

Final • April 2025



Acknowledgments

COMMITTEE **TECHNICAL ADVISORY**

City of Dunsmuir City of Dorris

City of Montague City of Etna

City of Mt Shasta

City of Tulelake City of Weed

City of Yreka

County of Siskiyou

Town of Fort Jones

Karuk Tribe

Reservation Quartz Valley Indian

Caltrans District 2

PARTNER AGENCIES

AND GROUPS

College of the Siskiyous

Care (CoC) NorCal Continuum of

Human Services Agency Siskiyou County Health and

Siskiyou County Office of

Education

Express (STAGE) Siskiyou Transit and General

PREPARED BY

Nora Hastings Aswatha Raghunathasami, Philip Longenecker, Jeff Knowles, Katie Selin, Alta Planning + Design:



Dante Giordanengo Justi Hansen, Recreation Alliance: Siskiyou Outdoor

PREPARED FOR



NOISSIMMOD NOITATRO92NART SISKIYOU COUNTY

Executive Director Relissa Cummins



Active Transportation Program Transportation Commission's grant from the California This project is funded by a

Executive Summary

The Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan (ATP) lays out a vision for creating safer, healthier, and more connected communities by improving conditions for people walking and biking. With input from residents, local agencies, and community organizations, this plan identifies opportunities to improve mobility, safety, and transportation access in towns and rural areas across the county.

This plan builds on existing policies and integrates community input to identify and prioritize infrastructure projects. Covering Siskiyou County's cities and unincorporated areas, the ATP focuses on enhancing connectivity between homes and key destinations like schools, parks, and commercial areas while addressing high-crash locations.

In **Chapters 1-3**, the plan introduces a vision and goals for improving active transportation, examines the current state of infrastructure and safety in Siskiyou County, and identifies challenges and opportunities for people walking and biking.

Chapters 4 and 5 illustrate county-wide metrics that could support future grant applications and describe the plan's outreach and engagement activities. Engaging the public spanned three phases, including 10 walk audits (site visits) to assess local roadway conditions. These walk audits occurred in Tulelake, Dorris, Etna, Fort Jones, Montague, Yreka, Happy Camp, Weed, Mt. Shasta and Dunsmuir. The planning process also included:

- An open house in Yreka, where participants identified improvement priorities.
- An interactive map, which received 178 comments about location-specific needs.
- A community survey, with 145 responses highlighting issues like unsafe crossings, infrastructure gaps, and speeding concerns.
- Tabling at eight local events, such as the Dunsmuir Railroad Days and Montague Balloon Festival, to reach a broader audience.
- A second open house in Etna, focusing on refining community-specific priorities.
- Tabling at Safe Routes to School events with four schools to promote active transportation and gather feedback from students and parents.
- Agency collaboration, where local councils and public works departments provided input.

Key community concerns include unsafe crossings, gaps in sidewalks and bike paths, and high vehicle speeds. Residents expressed a desire for safer routes to schools, improved access to parks and businesses, and better regional trail connections.

Chapters 6 and 7 present infrastructure and program recommendations for Siskiyou County, Caltrans, and local communities to implement over time, supported by targeted grants and existing funding sources. These recommendations are designed to directly address the concerns and needs identified during the planning process by the communities and through site visits and data collection.

The Siskiyou County ATP offers a comprehensive roadmap for building a safer, more accessible, and connected transportation system that enhances walking and biking conditions, promotes community health, supports economic growth, and addresses the mobility needs of residents and visitors alike.

Table of Contents

01	Background & Context	8
	Introduction	
	Project Area	
	Plan Development Process	15
02	Vision & Goals	7
O 3	Understanding Siskiyou County Today 2	<u> </u>
3		3
03	Understanding Siskiyou County Today 2	
03	Understanding Siskiyou County Today 2 Related Plans and Policy Context	27
O 3	Understanding Siskiyou County Today 2 Related Plans and Policy Context	27

05	Community Voice	56
	Engagement by Numbers	57
	Phase 1: Listen and Learn	61
	Phase 2: Align and Refine	62
	Phase 3: Share and Review	63
	Key Community Input Themes	64
	How We Incorporated Community Feedback	67
06	Recommendations	71
	Non-Infrastructure Recommendations	73
	Infrastructure Recommendations	86
	Project Prioritization Methodology	89
67	What Next: Implementation	110
V	and Funding	118
	Implementation	119
	Funding	123
	Maintaining Infrastructure for Walking and Biking .	130
A	Appendices	132

List of Figures

Figure 1	Project Area	11	Figure 15	Infrastructure Recommendations in Dorris 9	93
Figure 2	Project Planning Phases	16	Figure 16	Infrastructure Recommendations	0.5
Figure 3	Commute Mode Share 2022 ACS 5-Year Estimates	39	Figure 17	Infrastructure Recommendations in Etna	
Figure 4	Walking, Biking, and Transit Current Commute Mode Share	42	Figure 18	Infrastructure Recommendations in Fort Jones	99
Figure 5	Vehicle Crashes Involving People Walking and Biking	44	Figure 19	Infrastructure Recommendations in Happy Camp	01
Figure 6	Severity-Weighted Crash Index (All Modes)	47	Figure 20	Infrastructure Recommendations in Montague	07
Figure 7	Median Household Income (2022)	51	Figure 24		0.
Figure 8	Schools and Free and Reduced-Price Meal Eligibility	. 53	Figure 21	Corridor Infrastructure Recommendations in Mt. Shasta – Regional Connections 10	07
Figure 9	CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Scores by Census Tract		Figure 22	Corridor Infrastructure Recommendations in Mt. Shasta – Downtown Connections 10	80
	Engagement Overview - Siskiyou County		Figure 23	Spot Infrastructure Recommendations in Mt. Shasta	Uc
Figure 11	Engagement Overview – Yreka	60			ر ن
Figure 12	Engagement Overview – Mt. Shasta	60	Figure 24	Infrastructure Recommendations in Tulelake	11
Figure 13	Survey Responses When Asked, "What Are the Biggest Issues for People Walking and Biking in		Figure 25	Infrastructure Recommendations in Weed 12	
	Your Community"	64	Figure 26	Corridor Infrastructure Recommendations	
Figure 14	Survey Responses When Asked, "How Important	t		in Yreka	16
	is it to Invest in or Advocate for the Following Types of Projects?	65	Figure 27	Spot Infrastructure Recommendations in Yreka	17

List of Tables

Table 1	of Improvement Needs
Table 2	High-Priority Corridor Infrastructure Recommendations in Unincorporated Siskiyou County
Table 3	High-Priority Spot Infrastructure Recommendations in Unincorporated Siskiyou County
Table 4	High-Priority Infrastructure Recommendations in Dorris
Table 5	High-Priority Infrastructure Recommendations in Dunsmuir
Table 6	High-Priority Corridor Infrastructure Recommendations in Etna
Table 7	High-Priority Spot Infrastructure Recommendations in Etna
Table 8	High-Priority Corridor Infrastructure Recommendations in Fort Jones
Table 9	High-Priority Spot Infrastructure Recommendations in Fort Jones
Table 10	High-Priority Corridor Infrastructure Recommendations in Happy Camp100
Table 11	High-Priority Spot Infrastructure Recommendations in Happy Camp100
Table 12	High-Priority Corridor Infrastructure Recommendations in Montague

Table 13	Recommendations in Montague
Table 14	High-Priority Corridor Infrastructure Recommendations in Mt. Shasta104
Table 15	High-Priority Spot Infrastructure Recommendations in Mt. Shasta106
Table 16	High-Priority Corridor Infrastructure Recommendations in Tulelake110
Table 17	High-Priority Spot Infrastructure Recommendations in Tulelake110
Table 18	High-Priority Corridor Infrastructure Recommendations in Weed
Table 19	High-Priority Spot Infrastructure Recommendations in Weed
Table 20	High-Priority Corridor Infrastructure Recommendations in Yreka
Table 21	High-Priority Spot Infrastructure Recommendations in Yreka
Table 22	Short-Term Recommended Actions and Next Steps
Table 23	Long-Term Recommended Actions and Next Steps

Definitions and Abbreviations

ACCESSIBILITY

The ability for all individuals, including those with disabilities or limited mobility, to reach destinations using various modes of transportation safely and conveniently.

AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT (ADA)

A civil rights law enacted in 1990 that prohibits discrimination based on disability. The ADA establishes standards for accessible design in public spaces, including transportation facilities. Public Right-of-Way Accessibility Guidelines (PROWAG) was adopted in July 2024, creating additional enforceable regulations about creating accessible routes in public spaces.

ATP

Refers to this document, the Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan. Throughout the narrative, it is often abbreviated as 'ATP.'

DISADVANTAGED COMMUNITY

Refers to communities that may face greater social, economic, or transportation-related barriers than others. Practically speaking, this plan gives greater priority to projects in census tracts where median household income falls within the lowest 20th percentile in Siskiyou County.

FACILITY

A specific piece of infrastructure that supports transportation, such as a bike lane, sidewalk, shared-use path, or a cross walk.

NETWORK

The interconnected system of transportation facilities, including roads, sidewalks, trails, and bike lanes, that allows people to travel between destinations.

SAFE ROUTES TO SCHOOL (SRTS)

A program designed to make walking and biking to school safer and more accessible for students. SRTS projects often include both infrastructure improvements and educational or encouragement initiatives to promote active transportation for students.

UNINCORPORATED SISKIYOU COUNTY

Areas of Siskiyou County that are not governed by a city government. The ATP addresses transportation needs in both unincorporated areas and the incorporated cities within the county.

INCORPORATED AREAS

Cities or towns within Siskiyou County that have their own local government and municipal boundaries.



Background & Context

Introduction

The Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan (ATP) establishes a shared vision for active transportation and a set of recommended programs and projects to make it easier and safer for people walking, biking, rolling, or taking public transit. The ATP builds upon regional and local planning efforts, such as the Siskiyou County General Plan Update, and incorporates community input to create an active transportation system that makes jobs, schools, businesses, and destinations more accessible to people walking and biking and addresses the areas with the highest number of crashes involving people walking and biking. We created this plan to increase active transportation use; improve safety for everyone traveling on the roadways; enhance community safety and resilience; and support economic growth. The ATP identifies and prioritizes active transportation infrastructure projects, programs, and policies to advance these goals.

The ATP...

- Understands existing active transportation conditions in Siskiyou County.
- Engaged the public in the planning process to identify community needs and concerns and identifies ways to address them.
- Identifies and recommends projects, programs, and policies for future implementation that build a safe and accessible active transportation network across Siskiyou County.

This project is funded by a grant from the California Transportation Commission's Active Transportation Program.



"WE" WHO?

In this plan, "we" refers to the Siskiyou County Local Transportation Commission and staff, backed by City and County leadership and supported by a team of technical specialists and community groups who have invested time, energy, and expertise into the planning process.



WHAT IS ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION?

Active transportation refers to humanpowered ways of travel such as walking, biking, rolling in a mobility device, and riding a scooter. Even if you drive most of the time, chances are you still need to walk for at least part of your trip, like walking to a parked car; or if you are a student, walking and biking to and from school. Active transportation also includes outdoor recreational activities, such as hiking or mountain biking.




Figure 1 Project Area



Plan Purpose: Why Develop an ATP for Siskiyou County?

Benefits of Active Transportation in Siskiyou County

Siskiyou County's ATP envisions safe and healthy rural communities that provide accessible, convenient, and connected pedestrian and bicycle facilities linking people, businesses, and scenic destinations. Building infrastructure like sidewalks, crosswalks, paths, and bike lanes will increase the number of transportation options for residents in Siskiyou County and attract visitors interested in sustainable recreation and tourism. Walking and biking options—especially those that connect to transit—make it possible to travel without depending solely on personal motor vehicles, improve safety for people of all ages, support economic growth, increase access to recreation, enhance physical and mental health, and reduce emissions from motor vehicles. These benefits are further described in the following sections.






MOBILITY BENEFITS

Active transportation provides people with more transportation choices and enables people who cannot drive or do not have access to vehicles to travel independently and safely. Transit-dependent residents of Siskiyou County include elderly, low-income, disabled, and zero-vehicle households who benefit significantly from active transportation improvements.¹

The mobility benefits of walking and biking also include reducing congestion and increasing access to jobs, businesses, schools, and destinations. Even though Siskiyou County is a rural place, people of all ages walk and bike daily to parks, local businesses, and schools. Working toward a transportation system that allows kids to walk and bike safely to and from school is a core component of any active transportation plan.

1 Siskiyou County. 2021 Short Range Transit Plan. Accessed June 2024., https://siskiyoucoltc.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/06/scltc_2021_srtp.pdf.



ECONOMIC BENEFITS

Active transportation options provide a range of economic benefits, including supporting local businesses and encouraging tourism. Active transportation infrastructure like wider sidewalks, bike lanes, bike share stations, and trails can increase retail sales, property values, and spur economic development.²


Other economic benefits include lower household transportation costs and decreased health care costs. Transportation burden refers to the percentage of income a household spends on transportation, and this often falls hardest on lowest income families. **Nationally, households** earning less than \$25,000 spent 30% of their after-tax income on transportation.³ Providing safe and connected opportunities for walking and biking can significantly reduce people's transportation burdens, particularly for those who do not have access to a motor vehicle. Increased walking and biking can reduce individual health care costs and reduce community health burdens.

- 2 Urban Land Institute. Active Transportation and Real Estate: The Next Frontier. March 2016. https://uli.org/wp-content/uploads/ULI-Documents/Active-Transportation-and-Real-Estate-The-Next-Frontier.pdf.
- 3 U.S. Department of Transportation, Bureau of Transportation Statistics. "The Household Cost of Transportation: Is It Affordable?" July 2, 2024, https://www.bts.gov/data-spotlight/household-cost-transportation-it-affordable.

RECREATION AND TOURISM

In Siskiyou County, **outdoor recreation is a vibrant economic sector, contributing over \$129 million in travel spending and over \$10 million in state and local tax revenue.**⁴ The recreation and tourism economy can include the impact of money spent in communities by recreation users, attracting new businesses, and preserving history, nature, and culture. Tourism-related employment is also expected to grow in Siskiyou County to include about 1,872 workers by 2032.⁵ Pedestrian- and bicycle-friendly environments with wider sidewalks, shaded low-traffic streets, and pedestrian-friendly shopping areas support local businesses. When active transportation infrastructure is supplemented with better transit services and conducive land use, it can yield high benefits to the community.

- 4 Discover Siskiyou Industry Partners. A Resource for Tourism Stakeholders. Accessed December 2024. https://www.industry.discoversiskiyou.com/#:~:text=With%20%24129M%2B%20in%20trayel.a%20big%20impact%20on%20Siskiyou.
- 5 Siskiyou County. Siskiyou County General Plan Update: "4. Economic and Market Analysis." May 2024. https://siskiyou2050.com/images/docs/SkyGP-BR-04-Economic-PRD.pdf.



COMMUNITY HEALTH

Travel by walking and biking enables people to incorporate physical activity into their everyday routines. Even moderate amounts of regular exercise can improve cardiovascular fitness and muscle strength and reduce the risk of chronic diseases such as obesity, diabetes, and heart disease. The US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention recommends improving access to safe places to play and walk, such as parks, safe streets, trails, and greenways as a strategy to increase physical activity and improve community health.⁶ Reducing use of motor vehicles can reduce air pollutants, which can aggravate asthma and susceptibility to respiratory illness. Promoting Safe Routes to School among youth increases physical activity, encourages a healthy lifestyle, and improves academic performance. Active transportation use also benefits mental health by reducing stress and promoting social interaction by creating human-scaled streets and neighborhoods.

6 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). "Physical Activity and Public Health Strategy." Accessed December 2024. https://www.cdc.gov/physical-activity/php/public-health-strategy/index.html.





ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFITS

Unlike motor vehicles, walking and biking do not emit air pollutants like carbon dioxide, nitrous oxide, and particulate matter. These emissions can harm individual and community health by degrading local air quality and impacting waterways through brake and tire particulate runoff. Facilities for the operation and parking of motor vehicles also require more space and are a major source of water pollution. The environmental footprint and pollution can be significantly lowered by shifting to active modes of travel, even for short trips. In Siskiyou County, a healthy environment and the recreation industry are mutually beneficial.

7 National Association of City Transportation Officials (NACTO). The Business Case for Active Transportation. Accessed December 2024. https://nacto.org/docs/usdg/business_case_for_active_transportation_campbell.pdf.

Plan Development Process

The Siskiyou ATP development process began in 2023 and concluded in spring 2025. The process began with analyzing data on existing conditions and engaging Siskiyou County residents, by attending existing events and promoting an online survey, to understand their transportation needs. After that, the project established a guiding vision and goals, and developed recommendations. After several rounds of review, the ATP was sent to the Siskiyou County Local Transportation Commission for adoption. The three phases of the planning process are as follows and shown in Figure 2:

Phase 1

Listen and Learn

In Phase 1, the project team gathered information on transportation conditions in the county, reviewed relevant plans and policies, and presented to the Siskiyou County Local Transportation Commission and Technical Advisory Committee. With guidance from the commission and committee, the project team established the ATP's vision and goals and began conversations with local community leadership and residents to understand their transportation-related experiences, needs, and challenges. The project team created an online public input map and survey and conducted walk audits in 10 communities around the county. Additional details about this process are included on page 61.

Phase 2

Align and Refine


In Phase 2, the project team incorporated community feedback and field visit observations from Phase 1 to refine the goals and objectives and then developed a list of recommendations. The project team continued to engage with community members by tabling at events throughout the county during the summer of 2024, keeping the survey open for additional feedback, and holding an open house event. The project team also coordinated with the County Office of Education to share project updates, answer questions, and align recommendations with community needs.


Phase 3

Share and Review

In Phase 3, the project team updated the final recommendations based on community input gathered from Phase 2. The project team identified how the recommended projects will be prioritized for funding and what implementation strategies can best achieve the ATP's vision. Then the project team shared the draft ATP with the community by sharing it with the project email list, holding a virtual open house, and posting using all available communication channels and to get final feedback.

Figure 2 Project Planning Phases






Vision & Goals

The ATP vision and goals were developed based on related local and regional plans and input from the SCLTC, staff, community, and the Technical Advisory Committee.

- Vision is our future-oriented commitment and approach to what we want to achieve through the implementation of this ATP.
- Goals are desired outcomes related to active transportation. These goals provide direction to guide decisions.
- Actions detail the specific steps we need to take to achieve the intended goals and vision.





65%

of survey respondents want to prioritize "Connectivity" when planning for the future of the County's active transportation system.

Goals

1. Multimodal Connectivity

In each community, and between communities where feasible, identify and develop a well-connected network of on- and off-street walkways, trails, and bikeways that meets the needs of residents, commuters, and recreational users of all ages, abilities, and skill levels.

ACTIONS

- **1.1** Construct future priority projects for people walking, rolling, and biking identified in the Regional Transportation Plan (2021) in the ten-year and twenty-year planning horizons.
- 1.2 Provide improved, reliable, and more frequent connections between and within communities and establish connections between partner organizations and other interested parties including Siskiyou Transit and General Express (STAGE), trails associations, and various cities.
- **1.3** Support the education and promotion of active transportation through Safe Routes to Schools programs and strengthen existing partnerships with teachers, administrators, parents, and community organizations.

- **1.4** Encourage local agencies to evaluate and prioritize projects that meet the active transportation goals identified in Siskiyou County's Regional Transportation Plan goals.
- 1.5 Fund and assist in the development of bikeways and pedestrian facilities that safely and efficiently improve connections to transit, as well as amenities at transit locations such as bike parking and bus kiosks.
- **1.6** Work with STAGE to provide connections between communities and to popular trail heads and recreation destinations and accommodate people traveling with bikes.

2. Safety and Comfort

Invest in connected and convenient transportation infrastructure for all modes, with a focus on improving safety and options for people walking, rolling, and biking.

ACTIONS

- **2.1** Reduce the number, rate, and severity of bicycle- and pedestrian-involved crashes.
- 2.2 Identify and address safety concerns in potential conflict locations and highinjury networks involving active transportation users.
- 2.3 Work with agencies and communities to implement educational, enforcement, and engineering strategies to promote traffic safety.
- 2.4 Remove barriers for people with disabilities and increase overall convenience and safety for pedestrians and bicyclists.
- 2.5 Prioritize investments in separated facilities, major crossings, and downtown corridors that improve the safety of people walking, biking, and using mobility devices.
- 2.6 Invest in new and improve existing substandard pedestrian and biking facilities to meet or exceed design standards to enhance safety and comfort.



57%

of survey respondents want to prioritize "Economy" when planning for the future of the County's active transportation system.

3. Community Development

Investments in transportation infrastructure are the backbone of an economically thriving community.

ACTIONS

- 3.1 Provide safe and varied transportation options that attract people and businesses and preserve the history and culture of local communities.
- 3.2 Leverage transportation investments to attract businesses, visitors, and recreation enthusiasts to promote economic development.
- 3.3 Reduce household transportation burdens by providing more affordable options to get to work, schools, shops, and destinations.
- 3.4 Integrate complete street and other design elements, such as street trees and public art, with walkways and bikeways to create thriving shared community places.

60%

of survey respondents want to prioritize "Safety" when planning for the future of the County's active transportation system.


4. Healthy and Just Communities

Better options for walking and biking encourage active lifestyles and health. Focus active transportation improvements in areas with high numbers of people without access to a vehicle or areas where households have lower incomes.

ACTIONS

- **4.1** Increase walking and biking trips to improve public health, reduce pollution, and provide affordable options for people to get to work, school, shopping, and other destinations.
- **4.2** Prioritize equity priority communities identified in the Caltrans District 2 Active Transportation Plan (2022).
- **4.3** Prioritize communities with higher pollution levels and increased housing and transportation burdens using environmental justice indices like CalEnviroScreen and California Healthy Places Index.
- **4.4** Expand walking and rolling access to paratransit and senior transportation services to serve people with disabilities and elderly populations.

- **4.5** Engage with Native American Tribal Governments and Tribal communities to understand and address their transportation needs and concerns.
- **4.6** Provide transportation options that enable equal social and economic opportunities to all community members.
- **4.7** Acknowledge and address socioeconomic and racial disparities to create healthy and resilient communities.
- **4.8** Create active transportation opportunities for all people regardless of their race, age, income, ability, and nationality.



49%

of survey respondents want to prioritize "Livability" and

35%

want to prioritize "Equity" when planning for the future of the County's active transportation system.

45%

of survey respondents want to prioritize "Maintenance" when planning for the future of the County's active transportation system.

5. Implementation

Take practical steps to leverage state and federal dollars and collaborate with local communities to build projects from this ATP.

ACTIONS

- **5.1** Develop a short- and long-term investment plan to identify improvements to existing or missing transit, pedestrian, and bicycle facilities.
- 5.2 Build a maintenance program to ensure that transportation facilities are safe, comfortable, and free of hazards for people walking, biking, or rolling in a wheelchair.
- **5.3** Develop protocols to ensure effective communication of closures, detours, construction activities, and natural or humanmade hazards.

- **5.4** Coordinate with state and local agencies to fund active transportation investments in their facilities within the county.
- **5.5** Position the County to leverage grant funds that support investments in walking, biking, and transit facilities.
- **5.6** Explore investments in micromobility, electric vehicle charging stations, and other infrastructure advancements.
- 5.7 Pursue funding to allow additional non-incorporated communities, such as the McCloud Community Services District, to work with the County to create supplemental, mini Active Transportation Plans.

6. Resilient System

Increased, varied transportation options can provide safer evacuation routes during emergencies. All improvements must be designed to function well in the winter with snow on the ground.

ACTIONS

- 6.1 Identify opportunities to use active transportation networks as evacuation routes in the face of extreme weather events, including integration of this plan with upcoming county Emergency Evacuation Plan updates.
- **6.2** Ensure that trails, walkways, and bikeways meet wildfire safety standards.
- 6.3 Increase the ability for STAGE to provide important services for people with mobility needs during evacuation.

- 6.4 Work with each road authority to establish continued maintenance, including snow removal during all stages of design and construction of active transportation facilities, particularly those that are important evacuation routes.
- **6.5** Allocate and improve appropriate primary and secondary facilities for use following a disaster.
- 6.6 Increase community awareness about active transportation options in the Emergency Response Plan and procedures.

21%


of survey respondents want to prioritize "Resiliency" when planning for the future of the County's active transportation system.



Understanding Siskiyou County Today

In the development of the ATP, we considered the transportation system in Siskiyou County through a variety of measurable data along with community input to understand what is needed to be done today to create the transportation system the community wants in the future.





Related Plans and Policy Context

To guide the ATP and align with previous and ongoing planning efforts, the project team reviewed documents related to state, regional, and local active transportation planning and design in Siskiyou County.



STATE AND REGIONAL LEVELS PLANS

- California Transportation Plan (2050)
- Caltrans District 2 Active Transportation Plan (2022)
- California Freight Mobility Plan (2020)
- Statewide Rural Executive Summary: Coordinated Public Transit – Human Services Transportation Plans (2015)
- Safe Routes to School Programs in Rural California: A Guide for Communities and Partners (2015)
- Karuk Tribal Transportation Safety Plan (2014)



SISKIYOU COUNTY

- Regional Transportation Plan (2021)
- Regional Transportation Improvement Program (2024)
- Short Range Transit Plan (2021)
- Community Wildfire Protection Plan (2019)
- Hazard Mitigation Plan (2018)



PLANS OF INCORPORATED COMMUNITIES

- General Plans of Cities of Dorris, Dunsmuir, Etna, Fort Jones, Montague, Weed, and Yreka
- Fort Jones Community
 Pedestrian & Bicycle
 Safety Training Summary
 and Recommendations
 Report (2022)
- Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan (2022)
- City of Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan (2023)
- Mount Shasta Bicycle, Pedestrian, and Trails Master Plan (2008)
- City of Yreka Bicycle Transportation Plan (2006)

The ATP builds on these existing plans, policies, and programs; fills in gaps; and advances emerging transportation needs in Siskiyou County. Through the plan review process, key themes emerged that are integral to the ATP effort:

MULTIMODAL SYSTEMS PLANNING

Active transportation is a significant priority in local and regional plans to provide connections to trails, scenic corridors, destinations, and public transit. The ATP will improve transportation networks, provide alternative travel options, and increase access to public transit.





SAFETY

There are existing plans that call for projects that will increase bicycle and pedestrian safety along corridors and intersections with the goal of reducing the number, rate, and severity of pedestrian- and bicyclist-involved crashes. The recommendations in the ATP will prioritize projects that address safety concerns identified in the county's transportation system today.

NEEDS-BASED INDICATORS

Indicators like median household income, free and reduced-price school meal program eligibility, Tribal boundaries, or need-based indices like CalEnviroScreen and California Healthy Places Index are used in existing plans and policies to identify communities that have been underinvested in the past. The ATP analyzed median household income and free or reduced-price meal eligibility and used public health and economic data tools along with community input to identify disadvantaged communities in Siskiyou County. Findings from these studies guided project identification, prioritization, and implementation.

HAZARD MITIGATION AND EMERGENCY PLANNING

Siskiyou County faces a range of potential hazards including floods, severe weather, landslides, earthquakes, drought, dam failure, volcanoes, and wildfires. While the six major highways in Siskiyou County are the primary evacuation routes, the ATP will enhance emergency preparedness by creating new shared-use paths and trails that can serve as alternate evacuation routes and improve access for firefighting and emergency resources to areas with limited existing road infrastructure.

TRANSPORTATION OPTIONS

State, regional, and local plans and policies aim to reduce greenhouse gas emissions from transportation-related sources in Siskiyou County. The ATP supports this vision by building active transportation infrastructure which increases the number of transportation options and provides greater access to Siskiyou County's vast natural resources and recreational opportunities.

View the full document review in <u>Appendix A:</u> <u>Existing Conditions Report</u>.

Active Transportation Today

Considering the geographic size and different levels of development across communities in Siskiyou County, planning for active transportation infrastructure also varies widely between them. Some communities, such as Mt. Shasta and Dunsmuir, have recently completed active transportation plans, complete with infrastructure inventories and project recommendations. Other incorporated communities, however, may only have a General Plan, which doesn't document existing infrastructure.

This section describes the key issues, gaps, and needs identified based on existing conditions analysis, walk audits and interactions with local staff and representatives. See existing infrastructure maps developed based on available data in Appendix A: Existing Conditions Report. The key issues, needs, and gaps identified through the walk audits in 10 Siskiyou County communities are documented in more detail in Appendix C: Walk Audit Summary.



We used existing maps, field visits, meetings with local leaders, and community input to create a picture of existing infrastructure and future needs in Siskiyou County today.




- Connections between towns: Some long-distance biking trips occur between towns and to recreation destinations.
- Crossing larger roadways in town:
 Most safety issues are related to large
 roadways and crossings. However,
 there are many opportunities to widen
 shoulders and build multi-use paths
 across the county.

- Signage for US Bike Route 85: Add signage for bicycles along USBR 85 CA Southbound from the northern county limit and southern county limit.
- Widen shoulders between Fort Jones and Etna.
- Widen shoulders between McCloud and Mt. Shasta.
- Widen shoulders on State Route 3 between Yreka and Monteque.
- Multi-use paths connecting towns like Montague Rail Trail, McCloud/Dunsmuir/ Mt. Shasta/Weed Trails to increase connectivity and access to recreation destinations.



↑ Mount Shasta



↑ U.S. Bicycle Route 85



- Build on the momentum of the Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan (2023) and align with its priorities, particularly for projects on County roads (e.g., Mott Rd).
- Assistance with grant administration:
 City staff highlighted the need for the SCLTC's role in pursuing and administering grants.
- Build on local recreational attractions and promote new ones, such as mountain biking.

- Dunsmuir ATP Priority Project 1: Class I Path closing the gap between existing multi-use paths on Siskiyou Ave and Dunsmuir Ave
- Electric vehicle charging at Hedge Creek Falls (Study potential)
- Mt. Bradley mountain bike trails (Study potential)



↑ Hedge Creek Falls



↑ Mott Rd



- Traffic on Hwy 97/1st St: Large trucks and high traffic volumes makes Hwy 97/1st St uncomfortable for pedestrians.
- Large distance between crossings:
 There is only one crosswalk on Hwy 97
 between 1st St and 4th St.
- Need for sidewalks: 2nd St connects many destinations but lacks sidewalks and some gaps remain on Hwy 97.

- Sidewalks on 2nd St: Need for sidewalk on both sides of W 2nd St between Portland St and Main St.
- Crossing improvements on 3rd St and Pine St: Improvements like high visibility crosswalk markings, pedestrian refuge island, and reducing crossing distance are needed on Hwy 97/Main St and 3rd St and Hwy 97/ Main St and Pine St.



ightharpoonup Looking east on 2nd St at Portland St



↑ Looking south on Hwy 97 at Butte St

Etna



IMPORTANT ISSUES AND NEEDS

- Main St: Concerns about accessibility, and crosswalk visibility. Truck traffic via Sawyers Bar Rd passes through the street.
- Hwy 3 / Collier Way: High-speed traffic mixes with students walking to destinations, such as the ice cream shop and convenience store.
- Diggles St: Extra-wide road with unhelpful crosswalks (e.g., lack of ADA ramps and high visibility markings near Post Office).
- Howell Ave/Diggles St/Center St: Important intersection that connects parks and schools, but lacks delineation for different modes

- Historic Main St Redesign: Need to prioritize
 the pedestrian experience over through traffic,
 while still maintaining as much parking as
 possible.
- Crossing improvements on Collier Way: Raised crosswalks on Collier Way between Etna Elementary School on the west side and the parking lot on the east side are needed.
- Collier Way and Hwy 3: Need to work with Caltrans District 2 to conduct a traffic safety study and needs analysis.



↑ Hwy 3 and Collier Way (looking north)



↑ Main St

Fort Jones

IMPORTANT ISSUES AND NEEDS

- Highway 3 / Main St: High traffic speeds, long crossing distances, vehicles making U-turns. Concerns about delivery vehicle access to businesses.
- Accessibility: Lack of ADA-compliant sidewalks and ramps, and high curb heights. Concerns for maintaining underground utility access on Main St as other street improvements are constructed.
- Moffett Creek: Potential off-street path connection connecting the north and south ends of town as a recreation opportunity and alternative to walking on Main St.

- Hwy 3 Speed Limit Reduction: Work with Caltrans to reduce the speed limit on Hwy 3 through Fort Jones to 30MPH.
- Moffett Creek Trail: A path along Moffett Creek should be designed and constructed.
- Hwy 3 Sidewalk: A pedestrian facility is needed on the west side of Hwy 3 between Carlock St and Scott River Rd with curb ramps as needed.



↑ Near Mofett Creek



↑ Looking north on Hwy 3/Main St

Happy Camp

IMPORTANT ISSUES AND NEEDS

- Connections to High School: Bike and pedestrian facilities for north-south travel on Indian Creek Rd to Jacobs Way, Reeves St are needed.
- 2nd Ave Bridge: 2nd Ave is a main route through town and alternative to SR-96 for crossing Indian Creek. Connects tribal headquarters, local businesses, many new residences.
- Trails: Happy Camp Elementary School could be better connected with a shared-use path.
 Potential path along Klamath River at Curly Jack Campground.

- Staircase between Reeves St and Indian Creek
 Rd: Install permanent staircase connecting Indian
 Creek Rd/Grayback Rd to Reeves St
- Indian Creek Rd Bike/Ped Facilities: Class II Bike Lanes and pedestrian facility on Indian Creek Rd. Include new signage to slow vehicle speeds.
- 2nd St Bridge Improvements: 2nd St bridge pedestrian improvements are needed to improve ADA compliance and pedestrian facilities to allow safe crossings.



↑ 2nd Ave Bridge looking west



↑ Walk audit group talking to neighbors about the cut-through path between Reeves St and Indian Creek Rd

Montague

IMPORTANT ISSUES AND NEEDS

- Crossings: Hwy 3 is challenging to cross for students. Lack of biking and walking facilities on Hwy 3 in key areas.
- Sidewalks and Curb Ramps: Some streets lack sidewalks on both sides (King St), including several near Montague Elementary School.
- **Speeding:** Participants noted streets that have high vehicle speeds, including 13th St near the park, and 11th St (Hwy 3).
- Rail to Trail Opportunity: Railroad spur to Yreka could be an opportunity for a future shared-use path between the two cities.

- 9th St Crossing: At the intersection of 9th St and Webb St, high visibility continental crosswalk markings, curb extensions and pedestrian-activated flashing lights are needed.
- King St Sidewalks: Infill sidewalks for gaps on both sides of King St between 15th St and 6th St.
- Montague Rail Trail: Conduct a feasibility study on a rails-to-trail or rails-with-trail project between Montague and Yreka.



↑ Looking east on King St



↑ Intersection of 9th St and Hwy 3

Mt. Shasta



IMPORTANT ISSUES AND NEEDS

- Build on the momentum of the Walk, Bike, Ride Mobility Plan (2022) and align with its priorities and recommendations.
- The mobility plan calls for Class II bike lanes on Old Stage Rd/W A Barr that are popular bike routes on county roadways.
- With high proximity to major recreation destinations, there is a need for regional shared-use paths or on-street facilities to access them and connecting Mt. Shasta, Dunsmuir, and Weed.

- Chestnut St/Mt. Shasta Blvd couplet (Design and implement)
- Ivy St Access Bridge for bikes, pedestrians, and emergency vehicles (Study potential)
- Headwaters to Lake Trail connecting City Park to Lake Siskiyou (Design)
- McCloud Railroad Trail Study connecting Mt. Shasta and McCloud (Design)
- Winter Maintenance Program of Everett Memorial Highway and Castle Lake Rd (Identify solutions)



↑ Looking south on Mt. Shasta Blvd



↑ Mt. Shasta City Hall



- **Crossing Distances:** wide streets increase crossing distances at many intersections.
- Accessibility: ADA compliant ramps at corners.
- **Sidewalks:** Some key destinations lack sidewalk connections, such as Otis Roper Park.
- **Speeding:** High speeds on Main St from traffic coming into town.
- Traffic Operations: Confusing intersection design at G St/Main St/Fairgrounds, particularly during large events.
- Other: Collapsing building on D St prevents students from walking on that road.

- Modoc St Sidewalks: Complete sidewalks on both sides of Modoc St between A St and 5th St.
- Crossing Improvements: High visibility continental crosswalk markings and curb ramps on the west and north legs of the intersection of E St and Second St.
- Main St/G St Intersection Redesign: Redesign and reconstruct the intersection of G St and Main St to be safer for pedestrians.



↑ Main St and G St



↑ E St and 2nd St

Weed




IMPORTANT ISSUES AND NEEDS

- Crossings: Concerns with Main St crosswalks and liability issues. Crosswalk at Boles St is uncomfortable for people walking.
- Sidewalks and Curb Ramps: Some streets lack sidewalks on both sides, including several near Weed Elementary School.
- Connectivity: Many students walk on unofficial paths: Division St to Hillside Drive, Davis Ave to Shasta Ave. Students walk through Roseburg Forest Products to reach Broadway Ave. Weed Blvd connects north and south Weed across I-5 and is used by people walking, biking, and driving.

KEY INFRASTRUCTURE GAPS

- Shasta Ave Trail and Staircase: Construct a paved trail between Davis Ave and Shasta Ave to improve connectivity between the Elementary School and surrounding neighborhoods.
- Boles St Crosswalk: High-visibility continental crosswalk markings, curb extensions and pedestrian refuge island needed on Hwy 97 at Boles St.
- Inez St Sidewalk: Construct sidewalks on both sides of Inez St between Park St and Butte St.



Division St Path



↑ Sidewalk gap on Davis Ave, a key connection to Weed Union Elementary School.

Yreka

- Crossings: Hwy 3 can be challenging to cross and has the most fatal crashes and injuries in the area.
- Safe Routes to Schools: Oregon St is a key route for students walking and biking to Yreka High School and Jackson St Elementary School.
- Downtown Walkability: Improving traffic operations and walkability on Miner St.
- Park Access: Greenhorn Park is major destination for walking and biking but needs improved access.

KEY INFRASTRUCTURE GAPS

- Crosswalk improvements at the intersection of Oregon St and Jackson St (Construct)
- Sidewalk on the south side of Greenhorn Rd from Lucas Rd to Greenhorn Park (Construct)
- Crossing improvements including pedestrian hybrid beacon and refuge island at the intersection of Main St/Hwy 3 and Turre St
- Safer Oregon St: Add bike lanes (Class IV) on Oregon St between 4H Way and Yreka High School.



↑ Humbug Trail, Greenhorn Park



↑ Jackson St and 4th St


How Are People Commuting?

Most commuters in Siskiyou County drive to work. Others work from home, walk, bike, carpool, or take transit. Figure 3 shows the estimates of the size of the populations using a particular mode of travel compared to the state of California as a whole. A higher percentage of people driving alone in Siskiyou County reflects the rural character of the county and may indicate a lack of transportation alternatives to driving.

There is a slightly higher percentage of people who walk to work in Siskiyou County than in California, on average. This may be because Yreka, Mt. Shasta, Dunsmuir, Happy Camp, and Montague have downtown cores anchored by local businesses and surrounded by residential areas and lower speed streets, so it is possible for many people to walk or bike to work.

While the share of people using a particular mode of transportation can help understand existing use patterns, it does not paint the whole picture. We supplemented the mode share information with community input through a random sample survey of community members in Siskiyou County to further understand transportation uses, needs, concerns, and priorities. We received a total of 145 survey responses from across the region.

Figure 3 Commute Mode Share 2022 ACS 5-Year Estimates



While the respondents' demographics do not match the population, their responses provide valuable insights on how people travel today and their aspirations for future travel. See <u>Chapter 4: Community Voice</u> for more information about community engagement.

What Community Members Say About...

WALKING



64% typically walk daily or a few times a week.



85% want to walk daily or a few times a week.



42% want to walk more than they currently do.



63% feel that the condition or availability of pedestrian infrastructure such as sidewalks and crossings was the top active transportation issue.

"There should be protected bike lanes or a dedicated walking/cycling path along all of Oregon St [in Yreka]. The streets are so wide which makes it easy for cars to speed. It just is not a pleasant street to walk along. I wish I could insert pictures here to emphasis how much space Oregon St takes away from pedestrians to make space for cars. Please widen the sidewalks or put protected bike/walking paths."

- Community Member Survey Response

BIKING



29% typically bike daily or a few times a week.



64% want to bike daily or a few times a week.



57% want to bike more than they currently do.



69% feel that the condition or availability of bicycle infrastructure such as bike lanes and paths was the top active transportation issue.

"Siskiyou County has so much opportunity. It's a beautiful area and I wish I could live here forever. However, it is very car dependent. I could easily bike everywhere if I wanted to. But I cannot because it's just too dangerous sometimes... I want the community to be a place where I'm comfortable letting my future children bike and walk to school, but that is not the case right now. Outdoors is for cars and trucks, not for people or children."

- Community Member Survey Response

TAKING PUBLIC TRANSIT



~5% typically take public transit daily or a few times a week.



~28% want to take public transit daily or a few times a week.

58% want to use public transit



more than they currently do.

There is a desire for improved public transportation, especial for these without cars.

public transportation, especially for those without cars, particularly during emergencies or off-hours.

"[More] public transit and more bicycle and pedestrian-friendly infrastructure. It would be nice to have frequent buses driving between all the communities throughout the county, even the most remote ones."

- Community Member Survey Response

USING A WHEELCHAIR OR MOBILITY DEVICE



~3% typically use wheelchair/ mobility device daily or a few times a week.



6% want to use their wheelchair/ mobility device more than they currently do.



59% think it was very important to invest in or advocate for building more sidewalks that are fully accessible to people walking or using wheelchairs.

"A huge need is for transportation of people in wheelchairs. The senior bus is way too limited in their hours + hospital van is only available for medical. Seniors or disabled who are unable to drive are extremely limited in their affordable options."

- Community Member Survey Response



"A place where I can walk around comfortably with close destinations! A place where I'm confident my children won't get struck by a vehicle biking to school. A place where I can walk or bike to downtown and enjoy a beer with my friends outside without car exhaust blowing in my face. A place where I can walk my dog during at night the winter and not have to put on a headlamp because there are enough streetlights. A place where I can take a bus from Yreka to Mt. Shasta without having to deep dive through the internet to find the bus schedule."


- Community Member Survey Response

People in Siskiyou County want to walk, bike, and take transit much more than they do today. The condition or availability of pedestrian and bike infrastructure needs to be improved to support the community's active transportation need. It is important to consider active transportation infrastructure through the lenses of accessibility and emergency access, particularly for vulnerable and transit-dependent communities.

Where Are Most People Walking, Biking, and Taking Transit?

The share of people walking and biking in Siskiyou County varies across the region, ranging anywhere from 0% to 12%. Figure 4 shows where people are walking, biking, and taking transit in the county. Communities with the largest populations of people walking, biking, and taking transit are in Happy Camp, Hornbrook, Tulelake, and Mt. Shasta. Perhaps more notable are places with similar demographics and land use but where there is a stark contrast in mode share: the west side of I-5 in Mt. Shasta compared to the east side; and the south and east side of Yreka compared to the north and central side. The differences speak to the role of large roadways, such as I-5, that act as barriers for walking and biking. This also highlights the importance of safe routes and crossings for people walking and biking to town centers or regional transit stops.

Figure 4 Walking, Biking, and Transit Current Commute Mode Share



Crash History

Safety for people using all travel modes, particularly those walking, biking, and rolling, is an integral part of the ATP. One way of understanding transportation safety in Siskiyou County is by analyzing crash data involving people walking and biking. The spatial distribution of crashes provides insights on the overall safety conditions but also helps identify locations and roadways with higher crash rates where safety improvements may be needed most. In addition, analyzing the types of crashes and the violation that may have caused the crash can identify some of the potential factors behind why a particular incident occurred.


According to the California Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS) between 2017 and 2022, a total of 61 pedestrian- and bicyclist-involved crashes were reported in Siskiyou County.8

The data show that state highways were the location for 21 of these crashes, or 34.4% of the pedestrian- and bicyclist-involved incidents.

Where Did Pedestrian- and Bicyclist-Involved Crashes Occur?

Figure 5 illustrates where in Siskiyou County people driving hit people walking and biking in the years 2017-2022. Most of the crashes occurred in urban and more populated areas in Siskiyou County, such as Mt. Shasta and Yreka. There were several incidents located outside these areas, scattered along the I-5 corridor. Fort Jones, Weed, Macdoel, Tulelake, Happy Camp, and McCloud each experienced at least one crash where people walking and biking were hit by someone driving a car. To view a zoomed-in version of this map for each labeled city and community, see Appendix A: Existing Conditions Report.

Drivers who hit people walking and biking in Siskiyou County between 2017-2022 caused 10 deaths and left 60 individuals injured.






Of the 10 people killed, 9 were pedestrians. The one bicyclist death occurred on Sawyers Bar Rd, a county roadway and common longdistance bike route.⁹

⁸ Transportation Injury Mapping System (TIMS). Safe Transportation Research and Education Center. University of California, Berkeley. 2024.


⁹ Cycle Siskiyou. Etna to Forks via Sawyers Bar Rd. 2024. https://cyclesiskiyou.com/etna-to-forks-sawyers-bar-rd/


Figure 5 Vehicle Crashes Involving People Walking and Biking




What Are the Frequent Types of Crashes?

The type of crash helps determine the safety countermeasures that would be most effective in addressing the problem. The crash types frequently observed in Siskiyou County and the strategies to address them are:







SIDESWIPE

These crashes often occur when vehicles are traveling parallel to each other and make side contact. Strategies to reduce sideswipe incidents include lane hardening measures, such as medians, and driver awareness education.

BROADSIDE

These types of crashes often occur at intersections. Improving intersection safety with clearer bike facilities through intersections, and implementing traffic control measures, such as traffic lights, are strategies to address these incidents.

REAR END

Addressing factors such as following distances, speed management, and driver distraction are key to reducing these incidents.

How did these Crashes Happen?

Law enforcement agencies provide information on the primary crash factor (i.e., the violation that may have caused the crash). While this may only constitute a portion of the reasons behind why a particular crash occurred, it provides insight for where improvements can be made across the county. The following were the most common violations on the part of drivers:



IMPROPER TURNING

A significant portion of the crashes resulted from improper turning. This suggests a need for enhanced driver education on proper turning techniques, as well as potential improvements in road signage and infrastructure to guide drivers safely through turns.



UNSAFE SPEED

Addressing speeding might involve stricter enforcement of speed limits, public awareness campaigns on the dangers of speeding, and potential engineering solutions to encourage compliance with speed limits.




WRONG SIDE OF ROAD

Drivers on the wrong side of the road pose serious risks. This violation calls for measures such as improved road markings, signage, and potentially enhanced law enforcement to deter such behavior.

All Vehicle Crashes

In addition to mapping pedestrian- and bicyclist-involved crashes, the project team analyzed vehicle-only crashes. Rather than displaying each crash location, the team created a severity-weighted crash index for the county, which shows which roadways have seen the most crashes with the worst outcomes on a per-mile basis (Figure 6). Roadways that score high on the index are shown in red, indicating places where a safety intervention may be needed that could benefit all roadway users. To view a zoomed-in version of this map for each labeled city and community, see Appendix A: Existing Conditions Report.

Figure 6 Severity-Weighted Crash Index (All Modes)






How Does the Plan Consider Everyone's Needs?

The Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan offers a step toward a transportation system that is safe and accessible and meets the need of all community members. The ATP seeks to understand and invest in communities that have historically been left out of important transportation-related planning, decision-making and investments such as smaller and more remote communities.

The ATP considers the diverse needs of Siskiyou County residents through robust public outreach (see <u>Chapter 5</u>), and by identifying communities that can benefit from transportation-related investments using public data.

To do this, the ATP analyzed community characteristics like income and demographics as well as exposure to pollution and hazards, which are impacted by long-term transportation decisions. The project team used indices developed by state and federal agencies and those identified by the California Active Transportation Program. Using these indices is critical because they help secure future funding opportunities for the County.¹⁰ The measures are:

- Median Household Income
- Free or Reduced-Price Meal Eligibility at Schools
- CalEnviroScreen 4.0
- Healthy Places Index
- USDOT Equitable Transportation Community Explorer
- Climate and Economic Justice Screening Tool



10 California Transportation Commission. Active Transportation Program (ATP). https://catc.ca.gov/programs/active-transportation-program.

the county through a variety

of quantitative metrics.



By understanding which areas could benefit from new active transportation infrastructure, Siskiyou County will be more competitive when applying for state and federal grants such as the California Active Transportation Program and Rebuilding American Infrastructure with Sustainability and Equity (RAISE) funding to build those projects. For example, any projects located within federally recognized Tribal Lands (typically within the boundaries of a Reservation or Rancheria) or projects submitted by Tribal Governments score an additional four points in the California Active Transportation Program (Large Infrastructure grant category). The data from Median Household Income, Free or Reduced-Price Meal Eligibility at Schools, and CalEnviroScreen 4.0 measures are mapped on the following pages. Other measures are detailed in Appendix A: Existing Conditions Report.


Median Household Income

Income levels often influence access to reliable transportation, as lower-income households face greater financial burdens, particularly where personal vehicle ownership is costly and alternatives are limited.

Communities with a median household income of less than \$49,000 are mostly in rural parts of the county such as Dorris, along with some census tracts in cities, such as south Yreka and Weed (Figure 7). Communities with a median household income of greater than \$60,000 are in the areas adjacent to the population centers along I-5, such as Mt. Shasta and Lake Shastina.

¹¹ The project team also mapped the Healthy Places Index 3.0, Climate and Economic Justice Screening Tool, and USDOT Equitable Transportation Communities indices across Siskiyou County to identify key community health and equity indicators that may be useful in securing grant funding. The results of these analyses, along with maps depicting disadvantaged areas and communities with higher transportation needs, are provided in the appendix within the Existing Conditions memo.

Figure 7 Median Household Income (2022)



Free or Reduced-Price Meal Eligibility


The percentage of students eligible for free or reduced-price meals highlights socioeconomic need, helping identify communities where transportation barriers may be greater and where investments in active transportation can greatly benefit students walking and biking to school. Figure 8 shows the distribution of schools in Siskiyou County and the proportion of students qualifying for free or reduced-price meals.

As of the 23-24 school year, **over 75% of the student population in the following schools** in Siskiyou County qualify for free or reduced-price meals.¹²

- Bogus Elementary
- Butte Valley Elementary
- Discovery High
- Dunsmuir Elementary
- Dunsmuir High
- Golden Eagle Charter
- Hornbrook Elementary
- Klamath River Elementary
- McCloud Elementary
- Northern United Siskiyou Charter
- Scott River High
- Weed Elementary
- Yreka Union High Community Day

¹² California Department of Education. "Downloadable Files: School & District Data Files." Accessed December 18, 2024. https://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/ad/filessp.asp.

Figure 8 Schools and Free and Reduced-Price Meal Eligibility



CalEnviroScreen 4.0

Developed by the California Environmental Protection Agency's (CalEPA's) Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment. CalEnviroScreen 4.0 is a tool designed to identify communities in California most affected by pollution or especially vulnerable to its impacts. By analyzing pollution data-such as PM2.5 concentrations and traffic impacts—alongside population and socioeconomic indicators like poverty and housing burden, the tool provides a composite score for identifying disadvantaged communities at the census tract level. Understanding these scores is critical for guiding active transportation investments that can improve air quality in communities.

CalEPA formally designates four categories of geographic areas as disadvantaged:


- Census tracts receiving the highest 25% of overall scores in CalEnviroScreen 4.0.
- Census tracts lacking overall scores in CalEnviroScreen 4.0 due to data gaps but receiving the highest 5% of CalEnviroScreen 4.0 cumulative pollution burden scores.
- Census tracts identified in the 2017 disadvantaged communities designation, regardless of their scores in CalEnviroScreen 4.0.
- Lands under the control of federally recognized Tribes.¹³


The distribution of CalEnviroScreen scores in Siskiyou County is shown in Figure 9. The map reflects each tract's statewide percentile, with higher percentiles reflecting higher burdens from pollution. None of the census tracts in Siskiyou County are in the top 25% most disadvantaged in the state. The land in Siskiyou County under Tribal control, outlined in red, is considered a disadvantaged community under Senate Bill 535.¹⁴

¹³ For purposes of this designation, a Tribe may establish that a particular area of land is under its control even if not represented as such on CalEPA's disadvantaged communities map and therefore should be considered a disadvantaged community by requesting a consultation with the CalEPA Deputy Secretary for Environmental Justice, Tribal Affairs and Border Relations at TribalAffairs@calepa.ca.gov.

¹⁴ California Environmental Protection Agency. (2012). Senate Bill 535: Disadvantaged communities and greenhouse gas reduction investments. California Environmental Protection Agency. Retrieved Dec 2024, from https://calepa.ca.gov/enviustice/ghginvest/

Figure 9 CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Scores by Census Tract





Community Voice

Throughout the course of the project, we organized a series of inperson and online activities to gather input about transportation needs, challenges, and priorities in Siskiyou County from those who know them best—the community members. Community engagement helped build community awareness and a shared understanding of project need, enable participation from people from across the County, and use public input in the decision-making process.

The engagement activities targeted a wide range of audiences who, currently or in the future, use the active transportation system in Siskiyou County. This includes residents, visitors, and recreation enthusiasts, and communities who are typically underrepresented in planning processes including youth, Spanish-speaking communities, and lower-income households. We attended events across Siskiyou County to enable participation from communities across the region and to identify location-specific transportation needs and concerns.

See <u>Appendix B: Community Engagement Summary</u> and <u>Appendix C: Walk Audit Summary</u> for a detailed description of the community engagement process.

Engagement by Numbers



OPEN HOUSES



TABLING EVENTS



SAFE ROUTES TO SCHOOL EVENTS





145 participants



178 comments

Community Engagement Events and Activities (2024)







Figure 10 Engagement Overview - Siskiyou County







Phase 1: Listen and Learn

In the first phase of community engagement, we wanted to understand the communities' thoughts on existing conditions, needs, and desires related to active transportation and use so we could use community feedback to identify locations to prioritize in the ATP. We also connected with partner organizations and jurisdictions to guide the development of the ATP and build partnerships to support future implementation and decision-making during this phase. The engagement strategies included the following:

WALK AUDITS

In April and May 2024, the project team conducted 10 walking tours and meetings with local agency staff and interested community members in 10 Siskiyou County communities: Tulelake, Dorris, Etna, Fort Jones, Montague, Weed, Yreka, Happy Camp, Mt. Shasta, and Dunsmuir.

The walk audits supported the quantitative data in the existing conditions analysis, helped gather staff input, and identified key issues and gaps specific to these communities. Using this information, the team developed project recommendations for each community. The results of the walk audits were compiled and presented to the Siskiyou County Local Transportation Commission (See Appendix C: Walk Audit Summary).

OPEN HOUSE

The first open house was organized in the Yreka Transit Center where participants drew on maps, prioritized improvement types, and took printed surveys.

SURVEY

Conducted between January and October 2024, the project survey was available both online and in printed form at in-person events. This long survey window allowed the project team time to attend existing events throughout the summer and promote the survey. In the survey, community members were asked to share their perceptions of safety while walking and rolling, biking, and driving, as well as their top concerns. The survey also presented several prioritization exercises, and respondents were able to express their support or disagreement for each. In all, 145 survey responses were received.

The community engagement process was organized into three phases to align with the project phases, so that community voices would be centered throughout the development of the ATP.

INTERACTIVE MAP

A custom interactive web map allowed community members to identify location-specific infrastructure needs and concerns. See Figure 10 for the web map interface with the comments from the community. The largest clusters of comments were in Yreka and Mt. Shasta.

PROMOTION MATERIALS

- Flyers
- Social media graphics
- Print and digital survey
- Listserv emails
- Workshop boards
- Newspaper ad



↑ Social media graphic

Phase 2: Align and Refine

In the second phase of community engagement, we shared active transportation project recommendations and project lists developed based on community input from Phase 1. We refined the goals and objectives to reflect community needs and desires and identified facilities that are needed to achieve connectivity for active transportation modes.

OPEN HOUSE IN ETNA

The second open house in Etna allowed project staff to get feedback on community priorities and location-specific needs.



TABLING EVENTS

Between June and September 2024, the project team tabled at events in eight different communities in Siskiyou County:

- McCloud Flea Market (McCloud)
- Dunsmuir Railroad Days (Dunsmuir)
- Yreka Golden City Days (Yreka)
- Mt Shasta 4th of July Celebration (Mt Shasta)
- Tulelake Bazaar (Tulelake)
- Rollin' Car & Bike Show (Weed)
- Bigfoot Jamboree (Happy Camp)
- Montague Balloon Festival (Montague)

The tabling events were organized to align with other community events so that we could reach a wider audience. During the event, the project team shared information about the project; received feedback on community needs, desires, and priorities; and encouraged people to take the survey.



SAFE ROUTES TO SCHOOL EVENTS

In October 2024, staff from the Siskiyou County Office of Education and Siskiyou Outdoor Recreation Alliance (SORA) hosted National Walk, Bike, and Roll to School Day, an annual initiative promoting physical activity and Safe Routes to School, at four schools: Etna Elementary, Sisson School, Mt. Shasta Elementary, and Weed Elementary. Students, along with parents and staff, visited the SORA booth on their bikes to share ideas for improving safety for students who walk, bike, or roll to school.

SURVEY AND INTERACTIVE MAP

The survey and interactive web map continued to be open to the public throughout Phase 2 and were promoted during in-person events and promotional materials.

Phase 3: Share and Review

In the final phase of engagement, the project team reviewed the feedback gathered over the course of the project and incorporated the findings into the ATP's recommendations. The project team then sent the draft recommendations list to the local agency's public works departments and city councils for a review and comment period.

After the agency comments were integrated into the project recommendations, the team prepared a refined project list for the Siskiyou County Local Transportation Commission.

After the project recommendations were reviewed by the commission, the team prioritized the projects and developed cost estimates (see Chapters 6 and Z). The ATP was posted online for a month-long public review period, which included a virtual open house for community members to learn about and ask final questions about the project. The following section describes how feedback was incorporated into the ATP's recommendations.



Key Community Input Themes



Survey participants envision Siskiyou County to be safe for kids and families to walk and bike to their neighborhood school.

When asked about the type of community they envision Siskiyou County becoming in the next 5 to 10 years, survey participants wanted safer pedestrian and bike environments for kids and families. Survey participants' second highest priority was providing convenient travel options for all ages and abilities.



Survey participants identified safety for people using active transportation modes and the condition and availability of pedestrian and bicycle infrastructure as top issues.

See Figure 13 to see survey responses for the biggest issues for people walking and biking in Siskiyou County.

Figure 13 Survey Responses When Asked, "What Are the Biggest Issues for People Walking and Biking in Your Community"





Figure 14 Survey Responses When Asked, "How Important is it to Invest in or Advocate for the Following Types of Projects?





Survey participants chose crossing improvements as the top priority for the types of projects to invest in or advocate for,

with **93% of respondents** ranking this as very important or somewhat important. This was closely followed by improving existing bikeways and sidewalks and then safety.



including a bike trail between McCloud and McCloud Falls, mountain bike and hiking trail linking northside Yreka to Greenhorn Park via the western ridge, and a "Volcanic Scenic Byway" bike/hike trail.



in several communities including Happy Camp, McCloud, and Seiad to support the growing number of e-bike riders in the region.



Survey participants shared location-specific improvements through the interactive map

that will help improve conditions for people walking, biking, and rolling throughout Siskiyou County. The number of comments received in the interactive map and the category of improvement needs identified are shown in Table 1.

 Table 1
 Web Map Comments and Their Category of Improvement Needs

CATEGORY/TYPE OF COMMENT	NUMBER OF COMMENTS
Driving or Traffic Calming Traffic-calming measures or other roadway improvements	41
Biking and Walking/Rolling Shared-use trail improvements or new routes	25
Biking Bikeway improvements or new routes	24
Walking/Rolling Accessible sidewalk improvements or new routes	20
Other Non-transportation items	16
Streetscape Streetscape improvements, e.g., lighting or landscaping	15
Transit Transit improvements	15
Maintenance Locations where roadway maintenance is needed, e.g., potholes, trash in roads	14
Access Access improvements, e.g., inaccessible public land	8

How We Incorporated Community Feedback

We heard great feedback from community members who shared their transportation ideas, needs, and visions for the future of Siskiyou County in the online input map and survey. We responded to what we heard from the community with recommendations that directly address their feedback. The examples below highlight some specific ways that we addressed community comments in ATP projects. The full project list is in included in Chapter 6.

Siskiyou County



WHAT WE HEARD

Better trail and path connection between towns within the county.

Improved connections to schools within communities.

The need for winter maintenance for roads with bike lanes and paths for pedestrians and bikes, and roads that access recreation sites.



OUR RECOMMENDATIONS

- Implementing safer crossings, bike lanes and sidewalks around schools.
- Identifying solutions for improved winter maintenance plans along key recreation and connection corridors.

Dunsmuir




WHAT WE HEARD

Improved facilities for active transportation on Dunsmuir Ave and traffic calming measures.

Sidewalks along Siskiyou Ave.



- Continue to support the Dunsmuir ATP, which calls for sidewalks, bikeways, and traffic calming measures like speed tables and roundabouts north of Vernie St on Dunsmuir Ave.
- Sidewalks along Siskiyou
 Ave and many other streets
 in town.



Etna



WHAT WE HEARD

Traffic safety and pedestrian improvements at CA3 crossings in town.

Main St sidewalk improvements needed.



OUR RECOMMENDATIONS

- Work with Caltrans District
 2 to conduct a traffic safety
 study and needs analysis for
 the intersection of Collier
 Way and Hwy 3.
- Explore additional funding for a Main St Design Study.

Fort Jones



WHAT WE HEARD

Concerns over safe crossings on Main St, widened sidewalks and buffered bike lanes proposed by Caltrans to Highway 3/Main St.

Concerns about the proposed Moffett Creek Trail and proposed electric vehicle charging stations.



- Included recommendations for Caltrans to focus on enhanced crossings, moving speed limit signs farther out to slow inbound vehicles.
 Pedestrian improvements such as lighting and low/no maintenance dryscaping are also recommended.
- Removed the majority of the proposed Moffett Creek Trail and removed recommendations for electric vehicle charging.

McCloud



WHAT WE HEARD

Improved trail and path connections with nearby recreation opportunities like the Ski Park, Lake McCloud.

Improved connectivity with Dunsmuir.



OUR RECOMMENDATIONS

 Recommendation for a trail study to examine connections between McCloud and recreation destinations and Dunsmuir.



Mt. Shasta



WHAT WE HEARD

Trail from town to Lake Siskiyou and bike lanes along W A Barr Rd to Lake Siskiyou.

Improved crossings for pedestrians and traffic safety on South Mt. Shasta Blvd, East Lake St, and McCloud Ave.

Bike lanes on W A Barr Rd.

Improved connectivity with Dunsmuir and Weed.



- Trail study for trails to Lake Siskiyou and bike lanes along W A Barr Rd and connecting with other bike lanes in town.
- High visibility crossings, bike lanes, and sidewalks.
- Bike lanes between Mt.
 Shasta and Dunsmuir and
 Mt. Shasta and Weed.



Weed



WHAT WE HEARD

Connections to Mt. Shasta and Yreka.

Connections to school.



OUR RECOMMENDATIONS

- Sidewalk recommendations between downtown and Weed Elementary.
- Improved crossing at Highway 97 and Boles St.

Yreka



WHAT WE HEARD

Pedestrian mall or improved pedestrian use on West Miner St between Oregon St and Main St.

Traffic calming and bike lanes on Evergreen Lane, Oregon St, and East Oberlin Rd.


Safer crossings and more pedestrian spaces along Main St.

Improved biking connections between Yreka and Montague, including possible rail-to-trail.

Connections to Greenhorn Park.



- Study for the potential of creating more space for pedestrian amenities and reducing traffic lanes in this area.
- Bike lanes along Evergreen Lane, Oregon St, East Oberlin Rd, among others, and safer crossings in key areas near neighborhoods, schools, and businesses.
- Feasibility study on railsto-trail or rails-with-trail project between Montague and Yreka and widening the shoulders on Hwy 3 between Yreka and Montague.
- Bike lanes along Oregon
 St and Greenhorn Rd and a
 trail study between Payne
 Lane and Greenhorn Rd.



Recommendations

Transportation systems are made up of physical infrastructure that are built and installed (such as sidewalks, stop signs, stormwater drainage, and traffic lights) and less tangible elements that may be harder to see, like parking policies, maintenance agreements, and educational campaigns. Based on what we heard from our community discussions and what we saw in our technical analyses, the county's bicycle and pedestrian system varies across the county and there are gaps in these systems that can be addressed.

This chapter outlines the recommended infrastructure projects and programming initiatives that communities in Siskiyou County should pursue to improve the conditions for walking, biking, using wheelchairs, and accessing transit as well as improve safety for all roadway users, including drivers.

These recommendations factor in many considerations, such as:

- Existing bicycle, pedestrian, and recreational infrastructure and gaps in that system
- Previous policy direction and planning efforts
- Crash history
- Community needs and requests

While the recommendations cover unincorporated and incorporated communities in Siskiyou County, they are primarily focused in Tulelake, Dorris, Montague, Yreka, Happy Camp, Fort Jones, Etna, Weed, Mt. Shasta, and Dunsmuir.¹⁵ The recommendations are categorized in the following ways:

- Non-Infrastructure Recommendations include a set of programs and policies that improve active transportation networks and promote walking and biking among users.
- Infrastructure Recommendations include tangible and physical infrastructure like sidewalks and traffic signals. These are categorized as:
 - → Corridor Improvements: Recommendations for sections of transportation facilities like sidewalks and bike paths between two or more locations to connect places, improve safety, reduce travel time, and support economic development.
 - → Spot Improvements: Targeted improvements at specific locations to address issues like access and safety. Spot improvements are generally smaller in scope compared to corridor improvements. These can include lighting improvements in one location or crossing improvements where safety concerns have been identified.

¹⁵ The recommendations in this plan are for planning purposes only. Recommendations may be altered depending on opportunities, constraints, and/or roadway changes. Feasibility determination, final design, accessibility, funding, and implementation of any recommended improvements is the responsibility of the appropriate governing agency.

Non-Infrastructure Recommendations

Safe Routes to Schools (SRTS) Programs

The Siskiyou County Office of Education (SCOE) has been building a Safe Routes to School Program for the past several years, focused on Walk and Roll to School Day. They would be an excellent candidate to lead this work, in collaboration with SCLTC and local city leadership.



CONDUCT AN AWARENESS CAMPAIGN

A coordinated campaign featuring activities, events, and communications highlighting the many benefits of active school travel for students, families and the wider community can raise awareness and build enthusiasm for walking and biking. Consider partnering with College of the Siskiyous to promote walking and biking to and from campus.

Actions and Considerations: Plan a schedule of campaign activities to happen throughout the school year to keep the benefits top-of-mind for families, gradually shifting perceptions about safety and convenience, and ultimately building a school culture that supports walking and biking. The campaign should use a variety of communications tools, including:

- Announcements & posters
- Newsletter articles
- School website & social media updates
- Classroom activities
- Assemblies
- Press releases to attract local media coverage.

ENCOURAGE BIKE AND PEDESTRIAN EDUCATION IN SISKIYOU COUNTY SCHOOLS

Bike and pedestrian education, with a particular focus on kids, can give the students the knowledge, skills, and confidence to walk and bike to school safely.

Actions and Considerations:

- Schedule comprehensive skills-based session(s) designed to encourage students to bike and walk more, by giving them the knowledge and skills they need to be able to ride a bike safely and confidently.
- Organize events such as bike rodeos to teach bike handling skills, as well as knowledge about the rules of the road and safe biking practices.
- Work with Siskiyou Union School District, Junction Elementary School District, and Karuk Head Start to create a pedestrian safety education program. Pedestrian education can teach children about the benefits of walking, how to identify safety risks, and how to use common street features such as traffic signs, signals, and crossings. A "safe crossing" education campaign encourages students to always use a crosswalk. Sessions are usually led by qualified instructors, working with a group of children to take them through several hours of teaching and coaching.

Elements of this recommendation are also identified in the Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan and Karuk Tribal Transportation Safety Plan.



ESTABLISH A COUNTYWIDE CROSSING GUARD PROGRAM

Crossing guards assist students, families, and residents in safely crossing the street near schools and alert drivers that people are crossing the roadway. It is a violation of the law to disregard the direction of a crossing guard (California Vehicle Code Section 2815).

Actions and Considerations:

- Crossing guards can include school staff performing this role as a part of their duties, city staff, paid contractors, or volunteers.
- Improve enforcement before and after school hours by increasing the number of volunteer crossing guards.

Elements of this recommendation are also identified in the Karuk Tribal Transportation Safety Plan.

INCREASE SCHOOL COMMUNICATIONS ON BIKING AND WALKING TO SCHOOL

Commitment of the school board and messaging from the schools can encourage and solidify commitment from students, families, and staff to walk, bike, and roll to school.

Actions and Considerations:

- Support and encourage School Districts to adopt policies such as the California Board of Education Board Policy (BP) 5142.2
 Safe Routes to School Program, to help solidify a school district's commitment to promoting active transportation as a safe and healthy way to get to and from school.
- Promote active transportation uses through school communication channels such as newsletters, social media, websites, and in-person events. Customized, high-resolution graphics and messaging ready to share through electronic platforms or in print are helpful to get the message across and makes the process efficient.

CREATE A SRTS CHAMPION TOOLKIT

SRTS champions are parents, staff, or community members who enable and encourage more walking and biking to school.

Actions and Considerations: Develop a School Champion Toolkit to educate and support parents and families on how they can get involved including in data collection, school and neighborhood improvements, policy change, and programs related to SRTS and become their community's champions.

START A WALKING SCHOOL BUS AT ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS

A Walking School Bus (WSB) is a group of children walking together under the supervision of one or more adult leaders following a prescribed route and schedule to provide a safe and dependable way for children to walk to school. Each "bus" picks up children at designated stops along the way to school. Some WSBs operate every day while others may only run weekly or monthly.

Actions and Considerations:

- Support and incentivize a group of volunteers or staff to plan, schedule, and operate the WSB program.
- Share resources from the SRTS National Partnership to community members. Interested members should reach out to the principal, school council, and interested parents/caregivers to determine the level of interest in, and capacity to support, a WSB.



CONDUCT A PARK AND WALK CAMPAIGN

A Park and Walk campaign encourage families who drive to school to park their car approximately five minutes away from the school and either walk with their children or allow them to walk independently the rest of the way. This disperses traffic away from the school site, alleviating traffic congestion and improving safety for everyone.

Actions and Considerations: Create a customized Park and Walk Campaign depending on a schools' needs and available resources. Components can include:

- Messages from the principal to families, explaining traffic concerns and asking drivers to park several blocks from school and walk the rest of the way to school
- Mapping and signs to identify and promote recommended parking locations
- Assemblies, announcements, and posters to get students excited so that they will encourage their parents to participate
- Parent volunteer greeters at each recommended location



SUPPORT ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION ENCOURAGEMENT ACTIVITIES AT SISKIYOU COUNTY SCHOOLS

Encouragement activities include a variety of events to encourage students, parents, teachers, and administrators to take active and shared transportation to school. These activities are an opportunity to celebrate families and students who already walk or roll to school and encourage others to give it a try. However, many of these activities will require commitment from a dedicated group of volunteers or staff to plan, schedule, and operate the events.

Actions and Considerations: While the potential encouragement activities can be wide, varied and adapted to suit the context, the following provide some examples:

- Cocoa For Carpools: On Cocoa for Carpools Day, each carpooler receives a "thank you" cup of hot cocoa when they arrive at school.
- Golden Sneaker Contest: The Golden Sneaker Contest is a classroom challenge where students and teachers in each class keep track of their travel to school from the day with a simple tally chart or virtual tracker. The prize for the classroom with the highest percentage of active transportation trips can vary based on school or district.
- Ruby Bridges Walk to School Day: Ruby Bridges Walk to School
 Day is an annual event celebrating the civil rights hero, and is an
 opportunity to encourage students and families to walk more to
 school and continue the conversations on racism and all forms
 of bullying. The Ruby Bridges Foundation has several resources
 available to help plan and promote this event.
- Walk and Bike to School Days: Students will be encouraged to walk or bike to school on a particular day (chosen by the school) and will receive incentives for participation.

Active Transportation Policies and Standards

ADOPT A COUNTYWIDE COMPLETE STREETS POLICY OR RESOLUTION

Complete Streets policies direct transportation planners and engineers to consistently design streets with all users in mind (drivers, transit riders, pedestrians, and bicyclists, the elderly, children, and people with disabilities). Locally adopted Complete Streets policies and ordinances ensure a consistent approach to street design.

Actions and Considerations: Many jurisdictions around the country have adopted Complete Streets policies, and national model policies can be used as a starting point to develop a Complete Street policy for Siskiyou County. Local jurisdictions in the County can join the state agencies, regional organizations, and municipalities to adopt a Complete Streets policy or ordinance.

Resources: National accessibility and design standards, such as PROWAG, MUTCD, and AASHTO.

ENACT ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION-FRIENDLY DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS

Local governments have an opportunity to promote development patterns that are inclusive of different transportation modes besides motor vehicles. These standards can be phased in, giving developers plenty of time to gain familiarity with how they should be implemented.

Actions and Considerations: The development standards include:

- Requiring pedestrian connectivity through the end of cul-de-sacs to shorten trip distances for walking and bicycling.
- Developing pedestrian-friendly block length standards to have block sizes below 400 feet increases the pedestrian and bicycle connectivity.
- Specific connectivity standards for new development such as a connectivity index can be used to quantify how well a roadway network connects destinations.
- All incorporated cities should adopt an ordinance requiring developers to construct (or rebuild to standard) sidewalks fronting the public right-of-way.

ADOPT COUNTYWIDE BIKE PARKING REQUIREMENTS

Standard bike parking guidelines can be incorporated into a local jurisdiction's development codes to ensure that these facilities are present in new construction.

Actions and Considerations: Siskiyou County jurisdictions should consider implementing the Association of Bicycle and Pedestrian

Professionals' (APBP) Bicycle Parking Guidelines into their respective development codes. This could include proper rack placement to include spaces that are visible, well-lit, and near entrances and bike corrals, which can improve parking capacity in high-demand areas. Bike parking should be U-shaped staple racks placed such that parked bikes would not encroach ADA-compliant sidewalk width.

CONDUCT A BIKE SHARE PILOT

Bike share and micromobility (i.e., scooters, e-bikes, and other personal mobility devices) are becoming increasingly important components of the transportation environment. A Bike Share Pilot allows local jurisdictions to test and evaluate a bike sharing program before pursuing a permanent system.

Actions and Considerations: Develop a Bike Share Pilot Program that allows e-bikes or e-scooters to be rented at key locations. Consider Yreka, Mt. Shasta and Dunsmuir as potential locations.

Resources: North American Bikeshare and Scootershare Association https://nabsa.net/2023/03/24/shared-micromobility-101/

This recommendation is also identified in the City of Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan.



INSTALL ELECTRIC VEHICLE CHARGING IN STRATEGIC LOCATIONS

Grants may be available for electric vehicle charging infrastructure. Considering that charging of electric cars and bikes takes time, the charging window provides an opportunity for people to walk to businesses in downtowns. Special care should be given to providing pedestrian-friendly infrastructure to improve access around future charging stations. The City of Weed has a project in the pipeline to develop a charging station near downtown for just this purpose.

Actions and Considerations: Study electric vehicle charging as a pedestrian trip generator, so that charging locations are thoughtfully located so that people can walk to local sites and amenities, while also not adding new asphalt lots that can inactivate areas of downtown and degrade the pedestrian experience.

INCREASE STAFF CAPACITY

Increasing the ability of staff to support active transportation programs at SCLTC, the County, and in local jurisdictions will be important to implementing Siskiyou County's ATP.

Actions and Considerations:

- Offer Staff Training: Provide professional development training to needed staff who do not have extensive experience or training in bicycle and pedestrian programs and facility design to institutionalize knowledge and create an agency culture that values active transportation.
- Support Regional or Local Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory
 Committees: Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committees
 will be tasked with reviewing bicycle and pedestrian projects
 and programs, educate the public, and provide guidance to
 transportation departments. Consider forming Bicycle and
 Pedestrian Advisory Committees and establish Committee by-laws.
 The Committee would meet regularly to:
 - → Focus on promotion, education, and safety of biking and active transportation modes.
 - → Review significant development bicycle projects and programs from the public standpoint.
 - → Provide recommendations to related groups.

Elements of this recommendation are also identified in the City of Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan, as the City would like to form a Dunsmuir Active Transportation or Trails Coalition.

IMPROVE DATA COLLECTION

To better understand the needs, concerns, and habits of people who walk and bike, establish a county-level data collection program. The County could support local jurisdictions in their data collection programs by providing equipment, staff support and data storage.

Actions and Considerations:

- Conduct Bicycle and Pedestrian Counts: At a minimum, this
 program should tally the number of pedestrians and bicyclists at
 key locations in the county. The same locations should be counted
 annually if possible, or at regular intervals. It is recommended that
 the data collection program use the methodology developed by
 the National Bicycle and Pedestrian Documentation Project (NBPD).
 Data could be collected by staff, volunteers, or permanent traffic
 counters.
- Review Crash Data Regularly: Regular reviews of bicycle crashes and meetings with the Police and Transportation Departments can help assess Siskiyou County traffic safety issues, identify crash reduction strategies, and track progress toward a safer community for bicyclists.
- Conduct Regular Surveys: Surveys help assess community needs, behaviors, perceptions, and target populations for future programs. Annual surveys should aim to target a wide audience and be conducted annually to gather similar data so that long-term trends can be evaluated.

Elements of this recommendation are also identified in the City of Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan.



INSTALL REGIONAL WAYFINDING SIGNS NEAR KEY DESTINATIONS

Wayfinding signs provide important destination, distance, and navigation information to roadway users.

Actions and Considerations: Much of Siskiyou County lacks wayfinding signage for bicyclists and pedestrians. Develop and implement a wayfinding plan to add specific wayfinding signs at key locations using uniform design guidelines.

Resources:

- https://www.tamcmonterey.org/wayfinding-plan
- https://altago.com/wp-content/uploads/Brochure_Wayfinding_2021-PRINT.pdf

IMPLEMENT DEMONSTRATION AND QUICK-BUILD PROJECTS

Demonstration and quick-build projects are installed in a short timeframe and use low-cost materials allowing active transportation projects to be installed quickly. These projects allow for flexibility and change as jurisdictions receive user feedback for improvement. Ideas include landscaping, art exhibits, street painting and bicycle parking.

Actions and Considerations: Local jurisdictions should design and install quick-build demonstration projects. One opportunity for a quick-build project is in Yreka near Jackson Street School at the intersection of Jackson St and Gold St, see project C56.

Resources: Minnesota Department of Transportation built a series of quick-build and demonstration projects that can be found here.

 See also: <u>Quick-Build-Guide-White-Paper-2020-1.pdf</u> and <u>Quick-Build Bikeway Networks for Safer Streets - CalBike</u>

This recommendation is also identified in the City of Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan


CONDUCT TRAFFIC ENFORCEMENT

The goal of enforcement is to deter unsafe behaviors of drivers, pedestrians and bicyclists to promote safer conditions for active transportation modes.

Actions and Considerations:

 Create a Traffic Ticket Reduction program: Development of a partnership program with local police agencies to provide bicycle education as a traffic court option. Cyclists that receive a citation/infraction on a bicycle for California Vehicle Code violations would be permitted to attend a Basic Street Skills class to reduce or waive fines.





↑ Quick-Build / Demonstration Projects in Minnesota website dashboard (MnDOT)

Bike Programs

OFFER SMART CYCLING CLASSES IN COMMUNITY CENTERS

Smart Cycling is an education program designed to improve skills and develop confidence and competence of bicyclists. The program is designed to support people of all ages and abilities.

Actions and Considerations:

- Coordinate Smart Cycling skills clinics for families, seniors, veterans, and disabled cyclists. The Smart Cycling curriculum is designed to develop the confidence and competence of cyclists. Participants should learn about the basic parts of a bike, essential equipment, and how to safely and comfortably ride a bike in various traffic and weather conditions and cycling terrain.
- Certify local instructors to teach Smart Cycling and Bicycle Friendly Driver courses.
- Offer Smart Cycling Courses at College of the Siskiyous

This recommendation is also identified in the Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan.

SUPPORT BICYCLE FRIENDLY BUSINESS AND COMMUNITY DESIGNATIONS

Bicycle Friendly Business and Bicycle Friendly Community, sponsored by the League of American Cyclists, provides a roadmap, hands-on assistance and recognition for businesses and communities around the US that have made strides to enhance bicycling around their community.

Actions and Considerations: Siskiyou County can support local businesses by providing tips and tools for businesses to support biking and to achieve the League of American Bicyclists Designation.

Resources:

- https://bikeleague.org/bfa/community/
- https://bikeleague.org/bfa/business/

Bicycle Friendly Business recommendation is also identified in the City of Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan.

INCREASE PARTNERSHIPS WITH BICYCLE ORGANIZATIONS

The formation of strong relationships with local bicycle advocates and bicycle clubs will encourage mutually beneficial collaboration and help reach the ATP goals.

Actions and Considerations: The County could coordinate with organizations such as Cycle Siskiyou and Jefferson Mountain Bike Association (JMBA).



PROMOTE BICYCLE TOURISM

Promoting cycle tourism in a region can create meaningful economic impact, as multiple studies and analyses have demonstrated.

Actions and Considerations:

- Brand and promote the existing bicycle tourism assets within the county.
- Choose points of interest within the county and create a way to highlight these existing bicycle tourism assets: These locations may be scenic routes, have amenities that bicyclists enjoy, farm-to-table experiences, pubs/wineries, or historic venues.
- Create a cycling tourism map to help people who do not know how to identify good routes or do not have time to explore. A map provided by Siskiyou County could include bicycle routes of various lengths and skills levels throughout the region along with points of interest. They can be distributed as hard copies at locations throughout the city, posted online as a downloadable and printable map, posted online as an interactive map, or a combination of these options.

Resources: Significant investment in cycling and promotion of the Northwest Arkansas as a brand to bicycle tourists created a \$137 million dollar impact in the region.

Education and Encouragement

HOST A WALKABILITY WORKSHOP

Community walkability workshops can be organized throughout the year and demonstrate to community members how walking can be a viable option of getting to key countywide destinations. It is also an opportunity to evaluate existing pedestrian facilities.

Actions and Considerations: Conduct regular Walkability Workshops to promote a culture of walking and to evaluate chosen facilities. The locations can change for each workshop and integrated with other local events. The analysis can be included while prioritizing projects and to pursue state or federal funding.

This recommendation is also identified in the City of Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan.



CONDUCT TARGETED EDUCATION/ENFORCEMENT CAMPAIGNS

Even the best enforcement program cannot reach everyone. Considering the limited resources, targeting problem areas or those where crashes have occurred involving motorists and other road users can lead to positive results.

Actions and Considerations: For a designated time, usually three to four weeks, establish an education/enforcement campaign with a three-step process. During the first week or two, officers stop the offender and provide an educational card reminding the person of the rights and responsibilities of bicyclists. The second step is to issue a formal warning. If the person continues to violate the law, then the officer issues a citation. These could be targeted to certain locations in Siskiyou County at "high risk" intersections in Happy Camp, and Somes Bar as identified by the Karuk Tribal Transportation Safety Plan.

This recommendation is also identified in the Karuk Tribal Transportation Safety Plan.

CONDUCT A BICYCLE FRIENDLY DRIVER CAMPAIGN

Bicycle Friendly Driver Campaign helps drivers feel confident about how to share the road with pedestrians and people on bikes.

Actions and Considerations: Design and implement a Bicycle Friendly Driver Campaign and training in the county.

This is also identified in the Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan.

SUPPORT LOCAL SAFETY CAMPAIGNS

Several communities request localized safety campaigns.

Actions and Considerations:

- Organize a "slow down" campaign in Happy Camp.
- Organize Bike and Pedestrian Safety Workshops and Education Campaigns.
- Organize bicycle helmet distribution programs. Approximately 70–80% of bicycle fatalities involve head injuries.
- Develop brochures highlighting pedestrian and bicycle safety guidelines (e.g., walk opposing traffic, wear reflective gear at night, use crosswalks, etc.).

Elements of this recommendation is also identified in the Karuk Tribal Transportation Safety Plan and City of Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan.

CONDUCT BIKE MAINTENANCE AND RIDE WORKSHOPS

These workshops are intended to provide bicyclists the skills they need to ride a bike safely and confidently.

Actions and Considerations:

- Conduct bi-annual Bike Maintenance and Ride Workshops to fix a flat tire, check air in tires, tightening of brakes, and lubing the chain, among others. Bike rides can be a skill building opportunity for bicyclists, particularly to those new to bicycling.
- Offer bike maintenance workshops at College of the Siskiyous.

This recommendation is also identified in the City of Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan.

IMPLEMENT BICYCLE ENCOURAGEMENT ACTIVITIES


- Bike Rodeos: Hold annual "Bike Rodeos" in Somes Bar and Happy Camp. A Bike Rodeo is a bicycle safety clinic featuring instruction in safe bicycling practices, related traffic laws, bike safety inspections, minor repairs, helmet fit test, a safety lecture, drawings, and prizes.
- May National Bike Month Activities: Organize month-long events including National Bike to Work Week and National Bike to School Day to encourage biking in the community.
- Family-Friendly Summer Bike Ride Events: During warmer weather from May-September, plan rides, festivals, bike-in movies, and evening bike rides that support kids of different ages and skill levels.
- Open Streets Event: "Open streets" means closing streets to motor vehicle traffic so that the streets are open to bicyclists, pedestrians, skaters, and others so that they can experience the area without having to worry about motorized traffic. Organizers can invite community organizations to set up displays, host games and other family-friendly activities and bike shop displays along with food and fun.
 - → Since adults are more dispersed and thus more difficult to reach, different approaches are needed for their education about active transportation. Education for this group will focus on the responsibilities and rules of the road for all road users as well as how drivers should safely interact with more vulnerable road users. Participants will also learn about the basic parts of a bike, essential equipment, and how to safely and comfortably ride a bike in various situations. Clinics can be organized for families, seniors, veterans, and disabled cyclists.

Elements of this recommendation is also identified in the Karuk Tribal Transportation Safety Plan, Walk Bike Ride Mt Shasta, and City of Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan.

Infrastructure Recommendations

In Siskiyou County, the quality and connectivity of physical infrastructure—such as sidewalks, bike lanes, crosswalks, and lighting—impact whether the routes people walk and bike along are safe, accessible, and convenient. Addressing gaps and deficiencies in these physical systems is a key component of this ATP.

This section outlines a series of infrastructure projects designed to address the needs identified through community input, existing conditions analyses, and technical assessment. These recommendations offer a roadmap to building the infrastructure needed so that anyone, regardless of age, ability, or location can feel safe and comfortable walking and biking to the schools, parks, shops, and other places in their community. For Dunsmuir and Mt Shasta, we have included the projects developed for their local active transportation plans and prioritized them using the same criteria. The infrastructure recommendations are grouped into two categories:



Corridor Improvements focus on enhancing key routes, creating continuous and accessible pathways for pedestrians and cyclists. These projects aim to connect neighborhoods, schools, parks, and commercial centers while promoting active transportation and economic development.

→ The corridor improvements recommended in this ATP include sidewalks, bikeways, trails and shared-use paths, traffic operation improvements and feasibility studies.

Spot Improvements target specific locations where safety or access issues have been identified. These smaller-scale interventions, such as crosswalk enhancements, lighting upgrades, and intersection modifications, address critical challenges and improve overall network functionality.

→ The spot improvements recommended in this ATP include signage enhancements, crosswalks, curb ramps, curb extensions, signals and beacons, roundabouts, bike parking locations, electric vehicle parking locations and more.

Together, these infrastructure recommendations will help create a more cohesive, safe, and user-friendly active transportation network across Siskiyou County. Here are some common improvements you'll see in the recommendations:

Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvement Toolbox

PEDESTRIAN

High Visibility Crosswalks

Crosswalks that make pedestrians more visible from further distances.

Curb Extensions

Curb extensions shorten crossing distances for pedestrians and slow vehicle turning movements.

Curb Ramps

ADA curb ramps (i.e., mandated by the American with Disabilities Act) improve accessibility on streets and help transition pedestrians from the street to the sidewalk. These are especially important for community members who are older, visionimpaired, or who use a mobility device like a wheelchair.

Rectangular Rapid-Flashing Beacons (RRFBs)

RRFBs are activated by pedestrians and alert drivers that a pedestrian is trying to cross the street.

Sidewalks

Sidewalks allow people to walk more comfortably along roads because the curb adds separation and between parked cars and traffic visibility for pedestrians.

Trails

Trails and shared-use paths can be made of many materials, and are often off-street facilities that offer very comfortable connections to destinations for people walking and biking.







↑ RRFB



↑ Curb extension



↑ Sidewalks



↑ Curb ramps



BIKE

In California, bicycle facilities are classified into four major categories, from Class I – Class IV. These categories indicate the differences in the level of separation that each facility offers.

Class I Shared-use Paths

Completely off-street paths that are suitable for riders of all ages and abilities.

Class III Bike Routes

Signage and pavement markings on streets that bicyclists share with motorists. These facilities often form a network of routes within residential areas and offer alternative routes for people biking so they don't have to bike on busier streets. These routes can be further invested in by communities to form Class IIIB Neighborhood Greenways, which are bicycle routes with additional traffic-calming infrastructure to reduce vehicle speeds and volume.

Class II Bike Lanes

Dedicated lanes for bicycle travel adjacent to the motor vehicle travel lane separated with a painted line. Class IIB Buffered Bike Lanes similarly provide dedicated lanes for bicycle travel adjacent to motor vehicle travel lanes but are separated with a larger painted buffer.

Class IV Separated Bikeways

On-street bikeways physically separated from motor vehicles with vertical elements such as bollards, planters, or curbs.

Paved Shoulders

In rural areas, paved shoulders offer people biking greater separation from vehicle traffic and are especially important on uphill roads and curves.



030

↑ Shared-use path

↑ Buffered bike lane



↑ Bike route



↑ Separated bikeway



↑ Paved shoulder

Project Prioritization Methodology

Prioritizing projects allows for greater transparency and alignment with community priorities. Considering the scale of the project area and the number of potential improvements, it was important to prioritize projects so that decision makers can determine the order in which improvements should be made, as funding is available. The prioritization process resulted in lists of highest scoring to lowest scoring projects for each incorporated area and countywide. It is important to note that the process prioritizes projects, not locations and that the type of project (pedestrian vs. bike) does not affect its score. A detailed description of prioritization methodology can be found in Appendix D: Prioritization Methodology.

The project team prioritized the infrastructure recommendations in three steps:

STEP 1: SCORE PROJECTS

Each proposed project was given a score of 1 if it met the identified criteria described below. The criteria used for prioritizing projects stem directly from the vision and goals of the Siskiyou County ATP detailed in Chapter 1. If a project did not meet the criteria, it was scored as 0. An overall project score was produced by adding together the results of each criterion, with a maximum score of 5.

- Connectivity: The project is located on a Caltrans roadway that helps overcome barriers for people walking and biking along or across major roadways.
- Safety: The project is located on a street segment with higher-than-average crashes into people biking and walking.
- Disadvantaged Area: The project is located in a census tract whose median household income is in the lowest 20th percentile for the county.
- Community Development: The project is located within 500 feet of a school, downtown business district, or recreation site (including parks).
- Public Input: The project was identified as a priority during the site visit or in the survey.

STEP 2: ESTIMATE COSTS

After the projects were scored, the project team developed planning-level cost estimates using generalized per-unit costs (\$/ft., \$/unit) for the proposed improvements. While not detailed, these estimates provide a useful framework for understanding potential costs and prioritizing investments.

STEP 3: DEVELOP KEY PROJECT LISTS

Using the overall project scores and the cost estimates, the project team was able to identify key projects in every jurisdiction that should be pursued first. The projects highlighted in the following section represent a selected subset of all projects, chosen for their high overall scores and include projects in both high- and low-cost categories. These projects are prioritized for cities and Siskiyou County to pursue first due to their importance in enhancing local bike and pedestrian networks. For cities with existing plans, the ATP incorporates their top priority projects.

For the full list of projects, their scores and cost estimates, see <u>Appendix E: Project</u>
Recommendations and Cost Estimates.

Build These First: High-Priority Infrastructure Recommendations

Unincorporated Siskiyou County

 Table 2
 High-Priority Corridor Infrastructure Recommendations in Unincorporated Siskiyou County

CATEGORY	PROJECT ID (SEE MAPS)	RECOMMENDATION	FROM	то	RESPONSIBLE AGENCY
Bike	B543	Add signage for bicycles along USBR 85 CA Southbound from the northern county limit and southern county limit.	Northern County Limit	Southern County Limit	Siskiyou County
Bike	B131	Gateway Trails Connector. Bike Lane (Class II), two 11' travel lanes and two Class II bikeways (5' bike lanes)	Om Shasta Path	Ski Village Dr	Siskiyou County
Bike	B190	W A Barr Bikeway. Bike Lane (Class II) Bike Recommendation: 5' paved shoulders	Lake Siskiyou Dam Parking	Old Stage Rd	Siskiyou County
Bike	B191	Ream Ave Bikeway. Bike Lane (Class II) Bike Recommendation: 5' paved shoulders (Consider Advisory Shoulder from Old Stage Rd intersection to Mt. Shasta)	W A Barr Rd	City Boundary	Siskiyou County
Bike	B192	Old Stage Rd Bikeway. Bike Lane (Class II) Bike Recommendation: 5' paved shoulders	Southern Mt. Shasta Boundary	Northern Mt. Shasta Boundary	Siskiyou County
Trail	T201, T202, T203, T224	Great Shasta Rail Trail – Mt Shasta Expansion Study	Adams Dr	Jefferson Dr	Siskiyou County
Trail	Т80	Conduct a feasibility study on a rails-to-trail or rails-with-trail project between Montague and Yreka.	Yreka	Montague	Caltrans, Siskiyou County

Unincorporated Siskiyou County

 Table 3
 High-Priority Spot Infrastructure Recommendations in Unincorporated Siskiyou County

CATEGORY	PROJECT ID (SEE MAPS)	RECOMMENDATION	CROSS STREET A	CROSS STREET B	RESPONSIBLE AGENCY
Street	S47	Identify solutions for improved winter maintenance of Everett Memorial Highway and Castle Lake Rd. Support local solutions currently underway as of Spring 2025, including: Forest Service Fee development and Winter Road Access Stakeholder group.	-	-	Siskiyou County
Street	S575	Study and construct biking and walking connections between the towns of Weed, Mt. Shasta, Dunsmuir and McCloud in support of the South Siskiyou County Sustainable Recreation Plan. These connections may include facilities on county roadways such as Old Stage Rd and W A Barr Rd among others.	-	-	Siskiyou County
Crossing	C132	Crossing Project. Add crosswalk with high-visibility continental markings.	Mt. Shasta Blvd	Hwy 89	Siskiyou County

Dorris

 Table 4
 High-Priority Infrastructure Recommendations in Dorris


CATEGORY	PROJECT ID (SEE MAPS)	RECOMMENDATION	CROSS STREET A	CROSS STREET B	RESPONSIBLE AGENCY
Crossing	C20	Add high-visibility continental crosswalk markings on the north leg of the intersection of Hwy 97/Main St and 3rd St. Install an RRFB. Reconstruct the curb ramps for the crossing and extend them to reduce the crossing distance. Construct a pedestrian refuge island at this location. These changes will require reconstruction of curb ramps on all corners.	Hwy 97	3rd St	Caltrans
Crossing	C21	Replace the existing crosswalks on the north and east legs of the intersection of Hwy 97 and Oregon St with high-visibility continental striping. Reconstruct and extend the curbs on the northeast and southeast corners of the intersection to shorten the crossing distance and calm traffic on Hwy 97. Install an RRFB. This will also trigger reconstruction of the ramp on the NW corner due to ADA compliance rules.	Hwy 97	Oregon St	Caltrans
Crossing	C188	Caltrans recommends: Fix Existing Crosswalk (East and West legs)	Hwy 97	2nd St	Caltrans


SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN

Spot Improvements

- Bike Parking (BP)
- Crossing (C)
- Street (S)

- Bike Facility (B)
- Sidewalk (SW)
- Park
- 3 School
- City Boundary





Dunsmuir


 Table 5
 High-Priority Infrastructure Recommendations in Dunsmuir


Note: These high-priority infrastructure recommendations reflect the same recommendations as found in the Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan. For more details on these projects, refer to the Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan.

CATEGORY	PROJECT ID (SEE MAPS)	RECOMMENDATION	FROM	то	RESPONSIBLE AGENCY
Bike, Trail	T274, B275, B276, B293	Project #1: Mott Rd and Dunsmuir Ave. Class 1 Bikeway, Class 3 Bikeway, and Class 5 Bikeway	City Boundary	Siskiyou Ave	Dunsmuir
Bike, Trail	T282, B283, B281	Project #2: Dunsmuir Ave. Class 1 Bikeway, Class 3 Bikeway, Class 5 Bikeway	Siskiyou Ave	Florence Loop	Dunsmuir
Trail	Т307	Project # 3: Florence Loop and Dunsmuir Ave. Class 1 Bikeway	Florence Loop	Spring St	Dunsmuir
Trail	T289, B290, B295	Project # 4: Willow Loop. Class 1 Bikeway, Class 5 Bikeway	Dunsmuir Ave	Dunsmuir Ave	Dunsmuir
Trail	T291, T294, T296, T297	Project #5: Dunsmuir Ave Multi-use Path. Class 1 Bikeway	Hill St	Valero Gas Station	Dunsmuir, Siskiyou County

SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN

- Bike Facility (B)
- Sidewalk (SW)
- Trail (T)
- Park
- City Boundary





Etna

 Table 6
 High-Priority Corridor Infrastructure Recommendations in Etna

CATEGORY	PROJECT ID (SEE MAPS)	RECOMMENDATION	FROM	то	RESPONSIBLE AGENCY
Street	S55	Designate Main St between the museum and Callahan St a historic main street and classify the roadway as a shared street, which prioritizes the pedestrian experience over through traffic. Seek funding for a community main street study that reimagines the corridor to maximize the walkability of the street and minimize through traffic speed.	Museum	Callahan St	Etna
Sidewalk	SW28	Construct a sidewalk on both sides of Collier Way between Howell Ave and Center St.	Howell Ave	Center St	Etna

 Table 7
 High-Priority Spot Infrastructure Recommendations in Etna


CATEGORY	PROJECT ID (SEE MAPS)	RECOMMENDATION	CROSS STREET A	CROSS STREET B	RESPONSIBLE AGENCY
Crossing	C29	Add high-visibility continental crosswalk markings and a RRFB on the west leg of the intersection of Hwy 3 and Collier Way.	Hwy 3	Telco Way	Caltrans
Crossing	C32	Restripe the existing crosswalk at Collier Way and Scott St to include high-visibility continental markings. Construct ADA accessible curb ramps on the Northeast and Southeast corners of the intersection. Consider adding a pedestrian island to the crosswalk across Collier Way at this location to calm inbound traffic speeds.	Collier Way	Scott St	Etna


SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN

Spot Improvements

- Bike Parking (BP)
- Crossing (C)
- EV Charging (EV)
- Street (S)

- Bike Facility (B)
- Sidewalk (SW)
- Street (S)
- Park
- School
- City Boundary





Fort Jones

 Table 8
 High-Priority Corridor Infrastructure Recommendations in Fort Jones

CATEGORY	PROJECT ID (SEE MAPS)	RECOMMENDATION	FROM	то	RESPONSIBLE AGENCY
Street	S68	Work with Caltrans to reduce the speed limit on Hwy 3 through Fort Jones to 30 MPH and expand the distance vehicles have to slow down as they enter town. To do this, move the existing 30 MPH speed limit signs to where the 40 MPH signs are, and move the 40 MPH signs to where the 50 MPH signs are, and move the 50 MPH signs further away from city limits.	Baseball Field	Scott River Rd	Caltrans

 Table 9
 High-Priority Spot Infrastructure Recommendations in Fort Jones

CATEGORY	PROJECT ID (SEE MAPS)	RECOMMENDATION	CROSS STREET A	CROSS STREET B	RESPONSIBLE AGENCY
Crossing	C206	Restripe existing crosswalk at the intersection of Hwy 3 and Newton St with high-visibility continental crosswalk markings. Include an RRFB, ADA curb ramps and consider curb extensions.	Hwy 3	Newton St	Caltrans
Street	SP74	Install a yield for school zone flashing sign at the Butte St / Diggles St intersection;	Butte St	Diggles St	Fort Jones


SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN

Spot Improvements

- Crossing (C)
- Street (S)
- O Trail (T)

- Bike Facility (B)
- Sidewalk (SW)
- Street (S)
- Trail (T)
- Park
- School
- City Boundary





Happy Camp

 Table 10
 High-Priority Corridor Infrastructure Recommendations in Happy Camp

CATEGORY	PROJECT ID (SEE MAPS)	RECOMMENDATION	FROM	то	RESPONSIBLE AGENCY
Street	S109	CS2: SR 96 Happy Camp Streetscape Project. Reconstruct SR 96 between 1st Ave and Davis Rd according to a "rural complete streets" model with defined pedestrian facilities and bike lanes. For bike lanes, build new buffered bikeway class II on SR 96 between Davis Rd and the south side of Second Ave. (Note that this is more than previously proposed by Caltrans' plans which suggested a 4-ft buffer on SR 96 between Hillside Rd and Elk Creek Rd, and expands on the Middle Klamath River Plan which suggested a bikeway class II facility (not buffered) on SR 96 south of Elk Creek Rd.) For sidewalks, build new sidewalks on both sides of SR 96 from north of Davis Rd to Hillside Dr, then just on the north side of SR 96 from Hillside Dr to Second Ave. On the Indian Creek Bridge, where sidewalks may not be feasible, consider striping advisory shoulders or another pedestrian facility to help delineate space for people walking. include bulb outs (curb extensions) at Reeves, Davis, and Doolittle.	1st Ave	Davis Rd	Caltrans
Sidewalk	SW1	Construct a sidewalk on the south side of Second Ave along its full extent. Include ADA-compliant ramps are on the east side of the bridge.	Hwy 96	Hwy 96	Нарру Сатр
Sidewalk	SW63	Install permanent staircase connecting Indian Creek Rd/Grayback Rd to Reeves St. See also: BP5: Indian Creek / Jacobs Way ** Pedestrian Path with Stairs Happy Camp From Parry's Market to Jacobs Rd	Indian Creek Rd	Reeves St	Нарру Сатр

CATEGORY	PROJECT ID (SEE MAPS)	RECOMMENDATION	CROSS STREET A	CROSS STREET B	RESPONSIBLE AGENCY
Street	SP71	Install a temporary vehicle blockade of the dirt path connecting Indian Creek Rd/Grayback Rd to Reeves St until the proposed staircase at this location is constructed.	Baseball Field	Scott River Rd	Caltrans


SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN

Spot Improvements

- Bike Parking (BP)
- Crossing (C)
- EV Charging (EV)
- Street (S)

- Bike Facility (B)
- Sidewalk (SW)
- Street (S)
- Trail (T)
- Park
- School
- Community Service District Boundary





Montague

 Table 12
 High-Priority Corridor Infrastructure Recommendations in Montague

PROJECT ID

CATEGORY	(SEE MAPS)	RECOMMENDATION	FROM	то	AGENCY
Sidewalk	SW7	Add sidewalks on both sides of Hwy 3 between 4th St and 11th St	4th St	11th St	Caltrans
Table 13 High	, ,	rastructure Recommendations in Montague			
CATEGORY	PROJECT ID (SEE MAPS)	RECOMMENDATION	CROSS STREET A	CROSS STREET B	RESPONSIBLE AGENCY
Crossing	C41	Add high-visibility continental crosswalk markings to the north and east legs of the intersection of 9th St and Webb St. Reconstruct the northwest and northeast corners of the intersection by extending the curb to reduce the crossing distance and adding curb ramps. Add an RRFB for the east leg. Restripe the southbound stop bar on 9th St to be behind the crosswalk so that vehicles stop before the crosswalk. This will also trigger reconstruction of all ramps at the intersection due to ADA compliance rules.	Hwy 3	9th St	Caltrans
Crossing	C43	Restripe the crosswalks at the intersection of Hwy 3 and King St with high-visibility continental crosswalk markings and construct a pedestrian refuge island for the Hwy 3 crosswalk. Extend the curbs on the northwest and northeast corners to reduce the crossing distance. This will also trigger reconstruction of all ramps at the intersection due to ADA compliance rules.	Hwy 3	King St	Caltrans
Crossing	C44	Add high-visibility continental crosswalk markings to the west leg of the intersection of Hwy 3 and 7th St and construct extended curb ramps on the northwest and southwest corners. This will also trigger reconstruction of all ramps at the intersection due to ADA compliance rules.	Hwy 3	7th St	Caltrans

RESPONSIBLE

SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN

Spot Improvements

- Bike Parking (BP)
- Crossing (C)

- Bike Facility (B)
- Sidewalk (SW)
- Trail (T)
- Park
- 3 School
- City Boundary





Mt. Shasta

Table 14 High-Priority Corridor Infrastructure Recommendations in Mt. Shasta

Note: These high-priority infrastructure recommendations reflect the same recommendations as found in the Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan. For more details on these projects, refer to the Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan.

CATEGORY	PROJECT ID (SEE MAPS)	RECOMMENDATION	FROM	то	RESPONSIBLE AGENCY
Bike	B182	Mt. Shasta Blvd Downtown. Mt. Shasta Blvd Downtown Separated Bikeway (Class IV). Two 13' travel lanes, two Class IV bikeways (5' bike lanes), two 5' protected buffers, and two 8' parking areas; upgrade existing Class II to Class IV	Sisson Ave	McCloud Ave	Mt. Shasta
Bike	B183	Mt. Shasta Blvd Downtown. Separated Bikeway (Class IV)Two 11' travel lanes, two Class IV bikeways (5' bike lanes), two 3' protected buffers, and two 8' parking areas; upgrade existing Class II to Class IV	McCloud Ave	Alma St	Mt. Shasta
Bike	B184	Mt. Shasta Blvd Downtown. Separated Bikeway (Class IV) Two 11' travel lanes, two Class IV bikeways (5' bike lanes), two 3' protected buffers, and one 8' parking area; upgrade existing Class II to Class IV	Alma St	Hinckley St	Mt. Shasta
Bike	B187	Mt. Shasta Blvd North. Separated Bikeway (Class IV) Two 11' travel lanes, two Class IV bikeways (5' bike lanes), two 3' protected buffers	Ski Village Dr	Spring Hill Dr	Mt. Shasta
Bike	B127	Midtown Greenway. Bike Route (Class III)	Mt. Shasta Blvd	Northern end of B St	Mt. Shasta
Bike	B129	Midtown Greenway. Bike Route (Class III)	Alder St	Spruce St	Mt. Shasta

Mt. Shasta

 Table 14
 High-Priority Corridor Infrastructure Recommendations in Mt. Shasta (cont.)

CATEGORY	PROJECT ID (SEE MAPS)	RECOMMENDATION	FROM	то	RESPONSIBLE AGENCY
Bike	B185	Mt. Shasta Blvd North. Separated Bikeway (Class IV) Two 11' travel lanes, two Class IV bikeways (5' bike lanes), two 3' protected buffers; upgrade existing Class II to Class IV	Hinckley St	Nixon Rd	Mt. Shasta
Bike	B186	Mt. Shasta Blvd North. Separated Bikeway (Class IV) Two 12' travel lanes, two Class IV bikeways (5' bike lanes), two 4'-5' protected buffers	Nixon Rd	Ski Village Dr	Mt. Shasta
Bike	B125	Midtown Greenway. Bike Route (Class III)	Southern end of Oak St	Mt. Shasta Blvd	Mt. Shasta
Bike	B126	Midtown Greenway. Separated Bikeway (Class IV) Two 12' travel lanes, two Class IV bikeways (5' bike lanes), two 3' protected buffers	Old McCloud Rd	Sheldon Ave	Mt. Shasta
Bike	B132	Gateway Trails Connector. Separated Bikeway (Class IV)	Shasta Ave	Rockfellow Dr	Mt. Shasta
Bike	B181	Mt. Shasta Blvd Downtown. Separated Bikeway (Class IV) Two 12' travel lanes, two Class IV bikeways (5' bike lanes), two 5' protected buffers; upgrade existing Class II to Class IV	Sheldon Ave	Sisson Ave	Mt. Shasta
Bike	B195	Gateway Trails Connector. Bike Lane (Class II) Two 11' travel lanes and two Class II bikeways (5' bike lanes)	Ski Village Dr	Shasta Ave	Mt. Shasta
Bike	B196	Ream Ave Bikeway. Bike Lane (Class II) Bike Recommendation: 5' paved shoulders (Consider Advisory Shoulder from Old Stage Rd intersection to Mt. Shasta)	City Boundary	Mt. Shasta Blvd	Mt. Shasta

Mt. Shasta

 Table 14
 High-Priority Corridor Infrastructure Recommendations in Mt. Shasta (cont.)


CATEGORY	PROJECT ID (SEE MAPS)	RECOMMENDATION	FROM	то	RESPONSIBLE AGENCY
Sidewalk	SW251	Sidewalk Project. Sidewalk on Mt. Shasta Blvd from Hinckley St to Reginato Rd.	Hinckley St	Reginato Rd	Mt. Shasta
Sidewalk	SW259	Sidewalk Project. Sidewalk on Alma St from Mt. Shasta Blvd To Existing sidewalk.	Mt. Shasta Blvd	Existing Sidewalk	Mt. Shasta
Trail	T128	Midtown Greenway. Shared Use Path (Class I)	B St	Alder St	Mt. Shasta


 Table 15
 High-Priority Spot Infrastructure Recommendations in Mt. Shasta

CATEGORY	PROJECT ID (SEE MAPS)	RECOMMENDATION	CROSS STREET A	CROSS STREET B	RESPONSIBLE AGENCY
Crossing	C99	Crossing Project. 3 curb extensions, 4 high-visibility crosswalks across all intersection legs, 1 pedestrian refuge island, for all intersection legs include a leading pedestrian interval. Add bike detection at both signals.	Mt. Shasta Blvd	Lake St	Mt. Shasta
Crossing	C102	Additional study required for a Signal or Beacon	Mt. Shasta Blvd	Ski Village Dr	Mt. Shasta
Crossing	C115	Crossing Project. 1 high-visibility crosswalk, 2 RRFBs, 3 curb extensions, advance yield markings and signage	Mt. Shasta Blvd	Alpine St	Mt. Shasta
Crossing	C130	Crossing Project. Upgrade crosswalk to high-visibility crosswalk with advance pedestrian yield markings and signage.	Mt. Shasta Blvd	Smith St	Mt. Shasta

SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN


- Bike Facility (B)
- Sidewalk (SW)
- Street (S)
- Trail (T)
- Park
- School
- City Boundary






SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN

- Bike Facility (B)
- Sidewalk (SW)
- Street (S)
- Trail (T)
- Park
- School
- City Boundary







PROPOSED BIKE AND PEDESTRIAN INFRASTRUCTURE

SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN

Spot Improvements

- Crossing (C)
- EV Charging (EV)
- Park
- School School
- City Boundary





Tulelake

 Table 16
 High-Priority Corridor Infrastructure Recommendations in Tulelake

distance.

CATEGORY	PROJECT ID (SEE MAPS)	RECOMMENDATION	FROM	то	RESPONSIBLE AGENCY
Sidewalk	SW30	Complete sidewalks on both sides of E St between the railroad tracks and Second St	Railroad	Second St	Tulelake
Table 17 High		structure Recommendations in Tulelake			
Table 17 High	-Priority Spot Infra PROJECT ID (SEE MAPS)	structure Recommendations in Tulelake RECOMMENDATION	CROSS STREET A	CROSS STREET B	RESPONSIBLE AGENCY

PROPOSED BIKE AND PEDESTRIAN INFRASTRUCTURE


SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN


Spot Improvements

- Bike Parking (BP)
- Crossing (C)
- EV Charging (EV)
- Street (S)

Corridor Improvements

- Bike Facility (B)
- Sidewalk (SW)
- Street (S)
- Trail (T)
- Park
- School
- City Boundary





Weed

 Table 18
 High-Priority Corridor Infrastructure Recommendations in Weed

CATEGORY	PROJECT ID (SEE MAPS)	RECOMMENDATION	FROM	то	RESPONSIBLE AGENCY
Bike	B31	Remove the center left turn lane and add buffered bike lanes (Class II Facility) on Weed Blvd between Shastina Dr and Boles St.	College Ave	Boles St	Caltrans
Sidewalk	SW61	Construct a sidewalk on the north side of S Davis Ave between Main St and Hillside Dr. Design should consider an east side sidewalk from Main St that stays on the east side of the roadway by crossing the street at the bend.	Main St	Hillside Dr	Weed

 Table 19
 High-Priority Spot Infrastructure Recommendations in Weed

CATEGORY	PROJECT ID (SEE MAPS)	RECOMMENDATION	CROSS STREET A	CROSS STREET B	RESPONSIBLE AGENCY
Crossing	C49	Add high-visibility continental crosswalk markings on Hwy 97 at Boles St on the northwest leg of the intersection and include a pedestrian island and RRFB. Add crosswalk on the east leg of the intersection. (Note: remove the existing crosswalk on the south leg)	Hwy 97	Boles St	Caltrans

PROPOSED BIKE AND PEDESTRIAN INFRASTRUCTURE


SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN


Spot Improvements

Crossing (C)

Corridor Improvements

- Bike Facility (B)
- Sidewalk (SW)
- Street (S)
- Trail (T)
- Park
- 3 School
- City Boundary





Yreka

 Table 20
 High-Priority Corridor Infrastructure Recommendations in Yreka

CATEGORY	PROJECT ID (SEE MAPS)	RECOMMENDATION	FROM	то	RESPONSIBLE AGENCY
Bike	B19	Add bike lanes (Class IV) on Oregon St between 4H Way and Yreka High School. The design should utilize a through lane width of 11 ft in both directions, allowing the bike lanes (minimum of 6 ft) to be buffered (3 ft preferred, 2 ft minimum) and protected with flexi-post bollards for as much of the corridor as possible, though the curb-to-curb width will constrain the buffer space for the bike lanes in some areas.	4H Way	Yreka High School	Yreka
Bike	B93	Add bike lanes (Class II Facility) on Greenhorn Rd between Oregon St and Greenhorn Park.	Oregon St	Greenhorn Park	Yreka
Sidewalk	SW69	Construct a sidewalk on the south side of Greenhorn Rd from Lucas Rd to Greenhorn Park entrance. Consider expanding the scope to a 12' wide shared-use path from Oregon St to Greenhorn Park on the south side of Greenhorn Rd.	Lucas Rd	park entrance	Yreka
Sidewalk	SW558	Complete the sidewalk on the south side of Jackson St between Gold St and Oregon St.	Gold St	Oregon St	Yreka

Yreka

 Table 21
 High-Priority Spot Infrastructure Recommendations in Yreka

CATEGORY	PROJECT ID (SEE MAPS)	RECOMMENDATION	CROSS STREET A	CROSS STREET B	RESPONSIBLE AGENCY
Crossing	C54	Extend all four curbs at the intersection of Oregon St and Jackson St and add high-visibility continental crosswalk markings on all four legs of the intersection.	Oregon St	Jackson St	Yreka
Crossing	C197	Construct two crosswalks at the intersection of Siskiyou St and Knapp St with high-visibility continental markings, one on the east leg and one on the north leg. Extend the curb on each end of the two crosswalks. Consider bioswale options in design phase.	Siskiyou St	Knapp St	Yreka
Crossing	C213	Extend all four curbs at the intersection of Oregon St and Greenhorn Rd and add high-visibility continental crosswalk markings on all four legs of the intersection. Consider including an RRFB on the east or west approaches. Factor in turning movements of freight vehicles and buses into the design.	Oregon St	Greenhorn Rd	Yreka


PROPOSED BIKE AND PEDESTRIAN INFRASTRUCTURE

SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN

Corridor Improvements

- Bike Facility (B)
- Sidewalk (SW)
- Street (S)
- Trail (T)
- Park
- School
- City Boundary





PROPOSED BIKE AND PEDESTRIAN INFRASTRUCTURE

SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN

Spot Improvements

Bike Parking (BP)

Crossing (C)


Street (S)


Park

School

City Boundary







What Next: Implementation and Funding

This chapter describes next steps and strategies to implement and fund the ATP recommendations outlined for the tribal governments, SCLTC, Siskiyou County, Caltrans, and local city governments.

Implementation

Table 22 Short-Term Recommended Actions and Next Steps

CATEGORY	ACTION	RESPONSIBLE PARTY
SHORT-TERM (1	-5 YEARS)	
	Expand Street Repaving to include Active Transportation	
	 Evaluate street repaving/resurfacing projects for opportunities to incorporate recommendations in this plan. 	
Funding	 Street resurfacing is an opportune time to add crosswalk markings, bicycle lane striping, and other infrastructure. 	SCLTC
	 Active transportation projects are eligible within the Local Streets and Roads Program funded by the Road Repair and Accountability Act of 2017 (SB 1). 	
	'Banking' and 'Advancing' Transportation Development Act (TDA) Article 3	
Funding	 Stipulate minimum allocation of TDA Article 3 funds for qualified bicycle and pedestrian improvements within the County. 	SCLTC
	 Encourage 'banking' and 'advancing' of TDA Article 3 funds that will allow local jurisdictions to gather sufficient funds for projects. 	

CATEGORY	ACTION	RESPONSIBLE PARTY
SHORT-TERM (1-	-5 YEARS)	
	Build Grant Writing and Managing Capacity	
Funding	State and federal funding opportunities listed in the funding section often involve complex grant writing and competitive application process. Once successful, grants should be managed to meet the requirements. Some communities may not have the time, resources, and workforce to write and manage grants. Be mindful of limited capacity at local non-profits and be respectful of volunteer capacity.	SCLTC
	 Consider working with professional grant writers for larger and multijurisdictional grants. 	
	 Conduct workforce training to build capacity of staff to write grants. 	
	 Establish a process for smaller communities to request support for grant writing. 	
	Work Across Jurisdictions to Pursue Funding	Tribal accommenda
Funding	Some recommendations identified in the ATP (e.g. McCloud Railroad Trail Study) require coordination among multiple jurisdictions to fund and implement the project. Packaging similar types of projects across multiple communities could be a competitive strategy for grant applications. Work to continue conversations and support building partnership to pursue funding and implement the projects.	Tribal governments, SCLTC, Siskiyou County, local jurisdictions
	Work Across Jurisdictions to Pursue Funding	Caltrans, SCLTC,
Funding & Planning	Pursue or carve out funding to allow additional non-incorporated communities, such as the McCloud Community Services District, to work with the County to create supplemental, mini Active Transportation Plans.	Siskiyou County, Community Services Districts
	Coordinate with Caltrans	
Coordination	 Share the adopted ATP and work with Caltrans to include the ATP recommended projects located on the state highway system into the eligible projects list in the next State Highway Operation and Protection Program (SHOPP) and State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) updates. 	Caltrans, SCLTC
	 Provide the GIS files with the recommended project list for the Caltrans database. 	,
	 Create Interlocal Agreement (ILA) with Caltrans that include maintenance responsibilities to be handled by Caltrans even when streetscape improvements are funded and implemented by the community or grant funding. 	

CATEGORY	ACTION	RESPONSIBLE PARTY
SHORT-TERM (1-5	YEARS)	
Coordination	Build a Big Tent of Partnerships Build and/or continue partnerships across communities and with school districts, non-profits, police, California Native American Tribes, and National Forest services to implement programs and projects that benefit users of all ages, abilities, and backgrounds.	Tribal governments, Caltrans, SCLTC, Siskiyou County, local jurisdictions
Coordination & Evaluation	Use the Existing SCLTC Technical Advisory Committee to Track ATP Progress Twice a year, devote TAC meetings to identifying opportunities, challenges, needs, and emerging issues in active transportation planning and implementation in Siskiyou County. Evaluate active transportation investments every three years and report findings to this committee.	SCLTC
Maintenance	Local Ordinances on Sidewalk Maintenance Establish local ordinances where the property owners are responsible for sidewalk clearing. Provide educational resources to community members on best practices, particularly during the snowy season.	SCLTC, Siskiyou County, local jurisdictions
Communication	Bi-Annual Newsletter Continuing conversations with community members will be important to sustain the dialog kick started by the ATP and to build community trust and momentum in active transportation planning. Publish a bi-annual newsletter that outlines county wide transportation projects, ATP progress, and celebrate the wins.	SCLTC
Communication	Create an Active Transportation Projects Map Create an Active Transportation Projects Map within the Siskiyou County GIS that provides information on available facilities, and ongoing and planned projects. Project information can include estimated costs, funding sources, anticipated timeframe, and more.	Siskiyou County, SCLTC

 Table 23
 Long-Term Recommended Actions and Next Steps

CATEGORY	ACTION	PARTY
LONG-TERM (6-10	YEARS) OR ONGOING	
Maintenance	 Incorporate Operations and Maintenance Conversations in Design and Budgeting Phase Maintenance needs of active transportation facilities and operational issues such as parking, traffic enforcement, and traffic operations should be incorporated into the design phases of the project development. Maintain an inventory of active transportation facilities and allocate proportional maintenance funding to ensure sufficient funding for routine active transportation facility maintenance costs. 	Tribal governments, Caltrans, SCLTC, Siskiyou County, local jurisdictions
Maintenance	Use High Quality Materials in Upcoming Projects Maintenance costs for street sweeping, replacement, restriping, and snow/ice clearance can add up over time. While we acknowledge the funding challenges, where possible, we recommend using high quality materials upfront to increase longevity and reduce maintenance costs. Including them in the grant up front can reduce the need to pay for maintenance later. Grants are not as readily available to pay for maintenance. For example, use thermoplastic road markings instead of paint to make it more visible while also being durable.	Tribal governments, Caltrans, SCLTC, Siskiyou County, local jurisdictions
Maintenance & Communication	Identify Process for Public Reporting of Maintenance Issues Set up a platform and process for community members to submit maintenance issues that may affect active transportation infrastructure like parking on bike lanes, illegal dumping, or potholes. Create a system to report the issues to relevant agencies or departments.	SCLTC, Siskiyou County
Monitoring & Evaluation	ATP Concurrence Data Sheet To create a streamlined process for communities to incorporate the ATP recommendations into all their projects, SCLTC should add questions to their project intake form (similar to STIP Project Data Sheet). Include questions that enable decision makers and staff to document the process of incorporating (or not) active transportation infrastructure into all their projects.	SCLTC
Monitoring & Evaluation	Countywide Surveys Conduct county-level surveys on mode share, climate goals, project implementation, regional networks and gaps every three years. Present the finding from the survey to the SCLTC and TAC. Publish the findings in the annual report and in the newsletter.	SCLTC
Monitoring & Evaluation	Pre- and Post-Evaluation Project Study For larger active transportation projects, conduct pre- and post-evaluation of facilities to understand the change in community perception, impacts and use.	SCLTC
		7

RESPONSIBLE


Funding

The funding opportunities listed below are intended to assist SCLTC, Siskiyou County, and local communities to identify and pursue appropriate federal, state, and local opportunities to support the implementation of active transportation infrastructure and programs.

The appropriate funding source will often be determined by the type of projects and activities including planning, programming, right-of-way acquisition, design, implementation, and maintenance of walking and biking facilities. The cost of projects recommended in this plan differ widely depending on scale and type of recommendation. So, it is important to remember that projects will often require multiple funding pathways to be implemented and maintained. For projects spanning multiple jurisdictions, agency partners will have to work together to successfully apply and acquire funding.

<u>Appendix E: Project Recommendations and Cost Estimates</u> provides an estimate of projected costs for recommended projects to help communities determine their funding needs.

The funding landscape is constantly changing. The information presented here reflects the funding criteria at the time of writing this plan.



Traditional Sources

Federal and state governments traditionally fund transportation and other projects through grants to provide public services and stimulate the economy. The grants can support specific type of projects and can benefit some parts of the population or the entire community. Grant funding is generally non-repayable once awarded and can be a lower-risk approach compared to loans that could impact credit ratings and assets. Grants can broadly be categorized into discretionary grants and non-discretionary grants or formula grants. Formula Grants are not competitive distributed to the recipients based on specific set parameters. Discretionary grants are awarded to applicants though a competitive grant application process.

ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION INFRASTRUCTURE INVESTMENT PROGRAM (ATIIP)

The ATIIP is a competitive grant program created by the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law to plan, design, and construct safe and connected active transportation networks such as sidewalks, bikeways, and trails to connect destinations in active transportation networks or spines. The grant can also be used for facilities like trails, pedestrian facilities, and bikeways that connect two or more communities. The ATIIP has two different categories of grants: Planning and Design Grants, and Construction Grants. While federal share of eligible projects using the grant shall not exceed 80 percent of the total project cost, projects serving communities with a poverty rate of over 40 percent may be eligible to receive up to 100 percent of the total project cost. Local or regional governmental organizations and Tribes will be eligible to apply.

Relevant activity or project types include traffic calming, lighting, micromobility, education and training programs, recreational trails, crosswalks, and bicycle parking, among many others.

For more information: https://www.transportation.gov/rural/grant-toolkit/ active-transportation-infrastructure-investment-program-atiip

CALIFORNIA ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM (ATP)

ATP consolidates federal and state transportation programs like the Senate Bill 1, SRTS, Transportation Alternatives Program and Bicycle Transportation Account into a single program with a focus on non-motorized projects that benefit walking, biking, and rolling. 10% of the ATP funding goes to Small Urban and Rural regions with a population of 200,000 or less. Eligible applicants include local and regional governments, transit agencies, public schools or school districts and tribal governments. Private Nonprofit tax-exempt organizations can only apply for Recreational Trails Program.

Relevant activity or project types include active transportation related infrastructure, non-infrastructure, combined infrastructure and non-infrastructure and quick build projects.

For more information: https://dot.ca.gov/-/media/dot-media/programs/local-assistance/documents/atp/2024/atp-fact-sheet-spring2024.pdf

CALIFORNIA LOCAL STREETS AND ROADS PROGRAM

SB 1 dedicated approximately \$1.5 billion per year in new formula revenues to cities and counties for basic road maintenance, rehabilitation, and critical safety projects on the local streets and roads system, such as filling potholes, pavement repairs, lane restriping, and bringing crosswalks and sidewalks up to code.

To be eligible to receive funding from the Controller, each year, cities and counties must submit a proposed project list adopted at a regular meeting by their board or council that is then submitted to the California Transportation Commission (Commission).

For more information: https://catc.ca.gov/programs/sb1/local-streets-roads-program

CHARGING AND FUELING INFRASTRUCTURE DISCRETIONARY GRANT PROGRAM (CFI PROGRAM)

CFI is a competitive grant program created by the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law to develop publicly accessible electric vehicle charging and alternative fueling infrastructure in urban and rural areas where people live and work. There are two funding categories in this grant: (1) Community Charging and Alternative Fueling Grants (Community Program); and (2) Charging and Alternative Fuel Corridor Grants (Corridor Program). Maximum Federal Share shall not exceed 80 percent of the total project cost and awardees must provide at least 20 percent of total project cost. Local governments, Indian Tribes, and local authorities with ownership of publicly accessible transportation facilities are eligible to apply under one or both funding categories.

Relevant activity or project types include electric vehicle charging infrastructure, hydrogen fueling infrastructure, propane fueling infrastructure, and natural gas fueling infrastructure.

For more information: https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/cfi/

CALTRANS HIGHWAY SAFETY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (HSIP)

HSIP is a federal-aid program awarded to States to achieve significant reduction in fatalities and serious injuries on all public roads. A city, county, or tribal government federally recognized within the State of California is eligible to apply and the funds can be used on any public road or publicly owned bicycle or pedestrian pathway or trail, or on tribal lands for general use of tribal members, that improves the safety for its users. Proposed projects should identify a specific problem, propose countermeasures to substantially address the problem and lead to and complete the construction of safety improvements. The project must be consistent with California's Strategic Highway Safety Plan.

Relevant activity or project types include road diets, safety education and awareness activities and programs, separated bike lanes, sidewalks, pedestrian, and bike route signages among many others.

For more information: https://dot.ca.gov/-/media/dot-media/programs/local-assistance/documents/lapg/g09.pdf

PROMOTING RESILIENT OPERATIONS FOR TRANSFORMATIVE, EFFICIENT, AND COST-SAVING TRANSPORTATION (PROTECT)

PROTECT Program established by the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law help make surface transportation more resilient to natural hazards, including climate change, extreme weather events, and other natural disasters. The program consists of the PROTECT Formula Program that is directed to State DOT's based on formula and the PROTECT Discretionary Grant Program awarded through competitive grants to local governments, Indian tribes, and other entities. A Benefit-Cost Analysis (BCA) is required for this grant program.

Relevant activity or project types include planning, resilience improvements, and community resilience and evacuation routes.

For more information: https://www.transportation.gov/rural/grant-toolkit/ promoting-resilient-operations-transformative-efficient-and-cost-saving

BETTER UTILIZING INVESTMENTS TO LEVERAGE DEVELOPMENT (BUILD) GRANT PROGRAM

BUILD is a discretionary federal grant program authorized by the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law supporting multi-modal and multi-jurisdictional projects that are more difficult to fund through other traditional federal grant programs. Project types funded through BUILD grants include safety, environmental sustainability, quality of life, mobility and community connectivity, and economic competitiveness. Local governments, counties, Tribal governments, and transit agencies are eligible to apply.

Eligible projects include bike repair stations, charging stations for electric bicycles and scooters, data collection and monitoring for pedestrians and/or bicyclists, maps for pedestrians and/or bicyclists, paved shoulders for pedestrian and/or bicyclist use, recreational trails, and new or retrofit sidewalks. Some of the eligible projects like bike parking, bus shelters and benches are not competitive unless part of a larger project.

For more information: https://www.transportation.gov/RAISEgrants

NATIONALLY SIGNIFICANT FEDERAL LANDS AND TRIBAL PROJECTS (NSFLTP) PROGRAM

NSFLTP Program provides funding for the construction, reconstruction, and rehabilitation of nationally significant projects within, adjacent to, or accessing federal and Tribal lands. Bicycle and pedestrian projects are eligible to apply. Preliminary engineering results must show that, the project has an estimated construction costs equal to or greater than \$12,500,000. A State, county, or unit of local government may apply for funding under the NSFLTP Program only if sponsored by an eligible Federal Land Management Agency (FLMA) or federally recognized Tribe.

Relevant activity or project types include intersection improvements, sidewalk installation, bicycle- and pedestrian- trails, improvements to separate pedestrians from vehicular traffic, shared-use paths, and safety improvements.

For more information: https://highways.dot.gov/federal-lands/significant

THRIVING COMMUNITIES PROGRAM (TCP)

USDOT's TCP funded through the Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2022 supports planning and development of transportation and community revitalization activities and provides tools for underresourced communities to access Bipartisan Infrastructure Law funding. The program helps develop transformative infrastructure projects that will increase mobility, reduce pollution, and expand affordable transportation options, connecting communities to the essential opportunities. There are two programs within the TCP: Thriving Communities National Capacity Builder Program (TCP-N) and the Thriving Communities Regional Pilot Program (TCP-R). Eligibility can vary between the two programs.

For more information: https://www.transportation.gov/grants/thriving-communities

TRIBAL TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM SAFETY FUND (TTPSF)

TTPSF is administered by the USDOT and aims to address the higher rates of fatal injuries associated with transportation for the Native American and Alaska Native populations of our country. Projects must involve public roads and correct or improve a hazardous road location or feature or address a highway safety problem. No match or cost-sharing is required for this grant. Individual award amounts vary. TTPSF emphasizes a data-driven process for Tribes to identify and address transportation safety needs. Only federally recognized tribes and affiliated groups are eligible to apply.

Relevant activity or project types include bicycle or pedestrian planning, construction, technology demonstrations and deployment, accessibility, and security.

For more information: https://www.transportation.gov/rural/grant-toolkit/tribal-transportation-program-safety-fund-ttpsf

RECREATIONAL TRAILS PROGRAM (RTP)

RTP is a state-administered local assistance program of the U.S. Department of Transportation's Federal Highway Administration. California Department of Parks and Recreation administers the RTP. Eligible applicants include cities and counties, and non-profit organizations with management responsibilities of public lands. Applicants are responsible for matching a minimum of 12% of total project costs, with RTP funding a maximum of 88% of total project costs. Cities, counties, districts, and 501(c)(3) nonprofit organizations are eligible to apply.

Relevant activity or project types include barrier removal for ADA compliance, sidewalks, bicycle parking, charging stations for electric bicycles and scooters, recreational trails, and SRTS education and training programs.

For more information: https://www.grants.ca.gov/grants/recreational-trails-program-rtp/

STATE HIGHWAY OPERATIONS AND PROTECTION PROGRAM (SHOPP)

SHOPP funds improvements on the State Highway System. The program funds pavement rehabilitation, operational improvements and projects that improve access and safety for bicyclists and pedestrians using the state highway system. Projects are developed under an asset management framework established by Caltrans. To be considered for this program, SCLTC should work with Caltrans to include projects listed in the ATP to be included in the SHOPP database.

For more information: <a href="https://dot.ca.gov/programs/financial-programming/state-highway-operation-protection-program-shopp-minor-program-sh

STATE TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (STIP)

STIP is the biennial five-year investment plan adopted by the California Transportation Commission. The program allocates transportation funding for locally prioritized highway, road, transit, and active transportation projects. The two major funding categories within the STIP are the Regional Transportation Improvement Plan (RTIP) and the Interregional Transportation Improvement Program (ITIP). The RIP is sub-allocated to counties by formula and Caltrans prepares the RTIPs that includes projects of interregional significance. Siskiyou County Local Transportation Commission should work to establish minimum funding allocation from the RIP for active transportation projects. For RTIP, like SHOPP, Siskiyou County Local Transportation Commission should work with Caltrans to include projects listed in the ATP to be included in the RTIP database.

For more information: https://dot.ca.gov/programs/local-assistance/fed-and-state-programs/state-transportation-improvement-program

STRENGTHENING MOBILITY AND REVOLUTIONIZING TRANSPORTATION (SMART)

SMART program, established by the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law, provides grants to eligible public sector agencies for demonstration projects focused on advanced smart community technologies and systems to improve transportation efficiency and safety.

Relevant activity or project types eligible for SMART should fall within one of the eight identified technology areas. Signs, signals, signal improvements including accessible pedestrian signals will be eligible.

For more information: https://www.transportation.gov/grants/SMART

Non-Traditional Sources

IN-KIND DONATIONS

In-kind donations are typically non-monetary and can be offered for free or at less than typical costs. These donations can be used to support other funding pathways. This can include volunteering and adopt-a-path or adopt-a trail options to support maintenance of completed facilities.

Case Study: Tweetsie Trail is a 10-mile rails-to-trails project between Johnson City and Elizabethton, Tennessee. Local companies and businesses provided labor, services, equipment and materials that reduce the project costs considerably.

PHILANTHROPY

Local and national foundations and non-profits provide funding and/or support to get funding for active transportation projects. The funding may not need repayment but have conditions and funding use and reporting requirements. Generally, an established non-profit group acting on behalf of the project must exist.

PARTNERSHIPS

For trail projects, partnerships between various non-profits, industry partners, and community members can be crucial for supporting trail projects including project implementation, trail maintenance, signage, infrastructure development, and educational or recreational programming. Potential partners can include businesses, universities, and other community institutions. This provides an opportunity for members to show their commitment for environmental stewardship, community development, and recreational activities.

Case Study: Nine Waldo County organizations worked together to create a 47-mile year-round recreational footpath. Volunteers helped in developing the trail layout and establishment of the trail and landowners granted public access to cross their land. https://www.americantrails.org/communications/awards/waldo-county-trails-coalition

Maintaining Infrastructure for Walking and Biking

During the walk audits, local jurisdictions shared concerns about the cost of maintaining active transportation facilities. While maintenance concerns are real and important, they should be balanced against the safety, health, and economic development benefits that come with making places accessible and safe for people walking, biking, or rolling using a mobility device. It is possible to prioritize maintenance and build more active transportation facilities that benefit vulnerable roadway users. To do this, cities should have strategic and intentional conversations beginning at the planning and design stages to identify options that are feasible and sustainable. Below are some steps that local jurisdictions can take to address maintenance concerns:

 When working with multiple agencies, it is important to establish a shared understanding of community needs and capacity in terms of cost participation policies. For example, when applying for grants with Caltrans, local agencies can establish a context-based need early in the grant application phase so that undue burdens are not placed on communities with limited maintenance budgets.

- When designing new separated or protected biking and walking facilities, jurisdictions should consider the maintenance vehicles they currently own or are willing to purchase to help determine the width of the facilities (for sweeping out debris or snowplowing).
- Local communities can undertake pilot projects to test the feasibility and effectiveness of an improvement. This not only helps identify ongoing maintenance costs, but successful projects can also help present the case for full scale implementation and maintenance through regional, state, or federal funding.
- Local jurisdictions should develop and adopt local ordinances where property owners will be responsible for clearing sidewalks. When funding permits, support should be provided for disadvantaged or burdened communities for sidewalk maintenance.
- Local communities should develop criteria for sidewalk inspection and maintenance so that issues can be identified early on and addressed. This will also help prevent any injuries that may result from poor maintenance of facilities.



Maintenance of active transportation facilities includes inspection, preservation, repair, and restoration of facilities so that they are safe and accessible for users. Inlaid thermoplastic or preformed tape may last significantly longer than standard surface applications (paint). High-visibility thermoplastic crosswalk markings are a great way to increase the durability of crosswalks because newer thermoplastic mixtures contain sand or other coarse materials for reducing slip hazards.

Thermoplastic is preferred in many cases due to the longevity of the material, however the initial cost and time requirements for installation are greater than paint, and snowplows can damage the thermoplastic. However, this can be accommodated by recessing thermoplastic markings, which decreases the likelihood of snowplow damage.

A common strategy to pay for the more expensive, but longer-lasting markings is to include the marking application within the initial construction, reconstruction, or pavement replacement project. In most cases, the costs for these markings are covered by the project budget and not the maintenance budget. Maintenance budgets tend to be tight, whereas including even more expensive marking materials in a project, represent a small part of a larger construction budget. ¹⁶



16 U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, "Chapter 2: Sidewalk Maintenance Policies, Standards, and Guidelines," Guide for Maintaining Pedestrian Facilities for Enhanced Safety, last modified August 10, 2016, https://highways.dot.gov/safety/pedestrian-bicyclist/research-report-guide-maintaining-pedestrian-facilities/2.

Appendices

- A Existing Conditions Report
- B Community Engagement Summary
- C Walk Audit Summary
- Prioritization Methodology
- Project Recommendations and Cost Estimates





Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan

Appendix A

Existing Conditions Report



To: Melissa Cummins, Siskiyou County Local Transportation Commission

From: Katie Selin and Phil Longenecker, Alta Planning + Design

Date: June 18, 2024

Re: Existing Conditions Memorandum

Introduction

The purpose of this memorandum is to summarize existing conditions to support the Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan. The existing conditions documentation process assesses and summarizes a range of available plans, policies, and data to provide as much information as possible on the walking and biking conditions in Siskiyou County. The topics covered in this memo include:

- Plan review to understand existing plans and policies relevant to active transportation in Siskiyou County;
- Infrastructure review to summarize where biking and walking infrastructure exists in Siskiyou County;
- Current mode share of commuters to inform where people are biking and walking to get to work;
- Land Use and destinations to inform where people live and the distances to job centers, schools, and other
 activity generators;
- Collision data to understand where safety interventions are most needed;
- Equity data to ensure where people with the greatest mobility needs live and to help prioritize recommendations;
- Schools data to assist in future Safe Routes to School planning efforts.

The final section summarizes overall opportunities and constraints based on the information contained in these topic areas. The information contained in this memorandum will guide project priorities moving forward in the Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan process.



Contents

Introduction	1
Plan Area	4
Plan Review	6
Plan Review Elements	6
Multimodal Systems Planning	7
Strengths of Reviewed Plans	7
Transit	8
Walking and Biking	8
Challenges Identified in Reviewed Plans	9
Safety	10
Strengths of Reviewed Plans	10
Challenges Identified in Reviewed Plans	11
Social and Racial Equity	11
Strengths of Reviewed Plans	11
Challenges Identified in Reviewed Plans	12
Hazard Mitigation and Emergency Planning	12
Strengths of Reviewed Plans	12
Challenges Identified in Reviewed Plans	15
Sustainability	15
Strengths of Reviewed Plans	15
Challenges Identified in Reviewed Plans	15
Plan Review Summary	16
Summary of Proposed Active Transportation Infrastructure in Plans	16
Mt. Shasta Proposed Active Transportation Infrastructure on County Roadways (Figure 2)	16
Dunsmuir Proposed Active Transportation Infrastructure on County Roadways	18
Fort Jones Community Pedestrian & Bicycle Safety Training Summary and Recommendations Report	20
Caltrans District 2 Location-Based Bicycle and Pedestrian Needs	21
Yreka Bicycle Transportation Plan (2006)	23
Yreka Long Term Bicycle and Pedestrian Projects	25
Middle Klamath River Community Transportation Plan	25
Karuk Tribe Long Term Bicycle and Pedestrian Projects /	26
Summary of Program Recommendations in Plans	30

MEMORANDUM



Mt. Shasta Program Recommendations	30
Karuk Tribal Transportation Safety Plan Program Recommendations	30
Dunsmuir Program Recommendations	30
Fort Jones Program Recommendations	31
Existing Active Transportation Infrastructure	32
Current Mode Share	36
Land Use and Important Destinations	38
Collision Data	38
Bicycle and Pedestrian Crashes	38
Spatial Distribution of Crashes	38
Types of Crash	40
Primary Crash Factor (PCF)	40
Victims	40
All Vehicle Crashes	40
Equity Data	42
Median Household Income	43
CalEnviroScreen 4.0	45
Free or Reduced Price Meal Eligibility	47
Healthy Places Index 3.0	49
USDOT Equitable Transportation Communities	51
Climate and Economic Justice Screening Tool (CEJST)	53
Opportunities and Constraints	56
Constraints	56
Opportunities	56
Appendices	57
Appendix A: Recommended improvements from Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan and	the Dunsmuir Active
Transportation Plan	57
Appendix B: Existing Bike and Pedestrian Infrastructure Map Series	62
Appendix C: Land use and Destinations Map Series	76
Appendix D: Bicycle and Pedestrian Crashes Map Series	97
Appendix E: KSI Index Map Series	109




Plan Area

Siskiyou County is home to a population of approximately 44,000 people¹ and spans nearly 6,350 square miles, making it the fifth-largest county by area in California (Figure 1). Centered around Mount Shasta and the cascade range, the county is geographically diverse; its wildlife, forests, rivers, deserts, mountains, and farmland add to the county's significant natural resources and make it a renowned recreational and tourism destination. The county is home to several Tribes, including the Karuk, Shasta, Modoc, Pit River, and Wintu among others. The county roadway network totals 888 miles of paved and 459 miles of unpaved roadways. Combined with approximately 1,500 miles of trails, Siskiyou County is well positioned to leverage walking and biking to improve access and connectivity for its communities and their many cultural and recreational resources.

_

 $^{^{\}rm 1}$ American Community Survey 2022 5-year estimates. US Census Bureau.

Figure 1. Siskiyou County Roads





Plan Review

A range of documents adopted by Siskiyou County and related local and regional agencies set an important foundation and direction for the *Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan*. This memorandum summarizes 17 plans, policies, and standards that impact active transportation planning and design in Siskiyou County. The document reviewed for this effort is listed below, grouped by responsible agency.

Through the review process, key themes emerged that are integral to the Active Transportation Plan effort:

- Multimodal systems planning
- Safety
- Hazard mitigation and emergency planning
- Social and racial equity
- Sustainability

Plans reviewed for this effort are summarized according to these themes. This approach allows for easy comparison of commonalities and lessons learned across planning documents, compared to organizing findings according to individual plans.

Plan Review Elements

The project team reviewed 16 documents produced by the county and other local and regional agencies:

State and Regional Levels Plans:

- California Transportation Plan (2050)
- Caltrans District 2 Active Transportation Plan (2022)
- California Freight Mobility Plan (2020)
- Statewide Rural Executive Summary: Coordinated Public Transit Human Services Transportation Plans (2015)
- Safe Routes to School Programs in Rural California: A Guide for Communities and Partners (2015)
- Karuk Tribal Transportation Safety Plan (2014)

Siskiyou County:

- Regional Transportation Plan (2021)
- Regional Transportation Improvement Program (2024)
- Short Range Transit Plan (2021)
- Community Wildfire Protection Plan (2019)
- Hazard Mitigation Plan (2018)

Plans of incorporated communities:

- General Plans of Cities of Dorris, Dunsmuir, Etna, Fort Jones, Montague, Weed, and Yreka
- Fort Jones Community Pedestrian & Bicycle Safety Training Summary and Recommendations Report (2022)
- Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan (2022)
- City of Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan (2023)
- Mount Shasta Bicycle, Pedestrian, and Trails Master Plan (2008)

The following sections explore the strengths and challenges of these plans as they relate to the thematic areas of multimodal systems planning, safety, Hazard mitigation and emergency planning, equity, and sustainability. The project team reviewed plans specifically to identify content related to the Active Transportation Plan. Where appropriate, the summaries include key gaps in policy or guidance related to each theme.

6



Multimodal Systems Planning

Strengths of Reviewed Plans

Siskiyou County's Regional Transportation Plan (2021) provides a vision for multimodal transportation systems planning in the region. The vision is supported by transportation goals and a list of future projects, for ten-year (2031) and twenty-year (2041) planning horizons. The Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) is made up of three major elements: Policy, Action, and Financial guiding the region towards short- and long-range goals. The RTP aims to

"Provide a safe, convenient and efficient multimodal transportation system that is part of a balanced overall transportation system and provides amenities to provide safe travel for bicyclists, pedestrians, and equestrians on existing and proposed facilities".

The RTP development process involved extensive public engagement where community members identified strengths and constraints including the lack of a well-connected or maintained transportation network and long distances between destinations with bicycle and pedestrian facilities in the County. The California Transportation Plan 2050 (CTP) was completed by the Office of State Planning and evaluates roadways, public transportation, active transportation, airports, and goods movements. Goals, objectives, and performance measures are identified, and recommendations are made to improve important aspects of transportation within the state, including safety, climate, equity, and accessibility. The CTP identified the need to expand access to a range of active transportation options as fundamental to achieving its vision and recommends expanding funding for active transportation projects in the state.

The Circulation Element in the General Plans of the incorporated cities and towns in the County typically includes an assessment of existing and proposed land uses, streets and highway systems, population projections, and transportation needs. Some plans play to the strengths of their context by incorporating facilities like bike paths within scenic corridors and stress the need for coordination at the regional level for such proposals. For example, the City of Dunsmuir's General Plan notes:

"Just north of the I-5 bridge over the Sacramento River, the prospective bike trail could follow Stagecoach and Upper Soda roads under the I-5 bridge to River Avenue. The bike path could then follow Butterfly, Gillis and First Streets to its end at Cragview Drive just inside Shasta County. Again, bike paths outside the City will need to be coordinated with Siskiyou County and, in this case, possibly Shasta County, too."

Some cities center and detail multi-modal systems planning and various active transportation systems in their General Plans. The City of Weed General Plan's (2017) preferred growth scenario and plan prioritizes non-motorized transportation and the need to improve transit service by coordinating with STAGE and developing a recreational trail network. Similarly, Mt. Shasta's General Plan (2007) provided an overview of concepts identified in the Mt. Shasta Community Action Plan (2002) which included the connection of various open spaces such as the City Park, Sisson Meadows, Lake Siskiyou, and the State Fish Hatchery. The Circulation Element in Mt. Shasta's General Plan also summarized major policies identified by the Siskiyou County Bicycle Transportation Plan (2000). While the Siskiyou County Bicycle Transportation Plan was never adopted, the goals and its supporting policies were referenced for the future of non-motorized transportation in the Mt. Shasta study.

-

² According to the Weed General Plan: Goal CI 1 – A safe and complete transportation network that is accessible to all users. Objective CI 1.1 – Establish a well-designed complete street network to accommodate multiple modes of transportation. Policy CI 1.1.1 – Implement Complete Streets policy that is consistent with the California Complete Streets Act (AB 1358).



Safe Routes to School (SRTS) programs are increasingly important in the education and promotion of active transportation within Siskiyou County. Safe Routes to School Programs in Rural California: A Guide for Communities and Partners (2015) highlights efforts made by the Siskiyou County Public Health Department in 2012-2013 to conduct school interviews, assess needs, and coordinate Walk to School Day events and Bike to School Fridays. The report noted that SRTS garnered the support of the Bicycle Tourism Partnership since it aligned with the Partnership's mission and made the county more bike-friendly. Both the Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan (2022) and the City of Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan (2023) acknowledge the role that SRTS programs have in the planning, design, and implementation of projects to improve the safety and accessibility of pedestrian and bicycle routes to local schools.

Transit

Transit is an important part of active transportation since it often involves walking, biking, or rolling to pick-up and drop-off points and destinations. Public transit in Siskiyou County is provided by Siskiyou Transit and General Express (STAGE), which mainly serves southern and central Siskiyou County. Siskiyou County Short Range Transit Plan (2021) notes that a majority (75.4 percent) of working residents (16 years or older) in Siskiyou County drive alone, roughly 4.3 percent of persons walk, 1 percent bicycle, and 0.2 percent take public transit to/from work. Although the percent of transit users is relatively small, they may have few alternatives. The plan identifies the following communities among others with the largest number of transit-dependent persons:

- Elderly The elderly population is generally high throughout Siskiyou County, but certain communities including Weed, Mt. Shasta, and some census tract areas of Yreka have much greater numbers.
- Low Income The greatest numbers of low-income persons are in the areas with the highest populations (Yreka, Weed, and Mt. Shasta)
- Disabled The locations of people with disabilities are consistent with the other transit-dependent groups, with most people with disabilities residing in Yreka, Weed, Mt. Shasta, and Montague.
- Zero-Vehicle Households While zero-vehicle households are located throughout the county, the greatest numbers are found in and around Yreka (Census Tracts 7.01, 7.02, and 7.03) with 39.1 percent of their households possessing no vehicle. This is followed by Weed (20.1 percent) and Mt. Shasta (13 percent).

In terms of transit, small populations, low ridership levels, and high automobile dependency are challenges for many cities and rural areas in the county. Moreover, transit services and ridership were further affected when COVID-19 precautions were established. The Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan, recommends on-demand transit and transit stop improvements like ADA accessibility and amenities.

The City of Yreka offers senior transportation services which include door-to-door service and wheelchair lifts. The Coordinated Public Transit – Human Services Transportation Plan (2015) identifies transportation resources, gaps, service limitations, and travel patterns and puts forth policies to address transit-related issues in the state. Some of the strategies for improving transportation as it relates to public transit in Siskiyou County include improved and more frequent connections between and within communities, faster/more efficient service, and establishing more communication/connections between various stakeholders.

Walking and Biking

Active transportation is emerging to be a significant priority in the region as evident in the recent active transportation plans for the City of Mt. Shasta and the City of Dunsmuir.

The City of Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan (2023) identifies the top five priority projects that combine pedestrian and bicycle elements. The projects are:

• 1.05 miles of Class 1 multi-use path and Class 3 Bike route between the northern City boundary and Dunsmuir Community Pool.



- 0.62 miles of Class 1 multi-use path, Class 3 Bike route, and Class 4 separated bikeway on Dunsmuir Avenue between Siskiyou Avenue and Florence Loop.
- 0.34 miles of Class 4 separated bikeways and Class 1 Multi-use Path with a roundabout between Spring Street and Florence Loop.
- 0.71 miles of Class 1 multi-use path, Class 3 Bike route on Willow Street, Oak-Willow Connection Road, Oak Street, and Dunsmuir Avenue.
- 0.72 miles of Class 1 multi-use path between Hill Street and Manfredi's.

The Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan provides a vision and goals for Mt. Shasta's transportation supported by strategies and transportation improvement recommendations. Based on community input, analysis for Mt. Shasta Boulevard and Chestnut Street were conducted and modifications to the street layout to convert traffic to one lane, and one way were suggested to make space for protected bike lanes. For pedestrian and biking facilities, project prioritization was based on several criteria including collision reduction, environmental sustainability, and community interest. The prioritization process classified projects into four project categories representing varying phases and approaches: Short Term- High Priority, Long Term-High Priority, Opportunity, and Low Priority. This approach led to the identification of prioritized sidewalk projects, pedestrian crossing improvements, and bikeways. The cost estimates of the proposed pedestrian and biking projects in all categories were \$2,507,000, in 2022 dollars.

The California Transportation Plan (2050) evaluates roadways, public transportation, active transportation, airports, and goods movements. Goals and objectives are identified, and recommendations are made to better all aspects of transportation within the state. Caltrans District 2 Active Transportation Plan addresses active transportation needs along and across the state highway system and guides future planning, construction, and maintenance projects. It provides an overview of walking and bicycling conditions on the state highway system today, identifies locations where needs exist, recommends priorities, and describes the next steps in the implementation process. The plan highlights that all main streets and other conventional highways allow walking and biking; however, pedestrians are prohibited on nearly all freeways. Bicycling is prohibited on most urban freeways but less than half of rural freeways.

Challenges Identified in Reviewed Plans

Siskiyou County's RTP identifies nineteen regional goals. When agencies evaluated their projects against this list of regional goals, only 4% of projects enhanced opportunities for safe pedestrian and bicycle travel on and across State highways, and only 13% of projects promoted active transportation. The RTP identifies a total of \$21.8 million of long-term bicycle and pedestrian project needs and most of these projects do not have an expected construction date. Furthermore, the most likely source of funding for bicycle and pedestrian projects is the highly competitive Active Transportation grant program.

Siskiyou County's Regional Transportation Improvement Program lists twenty-four projects from ten local agencies from the RTP to fund with State and Federal revenue programmed by the California Transportation Commission in the State Transportation Improvement Program. The selected projects do not significantly increase passenger vehicle travel and make improvements that benefit low-income communities. However, most of the projects will benefit auto-oriented transportation projects in the County. The Circulation Element in many of the general plans of incorporated towns and cities are also focused on auto-oriented transportation elements, like parking and ensuring land development does not exceed road capacities.



Safety

Strengths of Reviewed Plans

Safety for people using all travel modes, particularly those walking, biking, and rolling, will be essential to the Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan. California's Active Transportation Program consolidates existing federal and state transportation programs, including the Transportation Alternatives Program, Bicycle Transportation Account, and state Safe Routes to School, into a single funding program. The program promotes the use of active transportation modes and aims to increase safety and mobility for non-motorized users. Caltrans District 2 Active Transportation Plan, guided by Caltrans' statewide plan, *Toward an Active California*, identified safety as one of its primary goals. The goal aimed to reduce the number, rate, and severity of bicycle and pedestrian-involved collisions. Here, the safety goal was measured using pedestrian crash density, bicycle crash density, and public and stakeholder input.

The RTP identified an urgent need in the region to improve the safety of bicyclists and pedestrians. While the majority of the collisions in Siskiyou County between 2016-2019 involved automobiles, there were 11 pedestrian collisions and 3 bicycle collisions.

Several policies outlined in the plan address the safety of active transportation users:

- Policy 2.2 aims to increase safety and access to recreational facilities for vehicles and active transportation users to support the economic vitality of the region.
- Policy 5.3 prioritizes improvement projects that will increase bicycle and pedestrian safety along corridors and intersections frequently used by school children, recreational cyclists, commuter cyclists/pedestrians, and visitors.

The Karuk Tribal Transportation Safety Plan, developed by the Karuk Tribe's Department of Transportation, identifies unsafe conditions in the Tribe's transportation infrastructure and develops a plan to protecting the life and safety of community members. The Karuk Tribal lands extend into Caltrans District 2 and Siskiyou County, with some Tribal properties and administrative facilities located in Yreka. The plan emphasizes pedestrian and bicyclist safety and intersection improvements to reduce the number of pedestrian/vehicle conflicts and encourage safe crossing practices. While the plan determined that Yreka did not have pressing safety needs, strategies relating to Siskiyou County included working with Siskiyou Union School District to create a "safe crossing" education campaign and partnering with Yreka Police Department to implement education and enforcement strategies for traffic safety in Yreka.

At the local level, the circulation element of some incorporated cities prioritized funding for pedestrian and bicycle improvements. This includes projects that increase safety for children traveling to and from school, remove barriers for people with disabilities, and increase overall convenience and safety for pedestrians and bicyclists. The City of Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan (2023) recommends improvements that support the City's short- and long-term goals related to active transportation and roadway safety. Several public comments also highlighted that safety improvements were needed to encourage walking and biking in the city. The priority projects identified in the Plan include additions like Class-I Multiuse paths, curb extensions, pedestrian islands, and speed feedback signs that improve the safety of active transportation users. The City of Mt. Shasta's active mobility plan, *Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta*, highlighted safety as a top priority for the community. The plan developed a priority goal of making active modes of transportation safer and more comfortable for people of all ages, abilities, income levels, and backgrounds to get where they need to go.



Challenges Identified in Reviewed Plans

Many of the plan strategies to improve safety use generic language and do not include a location-based needs identification i.e., identify areas of high pedestrian and bicycle collision and safety concerns and their context-specific needs. However, there are some exceptions. Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta identified 38% of reported bicycle and pedestrian-related collisions in downtown Mt. Shasta at intersections along Mt. Shasta Boulevard. The plan included maps of pedestrian and bicycle collisions by severity. Similarly, the City of Dunsmuir's Active Transportation Plan identified several collisions on Dunsmuir Avenue. In Fort Jones, the planning committee used a crowdsourcing tool to shed light on traffic safety concerns in the community. As of September 14, 2022, there were 75 entries. Fifty-one (51) of these entries reported that a crash occurred; six (6) indicated a near miss; and thirteen (13) entries reported a hazardous place to travel.

Another challenge related to safety in the reviewed plans was tackling issues related to perceived safety. There is growing focus on the impact of traffic and neighborhood safety perceptions in influencing the mode of travel, particularly walking and biking. This perception also ties into land use, demographics, and built environment characteristics, that influence the perception of safety. Some of these concerns were brought up in community conversations for active transportation plans but were less developed in the plans and their policies.

Social and Racial Equity

Strengths of Reviewed Plans

Historic patterns of development have placed a disproportionate burden on communities of color, and Tribal and low-income communities. In Caltrans District 2 Active Transportation Plan (2022), equity priority communities are:

Communities that face disproportionate environmental, public health, and economic disadvantages. These communities often experience fewer benefits and a greater share of negative impacts associated with California's transportation system.

The plan also engaged with tribal governments and communities to understand their unmet transportation needs and identify opportunities to invest resources in communities that are most dependent on active transportation and transit. In District 2, locations with equity-priority communities were identified using income-based measures and through proximity to Tribal Main Streets. The three indicators to locate equity-priority communities are:

- Median household income
- Free and reduced-price school meal program eligibility (2019)
- Main streets that pass within Tribal boundaries and through other Tribal community locations

Siskiyou County Short Range Transit Plan (2021) identifies several transit-dependent communities within the region, including low-income communities, as detailed in the 'Transit' section above. Many cities in the county have paratransit and senior transportation services to serve people with disabilities and elderly populations and aim to expand access to those services. The RTP's equity-related goals include working with Tribal residents within the Siskiyou region to have safe, effective, functional transportation systems and maintaining affordable, safe, and effective public and private transit, especially for people with disabilities and other specialized transportation needs. The City of Dunsmuir's Active Transportation Plan uses environmental justice indices like CalEnviroscreen and California Healthy Places Index where variables like socioeconomic characteristics, pollution levels, and housing and transportation costs are weighted and combined. Among the noted jurisdictions in the plan, the City of Weed was identified to have the highest pollution burden and the City of Dunsmuir ranked lowest in the Healthy Places Index.



Challenges Identified in Reviewed Plans

Several planning documents analyzed include discussions on the need to plan for all ages and abilities. Income levels are sometimes noted along with vehicle ownership and transit dependencies. However, these discussions are limited in scope and identified only in some elements of the plan rather than as an overarching goal. Some plans engage with Tribal communities and prioritize their transportation needs but Tribal and Indigenous engagement is not a directive in plan development, particularly the General Plans of local towns and cities. More recent state-level plans and policies mention transportation inequities and equity priority communities, but historical injustices and disinvestments in communities because of transportation-related plans, policies, and projects are not addressed. Most plans include few explicit discussions of transportation equity that aim to provide equitable access to transportation options and allow for equal social and economic opportunities for all community members. Racial demographics and disparities are also not addressed in most of the transportation-related planning documents.

Hazard Mitigation and Emergency Planning

Strengths of Reviewed Plans

The connectivity and maintenance of transportation systems in the region will help in moving people, goods, and emergency services during an emergency or extreme event, including climate-change-related events. Some of the cities and towns in the county are located along major transportation corridors like Interstate 5, while others are located along small rural highways. Siskiyou County Hazard Mitigation Plan (2018) was prepared to reduce the county's vulnerability to natural hazards through the identification of various mitigation measures. Recommended alternatives were defined by the impact the recommendation would have (manipulate, reduce exposure, reduce vulnerability, and/or increase response) and by who would have responsibility for implementation (individuals, businesses, and governments). The following types of hazards were identified and analyzed generally county-wide: floods, severe weather, landslides, earthquakes, drought, dam failure, volcano, and wildfires.

The Community Wildfire Protection Plan for Siskiyou County (2019) was a collaborative effort between the Fire Safe Council of Siskiyou County, residents, local fire departments, and other land management agencies of the region. The purpose of the plan is to provide guidance as to how communities can be adaptable to wildfires and protect structures, infrastructure, businesses, and the natural environment. Twenty-two community councils were involved in the plan and made the four recommendations including the need for communities to identify their evacuation routes and ensure they meet wildfire safety standards and that local agencies should assist vulnerable populations in defensible space tasks.


The RTP incorporates transportation security/emergency preparedness that includes training/education, planning appropriate responses to emergencies, and communication between fire protection and County government staff. The six major highways that pass through Siskiyou County are the primary evacuation routes for the communities and maintaining these routes will be critical in emergencies. STAGE would provide important services for people with mobility needs. Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta noted that paved shared-use trails and paths could potentially double as emergency vehicle access and evacuation routes. To make the transportation system resilient, the Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta recommended actions including snow removal requirements at all stages of design and construction of active transportation facilities and investing in areas with higher numbers of short vehicle trips to increase walking and biking trips and reduce vehicle miles traveled.

MEMORANDUM



The safety element in the general plan of various cities and towns in the county identifies hazards that pose a threat to life and property and social and economic dislocation. The City of Dunsmuir's General Plan highlights that the City's geographical setting presents several unique safety concerns including a toxic or hazardous chemical accident on either Interstate 5 or the railroad leading to serious and immediate implications in the City. For the City of Dorris, fires, earthquakes, and vehicle accidents along U.S. Highway 97 are significant safety concerns. The City of Weed's policies include mapping all emergency response facilities and main infrastructure arterials, allocating appropriate primary and secondary facilities for use following a disaster, and increasing community awareness of the Emergency Response Plan and procedures.

The following map shows the extent of wildfires in Siskiyou County between 2020-2023.



Disclaimer: This map was prepared for informational purposes only. Lines, roads, topography, culture, and other planimetric features within this map are compiled from many different sources and may not be, necessarily, current, or reliable. Siskiyou County assumes no liability for the accuracy of the Planningta Dassigned. I Thurther, this data may not be transferred, resold, or distributed in any way without the express written consent of the County of Siskiyou.

County of Siskiyou

Wildfires 2020-2023
Fire Perimeters and DACs
January 12, 2024





Challenges Identified in Reviewed Plans

While Siskiyou County's Hazard Mitigation Plan is a comprehensive document, it was not well integrated into the general plans of cities and towns in the county. Therefore, the impact of various hazards on general plan components like land use and transportation is not evident. The City of Etna's General Plan has a safety element that identifies hazards, analyses risks, and develops mitigation actions. However, the discussions on the impacts of hazards on transportation are limited to the accumulation of snow during extreme events. Also, the effectiveness and challenges of the mitigation strategies could be made evident in the plans. The social vulnerability of communities, and the susceptibility of some groups to be more significantly impacted than others, are not discussed in most hazard mitigation and emergency planning plans. With the increasing threat of climate change-related events on transportation systems, this could be an important avenue for exploration to ensure the sustainability of proposed transportation plans.

Sustainability

Strengths of Reviewed Plans

Alternative transportation is uniquely positioned to address sustainability issues including reducing emissions, congestion, and air pollution, and improving accessibility, safety, and health. Many planning documents analyzed in this review highlight the connection between transportation decisions and sustainability. State and federal regulations relating to GHG emission targets will continue to shape transportation and other planning decisions in the region. The RTP's goals include reducing or maintaining GHG emissions from transportation-related sources in the Siskiyou region by making active transportation and transit a priority when developing plans. RTP's goals also include climate change strategies in transportation investment decisions and ensuring sensitivity to the environment in all transportation decisions. Public transit is also required to have zero emissions by 2040.

The California Freight Mobility Plan identifies visions, goals, and objectives related to being the most extensive, environmentally sustainable, highest capacity, and technologically advanced multimodal freight transportation system in the United States. The goals of the plan include multimodal mobility through strategic investments, healthy communities by mitigating negative impacts caused by goods movement, and connectivity and accessibility through the provision of transportation choices. For project prioritization in Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta, projects located in zones with the highest number of vehicle trips under 3 miles are given the highest score. Zones with fewer potential walking and biking trips are given progressively fewer points. The plan also highlights several funding avenues at the state and national level for projects that reduce greenhouse emissions and advance equity.

Local general plans also discuss multi-modal choices and active transportation to meet regional targets and promote sustainable choices. The City of Dorris General Plan brought together land use and transportation and identified opportunities for energy conservation in reducing dependence on automobiles by encouraging more mixed-use and infill development and providing pedestrian access to commercial and recreational facilities. One of the objectives in the City of Weed's General Plan Goal CI 4: A community with low auto-dependency was to reduce vehicle miles traveled in Weed to meet GHG reduction targets mandated by AB 32. This included policies to promote non-motorized modes of transportation in new developments and incentivizing developments that promote walking and biking. Another goal in the plan aimed to beautify streetscapes along Weed's main corridors by pursuing Complete Streets projects in the community.

Challenges Identified in Reviewed Plans

Sustainability in transportation is often discussed in terms of reducing GHG emissions and vehicle miles traveled and the targets set by the state regarding those issues. However, discussions on transportation sustainability can explore the transportation system's resilience to meet the changing demands of the communities and to overcome challenges imposed by intensifying storms, floods, droughts, and other challenges from the changing climate. Also, transportation-related plans can aim to advance health equity in communities.



Plan Review Summary

The Siskiyou County's Active Transportation Plan is well-positioned to build on existing plans, policies, and programs, fill in gaps, and advance emerging transportation needs in the community. The ATP will be a unique opportunity to continue old partnerships and build new ones with residents, visitors, local and regional agencies, non-profit organizations, SRTS practitioners, local businesses, and Tribal communities. This effort will aid in providing sustainable options for short trips. The plan can also support advancing social, racial, and health equity and develop resilient transportation systems.

Summary of Proposed Active Transportation Infrastructure in Plans

The following maps and lists describe where active transportation infrastructure has been proposed throughout the county according to the reviewed plans. These roadways and intersections have bicycle and pedestrian needs already identified, and this plan will seek to build upon the planning work already completed for these jurisdictions.

Mt. Shasta Proposed Active Transportation Infrastructure on County Roadways (Figure 2)³

The following infrastructure improvements on county roadways are recommended in Mt. Shasta's Walk Bike Ride Mobility Plan. Figure 2 shows the recommended bikeways in the city. For more maps of recommended improvements in Mt. Shasta, see Appendix A.

- 1. Old Stage Road (Class II Bikeway)
- 2. W A Barr Road (Class II Bikeway
- 3. Lassen Lane (Class II Bikeway, Sidewalks, Pedestrian Crossing Improvements)
- 4. Hatchery Lane (Class II Bikeway, Sidewalks, Pedestrian Crossing Improvements)
- 5. McCloud Ave (Class II Bikeway)
- 6. South Mt Shasta Boulevard/Big Canyon Drive (Class I Shared Use Path, Pedestrian Crossing Improvements)
- 7. Everett Memorial Highway (Class II Bikeway, Pedestrian Crossing Improvements)
- 8. Ski Village Drive (Class II Bikeway)
- 9. Shasta /Butte Avenue (Class I / Class III Bikeways)
- 10. West Ream Avenue (Class II Bikeway)
- 11. Old McCloud Road (Class III Bikeway)
- 12. Siskiyou Lake BI (Pedestrian Crossing Improvements)
- 13. Great Shasta Rail Trail Study
- 14. Headwaters to Lake Trail Study

_

³ Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan. 2022.

sidewalks and crossing improvements. **MEMORANDUM** Black Butte DEET OY CIR Shasta-Tr.nity 5 National Forest ET RD AUDUBON R Shasta-Trinity AT A SHAVILLAGE DE National Forest **SUTTE AVE** LASSEN LN OAKWAY RD REDWOOD RD SHA SKI VILLAGE DR MCCLOUD AVE MELOS BUTTE AVE Shastice SHASTA AVE EDDY DR Park PINE RIO O STA RINCHRO ROCKFELLOW DR N.SHORE RD ittle eague ields BIG CANTON DA eadow S Lake Siskiyou OREM ST IDA ST 0

Figure 2. Recommendations Maps from Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan (2022). See Appendix A for maps detailing recommendations for

RECOMMENDED **BIKEWAYS**

DOWNTOWN MT. SHASTA

WALK BIKE RIDE MT. SHASTA MOBILITY PLAN



RECOMMENDED BIKEWAYS

- Shared Use Path (Class I)
- Bicycle Lane (Class II)
- Bicycle Boulevard (Class III)
- Separated Bikeway (Class IV)
- Neighborhood Connectors
- Trail Study

BOUNDARIES + DESTINATIONS

- Study Area Boundary
- City of Mt. Shasta Boundary
- Trailhead

Recommendations outside the City of Mt. Shasta are subject to the approval of the governing agency

- Library
- School
- Senior Living Community



Dunsmuir Proposed Active Transportation Infrastructure on County Roadways


The following infrastructure improvements on county roadways are recommended in Dunsmuir's Active Transportation Plan. shows the highest priority bikeways in the city, note that two intersect with the county. For more maps of recommended improvements in Dunsmuir, see Appendix A.

- 1. Azalea Dr Class II Bikeway
- 2. South Old Stage Road Mott Road Class III Bikeway
- 3. Oak Street Class I Bikeway, Traffic Calming
- 4. Dunsmuir Avenue Class I Bikeway

4.3 PRIORITY PROJECTS

MEMORANDUM

The overall citywide recommendations have been separated by bicycle and pedestrian projects. However, the top five priority projects combine these elements wherever they are aligned and are depicted in Figure 4-6 and Table 4-4. These five segments are explained in further detail the following cut sheets. The cut sheets include a project summary, and conceptual design recommendations to help describe the overall design intent of each segment. Higher resolution conceptual designs can be found in Appendix A.



Alta Planning + Design, Inc.

TABLE 4-4: Priority Projects

RANK	PROJECT LOCATION	FROM:	TO:	LENGTH (MILES)
1	Mott Rd, Dunsmuir Ave	City boundary	Siskiyou Avenue	1.05
2	Dunsmuir Ave	Siskiyou Ave	Florence Loop	0.62
3	Dunsmuir Ave, Florence Loop	Florence Loop	Spring St	0.34
4	Willow Street Loop (Willow St, Dunsmuir Ave and Oaks St	Dunsmuir Ave	Dunsmuir Ave	0.71
5	Dunsmuir Ave	Hill St	Valero gas station (Manfredi's)	0.72

Siskiyou County Local Transportation Commission



Fort Jones Community Pedestrian & Bicycle Safety Training Summary and Recommendations Report

The following are infrastructure improvement recommendations from the community of Fort Jones.

- Repaint the enter and exit arrows at the Post Office driveway to safely direct driver traffic;
- Install and maintain pedestrian scale lighting throughout downtown;
- Trim trees around existing street lighting and pedestrian signage on Main Street (SR-3);
- Preserve the historical look and feel of Fort Jones. Participants do not want roads to become too cluttered and colorful like streets in large cities;
- Paint high-visibility crosswalks, preferably not white, to catch drivers attention;
- Maintain the existing sidewalks throughout downtown, including litter, cracks, and uneven pavement;
- Complete sidewalk networks in downtown;
- Install Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacons at key unsignalized intersections along Main Street (SR-3);
- Install traffic calming along Main Street (SR-3) and create a safety messaging campaign to slow drivers;
- Implement outcomes of the 2022 Fort Jones Road User Survey collected to gauge residents' interests in road safety improvement infrastructure. Respondents support extending the 30 m.p.h. posted speed limit and sidewalks from downtown to Ray's Food Place, Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacons at the marked crosswalks along Main Street (SR-3), and traffic-calming elements on the north and south ends of Main Street (SR-3) to slow driving speeds;
- Plan for the need to plow the streets in winter when developing infrastructure improvements in the town;
- Install deer warning signage for drivers along Main Street (SR-3);
- Install rumble strips or other traffic calming improvements to slow drivers as they travel north on Main Street (SR-3) and into the downtown area;
- Install a painted crosswalk at the Butte Street/Main Street (SR-3) intersection.
- Install a yield for school zone flashing sign at the Butte Street / Diggles Street intersection;
- Continue sidewalk from Newton Street to Scott River Road on the west side of Main Street (SR-3); and
- Install sidewalks around the Main Street/Carlock Street intersection to provide a safe place for pedestrians to walk.

The following projects are idenfied in Fort Jones recommendations report and contain more planning details. Refer to the report for more information.

- Reduce Driver Speeds in Downtown and Near Schools: Reduce driver speeds along Main Street (SR-3) and near Fort Jones Elementary School and Scott Valley Junior High School by installing speed calming infrastructure.
 - This project intends to reduce driver speeds along Main Street (SR-3) and around Fort Jones Elementary School and Scott Valley Junior High School through infrastructure improvements and community campaigns. This project includes capturing all crash data in the Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS) and reducing the posted speed limit to below 30 m.p.h. on Main Street (SR-3) and throughout downtown. Accurate crash data is crucial to garnering infrastructure funding and policy changes. Other safety improvements include:
 - Install flashing speed radar feedback sign on Main Street (SR-3) and speed bumps at the Sterling Street / High Street intersection.
 - Work with Caltrans District 2 and the City of Fort Jones to determine if Main Street (SR-3) is eligible for a reduced speed of 15 mph and if the school zone can be extended to 1000 feet in each direction to provide a safer environment for students walking to and from school.
 - Install speed cushions on Main Street (SR-3) north of Butte Street and south of Eastside Road and from the south approaching downtown from Ray's. The Fort Jones Road Survey Report found that residents were supportive of speed cushions at the north and south entrances of the town.
- Complete Sidewalk Network for Safe Routes to School: Provide a safe space for students to walk to and from Fort Jones Elementary School and Scott Valley Junior High School.
- Crosswalk Improvements at Key Intersections: There are several destinations along Main Street (SR-3) that people
 drive, walk, bike, or roll to, but there are limited crosswalk markings headed into the downtown area. The Project
 Team recommends the Planning Committee work with the Town of Fort Jones, Caltrans District 2 representatives,




and residents to identify which key intersections are eligible for high-visibility or artistic crosswalk markings. Workshop participants have already identified the following crosswalks to upgrade: along Sterling Street, in front of Five Marys; and the Newton Street / Main Street (SR3) intersection. Participants were also interested in the installation of Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacons at key unsignalized crossings to alert drivers that pedestrians are crossing.

Caltrans District 2 Location-Based Bicycle and Pedestrian Needs


Caltrans evaluated data about the highway system from its own inventories, from local and regional plans, and from extensive public input to determine where gaps and barriers in walking and bicycling infrastructure are present. The agency then prioritized highway segments and freeway crossings across Siskiyou County (Figure 4).⁴ This map displays where location-based needs exist in District 2 for people walking or bicycling along the highway (shown as lines) or across the highway (shown as dots). The highest priority segments are between Yreka and Montague, and north of Weed. The highest priority crossings are in Yreka and Weed.

⁴ Caltrans District 2 Active Transportation Plan. 2023. https://storymaps.arcgis.com/stories/41bec928d4694924a460895e8ad0698c

Northwest District 2 SHS walking and biking needs by priority tier



Northeast District 2 SHS walking and biking needs by priority tier






Yreka Bicycle Transportation Plan (2006)

The Bicycle Transportation Plan document recommends a comprehensive bikeway system and a series of implementation policies and procedures. This Plan builds on information from the Circulation and Open Space Elements of the General Plan and the Yreka Creek Master Plan, to identify primary travel routes for bikes and to elaborate on ways that the City can meet the General Plan goal of providing "safe, convenient, and attractive routes for pedestrians and bicyclists of all ages". This plan inventories the currently available bike facilities and lists the street segments being designated, along with priority recommendations, and estimates of some of the costs for future construction. Below is a map of the proposed improvements:







Yreka Long Term Bicycle and Pedestrian Projects⁵

The following infrastructure needs are identified in Attachment E of the Siskiyou County Regional Transportation Plan Table 4.3 Long Term Bicycle and Pedestrian Projects.

- 1. Greenhorn Park Trails, shoulder work, signage and striping, install bike lanes on access road
- 2. Interstate 5 Landscape Oberlin Rd to S. Yreka Interchange
- 3. SR 3/Yreka Creek Multi-use trail N. Yreka to S. city limit. Acquisition, floodplain restoration
- 4. SR3 N Deer Creek Way Landscaping
- 5. City Property N. of SR3 Multi-use Trail along Yreka Creek
- 6. Oregon Street Signing and striping, N/S corridor street
- 7. West Lennox Signing and striping, Oregon St. to Fairchild St.
- 8. SR 3 Streetscape Improvements
- 9. Ishpuk Rd. Safety and Pedestrian Improvements Roadway safety, sidewalk, lighting (Long term Tribal Project)

Middle Klamath River Community Transportation Plan⁶

Recommended projects:

- 10. INTR 1: SR 96 @ Orleans Elementary School Pedestrian facilities xwalk enhancements
- 11. INTR 2: SR 96 @ Red Cap Rd Pedestrian facilities xwalk enhancements in Orleans
- 12. INTR 3: SR 96 @ Park Way Happy Camp Pedestrian facilities xwalk enhancements
- 13. INTR 4: SR 96 @ Davis Rd Happy Camp Pedestrian facilities xwalk enhancements
- 14. INTR 5: SR 96 @ 2nd Ave Happy Camp Pedestrian facilities xwalk enhancements
- 15. INTR 6: SR 96 @ 1st Ave Happy Camp Pedestrian facilities xwalk enhancements
- 16. INTR 7: Indian Creek Road @ Davis Road Safety, Bike/Ped Facilities, Indian Creek Road near store
- 17. INTR 8: SR 96 @ Somes Bar Store Ingress/Egress changes SR 96 in Somes Bar
- 18. INTR 9: SR 96 @ Dolittle Rd Pedestrian facilities xwalk enhancements
- 19. INTR 10: Jacobs Way Crosswalk Pedestrian facilities xwalk enhancements Jacobs Way East of School
- 20. CS1: SR 96 Orleans Streetscape Project Complete Streets concept
- 21. CS2: SR 96 Happy Camp Streetscape Project Complete Streets concept
- 22. B2: 2nd St. Bridge Pedestrian Improvements Improve pedestrian access on South side of bridge
- 23. BP1: Riverfront Multi-use Path Class I multi use From community park to Curly Jack Bridge
- 24. BP2: High School to Jacobs Way Ped. Corridor Two pedestrian paths with stairs, surface street treatment and seperated path Happy Camp From high school to Jacobs Way (ped paths)
- 25. BP3: Indian Creek Meadows Off-Road Path Ped facilities/OHV use path with access to Indian Meadows Neighborhood From Indian Meadows Rd. south to trailer park
- 26. BP4: SR 96 Multiuse path Possible Class I multi use Orleans From elementary school west to Eyeese Road
- 27. BP5: Indian Creek / Jacobs Way ** Pedestrian Path with Stairs Happy Camp From Parry's Market to Jacobs Rd

_

⁵ Siskiyou County Regional Transportation Plan. Table 4.3, Table 4.6, Attachment E. 2021. https://www.co.siskiyou.ca.us/sites/default/files/fileattachments/transportation_commission/page/29563/scltc_2021_rtp.pdf

⁶ Middle Klamath River Community Transportation Plan. 2011.



- 28. BP6: Red Cap Road Bike/Ped Enhancements Class II bikeway along Red Cap Road Orleans Red Cap Road to Shivshaneen
- 29. BP7: Indian Creek Road Bike/Ped Enhancements Class II Happy Camp Indian Creek Road
- 30. BP8: SR 96 Head Start to Klamath Bridge Class I Orleans SR 96 East side of Klamath Bridge
- 31. BP9: SR 96 Orleans Asip to Camp Creek Class I Orleans SR 96 west of Orleans
- 32. BP10: SR 96 1st Ave to Druey Rd Class II Happy Camp SR 96 in Happy Camp
- 33. BP11: SR 96 Somes Bar Class III Somes Bar North of school
- 34. BP12: Curly Jack Road Bike/Ped Enhancements Class II Happy Camp From Curly Jack Bridge to campground

Karuk Tribe Long Term Bicycle and Pedestrian Projects 7,8

- 1. SR 96 Happy Camp, Bike/ped safety and traffic control
- 2. SR96 Orleans Multiuse pathway
- 3. West end of Klamath Bridge to Placer Dr. /USFS Rd 12N01, multi-use pathway
- 4. Red Cap Rd. to Peach Creek Rd, multi-use pathway
 - a. Red Cap Road bike and pedestrian enhancements, including creation of Class II bikeway along Red Cap Road in Orleans. Red Cap Road is the major access route for residents living on Karuk Tribal land and private properties near Orleans. Red Cap is a narrow two-lane road without shoulders. Pedestrians routinely walk along the roadway to access Tribal facilities and services in town; there is a daily potential for vehicle/pedestrian conflicts along this road. This stretch of Red Cap Road has been the site of ten accidents in recent years. The need for this project has been documented in multiple plans, including the Middle Klamath River Community Transportation Plan (2011), the North Coast Tribal Transportation Commission (Humboldt County) Regional Transportation Plan (2014), and the California Transportation Commission 2013 Report of STIP Balances, County and Interregional Shares.
- 5. Intersection Improvements SR-96 at Park Way in Happy Camp
 - b. Redesign intersection to include curb, sidewalk, and gutter at corners. Provide striping for crosswalks in a manner that will allow for safe crossing via planned (future) pedestrian facilities / streetscape projects.
- 6. Implement "complete streets" concepts to all pedestrian and bicyclist sites.
- 7. Conduct a sign retro reflectivity study, assessing the nighttime visibility of signs on Tribal lands.
- 8. Complete Street Project in Happy Camp at SR-96 (Davis Road to 4th Avenue)
 - c. Reconstruct SR 96 between 1st Avenue and David Road according to a "rural complete streets" model with defined pedestrian facilities, transit stops, and bike lanes.
 - d. Construct intersection improvements noted previously to promote pedestrian safety.
- 9. Create two (2) pedestrian paths with stairs, surface street treatment and separated path in Happy Camp from Happy Camp High School to Jacobs Way.

⁷ Siskiyou County Regional Transportation Plan. Table 4.3, Table 4.6, Attachment E. 2021. https://www.co.siskiyou.ca.us/sites/default/files/fileattachments/transportation_commission/page/29563/scltc_2021_rtp.pdf

⁸ Karuk Tribal Transportation Safety Plan. 2014. https://dot.ca.gov/-/media/dot-media/programs/safety-programs/safety-plan-jun2014.pdf



- 10. Create pedestrian path with stairs at Indian Creek / Jacobs Way in Happy Camp (from Parry's Market to Jacobs Road).
- 11. Construct Class II bikeway along Indian Creek Road to Davis Road.
- 12. 2nd Street bridge pedestrian improvements on south side of bridge in Happy Camp (2nd Street from Indian Creek to Buckhorn), including: 1) construction of ADA compliant access ramps at both sides of bridge; and, 2) improved pedestrian facilities at adjacent intersections to allow safe crossing to south side.



Figure 6. Karuk Tribal Transportation Plan Recommendations










Figure 7. Happy Camp Complete Streets Project













Summary of Program Recommendations in Plans

Mt. Shasta Program Recommendations⁹

- 1. Staff will seek external funding to collaborate with local public and charter schools and local community organizations to improve bicycle and pedestrian safety by implementing educational programs and promotional events, such as the following:
 - a. Coordinate Safe Routes to School educational programs.
 - b. Coordinate Smart Cycling skills clinics for families, seniors, veterans, and disabled cyclists. The Smart Cycling curriculum is designed to develop the confidence and competence of cyclists. Participants learn about the basic parts of a bike, essential equipment, and how to safely and comfortably ride a bike in various traffic and weather conditions, and cycling terrain.
 - c. Design and implement a Bicycle Friendly Driver campaign and training to help drivers feel confident about how to share the road with pedestrians and people on bikes.
 - d. Certify local instructors to teach Smart Cycling and Bicycle Friendly Driver courses.

Karuk Tribal Transportation Safety Plan Program Recommendations¹⁰

- 2. Work with Klamath-Trinity Joint Unified School District, Siskiyou Union School District, Junction Elementary School District, and Karuk Head Start to create a "safe crossing" education campaign to encourage students to always use a crosswalk.
- 3. Organize targeted education campaign on safety problems at "high risk" intersections in Happy Camp, Orleans and Somes Bar.
- 4. Improve enforcement before and after school hours by increasing the number of volunteer crossing guards.
- 5. Hold annual "Bike Rodeos" in Orleans, Somes Bar and Happy Camp. A Bike Rodeo is a bicycle safety clinic featuring instruction in safe bicycling practices, related traffic laws, bike safety inspections, minor repairs, helmet fit test, a safety lecture, drawings, and prizes.
- 6. Organize bicycle helmet distribution programs. Approximately 70-80% of bicycle fatalities involve head injuries.
- 7. Develop Tribal brochures highlighting pedestrian and bicycle safety guidelines (e.g. walk opposing traffic, wear reflective gear at night, use crosswalks, etc.).
- 8. Work with local high schools to educate students on the dangers of speeding.
- 9. Organize "slow down" campaign in Happy Camp and Orleans communities.

Dunsmuir Program Recommendations¹¹

- 1. May National Bike Month Activities
- 2. Family Friendly Summer Bike Ride Events
- 3. Open Streets Event

⁹ Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan. 2022.

¹⁰ Karuk Tribal Transportation Safety Plan. 2014. https://dot.ca.gov/-/media/dot-media/programs/safety-programs/safety-plan-jun2014.pdf

¹¹ City of Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan. 2023. https://issuu.com/ktua/docs/dunsmuiratpdraft 11.20.2023



- 4. Design and install quick build demonstration projects
- 5. Bike Friendly Businesses
- 6. Form a Dunsmuir Active Transportation or Trails Coalition
- 7. Bike Share Pilot Program
- 8. Bike and Pedestrian Safety Workshops
- 9. Bike Maintenance and Ride Workshops
- 10. Motorist-Targeted Messaging
- 11. Bicycle and Pedestrian Safety Education Campaign
- 12. Conduct Bicycle and Pedestrian Counts and Review Collision Data
- 13. Annual Surveys
- 14. Walkability Workshop
- 15. Bicycle and Pedestrian Program Staff
- 16. Other Equity Programs
- 17. Other Enforcement Programs

Fort Jones Program Recommendations¹²

- Educational Safety Messaging Campaign
 - Educate drivers in Fort Jones about safe driving behaviors and how to share the roadway with pedestrians and bicyclists.
 - Create a community culture of safe driving and respect for those walking and biking.
 - Involve the community in planning pedestrian and bike educational safety events.
- Crossing Guard Program at Scott Valley Junior High School

ě

¹² Fort Jones Community Pedestrian & Bicycle Safety Training Summary and Recommendations Report. Berkeley SafeTREC. September 2022. https://safetrec.berkeley.edu/sites/default/files/fy22 cpbst fort jones report.pdf



Existing Active Transportation Infrastructure

As the previous section reveals, the level of planning for active transportation infrastructure varies widely between communities in a county as large as Siskiyou. Some communities, such as Mt. Shasta and Dunsmuir, have recently completed active transportation plans, complete with infrastructure inventories and project recommendations. Other incorporated communities, however, may only have a General Plan, which doesn't document existing infrastructure. The result is a patchwork of existing conditions data that captures only a portion of the sidewalks, trails, and bike facilities that exist across the county.

Among the incorporated cities in Siskiyou County, Mt. Shasta, and Dunsmuir have the most bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure data. None of the community service districts have bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure data, but the Karuk Tribe in Happy Camp has a robust list of project priorities.


Incorporated jurisdictions with more infrastructure data and/or prioritized projects	Incorporated jurisdictions with less or no data and/or prioritized projects	
Caltrans	Dorris	
Dunsmuir	Etna	
Happy Camp	Fort Jones	
Mt. Shasta	Montague	
Siskiyou County	Tulelake	
	Weed	
	Yreka	

The following maps show the existing active transportation infrastructure for the Cities of Mt. Shasta, Dunsmuir, and Yreka. The maps are the result of the County's effort to compile what available data exists on active transportation infrastructure in the County (For existing infrastructure maps in more communities, see Appendix B). Note that these maps only show what data is available; in Yreka for example, many sidewalks and crosswalks exist but have not been formally mapped.

EXISTING BIKE AND PEDESTRIAN INFRASTRUCTURE

SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN

- Bus Stop
- Crosswalk
- Bike Lane
- Sidewalk
- Trail
- STAGE Bus Route
- ▲ City
- +++ Railroad
- City Boundary
- County Boundary








EXISTING BIKE AND PEDESTRIAN INFRASTRUCTURE

SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN

- Bus Stop
- Sidewalk
- -- Missing Sidewalk
- Trail
- At Least One Crosswalk
- Shared Use Path
- Bike Lane
- STAGE Bus Route
- ▲ City
- +++ Railroad
- City Boundary
- County Boundary








EXISTING BIKE AND PEDESTRIAN INFRASTRUCTURE

SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN

- Bus Stop
- Bike Lane
- Trail
- STAGE Bus Route
- ▲ City
- +-- Railroad
- City Boundary
- County Boundary









Current Mode Share

A large majority of commuters in Siskiyou County drive to work—75 percent. The remaining fourth of the County either works from home or walks, bikes, carpools or takes transit. The chart below shows the estimates from the US Census Bureau as to the size of these populations compared to the state of California as a whole (Figure 10). The chart reveals that there is a slightly higher percentage of people who walk to work in Siskiyou County than in California, on average. This may be due to people who live and work in any of the communities that have a true center or downtown core anchored by local businesses and surrounded by residential areas and lower speed streets, which would help facilitate those trips (such as Yreka, Mt. Shasta, Dunsmuir, Happy Camp, Montague, Tulelake, and Dorris).





Figure 11. Commute Mode Share 2022 ACS 5-Year Estimates

The chart also reveals that more people in Siskiyou County drive to work than California as a whole, on average. This may indicate a lack of transportation alternatives and reflect the rural land use of the county. The following map shows where most of the walking, biking, and transit commutes are taking place in the County (Figure 11).

The mode share map shows that the communities with the largest populations of people that commute via walking, biking, and taking transit are in Happy Camp, Hornbrook, Tulelake, and Mt. Shasta. Perhaps more notable, however, are the places where there is a stark contrast: the west side of I-5 in Mt. Shasta compared to the east side; and the south and east side of Yreka compared to the north and central side. The differences speak to the role large roadways, such as I-5, play as barriers for walking and biking, and the importance of safe routes and crossings for people walking and biking to town centers or regional transit stops.

Figure 12. Walking, Biking, Transit Current Commute Modeshare





Land Use and Important Destinations

The project team analyzed county zoning data to better understand where people currently live and work, and where future development may occur. Assessing the roadway network between where people live and their destinations helps locate future active transportation infrastructure such as sidewalks, bike lanes, paths, and crosswalks are where people want and need to go. To view the land use and destinations maps for places in Siskiyou County, see Appendix C.

Collision Data

Bicycle and Pedestrian Crashes

A key goal and desired outcome of any active transportation plan is safer infrastructure for people walking and rolling. The project team mapped the locations where people driving have crashed into people walking and biking to gain a better understanding of where safer infrastructure may be needed most. According to the California Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS) between 2017 and 2022, a total of 61 pedestrian- and bicycle-involved crashes were reported in Siskiyou County. These incidents caused 10 deaths and left 60 individuals injured. Of the 10 people killed, 9 were pedestrians. The one bicyclist death occurred on Sawyers Bar Road, a County roadway and common long-distance bike route. The data reveals that State Highways were the location for 21 of these crashes, constituting 34.4% of the pedestrian- and bicycle-involved incidents.

Spatial Distribution of Crashes


Most of these crashes occurred in urban and more populated areas in Siskiyou County such as Mt Shasta and Yreka. There were several incidents located outside these areas, scattered along the I-5 corridor. Fort Jones, Weed, MacDoel, Tulelake, Happy Camp, and McCloud also had at least one pedestrian and bicycle collisions each (Figure 12). To view a zoomed-in version of this map for each labeled city and community, see the Appendix D.

-

¹³ Transportation Injury Mapping System (TIMS), *Safe Transportation Research and Education Center, University of California, Berkeley.* 2024.

¹⁴ Etna to Forks via Sawyers Bar Rd. Cycle Siskiyou. 2024. https://cyclesiskiyou.com/etna-to-forks-sawyers-bar-rd/

Figure 13. Crashes with People Walking and Biking





Types of Crash

Examining the types of crashes that occurred during pedestrian- and bicycle-involved incidents may help inform safety measures that protect people walking and biking. The following crash types were frequently observed during these incidences:

- **Sideswipe (11.48%):** Sideswipe incidents accounted for over 11% of the crashes. These collisions often occur when vehicles are traveling parallel to each other and make side contact. Strategies to reduce sideswipe incidents might involve lane hardening measures and driver awareness.
- **Broadside (6.56%):** Broadside or "T-bone" collisions made up around 6.56% of the crashes. These types of crashes often occur at intersections. Improving intersection safety and possibly implementing traffic control measures could be beneficial.
- Rear End (4.92%): Rear-end collisions constituted a smaller percentage of the crashes. Addressing factors such as following distances, speed management, and driver distraction could be key to reducing these incidents.

Primary Crash Factor (PCF)

Law enforcement agencies provide information on the violation that may have caused the crash. This may only constitute a portion of the reasons behind why a particular collision occurred, however, it provides insight for the county-wide level where improvements can be made. The following were the most common violations on the part of drivers:

- Improper Turning (23.95%): A significant portion of the crashes, nearly 24%, resulted from improper turning. This suggests a need for enhanced driver education on proper turning techniques, as well as potential improvements in road signage and infrastructure to guide drivers safely through turns.
- Unsafe Speed (18.03%): Speeding was a contributing factor in approximately 18% of the crashes. Addressing this violation might involve stricter enforcement of speed limits, public awareness campaigns on the dangers of speeding, and potential engineering solutions to encourage compliance with speed limits.
- Wrong Side of Road (8.2%): Incidents involving drivers on the wrong side of the road accounted for 8.2% of the crashes. This violation poses serious risks and calls for measures such as improved road markings, signage, and potentially enhanced law enforcement to deter such behavior.


Victims

Of the pedestrian- and bicycle-involved incidents, most victims were male (71%). Among these crashes, 7 of the victims were drivers or passengers, however, a majority were either pedestrians (40) or bicyclists (23).

All Vehicle Crashes

In addition to mapping bicycle and pedestrian crashes, the project team also analyzed vehicle-only crashes. Rather than displaying each crash location, the team created a severity-weighted crash index for the county, which helps to show which roadways have seen the most crashes with the worst outcomes on a per-mile basis (Figure 13). Roadways that score high on the index are shown in red, indicating places where a safety intervention may be needed that could benefit all roadway users. To view a zoomed-in version of this map for each labeled city and community, see the Appendix E.

Figure 14. Severity-weighted crash index (all modes)





Equity Data

Critically examining equity while planning for new transportation infrastructure is important because many of the barriers within our transportation system, their resulting burdens, and the communities who experience them are often spatially related. Too often, the communities in the places most impacted by transportation investments are excluded from the planning processes that shape them and their subsequent benefits. Contemporary transportation planning practice seeks to address inequity by prioritizing disadvantaged communities because many transportation inequities today can be traced to historical government policies that have resulted in barriers for those communities. In the absence of accounting for equity, transportation planners risk further cementing inequality in our transportation systems.

The following section describes Siskiyou County's population using various social, environmental, and economic measures developed by state and federal agencies to help define what it means for a community to be considered "disadvantaged." The equity measures in this plan were specifically selected to align with the measures identified by the California Active Transportation Program, the most abundant source of state funds for implementing the plan. ¹⁵

The California Active Transportation Program prioritizes projects that demonstrate a high level of need based on one or more of the following equity metrics:

- Median Household Income
- CalEnviroscreen 4.0
- Free or Reduced Price Meal Eligibility
- Healthy Places Index
- USDOT Equitable Transportation Community Explorer
- Climate and Economic Justice Screening Tool

Understanding which areas could benefit from new active transportation infrastructure will help Siskiyou County demonstrate competitiveness and prioritize applying for state and federal grants such as the California Active Transportation Program and Rebuilding American Infrastructure with Sustainability and Equity (RAISE) funding to build those projects. For example, any projects located within federally recognized Tribal Lands (typically within the boundaries of a Reservation or Rancheria) or projects submitted by Tribal Governments score an additional 4 points in the California Active Transportation Program Large Infrastructure grant.

=

¹⁵ California Transportation Commission. Active Transportation Program (ATP). https://catc.ca.gov/programs/active-transportation-program




Median Household Income

Figure 14 shows the distribution of median household income at the census block group level across Siskiyou County according to the 2022 ACS 5-Year estimates. ¹⁶ The highest earning census tracts tend to be in the rural areas adjacent to the population centers along I-5. While most census tracts with low median household incomes are in the most rural parts of the county, there are some census tracts with lower median household incomes in cities, such as south Yreka and Weed.

-

¹⁶ Note that the legend in this map reflects the breakdown of median household income according to the 2023 California Active Transportation Program large infrastructure scoring rubric. On March 22, 20224 the California Transportation Commission will release an updated median household income scoring rubric.

Figure 15. Median Household Income (2022)





CalEnviroScreen 4.0

In 2012, Senate Bill 535 (De León, Chapter 830, Statutes of 2012) established initial requirements for minimum funding levels to "Disadvantaged Communities" (DACs). ¹⁷ The legislation also gives CalEPA the responsibility for identifying those communities, stating that CalEPA's designation of disadvantaged communities must be based on "geographic, socioeconomic, public health, and environmental hazard criteria."

CalEPA finalized in May 2022 the updated Designation of Disadvantaged Communities, pursuant to Senate Bill 535 (De León, 2012) and based on the recently updated CalEnviroScreen version 4.0. CalEPA formally designates four categories of geographic areas as disadvantaged:

- Census tracts receiving the highest 25 percent of overall scores in CalEnviroScreen 4.0
- Census tracts lacking overall scores in CalEnviroScreen 4.0 due to data gaps, but receiving the highest 5 percent of CalEnviroScreen 4.0 cumulative pollution burden scores
- Census tracts identified in the 2017 DAC designation, regardless of their scores in CalEnviroScreen 4.0
- Lands under the control of federally recognized Tribes¹⁸

CalEnviroscreen helps identify California communities that are most affected by many sources of pollution, and where people are often especially vulnerable to pollution's effects. Figure 15 shows the distribution of CalEnviroscreen scores which reflect the tract's statewide percentile, with higher percentiles reflecting higher burdens from pollution. While none of the census tracts in Siskiyou County are in the top 25% most disadvantaged in the state, the land in Siskiyou County under Tribal control is considered a disadvantaged community under Senate Bill 535 (De León, 2012).


-

¹⁷ Cal EPA Updated Disadvantaged Communities Designation. 2022. https://calepa.ca.gov/envjustice/ghginvest/

¹⁸ Note: For purposes of this designation, a Tribe may establish that a particular area of land is under its control even if not represented as such on CalEPA's DAC map and therefore should be considered a DAC by requesting a consultation with the CalEPA Deputy Secretary for Environmental Justice, Tribal Affairs and Border Relations at TribalAffairs@calepa.ca.gov. Source: See above.

¹⁹ CalEnviroscreen 4.0. California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment. 2023. https://oehha.ca.gov/calenviroscreen/report/calenviroscreen-40

Figure 16. CalEnviroscreen 4.0






Free or Reduced Price Meal Eligibility

A central component of the Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan will be identifying strategies and solutions to increase the safety and accessibility of students walking and biking to school. Many active transportation plans use the percentage of students who qualify for free or reduced price meals as a way to prioritize funding for projects, so that schools with high needs may benefit from future investments. Figure 20 shows the distribution of schools in Siskiyou County and highlights the proportion of students qualifying for free or reduced priced meals. Over 75% of their student population qualifies for free or reduced price meals in the following schools in Siskiyou County:

- Butte Valley Elementary
- Discovery High
- Dunsmuir Elementary
- Hornbrook Elementary
- Junction Elementary
- Klamath River Elementary
- McCloud Elementary
- Northern United Siskiyou Charter
- Scott River High
- Weed Elementary
- Willow Creek Elementary
- Yreka Community Day


Figure 17. Schools and FRPM Eligibility





Healthy Places Index 3.0

The Healthy Places Index combines 25 community characteristics, like access to healthcare, housing, and education into a single indexed HPI score. The healthier a community, the higher the HPI score. Figure 18 shows the distribution of Healthy Places Index scores and reflect the tract's statewide percentile. According to the index, the census tracts with the highest community health needs are those surrounding Happy Camp, Yreka, Montague, and Dunsmuir.






USDOT Equitable Transportation Communities

The U.S. Department of Transportation Equitable Transportation Community (ETC) Explorer is an index that uses 2020 Census Tracts and data to explore the cumulative burden communities experience, in the following five components: Transportation Insecurity, Climate and Disaster Risk Burden, Environmental Burden, Health Vulnerability, and Social Vulnerability. Figure 19 shows the distribution of Disadvantaged Communities Index scores which reflect the tract's statewide percentile. According to the USDOT's ETC index, the census tracts surrounding Etna, Montague, McCloud and Tennant have the highest needs relating to the five components.

Figure 19. USDOT Equitable Transportation Community Scores





Climate and Economic Justice Screening Tool (CEJST)

The Council on Environmental Quality uses datasets that are indicators of burdens in eight categories: climate change, energy, health, housing, legacy pollution, transportation, water and wastewater, and workforce development. The tool uses this information to identify communities that are experiencing these burdens. These are the communities that are disadvantaged because they are overburdened and underserved.²⁰ Federal agencies will use the tool to help identify disadvantaged communities that will benefit from federal grant programs.


Figure 16 shows the communities in Siskiyou County that are considered disadvantaged according to the Climate and Economic Justice Screening Tool. A community is highlighted as disadvantaged on the CEJST map if it is in a census tract that is (1) at or above the threshold for one or more environmental, climate, or other burdens, and (2) at or above the threshold for an associated socioeconomic burden. In addition, a census tract that is completely surrounded by disadvantaged communities and is at or above the 50% percentile for low income is also considered disadvantaged. Note that Federally recognized tribes are also considered to be disadvantaged communities, and so the tract surrounding Yreka is technically considered "partially disadvantaged" because of Karuk tribal land that falls within it.

While the federal government awards funding based on whether or not a community is designated as disadvantaged, the California Active Transportation Program awards funding using sliding scale based on the number of categories for which a community is considered disadvantaged. Figure 17 shows the number of CEJST categories for which each tract in Siskiyou County is considered disadvantaged. These categories are the same ones used in the federal disadvantaged community designations: climate change, energy, health, housing, legacy pollution, transportation, water and wastewater, and workforce development.

_


²⁰ Climate and Economic Justice Screening Tool (CEJST). https://screeningtool.geoplatform.gov/en/about#3/33.47/-97.5

Figure 20. Climate and Economic Justice Screening Tool (CEJST) Federal Disadvantaged Communities



Alta Planning + Design, Inc.

Figure 21. Climate and Economic Justice Screening Tool (CEJST)





Opportunities and Constraints

Constraints

- Any new active transportation infrastructure in Siskiyou County will likely be constrained to higher-density
 population centers because these areas tend to have the highest levels of walking and rolling. However, there are
 many low-density residential areas in Siskiyou County as well. While these areas may experience less walking and
 biking through traffic, they may be near notable cultural, historical, or recreation destinations, locations that would
 also benefit from active transportation investments.
- Much of the safety needs in the county center around the state highway network, which can simultaneously
 connect communities by car as well as divide them for those who walk, bike, or roll. Safety investments in these
 areas will likely be priorities for the County to pursue funding, however, these projects will need to be coordinated
 with Caltrans and adhere to Caltrans design standards.
- Lower-income households may face greater challenges in meeting their mobility needs and there are high-need
 areas across the County. Identifying and prioritizing investments in these areas could also be an opportunity to
 compete for grant funding.


Opportunities

- There are many opportunities for both urban and rural active transportation improvements in Siskiyou County. Many of the communities in the county have a core downtown area with residential areas within walking and biking distance. These areas could benefit greatly from improved safety and connectivity. Additionally, many rurally situated cultural, historical, and recreational resources across the county could be better connected to residential areas. The county is already a renowned recreational destination, and so long-distance trail and multiuse path connections that connect communities and these resources would further an existing strength of the County.
- Identifying priority project locations will likely be easier because the state highway network determines much of the safety needs across the county.
- There are still many communities across the county that are limited by both a lack of existing data and planned projects. This planning process is an opportunity to set in motion many projects for cities across the county that may not have the resources to identify and scope these projects, but who are nonetheless interested in their implementation.



Appendices

Appendix A: Recommended improvements from Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan and the Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan



HIGH PRIORITY BIKEWAYS

WALK BIKE RIDE MT. SHASTA MOBILITY PLAN



Recommendations outside the City of Mt. Shasta are subject to the approval of the governing agency


Short Term, High Priority Project
Long Term, High Priority Project

Projects outlined in **Black** are considered Short Term, High Priority because they are both high need and easy to implement. Dashed projects are considered High Priority, Long Term because they are high need but require more effort to implement.

BOUNDARIES + DESTINATIONS

Study Area Boundary

City of Mt. Shasta Boundary



PRIORITIZED SIDEWALK PROJECTS

WALK BIKE RIDE MT. SHASTA MOBILITY PLAN



EXISTING + RECOMMENDED SIDEWALKS

— Existing

— Recommended

Prioritized Sidewalk Projects

Projects outlined in **Black** are considered Short Term,
High Priority because they are both high need
and easy to implement. Dashed projects are
considered High Priority, Long Term because
Siskiyo

they are high need but require more effort to implement.

BOUNDARIES + DESTINATIONS

♠ Trailhead


Library

Senior Living Community

Study Area Boundary

City of Mt. Shasta Boundary

Siskiyou County Local Transportation Commission



Recommendations outside the City of Mt. Shasta are subject to the approval of the governing agency

PEDESTRIAN CROSSING IMPROVEMENTS

WALK BIKE RIDE MT. SHASTA MOBILITY PLAN


PEDESTRIAN CROSSING IMPROVEMENTS

Pedestrian Crossing Improvements

BOUNDARIES + DESTINATIONS

- ♠ Trailhead
- Library
- ♠ School
- **Senior Living Community**
- Study Area Boundary
- City of Mt. Shasta Boundary





Recommendations outside the City of Mt. Shasta are subject to the approval of the governing agency

PRIORITIZED PEDESTRIAN CROSSING IMPROVEMENTS BY PRIORITY CATEGORY

WALK BIKE RIDE MT. SHASTA MOBILITY PLAN



PEDESTRIAN CROSSING IMPROVEMENTS

- Short Term, High Priority
- Long Term, High Priority
- Opportunity Project
- O Low Priority

BOUNDARIES + DESTINATIONS

- Study Area Boundary
- City of Mt. Shasta Boundary
- ♠ Trailhead
- Library
- Senior Living Community



Appendix B: Existing Bike and Pedestrian Infrastructure Map Series

SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN DORRIS

- Trail
- ▲ City
- +-- Railroad
- City Boundary
- County Boundary
- Crosswalk
- Sidewalk






SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN DUNSMUIR

- Bus Stop
- Sidewalk
- Sidewalk
- -- Missing Sidewalk
- Trail
- At Least One Crosswalk
- Shared Use Path
- Bike Lane
- STAGE Bus Route
- ▲ City
- +++ Railroad
- City Boundary
- County Boundary






SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN ETNA


- Bus Stop
- Trail
- STAGE Bus Route
- ▲ City
- City Boundary
- County Boundary





SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN FORT JONES


- Bus Stop
- Trail
- STAGE Bus Route
- ▲ City
- City Boundary
- County Boundary
- Crosswalk
- Sidewalk





SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN HAPPY CAMP


- Bus Stop
- National Forest System Trail
- Trail
- Community Services District
- Community Services District Boundary
- County Boundary
- Crosswalk





SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN LAKE SHASTINA

- Bus Stop
- Trail
- Community Services DistrictCommunity Services District
- Community Services District Boundary
- County Boundary




MEMORANDUM





SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN MCCLOUD

- Bus Stop
- Trail
- STAGE Bus Route
- Community Services District
- +-- Railroad
- Community Services District Boundary
- County Boundary
- Crosswalk








SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN MONTAGUE

- Bus Stop
- STAGE Bus Route
- ▲ City
- +++ Railroad
- City Boundary
- County Boundary
- Crosswalk
- Sidewalk






SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN MT. SHASTA

- Bus Stop
- Crosswalk
- Bike Lane
- Sidewalk
- Shared Use Path
- National Forest System Trail
- Trail
- STAGE Bus Route
- ▲ City
- +-- Railroad
- City Boundary
- County Boundary







SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN SEIAD VALLEY

- Bus Stop
- National Forest System Trail
- Trail
- County Boundary
- Crosswalk





SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN TULELAKE

— Trail


▲ City

+++ Railroad

City Boundary

County Boundary

Note: The trails shown in Tulelake are actually sidewalks. The discrepancy likely stems from an issue with the data source.`




TULELAKE

MEMORANDUM

SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN WEED

- Bus Stop
- Trail
- STAGE Bus Route
- ▲ City
- +-- Railroad
- City Boundary
- County Boundary
- Crosswalk
- Sidewalk








SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN YREKA

- Bus Stop
- Bike Lane
- Trail
- STAGE Bus Route
- ▲ City
- +-- Railroad
- City Boundary
- County Boundary
- Crosswalk
- Sidewalk







Appendix C: Land use and Destinations Map Series

SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN CALLAHAN



Restaurant

ZONING

Commercial

Manufacturing

Timber Preserve

Residential





SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN DUNSMUIR

▲ City

School

Groceries

Library

Restaurant

++++ Railroad

Park

ZONING

Commercial

Timber Preserve

Residential

City Boundary







SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN DORRIS

▲ City

School

Groceries

Library

Restaurant

++++ Railroad

ZONING


Commercial

Manufacturing

Residential

City Boundary






SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN ETNA

- ▲ City
- School
- Groceries
- Library
- Restaurant
- Park

ZONING

- Commercial
- Manufacturing
- Timber Preserve
- Residential
- City Boundary
- County Boundary





SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN FORT JONES

▲ City

School

Groceries

Library

Restaurant

Park

ZONING

Commercial

Manufacturing


Planned Development

Timber Preserve

Residential

City Boundary





SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN GAZELLE




School



ZONING

Commercial







SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN **GRENADA**



School



Groceries




++++ Railroad

ZONING

Commercial



County Boundary



MEMORANDUM




SISKIYOU COUNTY **ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN** HAPPY CAMP

- Community Services District
- School
- Groceries
- Library
- Restaurant
- Park

- Commercial
- Manufacturing
- Planned Development
- Residential
- Community Services District Boundary
- County Boundary








SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN HORNBROOK

- Community Services District
- School
- Groceries
- ++++ Railroad

- Commercial
- Manufacturing
- Planned Development
- Residential
- Community Services District Boundary
- County Boundary






SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN LAKE SHASTINA

- Community Services District
- Restaurant
- Park

- Commercial
- Planned Development
- Residential
- Community Services District
 Boundary
- County Boundary





SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN MACDOEL



Groceries


++++ Railroad

ZONING

Commercial



County Boundary



MEMORANDUM






SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN MCCLOUD

- Community Services District
- School
- Groceries
- Library
- Restaurant
- ++++ Railroad
- Park

- Commercial
- Manufacturing
- Planned Development
- Timber Preserve
- Residential
- Community Services District
 Boundary
- County Boundary





SISKIYOU COUNTY **ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN** MONTAGUE



City



School



Library



++++ Railroad

ZONING

Manufacturing




Residential



City Boundary








SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN MT. SHASTA

- ▲ City
- School
- Groceries
- Library
- Restaurant
- ++++ Railroad
- Park

- Commercial
- Manufacturing
- Planned Development
- Residential
- City Boundary
- County Boundary





SISKIYOU COUNTY **ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN** SEIAD VALLEY



School



Groceries

ZONING

Commercial




Manufacturing



Residential







SISKIYOU COUNTY **ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN** SOMES BAR



School



Groceries



Park

ZONING

Commercial




Timber Preserve



Residential









SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN TENNANT

■ Community Services District

ZONING


Commercial

Timber Preserve

Residential

Community Services District
Boundary





SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN TULELAKE

▲ City

Groceries

Library

Restaurant

++++ Railroad

Park

ZONING

Commercial


Manufacturing

Planned Development

Residential

City Boundary






SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN WEED

- ▲ City
- School
- Groceries
- Library
- Restaurant
- ++++ Railroad
- Park

- Commercial
- Manufacturing
- Planned Development
- Timber Preserve
- Residential
- City Boundary
- County Boundary





SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN YREKA

▲ City

School

Groceries

Restaurant

++++ Railroad

Park

ZONING

Manufacturing


Planned Development

Residential

City Boundary









Appendix D: Bicycle and Pedestrian Crashes Map Series

SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN DORRIS


Bicycle Collisions



▲ City

+-- Railroad

County Boundary



MEMORANDUM



SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN FORT JONES


Bicycle Collisions

🧆 Injury

Pedestrian Collisions

Injury

▲ City






SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN HAPPY CAMP

Pedestrian Collisions



■ Community Services District

County Boundary



MEMORANDUM



SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN LAKE SHASTINA

Bicycle Collisions

- 🧀 Injury
- Community Services District
- County Boundary






SISKIYOU COUNTY **ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN** MACDOEL

Pedestrian Collisions



+-- Railroad








SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN MCCLOUD

Pedestrian Collisions

- Injury
- Community Services District
- +++ Railroad
- County Boundary



MEMORANDUM




SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN MONTAGUE

Bicycle Collisions



▲ City

+-- Railroad





SISKIYOU COUNTY **ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN** MT. SHASTA

Bicycle Collisions


🧆 Injury

Pedestrian Collisions

Injury

▲ City

+-- Railroad






SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN TULELAKE

Pedestrian Collisions





+-- Railroad








SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN WEED

Pedestrian Collisions





+-- Railroad





SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN YREKA

Bicycle Collisions

🧀 Injury


Pedestrian Collisions

Fatal

Injury

▲ City

+-- Railroad









Appendix E: KSI Index Map Series

SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN CALLAHAN

Weighted Crash Severity Index

— High

Low

+++ Railroad







SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN DORRIS

Weighted Crash Severity Index

— High


_

Low

▲ City

+-- Railroad





SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN DUNSMUIR

Weighted Crash Severity Index


— High

_


Low

▲ City

+-- Railroad







SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN ETNA

Weighted Crash Severity Index

- High


- Low

▲ City

+-- Railroad







SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN FORT JONES

Weighted Crash Severity Index


- High

Low

▲ City

+-- Railroad





SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN GAZELLE


Weighted Crash Severity Index

— High

Low

+-- Railroad





SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN GRENADA


Weighted Crash Severity Index

— High

Low

+-- Railroad





SISKIYOU COUNTY **ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN** HAPPY CAMP

Weighted Crash Severity Index


- High

Low

■ Community Services District

+-- Railroad





SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN HORNBROOK

Weighted Crash Severity Index


— High

Low

■ Community Services District

+-- Railroad





SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN LAKE SHASTINA

Weighted Crash Severity Index

— High


Low

■ Community Services District

+-- Railroad







SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN MACDOEL

Weighted Crash Severity Index


- High

Low

Low

+-- Railroad





SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN MCCLOUD

Weighted Crash Severity Index

— High


Low

Community Services District

+-- Railroad







SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN MONTAGUE

Weighted Crash Severity Index


— High

Low

▲ City

+-- Railroad





SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN MT. SHASTA

Weighted Crash Severity Index


— High

Low

▲ City

+-- Railroad





SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN SEIAD VALLEY


Weighted Crash Severity Index

— High

- Low

+-- Railroad





SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN SOMES BAR

Weighted Crash Severity Index


— High

Low

+-- Railroad







SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN TENNANT

Weighted Crash Severity Index

— High

Lov

- Community Services District
- +-- Railroad
- County Boundary





SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN TULELAKE

Weighted Crash Severity Index


— High

__ Low

▲ City

+-- Railroad





SISKIYOU COUNTY ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN WEED

Weighted Crash Severity Index


— High

Low

▲ City

+-- Railroad





SISKIYOU COUNTY **ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN** YREKA

Weighted Crash Severity Index

- High


- Low

▲ City

+-- Railroad

County Boundary





MEMORANDUM



Page Intentionally Left Blank

Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan

Appendix B

Community Engagement Summary

Date: December 18, 2024

To: Melissa Cummins, Siskiyou County Local Transportation Commission

From: Alta Planning + Design

Subject: Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan Engagement Summary

Project Overview

The Siskiyou County Local Transportation Commission (SCLTC) is working with Alta Planning + Design and Siskiyou Outdoor Recreation Alliance (SORA) to develop a countywide Active Transportation Plan (ATP). Funded by the California Transportation Commission's Active Transportation Program grant, the ATP identified opportunities to improve pedestrian and bicycle infrastructure, such as crosswalks, bike paths, and sidewalks. These facilities connect residents and visitors with schools, parks, businesses, health care, transit, and other important destinations.

The ATP considers the needs and challenges of all transportation users, focusing especially on pedestrians, bicyclists, and people who use public transit. Priorities identified during community engagement helped guide the strategies and projects included in the plan.

Engagement Summary

The following sections summarize the ways in which public input was gathered to inform the planning process. To support inclusive participation, all materials were provided in English and Spanish.

Goals of Community Engagement

Throughout the public engagement process, the Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan sought to:

- Build community awareness across a large rural area and build shared understanding of project needs and ways to participate.
- Enable well-informed participation from historically disadvantaged communities to provide input on the project.
- Gather and use public input during the decision process, maintaining transparency at each step.

Phases of Community Engagement

This community engagement was conducted in three phases:

- Phase 1: Listen & Learn The first phase aimed to develop a clear understanding of Siskiyou County residents'
 active transportation needs, concerns, and priorities. The project team conducted walk audits in each incorporated
 city in the county with city council and/or staff members to document issues and discuss priority improvement
 locations and held an open house in Yreka at the Yreka Transit Center on April 24, 2024.
- Phase 2: Align & Refine The second phase sought to refine project recommendations based on community input gathered during Phase 1 and identify where other project recommendations may be needed. To accomplish this, the project team set up tables at multiple events around the county, hosted an online and print survey and input map in English and Spanish, and held a second open house in Etna on October 1, 2024.
- Phase 3: Share and Review In the final phase of engagement, the project team will provide SCLTC a refined and prioritized project list with funding options and prepare a public draft for community review before submitting it to the Transportation Commission for approval.

Key Takeaways

Throughout the engagement process, Siskiyou County residents expressed excitement about transportation safety and active transportation and a desire for roadway improvements across Siskiyou County. Key takeaways from project community engagement include:

- Safety for kids to be able to walk, bike, and roll to school is a top priority.
- Most of the survey respondents indicated a desire to spend more time walking and biking than they currently do.
 - 64% currently walk daily or a few times a week vs 85% would like to be able to walk or bike at least a few times a week.
 - o 29% bike daily or a few times a week vs 64% would like to bike daily or a few times a week.
- 94% of survey respondents view projects that improve crossing as important or very important for future investment.
- Safety for people walking, biking, or using mobility devices is a top priority issue for those walking and biking across the County.
- The availability and condition of biking infrastructure emerged as survey respondents' top priority amongst all modes, though the condition of pedestrian and recreation/trail infrastructure is also considered important.
- Desire for convenient, accessible travel options for people of all ages and abilities, with support for additional opportunities to walk, bike, or use a wheelchair to get around and for recreation.
- A need for improved winter maintenance for roads with bike lanes and paths for pedestrians and bikes, and roads that access recreation sites.
- Requests for new crossings and traffic calming measures to improve walking and biking conditions.
- Several suggestions for various new pedestrian, bike, and shared use path connections.
- Interest in an improved transit system with regular routes, including to recreational and essential services.
- Interest in exploring pedestrian zone streets and public spaces to foster community gathering and walkability, in downtown centers.

Events and Outreach

Project staff tabled at and held many events across the County to gather feedback and raise awareness about the project. They encouraged attendees to complete the survey and participate in the interactive map, see Table 1 for a list of events.

Table 1: Community Engagement Events and Activities

Events and Activities	Location	Date(s) 2024
Open Houses		
Yreka Open House	Yreka Transit Center	April 24
Fort Jones and Etna Open House	Farmhouse Bakery (Etna)	October 1
In-Person Tabling Events		
McCloud Flea Market	McCloud	June 2
Dunsmuir Railroad Days	Dunsmuir	June 8
Yreka Golden City Days	Yreka	June 15-16
Mt. Shasta 4 th of July Celebration	Mt. Shasta	July 4
Tulelake Bazaar	Tulelake	August 10

Rollin' Car & Bike Show	Weed	August 24
Bigfoot Jamboree	Happy Camp	August 30-31
Montague Balloon Festival	Montague	September 22
Walk Audits		
The project team conducted 10 walking tours and meetings with local agency staff and interested community members.	 Tulelake Dorris Etna Fort Jones Montague Weed Yreka Happy Camp Mt Shasta Dunsmuir 	April 22-25
Safe Routes to School		
Walk, Ride, Roll to School Event for students and families	Mt Shasta, Weed	October 9
Online Engagement		
Survey	Project webpage	January-October
Interactive Map	Project webpage	January-October

Open Houses




Figure 1. The Yreka Open House participants drew on maps, prioritized improvement types, and took printed surveys.




Figure 2. Project staff talk to community members at the October 2024 Open House in Happy Camp

In-Person Tabling Events Summary

McCloud Flea Market (McCloud)

- Positive feedback on the concepts of e-bike and EV charging stations.
- General feedback on poor road conditions and desire to see them fixed.
- Idea for a bike trail between McCloud and McCloud Falls or an expanded parking lot with a trail to the falls.



Figure 3. SORA Tabling at McCloud Flea Market Event

Yreka Golden City Days (Yreka)

- Proposal for a mountain bike and hiking trail linking northside Yreka to Greenhorn Park via the western ridge near Evergreen Cemetery. This shortcut would reduce the need to ride through town, making Greenhorn Park more accessible for cyclists and hikers.
- Strong support for developing Gold Street as a designated safe route to school.
- Oregon Street has become increasingly dangerous for kids commuting due to such high traffic.



Figure 4. SORA tabling at Yreka Golden City Days

Tulelake Bazaar (Tulelake)

- Residents voiced the need for better street lighting and access to public transportation.
- "Volcanic Scenic Byway" bike/hike trail was floated as an idea.



Figure 5. SORA tabling at Tulelake Bazaar

Rollin' Car & Bike Show (Weed)

- General feedback on poor road conditions and desire to see them fixed.
- Positive feedback on the concepts of EV charging stations.

Bigfoot Jamboree (Happy Camp)

- Positive response to the proposed staircase and the Second Ave sidewalk improvements.
- Strong interest in paving or better maintaining Greyback Road to support its popularity among cyclists.
- Requests for E-bike charging stations in both Happy Camp and Seiad to support the growing number of E-bike riders in the area.

Montague Balloon Festival (Montague)

- Positive feedback on the concept of a rail-to-trail connection between Yreka and Montague.
- Appreciation expressed for new facilities to include ADA curb ramps.



Figure 6. SORA table at Montague Balloon Festival

Walk Audits

The project team conducted ten walking tours and meetings with local agency staff and interested community members in April 2024. These walk audits took place in Tulelake, Dorris, Etna, Fort Jones, Montague, Weed, Yreka, Happy Camp, Dunsmuir, and Mt Shasta. Walk audits were more streamlined in Mt Shasta and Dunsmuir because they have recently

completed city active transportation plans. The results of the walk audits were compiled into a report that was presented to the Siskiyou County Local Transportation Commission. The report can be found in Appendix A.

Safe Routes to School Events

On October 9, 2024, staff from the Siskiyou County Office of Education and SORA hosted National Walk, Bike, and Roll to School Day, an annual initiative promoting physical activity and safe routes to school, at four schools: Etna Elementary, Sisson School, Mt Shasta Elementary, and Weed Elementary.




Figure 7. Sisson and Mt Shasta Elementary Walk, Bike, and Roll to School Event Fall 2024



Figure 8. Weed Elementary Walk, Bike, and Roll to School Event 2024




Figure 9. Etna Elementary Walk, Bike, and Roll to School Event 2024

Mt Shasta Elementary students, along with parents and staff, visited the SORA booth on their bikes to share ideas for improving safety for students who walk, bike, or roll to school. Participating students were entered into a prize drawing,

with items donated by local businesses. Afterward, students, staff, and parents biked to school together to celebrate this national event. Approximately 30 students and adults participated in the event.



Figure 10. SORA gathered student input at the Mt Shasta Walk, Bike, and Roll to School Event

Survey Feedback

The Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan survey was conducted between January and October 2024, available both online through the project webpage and in printed form at in-person events. This long survey window allowed the project team time to attend existing events throughout the summer in each incorporated community in the County. The survey was promoted through a variety of channels, including the project website, social media, newsletters, flyers, press releases, lawn signs, and at the events listed in Table 1. In the survey, community members were asked to share their perceptions of safety while walking and rolling, biking, and driving, as well as their top concerns. The survey also presented several prioritization exercises and respondents were able to express their support or disagreement for each. At the end, participants had the option to provide demographic information to help the project team better understand the survey's audience. A total of 145 survey responses were received over the ten-month period the survey was available.

Usage of Transportation Options

Respondents were asked to indicate how frequently they typically use certain transportation options to get places they need to go for recreation and were asked how frequently they would like to use these transportation options. The results of these questions were combined by transportation option.

Figure 11: Responses to "How frequently do you typically use the following transportation options to get places you need to go or for recreation?"




Figure 12: How frequently do you WANT to use the following transportation options to get places you need to go or for recreation?





Figure 13: Respondent Preferences for use of transportation options vs. actual use



- Respondents who would like to INCREASE their use of this transportation option
- Respondents who would like to neither increase or decrease their use of this transportation option
- Respondents who would like to DECREASE their use of this transportation option

Future Vision

Respondents were asked to prioritize several statements about the type of community they envision Siskiyou County becoming in the next 5 to 10 years. The results indicated that residents prioritize kids getting to school safely as the top vision for Siskiyou County's future, followed by 'Convenient travel options for all ages and abilities.' Additional priorities included 'Living without needing a car,' 'Backup travel options during emergencies,' and 'Walkable, mixed-use neighborhoods.' Lower-ranked priorities were 'Environmental responsibility' and 'Fair transportation access,' with 'Other' receiving the lowest priority overall.

Table 2: Responses to "Prioritize the following statements about what type of community Siskiyou County should be in the future (5-10 years out)?"

Countywide Ranking	Average Ranking	Priority
1	2.0	It is safe for kids and families to walk and bike to their neighborhood school.
2	2.8	People of all ages and abilities have convenient travel options.
3	3.6	You do not need to own a car to enjoy the city's parks, shops, and other amenities.
4	4.5	If one travel route or transportation option is closed or damaged after a storm, people have other options to get where they need to go.
5	5.1	Neighborhoods include a mixture of housing types, neighborhood uses, and amenities, enabling Siskiyou County's residents to access services and amenities by walking and biking.
6	5.3	People work to reduce their impact on the environment through their personal choices.
7	5.3	Transportation access is fairly distributed to different geographic areas within Siskiyou County and different types of people (low-income, transit-dependent, minority, different ages).
8	7.4	(Other) Other priorities listed by respondents included ensuring well-maintained sidewalks and bike paths, expanding public transportation to popular sites, enhancing trail connections for tourism, improving road safety and signage, planning for natural disasters, and providing accessible transportation options for all users, including wheelchair access.

Safety Issue Prioritization


The survey results indicate that the biggest issues among respondents for people walking and biking include safety for people walking, biking, or using mobility devices (ranked as a top four priority by 69% of respondents) and the condition or availability of bicycle infrastructure (69%) and pedestrian infrastructure (63%). Other concerns included the condition or availability of trails and recreational facilities for walking and biking (47%), weather conditions impacting travel (21%), and the lack of alternative routes when one is blocked or damaged (19%). Other issues provided by respondents included a lack of public transportation options, maintenance issues, and roadway access issues.

Respondents were then asked why they care about the transportation issues they selected. Overall, the community seeks enhanced safety, better infrastructure for non-motorized transportation, and reliable public transit options to improve their quality of life. Specific responses to this question included:

- Feeling unsafe walking or biking due to dangerous conditions on roads like Old Stage and Highway 3, which have high-speed traffic, poor road conditions, and insufficient bike lanes.
- Desire for improved public transportation, especially for those without cars, as many struggle to get around, particularly during emergencies or off-hours.
- Desire to reduce environmental impacts and improve community health, advocating for better maintenance and development of sidewalks, bike paths, and trails. Suggestions include creating safe, separated bike lanes and wellmarked crosswalks.
- Desire to feel proud of their town and safe in their neighborhoods.

Desire for better access to outdoor recreation, including open spaces for walking, biking, and fishing.


Figure 14: "What are the biggest issues for people walking and biking in your community?" (percent who indicated ______ is a top issue)



Community Value Prioritization

Respondents were asked what Siskiyou County should prioritize as it plans for the future of the County's transportation system. The results of this question indicated the following prioritization: Connectivity (ranked as a top four priority by 65% of respondents), Safety (60%), Economy (57%), Livability (49%), Maintenance (45%), Equity (35%), and Resiliency (21%).

Figure 15: What should Siskiyou County prioritize as we plan for the future of the County's active transportation system? (percent who indicated _____ is a top priority)



Project Type Prioritization

Respondents were asked how important it is to invest in or advocate for several types of projects. Options included:

- Landscaping: Increase landscaping along the roadways, including planting more trees
- Traffic Calming: Slower driving speeds
- Street Lighting: Improve street lighting
- Bus Stop Access: Improve walking access to bus stops
- New Trails: Build more trails and sidepaths
- New Sidewalks: Build more sidewalks that are fully accessible to people walking or using wheelchairs
- New Bikeways: Build safe, more connected bikeways
- Safety: Improve safety for all road users
- Improve existing bikeways and sidewalks: Improve the bikeways and sidewalks that exist
- Improve Crossings: Improve safety of roadway crossings for people walking and biking

The results of this question indicated that respondents placed high importance on all the projects types listed. The top priorities included improving crossings (93% of respondents ranking this as very important or somewhat important), improved sidewalks and bikeways (92%), and safety (92%). These were followed by new bikeways (87%), new sidewalks (85%), and new trails (83%), see Figure 16.




Figure 16: How important is it to invest in or advocate for the following types of projects?

Dream Transportation System

Respondents were asked what the community's transportation system would be like if they had the power to make it any way you wanted. Responses to this question included:

- Improved conditions for bicyclists and pedestrians, including pedestrian plazas, wider sidewalks, safer bike lanes, and enhancements to the aesthetic and functional qualities of public spaces to encourage social interaction and engagement.
 - "Siskiyou County has so much opportunity. It's a beautiful area and I wish I could live here forever. However, it is very car dependent. I live in Yreka and I could easily bike everywhere if I wanted to. But I cannot because it's just too dangerous sometimes. The zoning is also awful. It is not a good idea to have grocery stores or general stores on the furthest north and south side of town. I cannot walk anywhere to get a carton of eggs or jug of milk if I needed to, I'm forced to drive. Miner Street also makes me sad. It has so much potential to be a lively place where community members can come together and where tourists stop to check out, but it is an unwalkable and unbearable space to spend more than 5 minutes. I want the community to be a place where I'm comfortable letting my future children bike and walk to school, but that is not the case right now. Outdoors in Yreka is for cars and trucks, not for people or children."
 - "It would be nice to feel safe/safer while biking and walking"
 - o "It would be a complete change of our current system with more greenways and bike lanes and walking paths with protected buffers from the streets modeled on European systems. they really make it happen over there! Mt Shasta is small enough for this to be a usable system."
 - "Walkable and bikeable communities have several benefits including more economic development demand, better access to social services for our disadvantaged populations and more access for children, elderly and disabled community members that have a right to safe options for getting around."

- A place where I can walk around comfortably with close destinations! A place where I'm confident my children won't get struck by a vehicle biking to school. A place where I can walk or bike to downtown Miner Street and enjoy a beer with my friends outside without car exhaust blowing in my face. A place where I can walk my dog during at night the Winter and not have to put on a headlamp because there are enough streetlights. A place where I can take a bus from Yreka to Mt. Shasta without having to deep dive through the internet to find the bus schedule."
- "Sidewalks in Mt. Shasta are in such disrepair that it is safer to walk on the street to avoid tripping than it is to walk on the sidewalk. And it's not particularly safe on the street for a ped or a bike. It's no wonder that most folks drive since the hazards of walking or rolling are frightening for folks who would want to walk any distance on town or in the surrounding county since there are no safe facilities for anyone except those in cars."
- Several suggestions for various new bike path connections, including from Fort Jones to Callahan and from Dunsmuir to Mt Shasta.
 - "It would be a bike path that connects Dunsmuir to Mt Shasta. I wish that Dunsmuir had more bike paths (paved and trail) that are frequently used and well kept."
 - "I would build a bike (multi-use) path from Fort Jones to Callahan for people to travel across the valley safely."
 - "Bike paths connecting the ski park to McCloud, Dusmuir and Mt. Shasta, and then connecting to Weed and the rest of the North County."
- New multi-use trails linking parks, recreational areas, and neighborhoods.
 - "To create safe interconnectivity within each community, designed with basic goals in mind but responding to the needs of each individual community. Getting to the store, farmer's market, school, work, the library, doctor's appointments, etc. Then expanding out from each community to connect to more and more outlying residential and rural living spaces in the county."
 - "Since I do walk and bike often it would be awesome to have SAFE paths for both. In addition, a path/trail to walk or bike from Weed to Mt Shasta would be a dream. If we could do that let's extend it to one that goes to Dunsmuir/McCloud/Yreka! How amazing that would be for residents AND tourists:)"
- Several suggestions for implementation of a transit or shuttle system with regular routes and stops, including service to recreational destinations, grocery stores, and government buildings.
 - "Some type of on-call ride program. They have it in Minnesota. I believe you have to call an hour before you want to go. It would be awesome. In our county public transportation isn't very good, but it is understandable why it is not. The schedule isn't very good for people who may want to take the bus for work instead of driving, or for those who do not have transportation."
 - "We need an in town bus/van system that would be able to take people to the grocery story, to government buildings, etc. in a reasonable time frame. Like in towns that have buses that run every hour or so."
- Opportunities for scenic rail options to enhance tourism and transportation within the county.
 - "I'd love to have a trolley or something that goes from North to South Dunsmuir spots, maybe it's a bus that looks train-like to honor our train heritage. Our town is so long North/South. It would be nice to have something regularly running so you don't have to re-park in places and could be a neat attraction in our very hilly town!"
 - "Explore the option of a local passenger train coming through at times of day when we could actually use it for getting further north or south (rather than midnight or 1am) and also making more stops along the way in Siskiyou County a scenic rail option could really benefit this county as well as being useful to get around"
- Implementation of electric vehicles

• Suggestions for traffic flow changes, such as one-way streets and roundabouts.

Who took the survey?

At the conclusion of the survey, respondents were asked about their background and identity, including their relationship with Siskiyou County, as well as questions regarding gender, age, disability status, and race/ethnicity. These questions provide insight into the demographics of the participants and help assess whether respondents are representative of Siskiyou County.

Relationship with Siskiyou County

To ensure that those providing input represented the users of Siskiyou County's transportation system, respondents were asked about their relationship with the county. Nearly all (98%) of the respondents indicated that they live in Siskiyou County, with 92% identifying as full-time residents and 4% as seasonal residents. Additionally, 58% of respondents indicated they either work, own a business, or attend school in Siskiyou County.

Table 3: Responses to "How would you best describe your relationship with Siskiyou County? (Select all that apply)

Relationship	Respondents (%)
Live in Siskiyou County	121 (98%)
Full Time	116 (94%)
Seasonally	5 (4%)
Work, own a business, or attend school in Siskiyou County	72 (58%)
Live and work in Siskiyou County	65 (52%)
Work in Siskiyou County, but live in a different County	2 (2%)
Own a business in Siskiyou County	12 (10%)
Student in Siskiyou County	2 (2%)
Visitor to Siskiyou County	1 (1%)
Total Responses	124 Responses

City/Community

Respondents were asked which specific community they lived in in Siskiyou County. This data was then compared to data from the US Census to determine how representative survey respondents were of the entire county. The survey did not receive responses from Dorris and only one from Tulelake. However, the project team was able to discuss priorities and needs with community members at City Council meetings, walk audits, and community event tabling.

Table 4: Responses to "Where in Siskiyou County do you live?"

Response	Respondents (%)	Census Data ¹
Dorris	0 (0%)	832 (2%)

¹ U.S. Census Bureau. (2024). 2017-2022 American Community Survey Data available at:

https://data.census.gov/table?q=Population&g=050XX00US06093 160XX00US0619584,0620242,0622972,0625128,0648690,06

49852,0680686,0683850,0686944&y=2022

Dunsmuir	6 (5%)	1,756 (4%)
Etna	11 (9%)	717 (2%)
Fort Jones	5 (4%)	618 (1%)
Montague	5 (4%)	1,484 (3%)
Mt Shasta	28 (22%)	3,235 (7%)
Tulelake	1 (1%)	811 (2%)
Weed	11 (9%)	2845 (6%)
Yreka	32 (25%)	7,833 (18%)
Unincorporated Siskiyou County	25 (20%)	23,918 (54%)
I do not live in Siskiyou County	2 (2%)	44,049 Respondents
Total	126 Responses	44,049 Residents

Age

Respondents were asked, "What is your age?". Among the 120 respondents, none (0%) were under 19, 2 (2%) were aged 19-24, 6 (5%) were aged 25-29, 12 (10%) were aged 30-39, 18 (15%) were aged 40-49, 22 (18%) were aged 50-59, 39 (33%) were aged 60-69, 18 (15%) were aged 70-79, and 3 (3%) were aged 80 and above, see Table 5. Survey respondents tended to be older, with only 8 people under the age of 29 participating.

Table 5: Responses to "What is your age?"

Response	Respondents (%)
Under 19	0 (0%)
19-24 years old	2 (2%)
25-29 years old	6 (5%)
30-39 years old	12 (10%)
40-49 years old	18 (15%)
50-59 years old	22 (18%)
60-69 years old	39 (33%)
70-79 years old	18 (15%)
80+ years old	3 (3%)
Total	120 Respondents

Race and Ethnicity

Respondents were also asked which race or ethnicity they identify with. This analysis will help to determine whether survey respondents are representative of the community as a whole. Respondents could select each race or ethnicity that applied to them.

Of the 108 survey respondents who answered the question on race and ethnicity, the majority identified as White (90 respondents or 83%). Smaller numbers identified as American Indian or Alaska Native, 6 respondents (6%), Hispanic or Latino, 5 respondents (5%), Asian or Pacific Islander, 2 respondents or (2%), and Black or African American, 1 respondent or (1%). An additional 4 respondents (4%) identified as a race or ethnicity not listed above, see Table 6.

Table 6: Responses to "What race or ethnicity do you identify?"

Response	Respondents (%)
American Indian or Alaska Native	6 (6%)
Asian or Pacific Islander	2 (2%)
Black or African American	1 (1%)
Hispanic or Latino	5 (5%)
White	90 (83%)
Other	4 (4%)
Total	108 Respondents

Gender

In the survey, 114 respondents identified their gender as follows: 75 individuals (66%) identified as female, 38 individuals (33%) identified as male, and 1 individual (1%) identified as another gender not listed, see Table 7.

Table 7: Response to "What is your gender?"

Response	Respondents (%)
Male	38 (33%)
Female	75 (66%)
Other	1 (1%)
Total	114 Respondents

Disability

111 survey respondents answered this question, 18 respondents (16%) indicated that they have a disability, while 93 respondents (84%) reported that they do not.

Table 8: Responses to "Do you live with a temporary or permanent condition or disability?"

Response	Respondents (%)
Yes	18 (16%)
No	93 (84%)
Total	111 Respondents

Approximate Household Income

Respondents were asked, "What was your approximate household income last year?" Of the 99 respondents who answered, 12 individuals (12%) reported an income of \$24,999 or less, 30 respondents (30%) indicated an income between \$25,000 and \$49,999, 29 respondents (29%) reported between \$50,000 and \$99,999, and 28 respondents (28%) reported a household income of \$100,000 or more, see Table 9.

Table 9: Responses to "What was your approximate household income last year?"

Response	Respondents (%)
\$24,999 or less	12 (12%)
Between \$25,000 and \$49,999	30 (30%)
Between \$50,000 and \$99,999	29 (29%)
\$100,000 or more	28 (28%)
Total	99 Respondents

Interactive Map

A total of 178 comments were placed on the interactive map, see Figure 17, Figure 18, and Figure 19. For this analysis, these comments were categorized into nine different categories:

- Biking: Comments or suggestions related to bikeway improvements or new routes (24 Comments)
- **Biking & Walking/Rolling**: Comments or suggestions related to shared use trail improvements or new routes (25 Comments)
- Walking/Rolling: Comments or suggestions related to accessible sidewalk improvements or new routes (20 Comments)
- **Driving or Traffic Calming**: Comments or suggestions related to traffic calming measures or other roadway improvements. (41 Comments)
- Access: Comments or suggestions related to access improvements i.e. inaccessible public land (8 Comments)
- Streetscape: Comments or suggestions related to streetscape improvements i.e. lighting or landscaping (15 Comments)
- Transit: Comments or suggestions related to a need for transit improvements (15 Comments)
- Maintenance needed: Comments referring to locations where roadway maintenance is needed i.e. potholes, trash in roads. (14 Comments)
- Other: Comments related to non-transportation items (16 Comments)

Comments included the following themes:

- Desire for more sidewalks, crosswalks, and pedestrian infrastructure, particularly around busier streets and community hubs in Siskiyou County. Additionally, enhanced lighting, signage, and well-marked crossings were recommended to improve pedestrian visibility and safety.
- Desire for bike lanes, trails, and improved maintenance for active transportation routes are desired throughout Siskiyou County, with emphasis on better connectivity between communities and popular recreational spots.
- Several street redesign suggestions to enhance community spaces, incorporating greenery, parklets, and more pedestrian-friendly elements to make areas more inviting and accessible.
- Suggestions for specific safety measures, such as guardrails and roadside call boxes.
- Several comments desiring expanded public transportation options to connect towns and provide access to essential services and recreational areas.
- Traffic calming measures, such as roundabouts and chicanes, were recommended for high-traffic zones to reduce speeding and increase safety for walkers and cyclists.

Figure 17: Interactive Map Comments




Figure 18: Interactive Map Comments - Yreka





Figure 19: Interactive Map Comments - Mt Shasta



Community-Informed Active Transportation Objectives and Recommendations

The project team worked to refine project objectives by incorporating community input. This process included identifying where new connections are necessary and assessing each community's unique challenges and strengths, culminating in a targeted list of active transportation recommendations.

Table 10: Survey Interactive Map Comments + Plan Recommendations

Community	What We Heard	How We Responded
Siskiyou County	 Better trail and path connection between towns within the county Improved connections to schools within communities The need for winter maintenance for roads with bike lanes and paths for pedestrians and bikes, and roads that access recreation sites 	 Implementing safer crossings, bike lanes and sidewalks around schools Identifying solutions for improved winter maintenance plans along key recreation and connection corridors
Dunsmuir	 Improved facilities for active transportation on Dunsmuir Ave. and traffic calming measures Sidewalks along Siskiyou Ave 	 Recommending sidewalks, bikeways, and traffic calming measures like speed tables and roundabouts north of Vernie St. Parallel bike trail south of Vernie St. and in some northern sections
		 Recommending sidewalks along Siskiyou Ave and many other streets in town
Etna	 Traffic safety and pedestrian improvements at CA3 crossings in town Main St sidewalk improvements needed 	 Reconstruct the intersection as a roundabout and include "Welcome to Etna" signage with sidewalk connections on Howell Ave and Collier Way
		 Conduct a comprehensive redesign process for Main St as a Historic Main Street with more pedestrian amenities.
Fort Jones	 Concerns over safe crossings on Main St, widened sidewalks and buffered bike lanes proposed by Caltrans to Highway 3/Main St Concerns about the proposed Moffett Creek Trail and proposed EV charging stations 	 Included recommendations for Caltrans to focus on enhanced crossings, moving speed limit signs further out to slow inbound vehicles, and pedestrian improvements such as lighting and low/no maintenance dryscaping
		 Removed the majority of the proposed Moffett Creek Trail and removed recommendations for EV charging
McCloud	 Improved trail and path connections with nearby recreation opportunities like the Ski Park, Lake McCloud Improved connectivity with Dunsmuir 	 Recommendation for a trail study to look at connections between McCloud and recreation destinations and Dunsmuir

Community	What We Heard	How We Responded
Mt Shasta	 Trail from town to Lake Siskiyou and bike lanes along Barr Rd to Lake Siskiyou Improved crossings for pedestrians and traffic safety on South Mt Shasta Blvd, East Lake St, and McCloud Ave Bike lanes on Barr Rd Improved connectivity with Dunsmuir and Weed 	 Trail study for trails to Lake Siskiyou and bike lanes along Barr Rd and connecting with other bike lanes in town High visibility crossings, bike lanes, and sidewalks Bike lanes between Mt Shasta and Dunsmuir and Mt Shasta and Weed
Yreka	 Pedestrian Mall or improved pedestrian use on West Miner St between Oregon St and Main St Traffic calming and bike lanes on Evergreen Lane, Oregon St, and East Oberlin Rd. Safer crossings and more pedestrian spaces along Main St Improved biking connections between Yreka and Montague, including possible rail-to-trail Connections to Greenhorn Park 	 Study for the potential of creating more space for pedestrian amenities and reducing traffic lanes in this area. Bike lanes along Evergreen Ln, Oregon St, East Oberlin Rd among others and safer crossings in key areas near neighborhoods, schools and businesses. Feasibility study on rails-to-trail or rails-with-trail project between Montague and Yreka. Bike lanes along Oregon St and Greenhorn Rd and a trail study between Payne Ln and Greenhorn Rd.
Weed	 Connections to Mt Shasta and Yreka Connections to school 	 Sidewalk recommendations between downtown and Weed Elementary Improved crossing at Hwy 97 and Boles St

Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan

Appendix C

Walk Audit Summary



Transportation Commission

Active Transportation Plan Siskiyou County

Walk Audit Summary
& Project Ideas


Presentation #2 | August 20, 2024



Agenda

- Introductions
- Project Timeline
- Active Transportation Plan Vision and Goals
- Walk Audit Summary
- Initial Project Ideas
- Next Steps

Siskiyou ATP Project Timeline



Vision and Goals



- **1.** Multimodal Connectivity. In each community, and between communities where feasible, identify and develop a well-connected network of on- and off-street walkways, trails, and bikeways that meets the needs of residents, commuters, and recreational users of all ages, abilities, and skill levels.
- **2.** Safety and Comfort. Invest in connected and convenient transportation infrastructure for all modes, with a focus on improving safety and options for people walking, rolling, and biking.
- **3.** Healthy and Just Communities. Better options for walking and biking encourage active lifestyles and health. Focus active transportation improvements in areas with high numbers of people without access to a vehicle or other equity-priority areas.
- **4. Resilient System.** Increased, varied transportation options can provide safer evacuation routes during emergencies. All improvements must be designed to function well in the winter with snow on the ground.
- **5. Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions.** Active transportation is essential to meet our climate goals.
- **6. Community Development.** Investments in transportation infrastructure are the backbone of an economically thriving community.
- 7. Implementation. Take practical steps to leverage state and federal dollars and collaborate with local communities to build projects from this plan.

Today: Walk Audit Summary + Project Ideas



- Consultant team conducted 10 walking tours and meetings with local agency staff and interested community members in May 2024:
 - Tulelake
 - Dorris
 - Etna
 - Fort Jones
 - Montague
 - Weed
 - Yreka
 - Happy Camp
 - Mt Shasta
 - Dunsmuir
- This presentation includes a summary of the walk audits and resulting project ideas.

Tulelake

April 22, 2024

Description and Summary

- Site Visit: Monday, April 22, 2024
- Representatives: City of Tulelake staff
- Where: Meeting at City Hall, walk audit



- Crossings: wide streets increase crossing distances at many intersections
- Accessibility: ADA compliant ramps at corners
- **Sidewalks:** Some key destinations lack sidewalks, such as Otis Roper Park





- **Speeding:** High speeds on Main St from traffic coming into town.
- Traffic Operations: Confusing intersection design at G St/Main St/Fair Grounds, particularly during large events.
- Other: Collapsing building on D St prevents students from walking on that road.





Key Gaps and Proposed Projects

Modoc St Sidewalks

Complete sidewalks on both sides of Modoc St between A St and 5th St.

E Street School Crosswalk


Add high visibility continental crosswalk markings and curb ramps on the west and north legs of the intersection of E St and Second St. Use curb extensions like those at Main St and E St to narrow the crossing distance.

Main St/G St Intersection Redesign

Work with the State Fairgrounds and the County to reconstruct the intersection of G St and Main St to be safer for pedestrians. Consider a roundabout and relocating the fairground driveway further south on Main St on the east side of the Fairground property.

To view all project recommendations for Tulelake, view the online map:

All Project Recommendations



Dorris

April 22, 2024

Description and Summary

- Site Visit: Monday, April 22, 2024
- Representatives: Dorris Public Works and Planning staff
- Where: Meeting at City Hall, walk audit



Active Transportation Plan Siskiyou County

- Traffic: Large trucks and high traffic volumes makes Hwy 97/1st St uncomfortable for pedestrians
- Crossings: Only one crosswalk on Hwy 97
 between 1st St and 4th St





• **Sidewalks:** 2nd St connects many destinations, but lacks sidewalks. Some gaps remain on Hwy 97.





Key Gaps and Proposed Projects

2nd St Sidewalks

Construct a sidewalk on both sides of W 2nd St between Portland St and Main St.

3rd St Crosswalk


Add high visibility continental crosswalk markings on the south leg of the intersection of Hwy 97/Main St and 3rd St. Install an RRFB. Reconstruct the curb ramps for the crossing and extend them to reduce the crossing distance. Construct a pedestrian refuge island at this location.

Pine St Crosswalk

Replace the existing crosswalks at the intersection of Hwy 97/Main St and Pine St with high visibility continental striping. Reconstruct and extend the curbs on the northwest and southwest corners of the intersection to shorten the crossing distance and calm traffic on Hwy 97.

To view all project recommendations for Dorris, view the online map:

All Project Recommendations




Active Transportation Plan Siskiyou County

Etna

April 23, 2024

Description and Summary

- Site Visit: Tuesday, April 23, 2024
- Representatives: Etna City staff, City Council representatives, Police
- Where: Meeting outside Wildwood Coffee, walk audit




- Main St: High curbs create accessibility challenges. Concerns about crosswalk visibility and maintaining parking. Truck traffic via Sawyers Bar Rd passes through.
- Hwy 3 / Collier Way: High-speed traffic mixes
 with students walking to destinations





- **Diggles St:** Extra-wide road with unhelpful crosswalks (ex: Post Office)
- Howell Ave/Diggles St/Center St: important intersection that connects parks and schools, but lacks delineation





Key Gaps and Proposed Projects

Historic Main Street Redesign

Designate Main St between the museum and Callahan St a historic main street and classify roadway as a shared street, which prioritizes the pedestrian experience over through traffic. We recommend funding a redesign planning and design process for the street to maximize the walkability of the street, placemaking, and minimizes through-traffic speed, OR; rebuild western sidewalk with railing and ramp at Diggles St.

Collier Way School Crossings


Construct two raised crosswalks on Collier Way between Etna Elementary School on the west side and the parking lot on the east side.

Highway 3 Roundabout

Reconstruct the intersection of Collier Way and Hwy 3 to include a roundabout and decorative "Welcome to Etna" sign.

To view all project recommendations for Etna, view the online map:

All Project Recommendations



Fort Jones

April 23, 2024

Description and Summary

- Site Visit: Tuesday, April 23, 2024
- Representatives: Fort Jones Public Works,
 Fort Jones City Council, Resident
- Where: Meeting outside City Hall, walk audit
- Additional Context: CalTrans Main St project, Fort Jones Community Pedestrian
 & Bicycle Safety Training Summary and Recommendations Report



- Highway 3 / Main St: High traffic speeds, long crossing distances, vehicles making uturns. Concerns with delivery vehicle access to businesses.
- Accessibility: lack of ADA-compliant sidewalks and ramps, high curb heights.
 Concerns for maintaining underground utility access on Main St as other street improvements are constructed.





 Moffett Creek: Potential off-street path connection connecting the north and south ends of town as an alternative to walking on Main St.



Key Gaps and Proposed Projects

Highway 3 Speed Limit Reduction

Work with Caltrans to reduce the speed limit on Hwy 3 through Fort Jones to 30MPH.

Moffett Creek Trail


Design and construct a paved multiuse path along Moffett Creek. (Extent TBD – More discussion needed)

Highway 3 Sidewalk

Construct a pedestrian facility on the west side of Hwy 3 between Carlock St and Scott River Rd and curb ramps as needed.

To view all project recommendations for Fort Jones, view the online map:

All Project Recommendations



Montague

April 23, 2024

Description and Summary

- Site Visit: Tuesday, April 23, 2024
- Representatives: City of Montague staff
- Where: Meeting at City Hall, walk audit



- Crossings: Highway 3 is challenging to cross for students. Lack of biking and walking facilities on Hwy 3 in key areas.
- Sidewalks and Curb Ramps: some streets
 lack sidewalks on both sides (King St),
 including several near Montague Elementary
 School.





- **Speeding:** Participants noted streets that experience high speeds, including 13th St near the park, and 11th St (Hwy 3).
- Rail to Trail Opportunity: Railroad spur to Yreka could be an opportunity for a future shared-use path between the two cities.





9th St Crossing

Add high visibility continental crosswalk markings to the north and east legs of the intersection of 9th St and Webb St. Reconstruct the northwest and northeast corners of the intersection by extending the curb to reduce the crossing distance and adding curb ramps. Add an RRFB for the east leg.

King St Sidewalks

Infill sidewalks for gaps on both sides of King St between 15th St and 6th St.

Montague Rail Trail

Conduct a feasibility study on a rails-to-trail or rails-with-trail project between Montague and Yreka.

To view all project recommendations for Montague, view the online map:

All Project Recommendations



Weed

April 24, 2024

Description and Summary

- Site Visit: Wednesday, April 24, 2024
- Representatives: City of Weed staff, Police,
 City Council representatives
- Where: Meeting at City Hall, walk audit



 Crossings: Concerns with Main St crosswalks and liability issues. Crosswalk at Boles St is uncomfortable for people walking.





- Sidewalks and Curb Ramps: some streets lack sidewalks on both sides, including several near Montague Elementary School.
- Connectivity: Many students walk on unofficial paths: Division St to Hillside Dr, Davis Ave to Shasta Ave. Students walk through Roseburg Forest Products to reach Broadway Ave. Weed Blvd connects north and south Weed across I-5 and is used by people walking, biking, and driving.





Shasta Ave Trail and Staircase

Construct a paved trail between S Davis Ave and Shasta Ave to improve connectivity between the Elementary School and surrounding neighborhoods.

Boles St Crosswalk

Add high-visibilty continental crosswalk markings on Hwy 97 at Boles St on the northwest leg of the intersection. Extend the curbs on both sides of the crosswalk to reduce the crossing distance and add a pedestrian refuge island.

Inez St Sidewalk

Construct sidewalks on both sides of Inez St between Park St and Butte St.

Legend List Spot Recommendations Crossing Bike Parking EV Charging Trail **Corridor Recommendations** Street

To view all project recommendations for Weed, view the online map:

All Project Recommendations

Active Transportation Plan Siskiyou County

Yreka

April 24, 2024

Description and Summary

- Site Visit: Wednesday, April 24, 2024
- Representatives: City of Yreka staff
- Where: Walk audit, Public Open House
- Context: 2006 City Bicycle System Plan



- Crossings: Highway 3 can be challenging to cross and is the street with the most fatal collisions and injuries. Major redesign is currently under construction.
- Safe Routes to Schools: Oregon St is a key route for students walking and biking to Yreka High School and Jackson Street Elementary School.





- Downtown Walkability: Miner St is both a destination and a thoroughfare. Improving traffic operations and placemaking amenities is a balance.
- Access to Greenhorn Park: Greenhorn Park is a major destination for walking and biking, but getting there can be uncomfortable or difficult to navigate.





Jackson St Crosswalks

Extend all four curbs at the intersection of Oregon St and Jackson St and add high visibility continental crosswalk markings on all four legs of the intersection.

Greenhorn Rd Sidewalk

Construct a sidewalk on the south side of Greenhorn Rd from Lucas Rd to Greenhorn park entrance.

Highway 3 Pedestrian Hybrid Beacon

Construct a pedestrian refuge island for the crosswalk on the north leg of the intersection of Main St/Hwy 3 and Turre St. Add a pedestrian hybrid beacon at this location.

Safer Oregon St

Add bike lanes (Class IV) on Oregon St between 4H Way and Yreka High School. The design should utilize a through lane width of 11ft in both directions, allowing the bike lanes for as much of the corridor as possible, though the curb-to-curb width will constrain the buffer space for the bike lanes in some areas.



To view all project recommendations for Yreka, view the online map:

All Project Recommendations

Mt. Shasta

April 25, 2024

Description and Summary

- Site Visit: Thursday, April 25, 2024
- Representatives: City of Mt.
 Shasta staff
- Where: Meeting at City Hall, walk to Ivy St
- Context: Recently completed
 Walk, Bike, Ride Mobility Plan
 (2022). Recommendations from
 the Mobility plan are included
 here.



- Walk, Bike, Ride Momentum: Need to build on the plan and align on its priorities, especially for projects on County roads and downtown couplet concept.
- Chestnut St/Mt. Shasta Blvd: The couplet project remains a high priority for the city.




- Regional Shared-Use Paths: With high proximity to major recreation destinations, there is a need for shared-use paths or on street facilities to access them.
- Old Stage Rd/W A Barr: Popular bike routes on county roadways – the mobility plan calls for Class II bike lanes.





- Downtown Couplet Design Phase
 Design and implement the Chestnut St/Mt. Shasta Blvd couplet per the Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta Plan.
- Ivy St Access Bridge
 Study the potential for an Ivy St or Field St bike and pedestrian bridge over the railroad that could also function as an emergency vehicle accessway.
- Headwaters to Lake Trail Study
 Design a trail that connects City Park to Lake Siskiyou.
- McCloud Railroad Trail Study
 Design a trail that connects Mt. Shasta and McCloud.
- Winter Maintenance Program
 Identify solutions for improved winter maintenance of Everett Memorial Highway and Castle Lake Road.



To view all project recommendations for Mt. Shasta, view the online map:

All Project Recommendations (includes recommendations from Walk Bike Ride Mt Shasta Mobility Plan 2022)


Active Transportation Plan Siskiyou County

Dunsmuir

April 25, 2024

Description and Summary

- Site Visit: Thursday, April 25, 2024
- Representatives: City of Dunsmuir
 Staff
- Where: Meeting at City Hall
- Context: Recently completed the Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan (2023). Recommendations from the City ATP plan are included here.




- Active Transportation Plan Momentum:
 Need to build on the plan and align on its
 priorities, especially for projects on County
 roads (ex: Mott Rd).
- Assistance with grant administration: City staff highlighted the need for the SCLTC's role in pursuing and administrating grants.
- Proximity to recreation: Dunsmuir is close to many recreational resources and wants to build on those attractions as well as promote new ones, such as mountain biking.





- Dunsmuir ATP Priority Projects 1-5
 Priority Project #1: Mott Rd and Dunsmuir Ave Class I Path.
 This project closes a gap between the existing Class 1 multiuse path on Dunsmuir Avenue and extends the multi-use path to Siskiyou Avenue.
- EV Charging at Hedge Creek Falls
 Study the potential for electric vehicle charging at the Hedge
 Creek Falls trailhead.
- Mt. Bradley MTB Trails
 Study the potential for mountain bike trails on Mt. Bradley.



To view all project recommendations for Dunsmuir, view the online map:

<u>All Project Recommendations</u> (includes Dunsmuir ATP recommendations)

Active Transportation Plan Siskiyou County

Happy Camp

May 10, 2024


Description and Summary

- Site Visit: Friday, May 10, 2024
- Representatives: Karuk Tribe
 leaders, CalTrans District 2 Native
 American Liaison staff, Happy
 Camp Strong leader
- Context: CalTrans SR 96 Happy
 Camp Streetscape Project



- Connections to High School: Install bike and pedestrian facilities for north-south travel
 Indian Creek Rd to Jacobs Way, Reeves St.
 - Improve cut-through path between Reeves St and Indian Creek Rd.





Active Transportation Plan Siskiyou County

- **2**nd **Ave Bridge:** 2nd Ave is a main route through town and alternative to SR-96 for crossing Indian Creek. Connects tribal headquarters, local businesses, many new residences.
- Trails: Happy Camp Elementary school could be better connected with a shared-use path.
 Potential path along Klamath River at Curly Jack Campground.



Reeves St Staircase

Install permanent staircase connecting Indian Creek Rd to Reeves St.

Indian Creek Rd Bike/Ped Facilities

High School to Jacobs Way Ped. Corridor Two pedestrian paths with stairs, surface street treatment and separated path Happy Camp From high school to Jacobs Way (ped paths)

• 2nd St Bridge Improvements

Improve pedestrian access on south side of bridge. 2nd Street bridge pedestrian improvements on south side of bridge including: 1) construction of ADA compliant access ramps at both sides of bridge; and, 2) improved pedestrian facilities at adjacent intersections to allow safe crossing to south side.

To view all project recommendations for Happy Camp, view the online map:

All Project Recommendations



Countywide Recommendations

- Connections between towns: Some longdistance biking occurs between towns and to recreation destinations
- Crossing larger roadways in town: Most issues center around large roadways and crossings. However, there is lots of potential to widen shoulders and build multi-use paths across the county.



- Signage for US Bike Route 85
 Add signage for bicycles along USBR 85 CA Southbound from the northern county limit and southern county limit.
- Widen shoulders between Fort Jones and Etna
- Widen shoulders between McCloud and Mt. Shasta
- Montague Rail Trail, McCloud/Dunsmuir/Mt.
 Shasta/Weed Trails

Construct paved multi-use paths connecting towns to increase connectivity and access to recreation destinations.

Cascade-Siskiyou National Monument Lower Dorris National Wildlife. [97] Horse Creek Mt Hebron amburg Scott Bar Yreka Grei al Forest Tennant e Valley onal Etna Back Butte Hambone Callahan s Bar Dunsmuir Shasta-Trinity National Forest mmerville Coffee Creek Big Bend

(66)

US Bicycle Route 85

To view all project recommendations for the county, view the online map: **All Project Recommendations**

Next Steps



- Tabling at local events: McCloud Flea Market,
 Dunsmuir Railroad Days, Yreka City Golden
 Days
- Project team will make updates to the proposed project list based on your feedback
- Ongoing coordination with School Districts and Caltrans
- Develop programmatic recommendations
- Prepare draft plan



Questions? Contact:
Katie Selin katieselin@altago.com

Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan

Appendix D

Prioritization Methodology



To: Melissa Cummins, SCLTC

From: Alta Planning + Design

Date: October 9, 2024

Re: Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan: Project Prioritization

Project Prioritization

This memo outlines the methods that the project team will use to prioritize projects included in the Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan. In all transportation plans, prioritizing projects is a critical step before implementation because it allows roadway authorities to determine the order in which improvements should be made, as funding is available. Outlining the prioritization process before the project list is finalized allows for greater transparency and alignment with community priorities.

Project Vision and Goals

The metrics that will be used for prioritizing projects stem directly from the vision and goals of the Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan:

Vision:

Siskiyou County envisions a safe, healthy, and economically vibrant rural region that provides its residents and visitors with accessible and convenient transportation options linking people, communities, businesses, and scenic destinations. Investing in important improvements such as new sidewalks, trails, and crosswalks makes it increasingly safe, easy, and enjoyable for people of all ages and abilities to walk, bike, take the bus, drive, or roll in a wheelchair to get where they need to go.

Goals:

- 1. **Multimodal Connectivity.** In each community, and between communities where feasible, identify and develop a well-connected network of on- and off-street walkways, trails, and bikeways that meets the needs of residents, commuters, and recreational users of all ages, abilities, and skill levels.
- 2. **Safety and Comfort.** Invest in connected and convenient transportation infrastructure for all modes, with a focus on improving safety and options for people walking, rolling, and biking.
- Healthy and Just Communities. Better options for walking and biking encourage active lifestyles and health.
 Focus active transportation improvements in areas with high numbers of people without access to a vehicle or other equity-priority areas.

- 4. **Resilient System.** Increased, varied transportation options can provide safer evacuation routes during emergencies. All improvements must be designed to function well in the winter with snow on the ground.
- 5. **Community Development.** Investments in transportation infrastructure are the backbone of an economically thriving community.
- 6. **Implementation.** Take practical steps to leverage state and federal dollars and collaborate with local communities to build projects from this plan.

Prioritization Methodology

The proposed methodology for prioritizing projects is intended to be a repeatable, objective, and transparent process to assess the proposed improvements in the Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan. The prioritization process will result in lists of highest scoring to lowest scoring projects for both incorporated cities and countywide.

Step 1: Score projects

Score each project using the metrics in Table 1 below.

Table 1. Scoring Criteria

Criteria	Description	Metric	Score
Connectivity Projects that	work to overcome barriers for	Project is located on a Caltrans roadway.	1
people walki major roadw	ng and biking along or across ays.	Project is not located on a Caltrans roadway.	0
•	reas with higher-than-average nto people walking and biking.	Project is located on a street segment with higher-than-average crashes into people biking and walking (index threshold above 0.31)	1
		Project is located on a street segment with lower-than-average crashes into people biking and walking (index threshold below 0.31)	0
Disadvantag Projects in an household in	eas with lower median	Project is located in a tract whose median household income is in the lowest 20 th percentile for the County.	1
		Project is not located in a tract whose median household income is in the lowest 20 th percentile for the County.	0
-	Development are close to schools, downtown	The project is located within 500ft of any of these places.	1
business dist (includes par	ricts, and recreation sites ks)	Project is not located within 500ft of any of these locations.	0

Criteria	Description	Metric	Score		
,	cribed as community priorities c outreach (site visits and online	Project was identified as a priority during the site visit or in the survey.	1		
		Project was not identified as a priority during the site visit or in a survey.	0		

Next, assign an overall score to each project based on the sum of the scores for each metric. Then rank projects based on their overall score from highest to lowest.

Step 2: Estimate Costs

Cost estimates will be assigned to each project based on the per-unit costs (\$/ft., \$/unit) of the proposed improvements. For example, the latest estimates for the per-foot cost of sidewalk constructed in California will be multiplied by the length of the proposed sidewalk.

Step 3: Develop Project Lists

The prioritization process will allow projects to be grouped in multiple ways because each project will be assigned an overall score and also contain information on location and cost. Priority short-term projects include high-scoring projects that are lower in cost, while priority long-term projects include high-scoring projects that are higher in cost.

Disclaimers

- This process prioritizes projects, not locations.
- The type of project does not affect its score (pedestrian vs. bike).

Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan

Appendix E

Project Recommendations and Cost Estimates

Place	Category	ID	Recommendation	Street Name	From	То	Intersecting Street	Source	Agency	Notes	Cost Estimate High	Cost Estimate Low	Cost Estimate (Average)
Dorris	Bike		Add buffered bike lanes (Class IIB) on Hwy 97/ Main St between Railroad Ave and 5th St.	Hwy 97/Main St	Railroad Ave	5th St		Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	CalTrans		\$ 427,201.10	\$ 82,684.09	\$ 254,942.59
Dorris	Bike Parking		Add bike parking to the following locations: Park, City Hall, Library, Dorris Elementary School.	N/A				Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	Dorris		\$ 500.00	\$ 250.00	\$ 375.00
Dorris	Bike Parking		Add bike parking to the following locations: Park, City Hall, Library, Dorris Elementary School.	N/A				Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	CalTrans		\$ 500.00	\$ 250.00	\$ 375.00
	Bike Parking		Add bike parking to the following locations: Park, City Hall, Library,	N/A				Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	Dorris		\$ 500.00		
			Add bike parking to the following locations: Park, City Hall, Library,	N/A				Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	Dorris		\$ 500.00		
20113	DIRC I diriting		Replace the existing crosswalks at the intersection of Hwy 97/Main St and Pine St with high visibility continental striping. Reconstruct and extend the curbs on the northwest and southwest corners of the intersection to shorten the crossing distance and calm traffic on Hwy 97. This will also trigger reconstruction of the ramp on the NE corner	N/A				Siskiyou County Active	50113		300.00	2.50.00	373.00
Dorris	Crossing	C19	due to ADA compliance rules.	Hwy 97/Main St			Pine St	Transportation Plan	CalTrans		\$ 205,000.00	\$ 82,500.00	\$ 143,750.00
			Add high visibility continental crosswalk markings on the north leg of the intersection of Hwy 97/Main St and 3rd St. Install an RRFB. Reconstruct the curb ramps for the crossing and extend them to reduce the crossing distance. Construct a pedestrian refuge island at this location. These changes will require reconstruction of curb ramps					Siskiyou County Active		RRFB, Ped Refuge			
Dorris	Crossing	C20	on all corners. Replace the existing crosswalks on the north and east legs of the	Hwy 97/Main St			3rd St	Transportation Plan	CalTrans	Island	\$ 461,000.00	\$ 143,500.00	\$ 302,250.00
			intersection of Hwy 97 and Oregon St with high visibility continental striping. Reconstruct and extend the curbs on the northeast and southeast corners of the intersection to shorten the crossing distance and calm traffic on Hwy 97. Install an RRFB. This will also trigger reconstruction of the ramp on the NW corner due to ADA compliance					Siskiyou County Active					
Dorris	Crossing	C21	rules.	Hwy 97/Main St			Oregon St	Transportation Plan	CalTrans	RRFB	\$ 208,000.00	\$ 84,000.00	\$ 146,000.00
Dorris	Crossing		Add high visibility continental crosswalk markings on all four corners of the intersection of W 1st St and Butte St. Extend the curbs on all four corners to reduce the crossing distance.	W 1st St			Butte St	Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	Siskiyou County		\$ 388,000.00	\$ 154,000.00	\$ 271,000.00
Dorris	Crossing	C187	Caltrans recommends: Fix Existing Crosswalk (North and South legs)					CalTrans	CalTrans		\$ 48,000.00	\$ 24,000.00	\$ 36,000.00
Dorris	Crossing	C188	Caltrans recommends: Fix Existing Crosswalk (East and West legs)					CalTrans	CalTrans		\$ 48,000.00	\$ 24,000.00	\$ 36,000.00
Dorris	Crossing	C189	Caltrans recommends: Fix Existing Crosswalk (North and West legs).					CalTrans	CalTrans		\$ 48,000.00	\$ 24,000.00	\$ 36,000.00
Dorris	Crossing	C190	Caltrans recommends: Fix Existing Crosswalk					CalTrans	CalTrans		\$ 31,000.00	\$ 15,500.00	\$ 23,250.00
Dorris	Crossing	C191	Caltrans recommends: Fix Existing Crosswalk					CalTrans	CalTrans		\$ 31,000.00	\$ 15,500.00	\$ 23,250.00
Dorris	Sidewalk		Construct a sidewalk on the east side of Butte St between W 1st St and Hwy 97/Main St.	Butte St	W 1st St	Hwy 97/Main St		Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	Dorris		\$ 387,482.40	\$ 253,654.93	\$ 320,568.67
Dorris	Sidewalk		Construct a sidewalk on the north side of W 1st St between Main St and Butte St.	W 1st St	Main St	Butte St		Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	CalTrans		\$ 215,995.00	\$ 139,330.02	\$ 177,662.51
Dorris	Sidewalk	SW33	Construct a sidewalk on both sides of Portland St between Picard Rd and 3rd St	Portland St	Picard Rd	3rd St		Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	Dorris	both sides	\$ 510,545.50	\$ 331,030.30	\$ 420,787.90
Dorris	Sidewalk		Construct a sidewalk on the south side of Hwy 97 between Railroad Ave and Pine St.	Hwy 97/Main St	Railroad Ave	Pine St		Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	CalTrans		\$ 126,236.30	\$ 79,490.87	\$ 102,863.58
Dorris	Sidewalk	SW65	Construct a sidewalk on both sides of W 2nd St between Portland St and Main St.	W 2nd St	Portland St	Hwy 97/Main St		Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	Dorris	both sides	\$ 737,818.20	\$ 482,545.45	\$ 610,181.83

	1	1		1	ı	1	1	1	1	Connect to existing	I	T	
			Infill sidewalk gaps on the east/south side of Hwy 97/Main St between					Siskiyou County Active		sidewalks; extents are			
Dorris	Sidewalk	SW67	Butte St and Railroad Ave (west of Oregon St and North of 4th St).	Hwy 97/Main St	Butte St	Railroad Ave		Transportation Plan	CalTrans	approximate	\$ 257,999.90	\$ 167,333.27	\$ 212,666.59
	Street Spot		Study the potential for a roundabout at the intersection of 1 St and					Siskiyou County Active					
Dorris	·	SP23	, ,	W 1st St			Hwy 97/Main St	Transportation Plan	CalTrans		\$ 20,000.00	\$ 3,000.00	\$ 11,500.00
			-					Dunsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Bike	B275	Project #1: Mott Road and Dunsmuir Avenue. Class 3 Bikeway			_		Transportation Plan Dunsmuir Active	Dunsmuir		\$ 166,184.70	\$ 76,700.61	\$ 121,442.66
Dunsmuir	Bike	B276	Project #1: Mott Road and Dunsmuir Avenue. Class 3 Bikeway					Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 149,357.00	\$ 68,933.98	\$ 109,145.49
								Dunsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Bike	B277	Class 3 Bikeway		<u> </u>			Transportation Plan Dunsmuir Active	Dunsmuir		\$ 1,145,509.50	\$ 528,696.72	\$ 837,103.11
Dunsmuir	Bike	B278	Class 3 Bikeway					Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 82,187.60	\$ 37,932.72	\$ 60,060.16
								Dunsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Bike	B279	Class 3 Bikeway		1	+		Transportation Plan Dunsmuir Active	Dunsmuir		\$ 472,787.30	\$ 218,209.51	\$ 345,498.41
Dunsmuir	Bike	B280	Class 2B Bikeway					Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 184,422.00	\$ 35,694.57	\$ 110,058.29
								Dunsmuir Active				1.	
Dunsmuir	Bike	B281	Project #2: Dunsmuir Avenue. Class 5 Bikeway			_		Transportation Plan Dunsmuir Active	Dunsmuir		\$ 156,251.10	\$ 34,416.54	\$ 95,333.82
Dunsmuir	Bike	B283	Project #2: Dunsmuir Avenue. Class 3 Bikeway					Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 135,841.00	\$ 62,695.85	\$ 99,268.42
								Dunsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Bike	B284	Class 4B Bikeway		<u> </u>			Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 140,061.90	\$ 30,850.63	\$ 85,456.27
Dunsmuir	Bike	B285	Class 3 Bikeway					Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 613,805.00	\$ 283,294.61	\$ 448,549.80
								Dunsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Bike	B286	Class 3 Bikeway		ļ			Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 653,093.60	\$ 301,427.80	\$ 477,260.70
Dunsmuir	Bike	B287	Class 5 Bikeway					Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 96,483.40	\$ 21,251.84	\$ 58,867.62
								Dunsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Bike	B288	Class 3 Bikeway					Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 279,873.00	\$ 129,172.17	\$ 204,522.58
Dunsmuir	Bike	B290	Project #4: Willow Loop. Class 5 Bikeway					Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 94,723.60	\$ 20,864.23	\$ 57,793.91
		5230	and the second s					Dunsmuir Active			7		7 0.7,00.0
Dunsmuir	Bike	B292	Class 3 Bikeway					Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 61,765.70	\$ 28,507.27	\$ 45,136.48
Dunsmuir	Bike	B293	Project #1: Mott Road and Dunsmuir Avenue. Class 5 Bikeway					Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 64,282.00	\$ 14,159.04	\$ 39,220.52
		5233	,					Dunsmuir Active			7 7-2-11		
Dunsmuir	Bike	B295	Project #4: Willow Loop. Class 5 Bikeway					Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 125,305.10	\$ 27,600.23	\$ 76,452.67
Dunsmuir	Bike	B298	Class 3 Bikeway					Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 254,890.50	\$ 117,641.78	\$ 186,266.14
		5250						Dunsmuir Active			,,,,,,,,		
Dunsmuir	Bike	B300	Class 3 Bikeway					Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 233,923.80	\$ 107,964.84	\$ 170,944.32
Dunsmuir	Bike	B301	Class 3 Bikeway					Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 30,943.60	\$ 14,281.64	\$ 22,612.62
		5501						Dunsmuir Active					7 ==,0==10
Dunsmuir	Bike	B302	Class 3 Bikeway					Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 1,544,550.70	\$ 712,869.55	\$ 1,128,710.13
Dunsmuir	Bike	B303	Class 2 Bikeway					Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 153,984.20	\$ 29,803.39	\$ 91,893.79
		5505						Dunsmuir Active					, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Dunsmuir	Bike	B304	Class 3 Bikeway					Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 202,492.60	\$ 93,458.12	\$ 147,975.36
Dunsmuir	Bike	B305	Class 3 Bikeway					Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 106,461.20	\$ 49,135.93	\$ 77,798.56
	***	2303		<u> </u>	1	†		Dunsmuir Active		1	, 100,101.20	, .5,255.55	
Dunsmuir	Bike	B308	Class 3 Bikeway					Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 53,841.10	\$ 24,849.73	\$ 39,345.42
Dunsmuir	Bike	B309	Class 3 Bikeway	1				Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 207,935.00	\$ 95,970.00	\$ 151,952.50
	-		/	1		1		Dunsmuir Active	1 2 2	1			
Dunsmuir	Crossing	C148	High-vis crosswalks		ļ			Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 80,000.00	\$ 40,000.00	\$ 60,000.00
Dunsmuir	Crossing	C149	High-vis crosswalks					Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 3,000.00	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 2,250.00
		01-10	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1	†		Dunsmuir Active		1	, 5,300.00	, 2,550.00	, 2,250.00
Dunsmuir	Crossing	C150	High-vis crosswalks					Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 3,000.00	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 2,250.00
Dunsmuir	Crossing	C151	High-vis crosswalks	1				Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 3,000.00	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 2,250.00
		0101	<u> </u>	 		†				1	, 3,550.00	, 1,555.00	2,250.00
			Consider adding electric vehicle charging at the Hedgecreek Falls	.				Siskiyou County Active	<u>_</u> .	1			
Dunsmuir	EV Charging	EV70	trailhead.	N/A		ļ		Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 100,000.00	\$ 100,000.00	\$ 100,000.00

					I							
Dunamuir	Sidewalk		Construct a sidewalk on the Little League Ball Park Road from Dunsmuir Ave to the ball field.	Little League Ball Park Road	Dunsmuir Ave	Dall Dark	Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	Dunamuir	ė	538,542.10	Ć 254.261.20	¢ 446.451.74
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW58	Durishidir Ave to the ball field.	Park Roau	Dunsmuir Ave	Dali Park	Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	536,542.10	\$ 354,361.39	\$ 446,451.74
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW310	Sidewalk				Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan	Siskiyou County	¢	887,444.30	\$ 591,629.52	\$ 739,536.91
Dulisiliuli	Sidewalk	2M310	Sidewalk	1			Transportation Fian	Siskiyou county	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	667,444.30	3 331,023.32	755,330.51
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW311	Sidewalk				Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	¢	16,409.40	\$ 10,939.62	\$ 13,674.51
Dansman	Sidewalk	300311	Sidewalk	1			Transportation rian	Dulismun	· ·	10,403.40	10,555.02	3,074.31
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW312	Sidewalk				Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	ς.	16,874.10	\$ 11,249.37	\$ 14,061.73
Dansman	Sidewank	3W312	Jidewalik					Bullisman	· ·	10,074.10	11,243.37	14,001.73
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW313	Sidewalk				Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	\$	41,100.80	\$ 27,400.56	\$ 34,250.68
<u> </u>	orac ware	3W313	o de contain.					2 ansman	· · ·	.1,100.00	27,100,50	\$ 1,250.00
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW314	Sidewalk				Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	Ś	11,888.70	\$ 7,925.79	\$ 9,907.25
		0.031							,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW315	Sidewalk				Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	Ś	23,195.20	\$ 15,463.48	\$ 19,329.34
										,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW316	Sidewalk				Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	\$	48,314.50	\$ 32,209.65	\$ 40,262.08
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW317	Sidewalk				Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	\$	535,345.70	\$ 356,897.12	\$ 446,121.41
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW318	Sidewalk				Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	\$	248,004.00	\$ 165,335.98	\$ 206,669.99
							B ! A					
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW319	Sidewalk				Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	\$	190,372.40	\$ 126,914.92	\$ 158,643.66
							Dura annuin A etiua					
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW320	Sidewalk				Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	\$	48,053.30	\$ 32,035.52	\$ 40,044.41
							Dunsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW321	Sidewalk				Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	\$	47,942.90	\$ 31,961.93	\$ 39,952.41
							Dunsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW322	Sidewalk				Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	\$	90,671.50	\$ 60,447.67	\$ 75,559.58
							Dunsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW323	Sidewalk				Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	\$	88,602.20	\$ 59,068.16	\$ 73,835.18
							Dunsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW324	Sidewalk				Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	\$	25,093.60	\$ 16,729.04	\$ 20,911.32
							Dunsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW325	Sidewalk				Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	\$	190,951.20	\$ 127,300.77	\$ 159,125.99
							Dunsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW326	Sidewalk				Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	\$	17,366.30	\$ 11,577.52	\$ 14,471.91
							Dunsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW327	Sidewalk				Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	\$	85,249.40	\$ 56,832.95	\$ 71,041.17
							Dunsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW328	Sidewalk				Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	\$	76,902.80	\$ 51,268.55	\$ 64,085.68
							Dunsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW329	Sidewalk				Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	\$	38,354.60	\$ 25,569.76	\$ 31,962.18
							Dunsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW330	Sidewalk				Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	\$	44,145.00	\$ 29,430.01	\$ 36,787.50
							Dunsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW331	Sidewalk				Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	\$	53,214.30	\$ 35,476.19	\$ 44,345.25

						I		T	1		1	1
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW332	Sidewalk				Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 55,944.80	\$ 37,296.51	\$ 46,620.65
Jansina.	J. G.	344332					Dunsmuir Active	- Canada		95,51.1100	Ç 37,230.01	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW333	Sidewalk				Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 22,896.80	\$ 15,264.56	\$ 19,080.68
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW334	Sidewalk				Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 178,535.90	\$ 119,023.96	\$ 148,779.93
Durismun	Sidewalk	300334	Sidework				•	Dulismuii		7 170,555.50	Ţ 115,025.30	Ţ 140,773.33
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW335	Sidewalk				Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 41,206.60	\$ 27,471.08	\$ 34,338.84
Dunamuir	Cidoualk	SIA 22 C	Sidovalle				Dunsmuir Active	Dunemuir		\$ 55,417.80	\$ 36,945.23	\$ 46,181.52
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW336	Sidewalk				Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 55,417.60	\$ 30,945.23	\$ 46,181.32
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW337	Sidewalk				Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 79,739.20	\$ 53,159.47	\$ 66,449.33
							Dunsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW338	Sidewalk		+		Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 78,469.30	\$ 52,312.86	\$ 65,391.08
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW339	Sidewalk				Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 34,492.90	\$ 22,995.25	\$ 28,744.07
							Dunsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW340	Sidewalk				Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 88,585.50	\$ 59,056.97	\$ 73,821.24
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW341	Sidewalk				Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 100,687.10	\$ 67,124.72	\$ 83,905.91
							Dunsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW342	Sidewalk				Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 24,191.40	\$ 16,127.58	\$ 20,159.49
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW343	Sidewalk				Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 30,138.90	\$ 20,092.63	\$ 25,115.76
							Dunsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW344	Sidewalk				Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 49,105.20	\$ 32,736.82	\$ 40,921.01
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW345	Sidewalk				Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 45,906.90	\$ 30,604.60	\$ 38,255.75
							Dunsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW346	Sidewalk				Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 32,269.30	\$ 21,512.90	\$ 26,891.10
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW347	Sidewalk				Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 33,353.90	\$ 22,235.92	\$ 27,794.91
		311317					Dunsmuir Active			,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, , , , ,
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW348	Sidewalk				Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 22,870.90	\$ 15,247.30	\$ 19,059.10
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW349	Sidewalk				Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 63,345.10	\$ 42,230.06	\$ 52,787.58
Dunismun	Sidewalk	300349	Siderian				Dunsmuir Active	Dunisman		y 03,343.10	7 42,230.00	32,767.30
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW350	Sidewalk				Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 95,387.50	\$ 63,591.69	\$ 79,489.60
Dunamiir	Sidowalk	CM254	Sidovalk				Dunsmuir Active	Duncmuir		ć 224 F07 40	244 204 54	\$ 367,000.50
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW351	Sidewalk	+	+		Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 321,587.40	\$ 214,391.61	\$ 267,989.50
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW352	Sidewalk				Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 309,806.80	\$ 206,537.85	\$ 258,172.33
							Dunsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW353	Sidewalk	+	+		Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 214,233.40	\$ 142,822.26	\$ 178,527.83
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW354	Sidewalk				Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 79,272.70	\$ 52,848.49	\$ 66,060.59

			1						•	
						Dunsmuir Active				
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW355	Sidewalk		1	Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	\$ 31,370.20	\$ 20,913.47	\$ 26,141.83
B	Cid		State all			Dunsmuir Active		20,400,20	40.450.00	24 222 50
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW356	Sidewalk			Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	\$ 29,188.20	\$ 19,458.80	\$ 24,323.50
						Dunsmuir Active				
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW357	Sidewalk		1	Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	\$ 123,152.60	\$ 82,101.76	\$ 102,627.18
<u>.</u> .	6.1					Dunsmuir Active		4	405.070.47	
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW358	Sidewalk			Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	\$ 293,809.80	\$ 195,873.17	\$ 244,841.48
						Dunsmuir Active				
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW359	Sidewalk		1	Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	\$ 292,490.80	\$ 194,993.88	\$ 243,742.34
Dunamuir	Cidowalk	C14/2/C0	Cidovalle			Dunsmuir Active	Dunamuir	\$ 45,580.40	\$ 30,386.94	÷ 27,092,67
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW360	Sidewalk			Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	43,360.40	30,360.34	\$ 37,983.67
					l I	Dunsmuir Active				
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW361	Sidewalk			Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	\$ 42,138.20	\$ 28,092.11	\$ 35,115.16
						B ! . A!! .				
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW362	Sidewalk			Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	\$ 32,246.20	\$ 21,497.44	\$ 26,871.82
24.15.114.11	- Indentant	347302	l l			portation rian	- Canada	52,210.20	22,137111	25,67,1:02
					 	Dunsmuir Active				
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW363	Sidewalk			Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	\$ 27,144.40	\$ 18,096.30	\$ 22,620.35
						Duncmuir Activo				
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW364	Sidewalk			Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	\$ 144,792.00	\$ 96,528.02	\$ 120,660.01
								, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		,
						Dunsmuir Active				
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW365	Sidewalk			Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	\$ 202,184.70	\$ 134,789.80	\$ 168,487.25
						Dunsmuir Active				
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW366	Sidewalk		1	Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	\$ 77,162.70	\$ 51,441.81	\$ 64,302.26
						•				
	6.1. "				1	Dunsmuir Active		4		
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW367	Sidewalk			Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	\$ 84,808.00	\$ 56,538.64	\$ 70,673.32
						Dunsmuir Active				
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW368	Sidewalk			Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	\$ 61,331.50	\$ 40,887.68	\$ 51,109.59
Dunemuir	Sidewalk	CM/2C0	Sidewalk			Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan	Dunemuir	\$ 50,054.40	\$ 33,369.61	\$ 41,712.00
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW369	Sidewalk			Transportation Fian	Dunsmuir	30,034.40	33,309.01	3 41,712.00
					l I	Dunsmuir Active				
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW370	Sidewalk			Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	\$ 182,109.90	\$ 121,406.61	\$ 151,758.26
_		1			Ι	Duncmuir Activo				
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW371	Sidewalk		1	Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	\$ 159,034.10	\$ 106,022.74	\$ 132,528.42
		3,,,,						, 100,004.10	, 200,022.74	, 102,020.42
	I					Dunsmuir Active			1.	<u> </u>
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW372	Sidewalk			Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	\$ 110,551.30	\$ 73,700.86	\$ 92,126.08
1		1			[Dunsmuir Active				
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW373	Sidewalk			Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	\$ 113,096.40	\$ 75,397.61	\$ 94,247.00
	1					•				
	G: d: "	L	State all			Dunsmuir Active				
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW374	Sidewalk			Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	\$ 64,521.70	\$ 43,014.47	\$ 53,768.09
					[Dunsmuir Active				
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW375	Sidewalk			Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	\$ 36,678.50	\$ 24,452.34	\$ 30,565.42
		1								
D	C: da - W		Cide		1	Dunsmuir Active	D	Å 00.000 ==		
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW376	Sidewalk			Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	\$ 36,663.00	\$ 24,442.01	\$ 30,552.51
1		1				Dunsmuir Active				
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW377	Sidewalk			Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	\$ 35,378.90	\$ 23,585.93	\$ 29,482.41

December Second Control Cont		1		T	1					_	T
Part	Duncmuir	Sidowalk	C14/270	Sidowalk				Dunemuir	¢ 26.062.20	\$ 24.042.22	¢ 20.052.77
Mark Symbol Sym	Dunsmun	Sidewalk	5W378	Sidewalk				Dulisiliuli	50,005.50	\$ 24,042.23	3 30,032.77
Property	Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW379	Sidewalk				Dunsmuir	\$ 31,272.00	\$ 20,848.01	\$ 26,060.00
No.		L									
Section Sect	Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW380	Sidewalk			Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	\$ 12,705.80	\$ 8,470.53	\$ 10,588.16
Second S	Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW381	Sidewalk				Dunsmuir	\$ 33,399.70	\$ 22,266.49	\$ 27,833.10
Description Second 1995 Second Description Second Description Second							Dunsmuir Active				
Comment Comm	Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW382	Sidewalk		-	Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	\$ 124,004.90	\$ 82,669.96	\$ 103,337.43
Description Secretary Se	Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW383	Sidewalk				Dunsmuir	\$ 136.617.50	\$ 91.078.31	\$ 113.847.91
Communication Communicatio			5.1.555						,		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Procure Control Cont	Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW384	Sidewalk				Dunsmuir	\$ 432,486.00	\$ 288,323.98	\$ 360,404.99
Dumple Schedul Childs Schedul Dumple Schedul Dumple Schedul	Domento	C:daall.		Cidamalli.				Duranania	ć 150 C77 50	100 451 60	425 564 60
Processing Street	Dunsmun	Sidewalk	SW385	Sidewalk				Dunsmun	\$ 150,677.50	\$ 100,451.09	\$ 125,504.00
Stewark Stew	Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW386	Sidewalk				Dunsmuir	\$ 89,215.20	\$ 59,476.79	\$ 74,345.99
Description Sidewalk Skidewalk Skidewalk Sidewalk Side							Dunsmuir Active				
December Selevals Wy38 Selevals Transportation Plan December December Selevals Wy38 Selevals December Selevals Wy38 Selevals December December Selevals Wy38 Selevals December December Selevals Wy39 Selevals December December Selevals Wy39 Selevals December	Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW387	Sidewalk		-	Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	\$ 162,425.70	\$ 108,283.77	\$ 135,354.73
Dustmurk Selevalk Systys Sievalk Transportation Plan Dustmurk S 140,505,50 S 29,889,67 S 124,873,08	Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW388	Sidewalk				Dunsmuir	\$ 149,927.50	\$ 99,951.69	\$ 124,939.60
Dustmurk Selevalk Systys Sievalk Transportation Plan Dustmurk S 140,505,50 S 29,889,67 S 124,873,08							Dunsmuir Active				
Dursmuir Sidewalk Sysyst Sidewalk Sy	Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW389	Sidewalk				Dunsmuir	\$ 149,504.50	\$ 99,669.67	\$ 124,587.08
Dursmuit Sidewalk Suy391 Sidewalk Sidewalk Dursmuit Sidewalk Suy392 Sidewalk Dursmuit Sidewalk Suy393 Sidewalk Dursmuit Sidewalk Suy394 Sidewalk Sidewalk Suy395 Sidewalk	Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	2/1/300	Sidewalk				Dunsmuir	\$ 184 674 50	\$ 123 116 35	\$ 153,895,42
Dursmuir Sidevalik Sy392 Sidevalik Sy392 Sidevalik Sy392 Sidevalik Sy392 Sidevalik Sy393 Sidevalik S	Jansa.	J. J	3W350	- Indiana in the second in the				S anomaii	20,707,1130	¥ 113,113.63	255,655.12
Dunsmuir Sidewalk Sw392 Sidewalk Transportation Plan Dunsmuir S 232,048.60 S 154,699.07 S 193,373.84	Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW391	Sidewalk				Dunsmuir	\$ 210,196.20	\$ 140,130.79	\$ 175,163.49
Dunsmuir Sidewalk SW393 Sidewalk SW394 Sidewalk Sidewalk SW395 Sidewalk SW396 Sidewalk SW396 Sidewalk SW397 Sidewalk SW397 Sidewalk SW397 Sidewalk SW398 Sidewalk SW398 Sidewalk SW399 Sidewalk				"					4	45.4500.00	400.070.01
Dunsmuir Sidewalk Sw393 Sidewalk Sw394 Sidewalk Sw395 Sidewalk Sw396	Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW392	Sidewalk				Dunsmuir	\$ 232,048.60	\$ 154,699.07	\$ 193,373.84
Dunsmuir Sidewalk Sw394 Sidewalk Sw395 Sidewalk Sw395 Sidewalk Sw396 Sidewalk Sw397 Sidewalk Sw397 Sidewalk Sw397 Sidewalk Sw398 Sidewalk Sw398 Sidewalk Sw398 Sidewalk Sw398 Sidewalk Sw398 Sidewalk Sw399	Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW393	Sidewalk				Dunsmuir	\$ 91,931.00	\$ 61,287.30	\$ 76,609.15
Dunsmuir Sidewalk SW395 Sidewalk SW395 Sidewalk Sw396 Sidewalk Sw396 Sidewalk Sw396 Sidewalk Sw396 Sidewalk Sw397 Sidewalk Sw398 Sidewalk Sw398 Sidewalk Sw398 Sidewalk Sw399 Sw399 Sw3999							Dunsmuir Active				
Dunsmuir Sidewalk Sw395 Sidewalk Sw396 Sidewalk Sidewalk Sw396 Sidewalk Sw396 Sidewalk Sw396 Sidewalk Sw396 Sidewalk Sw397 Sidewalk Sw397 Sidewalk Sw398 Sidewalk Sw397 Sidewalk Sw398 Sidewalk Sw399 Sidewalk	Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW394	Sidewalk			Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	\$ 335,480.30	\$ 223,653.52	\$ 279,566.91
Dunsmuir Sidewalk Sw396 Sidewalk Sw396 Sidewalk Sidewalk Sw397 Sidewalk Sw397 Sidewalk Sw397 Sidewalk Sw398 Sidewalk Sw398 Sidewalk Sw399 Sidewalk	Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW395	Sidewalk				Dunsmuir	\$ 409,114.10	\$ 272,742.76	\$ 340,928.43
Dunsmuir Sidewalk SW396 Sidewalk SW396 Sidewalk SW396 Sidewalk SW397 Sidewalk SW397 Sidewalk SW397 Sidewalk SW398 Sidewalk SW399 Sidewalk SW3							·		· · · · · ·		· · · · · ·
Dunsmuir Sidewalk SW397 Sidewalk SW397 Sidewalk SW398 Sidewalk SW398 Sidewalk SW398 Sidewalk SW399 Sidewalk SW3	Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW396	Sidewalk				Dunsmuir	\$ 24,640.80	\$ 16,427.17	\$ 20,533.99
Dunsmuir Sidewalk SW398 Sidewalk SW398 Sidewalk SW399 Sidewalk SW3	Dunemuir	Sidowalk	CW/207	Sidowalk		I I		Dunemuir	¢ 26 626 70	¢ 47.757.70	\$ 22.407.24
Dunsmuir Sidewalk Sw398 Sidewalk Sw398 Sidewalk Sw398 Sidewalk Sw398 Sidewalk Sw398 Sidewalk Sw399 Sidewalk Sw3	DUIISIIIUII	SideWdik	5W39/	Jucewalk				Dansmall	20,030./0	7 17,/5/./8	22,197.24
Dunsmuir Sidewalk Sw399 Sidewalk \$ 872,959.40 \$ 581,972.95 \$ 727,466.18 Dunsmuir Dunsmuir Active Dunsm	Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW398	Sidewalk				Dunsmuir	\$ 263,800.00	\$ 175,866.69	\$ 219,833.35
Dunsmuir Active											
	Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW399	Sidewalk			Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	\$ 872,959.40	\$ 581,972.95	\$ 727,466.18
	Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW400	Sidewalk				Dunsmuir	\$ 548,763.60	\$ 365,842.43	\$ 457,303.02

Description				1				1			
							Dunsmuir Active				
Description Section	Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW401	Sidewalk		1		Dunsmuir	\$ 103,567.10	\$ 69,044.71	\$ 86,305.91
Description Security Securi											
Number Street S	B	C. I II		State all					Ċ 200 244 00	245 544 00	200.020.24
	Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW402	Sidewalk			Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	\$ 368,311.60	\$ 245,541.08	\$ 306,926.34
							Dunsmuir Active				
Secret S	Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW403	Sidewalk		1		Dunsmuir	\$ 301,913.60	\$ 201,275.74	\$ 251,594.67
Secret S											
Part	Duncmuir	Cidowalk	C14/404	Cidovalle				Dunamuir	¢ 50,000.70	c 20.022.70	6 40.017.24
Description	Dunsmun	Sidewalk	SW404	Sidewalk			Transportation Plan	Dunsmun	\$ 59,900.70	\$ 39,933.79	\$ 49,917.24
Descript Security							Dunsmuir Active				
	Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW405	Sidewalk		1	Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	\$ 123,831.00	\$ 82,553.98	\$ 103,192.49
Description Secretar Secret	Dunemuir	Sidowalk	SWADE	Sidewalk				Dunemuir	\$ 40.351.10	\$ 22,000.76	¢ 41 125 02
	Darisman	Sidewalk	34400	Sidewalk			Transportation rian	Dulisiliuli	45,551.10	32,300.70	71,123.33
Description Steady Stead							Dunsmuir Active				
Description Selection Se	Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW407	Sidewalk			Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	\$ 129,467.00	\$ 86,311.31	\$ 107,889.15
December							Dunamuir Activo				
Description Colorada Description Color	Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW/408	Sidewalk				Dunsmuir	\$ 77.371.10	\$ 51.580.77	\$ 64,475,93
Description Seconds Description Description Proceedings Description Desc			311400						*,		, , , , , , ,
Description Selection Se											
Description Stevals Wat Stevals Stev	Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW409	Sidewalk			Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	\$ 42,384.00	\$ 28,255.97	\$ 35,319.99
Description Stevals Wat Stevals Stev							Dunsmuir Active				
Dutermark Sidewalk	Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW410	Sidewalk				Dunsmuir	\$ 259,825.00	\$ 173,216.67	\$ 216,520.84
Description Sidewalk Swill Sw							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·	,	,
Dursmin Sidewalk Sw412 Sidewalk Sw422 Sidewalk Dursmin Sidewalk Sw412 Sidewalk Sw423											1.
Description Sidewalk Switz	Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW411	Sidewalk			Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	\$ 170,138.10	\$ 113,425.41	\$ 141,781.76
Description Sidewalk See							Dunsmuir Active				
Dursmuli Sidewalk Syk(13 Sidewalk Syk(13 Sidewalk Sidewalk Syk(13 Sidewalk Side	Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW412	Sidewalk		1		Dunsmuir	\$ 43,805.20	\$ 29,203.45	\$ 36,504.32
Dursmuir Sidewalk SW414 Sidewalk SW414 Sidewalk SW414 Sidewalk SW414 Sidewalk SW414 Sidewalk SW415 Sidewalk SW416 Sidewalk SW416 Sidewalk SW416 Sidewalk SW416 Sidewalk SW416 Sidewalk SW416 Sidewalk SW417 Sidewalk SW417 Sidewalk SW417 Sidewalk SW417 Sidewalk SW417 Sidewalk SW417 Sidewalk SW418 Sidewalk SW419											
Dursmuir Sidewalk Sy422 Sidewalk Sy422 Sidewalk Sidewalk Sy422 Sidewalk Sy423 Sidewalk Sy424 Sidewalk Sy424 Sidewalk Sy425 Sidewalk	D	Cidaall.		Cideell.		1		Domestic	ć 150 501 40	¢ 105.007.01	122 004 50
Dunsmuir Sidewalk SW412 Sidewalk SW413 Sidewalk SW415 Sidewalk SW415 Sidewalk SW415 Sidewalk SW415 Sidewalk SW416 Sidewalk SW416 Sidewalk SW416 Sidewalk SW416 Sidewalk SW416 Sidewalk SW417 Sidewalk Sidewalk SW417 Sidewalk Sidewalk SW418 Sidewalk SW419 Sidewalk SW420 Sidewalk SW421 Sidewalk SW421 Sidewalk SW422	Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW413	Sidewalk			Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	\$ 158,501.40	\$ 105,667.61	\$ 132,084.50
Dunsmuir Sidewalk Swells Swell							Dunsmuir Active				
Dunsmuir Sidewalk SW415 Sidewalk SW415 Sidewalk Sidewalk SW416 Sidewalk SW416 Sidewalk SW416 Sidewalk SW417 Sidewalk SW417 Sidewalk SW417 Sidewalk SW418 Sidewalk SW419 Sidewalk SW420 Sidewalk SW420 Sidewalk SW420 Sidewalk SW421 Sidewalk SW422 Sidewalk	Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW414	Sidewalk			Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	\$ 197,201.60	\$ 131,467.76	\$ 164,334.68
Dunsmuir Sidewalk Sw415 Sidewalk Sw415 Sidewalk Sidewalk Sw416 Sidewalk Sw416 Sidewalk Sw416 Sidewalk Sw417 Sidewalk Sw417 Sidewalk Sw417 Sidewalk Sw418 Sidewalk Sw417 Sidewalk Sw418 Sidewalk Sw419 Sidewalk Sw420 Sidewalk Sw421 Sidewalk Sw422 Sidewalk Sw422 Sidewalk Sw421 Sidewalk Sw421 Sidewalk Sw422 Sidewalk											
Dunsmuir Sidewalk SW416 Sidewalk SW416 Sidewalk SW417 Sidewalk SW417 Sidewalk SW418 Sidewalk SW418 Sidewalk SW419	Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	CMA1E	Sidewalk				Dunsmuir	\$ 517 593 00	\$ 3/5 061 99	\$ 431 327 49
Dunsmuir Sidewalk SW416 Sidewalk SW417 Sidewalk Sidewalk SW417 Sidewalk SW417 Sidewalk SW417 Sidewalk SW418 Sidewalk SW419 Sidewalk SW421 Sidewalk SW419 SW419 SW419 SW419 SW4	Darisman	Sidewalk	3W413	Sidewalk			Transportation rian	Dulisiliuli	317,333.00	343,001.33	7 431,327.43
Dunsmuir Sidewalk SW417 Sidewalk SW418 Sidewalk SW418 Sidewalk SW419 Sidewalk SW410 SW						l l	Dunsmuir Active				
Dunsmuir Sidewalk SW418 Sidewalk SW419 Sidewalk SW410	Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW416	Sidewalk			Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	\$ 16,793.70	\$ 11,195.81	\$ 13,994.76
Dunsmuir Sidewalk SW418 Sidewalk SW419 Sidewalk SW410							Duncmuir Activo				
Dunsmuir Sidewalk SW418 Sidewalk SW418 Sidewalk SW419 Sidewalk SW419 Sidewalk SW419 Sidewalk SW420 Sidewalk SW420 Sidewalk SW421 Sidewalk SW421 Sidewalk SW421 Sidewalk SW421 Sidewalk SW421 Sidewalk SW422 Sidewalk SW422 Sidewalk SW422 Sidewalk SW422 Sidewalk SW421 Sidewalk SW422 Sidewalk SW422 Sidewalk SW422 Sidewalk SW421 Sidewalk SW422 Sidewalk Sidewalk SW422 Sidewalk SW423 Sidewalk SW424 Sidewalk SW424 Sidewalk SW424 Sidewalk SW424 Sidewalk SW425 Sidewalk SW425 Sidewalk SW426 Sidewalk SW427 Sidewalk SW427 Sidewalk SW427 Sidewalk SW428 Sidewalk SW428 Sidewalk SW429 Sidewalk SW420 Sidewalk SW421 Sidewalk SW420 Sidewalk SW421 Sidewalk SW422 Sidewalk SW423 Sidewalk SW424 Sidewalk	Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW417	Sidewalk		1		Dunsmuir	\$ 197.208.00	\$ 131.472.03	\$ 164.340.01
Dunsmuir Sidewalk Sw418 Sidewalk Sidewalk Sw418 Sidewalk Sw419 Sidewalk Sw410 S		1	1								1,1,1,1,1
Dunsmuir Sidewalk SW419 Sidewalk SW420 Sidewalk SW420 Sidewalk SW421 Sidewalk SW421 Sidewalk SW421 Sidewalk SW422 Sidewalk SW4	<u>_</u> .	<u></u>	1					<u>_</u> .			<u> </u>
Dunsmuir Sidewalk SW419 Sidewalk SW419 Sidewalk SW420 Sidewalk SW420 Sidewalk SW421 Sidewalk SW421 Sidewalk SW422	Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW418	Sidewalk			ransportation Plan	Dunsmuir	\$ 347,645.40	\$ 231,763.58	\$ 289,704.49
Dunsmuir Sidewalk SW419 Sidewalk SW419 Sidewalk SW420 Sidewalk SW420 Sidewalk SW421 Sidewalk SW421 Sidewalk SW422	1	1	1			[Dunsmuir Active				
Dunsmuir Sidewalk SW420 Sidewalk SW421 Sidewalk SW421 Sidewalk SW421 Sidewalk SW422 Sidewalk SW4	Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW419	Sidewalk				Dunsmuir	\$ 578,174.00	\$ 385,449.33	\$ 481,811.67
Dunsmuir Sidewalk SW420 Sidewalk SW421 Sidewalk SW421 Sidewalk SW421 Sidewalk SW422 Sidewalk SW4											
Dunsmuir Sidewalk SW421 Sidewalk SW421 Sidewalk SW421 Sidewalk SW421 Sidewalk SW421 Sidewalk SW422 Sidewalk SW4	Dunemiir	Sidowalls	C)W432	Sidowalk		1		Duncmuir	¢ 110 020 CO	¢ 72,000,27	6 02 257 00
Dunsmuir Sidewalk SW421 Sidewalk SW421 Sidewalk SW421 Sidewalk SW421 Sidewalk SW422 Sidewalk SW4	Dunsmuif	Sidewalk	SW420	Siuewaik			iransportation Plan	Dunsmuir	۶ 110,829.60	۶ /3,88b.3/	<i>γ</i> 92,357.99
Dunsmuir Sidewalk SW421 Sidewalk SW421 Sidewalk SW421 Sidewalk SW421 Sidewalk SW422 Sidewalk SW4	1	1	1				Dunsmuir Active				
Dunsmuir Sidewalk SW422 Sidewalk Transportation Plan Dunsmuir \$ 264,255.80 \$ 176,170.52 \$ 220,213.16 Dunsmuir Active	Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW421	Sidewalk				Dunsmuir	\$ 228,902.20	\$ 152,601.43	\$ 190,751.82
Dunsmuir Sidewalk SW422 Sidewalk Transportation Plan Dunsmuir \$ 264,255.80 \$ 176,170.52 \$ 220,213.16 Dunsmuir Active							December 4 of 1		 <u> </u>		
Dunsmuir Active	Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SWAZZ	Sidewalk		1		Dunsmuir	\$ 264.255.80	\$ 176 170 52	\$ 220,213,16
	Sunsmun	Sidewalk	344422					2 4113111411	207,233.00	7 170,170.32	220,213.10
Dunsmuir Sidewalk SW423 Sidewalk \$ 138,339.90 \$ 92,226.58 \$ 115,283.24	1	1	1								
	Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW423	Sidewalk			Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	\$ 138,339.90	\$ 92,226.58	\$ 115,283.24

			T					1			1	
							Dunsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW424	Sidewalk				Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 130,322.20	\$ 86,881.44	\$ 108,601.82
B	Cid. III		State all				Dunsmuir Active	D		¢ 22,400,00	45.050.40	40.572.00
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW425	Sidewalk				Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 23,488.80	\$ 15,659.19	\$ 19,573.99
							Dunsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW426	Sidewalk				Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 79,827.50	\$ 53,218.33	\$ 66,522.91
							Dunsmuir Active			4 40 075 00		
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW427	Sidewalk				Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 442,276.90	\$ 294,851.25	\$ 368,564.08
							Dunsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW428	Sidewalk				Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 355,039.30	\$ 236,692.85	\$ 295,866.07
Dunamuir	Cidowalk	C14/420	Cidovalle				Dunsmuir Active	Dunamuir		\$ 225,754.00	\$ 150,502.65	ė 100 120 22
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW429	Sidewalk				Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		223,734.00	\$ 130,302.03	\$ 188,128.32
						I	Dunsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW430	Sidewalk				Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 245,786.70	\$ 163,857.78	\$ 204,822.24
							B ! . A!! .					
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW431	Sidewalk				Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 59,255.90	\$ 39,503.93	\$ 49,379.91
Bansman	Sidewalk	34431	Sidewalk				Transportation rian	Bunsman		33,233.30	y 33,363.33	45,575.51
							Dunsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW432	Sidewalk				Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 54,746.70	\$ 36,497.78	\$ 45,622.24
							Donasa da Antica					
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW433	Sidewalk				Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 181,964.50	\$ 121,309.68	\$ 151,637.09
2 4.13.114.11	- Inderrance	377433	- Sacriain							Ţ 101,50 H.50	Ψ 121/303.00	¥ 151,657.65
							Dunsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW434	Sidewalk				Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 155,207.70	\$ 103,471.80	\$ 129,339.75
							Dunsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW435	Sidewalk				Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 54,209.90	\$ 36,139.92	\$ 45,174.91
							· ·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,	,
							Dunsmuir Active					l
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW436	Sidewalk				Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 105,426.70	\$ 70,284.48	\$ 87,855.59
							Dunsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW437	Sidewalk				Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 115,345.50	\$ 76,896.99	\$ 96,121.24
	Cid. III	L	State all				Dunsmuir Active	D		42.042.00	20.674.67	25.042.22
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW438	Sidewalk				Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 43,012.00	\$ 28,674.67	\$ 35,843.33
							Dunsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW439	Sidewalk				Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 18,085.90	\$ 12,057.27	\$ 15,071.59
							Dominio Acti					
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW440	Sidewalk				Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 21,676.60	\$ 14,451.08	\$ 18,063.84
2	Jo. a C Walk	34440								- 21,070.00	, 17,731.00	7 10,003.04
1		1					Dunsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW441	Sidewalk				Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 70,183.50	\$ 46,788.97	\$ 58,486.24
]	Dunsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW442	Sidewalk				Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 70,091.40	\$ 46,727.59	\$ 58,409.50
	†	1						1			15,7.2.133	25,155.50
1		1					Dunsmuir Active					<u> </u>
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW443	Sidewalk				Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 69,975.30	\$ 46,650.22	\$ 58,312.76
1		1]	Dunsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW444	Sidewalk				Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 68,651.50	\$ 45,767.64	\$ 57,209.57
	1	1					· ·			,		, , , ,
1	1	1					Dunsmuir Active				l.	[,
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW445	Sidewalk				Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 69,634.10	\$ 46,422.71	\$ 58,028.41
							Dunsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW446	Sidewalk				Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 67,252.20	\$ 44,834.83	\$ 56,043.52
1			1	1			•	•		, , , ,	,	,

			T								1	
							Dunsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW447	Sidewalk				Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 68,711.40	\$ 45,807.63	\$ 57,259.52
	6:411	L	State all				Dunsmuir Active	D		Ć 24.400.40	44 220 25	47,000,00
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW448	Sidewalk				Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 21,480.40	\$ 14,320.25	\$ 17,900.33
							Dunsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW449	Sidewalk				Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 22,150.90	\$ 14,767.28	\$ 18,459.09
L .							Dunsmuir Active			4	40	
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW450	Sidewalk				Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 20,605.10	\$ 13,736.70	\$ 17,170.90
							Dunsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW451	Sidewalk				Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 26,829.10	\$ 17,886.04	\$ 22,357.57
Dunamuir	Cidoualle	CIAVATO	Cidovalle				Dunsmuir Active	Dunemuir		\$ 24.770.00	\$ 16,519.34	20.640.17
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW452	Sidewalk				Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 24,779.00	3 10,319.34	\$ 20,649.17
							Dunsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW453	Sidewalk				Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 97,330.30	\$ 64,886.89	\$ 81,108.60
							D '. A.I.'					
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW454	Sidewalk				Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 95,409.20	\$ 63,606.12	\$ 79,507.66
	o.ac.va.ix	34434	l l	+			Transportation Fran	- Jansman		55,165.25	φ 00,000.11	75,567.66
							Dunsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW455	Sidewalk				Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 93,416.00	\$ 62,277.31	\$ 77,846.65
							Dunemuir Activo					
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW456	Sidewalk				Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 93,650.80	\$ 62,433.84	\$ 78,042.32
		011 100								,	, , , , , ,	7,
							Dunsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW457	Sidewalk				Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 93,979.30	\$ 62,652.84	\$ 78,316.07
							Dunsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW458	Sidewalk				Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 20,013.50	\$ 13,342.32	\$ 16,677.91
							·					
							Dunsmuir Active			4 400 000 00		
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW459	Sidewalk				Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 108,839.20	\$ 72,559.46	\$ 90,699.33
							Dunsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW460	Sidewalk				Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 211,796.80	\$ 141,197.89	\$ 176,497.34
Dunamuir	Cidoualle	CINIACA	Sidewalk				Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan	Dunemuir		\$ 93,096.40	\$ 62,064.24	\$ 77,580.32
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW461	Sidewalk				Transportation Flan	Dunsmuir		33,030.40	3 02,004.24	77,580.32
							Dunsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW462	Sidewalk				Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 157,799.50	\$ 105,199.68	\$ 131,499.59
_		1					Dunemuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW463	Sidewalk		1		Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 163,574.70	\$ 109,049.78	\$ 136,312.24
		311703							<u> </u>	, 200,074.70	, 200,0 10.70	, 150,512.24
	l						Dunsmuir Active				1.	[
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW464	Sidewalk				Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 103,648.30	\$ 69,098.88	\$ 86,373.59
1		1			1		Dunsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW465	Sidewalk		1		Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 27,933.00	\$ 18,622.03	\$ 23,277.51
										•		
	C. 1	L	State all				Dunsmuir Active			A		
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW466	Sidewalk				Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 26,803.90	\$ 17,869.27	\$ 22,336.58
							Dunsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW467	Sidewalk				Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 46,408.80	\$ 30,939.18	\$ 38,673.99
		1										
D	C: do -II		Cide				Dunsmuir Active	D			d 20.000 ==	
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW468	Sidewalk		-		Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	 	\$ 58,484.40	\$ 38,989.58	\$ 48,736.99
1		1			1		Dunsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW469	Sidewalk				Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 127,855.10	\$ 85,236.71	\$ 106,545.90
-												

Dunsmuir Sidewalk SW471 Sidewalk SW472 Sidewalk SW472 Sidewalk SW472 Sidewalk SW472 Sidewalk SW473 Sidewalk SW4	18 \$ 26,267.74 06 \$ 25,663.83 23 \$ 19,107.82 12 \$ 17,316.41
Dunsmuir Sidewalk SW470 Sidewalk SW471 Sidewalk SW471 Sidewalk SW472 Sidewalk SW472 Sidewalk SW473 Sidewalk SW4	18 \$ 26,267.74 06 \$ 25,663.83 23 \$ 19,107.82
Dunsmuir Sidewalk SW471 Sidewalk SW472 Sidewalk SW472 Sidewalk SW473 Sidewalk SW4	06 \$ 25,663.83 23 \$ 19,107.82
Dunsmuir Sidewalk SW471 Sidewalk SW472 Sidewalk SW472 Sidewalk SW473 Sidewalk SW4	06 \$ 25,663.83 23 \$ 19,107.82
Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan Dunsmuir Sidewalk SW473 Sidewalk SW473 Sidewalk SW473 Sidewalk SW473 Sidewalk Dunsmuir Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan Dunsmuir Active Dunsmuir Active Dunsmuir Active Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan Dunsmuir Active Dunsmuir Active Dunsmuir Active Dunsmuir Active Dunsmuir Active	06 \$ 25,663.83 23 \$ 19,107.82
Dunsmuir Sidewalk SW472 Sidewalk SW472 Sidewalk SW472 Sidewalk Transportation Plan Dunsmuir \$ 30,796.60 \$ 20,5	23 \$ 19,107.82
Dunsmuir Sidewalk SW473 Sidewalk SW473 Sidewalk Dunsmuir Sidewalk SW473 Sidewalk Dunsmuir \$ 22,929.40 \$ 15,2	23 \$ 19,107.82
Dunsmuir Sidewalk SW473 Sidewalk SW473 Sidewalk Transportation Plan Dunsmuir \$ 22,929.40 \$ 15,20 Dunsmuir Active	
Dunsmuir Sidewalk SW473 Sidewalk SW473 Sidewalk Transportation Plan Dunsmuir \$ 22,929.40 \$ 15,20 Dunsmuir Active	
	12 \$ 17,316.41
	12 \$ 17,316.41
Junismuir Sidewalk SW474 Sidewalk	12 \$ 17,316.41
Dunsmuir Active	_ I
Dunsmuir Sidewalk SW475 Sidewalk SW475 Sidewalk Transportation Plan Dunsmuir \$ 64,284.80 \$ 42,8	53 \$ 53,570.67
Dungmuit Activo	<u> </u>
Dunsmuir Active Dunsmuir Active Dunsmuir Sidewalk SW476 Sidewalk SW476 Sidewalk \$ 25,396.40 \$ 16,9	96 \$ 21,163.68
	22,200,00
Dunsmuir Active	. I.
Dunsmuir Sidewalk SW477 Sidewalk Transportation Plan Dunsmuir \$ 100,165.70 \$ 66,7	11 \$ 83,471.40
Dunsmuir Active	<u> </u>
	47 \$ 39,933.08
Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan Dunsmuir At 424 70 C	12 6 120 251 42
Dunsmuir Sidewalk SW479 Sidewalk Transportation Plan Dunsmuir \$ 144,421.70 \$ 96,2	13 \$ 120,351.42
Dunsmuir Active	<u> </u>
Dunsmuir Sidewalk SW480 Sidewalk Transportation Plan Dunsmuir \$ 147,418.90 \$ 98,2	25 \$ 122,849.08
	<u> </u>
Dunsmuir Active Dunsmuir Active Dunsmuir Sidewalk SW481 Sidewalk SW481 Sidewalk \$ 192,759.90 \$ 128,5	.60 \$ 160,633.25
	223,223.22
Dunsmuir Active	
Dunsmuir Sidewalk SW482 Sidewalk Transportation Plan Dunsmuir \$ 208,536.40 \$ 139,0	.26 \$ 173,780.33
Dunsmuir Active	<u> </u>
	96 \$ 88,573.68
Dunsmuir Active Dunsmuir Active Dunsmuir Sidewalk SW484 Sidewalk SW484 Sidewalk \$\frac{1}{2}\$ \$\frac{1}{2}\$\$ \$\frac{1}{2}\$\$\$ \$\frac{1}{2}\$\$\$\$ \$\frac{1}{2}\$	09 \$ 58,536.34
Dunsmuir Sidewalk SW484 Sidewalk Transportation Plan Dunsmuir \$ 70,243.60 \$ 46,8	38,330.34
Dunsmuir Active	<u> </u>
Dunsmuir Sidewalk SW485 Sidewalk Transportation Plan Dunsmuir \$ 67,169.40 \$ 44,7	59 \$ 55,974.49
Dunsmuir Active	<u> </u>
	16 \$ 105,311.43
Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan Dunsmuir Dunsm	70 6 404.007.10
Dunsmuir Sidewalk SW487 Sidewalk Transportation Plan Dunsmuir \$ 149,960.60 \$ 99,9	72 \$ 124,967.16
Dunsmuir Active	
	68 \$ 65,722.09
Dunsmuir Active Dunsmuir Active Dunsmuir Sidewalk SW489 Sidewalk SW489 Sidewalk SW489 Sidewalk \$\frac{1,866.20}{21,866.20} \\$ 14,5	48 \$ 18,221.84
Turisportation Fig.	10,221.04
Dunsmuir Active	
Dunsmuir Sidewalk SW490 Sidewalk Transportation Plan Dunsmuir \$ 22,107.70 \$ 14,7	47 \$ 18,423.08
Dunsmuir Active	
	54 \$ 84,371.92
Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plans III	
Dunsmuir Sidewalk SW492 Sidewalk Transportation Plan Dunsmuir \$ 68,552.20 \$ 45,7	45 \$ 57,126.82

Part										
Property						Dunsmuir Active				
Company Comp	Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW493	Sidewalk		Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	\$ 27,668.80	\$ 18,445.85	\$ 23,057.33
Section Sect						Dunsmuir Active				
Description	Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW494	Sidewalk		I I	Dunsmuir	\$ 43,675.80	\$ 29,117.21	\$ 36,396.50
December						Dunsmuir Active				
Communic	Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW495	Sidewalk			Dunsmuir	\$ 71,746.80	\$ 47,831.20	\$ 59,789.00
December										
Designation Section Section Designation Designat	Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW496	Sidewalk		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Dunsmuir	\$ 66,467.80	\$ 44,311.89	\$ 55,389.85
Communic									,	,
Communic Scientify Scien	Dunsmuir	Sidowalk	\$14/407	Sidowalk		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Dunsmuir	\$ 33,868,60	\$ 22 579 05	\$ 28,223.82
Second S	Dansman	Sidewalk	300497	Sidewalk		Transportation Fian	Dunsmun	ÿ 33,000.00	22,373.03	20,223.02
Description	B	C' de ell		C.A II		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		24 507 00	24.425.25	20,400,57
Description	Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW498	Sidewalk	+ +	Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	\$ 31,687.90	\$ 21,125.25	\$ 26,406.57
Community Colorada										
December Seconds Sec	Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW499	Sidewalk		Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	\$ 128,277.60	\$ 85,518.39	\$ 106,898.00
Description Selective Se						Dunsmuir Active				
Description Selevable Selevable Selevable Description Pean Selevable Selevab	Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW500	Sidewalk		Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	\$ 139,036.30	\$ 92,690.87	\$ 115,863.59
Processing Selevate Word Selevate Word Selevate Word Selevate						Dunsmuir Active				
Demanter	Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW501	Sidewalk		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Dunsmuir	\$ 10,443.70	\$ 6,962.45	\$ 8,703.07
Demanter						Dunemuir Active				
Dimonuir Sidewalk Swega Sidewalk Swega Sidewalk Side	Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW502	Sidewalk		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Dunsmuir	\$ 102,502.30	\$ 68,334.85	\$ 85,418.57
Description Sidewalk Sy/038 Sidewalk										
Dursmari Sidewalk SM/04 Sidewalk SM/04 Sidewalk Sidewalk SM/04 Sidewalk	Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW503	Sidewalk		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Dunsmuir	\$ 100,298.60	\$ 66.865.74	\$ 83,582.17
Dunsmuir Sidewalk Sys504 Sidewalk Sys505 Sidewalk Sy			311303							+
Dunsmuir Sidewalk SW505	Dunemuir	Sidowalk	CMEOA	Sidowalk			Duncmuir	ć 20.972 EO	¢ 26 E91 64	\$ 33,227.07
Dunsmuir Sidewalk Sty505 Sty505 Sty5	Dulisiliuli	Sidewalk	5VV5U4	Sidewalk		Transportation Flan	Dulisiliuli	\$ 33,672.30	3 20,381.04	33,227.07
Dunsmuir Sidewalk SW506 Sidewalk SW507 Sidewalk Sidewalk SW508 Sidewalk		G. J. II						4		
Dunsmulr Sidewalk Sw506 Sidewalk Sw507 Sidewalk Sw507 Sidewalk Sw507 Sidewalk Sw507 Sidewalk Sw508 Sidewalk Sw508 Sidewalk Sw509 Sidewalk Sidewalk Sw509 Sidewalk	Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW505	Sidewalk	+ +	Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	\$ 42,822.30	\$ 28,548.18	\$ 35,685.24
Dunsmuir Sidewalk SW507 Sidewalk SW508 Sidewalk SW509						• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Dunsmuir Sidewalk SW507 Sidewalk SW508 Sidewalk SW508 Sidewalk SW508 Sidewalk SW508 Sidewalk SW508 Sidewalk SW509 Sidewalk SW500	Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW506	Sidewalk		Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	\$ 318,284.80	\$ 212,189.85	\$ 265,237.33
Dunsmuir Sidewalk Sw508 Sidewalk Sw508 Sidewalk Sw509						Dunsmuir Active				
Dunsmuir Sidewalk SW508 Sidewalk SW509 Sidewalk SW509 Sidewalk SW509 Sidewalk SW509 Sidewalk SW509 Sidewalk SW509 Sidewalk SW510 Sidewalk SW510 Sidewalk SW510 Sidewalk SW510 Sidewalk SW510 Sidewalk SW511 Sidewalk SW512 Sidewalk SW512 Sidewalk SW512 Sidewalk SW512 Sidewalk SW512 Sidewalk SW513	Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW507	Sidewalk		Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	\$ 307,244.80	\$ 204,829.85	\$ 256,037.33
Dunsmuir Sidewalk SW508 Sidewalk SW508 Sidewalk SW509						Dunsmuir Active				
Dunsmuir Sidewalk SW509 Sidewalk SW509 Sidewalk Sw510 Sidewalk SW510 Sidewalk SW510 Sidewalk SW510 Sidewalk SW510 Sidewalk SW511 Sidewalk SW511 Sidewalk SW512 Sidewalk SW512 Sidewalk SW512 Sidewalk SW512 Sidewalk SW512 Sidewalk SW512 Sidewalk SW513	Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW508	Sidewalk			Dunsmuir	\$ 49,411.80	\$ 32,941.22	\$ 41,176.51
Dunsmuir Sidewalk SWS09 Sidewalk Sidewalk Sidewalk \$ 48,554.50 \$ 32,696.55 \$ 40,40 Dunsmuir Sidewalk SWS10 Sidewalk Sidewalk SWS10 Sidewalk \$ 33,605.90 \$ 22,403.92 \$ 28,605.00 \$ 28,605.00 \$ 28,605.00 \$ 22,403.92 \$ 28,605.00 <						Dunsmuir Active				
Dunsmuir Sidewalk SW510 Sidewalk SW510 Sidewalk SW510 Sidewalk SW510 Sidewalk SW511 Sidewalk SW511 Sidewalk SW511 Sidewalk SW512 Sidewalk SW512 Sidewalk SW512 Sidewalk SW512 Sidewalk SW512 Sidewalk SW512 Sidewalk SW513 SW51	Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW509	Sidewalk			Dunsmuir	\$ 48,554.50	\$ 32,369.65	\$ 40,462.08
Dunsmuir Sidewalk SW510 Sidewalk SW510 Sidewalk SW510 Sidewalk SW510 Sidewalk SW511 Sidewalk SW511 Sidewalk SW511 Sidewalk SW512 Sidewalk SW512 Sidewalk SW512 Sidewalk SW512 Sidewalk SW512 Sidewalk SW512 Sidewalk SW513 SW51						Same to Author				
Dunsmuir Sidewalk SW511 Sidewalk SW512 Sidewalk SW512 Sidewalk SW513	Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW510	Sidewalk			Dunsmuir	\$ 33,605.90	\$ 22,403.92	\$ 28,004.91
Dunsmuir Sidewalk SW51 Sidewal										
Dunsmuir Sidewalk SW512 Sidewalk SW512 Sidewalk SW513 Sidewalk SW5	Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW/511	Sidewalk		I I	Dunsmuir	\$ 166 800 50	\$ 111 200 22	\$ 139,000.41
Dunsmuir Sidewalk SW512 Sidewalk SW512 Sidewalk SW512 Sidewalk SW513 Sidewalk SW5	Dansmall	Sidewalk	24/211	Side Walls	 	Transportation Fian	Danisinuii	7 100,800.30	7 111,200.33	7 133,000.41
Dunsmuir Sidewalk SW513 Sidewalk SW5	Dunani 1	CideII		Cidamalli.			D. marrowin		<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Dunsmuir Sidewalk SW513 Sidewalk SW513 Sidewalk \$ 326,152.00 \$ 217,434.68 \$ 271,734.68	Dunsmuir	Sidemalk	SW512	Sidewalk	- 	I ransportation Plan	Dunsmuir	\$ 59,153.10	\$ 39,435.43	\$ 49,294.26
						I I				
	Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW513	Sidewalk		Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	\$ 326,152.00	\$ 217,434.68	\$ 271,793.34
Dunsmuir Active Dunsmuir Active						Dunsmuir Active				
	Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW514	Sidewalk			Dunsmuir	\$ 203,682.80	\$ 135,788.52	\$ 169,735.66
Dunsmuir Active						Dunsmuir Active				
	Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW515	Sidewalk		Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	\$ 197,235.00	\$ 131,489.97	\$ 164,362.49

			ı							•	
						Dunsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW516	Sidewalk			Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 183,931.80	\$ 122,621.18	\$ 153,276.49
	Cid		State all			Dunsmuir Active	B		¢ 20.056.20	42.004.44	47,200,45
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW517	Sidewalk			Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 20,856.20	\$ 13,904.11	\$ 17,380.15
						Dunsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW518	Sidewalk			Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 21,102.50	\$ 14,068.36	\$ 17,585.43
L .	6.1 11					Dunsmuir Active			400,000,40		
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW519	Sidewalk			Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 123,230.40	\$ 82,153.61	\$ 102,692.01
						Dunsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW520	Sidewalk			Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 50,205.00	\$ 33,469.97	\$ 41,837.48
Dunamuir	Cidowalk	CIMEDA	Cidovalle			Dunsmuir Active	Duncmuir		¢ 52.165.50	¢ 24.776.00	6 42 471 24
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW521	Sidewalk			Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 52,165.50	\$ 34,776.99	\$ 43,471.24
						Dunsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW522	Sidewalk			Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 56,815.50	\$ 37,877.02	\$ 47,346.26
						D '. A.I.'					
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW523	Sidewalk			Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 116,506.60	\$ 77,671.06	\$ 97,088.83
	- Indentant	344323	l l			Transportation Fran			110,000.00	7 7,072.00	\$7,000.00
						Dunsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW524	Sidewalk			Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 114,408.30	\$ 76,272.17	\$ 95,340.23
						Dunemuir Activo					
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW525	Sidewalk			Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 23,084.70	\$ 15,389.78	\$ 19,237.24
		011525								-,	, ,
						Dunsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW526	Sidewalk			Transportation Plan	Siskiyou County		\$ 219,244.20	\$ 146,162.83	\$ 182,703.51
						Dunsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW527	Sidewalk			Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 115,101.20	\$ 76,734.14	\$ 95,917.67
						·					
	6.1. "					Dunsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW528	Sidewalk			Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 82,122.20	\$ 54,748.15	\$ 68,435.17
						Dunsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW529	Sidewalk			Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 83,292.70	\$ 55,528.49	\$ 69,410.59
Dunamuir	Cidowalk	C) 4/520	Sidewalk			Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan	Duncmuir		\$ 28,330.90	\$ 18,887.24	\$ 23,609.07
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW530	Sidewalk			Transportation Flan	Dunsmuir		28,330.90	7 10,007.24	23,009.07
						Dunsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW531	Sidewalk			Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 28,096.60	\$ 18,731.03	\$ 23,413.82
_		1				Dunemuir Active				<u> </u>	
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW532	Sidewalk			Dunsmuir Active Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	1	\$ 262,549.90	\$ 175,033.26	\$ 218,791.58
		311332							. 202,343.30	, 1,5,555.20	, 225,732.50
	I					Dunsmuir Active				1.	[
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW533	Sidewalk			Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 144,859.90	\$ 96,573.26	\$ 120,716.58
1		1				Dunsmuir Active		1		1	
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW534	Sidewalk			Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	1	\$ 9,511.30	\$ 6,340.88	\$ 7,926.09
	1										
	G: d: "	L	State all			Dunsmuir Active			A		
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW535	Sidewalk			Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 26,291.90	\$ 17,527.96	\$ 21,909.93
						Dunsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW536	Sidewalk			Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 98,752.40	\$ 65,834.96	\$ 82,293.68
		1									
D	C: da - W		Cide			Dunsmuir Active	D		<u> </u>	,	
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW537	Sidewalk		 	Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir	-	\$ 183,840.80	\$ 122,560.51	\$ 153,200.66
1		1				Dunsmuir Active		1		1	
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW538	Sidewalk			Transportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 298,569.20	\$ 199,046.13	\$ 248,807.67

	1					1			1	1			1
							D	unsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Sidewalk	SW539	Sidewalk					ransportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 602,833.30	\$ 401,888.89	\$ 502,361.09
	Street Spot		Modified intersection. Roundabout recommended. Placemaking					unsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Improvement		opportunity.				+	ransportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 12,000,000.00	\$ 4,000,000.00	\$ 8,000,000.00
Dunsmuir	Street Spot		Modified intersection. Roundabout recommended. Placemaking					unsmuir Active ransportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 12,000,000.00	\$ 4,000,000.00	\$ 8,000,000.00
Dulisiliuli	Improvement Street Spot	SP134	opportunity.					unsmuir Active	Dulisiliuli		12,000,000.00	3 4,000,000.00	\$ 8,000,000.00
Dunsmuir		SP135	Speed feedback sign					ransportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 15,000.00	\$ 3,000.00	\$ 9,000.00
	Street Spot		Modified intersection. Roundabout recommended. Placemaking				D	unsmuir Active					İ
Dunsmuir			opportunity.					ransportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 12,000,000.00	\$ 4,000,000.00	\$ 8,000,000.00
Dunamuir	Street Spot		Modified intersection. Roundabout recommended. Placemaking					unsmuir Active	Dunamuir		¢ 13,000,000,00	¢ 4,000,000,00	\$ 8,000,000.00
Dunsmuir	Improvement Street Spot	SP137	opportunity.		-	 		ransportation Plan unsmuir Active	Dunsmuir		\$ 12,000,000.00	\$ 4,000,000.00	\$ 8,000,000.00
Dunsmuir		SP138	Speed feedback sign					ransportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 15,000.00	\$ 3,000.00	\$ 9,000.00
	Street Spot						D	unsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Improvement	SP139	Speed table w/RRFB				Tı	ransportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 250,000.00	\$ 65,000.00	\$ 157,500.00
	Street Spot		Considerable (DDED					unsmuir Active	B		Å 350 000 00	ć c5 000 00	457.500.00
Dunsmuir	Improvement Street Spot	SP140	Speed table w/RRFB					ransportation Plan unsmuir Active	Dunsmuir		\$ 250,000.00	\$ 65,000.00	\$ 157,500.00
Dunsmuir	Improvement	SP141	Speed table w/RRFB					ransportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 250,000.00	\$ 65,000.00	\$ 157,500.00
	Street Spot						D	unsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Improvement	SP142	Speed table w/RRFB				Tı	ransportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 250,000.00	\$ 65,000.00	\$ 157,500.00
	Street Spot							unsmuir Active	_				
Dunsmuir		SP143	Speed table w/RRFB					ransportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 250,000.00	\$ 65,000.00	\$ 157,500.00
Dunsmuir	Street Spot Improvement	SP144	Speed table w/RRFB					unsmuir Active ransportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 250,000.00	\$ 65,000.00	\$ 157,500.00
2 4113111411	Street Spot	31 144	opeca asse ii, iiii s		 	 		unsmuir Active	- Canonian		230,000.00	φ 55,000.00	φ 157,500.00
Dunsmuir		SP145	Speed table w/RRFB				Tı	ransportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 250,000.00	\$ 65,000.00	\$ 157,500.00
	Street Spot							unsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Improvement	SP146	Speed table w/RRFB					ransportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 250,000.00	\$ 65,000.00	\$ 157,500.00
Dunsmuir	Street Spot Improvement	SP147	Speed table w/RRFB					unsmuir Active ransportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 250,000.00	\$ 65,000.00	\$ 157,500.00
Dulisiliuli	Street Spot	37147	Speed table W/MM B				+	unsmuir Active	Dulisiliuli		230,000.00	3 05,000.00	3 137,300.00
Dunsmuir		SP152	Pedestrian priority / placemaking opportunity					ransportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 20,000.00	\$ 3,000.00	\$ 11,500.00
	Street Spot						D	unsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Improvement	SP153	Pedestrian priority / placemaking opportunity				Tı	ransportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 20,000.00	\$ 3,000.00	\$ 11,500.00
							ci	iskiyou County Active					
Dunsmuir	Trail	T69	Study the potential for mountain bike trails on Mt. Bradley					iskiyou County Active ransportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 80,000.00	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 65,000.00
			, ,					unsmuir Active			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,	,
Dunsmuir	Trail	T274	Project #1: Mott Road and Dunsmuir Avenue. Class 1 Bikeway				Tı	ransportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 717,309.50	\$ 512,363.96	\$ 614,836.73
								unsmuir Active	_				
Dunsmuir	Trail	T282	Project #2: Dunsmuir Avenue. Class 1 Bikeway				+	ransportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 220,289.10	\$ 157,349.36	\$ 188,819.23
Dunsmuir	Trail	T289	Project # 4: Willow Loop. Class 1 Bikeway					unsmuir Active ransportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 489,985.40	\$ 349,989.55	\$ 419,987.47
2 4113111411		1203	r roject ii ii ii iii ii zoopi ciaso z ziiiciia j					unsmuir Active	- Canonian			ψ 5.5/565.55	· .13,55,7.1.
Dunsmuir	Trail	T291	Project #5: Dunsmuir Avenue Multi-use Path. Class 1 Bikeway				Tr	ransportation Plan	Siskiyou County		\$ 233,242.40	\$ 166,601.71	\$ 199,922.05
								unsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Trail	T294	Project #5: Dunsmuir Avenue Multi-use Path. Class 1 Bikeway					ransportation Plan	Siskiyou County		\$ 776,285.60	\$ 554,489.68	\$ 665,387.64
Duncmuir	Trail	T296	Project #5: Dunsmuir Avenue Multi-use Path. Class 1 Bikeway					unsmuir Active ransportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 97,113.30	\$ 69,366.66	\$ 83,239.98
Dunsmuir	i i ali	1290	Project #3. Durishidir Avende Matti-use Patif. Class 1 Bikeway					unsmuir Active	Dulisiliuli		37,113.30	\$ 09,300.00	\$ 65,239.96
Dunsmuir	Trail	T297	Project #5: Dunsmuir Avenue Multi-use Path. Class 1 Bikeway					ransportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 138,503.40	\$ 98,931.02	\$ 118,717.21
								unsmuir Active					
Dunsmuir	Trail	T299	Class 1 Bikeway					ransportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 21,548.60	\$ 15,391.83	\$ 18,470.21
Dungersite	Troil	T205	Class 1 Bikoupy					unsmuir Active	CalTrans		ć 4.400.606.60	ć 4.000.040.07	ć 4.200.202.70
Dunsmuir	Trail	T306	Class 1 Bikeway					ransportation Plan unsmuir Active	CalTrans		\$ 1,493,686.60	\$ 1,066,918.97	\$ 1,280,302.78
Dunsmuir	Trail	T307	Project # 3: Florence Loop and Dunsmuir Avenue. Class 1 Bikeway			1		ransportation Plan	Dunsmuir		\$ 308,260.90	\$ 220,186.36	\$ 264,223.63
					<u> </u>	<u> </u>	 	•	1		111, 10100	,, ,,,,,,,,	1, 1,11
			Add buffered bike lanes (Class II) to Hwy 3 between Howell Ave and					iskiyou County Active	1			1.	1 .
Etna	Bike	B568	Main St.	Hwy 3	Howell Ave	Main St	T ₁	ransportation Plan	CalTrans		\$ 287,876.60	\$ 55,718.06	\$ 171,797.33
1			Add bike parking to the following locations: Elementary and High			1	c	iskiyou County Active	1				
Etna	Bike Parking			N/A				ransportation Plan	Etna		\$ 500.00	\$ 250.00	\$ 375.00
		0	. , ,	-		 	 		1				2.3.00
1			Add bike parking to the following locations: Elementary and High			1		iskiyou County Active	1				
Etna	Bike Parking	BP39	School, Library, Main St between Diggles St and Collier Way.	N/A		I	Tr	ransportation Plan	Etna		\$ 500.00	\$ 250.00	\$ 375.00

	1	1	T			_	_	1	1		1	<u> </u>	1
			Add bike parking to the following locations: Elementary and High					Siskiyou County Active					
Etna	Bike Parking	BP40	School, Library, Main St between Diggles St and Collier Way.	N/A				Transportation Plan	Etna		\$ 500.00	\$ 250.00	\$ 375.00
			Add high-visibility continental crosswalk markings and a PHB or RRFB										
Etna	Crossing	C28	on the west leg of the intersection of Hwy 3 and Main St. This will require construction of two curb ramps.	Hwy 3			Main St	Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	CalTrans	RRFB	\$ 281,000.00	\$ 80,500.00	\$ 180,750.00
Lilla	Crossing	C26	require construction of two early ramps.	TIWY 3			IVIAIII St	Transportation Fian	curruns	IIII B	201,000.00	\$ 60,300.00	Ţ 100,730.00
			Add high-visibility continental crosswalk markings and a RRFB on the					Siskiyou County Active					
Etna	Crossing	C29	west leg of the intersection of Hwy 3 and Collier Way.	Hwy 3			Telco Way	Transportation Plan	CalTrans	RRFB	\$ 281,000.00	\$ 80,500.00	\$ 180,750.00
			Construct curb ramps and curb extensions for the school crossing on										1
Etna	Crossing	C30	Diggles St at Oak St, and add high-visibility continental crosswalk markings.	Diggles St			Oak St	Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	Etna		\$ 191,000.00	\$ 75,500.00	\$ 133,250.00
Lilla	Crossing	C30	Construct curb ramps and curb extensions for the school crossing on	Diggles 3t			Oak St	Transportation Flan	Luia		3 191,000.00	75,300.00	3 133,230.00
			Diggles St at Wagner Way, and add high-visibility continental					Siskiyou County Active					1
Etna	Crossing	C31	crosswalk markings.	Diggles St			Wagner Way	Transportation Plan	Etna		\$ 191,000.00	\$ 75,500.00	\$ 133,250.00
			Restripe the existing crosswalk at Collier Way and Scott St to include high visibility continental markings. Construct ADA accessible curb										
			ramps on the Northeast and Southeast corners of the intersection.										1
			Consider adding a pedestrian island to the crosswalk across Collier					Siskiyou County Active					1
Etna	Crossing	C32	Way at this location to calm inbound traffic speeds.	Collier Way			Scott St	Transportation Plan	Etna	2 raised crosswalks	\$ 82,000.00	\$ 34,000.00	\$ 58,000.00
													1
Etna	Crossing	C33	Remove the crosswalk on Diggles St at the post office.	Diggles St			Post Office	Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	Etna	Removal	\$ 4,000.00	\$ 2,000.00	\$ 3,000.00
Lilla	Crossing	CSS	Remove the crosswark on Diggles 5t at the post office.	Diggles 3t			rost office	Transportation Flan	Luia	itemovai	4,000.00	3 2,000.00	3,000.00
			Consider prioritizing future EV Charging at the following locations:					Siskiyou County Active					1
Etna	EV Charging	EV35	Museum, Diggles St angled parking, Library.	N/A				Transportation Plan	Etna		\$ 100,000.00	\$ 100,000.00	\$ 100,000.00
FA. a	E) / Chanaina	E1 10 C	Consider prioritizing future EV Charging at the following locations:	N1 / A				Siskiyou County Active	Ft		ć 100 000 00	t 100,000,00	100,000,00
Etna	EV Charging	EV36	Museum, Diggles St angled parking, Library.	N/A				Transportation Plan	Etna		\$ 100,000.00	\$ 100,000.00	\$ 100,000.00
			Consider prioritizing future EV Charging at the following locations:					Siskiyou County Active					1
Etna	EV Charging	EV37		N/A				Transportation Plan	Etna		\$ 100,000.00	\$ 100,000.00	\$ 100,000.00
<u> </u>			Construct a sidewalk on the west side of Diggles St between Howell	D: 1 0:		l		Siskiyou County Active	ļ.,				
Etna	Sidewalk	SW8	Ave and Wagner Way.	Diggles St	Howell Ave	Wagner Way		Transportation Plan	Etna		\$ 330,891.60	\$ 215,927.74	\$ 273,409.67
			Construct a sidewalk on the east side of Howell Ave between Center St					Siskiyou County Active					1
Etna	Sidewalk	SW14	and Hwy 3.	Howell Ave	Center St	Hwy 3		Transportation Plan	Etna		\$ 384,870.40	\$ 251,913.61	\$ 318,392.00
			Construct a sidewalk on both sides of Collier Way between Howell Ave					Siskiyou County Active					1.
Etna	Sidewalk	SW28	and Center St.	Collier Way	Howell Ave	Center St		Transportation Plan	Etna	both sides	\$ 419,636.40	\$ 270,424.24	\$ 345,030.32
			Construct a sidewalk on the west side of Howell Ave betweeen Center					Siskiyou County Active					1
Etna	Sidewalk	SW32		Howell Ave	Center St	College St		Transportation Plan	Etna		\$ 256,131.60	\$ 166,087.74	\$ 211,109.67
								,				,	<u> </u>
			Construct a sidewalk on the south side of Hwy 3 between Collier Way					Siskiyou County Active					1
Etna	Sidewalk	SW37	and Sawyers Bar Rd.	Hwy 3	Collier Way	Sawyers Bar Rd		Transportation Plan	CalTrans		\$ 523,879.00	\$ 344,586.01	\$ 434,232.50
			Construct a sidewalk on the west side of Sawyers Bar Rd between					Siskiyou County Active					1
Etna	Sidewalk		· ·	Main St	Charles St	Hwy 3		Transportation Plan	Etna		\$ 290,971.20	\$ 189,314.12	\$ 240,142.66
	1				1	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	1		,	, ·	, ,
1			Construct a sidewalk on the north side of Hwy 3 between Industrial			1		Siskiyou County Active			1.	1.].
Etna	Sidewalk	SW79		Hwy 3	Industrial Pkwy	Island Rd		Transportation Plan	CalTrans		\$ 192,974.90	\$ 123,983.26	\$ 158,479.08
			Designate Main St between the museum and Callahan St a historic main street and classify the roadway as a shared street, which										1
1			prioritizes the pedestrian experience over through traffic. Seek										
			funding for a community main street study that reimagines the			1							
1		1	corridor to maximize the walkability of the street and minimize					Siskiyou County Active			1.	l.].
Etna	Street	S55	through traffic speed.	Main St	Museum	Callahan St	ļ	Transportation Plan	Etna		\$ 50,000.00	\$ 30,000.00	\$ 40,000.00
1	Street Spot		Work with Caltrans District 2 to conduct a traffic safety study and					Siskiyou County Active					
Etna		SP34		Hwy 3		1	Collier Way	Transportation Plan	CalTrans		\$ 50,000.00	\$ 30,000.00	\$ 40,000.00
	1	1	,,,,,,,,,,	, -	 	<u> </u>	,		1	1	. 21,300.00		,
						1							
Fort lones	Bike	DEAA	Construct paved sidepath (Class I Bicycle Facility) on the west side of Hwy 3 connecting the baseball field to Marble View Drive.	Hwy 3	Marbleview Dr	Rasehall Field		Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	CalTrans		\$ 225,676.60	\$ 161,197.57	\$ 193,437.09
Fort Jones	DIKE	B544	Tiwy 5 connecting the baseball field to Maible view brive.	Hwy 3	Iviai bieview Di	pasenali i lelu		iransportation rian	Carrians		7 223,076.60	101,197.57	7 155,457.09

												
			Construct a Class II Buffered Bikeway on both sides of Hwy 3/Main St between the south of town to Marbleview Drive, consistent with existing Caltrans' plans for Hwy 3/Main St. Note that the facility includes a brief segment of paved path (Class I) on the east side of the									
Fort Jones	Bike	B573	roadway between Garlock St and Mathews St.					CalTrans	Caltrans	\$ 895,027.70	\$ 173,231.17	\$ 534,129.44
Fort Jones	Crossing	C73	Install a painted crosswalk at the Butte Street/Main Street (SR-3) intersection. (West leg)	Hwy 3/Main St			Butte St	Fort Jones Community Pedestrian & Bicycle Safety Training Summary and Recommendations Report	CalTrans	\$ 31,000.00	\$ 15,500.00	\$ 23,250.00
Fort Jones	Crossing	C183	Caltrans recommends: Fix Existing Crosswalk across Main St @ Sterling, may include bulbouts. County ATP recommends considering another RRFB at this location.					CalTrans	CalTrans	\$ 441,000.00	\$ 140,500.00	\$ 290,750.00
		1									,	
Fort Jones	Crossing	C184	Caltrans recommends: Fix Existing Midblock Crosswalk, may inlcude bulbouts					CalTrans	CalTrans	\$ 191,000.00	\$ 75,500.00	\$ 133,250.00
Fort Jones	Crossing	C185	Caltrans recommends: Fix Existing Crosswalk crossing Carlock @ SR3 (North and West legs)					CalTrans	CalTrans	\$ 48,000.00	\$ 24,000.00	\$ 36,000.00
Fort Jones	Crossing	C186	Caltrans recommends: Build New Crosswalk (Northwest leg)					CalTrans	CalTrans	\$ 31,000.00	\$ 15,500.00	\$ 23,250.00
Fort Jones	Crossing	C206	Restripe existing crosswalk at the intersection of Hwy 3 and Newton St with high-visibility continental crosswalk markings. Include an RRFB, ADA curb ramps and consider curb extensions.	Hwy 3			Newton St	Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	CalTrans	\$ 458,000.00	\$ 149,000.00	\$ 303,500.00
Fort Jones	Sidewalk	SW41	Construct a sidewalk on the west side of Newton St between Hwy 3 and Diggles St and curb ramps as needed.	Newton St	Main St/Hwy 3	Diggles St		Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	Fort Jones	\$ 215,671.90	\$ 139,114.60	\$ 177,393.25
Fort Jones	Sidewalk	SW45	Construct a sidewalk on the north side of Diggles St between Butte St and Newton St and curb ramps as needed.	Diggles St	Butte St	Newton St		Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	Fort Jones	\$ 301,854.10	\$ 196,569.37	\$ 249,211.73
Fort Jones	Sidewalk	SW75	Construct a sidewalk on the west side of Hwy 3 between the Fire Station and the baseball field and curb ramps as needed.	Main St/Hwy 3	Fire Station	Baseball Field		Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	CalTrans	\$ 832,890.50	\$ 550,593.67	\$ 691,742.08
			Construct a pedestrian facility on the west side of Hwy 3 between Carlock St and Scott River Rd. Include reflective paddles on the northern corner of the intersection of Mathews St and Hwy 3 to improve visibility. Note: Existing Caltrans planning calls for sidewalks along this extent, and a Class I Bike Facility (paved path) between					Siskiyou County Active				
Fort Jones	Sidewalk	SW76		Main St/Hwy 3	Carlock St Fort Jones	Mathews St		Transportation Plan	CalTrans	\$ 382,348.80	\$ 250,232.52	\$ 316,290.66
Fort Jones	Sidewalk	SW77	Construct a sidewalk on the west side of Mathews St between Fort Jones Union Elementary School and Hwy 3 and curb ramps as needed.	Mathews St	Union Elementary	Main St/Hwy 3		Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	Fort Jones	\$ 121,044.60	\$ 76,029.74	\$ 98,537.17
Fort Jones	Sidewalk	SW97	Install sidewalk on the east side of Hwy 3 to Newton Street (starting approximately 400ft south of the Main Street/Carlock Street intersection) to provide a safe place for pedestrians to walk.	Hwy 3/Main St	Newton St	400ft south of Carlock St	:	Fort Jones Community Pedestrian & Bicycle Safety Training Summary and Recommendations Report	CalTrans	\$ 173,930.40	\$ 111,286.93	\$ 142,608.67
	Siderrain	34437	and the provided a same processor pedications to work.	, 5,						175,550.40	111,200.33	÷ 142,000.07
Fort Jones	Sidewalk	SW545	Caltrans recommends: Build New Sidewalk from Butte St to existing sidewalk in Downtown					CalTrans	CalTrans	\$ 95,177.80	\$ 58,785.20	\$ 76,981.50

		_											
			Caltrans recommends: Fix Existing Sidewalk (from Newton to Sterling),										
Fort Jones	Sidewalk	SW546	sidewalk curb height and width will be changed					CalTrans	CalTrans		\$ 195,905.00	\$ 125,936.69	\$ 160,920.85
F	C' de la U		Caltrans recommends: Fix Exsiting Sidewalk from Butte St to Fire					Cultura	C. IT.		40 205 20	440.056.77	470 570 00
Fort Jones	Sidewalk	SW547	Station		1			CalTrans	CalTrans		\$ 218,285.20	\$ 140,856.77	\$ 179,570.98
			Caltrans recommends: Fix Existing Sidewalk from Sterling to USPS										
Fort Jones	Sidewalk	SW548	Frontage					CalTrans	CalTrans		\$ 50,166.60	\$ 28,777.76	\$ 39,472.18
			Coltrary and a position New Cides will, from UCDC from to be										
Fort Jones	Sidewalk	SW549	Caltrans recommends: Build New Sidewalk from USPS frontage to existing sidewalk in FJ Main Street					CalTrans	CalTrans		\$ 46,464.50	\$ 26,309.65	\$ 36,387.08
Tort Jones	Sidewalk	300349	existing sidewalk in 13 Main Street		+			Carrians	Carrians		3 40,404.50	20,309.03	30,387.08
1					1	1	1						
			Caltrans recommends: Fix Existing Sidewalk (from Newton to New										
Fort Jones	Sidewalk	SW550	USPS Sidewalk), sidewalk curb height and width will be changed					CalTrans	CalTrans		\$ 168,671.40	\$ 107,780.92	\$ 138,226.16
			Caltrans recommends: Build New Sidewalk from Newton to front of										
Fort Jones	Sidewalk	SW551	Church					CalTrans	CalTrans		\$ 49,095.20	\$ 28,063.46	\$ 38,579.33
Tortiones	Sidewalk	300331	Church					Curruns	Carrians		43,033.20	20,003.40	30,573.33
Fort Jones	Sidewalk	SW552	Caltrans recommends: Build New Sidewalk from Carlock to Newton					CalTrans	CalTrans		\$ 97,107.80	\$ 60,071.84	\$ 78,589.82
					Scott River			Siskiyou County Active					
Fort Jones	Sidewalk	SW553	Build New Sidewalk from Scott River Rd to NAPA Autoparts	Hwy 3	Road	Napa Auto Parts		Transportation Plan	CalTrans		\$ 211,599.60	\$ 136,399.76	\$ 173,999.68
		344333	Work with Caltrans to reduce the speed limit on Hwy 3 through Fort	, -	1		+				* ===,,,,,,,,		7 2.0,200.00
			Jones to 30MPH and expand the distance vehicles have to slow down										
			as they enter town. To do this, move the existing 30 MPH speed limit										
			signs to where the 40MPH signs are, and move the 40MPH signs to										
			where the 50MPH signs are, and move the 50MPH signs further away					Siskiyou County Active					
Fort Jones	Street	S68	from City limits.	Main St/Hwy 3	Baseball Field	Scott River Road		Transportation Plan	CalTrans	signage	\$ 9,000.00	\$ 3,000.00	\$ 6,000.00
			Support the Complete Street improvements proposed by Caltrans on										
			Hwy 3 in Fort Jones such that:										
			a.All four existing crosswalks are retained										
			b. The crosswalks at N Sterling St, S Sterling St, Newton St across Hwy										
			3 are enhanced.										
			c. n addition, Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan		1	1	1						
	1		recommends widening the sidewalks in downtown Fort Jones on Hwy					l			I		
[Charles .		3 between Butte St and Carlock St to accommodate outdoor seating, a	Main Ct /tt C	D C.	Carlant Ci		CalTrans Fort Jones	CalTuan	Adjust to match	Refer to the CalTrans Fort Jones	Refer to the CalTrans Fort],
Fort Jones	Street	S74	walkway, dryscaping elements, and pedestrian scale lighting.	Main St/Hwy 3	Butte St	Carlock St	-	Project	CalTrans	Caltrans recs	Project	Jones Project	\$ -
1					1	1	1	Fort Jones Community					
	1							Pedestrian & Bicycle					
					1	1	1	Safety Training Summary	,				
	1		Install a yield for school zone flashing sign at the Butte Street / Diggles					and Recommendations					
Fort Jones	Street	SP74	Street intersection;	Butte St			Diggles St	Report	Fort Jones	signage	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 7,500.00
	[a		Conduct a safety study for the intersections of Scott River Road and										
	Street Spot		Eastside Rd with Hwy 3 for improved pedestrian safety and	NA - 1 - C1 / 1 - 2			Section 2	Siskiyou County Active	C. IT.				
Fort Jones	Improvement	SP17	operations.	Main St/Hwy 3			Scott River Road	Transportation Plan	CalTrans	Į	\$ 80,000.00	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 65,000.00

Column C											
Prof. Prof											
Part		[Familian Communication							[
Marketon		·	· ·								
Section Sect			·								
Part			, ,							S11 S1	
No. Company	rumble strins			Matthaus			Una 2/Main C+		CD72		Fort longs
Part	rumble strips \$	Carrans	керогі	Matthews			Hwy 3/Main St	downtown area	SP/2	improvement	Fort Jones
Part											
Part Sec Part P		7/	Fort Jones Community								
Section Sect			· ·								
No.			·								
Second S								nstall flashing speed radar feedback sign on Main Street (SR-3) and		Street Snot	
Part	radar \$						Hwy 3/Main St		SP75		Fort Iones
Property Server Property S	, add.	Curruns					, 5, 50	peca samps at the sterming street, mg., street intersection.	51 75	provement	. 0.000
Section Sect											
		:у	Fort Jones Community								
Part			Pedestrian & Bicycle								
Part		nary	Safety Training Summary					extend the existing school zone on Hwy 3/Main St by 1000 feet in each			
Control type Cont		ns	and Recommendations					direction to provide a safer environment for students walking to and		Street Spot	
Section Process Proc	signage \$	CalTrans	Report				Hwy 3/Main St		SP76	Improvement 5	Fort Jones
Secondary Seco											
Section Continue	1.							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	gateway treatment \$	CalTrans	Transportation Plan				N/A	_	SP205	Improvement	Fort Jones
Margin Continue										Ι Τ	
Control term Statistical Actions Alliance to expose private and control term Statistical Actions Alliance to expose private and control term Statistical Actions and term of the private private and term of the private private and term of the private pri								•			
Second Part First First Second Part	gateway treatment \$	CalTrans	Transportation Plan				N/A	-	SP207	Improvement	Fort Jones
Section Part 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 1											
First 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1		70	Sickiyou County Activo								
Post Part	.c. c						NI/A		T10	Trail	Fort longs
Section Sect	\$	Fort Jones	Transportation Plan				N/A			ITall	rort Jones
Page		ro	Siskiyou County Active			Scott River					
Milder Kamarti River Community Compound Community Commun	٠,				Rasehall Field				T70	Trail	Fort Iones
Bilbaye 2013 18 19 12 19 19 19 19 19 19	5		 		Dusebuli Field	noud Bridge	Worldt Creek	The path and private property.	176	i i u ii	- Ore Jones
Suppy Camp Bake 313 Som Curly Jack Rinding the Campagnamed Curly Jack Rinding Reported and provided recent control and includer deep line number styrps and substance (control and provided include style) Suppy Camp Bake 877 Suppy Camp Bake 878 Suppy Camp Bake 878 Suppy Camp Bake 878 Suppy Camp Bake 878 Suppy Camp						Curly Jack		BP12: Curly Jack Road Bike/Ped Enhancements Class II Happy Camp			
Subject Country Active Transportation Filam (a) Subject Country Active Transportation Filam (a) Subject Country Active Transportation Filam (b) Subject Country Subject Cou	County	Siskiyou County	· ·		Campground					Bike	Happy Camp
Happy Camp Bite 8,70 between Artherbory St and Second Ave. Buckhorn Rd Arthery St Second Ave 1 Françoptation Flam 1 Skilyou Country Active 1 Skilyou Country Skilyou Skil	,	, , , , , , , ,			10	- 0 -	,		5110		- 1-1-7 1-
Reprication Silve Stylo Development Attebury Stand Second Ave. Stylo		re	Siskiyou County Active								
Name	County \$		· ·		Second Ave	Attebery St	Buckhorn Rd		B570	Bike	Happy Camp
Name											
Happy Camp		re	Siskiyou County Active								
Happy Camp Bike Parking Briss Consider adding bike parking at the High School. N/A Transportation Plan Happy Camp S 500.00 S 250.00 S	imp \$	Happy Camp	Transportation Plan				N/A	Consider adding bike parking on SR 96 near shops and businesses.	BP192	Bike Parking	Happy Camp
Happy Camp Bike Parking Briss Consider adding bike parking at the High School. N/A Transportation Plan Happy Camp S 500.00 S 250.00 S											
NRT 3: SR 96 @ Park Way Happy Camp Pedestrian facilities crosswalk enhancements. Recommendation: build new crosswalks on all four legs of the intersection of Receys. St and SR 96, and add an RRFB for the crosswalk on the south leg.		re	Siskiyou County Active								
Crossing	imp \$	Happy Camp	Transportation Plan				N/A	<u> </u>	BP193	Bike Parking	Happy Camp
Happy Camp Crossing Crossin											
Happy Camp Crossing C77 the crosswalk on the south leg. Hwy 96 Park Way Transportation Plan CalTrans S 318,000.00 S 99,000.00 S		r									
Nitra 4: Sing 66 Davis Rd Happy Camp Pedestrian facilities crosswalk enhancements. Recommendation: build new crosswalk across 20x/s Hwy 96 Davis Rd Transportation Plan CalTrans \$ 31,000.00 \$ 15,500.00 \$		Cultura	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	D. J.M.							
happy Camp Crossing C78 Registration Recommendation: Build new crosswalk across Davis Muy 96 Davis Rd Transportation Plan CalTrans \$ 31,000.00 \$ 15,500.00 \$ 5 15,000.00 \$, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		 	Park Way			пwy 9b	Ü		crossing (парру Сатр
Happy Camp Crossing C78 Rd and across SR 96.	l l										
Happy Camp Crossing C	e	CalTrans	· ·	Davis Rd			Hww 96		C70	Crossing	Hanny Camp
Happy Camp Crossing C	۶			Davis Nu			v y 50			Ci Ossilig (парру сапір
Happy Camp Crossing C79 Ave at SR96. Hwy 96 May 96 Purple Can Plan Plan Plan Plan Plan Plan Plan Pl	l l	' I									
Happy Camp Crossing C80 INTR 6: SR 96 @ 1st Ave Happy Camp Pedestrian facilities xwalk Hwy 96 Ist Ave Transportation Plan CallTrans \$ 31,000.0 \$ 15,500.0		CalTrans	'	2nd Ave			Hwy 96		C79	Crossing	Happy Camp
Happy Camp Crossing C80 INTR 6: SR 96 @ 1st Ave Happy Camp Pedestrian facilities xwalk enhancements Hwy 96 1st Ave Transportation Plan CalTrans \$ 31,000.00 \$ 15,5				2110 / 110			, 50		C13	5. 555mg	ppy camp
Happy Camp Crossing C80 enhancements								NTR 6: SR 96 @ 1st Ave Happy Camp Pedestrian facilities xwalk			
Happy Camp Crossing C81 INTR 7: Indian Creek Road @ Davis Road Safety, Bike/Ped Facilities, Road Road Road Road Road Road Road Road	s	CalTrans		1st Ave			Hwy 96		C80	Crossing	Happy Camp
Happy Camp Crossing C	<u> </u>						•			 	217 - 1
Happy Camp Crossing C81 Indian Creek Road near store Indian Creek Rd Davis Rd Transportation Plan Siskiyou County \$ 31,000.00 \$ 15,500.00								NTR 7: Indian Creek Road @ Davis Road Safety, Bike/Ped Facilities,			
Happy Camp Crossing C83 Doolittle and across SR 96. Hwy 96 Doolittle Rd Fedestrian facilities crosswalk enhancements. Recommendation: Build new crosswalk across Hwy 96 Doolittle Rd Transportation Plan CalTrans \$ 31,000.00 \$ 15,500.00 \$ Middle Klamath River Community Transportation Plan Happy Camp \$ 31,000.00 \$ 15,500.00 \$ Siskiyou County Active	County \$	Siskiyou County	'	Davis Rd			Indian Creek Rd	•	C81	Crossing	Happy Camp
enhancements. Recommendation: Build new crosswalk across Happy Camp Crossing C83 Poolittle and across SR 96. Hwy 96 Doolittle Rd Doolittle Rd CalTrans Sal,000.00 Middle Klamath River Community Transportation Plan Happy Camp Crossing C84 Happy Camp Crossing C84 Poolittle and across SR 96. Hwy 96 Hwy 96 Boolittle Rd Doolittle Rd Fransportation Plan Happy Camp Fransportation Plan Happy Camp Siskiyou County Active Siskiyou County Active								NTR 9: SR 96 @ Doolittle Rd Pedestrian facilities crosswalk			·
Happy Camp Crossing C84 INTR 10: Jacobs Way Crosswalk Pedestrian facilities xwalk enhancements Jacobs Way East of School Jacobs Way Siskiyou County Active											
Happy Camp Crossing C84 INTR 10: Jacobs Way Crosswalk Pedestrian facilities xwalk enhancements Jacobs Way East of School Jacobs Way Siskiyou County Active	\$	CalTrans	· ·	Doolittle Rd			Hwy 96		C83	Crossing	Happy Camp
Happy Camp Crossing C84 enhancements Jacobs Way East of School Jacobs Way East of School Fransportation Plan Happy Camp Siskiyou County Active		r	Middle Klamath River							i	
Siskiyou County Active			Community					NTR 10: Jacobs Way Crosswalk Pedestrian facilities xwalk			
	ımp \$	Happy Camp	Transportation Plan				Jacobs Way	enhancements Jacobs Way East of School	C84	Crossing	Happy Camp
Hanny Camp Icrossing Icross Icros										[
Tillipur Notal Train Califolis Care Constitute a crosswalk with rife a criminal cross across service a crosswalk with rife a criminal cross across service a crosswalk with rife a criminal cross crosswalk with ri	\$	CalTrans	Transportation Plan	Hillside Road			SR96	Construct a crosswalk with RRFB at Hillside Road across SR 96.	C195	Crossing	Happy Camp

	_												
			Consider prioritizing electric vehicle charging stations at or near the										
			following locations: the Post Office, Park Way, Hillside Rd, and Happy										
1	L		Camp Elementary School for travelers and residents to park, charge,					Siskiyou County Active	1				
Happy Camp	EV Charging	EV194	and walk to area businesses and amenities.	N/A				Transportation Plan	Happy Camp		\$ 100,000.00	\$ 100,000.00	\$ 100,000.00
			Consider prioritizing electric vehicle charging stations at or near the										
			following locations: the Post Office, Park Way, Hillside Rd, and Happy					Cialdinan Canata Astina					
Hanny Camp	EV Charging	E) (200	Camp Elementary School for travelers and residents to park, charge, and walk to area businesses and amenities.	NI/A				Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	Hanny Camp		\$ 100,000.00	\$ 100,000.00	\$ 100,000.00
Happy Camp	EV Charging	EV208	and walk to area businesses and amenicles.	N/A	<u> </u>			Transportation Plan	Happy Camp		\$ 100,000.00	3 100,000.00	3 100,000.00
			Consider prioritizing electric vehicle charging stations at or near the										
			following locations: the Post Office, Park Way, Hillside Rd, and Happy										
			Camp Elementary School for travelers and residents to park, charge,					Siskiyou County Active					
Happy Camp	EV Charging	EV209		N/A				Transportation Plan	Happy Camp		\$ 100,000.00	\$ 100,000.00	\$ 100,000.00
парру сатр		LV203	and train to a carbasinesses and ameniness	,		 	+	Transportation Flan	Tappy camp		1	100,000.00	Ţ 100,000.00
			Consider prioritizing electric vehicle charging stations at or near the										
			following locations: the Post Office, Park Way, Hillside Rd, and Happy										
			Camp Elementary School for travelers and residents to park, charge,					Siskiyou County Active					
Happy Camp	EV Charging	EV210	and walk to area businesses and amenities.	N/A				Transportation Plan	Happy Camp		\$ 100,000.00	\$ 100,000.00	\$ 100,000.00
			Construct a sidewalk on the south side of Second Ave along its full							Priority street			
			extent. Include ADA compliant ramps are on the east side of the					Siskiyou County Active		according to public			
Happy Camp	Sidewalk	SW1	bridge.	Second Ave	Hwy 96	Hwy 96		Transportation Plan	Siskiyou County	outreach event	\$ 653,474.70	\$ 430,983.15	\$ 542,228.92
			Install permanent stair case connecting Indian Creek Rd/Grayback Rd					Middle Klamath River					
			to Reeves St. See also: BP5: Indian Creek / Jacobs Way ** Pedestrian					Community					
Happy Camp	Sidewalk	SW63	Path with Stairs Happy Camp From Parry's Market to Jacobs Rd	N/A	Indian Creek Rd	Reeves St		Transportation Plan	Happy Camp	staircase	\$ 35,695.70	\$ 23,797.10	\$ 29,746.40
			BP7: Indian Creek Road Bike/Ped Enhancements Class II Bike Lanes										
			and pedestrian facility on Indian Creek Road. Include new signage to										
			slow vehicle speeds. If widening is not possible, implement a Class III					Middle Klamath River					
Hammi Cama	Charact		bikeway and construct speed cushions at multiple locations along	C	Happy Camp High Schol			Community	Cialdinan Canadan		¢ 724 452 70	120 570 12	420.205.01
Happy Camp	Street	S42	roadway extent to slow vehicle speeds.	Second Ave	High Schol			Transportation Plan	Siskiyou County		\$ 721,153.70	\$ 139,578.13	\$ 430,365.91
			CS2: SR 96 Happy Camp Streetscape Project. Reconstruct SR 96										
			between 1st Avenue and Davis Road according to a "rural complete										
			streets" model with defined pedestrian facilities and bike lanes. For										
			bike lanes, build new buffered bikeway class II on SR 96 between Davis										
			Rd and the south side of Second Ave. (Note that this is more than										
			previously proposed by Caltrans' plans which suggested a 4ft buffer on										
			SR 96 between Hillside Road and Elk Creek Road, and expands on the										
			Middle Klamath River Plan which suggested a bikeway class II facility										
			(not buffered) on SR 96 south of Elk Creek Road.) For sidewalks, build										
			new sidewalks on both sides of SR 96 from north of Davis Rd to										
			Hillside Dr, then just on the north side of SR 96 from Hillside Dr to										
			Second Ave. On the Indian Creek Bridge, where sidewalks may not be										
			feasible, consider striping advisory shoulders or another pedestrian					Middle Klamath River					
1	L		facility to help delineate space for people walking. include bulb outs	l		L		Community	L				
Happy Camp	Street	S109		Hwy 96	1st Ave	Davis Rd	 	Transportation Plan	CalTrans		\$ 555,091.10	\$ 107,437.00	\$ 331,264.05
1	Ctroct Cart		Install a temporary vehicle blockade of the dirt path connecting Indian		1		1	Cialdina Carret - Acti					
Hanny Camp	Street Spot	CD74	Creek Rd/Grayback Rd to Reeves St until the proposed staircase at this location is constructed.	Indian Creek Rd			Poovos St	Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	Sickiyou County	temporary blockade	¢ 13,000,00	\$ 2,000.00	\$ 7,000,00
Happy Camp	Improvement	SP71	Reconstruct bridge to improve ADA accessibility. (From previous plans:	mulan Creek Ku	1		Reeves St	i ansportation Plan	Siskiyou County	тептрогату втоскаде	\$ 12,000.00	2,000.00	\$ 7,000.00
			B2: 2nd St. Bridge Pedestrian Improvements Improve pedestrian				1						
			access on South side of bridge. 2nd Street bridge pedestrian		1		1					1	
1			improvements on south side of bridge in Happy Camp (2nd Street										
1			from Indian Creek to Buckhorn), including: 1) construction of ADA										
1			compliant access ramps at both sides of bridge; and, 2) improved					Middle Klamath River					1
1	Street Spot		pedestrian facilities at adjacent intersections to allow safe crossing to		1		1	Community				1	1
Happy Camp		SP85	south side.)	2nd Ave	1		1	Transportation Plan	Siskiyou County		\$ 59,000.00	\$ 29,500.00	\$ 44,250.00
	1				1	1	1		1			1	
1			Construct a shared-use path between Hillside Rd and Happy Camp					Siskiyou County Active					1
Happy Camp	Trail	Т96	Elementary School.	N/A				Transportation Plan	Happy Camp		\$ 255,611.00	\$ 182,579.26	\$ 219,095.13
					1		1	Middle Klamath River					
1			BP1: Riverfront Multi-use Path Class I multi use From community park		1		1	Community				1	
Happy Camp	Trail	T110	to Curly Jack Bridge		<u></u>			Transportation Plan	Happy Camp	<u> </u>	\$ 879,823.30	\$ 628,445.19	\$ 754,134.25
			BP2: High School to Jacobs Way Ped. Corridor Two pedestrian paths					Middle Klamath River					
1			with stairs, surface street treatment and seperated path Happy Camp				1	Community					1
Happy Camp	Trail	T111	From high school to Jacobs Way (ped paths)		<u> </u>			Transportation Plan	Siskiyou County		\$ 719,925.90	\$ 514,232.79	\$ 617,079.35

Mary Company Base 12			ı	BP3: Indian Creek Meadows Off-Road Path Ped facilities/OHV use path	Ī		1	1	Middle Klamath River	1	1	Γ	1	1
Section Process Proc														
Section Sect	pv Camp Tr	rail	T112	I -					1 '	Happy Camp		\$ 291.707.00	208.362.12	\$ 250,034.56
Part	, camp		1112	,		 						* ===,:::::	7 20,002	
No. Section Process Section				, ,					Siskiyou County Active					
March Marc	ntague Bi	ike	B44	I	13th St	Hwy 3	Spiers St			Montague		\$ 353,267.10	\$ 163,046.36	\$ 258,156.73
March Marc														
Part				Add buffered bike lanes (Class II Facility)on Hwy 3 between 4th St and					Siskiyou County Active					
Part	ntague Bi	ike	B82	Scobie St.	Hwy 3	4th St	Scobie St		Transportation Plan	CalTrans		\$ 447,671.20	\$ 86,646.04	\$ 267,158.62
Noting a Noting				Designate King St between 15th St an 6th St as a low traffic										
March Marc				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,										
Marketon	ntague Bi	ike	B83	treatments to slow traffic. Consider adding speed cushions.	King St	15th St	6th St		Transportation Plan	Montague		\$ 453,624.90	209,365.33	331,495.12
Marketon														
Description									1 ' '			1.	. .	l
Management Man	ntague Bi	like Parking	BP211	School, Montague City Swimming Pool	N/A				Transportation Plan	Montague		\$ 500.0	0 \$ 250.00	\$ 375.00
Management Man				Add bit and the testing falls of the control of the state					Ciality Co. at Auti-					
### Additional Control of Proceedings of the Control of Procedings of the	stague Di	iko Dorkina	DD242		NI /A					Montagua		¢ 500.0	250.00	\$ 375.00
Section Continue	itague Bi	ike raikilig	BPZ1Z	School, Montague City Swimming Fool	N/A	-			Transportation Flair	Montague		300.0	3 230.00	373.00
Section Continue				Add high visibility continental crosswalk markings to the north and										
Montague Constitut Const				l ,										
Continue														
Second County C				, , ,										
Boundard of contents and the selection specified in contents of the contents	J			_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			1	1						
Providing to Provide a Designer recommendation of all maps at the interestions and maps at the interestions all maps at the interestions and maps at the intere														
Martiague Vision Continue Vision Continue Vision Vis	1			l ·					Siskiyou County Active					
Extractive the reconstitute of the reference of New 3 and 118 N stem Proposition of Prop 2 and 118 N stem Prop Not Notificate Prop Notificate Prop Not Not Notificate Prop Notificate Prop Not Notificate Prop Not Notificate Prop Not Not Not Notificate Prop Not Not Notificat	ntague Cu	rossing	C/11		Hwy 3			9th St		CalTrans	RRFR	\$ 458,000,00	149 000 00	\$ 303,500.00
In the control of the		555.116	U-1		, 0	 						+55,000.00	175,000.00	303,300.00
Description region limited from the control extent of the contro														
Solvening Country Active Coping Copin														
Construction Construction of all companies refused Construction of all companies and the information due to ADA Impy 2 Impy 3				l'										
Montague Cooking CQ Consisting CQ Compliance rules. Hery 3				I -					Siskiyou County Active					
Restripe the crosswalls at the intersection of hwy 3 and Ring St with high violation for the control of the first seven and object of the first seven and ob	ntague Ci	rossing	C42	I ==	Hwv 3			11th St		CalTrans	RRFB	\$ 444.000.00	s 142.000.00	\$ 293,000.00
Montague Crossing Country Active Transportation Palm Continues Consider State (Continue Country Active Transportation Palm Continues Country Active Transportation Palm Montague Continues Country Active Transportation Palm Montague Continues Country Active Transportation Palm Montague Continues Continues Country Active Transportation Palm Montague Continues Continues Continues Country Active Transportation Palm Montague Continues Con			C-12		, -	-						* *************************************	1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	
her visibility continental crossolism rankings and construct a procession requires and continued as consistent of the hydrogenesis fast requires granted for the hydrogenesis. Extend the crossing distance in the northwest and northwest comes to reduce the costing distance in the will also tragger reconstruction of all range at the interaction of large and risks, and consistent and consistent in the procession of the northwest and southwest content and because the interaction of large and risks, and consistent extended and composition of all ranges at the interaction of six and risks, and consistent extended and consistent e				Restripe the crosswalks at the intersection of Hwy 3 and King St with										
Mortague Cousing C4 continues and other sections of all cargos and between charges and control and cargos and control and cargos and control and cargos and control and cargos a				I										
Montague Crossing Cq3 of the continues and northeast corners to reduce the costing distance. This will also trigger reconstruction of all ramps at the intersection due to ADA Continues and contents an														
Montague Cossing Cas This will also frigger reconstruction of all ramps at the intersection due to AbA compliance rule. Montague Cossing Cas Add high visibility continental probability continental probability of the visibility of the v				li -										
Montague Cossing Cas Cas Add Accompliance rules. Add Plays Verbild (content and crosswalk markings to the west leg of the intersection of Irw y and r7h St, and construct extended cure ranges on the northway and southerst corners. This will look or inger reconstruction of all range at the Intersection due to ADA compliance rules. Add Plays Verbild (control was an advantage of the west leg of the intersection of Irw y and r7h St, and construction of all range at the Intersection due to ADA compliance rules. Add Plays Verbild (control was an advantage of rules. Add Plays Verbild (control was an advantage of rules. Add Plays Verbild (control was an advantage of rules. Add Plays Verbild (control was an advantage of rules. Add Plays Verbild (control was an advantage of rules. Add Plays Verbild (control was an advantage of rules. Add Plays Verbild (control was an advantage of rules. Add Plays Verbild (control was an advantage of rules. Add Plays Verbild (control was advantage of rules. Add Plays V				_ ~					Siskiyou County Active					
Add high visibility continental crosswalls manifuge to the west leg of the intersection dive 3 and 75 hz and construct extended cub ramps on the northwest and not highly and 75 hz and construct extended cub ramps on the northwest and southwest corners. This will also trigger reconstruction of all ramps at the intersection due to ADA compliance reconstruction of all ramps at the intersection due to ADA compliance reconstruction of all ramps at the intersection due to ADA compliance reconstruction of all ramps at the intersection due to ADA compliance reconstruction of all ramps at the intersection due to ADA compliance reconstruction of all ramps at the intersection due to ADA compliance reconstruction of all ramps at the intersection due to ADA compliance reconstruction of all ramps at the intersection due to ADA compliance reconstruction of all ramps at the intersection due to ADA compliance reconstruction of all ramps at the intersection due to ADA compliance reconstruction of all ramps at the intersection due to ADA compliance reconstruction of all ramps at the intersection due to ADA compliance reconstruction of all ramps at the intersection due to ADA compliance reconstruction of all ramps at the intersection due to ADA compliance reconstruction of all ramps at the intersection due to ADA compliance reconstruction of all ramps at the intersection due to ADA compliance reconstruction of all ramps at the intersection due to ADA compliance reconstruction of all ramps at the intersection due to ADA compliance reconstruction of all ramps at the intersection due to ADA compliance reconstruction of all ramps at the intersection due to ADA compliance reconstruction of all ramps at the intersection due to ADA compliance reconstruction of all ramps at the intersection of all ramps at the i	ntague Ci	rossing	C43	I '	Hwy 3			King St		CalTrans	RRFB	\$ 444,000.00	\$ 142,000.00	\$ 293,000.00
Franchingue Crossing C44 rules. Crossing C44 rules Crossing C44 rules California Califo				Add high visibility continental crosswalk markings to the west leg of	,				·				1	
Processing Construct of all ramps at the intersection due to ADA compliance Hwy 3 This Transportation Plan CalTrans Solkyou County Active Solkyou County Active Transportation Plan CalTrans CalTrans Solkyou County Active Solkyou County Active Transportation Plan CalTrans Solkyou County Active Solkyou County Active Transportation Plan CalTrans Solkyou County Active Sol														
Montague Crossing				ramps on the northwest and southwest corners. This will also trigger										
Montague Crossing C154 Caltrans recommends: Fix Existing, Crosswalk Montague Sidewalk SW7 Add sidewalks on both sides of Hwy 3 between 4th St and 11th St Hwy 3 4th St 11th St Transportation Plan Montague Sidewalk SW15 King St. Construct a sidewalk on the east side of 14th St between Prather St and Montague Sidewalk SW25 Web St. Montague Sidewalk SW29 Web St. Construct a sidewalk on both sides of 7th St between Prather St and Sidewalk SW29 Web St. Construct a sidewalk on both sides of 8th St between Prather St and Sidewalk SW29 Web St. Construct a sidewalk on both sides of 8th St between Prather St and Sidewalk SW29 Web St. Montague Sidewalk SW29 Web St. Construct a sidewalk on both sides of 8th St between Prather St and Sidewalk SW29 Web St. Construct a sidewalk on both sides of 8th St between Prather St and Sidewalk SW29 Web St. Construct a sidewalk on both sides of 8th St between Prather St and Sidewalk SW29 Web St. Construct a sidewalk on both sides of 8th St between Prather St and Sidewalk SW29 Web St. Construct a sidewalk on both sides of 8th St between Prather St and Sidewalk SW29 Web St. Construct a sidewalk on the south side of fing St between 14th St and Montague Sidewalk SW29 Use St. Construct a sidewalk on the south side of fing St between 14th St and Montague Sidewalk SW29 Use St. Construct a sidewalk on the south side of fing St between Prather St. Sidewalk SW29 Sidewalk SW29 Use St. Sidewalk SW29 Sidewalk SW29 Use St. Sidewalk SW29 Sidewalk SW29 Use St. Sidewalk SW29 Sidewalk SW29 Sidewalk SW29 Use St. Construct a sidewalk on the south side of Fing St between Prather St. Sidewalk SW29 Sidewalk SW29 Sidewalk SW29 Use St. Sidewalk SW29 Sidewalk SW				I :					Siskiyou County Active					
Montague Sidewalk SW7 Add sidewalks on both sides of Hwy 3 between 4th St and 11th St Hwy 3 4th St 11th St Transportation Plan CalTrans both sides \$ 1,419,636.40 \$ 937,090.91 \$ Nontague Sidewalk SW15 King St. Construct a sidewalk on the east side of 14th St between Scoble St and Montague Sidewalk SW25 Webb St. Construct a sidewalk on both sides of 7th St between Prather St and Webb St. Nontague Sidewalk SW29 Webb St. Construct a sidewalk on both sides of 8th St between Prather St and Webb St. Nontague Sidewalk SW29 Webb St. Construct a sidewalk on both sides of 8th St between Prather St and Webb St. Nontague Sidewalk SW29 Webb St. Construct a sidewalk on the south side of King St between 14th St and King St. Alth St. Scoble St. King St. Frather St. Webb St. Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan Montague both sides \$ 283,272.70 \$ 179,515.15 \$ 179	ntague Ci	crossing	C44	rules.	Hwy 3			7th St	Transportation Plan	CalTrans		\$ 191,000.00	5 \$ 75,500.00	\$ 133,250.00
Montague Sidewalk SW7 Add sidewalks on both sides of Hwy 3 between 4th St and 11th St Hwy 3 4th St 11th St Transportation Plan Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan CalTrans both sides \$ 1,419,636.40 \$ 937,090.91 \$ Construct a sidewalk on the east side of 14th St between Scoble St and Montague Sidewalk SW25 Webb St. Construct a sidewalk on both sides of 7th St between Prather St and Webb St. Construct a sidewalk on both sides of 7th St between Prather St and Webb St. Construct a sidewalk on both sides of 8th St between Prather St and Webb St. Construct a sidewalk on both sides of 8th St between Prather St and Webb St. Construct a sidewalk on both sides of 8th St between Prather St and Webb St. Construct a sidewalk on both sides of 8th St between Prather St and Webb St. Construct a sidewalk on both sides of 8th St between Prather St and Webb St. Construct a sidewalk on the south side of King St between 14th St and King St. Alth St. Prather St. Webb St. Transportation Plan Montague both sides \$ 260,545.50 \$ 164,363.64 \$ S Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan Montague both sides \$ 117,640.20 \$ 73,760.11 \$ S Construct a sidewalk on the south side of 6th St between Prather St. Montague Sidewalk Sw39 13th St. Construct a sidewalk on the west side of 6th St between Prather St. Montague Sidewalk Sw39 13th St. Construct a sidewalk on the west side of 6th St between Prather St. Montague Sidewalk Sw34 and Spiers St. Construct a sidewalk on the south side of First St between Prather St. Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan Montague \$ 117,640.20 \$ 73,760.11 \$ S Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan Montague \$ 130,459.80 \$ 82,306.51 \$ S Construct a sidewalk on the south side of 6th St between Prather St. Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan Montague \$ 130,459.80 \$ 82,306.51 \$ S Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan Montague \$ S						1								
Montague Sidewalk SW7 Add sidewalks on both sides of Hwy 3 between 4th St and 11th St Hwy 3 4th St 11th St Transportation Plan Siskiyou Country Active Transportation Plan CalTrans both sides \$ 1,419,636.40 \$ 937,090.91 \$ Siskiyou Country Active Transportation Plan Montague \$ 136,640 \$ 937,090.91 \$ Siskiyou Country Active Transportation Plan Montague \$ 137,619.60 \$ 87,079.70 \$ Siskiyou Country Active Transportation Plan Montague both sides \$ 137,619.60 \$ 87,079.70 \$ Siskiyou Country Active Transportation Plan Montague both sides \$ 283,272.70 \$ 179,515.15 \$ Siskiyou Country Active Transportation Plan Montague both sides \$ 260,545.50 \$ 164,363.64 \$ Siskiyou Country Active Transportation Plan Montague both sides \$ 260,545.50 \$ 164,363.64 \$ Siskiyou Country Active Transportation Plan Montague both sides \$ 117,640.20 \$ 73,760.11 \$ Siskiyou Country Active Transportation Plan Montague \$ 136,834.80 \$ Siskiyou Country Active Transportation Plan Montague both sides \$ 117,640.20 \$ 73,760.11 \$ Siskiyou Country Active Transportation Plan Montague \$ 136,834.80 \$ Siskiyou Country Active Transportation Plan Montague \$ 136,834.80 \$ Siskiyou Country Active Transportation Plan Montague \$ 136,834.80 \$ Siskiyou Country Active Transportation Plan Montague \$ 136,834.80 \$ Siskiyou Country Active Transportation Plan Montague \$ 136,834.80 \$ Siskiyou Country Active Transportation Plan Montague \$ 136,834.80 \$ Siskiyou Country Active Transportation Plan Montague \$ 136,834.80 \$ Siskiyou Country Active Transportation Plan Montague \$ 136,834.80 \$ Siskiyou Country Active Transportation Plan Montague \$ 136,834.80 \$ Siskiyou Country Active Transportation Plan Montague \$ 136,834.80 \$ Siskiyou Country Active Transportation Plan Montague \$ 136,834.80 \$ Siskiyou Country Active Siskiyou Count														
Montague Sidewalk SW7 Add sidewalks on both sides of Hwy 3 between 4th St and 11th St Hwy 3 4th St 11th St Transportation Plan Siskiyou Country Active Transportation Plan CalTrans both sides \$ 1,419,636.40 \$ 937,090.91 \$ Siskiyou Country Active Transportation Plan Montague \$ 136,640 \$ 937,090.91 \$ Siskiyou Country Active Transportation Plan Montague \$ 137,619.60 \$ 87,079.70 \$ Siskiyou Country Active Transportation Plan Montague both sides \$ 137,619.60 \$ 87,079.70 \$ Siskiyou Country Active Transportation Plan Montague both sides \$ 283,272.70 \$ 179,515.15 \$ Siskiyou Country Active Transportation Plan Montague both sides \$ 260,545.50 \$ 164,363.64 \$ Siskiyou Country Active Transportation Plan Montague both sides \$ 260,545.50 \$ 164,363.64 \$ Siskiyou Country Active Transportation Plan Montague both sides \$ 117,640.20 \$ 73,760.11 \$ Siskiyou Country Active Transportation Plan Montague \$ 136,834.80 \$ Siskiyou Country Active Transportation Plan Montague both sides \$ 117,640.20 \$ 73,760.11 \$ Siskiyou Country Active Transportation Plan Montague \$ 136,834.80 \$ Siskiyou Country Active Transportation Plan Montague \$ 136,834.80 \$ Siskiyou Country Active Transportation Plan Montague \$ 136,834.80 \$ Siskiyou Country Active Transportation Plan Montague \$ 136,834.80 \$ Siskiyou Country Active Transportation Plan Montague \$ 136,834.80 \$ Siskiyou Country Active Transportation Plan Montague \$ 136,834.80 \$ Siskiyou Country Active Transportation Plan Montague \$ 136,834.80 \$ Siskiyou Country Active Transportation Plan Montague \$ 136,834.80 \$ Siskiyou Country Active Transportation Plan Montague \$ 136,834.80 \$ Siskiyou Country Active Transportation Plan Montague \$ 136,834.80 \$ Siskiyou Country Active Transportation Plan Montague \$ 136,834.80 \$ Siskiyou Country Active Siskiyou Count														
Nontague Sidewalk SW7 Add sidewalks on both sides of Hwy 3 between 4th St and 11th St 11th St 17th St	ntague Ci	crossing	C154	Caltrans recommends: Fix Existing, Crosswalk					CalTrans	CalTrans		\$ 31,000.00	\$ 15,500.00	\$ 23,250.00
Nontague Sidewalk SW7 Add sidewalks on both sides of Hwy 3 between 4th St and 11th St 11th St 17th St														
Montague Sidewalk SW15 Construct a sidewalk on the east side of 14th St between Prather St and Montague Sidewalk SW25 Construct a sidewalk on both sides of 7th St between Prather St and Webb St. Montague Sidewalk SW29 Construct a sidewalk on both sides of 8th St between Prather St and Montague Sidewalk SW29 Webb St. Montague Sidewalk SW29 Construct a sidewalk on both sides of 8th St between Prather St and Webb St. Montague Sidewalk SW29 Sidewalk SW29 Sidewalk on the south side of King St between 14th St and Montague Sidewalk on the south side of King St between 14th St and King St 14th St 13th St Transportation Plan Montague Sidewalk SW39 13th St Construct a sidewalk on the south side of 6th St between Prather St Meb St Transportation Plan Montague Sidewalk SW39 13th St Construct a sidewalk on the south side of 6th St between Prather St Meb St Transportation Plan Montague Sidewalk SW39 13th St Construct a sidewalk on the south side of 6th St between Prather St Meb St Transportation Plan Montague Sidewalk SW39 13th St Construct a sidewalk on the south side of 6th St between Prather St Meb St Transportation Plan Montague Sidewalk SW39 13th St Construct a sidewalk on the south side of 6th St between Prather St Meb St Transportation Plan Montague Sidewalk on the south side of 6th St between Prather St Meb St Transportation Plan Montague Sidewalk on the south side of 6th St between Prather St Meb St Transportation Plan Montague Sidewalk on the south side of 6th St between Prather St Meb St Transportation Plan Montague Sidewalk on the south side of 6th St between Prather St Meb St Transportation Plan Montague Sidewalk on the south side of 6th St between Prather St Meb St Transportation Plan Montague Sidewalk on the south side of 6th St between Prather St Meb St Transportation Plan Montague Sidewalk on the south side of 6th St between Prather St Meb St Transportation Plan Montague Sidewalk on the south side of 6th St between Prather St Meb St Transportation Plan Montague Sidewalk on the south side of 6th St be									Siskiyou County Active					
Montague Sidewalk SW15 King St. 14th St Scobie St King St Transportation Plan Montague \$ 137,619.60 \$ 87,079.70 \$ Montague Sidewalk SW25 Construct a sidewalk on both sides of 7th St between Prather St and Montague Sidewalk SW25 Web St. Construct a sidewalk on both sides of 8th St between Prather St and Montague Sidewalk SW25 Web St. Construct a sidewalk on both sides of 8th St between Prather St and Web St. Montague Sidewalk SW29 Construct a sidewalk on both sides of 8th St between Prather St and Montague Sidewalk SW29 Web St. Construct a sidewalk on the south side of King St between 14th St and Montague Sidewalk SW39 13th St SW3	ntague Si	idewalk	SW7	Add sidewalks on both sides of Hwy 3 between 4th St and 11th St	Hwy 3	4th St	11th St		Transportation Plan	CalTrans	both sides	\$ 1,419,636.4	937,090.91	\$ 1,178,363.65
Montague Sidewalk SW15 King St. 14th St Scobie St King St Transportation Plan Montague Sidewalk SW25 Construct a sidewalk on both sides of 7th St between Prather St and Montague Sidewalk SW25 Construct a sidewalk on both sides of 8th St between Prather St and Montague Sidewalk SW25 Construct a sidewalk on both sides of 8th St between Prather St and Montague Sidewalk SW25 Construct a sidewalk on both sides of 8th St between Prather St and Montague Sidewalk SW25 Construct a sidewalk on both sides of 8th St between Prather St and Montague Sidewalk SW29 Sidewalk SW29 Construct a sidewalk on the south side of King St between 14th St and Montague Sidewalk SW29 Sidewalk SW														
Montague Sidewalk SW25 Construct a sidewalk on both sides of 7th St between Prather St and Montague Sidewalk SW29 Construct a sidewalk on both sides of 8th St between Prather St and Montague Sidewalk SW29 Webb St. Montague Sidewalk SW29 Construct a sidewalk on both sides of 8th St between Prather St and Montague Sidewalk SW29 Webb St. Montague Sidewalk SW29 Construct a sidewalk on both sides of 8th St between Prather St and Montague Sidewalk SW29 Sidewalk SW	J													
Montague Sidewalk Sw25 Webb St. 7th St Prather St Webb St Transportation Plan Montague both sides \$ 283,272.70 \$ 179,515.15 \$ Montague Sidewalk Sw29 Construct a sidewalk on both sides of 8th St between Prather St and Webb St. Prather St Webb St Transportation Plan Montague both sides \$ 260,545.50 \$ 164,363.64 \$ Montague Sidewalk Sw39 I3th St Construct a sidewalk on the south side of King St between 14th St and Montague Sidewalk Sw39 I3th St Sidewalk Sw39 I3th St Construct a sidewalk on the west side of 6th St between Prather St 6th St Prather St Spiers St Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan Montague \$ Sidewalk Sw43 And Spiers St Construct a sidewalk on the south side of Frather St between 9th St Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan Montague \$ Sidewalk Sw43 And Spiers St Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan Montague \$ 130,459.80 \$ 82,306.51 \$ Construct a sidewalk on the south side of Prather St between 9th St Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan Montague \$ Siskiyou County Active Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan Montague \$ 130,459.80 \$ 82,306.51 \$ Construct a sidewalk on the south side of Prather St between 9th St Siskiyou County Active Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan Montague \$ 130,459.80 \$ 82,306.51 \$ Construct a sidewalk on the south side of Prather St between 9th St Siskiyou County Active Siskiyou County	ntague Si	idewalk	SW15	King St.	14th St	Scobie St	King St		Transportation Plan	Montague		\$ 137,619.6	87,079.70	\$ 112,349.65
Montague Sidewalk Sw25 Webb St. 7th St Prather St Webb St Transportation Plan Montague both sides \$ 283,272.70 \$ 179,515.15 \$ Montague Sidewalk Sw29 Construct a sidewalk on both sides of 8th St between Prather St and Webb St. Prather St Webb St Transportation Plan Montague both sides \$ 260,545.50 \$ 164,363.64 \$ Montague Sidewalk Sw39 I3th St Construct a sidewalk on the south side of King St between 14th St and Montague Sidewalk Sw39 I3th St Sidewalk Sw39 I3th St Construct a sidewalk on the west side of 6th St between Prather St 6th St Prather St Spiers St Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan Montague \$ Sidewalk Sw43 And Spiers St Construct a sidewalk on the south side of Frather St between 9th St Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan Montague \$ Sidewalk Sw43 And Spiers St Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan Montague \$ 130,459.80 \$ 82,306.51 \$ Construct a sidewalk on the south side of Prather St between 9th St Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan Montague \$ Siskiyou County Active Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan Montague \$ 130,459.80 \$ 82,306.51 \$ Construct a sidewalk on the south side of Prather St between 9th St Siskiyou County Active Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan Montague \$ 130,459.80 \$ 82,306.51 \$ Construct a sidewalk on the south side of Prather St between 9th St Siskiyou County Active Siskiyou County														
Montague Sidewalk SW29 Construct a sidewalk on both sides of 8th St between Prather St and Webb St. Prather St Webb St Transportation Plan Montague both sides \$ 260,545.50 \$ 164,363.64 \$ \$	J													
Montague Sidewalk SW29 Webb St. Montague Sidewalk SW29 Web St. Sidewalk on the south side of King St between 14th St and Montague Sidewalk on the south side of King St between 14th St and Montague Sidewalk Sw39 S	ntague Si	idewalk	SW25	Webb St.	7th St	Prather St	Webb St		Transportation Plan	Montague	both sides	\$ 283,272.70	179,515.15	\$ 231,393.93
Montague Sidewalk SW29 Webb St. Montague Sidewalk SW29 Web St. Sidewalk on the south side of King St between 14th St and Montague Sidewalk on the south side of King St between 14th St and Montague Sidewalk Sw39 S														
Montague Sidewalk Sw39 Construct a sidewalk on the south side of King St between 14th St and Montague Sidewalk on the west side of 6th St between Prather St of the St of Spiers St Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan Montague \$117,640.20 \$117,640.20 \$137,760.11 \$117,640.20 \$1														
Montague Sidewalk SW39 13th St 117,640.20 \$ 73,760.11 \$ 14th St 13th St 12th St 12th St 12th St 12th St 13th St 13th St 13th St 12th St 13th S	ntague Si	idewalk	SW29	Webb St.	8th St	Prather St	Webb St		Transportation Plan	Montague	both sides	\$ 260,545.50	164,363.64	\$ 212,454.57
Montague Sidewalk SW39 13th St 117,640.20 \$ 73,760.11 \$ 14th St 13th St 12th St 12th St 12th St 12th St 13th St 13th St 13th St 12th St 13th S														
Montague Sidewalk Sw43 Construct a sidewalk on the west side of 6th St between Prather St Spiers St Spiers St Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan Montague Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan Siskiyou County Active S	J			I		I .	1					L.	.1.	1.
Montague Sidewalk SW43 and Spiers St and Spiers St Sidewalk on the south side of Prather St between 9th St Spiers St Spiers St Transportation Plan Montague \$ 130,459.80 \$ 82,306.51 \$ 130,459.80 \$ 82,306.51 \$ 130,459.80 \$ 130,4	ntague Si	idewalk	SW39	13th St	King St	14th St	13th St		Transportation Plan	Montague		\$ 117,640.2	73,760.11	\$ 95,700.16
Montague Sidewalk SW43 and Spiers St and Spiers St Sidewalk SW43 and Spiers St Sidewalk on the south side of Prather St between 9th St Sidewalk on the south side of Prather St between 9th St Sidewalk on the south side of Prather St between 9th St Sidewalk on the south side of Prather St between 9th St Sidewalk on the south side of Prather St between 9th St Sidewalk on the south side of Prather St between 9th St Sidewalk on the south side of Prather St between 9th St Sidewalk on the south side of Prather St between 9th St Sidewalk on the south side of Prather St between 9th St Sidewalk on the south side of Prather St between 9th St Sidewalk on the south side of Prather St between 9th St Sidewalk on the south side of Prather St between 9th St Sidewalk on the south side of Prather St Between 9th St Sidewalk on the south side of Prather St Between 9th St Sidewalk on the south side of Prather St Between 9th St Sidewalk on the south side of Prather St Between 9th St Sidewalk on the south side of Prather St Between 9th St Sidewalk on the south side of Prather St Between 9th St Sidewalk on the south side of Prather St Between 9th St Sidewalk on the south side of Prather St Between 9th St Sidewalk on the south side of Prather St Between 9th St Sidewalk on the south side of Prather St Between 9th St Sidewalk on the south side of Prather St Between 9th St Sidewalk on the south side of Prather St Between 9th St Sidewalk on the south side of Prather St Sidewalk	T													
Construct a sidewalk on the south side of Prather St between 9th St	J					1 .	l					L.	1.	1.
	ntague Si	idewalk	SW43	and Spiers St	6th St	Prather St	Spiers St		Transportation Plan	Montague		\$ 130,459.8	82,306.51	\$ 106,383.15
	J						1					1.	1.	1.
Montague Sidewalk SW50 and 6th St. Prather St 9th St 6th St Transportation Plan Montague \$ 301,152.70 \$ 196,101.80 \$	ntague Si	idewalk	SW50	and 6th St.	Prather St	9th St	6th St		Transportation Plan	Montague		\$ 301,152.70	9 \$ 196,101.80	\$ 248,627.25

			1	1		т т	1		T		1	T 1
			Construct sidewalks on both sides of 9th St between Webb St and Orr				Siskiyou County Active					
Montague	Sidewalk	SW64	St	9th St	Webb St	Orr St	Transportation Plan	Montague	both sides	\$ 874,181.80	\$ 573,454.55	\$ 723,818.17
			lafill side willing for some on book sides of Mina CA between AFAb CA and				Cialdina. Canata Astina					
Montague	Sidewalk	SW81	Infill sidewalks for gaps on both sides of King St between 15th St and 6th St	King St	15th St	6th St	Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	Montague	both sides	\$ 1,783,272.70	\$ 1,179,515.15	\$ 1,481,393.93
Wontague	Sidewank	34/81		King St	1311131	otrist	Transportation Figure	Wientagae	both sides	1,763,272.70	1,173,313.13	Ţ,+01,555.55
									Recommendations			
									outside the City of			
									Mt. Shasta are subject			
Mt. Shasta	Bike	D425	Midtown Greenway. Bike Route (Class III)	Midtown Greenway	Southern end of Oak St	Mt Shasta Blvd	Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	to the approval of the governing agency	\$ 182,463.50	\$ 84,213.94	\$ 133,338.72
IVIC. SHASCA	bike	B125	Midtown Greenway. Dike Route (Class III)	Greenway	OI Oak St	IVIL SITASLA DIVU	Shasta Mobility Flan	IVIL. SIIdSLA	governing agency	3 182,403.30	\$ 64,213.34	3 155,536.72
									Recommendations			
									outside the City of			1
									Mt. Shasta are subject			
Mt. Shasta	Bike	D126	Midtown Greenway. Separated Bikeway (Class IV)Two 12' travel lanes, two Class IV bikeways (5' bike lanes), two 3' protected buffers	Midtown Greenway	Old McCloud Rd	Sheldon Ave	Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	to the approval of the	\$ 84,897.10	\$ 18,699.80	\$ 51,798.45
IVIL. SITASLA	bike	B126	two class iv bikeways (3 bike laties), two 3 protected buriers	Greenway	Nu .	Sileidoli Ave	Silasta Mobility Flati	IVIL. SIIdSLA	governing agency	\$ 64,637.10	3 10,033.80	31,798.43
									Recommendations			
									outside the City of			
									Mt. Shasta are subject			
NAL Charts	Dile	D427	Midterry Creenway Bile Berte (Cleen III)	Midtown	NA+ Chasta Dhid	Northern end of B St	Walk Bike Ride Mt.	NAL Charte	to the approval of the	ć 400 407 00	ć 242.40F.F2	226 454 26
Mt. Shasta	Bike	B127	Midtown Greenway. Bike Route (Class III)	Greenway	IVIT Shasta BIVO	Northern end of B St	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	governing agency	\$ 460,407.00	\$ 212,495.52	\$ 336,451.26
									Would need to widen			
									bridge to continue			
				Midtown			Walk Bike Ride Mt.		Class II facility across			1.
Mt. Shasta	Bike	B129	Midtown Greenway. Bike Route (Class III)	Greenway	Alder St	Spruce St	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	overpass	\$ 182,956.20	\$ 84,441.30	\$ 133,698.75
				Gateway Trails			Walk Bike Ride Mt.		Recommend engineer			1
Mt. Shasta	Bike	B132	Gateway Trails Connector. Separated Bikeway (Class IV)	Connector	Shasta Ave	Rockfellow Dr	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	review	\$ 289,468.30	\$ 63,759.53	\$ 176,613.91
	1											
									Would need to widen			1
				Mashinatan Duin			Walk Bike Ride Mt.		bridge to continue			1
Mt. Shasta	Bike	B133	Bike Lane (Class II)	Washington Drive Bikeway	Lake St	Old McCloud Rd	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	Class II facility across overpass	\$ 536,599.00	\$ 103,857.87	\$ 320,228.43
		D133	Since Laine (Glass II)	z.me.ru,	Lane or	ora mooreaa na	Shada medini, han		010. pass	\$55,555.65	ψ 100)007.107	Ç 326,2251.5
				Old McCloud Rd			Walk Bike Ride Mt.		Recommend engineer			1
Mt. Shasta	Bike	B134	Bike Route (Class III)	Bikeway	Washington Dr	Mt Shasta Blvd	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	review	\$ 115,230.80	\$ 53,183.43	\$ 84,207.12
							Walk Bike Ride Mt.					1
Mt. Shasta	Bike	B135	Bike Route (Class III)		Lake St	Ivy St	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	Class 3B (from KMZ)	\$ 236,233.00	\$ 109,030.62	\$ 172,631.81
		B133				,			,		7 200,000.00	
									Would need to widen			
									bridge to continue			
Mt Chasta	Bike	D420	Sonarated Dikeway (Class IV)	Crosstown Connector West	City Doundany	Kingston Dd	Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	Class II facility across	\$ 178,384.80	\$ 39,291.80	\$ 108,838.30
Mt. Shasta	ыке	B138	Separated Bikeway (Class IV)	Connector west	City Boundary	Kingston Ku	Shasta Mobility Plan	IVIL. SIIdSLA	overpass	\$ 178,384.80	\$ 59,291.60	\$ 100,030.30
				1					Would need to widen			
1									bridge to continue			
	L			Crosstown	l		Walk Bike Ride Mt.		Class II facility across			ll
Mt. Shasta	Bike	B139	Separated Bikeway (Class IV)	Connector West	Hospital	Ivy St	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	overpass	\$ 191,648.30	\$ 42,213.29	\$ 116,930.80
				1					Would need to widen			
				1					bridge to continue			
				Crosstown			Walk Bike Ride Mt.		Class II facility across			
Mt. Shasta	Bike	B140	Separated Bikeway (Class IV)	Connector West	Ivy St	Alma St	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	overpass	\$ 206,191.30	\$ 45,416.59	\$ 125,803.94
				Crosstown	Everitt Memorial		Walk Bike Ride Mt.		Recommend engineer			
Mt. Shasta	Bike	B141	Separated Bikeway (Class IV)	Connector East	Highway	Adams Dr	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	review	\$ 245,159.60	\$ 53,999.91	\$ 149,579.75
3		2171		222000. 2000	,		Shada Mobility Fidil			. 243,133.00	, 33,333.31	. 143,373.73
							1		Would need to widen			
				1			<u>l</u>		bridge to continue			
NAL Charte	l _{n:l-a}	D4 (-	Bile Lara (Class II)		Mt Charle Die	Charter et Ct	Walk Bike Ride Mt.	NAL Charle	Class II facility across	¢ 22.772.70	ć	20.455.27
Mt. Shasta	Bike	B142	Bike Lane (Class II)		Mt Shasta Blvd	Cnestnut St	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	overpass Could remove both	\$ 33,773.70	\$ 6,536.85	\$ 20,155.27
				1			1		sides of parking in			
							Walk Bike Ride Mt.		favor of Class II bike			
Mt. Shasta	Bike	B143	Bike Route (Class III)		Chestnut St	Rockfellow Dr	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	lanes	\$ 138,385.10	\$ 63,870.03	\$ 101,127.57
		1	<u> </u>	1		•		•	•	,		

											·		
										Would need to widen			
							l			bridge to continue			
	1			Lake Street				alk Bike Ride Mt.		Class II facility across		1.	1.
Mt. Shasta	Bike	B145	Bike Lane (Class II)	Connector	I-5	I-5	Sh	nasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	overpass	\$ 36,411.60	\$ 7,047.41	\$ 21,729.51
										Would need to widen			
										bridge to continue			
				Lake Street				alk Bike Ride Mt.		Class II facility across			
Mt. Shasta	Bike	B146	Bike Lane (Class II)	Connector	I-5	Morgan Way	Sh	nasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	overpass	\$ 78,964.60	\$ 15,283.47	\$ 47,124.04
										Would need to widen			
										bridge to continue			
				Lake Street			Wa	alk Bike Ride Mt.		Class II facility across			
Mt. Shasta	Bike	B147	Bike Lane (Class II)	Connector	Morgan Way	Pine St	Sh	nasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	overpass	\$ 94,650.40	\$ 18,319.43	\$ 56,484.92
										Recommendations			
										outside the City of			
										Mt. Shasta are subject			
				Lake Street			Wa	alk Bike Ride Mt.		to the approval of the			
Mt. Shasta	Bike	B148	Bike Lane (Class II)	Connector	Pine St	Maple St	Sh	nasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	governing agency	\$ 58,147.70	\$ 11,254.39	\$ 34,701.04
	†	1	, ,		1	† '		•		0 0 0 7	,	,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	1									Recommendations			
	1									outside the City of		1	
										Mt. Shasta are subject			
				Lake Street			\w/:	alk Bike Ride Mt.		to the approval of the			
Mt. Shasta	Bike	B149	Bike Lane (Class II)	Connector	Maple St	Chestnut St		nasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	governing agency	\$ 77,853.40	\$ 15,068.40	\$ 46,460.90
IVIL. SIIdSLA	DIKE	B149	Bike Latte (Class II)	Connector	iviapie st	Chestilut 3t	311	iasta iviobility Flair	IVIL. SIIdSLd	governing agency	77,833.40	3 13,008.40	3 40,400.90
										Docommondations			
										Recommendations			
										outside the City of			
							l			Mt. Shasta are subject			
	L		L.,	Lake Street	L			alk Bike Ride Mt.		to the approval of the		l	
Mt. Shasta	Bike	B150	Bike Lane (Class II)	Connector	Chestnut St	Washington Dr	Sh	nasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	governing agency	\$ 232,971.00	\$ 45,091.16	\$ 139,031.08
										Could remove both			
										sides of parking in			
				Lake Street				alk Bike Ride Mt.		favor of Class II bike			
Mt. Shasta	Bike	B151	Bike Lane (Class II)	Connector	Lake St	Rockfellow Dr	Sh	nasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	lanes	\$ 86,512.50	\$ 16,744.36	\$ 51,628.43
										Would need to widen			
										bridge to continue			
				Crosstown				alk Bike Ride Mt.		Class II facility across			
Mt. Shasta	Bike	B152	Separated Bikeway (Class IV)	Connector West	Pine St	Mt Shasta Blvd	Sh	nasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	overpass	\$ 141,488.40	\$ 31,164.85	\$ 86,326.62
										Would need to widen			
										bridge to continue			
				Crosstown			Wa	alk Bike Ride Mt.		Class II facility across			
Mt. Shasta	Bike	B153	Separated Bikeway (Class IV)	Connector East	Mt Shasta Blvd	Chestnut St	Sh	nasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	overpass	\$ 65,078.40	\$ 14,334.46	\$ 39,706.43
								•				<u> </u>	
	1									Recommendations		ĺ	
	1									outside the City of		ĺ	
	1									Mt. Shasta are subject		1	
	1			Crosstown			l _{w/}	alk Bike Ride Mt.		to the approval of the		1	
Mt. Shasta	Bike	B154	Separated Bikeway (Class IV)	Connector East	Chestnut St	Rockfellow Dr		nasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	governing agency	\$ 414,256.40	\$ 91,245.90	\$ 252,751.15
	1	15154	The second of th	2023101 2431	55541.51		311			artering agency	+ +1+,230.40	7 31,243.30	- 252,751.15
	1									Could change to Class		Ī	
	1									II facility if we remove		Ī	
	1									street parking in favor		ĺ	
	1									of two 12' travel		ĺ	
	1											ĺ	
	1			Macla: d.A.		NI A C+ / NAS-II -	l	(all. Dilea Dide & A)		lanes, two 5' bike		1	
NAL Chart	I	L	Bill a Bar to (Class III)	McCloud Ave		N A St / Midtown		alk Bike Ride Mt.	NAL Chart	lanes, and two 1'			
Mt. Shasta	Bike	B155	Bike Route (Class III)	Bikeway	Mt Shasta Blvd	Greenway	Sh	nasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	buffers	\$ 59,296.50	\$ 27,367.60	\$ 43,332.05
	1												
	1									Could change to Class		ĺ	
	1									II facility if we remove		ĺ	
	1									street parking in favor		1	
	1				S A St /					of two 10.5' travel		1	
	1			McCloud Ave	Midtown			alk Bike Ride Mt.		lanes and two 5' bike		1	
Mt. Shasta	Bike	B156	Bike Route (Class III)	Bikeway	Greenway	Washington Dr	Sh	nasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	lanes	\$ 104,096.70	\$ 48,044.65	\$ 76,070.68
-	-		-	-	-	-			•	•	-	-	

									- l			
									Would need to widen			
									bridge to continue			
NAL Charle	D.1.	L	D'I - I (Cl II)	Mill-Maple	ur de Gr	Lata Gi	Walk Bike Ride Mt.	NAL Charte	Class II facility across	122 500 50	ć 64.204.20	05.005.04
Mt. Shasta	Bike	B162	Bike Lane (Class II)	Bikeway	High St	Lake St	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	overpass \$	132,609.50	\$ 61,204.38	\$ 96,906.94
									Recommendations			
									outside the City of			
									Mt. Shasta are subject			
				Mill-Maple		Castle St / end of	Walk Bike Ride Mt.		to the approval of the			
Mt. Shasta	Bike	B163	Bike Lane (Class II)	Bikeway	Lake St	angled parking	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	governing agency \$	37,973.00	\$ 7,349.62	\$ 22,661.31
				·			·					
									Recommendations			
									outside the City of			
					Maple St / end				Mt. Shasta are subject			
				Mill-Maple		Beginning of angled	Walk Bike Ride Mt.		to the approval of the			
Mt. Shasta	Bike	B164	Bike Lane (Class II)	Bikeway	parking	parking	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	governing agency \$	24,740.60	\$ 4,788.50	\$ 14,764.55
									Recommendations			
									outside the City of			
				l	L				Mt. Shasta are subject			
No. Chart	B11 -	L	Dilata (Class II)		Beginning of	NA Charle Died	Walk Bike Ride Mt.	NAL Chart	to the approval of the	05.010.55	A	
Mt. Shasta	Bike	B165	Bike Lane (Class II)	Bikeway	angled parking	Mt Shasta Blvd	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	governing agency \$	25,618.20	\$ 4,958.36	\$ 15,288.28
									Recommendations			
									outside the City of			
									Mt. Shasta are subject			
				Mill-Maple			Walk Bike Ride Mt.		to the approval of the			
Mt. Shasta	Bike	B166	Bike Lane (Class II)	Bikeway	Mt Shasta Blvd	Chestnut St	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	governing agency \$	36,720.70	\$ 7,107.23	\$ 21,913.97
ivit. Silasta	DIRC	B100	Bille Latte (Class II)	bikeway	IVIC SITUSCO DIVO	Chestriat St	Shasta Woshity Flan	IVIC. SIIGSCO	governing agency φ	30,720.70	7,107.23	21,313.37
				Mill-Maple			Walk Bike Ride Mt.					
Mt. Shasta	Bike	B167	Bike Route (Class III)	Bikeway	Chestnut St	Alder St	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	Class 3B (from KMZ) \$	42,494.50	\$ 8,224.73	\$ 25,359.62
	1				İ							
									Recommendations			
									outside the City of			
									Mt. Shasta are subject			
				Crosstown			Walk Bike Ride Mt.		to the approval of the			
Mt. Shasta	Bike	B169	Separated Bikeway (Class IV)	Connector West	Kingston Rd	Hospital	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	governing agency \$	138,448.10	\$ 30,495.17	\$ 84,471.63
									Could remove both			
									sides of parking in			
	l						Walk Bike Ride Mt.		favor of Class II bike			
Mt. Shasta	Bike	B170	Bike Lane (Class II)		Ivy St	Alma St	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	lanes \$	123,139.70	\$ 23,833.50	\$ 73,486.60
									Could remove both			
				G		E	MANUEL PLANTA		sides of parking in			
NAt Charte	D:l-a		Conservated Billion (Class IV)	Crosstown	Alma Ca	Everitt Memorial	Walk Bike Ride Mt.	NA+ Chasta	favor of Class II bike	200 100 00	ć 45.415.CO	ć 125 001 20
Mt. Shasta	Bike	B171	Separated Bikeway (Class IV)	Connector East	Alma St	Highway	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	lanes \$	206,186.80	\$ 45,415.60	\$ 125,801.20
									Could change to Class			
									II facility if we remove			
									street parking in favor			
		1							of two 12' travel			
I		1							lanes, two 5' bike			
				McCloud Ave			Walk Bike Ride Mt.		lanes, and two 2'			
Mt. Shasta	Bike	B172	Bike Route (Class II)		Washington Dr	Jefferson Dr	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	buffers \$	266,827.40	\$ 51,644.01	\$ 159,235.70
1									Could change to Class			
1									II facility if we remove			
									street parking in favor			
		1							of two 12' travel			
1									lanes, two 5' bike			
	L		L.,			L	Walk Bike Ride Mt.		lanes, and two 2'			l
Mt. Shasta	Bike	B173	Bike Lane (Class II)	Ski Village Bikeway	Mt Shasta Blvd	City Boundary	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	buffers \$	142,760.00	\$ 27,630.97	\$ 85,195.49
									Recommendations			
1		1							outside the City of			
		1							Mt. Shasta are subject			
				Mill-Maple			Walk Bike Ride Mt.		to the approval of the			
Mt. Shasta	Bike	B175	Bike Route (Class III)		Sisson Ave	High St	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	governing agency \$	100,390.00	\$ 46,333.86	\$ 73,361.93
5114514	J.I.C	בידם	Since results (Glass III)	Direway	5.55011 AVC		Shasta Mobility Fidil	3114344	poverning abelies	100,330.00	7 70,333.80	75,501.95

				•				•				
. !									Recommendations			
									outside the City of			
									Mt. Shasta are subject			
				Mt Shasta Blvd			Walk Bike Ride Mt.		to the approval of the			
Mt. Shasta	Bike	B177			Mt Shasta Blvd	Church St	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	governing agency \$	535,568.50 \$	117,966.63 \$	326,767.57
							· · · · · ·				, ,	,
, ,									Recommendations			
									outside the City of			
									Mt. Shasta are subject			
				Mt Shasta Blvd			Walk Bike Ride Mt.		to the approval of the			
Mt. Shasta	Bike	B178	Separated Bikeway (Class IV)	South	Church St	Loveta Ln	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	governing agency \$	293,205.20 \$	64,582.65 \$	178,893.93
, ,									Recommendations			
									outside the City of			
									Mt. Shasta are subject			
				Mt Shasta Blvd			Walk Bike Ride Mt.		to the approval of the			
Mt. Shasta	Bike	B179	Separated Bikeway (Class IV)	South	Loveta Ln	Mountain View Dr	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	governing agency \$	147,757.20 \$	32,545.64 \$	90,151.42
							,				, .	,
									Recommendations			
									outside the City of			
									Mt. Shasta are subject			
				Mt Shasta Blvd	Mountain View		Walk Bike Ride Mt.		to the approval of the			
Mt. Shasta	Bike	B180	Separated Bikeway (Class IV)	South	Dr	Old McCloud Rd	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	governing agency \$	290,893.20 \$	64,073.38 \$	177,483.29
,		1							Recommendations			
			Mi Charle Di d Danata a Canadad Dilana (Charle) Tanada a Ailana d						outside the City of			
			Mt Shasta Blvd Downtown. Separated Bikeway (Class IV)Two 12' travel lanes, two Class IV bikeways (5' bike lanes), two 5' protected buffers;	Mt Shasta Blvd			Walk Bike Ride Mt.		Mt. Shasta are subject to the approval of the			
Mt. Shasta	Bike	B181	upgrade existing Class II to Class IV		Sheldon Ave	Sisson Ave	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	governing agency \$	132,663.30 \$	29,220.99 \$	80,942.15
IVIC. SITASCA	DIKE	D101	upgraue existing class in to class iv	Downtown	Sheldon Ave	SISSOIT AVE	Shasta Mobility Flah	IVIC. SIIdSCA	governing agency 3	132,003.30 \$	29,220.99	80,942.13
, ,									Recommendations			
			Mt Shasta Blvd Downtown. Mt Shasta Blvd Downtown Separated						outside the City of			
			Bikeway (Class IV).Two 13' travel lanes, two Class IV bikeways (5' bike						Mt. Shasta are subject			
			lanes), two 5' protected buffers, and two 8' parking areas; upgrade	Mt Shasta Blvd			Walk Bike Ride Mt.		to the approval of the			
Mt. Shasta	Bike	B182	existing Class II to Class IV	Downtown	Sisson Ave	McCloud Ave	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	governing agency \$	359,431.00 \$	79,169.82 \$	219,300.41
									Would need to widen			
			Mt Shasta Blvd Downtown. Separated Bikeway (Class IV)Two 11' travel						bridge to continue			
NAt Charts	Dile			Mt Shasta Blvd	Maclaud Aus	Alma Ct	Walk Bike Ride Mt.	NAL Charts	Class II facility across	214 255 00 6	CO 210 27 C	101 727 62
Mt. Shasta	Bike	B183	and two 8' parking areas; upgrade existing Class II to Class IV	Downtown	McCloud Ave	Alma St	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	overpass \$	314,255.90 \$	69,219.37 \$	191,737.63
, ,									Recommendations			
									outside the City of			
			Mt Shasta Blvd Downtown. Separated Bikeway (Class IV)Two 11' travel						Mt. Shasta are subject			
				Mt Shasta Blvd			Walk Bike Ride Mt.		to the approval of the			
Mt. Shasta	Bike	B184	and one 8' parking area; upgrade existing Class II to Class IV	Downtown	Alma St	Hinckley St	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	governing agency \$	428,301.70 \$	94,339.57 \$	261,320.64
									Recommendations			
,			Michael Blakhadh Cara a 188 - 188 - 188 - 188						outside the City of			
			Mt Shasta Blvd North. Separated Bikeway (Class IV)Two 11' travel	NAL Charte DI d			Well Bill Bill Bill		Mt. Shasta are subject			
IMt Shacta	Bike	D105		Mt Shasta Blvd North	Hincklov S+	Nixon Rd	Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	to the approval of the	457,887.20 \$	100,856.21 \$	270 271 70
Mt. Shasta	DIKE	B185	upgrade existing Class II to Class IV	NOTH	Hinckley St	INIAUII NU	Shasta Modility Plan	ivit. Silasta	governing agency \$	437,007.20 \$	100,030.21 \$	279,371.70
									Recommendations			
		1							outside the City of			
		1							Mt. Shasta are subject			
				Mt Shasta Blvd			Walk Bike Ride Mt.		to the approval of the			
Mt. Shasta	Bike		lanes, two Class IV bikeways (5' bike lanes), two 4'-5' protected buffers	North	Nixon Rd	Ski Village Dr	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	governing agency \$	208,418.30 \$	45,907.11 \$	127,162.70
		1							Recommendations			
		1							outside the City of			
		1	Mt Charta Blud North Conserted Biliania (Class BOT and All to a	Mt Charte Bl. d			Malle Bile Bile 54		Mt. Shasta are subject			
Mt. Shasta	Bike	D107		Mt Shasta Blvd North	Ski Village Dr	Spring Hill Dr	Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	to the approval of the governing agency \$	522,107.70 \$	115,001.69 \$	318,554.69
IVIC. JIIGOLG	DIVE	B187	inices, two class is bineways (5 bine lattes), two 5 protected buffers	NOTH	SVI AIIIARE DI	Spring rilli Di	Shasta Mobility Fidil	ivit. Jilasta	Boverning agency 9	322,107.70 3	113,001.03 3	310,334.09
		1					Walk Bike Ride Mt.					
Mt. Shasta	Bike	B188	Bike Route (Class III)		Mt Shasta Blvd	Mt Shasta Blvd		Mt. Shasta	Class 3B (from KMZ) \$	91,590.60 \$	42,272.58 \$	66,931.59
	i e						<u> </u>					
	1	l		I		l l	Walk Bike Ride Mt.					
			Bike Route (Class III)		Mt Shasta Blvd			Mt. Shasta		73,847.90 \$	34,083.65 \$	53,965.77

	T						1	1	1	<u> </u>	T	1	1
										Recommendations			
										outside the City of			
ĺ	1				Everitt			1	1	Mt. Shasta are subject			
NAt Charts	Diko	D463	Dike Poute (Class III)		Memorial	Class I Carrage		Walk Bike Ride Mt.	NAt Charte	to the approval of the	¢ 70.040.70	6 25 454 22	6 50 400 05
Mt. Shasta	Bike	B193	Bike Route (Class III)		Highway	Class I Connector	 	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	governing agency	\$ 76,812.70	\$ 35,451.99	\$ 56,132.35
										Recommendations			
										outside the City of			
										Mt. Shasta are subject			
				Lake Street				Walk Bike Ride Mt.		to the approval of the			
Mt. Shasta	Bike	B194	Bike Lane (Class II)	Connector	City Boundary	I-5		Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	governing agency	\$ 96,537.00	\$ 18,684.58	\$ 57,610.79
										Recommendations			
										outside the City of			
										Mt. Shasta are subject	:		
			Gateway Trails Connector. Bike Lane (Class II)Two 11' travel lanes and	Gateway Trails				Walk Bike Ride Mt.		to the approval of the			
Mt. Shasta	Bike	B195	two Class II bikeways (5' bike lanes)	Connector	Ski Village Dr	Shasta Ave		Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	governing agency	\$ 158,641.50	\$ 30,704.80	\$ 94,673.15
										Danaman dations			
										Recommendations outside the City of			
			Ream Ave Bikeway. Bike Lane (Class II)Bike Recommendation: 5' paved							Mt. Shasta are subject			
1	1		shoulders (Consider Advisory Shoulder from Old Stage Rd intersection				1	Walk Bike Ride Mt.	1	to the approval of the			1
Mt. Shasta	Bike	B196		Ream Ave Bikeway	City Boundary	Mt Shasta Blvd		Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	governing agency	\$ 170,993.50	\$ 33,095.52	\$ 102,044.51
1	1						1		1	Recommendations			1
										outside the City of Mt. Shasta are subject			
								Walk Bike Ride Mt.		to the approval of the			
Mt. Shasta	Bike	B198	Bike Lane (Class II)		Alma St	Lake St	1	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	governing agency	\$ 108,616.10	\$ 21,022.48	\$ 64,819.29
	†	1	, ,				1	,		5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5			3 ,,223,23
										Recommendations			
ĺ	1							1	1	outside the City of			
1	1						1	Malla Bila Bila Sa	1	Mt. Shasta are subject	1		1
Mt. Shasta	Bike	B199	Bike Lane (Class II)		Cedar St	Pine St		Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	to the approval of the governing agency	\$ 40,298.70	\$ 7,799.74	\$ 24,049.22
Silasta	DINC	0133	and the following		ocuui Ji	30	 	S. Austra (VIODINE) Flair	.nc. snasta	DOVERNING UBCITCY	40,230.70	7,733.74	24,043.22
										Recommendations			
										outside the City of			
								l		Mt. Shasta are subject			
NAt Charts	Diko	Daca.	Dike Poute (Class III)		Adams Dr	lofforce: D:	1	Walk Bike Ride Mt.	NAt Charte	to the approval of the	¢ 440.000.50	6 04 750 00	403 536 55
Mt. Shasta	Bike	B200	Bike Route (Class III)		Adams Dr	Jefferson Dr		Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	governing agency	\$ 140,299.50	\$ 64,753.60	\$ 102,526.55
										Recommendations			
1	1						1		1	outside the City of			1
1	1						1		1	Mt. Shasta are subject			1
1	1			Mill-Maple			1	Walk Bike Ride Mt.	1	to the approval of the		1.	1.
Mt. Shasta	Bike	B225	Bike Route (Class III)	Bikeway	Mill St	Mt Shasta Blvd		Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	governing agency	\$ 43,056.30	\$ 19,872.13	\$ 31,464.21
										Recommendations			
1	1						1		1	outside the City of			1
1	1						1		1	Mt. Shasta are subject			1
					Mountain View			Walk Bike Ride Mt.		to the approval of the			
Mt. Shasta	Bike	B228	Bicycle Boulevard (Class III)		Dr	Old McCloud Rd		Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	governing agency	\$ 148,317.30	\$ 68,454.15	\$ 108,385.72
				Dunsmuir-Mt				Molk Bike Bide 54					
Mt. Shasta	Bike	B230		Shasta-Weed Trail North				Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta		\$ 43,745.00	\$ 20,190.01	\$ 31,967.50
ivit. Silasta	DIKE	B23U	picycle boulevalu (class III)	Dunsmuir-Mt			 	Shasta Mobility Plan	ivit. Silasta	+	ب 45,745.00	عر 20,190.01	51,367.50
				Shasta-Weed Trail				Walk Bike Ride Mt.					
Mt. Shasta	Bike	B232	Bicycle Boulevard (Class III)	North				Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta		\$ 140,935.10	\$ 65,046.99	\$ 102,991.05
	İ			Dunsmuir-Mt								1	
	1			Shasta-Weed Trail				Walk Bike Ride Mt.	1		1 .	1.	1.
Mt. Shasta	Bike	B242	Bicycle Boulevard (Class III)	North				Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	1	\$ 306,898.80	\$ 141,645.59	\$ 224,272.19
								Walk Bika Bida M#					
Mt. Shasta	Bike	B247	Bicycle Boulevard (Class III)		Alma St	Pine St	1	Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	1	\$ 257,593.20	\$ 118,889.17	\$ 188,241.19
IVIL. JIIdSLd	DIKE	B247	picycic boulevalu (class III)		Allila 3t	i iiic Ji	 	Shasta MODILLY Plati	IVIL. JIIASLA	+	257,593.20	7 110,009.17	7 100,241.19
	1		4 curb extensions and 4 high visibility crosswalks across all legs; 2				1	Walk Bike Ride Mt.	1	1			1
Mt. Shasta	Crossing			S Mt Shasta Blvd			Old McCloud Rd	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	Needs enginer review	\$ 832,000.00	\$ 256,000.00	\$ 544,000.00
	<u> </u>	•						•	•		•	<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Columbia Columbia		,		1					,	1			
Control Cont				2 ADA curb ramps 1 DDED agracs Mt. Chasta Blud 2 high visibility.									
Variety Vari							McCloud Avo /	Walk Bika Bida Mt		Noods anginoor			
Process Proc	Mt Chasta	Crossing	COO		Mt Shacta Blud		•		Mt Shacta		¢ 205 000 00	¢ 97 E00 00	\$ 191,250.00
Description Company	IVIT. Shasta	Crossing	C88	study may be required to convert intersection to roundabout.	IVIT Shasta BIVO		Chestnut St	Shasta Mobility Plan	IVIT. Shasta	review	\$ 295,000.00	\$ 87,500.00	\$ 191,250.00
State Process Column C				2 - h - tarata a Altah Stillia araa ali A aalaatta af				MACHERIA DI LE DI LE MAC		No. de contract			
College Coll		l	1					1		_		400 500 00	
Agriculture Company	Mt. Shasta	Crossing	C89	Island, 2 RRFBs	Lake St		Chestnut St	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	review	\$ 433,000.00	\$ 129,500.00	\$ 281,250.00
Agriculture Company										l., , ,			
According Colored Co		1								_		1.	
Subject County	Mt. Shasta	Crossing	C90	1 curb extension, 1 high visibility crosswalk, 2 RRFBs	Mt Shasta Blvd		Ream Ave	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta		\$ 583,000.00	\$ 161,500.00	\$ 372,250.00
Second Process Company Compa										-			
Column C													
Column C	Mt. Shasta	Crossing	C92	5 ramp	Lake St		I-5 Ramp	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	total)	\$ 526,000.00	\$ 136,000.00	\$ 331,000.00
Company Comp				2 high visibility crosswalks, 1 pedestrian refuge island across Everitt									
No. South County Count				Memorial Highway on north side of intersection, protected			Everitt Memorial	Walk Bike Ride Mt.					
March Marc	Mt. Shasta	Crossing	C93	intersection to facilitate bike crossing	Rockfellow Dr		Highway	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	light all direction	\$ 26,000.00	\$ 6,000.00	\$ 16,000.00
March Marc													
1.1 1.1								Walk Bike Ride Mt.					
Secretary Secr	Mt. Shasta	Crossing	C94	1 standard crosswalk across Kenneth Way	Rockfellow Dr		Ivy St / Kenneth Way	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta		\$ 3,000.00	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 2,250.00
Secretary Secr				1 high visibility crosswalk and 1 RRFB across Rockfellow Dr on west									
Machine County				side of intersection, 1 standard crosswalk across Adams Dr on south									
A				side of intersection, 2 curb ramps. Additional study: 4-way stop				Walk Bike Ride Mt.					
Page Page	Mt. Shasta	Crossing	C95	analysis	Rockfellow Dr		N Adams Dr	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta		\$ 284,000.00	\$ 82,000.00	\$ 183,000.00
March Country Countr		 		· ·				·					
March Country Control Country Control Country Countr				4 high visibility crosswalks and 4 curb ramps across all legs of				Walk Bike Ride Mt.					
2 psychodoling personalis grazes Workshop of Draw Cold McClose Rome on the work does not be missed and protection for the stand that work for or the missed due of the stand that work for or the missed due of the stand that work for or the missed due of the stand that work for or the missed due of the stand that work for or the missed due of the stand that work for or the missed due of the stand that work for or the missed due of the stand that work for or the missed due of the stand that work for or the missed due of the stand that work for or the missed due of the stand that work for or the missed due of the stand that work for the missed due of the stand that work for or the missed due of the stand that work for the stand that work for the missed due of the stand that work for the stand that work for the stand that work for the stand that work for the stand that work for the stand that work for the stand that work for the stand that work for the stand that work for the stand that work for the stand that work for the stand that work for the stand that work for the stand that work for the stand that w	Mt. Shasta	Crossing	C96	1 '	Washington Dr		McCloud Ave	1	Mt. Shasta		\$ 68.000.00	\$ 34.000.00	\$ 51,000.00
On the wavest last of the interventation, 2 can image 1 con the outside Seed of the Court Angle and the Co		1	1000		in a constant of the constant			1				1	
March Court Cour													
Miles Mile				•				Walk Rike Ride Mt		Cannot see south side			
Mil. Shasts Couling Co	Mt Shasta	Crossing	C07		Washington Dr		Old McCloud Rd		Mt Shasta		\$ 48,000,00	\$ 24,000,00	\$ 36,000.00
Mode Mode	IVIC. SIIasta	Crossing	C97	intersection	washington bi		Old Wicciodd Nu	Silasta Wobility Flair	IVIC. SITASCA	III Street view	48,000.00	3 24,000.00	30,000.00
Maintain Consistent Consi				1 high visibility eracswalls and 1 such rooms across Mountain View Dr				Malk Bika Bida Mt					
Counting Project. 2 cut to consensions. A high visibility crosswells across a graph of the consension of the county of the count	Mt Chasta	Crossing	600		Mt Chasta Blud		Mountain View Dr	1	Mt Chasta		¢ 17,000,00	¢ 8 500 00	¢ 13.750.00
All Internection legs, 1 perfections refrege bland, for all internection legs include a listant generation interval. Add bits detection as both 10 lake 91. Shasta Mobility Plan No. 5 hasta interval. Add bits detection as both 10 lake 91. Shasta Mobility Plan No. 5 hasta interval. Add bits detection as both 10 lake 91. Shasta Mobility Plan No. 5 hasta interval. Add bits detection as both 10 lake 91. Shasta Mobility Plan No. 5 hasta interval. Special processing of the process	IVIT. Shasta	Crossing	C98		IVIT Shasta BIVO		Mountain view Dr	Shasta Mobility Plan	IVIT. Shasta		\$ 17,000.00	\$ 8,500.00	\$ 12,750.00
Mr. Shasts Orosing op oligosis. Mr. Shasts Orosing op oligosis.													
Mr. Shasta Crossing Crossin													
Mil. Shasta Crossing Cool County Cooling Cool County Cooling Cool Cool		L .								-			1
Mr. Shasta	Mt. Shasta	Crossing	C99	signals.	Mt Shasta Blvd		Lake St	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	intersection	\$ 272,000.00	\$ 99,000.00	\$ 185,500.00
Mr. Shasta													
Mt. Shasta Crossing C101 across Lake St north of intersection and 1 RRPS Lake St Washington Dr / Event Memorial Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta intersection S 273,000.00 S 69,300.00 S Mt. Shasta Crossing C101 across Lake St north of intersection with Washington Dr Lake St Washington Dr Washingto		1										1.	
Mr. Shasta	Mt. Shasta	Crossing	C100	1 curb extension and 1 high visibility crosswalk across Ivy St	Mt Shasta Blvd		 	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta		\$ 83,000.00	\$ 31,500.00	\$ 57,250.00
Mt. Shasta Crossing Color Cossing Color Cossing Color Cossing							-						
Mt. Shasta Crossing C102 Additional study required for a Signal or Beacon Mt Shasta Blvd Sis Village Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta Drug Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta Drug Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta Drug Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta Drug Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta Drug Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta Drug Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta Drug Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta Drug Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta Drug Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta Drug Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta Drug Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta Shasta Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta Shasta Mt. Shasta Mt. Shasta Mt. Shasta Mt. Shasta Shasta Mt. Shasta Mt. Shasta Mt. Shasta Mt. Shasta Mt. Shasta Mt. Shasta Shasta Mt. Shasta Mt. Shasta Mt. Shasta Mt. Shasta Mt. S				1 high visibility crosswalk, 1 pedestrian refuge island and 1 RRFB			Everitt Memorial	Walk Bike Ride Mt.		north of the			
Mit. Shasta Crossing closed depending on new sidewolk location \$ 80,000.00 \$ 50,000.00 \$ \$ 50,000.00	Mt. Shasta	Crossing	C101	across Lake St north of intersection with Washington Dr	Lake St		Highway	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	intersection	\$ 273,000.00	\$ 69,500.00	\$ 171,250.00
Mit. Shasta Crossing closed depending on new sidewolk location \$ 80,000.00 \$ 50,000.00 \$ \$ 50,000.00													
Mt. Shasta Crossing C102 Additional study required for a Signal or Beacon Mt Shasta Bivd Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta new sidewalk location \$ 80,000.00 \$ 5													
Mt. Shasta Crossing Cros										Potential pedestrian			
Mt. Shasta Crossing C102 Additional study required for a Signal or Beacon Mt Shasta Blvd Ski Village Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta new sidewalk location \$ 80,000.00 \$ 50,000.00 \$													
3 curb extensions located on all liegs with the exception of the east Alma St leg of the intersection and 2 high visibility crosswalks across Alma St leg of the intersection, with leading pedestrian intervals Mt. Shasta Crossing C104 Mt. Shasta Crossing C105 1 standard crosswalk across Kingston Rd Pine St Mt. Shasta Crossing C108 Mt. Shasta Crossing C109 1 curb extensions located on all liegs with the exception of the east Alma St leg of the intersection, with leading pedestrian intervals Chestrut St Chestrut St Alma St Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta Mt. Shasta Crossing C106 1 curb extensions located on all liegs with the exception of the east Alma St leg of the intersection, with leading pedestrian intervals Chestrut St Chestrut St Kingston Rd Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta Pine St Walk Bike Ride Mt. Walk Bike Ride Mt. Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta Sha								Walk Bike Ride Mt.		needed depending on			
Scurb extensions located on all legs with the exception of the east Alma St leg of the intersection, and 2 high visibility crosswalks across Alma St leg of the intersection, with leading pedestrian intervals Crossing C104 Intervals Crossing C105 I standard crosswalk across Kingston Rd Mt. Shasta Crossing C105 I standard crosswalk across Kingston Rd Pine St Kingston Rd Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta Crossing C108 high visibility crosswalk across Kingston Rd Mt. Shasta Crossing C108 Locate and a lites with the exception of the east Alma St on both sides of the intersection, with leading pedestrian Chestrut St Chestrut St Kingston Rd Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta Crossing C108 high visibility crosswalk across Kingston Rd Mt. Shasta Crossing C109 I curb extension across Chestrut St on south side of intersection Mt. Shasta Crossing C109 I curb extension across Rockfellow Dr on east side of intersection Rockfellow Dr Alma St Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta	Mt. Shasta	Crossing	C102	Additional study required for a Signal or Beacon	Mt Shasta Blvd		Ski Village Dr	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta		\$ 80,000.00	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 65,000.00
Mit. Shasta Crossing C104 intervals Chestnut St Chestn				3 curb extensions located on all legs with the exception of the east									
Alma St on both sides of the intersection, with leading pedestrian Mt. Shasta Crossing C104 Mt. Shasta Crossing C105 1 standard crosswalk across Kingston Rd Pine St Kingston Rd Mt. Shasta Mt. Shasta Mt. Shasta Crossing C108 1 curb extension across Chestnut St on south side of intersection Mt. Shasta Crossing C109 1 curb extension across Rockfellow Dr on east side of intersection Mt. Shasta Crossing C109 1 curb extension across Rockfellow Dr on east side of intersection Mt. Shasta Mt. Shast		1	1	Alma St leg of the intersection and 2 high visibility crosswalks across	j					Imrprovement area			1
Mt. Shasta Crossing C104 intervals Chestnut St Crossing C105 intervals C105 intervals C105 interval		1		Alma St on both sides of the intersection, with leading pedestrian				Walk Bike Ride Mt.	1	based on public input			1
Mt. Shasta Crossing C105 1 standard crosswalk across Kingston Rd Pine St Kingston Rd Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta Pine St 5 3,000.00 \$ 1,500.00 \$ 1 curb extension across Chestnut St on south side of intersection; 1 high visibility crosswalk across ky St on west side of intersection; 1 high visibility crosswalk across ky St on west side of intersection; 1 high visibility crosswalk across ky St on west side of intersection; 1 high visibility crosswalk across ky St on west side of intersection Rockfellow Dr Alma St Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta Shasta Mt. Nieds engineer	Mt. Shasta	Crossing	C104		Chestnut St		Alma St	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta		\$ 246,000.00	\$ 93,000.00	\$ 169,500.00
Mt. Shasta Crossing C105 1 standard crosswalk across Kingston Rd Pine St Kingston Rd Ndt. Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta Crossing C108 1 curb extension across Chestnut St on south side of intersection; 1 high visibility crosswalk across kingston Rd Ndt. Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta Ndbility Plan Mt. Shasta Ndbility Plan Mt. Shasta Ndbility Plan Ndt. Shasta Ndbility Ndbility Plan Ndt. Shasta Ndbility Plan Ndt. Shasta Ndbility Ndbil		1	1		 								1
Mt. Shasta Crossing C105 1 standard crosswalk across Kingston Rd Pine St Kingston Rd Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta Pine St \$ 3,000.00 \$ 1,500.00 \$		1							1				1
Mt. Shasta Crossing C105 1 standard crosswalk across Kingston Rd Pine St Kingston Rd Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta Pine St \$ 3,000.00 \$ 1,500		1	1		j			Walk Bike Ride Mt.					1
Mt. Shasta Crossing C109 1 curb extension across Chestnut St on south side of intersection; 1 Mt. Shasta Crossing C109 1 curb extension across Rockfellow Dr Alma St Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta \$83,000.00 \$31,500.00 \$ Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta \$80,000.00 \$31,500.00 \$ Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta \$80,000.00 \$30,000.00 \$ Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta \$80,000.00 \$30,000.00 \$ Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta \$30,000.00 \$30,000.00 \$ Mt. Shasta Crossing C110 intersection intersection intersection intersection intersection intersection intersection Pine St Alma St Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta \$32,000.00 \$126,000.00 \$ Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta \$32,000.00 \$126,000.00 \$ Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta \$32,000.00 \$126,000.00 \$ Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta \$32,000.00 \$126,000.00 \$ Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta \$126,000.00 \$ Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta \$126,000.00 \$ Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta \$126,000.00 \$ Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta \$126,000.00 \$ Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta \$126,000.00 \$ Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta \$126,000.00 \$ Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta \$126,000.00 \$ Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta \$126,000.00 \$ Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta \$126,000.00 \$ Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta \$126,000.00 \$ Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta \$126,000.00 \$ Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta Mt. Shas	Mt. Shasta	Crossing	C105	1 standard crosswalk across Kingston Rd	Pine St		Kingston Rd	1	Mt. Shasta		\$ 3,000,00	\$ 1,500,00	\$ 2,250.00
Mt. Shasta Crossing C108 high visibility crosswalk across lvy St on west side of intersection Chestnut St		1			 	+				******	, 3,300.00	, 2,530.00	, 2,250.00
Mt. Shasta Crossing C108 high visibility crosswalk across lvy St on west side of intersection Chestnut St		1	1	1 curb extension across Chestnut St on south side of intersection: 1	j			Walk Rike Ride Mt					1
Mt. Shasta Crossing C109 1 curb extension across Rockfellow Dr on east side of intersection Rockfellow Dr Rockfell	Mt. Shasta	Crossing	C108	·	Chestnut St		lvv St		Mt. Shasta		\$ 83 በበባ በባ	\$ 31 500 00	\$ 57,250.00
Mt. Shasta Crossing C109 1 curb extension across Rockfellow Dr on east side of intersection Rockfellow Dr on ea	ivit. Jilasta	Crossing	C109	ingit visibility crosswank across by St off west side of liftersection	Circotilut St		1.vy 3t	Sinasta MODILLY FIAII	WIC. SITASLA	-	65,000.00	7 31,300.00	7 37,230.00
Mt. Shasta Crossing C109 1 curb extension across Rockfellow Dr on east side of intersection Rockfellow Dr on ea		1	1		j			Walk Rika Rida M+					1
Mt. Shasta Crossing C110 4 curb extensions and 4 high visibility crosswalks at all legs of intersection Pine St Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan Wt. Shasta Mobility Plan Wt. Shasta Mobility Plan Wt. Shasta Mobility Plan Wt. Shasta Mobility Plan Walk Bike Ride Mt. Needs engineer	NA+ Chasts	Crossing	C100	1 curb extension across Bookfallow Dr. on ocataida of intersection	Pockfollow D:		Alma St		Mt Shasta		¢ 00.000.00	¢ 20,000,00	¢ 55,000,00
Mt. Shasta Crossing C110 intersection Pine St Alma St Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta High Walk Bike Ride Mt. Needs engineer State Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta High Walk Bike Ride Mt.	ivit. SildStd	Crossing	C103	1 Curb extension across nockiellow of on east side of intersection	MOCKIEIIOW DE		Allila St	Silasta MODIIITA LIGU	IVIL. SIIdSLd		₹ 80,000.00	30,000.00	\$ 55,000.00
Mt. Shasta Crossing C110 intersection Pine St Pine St Alma St Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta High Walk Bike Ride Mt. Mt. Shasta High Walk Bike Ride Mt. Needs engineer \$ 332,000.00 \$ 126,000.00 \$		1		A south automation and A hard trade to the state of the s				Malla Bila - Bid - 11	1				1
Everitt Memorial Mt Shasta High Walk Bike Ride Mt. Needs engineer	NAL Chart		1	1	[n c.		Al 61		NAL Chart				
	ıvıt. Shasta	Crossing	C110	intersection	rine St		Aima St	Snasta Mobility Plan	ıvıt. Shasta		\$ 332,000.00	\$ 126,000.00	\$ 229,000.00
			1		<u>[</u>		L., a		1	L			1
Mt. Shasta Crossing C112 2 curb extensions and 1 high visibility crosswalk Highway School Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta review \$ 163,000.00 \$ 61,500.00 \$		L .	1				-		L	_	l.		1.
	Mt. Shasta	Crossing	C112	2 curb extensions and 1 high visibility crosswalk	Highway		School	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	review	\$ 163,000.00	\$ 61,500.00	\$ 112,250.00

	Ι												
								Walk Bike Ride Mt.					
Mt. Shasta	Crossing	C114	2 high visibility crosswalks, 2 yield symbols, 1 curb ramp	Alma St			Cedar St	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta		\$ 20,000.00	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 15,000.00
										Pedestrian collision at			
	L .		Crossing Project. 1 high visibility crosswalk, 2 RRFBs, 3 curb extensions,					Walk Bike Ride Mt.		location; Needs			
Mt. Shasta	Crossing	C115	advance yield markings and signage	Mt Shasta Blvd			Alpine St	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	engineer review	\$ 743,000.00	\$ 221,500.00	\$ 482,250.00
			1 standard crosswalk across Castle St on west side of intersection; 1					Walk Bike Ride Mt.					
Mt. Shasta	Crossing	C116	standard crosswalk across Alder St on north side of intersection	Castle St			Alder St	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta		\$ 6,000.00	\$ 3,000.00	\$ 4,500.00
								Walk Bike Ride Mt.		Desire for area to be			
Mt. Shasta	Crossing	C117	2 curb extensions and 2 high visibility crosswalks across Mt Shasta Blvd	Mt Shasta Blvd			Castle St	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	pedestrian zone	\$ 166,000.00	\$ 63,000.00	\$ 114,500.00
Mt. Shasta	Crossing	C118	2 curb extensions on north and south legs of Chestnut Street	Chestnut St			Castle St	Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	Desire for area to be pedestrian zone	\$ 320,000.00	\$ 120,000.00	\$ 220,000.00
		CIIO								Imrprovement area	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		,
NAL Charle			1 high visibility crosswalk and 2 curb ramps across Washington Dr; 1	Western B.			Add a	Walk Bike Ride Mt.	Mt. Charte	based on public input	24 000 00	47,000,00	25 500 00
Mt. Shasta	Crossing	C120	standard crosswalk across Ackley Ave	Washington Dr			Ackley Ave	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	survey	\$ 34,000.00	\$ 17,000.00	\$ 25,500.00
										Imrprovement area			
			1 high vichility grosswalk 1 nadostrian hybrid haasan and 1 such rama					Walk Bike Ride Mt.		based on public input			
Mt. Shasta	Crossing	C121	1 high visbility crosswalk, 1 pedestrian hybrid beacon, and 1 curb ramp across Mt Shasta Blvd; 1 standard crosswalk across High St	Mt Shasta Blvd			High St	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	survey; Pedestrian collision at location	\$ 820,000.00	\$ 610,000.00	\$ 715,000.00
								,					
Mt. Shasta	Crossing	C122	1 standard crosswalk across Castle St	Pine St			Castle St	Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	Pedestrian collision at location	\$ 3,000.00	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 2,250.00
IVIL. SIIdSLd	Crossing	C122	1 Standard Crosswark across Castie St	rille 3t			Castle 3t	Silasta Wobility Flair	IVIL. SIIdSLA	location	3,000.00	3 1,300.00	3 2,230.00
			4 curb extensions across all legs of intersection; 2 high visibility					Walk Bike Ride Mt.		Pedestrian collision at			
Mt. Shasta	Crossing	C123	crosswalks across Pine St; 2 standard crosswalks across Ivy St 1 curb extension across Commercial Ave; 1 high visibility crosswalk	Pine St	-		Ivy St	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	location	\$ 332,000.00	\$ 126,000.00	\$ 229,000.00
			and 1 pedestrian refuge island across Lake St; 2 leading pedestrian					Walk Bike Ride Mt.					
Mt. Shasta	Crossing	C124		Lake St			Commercial Ave	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta		\$ 103,000.00	\$ 34,500.00	\$ 68,750.00
			1 curb extensions, 1 high visibility crosswalk, 2 yield symbols across					Walk Bike Ride Mt.					
Mt. Shasta	Crossing	C125		Pine St			Medical Center	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta		\$ 83,000.00	\$ 31,500.00	\$ 57,250.00
Mt. Shasta	Crossing	C127	1 high visibility crosswalk across I-5 ramp	Hatchery Ln			I-5 Ramp	Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta		\$ 3,000.00	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 2,250.00
			,	,			·	,			,	,	,
Mt. Shasta	Crassing	6430	Additional study required for notantial roundabout	Mt Shasta Blvd			Caring Hill Dr	Walk Bike Ride Mt.	Mt. Shasta		\$ 80,000.00	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 65,000.00
IVIL. SIIdSLd	Crossing	C128	Additional study required for potential roundabout	IVIL SIIdSLA BIVU			Spring Hill Dr	Shasta Mobility Plan	IVIL. SIIdSLA		\$ 80,000.00	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 65,000.00
			Upgrade crosswalk to high visibility crosswalk with advance pedestrian					Walk Bike Ride Mt.					
Mt. Shasta	Crossing	C129	yield markings and signage.	Mt Shasta Blvd			lda St	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta		\$ 3,000.00	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 2,250.00
			Crossing Project. Upgrade crosswalk to high visibility crosswalk with					Walk Bike Ride Mt.					
Mt. Shasta	Crossing	C130	advance pedestrian yield markings and signage.	Mt Shasta Blvd			Smith St	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta		\$ 3,000.00	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 2,250.00
			Consider adding electric vehicle charging at the following locations:					Siskiyou County Active					
Mt. Shasta	EV Charging	EV66		N/A				Transportation Plan	Mt. Shasta		\$ 100,000.00	\$ 100,000.00	\$ 100,000.00
								Cialdina Canada Astina					
Mt. Shasta	EV Charging	EV67	Consider adding electric vehicle charging at the following locations: Gateway Trailhead, Lake Siskiyou Trailhead, Pioneer Trailhead.	N/A				Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	Mt. Shasta		\$ 100,000.00	\$ 100,000.00	\$ 100,000.00
Mt. Shasta	EV Charging	EV68	Consider adding electric vehicle charging at the following locations: Gateway Trailhead, Lake Siskiyou Trailhead, Pioneer Trailhead.	N/A				Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	Mt. Shasta		\$ 100,000.00	\$ 100,000.00	\$ 100,000.00
IVIL. SIIdSLd	EV Charging	EVOS	Gateway Haimeau, Lake Siskiyou Haimeau, Pioneel Haimeau.	IN/A				Transportation Flan	IVIL. SITASLA		3 100,000.00	3 100,000.00	3 100,000.00
										Recommendations			
										outside the City of Mt. Shasta are subject			
					Existing			Walk Bike Ride Mt.		to the approval of the			
Mt. Shasta	Sidewalk	SW248	Sidewalk	Rockfellow Dr	sidewalk	Alma St		Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	governing agency	\$ 82,461.60	\$ 54,974.40	\$ 68,718.00
										Recommendations			
										outside the City of			
				Everitt Man:-!	Existing sidewalk in			Walk Biko Bido 844		Mt. Shasta are subject			
Mt. Shasta	Sidewalk	SW249	Sidewalk		sidewalk in front of school	Shasta Ave		Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	to the approval of the governing agency	\$ 122,609.60	\$ 81,739.75	\$ 102,174.67
vic. Jiiusta	Sidewalk	J V V Z + J	oracwark	y	110111 01 301001	שומשנע העכ	I .	Onasta Wobility Flail	ivit. Jilasta	Poverning agency	7 122,009.00	9 01,/39./3	102,174.07

									Recommendations			
									outside the City of			
									Mt. Shasta are subject			
					Everitt		Walk Bike Ride Mt		to the approval of the			
Mt. Shasta	Sidewalk	SW250	Sidewalk	Rockfellow Dr	Memorial Hwy	Adams Dr	Shasta Mobility Pl	an Mt. Shasta	governing agency	\$ 222,584.00	\$ 148,389.32	\$ 185,486.66
									Recommendations			
									outside the City of			
									Mt. Shasta are subject			
			Sidewalk Project. Sidewalk on Mt Shasta Blvd from Hinckley St to				Walk Bike Ride Mt		to the approval of the			
Mt. Shasta	Sidewalk	SW251	Reginato Rd.	Mt Shasta Blvd	Hinckley St	Reginato Rd	Shasta Mobility Pl	n Mt. Shasta	governing agency	\$ 540,389.50	\$ 360,259.67	\$ 450,324.58
									Recommendations			
									outside the City of			
									Mt. Shasta are subject			
					Existing		Walk Bike Ride Mt		to the approval of the			
Mt. Shasta	Sidewalk	SW252	Sidewalk	Mt Shasta Blvd	sidewalk	Ski Village Dr	Shasta Mobility Pl		governing agency	\$ 58,533.90	\$ 39,022.61	\$ 48,778.26
		344232							ger entitie general	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	7 30,322.02	7 13,7 1 1 1 2
									Recommendations			
									outside the City of			1
									Mt. Shasta are subject			
							Walk Bike Ride Mt		to the approval of the			
Mt. Shasta	Sidewalk	SW253	Sidewalk	Ski Village Dr	Mt Shacta Blvd	Spring Hill Trail	Shasta Mobility Pl		governing agency	\$ 131,410.00	\$ 87,606.66	\$ 109,508.33
IVIC. SITASCA	Sidewalk	3W255	Sidewalk	Ski village Di	IVIC SHASCA DIVU	Spring riiii rraii	Silasta Wobility Fi	iii ivit. Siiasta	governing agency	3 131,410.00	37,000.00	3 109,308.33
									Recommendations			
									outside the City of			1
							NACH DE CREAT NA		Mt. Shasta are subject			
		1				6.	Walk Bike Ride Mt		to the approval of the	4		.=
Mt. Shasta	Sidewalk	SW254	Sidewalk	Chestnut St	Ivy St	Hinckley St	Shasta Mobility Pl	n Mt. Shasta	governing agency	\$ 204,947.70	\$ 136,631.83	\$ 170,789.77
									B			1
									Recommendations			1
									outside the City of			1
									Mt. Shasta are subject			1
							Walk Bike Ride Mt		to the approval of the		1.	1.
Mt. Shasta	Sidewalk	SW255	Sidewalk	Ivy St	Chestnut St	Ivy St	Shasta Mobility Pl	n Mt. Shasta	governing agency	\$ 76,944.00	\$ 51,295.97	\$ 64,119.99
												1
									Recommendations			
									outside the City of			
									Mt. Shasta are subject			
							Walk Bike Ride Mt		to the approval of the			1
Mt. Shasta	Sidewalk	SW256	Sidewalk	Jessie St	Chestnut St	Alder St	Shasta Mobility Pl	an Mt. Shasta	governing agency	\$ 69,492.60	\$ 46,328.38	\$ 57,910.49
					Existing				Recommendations			1
					sidewalk				outside the City of			
					(between	Existing sidewalk			Mt. Shasta are subject			
						(between Birch St and			to the approval of the			
Mt. Shasta	Sidewalk	SW257	Sidewalk	Lake St	Alder St)	Spruce St)	Shasta Mobility Pl	n Mt. Shasta	governing agency	\$ 176,761.70	\$ 117,841.15	\$ 147,301.42
		1							Recommendations		ĺ	
1					1				outside the City of			
					Existing				Mt. Shasta are subject			
1					sidewalk at mid	 	Walk Bike Ride Mt		to the approval of the			
Mt. Shasta	Sidewalk	SW258	Sidewalk	Lake St	block crossing	Washington Dr	Shasta Mobility Pl	n Mt. Shasta	governing agency	\$ 53,215.40	\$ 35,476.95	\$ 44,346.18
					1							
					1				Recommendations		ĺ	
					1				outside the City of			
		1							Mt. Shasta are subject		Ī	
1			Sidewalk Project. Sidewalk on Alma St from Mt Shasta Blvd To Existing		1		Walk Bike Ride Mt		to the approval of the			
Mt. Shasta	Sidewalk	SW259	,	Alma St	Mt Shasta Blvd	Existing Sidewalk	Shasta Mobility Pl	n Mt. Shasta	governing agency	\$ 56,174.90	\$ 37,449.95	\$ 46,812.42
	1	1			1				5 5 5 - 1		1	1,72
1					1				Recommendations			
		1							outside the City of		ĺ	
					1	Existing sidewalk			Mt. Shasta are subject			
					1	north of Old McCloud	Walk Bike Ride Mt	.	to the approval of the			
Mt. Shasta	Sidewalk	SW260	Sidewalk	Mt Shasta Blvd	Ream Ave	Rd	Shasta Mobility Pl		governing agency	\$ 75,588.80	\$ 50,392.52	\$ 62,990.66
.vic. Jilasta	SIGCOVAIN	200200	o de main	t Shasta biya	cam Ave		Shasta Mobility Pi	1711. 3110310	Power mile agency	7 73,300.00	7 30,332.32	٧ (١٥٥ ع

										Recommendations			
										outside the City of			
					E talta.		,	AV-II D'I - D'I- MI		Mt. Shasta are subject			
Mt Shacta	Sidowalk	CW2C1	Sidowalk	Mt Shasta Blvd	Existing sidewalk	Mountain View Dr		Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt Shacta	to the approval of the	\$ 52,584.20	\$ 35,056.15	\$ 43,820.18
Mt. Shasta	Sidewalk	SW261	Sidewalk	ivit Snasta Bivo	sidewalk	Mountain View Dr	3	snasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	governing agency	\$ 52,584.20	\$ 35,050.15	\$ 43,820.18
										D			
										Recommendations			
										outside the City of			1
							l,	Malle Dilea Dida MA		Mt. Shasta are subject			1
NAL Charte	C:		C:decoall.	NAt Chasta Divid	Charab Ct	I a conta I a		Walk Bike Ride Mt.	NAL Charte	to the approval of the	ć 201 102 00	¢ 200 704 67	5 250 002 24
Mt. Shasta	Sidewalk	SW262	Sidewalk	Mt Shasta Blvd	Church St	Loveta Ln	3	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	governing agency	\$ 301,192.00	\$ 200,794.67	\$ 250,993.34
										Docommondations			
										Recommendations outside the City of			1
					Evicting								1
					Existing sidewalk at I-5		l,	Walk Bike Ride Mt.		Mt. Shasta are subject to the approval of the			1
Mt Chasta	Cidowalk	CMACA	Cidoualk	Hatchonila		I E ramp		Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt Chasta		\$ 120,846.90	\$ 80,564.59	\$ 100,705.75
Mt. Shasta	Sidewalk	SW263	Sidewalk	Hatchery Ln	overpass	I-5 ramp	3	snasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	governing agency	\$ 120,846.90	\$ 80,564.59	\$ 100,705.75
										Docommondations			
									1	Recommendations			
									1	outside the City of			
		1					[,	Walk Bike Ride Mt.	1	Mt. Shasta are subject to the approval of the			
Mt. Shasta	Sidewalk	CM2C4	Sidewalk	Hatchery Ln	I-5 ramp	City Boundary		Maik Bike Ride Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta		\$ 260,113.40	\$ 173,408.91	\$ 216,761.15
IVIL. SIIdSLd	Sidewalk	SW264	Sidewalk	natchery Lii	1-5 ramp	City Boundary	3	Shasta Mobility Plan	IVIL. SIIdSLd	governing agency	\$ 260,113.40	\$ 173,408.91	3 216,761.13
										Recommendations			
										outside the City of			1
					Evicting								1
					Existing sidewalk at I-5		l,	Walk Bike Ride Mt.		Mt. Shasta are subject to the approval of the			1
NAL Charts	C:		C:-d	Hataban La				Maik Bike Ride Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan	NAL Charte		ć (1.735.00	41 150 50	51 420 24
Mt. Shasta	Sidewalk	SW265	Sidewalk	Hatchery Ln	overpass	I-5 ramp	3	snasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	governing agency	\$ 61,725.90	\$ 41,150.59	\$ 51,438.24
										Docommondations			
										Recommendations outside the City of			
													1
							,	Malle Dilea Dida MA		Mt. Shasta are subject			
NAt Chasts	C:		C:decorally	Lalia Ch		Managa 14/a		Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan	NAL Charte	to the approval of the	\$ 57,310.70	¢ 20.207.14	\$ 47,758.92
Mt. Shasta	Sidewalk	SW266	Sidewalk	Lake St	I-5 ramp	Morgan Way	3	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	governing agency	\$ 37,310.70	\$ 38,207.14	3 47,758.92
							l _i	Walk Bike Ride Mt.					
Mt. Shasta	Sidewalk	SW269	Sidewalk	Mt Shasta Blvd	Roelofs Ct	Church St		Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta		\$ 515,901.10	\$ 343,934.09	\$ 429,917.59
IVIC. Silasta	Sidewalk	344209	Sidewalk	IVIC SITUSCU DIVU	Rociois et	Charen St	-	onasta Wiobility Flam	IVIC. SITUSCU		7 313,301.10	\$ 343,334.03	7 423,317.33
							I,	Walk Bike Ride Mt.					
Mt. Shasta	Sidewalk	SW270	sidewalk	Washington Dr	Lake St	Orem St		Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta		\$ 347,403.80	\$ 231,602.54	\$ 289,503.17
IVIC. Silasta	Sidewalk	344270	Sidewalk	washington bi	Lake St	OTCHI SC	-	onasta Wobinty Flam	IVIC. SITUSCU		347,403.60	231,002.34	283,303.17
			Study the potential for an Ivy St or Field St bike and pedestrian bridge					Siskiyou County Active					
Mt. Shasta	Street	S95		N/A				Fransportation Plan	Siskiyou County		\$ 92,120.70	\$ 65,800.53	\$ 78,960.61
THE SHASEA	5	333	and the date of the control of the c	.,,,,			· · · · · · · · · · · ·	Tansportation Flan	olomy ou county		\$2,120.70		7 0,500.01
									1	Recommendations			
									1	outside the City of			
									1	Mt. Shasta are subject			
		1					I _V	Walk Bike Ride Mt.		to the approval of the			
Mt. Shasta	Trail	T115	Neighborhood Connector		Bear Springs Rd	Old McCloud Rd		Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	governing agency	\$ 407,131.00	\$ 290,807.86	\$ 348,969.43
	1	1113						,		80.08.00	,	=======================================	7,2
		1								Recommendations			
	1			1					1	outside the City of			
				Dunsmuir-Mt					1	Mt. Shasta are subject			
				Shasta-Weed Trail			I _v	Walk Bike Ride Mt.	1	to the approval of the			
Mt. Shasta	Trail	T124	Shared Use Path (Class I)	South	Field	Oak St		Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	governing agency	\$ 187,395.40	\$ 133,853.88	\$ 160,624.64
	1	1	` '		1	 				3 3 5 5 5 7			
									1	Recommendations			
1									1	outside the City of			
		1							1	Mt. Shasta are subject			
				Midtown			١	Walk Bike Ride Mt.	1	to the approval of the			
Mt. Shasta	Trail	T128	Midtown Greenway. Shared Use Path (Class I)		B St	Alder St		Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	governing agency	\$ 116,101.10	\$ 82,929.33	\$ 99,515.21
		1	,	· /	1			,		Could remove both		5=,5=3.55	1
		1		Dunsmuir-Mt						sides of parking in			
				Shasta-Weed Trail			١	Walk Bike Ride Mt.	1	favor of Class II bike			
Mt. Shasta	Trail	T130	Shared Use Path (Class I)	North	Spruce St	Rockfellow Dr		Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	lanes	\$ 177,525.70	\$ 126,804.10	\$ 152,164.90
		1.200			1 "	1	I~			1	1		

									Dana mama and akina a			
									Recommendations outside the City of			
				Headwaters to			Walk Bike Ride Mt.		Mt. Shasta are subject to the approval of the			
Mt. Shasta	Trail	T204	Trail Study		Adams Dr	Jefferson Dr	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	governing agency \$	5,700.00	\$ 3,500.00	\$ 4,600.00
Wit. Shasta	Truii	1204	Trail Study	Eake Trail Worth	Additis bi	Jenerson Di	Shasta Wobility Flan	IVIC. SHASCA	governing agency	3,700.00	3,300.00	7 4,000.00
									Recommendations			
									outside the City of			
									Mt. Shasta are subject			
				Headwaters to			Walk Bike Ride Mt.		to the approval of the			
Mt. Shasta	Trail	T205	Trail Study	Lake Trail North	Adams Dr	Jefferson Dr	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	governing agency \$	5,700.00	\$ 3,500.00	\$ 4,600.00
									Recommendations			
									outside the City of			
									Mt. Shasta are subject			
				Headwaters to			Walk Bike Ride Mt.		to the approval of the			
Mt. Shasta	Trail	T206	Trail Study	Lake Trail North	Adams Dr	Jefferson Dr	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	governing agency \$	5,700.00	\$ 3,500.00	\$ 4,600.00
									Dana mama and ation a			
									Recommendations outside the City of			
	1			Headwaters to			Walk Bike Ride Mt.		Mt. Shasta are subject to the approval of the			
Mt. Shasta	Trail	T207	Trail Study		Adams Dr	Jefferson Dr	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	governing agency \$	5,700.00	\$ 3,500.00	\$ 4,600.00
		1207	india Stady	zane man north	ridamio 21	30.10.30.1.2.	Chasta Modificy Hair	ivier oriuseu	governing agency ϕ	3,700.00	φ 5,500.00	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
									Recommendations			
									outside the City of			
									Mt. Shasta are subject			
				Headwaters to			Walk Bike Ride Mt.		to the approval of the			
Mt. Shasta	Trail	T209	Trail Study	Lake Trail North	Adams Dr	Jefferson Dr	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	governing agency \$	5,700.00	\$ 3,500.00	\$ 4,600.00
									Recommendations			
									outside the City of			
									Mt. Shasta are subject			
				Headwaters to			Walk Bike Ride Mt.		to the approval of the			
Mt. Shasta	Trail	T210	Trail Study	Lake Trail North	Adams Dr	Jefferson Dr	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	governing agency \$	5,700.00	\$ 3,500.00	\$ 4,600.00
									Recommendations			
									outside the City of			
				Handwick on to			Walk Bike Ride Mt.		Mt. Shasta are subject to the approval of the			
Mt. Shasta	Trail	T244	Trail Study	Headwaters to Lake Trail North	Adams Dr	Jefferson Dr	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	governing agency \$	5,700.00	\$ 3,500.00	\$ 4,600.00
IVIC. SITASCA	ITall	T211	Trail Study	Lake Hall North	Additis Di	Jenerson Di	Shasta Wobility Flan	IVIC. SITASCA	governing agency 3	3,700.00	3,300.00	4,000.00
									Recommendations			
									outside the City of			
									Mt. Shasta are subject			
				Headwaters to			Walk Bike Ride Mt.		to the approval of the			
Mt. Shasta	Trail	T212	Trail Study		Adams Dr	Jefferson Dr	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	governing agency \$	5,700.00	\$ 3,500.00	\$ 4,600.00
			·							·		
									Recommendations			
									outside the City of			
									Mt. Shasta are subject			
				Headwaters to			Walk Bike Ride Mt.		to the approval of the			
Mt. Shasta	Trail	T213	Trail Study	Lake Trail North	Ski Village Dr	Spring Hill Dr	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	governing agency \$	5,700.00	\$ 3,500.00	\$ 4,600.00
	1								Recommendations			
	1								outside the City of			
							W. II 87 87 87		Mt. Shasta are subject			
NAt Charte	Trail	T24.	Trail Study	Headwaters to	Adams Dr	lofferson Dr	Walk Bike Ride Mt.	Mt Chasts	to the approval of the	F 700 00	¢ 3.500.00	¢ 4.000.00
Mt. Shasta	Trail	T214	Trail Study	Lake Trail North	Adams Dr	Jefferson Dr	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	governing agency \$	5,700.00	\$ 3,500.00	\$ 4,600.00
								1	Recommendations			
	1								outside the City of			
									Mt. Shasta are subject			
				Headwaters to			Walk Bike Ride Mt.		to the approval of the			
Mt. Shasta	Trail	T215	Trail Study		Adams Dr	Jefferson Dr	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	governing agency \$	5,700.00	\$ 3,500.00	\$ 4,600.00
		1	,				,,		5 5-5 -1-1 +	2,: 23.00	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	.,

Part Part				_	1				_	<u> </u>			
March Marc										Recommendations			
Production Pro													
March Column Co													
March Marc					Headwaters to			Walk Bike Ride Mt.		to the approval of the			
March Part	Shasta Tra	rail	T216	Trail Study	Lake Trail North	Adams Dr	Jefferson Dr	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	governing agency	\$ 5,700.00	\$ 3,500.00	\$ 4,600.00
March Marc													
March Part													
March 194					Headwaters to			Walk Riko Rido Mt					1
March Marc	Shasta Tr	rail	T217	Trail Study		Pine St	Maple St		Mt. Shasta	· ·	\$ 5.700.00	\$ 3,500.00	\$ 4,600.00
Page Page			1217								5,100.00	7,000.00	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Miles Control Miles Co													
March Part													
Description Community Co							l l						ll
Decision First 127	Shasta Tra	rail	T218	Trail Study	Lake Trail North	Pine St	Mt Shasta Blvd	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	overpass	\$ 5,700.00	\$ 3,500.00	\$ 4,600.00
Department										Pecommendations			
Document And Docu													
Description Part 1997 1998					Dunsmuir-Mt	Southern Mt							
## Accommendation contact the Cryst Contact the Cryst Contact the Cryst Contact the Cryst Contact the Cryst Contact the Cryst Contact the Cryst Contact the Cryst Contact the Cryst Contact the Cryst Contact the Cryst Contact the Cryst Contact Contact the Cryst Contact Contact the Cryst Contact							Northern Mt Shasta	Walk Bike Ride Mt.					
Mr. Shasta Trail 1230 Chered Use Path (Class I) Adder 1st Variety (Class II) Adder 1st Variety (Cla	Shasta Tr:	rail	T227	Shared Use Path (Class I)	South	Boundary	Boundary	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	governing agency	\$ 1,108,353.10	\$ 791,680.81	\$ 950,016.96
Mil. Shatta Trail 129													
Mr. Shasts Trail 1/2/2 Shared Isse Freih (Class I)													
M. Startal 7279 Shared Use Path (Class I) Adder 52 Spouce St Spouc													
March Trail 729 Shared Use Path (Class I)								w ll ad act ac					
Mile Shadta Trail	Chasta	rail	T220	Sharad Usa Dath (Class I)		Aldor Ct	Caruca Ct		Mt Chasta		¢ 646.022.90	\$ 462,095.58	\$ 554,514.69
District Control of the Sharts Final Trail T	SildStd III	I dii	1229	Shared Ose Path (Class I)		Aluer St	Spruce St	Silasta Mobility Plair	IVIL. SIIdSLd		\$ 640,933.80	\$ 402,095.56	\$ 554,514.69
Shared Use Path (Class I) Shared Use Path (Class II) Shared Use Path					Dunsmuir-Mt								
Mr. Shasta Trail								Walk Bike Ride Mt.					
No. Shasta Fail Tail Shared Use Path (Class I) North Nor	Shasta Tr:	rail	T231	Shared Use Path (Class I)		Chestnut St	Rockfellow Dr	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta		\$ 145,013.60	\$ 103,581.17	\$ 124,297.39
No. Shasta Trail T233 Shared Use Path (Class I) North Shasta Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta Shasta					Dunsmuir-Mt								
Recommendations outside the City of Mt. Shasta are subject to the approval of the Mt. Shasta are subject to the approval of the Shasta which the subject to the approval of the Shasta which the subject to the approval of the Shasta which the subject to the approval of the Shasta which the Shas					Shasta-Weed Trail			Walk Bike Ride Mt.					
Mt. Shasta Trail T	Shasta Tra	rail	T233	Shared Use Path (Class I)	North			Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta		\$ 182,104.50	\$ 130,074.66	\$ 156,089.58
Mt. Shasta Trail T240 Shared Use Path (Class I) Hinckley St Nison Rd Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta governing agency 5 468,464.0 5 334. Dunsmuir-Mt Shasta Trail T241 Shared Use Path (Class II) South Field Oak St Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta governing agency 5 105,770.00 5 75. Mt. Shasta Trail T245 Shared Use Path (Class II) South Shasta-Weed Trail South Shasta-Weed Trail South Shasta-Weed Trail South Shasta-Weed Trail South Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta Shasta Mt. Sh													
Mt. Shasta Trail 7240 Shared Use Path (Class I) Mt. Shasta Trail 7240 Shared Use Path (Class I) Mt. Shasta Trail 7241 Shared Use Path (Class I) Mt. Shasta Trail 7245 Shared Use Path (Class I) Mt. Shasta Trail 7245 Shared Use Path (Class I) Mt. Shasta Trail 7246 Shared Use Path (Class I) Mt. Shasta Trail 7246 Shared Use Path (Class I) Mt. Shasta Trail 7246 Shared Use Path (Class I) Mt. Shasta Trail 7246 Shared Use Path (Class I) Mt. Shasta Trail 7246 Shared Use Path (Class I) Mt. Shasta Trail 7246 Shared Use Path (Class I) Mt. Shasta Trail 7246 Shared Use Path (Class I) Mt. Shasta Trail 7246 Shared Use Path (Class I) Mt. Shasta Trail 7246 Shared Use Path (Class I) Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta													
Mr. Shasta Trail Tag0 Shared Use Path (Class I)													
Mr. Shasta Trail 1240 Shared Use Path (Class I) Hinckley St. Nixon Rd Shasta Mobility Plan Mr. Shasta governing agency S 468,464.00 S 334,								Walk Riko Rido Mt					
Mt. Shasta Trail T241 Shared Use Path (Class I) South Fleid Oak St Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta Shasta Mobility Plan	Shasta Tr	rail	T240	Shared Use Path (Class I)		Hinckley St	Nixon Rd		Mt. Shasta		\$ 468.464.40	\$ 334,617.45	\$ 401,540.93
Dunsmuir-Mt. Shasta Trail T241 Shared Use Path (Class I) Dunsmuir-Mt. Shasta-Weed Trail Shasta-Weed Trail Shasta Mt. Shasta Trail T245 Shared Use Path (Class I) Dunsmuir-Mt. Shasta Mt. Shasta		-	12.0	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		,				0.0.1			,
Dursmuir-Mt Shasta Trail T241 Shared Use Path (Class I) Dursmuir-Mt Shasta-Meed Trail South Field Oak St Shared-Weed Trail South Field Oak St Shared-Weed Trail South Field Oak St Shared-Weed Trail Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta governing agency Shared-Weed Trail Shasta-Weed Trail Shasta-Weed Trail Shasta-Weed Trail Shasta-Weed Trail Shasta-Weed Trail Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta Shasta-Weed Trail Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta Shasta-Weed Trail Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta Shasta-Weed Trail Shasta-Weed Trail Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta Shasta-Weed Trail Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta Shasta-Weed Trail Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta Shasta-Weed Trail Shasta-Weed Trail Shasta-Weed Trail Shasta-Weed Trail Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta Shasta-Weed Trail Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta Shasta-Weed Trail Shasta-Weed Tr										Recommendations			
Mt. Shasta Trail T241 Shared Use Path (Class I) Shasta-Weed Trail Dursmur-Mt Shasta Trail T245 Shared Use Path (Class I) South Field Oak St Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta governing agency \$ 105,770.00 \$ 75,													
Mt. Shasta Trail T241 Shared Use Path (Class I) South Field Oak St Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta governing agency \$ 105,770.00 \$ 75,													
Mt. Shasta Trail T245 Shared Use Path (Class I) South Shasta-Weed Trail South Shasta-Weed Trail South Shasta-Weed Trail T246 Shared Use Path (Class I) Shared Use Path (Class I) Shared Use Path (Class I) Shared Use Path (Class II) Shared	L												ll
Mt. Shasta Trail T245 Shared Use Path (Class I) Shasta-Weed Trail South Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta Mt. Shasta	Shasta Tra	rail	T241	Shared Use Path (Class I)		Field	Oak St	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	governing agency	\$ 105,770.00	\$ 75,550.01	\$ 90,660.01
Mt. Shasta								Walk Riko Rido Mt					
Mt. Shasta Trail T246 Shared Use Path (Class I) Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta \$ 336,877.00 \$ 240. Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta \$ \$ 336,877.00 \$ 240. Bike lanes will need to merge into general traffic lane at intersections with curb extensions (E St/Main St, Main St, M	Shasta Tr	rail	T245	Shared Use Path (Class I)					Mt. Shasta		\$ 1.228.484.40	\$ 877,488.86	\$ 1,052,986.63
Mt. Shasta Trail T246 Shared Use Path (Class I) Shasta Mobility Plan Mt. Shasta \$ 336,877.00 \$ 240, Bike lanes will need to merge into general traffic lane at intersections with curb extensions (E St/Main St, E Tulelake Bike B73 Park St Est Modoc Ave Park St Transportation Plan Tulelake St/Second St) \$ 276,283.80 \$ 53, Tulelake Bike Parking BP5 Elementary school, and Otis Roper Park. N/A		-		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,							, ,, ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Bike lanes will need to merge into general traffic lane at intersections with curb extensions (E ST/Main St, E ST/								Walk Bike Ride Mt.					
to merge into general traffic lane at intersections with curb extensions (E Add bike lanes (Class II Facility) to E Street between Modoc Ave and Tulelake Bike	Shasta Tra	rail	T246	Shared Use Path (Class I)				Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta		\$ 336,877.00	\$ 240,626.40	\$ 288,751.70
to merge into general traffic lane at intersections with curb extensions (E Add bike lanes (Class II Facility) to E Street between Modoc Ave and Tulelake Bike													
Add bike lanes (Class II Facility) to E Street between Modoc Ave and Tulelake Bike													
Add bike lanes (Class II Facility) to E Street between Modoc Ave and Tulelake Bike Bike Parking BP5 Add bike parking bp5 Bike Parking BP5 Add bike parking to the high school, and Otis Roper Park. Add bike parking to the high school, and Otis Roper Park. Bike Parking BP5 Add bike parking to the high school, and Otis Roper Park. Add bike parking to the high school, and Otis Roper Park. N/A Bike Parking BP5 Add bike parking to the high school, and Otis Roper Park. N/A Bike Parking BP5 Add bike parking to the high school, and Otis Roper Park. N/A Bike Parking BP5 Add bike parking to the high school, fair grounds, Main St, Modoc Ave, Filter Parking N/A N/A N/A BP3 BP4 BP5 Add bike parking to the high school, fair grounds, Main St, Modoc Ave, Filter Parking N/A N/A BP5 BP5 BP5 Add bike parking to the high school, fair grounds, Main St, Modoc Ave, Filter Parking N/A N/A BP5 BP5 BP5 Add bike parking to the high school, fair grounds, Main St, Modoc Ave, Filter Parking N/A N/A BP6 BP7 BP7 BP7 BP8 BP8 Add bike parking to the high school, fair grounds, Main St, Modoc Ave, Filter Parking N/A N/A BP7 BP8 BP8 BP8 BP9 BP9 BP9 BP9 BP9													
Add bike lanes (Class II Facility) to E Street between Modoc Ave and E St Modoc Ave Park St Transportation Plan Tulelake Bike Parking Bike P													
Add bike lanes (Class II Facility) to E Street between Modoc Ave and E St Modoc Ave Park St Transportation Plan Tulelake Bike Parking Bike Parking Bike Parking Bike Parking Add bike lanes (Class II Facility) to E Street between Modoc Ave and E St Modoc Ave Park St Transportation Plan Tulelake Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan Tulelake Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan Tulelake Tulelake									1				
Tulelake Bike B73 Park St ESt Modoc Ave Park St Transportation Plan Tulelake St/Second St) \$ 276,283.80 \$ 53, Add bike parking to the high school, fair grounds, Main St, Modoc Ave, Park St Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan Tulelake St/Second St) \$ 276,283.80 \$ 53, Add bike parking to the high school, fair grounds, Main St, Modoc Ave, N/A Tulelake Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan Tulelake \$ 500.00 \$				Add bike lanes (Class II Facility) to E Street between Modoc Ave and				Siskiyou County Active	1				
Tulelake Bike Parking BP5 Add bike parking to the high school, fair grounds, Main St, Modoc Ave, N/A Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan Tulelake \$ 500.00 \$	elake Bil	ike	B73		E St	Modoc Ave	Park St		Tulelake		\$ 276,283.80	\$ 53,474.28	\$ 164,879.04
Tulelake Bike Parking BP5 Elementary school, and Otis Roper Park. N/A Transportation Plan Tulelake \$ 500.00 \$								·	1				
	lake Bil	ike Parking	BP5	Elementary school, and Otis Roper Park.	N/A			Transportation Plan	Tulelake		\$ 500.00	\$ 250.00	\$ 375.00
				Address of the first of the fir			1 T	C'-1: - C					
Add bike parking to the high school, fair grounds, Main St, Modoc Ave, Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan Siskiyou County Active	olako	iko Denkir -	DDC.						Sielding Co		ė	d 250.00	275.00
Tulelake Bike Parking BP6 Elementary school, and Otis Roper Park. N/A Transportation Plan Siskiyou County \$ 500.00 \$	iake Bik	ike rarking	RLP	ciementary school, and otis koper Park.	IN/A			iransportation Plan	SISKIYOU COUNTY		ξ 500.00	\$ 250.00	\$ 375.00
Add bike parking to the high school, fair grounds, Main St, Modoc Ave,				Add bike parking to the high school fair grounds. Main St. Modoc Ave.				Siskiyou County Active					
	elake Ri	ike Parking	BP7						Tulelake		\$ 500.00	\$ 250.00	\$ 375.00
C		6	1	/ /	1 '	1		p	<u> </u>	1		1 233.00	

			_	ı		_			1	_	_		
			Add bike parking to the high school, fair grounds, Main St, Modoc Ave,					Siskiyou County Active					
Tulelake	Bike Parking	BP8	Elementary school, and Otis Roper Park.	N/A				Transportation Plan	Tulelake		\$ 500.00	\$ 250.00	\$ 375.00
Tarciano	Jine r urning	DI 0	ziementary sunscription des nopel i anti-	,	 	+	+	Transportation Flan	Turciano		Ş 500.00	250.00	\$75.00
			Add bike parking to the high school, fair grounds, Main St, Modoc Ave,					Siskiyou County Active					
Tulelake	Bike Parking	BP9	Elementary school, and Otis Roper Park.	N/A				Transportation Plan	Tulelake		\$ 500.00	\$ 250.00	\$ 375.00
			Add bike parking to the high school, fair grounds, Main St, Modoc Ave,					Siskiyou County Active					
Tulelake	Bike Parking	BP10	Elementary school, and Otis Roper Park.	N/A				Transportation Plan	Tulelake		\$ 500.00	5 250.00	\$ 375.00
			Add bike parking to the high school, fair grounds, Main St, Modoc Ave,					Siskiyou County Active					
Tulelake	Bike Parking	BP11	Elementary school, and Otis Roper Park.	N/A				Transportation Plan	Tulelake		\$ 500.00	\$ 250.00	\$ 375.00
		5. 22		,									
			Add bike parking to the high school, fair grounds, Main St, Modoc Ave,					Siskiyou County Active					
Tulelake	Bike Parking	BP12	Elementary school, and Otis Roper Park.	N/A				Transportation Plan	Tulelake		\$ 500.00	\$ 250.00	\$ 375.00
			Add high visibility continental crosswalk markings and curb ramps on										
			the west and north legs of the intersection of E St and Second St. Use					Cialia Carat Asii a					
Tulelake	Crossing	C1	curb extensions like those at Main St and E St to narrow the crossing distance.	E St			Second St	Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	Tulelake	High Priority	\$ 288,000.00	\$ 114,000.00	\$ 201,000.00
Tulciake	Crossing	CI	distance.	2.50			Second St	Transportation rian	Tulciake	riigir i riority	250,000.00	7 114,000.00	201,000.00
			Add high visibility crosswalk markings and curb ramps on the north leg										
			of the intersection of D St and Second St. Use curb extensions like					Siskiyou County Active					
Tulelake	Crossing	C2	those at Main St and E St to narrow the crossing distance.	D St			Second St	Transportation Plan	Tulelake		\$ 191,000.00	\$ 75,500.00	\$ 133,250.00
			Add high visibility crosswalk markings and curb ramps on the north leg of the intersection of C St and Second St. Use curb extensions like					Siskiyou County Action					
Tulelake	Crossing	C3	those at Main St and E St to narrow the crossing distance.	C St			Second St	Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	Tulelake		\$ 191,000.00	\$ 75,500.00	\$ 133,250.00
Tulciake	Crossing	C3	those at Main St and E St to harrow the crossing distance.	C 3t			Second St	Transportation rian	Tulciake	1	3 131,000.00	75,500.00	133,230.00
			Consider prioritizing future EV Charging at the following locations:					Siskiyou County Active					
Tulelake	EV Charging	EV13	Veterans Park, Cultural Park, Otis Roper Park, Fair Grounds.	N/A				Transportation Plan	Tulelake		\$ 100,000.00	\$ 100,000.00	\$ 100,000.00
T 1.1.1.	EV Character	L	Consider prioritizing future EV Charging at the following locations:					Siskiyou County Active	T 1-1-1		400,000,00	400,000,00	400,000,00
Tulelake	EV Charging	EV14	Veterans Park, Cultural Park, Otis Roper Park, Fair Grounds.	N/A				Transportation Plan	Tulelake		\$ 100,000.00	\$ 100,000.00	\$ 100,000.00
			Consider prioritizing future EV Charging at the following locations:					Siskiyou County Active					
Tulelake	EV Charging	EV15	Veterans Park, Cultural Park, Otis Roper Park, Fair Grounds.	N/A				Transportation Plan	Tulelake		\$ 100,000.00	\$ 100,000.00	\$ 100,000.00
			Consider prioritizing future EV Charging at the following locations:					Siskiyou County Active					
Tulelake	EV Charging	EV16	Veterans Park, Cultural Park, Otis Roper Park, Fair Grounds.	N/A				Transportation Plan	Siskiyou County		\$ 100,000.00	\$ 100,000.00	\$ 100,000.00
			Complete sidewalks on both sides of Modoc Ave between A St and 5th					Siskiyou County Active					
Tulelake	Sidewalk	SW13	St.	Modoc St	Main St	Fifth St		Transportation Plan	Tulelake	both sides	\$ 709,818.20	\$ 468,545.45	\$ 589,181.83
1000000		5W15				1	+				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	1	7 333,23333
			Complete sidewalks on both sides of E St between the railroad tracks					Siskiyou County Active					
Tulelake	Sidewalk	SW30	and Second St	E Street	Railroad	Second St		Transportation Plan	Tulelake	both sides	\$ 874,181.80	\$ 573,454.55	\$ 723,818.17
Tulololis	Cidour-II:	614/25	Construct a sidewalk on the west side of First Street between B St and		D C+	C St	1	Siskiyou County Active	Tuloloko		ć 430.050.00	6 04 300 64	6 405 435 76
Tulelake	Sidewalk	SW35	C St. Include a driveway access point to the park along this segment. Complete the sidewalk on the south side of B Street between the west	First St	B St	C St	+	Transportation Plan	Tulelake	+	\$ 128,950.90	\$ 81,300.61	\$ 105,125.76
			side of Otis Roper Park and Main St. Include a driveway access point to					Siskiyou County Active					
Tulelake	Sidewalk	SW40	the park along this segment.	B St	City Limits	Main St		Transportation Plan	Tulelake		\$ 294,746.40	\$ 191,830.91	\$ 243,288.66
		1			<u> </u>	1	1				,		
			Construct a sidewalk on the south side of G St between Main St and					Siskiyou County Active					
Tulelake	Sidewalk	SW46	Modoc Ave.	G St	Main St	Modoc Ave		Transportation Plan	Tulelake		\$ 284,204.10	\$ 184,802.73	\$ 234,503.42
			Construct a sidewalk on the east side of Dean Callas Way and the		Fi			Cialdina. Co. of the					
Tulolako	Sidewalk	CMAG	north side of Ray Oehlerich Way to connect with the sidewalk on the north side of G St.	Dean Callas Way	Fire	Modos Avo		Siskiyou County Active	Tulolako		\$ 349,766.80	c 220 E11 22	\$ 280 120 02
Tulelake	Siuewaik	SW48	Construct a sidewalk on both sides of C Street between the western	Dean Callas Way	Department	Modoc Ave	+	Transportation Plan	Tulelake	+	y 549,700.80	\$ 228,511.23	\$ 289,139.02
			city limits and Second St and include a driveway access point to the					Siskiyou County Active					
Tulelake	Sidewalk	SW66	park along this segment.	C St	City Limits	Main St		Transportation Plan	Tulelake		\$ 166,999.20	\$ 106,666.17	\$ 136,832.68
			Design and construct a gateway (median) treatment in the center of										
			Main St between the railroad tracks and C St to slow traffic entering										
	G	L.,	downtown Tulelake and provide additional landscaping and/or art to	Last of	Della d			Siskiyou County Active	T 1-1-1	Lance			
Tulelake	Street	S10	welcome visitors to town.	Main St	Railroad	C St	-	Transportation Plan	Tulelake	gateway	\$ 250,000.00	\$ 100,000.00	\$ 175,000.00
			Redesign Main St to include a center median to provide additional					Siskiyou County Active					
Tulelake	Street	S36	trees, landscaping, pedestrian crossing refuges, and left turn pockets.	Main St	B St	E St	1	Transportation Plan	Tulelake	center median	\$ 1,500,000.00	\$ 1,000,000.00	\$ 1,250,000.00
	1	1333	1. 1.1., Substitution, production of obstitution obstitution of obstitution of obstitution obstitution obstitution obstitution obstitution ob		1	1			1	1,55,555, 1,100,011	1,300,000.00	. 2,000,000.00	. 2,230,000.00

		1		I		1	I	T	1	1		T	1
			Work with the State Fair Grounds and the County to reconstruct the										
			intersection of G St and Main St to be safer for pedestrians. Consider a										
	Street Spot		roundabout OR relocating the fairground driveway further south on					Siskiyou County Active					
				Main St			C C+		Tulalaha	High Daireit.	¢ 13,000,000,00	¢ 4,000,000,00	¢ 8,000,000,00
Tulelake	Improvement	SP4	Main St on the east side of the Fair Ground property.	Main St			G St	Transportation Plan	Tulelake	High Priority	\$ 12,000,000.00	\$ 4,000,000.00	\$ 8,000,000.00
			Construct a multi-use path on the eastern side of Modoc Ave between					Siskiyou County Active					
Tulelake	Trail	T71	G St and E St.	Modoc Ave	G St	E St		Transportation Plan	Tulelake		\$ 238,218.40	\$ 170,156.01	\$ 204,187.21
		177	1		1	1	_						
										Recommendations			
										outside the City of			
										Mt. Shasta are subject			
Unincorporated			Gateway Trails Connector. Bike Lane (Class II)Two 11' travel lanes and	Gateway Trails				Walk Bike Ride Mt.	Unincorporated	to the approval of the			
Siskiyou County	Bike	B131	two Class II bikeways (5' bike lanes)	Connector	Om Shasta Path	Ski Village Dr		Shasta Mobility Plan	Siskiyou County	governing agency	\$ 318,701.50	\$ 61,684.17	\$ 190,192.83
										Recommendations			
										outside the City of			
										Mt. Shasta are subject			
Unincorporated						Everitt Memorial		Walk Bike Ride Mt.	Unincorporated	to the approval of the		1.	
Siskiyou County	Bike	B136	Bike Lane (Class II)	Ski Village Bikeway	Raspberry Way	Highway		Shasta Mobility Plan	Siskiyou County	governing agency	\$ 180,923.80	\$ 35,017.50	\$ 107,970.65
1		1						1	1	Recommendations			1
										outside the City of			
										Mt. Shasta are subject			
Unincorporated	Dile		Biles Lana (Class II)		Old Chara Dd	Din a Crava Da		Walk Bike Ride Mt.	Unincorporated Siskiyou County	to the approval of the	\$ 296,603.70	Ć 57.407.4¢	ć 177.00F.42
Siskiyou County	ыке	B137	Bike Lane (Class II)		Old Stage Rd	Pine Grove Dr		Shasta Mobility Plan	Siskiyou County	governing agency	\$ 296,603.70	\$ 57,407.16	\$ 177,005.43
										Recommendations			
										outside the City of			
										Mt. Shasta are subject			
Unincorporated				Lake Street				Walk Bike Ride Mt.	Unincorporated	to the approval of the			
Siskiyou County	Bike	B144	Bike Lane (Class II)	Connector	Old Stage Rd	City Boundary		Shasta Mobility Plan	Siskiyou County	governing agency	\$ 136,027.70	\$ 26,327.93	\$ 81,177.82
	1		,		- ŭ	† <i>'</i>		'	, ,	0 0 0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,	,
										Recommendations			
										outside the City of			
										Mt. Shasta are subject			
										to the approval of the			
										governing agency;			
										Could include Class II			
Unincorporated								Walk Bike Ride Mt.	Unincorporated	bike lanes with 10' of			
Siskiyou County	Bike	B157	Bike Route (Class II)		Jefferson Dr	Cold Creek Cir		Shasta Mobility Plan	Siskiyou County	pavement widening	\$ 605,427.20	\$ 117,179.47	\$ 361,303.33
										Recommendations			
										outside the City of			
						5.1. 5 / .				Mt. Shasta are subject			
Unincorporated Siskiyou County	Dile			Old McCloud Rd	Mashinston Du	Eddy Dr (east		Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan	Unincorporated	to the approval of the	ć coo oca oo	¢ 247.567.54	Ć 502.045.24
Siskiyou County	ыке	B158	Bike Route (Class III)	Bikeway	Washington Dr	entrance)		Shasta Mobility Plan	Siskiyou County	governing agency	\$ 688,062.90	\$ 317,567.51	\$ 502,815.21
										Recommendations			
		1						1	1	outside the City of			1
							1	1	1	Mt. Shasta are subject			
Unincorporated		1					1	Walk Bike Ride Mt.	Unincorporated	to the approval of the			
Siskiyou County	Bike	B159	Bike Lane (Class II)		Railroad	I-5		Shasta Mobility Plan	Siskiyou County	governing agency	\$ 231,441.80	\$ 44,795.19	\$ 138,118.49
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	<u> </u>	† · · · · ·	,,		† · · · · ·		1		. ,	J J8,		,. 33.13	. ====,=====
ĺ		1					1		1	Recommendations			
ĺ		1					1		1	outside the City of			
1							1	1	1	Mt. Shasta are subject			
Unincorporated							1	Walk Bike Ride Mt.	Unincorporated	to the approval of the			
Siskiyou County	Bike	B160	Bike Route (Class III)		I-5	Big Canyon Dr	<u> </u>	Shasta Mobility Plan	Siskiyou County	governing agency	\$ 37,924.80	\$ 17,503.77	\$ 27,714.28
		Ī			1								
ĺ		1					1		1	Recommendations			
1							1	1	1	outside the City of			
1							1	1	1	Mt. Shasta are subject			
Unincorporated							1	Walk Bike Ride Mt.	Unincorporated	to the approval of the			
Siskiyou County	Bike	B174	Bike Lane (Class II)	Ski Village Bikeway	City Boundary	Raspberry Way	1	Shasta Mobility Plan	Siskiyou County	governing agency	\$ 103,624.20	\$ 20,056.30	\$ 61,840.25

			_					_				
									Barrana			1
									Recommendations			1
									outside the City of			1
									Mt. Shasta are subject			1
Unincorporated			W A Barr Bikeway. Bike Lane (Class II)Bike Recommendation: 5' paved		Lake Siskiyou		Walk Bike Ride Mt.	Unincorporated	to the approval of the		l	1
Siskiyou County	Bike	B190	shoulders		Dam Parking	Old Stage Rd	Shasta Mobility Plan	Siskiyou County	governing agency	\$ 1,181,652.70	\$ 228,706.98	\$ 705,179.84
									D			1
									Recommendations			1
									outside the City of			1
			Ream Ave Bikeway. Bike Lane (Class II)Bike Recommendation: 5' paved						Mt. Shasta are subject			1
Unincorporated			shoulders (Consider Advisory Shoulder from Old Stage Rd intersection				Walk Bike Ride Mt.	Unincorporated	to the approval of the			1.
Siskiyou County	Bike	B191	to Mt Shasta)	Ream Ave Bikeway	W A Barr Rd	City Boundary	Shasta Mobility Plan	Siskiyou County	governing agency	\$ 495,657.70	\$ 95,933.75	\$ 295,795.73
												1
									Recommendations			1
									outside the City of			1
					Southern Mt				Mt. Shasta are subject			1
Unincorporated			Old Stage Road Bikeway. Bike Lane (Class II)Bike Recommendation: 5'		Shasta	Northern Mt Shasta	Walk Bike Ride Mt.	Unincorporated	to the approval of the			1
Siskiyou County	Bike	B192	paved shoulders		Boundary	Boundary	Shasta Mobility Plan	Siskiyou County	governing agency	\$ 5,823,654.00	\$ 1,127,158.83	\$ 3,475,406.42
												1
									Recommendations			1
									outside the City of			1
									Mt. Shasta are subject			1
Unincorporated				Crosstown			Walk Bike Ride Mt.	Unincorporated	to the approval of the			1
Siskiyou County	Bike	B197	Separated Bikeway (Class IV)	Connector West	Pine Grove Dr	City Boundary	Shasta Mobility Plan	Siskiyou County	governing agency	\$ 464,657.90	\$ 102,347.55	\$ 283,502.72
				Dunsmuir-Mt								
Unincorporated				Shasta-Weed Trail		1	Walk Bike Ride Mt.	Unincorporated				<i>(</i>
Siskiyou County	Bike	B234	Bicycle Boulevard (Class III)	North	<u>L</u>	<u> </u>	Shasta Mobility Plan	Siskiyou County		\$ 169,831.20	\$ 78,383.62	\$ 124,107.41
				Dunsmuir-Mt								
Unincorporated				Shasta-Weed Trail			Walk Bike Ride Mt.	Unincorporated				1
Siskiyou County	Bike	B237	Bicycle Boulevard (Class III)	North			Shasta Mobility Plan	Siskiyou County		\$ 313,977.70	\$ 144,912.81	\$ 229,445.25
									Recommendations			1
									outside the City of			1
				Dunsmuir-Mt					Mt. Shasta are subject			1
Unincorporated				Shasta-Weed Trail			Walk Bike Ride Mt.	Unincorporated	to the approval of the			1
	Bike	B238		North	Om Shasta Path	Ski Village Dr	Shasta Mobility Plan	Siskiyou County	governing agency	\$ 145,114.60	\$ 66,975.97	\$ 106,045.29
			, ,			i i	,	, ,	0 00,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,	
									Recommendations			1
									outside the City of			1
									Mt. Shasta are subject			1
Unincorporated						Everitt Memorial	Walk Bike Ride Mt.	Unincorporated	to the approval of the			1
· ·	Bike	B243	Bike Lane (Class II)	Ski Village Bikeway	Raspherry Way		Shasta Mobility Plan	Siskiyou County	governing agency	\$ 101,764.70	\$ 19,696.39	\$ 60,730.55
sistiny our country	5	B243	Since Zurice (Glasse ii)	om vinage bineway	naspaemy may		onasta mosility i ian	Sisting a country	governing agency	101,70 1.70	23,030.03	
									Recommendations			1
									outside the City of			1
									Mt. Shasta are subject			1
Unincorporated						Everitt Memorial	Walk Bike Ride Mt.	Unincorporated	to the approval of the			1
	Bike	B244	Bike Lane (Class II)	Ski Village Bikeway	Rasnherry Way		Shasta Mobility Plan	Siskiyou County	governing agency	\$ 20,222.50	\$ 3,914.04	\$ 12,068.27
Siskiyou county	DIKE	0244	Direc Luite (Class II)	Ski village bikeway	naspoerry way	Tilgitway	Shasta Wissinty Flan	Siskiyou county	governing agency	20,222.30	3,314.04	Ţ 12,000.27
Unincorporated							Siskiyou County Active					1
	Bike	B540	Widen shoulders on CA3 between Montague and Yreka.	Hwy 3	Yreka	Montague	Transportation Plan	CalTrans		\$ 2,963,593.40	\$ 573,598.72	\$ 1,768,596.06
Siskiyou county	DIKC	B340	Which shoulders on eas between Montague and Treka.	iiwy 3	ПСКа	Worklague	Transportation rian	Carrians		2,303,333.40	373,336.72	1,700,330.00
Unincorporated							Siskiyou County Active					1
Siskiyou County	Rike	B541	Widen shoulders between Fort Jones and Etna on Hwy 3	Hwy 3	Fort Jones	Etna	Transportation Plan	CalTrans		\$ 6,619,752.30	\$ 1,281,242.39	\$ 3,950,497.34
Siskiyou county		0341	Construct a Class I shared use path, or consider widening shoulders on	, -	. 0.1. 701103		Transportation Fiail	Carrians	+	y 0,013,732.30	7 1,201,242.33	5,350,437.34
			Hwy 89 between I-5 and McCloud Falls. Widen (min 4', 8' preferred)			1						<i>(</i>
Unincorporated			1 '			1	Siskiyou County Active					<i>(</i>
Unincorporated	Dileo	DE 43	and pave shoulders (consider contrasting pavement color) and include	Una coo	l	McCloud	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	CalTrans		¢ 24.450.000.00	¢ 45 442 626 26	10 130 303 63
Siskiyou County	Bike	B542	edge line rumble strips.	Hwy 89	I-5	McCloud	Transportation Plan	CalTrans	-	\$ 21,159,090.90	\$ 15,113,636.36	\$ 18,136,363.63
Unincorrated	l		Add signage for bigueles plang USBR OF CA Court have different to				Cielina Canata Anti					(L
Unincorporated	D.1		Add signage for bicycles along USBR 85 CA Southbound from the	NA DEST			Siskiyou County Active	Cialia Carat		4 250 000 00	4 000 000 00	1 425 000 00
Siskiyou County	ыке	B543	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Multiple	.	 	Transportation Plan	Siskiyou County	signage	\$ 1,250,000.00	\$ 1,000,000.00	\$ 1,125,000.00
			Work with Modoc County and Caltrans to widen (min 4', 8' preferred)			1						<i>(</i>
I			and pave shoulders (consider contrasting pavement color) and include			1						<i>(</i>
			edge line rumble strips on East West Road, the Volcanic Legacy Scenic			1		L				<i>(</i>
l			Byway, County Rd 124/120, and CA Hwy 139. Include Bike Route Guide	I	I	1	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Caltrans, Siskiyou			Ī	<i>i</i> 1
Unincorporated	<u></u>										_	1 <u>.</u>
Unincorporated Siskiyou County	Bike	B554	Signs.				Transportation Plan	County		\$ 30,226,134.20	\$ 5,850,219.53	\$ 18,038,176.86
	Bike	B554	Signs. Work with Caltrans to widen (min 4', 8' preferred) and pave shoulders				Transportation Plan	County		\$ 30,226,134.20	\$ 5,850,219.53	\$ 18,038,176.86
Siskiyou County	Bike	B554	Signs. Work with Caltrans to widen (min 4', 8' preferred) and pave shoulders (consider contrasting pavement color) and include edge line rumble							\$ 30,226,134.20	\$ 5,850,219.53	\$ 18,038,176.86
· ·		B554	Signs. Work with Caltrans to widen (min 4', 8' preferred) and pave shoulders (consider contrasting pavement color) and include edge line rumble strips on Eastside Rd, Horn Lane, and Hwy 3 between Fort Jones and	Multiple			Transportation Plan Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	County CalTrans, Siskiyou County		\$ 30,226,134.20 \$ 8,506,244.60		

Unincorporated Siskiyou County	Bike	B572	Conduct a study to identify spot bicycle safety improvements on long range bicycle routes in West Siskiyou County on the following roads: A28 from Grenada to Hornbrook, Scott River Road, Hwy 3, Gazelle Callahan Road, Cecilville Road, Sawyers Bar Road, Anderson Grade, and Salmon River Road (Hwy 96). These improvements should include the following where possible: Uphill climbing bike lanes or widened shoulders (min 4', 8' preferred), paved shoulders (consider contrasting pavement color) and include edge (fog) lines with rumble strips. Additionally, bike warning signage on turn approaches with limited visibility, and emergency call boxes in key locations such as at the Dillon Creek Bridge and Forest Route 15N17 Bridge. Consider signage branding the long-distance routes as a "Siskiyou Cycle Route."	Multiple			Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	Caltrans, Siskiyou County		\$ 80,000.00	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 65,000.00
Unincorporated Siskiyou County	Crossing	C86	1 high visibility crosswalk, 1 pedestrian refuge island, and 1 RRFB across W A Barr Rd; 1 curb ramp at existing sidewalk along Siskiyou Lake Blvd	W A Barr Rd	Siskiy		Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan	Unincorporated Siskiyou County	Recommendations outside the City of Mt. Shasta are subject to the approval of the governing agency	\$ 287,000.00	\$ 76,500.00	\$ 181,750.00
Unincorporated Siskiyou County	Crossing	C91	2 standard crosswalks: 1 across Hatchery Ln and 1 across Old Stage Rd	Old Stage Rd	Hatcl		Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan	Unincorporated Siskiyou County	Recommendations outside the City of Mt. Shasta are subject to the approval of the governing agency	\$ 6,000.00	\$ 3,000.00	\$ 4,500.00
Unincorporated Siskiyou County	Crossing	C103	1 high visibility crosswalk across Siskiyou Lake Blvd at existing curb ramps on west side of Christian Way	Siskiyou Lake Blvd	Chris		Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan	Unincorporated Siskiyou County	Recommendations outside the City of Mt. Shasta are subject to the approval of the governing agency	\$ 3,000.00	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 2,250.00
Unincorporated Siskiyou County	Crossing	C106	1 high visibility crosswalk across Lassen Ln; 1 standard crosswalk across entrance to Mout Shasta Memorial Chapel and Park	Lassen Ln	Mou	e Grove Dr / unt Shasta norial Chapel and	Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan	Unincorporated Siskiyou County	Assumes new sidewalk on north side of Lassen Ln / Pine St; Recommendations outside the City of Mt. Shasta are subject to the approval of the governing agency	\$ 6,000.00	\$ 3,000.00	\$ 4,500.00
Unincorporated			·			V	Walk Bike Ride Mt.	Unincorporated	Assumes new sidewalk on north side of Lassen Ln / Pine St; Recommendations outside the City of Mt. Shasta are subject to the approval of the			
Siskiyou County Unincorporated Siskiyou County	Crossing	C111	Additional study required for potential roundabout or curb radius	Lassen Ln Old Stage Rd	Sceni	V	Shasta Mobility Plan Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan	Siskiyou County Unincorporated Siskiyou County	Recommendations outside the City of Mt. Shasta are subject to the approval of the governing agency	\$ 3,000.00		
Unincorporated Siskiyou County				Everitt Memorial Highway		V	Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan	Unincorporated Siskiyou County	Potential pedestrian recommendation needed depending on new sidewalk location; Recommendations outside the City of Mt. Shasta are subject to the approval of the governing agency	\$ 80,000.00		

								•		•		1	
Unincorporated Siskiyou County	Crossing	C119	1 high visibility crosswalk and 1 RRFB across W A Barr Rd	W A Barr Rd			Cable Beach Trailhead	Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan	Unincorporated Siskiyou County	Destination based on public input survey; Recommendations outside the City of Mt. Shasta are subject to the approval of the governing agency	\$ 253,000.00	\$ 66,500.00	\$ 159,750.00
Unincorporated	Ü		· ·					Walk Bike Ride Mt.	Unincorporated	Recommendations outside the City of Mt. Shasta are subject to the approval of the			
Siskiyou County	Crossing	C126	1 high visibility crosswalk across Siskiyou Lake Blvd	Siskiyou Lake Blvd			Mt Shasta Resort	Shasta Mobility Plan	Siskiyou County	governing agency	\$ 3,000.00	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 2,250.00
Unincorporated Siskiyou County	Crossing	C131	Additional study: 4 way stop analysis	Everitt Memorial Hwy			Gateway Trailhead	Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan	Unincorporated Siskiyou County		\$ 80,000.00	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 65,000.00
Unincorporated		C131	Crossing Project. Add crosswalk with high visibility contintental	,				Walk Bike Ride Mt.	Unincorporated		-	7 33,233.33	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	Crossing	C132		Mt. Shasta Blvd			Hwy 89	Shasta Mobility Plan	Siskiyou County		\$ 3,000.00	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 2,250.00
Unincorporated Siskiyou County	Sidewalk	SW267	Sidewalk	Lassen Ln	Old Stage Rd	Existing sidewalk at I- 5 overpass		Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan	Unincorporated Siskiyou County	Recommendations outside the City of Mt. Shasta are subject to the approval of the governing agency	\$ 1,053,788.70	\$ 702,525.79	\$ 878,157.25
Unincorporated	Sidowalk	CM3C0	Sidoualk	Hatchan In	City Poundany	Old Stage Pd		Walk Bike Ride Mt.	Unincorporated	Recommendations outside the City of Mt. Shasta are subject to the approval of the		Č 51 220 20	¢ 64.026.50
Siskiyou County	Sidewalk	SW268	Sidewalk	Hatchery Ln	City Boundary	Old Stage Rd		Shasta Mobility Plan	Siskiyou County	governing agency	\$ 76,843.90	\$ 51,229.28	\$ 64,036.59
Unincorporated Siskiyou County	Sidewalk	SW271	Sidewalk	McCloud Ave	A St	C St		Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan	Unincorporated Siskiyou County		\$ 91,229.50	\$ 60,819.70	\$ 76,024.60
Unincorporated								Walk Bike Ride Mt.	Unincorporated				
Siskiyou County	Sidewalk	SW272	Sidewalk	McCloud Ave	B St	C St		Shasta Mobility Plan	Siskiyou County		\$ 77,720.80	\$ 51,813.88	\$ 64,767.34
Unincorporated								Walk Bike Ride Mt.	Unincorporated				
Siskiyou County Unincorporated Siskiyou County			Sidewalk Identify solutions for improved winter maintenance of Everett Memorial Highway, Castle Lake Road, and Ski Park Highway. Support local solutions currently underway as of Spring 2025, including: Forest Service Fee development and Winter Road Access Stakeholder group.	Everitt Memorial	Washington Dr	Adams Dr		Shasta Mobility Plan SORA	Siskiyou County Siskiyou County		\$ 136,431.70 \$ 20,000.00		
Unincorporated Siskiyou County	Street	S571	Establish school zone for Junction Elementary School by installing appropriate signage to alert vehicle traffic.	Hwy 96				Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	CalTrans	signage	\$ 8,000.00	\$ 3,000.00	\$ 5,500.00
Unincorporated Siskiyou County	Street		Study and construct biking and walking connections between the towns of Weed, Mt. Shasta, Dunsmuir and McCloud in support of the South Siskiyou County Sustainable Recreation Plan. These connections may include facilities on County roadways such as Old Stage Road and W A Barr Rd among others.					Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	Unincorporated Siskiyou County		\$ 80,000.00	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 65,000.00
	Street Spot Improvement	SP82	INTR 8: SR 96 @ Somes Bar Store Ingress/Egress changes SR 96 in Somes Bar	Hwy 96			Somes Bar Store	Middle Klamath River Community Transportation Plan	CalTrans		\$ 20,000.00	\$ 3,000.00	\$ 11,500.00
1	Street Spot Improvement		Highway 89/Ski Park Highway Intersection Safety Study. Include feasibility of expanding ride-share parking and shuttle service at Snowman's Hill to the Nordic Center and Ski Park. Also expanding safe parking, short and long-term, along Ski Park Rd., encouraging visitors to get out and enjoy the snow and/or install chains, while minimizing congestion at Snowman's Hill and parking on Hwy 89, which can be very hazardous.	Highway 89			Ski Park Highway	Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	CalTrans		\$ 20,000.00	\$ 3,000.00	\$ 11,500.00
Unincorporated Siskiyou County	Trail	T80	Conduct a feasibility study on a rails-to-trail or rails-with-trail project between Montague and Yreka.	N/A				Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	CalTrans, Siskiyou County		\$ 9,618,684.70	\$ 6,870,489.09	\$ 8,244,586.90

-												
									D d			
									Recommendations			
									outside the City of			
							MORE BY A BY A MA		Mt. Shasta are subject			
Unincorporated Siskiyou County	Tanil		Naishbanbaad Canaastan		MA A Danie Del	Cialdinan Laka Dhud	Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan	Unincorporated Siskiyou County	to the approval of the	¢ 535,460,30	ć 411 040 00	6 402.350.00
Siskiyou County	Trail	T114	Neighborhood Connector		W A Barr Rd	Siskiyou Lake Blvd	Snasta Mobility Plan	Siskiyou County	governing agency	\$ 575,468.70	\$ 411,049.09	\$ 493,258.90
									D			
									Recommendations			
									outside the City of			
							Mall Bills Bills Mi		Mt. Shasta are subject			
Unincorporated	Tanil		Naishbanbaad Canaastan		Cayuse	Old Chara Dd	Walk Bike Ride Mt.	Unincorporated	to the approval of the	ć 202.042.CO	ć 202 722 07	¢ 242.260.70
Siskiyou County	Trail	T116	Neighborhood Connector		Meadows Rd	Old Stage Rd	Shasta Mobility Plan	Siskiyou County	governing agency	\$ 283,813.60	\$ 202,723.97	\$ 243,268.78
									Recommendations			
									outside the City of			1
									Mt. Shasta are subject			1
Unincorporated							Walk Bike Ride Mt.	Unincorporated	to the approval of the			1
Unincorporated Siskiyou County	Troil	T447	Neighborhood Connector		lrono I n	Drivovav	Shasta Mobility Plan	Unincorporated Siskiyou County		\$ 91,203.60	\$ 65,145.46	\$ 78,174.53
Siskiyou County	ITall	T117	Neighborhood Connector		Irene Ln	Driveway	Shasta Mobility Plan	Siskiyou County	governing agency	\$ 91,203.60	\$ 05,145.40	3 78,174.55
									Recommendations			
									outside the City of			1
									Mt. Shasta are subject			1
Unincorporated							Walk Bike Ride Mt.	Unincorporated	to the approval of the			
	Trail	T118	Neighborhood Connector		Irene Ln	Irene Ln	Shasta Mobility Plan	Siskiyou County	governing agency	\$ 20,258.30	\$ 14,470.22	\$ 17,364.26
Siskiyou County	11 all	1118	Neighborhood Connector		irene Lii	ireile Lii	Silasta Wobility Flair	Siskiyou County	governing agency	20,238.30	3 14,470.22	3 17,304.20
1									Recommendations			
									outside the City of			1
									Mt. Shasta are subject			1
Unincorporated							Walk Bike Ride Mt.	Unincorporated	to the approval of the			1
Siskiyou County	Trail	T110	Neighborhood Connector		Quail Hill Dr	Eddy Dr	Shasta Mobility Plan	Siskiyou County	governing agency	\$ 720,909.30	\$ 514,935.23	\$ 617,922.26
Siskiyou County	11 all	T119	Neighborhood Connector		Quali Hili Di	Eddy Di	Silasta Wobility Flair	Siskiyou County	governing agency	720,505.50	3 314,933.23	3 017,922.20
									Recommendations			
									outside the City of			1
									Mt. Shasta are subject			1
Unincorporated							Walk Bike Ride Mt.	Unincorporated	to the approval of the			1
· ·	Trail	T120	Neighborhood Connector		Trinity Ln	Skyview Rd	Shasta Mobility Plan	Unincorporated Siskiyou County	governing agency	\$ 467,976.50	\$ 334,268.91	\$ 401,122.71
Siskiyou County	11 all	1120	Neighborhood Connector		Trillity Lii	Skyview Ku	Silasta Wobility Flair	Siskiyou County	governing agency	3 407,570.30	3 334,200.31	3 401,122.71
									Recommendations			
									outside the City of			1
									Mt. Shasta are subject			1
Unincorporated							Walk Bike Ride Mt.	Unincorporated	to the approval of the			1
· ·	Trail	T121	Neighborhood Connector		Woodside Dr	Ramona Dr	Shasta Mobility Plan	Siskiyou County	governing agency	\$ 233,695.70	\$ 166,925.49	\$ 200,310.59
Siskiyou county	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	1121	recignistrition connector		Woodside Di	namona Bi	Shasta Woodinty Flair	Sistiyou county	governing agency	233,033.70	100,323.43	200,310.33
									Recommendations			
1	l	1			l				outside the City of			
									Mt. Shasta are subject			1
Unincorporated							Walk Bike Ride Mt.	Unincorporated	to the approval of the			1
Siskiyou County	Trail	T122	Neighborhood Connector		Muledeer Dr	Deer Creek Rd	Shasta Mobility Plan	Siskiyou County	governing agency	\$ 483,409.00	\$ 345,292.16	\$ 414,350.58
Siskiyou county	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	1122	recigination connector		Widicacci Di	Deer ereek na	Shasta Woolinty Flair	Sistiyou county	governing agency	+03,403.00	343,232.10	7 414,530.50
1	I	1			l				Recommendations			
1	l	1							outside the City of			
1	l	1			l				Mt. Shasta are subject			
Unincorporated	l	1			l		Walk Bike Ride Mt.	Unincorporated	to the approval of the			
Siskiyou County	Trail	T123	Neighborhood Connector		Dogwood Ct	Old Stage Rd	Shasta Mobility Plan	Siskiyou County	governing agency	\$ 103,302.20	\$ 73,787.28	\$ 88,544.74
J.S, Ou County		1123				- a stage na	Shasta Mobility Flam	J.J., Ju County	governing agency	103,302.20	7 73,767.20	- 00,544.74
	l	1			l				Recommendations			
	l	1			l				outside the City of			
				Dunsmuir-Mt					Mt. Shasta are subject			
Unincorporated				Shasta-Weed Trail			Walk Bike Ride Mt.	Unincorporated	to the approval of the			
Siskiyou County	Trail	T161	Shared Use Path (Class I)		Azalea Rd	State Route 89	Shasta Mobility Plan	Siskiyou County	governing agency	\$ 439,070.60	\$ 313,621.87	\$ 376,346.24
Siskiyou County		1101	onarea eser ani (ciassi)	304411	zarca Na	State Notice 05	Shasta Mobility Flam	5.5kiyou county	Doverning agency	+ +35,070.00	7 313,021.07	770,340.24
1									Recommendations			
	l	1			l				outside the City of			
	l	1			l				Mt. Shasta are subject			
Unincorporated							Walk Bike Ride Mt.	Unincorporated	to the approval of the			
Siskiyou County	Trail	T160	Neighborhood Connector		Madison Dr	Rockfellow Dr	Shasta Mobility Plan	Siskiyou County		\$ 193,307.50	\$ 138,076.77	\$ 165,692.13
Siskiyou County	11 011	T168	Meignizornood connector		iviauisuli Di	NOCKIEHOW DI	Silasta Modility Plan	Siskiyou Coulity	governing agency	135,507.50	// 136,U/0.//	705,092.13 ب

Control of Control o				_					-				
Part Part													
Company													1
Compagned Comp													1
Supplication Part					Dunsmuir-Mt					Mt. Shasta are subject			
Contractions Contraction	Unincorporated				Shasta-Weed Trail			Walk Bike Ride Mt.	Unincorporated	to the approval of the			
Procession Pro	Siskiyou County	Trail	T176	Shared Use Path (Class I)	South	State Route 89	Mt Shasta Blvd	Shasta Mobility Plan	Siskiyou County	governing agency	\$ 818,508.00	\$ 584,648.57	\$ 701,578.28
Procession Pro				† · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			1	<u> </u>					
Procession Pro										Recommendations			
Company													
March Control March Contro													
Section Control Cont	l								I				
Control Cont										1.1			1.
Control State Control	Siskiyou County	Trail	T201	Great Shasta Rail Trail. Trail Study		Adams Dr	Jefferson Dr	Shasta Mobility Plan	Siskiyou County	governing agency	\$ 20,000.00	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 15,000.00
Control State Control													
Processing Process P										Recommendations			
Compagnation Comp										outside the City of			
Compagnation Comp										Mt. Shasta are subject			
Second Control Control	Unincorporated				Great Shasta Rail			Walk Bike Ride Mt					
Uniforce panded Uniforce p		Trail	тэоэ	Great Shasta Pail Trail Trail Study		Ski Villago Dr	Shasta Ave		Mt Shacta		\$ 20,000,00	\$ 10,000,00	\$ 15,000,00
Maintenance Maintenance	Siskiyou County	II all	1202	Great Shasta Kali Hali. Hali Study	ITall	Ski village Di	Silasta Ave	Strasta Mobility Flati	IVIL. SIIdSLA	governing agency	3 20,000:00	3 10,000:00	3 13,000.00
Maintenance Maintenance													
Unificing process Very Mark Bills Risks Mit. Objects Control 1981 Objects Control 1982 Objects Control 1983													
Marked power Part						I							
Section Country Table Ta	1	1				I							
Recommendation Reco	Unincorporated							Walk Bike Ride Mt.	Unincorporated	to the approval of the			
Contract products Cont	Siskiyou County	Trail	T203	Great Shasta Rail Trail. Trail Study		Adams Dr	Jefferson Dr	Shasta Mobility Plan	Siskiyou County	governing agency	\$ 20,000.00	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 15,000.00
Madewaters to Management Madewaters to Management				·				<u> </u>					
Madewaters to Management Madewaters to Management										Recommendations			1
Unicorporated Solvings County Trail 1 222 Trail Study 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1													1
Ministrative and color Ministrative Ministrat										NAt Charte are exhibited			
Salyou Country Trail 128 Trail South Adams Dr Ad													1
Deincorporated Subject County Frail 1229 Iral Study Deincorporated Subject County Frail 1220 Trail Study Deincorporated Subject County Frail 1220 Trail Study Deincorporated Subject County Frail 1221 Trail Study Deincorporated Subject County Frail 1222 Iral Study Deincorporated Subject County Frail 1222 Iral Study Deincorporated Subject County Frail 1222 Iral Study Deincorporated Subject County Frail 1222 Iral Study Deincorporated Subject County Frail 1222 Iral Study Deincorporated Subject County Frail 1222 Iral Study Deincorporated Subject County Frail 1222 Iral Study Deincorporated Subject County Frail 1222 Iral Study Deincorporated Subject County Frail 1222 Iral Study Deincorporated Subject County Frail 1222 Iral Study Deincorporated Subject County Frail 1222 Iral Study Deincorporated Subject County Frail 1222 Iral Study Deincorporated Subject County Frail 1222 Iral Study Deincorporated Subject County Frail 1222 Iral Study Deincorporated Subject County Frail 1222 Iral Study Deincorporated Subject County Frail 1222 Iral Study Deincorporated Subject County Frail 1222 Iral Study Deincorporated Subject County Frail 1222 Iral Study Deincorporated Subject County Frail 1222 Iral Study Deincorporated Deincorporated Deincorporated Deincorporated Subject County Frail 1222 Iral Study Deincorporated	· ·									1.1			1.
Unincorporated Silvyou County Trail 1723 Trail Study Adams 07 Afferson Dr Affe	Siskiyou County	Trail	T208	Trail Study	Lake Trail South	Adams Dr	Jefferson Dr	Shasta Mobility Plan	Siskiyou County	governing agency	\$ 13,000.00	\$ 8,000.00	\$ 10,500.00
Unincorporated Silvyou County Trail 1723 Trail Study Adams 07 Afferson Dr Affe													
Unincorporated Silveyor Country Trail 7210 Trail Study Scale of the Silveyor Country Trail 7210 Trail Study Scale of the Silveyor Country Scale of the Silve										Recommendations			1
Unincorporated Silveyor Country Trail 7210 Trail Study Scale of the Silveyor Country Trail 7210 Trail Study Scale of the Silveyor Country Scale of the Silve										outside the City of			1
Unincorporated Sakyou County Tail 7239 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Sikyou County Trail 7230 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Sikyou County Trail 7230 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Sikyou County Trail 7231 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Sikyou County Trail 7231 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Sikyou County Trail 7231 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Sikyou County Trail 7231 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Sikyou County Soverning agenty S 13,000.00 \$ 8,000.00 \$ 10,000 \$ 1													1
Sakkyou County Trail 1219 Trail Study	Unincorporated				Headwaters to			Walk Bike Ride Mt	Unincornorated				1
Recommendations outside the City of Mr. Shata are subject to the approval of the solid properties of the approval of the solid properties of the approval of the solid properties of the approval of the solid properties of the approval of the solid properties of the approval of the solid properties of the approval of the solid properties of the approval of the solid properties of the approval of the solid properties of the approval of the solid properties of the approval of the solid properties of the approval of the approval of the solid properties of the approval of the approval of the solid properties of the approval of the appro		Trail	T210	Trail Study		Adams Dr	Jefferson Dr				\$ 13,000,00	\$ 8,000,00	\$ 10,500,00
Headwaters to Unincorporated Siskiyou County Trail T220 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shats and Mobility Plan Siskyou County Shats are assigned. Unincorporated to the approval of the Siskyou County Siskyou County Shats are assigned. The advancers to Unincorporated to the approval of the Siskyou County Shats are assigned. Unincorporated to the approval of the Siskyou County Shats are assigned. Unincorporated to the approval of the Siskyou County Shats are assigned. Unincorporated to the approval of the Shats are assigned to the Shat	Siskiyou County	i i aii	1219	Trail Study	Lake ITali 300tii	Additis Di	Jenerson Di	Shasta Mobility Flah	Siskiyou County	governing agency	3 13,000.00	3 8,000.00	3 10,300.00
Headwaters to Unincorporated Siskiyou County Trail T220 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shats and Mobility Plan Siskyou County Shats are assigned. Unincorporated to the approval of the Siskyou County Siskyou County Shats are assigned. The advancers to Unincorporated to the approval of the Siskyou County Shats are assigned. Unincorporated to the approval of the Siskyou County Shats are assigned. Unincorporated to the approval of the Siskyou County Shats are assigned. Unincorporated to the approval of the Shats are assigned to the Shat										D			1
Unincorporated Sickyou County Trail 1 720 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shata Mobility Plan Sickyou County Trail 1 721 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shata Mobility Plan Sickyou County Trail 1 722 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shata Mobility Plan Sickyou County Trail 1 722 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shata Mobility Plan Sickyou County Trail 1 722 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shata Mobility Plan Sickyou County Trail 1 722 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shata Mobility Plan Sickyou County Trail 1 722 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shata Mobility Plan Sickyou County Trail 1 722 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shata Mobility Plan Sickyou County Trail 1 722 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shata Mobility Plan Sickyou County Trail 1 722 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shata Mobility Plan Sickyou County Trail 1 723 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shata Mobility Plan Sickyou County Trail 1 723 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shata Mobility Plan Sickyou County Trail 1 723 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shata Mobility Plan Sickyou County Trail 1 723 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shata Mobility Plan Sickyou County Trail 1 723 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shata Mobility Plan Sickyou County Trail 1 723 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shata Mobility Plan Sickyou County Trail 1 723 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shata Mobility Plan Sickyou County Trail 1 723 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shata Rail Walk Bike Ride Mt. Unincorporated Sockyou County Trail 1 723 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shata Rail Walk Bike Ride Mt. Unincorporated Trail													
Unincorporated Siskiyou County Trail 7220 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Siskyou County Trail 7221 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Siskyou County Trail 7222 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Siskyou County Trail 7222 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Shasta Mobility Plan Shasta Mobility Plan Shasta Mobility Plan Shasta Mobility Plan Shasta Mobility Plan Shasta Mobility Plan Shasta Mobility Plan Shasta Mobility Plan Shasta Mobility Plan Shasta Mobility Plan Shasta Mobility Plan Shasta Mobility Plan Shasta Mobility Plan Shasta Mobility Plan Shasta Mobility Plan Shasta me subject to the approval of the special										outside the City of			
Siskiyou County Trail 720 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Sikkyou County governing agency \$ 13,000.00 \$ 8,000.00 \$ 10,500. Recommendations outside fee thou for Mus. Shasta are subject to the first of the first o										Mt. Shasta are subject			1
Unincorporated Sakiyou County Trail T221 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shats Mobility Plan Sikiyou County Trail T222 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shats Mobility Plan Sikiyou County Shats are subject to the approval of the governing agency S 13,000.00 S 8,000.00 S 10,500. Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shitson Mobility Plan Sikiyou County Shatson Mobility Plan Shatson Soutside the City of Mt. Shatson are subject to the approval of the governing agency S 13,000.00 S 8,000.00 S 10,500.	Unincorporated				Headwaters to			Walk Bike Ride Mt.	Unincorporated	to the approval of the			1
Unincorporated Siskiyou County Trail T221 Trail Study Lake Trail South Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County Trail T222 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County Trail T223 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County Trail T223 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County Trail T223 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County Trail T223 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County Recommendations outside the City of Mt. Shasta are subject to the approval of the governing agency \$ 13,000.00 \$ 8,000.00 \$ 10,500. Walk Bike Ride Mt. Unincorporated Siskiyou County Trail T223 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County Recommendations outside the City of Mt. Shasta are subject to the approval of the governing agency \$ 13,000.00 \$ 8,000.00 \$ 10,500. Recommendations outside the City of Mt. Shasta are subject to the approval of the governing agency \$ 13,000.00 \$ 8,000.00 \$ 10,500. Walk Bike Ride Mt. Unincorporated Siskiyou County Recommendations outside the City of Mt. Shasta are subject to the approval of the governing agency \$ 13,000.00 \$ 8,000.00 \$ 10,500. Walk Bike Ride Mt. Walk Bike Ride Mt. Unincorporated to the approval of the governing agency \$ 13,000.00 \$ 8,000.00 \$ 10,500.	Siskiyou County	Trail	T220	Trail Study	Lake Trail South	Adams Dr	Jefferson Dr	Shasta Mobility Plan	Siskiyou County	governing agency	\$ 13,000.00	\$ 8,000.00	\$ 10,500.00
Unincorporated Siskiyou County Trail T221 Trail Study Lake Trail South Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County Trail T222 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County Trail T223 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County Trail T223 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County Trail T223 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County Trail T223 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County Recommendations outside the City of Mt. Shasta are subject to the approval of the governing agency \$ 13,000.00 \$ 8,000.00 \$ 10,500. Walk Bike Ride Mt. Unincorporated Siskiyou County Trail T223 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County Recommendations outside the City of Mt. Shasta are subject to the approval of the governing agency \$ 13,000.00 \$ 8,000.00 \$ 10,500. Recommendations outside the City of Mt. Shasta are subject to the approval of the governing agency \$ 13,000.00 \$ 8,000.00 \$ 10,500. Walk Bike Ride Mt. Unincorporated Siskiyou County Recommendations outside the City of Mt. Shasta are subject to the approval of the governing agency \$ 13,000.00 \$ 8,000.00 \$ 10,500. Walk Bike Ride Mt. Walk Bike Ride Mt. Unincorporated to the approval of the governing agency \$ 13,000.00 \$ 8,000.00 \$ 10,500.													
Unincorporated Siskiyou County Trail T221 Trail Study Lake Trail South Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County Trail T222 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County Trail T223 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County Trail T223 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County Trail T223 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County Trail T223 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County Recommendations outside the City of Mt. Shasta are subject to the approval of the governing agency \$ 13,000.00 \$ 8,000.00 \$ 10,500. Walk Bike Ride Mt. Unincorporated Siskiyou County Trail T223 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County Recommendations outside the City of Mt. Shasta are subject to the approval of the governing agency \$ 13,000.00 \$ 8,000.00 \$ 10,500. Recommendations outside the City of Mt. Shasta are subject to the approval of the governing agency \$ 13,000.00 \$ 8,000.00 \$ 10,500. Walk Bike Ride Mt. Unincorporated Siskiyou County Recommendations outside the City of Mt. Shasta are subject to the approval of the governing agency \$ 13,000.00 \$ 8,000.00 \$ 10,500. Walk Bike Ride Mt. Walk Bike Ride Mt. Unincorporated to the approval of the governing agency \$ 13,000.00 \$ 8,000.00 \$ 10,500.										Recommendations			1
Unincorporated Siskiyou County Trail T221 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County Trail T222 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County Trail T222 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County Trail T222 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County Trail T223 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County Trail T223 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County Trail T223 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County Trail T223 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County Trail T223 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County Trail T223 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County Trail T223 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County Trail T223 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County Trail T223 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County Trail T223 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County Trail T223 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County Trail T223 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County Taylor Tayl													
Unincorporated Siskiyou County Trail T221 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County Trail T221 Trail Study Siskiyou County Trail T221 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Siskyou County Trail T222 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Siskyou County Shasta Mobility Plan Shasta Mobility Plan Siskyou County Shasta Mobility Plan Shasta Mobility Plan Shasta Mobility Plan Shasta Mobility Plan Shasta Mobility Plan Shasta Mobility Plan Shasta Mobility Plan Shasta Mobility Plan Shasta Mobility Plan Shasta Mobility Plan Shasta Mobility Plan Shasta Mobility Plan Shasta Mobility Plan Shasta Mobility Plan Shasta Mobility Plan Shasta Mobili													
Siskiyou County Trail T221 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County governing agency S 13,000.00 S 8,000.00 S 10,500. Recommendations outside the City of Mr. Shasta are subject to the approval of the Siskiyou County Trail T222 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County Shasta Are subject to the approval of the Shasta Are subject to the approva	I I miliona a managana al				11			NA/alla Dilaa Diala NA					
Unincorporated Siskiyou County Trail T222 Trail Study Headwaters to Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County Trail T223 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County Trail T223 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County Skikyou C		L		L		l						l	
Unincorporated Siskiyou County Trail T222 Trail Study Lake Trail South Headwaters to Lake Trail South Headwaters to Lake Trail South Headwaters to Lake Trail South Headwaters to Lake Trail South Headwaters to Lake Trail South Headwaters to Lake Trail South Headwaters to Unincorporated Siskiyou County Trail T223 Trail Study Lake Trail South Headwaters to Lake Trail South Headwaters to Lake Trail South Headwaters to Lake Trail South Great Shasta Rail Walk Bike Ride Mt. Walk Bike Ride M	Siskiyou County	Trail	T221	Trail Study	Lake Trail South	Adams Dr	Jefferson Dr	Shasta Mobility Plan	Siskiyou County	governing agency	\$ 13,000.00	\$ 8,000.00	\$ 10,500.00
Unincorporated Siskiyou County Trail T222 Trail Study Lake Trail South Headwaters to Lake Trail South Headwaters to Lake Trail South Headwaters to Lake Trail South Headwaters to Lake Trail South Headwaters to Lake Trail South Headwaters to Lake Trail South Headwaters to Unincorporated Siskiyou County Trail T223 Trail Study Lake Trail South Headwaters to Lake Trail South Headwaters to Lake Trail South Headwaters to Lake Trail South Great Shasta Rail Walk Bike Ride Mt. Walk Bike Ride M													
Unincorporated Siskiyou County Trail T222 Trail Study Lake Trail South Headwaters to Lake Trail South Lake Trail South Lake Trail South Headwaters to Lake Trail South Lake Trai						I		1					
Unincorporated Siskiyou County Trail T222 Trail Study Lake Trail South Headwaters to Lake Trail South Lake Trail South Lake Trail South Headwaters to Lake Trail South Lake Trai	1	1				I				outside the City of			
Unincorporated Siskiyou County Trail T222 Trail Study Headwaters to Siskiyou County Trail T222 Trail Study Headwaters to Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County governing agency \$ 13,000.0 \$ 8,000.0 \$ 10,500. Recommendations outside the City of Mt. Shast are subject to the approval of the Siskiyou County governing agency \$ 13,000.0 \$ 8,000.0 \$ 10,500. Recommendations outside the City of Mt. Shast are subject to the approval of the Siskiyou County governing agency \$ 13,000.0 \$ 8,000.0 \$ 10,500. Recommendations outside the City of Mt. Shast are subject to the approval of the Siskiyou County governing agency \$ 13,000.0 \$ 8,000.0 \$ 10,500. Recommendations outside the City of Mt. Shast are subject to the approval of the Siskiyou County governing agency \$ 13,000.0 \$ 8,000.0 \$ 10,500. Recommendations outside the City of Mt. Shast are subject to the approval of the Siskiyou County governing agency \$ 13,000.0 \$ 8,000.0 \$ 10,500. Recommendations outside the City of Mt. Shast are subject to the approval of the Siskiyou County governing agency \$ 13,000.0 \$ 10,500.						I		1					
Siskiyou County Trail T222 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County governing agency \$ 13,000.00 \$ 8,000.00 \$ 10,500. Recommendations outside the City of Mt. Shasta are subject to the approval of the Siskiyou County Trail T223 Trail Study Jefferson Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County Trail T223 Trail Study Jefferson Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County Governing agency \$ 13,000.00 \$ 8,000.00 \$ 10,500. Walk Bike Ride Mt. Unincorporated Siskiyou County Governing agency S 13,000.00 \$ 8,000.00 \$ 10,500. Recommendations outside the City of Mt. Shasta are subject to the approval of the governing agency S 13,000.00 \$ 8,000.00 \$ 10,500. Walk Bike Ride Mt. Walk Bike Ride Mt. Unincorporated Unincorporated Siskiyou County Governing agency S 13,000.00 \$ 8,000.00 \$ 10,500.	Unincorporated	1			Headwaters to	I		Walk Bike Ride Mt	Unincorporated				
Unincorporated Siskiyou County Trail T223 Trail Study Headwaters to Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County Unincorporated Shasta are subject to the approval of the governing agency \$ 13,000.00 \$ 8,000.00 \$ 10,500. Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta are subject to the approval of the governing agency \$ 0,000.00 \$ 10,500. Walk Bike Ride Mt. Walk Bike Ride Mt. Walk Bike Ride Mt. Unincorporated Siskiyou County Boverning agency \$ 0,000.00 \$ 10,500.		Trail	T222	Trail Study		Adams Dr	Jefferson Dr				\$ 13,000,00	\$ 8,000,00	\$ 10,500.00
Unincorporated Siskiyou County Trail Trail Study Headwaters to Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County Great Shasta Rail Walk Bike Ride Mt. Unincorporated Shasta Rail Sudy Shasta are subject to the approval of the Siskiyou County governing agency \$ 13,000.00 \$ 8,000.00 \$ 10,500. Recommendations outside the City of Mt. Shasta are subject to the approval of the Siskiyou County Great Shasta Rail Sudy Shasta Rail Sudy Shasta Rail Sudy Shasta Rail Sudy Shasta Rail Sudy Shasta Rail Sudy Shasta Rail Sudy Shasta Rail Sudy Shasta Rail Sudy Shasta Rail Sudy Shasta Rail Sudy Shasta Rail Sudy Shasta Rail Sudy Shasta Rail Sudy Shasta Rail Sudy Shasta Rail Sudy Shasta Rail Sudy Shasta Rail Sudy Shasta Rail Sudy Shasta Rail Shasta Rail Shasta Rail Sudy Shasta Rail	-iomyou country		1444		Lake Hall South			Shasta Woomity Flair	2.5.m, ou country	22.c2 aPc.11c)	15,000.00	7 3,000.00	10,500.00
Unincorporated Siskiyou County Trail Trail Study Headwaters to Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County Great Shasta Rail Walk Bike Ride Mt. Unincorporated Shasta Rail Sudy Shasta are subject to the approval of the Siskiyou County governing agency \$ 13,000.00 \$ 8,000.00 \$ 10,500. Recommendations outside the City of Mt. Shasta are subject to the approval of the Siskiyou County Great Shasta Rail Sudy Shasta Rail Sudy Shasta Rail Sudy Shasta Rail Sudy Shasta Rail Sudy Shasta Rail Sudy Shasta Rail Sudy Shasta Rail Sudy Shasta Rail Sudy Shasta Rail Sudy Shasta Rail Sudy Shasta Rail Sudy Shasta Rail Sudy Shasta Rail Sudy Shasta Rail Sudy Shasta Rail Sudy Shasta Rail Sudy Shasta Rail Sudy Shasta Rail Sudy Shasta Rail Shasta Rail Shasta Rail Sudy Shasta Rail		l				I		1		Pocommondo::			
Unincorporated Siskiyou County Trail Trail Study Headwaters to Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Walk Bike Ride Mt. Unincorporated Siskiyou County Trail Study Mt. Shasta are subject to the approval of the governing agency \$ 13,000.00 \$ 8,000.00 \$ 10,500. Recommendations outside the City of Mt. Shasta are subject to the approval of the governing agency \$ 13,000.00 \$ 10,500. Walk Bike Ride Mt.						I							
Unincorporated Siskiyou County Trail Trail Study Headwaters to Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County Shasta Walk Bike Ride Mt. Walk Bike Ride Mt. Walk Bike Ride Mt. Walk Bike Ride Mt. Walk Bike Ride Mt. Walk Bike Ride Mt. Walk Bike Ride Mt. Walk Bike Ride Mt.	1	1				I			1				
Siskiyou County Trail T223 Trail Study Lake Trail South Adams Dr Jefferson Dr Shasta Mobility Plan Siskiyou County governing agency \$ 13,000.00 \$ 8,000.00 \$ 10,500. Recommendations outside the City of Mt. Shasta are subject to the approval of the	1	1				I							
Recommendations outside the City of Mt. Shasta are subject to the approval of the		l				I		•					
Recommendations outside the City of Mt. Shasta are subject to the approval of the	Siskiyou County	Trail	T223	Trail Study	Lake Trail South	Adams Dr	Jefferson Dr	Shasta Mobility Plan	Siskiyou County	governing agency	\$ 13,000.00	\$ 8,000.00	\$ 10,500.00
Unincorporated Great Shasta Rail Outside Mt. Shasta are subject Walk Bike Ride Mt. to the approval of the		Ī	i		1	Ī	†		1				
Unincorporated Great Shasta Rail Outside Mt. Shasta are subject Walk Bike Ride Mt. to the approval of the		l				I				Recommendations			
Unincorporated Mt. Shasta are subject Walk Bike Ride Mt. to the approval of the		1				I							
Unincorporated Walk Bike Ride Mt. to the approval of the		l				I		1					
	Unincornerated	1			Croat Chasta Ball	I		Malle Biles Bids Mit		to the approval of the			
Shakiyou County Irail		L		Court Charles Build H. H. T. H.Co. 1			1		hat of the		<u></u>		
	Siskiyou County	rrail	T224	Great Shasta Rail Trail. Trail Study	Trail	Adams Dr	Jefferson Dr	Shasta Mobility Plan	Mt. Shasta	governing agency	\$ 20,000.00	> 10,000.00	\$ 15,000.00

Unincorporated	Trail	T226		Dunsmuir-Mt Shasta-Weed Trail	1.5	Pig Capyon Dr		Walk Bike Ride Mt.	Unincorporated	Recommendations outside the City of Mt. Shasta are subject to the approval of the		1532,000.55	1 220 500 50
Siskiyou County	ıralı	T226	Shared Use Path (Class I)	South	I-5	Big Canyon Dr	+	Shasta Mobility Plan	Siskiyou County	governing agency	\$ 2,146,200.80	1,533,000.55	\$ 1,839,600.68
Unincorporated				Dunsmuir-Mt Shasta-Weed Trail		Everitt Memorial		Walk Bike Ride Mt.	Unincorporated	Recommendations outside the City of Mt. Shasta are subject to the approval of the			
Siskiyou County	Trail	T235	Shared Use Path (Class I)	North Dunsmuir-Mt	Raspberry Way	Highway		Shasta Mobility Plan	Siskiyou County	governing agency	\$ 233,883.30	\$ 167,059.51	\$ 200,471.41
Unincorporated Siskiyou County	Trail	T236	Shared Use Path (Class I)	Shasta-Weed Trail North				Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan	Unincorporated Siskiyou County		\$ 507,390.30	\$ 362,421.61	\$ 434,905.96
Unincorporated Siskiyou County	Trail	T239	Shared Use Path (Class I)	Dunsmuir-Mt Shasta-Weed Trail North				Walk Bike Ride Mt. Shasta Mobility Plan	Unincorporated Siskiyou County		\$ 1,585,157.00	1,132,255.00	\$ 1,358,706.00
Unincorporated Siskiyou County	Trail	T574	Construct a paved shared-use path (Class I Bike Facility) between Montague and Grenada following Montague Grenada Road. As an interim measure, widen (min 4', 8' preferred) and pave shoulders (consider contrasting pavement color) and include edge line rumble strips on Montague Grenada Road.	Montague Grenada Rd	Montague	Grenada		Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	Siskiyou County		\$ 8,186,351.90	5,847,394.19	\$ 7,016,873.04
Siskiyou county	11011	1374	actips on Montagae Grenada Noda.	Grenada Na	Wontague	Grenada			Siskiyou county		0,100,331.30	3,047,334.13	7,010,073.04
Weed	Bike	B11	Add a Class III bikeway on Main St between Lake St and Davis Ave.	Main St	Hwy 97	Davis St		Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	Weed		\$ 258,125.50	\$ 119,134.84	\$ 188,630.17
Weed	Bike	B17	Add bike lanes (Class II Facility) on College Ave between Bel Air Dr and Weed Blvd	College Ave	Bel Air Dr	Weed Blvd		Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	Weed		\$ 201,587.50	39,016.93	\$ 120,302.21
Weed	Bike	B18	Add bike lanes (Class II Facility) on Shastina Dr between Vista Dr and Weed Blvd.	Shastina Dr	Vista Dr	Weed Blvd		Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	Weed		\$ 867,304.10	\$ 167,865.30	\$ 517,584.70
Weed	Bike	B31	Remove the center left turn lane and add buffered bike lanes (Class II Facility) on Weed Blvd between Shastina Dr and Boles St.	Weed Blvd	College Ave	Boles St		Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	CalTrans		\$ 272,627.70	52,766.65	\$ 162,697.17
Weed	Bike	B84	Add bike lanes (Class II Facility) on Siskiyou Way between Weed Blvd and College of the Siskiyous.	Siskiyou Way	Weed Blvd	College of the Siskiyous		Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	Weed		\$ 112,736.00	\$ 21,819.87	\$ 67,277.93
Weed	Bike	B556		Boles St	Lake St	Main St and North Weed Blvd		Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	Weed		\$ 247,576.90	\$ 47,918.11	\$ 147,747.51
Weed	Crossing	C45	Add high visibility continental crosswalk markings across N Davis Ave on the east leg of the intersection with Roseburg Pkwy. Add an RRFB at this location. Consider adding Advance Yield Here To (Stop Here For) Pedestrians signage.	Davis Ave			Roseburg Pkwy	Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	Weed	additional signage	\$ 31,000.00) \$ 15,500.00	\$ 23,250.00
Weed	Crossing	C46	Restripe the crosswalks on Main St between Division St and Lake St with high visibility continental crosswalk markings.	Main St			Division, others	Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	Weed		\$ 3,000.00	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 2,250.00
Weed	Crossing	C47	Restripe the crosswalks on Main St between Division St and Lake St with high visibility continental crosswalk markings.	Main St			Division, others	Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	Weed		\$ 3,000.00	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 2,250.00
Weed	Crossing	C48	Restripe the crosswalks on Main St between Division St and Lake St with high visibility continental crosswalk markings.	Main St			Division, others	Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	Weed		\$ 3,000.00	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 2,250.00
Weed	Crossing	C49	Add high visibility continental crosswalk markings on Hwy 97 at Boles St on the northwest leg of the intersection and include a pedestrian island and RRFB. Add crosswalk on the east leg of the intersection. (Note: remove the existing crosswalk on the south leg)	Hwy 97			Boles St	Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	CalTrans		\$ 273,000.00) \$ 69,500.00	\$ 171,250.00
	Crossing	C50	Add high visibility continental crosswalk markings on the west leg of College Ave at the intersection of Dakota St. Construct curb ramps and add warning signage as appropriate.				Dakota St	Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	Weed		\$ 31,000.00		
Weed	Crossing	C178	Caltrans recommends: Fix Existing Crosswalk @ I5 southbound offramp US 97					CalTrans	CalTrans		\$ 31,000.00	\$ 15,500.00	\$ 23,250.00
Weed	Crossing	C179	Caltrans recommends: Fix Existing Crosswalk @ I5 Northbound Onramp US 97					CalTrans	CalTrans		\$ 31,000.00	\$ 15,500.00	\$ 23,250.00

	_			1							•	T	
			Caltrans recommends: Fix Existing Crosswalk crossing Main St @ SR 97										
Weed	Crossing	C180	(North and West legs)				Ca	alTrans	CalTrans		\$ 31,000.00	\$ 15,500.00	\$ 23,250.00
		0100	(1								
							1						
			Caltrans recommends: Fix Exsiting crosswalk crossing SR 97 @ N Weed				1						
Weed	Crossing	C181	Blvd (West and South legs)				Ca	alTrans	CalTrans		\$ 31,000.00	\$ 15,500.00	\$ 23,250.00
							1						
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	C		Caltrans recommends: Fix Exsiting crosswalk crossing SR 97 @ E					. IT	C. IT.		å 24 000 00	45 500 00	22.250.00
Weed	Crossing	C182	Lincoln Ave				Ca	alTrans	CalTrans		\$ 31,000.00	\$ 15,500.00	\$ 23,250.00
			Construct a sidewalk on the north side of Hillside Dr between S Davis				l si	skiyou County Active					
Weed	Sidewalk	SW5	Dr and Liberty Ave.	Hillside Dr	S Davis Dri	Liberty Ave		ransportation Plan	Weed		\$ 696,177.40	\$ 459,451.63	\$ 577,814.52
		3003			1		 				, , , , , , , ,	1 100,102.00	
			Construct a sidewalk on the south side of Siskiyou Way between Weed				Si	skiyou County Active					
Weed	Sidewalk	SW20	Blvd and Walnut St	Siskiyou Way	Weed Blvd	Walnut St		ransportation Plan	Weed		\$ 165,349.40	\$ 105,566.29	\$ 135,457.85
			Construct a sidewalk on the south side of Division St between Park St				Si	skiyou County Active					
Weed	Sidewalk	SW26	and Butte St.	Division St	Park St	Butte St	Tr	ransportation Plan	Weed		\$ 285,315.50	\$ 185,543.70	\$ 235,429.60
							l						
Wood	Sidovialle	CMEA	Construct sidewalks on both sides of Inez St between Park St and	Inoz St	Dark St	Butto St		skiyou County Active	Wood	hath sides	¢ 556,000,00	ć 261 222 22	\$ 458,666.67
Weed	Sidewalk	SW51	Butte St.	Inez St	Park St	Butte St	l I'r	ransportation Plan	Weed	both sides	\$ 556,000.00	\$ 361,333.33	\$ 458,666.67
			Construct a sidewalk on the east side of Park St between the Library				c:	skiyou County Active	1				
Weed	Sidewalk	SW53	and the south side of Division St.	Park St	Library	Division St		ransportation Plan	Weed		\$ 238,626.00	\$ 154,417.34	\$ 196,521.67
Weed	Sidewalk	30033	Construct a sidewalk on the north side of S Davis Ave between Main St	Turkst	Library	DIVISION SC	 	ansportation rian	Weeu		230,020.00	7 154,417.54	7 130,321.07
			and Hillside Dr. Design should consider an east side sidewalk from				1						
			Main St that stays on the east side of the roadway by crossing the				Si	skiyou County Active					
Weed	Sidewalk	SW61	street at the bend.	S Davis Ave	Main St	Hillside Dr	Tr	ransportation Plan	Weed		\$ 457,324.10	\$ 295,549.37	\$ 376,436.74
			Work with Roseburg Forest Products to install a bicycle/pedestrian				1						
			facility on Roseburg Pkwy between Davis Ave and Broadway Ln to					skiyou County Active					
Weed	Sidewalk	SW62	make it safer for kids walking and biking to school.	Roseburg Pkwy	Davis Ave	Broadway Ln	Tr	ransportation Plan	Weed		\$ 452,594.60	\$ 297,063.05	\$ 374,828.83
			Designate White Ave as a biguele and nedestrian only street										
			Designate White Ave as a bicycle and pedestrian only street, restricting access to vehicles except those for utility and emergency				1						
			services. Add seating, shade, and other amenities to create a park-like				l si	skiyou County Active					
Weed	Trail	T52	experience between White Ct and S Davis Ave.	White Ave	White Ct	S Davis Ave		ransportation Plan	Weed		\$ 135,965.80	\$ 62,753.47	\$ 99,359.63
					İ						,	,	,
			Designate Olive St as a low-traffic neighborhood street and prioritize				1						
			improvements to keep traffic volumes low. Construct a shared-use				Si	skiyou County Active					
Weed	Trail	T54	path connection to Boles St and include a traffic diverter.	Multiple			Tr	ransportation Plan	Weed		\$ 389,744.00	\$ 278,388.56	\$ 334,066.28
l., ,			Work with Union Pacific to establish a paved trail and bicycle and					skiyou County Active	L., .		70.056.00		
Weed	Trail	T85	pedestrian crossing of the railroad connecting Divison St to Davis Ave.	N/A			l Ir	ransportation Plan	Weed		\$ 79,856.90	\$ 57,040.62	\$ 68,448.76
			Construct a paved trail between S Davis Ave and Shasta Ave to					akiyay Cayaty Astiya					
Weed	Trail	T86	improve connectivity between the Elementary School and surrounding neighborhoods.	N/A				skiyou County Active ransportation Plan	Weed		\$ 152,087.10	\$ 108,633.63	\$ 130,360.36
	11011	100		,	1			aportation rium			7 132,087.10	100,033.03	7 130,300.30
			Construct a staircase or path connecting Main St to White Ave or Davis				Si	skiyou County Active	1				
Weed	Trail	T557	Ave to connect students to downtown neighborhoods.	N/A				ransportation Plan	Weed	staircase	\$ 2,000,000.00	\$ 600,000.00	\$ 1,300,000.00
	1		Implement a slow streets network (Class III Facility) to make it safer for				[
L	L		people walking and biking on the following roadways: Broadway and		I			skiyou County Active	L		1.].
Yreka	Bike	B4		3rd St	Jackson St	Lennox St	Tr	ransportation Plan	Yreka		\$ 381,847.30	\$ 176,237.22	\$ 279,042.26
			Implement a slow streets network (Class III Facility) to make it safer for	1]	akiyay Cayaty Astr	1	Priority street			
Yreka	Bike	B12	people walking and biking on the following roadways: Gold St between Jackson St and Lennox St	Gold St	Jackson St	Lennox St		skiyou County Active ransportation Plan	Yreka	according to public outreach event	\$ 391,516.60	\$ 180,699.96	\$ 286,108.28
TIENG	DIKE	DIZ	Add bike lanes (Class IV) on Oregon St between 4H Way and Yreka	GOIG St	Jackson St	Letinox 3t		ansportation Flan	TICKO	outreach event	7 331,310.00	7 100,039.30	200,100.20
			High School. The design should utilize a through lane width of 11ft in						1				
ĺ			both directions, allowing the bike lanes (minimum of 6ft) to be]		1				
	1		buffered (3ft preferred, 2ft minimum) and protected with flexi-post				[
ĺ			bollards for as much of the corridor as possible, though the curb-to-						1				
	1		curb width will constrain the buffer space for the bike lanes in some					skiyou County Active					
Yreka	Bike	B19	areas.	Oregon St	4H Way	Yreka High School	Tr	ransportation Plan	Yreka		\$ 2,705,718.10	\$ 595,973.15	\$ 1,650,845.63
			Implement a slow streets network (Class III Facility) to make it safer for										
L	B.1	L	people walking and biking on the following roadways: Evergreen Ln,	la mar				skiyou County Active	.				
Yreka	Bike	B24	Shasta Ave, and French St	Multiple			I □ □ □ □ □	ransportation Plan	Yreka		\$ 489,882.30	\$ 226,099.50	\$ 357,990.90

		_	I	•		1						•	
			Implement a slow streets network (Class III Facility) to make it safer for					Sieldway County Active					1
Yreka	Bike	B27	people walking and biking on the following roadways: Turre St between Oregon St and Main St/Hwy 3	Turre St	Main St/Hwy 3	Oregon St		Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	Yreka	¢	84,177.00	\$ 38,850.92	\$ 61,513.96
ПСКа	DIRC	B27	Implement a slow streets network (Class III Facility) to make it safer for		Iviairi St/Tiwy S	отедон эт	+	Transportation rian	ПСКа	7	04,177.00	30,030.32	Ü1,513.30
			people walking and biking on the following roadways: Lane St					Siskiyou County Active					1
Yreka	Bike	B34	between Lange Way and Broadway St	Lane St	Lange Way	Broadway St		Transportation Plan	Yreka	\$	595,724.20	\$ 274,949.64	\$ 435,336.92
			Implement a slow streets network (Class III Facility) to make it safer for										
			people walking and biking on the following roadways: Evergreen Ln,					Siskiyou County Active					1.
Yreka	Bike	B49	Lawrence Ln, Wendy Dr	Multiple				Transportation Plan	Yreka	\$	342,028.00	\$ 157,859.08	\$ 249,943.54
			Implement a slow streets network (Class III Facility) to make it safer for				See Trail	Cialdina Carratur Aatirra					1
Yreka	Bike	B60	people walking and biking on the following roadways: Lennox St between Discovery St and Foothill Dr.	Lennox St	Discovery St	Foothill Dr	recommendation for bridge over I-5.	Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	Yreka	¢	851,470.80	\$ 392,986.54	\$ 622,228.67
ПСКа	DIRC	600	Implement a slow streets network (Class III Facility) to make it safer for		Discovery St	T GOLINII DI	bridge over 1 3.	Transportation rian	ПСК	7	031,470.00	332,300.54	022,226.07
			people walking and biking on the following roadways: North St					Siskiyou County Active					1
Yreka	Bike	B92	between Humbug Rd and Broadway St	North St	Humbug Rd	Broadway St		Transportation Plan	Yreka	\$	807,793.90	\$ 372,827.97	\$ 590,310.93
			Add bike lanes (Class II Facility) on Greenhorn Rd between Oregon St					Siskiyou County Active					1
Yreka	Bike	B93	and Greenhorn Park.	Greenhorn Rd	Oregon St	Greenhorn Park		Transportation Plan	Yreka	\$	349,433.00	\$ 67,632.18	\$ 208,532.59
													1
			Add bike lanes (Class II Facility) on Oberlin Rd between Campbell Ave										1
			and Oregon St. At the bridge over Yreka Creek, construct ramps up to the sidewalk from the bike lane on the south side of the roadway and					Siskiyou County Active					1
Yreka	Bike	B94	route people riding westbound onto the sidewalk	Oberlin Rd	Campbell Ave	Oregon St		Transportation Plan	Yreka	Ś	455,409.80	\$ 88,143.84	\$ 271,776.82
		554	route people name westernam onto the saction	0.201111110	campsen / tre	0.05000	+	Transportation Flam	Trend	<u> </u>	133,103100	φ σσ,2 ισιο :	2,2,,,,,,,
			Class II Bikeway On Hwy 3 from Oregon St to Juniper Dr. Adapted from										1
			2006 Plan. Add this bikeway consistent with Caltran's planned designs,					City of Yreka Bicycle					1
Yreka	Bike	В99	except extend the facility to Juniper Dr.	Hwy 3	Oregon St	Juniper Dr		Transportation Plan	CalTrans	\$	711,970.10	\$ 137,800.66	\$ 424,885.38
								City of Yreka Bicycle					1
Yreka	Bike	B100	Class II Bikeway on Foothill Dr from Miner St to Philippe Ln	Foothill Dr	Miner St	Philippe Ln	_	Transportation Plan	Yreka	\$	1,467,520.50	\$ 284,036.23	\$ 875,778.36
								City of Yreka Bicycle					1
Yreka	Bike	B101	Class II Bikeway on South Philippe Ln from Foothill Dr to Oberlin Rd	South Philippe Ln	Foothill Dr	Oberlin Rd		Transportation Plan	Yreka	¢	987,621.60	\$ 191,152.57	\$ 589,387.09
ПСКа	DIRC	B101	Class II bikeway on south 1 milippe En nom 1 oothiii bi to oberiin ka	Joddi i illippe Lii	T GOUTHIN DI	Oberiiii Nu	+	Transportation rian	ПСКа	7	307,021.00	7 131,132.37	303,307.03
					South Philippe			City of Yreka Bicycle					1
Yreka	Bike	B102	Class II Bikeway on Oberlin Rd from South Philippe Ln to Campbell Ave	Oberlin Rd	Ln	Campbell Ave		Transportation Plan	Yreka	\$	1,128,860.90	\$ 218,489.20	\$ 673,675.05
			Class III Bikeway on Discovery St from North St to its northern					City of Yreka Bicycle					1.
Yreka	Bike	B103	terminus	Discovery St	North St	northern terminus		Transportation Plan	Yreka	\$	555,945.30	\$ 256,590.16	\$ 406,267.73
								City of Vanla Diamela					1
Yreka	Bike	B104	Class III Bikeway on Knapp St from Discovery St to Oregon St	Knapp St	Discovery St	Oregon St		City of Yreka Bicycle Transportation Plan	Yreka	¢	325,786.90	\$ 150,363.17	\$ 238,075.04
TTCKU	BIRC	D104	class in bikeway on knapp st from biscovery st to oregon st	тарр эс	Discovery st	oregon st	+	Transportation rian	ПСКО	7	323,700.30	7 130,303.17	230,073.04
			Class III Bikeway on Shasta Ave, West St, connecting North St and					City of Yreka Bicycle					1
Yreka	Bike	B106	French St	Shasta Ave	French St	North St		Transportation Plan	Yreka	\$	374,483.00	\$ 172,838.31	\$ 273,660.66
								City of Yreka Bicycle					1.
Yreka	Bike	B107	Class III Bikeway on 4H Way and Campus Dr	Campus Dr	Oregon St	Moonlit Oaks Ave		Transportation Plan	Yreka	\$	300,711.30	\$ 138,789.81	\$ 219,750.56
1				1	1		1	Sickiyou County Active				1	1
Yreka	Bike	B559	Add buffered bike lanes (Class IIB) between Fairlane Rd and Hwy 3.	Moonlit Oaks Ave	Fairlane Rd	Hwy 3	1	Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	CalTrans	ć	115,457.50	\$ 22,346.62	\$ 68,902.06
TICKG	DIKC	צכנם	The barrered bine lattes (class tib) between fathatie nu and nwy 5.	Wildering Oaks Ave	i airiaile Nu	v y 3	+	Transportation Flan	Carrians	7	113,437.30	22,340.02	9 00,302.00
			Campus Dr to Outsen Rd future complete street extension to include					Siskiyou County Active					1
Yreka	Bike	B560	Class III bikeway (neighborhood slow street).	N/A	Campus Dr	Outsen Rd	1	Transportation Plan	Yreka	\$	226,113.40	\$ 104,360.02	\$ 165,236.71
			Add bike lanes (Class II) on Campbell Ave for its full extent between		1			· ·		<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
1			Oberlin Rd. Include striping bike lanes (Class II) on Comstock Dr					Siskiyou County Active					1
Yreka	Bike	B561	between Oberlin Rd and Campbell Ave.	Campbell Ave	Oberlin Rd	Oberlin Rd		Transportation Plan	Yreka	\$	538,810.80	\$ 104,285.95	\$ 321,548.38
L	B.1.		Implement a slow streets network (Class III Facility) to make it safer for					Siskiyou County Active	W. J.		===		
Yreka	Bike	B562	people walking and biking on Comstock Dr.	Comstock Dr	 	1	1	Transportation Plan	Yreka	\$	194,775.00	\$ 89,896.15	\$ 142,335.58
			Add buffered bike lanes (Class IIB) to Fairlane Rd between Oberlin Rd										[
1			and Moonlit Oaks Ave. This may require reducing existing through					Siskiyou County Active					1
Yreka	Bike	B567	lane widths to 11' or widening the roadway slightly.	Fairlane Rd	Oberlin Rd	Moonlit Oaks Ave	1	Transportation Plan	Yreka	\$	528,650.80	\$ 102,319.51	\$ 315,485.15
				1	1		1	<u> </u>		i i	,	<u> </u>	· · ·
1			Implement a slow streets network (Class III Facility) to make it safer for	1	1		1					1	1
1			people walking and biking on the following roadways: Ranch Lane			Lower Greenhorn		Siskiyou County Active				1.	1.
Yreka	Bike	B574	between Oregon St to the Lower Greenhorn Park Entrance	Ranch Lane	Oregon St	Park Entrance		Transportation Plan	Yreka	\$	221,590.90	\$ 102,272.73	\$ 161,931.81

		_											
			Add bike parking to the following locations: High School, Greenhorn										
			Park, Miner St between Main St and Oregon St, Jackson St School,										
W I .	D'I - D- d'-	L	Evergreen Lane Elementary, Yreka Park, Ringe Park, Yreka High School	1,1/4			Siskiyou County Active	WL.			500.00	350.00	275.00
Yreka	Bike Parking	BP58	Performing Arts Center	N/A			Transportation Plan	Yreka		\$	500.00 \$	250.00	\$ 375.00
			Add bike parking to the following locations: High School, Greenhorn										
			Park, Miner St between Main St and Oregon St, Jackson St School, Evergreen Lane Elementary, Yreka Park, Ringe Park, Yreka High School				Siskiyou County Active						
Yreka	Bike Parking	DDEO	Performing Arts Center	N/A			Transportation Plan	Yreka		ė	500.00 \$	250.00	\$ 375.00
Пека	DIKE FAIKIII	BP59	Add bike parking to the following locations: High School, Greenhorn	N/A			Transportation Flair	Пека		7	300.00 \$	230.00	\$ 373.00
			Park, Miner St between Main St and Oregon St, Jackson St School,										
			Evergreen Lane Elementary, Yreka Park, Ringe Park, Yreka High School				Siskiyou County Active						
Yreka	Bike Parking	BP60	Performing Arts Center	N/A			Transportation Plan	Yreka		Ś	500.00 \$	250.00	\$ 375.00
ПСКИ	DIKE FURNING	БРОО	Add bike parking to the following locations: High School, Greenhorn	14/1			Transportation Flan	TTERG		· ·	300.00 Ç	230.00	ÿ 373.00
			Park, Miner St between Main St and Oregon St, Jackson St School,										
			Evergreen Lane Elementary, Yreka Park, Ringe Park, Yreka High School				Siskiyou County Active						
Yreka	Bike Parking	BP61	Performing Arts Center	N/A			Transportation Plan	Yreka		\$	500.00 \$	250.00	\$ 375.00
	<u> </u>		Add bike parking to the following locations: High School, Greenhorn				· ·			<u> </u>			
			Park, Miner St between Main St and Oregon St, Jackson St School,										
			Evergreen Lane Elementary, Yreka Park, Ringe Park, Yreka High School				Siskiyou County Active						
Yreka	Bike Parking	BP62	Performing Arts Center	N/A			Transportation Plan	Yreka		\$	500.00 \$	250.00	\$ 375.00
			Add bike parking to the following locations: High School, Greenhorn										
			Park, Miner St between Main St and Oregon St, Jackson St School,										
1		1	Evergreen Lane Elementary, Yreka Park, Ringe Park, Yreka High School				Siskiyou County Active	1					
Yreka	Bike Parking	BP63	Performing Arts Center	N/A			Transportation Plan	Yreka		\$	500.00 \$	250.00	\$ 375.00
			Add bike parking to the following locations: High School, Greenhorn										
			Park, Miner St between Main St and Oregon St, Jackson St School,										
			Evergreen Lane Elementary, Yreka Park, Ringe Park, Yreka High School				Siskiyou County Active						
Yreka	Bike Parking	BP64	Performing Arts Center	N/A			Transportation Plan	Yreka		\$	500.00 \$	250.00	\$ 375.00
			Add bike parking to the following locations: High School, Greenhorn										
			Park, Miner St between Main St and Oregon St, Jackson St School,										
			Evergreen Lane Elementary, Yreka Park, Ringe Park, Yreka High School				Siskiyou County Active						
Yreka	Bike Parking	BP65	Performing Arts Center	N/A			Transportation Plan	Yreka		\$	500.00 \$	250.00	\$ 375.00
			Construct a pedestrian refuge island for the crosswalk on the north leg										
			of the intersection of Main St/Hwy 3 and Bruce St. Add a pedestrian										
			hybrid beacon at this location. Replace striping with high visibility										
			continental markings. This will also trigger reconstruction of the NE,										
1.			NW ,and SW curb ramps at the intersection due to ADA compliance				Siskiyou County Active	1		1.			
Yreka	Crossing	C51	rules.	Main St/Hwy 3		Bruce St	Transportation Plan	CalTrans	PHB	\$	845,000.00 \$	622,500.00	\$ 733,750.00
			Construct a pedestrian refuge island for the crosswalk on the north leg										
			of the intersection of Main St/Hwy 3 and Raymond St. Add a										
			pedestrian hybrid beacon at this location. Replace striping with high										
			visibility continental markings. This will also trigger reconstruction of				Cialdinan Canata Aatina						
Varia	Caracian	050	the NE, NW ,and SE curb ramps at the intersection due to ADA compliance rules.	Main Ch/Uhun 2		Day was and Ch	Siskiyou County Active	CalTuana	DUD	ć	045 000 00 0	5 622 500 00	¢ 722.750.00
Yreka	Crossing	C52		Main St/Hwy 3		Raymond St	Transportation Plan	CalTrans	PHB	>	845,000.00 \$	622,500.00	\$ 733,750.00
			Construct a pedestrian refuge island for the crosswalk on the north leg of the intersection of Main St/Hwy 3 and Turre St. Add a pedestrian										
			hybrid beacon at this location. Replace striping with high visibility										
			continental markings. This will also trigger reconstruction of the NE,										
			NW ,and SW curb ramps at the intersection due to ADA compliance				Siskiyou County Active						
Yreka	Crossing	C53	rules.	Main St/Hwy 3		Turre St	Transportation Plan	CalTrans	РНВ	٩	845,000.00 \$	622,500.00	\$ 733,750.00
TICKA	Ciossing	COS	Extend all four curbs at the intersection of Oregon St and Jackson St	iviani syriwy s		rune Jt	manaportation rian	Carrians	1110	7	043,000.00 Ş	, 022,300.00	7 733,730.00
		1	and add high visibility continental crosswalk markings on all four legs				Siskiyou County Active	1					
Yreka	Crossing	C54	of the intersection.	Oregon St		Jackson St	Transportation Plan	Yreka		Ś	388,000.00 \$	154,000.00	\$ 271,000.00
	0.0001116	C3+	Extend all four curbs at the intersection of Miner St and Gold St and		+	120,0000	portation rian	1		Ť	300,000.00	. 15-,000.00	- 271,000.00
1			add high visibility continental crosswalk markings on all four legs of				Siskiyou County Active	1					
Yreka	Crossing	C55	the intersection.	Miner St		Gold St	Transportation Plan	Yreka		ś	388,000.00 \$	154,000.00	\$ 271,000.00
TTENU	G. 633.1.B		Life intersection	e. ot		00.00	Transportation Fran	11010		<u> </u>	300,000.00 	25 1,000100	2, 2,000.00
			Reconstruct all corners of the intersection of Jackson St/French					1					
		1	St/Gold St to have curb extensions to reduce crossing distances, and					1					
			add high-visibility crosswalk markings on all legs. As an interim					1					
		1	measure, consider using temporary materials such as paint and				Siskiyou County Active	1	Quick build				
Yreka	Crossing	C56	flexiposts to reduce pedestrian crossing distances.	Jackson St		French St	Transportation Plan	Yreka	opportunity	\$	405,000.00 \$	162,500.00	\$ 283,750.00
		1	Restripe the existing crosswalks at the intersection of Elm St and	 	<u> </u>			1	1,,	i i	,	. ,	1
			Knapp St with high visibility continental markings. Extend the curb on					1					
		1	each end of the two crosswalks. Consider bioswale options in design				Siskiyou County Active	1					
Yreka	Crossing	C57	phase.	Knapp St		Elm St	Transportation Plan	Yreka		\$	194,000.00 \$	77,000.00	\$ 135,500.00
		+	Ť	··		1		1			,	,	,
		1						1					
Yreka	Crossing	C155	Caltrans recommends: Fix Existing Crosswalk (All four legs)]		I	CalTrans	CalTrans		\$	68,000.00 \$	34,000.00	\$ 51,000.00
		1	<u> </u>								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,	

		_		ı	 	1	T		T	
Yreka	Crossing	C156	Caltrans recommends: Fix Existing Crosswalk / Upgrade to continental style (All four legs)			CalTrans	CalTrans	\$ 68,000.00	\$ 34,000.00	\$ 51,000.00
			Caltrans recommends: Fix Existing Crosswalk / Upgrade to continental							
Yreka	Crossing	C157	style (All four legs)			CalTrans	CalTrans	\$ 68,000.00	\$ 34,000.00	\$ 51,000.00
Yreka	Crossing	C158	Caltrans recommends: Fix Existing Crosswalk / Upgrade to continental style (North, West, and East legs)			CalTrans	CalTrans	\$ 65,000.00	\$ 32,500.00	\$ 48,750.00
Yreka	Crossing	C159	Caltrans recommends: Fix Existing Crosswalk (North and West legs)			CalTrans	CalTrans	\$ 48,000.00	\$ 24,000.00	\$ 36,000.00
								·		,
Yreka	Crossing	C160	Caltrans recommends: Fix Existing Crosswalk (North and West legs)			CalTrans	CalTrans	\$ 48,000.00	\$ 24,000.00	\$ 36,000.00
Yreka	Crossing	C161	Caltrans recommends: Fix Existing Crosswalk (All four legs)			CalTrans	CalTrans	\$ 68,000.00	\$ 34,000.00	\$ 51,000.00
Yreka	Crossing	C162	Caltrans recommends: Fix Existing Crosswalk / Upgrade to continental style (All four legs)			CalTrans	CalTrans	\$ 68,000.00	\$ 34,000.00	\$ 51,000.00
			Caltrans recommends: Fix Existing Crosswalk / Upgrade to continental							
Yreka	Crossing	C163	style (West and South legs)			CalTrans	CalTrans	\$ 48,000.00	\$ 24,000.00	\$ 36,000.00
Yreka	Crossing	C164	Caltrans recommends: Fix Existing Crosswalk / Upgrade to continental style (North and West legs)			CalTrans	CalTrans	\$ 48,000.00	\$ 24,000.00	\$ 36,000.00
Yreka	Crossing	C165	Caltrans recommends: Fix Existing Crosswalk / Upgrade to continental style (on North and West legs)			CalTrans	CalTrans	\$ 48,000.00	\$ 24,000.00	\$ 36,000.00
			Caltrans recommends: Fix Existing Crosswalk / Upgrade to continental							
Yreka	Crossing	C166	style			CalTrans	CalTrans	\$ 31,000.00	\$ 15,500.00	\$ 23,250.00
Yreka	Crossing	C167	Caltrans recommends: Fix Existing Crosswalk (North and West legs)			CalTrans	CalTrans	\$ 48,000.00	\$ 24,000.00	\$ 36,000.00
Yreka	Crossing	C168	Caltrans recommends: Fix Existing Crosswalk			CalTrans	CalTrans	\$ 31,000.00	\$ 15,500.00	\$ 23,250.00
Yreka	Crossing	C169	Caltrans recommends: Fix Existing Crosswalk			CalTrans	CalTrans	\$ 31,000.00	\$ 15,500.00	\$ 23,250.00
Yreka	Crossing	C170	Caltrans recommends: Fix Existing Crosswalk / Upgrade to continental style w/ bulb outs (East and South legs)			CalTrans	CalTrans	\$ 208,000.00	\$ 84,000.00	\$ 146,000.00
Yreka	Crossing	C171	Caltrans recommends: Fix Existing Crosswalk (North, West, and South legs)			CalTrans	CalTrans	\$ 65,000.00	\$ 32,500.00	\$ 48,750.00
Yreka	Crossing	C172	Caltrans recommends: Fix Existing Crosswalk			CalTrans	CalTrans	\$ 31,000.00	\$ 15,500.00	\$ 23,250.00
Yreka	Crossing	C173	Caltrans recommends: Fix Existing Crosswalk			CalTrans	CalTrans	\$ 31,000.00	\$ 15,500.00	\$ 23,250.00
Yreka	Crossing	C174	Caltrans recommends: Fix Existing Crosswalk			CalTrans	CalTrans	\$ 31,000.00	\$ 15,500.00	\$ 23,250.00
Yreka	Crossing	C175	Caltrans recommends: Fix Existing Crosswalk			CalTrans	CalTrans	\$ 31,000.00	\$ 15,500.00	\$ 23,250.00
Yreka	Crossing	C176	Caltrans recommends: Fix Existing Crosswalk (South, West, East legs)			CalTrans	CalTrans	\$ 65,000.00	\$ 32,500.00	\$ 48,750.00
Yreka	Crossing	C177	Caltrans recommends: Fix Existing Crosswalk			CalTrans	CalTrans	\$ 31,000.00	\$ 15,500.00	\$ 23,250.00
			Construct two crosswalks at the intersection of Siskiyou St and Knapp							
Vroles	Crossi	6407	St with high visibility continental markings, one on the east leg and one on the north leg. Extend the curb on each end of the two	Sickiyou St	Knann St	Siskiyou County Active	Vroka	\$ 200,000,00	¢ 444,000,00	¢ 304 000 00
Yreka	Crossing	C197	crosswalks. Consider bioswale options in design phase.	Siskiyou St	Knapp St	Transportation Plan	Yreka	\$ 288,000.00	\$ 114,000.00	\$ 201,000.00

	1	1	T	ı	1	1	ı	1	1	1	1	1	
Yreka	Crossing	C200	Construct a raised mid-block crosswalk on 4-H Way with high-visibility continental crosswalk markings.	4-H Way				Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	Yreka	raised crossing	\$ 80,000.00	\$ 40,000.00	\$ 60,000.00
Vroko	Crossing	6204	Add highvisibility continental crosswalk markings across the south leg	Thook Dr			Campball Ava	Siskiyou County Active	Vraka		ć 21 000 00	ć 15 500 00	è 22.250.00
Yreka	Crossing	C201	of the intersection of Thook Dr and Campbell Ave. Add high visibility continental crosswalk markings across the south leg	Thook Dr			Campbell Ave	Transportation Plan Siskiyou County Active	Yreka		\$ 31,000.00	\$ 15,500.00	\$ 23,250.00
Yreka	Crossing	C202	of the intersection of Yellowhammer St and Campbell Ave.	Yellowhammer St			Campbell Ave	Transportation Plan	Yreka		\$ 31,000.00	\$ 15,500.00	\$ 23,250.00
Yreka	Crossing	C203	Add high visibility continental crosswalk markings across the south leg of the intersection of Comstock Dr and Campbell Ave.	Comstock Dr			Campbell Ave	Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	Yreka		\$ 31,000.00	\$ 15,500.00	\$ 23,250.00
Varie	Cunnaina		Add high visibility continental crosswalk markings across the south leg of the intersection of Placer Dr and Campbell Ave.	Placer Dr			Campbell Ave	Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	Yreka		24 000 00	45 500 00	\$ 23,250.00
Yreka	Crossing	C204	Extend all four curbs at the intersection of Oregon St and Greenhorn Rd and add high visibility continental crosswalk markings on all four legs of the intersection. Consider including an RRFB on the east or	Fracei Di			Campbell Ave	·	HENd		\$ 31,000.00	\$ 15,500.00	23,230.00
Yreka	Crossing	C213	west approaches. Factor in turning movements of freight vehicles and buses into the design.	Oregon St			Greenhorn Rd	Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	Yreka	may require additional study	\$ 573,000.00	\$ 186,500.00	\$ 379,750.00
Yreka	Sidewalk	SW2	Construct a sidewalk on the north side of Lawrence Ln between Main St/Hwy 3 and Sunrise Way. Close access to Oregon St from the S Oregon St spur at Lawrence Ln. Make the western sidewalk on Oregon St continuous just north of Lawrence Ln.	Lawrence Ln	Main St/Hwy 3	Sunrise Way		Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	Yreka		\$ 409,306.60	\$ 268,204.37	\$ 338,755.48
Yreka	Sidewalk	SW3	Construct a sidewalk on both sides of Discovery St between North St and Knapp St	Discovery St	North St	Knapp St		Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	Yreka	both sides	\$ 1,056,000.00	\$ 694,666.67	\$ 875,333.33
Yreka	Sidewalk	SW6	Complete sidewalk connections on both sides of Elm St from Knapp St to High School entrance	Elm St	Knapp St	High School Entrance		Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	Yreka	both sides	\$ 465,090.90	\$ 300,727.27	\$ 382,909.09
Yreka	Sidewalk	SW9	Construct a sidewalk on both sides of North St between Discovery St and Oregon St	North St	Discovery St	Oregon St		Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	Yreka	both sides	\$ 1,346,181.80	\$ 892,787.88	\$ 1,119,484.84
Yreka	Sidewalk	SW23	Complete sidewalk connections on both sides of Siskiyou St from Knapp St to High School entrance	Siskiyou St	Knapp St	High School Entrance		Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	Yreka	both sides	\$ 306,000.00	\$ 194,666.67	\$ 250,333.33
Yreka	Sidewalk	SW56	Construct a sidewalk on the north side of Oberlin Rd between the Yreka Creek Greenway trailhead and Main St/Hwy 3.	Oberlin Rd	Yreka Creek Greenway	Main St/Hwy 3		Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	Yreka		\$ 251,607.60	\$ 163,071.74	\$ 207,339.67
Yreka	Sidewalk	SW69	Construct a sidewalk on the south side of Greenhorn Rd from Lucas Rd to Greenhorn Park entrance. Consider expanding the scope to a 12' wide shared-use path from Oregon St to Greenhorn Park on the south side of Greenhorn Rd.	Greenhorn Rd	Lucas Rd	park entrance		Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	Yreka		\$ 557,486.00	\$ 366,990.69	\$ 462,238.35
Yreka	Sidewalk	SW558	Complete the sidewalk on the south side of Jackson Street between Gold St and Oregon St.	Jackson St	Gold St	Oregon St		Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	Yreka		\$ 100,717.60	\$ 64,811.73	\$ 82,764.67
Yreka	Sidewalk	SW563	Construct sidewalk on the south side of Campbell Ave between Placer Dr and Dove Ln. (Infill gap)	Campbell Ave				Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	Yreka		\$ 170,043.70	\$ 111,029.13	\$ 140,536.42
Yreka	Sidewalk	SW564	Construct sidewalk on the east side of Fairlane Rd between Sharps Rd and Greenhorn Rd. (Infill gap)	Fairlane Rd	Sharps Rd	Greenhorn Rd		Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	Yreka		\$ 171,749.00	\$ 112,166.02	\$ 141,957.51
Yreka	Sidewalk	SW565	Construct a sidewalk on the north side of Sharps Rd between Fairlane Rd and the eastern driveway of the Waiiaka RV Park.	Sharps Rd	Fairlane Rd	Waiiaka RV Park		Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	Yreka		\$ 240,132.10	\$ 155,421.41	\$ 197,776.76
Yreka	Sidewalk	SW566	Construct a sidewalk on the east side of Fairlane Rd between Sharps Ave and Moonlit Oaks Ave.	Fairlane Rd	Sharps Ave	Moonlit Oaks Ave		Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	Yreka		\$ 450,181.10	\$ 295,454.08	\$ 372,817.59
Yreka	Street	S70	Conduct a study of potential redesign options for Miner Street and Center Street that would result in improved active transportation access downtown.	Multiple				Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	Yreka		\$ 50,000.00	\$ 30,000.00	\$ 40,000.00
Yreka	Street Spot Improvement	SP198	Construct curb extensions on all four corners of the intersection of North St and Gold St to reduce crossing distances and calm traffic speeds.	North St			Gold St	Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	Yreka		\$ 388,000.00	\$ 154,000.00	\$ 271,000.00
Yreka	Street Spot Improvement	SP199	Construct four ADA compliant curb ramps on the north side of Foothill Drive for each of the ramps leading to and from I-5.	Foothill Dr			I-5 Ramp	Siskiyou County Active Transportation Plan	CalTrans		\$ 56,000.00	\$ 28,000.00	\$ 42,000.00

			1									Ī	
Yreka	Trail	Т87	Study the potential for a multi-use trail connecting Discovery St and Humbug Rd.	N/A				skiyou County Active	Yreka	Ις.	80,000.00	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 65,000.00
ПСКС	11411	167	Transag na.	14,71	+		"	ansportation rian	TERU		00,000.00	\$ 30,000.00	35,000.00
			Study the potentialfor a multi-use trail connecting Greenhorn Park to				Sid	skiyou County Active					
Yreka	Trail	T88		N/A				ansportation Plan	Yreka	Ś	80,000.00	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 65,000.00
	1	100		.,	1		-			T		7 23,535.65	
			Study the potential for extending the Yreka Creek Greenway Trail to				Sis	skiyou County Active					
Yreka	Trail	Т89	, ,	N/A				ansportation Plan	Yreka	\$	80,000.00	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 65,000.00
			Study the potential for a bike and pedestrian bridge over I-5					·			·		
			connecting Lennox St, with a trail connecting to the Montague Rail				Sis	skiyou County Active					
Yreka	Trail	Т90	Trail.	N/A			Tr	ansportation Plan	CalTrans	\$	80,000.00	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 65,000.00
			Study the potential for extending the Yreka Creek Greenway Trail				Sis	skiyou County Active					
Yreka	Trail	T91	south to the Siskiyou Golden Fair Grounds.	N/A			Tr	ansportation Plan	Yreka	\$	80,000.00	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 65,000.00
Yreka	Trail	T98	City Property N. of SR3 Multi-use Trail along Yreka Creek	N/A	Foothill Dr	Deer Creek Way	Sis	skiyou County RTP	Yreka	\$	1,524,753.30	\$ 1,089,109.48	\$ 1,306,931.39
								ty of Yreka Bicycle					
Yreka	Trail	T105	Class I Bikeway around Highschool. Adapted from 2006 Plan.				Tr	ansportation Plan	Yreka	\$	1,328,318.30	\$ 948,798.76	5 \$ 1,138,558.53
								ty of Yreka Bicycle	1 .	l .		l,	1.
Yreka	Trail	T108	Class I Moonlit Oaks Trail Connector. Adapted from 2006 Plan.				Tr	ansportation Plan	Yreka	\$	1,718,873.90	\$ 1,227,767.08	\$ 1,473,320.49