CI	VEL
Autos:	66	.6	65.5		64.1		58.1	66.6	5	67.2
Medium Trucks:	62	.6	59.4		51.7		60.9	67.0)	67.1
Heavy Trucks:	69	.2	66.0		58.3		67.5	73.6	5	73.6
Vehicle Noise:	71	.7	69.3		65.3		68.7	75.1	1	75.2
Centerline Distance	e to Noise Co	ontour (in feet))							
				70 d	'BA	65 dE	BA	60 dBA	55	dBA
			Ldn:	66	6	142		306	6	59
		CI	VEL:	67	7	145		312	6	71

Scenario: Existing Road Name: Grapefruit Blvd. Road Segment: e/o Palm St. Project Name: Armtec Master Plan Job Number: 15967

. tead ooginio									
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	PUT DATA			NOIS	E MODE	L INPUTS	5	
Highway Data				Site Con	ditions (Har	d = 10, So	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	3,100 vehicles	5			Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	8.25%		Me	dium Trucks	(2 Axles):	15		
Peak H	lour Volume:	256 vehicles	5	He	avy Trucks (3+ Axles):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	50 mph		Vohiclo	Mix				
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	12 feet		Venicie i Veh	icleTvpe	Dav	Evenina	Niaht	Daily
Site Data					Autos	; 77.5%	5 14.0%	10.5%	92.00%
Ba	rrior Hoight	0.0 foot		M	edium Trucks	: 48.0%	5 2.0%	50.0%	3.00%
Barrier Type (0-M	/all_1_Borm) [.]				Heavy Trucks	: 48.0%	5 2.0%	50.0%	5.00%
Centerline Di	st to Barrier:	30.0 feet							
Centerline Dist	to Observer:	30.0 feet		Noise So	ource Elevat	ions (in f	eet)		
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Autos:	0.000			
Observer Height (Above Pad):	5.0 feet		Mediu	m Trucks:	2.297	<u> </u>		
Pa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		Heav	/y Trucks:	8.006	Grade Adj	ustment:	0.0
Roa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		Lane Eq	uivalent Dist	ance (in	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%			Autos:	29.816	-		
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	s	Mediu	m Trucks:	29.518			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	s	Heav	/y Trucks:	29.547			
FHWA NOISE WOO		Troffic Flow	Diatana		Deed 5	aanal	Downion Att	an Dam	
venicie i ype	REMEL		Distanc		Road Fr	esnei	Barrier Atte	en Ben	
Aulos. Modium Trucks:	70.20 81.00	-0.00		3.20 2.22	-1.20	-4.49	0.0		0.000
Heavy Trucks:	85.38	-23.45		3.33	-1.20	-4.00	0.0		0.000
Tieavy Trucks.	05.50	-21.25		5.52	-1.20	-0.77	0.0	00	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (witho	out Topo and	barrier at	tenuation)					
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	r Leq Day _	Le	q Evening	Leq Nigh	t	Ldn		IEL
Autos:	63.	7 (62.6	61.2	ł	55.2	63.7		64.3
Medium Trucks:	59.	7	56.5	48.8	ł	58.0	64.1		64.2
Heavy Trucks:	66.	3 (63.1	55.3		64.6	/0./		70.7
Vehicle Noise:	68.	8	66.4	62.4		55.8	72.2	2	72.3
Centerline Distance	ce to Noise Co	ntour (in feet)							
				70 dBA	65 dBA	6	60 dBA	55	dBA
			Ldn:	42	91		196	42	22
		CN	NEL:	43	93		200	43	30

Scenario: Existing Road Name: Airport Blvd. Road Segment: w/o Palm St. Project Name: Armtec Master Plan Job Number: 15967

SITE	SITE SPECIFIC INPUT DATA					SE MODE	L INPUTS	
Highway Data				Site Con	ditions (Ha	ard = 10, So	oft = 15)	
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	3,420 vehicles				Autos:	15	
Peak Hour	Percentage:	8.25%		Me	dium Truck	s (2 Axles):	15	
Peak H	lour Volume:	282 vehicles		He	avy Trucks	(3+ Axles):	15	
Ve	hicle Speed:	45 mph		Vehicle I	Mix			
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	71 feet		Vehi	icleType	Dav	Evening	Night Daily
Site Data					Auto	os: 77.5%	6 14.0%	10.5% 92.00%
Ba	rrier Height:	0 0 feet		Me	edium Truci	ks: 48.0%	<i>а</i> 2.0%	50.0% 3.00%
Barrier Type (0-W	/all. 1-Berm):	0.0		ŀ	leavy Truc	ks: 48.0%	6 2.0%	50.0% 5.00%
Centerline Dis	st. to Barrier:	46.0 feet		Noine Ca		tione (in f		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	46.0 feet		Noise So	ource Eleva		eet)	
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Autos:	0.000		
Observer Height ((Above Pad):	5.0 feet		Mediur	n Trucks:	2.297		
Pa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		Heav	y Trucks:	8.006	Grade Adju	istment: 0.0
Roa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		Lane Equ	uivalent Di	stance (in	feet)	
	Road Grade:	0.0%			Autos:	29.677		
	Left View:	-90.0 degrees		Mediur	m Trucks:	29.378		
	Right View:	90.0 degrees		Heav	y Trucks:	29.407		
FHWA Noise Mode	el Calculation	S						
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distance	Finite	Road I	-resnel	Barrier Atte	n Berm Atten
Autos:	68.46	-7.69	3.	29	-1.20	-4.63	0.00	0.000
Medium Trucks:	79.45	-22.56	3.	36	-1.20	-4.87	0.00	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	84.25	-20.34	3.	35	-1.20	-5.47	0.00	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (with	out Topo and ba	arrier atte	nuation)				
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	r Leq Day	Leq I	Evening	Leq Nig	ht	Ldn	CNEL
Autos:	62	.9 61	.8	60.4		54.4	62.8	63.4
Medium Trucks:	59	.0 55	5.9	48.1		57.3	63.5	63.5
Heavy Trucks:	66	.1 62	2.9	55.1		64.3	70.5	70.5
Vehicle Noise:	68	.3 65	5.9	61.7		65.5	71.9	72.0
Centerline Distance	ce to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)				1		
			70	dBA	65 dB/	4 0	60 dBA	55 dBA
		Lo	dn:	61	132		284	613
		CNE	EL:	62	134		289	623

Scenario Road Namo Road Segmen	Scenario: E + P Road Name: Tyler St. Road Segment: s/o Grapefruit Blvd.				Project Na Job Num	<i>me:</i> Armteo ber: 15967	: Master Pla	an	
SITE S	SPECIFIC IN	IPUT DATA			NOI	SE MODE	L INPUTS	5	
Highway Data				Site C	Conditions (Ha	ard = 10, Sc	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	2,190 vehicles				Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	8.25%			Medium Truck	s (2 Axles):	15		
Peak He	our Volume:	181 vehicles			Heavy Trucks	(3+ Axles):	15		
Vel	hicle Speed:	50 mph		Vehic	le Mix				
Near/Far Lar	ne Distance:	49 feet		1	/ehicleType	Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data					Auto	os: 77.5%	14.0%	10.5%	92.00%
Bar	rier Heiaht:	0.0 feet			Medium Truci	ks: 48.0%	2.0%	50.0%	3.00%
Barrier Type (0-Wa	all, 1-Berm):	0.0			Heavy Truci	ks: 48.0%	2.0%	50.0%	5.00%
Centerline Dis	st. to Barrier:	40.0 feet		Noise	Source Eleva	tions (in fe	(t)		
Centerline Dist. t	to Observer:	40.0 feet		110/30	Autos:				
Barrier Distance t	to Observer:	0.0 feet		Mo	dium Trucks:	2 297			
Observer Height (/	Above Pad):	5.0 feet		H	eavy Trucks:	8 006	Grade Adi	ustment:	0.0
Pa	nd Elevation:	0.0 feet				0.000			
Roa	nd Elevation:	0.0 feet		Lane	Equivalent Di	stance (in t	feet)		
F	Road Grade:	0.0%			Autos:	32.012			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	S	Me	dium Trucks:	31.734			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	S	Н	eavy Trucks:	31.761			
FHWA Noise Mode	l Calculation	s							
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distan	ce Fir	nite Road	Fresnel	Barrier Atte	en Beri	m Atten
Autos:	70.20	-10.09		2.80	-1.20	-4.59	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	81.00	-24.95		2.86	-1.20	-4.87	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	85.38	-22.74		2.85	-1.20	-5.56	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	Levels (with	out Topo and I	barrier a	ttenuatio	n)				
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	ır Leq Day	Le	q Evenin	g Leq Nig	ht	Ldn	CN	IEL
Autos:	61	.7 6	60.7	5	9.2	53.2	61.7	,	62.3
Medium Trucks:	57	.7 5	54.6	4	6.8	56.0	62.1		62.2
Heavy Trucks:	64	.3 (61.2	5	3.4	62.6	68.7	7	68.8
Vehicle Noise:	66	.8 (64.4	6	0.4	63.8	70.2	2	70.4
Centerline Distanc	e to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)	1						
				70 dBA	65 dBA	A 6	60 dBA	55	dBA
		1	_dn:	42	89		193	4	15
		CN	IEL:	42	91		196	42	23

Scenario: E + P Road Name: Tyler St. Road Segment: s/o Avenue 53 Project Name: Armtec Master Plan Job Number: 15967

SITES	SPECIFIC INPI	UT DATA				Ν	JOISE	MODF	LINPUT	S	
Highway Data				s	ite Con	ditions	(Hard	= 10, Se	oft = 15)	-	
Average Dailv	Traffic (Adt): 1.	810 vehicles					<u> </u>	Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage: 8	8.25%			Med	dium Tr	ucks (2	Axles):	15		
Peak H	our Volume:	149 vehicles			Hea	avy Tru	cks (3-	Axles):	15		
Vel	hicle Speed:	50 mph			(abiala A						
Near/Far Lai	ne Distance:	49 feet		V	Venicie iv			Dav	Evening	Nicht	Doily
Site Data					vern	летуре	, Autoo:	Day		10 5%	
					Ma	lium T	Autos.	11.07	0 14.0%	50.0%	3 0.0%
Bar	rrier Height:	0.0 feet				loovv T	rucks.	40.0%	0 2.070	50.0%	5.00%
Barrier Type (0-W	all, 1-Berm):	0.0			1	ieavy i	iuchs.	40.07	0 2.070	50.076	5.00 %
Centerline Dis	st. to Barrier:	40.0 feet		٨	loise So	urce El	levatio	ns (in f	eet)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	40.0 feet				Auto	s: (0.000			
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediur	n Truck	s: 2	2.297			
Observer Height (Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heav	y Truck	's: 8	3.006	Grade Ad	justment	: 0.0
Pa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		1	ano Eau	vivalon	t Dista	nco (in	foot)		
ROE	ad Elevation:			-	ane Lyu	Auto			ieel)		
r	Road Grade:	0.0%	_		Modiur	Aulo Truck	S. J.	2.012			
	Leit View:	-90.0 degrees	5		Hoov	n Truck v Truck	ა. ა 	1.734			
	RIGHT VIEW.	90.0 degrees	5		neav	y HUCK	s. J	1.701			
FHWA Noise Mode	el Calculations										
VehicleType	REMEL T	raffic Flow	Dista	nce	Finite	Road	Fre	snel	Barrier Att	en Ber	m Atten
Autos:	70.20	-10.92		2.80)	-1.20		-4.59	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	81.00	-25.78		2.86	;	-1.20		-4.87	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	85.38	-23.56		2.85	5	-1.20		-5.56	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (withou	t Topo and b	arrier a	attenı	uation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hour	Leq Day	L	eq Ev	ening	Leq	Night		Ldn	Cl	NEL
Autos:	60.9	5	9.8		58.4		52	.4	60.9	9	61.5
Medium Trucks:	56.9	5	3.7		46.0		55	.2	61.3	3	61.3
Heavy Trucks:	63.5	6	0.3		52.5		61	.7	67.9	9	67.9
Vehicle Noise:	66.0	6	3.6		59.6		63	5.0	69.4	4	69.5
Centerline Distance	e to Noise Cont	tour (in feet)									
				70 d	BA	65	dBA	(60 dBA	55	dBA
		L	.dn:	37	,	7	79		170	3	66
		CN	EL:	37	•	8	30		173	3	73

Scenari Road Nam Road Segmer	o: E + P e: Tyler St. nt: s/o Armtec	Entrance				Project Job N	Name. umber.	Armtee 15967	c Master Pl	lan	
SITE S	SPECIFIC IN	PUT DATA				Ν	IOISE	MODE	L INPUT	S	
Highway Data				Si	ite Cond	ditions	(Hard :	= 10, So	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	1,340 vehicles						Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	8.25%			Med	dium Tru	ucks (2	Axles):	15		
Peak H	our Volume:	111 vehicles			Hea	avy Truc	cks (3+	Axles):	15		
Vel	hicle Speed:	50 mph		V	obiolo N	liv					
Near/Far Lai	ne Distance:	49 feet		Ve	Vehic Vehic	IIX cleTvne		Dav	Evenina	Niaht	Daily
Site Data					Vonic	<u>مور ۲ مار</u> ۸	Autos:	77.5%	5 14.0%	10.5%	5 92.00%
Bar	rier Height:	0.0 feet			Me	dium Ti	rucks:	48.0%	5 2.0%	50.0%	3.00%
Barrier Type (0-W	all. 1-Berm):	0.0			Н	leavy Ti	rucks:	48.0%	2.0%	50.0%	5.00%
Centerline Dis	st. to Barrier:	40.0 feet		N	nise So	urco El	ovatio	ns (in f	aat)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	40.0 feet		/	0130 00		evalion				
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Madiun	n Truck	s. (297			
Observer Height (J	Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heavy	v Truck	s. 2 s [.] 8	2006	Grade Ad	liustmen	t [.] 0 0
Pa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet			neavy	y much	J. C		erade rid	jaounon	
Roa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		La	ane Equ	ivalent	Dista	nce (in	feet)		
F	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos	s: 32	2.012			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	S		Mediun	n Truck	s: 3'	1.734			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	S		Heavy	y Trucks	s: 3′	1.761			
FHWA Noise Mode	el Calculations	5									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distan	се	Finite I	Road	Fres	snel	Barrier Att	en Be	rm Atten
Autos:	70.20	-12.22		2.80		-1.20		-4.59	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	81.00	-27.09		2.86		-1.20		-4.87	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	85.38	-24.87		2.85		-1.20		-5.56	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	Levels (with	out Topo and I	parrier at	ttenu	ation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	r Leq Day	Le	q Eve	ening	Leq	Night		Ldn	C	NEL
Autos:	59.	.6 5	58.5		57.1		51	.1	59.6	5	60.2
Medium Trucks:	55.	.6 5	52.4		44.6		53	.9	60.0	C	60.0
Heavy Trucks:	62.	.2 5	59.0		51.2		60	.4	66.6	6	66.6
Vehicle Noise:	64	.6 6	62.3		58.3		61	.7	68.	1	68.2
Centerline Distanc	e to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)	I							I	
				70 dE	BA	65 (dBA	ť	60 dBA	55	5 dBA
		l	_dn:	30		6	4		139		299
		CN	IEL:	30		6	6		142	:	305

Scenario: E Road Name: Pa Road Segment: s/	+ P alm St. o Grapefru	it Blvd.				Project Na Job Nurr	ame: Armte aber: 15967	c Master Pl	an	
SITE SPE	CIFIC INF	PUT DATA				NO	SE MODE	L INPUT	S	
Highway Data				S	Site Con	ditions (Ha	ard = 10, Se	oft = 15)		
Average Daily Traffi	ic (Adt):	1,540 vehicles					Autos:	15		
Peak Hour Perc	entage:	8.25%			Me	dium Truck	s (2 Axles).	15		
Peak Hour \	/olume:	127 vehicles			Hea	avy Trucks	(3+ Axles).	15		
Vehicle	Speed:	40 mph		V	/ehicle /\	Mix				
Near/Far Lane Di	stance:	12 feet			Vehi	icleType	Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data						Aut	os: 75.5%	6 14.0%	10.5%	97.42%
Barrier	Heiaht:	0.0 feet			Me	edium Truc	ks: 48.9%	<i>б</i> 2.2%	48.9%	1.84%
Barrier Type (0-Wall, 1	-Berm):	0.0			ŀ	leavy Truc	ks: 47.3%	<i>б</i> 5.4%	47.3%	0.74%
Centerline Dist. to	Barrier:	30.0 feet		N	loise So	urce Flev	ations (in f	eet)		
Centerline Dist. to Ob	oserver:	30.0 feet								
Barrier Distance to Ok	oserver:	0.0 feet			Mediur	n Trucks:	2 297			
Observer Height (Abov	re Pad):	5.0 feet			Heav	v Trucks	8 006	Grade Ad	iustment	t: 0.0
Pad Ele	evation:	0.0 feet		_		,				
Road Ele	evation:	0.0 feet		L	ane Equ	uivalent Di	stance (in	feet)		
Road	Grade:	0.0%				Autos:	29.816			
Le	ft View:	-90.0 degree	S		Mediur	n Trucks:	29.518			
Rigi	nt View:	90.0 degree	S		Heav	y Trucks:	29.547			
FHWA Noise Model Ca	lculations									
VehicleType RI	EMEL	Traffic Flow	Distand	ce	Finite	Road	Fresnel	Barrier Att	en Bei	rm Atten
Autos:	66.51	-10.40		3.26	5	-1.20	-4.49	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	77.72	-27.64		3.33	3	-1.20	-4.86	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	82.99	-31.59		3.32	2	-1.20	-5.77	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise Lev	els (witho	ut Topo and k	oarrier at	ttenu	uation)					
VehicleType Leq	Peak Hour	Leq Day	Le	q Ev	rening	Leq Nig	pht	Ldn	C	NEL
Autos:	58.2	2 5	57.0		55.7		49.7	58.1	1	58.7
Medium Trucks:	52.2	2 4	9.1		41.6		50.4	56.6	5	56.6
Heavy Trucks:	53.5	5 5	50.3		46.9		51.6	57.8	3	57.9
Vehicle Noise:	60.2	2 5	58.4		56.4		55.4	62.3	3	62.6
Centerline Distance to	Noise Cor	ntour (in feet)								
				70 d	BA	65 dB.	4 0	60 dBA	55	dBA
		L	.dn:	9		20		43	1	92
		CN	IEL:	10)	21		45	1	96

Scenario: E + P Road Name: Grapefruit Blvd. Road Segment: w/o Tyler St.						Project N Job Nu	Vame: Imber:	Armteo 15967	c Master F	Plan		
SITE SPECIFIC	INPUT	DATA				NC	DISE	MODE	L INPUT	ΓS		
Highway Data				S	ite Cond	ditions (l	Hard =	= 10, Sc	oft = 15)			
Average Daily Traffic (Ad	<i>:):</i> 8,000) vehicles						Autos:	15			
Peak Hour Percentag	e: 8.25	5%			Med	dium Truc	cks (2	Axles):	15			
Peak Hour Volum	e: 660) vehicles			Hea	avy Truck	ks (3+	Axles):	15			
Vehicle Spee	d: 50) mph		V	ehicle N	lix						
Near/Far Lane Distanc	e: 12	2 feet			Vehi	cleType		Dav	Evening	Nigi	ht	Daily
Site Data						A	utos:	77.5%	14.0%	10.	5%	92.00%
Barrier Heigh	t: 0	0 feet			Me	dium Tru	icks:	48.0%	2.0%	50.	0%	3.00%
Barrier Type (0-Wall, 1-Bern	n): 0.	.0			H	leavy Tru	icks:	48.0%	2.0%	50.	0%	5.00%
Centerline Dist. to Barrie	er: 30.	.0 feet		N	oise So	urce Ele	vation	ns (in fa	act)			
Centerline Dist. to Observe	er: 30.	.0 feet		~	0130 00		· 0					
Barrier Distance to Observe	er: 0.	.0 feet			Mediun	n Trucks	. 0 . 2	297				
Observer Height (Above Pac	<i>l):</i> 5.	.0 feet			Heav	v Trucks	 	006	Grade A	diustm	nent:	0.0
Pad Elevatio	n: 0.	.0 feet			, loar	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,						
Road Elevatio	n: 0.	.0 feet		Li	ane Equ	ivalent l	Distar	ice (in i	feet)			
Road Grad	e: 0.	.0%				Autos:	: 29	.816				
Left Vier	<i>v:</i> -90.	.0 degrees	S		Mediun	n Trucks: 	: 29	.518				
Right Vie	<i>v:</i> 90.	.0 degrees	3		Heavy	y Trucks:	: 29	.547				
FHWA Noise Model Calculat	ions											
VehicleType REMEL	Traff	fic Flow	Dista	ance	Finite I	Road	Fres	nel	Barrier A	tten	Berm	n Atten
Autos: 70	.20	-4.46		3.26		-1.20		-4.49	0	.000		0.000
Medium Trucks: 81	.00	-19.33		3.33		-1.20		-4.86	0	.000		0.000
Heavy Trucks: 85	.38	-17.11		3.32		-1.20		-5.77	0	.000		0.000
Unmitigated Noise Levels (w	ithout To	opo and b	arrier	attenu	ation)							
VehicleType Leq Peak	Hour	Leq Day	l	Leq Eve	əning	Leq N	light		Ldn		CN	EL
Autos:	67.8	6	6.7		65.3		59.	3	67	.8		68.4
Medium Trucks:	63.8	6	0.7		52.9		62.	1	68	.2		68.3
Heavy Trucks:	70.4	6	57.2		59.5		68.	7	74	.8		74.9
Vehicle Noise:	72.9	7	0.5		66.5		69.	9	76	.3		76.5
Centerline Distance to Noise	e Contou	r (in feet)										
				70 dl	BA	65 di	BA	6	60 dBA		55 d	BA
		L	.dn:	79		17 ⁻	1		369		79	4
		CN	EL:	81		174	4		375		80	9

Scenario: E + P Road Name: Grapefrui Road Segment: w/o Palm	t Blvd. St.			Project Nai Job Numb	me: Armteo ber: 15967	Master Pla	an	
SITE SPECIFIC	NPUT DATA			NOIS	SE MODE	L INPUTS	5	
Highway Data			Site Cor	nditions (Ha	rd = 10, So	oft = 15)		
Average Daily Traffic (Adt):	6,060 vehicles				Autos:	15		
Peak Hour Percentage:	8.25%		Me	dium Trucks	s (2 Axles):	15		
Peak Hour Volume:	500 vehicles		He	eavy Trucks	(3+ Axles):	15		
Vehicle Speed:	50 mph		Vehiele					
Near/Far Lane Distance:	12 feet		Venicie	nicleType	Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data				Auto	s: 77.5%	5 14.0%	10.5%	92.00%
Barrier Height	0.0 feet		M	ledium Truck	s: 48.0%	2.0%	50.0%	3.00%
Barrier Type (0-Wall, 1-Berm):	0.0			Heavy Truck	s: 48.0%	2.0%	50.0%	5.00%
Centerline Dist. to Barrier:	30.0 feet		Noiso S	ourco Elova	tions (in f	oot)		
Centerline Dist. to Observer:	30.0 feet		10136 3					
Barrier Distance to Observer:	0.0 feet		Modiu	Aulos. m Trucko:	0.000			
Observer Height (Above Pad):	5.0 feet		Hoa	m mucks.	8.006	Grade Adi	ustment	· 0 0
Pad Elevation:	0.0 feet		Tiea	vy muchs.	0.000	Chade haj	dotinont.	0.0
Road Elevation:	0.0 feet		Lane Eq	uivalent Dis	stance (in a	feet)		
Road Grade:	0.0%			Autos:	29.816			
Left View:	-90.0 degrees	6	Mediu	m Trucks:	29.518			
Right View:	90.0 degrees	5	Hea	vy Trucks:	29.547			
FHWA Noise Model Calculatio	ns							
VehicleType REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distance	e Finite	Road F	resnel	Barrier Atte	en Ber	m Atten
Autos: 70.2	0 -5.67	3	.26	-1.20	-4.49	0.0	00	0.000
Medium Trucks: 81.0	0 -20.53	3	.33	-1.20	-4.86	0.0	00	0.000
Heavy Trucks: 85.3	8 -18.32	3	.32	-1.20	-5.77	0.0	00	0.000
Unmitigated Noise Levels (wit	hout Topo and b	arrier atte	enuation)					
VehicleType Leq Peak H	our Leq Day	Leq	Evening	Leq Nigl	ht	Ldn	Cl	VEL
Autos: 6	6.6 6	5.5	64.1		58.1	66.6	5	67.2
Medium Trucks: 6	62.6 5	9.5	51.7		60.9	67.0)	67.1
Heavy Trucks: 6	69.2 6	6.0	58.3		67.5	73.6	;	73.7
Vehicle Noise:	71.7 6	9.3	65.3		68.7	75.1		75.3
Centerline Distance to Noise	Contour (in feet)							
		7	0 dBA	65 dBA	. 6	60 dBA	55	dBA
	L	.dn:	66	142		306	6	60
	CN	EL:	67	145		312	6	72

Scenario Road Nam Road Segmer	Scenario: E + P Road Name: Grapefruit Blvd. Road Segment: e/o Palm St.				Projec Job	ct Name Numbe	e: Armteo r: 15967	Master Pl	an	
SITE S	SPECIFIC IN	IPUT DATA				NOISE	E MODE	L INPUTS	S	
Highway Data				Si	ite Condition	s (Hard	= 10, Sc	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	3,110 vehicles					Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	8.25%			Medium 1	rucks (2	2 Axles):	15		
Peak H	our Volume:	257 vehicles			Heavy Tr	ucks (3	+ Axles):	15		
Vel	hicle Speed:	50 mph		14	obielo Mix					
Near/Far Lar	ne Distance:	12 feet		Ve	VehicleTvr	be	Dav	Evenina	Niaht	Dailv
Site Data						Autos:	77.5%	14.0%	10.5%	92.00%
Bar	rier Height:	0.0 feet			Medium	Trucks:	48.0%	2.0%	50.0%	3.00%
Barrier Type (0-W	all, 1-Berm):	0.0			Heavy	Trucks:	48.0%	2.0%	50.0%	5.00%
Centerline Dis	st. to Barrier:	30.0 feet		N	nise Source I	Elovatio	ns (in fa	(a, b)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	30.0 feet					0.000			
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Medium Truc	vs.	2 297			
Observer Height (J	Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heavy Truc	rks:	8 006	Grade Adi	iustment	0.0
Pa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet			neavy nac		0.000			
Roa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		Lä	ane Equivale	nt Dista	nce (in f	feet)		
F	Road Grade:	0.0%			Aut	os: 2	9.816			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	S		Medium Truc	:ks: 2	9.518			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	S		Heavy Truc	:ks: 2	9.547			
FHWA Noise Mode	el Calculation	s								
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distan	се	Finite Road	Fre	snel	Barrier Atte	en Ber	m Atten
Autos:	70.20	-8.57		3.26	-1.20)	-4.49	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	81.00	-23.43		3.33	-1.20)	-4.86	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	85.38	-21.21		3.32	-1.20)	-5.77	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	Levels (with	out Topo and I	barrier a	ttenu	ation)					
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	ır Leq Day	Le	q Eve	ening Lee	q Night		Ldn	CI	VEL
Autos:	63	.7 6	62.6		61.2	5	5.2	63.7	7	64.3
Medium Trucks:	59	.7 է	56.6		48.8	5	8.0	64.1		64.2
Heavy Trucks:	66	.3 (63.1		55.4	6	4.6	70.7	7	70.8
Vehicle Noise:	68	.8 (6.4		62.4	6	5.8	72.2	2	72.4
Centerline Distanc	e to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)			Т				I	
				70 dE	BA 65	5 dBA	6	0 dBA	55	dBA
			_dn:	42		91		196	4	23
		CN	IEL:	43		93		200	4	31

Scenario: E + P Road Name: Airport Blvd. Road Segment: w/o Palm St. Project Name: Armtec Master Plan Job Number: 15967

SITE	SPECIFIC IN	IPUT DATA				Ν	IOISE	MODE	L INPUT	S	
Highway Data					Site Con	ditions	(Hard :	= 10, Sc	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	3,460 vehicles	5					Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	8.25%			Mee	dium Tru	ucks (2	Axles):	15		
Peak H	lour Volume:	285 vehicles	6		Hea	avy Truc	cks (3+	Axles):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	45 mph			Vehicle N	lix					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	71 feet			Vehi	cleType		Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data						ŀ	Autos:	77.5%	14.0%	10.5%	92.00%
Bai	rrier Heiaht:	0.0 feet			Me	dium Ti	rucks:	48.0%	2.0%	50.0%	3.00%
Barrier Type (0-W	/all, 1-Berm):	0.0			F	leavy Ti	rucks:	48.0%	2.0%	50.0%	5.00%
Centerline Dis	st. to Barrier:	46.0 feet		_	Noise So	urco El	ovatio	ns (in fa	aat)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	46.0 feet		-	NOISE SU						
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Madiur	AUIU: n Truck	s. u	207			
Observer Height (Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Mediur		S. 2		Grade Ad	liustmont	· 0 0
Pa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet			пеач	y TTUCK	s. c	0.006	Graue Au	justinent	. 0.0
Roa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet			Lane Equ	ıivalent	Distar	nce (in i	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos	s: 29	9.677			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	es		Mediur	n Truck	s: 29	.378			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	s		Heav	y Truck	s: 29	9.407			
FHWA Noise Mode	el Calculation	S									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dist	tance	Finite	Road	Fres	nel	Barrier Att	en Ber	m Atten
Autos:	68.46	-7.64		3.2	9	-1.20		-4.63	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	79.45	-22.51		3.3	6	-1.20		-4.87	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	84.25	-20.29		3.3	5	-1.20		-5.47	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (with	out Topo and	barrie	r atter	uation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	ır Leq Day	,	Leq E	vening	Leq	Night		Ldn	Cl	NEL
Autos:	62	.9	61.8		60.4		54	.4	62.9	9	63.5
Medium Trucks:	59	.1	56.0		48.2		57	.4	63.	5	63.6
Heavy Trucks:	66	.1	63.0		55.2		64	.4	70.0	6	70.6
Vehicle Noise:	68	.4	65.9		61.8		65	.5	71.9	9	72.0
Centerline Distance	e to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)									
				70	dBA	65	dBA	6	60 dBA	55	dBA
			Ldn:	6	62	1:	33		287	6	17
		CI	VEL:	6	3	1:	35		292	6	28

Scenario: Road Name: Road Segment:	EA Tyler St. s/o Grapefru	uit Blvd.			Project Nam Job Numbe	e: Armtec er: 15967	: Master Pla	an	
SITE SP	ECIFIC IN	PUT DATA			NOIS	E MODE	L INPUTS	5	
Highway Data				Site Con	ditions (Hard	d = 10, So	oft = 15)		
Average Daily Tra	offic (Adt):	2,170 vehicles				Autos:	15		
Peak Hour Pe	rcentage:	8.25%		Me	dium Trucks	(2 Axles):	15		
Peak Hour	r Volume:	179 vehicles		He	avy Trucks (3	8+ Axles):	15		
Vehici	le Speed:	50 mph	-	Vohiclo	Nix				
Near/Far Lane	Distance:	49 feet	-	Venicie i Vehi	cleType	Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data					Autos	: 77.5%	14.0%	10.5%	92.00%
Barrie	r Heiaht:	0.0 feet		Me	edium Trucks	: 48.0%	2.0%	50.0%	3.00%
Barrier Type (0-Wall,	1-Berm):	0.0		ŀ	leavy Trucks	: 48.0%	2.0%	50.0%	5.00%
Centerline Dist. t	to Barrier:	40.0 feet	-	Noise So	urce Elevati	ons (in fe	of)		
Centerline Dist. to (Observer:	40.0 feet	_	110/30 00	Autos:				
Barrier Distance to (Observer:	0.0 feet		Mediur	n Trucks:	2 297			
Observer Height (Ab	ove Pad):	5.0 feet		Heav	v Trucks:	8 006	Grade Adi	ustment:	0.0
Pad I	Elevation:	0.0 feet	_	, loar	y maono.	0.000	,		
Road I	Elevation:	0.0 feet	_	Lane Equ	uivalent Dist	ance (in f	feet)		
Roa	ad Grade:	0.0%			Autos:	32.012			
	Left View:	-90.0 degrees		Mediur	m Trucks:	31.734			
Ri	ight View:	90.0 degrees		Heav	y Trucks:	31.761			
FHWA Noise Model C	alculations	6							
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow D	Distance	Finite	Road Fre	esnel	Barrier Atte	en Ber	m Atten
Autos:	70.20	-10.13	2.8	30	-1.20	-4.59	0.0	00	0.000
Medium Trucks:	81.00	-24.99	2.8	36	-1.20	-4.87	0.0	00	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	85.38	-22.78	2.8	35	-1.20	-5.56	0.0	00	0.000
Unmitigated Noise Le	evels (witho	out Topo and bar	rier atter	nuation)					
VehicleType Le	q Peak Hou	r Leq Day	Leq E	vening	Leq Night		Ldn	Cl	VEL
Autos:	61.	.7 60.6	6	59.2	5	3.2	61.6	5	62.3
Medium Trucks:	57.	.7 54.5	5	46.7	5	5.9	62.1		62.1
Heavy Trucks:	64.	.3 61.1	1	53.3	6	52.5	68.7	,	68.7
Vehicle Noise:	66.	.7 64.4	1	60.4	6	3.8	70.2	2	70.3
Centerline Distance t	o Noise Co	ntour (in feet)	1						
			70	dBA	65 dBA	6	60 dBA	55	dBA
		Ldn	: 4	41	89		192	4	13
		CNEL	.: 2	12	91		195	4	20

Scenario: EA Road Name: Tyler St. Road Segment: s/o Avenue 53 Project Name: Armtec Master Plan Job Number: 15967

. teau ooginoi											
SITE	SPECIFIC INF	UT DATA		NOISE MODEL INPUTS							
Highway Data				Site Con	ditions (Ha	ard = 10, S	oft = 15)				
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt): 1	,770 vehicles				Autos:	15				
Peak Hour	Percentage:	8.25%		Me	dium Truck	s (2 Axles).	15				
Peak H	lour Volume:	146 vehicles		He	avy Trucks	(3+ Axles).	15				
Ve	hicle Speed:	50 mph		Vehicle I	Mix						
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	49 feet		Veh	icleType	Day	Evening	Night Daily			
Site Data					Aut	os: 77.5%	6 14.0%	10.5% 92.00%			
Ba	rrier Heiaht:	0.0 feet		M	edium Truc	ks: 48.0%	<i>а</i> 2.0%	50.0% 3.00%			
Barrier Type (0-W	/all, 1-Berm):	0.0		I	Heavy Truc	ks: 48.0%	<i>2.0%</i>	50.0% 5.00%			
Centerline Di	st. to Barrier:	40.0 feet		Noise Sc	urce Eleva	ations (in f	oot)				
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	40.0 feet		110/30 00	Autos:						
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet		Mediu	m Trucks	2 297					
Observer Height (Above Pad):	5.0 feet		Heau	n Trucks: N Trucks:	8.006	Grade Adiı	ustment: 0.0			
Pa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		11001	y muono.	0.000					
Roa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		Lane Eq	uivalent Di	stance (in	feet)				
	Road Grade:	0.0%			Autos:	32.012					
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	S	Mediu	m Trucks:	31.734					
	Right View:	90.0 degree	S	Heav	y Trucks:	31.761					
FHWA Noise Mode	el Calculations										
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distand	ce Finite	Road	Fresnel	Barrier Atte	n Berm Atten			
Autos:	70.20	-11.01		2.80	-1.20	-4.59	0.0	0.000			
Medium Trucks:	81.00	-25.88		2.86	-1.20	-4.87	0.0	0.000			
Heavy Trucks:	85.38	-23.66		2.85	-1.20	-5.56	0.0	0.000			
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (withou	ut Topo and k	barrier at	ttenuation)							
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hour	Leq Day	Le	q Evening	Leq Nig	ıht	Ldn	CNEL			
Autos:	60.8	5 5	59.7	58.3		52.3	60.8	61.4			
Medium Trucks:	56.8	5 5	53.6	45.9		55.1	61.2	61.3			
Heavy Trucks:	63.4	6	60.2	52.4		61.7	67.8	67.8			
Vehicle Noise:	65.9) 6	63.5	59.5		62.9	69.3	69.4			
Centerline Distant	ce to Noise Con	tour (in feet)									
				70 dBA	65 dB/	4 0	60 dBA	55 dBA			
		L	_dn:	36	78		167	360			
		CN	IEL:	37	79		170	367			

Scenari	io: EA				ŀ	Project I	Name:	Armteo	: Master Pla	an	
Road Nam	e: Tyler St.	Entropes				Job Nu	mber:	15967			
Ruau Seymer	n. s/o Armtec	Entrance		-							
SITE S	SPECIFIC IN	IPUT DATA				N	DISEN	ЛОDE	L INPUTS	5	
Highway Data				Sit	te Cond	itions (l	Hard =	10, Sc	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	1,380 vehicles						Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	8.25%			Med	ium Tru	cks (2 /	Axles):	15		
Peak H	lour Volume:	114 vehicles			Hea	vy Trucl	ks (3+ /	Axles):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	50 mph		Vo	hicle M	iv					
Near/Far Lai	ne Distance:	49 feet		VC	Vehic	^ leType		Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data						A	utos:	77.5%	14.0%	10.5%	92.00%
Bai	rier Height:	0.0 feet			Med	dium Tru	ıcks:	48.0%	2.0%	50.0%	3.00%
Barrier Type (0-W	all, 1-Berm):	0.0			He	eavy Tru	icks:	48.0%	2.0%	50.0%	5.00%
Centerline Dis	st. to Barrier:	40.0 feet		No	oise Sou	rce Ele	vation	s (in fe	et)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	40.0 feet				Autos	. 0.	000			
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Medium	Trucks	2.	297			
Observer Height (Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heavv	Trucks.	8.	006	Grade Adj	ustment:	0.0
Pa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet									
Roa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		La	ne Equi	valent	Distan	ce (in i	eet)		
I	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos.	: 32.	012			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	S		Medium	Trucks.	: 31.	734			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	S		Heavy	Trucks.	31.	761			
FHWA Noise Mode	el Calculation	S									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distanc	е	Finite R	load	Fresr	nel	Barrier Atte	en Berl	m Atten
Autos:	70.20	-12.09		2.80		-1.20		-4.59	0.0	00	0.000
Medium Trucks:	81.00	-26.96		2.86		-1.20		-4.87	0.0	00	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	85.38	-24.74		2.85		-1.20		-5.56	0.0	00	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (with	out Topo and I	parrier at	tenua	ation)			1		1	
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	Ir Leq Day	Leq	l Eve	ning	Leq N	light		Ldn	Cl	NEL
Autos:	59	.7 5	58.6		57.2		51.2	2	59.7	,	60.3
Medium Trucks:	55	.7 5	52.6		44.8		54.0)	60.1		60.2
Heavy Trucks:	62	.3 5	59.1		51.4		60.6	6	66.7		66.8
Vehicle Noise:	64	.8 6	62.4		58.4		61.8	3	68.2		68.4
Centerline Distance	e to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)	-	70 -10							
			/		А	65 d	БA	E	142	55	UBA 05
			Lan: IEI :	31 24		00) •		14Z	3	UD 1 1
		CA		31		67			144	3	11

Scenari Road Nam Road Segmei	io: EA ne: Palm St. nt: s/o Grapefr	uit Blvd.				Project N Job Nu	Name: mber:	Armteo 15967	: Master P	lan	
SITES	SPECIFIC IN	IPUT DATA				NC	DISE	MODE	L INPUT	S	
Highway Data				Si	te Con	ditions (l	Hard =	= 10, Sc	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	1,580 vehicles	5					Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	8.25%			Me	dium Truc	cks (2	Axles):	15		
Peak H	lour Volume:	130 vehicles	6		He	avy Trucł	ks (3+	Axles):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	40 mph		14	biolo I	liv					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	12 feet		Ve	Vehi	icleType		Dav	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data						A	utos:	75.5%	14.0%	10.5%	6 97.42%
Bai	rrier Height:	0 0 feet			Me	ədium Tru	ıcks:	48.9%	2.2%	48.9%	6 1.84%
Barrier Type (0-W	/all, 1-Berm):	0.0			ŀ	leavy Tru	icks:	47.3%	5.4%	47.3%	6 0.74%
Centerline Dis	st. to Barrier:	30.0 feet		N	nisa Sa	urco Elo	vətior	ns (in fa	at)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	30.0 feet		/•	JI3C 00		·				
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Modiu	n Trucks	. 0 . 2	207			
Observer Height (Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heav	n Trucks. v Trucks	. 2 . 8	006	Grade Ao	liustmer	<i>nt[.]</i> 0 0
Pa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet			Ticav	y mucho.	. 0	.000	0/000/10	jaounor	
Roa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		La	ane Equ	uivalent l	Distan	ce (in f	feet)		
I	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos:	: 29	.816			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	S		Mediur	n Trucks:	: 29	.518			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	s		Heav	y Trucks:	: 29	.547			
FHWA Noise Mode	el Calculation	S									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dista	nce	Finite	Road	Fres	nel	Barrier Att	ten Be	erm Atten
Autos:	66.51	-10.29		3.26		-1.20		-4.49	0.	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	77.72	-27.53		3.33		-1.20		-4.86	0.	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	82.99	-31.48		3.32		-1.20		-5.77	0.	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (with	out Topo and	barrier	attenu	ation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	ır Leq Day	L	.eq Eve	ening	Leq N	light		Ldn	(ONEL
Autos:	58	.3	57.1		55.8		49.	8	58.	2	58.8
Medium Trucks:	52	.3	49.3		41.8		50.	5	56.	7	56.7
Heavy Trucks:	53	.6	50.4		47.0		51.	7	57.	9	58.0
Vehicle Noise:	60	.3	58.5		56.5		55.	5	62.	4	62.7
Centerline Distance	e to Noise Co	ontour (in feet						1			
				70 dE	BA	65 di	BA	6	60 dBA	5	5 dBA
			Ldn:	9		20)		43		94
		CI	VEL:	10		21			45		98

Scenar Road Nam Road Segmei	io: EA e: Grapefruit I nt: w/o Tyler S	Blvd. t.				Project I Job Ni	Name: umber:	Armteo 15967	: Master Pl	lan	
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	IPUT DATA				N	OISE I	MODE	L INPUT	S	
Highway Data				Si	ite Conc	litions (Hard =	: 10, Sc	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	8,290 vehicles	S					Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	8.25%			Mec	dium Tru	icks (2	Axles):	15		
Peak H	our Volume:	684 vehicles	S		Hea	avy Truc	ks (3+	Axles):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	50 mph		V	obiala N	liv					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	12 feet		V	Vehic Vehic	l ix NeTvne		Dav	Evenina	Niaht	Daily
Site Data					VCIIIC	A	utos:	77.5%	14.0%	10.5%	92.00%
Bai	rior Hoight:	0.0 feet			Me	dium Tri	ucks:	48.0%	2.0%	50.0%	3.00%
Barrier Type (0-W	all 1-Berm) [.]	0.0 1001			Н	leavy Tri	ucks:	48.0%	2.0%	50.0%	5.00%
Centerline Dis	st. to Barrier:	30.0 feet		N	aisa Sa	urco Ela	wation	s (in fr			
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	30.0 feet		/ *	0138 30						
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Modium	Autos Trucks	. 0. . 2	207			
Observer Height (Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Hoay	Trucks	ο. Ζ. ο	006	Grade Ad	liustmen	t [.] 0 0
Pa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet			neavy	y TTUCKS	. 0	.000	Orado Maj	juotinon	. 0.0
Roa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		Lä	ane Equ	ivalent	Distan	ce (in i	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos	: 29	.816			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	es		Mediun	n Trucks	: 29	.518			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	es		Heavy	/ Trucks	: 29	.547			
FHWA Noise Mode	el Calculation	s									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dista	ance	Finite I	Road	Fres	nel	Barrier Att	en Be	rm Atten
Autos:	70.20	-4.31		3.26		-1.20		-4.49	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	81.00	-19.17		3.33		-1.20		-4.86	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	85.38	-16.96		3.32		-1.20		-5.77	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (with	out Topo and	barrier	r attenu	ation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	ır Leq Day	/	Leq Eve	ening	Leq N	Vight		Ldn	C	NEL
Autos:	68	.0	66.9		65.5		59.	5	67.9	9	68.6
Medium Trucks:	64	.0	60.8		53.0		62.	2	68.4	4	68.4
Heavy Trucks:	70	.5	67.4		59.6		68.	8	75.0	0	75.0
Vehicle Noise:	73	5.0	70.6		66.7		70.	1	76.5	5	76.6
Centerline Distance	e to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)		1						
				70 dE	BA	65 a	IBA	6	60 dBA	55	5 dBA
			Ldn:	81		17	5		377	8	313
		Ci	NEL:	83		17	8		384	8	328

Scenari Road Nam	Scenario: EA Road Name: Grapefruit Blvd.					Project I	Name:	Armtec	Master Pla	an	
Road Segmer	nt: w/o Palm S	t.				000110					
SITES	SPECIFIC IN	IPUT DATA				N	DISEN	лоde	L INPUTS	ò	
Highway Data				S	ite Con	ditions (l	Hard =	10, Sc	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	6,300 vehicles	5					Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	8.25%			Me	dium Tru	cks (2 /	Axles):	15		
Peak H	our Volume:	520 vehicles	6		He	avy Trucl	ks (3+ /	Axles):	15		
Vel	hicle Speed:	50 mph		V	ohiclo I	<i>liv</i>					
Near/Far Lai	ne Distance:	12 feet		V	Vehi	cleType		Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data						A	utos:	77.5%	14.0%	10.5%	92.00%
Bar	rier Height:	0.0 feet			Me	edium Tru	ıcks:	48.0%	2.0%	50.0%	3.00%
Barrier Type (0-W	all, 1-Berm):	0.0			ŀ	leavy Tru	ıcks:	48.0%	2.0%	50.0%	5.00%
Centerline Dis	st. to Barrier:	30.0 feet		N	oise So	urce Ele	vation	s (in fe	et)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	30.0 feet				Autos	· 0	000			
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediur	n Trucks	· 2.	297			
Observer Height (Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heav	v Trucks	. 8.	006	Grade Adju	ustment:	0.0
Pa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet				<i>y</i>			,		
Roa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		L	ane Equ	uivalent	Distan	ce (in f	eet)		
ŀ	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos.	: 29.	816			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	S		Mediur	n Trucks.	: 29.	518			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	s		Heav	y Trucks.	: 29.	547			
FHWA Noise Mode	el Calculation	S									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dista	ance	Finite	Road	Fresr	nel	Barrier Atte	en Ber	m Atten
Autos:	70.20	-5.50		3.26		-1.20		-4.49	0.0	00	0.000
Medium Trucks:	81.00	-20.37		3.33		-1.20		-4.86	0.0	00	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	85.38	-18.15		3.32		-1.20		-5.77	0.0	00	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	Levels (with	out Topo and	barrier	r attenu	ation)			-			
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	Ir Leq Day		Leq Eve	ening	Leq N	light		Ldn	Cl	VEL
Autos:	66	.8	65.7		64.3		58.3	3	66.7		67.4
Medium Trucks:	62	.8	59.6		51.8		61.0)	67.2		67.2
Heavy Trucks:	69	.4	66.2		58.4		67.6	6	73.8		73.8
Vehicle Noise:	71	.8	69.5		65.5		68.9	9	75.3		75.4
Centerline Distance	e to Noise Co	ontour (in feet))		T				1		
			∟	70 dl	BA	65 d	BA	6	0 dBA	55	dBA
			Ldn:	68		14	6		314	6	77
		CI	VEL:	69		149	9		320	6	90

Scenari Road Nam Road Segmer	Scenario: EA Road Name: Grapefruit Blvd. Road Segment: e/o Palm St.					Project I Job Nı	Vame: ımber:	Armtec 15967	: Master Pla	an	
SITE S	SPECIFIC IN	IPUT DATA				N	DISEI	MODE	L INPUTS	5	
Highway Data				Si	te Cond	litions (Hard =	10, So	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	3,220 vehicles						Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	8.25%			Med	lium Tru	cks (2 .	Axles):	15		
Peak H	our Volume:	266 vehicles			Hea	vy Truc	ks (3+ .	Axles):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	50 mph		Vo	biolo M	iv					
Near/Far Lai	ne Distance:	12 feet		ve	Vehic	ix leTvpe		Dav	Evenina	Niaht	Daily
Site Data					, crite	A	utos:	77.5%	14.0%	10.5%	92.00%
Bar	rier Height:	0.0 feet			Mee	dium Tru	ucks:	48.0%	2.0%	50.0%	3.00%
Barrier Type (0-W	all, 1-Berm):	0.0			He	eavy Tru	ucks:	48.0%	2.0%	50.0%	5.00%
Centerline Dis	st. to Barrier:	30.0 feet		No	oise Sor	ırce Ele	vation	s (in fe	et)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	30.0 feet					· 0	000	,		
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Medium	Trucks	· 2	297			
Observer Height (Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heavy	r Trucks	·	006	Grade Adi	ustment:	0.0
Pa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet			neary	i i dente	. 0.				
Roa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		La	ne Equ	ivalent	Distan	ce (in f	feet)		
ŀ	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos	: 29	.816			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	S		Medium	Trucks	: 29	.518			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	S		Heavy	r Irucks	: 29.	.547			
FHWA Noise Mode	el Calculation	s									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distanc	e	Finite F	Road	Fresi	nel	Barrier Atte	en Beri	n Atten
Autos:	70.20	-8.41	:	3.26		-1.20		-4.49	0.0	00	0.000
Medium Trucks:	81.00	-23.28	:	3.33		-1.20		-4.86	0.0	00	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	85.38	-21.06	:	3.32		-1.20		-5.77	0.0	00	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	Levels (with	out Topo and I	barrier at	tenua	ation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	ır Leq Day	Leo	q Eve	ning	Leq N	light		Ldn	CN	IEL
Autos:	63	.9 6	62.8		61.4		55.4	4	63.8	5	64.4
Medium Trucks:	59	.8 5	56.7		48.9		58.	1	64.3	5	64.3
Heavy Trucks:	66	.4 6	63.3		55.5		64.	7	70.9		70.9
Vehicle Noise:	68	.9 (6.5		62.6		66.	0	72.4	ł	72.5
Centerline Distance	e to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)									
				70 dB	BA	65 a	BA	6	60 dBA	55	dBA
			_dn:	43		93	3		201	4:	33
		CN	IEL:	44		95)		205	44	41

Scenario: EA Road Name: Airport Blvd. Road Segment: w/o Palm St. Project Name: Armtec Master Plan Job Number: 15967

SITE SPECIFIC IN	NPUT DATA		NOISE MODEL INPUTS							
Highway Data			Site Condition	s (Hard = 10, S	oft = 15)					
Average Daily Traffic (Adt):	3,560 vehicles			Autos	: 15					
Peak Hour Percentage:	8.25%		Medium T	rucks (2 Axles): 15					
Peak Hour Volume:	294 vehicles		Heavy Tr	ucks (3+ Axles,): 15					
Vehicle Speed:	45 mph	_	Vehicle Mix							
Near/Far Lane Distance:	71 feet	_	VehicleTyp	e Day	Evening N	Night Daily				
Site Data				Autos: 77.59	% 14.0%	10.5% 92.00%				
Barrier Height:	0.0 feet		Medium	Trucks: 48.09	% 2.0%	50.0% 3.00%				
Barrier Type (0-Wall, 1-Berm):	0.0		Heavy	Trucks: 48.09	% 2.0%	50.0% 5.00%				
Centerline Dist. to Barrier:	46.0 feet	_	Noise Source I	-levations (in	feet)					
Centerline Dist. to Observer:	46.0 feet									
Barrier Distance to Observer:	0.0 feet		Aut Madium Trua	0.000						
Observer Height (Above Pad):	5.0 feet			KS: 2.297	Crada Adiu	stmont: 0.0				
Pad Elevation:	0.0 feet		Heavy Truc	KS: 8.006	Grade Aujus					
Road Elevation:	0.0 feet		Lane Equivaler	nt Distance (in	feet)					
Road Grade:	0.0%		Aut	os: 29.677						
Left View:	-90.0 degrees		Medium Truc	ks: 29.378						
Right View:	90.0 degrees		Heavy Truc	ks: 29.407						
FHWA Noise Model Calculation	S									
VehicleType REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distance	Finite Road	Fresnel	Barrier Atten	Berm Atten				
Autos: 68.46	-7.52	3.2	.9 -1.20	-4.63	0.000	0.000				
Medium Trucks: 79.45	-22.39	3.3	6 -1.20) -4.87	0.000	0.000				
Heavy Trucks: 84.25	-20.17	3.3	5 -1.20) -5.47	0.000	0.000				
Unmitigated Noise Levels (with	out Topo and bar	rier atter	nuation)							
VehicleType Leq Peak Hou	ur Leq Day	Leq E	vening Leo	q Night	Ldn	CNEL				
Autos: 63	62.0	D	60.6	54.5	63.0	63.6				
Medium Trucks: 59	9.2 56.2	1	48.3	57.5	63.7	63.7				
Heavy Trucks:66	63.2 63. ²	1	55.3	64.5	70.7	70.7				
Vehicle Noise: 68	3.5 66.0	0	61.9	65.7	72.0	72.2				
Centerline Distance to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)									
		70	dBA 65	5 dBA	60 dBA	55 dBA				
	Ldn	n: 6	3	136	292	629				
	CNEL	.: 6	64	138	297	640				

Scenari Road Nam Road Segmer	o: EAP e: Tyler St. nt: s/o Grapefr	uit Blvd.			Pro Jo	oject Nar ob Numb	ne: Armte per: 15967	c Master Pla	an	
SITE S	SPECIFIC IN	IPUT DATA				NOIS	SE MODE		6	
Highway Data				S	te Conditio	ons (Hai	a = 10, Sc	Off = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	2,270 vehicles					Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	8.25%			Mediur	n Trucks	(2 Axles):	15		
Peak H	our Volume:	187 vehicles			Heavy	Trucks ((3+ Axles):	15		
Vel	hicle Speed:	50 mph		V	ehicle Mix					
Near/Far Lai	ne Distance:	49 feet			Vehicle	Гуре	Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data						Auto	s: 77.5%	6 14.0%	10.5%	92.00%
Bar	rier Height:	0.0 feet			Mediu	m Truck	s: 48.0%	<i>2.0%</i>	50.0%	3.00%
Barrier Type (0-W	all, 1-Berm):	0.0			Hear	/y Truck	s: 48.0%	á 2.0%	50.0%	5.00%
Centerline Dis	st. to Barrier:	40.0 feet		N	oise Sourc	e Flevat	tions (in f	eet)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	40.0 feet								
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			r Madium T	ulus.	2 207			
Observer Height (J	Above Pad):	5.0 feet				ucks.	2.297	Grada Adi	ustmont	. 0 0
Pa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet			neavy II	UCKS.	0.000	Orace Auj	ustinent.	0.0
Roa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		Lä	ane Equiva	lent Dis	tance (in	feet)		
F	Road Grade:	0.0%			/	Autos:	32.012			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	s		Medium T	rucks:	31.734			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	S		Heavy T	rucks:	31.761			
FHWA Noise Mode	el Calculation	S								
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distanc	e	Finite Roa	nd F	resnel	Barrier Atte	en Ber	m Atten
Autos:	70.20	-9.93		2.80	-1	.20	-4.59	0.0	00	0.000
Medium Trucks:	81.00	-24.80		2.86	-1	.20	-4.87	0.0	00	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	85.38	-22.58		2.85	-1	.20	-5.56	0.0	00	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	Levels (with	out Topo and I	barrier at	tenu	ation)					
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	ır Leq Day	Lee	q Eve	ening	Leq Nigh	nt	Ldn	Cl	VEL
Autos:	61	.9 6	60.8		59.4		53.4	61.8		62.5
Medium Trucks:	57	.9 t	54.7		46.9		56.1	62.3		62.3
Heavy Trucks:	64	.5 6	61.3		53.5		62.7	68.9		68.9
Vehicle Noise:	66	6.9 6	64.6		60.6		64.0	70.4		70.5
Centerline Distanc	e to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)			I				1	
				70 dE	BA	65 dBA	(60 dBA	55	dBA
		l	_dn:	43		92		197	4	25
		CN	IEL:	43		93		201	4	33

Scenario: EAP Road Name: Tyler St. Road Segment: s/o Avenue 53 Project Name: Armtec Master Plan Job Number: 15967

SITE SPECIFIC INPUT DATA NOISE MODEL INPUTS Highway Data Site Conditions (Hard = 10, Soft = 15) Average Daily Traffic (Adt): 1,880 vehicles Autos: 15 Peak Hour Volume: 8.25% Medium Trucks (2 Axles): 15 Peak Hour Volume: 155 vehicles Heavy Trucks (34 Axles): 15 Vehicle Speed: 50 mph Vehicle Type Day Evening Night Site Data	
Highway Data Site Conditions (Hard = 10, Soft = 15) Average Daily Traffic (Adt): 1,880 vehicles Autos: 15 Peak Hour Percentage: 8,25% Medium Trucks (2 Axles): 15 Peak Hour Volume: 155 vehicles Medium Trucks (2 Axles): 15 Vehicle Speed: 50 mph Heavy Trucks (3+ Axles): 15 Vehicle Type Day Evening Night Site Data 49 feet Vehicle Type Day Evening Night Site Data 0.0 feet Medium Trucks: 48.0% 2.0% 50.0% Barrier Height: 0.0 feet Medium Trucks: 48.0% 2.0% 50.0% Barrier Dist. to Barrier: 40.0 feet Autos: 0.000 Medium Trucks: 2.9% 50.0% Barrier Distance to Observer: 0.0 feet Autos: 32.012 Heavy Trucks: 8.006 Grade Adjustment Road Grade: 0.0% Autos: 32.012 Heavy Trucks: 31.761 FHWA Noise Model Calculation: 0.0 degrees Finite Road Fresnel <td></td>	
Average Daily Traffic (Adt): 1,880 vehicles Autos: 15 Peak Hour Percentage: 8.25% Medium Trucks (2 Axles): 15 Peak Hour Volume: 155 vehicles Heavy Trucks (3+ Axles): 15 Vehicle Speed: 50 mph Vehicle Type Day Evening Night Site Data 49 feet Vehicle Type Day Evening Night Barrier Height: 0.0 feet Autos: 77.5% 14.0% 10.5% Barrier Type (0-Wall, 1-Berm): 0.0 Centerline Dist. to Barrier: 40.0 feet Medium Trucks: 48.0% 2.0% 50.0% Barrier Observer: 0.0 feet Autos: 0.000 Medium Trucks: 2.297 50.0% Observer Height (Above Pad): 5.0 feet Heavy Trucks: 8.006 Grade Adjustment Road Grade: 0.0% Autos: 32.012 Medium Trucks: 40.00 Rad Grade: 0.0% Autos: 31.761 Heavy Trucks: 31.761 FHWA Noise Model Calculations Vehicle Type Red Hour Leg Nedium Trucks: 31.761 Heavy Trucks: 31.761	
Peak Hour Percentage: 8.25% Medium Trucks (2 Axles): 15 Peak Hour Volume: 155 vehicles 155 vehicles 15 Vehicle Speed: 50 mph Vehicle Type Day Evening Night Site Data 49 feet Vehicle Mix Vehicle Mix Night Night Site Data 0.0 feet Autos: T7.5% 14.0% 10.5% Barrier Height: 0.0 feet Autos: T7.5% 14.0% 10.5% Barrier Type (0-Wall, 1-Berm): 0.0 Heavy Trucks: 48.0% 2.0% 50.0% Centerline Dist. to Barrier: 40.0 feet Autos: 0.00 Heavy Trucks: 2.297 Observer Height (Above Pad): 5.0 feet Autos: 0.00 Medium Trucks: 3.006 Grade Adjustment Road Grade: 0.0% Autos: 32.012 Medium Trucks: 31.734 Road Grade: 0.0% Autos: 31.734 Heavy Trucks: 31.761 FHWA Noise Model Calculations Vehicle Type REMEL Traffic F	
Peak Hour Volume: 155 vehicles Vehicle Speed: 50 mph Near/Far Lane Distance: 49 feet Site Data Autos: 77.5% 14.0% 10.5% Barrier Taype (0-Wall, 1-Berm): 0.0 Autos: 77.5% 14.0% 10.5% Barrier Type (0-Wall, 1-Berm): 0.0 Autos: 77.5% 14.0% 10.5% Barrier Dist. to Dserver: 40.0 feet Autos: 77.5% 14.0% 10.5% Barrier Dist. to Observer: 40.0 feet Medium Trucks: 48.0% 2.0% 50.0% Deserver Height (Above Pad): 5.0 feet Medium Trucks: 8.006 Grade Adjustment Road Grade: 0.0% Autos: 32.012 Medium Trucks: 31.734 Heavy Trucks: 8.006 Grade Adjustment Heavy Trucks: 31.734 Right View: 90.0 degrees Finite Road Fresnel Barrier Atten Ber Autos: 70.20 -10.75 2.80 -1.20 -4.59 0.000 Medium Trucks:	
Vehicle Speed: 50 mph Near/Far Lane Distance: 49 feet Vehicle Mix Site Data Autos: 77.5% 14.0% 10.5% Barrier Distance: 49 feet Autos: 77.5% 14.0% 10.5% Barrier Type (0-Wall, 1-Berm): 0.0 feet Medium Trucks: 48.0% 2.0% 50.0% Centerline Dist. to Barrier: 40.0 feet Heavy Trucks: 48.0% 2.0% 50.0% Barrier Distance to Observer: 0.0 feet Autos: 0.000 Medium Trucks: 2.297 Observer Height (Above Pad): 5.0 feet Autos: 32.012 Heavy Trucks: 8.006 Grade Adjustment Road Elevation: 0.0 feet Autos: 32.012 Medium Trucks: 31.734 Right View: 90.0 degrees Heavy Trucks: 31.734 Heavy Trucks: 31.761 FHWA Noise Model Calculations Vehicle Type REMEL Traffic Flow Distance Finite Road Fresnel Barrier Atten Ber Autos: 70.20 -1.075 2.86	
Near/Far Lane Distance: 49 feet Vertice Mix Site Data Vehicle Type Day Evening Night Site Data Autos: 77.5% 14.0% 10.5% Barrier Height: 0.0 feet Autos: 77.5% 14.0% 10.5% Barrier Type (0-Wall, 1-Berm): 0.0 Medium Trucks: 48.0% 2.0% 50.0% Centerline Dist. to Observer: 40.0 feet Moise Source Elevations (in feet) Noise Source Elevations (in feet) Deserver Height (Above Pad): 5.0 feet Autos: 0.000 Medium Trucks: 8.006 Grade Adjustment Road Elevation: 0.0 feet Autos: 32.012 Medium Trucks: 31.734 Right View: 90.0 degrees Medium Trucks: 31.761 Medium Trucks: 31.761 FHWA Noise Model Calculations Vehicle Type REMEL Traffic Flow Distance Finite Road Fresnel Barrier Atten Ber Autos: 70.20 -10.75 2.80 -1.20 -4.59 0.000 Medium Tru	
Site Data Autos: 77.5% 14.0% 10.5% Barrier Height: 0.0 feet Autos: 77.5% 14.0% 10.5% Barrier Type (0-Wall, 1-Berm): 0.0 Image: Content of the state of t	nht Daily
Barrier Height: 0.0 feet Barrier Type (0-Wall, 1-Berm): 0.0 Centerline Dist. to Barrier: 40.0 feet Barrier Distance to Observer: 40.0 feet Barrier Distance to Observer: 0.0 feet Pad Elevation: 0.0 feet Road Elevation: 0.0 feet Road Grade: 0.0% Autos: 32.012 Heavy Trucks: 31.734 Heavy Trucks: 31.734 Heavy Trucks: 31.734 Kight View: 90.0 degrees VehicleType REMEL Autos: 70.20 Autos: 70.20 YehicleType REMEL VehicleType REMEL VehicleType Leq Valuet Autos: 70.20 YehicleType Leq Peak Hour Leq Day Leq Day Leq Paak Hour Leq Day Leq Peak Hour Leq Day) 5% 02 00%
Barrier Height: 0.0 feet Housin Tracks. 40.0 % 2.0% 50.0% Centerline Dist. to Barrier. 40.0 feet Heavy Trucks: 48.0% 2.0% 50.0% Centerline Dist. to Observer: 40.0 feet Autos: 0.000 Noise Source Elevations (in feet) Barrier Distance to Observer: 0.0 feet Autos: 0.000 Medium Trucks: 2.297 Observer Height (Above Pad): 5.0 feet Autos: 0.006 Grade Adjustment Road Elevation: 0.0 feet Lane Equivalent Distance (in feet) Autos: 32.012 Road Grade: 0.0% Autos: 32.012 Medium Trucks: 31.734 Heavy Trucks: 31.734 Heavy Trucks: 31.761 FHWA Noise Model Calculations Medium Trucks: 31.734 VehicleType REMEL Traffic Flow Distance Finite Road Fresnel Barrier Atten Ber Autos: 70.20 -10.75 2.86 -1.20 -4.87 0.000 Medium Trucks: 85.38 -23.40 2.85 <).5% 92.00%
Barner Type (0-Wall, 1-Berm): 0.0 Intervert (0-Wall, 1-Berm): 0.0 Centerline Dist. to Barrier: 40.0 feet Noise Source Elevations (in feet) Centerline Dist. to Observer: 0.0 feet Autos: 0.000 Barrier Distance to Observer: 0.0 feet Autos: 0.000 Pad Elevation: 0.0 feet Autos: 2.07 Road Elevation: 0.0 feet Left View: -90.0 degrees Right View: 90.0 degrees Medium Trucks: 31.734 Heavy Trucks: 31.761 Eresnel Barrier Atten FHWA Noise Model Calculations Distance Finite Road Fresnel Barrier Atten Vehicle Type REMEL Traffic Flow Distance Finite Road Fresnel Barrier Atten Ber Autos: 70.20 -10.75 2.86 -1.20 -4.59 0.000 Medium Trucks: 81.00 -25.62 2.86 -1.20 -4.87 0.000 Heavy Trucks: 85.38 -23.40 2.85 -1.20 -5.56 0.0000 Unmitigated Noise Levels (without Topo and barrier attenuation) <t< td=""><td>).0% 5.00%</td></t<>).0% 5.00%
Centerline Dist. to Observer:40.0 feetNoise Source Elevations (in feet)Barrier Distance to Observer:0.0 feetAutos:0.000Barrier Distance to Observer:0.0 feetAutos:2.297Observer Height (Above Pad):5.0 feetHeavy Trucks:8.006Grade AdjustmentPad Elevation:0.0 feetLane Equivalent Distance (in feet)Lane Equivalent Distance (in feet)Road Grade:0.0%Autos:32.012Left View:-90.0 degreesMedium Trucks:31.734Right View:90.0 degreesHeavy Trucks:31.761FHWA Noise Model CalculationsVehicle TypeREMELTraffic FlowDistanceVehicle TypeREMELTraffic FlowDistanceFinite RoadFresnelBarrier AttenAutos:70.20-10.752.80-1.20-4.590.000Medium Trucks:81.00-25.622.86-1.20-4.870.000Medium Trucks:85.38-23.402.85-1.20-5.560.000Unmitigated Noise Levels (without Topo and barrier attenuation)Vehicle TypeLeq Peak HourLeq DayLeq EveningLeq NightLdnCiAutos:57.053.946.155.361.563.660.552.761.968.1Vehicle Noise:63.660.552.761.968.1Vehicle Noise:69.660.6Centerline Distance to Noise Contour (in feet)Extended to the top of the top	.078 J.0078
Centerline Dist. to Observer: 40.0 feet Autos: 0.000 Barrier Distance to Observer: 0.0 feet Medium Trucks: 2.297 Observer Height (Above Pad): 5.0 feet Heavy Trucks: 8.006 Grade Adjustment Pad Elevation: 0.0 feet Autos: 32.012 Heavy Trucks: 32.012 Road Grade: 0.0% Autos: 32.012 Medium Trucks: 31.734 Right View: 90.0 degrees Medium Trucks: 31.734 Heavy Trucks: 31.734 FHWA Noise Model Calculations Distance Finite Road Fresnel Barrier Atten Berrier Atten	
Barrier Distance to Observer: 0.0 feet Medium Trucks: 2.297 Observer Height (Above Pad): 5.0 feet Heavy Trucks: 8.006 Grade Adjustment Pad Elevation: 0.0 feet Lane Equivalent Distance (in feet) Lane Equivalent Distance (in feet) Road Grade: 0.0% Autos: 32.012 Left View: -90.0 degrees Medium Trucks: 31.734 Right View: 90.0 degrees Medium Trucks: 31.734 Heavy Trucks: 31.761 Medium Trucks: 31.761 FHWA Noise Model Calculations Vehicle Type REMEL Traffic Flow Distance Finite Road Fresnel Barrier Atten Ber Autos: 70.20 -10.75 2.80 -1.20 -4.59 0.000 Medium Trucks: 81.00 -25.62 2.86 -1.20 -4.87 0.000 Medium Trucks: 85.38 -23.40 2.85 -1.20 -5.56 0.000 Medium Trucks: 85.38 -23.40 2.85 -1.20 -5.56 0.000 Medium Trucks: 61.1 60.0 58.6 52.6<	
Observer Height (Above Pad): 5.0 feet Heavy Trucks: 8.006 Grade Adjustment Pad Elevation: 0.0 feet Lane Equivalent Distance (in feet) Lane Equivalent Distance (in feet) Lane Equivalent Distance (in feet) Road Grade: 0.0% Autos: 32.012 Left View: -90.0 degrees Medium Trucks: 31.734 Right View: 90.0 degrees Heavy Trucks: 31.761 FHWA Noise Model Calculations VehicleType REMEL Traffic Flow Distance Finite Road Fresnel Barrier Atten Ber Autos: 70.20 -10.75 2.80 -1.20 -4.59 0.000 Medium Trucks: 81.00 -25.62 2.86 -1.20 -4.87 0.000 Heavy Trucks: 85.38 -23.40 2.85 -1.20 -5.56 0.000 Unmitigated Noise Levels (without Topo and barrier attenuation) Uehicle Type Leq Peak Hour Leq Day Leq Evening Leq Night Ldn Ci Autos: 63.6 60.5 52.7 61.9	
Pad Elevation: 0.0 feet Road Elevation: 0.0 feet Road Grade: 0.0% Left View: -90.0 degrees Right View: 90.0 degrees Wedium Trucks: 31.734 Heavy Trucks: 31.761 FHWA Noise Model Calculations Iteration VehicleType REMEL Traffic Flow Distance Finite Road Fresnel Barrier Atten Ber Autos: 70.20 -10.75 2.80 -1.20 -4.59 0.000 Medium Trucks: 81.00 -25.62 2.86 -1.20 -4.87 0.000 Heavy Trucks: 85.38 -23.40 2.85 -1.20 -5.56 0.000 Unmitigated Noise Levels (without Topo and barrier attenuation) Leq Pay Leq Evening Leq Night Ldn Curvetail Medium Trucks: 57.0 53.9 46.1 55.3 61.5 66.1 63.7 59.8 63.2 69.6 Centerline Distance to Noise Contour (in feet) Iteration Iteration Iteration Iteration	nent: 0.0
Road Elevation: 0.0 feet Lane Equivalent Distance (in feet) Road Grade: 0.0% Autos: 32.012 Left View: -90.0 degrees Medium Trucks: 31.734 Right View: 90.0 degrees Heavy Trucks: 31.761 FHWA Noise Model Calculations Distance Finite Road Fresnel Barrier Atten Ber Autos: 70.20 -10.75 2.80 -1.20 -4.59 0.000 Medium Trucks: 81.00 -25.62 2.86 -1.20 -4.87 0.000 Meavy Trucks: 85.38 -23.40 2.85 -1.20 -5.56 0.000 Unmitigated Noise Levels (without Topo and barrier attenuation) Leq Paek Hour Leq Day Leq Evening Leq Night Ldn Classical Calculations Vehicle Type Leq Peak Hour Leq Day Leq Evening Leq Night Ldn Classical Calculations Vehicle Type Leq Peak Hour Leq Day Leq Evening Leq Night Ldn Classical Calculations Vehicle Type Leq Peak Hour Leq Day Leq States 51.3 61.5 <tr< td=""><td></td></tr<>	
Road Grade: 0.0% Autos: 52.012 Left View: -90.0 degrees Medium Trucks: 31.734 Right View: 90.0 degrees Medium Trucks: 31.734 FHWA Noise Model Calculations Distance Finite Road Fresnel Barrier Atten Ber Autos: 70.20 -10.75 2.80 -1.20 -4.59 0.000 Medium Trucks: 81.00 -25.62 2.86 -1.20 -4.87 0.000 Medium Trucks: 85.38 -23.40 2.85 -1.20 -5.56 0.000 Unmitigated Noise Levels (without Topo and barrier attenuation) Leq Paay Leq Evening Leq Night Ldn Classical Calculation Vehicle Type Leq Peak Hour Leq Day Leq Evening Leq Night Ldn Classical Calculation Vehicle Type Leq Peak Hour Leq Day Leq Evening Leq Night Ldn Classical Calculation Medium Trucks: 57.0 53.9 46.1 55.3 61.5 68.1 Medium Trucks: 63.6 60.5 52.7 61.9 68.1 68.1 <td></td>	
Left View: -90.0 degrees Interdum Trucks: 31.734 Right View: 90.0 degrees Intervention Heavy Trucks: 31.761 FHWA Noise Model Calculations Emetal ions Traffic Flow Distance Finite Road Fresnel Barrier Atten Ber Autos: 70.20 -10.75 2.80 -1.20 -4.59 0.000 Medium Trucks: 81.00 -25.62 2.86 -1.20 -4.87 0.000 Medium Trucks: 85.38 -23.40 2.85 -1.20 -5.56 0.000 Unmitigated Noise Levels (without Topo and barrier attenuation) Vehicle Type Leq Peak Hour Leq Day Leq Evening Leq Night Ldn Classical information Vehicle Type Leq Peak Hour Leq Day Leq Evening Leq Night Ldn Classical information Vehicle Type Leq Peak Hour Leq Day Leq Evening Leq Night Ldn Classical information Vehicle Type Leq Peak Hour Leq Day Leq Evening Leq Night Eddition Classical information Vehicle Noise: 63.6 60.5	
Right View: 90.0 degrees Heavy Hucks: 31.761 FHWA Noise Model Calculations VehicleType REMEL Traffic Flow Distance Finite Road Fresnel Barrier Atten Ber Autos: 70.20 -10.75 2.80 -1.20 -4.59 0.000 Medium Trucks: 81.00 -25.62 2.86 -1.20 -4.87 0.000 Heavy Trucks: 85.38 -23.40 2.85 -1.20 -5.56 0.000 Unmitigated Noise Levels (without Topo and barrier attenuation) Leq Peak Hour Leq Day Leq Evening Leq Night Ldn Ch Autos: 61.1 60.0 58.6 52.6 61.0 61.5 Medium Trucks: 57.0 53.9 46.1 55.3 61.5 Heavy Trucks: 63.6 60.5 52.7 61.9 68.1 Vehicle Noise: 66.1 63.7 59.8 63.2 69.6 Centerline Distance to Noise Contour (in feet) Distance to Noise Contour (in feet) Distance to Noise Contour (in feet)	
FHWA Noise Model Calculations VehicleType REMEL Traffic Flow Distance Finite Road Fresnel Barrier Atten Ber Autos: 70.20 -10.75 2.80 -1.20 -4.59 0.000 Medium Trucks: 81.00 -25.62 2.86 -1.20 -4.87 0.000 Heavy Trucks: 85.38 -23.40 2.85 -1.20 -5.56 0.000 Unmitigated Noise Levels (without Topo and barrier attenuation) Leq Pask Hour Leq Day Leq Evening Leq Night Ldn Charter Charter VehicleType Leq Peak Hour Leq Day Leq Evening Leq Night Ldn Charter Autos: 61.1 60.0 58.6 52.6 61.0 Medium Trucks: 57.0 53.9 46.1 55.3 61.5 Heavy Trucks: 63.6 60.5 52.7 61.9 68.1 Vehicle Noise: 66.1 63.7 59.8 63.2 69.6	
VehicleType REMEL Traffic Flow Distance Finite Road Fresnel Barrier Atten Ber Autos: 70.20 -10.75 2.80 -1.20 -4.59 0.000 Medium Trucks: 81.00 -25.62 2.86 -1.20 -4.87 0.000 Heavy Trucks: 85.38 -23.40 2.85 -1.20 -5.56 0.000 Unmitigated Noise Levels (without Topo and barrier attenuation) Leq Peak Hour Leq Day Leq Evening Leq Night Ldn Ch Autos: 61.1 60.0 58.6 52.6 61.0 61.0 61.5 61.5 61.5 61.5 61.5 61.5 61.5 61.5 61.5 62.7 61.9 68.1 63.6 60.5 52.7 61.9 68.1 63.6 60.5 52.7 61.9 68.1 63.2 69.6 69.6 60.6 60.5 52.7 61.9 68.1 60.6 60.5 52.7 61.9 68.1 60.6 60.6 60.6	
Autos: 70.20 -10.75 2.80 -1.20 -4.59 0.000 Medium Trucks: 81.00 -25.62 2.86 -1.20 -4.87 0.000 Heavy Trucks: 85.38 -23.40 2.85 -1.20 -5.56 0.000 Unmitigated Noise Levels (without Topo and barrier attenuation) VehicleType Leq Peak Hour Leq Day Leq Evening Leq Night Ldn Ch Autos: 61.1 60.0 58.6 52.6 61.0 61.0 Medium Trucks: 57.0 53.9 46.1 55.3 61.5 68.1 Vehicle Noise: 63.6 60.5 52.7 61.9 68.1 69.6 Centerline Distance to Noise Contour (in feet) Image: Contour (in feet)	Berm Atten
Medium Trucks: 81.00 -25.62 2.86 -1.20 -4.87 0.000 Heavy Trucks: 85.38 -23.40 2.85 -1.20 -5.56 0.000 Unmitigated Noise Levels (without Topo and barrier attenuation) Leq Peak Hour Leq Day Leq Evening Leq Night Ldn Charles Vehicle Type Leq Peak Hour Leq Day Leq Evening State State State Autos: 61.1 60.0 58.6 52.6 61.0 Medium Trucks: 57.0 53.9 46.1 55.3 61.5 Heavy Trucks: 63.6 60.5 52.7 61.9 68.1 Vehicle Noise: 66.1 63.7 59.8 63.2 69.6	0.000
Heavy Trucks: 85.38 -23.40 2.85 -1.20 -5.56 0.000 Unmitigated Noise Levels (without Topo and barrier attenuation) Leq Peak Hour Leq Day Leq Evening Leq Night Ldn Ch Vehicle Type Leq Peak Hour Leq Day Leq Evening Leq Night Ldn Ch Autos: 61.1 60.0 58.6 52.6 61.0 Medium Trucks: 57.0 53.9 46.1 55.3 61.5 Heavy Trucks: 63.6 60.5 52.7 61.9 68.1 Vehicle Noise: 66.1 63.7 59.8 63.2 69.6 Centerline Distance to Noise Contour (in feet) Example to the state of	0.000
Unmitigated Noise Levels (without Topo and barrier attenuation)VehicleTypeLeq Peak HourLeq DayLeq EveningLeq NightLdnChAutos:61.160.058.652.661.0Medium Trucks:57.053.946.155.361.5Heavy Trucks:63.660.552.761.968.1Vehicle Noise:66.163.759.863.269.6Centerline Distance to Noise Contour (in feet)	0.000
VehicleType Leq Peak Hour Leq Day Leq Evening Leq Night Ldn Cl Autos: 61.1 60.0 58.6 52.6 61.0 Medium Trucks: 57.0 53.9 46.1 55.3 61.5 Heavy Trucks: 63.6 60.5 52.7 61.9 68.1 Vehicle Noise: 66.1 63.7 59.8 63.2 69.6 Centerline Distance to Noise Contour (in feet) Example To the second of the se	
Autos: 61.1 60.0 58.6 52.6 61.0 Medium Trucks: 57.0 53.9 46.1 55.3 61.5 Heavy Trucks: 63.6 60.5 52.7 61.9 68.1 Vehicle Noise: 66.1 63.7 59.8 63.2 69.6 Centerline Distance to Noise Contour (in feet) 61.9 61.9 61.0	CNEL
Medium Trucks: 57.0 53.9 46.1 55.3 61.5 Heavy Trucks: 63.6 60.5 52.7 61.9 68.1 Vehicle Noise: 66.1 63.7 59.8 63.2 69.6 Centerline Distance to Noise Contour (in feet) Easy of the second se	61.6
Heavy Trucks: 63.6 60.5 52.7 61.9 68.1 Vehicle Noise: 66.1 63.7 59.8 63.2 69.6 Centerline Distance to Noise Contour (in feet) East 100 mm and 100 m	61.5
Vehicle Noise: 66.1 63.7 59.8 63.2 69.6 Centerline Distance to Noise Contour (in feet) 60.1 60.1 60.1 60.1	68.1
Centerline Distance to Noise Contour (in feet)	69.7
70 dBA 65 dBA 60 dBA 55	55 dBA
Ldn: 38 81 174 3	375
CNEL: 38 82 177 3	382

Scenari Road Nam	o: EAP e: Tyler St.					Project Job N	Name lumber	: Armteo : 15967	c Master Pl	an	
Road Segmer	nt: s/o Armtec	Entrance									
SITE S	SPECIFIC IN	IPUT DATA				Ν	IOISE	MODE	L INPUT	S	
Highway Data				S	ite Cond	ditions	(Hard	= 10, So	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	1,390 vehicles	5					Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	8.25%			Med	dium Tru	ucks (2	2 Axles):	15		
Peak H	our Volume:	115 vehicles	5		Hea	avy Truc	cks (3+	Axles):	15		
Vel	hicle Speed:	50 mph		V	abiala N	<i>liv</i>					
Near/Far Lar	ne Distance:	49 feet		V	Vehi	l ix cleType	•	Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data						4	Autos:	77.5%	5 14.0%	10.5%	92.00%
Bar	rier Heiaht:	0.0 feet			Me	dium Ti	rucks:	48.0%	2.0%	50.0%	3.00%
Barrier Type (0-W	all, 1-Berm):	0.0			H	leavy Ti	rucks:	48.0%	2.0%	50.0%	5.00%
Centerline Dis	st. to Barrier:	40.0 feet		N	loise So	urce El	evatio	ns (in fe	eet)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	40.0 feet				Auto	s: (0.000			
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediun	n Truck	s: á	2.297			
Observer Height (J	Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heav	v Truck	s: 8	8.006	Grade Ad	justmen	t: 0.0
Pa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet				,					
Roa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		Li	ane Equ	ivalent	Dista	nce (in i	feet)		
F	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos	s: 3	2.012			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	s		Mediun	n Truck	s: 3	1.734			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	S		Heav	y Truck	s: 3	1.761			
FHWA Noise Mode	el Calculation	s									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distan	се	Finite I	Road	Fre	snel	Barrier Att	en Bei	rm Atten
Autos:	70.20	-12.06		2.80		-1.20		-4.59	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	81.00	-26.93		2.86		-1.20		-4.87	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	85.38	-24.71		2.85		-1.20		-5.56	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	Levels (with	out Topo and	barrier a	ttenu	ation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	ır Leq Day	Le	eq Eve	ening	Leq	Night		Ldn	C	NEL
Autos:	59	.7	58.7		57.3		51	.2	59.7	7	60.3
Medium Trucks:	55	.7	52.6		44.8		54	1.0	60.2	2	60.2
Heavy Trucks:	62	.3	59.2		51.4		60).6	66.8	3	66.8
Vehicle Noise:	64	.8	62.4		58.5		61	.9	68.3	3	68.4
Centerline Distanc	e to Noise Co	ontour (in feet))								
			📖	70 dl	BA	65	dBA	e	60 dBA	55	dBA
			Ldn:	31		6	6		142	3	307
		CI	VEL:	31		6	57		145	3	312

Scenarie Road Name Road Segmen	o: EAP e: Palm St. ht: s/o Grapefr	uit Blvd.			F	Project Nar Job Numb	ne: Armte per: 15967	C Master Plar	1
SITE S	SPECIFIC IN	IPUT DATA				NOIS	SE MODE	L INPUTS	
Highway Data				Si	te Condi	itions (Hai	rd = 10, Se	oft = 15)	
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	1,610 vehicles	S				Autos:	15	
Peak Hour	Percentage:	8.25%			Medi	ium Trucks	(2 Axles):	15	
Peak He	our Volume:	133 vehicles	S		Heav	vy Trucks ((3+ Axles):	15	
Veł	nicle Speed:	40 mph		Ve	hicle Mi	Y			
Near/Far Lar	ne Distance:	12 feet			Vehicl	∧ leTvpe	Dav	Evenina I	Viaht Dailv
Site Data						Auto	s: 75.5%	5 14.0%	10.5% 97.42%
Bar	rier Heiaht:	0.0 feet			Mea	lium Truck	s: 48.9%	5 2.2%	48.9% 1.84%
Barrier Type (0-Wa	all, 1-Berm):	0.0			He	avy Truck	s: 47.3%	5.4%	47.3% 0.74%
Centerline Dis	t. to Barrier:	30.0 feet		N	nisa Sau	rco Flova	tions (in f	aat)	
Centerline Dist. t	to Observer:	30.0 feet		/•	5136 500				
Barrier Distance t	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Medium	Aulos. Trucks:	2 297		
Observer Height (/	Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heavy	Trucks:	8 006	Grade Adiu	stment: 0.0
Pa	d Elevation:	0.0 feet			neavy	Truono.	0.000		
Roa	d Elevation:	0.0 feet		Lá	ane Equi	valent Dis	tance (in	feet)	
F	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos:	29.816		
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	es		Medium	Trucks:	29.518		
	Right View:	90.0 degree	es		Heavy	Trucks:	29.547		
FHWA Noise Mode	l Calculation	s							
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dista	nce	Finite R	load F	resnel	Barrier Atter	Berm Atten
Autos:	66.51	-10.21		3.26		-1.20	-4.49	0.00	0 0.00
Medium Trucks:	77.72	-27.44		3.33		-1.20	-4.86	0.00	0 0.00
Heavy Trucks:	82.99	-31.40		3.32		-1.20	-5.77	0.00	0 0.00
Unmitigated Noise	Levels (with	out Topo and	barrier	attenu	ation)				
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	ır Leq Day	' L	.eq Eve	ening	Leq Nigh	nt	Ldn	CNEL
Autos:	58	.4	57.2		55.9		49.9	58.3	58.
Medium Trucks:	52	.4	49.3		41.8		50.6	56.8	56.
Heavy Trucks:	53	.7	50.5		47.1		51.8	58.0	58.
Vehicle Noise:	60	.4	58.6		56.6		55.6	62.5	62.
Centerline Distanc	e to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)						
				70 dE	3A	65 dBA	(50 dBA	55 dBA
		~	Ldn:	9		20		44	95
		Ci	VEL:	10		21		46	99

Scenari Road Nam Road Segmei	Scenario: EAP Road Name: Grapefruit Blvd. Road Segment: w/o Tyler St.				Project Name: Armtec Master Plan Job Number: 15967						
SITES	SPECIFIC IN	IPUT DATA				NC	ISE M	ODEL		5	
Highway Data				S	ite Con	ditions (F	lard = 1	0, Soi	ft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	8,320 vehicles	;				A	utos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	8.25%			Mee	dium Truc	ks (2 Ax	des):	15		
Peak H	our Volume:	686 vehicles	;		Hea	avy Truck	s (3+ A)	des):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	50 mph		V	obiclo I	<i>Nix</i>					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	12 feet		V	Vehi	cleTvpe	L	Dav	Evenina	Niaht	Dailv
Site Data					-	Au	itos: 7	7.5%	14.0%	10.5%	92.00%
Bai	rier Height:	0.0 feet			Me	edium Tru	cks: 4	8.0%	2.0%	50.0%	3.00%
Barrier Type (0-W	all, 1-Berm):	0.0			ŀ	l eavy Tru	cks: 4	8.0%	2.0%	50.0%	5.00%
Centerline Dis	st. to Barrier:	30.0 feet		N	oise So	urce Elev	vations	(in fe	et)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	30.0 feet				Autos	0.00	0)		
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediur	n Trucks:	2.29	97			
Observer Height (Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heav	v Trucks:	8.00	06	Grade Adi	iustment:	0.0
Pa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet			, iour	,					
Roa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		La	ane Equ	ivalent E	Distance	e (in fe	eet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos:	29.8	16			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	S		Mediur	n Trucks:	29.5	18			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	S		Heav	y Trucks:	29.5	47			
FHWA Noise Mode	el Calculation	S									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dist	ance	Finite	Road	Fresne	el E	Barrier Atte	en Ber	m Atten
Autos:	70.20	-4.29		3.26		-1.20	-4	4.49	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	81.00	-19.16		3.33		-1.20	-4	4.86	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	85.38	-16.94		3.32		-1.20	-{	5.77	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (with	out Topo and	barrie	r attenu	ation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	ır Leq Day		Leq Eve	ening	Leq N	ight		Ldn	Cl	VEL
Autos:	68	.0	6.9		65.5		59.5		67.9)	68.6
Medium Trucks:	64	.0	60.8		53.0		62.3		68.4	ŀ	68.4
Heavy Trucks:	70	.6	67.4		59.6		68.8		75.0)	75.0
Vehicle Noise:	73	.0	70.7		66.7		70.1		76.5	5	76.6
Centerline Distance	e to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)									
				70 dł	BA	65 dE	BA	60) dBA	55	dBA
			Ldn:	82		176	j		378	8	15
		CN	IEL:	83		179			385	8	30

Scenari Road Nam Road Segmer	Scenario: EAP Road Name: Grapefruit Blvd. Road Segment: w/o Palm St.				Project Name: Armtec Master Plan Job Number: 15967							
SITE S	SPECIFIC IN	IPUT DATA				NO	ISE N	10DE	L INPUT	5		
Highway Data				Si	te Cond	ditions (H	ard =	10, Sc	oft = 15)			
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	6,310 vehicles						Autos:	15			
Peak Hour	Percentage:	8.25%			Med	dium Trucl	ks (2 A	Axles):	15			
Peak H	our Volume:	521 vehicles			Hea	avy Trucks	s (3+ A	Axles):	15			
Ve	hicle Speed:	50 mph		Ve	hicle N	lix						
Near/Far Lai	ne Distance:	12 feet			Vehi	cleType		Day	Evening	Night	Daily	
Site Data						Aut	tos:	- 77.5%	14.0%	10.5%	92.00%	
Bai	rrier Heiaht:	0.0 feet			Me	dium Truc	:ks:	48.0%	2.0%	50.0%	3.00%	
Barrier Type (0-W	all, 1-Berm):	0.0			H	leavy Truc	:ks:	48.0%	2.0%	50.0%	5.00%	
Centerline Dis	st. to Barrier:	30.0 feet		No	oise So	urce Elev	ation	s (in fe	et)			
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	30.0 feet				Autos:	0 (000				
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediun	n Trucks:	2.2	297				
Observer Height (Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heav	v Trucks:	8.0	006	Grade Ad	iustmen	t: 0.0	
Pa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		-		, 	• .					
Roa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		La	ane Equ	iivalent D	istand	e (in i	reet)			
/	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos:	29.	316				
	Left View:	-90.0 degrees	5		Mediun	n Trucks:	29.	518 - 47				
	Right View:	90.0 degrees	6		Heav	y Trucks:	29.	547				
FHWA Noise Mode	el Calculation	s										
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distanc	ce	Finite I	Road	Fresn	el	Barrier Atte	en Be	rm Atten	
Autos:	70.20	-5.49		3.26		-1.20		-4.49	0.0	000	0.000	
Medium Trucks:	81.00	-20.36		3.33		-1.20		-4.86	0.0	000	0.000	
Heavy Trucks:	85.38	-18.14		3.32		-1.20		-5.77	0.0	000	0.000	
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (with	out Topo and b	arrier at	tenu	ation)							
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	Ir Leq Day	Lee	q Eve	ening	Leq Nig	ght		Ldn	C	NEL	
Autos:	66	.8 6	5.7		64.3		58.3		66.7	7	67.4	
Medium Trucks:	62	.8 5	9.6		51.8		61.1		67.2	2	67.2	
Heavy Trucks:	69	.4 6	6.2		58.4		67.6		73.8	3	73.8	
Vehicle Noise:	71	.8 6	9.5		65.5		68.9		75.3	3	75.4	
Centerline Distanc	e to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)										
				70 dE	BA	65 dB	A	6	60 dBA	55	i dBA	
		L	.dn:	68		146			315	6	678	
		CN	EL:	69		149			320	6	690	

Scenario Road Name Road Segmen	Scenario: EAP Road Name: Grapefruit Blvd. Road Segment: e/o Palm St.				Project Name: Armtec Master Plan Job Number: 15967						
SITE S	PECIFIC IN	PUT DATA				Ν	OISE	MODE	L INPUTS	S	
Highway Data				S	Site Cond	ditions	(Hard	= 10, Sc	oft = 15)		
Average Daily 7	raffic (Adt):	3,230 vehicles						Autos:	15		
Peak Hour F	Percentage:	8.25%			Med	dium Tru	ucks (2	Axles):	15		
Peak Ho	our Volume:	266 vehicles			Hea	avy Truc	cks (3+	Axles):	15		
Veh	icle Speed:	50 mph		V	ohicle M	liy					
Near/Far Lan	e Distance:	12 feet		V	Vehi	cleType		Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data						/	Autos:	77.5%	14.0%	10.5%	92.00%
Barı	rier Heiaht:	0.0 feet			Me	dium Tr	rucks:	48.0%	2.0%	50.0%	3.00%
Barrier Type (0-Wa	all, 1-Berm):	0.0			H	leavy Tr	rucks:	48.0%	2.0%	50.0%	5.00%
Centerline Dis	t. to Barrier:	30.0 feet		٨	loise So	urce El	evatio	ns (in fe	et)		
Centerline Dist. to	o Observer:	30.0 feet					s. (,01)		
Barrier Distance to	o Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediun	n Trucks	s. (297			
Observer Height (A	Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heav	v Trucks	s. 2	3 006	Grade Ad	iustment:	0.0
Pa	d Elevation:	0.0 feet			Tiouv	y maone	<i>.</i> (
Roa	d Elevation:	0.0 feet		L	ane Equ	ivalent	Dista	nce (in i	feet)		
R	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos	s: 29	9.816			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	S		Mediun	n Trucks	s: 29	9.518			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	S		Heav	y Trucks	s: 29	9.547			
FHWA Noise Mode	l Calculations	5									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dist	tance	Finite I	Road	Fres	snel	Barrier Atte	en Ber	m Atten
Autos:	70.20	-8.40		3.26	5	-1.20		-4.49	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	81.00	-23.27		3.33	3	-1.20		-4.86	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	85.38	-21.05		3.32	2	-1.20		-5.77	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	Levels (with	out Topo and b	oarrie	r atteni	uation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	r Leq Day		Leq Ev	rening	Leq	Night		Ldn	Cl	VEL
Autos:	63.	.9 6	52.8		61.4		55	.4	63.8	3	64.5
Medium Trucks:	59.	.9 5	6.7		48.9		58	.1	64.3	3	64.3
Heavy Trucks:	66.	.5 6	3.3		55.5		64	.7	70.9	9	70.9
Vehicle Noise:	68	.9 6	6.6		62.6		66	5.0	72.4	1	72.5
Centerline Distance	e to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)									
				70 d	BA	65 (dBA	E	60 dBA	55	dBA
		L	.dn:	43	3	9	3		201	4	34
		CN	IEL:	44	ł	9	5		205	4	42

Scenario: EAP Road Name: Airport Blvd. Road Segment: w/o Palm St. Project Name: Armtec Master Plan Job Number: 15967

SITE SPECIFIC IN	NPUT DATA			NOISE MOD	EL INPUTS	
Highway Data			Site Condition	ns (Hard = 10, S	Soft = 15)	
Average Daily Traffic (Adt):	3,600 vehicles			Autos	s: 15	
Peak Hour Percentage:	8.25%		Medium	Trucks (2 Axles): 15	
Peak Hour Volume:	297 vehicles		Heavy T	rucks (3+ Axles) <i>:</i> 15	
Vehicle Speed:	45 mph	-	Vehicle Mix			
Near/Far Lane Distance:	71 feet	-	VehicleTy	rpe Dav	Evening N	light Daily
Site Data				Autos: 77.5	% 14.0% 1	10.5% 92.00%
Barrier Height:	0.0 feet		Medium	Trucks: 48.0	% 2.0% 5	50.0% 3.00%
Barrier Type (0-Wall, 1-Berm):	0.0		Heavy	<i>Trucks:</i> 48.0	% 2.0% 5	50.0% 5.00%
Centerline Dist. to Barrier:	46.0 feet	_	Noise Source	Elevations (in	foot)	
Centerline Dist. to Observer:	46.0 feet	-				
Barrier Distance to Observer:	0.0 feet			108. 0.000		
Observer Height (Above Pad):	5.0 feet		Mealum Tru	CKS: 2.297	Orreade Adivis	
Pad Elevation:	0.0 feet		Heavy Iru	<i>cks:</i> 8.006	Grade Adjus	tment: 0.0
Road Elevation:	0.0 feet	-	Lane Equivale	ent Distance (in	feet)	
Road Grade:	0.0%		Αι	<i>itos:</i> 29.677		
Left View:	-90.0 degrees		Medium Tru	cks: 29.378		
Right View:	90.0 degrees		Heavy Tru	cks: 29.407		
EHWA Noise Model Calculation	S					
VehicleType REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distance	Finite Road	Fresnel	Barrier Atten	Berm Atten
Autos: 68.46	-7.47	3.2	29 -1.2	-4.63	2011101 / 111011 3 0.000) 0.000
Medium Trucks: 79.45	-22.34	3.3		.0 -4.87	7 0.000	0.000
Heavy Trucks: 84.25	-20.12	3.3	35 -1.2	-5.47	0.000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise Levels (with	out Topo and ba	orrier attei	nuation)			
VehicleType Leg Peak Hou	ur Leq Day	Leg E	Evening Le	eg Night	Ldn	CNEL
Autos: 63	3.1 62	.0	60.6	, <u> </u>	63.1	63.7
Medium Trucks: 59	9.3 56	5.1	48.3	57.6	63.7	63.7
Heavy Trucks: 66	63 63	5.1	55.4	64.6	70.7	70.8
Vehicle Noise: 68	3.5 66	5.1	61.9	65.7	72.1	72.2
Centerline Distance to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)					
		70	dBA 6	65 dBA	60 dBA	55 dBA
	La	In: 6	63	137	294	634
	CNE	EL: 6	64	139	299	645

Scenari Road Nam Road Segmer	Scenario: EAC Road Name: Tyler St. Road Segment: s/o Grapefruit Blvd.				Project Name: Armtec Master Plan Job Number: 15967						
SITE S	SPECIFIC IN	IPUT DATA			NOI	SE MODE	L INPUTS				
Highway Data				Site Con	ditions (Ha	ard = 10, Se	oft = 15)				
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	2,250 vehicles				Autos:	15				
Peak Hour	Percentage:	8.25%		Me	dium Truck	s (2 Axles).	15				
Peak H	our Volume:	186 vehicles		He	avy Trucks	(3+ Axles).	15				
Vel	hicle Speed:	50 mph		Vohiclo	Mix						
Near/Far Lar	ne Distance:	49 feet		Venicie i Vehi	icleTvpe	Dav	Evenina	Night Dailv			
Site Data					Auto	os: 77.5%	6 14.0%	10.5% 92.00%			
Bar	rier Height:	0.0 feet		Me	edium Truci	ks: 48.0%	<i>2.0%</i>	50.0% 3.00%			
Barrier Type (0-W	all, 1-Berm):	0.0		ŀ	leavy Truci	ks: 48.0%	<i>а</i> 2.0%	50.0% 5.00%			
Centerline Dis	st. to Barrier:	40.0 feet		Noise So	ource Eleva	tions (in f	eet)				
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	40.0 feet		110100 00	Autos	0.000					
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet		Mediu	n Trucks:	2 297					
Observer Height (J	Above Pad):	5.0 feet		Heav	v Trucks	8 006	Grade Adiu	<i>stment:</i> 0.0			
Pa	nd Elevation:	0.0 feet			<i>y</i>		,				
Roa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		Lane Equ	uivalent Di	stance (in	feet)				
F	Road Grade:	0.0%			Autos:	32.012					
	Left View:	-90.0 degrees	;	Mediur	m Trucks:	31.734					
	Right View:	90.0 degrees	5	Heav	y Trucks:	31.761					
FHWA Noise Mode	el Calculation	S									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distance	Finite	Road I	Fresnel	Barrier Atte	n Berm Atten			
Autos:	70.20	-9.97	2.	80	-1.20	-4.59	0.00	0.000			
Medium Trucks:	81.00	-24.84	2.	86	-1.20	-4.87	0.00	0.000			
Heavy Trucks:	85.38	-22.62	2.	85	-1.20	-5.56	0.00	0.000			
Unmitigated Noise	Levels (with	out Topo and b	arrier atte	nuation)							
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	ır Leq Day	Leq	Evening	Leq Nig	ht	Ldn	CNEL			
Autos:	61	.8 6	0.8	59.4		53.3	61.8	62.4			
Medium Trucks:	57	.8 54	4.7	46.9		56.1	62.3	62.3			
Heavy Trucks:	64	.4 6	1.3	53.5		62.7	68.8	68.9			
Vehicle Noise:	66	.9 6	4.5	60.6		64.0	70.4	70.5			
Centerline Distanc	e to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)		1		1	1				
			70) dBA	65 dBA	4 0	60 dBA	55 dBA			
		L	dn:	42	91		196	423			
		CN	EL:	43	93		200	431			

Scenario: EAC Road Name: Tyler St. Road Segment: s/o Avenue 53 Project Name: Armtec Master Plan Job Number: 15967

. tead ooginio									
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	PUT DATA			NOIS	SE MODE	L INPUTS	S	
Highway Data				Site Con	ditions (Ha	rd = 10, Se	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	1,830 vehicles				Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	8.25%		Mee	dium Trucks	(2 Axles):	15		
Peak H	lour Volume:	151 vehicles		Hea	avy Trucks	(3+ Axles).	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	50 mph		Vohiela I	Nix				
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	49 feet		Venicie N Vohi		Dav	Evening	Night	Daily
Sita Data				Ven		27 5%	14.0%	10.5%	02 00%
		-		Ma	Auto dium Truck	s. 11.07 s. 18.0%	2.0%	50.0%	3 00%
Ba	rrier Height:	0.0 feet		L	loovy Truck	s. 40.07	2.0%	50.0%	5.00%
Barrier Type (0-W	all, 1-Berm):	0.0		I	ieavy Truck	3. 40.07	5 2.070	50.076	5.00 %
Centerline Di	st. to Barrier:	40.0 feet		Noise So	urce Eleva	tions (in f	eet)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	40.0 feet			Autos:	0.000			
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet		Mediur	n Trucks:	2.297			
Observer Height (Above Pad):	5.0 feet		Heav	y Trucks:	8.006	Grade Adj	ustment:	0.0
	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		Long Equ	vivalant Dia	tonoo (in	faat		
Roa	Road Elevation: 0.0 feet						leel)		
Road Grade: 0.0%				Madium	Aulos.	32.012			
	Left View:	-90.0 degrees	S	Mediur	II TIUCKS.	31.734			
	Right view:	90.0 degrees	S	neav	y TTUCKS.	31.701			
FHWA Noise Mode	el Calculations	1							
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distance	Finite	Road F	resnel	Barrier Atte	en Berm	n Atten
Autos:	70.20	-10.87	2.	80	-1.20	-4.59	0.0	00	0.000
Medium Trucks:	81.00	-25.73	2.	86	-1.20	-4.87	0.0	00	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	85.38	-23.52	2.	85	-1.20	-5.56	0.0	00	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (witho	ut Topo and b	oarrier atte	nuation)					
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hour	r Leq Day	Leq	Evening	Leq Nigl	nt	Ldn	CN	EL
Autos:	60.	9 5	9.9	58.5		52.4	60.9)	61.5
Medium Trucks:	56.	9 5	3.8	46.0		55.2	61.4		61.4
Heavy Trucks:	63.	56	60.4	52.6		61.8	68.0		68.0
Vehicle Noise:	66.	0 6	63.6	59.7		63.1	69.5	i	69.6
Centerline Distant	ce to Noise Col	ntour (in feet)							
			70	dBA	65 dBA	(60 dBA	55 d	BA
		L	.dn:	37	79		171	36	8
		CN	IEL:	38	81		174	37	5

Scenari Road Nam	Scenario: EAC Road Name: Tyler St.				Project Name: Armtec Master Plan Job Number: 15967					
Road Segmer	nt: s/o Armtec I	Entrance								
SITE S	SPECIFIC IN	PUT DATA			Ν	IOISE MO	DEL INPUT	S		
Highway Data				Site Con	ditions	(Hard = 10,	Soft = 15)			
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	1,440 vehicles				Aut	os: 15			
Peak Hour	Percentage:	8.25%		Me	dium Tru	ucks (2 Axle	es <i>):</i> 15			
Peak H	our Volume:	119 vehicles		He	avy Truc	cks (3+ Axle	es): 15			
Vel	hicle Speed:	50 mph		Vehicle	Mix					
Near/Far Lar	ne Distance:	49 feet		Venicle I	icleTvpe	Da	v Evenina	Night Dailv		
Site Data						Autos: 77.	5% 14.0%	10.5% 92.00%		
Bar	rier Heiaht:	0.0 feet		M	edium Ti	rucks: 48.	0% 2.0%	50.0% 3.00%		
Barrier Type (0-W	all, 1-Berm):	0.0		I	Heavy Ti	rucks: 48.	0% 2.0%	50.0% 5.00%		
Centerline Dis	st. to Barrier:	40.0 feet		Noise So	ource El	evations (i	n feet)			
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	40.0 feet			Auto	s: 0.000				
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet		Mediu	m Truck	s: 2.297				
Observer Height (J	Above Pad):	5.0 feet		Heav	/v Truck	s: 8.006	Grade Ad	ljustment: 0.0		
Pa	d Elevation:	0.0 feet			, , , , ,			-		
Roa	d Elevation:	0.0 feet		Lane Eq	uivalent	Distance (in feet)			
F	Road Grade:	0.0%			Auto	s: 32.012				
	Left View:	-90.0 degrees	5	Mediui	m Truck	s: 31.734				
	Right View:	90.0 degrees	6	Heav	/y Truck	s: 31.761				
FHWA Noise Mode	el Calculations	3		1						
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distance	Finite	Road	Fresnel	Barrier Att	en Berm Atten		
Autos:	70.20	-11.91	2	.80	-1.20	-4.:	59 0.0	0.000		
Medium Trucks:	81.00	-26.78	2	.86	-1.20	-4.8	37 0.0	0.000		
Heavy Trucks:	85.38	-24.56	2	.85	-1.20	-5.3	56 0.0	0.000 0.000		
Unmitigated Noise	Levels (witho	out Topo and b	arrier atte	enuation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	r Leq Day	Leq	Evening	Leq	Night	Ldn	CNEL		
Autos:	59.	.9 5	8.8	57.4		51.4	59.9	9 60.5		
Medium Trucks:	55.	.9 5	2.7	45.0		54.2	60.3	3 60.4		
Heavy Trucks:	62.	.5 5	9.3	51.5		60.8	66.9	9 66.9		
Vehicle Noise:	65.	.0 6	2.6	58.6		62.0	68.4	4 68.5		
Centerline Distanc	e to Noise Co	ntour (in feet)								
		-	. 70) dBA	65	dBA	60 dBA	55 dBA		
		L	.dn:	31	6	8	146	314		
		CN	EL:	32	6	9	148	320		

Scenari Road Nam Road Segmer	o: EAC e: Palm St. nt: s/o Grapefr	uit Blvd.			ŀ	Project Na Job Num	me: Armte ber: 15967	c Master Pla	n
SITE S	SPECIFIC IN	IPUT DATA				NOI	se mode	EL INPUTS	
Highway Data				Si	ite Cond	itions (Ha	rd = 10, S	oft = 15)	
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	2,170 vehicles	5				Autos	: 15	
Peak Hour	Percentage:	8.25%			Medi	ium Truck	s (2 Axles)	: 15	
Peak H	our Volume:	179 vehicles	5		Hea	vy Trucks	(3+ Axles)	: 15	
Vel	hicle Speed:	40 mph		14	obielo Mi	iv			
Near/Far Lai	ne Distance:	12 feet		Ve	Vehic	leType	Dav	Evening	Night Daily
Site Data						Auto	os: 75.5%	6 14.0%	10.5% 97.42%
Bar	rier Height:	0.0 feet			Med	dium Truck	ks: 48.9%	6 2.2%	48.9% 1.84%
Barrier Type (0-W	all, 1-Berm):	0.0			He	eavy Truck	ks: 47.3%	6 5.4%	47.3% 0.74%
Centerline Dis	st. to Barrier:	30.0 feet		N	oise Sou	ırce Eleva	tions (in f	eet)	
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	30.0 feet				Autos	0.000		
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Medium	Trucks:	2 297		
Observer Height (J	Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heavy	Trucks:	8 006	Grade Adiı	<i>istment:</i> 0.0
Pa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet			neary		0.000	- ···· · , ·	
Roa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		Lá	ane Equi	ivalent Di	stance (in	feet)	
F	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos:	29.816		
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	s		Medium	Trucks:	29.518		
	Right View:	90.0 degree	s		Heavy	Trucks:	29.547		
FHWA Noise Mode	el Calculation	s							
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distai	nce	Finite R	Road H	Fresnel	Barrier Atte	n Berm Atten
Autos:	66.51	-8.91		3.26		-1.20	-4.49	0.00	0.000
Medium Trucks:	77.72	-26.15		3.33		-1.20	-4.86	0.00	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	82.99	-30.10		3.32		-1.20	-5.77	0.00	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	Levels (with	out Topo and	barrier a	attenu	ation)				
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	ır Leq Day	L	eq Eve	ening	Leq Nig	ht	Ldn	CNEL
Autos:	59	.7	58.5		57.2		51.2	59.6	60.2
Medium Trucks:	53	.7	50.6		43.1		51.9	58.1	58.1
Heavy Trucks:	55	.0	51.8		48.4		53.1	59.3	59.3
Vehicle Noise:	61	.7	59.9		57.9		56.9	63.8	64.1
Centerline Distance	e to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)							
				70 dE	BA	65 dBA	1	60 dBA	55 dBA
			Ldn:	12		25		54	116
		CI	VEL:	12		26		56	121

Scenari Road Nam Road Segmer	Scenario: EAC Road Name: Grapefruit Blvd. Road Segment: w/o Tyler St.				Project Name: Armtec Master Plan Job Number: 15967						
SITE S	SPECIFIC IN	PUT DATA			NO	ISE MODE	EL INPUTS				
Highway Data				Site Cor	nditions (H	ard = 10, S	oft = 15)				
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	8,410 vehicles				Autos	15				
Peak Hour	Percentage:	8.25%		Me	edium Truck	(2 Axles)	: 15				
Peak H	our Volume:	694 vehicles		He	avy Trucks	(3+ Axles)	: 15				
Vel	hicle Speed:	50 mph		Vehicle	Mix						
Near/Far Lai	ne Distance:	12 feet		Venicie	nicleTvpe	Dav	Evenina N	light Daily			
Site Data					Aut	os: 77.5%	6 14.0% [·]	10.5% 92.00%			
Bar	rier Height:	0 0 feet		M	ledium Truc	ks: 48.0%	6 2.0%	50.0% 3.00%			
Barrier Type (0-W	all, 1-Berm):	0.0			Heavy Truc	ks: 48.0%	% 2.0%	50.0% 5.00%			
Centerline Dis	st. to Barrier:	30.0 feet		Noise Se	ource Elev	ations (in f	eet)				
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	30.0 feet			Autos:	0 000					
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet		Mediu	m Trucks:	2.297					
Observer Height (Above Pad):	5.0 feet		Hear	vv Trucks:	8.006	Grade Adjus	stment: 0.0			
Pa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet									
Roa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		Lane Eq	uivalent D	stance (in	feet)				
F	Road Grade:	0.0%			Autos:	29.816					
	Left View:	-90.0 degrees	6	Mediu	m Trucks:	29.518					
	Right View:	90.0 degrees	6	Hea	vy Trucks:	29.547					
FHWA Noise Mode	el Calculation	S									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distanc	e Finite	Road	Fresnel	Barrier Atten	Berm Atten			
Autos:	70.20	-4.24	3	3.26	-1.20	-4.49	0.000	0.000			
Medium Trucks:	81.00	-19.11	3	3.33	-1.20	-4.86	0.000	0.000			
Heavy Trucks:	85.38	-16.89	3	3.32	-1.20	-5.77	0.000	0.000			
Unmitigated Noise	Levels (with	out Topo and b	arrier att	enuation)							
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	r Leq Day	Leq	Evening	Leq Nig	ght	Ldn	CNEL			
Autos:	68	.0 6	7.0	65.5		59.5	68.0	68.6			
Medium Trucks:	64	.0 6	0.9	53.1		62.3	68.5	68.5			
Heavy Trucks:	70	.6 6	7.5	59.7		68.9	75.0	75.1			
Vehicle Noise:	73	.1 7	0.7	66.7		70.1	76.6	76.7			
Centerline Distanc	e to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)									
			7	0 dBA	65 dB	A	60 dBA	55 dBA			
		L	dn:	82	177		381	821			
		CN	EL:	84	180		388	836			

Scenari Road Nam Road Segmer	Scenario: EAC Road Name: Grapefruit Blvd. Road Segment: w/o Palm St.				Project Name: Armtec Master Plan Job Number: 15967						
SITE S	SPECIFIC IN	IPUT DATA				NOIS	e mode	L INPUTS			
Highway Data				Si	te Condit	ions (Har	d = 10, So	oft = 15)			
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	6,970 vehicles	5				Autos:	15			
Peak Hour	Percentage:	8.25%			Mediu	m Trucks	(2 Axles):	15			
Peak H	our Volume:	575 vehicles	5		Heavy	/ Trucks (3	3+ Axles):	15			
Vel	hicle Speed:	50 mph		Ve	hiala Mix						
Near/Far Lar	ne Distance:	12 feet		ve	Vehicle	Type	Dav	Evenina	Night Daily		
Site Data						Autos	: 77.5%	14.0%	10.5% 92.00%		
Bar	rier Height:	0.0 feet			Media	um Trucks	: 48.0%	2.0%	50.0% 3.00%		
Barrier Type (0-W	all, 1-Berm):	0.0			Hea	wy Trucks	: 48.0%	2.0%	50.0% 5.00%		
Centerline Dis	st. to Barrier:	30.0 feet		No	oise Sour	ce Elevati	ons (in fe	et)			
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	30.0 feet									
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Medium T	rucks:	2 297				
Observer Height (J	Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heavy 1	rucks:	8 006	Grade Adiu	stment: 0.0		
Pa	nd Elevation:	0.0 feet			nouty i	ruono.	0.000	j-			
Roa	nd Elevation:	0.0 feet		La	ne Equiv	alent Dist	ance (in i	feet)			
F	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos:	29.816				
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	S		Medium T	rucks:	29.518				
	Right View:	90.0 degree	S		Heavy T	rucks:	29.547				
FHWA Noise Mode	l Calculation:	S									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dista	nce	Finite Ro	ad Fr	esnel	Barrier Atte	n Berm Atten		
Autos:	70.20	-5.06		3.26	-'	1.20	-4.49	0.00	0.000		
Medium Trucks:	81.00	-19.93		3.33	-'	1.20	-4.86	0.00	0.000		
Heavy Trucks:	85.38	-17.71		3.32	-'	1.20	-5.77	0.00	0.000		
Unmitigated Noise	Levels (with	out Topo and	barrier a	attenua	ation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	ır Leq Day	L	.eq Eve	ning	Leq Night		Ldn	CNEL		
Autos:	67	.2	56.1		64.7	Ę	58.7	67.2	67.8		
Medium Trucks:	63	.2	50.1		52.3	6	51.5	67.6	67.7		
Heavy Trucks:	69	.8	6.6		58.9	6	68.1	74.2	74.3		
Vehicle Noise:	72	.3	69.9		65.9	6	9.3	75.7	75.9		
Centerline Distanc	e to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)									
				70 dE	BA	65 dBA	e	60 dBA	55 dBA		
			Ldn:	72		156		336	724		
		CI	IEL:	74		159		342	738		

Scenari Road Nam Road Segmei	Scenario: EAC Road Name: Grapefruit Blvd. Road Segment: e/o Palm St.				Project Name: Armtec Master Plan Job Number: 15967						
SITES	SPECIFIC IN	IPUT DATA				NO	ISE MOE	EL INPUT	S		
Highway Data				S	ite Cona	litions (H	ard = 10,	Soft = 15)			
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	3,610 vehicles	;				Auto	s: 15			
Peak Hour	Percentage:	8.25%			Mea	lium Truci	ks (2 Axle	s <i>):</i> 15			
Peak H	our Volume:	298 vehicles	;		Hea	vy Trucks	s (3+ Axle	s <i>):</i> 15			
Ve	hicle Speed:	50 mph		14	obiala M	liv					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	12 feet		V	Vehic	leTvne	Dav	Evenina	Niaht	Daily	
Site Data						Au	tos: 77.5	5% 14.0%	10.5%	92.00%	
Bai	rier Height:	0.0 feet			Me	dium Truc	:ks: 48.0	0% 2.0%	50.0%	3.00%	
Barrier Type (0-W	all, 1-Berm):	0.0			Н	eavy Truc	ks: 48.0	0% 2.0%	50.0%	5.00%	
Centerline Dis	st. to Barrier:	30.0 feet		N	oise Soi	urce Flev	ations (in	feet)			
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	30.0 feet			0/30 001	Autos	0 000				
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Medium	Trucks	2 297				
Observer Height (Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heavy	/ Trucks:	8 006	Grade Ad	diustment	t: 0.0	
Pa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet			neary	ridono.	0.000				
Roa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		Li	ane Equ	ivalent D	istance (i	n feet)			
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos:	29.816				
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	S		Medium	n Trucks:	29.518				
	Right View:	90.0 degree	S		Heavy	/ Trucks:	29.547				
FHWA Noise Mode	el Calculation	S									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dista	ance	Finite F	Road	Fresnel	Barrier At	ten Ber	rm Atten	
Autos:	70.20	-7.92		3.26		-1.20	-4.4	9 0.	.000	0.000	
Medium Trucks:	81.00	-22.78		3.33		-1.20	-4.8	6 0.	.000	0.000	
Heavy Trucks:	85.38	-20.57		3.32		-1.20	-5.7	7 0.	.000	0.000	
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (with	out Topo and	barrier	r attenu	ation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	ır Leq Day		Leq Eve	ening	Leq Ni	ght	Ldn	C	NEL	
Autos:	64	.4	63.3		61.9		55.9	64.	.3	64.9	
Medium Trucks:	60	.3	57.2		49.4		58.6	64.	.8	64.8	
Heavy Trucks:	66	.9	63.8		56.0		65.2	71.	.4	71.4	
Vehicle Noise:	69	.4	67.0		63.1		66.5	72.	.9	73.0	
Centerline Distance	e to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)			1		T				
				70 dł	BA	65 dB	A	60 dBA	55	dBA	
			Ldn:	47		101		217	4	167	
		CN	IEL:	48		103		221	4	176	

Scenario: EAC Road Name: Airport Blvd. Road Segment: w/o Palm St. Project Name: Armtec Master Plan Job Number: 15967

SITES	SPECIFIC IN	PUT DATA				NOISE	MODE	LINPUT	S		
Highway Data			-	Site	Conditions	G (Hard	= 10, So	oft = 15)			
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	3,730 vehicles					Autos:	15			
Peak Hour	Percentage:	8.25%			Medium T	rucks (2	2 Axles):	15			
Peak H	our Volume:	308 vehicles			Heavy Tru	ıcks (3-	+ Axles):	15			
Ve	hicle Speed:	45 mph		Veh	icle Mix						
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	71 feet		VCIII	VehicleTvp	е	Dav	Evenina	Niaht	Dailv	
Site Data)	Autos:	77.5%	5 14.0%	10.5%	92.00%	
Bai	rrier Height:	0.0 feet			Medium T	Trucks:	48.0%	2.0%	50.0%	3.00%	
Barrier Type (0-W	all. 1-Berm):	0.0			Heavy T	Trucks:	48.0%	2.0%	50.0%	5.00%	
Centerline Dis	st. to Barrier:	46.0 feet		Nair	- Course F	'le		4)			
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	46.0 feet		NOIS	e Source E	levatic		eet)			
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			AUto	DS: ,	0.000				
Observer Height (Above Pad):	5.0 feet		M	edium Truci	ks:	2.297	Oursels Ast			
Pa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet			Heavy Truci	ks:	8.006	Grade Adj	Justment	. 0.0	
Roa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		Lan	e Equivalen	t Dista	nce (in :	feet)			
	Road Grade:	0.0%			Auto	os: 2	9.677				
	Left View: -90.0 degrees				edium Truci	ks: 2	9.378				
	Right View:	90.0 degrees	S		Heavy Truci	ks: 2	9.407				
FHWA Noise Mode	el Calculations	;									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distai	nce F	inite Road	Fre	snel	Barrier Att	en Ber	m Atten	
Autos:	68.46	-7.32		3.29	-1.20		-4.63	0.0	000	0.000	
Medium Trucks:	79.45	-22.18		3.36	-1.20		-4.87	0.0	000	0.000	
Heavy Trucks:	84.25	-19.97		3.35	-1.20		-5.47	0.0	000	0.000	
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (witho	out Topo and b	oarrier a	attenuati	on)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	r Leq Day	L	eq Eveni	ng Leq	Night		Ldn	Cl	NEL	
Autos:	63.	.2 6	52.2		60.8	54	4.7	63.2	2	63.8	
Medium Trucks:	59.	.4 5	6.3		48.5	57	7.7	63.9	9	63.9	
Heavy Trucks:	66.	.4 6	53.3		55.5	64	4.7	70.9	9	70.9	
Vehicle Noise:	68.	.7 6	6.2		62.1	6	5.9	72.2	2	72.4	
Centerline Distance	e to Noise Co	ntour (in feet)									
				70 dBA	65	dBA	6	60 dBA	55	dBA	
		L	_dn:	65		140		301	6	49	
		CN	IEL:	66		142		307	6	60	
Scenari Road Nam Road Segmer	o: EACP e: Tyler St. nt: s/o Grapefr	uit Blvd.				Project Na Job Nurr	ame: A nber: 1	rmtec 5967	Master Pl	an	
------------------------------------	--	------------------	-----------	--------	----------------	------------------------	-------------------	---------------	--------------	---------	---------
SITE S	SPECIFIC IN	IPUT DATA				NO	ISE M	ODEI	_ INPUTS	S	
Highway Data				S	ite Conc	ditions (Ha	ard = 1	0, So	ft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	2,350 vehicles					A	utos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	8.25%			Mec	lium Truck	ks (2 A)	xles):	15		
Peak H	our Volume:	194 vehicles			Hea	avy Trucks	: (3+ A)	xles):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	50 mph		V	abiala N	liv					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	49 feet		V	Vehic Vehic	leTvpe	Γ	Dav	Evenina	Niaht	Daily
Site Data					101110	Aut	os: 7	7.5%	14.0%	10.5%	92.00%
Bai	rior Hoight:	0.0 feet			Me	dium Truc	ks: 4	8.0%	2.0%	50.0%	3.00%
Barrier Type (0-W	all. 1-Berm):	0.0			Н	leavy Truc	ks: 4	8.0%	2.0%	50.0%	5.00%
Centerline Dis	st. to Barrier:	40.0 feet		N	laisa Sa	uroo Elov	otiono	(in fo	of)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	40.0 feet		/\	0138 30				el)		
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Madium	Autos.	0.0	00			
Observer Height (Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Hoove	TTUCKS.	2.2	97	Grade Adi	ustment	0.0
Pa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet			neavy	TTUCKS.	0.0	00		usunem.	0.0
Roa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		L	ane Equ	ivalent Di	istance	e (in f	eet)		
I	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos:	32.0	12			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	S		Mediun	n Trucks:	31.7	34			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	S		Heavy	/ Trucks:	31.7	61			
FHWA Noise Mode	el Calculation	s									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distan	ice	Finite I	Road	Fresne	el i	Barrier Atte	en Ber	m Atten
Autos:	70.20	-9.78		2.80		-1.20	-	4.59	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	81.00	-24.65		2.86		-1.20	-	4.87	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	85.38	-22.43		2.85		-1.20	-	5.56	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	Levels (with	out Topo and I	oarrier a	ttenu	ation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	ır Leq Day	Le	eq Eve	ening	Leq Nig	ght		Ldn	Cl	VEL
Autos:	62	.0 6	61.0		59.5		53.5		62.0)	62.6
Medium Trucks:	58	.0 5	54.9		47.1		56.3		62.4	ŀ	62.5
Heavy Trucks:	64	.6 6	61.5		53.7		62.9		69.0)	69.1
Vehicle Noise:	67	.1 6	64.7		60.7		64.1		70.6	6	70.7
Centerline Distance	e to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)	I							T	
				70 dl	BA	65 dB.	A	6	0 dBA	55	dBA
		l	_dn:	44		94			202	4	35
		CN	IEL:	44		96			206	4	43

Scenario: EACP Road Name: Tyler St. Road Segment: s/o Avenue 53 Project Name: Armtec Master Plan Job Number: 15967

. tead oogino		,		1						
SITE	SPECIFIC INP	UT DATA				NOISE	MODE	L INPUT	S	
Highway Data				Sit	e Condition	s (Hard	= 10, Sc	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt): 1	,940 vehicles					Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	8.25%			Medium	Trucks (2	2 Axles):	15		
Peak H	lour Volume:	160 vehicles			Heavy T	rucks (3-	+ Axles):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	50 mph		Ve	hicle Mix					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	49 feet			VehicleTy	pe	Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data						Autos:	77.5%	14.0%	10.5%	92.00%
Ba	rrier Heiaht:	0.0 feet			Medium	Trucks:	48.0%	2.0%	50.0%	3.00%
Barrier Type (0-W	/all, 1-Berm):	0.0			Heavy	Trucks:	48.0%	2.0%	50.0%	5.00%
Centerline Di	st. to Barrier:	40.0 feet		No	ise Source	Flevatio	ns (in fe	et)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	40.0 feet			Δ					
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Au Medium Tru	cks:	2 297			
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heavy Tru	cks:	8 006	Grade Ad	iustment	: 0.0
P	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet			noavy na	5/10.	0.000			
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		La	ne Equivale	nt Dista	nce (in i	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%			Au	tos: 3	2.012			
	Left View:	-90.0 degrees	5		Medium Tru	cks: 3	1.734			
	Right View:	90.0 degrees	6		Heavy Tru	cks: 3	1.761			
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculations									
VehicleType	REMEL T	raffic Flow	Distar	nce	Finite Road	Fre	snel	Barrier Atte	en Ber	m Atten
Autos:	70.20	-10.61		2.80	-1.2	0	-4.59	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	81.00	-25.48		2.86	-1.2	0	-4.87	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	85.38	-23.26		2.85	-1.2	0	-5.56	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (withou	t Topo and b	arrier a	attenua	ntion)					
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hour	Leq Day	Le	eq Evel	ning Le	q Night		Ldn	Cl	NEL
Autos:	61.2	6	0.1		58.7	52	2.7	61.2	2	61.8
Medium Trucks:	57.2	5	4.0		46.3	55	5.5	61.6	6	61.6
Heavy Trucks:	63.8	6	0.6		52.8	62	2.1	68.2	2	68.2
Vehicle Noise:	66.3	6	3.9		59.9	63	3.3	69.7	7	69.8
Centerline Distant	ce to Noise Con	tour (in feet)			1					
				70 dB	A 6	5 dBA	6	60 dBA	55	dBA
		L	.dn:	38		83		178	3	83
		CN	EL:	39		84		181	3	90

Scenario Road Name Road Segmen	o: EACP e: Tyler St. t: s/o Armtec	Entrance			Project N Job Nur	ame: Armte nber: 1596	ec Master Pla 7	an
SITE S	SPECIFIC IN	PUT DATA			NO	ISE MOD	EL INPUTS	5
Highway Data				Site Con	ditions (H	ard = 10, S	Soft = 15)	
Average Daily T	Traffic (Adt):	1,450 vehicles				Autos	s: 15	
Peak Hour I	Percentage:	8.25%		Me	dium Truc	ks (2 Axles): 15	
Peak Ho	our Volume:	120 vehicles		He	avy Truck	s (3+ Axles): 15	
Veł	nicle Speed:	50 mph	-	Vahiala	<i>Nix</i>			
Near/Far Lar	ne Distance:	49 feet	-	Venicie i Vehi	icleTvpe	Dav	Evenina	Night Dailv
Site Data					Au	tos: 77.5	% 14.0%	10.5% 92.00%
Bar	rier Heiaht:	0.0 feet		Me	ədium Truc	cks: 48.0	% 2.0%	50.0% 3.00%
Barrier Type (0-Wa	all, 1-Berm):	0.0		ŀ	leavy Truc	cks: 48.0	% 2.0%	50.0% 5.00%
Centerline Dis	t. to Barrier:	40.0 feet	_	Noise So	urce Flev	ations (in	feet)	
Centerline Dist. t	o Observer:	40.0 feet	-	10130 30			ieelj	
Barrier Distance t	o Observer:	0.0 feet		Madiur	n Trucks	2 297		
Observer Height (A	Above Pad):	5.0 feet		Hoav	n Trucks:	8 006	Grade Adi	ustment: 0.0
Pa	d Elevation:	0.0 feet		Tieav	y mucho.	0.000	Crado riaj	
Roa	d Elevation:	0.0 feet		Lane Equ	uivalent D	istance (ir	feet)	
F	Road Grade:	0.0%			Autos:	32.012		
	Left View:	-90.0 degrees		Mediur	m Trucks:	31.734		
	Right View:	90.0 degrees		Heav	y Trucks:	31.761		
FHWA Noise Mode	l Calculations	6						
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow D	Distance	Finite	Road	Fresnel	Barrier Atte	en Berm Atten
Autos:	70.20	-11.88	2.8	30	-1.20	-4.59	9 0.0	000.000
Medium Trucks:	81.00	-26.75	2.8	36	-1.20	-4.87	7 0.0	000.000
Heavy Trucks:	85.38	-24.53	2.8	35	-1.20	-5.56	6 0.0	000 0.000
Unmitigated Noise	Levels (with	out Topo and bar	rier atter	nuation)				
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	r Leq Day	Leq E	vening	Leq Ni	ght	Ldn	CNEL
Autos:	59.	.9 58.9	9	57.5		51.4	59.9	60.5
Medium Trucks:	55.	.9 52.8	3	45.0		54.2	60.4	60.4
Heavy Trucks:	62.	.5 59.4	4	51.6		60.8	66.9	67.0
Vehicle Noise:	65	.0 62.6	6	58.6		62.0	68.5	68.6
Centerline Distance	e to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)		T		1		1
			70	dBA	65 dE	BA	60 dBA	55 dBA
		Ldn	n: 3	32	68		146	315
		CNEL	.: 3	32	69		149	321

Scenari Road Nam Road Segmer	o: EACP e: Palm St. nt: s/o Grapefr	uit Blvd.			Project Na Job Nun	ame: Armteo nber: 15967	c Master Pla	in
SITE S	SPECIFIC IN	IPUT DATA			NO	ISE MODE	L INPUTS	
Highway Data				Site	Conditions (H	ard = 10, So	oft = 15)	
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	2,200 vehicles				Autos:	15	
Peak Hour	Percentage:	8.25%			Medium Truci	ks (2 Axles):	15	
Peak H	our Volume:	182 vehicles			Heavy Trucks	s (3+ Axles):	15	
Vel	hicle Speed:	40 mph		Vet:				
Near/Far Lai	ne Distance:	12 feet		veni	CIE IVIIX	Dav	Evoning	Night Daily
Site Data					venicie гуре Ан	tos: 75.5%	2 14 0%	10.5% 97.42%
Data Data	wie v Heischt.				Medium Truc	cks: 48.9%	5 2.2%	48.9% 1.84%
Barrier Type (0-W	all 1-Borm)				Heavy Truc	cks: 47.3%	5.4%	47.3% 0.74%
Centerline Dis	all, 1-Derrity.	30.0 feet			, , , ,			
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	30.0 feet		NOIS	e Source Elev	ations (in fo	eet)	
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Autos:	0.000		
Observer Height (Above Pad):	5.0 feet		Me	edium Trucks:	2.297	Creada Adi	internet 0.0
Pa	d Elevation:	0.0 feet		F	Heavy Trucks:	8.006	Grade Adju	istment: 0.0
Roa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		Lane	Equivalent D	istance (in	feet)	
F	Road Grade:	0.0%			Autos:	29.816		
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	S	Me	edium Trucks:	29.518		
	Right View:	90.0 degree	S	ŀ	leavy Trucks:	29.547		
FHWA Noise Mode	el Calculation	S						
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distanc	e Fi	nite Road	Fresnel	Barrier Atte	n Berm Atten
Autos:	66.51	-8.85		3.26	-1.20	-4.49	0.00	0.000 00
Medium Trucks:	77.72	-26.09	:	3.33	-1.20	-4.86	0.00	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	82.99	-30.04	:	3.32	-1.20	-5.77	0.00	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	Levels (with	out Topo and k	arrier at	tenuatio	on)			
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	ır Leq Day	Leo	q Evenir	ng Leq Ni	ght	Ldn	CNEL
Autos:	59	.7 5	6.8	5	57.2	51.2	59.6	60.3
Medium Trucks:	53	.8 5	50.7	2	13.2	51.9	58.1	58.2
Heavy Trucks:	55	.1 5	51.9	2	18.5	53.1	59.3	59.4
Vehicle Noise:	61	.8 5	9.9	Ę	57.9	56.9	63.8	64.1
Centerline Distanc	e to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)				I		
			7	70 dBA	65 dB	A C	60 dBA	55 dBA
		L	.dn:	12	25		54	117
		CN	IEL:	12	26		57	122

Scenai Road Nan Road Segme	rio: EACP ne: Grapefruit I ent: w/o Tyler S	Blvd. t.				Projec Job I	t Name: lumber:	Armteo 15967	C Master P	lan	
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	IPUT DATA				ſ	NOISE	MODE	L INPUT	S	
Highway Data				S	ite Con	ditions	(Hard =	= 10, So	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	8,440 vehicles	5					Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	8.25%			Me	dium Ti	rucks (2	Axles):	15		
Peak H	lour Volume:	696 vehicles	5		He	avy Tru	icks (3+	Axles):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	50 mph					•	,			
Near/Far La	ane Distance:	12 feet		V	enicie i Veh	VIIX icleType	9	Dav	Evenina	Niaht	Daily
Site Data					von		Autos:	77.5%	5 14.0%	10.5%	92.00%
Ba	rrier Height:	0.0 feet			М	edium 1	rucks:	48.0%	2.0%	50.0%	3.00%
Barrier Type (0-W	Vall, 1-Berm):	0.0			I	Heavy T	rucks:	48.0%	2.0%	50.0%	5.00%
Centerline Di	ist. to Barrier:	30.0 feet		N	oise So	ource F	levatior	ns (in fe	pet)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	30.0 feet					<u>10141101</u>				
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediu	m Truck	/s· 2	297			
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heav	w Truck	(s [.] 8	.006	Grade Ad	liustment	t: 0.0
P	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet			neur	y nuci		.000	erade rid	jaounom	
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		Li	ane Eq	uivalen	t Distar	nce (in i	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Auto	os: 29	.816			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	es		Mediu	m Trucł	ks: 29	.518			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	es		Heav	/y Trucł	ks: 29	.547			
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculation	S									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dis	tance	Finite	Road	Fres	nel	Barrier Att	en Bei	rm Atten
Autos:	70.20	-4.23		3.26		-1.20		-4.49	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	81.00	-19.10		3.33		-1.20		-4.86	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	85.38	-16.88		3.32		-1.20		-5.77	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Nois	e Levels (with	out Topo and	barrie	er attenu	ation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	ır Leq Day	,	Leq Eve	ening	Leq	Night		Ldn	С	NEL
Autos:	68	8.0	67.0		65.6		59.	5	68.0	C	68.6
Medium Trucks:	.0	60.9		53.1		62.	3	68.	5	68.5	
Heavy Trucks: 70.6					59.7		68.	9	75.′	1	75.1
Vehicle Noise:	73	3.1	70.7		66.8		70.	2	76.0	6	76.7
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)								
				70 dl	BA	65	dBA	e	60 dBA	55	dBA
			Ldn:	82		1	77		382	8	323
		CI	VEL:	84		1	81		389	8	338

Scenario: EACP Road Name: Grapef Road Segment: w/o Pa	ruit Blvd m St.	1.				Project Job Ni	Name: umber:	Armteo 15967	: Master P	lan	
SITE SPECIFI	C INPL	JT DATA				Ν	OISE	MODE	L INPUT	S	
Highway Data				S	ite Con	ditions ((Hard =	= 10, Sc	oft = 15)		
Average Daily Traffic (Ac	<i>t):</i> 6,9	980 vehicles						Autos:	15		
Peak Hour Percentag	ie: 8	.25%			Me	dium Tru	ıcks (2	Axles):	15		
Peak Hour Volum	e: 5	576 vehicles			He	avy Truc	:ks (3+	Axles):	15		
Vehicle Spee	d:	50 mph		V	(ahiala	Mix					
Near/Far Lane Distand	e:	12 feet		V	Veh	icleTvpe		Dav	Evenina	Niaht	Daily
Site Data						<u>ене гуре</u> А	lutos:	77.5%	14.0%	10.5%	92.00%
Barrier Heid	nt.	0 0 feet			М	edium Tr	ucks:	48.0%	2.0%	50.0%	3.00%
Barrier Type (0-Wall, 1-Berr	n):	0.0				Heavy Tr	ucks:	48.0%	2.0%	50.0%	5.00%
Centerline Dist. to Barri	ər: (30.0 feet		•	laisa Su		ovetion	ns (in fa	of)		
Centerline Dist. to Observ	ər: :	30.0 feet		~	0136 00						
Barrier Distance to Observ	ər:	0.0 feet			Modiu	n Trucks	s. 0 s. 2	207			
Observer Height (Above Pa	Dbserver Height (Above Pad): 5.0 feet							006	Grade Ad	liustmen	t [.] 0.0
Pad Elevation	Pad Elevation: 0.0 feet							.000	Crado / la	juotinom	0.0
Road Elevation	on:	0.0 feet		L	ane Eq	uivalent	Distan	ce (in f	feet)		
Road Grad	le:	0.0%				Autos	s: 29	.816			
Left Vie	w: -9	90.0 degree	s		Mediu	m Trucks	s: 29	.518			
Right Vie	W: 9	90.0 degree	S		Hear	vy Trucks	s: 29	.547			
FHWA Noise Model Calcula	tions										
VehicleType REMEL	. Tr	affic Flow	Dis	stance	Finite	Road	Fres	nel	Barrier Att	en Bei	rm Atten
Autos: 70	0.20	-5.05		3.26	;	-1.20		-4.49	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks: 8 [°]	.00	-19.92		3.33	5	-1.20		-4.86	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks: 8	5.38	-17.70		3.32		-1.20		-5.77	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise Levels (vithout	Topo and I	barrie	er atteni	uation)						
VehicleType Leq Peak	Hour	Leq Day		Leq Ev	ening	Leq I	Night		Ldn	С	NEL
Autos:	Autos: 67.2 66						58.	7	67.2	2	67.8
Medium Trucks:	63.2	6	50.1		52.3 61.5 67.6				67.7		
Heavy Trucks:	69.8	6	6.7		58.9 68.1 74.2					74.3	
Vehicle Noise:	72.3	6	69.9		65.9		69.	3	75.7	7	75.9
Centerline Distance to Nois	e Conto	our (in feet)									
				70 d	BA	65 c	dBA	6	0 dBA	55	dBA
			Ldn:	72	2	15	56		336	7	725
		CN	IEL:	74	ŀ	15	59		343	7	739

Scenario Road Name Road Segmen	o: EACP e: Grapefruit E it: e/o Palm St	Blvd. t.				Project Na Job Num	<i>me:</i> Armte ber: 15967	c Master Pla	an	
SITE S	SPECIFIC IN	IPUT DATA				NOI	SE MODE	L INPUTS	5	
Highway Data				S	ite Con	ditions (Ha	nrd = 10, Se	oft = 15)		
Average Daily 1	Traffic (Adt):	3,620 vehicle	s				Autos:	15		
Peak Hour I	Percentage:	8.25%			Med	dium Truck	s (2 Axles).	15		
Peak Ho	our Volume:	299 vehicle	s		Hea	avy Trucks	(3+ Axles).	15		
Veh	nicle Speed:	50 mph		V	abiala A	<i>Aix</i>				
Near/Far Lar	ne Distance:	12 feet		V	Vehi	leTvpe	Dav	Evenina	Niaht	Daily
Site Data					VOIII	Auto	os: 77.5%	6 14.0%	10.5%	92.00%
Bar	rier Height:	0.0 feet			Me	dium Truci	ks: 48.0%	<i>а</i> 2.0%	50.0%	3.00%
Barrier Type (0-Wa	all. 1-Berm):	0.0			H	leavy Truci	ks: 48.0%	<i>2.0%</i>	50.0%	5.00%
Centerline Dis	t. to Barrier:	30.0 feet		N	loise So	urce Fleva	tions (in f	eet)		
Centerline Dist. t	o Observer:	30.0 feet			0130 00	Autos:				
Barrier Distance t	o Observer:	0.0 feet			Madiur	n Trucks:	2 297			
Observer Height (A	Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heav	v Trucks:	8 006	Grade Adi	ustment	· 0.0
Pa	d Elevation:	0.0 feet			neav.	y mucho.	0.000	erade raj		0.0
Roa	Road Elevation: 0.0 fee			L	ane Equ	ivalent Di	stance (in	feet)		
F	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos:	29.816			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	es		Mediur	n Trucks:	29.518			
	Right View:	90.0 degre	es		Heav	y Trucks:	29.547			
FHWA Noise Mode	l Calculation	S								
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dist	tance	Finite	Road I	Fresnel	Barrier Atte	en Berl	m Atten
Autos:	70.20	-7.91		3.26		-1.20	-4.49	0.0	00	0.000
Medium Trucks:	81.00	-22.77		3.33		-1.20	-4.86	0.0	00	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	85.38	-20.55		3.32		-1.20	-5.77	0.0	00	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	Levels (with	out Topo and	barrie	r attenu	uation)					
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hol	ir Leq Day	/	Leq Ev	ening	Leq Nig	ht	Ldn	Cl	VEL
Autos:	64	.4	63.3		61.9		55.9	64.3		65.0
Medium Trucks:	60	.4	57.2		49.4		58.6	64.8		64.8
Heavy Trucks:	66	.9	63.8		56.0		65.2	71.4		71.4
Vehicle Noise:	69	.4	67.0		63.1		66.5	72.9		73.0
Centerline Distance	e to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)				T			
				70 di	BA	65 dBA	A (60 dBA	55	dBA
			Ldn:	47		101		217	4	68
		C	NEL:	48		103		221	4	77

Scenario: EACP Road Name: Airport Blvd. Road Segment: w/o Palm St. Project Name: Armtec Master Plan Job Number: 15967

SITES	SPECIFIC INP	UT DATA			NOIS	E MODE	L INPUTS	S	
Highway Data				Site Condi	tions (Har	d = 10, So	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt): 3	3,770 vehicles				Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	8.25%		Media	um Trucks	(2 Axles):	15		
Peak H	lour Volume:	311 vehicles		Heav	y Trucks (3+ Axles):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	45 mph	-	Vehicle Mi	v				
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	71 feet	_	Vehicl	• •Tvne	Dav	Evenina	Niaht	Daily
Site Data				Verner	Δυτο	277 5%	14.0%	10.5%	92 00%
				Med	ium Trucks	· 48.0%	2.0%	50.0%	3.00%
Bai	rrier Height:	0.0 feet		He	avv Trucks	2 48.0%	2.0%	50.0%	5.00%
Barrier Type (U-W	all, 1-Berm):	0.0		110		. 40.070	2.070	50.070	0.0070
Centerline Dis	st. to Barrier:	46.0 feet	1	Noise Sou	rce Elevat	ions (in fe	eet)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	46.0 feet			Autos:	0.000			
Barner Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet		Medium	Trucks:	2.297			
	ADOVE Pau).	5.0 feet		Heavy	Trucks:	8.006	Grade Adj	ustment:	0.0
Pa	ad Elevation.	0.0 feet		ano Fauis	alont Dis	ance (in s	foot)		
Rua	au Elevalion. Bood Crodo:		-	Lane Lyun		20 677			
1	Koad Grade.	0.0%		Modium	Aulos. Trucks:	29.077			
	Leit View.	-90.0 degrees		Норуи	Trucks: Trucks:	29.370			
	Right view.	90.0 degrees		Tieavy	TTUCKS.	29.407			
FHWA Noise Mode	el Calculations								
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow D	istance	Finite R	oad Fi	resnel	Barrier Atte	en Berr	n Atten
Autos:	68.46	-7.27	3.29	9.	-1.20	-4.63	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	79.45	-22.14	3.30	6.	1.20	-4.87	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	84.25	-19.92	3.3	5.	1.20	-5.47	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (withou	it Topo and barr	rier atten	uation)					
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hour	Leq Day	Leq Ev	vening	Leq Nigh	t	Ldn	CN	JEL
Autos:	63.3	62.2		60.8	:	54.8	63.3	3	63.9
Medium Trucks:	59.5	56.3	5	48.5	:	57.8	63.9)	63.9
Heavy Trucks:	66.5	63.3	6	55.6		64.8	70.9)	71.0
Vehicle Noise:	68.7	66.3	}	62.1		65.9	72.3	}	72.4
Centerline Distance	e to Noise Con	tour (in feet)							
			70 c	dBA	65 dBA	E	60 dBA	55	dBA
		Ldn.	: 6	5	141		303	6	54
		CNEL	6	7	143		309	60	35

APPENDIX 10.1:

OPERATIONAL NOISE CALCULATIONS



This page intentionally left blank

15967 - ARMTEC Master Plan

CadnaA Noise Prediction Model: 15967-02_Operation.cna Date: 18.07.24 Analyst: B. Maddux

Calculation Configuration

Configuration Parameter Value											
Parameter	Value										
General											
Max. Error (dB)	0.00										
Max. Search Radius (#(Unit,LEN))	2000.01										
Min. Dist Src to Rcvr	0.00										
Partition											
Raster Factor	0.50										
Max. Length of Section (#(Unit,LEN))	999.99										
Min. Length of Section (#(Unit,LEN))	1.01										
Min. Length of Section (%)	0.00										
Proj. Line Sources	On										
Proj. Area Sources	On										
Ref. Time											
Daytime Penalty (dB)	0.00										
Recr. Time Penalty (dB)	5.00										
Night-time Penalty (dB)	10.00										
DTM											
Standard Height (m)	0.00										
Model of Terrain	Triangulation										
Reflection											
max. Order of Reflection	2										
Search Radius Src	100.00										
Search Radius Rcvr	100.00										
Max. Distance Source - Rcvr	1000.00 1000.00										
Min. Distance Rvcr - Reflector	1.00 1.00										
Min. Distance Source - Reflector	0.10										
Industrial (ISO 9613)											
Lateral Diffraction	some Obj										
Obst. within Area Src do not shield	On										
Screening	Incl. Ground Att. over Barrier										
	Dz with limit (20/25)										
Barrier Coefficients C1,2,3	3.0 20.0 0.0										
Temperature (#(Unit,TEMP))	10										
rel. Humidity (%)	70										
Ground Absorption G	0.50										
Wind Speed for Dir. (#(Unit,SPEED))	3.0										
Roads (TNM)											
Railways (FTA/FRA)											
Aircraft (???)											
Strictly acc. to AzB											

Receiver Noise Levels

Name	М.	ID		Level Lr		Limit. Value				Land	l Use	Height	C	oordinates	
			Day	Night	CNEL	Day	Night	CNEL	Туре	Auto	Noise Type		х	Y	Z
			(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)				(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)
R1		R1	49.4	17.1	46.4	0.0	0.0	0.0		х	Total	5.00 r	6585073.32	2184562.33	5.00
R2		R2	41.9	19.3	39.1	0.0	0.0	0.0		х	Total	5.00 r	6586635.42	2182498.12	5.00
R3		R3	45.2	34.4	44.3	0.0	0.0	0.0		x	Total	5.00 r	6587815.97	2184101.16	5.00
R4		R4	47.3	37.2	46.7	0.0	0.0	0.0		x	Total	5.00 r	6587831.34	2184343.17	5.00
R5		R5	47.8	21.8	44.8	0.0	0.0	0.0		x	Total	5.00 r	6586989.15	2185845.43	5.00

Point Source(s)

Name	М.	ID	R	esult. PW	Ľ		Lw/L	i	Ор	erating Ti	ime	Height		Coordinates			
			Day	Evening	Night	Туре	Value norm.		Day	Special	Night			Х	Y	Z	
			(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)			dB(A)	(min)	(min)	(min)	(ft)		(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	
AC1		AC1	88.9	88.9	88.9	Lw	88.9		585.00	0.00	252.00	5.00	g	6587488.39	2184737.82	25.00	
AC2		AC2	88.9	88.9	88.9	Lw	88.9		585.00	0.00	252.00	5.00	g	6587574.68	2184369.07	25.00	
AC3		AC3	88.9	88.9	88.9	Lw	88.9		585.00	0.00	252.00	5.00	g	6587574.68	2184342.68	25.00	
AC4		AC4	88.9	88.9	88.9	Lw	88.9		585.00	0.00	252.00	5.00	g	6587574.68	2184315.60	25.00	

Line Source(s)

Name	М.	ID	R	esult. PW	/L	R	esult. PW	Ľ	Lw / Li			Operating Time				Moving	Pt. Src		Heig	ht
			Day	Evening	Night	Day	Evening	Night	Туре	Value	norm.	Day	Special	Night		Number		Speed		
			(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)			dB(A)	(min)	(min)	(min)	Day	Evening	Night	(mph)	(ft)	\square

Name	ID	F	lei	ght		Coordir	at	es		
		Begin		End	x	У		z	Ground	
		(ft)		(ft)	(ft)	(ft)		(ft)	(ft)	

Area Source(s)

Name	М.	ID	R	esult. PW	'L	Re	esult. PW	L''		Lw/L	i	Ope	erating Ti	me	Height	t
			Day	Evening	Night	Day	Evening	Night	Туре	Value	norm.	Day	Special	Night	(ft)	
			(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)			dB(A)	(min)	(min)	(min)		
LOAD1		LOAD1	115.8	103.7	103.7	78.3	66.2	66.2	Lw	103.7		900.00	0.00	0.00	8	а

Name	ID	ŀ	lei	ght		Coordinat	es	
		Begin		End	x	У	z	Ground
		(ft)		(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)
LOAD1	LOAD1	8.00	а		6586539.39	2185434.87	8.00	0.00
					6586981.23	2185438.34	8.00	0.00
					6586980.36	2185301.19	8.00	0.00
					6586537.66	2185298.58	8.00	0.00

Barrier(s)

Name	Sel.	М.	ID	Abso	rption	Z-Ext.	Canti	ilever	Hei	ght		Coordinat	es	
				left	right		horz.	vert.	Begin	End	х	у	z	Ground
						(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)

Building(s)

Name	Sel.	М.	ID	RB	Residents	Absorption	Height			Coordinat	es	
							Begin		х	У	z	Ground
							(ft)		(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)
BUILDING			BUILDING00001	x	0		20.00	а	6586673.71	2185548.42	20.00	0.00
									6586983.61	2185551.89	20.00	0.00
									6586982.74	2185445.12	20.00	0.00
									6586671.11	2185442.52	20.00	0.00
BUILDING			BUILDING00002	x	0		20.00	a	6586585.17	2184941.65	20.00	0.00
									6586632.91	2184943.38	20.00	0.00
									6586632.91	2184899.11	20.00	0.00
									6586581.70	2184899.11	20.00	0.00
BUILDING			BUILDING00003	x	0		20.00	a	6586735.35	2184942.52	20.00	0.00
									6586786.56	2184944.25	20.00	0.00
									6586786.56	2184899.98	20.00	0.00
									6586734.48	2184898.25	20.00	0.00
BUILDING			BUILDING00004	×	0		20.00	a	6586731.00	2184472 03	20.00	0.00
DOILDING			5012511000001	<u> </u>			20.00	Ŭ	6586830.83	2184474 63	20.00	0.00
		-		-					6586831 70	2184339 22	20.00	0.00
				-					6586729.27	218/339 22	20.00	0.00
		-			0		20.00	2	6587305 30	2184093 34	20.00	0.00
DOILDING		-	DOILDING00003	<u> </u>	0		20.00	a	6587637.06	218/095 /2	20.00	0.00
									6597637.00	2104055.42	20.00	0.00
		-		<u> </u>					658/63/.06	2183993.34	20.00	0.00
		<u> </u>			0		20.00		6587394.70	2183990.56	20.00	0.00
BUILDING			BUILDINGUUUU6	×	0		20.00	a	658/522.48	2184457.92	20.00	0.00
		<u> </u>		<u> </u>					6587630.81	2184458.62	20.00	0.00
		<u> </u>		<u> </u>					6587629.42	2184222.51	20.00	0.00
									6587520.39	2184217.65	20.00	0.00
BUILDING			BUILDING00007	x	0		20.00	а	6587456.09	2184753.62	20.00	0.00
									6587514.42	2184756.40	20.00	0.00
									6587514.42	2184721.67	20.00	0.00
									6587455.39	2184721.67	20.00	0.00
BUILDING			BUILDING00008	x	0		20.00	а	6587409.40	2185126.71	20.00	0.00
									6587494.47	2185121.50	20.00	0.00
									6587497.94	2185085.04	20.00	0.00
									6587411.14	2185078.10	20.00	0.00
BUILDING			BUILDING00009	x	0		20.00	а	6587199.33	2185119.76	20.00	0.00
									6587374.68	2185121.50	20.00	0.00
									6587374.68	2184999.97	20.00	0.00
									6587308.71	2185005.18	20.00	0.00
									6587308.71	2185034.70	20.00	0.00
									6587201.07	2185038.17	20.00	0.00
BUILDING			BUILDING00010	x	0		20.00	a	6587270.51	2184927.06	20.00	0.00
									6587381.62	2184925.32	20.00	0.00
									6587379.89	2184828.10	20.00	0.00
									6587301.76	2184831.57	20.00	0.00
									6587305.23	2184864.56	20.00	0.00
								H	6587275.72	2184864.56	20.00	0.00
									6587270.51	2184829.83	20.00	0.00
									6587242,73	2184829,83	20.00	0.00
								H	6587239.26	2184906.22	20.00	0.00
	-	-		-					6587270 51	2184909 70	20.00	0.00
	-		BUILDING00011	1 v	0		20.00	2	6587414 61	2184890 60	20.00	0.00
DOILDING	-		DOLDINGOODII	⊢^			20.00	a	6587472 64	2184804 07	20.00	0.00
	-	-		-				\vdash	6587/77 11	2104054.07	20.00	0.00
	-	-		-				\vdash	030/4//.11	2104030.07	20.00	0.00
	-	<u> </u>		1	-		20.00		058/412.8/	2184848.93	20.00	0.00
BUILDING	-	-	BUILDING00012	×	0		20.00	a	058/135.09	2185107.61	20.00	0.00
									058/136.83	21850/4.63	20.00	0.00
1	1	1	1	1	1				6587089.96	2185072.89	20.00	0.00

Name	Sel.	М.	ID	RB	Residents	Absorption	Height	t		Coordinat	es	
							Begin		х	У	z	Ground
							(ft)		(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)
									6587084.75	2185093.72	20.00	0.00
									6587107.32	2185095.46	20.00	0.00
									6587110.79	2185105.88	20.00	0.00
BUILDING			BUILDING00013	x	0		20.00	a	6587140.30	2185020.81	20.00	0.00
									6587147.25	2184854.14	20.00	0.00
		-							6587072 59	2184850 67	20.00	0.00
									6587072.55	2184830.07	20.00	0.00
		-							6587065.65	2184930.55	20.00	0.00
		<u> </u>							6587095.16	2184932.26	20.00	0.00
									6587096.90	2185022.54	20.00	0.00
BUILDING			BUILDING00014	х	0		20.00	а	6586603.84	2184803.79	20.00	0.00
									6586629.89	2184807.26	20.00	0.00
									6586628.15	2184776.01	20.00	0.00
									6586600.37	2184774.28	20.00	0.00
BUILDING			BUILDING00015	x	0		20.00	a	6586765.30	2184809.00	20.00	0.00
									6586791 34	2184810 74	20.00	0.00
									6586704.82	2184781 22	20.00	0.00
		-							6506754.02	2104701.22	20.00	0.00
									0560705.30	2184779.49	20.00	0.00
BUILDING			BUILDING00016	х	0		20.00	а	6586758.36	2184642.33	20.00	0.00
									6586789.61	2184649.28	20.00	0.00
									6586787.87	2184609.35	20.00	0.00
									6586760.09	2184609.35	20.00	0.00
BUILDING			BUILDING00017	х	0		20.00	a	6586596.90	2184645.81	20.00	0.00
									6586622.94	2184649.28	20.00	0.00
									6586624.68	2184614.56	20.00	0.00
		-							6586595 16	2184611 02	20.00	0.00
	-	-					20.00		6506624 62	2104011.00	20.00	0.00
вUILDING	-	-	BUILDING00018	x	0		20.00	a	0580031.62	21841/1.85	20.00	0.00
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>							6586692.39	2184178.79	20.00	0.00
									6586694.12	2184126.71	20.00	0.00
									6586635.09	2184126.71	20.00	0.00
BUILDING			BUILDING00019	х	0		20.00	a	6586860.79	2184180.53	20.00	0.00
									6586916.34	2184180.53	20.00	0.00
									6586914.61	2184126.71	20.00	0.00
									6586860 79	2184131 92	20.00	0.00
		-			0		20.00		6500000.75	2104131.52	20.00	0.00
BUILDING		-	BUILDINGUUU2U	x	0		20.00	d	6587078.07	2184272.54	20.00	0.00
		<u> </u>							658/112.53	2184270.81	20.00	0.00
									6587112.53	2184225.67	20.00	0.00
									6587072.59	2184225.67	20.00	0.00
BUILDING			BUILDING00021	х	0		20.00	а	6587084.75	2184157.96	20.00	0.00
									6587185.44	2184152.75	20.00	0.00
									6587187.18	2184086.78	20.00	0.00
									6587086.48	2184086.78	20.00	0.00
BUII DING			BUILDING00022	x	0		20.00	a	6587247 94	2183940 95	20.00	0.00
DOILDING			DOILDINGCOOLL	~			20.00	ŭ	6507217.51	21000 10:00	20.00	0.00
		-		-					0387244.47	2184074.03	20.00	0.00
		<u> </u>							6567266.14	2184072.89	20.00	0.00
									6587284.40	2183940.95	20.00	0.00
BUILDING			BUILDING00023	х	0		20.00	а	6587223.64	2184178.79	20.00	0.00
									6587260.09	2184178.79	20.00	0.00
									6587267.04	2184156.22	20.00	0.00
									6587237.53	2184157.96	20.00	0.00
								Π	6587237.53	2184145.81	20.00	0.00
					1			Π	6587223.64	2184145.81	20.00	0.00
		<u> </u>	BUILDING00024	x	n		20.00	2	6587527 46	2184171 85	20.00	0.00
20.001110	-	-	20.2011000024	Ê	0		20.00	-	65875527.40	218/175 22	20.00	0.00
	-	-						\vdash	6507556.00	2104173.32	20.00	0.00
	-	-						$\left \right $	0507500.97	2104137.13	20.00	0.00
		<u> </u>							6587530.93	2184131.92	20.00	0.00
BUILDING			BUILDING00025	х	0		20.00	a	6587600.37	2184171.85	20.00	0.00
									6587624.68	2184171.85	20.00	0.00
									6587626.41	2184133.65	20.00	0.00
								Π	6587598.64	2184137.13	20.00	0.00
BUILDING			BUILDING00026	x	0		20.00	a	6587287.87	2184446.15	20.00	0.00
				Ľ.				Ħ	6587404 19	2184439 21	20.00	0.00
		-							6587/11 1/	2184277 75	20.00	0.00
	-	-		-				\square	6507204.02	21042/1./5	20.00	0.00
DUIL DUIL	-	-	DUU DUUGGGGG	-	-			\vdash	0307294.82	2104202.90	20.00	0.00
BUILDING		<u> </u>	BUILDING00027	x	0		20.00	a	058/428.50	2184496.50	20.00	0.00
									6587291.34	2184494.76	20.00	0.00
									6587277.46	2184611.08	20.00	0.00
									6587192.39	2184626.71	20.00	0.00
								Π	6587187.18	2184708.31	20.00	0.00
									6587291.34	2184704.83	20.00	0.00
					1			\square	6587286 14	2184746 50	20.00	0.00
	-	-							6587296 07	218/1755 10	20.00	0.00
	-	-		-				$\left \right $	6507200 22	2104/00.10	20.00	0.00
	-	<u> </u>							058/390.30	2184654.49	20.00	0.00
		<u> </u>							6587421.55	2184654.49	20.00	0.00
BUILDING			BUILDING00028	х	0		20.00	a	6587018.78	2184673.58	20.00	0.00
									6587055.23	2184673.58	20.00	0.00
		L						[]	6587050.03	2184612.82	20.00	0.00
								Π	6587013.57	2184616.29	20.00	0.00

Ground Absorption(s)

				-	•••		
Name	Sel.	М.	ID	G	Coord	inates	
					х	У	
					(ft)	(ft)	

Contour(s)

Name	Sel.	М.	ID	OnlyPts	Hei	ght	C	oordinates	
					Begin	End	х	у	z
					(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)

Vertical Area Source(s)

Name	ID	He	ight		Coordinat	es	
		Begin	End	x	У	z	Ground
		(ft)	End (ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)

Rail

Name	Sel.	М.	ID	L	v'	Train Class	Correct.	Vmax
				Day	Night		Track	
				(dBA)	(dBA)		(dB)	(km(mph)

Sound Level Spectra

Name	ID	Туре		Oktave Spectrum (dB)											Source
			Weight.	31.5	63	125	250	500	1000	2000	4000	8000	Α	lin	

Roads

Name	e Se	I. I	M.	ID		Lme		Cour	nt Data		e	xact Cou	nt Data			Speed	l Limit	SCS	Surf	ace	Gradient	Mul	t. Reflec	tion
					Day	Evening	Night	DTV	Str.class.		м			p (%)		Auto	Truck	Dist.	Dstro	Туре		Drefl	Hbuild	Dist.
					(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)			Day	Day Evening Night		Day	Evening	Night	(mph)	(mph)		(dB)		(%)	(dB)	(ft)	(ft)

RoadsGeo

Name	ŀ	lei	ight		Coordinat	es	-	Dist	LSlope
	Begin		End	х	У	z	Ground	(ft)	(%)
	(ft)		(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)		

APPENDIX 11.1:

CONSTRUCTION NOISE LEVEL CALCULATIONS

This page intentionally left blank



15967 - ARMTEC Master Plan

CadnaA Noise Prediction Model: 15967-02_Construction.cna Date: 18.07.24 Analyst: B. Maddux

Calculation Configuration

Configurat	ion
Parameter	Value
General	
Max. Error (dB)	0.00
Max. Search Radius (#(Unit,LEN))	2000.01
Min. Dist Src to Rcvr	0.00
Partition	
Raster Factor	0.50
Max. Length of Section (#(Unit,LEN))	999.99
Min. Length of Section (#(Unit,LEN))	1.01
Min. Length of Section (%)	0.00
Proj. Line Sources	On
Proj. Area Sources	On
Ref. Time	
Daytime Penalty (dB)	0.00
Recr. Time Penalty (dB)	5.00
Night-time Penalty (dB)	10.00
DTM	
Standard Height (m)	0.00
Model of Terrain	Triangulation
Reflection	
max. Order of Reflection	2
Search Radius Src	100.00
Search Radius Rcvr	100.00
Max. Distance Source - Rcvr	1000.00 1000.00
Min. Distance Rvcr - Reflector	1.00 1.00
Min. Distance Source - Reflector	0.10
Industrial (ISO 9613)	
Lateral Diffraction	some Obj
Obst. within Area Src do not shield	On
Screening	Incl. Ground Att. over Barrier
	Dz with limit (20/25)
Barrier Coefficients C1,2,3	3.0 20.0 0.0
Temperature (#(Unit,TEMP))	10
rel. Humidity (%)	70
Ground Absorption G	0.50
Wind Speed for Dir. (#(Unit,SPEED))	3.0
Roads (TNM)	
Railways (FTA/FRA)	
Aircraft (???)	
Strictly acc. to AzB	

Receiver Noise Levels

Name	М.	ID		Level Lr		Lii	mit. Val	ue		Lanc	l Use	Height		C	oordinates	
			Day	Night	CNEL	Day	Night	CNEL	Туре	Auto	Noise Type			Х	Y	Z
			(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)				(ft)		(ft)	(ft)	(ft)
R1		R1	48.6	-51.4	45.6	0.0	0.0	0.0		x	Total	5.00	r	6585073.32	2184562.33	5.00
R2		R2	47.5	-52.5	44.5	0.0	0.0	0.0		x	Total	5.00	r	6586635.42	2182498.12	5.00
R3		R3	58.1	-41.9	55.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		x	Total	5.00	r	6587815.97	2184101.16	5.00
R4		R4	58.3	-41.7	55.3	0.0	0.0	0.0		x	Total	5.00	r	6587831.34	2184343.17	5.00
R5		R5	58.6	-41.4	55.5	0.0	0.0	0.0		x	Total	5.00	r	6586989.15	2185845.43	5.00

Point Source(s)

Name	М.	ID	R	esult. PW	/L		Lw/L	i	Op	erating T	ime	Height	t	C	oordinates	
			Day	Evening	Night	Туре	Value	norm.	Day	Special	Night			Х	Y	Z
			(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)			dB(A)	(min)	(min)	(min)	(ft)		(ft)	(ft)	(ft)

Line Source(s)

Name	M.	ID	R	lesult. PW	'L	R	esult. PW	L'		Lw/L	i	Op	erating Ti	ime		Moving	Pt. Src		Heig	ht
			Day	Evening	Night	Day	Evening	Night	Туре	Value	norm.	Day	Special	Night		Number		Speed		
			(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)			dB(A)	(min)	(min)	(min)	Day	Evening	Night	(mph)	(ft)	
Name	וחו		Hei	aht			Coor	dinates												

Name	טון	пе	igni		Coorumat	.es	
		Begin	End	x	У	z	Ground
		(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)

Area Source(s)

Name	М.	ID	R	esult. PW	'L	Re	esult. PW	L''	I	Lw / Li		Op	erating Ti	me	Height	
			Day	Evening	Night	Day	Evening	Night	Туре	Value	norm.	Day	Special	Night	(ft)	
			(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)			dB(A)	(min)	(min)	(min)		
Construction1		Construction1	116.6	16.6	16.6	63.2	-36.8	-36.8	PWL-Pt	116.6					8	а

Name	ID	Height					Coordinat	es	
		Begin		End		х	У	z	Ground
		(ft)		(ft)		(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)
Construction1	Construction1	8.00	а			6586454.60	2185732.41	8.00	0.00
						6587700.61	2185758.78	8.00	0.00
						6587724.56	2185735.63	8.00	0.00
						6587722.64	2185247.07	8.00	0.00
						6587722.52	2185177.94	8.00	0.00
						6587714.64	2183918.24	8.00	0.00
						6586425.54	2183897.12	8.00	0.00

Barrier(s)

-	-	·-/												
Name	Sel.	М.	ID	Abso	rption	Z-Ext.	Canti	ilever	Hei	ght		Coordinat	es	
				left	right		horz.	vert.	Begin	End	х	У	z	Ground
						(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)

Building(s)

-		<u> </u>		_										
Name	Sel.	М.	ID	RB	Residents	Absorption	Height	Coordinates						
							Begin	х	У	z	Ground			
							(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)			

Ground Absorption(s)

				-	•••	
Name	Sel.	М.	ID	G	Coord	inates
					х	У
					(ft)	(ft)

Contour(s)

		-	-						
Name	Sel.	М.	ID	OnlyPts	Hei	ight	C	oordinates	-
					Begin End		х	у	z
					(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)

Vertical Area Source(s)

Name	ID	Н	eight	Coordinates							
		Begin	End	x	У	z	Ground				
		(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)				

Rail

Nan	ne	Sel.	М.	ID	L	v'	Train Class	Correct.	Vmax
					Day	Night		Track	
					(dBA)	(dBA)		(dB)	(km(mph)

Sound Level Spectra

Name	ID	Туре				Oktave Spectrum (dB)								Source	
			Weight.	31.5	63	125	250	500	1000	2000	4000	8000	А	lin	

Roads

Name	e Se	el.	М.	ID		Lme		Cour	nt Data		e	nt Data		Speed Limit		Speed Limit SCS		ace	Gradient	Mult	. Reflec	tion		
					Day	Evening	Night	DTV	Str.class.		М			p (%)		Auto	Truck	Dist.	Dstro	Туре		Drefl	Hbuild	Dist.
					(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)			Day	Evening	Night	Day	Evening	Night	(mph)	(mph)		(dB)		(%)	(dB)	(ft)	(ft)

RoadsGeo

Name	ŀ	lei	ight			Dist	LSlope			
	Begin	in End		End x		У	z	Ground	(ft)	(%)
	(ft)		(ft)		(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)		

Coachella, CA Code of Ordinances

"Noise level" means the same as "sound level." The terms may be used interchangeably herein.

"Peace officer" means a duly appointed officer of the city, as defined in California Penal Code, Sections 830, et seq.

"Person" means a person, firm, association, copartnership, joint venture, corporation or any entity, public or private in nature.

"Portable powered blower" means any mechanically powered device, regardless of the source of power, which is not stationary, and used for the purpose of blowing leaves, dirt or other debris off sidewalks, lawns or other surfaces.

"Premises" means any real property or location at which a gathering may be held.

"Sound level" (noise level) in decibels is the quantity measured using the frequency weighting of A of a sound level meter as defined herein.

"Sound level meter" means an instrument meeting American National Standard Institute's Standard SL. 4-1974 for type 1 or type 2 sound level meters or an instrument and the associated recording and analyzing equipment which will provide equivalent data.

(Ord. No. 1022, § 1, 11-17-10; Ord. No. 1024, 11-17-10)

7.04.030 - Sound level limits as related to fixed noise sources.

A. Regardless of whether an objective measurement by sound level meter is involved, it shall be unlawful for any person to make, continue, or cause to be made or continued, within the city limits any disturbing excessive or offensive noise or vibration which causes discomfort or annoyance to any reasonable person of normal sensitivity residing in the area or that is plainly audible at a distance greater than fifty (50) feet from the sources point for any purpose. The following ten-minute average sound level limits, unless otherwise specifically indicated, shall apply as indicated in the following table as it relates to a fixed noise source or leaf blowers pursuant to <u>Section 7.04.075</u>.

Zone	Time	Applicable Ten-Minute Average Decibel Limit (A- weighted)
Residential—All zones	6:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m. 10:00 p.m. to 6:00 a.m.	55 45

67