

# RECORDING REQUESTED WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO:

County of Sacramento Planning and Environmental Review 827 Seventh Street, Room 225 Sacramento, CA 95814 CONTACT PERSON: Julie Newton TELEPHONE: (916) 874-6141

SPACE ABOVE RESERVED FOR RECORDER'S USE

#### NOTICE OF EXEMPTION

## **Project Title:**

Tesla Monument Sign

#### **Control Number:**

PLNP2024-00200

## **Project Location:**

The project site is located at the southeast corner of Winters Street and Dudley Boulevard in the North Highlands community of unincorporated Sacramento County.

#### APN:

215-0330-079-0000

## **Description of Project:**

The project consists of a Conditional Use Permit to allow an 8-foot-tall by 3-foot-wide monument sign, which deviates from the design standards of the McClellan Park Wayfinding and Signage Master Plan. The monument sign would serve as a wayfinding sign for the existing Tesla facility, which is considered an Industrial Use. The monument sign would be placed approximately 15 feet from the existing curb and sidewalk, in the landscaped area, adjacent to the main vehicular entrance off Dudley Boulevard.

The project site consists of a developed, industrial facility within McClellan Park (a former US Air Force base). The project site is located along a four-lane roadway and is not environmentally sensitive.

## Name of public agency approving project:

Sacramento County - cega@saccounty.gov

## Person or agency carrying out project:

Meridian Signage Contact: Kevin Peterson 2409 J St Sacramento, CA 95816 kp@meridiansignage.com (916)-453-1616

#### **Exempt Status:**

Categorical Exemption (PRC Section 21084; CEQA Guidelines Sections 15300 -15333):

• CEQA Guidelines Section 15311(a) – Accessory Structures

### Reasons why project is exempt:

Class 11 consists of construction or placement of minor structures accessory to existing commercial or industrial facilities, including but not limited to on-premise signs. The monument sign would serve as a wayfinding sign for the existing Tesla facility, which is considered an Industrial use and is therefore exempt from the provisions of CEQA.

#### Section 15300.2-Exceptions

Section 15300.2 of the CEQA Guidelines, Exceptions, provides conditions under which categorical exemptions are inapplicable. Review of the Project indicates that it will not violate any of the exceptions, as described in the following section.

1. For Classes 3, 4, 5, 6, and 11, will the project have an impact on an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law by federal, state, or local agencies?

The Project consists of the placement of a monument sign and would not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern.

A review of GeoTracker & EnvirStor databases revealed three closed cleanup cases on the Project parcel. The cases consisted of two underground storage tanks, an industrial waste line and rail loading dock area, and a building that stored hazardous materials. Each of the built features were removed, remediated, and the status for all three cases is listed as "closed". Based on the regulatory status, none of the cases would be expected to pose a significant environmental concern for the project site.

Therefore, construction of the project will not result in impacts on an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern

- 2. Will cumulative impacts be considerable because successive projects of the same type will occur at the same place over time?
  - As it stands, the Project will not result in potentially significant impacts. Therefore, no cumulative impacts will result from the Project and successive projects of the same type and at the same place over time.
- 3. Is there a reasonable possibility that the activity will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances?
  - The Project consists of the placement of a monument sign. The Project site is located in a developed business park. There are no known unusual circumstances that will have a significant effect on the environment.
- 4. Will the project result in damage to scenic resources, including but not limited to trees, historic buildings, rock outcroppings, or similar resources within a highway officially designated as a scenic highway?
  - The project will not result in damage to scenic resources or similar resources within a highway that is officially designated as a scenic highway. The Project is located within a developed, urban area. The Project site is located in an industrial area and business park, on a former Air Force base. surrounded by other
- 5. Is the project located on a site which is included on any list compiled pursuant to Section 65962.5 of the Government Code?

The Project site is not included on any list compiled pursuant to Section 65962.5 of the Government Code. Therefore, the Project will not have an impact related to being located on a hazardous waste site.

6. Will the project cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historic resource?

The project site does not contain any historical resources.

[Original Signature on File]
Julie Newton
ENVIRONMENTAL COORDINATOR OF
SACRAMENTO COUNTY, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Copy To:
County of Sacramento
County Clerk
3636 American River Drive, Suite 110
Sacramento, CA 95864
OPR:
State Clearinghouse
1400 Tenth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814