## **EXHIBIT D**

HYDROLOGIC ANALYSIS PHILLIPS-KELHAM TRUST VINEYARD PARTNERS PROPOSED NEW VINEYARD DEVELOPMENT AND RE-PLANT ST. HELENA HIGHWAY YOUNTVILLE, CA APN 027-490-006 Revised September 17, 2019

The following analysis evaluates a proposed, approximately 13.8-acre vineyard development, located southwest of State Highway 29, north of the Town of Yountville, California, to determine the proposal's potential effects on runoff and/or peak storm flow. This analysis was prepared by David Steiner, CPESC, CPSWQ, at the request of, and in consultation with, Mr. Mike Muelrath, PE, of Applied Civil Engineering. The analysis employs the basic methodology of USDA Technical Release 55, as modeled in Version 1.00.10 of WinTR55 "Small Watershed Hydrology", a Windows-based application. The reader's attention is drawn to accompanying printouts of the analysis—both pre-project and post-project—including storm data, curve number analyses, times of concentration, and summaries of hydrograph peaks and peak times. The accompanying maps were drawn on an aerial/topographic base map provided by Applied Civil Engineering.

It would be possible to draw a single watershed encompassing the entire, proposed development. However, this hypothetical watershed—comprising the over 300-acre drainage of the blueline stream passing through the property—might be large enough to "buffer" or mask the hydrologic impacts of the relatively small proposal. To minimize this potential, this analysis is based on smaller, discrete drainage basins, deliberately drawn to isolate the project's components in their more immediate hydrologic context. The intent of this approach is to optimize the integrity of the pre-project vs. post-project comparisons.

Most of the project is encompassed by an approximately 32.4 acre watershed that drains to an unnamed blueline stream that flows northward to the Napa River, across an alluvial plain dominated by vineyards, west of Highway 29. This watershed (AB) is divided into two subwatersheds, A and B. The former drains directly into the blueline stream; the latter drains to an existing, .7-acre pond, whose overflow also drains, via an 18-inch pipe and wooden flume, into the blueline stream, at a point designated the watershed's **Outlet**. The stream reach between the outfall of **Watershed A** and the **Outlet** (the outfall of **Watershed B**) is designated **Reach AB**. Please note that, for the reasons explained above, the drainage to the entire right bank of the blueline stream has been deleted and ignored—a step that limits the validity of the assumed, instream hydraulics and total flow. The analysis overlooks these anomalies, as they are the same under pre- and post-project conditions. The far western corner of the project's Block 1 is part of the small (4.9-acre) **Watershed C**, whose **Outlet** has been plotted on neighboring vineyard property, north of the site.

The data for the modeled <u>24-hour storms</u> of 2, 5, 10, 25, 50, and 100-year return intervals were taken from the median of each event's range of likely depths, as per NOAA Atlas 14. A printout of the NOAA website's database page also accompanies this analysis. Peak flow calculations for this location were derived from these values, using the appropriate "CA-1" distribution curve, implicit in the recent (2013) updates of the Atlas. The watersheds include riparian areas, mixed woodland, savannah, grassland, existing vineyards, and small areas of rock outcrop with little or no topsoil or vegetation. Residences with landscaping and outbuildings, with access via asphalt driveways, complete the area's land use picture. Pre- and post-project runoff curve numbers (CN) are assigned based on hydrologic soil group (HSG), land use, and hydrologic condition. Estimates of land use, cover, and hydrologic condition were based on examination of Google Earth imagery and evaluations made during field visits on November 27, 2018, February 11, 2019 and August 19, 2019. HSG designations are derived from the USDA Web Soil Survey.

- Under pre-project conditions, the majority of both watersheds is characterized as "Woods", in good hydrologic condition. (HSG "D", CN 77)
- The land use of the large "bowl" in Sub-watershed "A" and the ridge separating Subwatersheds "A" and "B" is characterized, in pre-project conditions, as "Woods-grass combination", in "good" hydrologic condition. The same designation is applied to an area overlapping the north-eastern property line in the western corner of the proposed Block 1. (**HSG "D", CN 79**)
- The small, existing (non-tilled) vineyard at the eastern corner of the proposed Block 2, as well as a small area at the western corner of that same proposed block, and a somewhat larger meadow along the south side of proposed Block 1, are characterized as "Annual grass", good condition. This designation, applied in the analysis to both non-tilled vineyards and open grassland, was selected from a California-specific table found in the NRCS Engineering Field Handbook.<sup>1</sup> (HSG "D", CN 81)
- Existing, tilled vineyards on neighboring properties in Watersheds B and C, as well as the top of the dam impounding the pond at the outlet of Watershed B, are assigned the same curve numbers as "Annual grass" (vineyards), in "fair" hydrologic condition. However, limitations of the WinTR-55 application required use of the equivalent Curve Numbers of the "Open Space" land use designation. (HSG "C", CN 79; and HSG "D", CN 84)
- Developed residential and agricultural compounds are designated "Farmsteads." (HSG "C", CN 82; and HSG "D", CN 86)
- The asphalt driveway in Watershed B is designated "Paved road, open ditches." (HSG "D", CN 93)
- Post-project CN numbers, reflect reduced acreage of "Woods," and "Woods-grass combination", and commensurately increased acreage of non-tilled vineyard, entered into the application's User-defined field as "Annual grass", good condition.<sup>2</sup> (HSG "D", CN 81)
- Weighted Curve Numbers of all watersheds and sub-watersheds are increased by 1 point, under post-project conditions.

As the accompanying WinTR-55 printouts show, the initial modeling predicts small increases in post-project peak flows, for all storms modeled. Specifications in the Erosion Control Plan will address and eliminate those increases by extending Times of Concentration (Tc), as presented on the following pages:

\_\_\_\_\_<sup>1</sup> Engineering Field Handbook, Part 650, Chapter 2, Supplement 1, USDA/NRCS, Oct 2008.

<sup>2</sup> WinTR-55 printouts of CN sheets are edited as pdf documents, to reflect actual land uses.

## Watershed AB:

- Post-project modeling predicts peak flow increases of .45 .54 cfs (cubic feet per second) for the various return-interval storms.
- Manual entries to WinTR-55, extending the watershed's Tc by .101 hours, bring peak flows of all storms in post-project conditions to parity with those of pre-project conditions.
- The surface area of the existing pond at the bottom of Sub-watershed B is approximately .7 acres ( x 43,450 sq ft/acre = 30,492 square feet).
- Post-project peak flow of the 100 year/24 hour storm of Sub-watershed B is 24.59 cfs.
- .101 hours (required Tc increase) x 3600 seconds/hour = 363.6 seconds.
- 363.6 seconds x 24.59 cfs = 8940.9 cubic feet required storage volume.
- 8940.9 cubic feet/30,492 square feet = .29 feet storage depth required in pond, to extend Tc and eliminate peak flow increase. (See detailed specifications in Erosion Control Plan.)

## Sub-Watershed A:

- As Reach AB is a mapped blueline stream, into which Napa County guidelines forbid peak flow increases, Watershed A requires separate analysis, to develop specifications to prevent the predicted peak flow increase.
- Post-project modeling for Sub-Watershed A predicts peak flow increases in the range of from .14 cfs (2-year storm) to .16 cfs (100-year storm). To offset these increases, the ECP proposes to provide storage before the outlet into the blueline stream, to extend the time of concentration (Tc).
- Somewhat counter-intuitively, smaller storms require more Tc extension than larger storms to affect this end. This trend is explained by the fact that the smaller storms have lower flow rates (in cubic feet per second, for example). Larger storms, with higher flow rates, respond more quickly to Tc extensions.
- Manual entries to WinTR-55, extending the sub-watershed's Tc by .021 hours, bring peak flows of the <u>100-year storm</u> in post-project conditions to parity with those of pre-project conditions.
- The post-project peak flow of the 100-year/24-hour storm of Sub-Watershed A is 10.02 cfs.
- .021 hours x 3600 seconds/hour = 75.6 seconds.
- 75.6 seconds x 10.02 cfs = 767.5 cubic feet required storage volume.
- Manual entries to WinTR-55, extending the sub-watershed's Tc by .058 hours, bring peak flows of the <u>2-year storm</u> in post-project conditions to parity with those of pre-project conditions.
- The post-project peak flow of the 2-year/24-hour storm of Sub-Watershed A is 3.18 cfs.
- .058 hours x 3600 seconds/hour = 208.8 seconds.
- 208.8 seconds x 3.18 cfs = 664 cubic feet required storage volume.
- The required storage volume to offset to peak flow increases of all storms, up to and including the 100-year/24-hour storm is 767.5 cubic feet.

- Volume of keyed rock bench at outlet of Sub-Watershed A: 110' L x 10' W x 2.25' D = 2475 cubic feet. (See detailed specifications in Erosion Control Plan.)
- 2475 cubic feet x .33 (assumed void ratio in rock fill) = 816.8 cubic feet storage volume available (>767.5 cubic feet required).

## Watershed C:

14 11

- Post project modeling predicts peak flow increase of .07 .09 cfs for the various returninterval storms.
- Manual entries to WinTR-55, extending the Time of Concentration of Watershed C by .045 hours, will bring peak flows of all storms in post-project conditions to parity with those of pre-project conditions.
- The area of the basin draining to the lower (northeastern) boundary of Block 1, designated Sub-Watershed C-1, is 1.01 acres.
- Per a separate run of the WinTR-55 application, the post-project, 100-year peak flow of this sub-basin is 1.12 cfs. (Please see accompanying printouts of "Phillips-Kelham, SubWS C-1, post.w55").
- .045 hours (required Tc increase) x 3600 = 162 seconds.
- 162 seconds x 1.12 cfs = 181.4 cubic feet required storage volume.
- Volume of keyed rock bench at bottom of Block 1: 190' L x 7' W x 2' D = 2660 cubic feet. (See detailed specifications in Erosion Control Plan.)
- 2660 cubic feet x .33 (assumed void ratio in rock fill) = 877.8 cubic feet storage volume available (>181.4 cubic feet required).

The accompanying WinTR-55 printouts include post project runs with Time of Concentration sheets edited as pdf documents, with manual entries imposing Tc extensions as described above. Sub-Watersheds A and C-1 have been analyzed separately from the original, modeled Watersheds of which they are part (AB and C, respectively). Relevant sheets of the various WinTR-55 reports have been compiled as pdf documents and accompany this narrative. The analysis concludes that, with proper installation and maintenance of the retention structures and cover crops specified in the Erosion Control Plan, the proposal will not result in increases in peak flow/runoff.

### Hydrograph Peak/Peak Time Table

Sub-Area or Reach Identifier	Peak 2-Yr (cfs)	Flow and 5-Yr (cfs)	Peak Time 10-Yr (cfs)	(hr) by Ra: 25-Yr (cfs)	infall Retu 50-Yr (cfs)	urn Period 100-Yr (cfs)	
	(hr)	(hr)	(hr)	(hr)	(hr)	(hr)	
SUBAREAS							
Sub WS A	3.04 12.17	4.53 12.16	5.75 12.16	7.39 12.16	8.62 12.16	9.86 12.16	
Sub WS B	7.69 12.12	11.31 12.12	14.28 12.13	18.24 12.13	21.23 12.13	24.21 12.13	
REACHES							
Reach AB	3.04	4.53	5.75	7.39	8.62	9.86	
Down	3.04 12.19	4.53 12.19	5.75 12.19	7.39 12.19	8.62 12.19	9.86 12.19	
OUTLET	10.53	15.55	19.66	25.17	29.31	33.45	

3/17/2019 6:55:22 AM

DAS

. **Т** .с. **Т** 

#### Storm Data

### Rainfall Depth by Rainfall Return Period

2-Yr	5-Yr	10-Yr	25-Yr	50-Yr	100-Yr	-Yr	
(in)	(in)	(in)	(in)	(in)	(in)	(in)	
3.99	5.08	5.95	7.1	7.96	8.82	.0	

Storm Data Source: Rainfall Distribution Type: Type CA-1 Dimensionless Unit Hydrograph: <standard>

User-provided custom storm data

DAS

1 e 1 i

### Sub-Area Time of Concentration Details

Sub-Area Identifier/	Flow Length (ft)	Slope (ft/ft)	Mannings's n	End Area (sq ft)	Wetted Perimeter (ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Travel Time (hr)
Sub WS A SHEET SHALLOW	100 230	0.0500	0.240				0.148
SHALLOW	385	0.0620	0.050				0.027
CHANNEL	100	0.0700	0.040	4.00	7.00	6.944	0.004
				Ti	me of Conce	ntration =	.192
Sub WS B							
SHEET SHALLOW SHALLOW CHANNEL CHANNEL	100 300 550 480 550	0.3700 0.4160 0.2910 0.0940 0.0600	0.240 0.050 0.050 0.045 0.012	8.00 1.00	12.00 2.83	7.843 15.278	0.066 0.008 0.018 0.017 0.010
				Ti	me of Conce	ntration =	0.119

DAS

· · · · ·

Page 1



#### Sub-Area Land Use and Curve Number Details

Sub-Area Identifie	r Land Use		Hydrologic Soil Group	Sub-Area Area (ac)	Curve Number
Sub WS A	User defined urban (Click button or		D	.6	81
	Woods - grass combination	(good)	) D	2.6	79
	Woods	(good)	D	6.7	77
	Total Area / Weighted Curve Number			9.9	78
					==
Sub WS B	Open space; grass cover 50% to 75%	(fair)	D	.8	84
	Paved parking lots, roofs, driveways	l	D	.7	98
	Paved; open ditches (w/right-of-way)		D	.1	93
	User defined urban (Click button or		D	1.4	81
	Woods - grass combination	(good)	D	2.1	79
	Woods	(good)	D	16.9	77
	Farmsteads		D	.5	86
	Total Area / Weighted Curve Number			22.5	79
	7				==

3/17/2019 6:56:26 AM

DAS

i e sta

edited pdf

DAS

1. 1. <sup>1</sup>.

### Phillips-Kelham Trust Pre-Project WS AB Napa County, California

### Sub-Area Land Use and Curve Number Details

Sub-Area Identifier Land Us	e	Hydrolo Soil Group	gic Sub-Area Area (ac)	Curve Number
Sub WS A Vineyard ("Annual	grass") (go	od) D	.6	81
Woods - grass comb	ination (go	od) D	2.6	79
Woods	(go	od) D	6.7	77
Total Area / Weigh	ted Curve Number		9.9	78
				==
Sub WS B Vineyard ("Open spa	ce") 50% to 75% (fai	r) D	.8	84
Paved parking lots,	roofs, driveways	D	.7	98
Paved; open ditches	(w/right-of-way)	D	.1	93
Vineyard ("Annual g	rass") (qo	od) D	1.4	81
Woods - grass combi	nation (go	od) D	2.1	79
Woods	(go	od) D	16.9	77
Farmsteads	5. <b>4</b> 00	D	. 5	86
Total Area / Weigh	ted Curve Number		22.5	79

### Hydrograph Peak/Peak Time Table

Sub-Area or Reach Identifier	Peak 2-Yr (cfs) (hr)	Flow and 5-Yr (cfs) (hr)	Peak Time 10-Yr (cfs) (hr)	(hr) by Ra 25-Yr (cfs) (hr)	infall Retu 50-Yr (cfs) (hr)	urn Period 100-Yr (cfs) (hr)	
SUBAREAS							
Sub WS A	3.18 12.16	4.68 12.16	5.91 12.16	7.54 12.17	8.79 12.16	10.02 12.16	
Sub WS B	8.01 12.13	11.66 12.12	14.64 12.12	18.62 12.13	21.61 12.12	24.59 12.12	
REACHES							
Reach AB	3.18	4.68	5.91	7.54	8.79	10.02	
Down	3.18 12.19	4.68 12.19	12.16 5.90 12.18	12.17 7.54 12.19	12.16 8.78 12.18	12.16 10.01 12.19	
OUTLET	10.98	16.04	20.17	25.70	29.85	33.98	

Page 1

3/16/2019 1:32:43 PM

DAS

\*

WinTR-55, Version 1.00.10

#### Storm Data

#### Rainfall Depth by Rainfall Return Period

2-Yr	5-Yr	10-Yr	25-Yr	50-Yr	100-Yr	-Yr
(in)	(in)	(in)	(in)	(in)	(in)	(in)
3.99	5.08	5.95	7.1	7.96	8.82	.0

Storm Data Source:User-providRainfall Distribution Type:Type CA-1Dimensionless Unit Hydrograph:<standard>

User-provided custom storm data Type CA-1

DAS

т — ж а — ж

Page 1

#### Sub-Area Time of Concentration Details

Sub-Area Identifier/	Flow Length (ft)	Slope (ft/ft)	Mannings's n	End Area (sq ft)	Wetted Perimeter (ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Travel Time (hr)
Sub WS A SHEET	100	0.0500	0.240				0.148
SHALLOW	385	0.0620	0.050		7		0.013
CHANNEL	100	0.0700	0.040	4.00	7.00	6.944	0.004
				Ti	me of Conce	ntration =	.192
Sub WS B							
SHEET SHALLOW SHALLOW CHANNEL CHANNEL	100 300 550 480 550	0.3700 0.4160 0.2910 0.0940 0.0600	0.240 0.050 0.050 0.045 0.012	8.00 1.00	12.00 2.83	7.843 15.278	0.066 0.008 0.018 0.017 0.010
				Ti	me of Conce	ntration	0.119

2

s. • • •

WinTR-55, Version 1.00.10 Page 1

3/16/2019 1:34:01 PM



#### Sub-Area Land Use and Curve Number Details

Sub-Area Identifie	r Land Use		Hydrologic Soil Group	Sub-Area Area (ac)	Curve Number
Sub WS A	User defined urban (Click button or		D	5.5	81
	Woods - grass combination	(good)	D	.1	79
	Woods	(good)	D	4.3	77
	Total Area / Weighted Curve Number			9.9	79
Sub WS B	Open space; grass cover 50% to 75%	(fair)	D	.8	84
	Paved parking lots, roofs, driveways		D	.7	98
	Paved; open ditches (w/right-of-way)		D	.1	93
	User defined urban (Click button or		D	7.6	81
	Woods - grass combination	(good)	D	.3	79
	Woods	(good)	D	12.5	77
	Farmsteads		D	.5	86
	Total Area / Weighted Curve Number			22.5	80
	66 - <del>75</del> 74				

DAS

с з 9 с. 9

3/17/2019 7:10:45 AM

editer pdf

### Sub-Area Land Use and Curve Number Details

Sub-Aro Identif	ea ier Land Use		Hydrologic Soil Group	Sub-Area Area (ac)	Curve Number
Sub WS A	A Vineyard ("Annual grass")	(good)	D	5.5	81
	Woods - grass combination	(good)	D	.1	79
	Woods	(good)	D	4.3	77
	Total Area / Weighted Curve Number			9.9	79
				200 BB 200	
Sub WS H	3 Vineyard ("Open space") 50% to 75%	(fair)	D	.8	84
	Paved parking lots, roofs, driveways		D	.7	98
	Paved; open ditches (w/right-of-way)		D	.1	93
	Vineyard ("Annual grass")	(good)	D	7.6	81
	Woods - grass combination	(good)	D	.3	79
	Woods	(good)	D	12.5	77
	Farmsteads		D	.5	86
	Total Area / Weighted Curve Number			22.5	80

DAS

й : з 9 х.

×

### Hydrograph Peak/Peak Time Table

Sub-Area or Reach Identifier	Peak 2-Yr (cfs) (hr)	Flow and 5-Yr (cfs) (hr)	Peak Time 10-Yr (cfs) (hr)	(hr) by Ra: 25-Yr (cfs) (hr)	infall Retu 50-Yr (cfs) (hr)	urn Period 100-Yr (cfs) (hr)	
SUBAREAS Sub WS A	3.18	4.68	5.91	7.54	8.79	10.02	
	12.16	12.16	12.16	12.17	12.16	12.16	
Sub WS B	7.36 12.18	10.73 12.18	13.48 12.17	17.15 12.18	19.91 12.17	22.66 12.17	
REACHES							
Reach AB	3.18	4.68	5.91	7.54	8.79	10.02	
Down	3.18 12.19	4.68	5.90 12.18	7.54	8.78 12.18	10.01 12.19	
OUTLET	10.53	15.39	19.36	24.67	28.66	32.64	

3/16/2019 1:35:09 PM

DAS

#### Storm Data

### Rainfall Depth by Rainfall Return Period

2-Yr	5-Yr	10-Yr	25-Yr	50-Yr	100-Yr	-Yr
(in)	(in)	(in)	(in)	(in)	(in)	(in)
3.99	5.08	5.95	7.1	7.96	8.82	.0

Storm Data Source:User-provided custom storm dataRainfall Distribution Type:Type CA-1Dimensionless Unit Hydrograph:<standard>

DAS

a 1

ouginal: Win Thiss

DAS

4 · · · · ·

#### Phillips-Kelham Trust Post-Project WS AB, trial Napa County, California

### Sub-Area Time of Concentration Details

Sub-Area Identifier/	Flow Length (ft)	Slope (ft/ft)	Mannings's n	End Area (sq ft)	Wetted Perimeter (ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Travel Time (hr)
Sub WS A SHEET	100	0.0500	0.240				0 148
SHALLOW	230	0.0870	0.050				0.013
SHALLOW	385	0.0620	0.050				0.027
CHANNEL	100	0.0700	0.040	4.00	7.00	6.944	0.004
				Ti	me of Conce	ntration =	0.192
Sub WS B							
SHEET	100	0.3700	0.240				0.066
SHALLOW	300	0.4160	0.050				0.008
SHALLOW	550	0.2910	0.050				0.018
CHANNEL	480	0.0940	0.045	8.00	12.00	7.843	0.017
CHANNEL	785	0.0010	0.012	1.00	2.83	1.964	0.111
				Ti	me of Concer	ntration =	0.220

WinTR-55, Version 1.00.10

edited pdt manual endy

#### Sub-Area Time of Concentration Details

Sub-Area Identifier/	Flow Length (ft)	Slope (ft/ft)	Mannings's n	End Area (sq ft)	Wetted Perimeter (ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Travel Time (hr)
Sub WS A							
SHEET	100	0.0500	0.240				0.148
SHALLOW	230	0.0870	0.050				0.013
SHALLOW	385	0.0620	0.050				0.027
CHANNEL	100	0.0700	0.040	4.00	7.00	6.944	0.004
				Tir	me of Concei	ntration	0.192
						=	
Sub WS B							
SHEET	100	0.3700	0.240				0.066
SHALLOW	300	0.4160	0.050				0.008
SHALLOW	550	0.2910	0.050				0.018
CHANNEL	480	0.0940	0.045	8.00	12.00	7.843	0.017
MANUAL	ENTRY						0.111
				Tir	ne of Concer	ntration	.220
						=	

DAS

× + + + +

ouginal Win Th 55

#### Sub-Area Land Use and Curve Number Details

Sub-Area Identifie	r Land Use		Hydrologic Soil Group	Sub-Area Area (ac)	Curve Number
Sub WS A	User defined urban (Click button or		D	5.5	81
	Woods - grass combination	(good)	D	.1	79
	Woods	(good)	D	4.3	77
	Total Area / Weighted Curve Number			9.9	79
					==
Sub WS B	Open space; grass cover 50% to 75%	(fair)	D	.8	84
	Paved parking lots, roofs, driveways	1	D	.7	98
	Paved; open ditches (w/right-of-way)		D	.1	93
	User defined urban (Click button or		D	7.6	81
	Woods - grass combination	(good)	D	.3	79
	Woods	(good)	D	12.5	77
	Farmsteads		D	.5	86
	Total Area / Weighted Curve Number			22.5	80
					1==1

DAS

. . . . .

edited pdf

#### Sub-Area Land Use and Curve Number Details

Sub-Are Identifi	a er Land Use		Hydrologic Soil Group	Sub-Area Area (ac)	Curve Number
Sub WS A	Vineyard ("Annual grass")	(good)	D	5.5	81
	Woods - grass combination	(good)	) D	.1	79
	Woods	(good)	) D	4.3	77
	Total Area / Weighted Curve Number			9.9	79
					· ==
Sub WS B	Vineyard ("Open space")50% to 75%	(fair)	D	.8	84
	Paved parking lots, roofs, driveways		D	.7	98
	Paved; open ditches (w/right-of-way)		D	.1	93
	Vineyard ("Annual grass")	(good)	D	7.6	81
	Woods - grass combination	(good)	D	.3	79
	Woods	(good)	D	12.5	77
	Farmsteads	1979 <del>-</del> 973-097-04980	D	.5	86
	Total Area / Weighted Curve Number			22.5	80
					==

DAS

t at an your

### Hydrograph Peak/Peak Time Table

Sub-Area or Reach Identifier	Peak 2-Yr (cfs) (hr)	Flow and 5-Yr (cfs) (hr)	Peak Time 10-Yr (cfs) (hr)	(hr) by Ra: 25-Yr (cfs) (hr)	infall Retu 50-Yr (cfs) (hr)	rn Period 100-Yr (cfs) (hr)	
SUBAREAS WS C	1.61 12.13	2.39 12.12	3.03 12.12	3.89 12.13	4.54 12.12	5.19 12.13	
REACHES							
OUTLET	1.61	2.39	3.03	3.89	4.54	5.19	

DAS

ж., <sup>194</sup> ў

3/16/2019 5:11:43 PM

#### Storm Data

### Rainfall Depth by Rainfall Return Period

2-Yr	5-Yr	10-Yr	25-Yr	50-Yr	100-Yr	-Yr
(in)	(in)	(in)	(in)	(in)	(in)	(in)
3.99	5.08	5.95	7.1	7.96	8.82	.0

Storm Data Source:User-provided custom storm dataRainfall Distribution Type:Type CA-1Dimensionless Unit Hydrograph:<standard>

DAS

a - \*

3/16/2019 5:12:06 PM

#### Sub-Area Time of Concentration Details

Sub-Area Identifier/	Flow Length (ft)	Slope (ft/ft)	Mannings's n	End Area (sq ft)	Wetted Perimeter (ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Travel Time (hr)
WS C							
SHEET	100	0.2600	0.240				0.076
SHALLOW	420	0.1760	0.050				0.017
SHALLOW	675	0.2150	0.050				0.025
				Ti	me of Conce	entration	0.118
						=	======

DAS

\* \* \*

### Sub-Area Land Use and Curve Number Details

Sub-Area Identifie	a er Land Use		Hydrologic Soil Group	Sub-Area Area (ac)	Curve Number
WS C	Open space; grass cover 50% to 75%	(fair	) C	.8	79
	Woods	(good	) D	3.6	77
	Farmsteads		С	.4	82
	Farmsteads		D	.1	86
	Total Area / Weighted Curve Number			4.9	78

DAS

a . . . . .

### Hydrograph Peak/Peak Time Table

Sub-Area or Reach Identifier	Peak 2-Yr (cfs) (hr)	Flow and H 5-Yr (cfs) (hr)	Peak Time 10-Yr (cfs) (hr)	(hr) by Ra: 25-Yr (cfs) (hr)	infall Retu 50-Yr (cfs) (hr)	Irn Period 100-Yr (cfs) (hr)	
SUBAREAS WS C	1.68 12.12	2.47 12.12	3.11 12.12	3.98 12.13	4.63 12.12	5.28 12.12	
REACHES							
OUTLET	1.68	2.47	3.11	3.98	4.63	5.28	

WinTR-55, Version 1.00.10

3/16/2019 5:27:07 PM

DAS

· 'p'

#### Storm Data

#### Rainfall Depth by Rainfall Return Period

2-Yr	5-Yr	10-Yr	25-Yr	50-Yr	100-Yr	-Yr
(in)	(in)	(in)	(in)	(in)	(in)	(in)
3.99	5.08	5.95	7.1	7.96	8.82	.0

Dimensionless Unit Hydrograph: <standard>

Storm Data Source:User-provided custom storm dataRainfall Distribution Type:Type CA-1

WinTR-55, Version 1.00.10

Page 1

3/16/2019 4:31:30 PM

DAS

1 1

#### Sub-Area Time of Concentration Details

Sub-Area Identifier/	Flow Length (ft)	Slope (ft/ft)	Mannings's n	End Area (sq ft)	Wetted Perimeter (ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Travel Time (hr)
WS C							
SHEET	100	0.2600	0.240				0.076
SHALLOW	420	0.1760	0.050				0.017
SHALLOW	675	0.2150	0.050				0.025
					6.0	x 30 C	0 110

Time of Concentration 0.118 \_\_\_\_\_

1 1

WinTR-55, Version 1.00.10 Page 1

3/17/2019 6:22:22 AM

original: winTK55

#### Sub-Area Land Use and Curve Number Details

Sub-Area Identifier	Land Use		Hydrologic Soil Group	Sub-Area Area (ac)	Curve Number
WS C	Open space; grass cover 50% to 75%	(fair)	с с	.8	79
	User defined urban (Click button or		D	.9	81
	Woods	(good)	D	2.7	77
Woods Farmsteads		C	.4	82	
	Farmsteads		D	.1	86
	Total Area / Weighted Curve Number			4.9	79
	27				

DAS

· \*

edited pat

DAS

 $\bullet_{A}:=\cdots \bullet_{V}^{d}$ 

#### Phillips-Kelham Trust Post-Project WS C Napa County, California

### Sub-Area Land Use and Curve Number Details

Sub-A Identi	Area ifier Land Use	Land Use		Sub-Area Area (ac)	Curve Number
WS C	Vineyard ("Open space") 50% to 75%	(fair)	C	.8	79
	Vineyard ("Annual grass")	(good)	D	.9	81
	Woods	(good)	D	2.7	77
	Farmsteads		С	.4	82
	Farmsteads		D	.1	86
	Total Area / Weighted Curve Number			4.9	79
				===	==

### Hydrograph Peak/Peak Time Table

Sub-Area or Reach Identifier	Peak 2-Yr (cfs) (hr)	Flow and 5-Yr (cfs) (hr)	Peak Time 10-Yr (cfs) (hr)	(hr) by Ra 25-Yr (cfs) (hr)	infall Retu 50-Yr (cfs) (hr)	urn Period 100-Yr (cfs) (hr)	
SUBAREAS WS C	1.61 12.15	2.37 12.15	2.99 12.15	3.83 12.15	4.45 12.14	5.08 12.15	
REACHES							
OUTLET	1.61	2.37	2.99	3.83	4.45	5.08	

DAS

\* \* \*

3/16/2019 4:35:54 PM

#### Storm Data

#### Rainfall Depth by Rainfall Return Period

2-Yr	5-Yr	10-Yr	25-Yr	50-Yr	100-Yr	-Yr
(in)	(in)	(in)	(in)	(in)	(in)	(in)
3.99	5.08	5.95	7.1	7.96	8.82	.0

Storm Data Source:User-provided custom storm dataRainfall Distribution Type:Type CA-1Dimensionless Unit Hydrograph:<standard>

DAS

Miginal: Win M55 manual enty

DAS

a internet

### Phillips-Kelham Trust Post-Project WS C, Trial Napa County, California

#### Sub-Area Time of Concentration Details

Sub-Area Identifier/	Flow Length (ft)	Slope (ft/ft)	Mannings's n	End Area (sq ft)	Wetted Perimeter (ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Travel Time (hr)
WS C							
SHEET	100	0.2600	0.240				0.076
SHALLOW	1500	0.1760	0.050				0.062
SHALLOW	675	0.2150	0.050				0.025
					6 9		1.00

Time of Concentration .163

WinTR-55,	Version	1.00.10

ekiled pdf manual caty

#### Sub-Area Time of Concentration Details

Sub-Are Identifi	a er/	Flow Length (ft)	Slope (ft/ft)	Mannings's n	End Area (sq ft)	Wetted Perimeter (ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Travel Time (hr)
WS C SHEET MANUAT	FNI	100 TDV	0.2600	0.240				0.076
SHALLOW	LIN.	675	0.2150	0.050				0.025
					Time	e of Concent	ration	.163

DAS

original WinTRSS

DAS

# Phillips-Kelham Trust Post-Project WS C, Trial Napa County, California

#### Sub-Area Land Use and Curve Number Details

Sub-Area Identifie:	r Land Use		Hydrologic Soil Group	Sub-Area Area (ac)	Curve Number
WS C Ope Use Woo	Open space; grass cover 50% to 75%	(fair)	C	.8	79
	User defined urban (Click button or		D	.9	81
	Woods	(good)	D	2.7	77
	Farmsteads		С	.4	82
	Farmsteads		D	.1	86
	Total Area / Weighted Curve Number			4.9	79
				===	

edited pat

DAS

في الجار

### Phillips-Kelham Trust Post-Project WS C, Trial Napa County, California

### Sub-Area Land Use and Curve Number Details

Sub-Ar Identif	rea Fier Land Use		Hydrologic Soil Group	Sub-Area Area (ac)	Curve Number
WS C Vineyard Vineyard Woods	Vineyard ("Open space")50% to 75%	(fair)	С	.8	79
	Vineyard ("Annual grass")	(good)	D	.9	81
	Woods	(good)	D	2.7	77
	Farmsteads		С	. 4	82
Fa	Farmsteads		D	.1	86
	Total Area / Weighted Curve Number			4.9	79

#### Hydrograph Peak/Peak Time Table

Sub-Area or Reach Identifier	Peak 2-Yr (cfs) (hr)	Flow and 5-Yr (cfs) (hr)	Peak Time 10-Yr (cfs) (hr)	(hr) by Ra: 25-Yr (cfs) (hr)	infall Retu 50-Yr (cfs) (hr)	urn Period 100-Yr (cfs) (hr)	
SUBAREAS SubWS C-1	0.37 12.12	0.53 12.12	0.67 12.12	0.85 12.12	0.99 12.11	1.12 12.11	
REACHES							
OUTLET	0.37	0.53	0.67	0.85	0.99	1.12	

WinTR-55, Version 1.00.10 Page 1

8/20/2019 8:37:21 AM

DAS

1 ×

#### Storm Data

### Rainfall Depth by Rainfall Return Period

2-Yr	5-Yr	10-Yr	25-Yr	50-Yr	100-Yr	-Yr
(in)	(in)	(in)	(in)	(in)	(in)	(in)
3.99	5.08	5.95	7.1	7.96	8.82	.0

Storm Data Source:User-provided custom storm dataRainfall Distribution Type:Type CA-1Dimensionless Unit Hydrograph:<standard>

8/20/2019 8:50:56 AM

DAS

. Э

WinTR-55, Version 1.00.10 Page 1

### Sub-Area Time of Concentration Details

Sub-Area Identifier/	Flow Length (ft)	Slope (ft/ft)	Mannings's n	End Area (sq ft)	Wetted Perimeter (ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Travel Time (hr)
SubWS C-1							
SHEET	100	0.2600	0.240				0.076
SHALLOW	390	0.1850	0.050				0.016
				Ti	me of Conce	entration	0.1

\_\_\_\_\_

DAS

1. 2

WinTR-55, Version 1.00.10 Page 1

8/20/2019 8:51:21 AM

### Sub-Area Land Use and Curve Number Details

Sub-Area Identifie:	r Land Use		Hydrologic Soil Group	Sub-Area Area (ac)	Curve Number
SubWS C-1	User defined urban (Click button or Woods	(good)	D D	.79 .22	81 77
	Total Area / Weighted Curve Number	.,		1.01	80

DAS

a 5

8/20/2019 8:51:55 AM

#### Sub-Area Land Use and Curve Number Details

Sub-Area Identifier	Land	Use		Hydrologic Soil Group	Sub-Area Area (ac)	Curve Number
SubWS C-1 Vin Woo	neyard (annual ods	grass)	(good) (good)	D D	.79 .22	81 77
Tot	al Area / Wei	ghted Curve Number			1.01	80
					====	==

DAS

 $-x \to -k$ 

8/20/2019 8:55:51 AM



DAS	DAS Phillips-Kelham Trust Post-Project WS A, w/Tc Increase for 2-year peak Napa County, California							
		Hydrogr	aph Peak/P	eak Time Ta	able			
Sub-Area or Reach Identifier	Peak 2-Yr (cfs) (hr)	Flow and 5-Yr (cfs) (hr)	Peak Time 10-Yr (cfs) (hr)	(hr) by Ra 25-Yr (cfs) (hr)	infall Retu 50-Yr (cfs) (hr)	urn Period 100-Yr (cfs) (hr)		
SUBAREAS Sub WS A	3.04 12.19	4.48 12.20	5.66 12.19	7.24 12.19	8.43 12.20	9.61 12.18		
REACHES Reach AB Down	3.04 12.19 3.04 12.22	4.48 12.20 4.48 12.22	5.66 12.19 5.66 12.23	7.24 12.19 7.24 12.22	8.43 12.20 8.42 12.21	9.61 12.18 9.61 12.22		
OUTLET	3.04	4.48	5.66	7.24	8.42	9.61		
2	pre-pr	oject						

WinTR-55, Version 1.00.10 Page 1 9/17/2019 9:54:42 AM

۰. ،

#### Phillips-Kelham Trust Post-Project WS A, w/Tc Increase for 2-year peak Napa County, California



Sub-Area Time of Concentration Details

WinTR-55, Version 1.00.10

Page 1

DAS

0.0. ......

DAS	Post-	P Project WS Nap	hillips-Ke A, Tc inc a County,	lham Trust <mark>reased for</mark> California	100 year j	peak	
		Hydrogr	aph Peak/P	eak Time T	able		
Sub-Area or Reach Identifier	Peak 2-Yr (cfs) (hr)	Flow and 5-Yr (cfs) (hr)	Peak Time 10-Yr (cfs) (hr)	(hr) by Ra 25-Yr (cfs) (hr)	infall Ret 50-Yr (cfs) (hr)	urn Period 100-Yr (cfs) (hr)	
SUBAREAS Sub WS A	3.13 12.18	4.60 12.17	5.81 12.18	7.43 12.18	8.65 12.17	9.87 12.17	
REACHES Reach AB Down	3.13 12.18 3.12 12.20	4.60 12.17 4.60 12.20	5.81 12.18 5.81 12.20	7.43 12.18 7.42 12.20	8.65 12.17 8.64 12.20	9.87 12.17 9.86 12.20	
OUTLET	3.12	4.60	5.81	7.42	8.64	9.86	= pre-project

i ins

9/17/2019 10:20:28 AM

#### Phillips-Kelham Trust Post-Project WS A, Tc increased for 100 year peak Napa County, California

#### Sub-Area Flow Mannings's End Wetted Travel Identifier/ Length Slope n Perimeter Velocity Area Time (ft) (ft/ft) (sq ft) (ft) (ft/sec) (hr) \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ Sub WS A SHEET 100 0.0500 0.240 0.148 SHALLOW 230 0.0870 0.050 0.013 SHALLOW 385 0.0620 0.050 0.027 CHANNEL 0.0700 0.040 4.00 600 7.00 6.667 0.025 .213 Time of Concentration p manual entry \_\_\_\_\_ -,192 1021 HR

Sub-Area Time of Concentration Details

veguired to offert 160 year peak flocs incisease of illects

Page 1

DAS

STAL.

4

### Hydrograph Peak/Peak Time Table

Sub-Area or Reach Identifier	Peak 2-Yr (cfs) (hr)	Flow and 5-Yr (cfs) (hr)	Peak Time 10-Yr (cfs) (hr)	(hr) by Ra: 25-Yr (cfs) (hr)	infall Retu 50-Yr (cfs) (hr)	urn Period 100-Yr (cfs) (hr)	
SUBAREAS Sub WS A	3.04 12.17	4.53 12.16	5.75 12.16	7.39 12.16	8.62 12.16	9.86 12.16	
REACHES							
Reach AB	3.04	4.53	5.75	7.39	8.62	9.86	
	12.17	12.16	12.16	12.16	12.16	12.16	
Down	3.04	4.53	5.75	7.39	8.62	9.86	
	12.19	12.19	12.19	12.19	12.19	12.19	
OUTLET	3.04	4.53	5.75	7.39	8.62	9.86	

DAS

,5 , 5

Hydrograph Peak/Peak Time Table

Sub-Area or Reach Identifier	Peak 2-Yr (cfs) (hr)	Flow and 5-Yr (cfs) (hr)	Peak Time 10-Yr (cfs) (hr)	(hr) by Ra 25-Yr (cfs) (hr)	infall Ret 50-Yr (cfs) (hr)	urn Period 100-Yr (cfs) (hr)	
SUBAREAS							
Sub WS A	3.18	4.68	5.91	7.54	8.79	10.02	11 also income
	12.16	12.16	12.16	12.17	12.16	12.16	, le US increas
		\$ 14	off Smell	PECA			5
REACHES		11.1		ca /e			
Reach AB	3.18	4.68	5.91	7.54	8.79	10.02	
	12.16	12.16	12.16	12.17	12.16	12.16	
Down	3.18	4.68	5.90	7.54	8.78	10.01	
	12.19	12.19	12.18	12.19	12.18	12.19	
OUTLET	3.18	4.68	5.90	7.54	8.78	10.01	

Page 1

9/17/2019 9:47:36 AM

DAS

WinTR-55, Version 1.00.10

Sub-Area Time of Concentration Details

Sub-Area Identifier/	Flow Length (ft)	Slope (ft/ft)	Mannings's n	End Area (sq ft)	Wetted Perimeter (ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Travel Time (hr)
Sub WS A SHEET SHALLOW SHALLOW CHANNEL	100 230 385 100	0.0500 0.0870 0.0620 0.0700	0.240 0.050 0.050 0.040	4.00	7.00	6.944	0.148 0.013 0.027 0.004
				Ti	me of Conce	ntration P V/o Tc	0.192

DAS

1 1 2



Hydrologic Soil Group Cover Treatment Hydrologic 1/ Land Use or Practice Condition C D B A Orchards, deciduous (See accompanying land-use description) Orchards, Evergreen Poor 55 72 81 86 Fair 42 76 82 64 33 . 58 72 79 Good Vineyards (See accompanying land-use description) NON-CULTIVATED AGRICULTURAL LAND (Grassland, Woodland, Brushland) Annual grass Poor 65 78 86 89 79 84 Fair 49 69 38 61 75 81 Good 53 70 80 85 Broadleaf chaparral Poor 11 5 . . . . . 75 81 Fair 40 63 71 78 31 57 Good 84 90 77 63 Meadow Poor 84 58 78 70 Fair 78 30 . 58 72 Good 90 70 82 88 Narrowleaf chaparral Poor 2-7-1.15 86 81 55 72 Fair 84 88 61 .76 Poor Open brush amaps or 1.1 10 0:0 83 66 77 Fair 46 41 63 75 81 Good

Exhibit 2.1-3

<u>Close-seeded legumes or rotation meadow, contour</u> - Close-seeded legumes or rotation meadow planted on the contour or in straight rows on land with 2 percent slopes or less.

Irrigated pasture - Irrigated land that is planted to perennial grasses and legumes for production of forage and which is cultivated only to establish or renew the stand of plants. For hydrologic purposes, dryland pasture is considered as annual grass.

<u>Orchards, Deciduous</u> - Land planted to such deciduous trees as apples, apricots, pears, walnuts, and almonds. Soil protection during the rainy season is dependent on ground cover. This ground cover may be annual grass or perennial grass cover crops with or without legumes, occasionally legumes alone.

Use curve numbers that apply to the land use or the kind and condition of cover during storm periods; for example, <u>Annual grass</u> curve numbers for annual grass or grass-legume cover. Where orchards are kept bare by disking or the use of herbicides, use <u>Fallow</u> curve numbers.

Because of management practices, ground cover in orchards is seldom ( continous. Only orchards untilled with more than 75 percent of the ground surface continuously protected by cover are in <u>Good</u> Hydrologic Condition, others are Fair or Poor.

<u>Orchards, Evergreen</u> - Land planted to evergreen trees which include citrus, avocado, and Christmas tree plantations. Soil protection is dependent on ground cover or litter. This ground cover may be annual grass or perennial grass cover crops with or without legumes alone; or the ground protection may be litter where tree canopy is sufficiently dense to produce an effective amount of fallen leaves.

Because of management practices, ground cover in orchards is seldom a continuous. Only untilled orchards with more than 75 percent of the ground surface continuously protected by litter or plant cover are in <u>Good</u> Hydrologic Condition, others are <u>Fair</u> or <u>Poor</u>.

Vineyards - Land planted to grapes. Soil protection during the rainy season is dependent on ground cover. This ground cover may be annual grass or perennial grass cover crops with or without legumes, occasionally legumes alone.

Use curve numbers that apply to the land use or the kind and condition of cover during the storm periods; for example, <u>Annual grass curve</u> numbers for annual grass or grass legume cover. Where vineyards are kept bare by disking or the use of herbicides, use <u>Fallow</u> curve numbers.

部長を見た

3

#### Exhibit 2.1-3



Page 1 of 4



https://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/WebSoilSurvey.aspx

	600 ft		Star Star Party	Contraction of
Warning: S	oil Ratings Map may not be valid at this scale.			
You have zoom	ed in beyond the scale at which the soil map for this area is intended to be used. Mapping of	soils is done at a particular s	cale. The soil surveys t	hat comprise your AOI w
Enlargement of	<sup>1</sup> maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and	accuracy of soil line placem	ent. The mans do not s	how the small areas of c
have been show	wn at a more detailed scale.	ereal of the sea and proceed	ener me maps do not s	now the small areas of
Tables – K Factor, W	/hole Soil — Summary By Map Unit			
	Summary by Map Unit — Napa County, Californ	ia (CA055)		
Summary by Map	Unit — Napa County, California (CA055)			
Map unit symbo	I Map unit name	Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
104	Bale clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	.20	107.4	36.5%
110	Boomer-Forward-Felta complex, 30 to 50 percent slopes	.28	4.7	1.6%
116	Clear Lake clay, drained, 0 to 2 percent slopes, MLRA 14	.17	0.1	0.0%
140	Forward silt loam, 12 to 57 percent slopes, MLRA 15		0.1	0.0%
151	Hambright-Rock outcrop complex, 2 to 30 percent slopes	.10	21.3	7.2%
152	Hambright rock-Outcrop complex, 30 to 75 percent slopes	.10	92.0	31.3%
168	Perkins gravelly loam, 1 to 10 percent slopes, MLRA 14	.20	2.0	0.7%
169	Perkins gravelly loam, 5 to 9 percent slopes	.15	66.6	22.6%
Totals for Area o	of Interest	1227	294.2	100.0%
Description – K Fact	or. Whole Soil		N.	
rosion factor K indicat soil Loss Equation (RU: organic matter and on soil is to sheet and rill	tes the susceptibility of a soil to sheet and rill erosion by water. Factor K is one of six factors u SLE) to predict the average annual rate of soil loss by sheet and rill erosion in tons per acre p soil structure and saturated hydraulic conductivity (Ksat). Values of K range from 0.02 to 0.69 erosion by water.	ised in the Universal Soil Los er year. The estimates are b 9. Other factors being equal,	s Equation (USLE) and ased primarily on perce the higher the value, t	the Revised Universal intage of silt, sand, and he more susceptible the
"Erosion factor Kw (wh	ole soil)" indicates the erodibility of the whole soil. The estimates are modified by the presence	e of rock fragments.		
Rating Options — K F	actor, Whole Soil		21	
Aggregation Method	Dominant Condition			
Component Percent	Cutoff: None Specified			
Tie-break Rule: Highe				

FOIA | Accessibility Statement | Privacy Policy | Non-Discrimination Statement | Information Quality | USA.gov | White House

#### Web Soil Survey Page 1 of 4 Contact Us Subscribe Archived Soil Surveys Soil Survey Status Glossary Preferences Link Logout Help Area of Interest (AOI) Soil Map Soil Data Explorer Download Soils Data Shopping Cart (Free) View Soil Information By Use: All Uses V **Printable Version** Add to Shopping Cart Intro to Soils Suitabilities and Limitations for Use Soil Properties and Qualities Ecological Site Assessment Soil Reports Search Map - T Factor **Properties and Qualities Ratings** 0 0 Scale (not to scale) Open All Close All Soil Chemical Properties Soil Erosion Factors K Factor, Rock Free K Factor, Whole Soil T Factor





Warning: Soil Ratings Map may not be valid at this scale.

You have zoomed in beyond the scale at which the soil map for this area is intended to be used. Mapping of soils is done at a particular scale. The soil surveys the design of map units and the level of detail shown in the resulting soil map are dependent on that map scale.

https://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/WebSoilSurvey.aspx

AAA

Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not sho

	Summary by Map Unit — Napa County,	California (CA055)		
Summary by M	ap Unit — Napa County, California (CA055)			
Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating (tons per acre per year)	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
104	Bale clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	5	107.4	36.5%
110	Boomer-Forward-Felta complex, 30 to 50 percent slopes	4	4.7	1.6%
116	Clear Lake clay, drained, 0 to 2 percent slopes, MLRA 14	5	0.1	0.0%
140	Forward silt loam, 12 to 57 percent slopes, MLRA 15	3	0.1	0.0%
151	Hambright-Rock outcrop complex, 2 to 30 percent slopes	1	21.3	7.2%
152	Hambright rock-Outcrop complex, 30 to 75 percent slopes	1	92.0	31.3%
168	Perkins gravelly loam, 1 to 10 percent slopes, MLRA 14	5	2.0	0.7%
169	Perkins gravelly loam, 5 to 9 percent slopes	5	66.6	22.6%
Totals for Are	a of Interest		294.2	100.0%
Description — T F	actor			
The T factor is an e sustained period. Th	timate of the maximum average annual rate of soil erosion by wind and/o e rate is in tons per acre per year.	or water that can occur without affecti	ng crop product	ivity over a
Rating Options —	T Factor			
Units of Measure:	tons per acre per year			
Aggregation Meth	od: Dominant Condition			
Component Perce	nt Cutoff: None Specified			

FOIA | Accessibility Statement | Privacy Policy | Non-Discrimination Statement | Information Quality | USA.gov | White House



You have zoomed in beyond the scale at which the soil map for this area is intended to be used. Mapping of soils is done at a particular scale. The soil surveys the design of map units and the level of detail shown in the resulting soil map are dependent on that map scale.

https://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/WebSoilSurvey.aspx

Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not sho

	Summary by Map Unit — Napa County, California	(CA055)		
Summary by Map	Unit — Napa County, California (CA055)			
Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
104	Bale clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	В	107.4	36.5%
110	Boomer-Forward-Felta complex, 30 to 50 percent slopes	В	4.7	1.6%
116	Clear Lake clay, drained, 0 to 2 percent slopes, MLRA 14	D	0.1	0.0%
140	Forward silt loam, 12 to 57 percent slopes, MLRA 15	С	0.1	0.0%
151	Hambright-Rock outcrop complex, 2 to 30 percent slopes	D	21.3	7.2%
152	Hambright rock-Outcrop complex, 30 to 75 percent slopes	D	92.0	31.3%
168	Perkins gravelly loam, 1 to 10 percent slopes, MLRA 14	В	2.0	0.79
169	Perkins gravelly loam, 5 to 9 percent slopes	С	66.6	22.69
Totals for Area	of Internet			
Description — Hydro Hydrologic soil groups are not protected by ve The soils in the United	Ingic Soil Group are based on estimates of runoff potential. Soils are assigned to one of four groups egetation, are thoroughly wet, and receive precipitation from long-duration storms States are assigned to four groups (A, B, C, and D) and three dual classes (A/D, B	s according to the ri /D, and C/D). The ç	294.2 ate of water infiltrat	100.0 ion when the soils is follows:
Description — Hydro Hydrologic soil groups are not protected by ve The soils in the United Group A. Soils having a gravelly sands. These Group B. Soils having a	Iogic Soil Group are based on estimates of runoff potential. Soils are assigned to one of four groups egetation, are thoroughly wet, and receive precipitation from long-duration storms States are assigned to four groups (A, B, C, and D) and three dual classes (A/D, B a high infiltration rate (low runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist m soils have a high rate of water transmission. a moderate infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of moderate	s according to the ri /D, and C/D). The g ainly of deep, well d	294.2 ate of water infiltrat groups are defined a lrained to excessive oderately well drain	100.0% ion when the soils is follows: ly drained sands or ed or well drained
Description — Hydro Hydrologic soil groups are not protected by vi The soils in the United Group A. Soils having a gravelly sands. These Group B. Soils having a soils that have modera Group C. Soils having i soils of moderately fine	Iogic Soil Group are based on estimates of runoff potential. Soils are assigned to one of four group: egetation, are thoroughly wet, and receive precipitation from long-duration storms States are assigned to four groups (A, B, C, and D) and three dual classes (A/D, B a high infiltration rate (low runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist m soils have a high rate of water transmission. a moderate infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of moderate tely fine texture to moderately coarse texture. These soils have a moderate rate of a slow infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of soils having a e texture or fine texture. These soils have a slow rate of water transmission.	s according to the ri /D, and C/D). The g ainly of deep, well d ely deep or deep, m f water transmission layer that impedes	294.2 ate of water infiltrat groups are defined a lrained to excessive oderately well drain h. the downward move	100.0% ion when the soils is follows: ly drained sands or ed or well drained ement of water or
Description — Hydro Hydrologic soil groups are not protected by w The soils in the United Group A. Soils having a gravelly sands. These Group B. Soils having a soils that have modera Group C. Soils having a soils of moderately fine Group D. Soils having a soils that have a high w	Inderest Indere	s according to the ra /D, and C/D). The g ainly of deep, well of ely deep or deep, m f water transmission layer that impedes sist chiefly of clays that are shallow ov	294.2 ate of water infiltrat groups are defined a lrained to excessive oderately well drain h. the downward move that have a high shi er nearly imperviou	100.0% ion when the soils is follows: ly drained sands or ed or well drained ement of water or rink-swell potential s material. These
Description — Hydro Hydrologic soil groups are not protected by w The soils in the United Group A. Soils having a gravelly sands. These Group B. Soils having a soils that have modera Group C. Soils having a soils of moderately fine Group D. Soils having a soils that have a high w soils hat have a very slow If a soil is assigned to their natural condition	Inderest Indere	s according to the ra /D, and C/D). The g ainly of deep, well of ely deep or deep, m f water transmission layer that impedes sist chiefly of clays that are shallow ov the second is for un	294.2 ate of water infiltrat groups are defined a lrained to excessive oderately well drain h. the downward move that have a high shi ter nearly imperviou ndrained areas. Only	100.0% ion when the soils is follows: ly drained sands or ed or well drained ement of water or rink-swell potential s material. These y the soils that in
Description — Hydro Hydrologic soil groups are not protected by ver- The soils in the United Group A. Soils having a gravelly sands. These Group B. Soils having a soils that have modera Group C. Soils having a soils of moderately fine Group D. Soils having soils hat have a high soils that have a very slow If a soil is assigned to their natural condition Rating Options — Hy	Inderest Indere	s according to the ri /D, and C/D). The g ainly of deep, well d ely deep or deep, m f water transmission layer that impedes sist chiefly of clays that are shallow ov the second is for u	294.2 ate of water infiltrat groups are defined a lrained to excessive oderately well drain h. the downward move that have a high shi er nearly imperviou ndrained areas. Only	100.0% ion when the soils is follows: ly drained sands or ed or well drained ement of water or rink-swell potential s material. These y the soils that in
Description — Hydro Hydrologic soil groups are not protected by ve The soils in the United Group A. Soils having a gravelly sands. These : Group B. Soils having a soils that have modera Group C. Soils having a soils of moderately fine Group D. Soils having a soils hat have a high soils hat have a high soils in a sasigned to a their natural condition Rating Options — Hy	Iogic Soil Group     are based on estimates of runoff potential. Soils are assigned to one of four group:     getation, are thoroughly wet, and receive precipitation from long-duration storms     States are assigned to four groups (A, B, C, and D) and three dual classes (A/D, B     a high infiltration rate (low runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist m     soils have a high rate of water transmission.     a moderate infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of moderate     tely fine texture to moderately coarse texture. These soils have a moderate rate of     a slow infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of soils having a     e texture or fine texture. These soils have a slow rate of water transmission.     a very slow infiltration rate (high runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These con     water table, soils that have a claypan or clay layer at or near the surface, and soils rate of water transmission.     a dual hydrologic group (A/D, B/D, or C/D), the first letter is for drained areas and are in group D are assigned to dual classes.     drologic Soil Group     c Dominant Condition	s according to the ri /D, and C/D). The g ainly of deep, well o ely deep or deep, m f water transmission layer that impedes sist chiefly of clays that are shallow ov the second is for u	294.2 ate of water infiltration groups are defined a lrained to excessive oderately well drain h. the downward move that have a high shi er nearly imperviou	100.0% ion when the soils is follows: ly drained sands or ed or well drained ement of water or rink-swell potential, s material. These y the soils that in

FOIA | Accessibility Statement | Privacy Policy | Non-Discrimination Statement | Information Quality | USA.gov | White House

PF Map: Contiguous US

TRUST PARTNERS (PHILLIPS/KELHAMPage 1 of 2

## NOAA ATLAS 14 POINT PRECIPITATION FREQUENCY ESTIMATES: CA

Data description				
Data type: Precipitation depth V	Units: English V Time series	type: Partial duration 🗸		
Select location				
1) Manually:				
a) By location (decimal degrees, us	e "-" for S and W): Latitude:	38.411 Longitude:	-122.396 Submit	
b) By station (list of CA stations):	Select station	$\checkmark$		
c) By address Search	Q			
2) Use map (if ESRI interactive map is no	t loading try adding the host https:/	lis arcais com/ to the firewall	or contact us at bdsc question	





## POINT PRECIPITATION FREQUENCY (PF) ESTIMATES

WITH 90% CONFIDENCE INTERVALS AND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION NOAA Atlas 14, Volume 6, Version 2

**PF** tabular

PF graphical

Supplementary information

Print page

	PDS-based precipitation frequency estimates with 90% confidence intervals (in inches) <sup>1</sup>									
Duration					Average recurren	ce interval (years)			•	
Burution	1	2	5	10	25	50	100	200	500	1000
5-min	<b>0.144</b> (0.128-0.163)	<b>0.177</b> (0.157-0.201)	<b>0.220</b> (0.195-0.251)	<b>0.255</b> (0.224-0.294)	<b>0.303</b> (0.256-0.363)	<b>0.340</b> (0.280-0.417)	<b>0.377</b> (0.303-0.476)	<b>0.416</b> (0.323-0.542)	<b>0.469</b> (0.347-0.640)	<b>0.510</b> (0.363-0.72
10-min	<b>0.206</b> (0.183-0.234)	<b>0.253</b> (0.225-0.288)	<b>0.315</b> (0.279-0.360)	<b>0.366</b> (0.321-0.421)	<b>0.434</b> (0.367-0.520)	<b>0.487</b> (0.402-0.597)	<b>0.541</b> (0.434-0.682)	<b>0.597</b> (0.463-0.776)	<b>0.672</b> (0.498-0.917)	<b>0.731</b> (0.521-1.04
15-min	<b>0.249</b> (0.222-0.283)	<b>0.306</b> (0.272-0.348)	<b>0.381</b> (0.338-0.435)	<b>0.442</b> (0.388-0.509)	<b>0.525</b> (0.444-0.628)	<b>0.589</b> (0.486-0.722)	<b>0.654</b> (0.524-0.825)	<b>0.722</b> (0.560-0.939)	<b>0.813</b> (0.602-1.11)	<b>0.885</b> (0.630-1.2
30-min	<b>0.361</b> (0.322-0.410)	<b>0.444</b> (0.395-0.505)	<b>0.553</b> (0.490-0.631)	<b>0.641</b> (0.563-0.738)	<b>0.762</b> (0.643-0.911)	<b>0.854</b> (0.704-1.05)	<b>0.949</b> (0.760-1.20)	<b>1.05</b> (0.812-1.36)	<b>1.18</b> (0.873-1.61)	<b>1.28</b> (0.913-1.8;
60-min	<b>0.534</b> (0.475-0.606)	<b>0.657</b> (0.584-0.747)	<b>0.817</b> (0.724-0.932)	<b>0.948</b> (0.832-1.09)	<b>1.13</b> (0.951-1.35)	<b>1.26</b> (1.04-1.55)	<b>1.40</b> (1.12-1.77)	<b>1.55</b> (1.20-2.01)	<b>1.74</b> (1.29-2.38)	<b>1.90</b> (1.35-2.69
2-hr	<b>0.823</b> (0.733-0.934)	<b>1.01</b> (0.898-1.15)	<b>1.25</b> (1.11-1.43)	<b>1.45</b> (1.27-1.67)	<b>1.71</b> (1.44-2.04)	<b>1.90</b> (1.57-2.33)	<b>2.10</b> (1.68-2.65)	<b>2.30</b> (1.79-3.00)	<b>2.57</b> (1.90-3.51)	<b>2.77</b> (1.98-3.93
3-hr	<b>1.06</b> (0.946-1.21)	<b>1.30</b> (1.16-1.48)	<b>1.61</b> (1.43-1.84)	<b>1.86</b> (1.63-2.14)	<b>2.19</b> (1.85-2.62)	<b>2.44</b> (2.01-2.99)	<b>2.69</b> (2.15-3.39)	<b>2.94</b> (2.28-3.82)	<b>3.27</b> (2.42-4.46)	<b>3.52</b> (2.50-4.99
6-hr	<b>1.61</b> (1.43-1.83)	<b>1.98</b> (1.76-2.25)	<b>2.45</b> (2.17-2.80)	<b>2.83</b> (2.48-3.25)	<b>3.32</b> (2.81-3.98)	<b>3.69</b> (3.05-4.53)	<b>4.06</b> (3.26-5.12)	<b>4.43</b> (3.44-5.77)	<b>4.92</b> (3.64-6.71)	<b>5.28</b> (3.76-7.49
12-hr	<b>2.27</b> (2.02-2.58)	<b>2.84</b> (2.52-3.23)	<b>3.57</b> (3.16-4.07)	<b>4.14</b> (3.64-4.77)	<b>4.90</b> (4.14-5.87)	<b>5.47</b> (4.51-6.71)	<b>6.04</b> (4.84-7.61)	<b>6.60</b> (5.13-8.59)	<b>7.35</b> (5.44-10.0)	<b>7.90</b> (5.63-11.2
24-hr	<b>3.13</b> (2.81-3.55)	<b>3.99</b> (3.58-4.53)	<b>5.08</b> (4.56-5.79)	<b>5.95</b> (5.30-6.82)	<b>7.10</b> (6.16-8.37)	<b>7.96</b> (6.78-9.54)	<b>8.82</b> (7.36-10.8)	<b>9.67</b> (7.89-12.1)	<b>10.8</b> (	

https://hdsc.nws.noaa.gov/hdsc/pfds/pfds\_map\_cont.html

Open Channel Flow Calculator Philips - Ilelhan bluehin

Page 1 of 1

The open channel flow calculator									
Select Channel Type: Trapezoid ✓	Rectangle	$\frac{1}{z_1} \frac{1}{z_2} \frac{1}{z_1} \frac{1}{z_2} \frac{1}{z_1} \frac{1}{z_2} \frac{1}{z_1} \frac{1}{z_2} \frac{1}{z_1} \frac{1}$							
Velocity(V)&Discharge(Q) V	Select unit system: Feet(ft) 🗸								
Channel slope: .041 ft/ft 29/100@4.1%	Water depth(y): 1.2 [ft	Bottom width(b) 5							
Flow velocity 7.6386 ft/s	LeftSlope (Z1): 2.5 to 1 (H:'	RightSlope (Z2): 2.5 to 1 (H:V							
Flow discharge 73.3302 ft^3/s	Input n value 035 or select r								
Calculate!	Status: Calculation finished	Reset							
Wetted perimeter 11.46	Flow area 9.6 ft^2	Top width(T)11 ft							
Specific energy 2.11	Froude number 1.44	Flow status Supercritical flow							
Critical depth 1.47	Critical slope 0.0187 [ft/ft	Velocity head 0.91							

Copyright 2000 Dr. Xing Fang, Department of Civil Engineering, Lamar University. Г

The open channel flow calculator						
Select Channel Type: Triangle ✓	Image: Sector glassical state   Image: Sector glassical state <td< th=""><th><math display="block">\frac{1}{z_1} \frac{1}{z_2} \frac{1}{z_1} \frac{1}{z_2} \frac{1}{z_1} \frac{1}{z_2} \frac{1}{z_1} \frac{1}</math></th></td<>	$\frac{1}{z_1} \frac{1}{z_2} \frac{1}{z_1} \frac{1}{z_2} \frac{1}{z_1} \frac{1}{z_2} \frac{1}{z_1} \frac{1}$				
Velocity(V)&Discharge(Q) 🗸	Select unit system: Feet(ft) 🗸					
Channel slope: .054	Water depth(y): 1 ft	Bottom W(b) 0				
Flow velocity 14.3881 ft/s	LeftSlope (Z1): 1 Ito 1 (H:'	RightSlope (Z2): 1 to 1 (H:V				
Flow discharge 14.3881 ft^3/s	Input n value.012 or select r	5				
Calculate!	Status: Calculation finished	Reset				
Wetted perimeter 2.83	Flow area 1 [ft^2	Top width(T)2 ft				
Specific energy 4.21	Froude number 3.59	Flow status Supercritical flow				
Critical depth 1.67	Critical slope 0.0035 ft/ft	Velocity head 3.21				

Copyright 2000 Dr. Xing Fang, Department of Civil Engineering, Lamar University.

# **1. TYPICAL VALUES OF MANNING CHARACTERISTIC ROUGHNESS**

The table below presents the manning characteristic roughness for many common materials of construction for channels and natural formations of streams.

Characteristic Roughness	n (m <sup>1/6</sup> )			
Pipes and Flumes				
Brass pipe	0.010			
Plastic, smooth	0.010			
Steel, smooth	0.012			
Concrete pipe, finished	0.012			
Wood plank pipe or flume, planed	0.012			
Wood plank pipe or flume, unplaned	0.013			
Asphalt, smooth	0.013			
Clay tile, smooth	0.013			
Vitrified sewer pipe	0.013			
Semicircular metal flumes, smooth	0.013			
Cast-iron pipe	0.014			
Concrete pipe, unfinished	0.015			

https://neutrium.net/fluid\_flow/manning-characteristic-roughness/ 2/25/2019

# Manning Characteristic Roughness – Neutrium

# Page 2 of 2

Brick with cement mortar	0.015
Riveted steel pipe	0.017
Semicircular metal flumes, corrugated	0.028



# ARTICLES PODCAST CONTACT DONATE

## MANNING CHARACTERISTIC ROUGHNESS

## **SUMMARY**

The Manning Characteristic Roughness is used to characterise the surfaces over which water can flow in streams, channels, ditches and flumes. This article presents a reference of roughness values for many common materials of construction for channels and natural formations of streams.

## **1. DEFINITIONS**

nnn : Manning characteristic roughness



# Phillips-Kelham Trust Vineyard Proposal Runoff/Peak Flow Analysis Pre-Project Conditions

# Legend:

# HSG, Land Use, Hydrologic Condition, Curve Number

D	Paved Roads	93		
D	Farmsteads	86	F	
С	Farmsteads	82	-	
D	Woods-Grass Combination Good Condition	79		
D	Woods, Good Condition	77		
D	Vineyard ("Annual Grass") Good Condition	81	/ / NV	
D	Vineyard ("Open Space") Fair Condition	84	0	
С	Vineyard ("Open Space") Fair Condition	79		
Imj	pervious Area (Pond)	98		
Wa	tershed Boundaries		-	
Time of Concentration (Tc) Flowpaths, Stream Reach				

0

**Outlets (Points of Interest)** 





Phillips-Kelham Trust Vineyard Proposal Runoff/Peak Flow Analysis Post-Project Conditions

# Legend:

HSG, Land Use, Hydrologic Condition, Curve Number

D	Paved Roads	93	and the second se
D	Farmsteads	86	
С	Farmsteads	82	F
D	Woods-Grass Combination Good Condition	<b>79</b>	$\bigcirc$
D	Woods, Good Condition	77	$\square$
D	Vineyard ("Annual Grass") Good Condition	81	
D	Vineyard ("Open Space") Fair Condition	84	
С	Vineyard ("Open Space") Fair Condition	79	
Im	pervious Area (Pond)	98	
Wa	tershed Boundaries	-	
Tin Stre	ne of Concentration (Tc) Flowpaths, eam Reach		i
Ou	tlets (Points of Interest)	0	M
Sub	-Watershed C-1		I

