

# Referral Early Consultation

Date: March 19, 2025

To:Distribution List (See Attachment A)From:Emily DeAnda, Associate Planner<br/>Planning and Community DevelopmentSubject:USE PERMIT APPLICATION NO. PLN2025-0011 – COUCO CREEK<br/>Respond By:

# \*\*\*\*PLEASE REVIEW REFERRAL PROCESS POLICY\*\*\*\*

The Stanislaus County Department of Planning and Community Development is soliciting comments from responsible agencies under the Early Consultation process to determine: a) whether or not the project is subject to CEQA and b) if specific conditions should be placed upon project approval.

Therefore, please contact this office by the response date if you have any comments pertaining to the proposal. Comments made identifying potential impacts should be as specific as possible and should be based on supporting data (e.g., traffic counts, expected pollutant levels, etc.). Your comments should emphasize potential impacts in areas which your agency has expertise and/or jurisdictional responsibilities.

These comments will assist our Department in preparing a staff report to present to the Planning Commission. Those reports will contain our recommendations for approval or denial. They will also contain recommended conditions to be required should the project be approved. Therefore, please list any conditions that you wish to have included for presentation to the Commission as well as any other comments you may have. Please return all comments and/or conditions as soon as possible or no later than the response date referenced above.

Thank you for your cooperation. Please call (209) 525-6330 if you have any questions.

Applicant:	Couco Creek Dairy Biogas LLC
Project Location:	3426 South Commons Road, between West Harding and Bradbury Roads, in the Turlock area.
APNs:	044-039-001 and 044-039-002
Williamson Act Contract:	1975-2290
General Plan:	Agriculture
Community Plan:	N/A
Current Zoning:	General Agriculture (A-2-40)

Project Description: Request to establish a biogas pipeline injection site on a 307± acre parcel in the General Agriculture (A-2-40) zoning district. Biogas from an on-site anaerobic dairy digester (Couco Creek Dairy) and two off-site digesters located at Blue Sky Dairy in Atwater, California, and JDS Ranch in Wasco, California, will be trucked to the project site and off-loaded into a Pacific Gas and Electric (PG&E) natural gas pipeline on-site. The equipment to be utilized for the project will be located within a 159± square-foot enclosed metal structure. Existing dairy employees will operate and maintain the off-loading process for the biogas; no additional employees are

anticipated as part of this request. The applicant anticipates 3-6 truck trips per day associated with the project. Off-loading of the biogas will occur seven days a week between the hours of 6:00 a.m. – 8:00 p.m. Storm drainage is proposed to be maintained on-site. The site is currently planted in row crops and improved with two lagoons for liquid manure waste storage, and one anaerobic digester that is currently being constructed under Building Permit No. BLD2023-2414. The 307 $\pm$  acre project parcel is currently assessed under two Assessor Parcel Numbers (APNs), 044-039-001 and 044-039-002; the project site will be within the area assessed under APN 044-039-001.

Full document with attachments available for viewing at: <a href="http://www.stancounty.com/planning/pl/act-projects.shtm">http://www.stancounty.com/planning/pl/act-projects.shtm</a>



### USE PERMIT APPLICATION NO. PLN2025-0011 – COUCO CREEK Attachment A

# **Distribution List**

Distri	bution List		
х	CA DEPT OF CONSERVATION Land Resources		STAN CO ALUC
Х	CA DEPT OF FISH & WILDLIFE		STAN CO ANIMAL SERVICES
	CA DEPT OF FORESTRY (CAL FIRE)	X	STAN CO BUILDING PERMITS DIVISION
	CA DEPT OF TRANSPORTATION DIST 10	X	STAN CO CEO
Х	CA OPR STATE CLEARINGHOUSE		STAN CO CSA
Х	CA RWQCB CENTRAL VALLEY REGION	X	STAN CO DER
	CA STATE LANDS COMMISSION	X	STAN CO DER: MILK AND DAIRY
	CEMETERY DISTRICT	Х	STAN CO FARM BUREAU
Х	CENTRAL VALLEY FLOOD PROTECTION	Х	STAN CO HAZARDOUS MATERIALS
	CITY OF:		STAN CO PARKS & RECREATION
	COMMUNITY SERVICES DIST:	Х	STAN CO PUBLIC WORKS
Х	COOPERATIVE EXTENSION	Х	STAN CO PUBLIC WORKS - SURVEY
Х	DISPOSAL DIST: TURLOCK SCAVENGER AREA 4		STAN CO RISK MANAGEMENT
Х	DER GROUNDWATER RESOURCES DIVISION	х	STAN CO SHERIFF
Х	FIRE PROTECTION DIST: TURLOCK RURAL	х	STAN CO SUPERVISOR DIST 2: CHIESA
Х	GSA: WEST TURLOCK SUBBASIN	Х	STAN COUNTY COUNSEL
	HOSPITAL DIST:		StanCOG
Х	IRRIGATION DIST: TURLOCK	Х	STANISLAUS FIRE PREVENTION BUREAU
Х	MOSQUITO DIST: TURLOCK	X	STANISLAUS LAFCO
Х	STANISLAUS COUNTY EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES	х	STATE OF CA SWRCB DIVISION OF DRINKING WATER DIST. 10
	MUNICIPAL ADVISORY COUNCIL:		SURROUNDING LAND OWNERS
Х	PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC		INTERESTED PARTIES
	POSTMASTER:	X	TELEPHONE COMPANY: AT&T
Х	RAILROAD: UNION PACIFIC		TRIBAL CONTACTS (CA Government Code §65352.3)
Х	SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY APCD		US ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
Х	SCHOOL DIST 1: CHATOM UNION	X	US FISH & WILDLIFE
Х	SCHOOL DIST 2: TURLOCK UNIFIED		US MILITARY (SB 1462) (7 agencies)
	WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT	X	USDA NRCS
Х	STAN CO AG COMMISSIONER		
	TUOLUMNE RIVER TRUST		
	1	1	1

# STANISLAUS COUNTY CEQA REFERRAL RESPONSE FORM

TO: Stanislaus County Planning & Community Development 1010 10<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 3400 Modesto, CA 95354

FROM:

# SUBJECT: USE PERMIT APPLICATION NO. PLN2025-0011 – COUCO CREEK

Based on this agency's particular field(s) of expertise, it is our position the above described project:

Will not have a significant effect on the environment.

May have a significant effect on the environment.

No Comments.

Listed below are specific impacts which support our determination (e.g., traffic general, carrying capacity, soil types, air quality, etc.) – (attach additional sheet if necessary)

- 1.
- 2.
- 3. 4.

Listed below are possible mitigation measures for the above-listed impacts: *PLEASE BE SURE* TO INCLUDE WHEN THE MITIGATION OR CONDITION NEEDS TO BE IMPLEMENTED (PRIOR TO RECORDING A MAP, PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF A BUILDING PERMIT, ETC.):

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.

4.


In addition, our agency has the following comments (attach additional sheets if necessary).


Response prepared by:


Name


Title


Date







































DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 1010 10<sup>TH</sup> Street, Suite 3400, Modesto, CA 95354 Planning Phone: (209) 525-6330 Fax: (209) 525-5911 Building Phone: (209) 525-6557 Fax: (209) 525-7759 Form Available Online: http://www.stancounty.com/planning/applications.shtm

# **APPLICATION QUESTIONNAIRE**

	e Check all applicable boxes LICATION FOR:	PLANNING STAFF USE ONLY:		
	APPLICATION FOR: Staff is available to assist you with determining which applications are necessary			Application No(s): <u>PLN 2025-0002</u>
Stan	is available to assist you with determ	uning	which applications are necessary	Date: 61/6/25 Incomplete
		_		S <u> 31</u> T <u>S</u> R <u>10</u>
	General Plan Amendment	Subdivision Map		GP Designation: <u>A q</u>
	Rezone		Parcel Map	Zoning: <u>A-2-40 W/WA</u>
×	Use Permit		Exception	Fee: <u>\$5.703</u>
	Variance		•	Receipt No. <u>#580954</u>
	Variance		Williamson Act Cancellation	Received By: <u>Ep</u>
	Historic Site Permit		Other	Notes: UP/WA

In order for your application to be considered COMPLETE, please answer all applicable questions on the following pages, and provide all applicable information listed on the checklist on pages i - v. Under State law, upon receipt of this application, staff has 30 days to determine if the application is complete. We typically do not take the full 30 days. It may be necessary for you to provide additional information and/or meet with staff to discuss the application. Pre-application meetings are not required, but are highly recommended. An incomplete application will be placed on hold until all the necessary information is provided to the satisfaction of the requesting agency. An application will not be accepted without all the information identified on the checklist.

Please contact staff at (209) 525-6330 to discuss any questions you may have. Staff will attempt to help you in any way we can.

# **PROJECT INFORMATION**

**PROJECT DESCRIPTION:** (Describe the project in detail, including physical features of the site, proposed improvements, proposed uses or business, operating hours, number of employees, anticipated customers, etc. – Attach additional sheets as necessary)

\*Please note: A detailed project description is essential to the reviewing process of this request. In order to approve a project, the Planning Commission or the Board of Supervisors must decide whether there is enough information available to be able to make very specific statements about the project. These statements are called "Findings". It is your responsibility as an applicant to provide enough information about the proposed project, so that staff can recommend that the Commission or the Board make the required Findings. Specific project Findings are shown on pages 17 – 19 and can be used as a guide for preparing your project description. (If you are applying for a Variance or Exception, please contact staff to discuss special requirements).

Please see the attached Project Description document.

# **PROJECT SITE INFORMATION**

Complete and accurate information saves time and is vital to project review and assessment. Please complete each section entirely. If a question is not applicable to your project, please indicated this to show that each question has been carefully considered. Contact the Planning & Community Development Department Staff, 1010 10<sup>th</sup> Street – 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, (209) 525-6330, if you have any questions. Pre-application meetings are highly recommended.

ASSESSOR'S		IUMBER(S)	: Book		Page	Parcel
Additional parcel	numbers:	044-039-00	1			
Project Site Add or Physical Loca		3303 South	Washington	Road, Turlock		
		south of Ha	rding Rd, eas	t of Commons	Rd	
Property Area:		Acres:	79.5	or Square	feet:	
Current and Prev	ious Land Use	: (Explain exis	sting and prev	vious land use	(s) of site for th	ne last ten years)
existing Couco C	reek Dairy					
None		Agricultu				
Existing Genera			le/ General /			
Proposed Gener (if applicable)	al Plan & Zon	ning: <u>NA</u>				
ADJACENT L direction of the pr		(Describe ad	djacent land	uses within 1,	,320 feet (1/4	mile) and/or two parcels in each
East: Agricult	ure/ General A	AG 40 Acre				
West: Agricult	ure/ General A	G 40 Acre				
North: Agricultu	ure/Planned D	evelopment				
South: Agricult	ure/ General A	G 40 Acre				

## WILLIAMSON ACT CONTRACT:

Yes No Ist

Is the property currently under a Williamson Act Contract? Contract Number:

If yes, has a Notice of Non-Renewal been filed?

Date Filed: \_\_\_\_\_

Yes 🛛 No 🗵	Do you propose to cancel any portion of the Contract?
Yes 🗌 No 🖾	Are there any agriculture, conservation, open space or similar easements affecting the use of the project site. (Such easements do not include Williamson Act Contracts)
	If yes, please list and provide a recorded copy:
SITE CHARACTER	RISTICS: (Check one or more) Flat 🗵 Rolling 🛛 Steep 🗖
VEGETATION: Wh	at kind of plants are growing on your property? (Check one or more)
Field crops	Orchard D Pasture/Grassland D Scattered trees D
Shrubs	Woodland C River/Riparian C Other C
Explain Other:	
Yes 🛛 No 🗵	Do you plan to remove any trees? (If yes, please show location of trees planned for removal on plot plan and provide information regarding transplanting or replanting.)
GRADING:	
Yes 🗋 No 🗷	Do you plan to do any grading? (If yes, please indicate how many cubic yards and acres to be disturbed. Please show areas to be graded on plot plan.) no grading- the site is flat and level.
STREAMS, LAKES	S, & PONDS:
Yes 🛛 No 🗵	Are there any streams, lakes, ponds or other watercourses on the property? (If yes, please show on plot plan)
Yes 🖾 No 🗖	Will the project change any drainage patterns? (If yes, please explain – provide additional sheet if needed) the addition of the concrete pad used for the trucking equipment will add a new
	inprevious surface. Stormwater would be maitained on site, which is predominantly pervious
Yes 🖸 No 🖾	Are there any gullies or areas of soil erosion? (If yes, please show on plot plan)
Yes 🗋 No 🗵	Do you plan to grade, disturb, or in any way change swales, drainages, ditches, gullies, ponds, low lying areas, seeps, springs, streams, creeks, river banks, or other area on the site that carries or holds water for any amount of time during the year? (If yes, please show areas to be graded on plot plan)
	Please note: If the answer above is yes, you may be required to obtain authorization from other agencies such as the Corps of Engineers or California Department of Fish and Game.

# **STRUCTURES:**

Yes 🛛	No	X	Are there structures on the site? (If yes, please show on plot plan. Show a relationship to property lines and other features of the site.
Yes 🛛	No	X	Will structures be moved or demolished? (If yes, indicate on plot plan.)
Yes 🛛	No	X	Do you plan to build new structures? (If yes, show location and size on plot plan.)
Yes 🛛	No	X	Are there buildings of possible Historical significance? (If yes, please explain and show location and size on plot plan.)

## **PROJECT SITE COVERAGE:**

Existing Building Coverage:	Sq. Ft.	Landscaped Area:	Sq. Ft.
Proposed Building Coverage:	Sq. Ft.	Paved Surface Area:	Sq. Ft.

# **BUILDING CHARACTERISTICS:**

Size of new structure(s) or building addition(s) in gross sq. ft.: (Provide additional sheets if necessary) N/A

Number of floors for each building: N/A

Building height in feet (measured from ground to highest point): (Provide additional sheets if necessary) N/A

Height of other appurtenances, excluding buildings, measured from ground to highest point (i.e., antennas, mechanical equipment, light poles, etc.): (Provide additional sheets if necessary)

Proposed surface material for parking area: (Provide information addressing dust control measures if non-asphalt/concrete material to be used) engineered concrete pad for the truck offloading operation.

# **UTILITIES AND IRRIGATION FACILITIES:**

Yes X No Are there existing public or private utilities on the site? Includes telephone, power, water, etc. (If yes, show location and size on plot plan)

Who provides, or will provide the following services to the property?

Electrical:	Sewer*:
Telephone:	Gas/Propane:
Water**:	Irrigation:

\*Please Note: A "will serve" letter is required if the sewer service will be provided by City, Sanitary District, Community Services District, etc.

\*\*Please Note: A "will serve" letter is required if the water source is a City, Irrigation District, Water District, etc., and the water purveyor may be required to provide verification through an Urban Water Management Plan that an adequate water supply exists to service your proposed development.

Will any special or unique sewage wastes be generated by this development other than that normally associated with resident or employee restrooms? Industrial, chemical, manufacturing, animal wastes? (Please describe:)

No, there are no permanent, habitable structures being constructed. No sewer or water services will be needed.

No new utilities will be needed. The site currently has elecrical and gas service.

Please Note: Should any waste be generated by the proposed project other than that normally associated with a single family residence, it is likely that Waste Discharge Requirements will be required by the Regional Water Quality Control Board. Detailed descriptions of quantities, quality, treatment, and disposal may be required.

Yes 🛛	No	X	Are there existing irrigation, telephone, or power company easements on the property? (If yes, show location and size on plot plan.)
Yes 🛛	No	X	Do the existing utilities, including irrigation facilities, need to be moved? (If yes, show location and size on plot plan.)
Yes 🛛	No	X	Does the project require extension of utilities? (If yes, show location and size on plot plan.)

#### **AFFORDABLE HOUSING/SENIOR:**

Yes **No Will the project include affordable or senior housing provisions?** (If yes, please explain)

**RESIDENTIAL PROJECTS:** (Please complete if applicable – Attach additional sheets if necessary)

Total No. Lots:	Total Dwelling L	Jnits:	Total Acreage	9:
Net Density per Acre:		Gross Dens	sity per Acre:	
(complete if applicable)	Single Family	Two Family Duplex	Multi-Family Apartments	Multi-Family Condominium/ Townhouse
Number of Units:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Acreage:				

# COMMERCIAL, INDUSTRIAL, MANUFACTURING, RETAIL, USE PERMIT, OR OTHER

**PROJECTS:** (Please complete if applicable – Attach additional sheets if necessary)

Square footage of each existing or proposed building(s): N/A

Type of use(s): Biogas truck offloading facility on an existing dairy.

# Days and hours of operation: 6am-8pm

Seasonal operation (i.e., packing shed, huller, etc.) months a	and hours of operation:	
Occupancy/capacity of building: N/A		
Number of employees: (Maximum Shift):existing emp	ployees (Minimum Shift)	):
Estimated number of daily customers/visitors on site at peak	time: 3-6 biog	gas trucks per day
Other occupants:		
Estimated number of truck deliveries/loadings per day:	3-6 biogas tru	ucks per day
Estimated hours of truck deliveries/loadings per day:		
Estimated percentage of traffic to be generated by trucks:		
Estimated number of railroad deliveries/loadings per day:		
Square footage of:		
Office area: N/A	Warehouse area:	N/A
Sales area: N/A	Storage area:	N/A
Loading area:	Manufacturing area:	N/A
Other: (explain type of area)		
Yes No Will the proposed use involve toxic o	or hazardous materials or was	te? (Please explain)
ROAD AND ACCESS INFORMATION:		
What County road(s) will provide the project's main access?	(Please show all existing and pr	oposed driveways on the plot plan)
Washington Rd		

Yes	No	X	Are there private or public road or access easements on the property now? (If yes, show location and size on plot plan)
Yes	No	X	Do you require a private road or easement to access the property? (If yes, show location and size on plot plan)
Yes	No	X	Do you require security gates and fencing on the access? (If yes, show location and size on plot plan)

Please Note: Parcels that do not front on a County-maintained road or require special access may require approval of an Exception to the Subdivision Ordinance. Please contact staff to determine if an exception is needed and to discuss the necessary Findings.

# STORM DRAINAGE:

How will your project handle storm water runoff?	(Check one)	I Drainage	Basin Direct Discharge	Overland
--	-------------	------------	------------------------	----------

Other: (please explain)

If direct discharge is proposed, what specific waterway are you proposing to discharge to?

Please Note: If direct discharge is proposed, you will be required to obtain a NPDES permit from the Regional Water Quality Control Board, and must provide evidence that you have contacted them regarding this proposal with your application.

# **EROSION CONTROL:**

If you plan on grading any portion of the site, please provide a description of erosion control measures you propose to implement.

Please note: You may be required to obtain an NPDES Storm Water Permit from the Regional Water Quality Control Board and prepare a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan.

# **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:**

Please use this space to provide any other information you feel is appropriate for the County to consider during review of your application. (Attach extra sheets if necessary)

# CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD - STORM WATER PERMIT REQUIREMENTS

Storm water discharges associated with construction activity are a potentially significant source of pollutants. The most common pollutant associated with construction is sediment. Sediment and other construction related wastes can degrade water quality in creeks, rivers, lakes, and other water bodies. In 1992, the State Water Resources Control Board adopted a statewide General Permit for all storm water discharges associated with construction activity that disturbs five or more acres of land. Effective March 10, 2003, all construction sites disturbing one or more acres of land will be required to obtain permit coverage. The General Permit is intended to ensure that construction activity does not impact water quality.

You need to obtain General Permit coverage if storm water discharges from your site and either of the following apply:

- Construction activities result in one or more acres of land disturbance, including clearing, grading, excavating, staging areas, and stockpiles or;
- The project is part of a larger common plan of development or sale (e.g., subdivisions, group of lots with or without a homeowner's association, some lot line adjustments) that result in one or more acres of land disturbance.

It is the applicants responsibility to obtain any necessary permit directly from the California Regional Water Quality Control Board. The applicant(s) signature on this application form signifies an acknowledgment that this statement has been read and understood.

# STATE OF CALIFORNIA HAZARDOUS WASTE AND SUBSTANCES SITES LIST (C.G.C. § 65962.5)

Pursuant to California Government Code Section 65962.5(e), before a local agency accepts as complete an application for any development project, the applicant shall consult the latest State of California Hazardous Waste and Substances Sites List on file with the Planning Department and submit a signed statement indicating whether the project is located on a site which is included on the List. The List may be obtained on the California State Department of Toxic Substances Control web site (http://www.envirostor.dtsc.ca.gov/public).

The applicant(s) signature on this application form signifies that they have consulted the latest State of California Hazardous Waste and Substances List on file with the Planning Department, and have determined that the project site  $\Box$  is or  $\Box$  is not included on the List.

Date of List consulted:	August 19, 2024
Source of the listing:	EnviroStore https://www.envirostor.dtsc.ca.gov/public/map/?mya
-	(To be completed only if the site is included on the List)

# ASSESSOR'S INFORMATION WAIVER

The property owner(s) signature on this application authorizes the Stanislaus County Assessor's Office to make any information relating to the current owners assessed value and pursuant to R&T Code Sec. 408, available to the Stanislaus County Department of Planning and Community Development.

General Order No. R5-2007-0035, Attachment C

July 1, 2009 deadline

#### DAIRY FACILITY INFORMATION

A. NAME OF DAIRY OR BUSINESS OPERATING THE DAIRY:	Couco Creek Dairy Inc.
Physical address of dairy:	
3303 S Washington RD Turloc	k Stanislaus
Number and Street City	County

Street and nearest cross street (if no address):

Date facility was originally placed in operation: 06/01/1961

Regional Water Quality Control Board Basin Plan designation: San Joaquin River Basin

County Assessor Parcel Number(s) for dairy facility:

X044-X039-X001-XXX> X044-X039-X002-XXX> X044-X040-X041-XXX>

OPERATOR NAME: Machado, Tony	Teleph	one no.:	(209) 761-9322
		Landline	Cellular
3303 S Washington RD	Turlock	CA	95380
Mailing Address Number and Street	City	State	Zip Code

Operator should receive Regional Board correspondence (check): [X] Yes [] No

C. LEGAL OWNER NAME: Machado, Tony	Telepho	ne no.:	(209) 761-9322
		Landline	Cellular
3303 S Washington RD	Turlock	CA	95380
Mailing Address Number and Street	City	State	Zip Code

Owner should receive Regional Board correspondence (check): [X] Yes [] No

D. CONTACT NAME: Locke, Sean		Telephone no .:	(209) 250-2471	(209) 252-1408
Title: Technical Service Provider			Landline	Cellular
2857 Geer RD, STE A	Turlock		CA	95382
Mailing Address Number and Street	City		State	Zip Code
CONTACT NAME: Ramos, Joe		Telephone no.:	(209) 250-2471	(298) 226-2375
Title: technical Service Provider			Landline	Cellular
2857 Geer RD, STE A	Turlock		CA	95382
Mailing Address Number and Street	City		State	Zip Code

Couco Creek Dairy Inc. | 3303 S Washington RD | Turlock, CA 95380 | Stanislaus County | San Joaquin River Basin

95380 Zip Code

General Order No. R5-2007-0035, Attachment C

July 1, 2009 deadline

#### AVAILABLE NUTRIENTS

#### A. HERD INFORMATION

The milk cow dairy is currently regulated under individual Waste Discharge Requirements. Total number of milk and dry cows combined as a baseline value in response to the Report of Waste Discharge (ROWD) request of October, 2005:

3,487 milk and dry cows combined (regulatory review is required for any expansion)

	Milk Cows	Dry Cows	Bred Heifers (15-24 mo.)	Heifers (7-14 mo. to breeding)	Calves (4-6 mo.)	Calves (0-3 mo.)
Present count	3,050	437	750	1,000	500	0
Maximum count	3,050	437	750	1,000	500	0
Avg live weight (lbs)	1,400	1,450	900	600		
Daily hours on flush	20	6	24	24	6	0

Predominant milk cow breed: Holstein Average milk production:

72 pounds per cow per day

#### **B. IRRIGATION SOURCES**

Irrigation Source Name	Туре	Nitrogen (mg/L)	Phosphorus (mg/L)	Potassium (mg/L)	Discharge Rate
Chatom Irrigation Well	Groundwater (well)	0.50			2,000 gpm
Chatom Irrigation Well	Groundwater (well)	3.50			2,000 gpm
TID Canal	Surface water (canal, river)	0.50			15 cfs
TID Canal	Surface water (canal, river)	4.15			15 cfs

### **C. NUTRIENT IMPORTS**

Nutrient Type/Name		Quantity	Moisture	Nitrogen	Phosphorus (as P2O5)	Potassium (as K2O)
30-0-0		16.05 ton	0.1%	30.000%	0.000%	0.000%
11-5-0		40.10 ton	0.1%	11.000%	5.000%	0.000%
Total nitrogen imported:	18,433.55 lbs					
Total phosphorus imported:	1,750.62 lbs					
Total potassium imported:	0.00 lbs					

#### **D. NUTRIENT EXPORTS**

Nutrient Type/Name	Quantity	Moisture	Nitrogen	Phosphorus (as P2O5)	Potassium (as K2O)
Compost	5,000.00 ton	15.0%	2.500%	1.250%	2.200%
Fall Manure	8,500.00 ton	25.0%	2.000%	1.300%	2.000%
Spring Manure	8,500.00 ton	25.0%	2.000%	1.300%	2.000%

# Nutrient Management Plan Report General Order No. R5-2007-0035, Attachment C July 1, 2009 deadline

Total nitrogen exported:	722,500.00 lbs
Total phosphorus exported:	191,296.75 lbs
Total potassium exported:	578,510.00 lbs

#### E. STORAGE PERIOD

Storage period is the maximum period of time anticipated between land application of process wastewater (from storage ponds/lagoons) to croplands. A qualified agronomist and civil engineer should collaborate and collectively consider predominant soil types, soil infiltration rates, maximum depth, available water, field capacity, permanent wilting point, allowable depletion, crop water use, evapotranspiration, precipitation, irrigation system capacity, water delivery constraints, crop nutrient requirements, soil nutrient adsorbtion/desorption, rooting depth, nutrient accumulation/availability for current and future crop needs, facility wide process wastewater storage capacity and other factors as deemed necessary across all croplands where process wastewater is applied in selecting a storage period. In many cases conflicts will arise between crop water demands, crop nutrient demands and insufficient process wastewater storage capacity. Process wastewater may not be the best choice as a source of either water and/or nutrients to meet crop demands throughout the year. Groundwater and surface water vulnerability has been considered.

The storage period selected in this Nutrient Management Plan is consistent with the storage period selected in the Waste Management Plan.

Storage period: 120 days

General Order No. R5-2007-0035, Attachment C

July 1, 2009 deadline

#### APPLICATION AREA

# A. ASSESSOR PARCEL NUMBER: 0044-0039-0001-0000

Legal owner of parcel: Owned by Dairy

## ASSESSOR PARCEL NUMBER: 0044-0039-0002-0000 Legal owner of parcel: Owned by Dairy

#### ASSESSOR PARCEL NUMBER: 0044-0040-0003-0000

Legal owner of parcel: Owned by Dairy

## ASSESSOR PARCEL NUMBER: 0044-0040-0041-0000 Legal owner of parcel: Owned by Dairy

#### ASSESSOR PARCEL NUMBER: 0057-0015-0034-0000

Legal owner of parcel: Owned by Dairy

General Order No. R5-2007-0035, Attachment C

July 1, 2009 deadline

#### B. FIELD NAME: Chatom

Cropable acres: 262			
Predominant soil type: Loamy sand			
Do irrigation system head-to-head flow conditions	exist on the field? []	Yes [X] No	
Can fresh water for irrigation purposes be delived	to the field year round? [X]	Yes []No	
Can process wastewater be delivered to the field	at agronomic rates and times? [X]	Yes []No	
Tailwater management method: Returned to reter	ntion pond		
Crops grown and rotation:			
Сгор Туре	Plant Date	Harvest Date	Acres Planted
Oats, silage-soft dough	Early November	Middle April	262
Corn, silage	Early May	Late August	262
Sudangrass, silage	Late August	Late October	262
FIELD NAME: Vitorino			
Cropable acres: 29			
Predominant soil type: Loamy sand			
Do irrigation system head-to-head flow conditions	s exist on the field? [ ]	Yes [X] No	
		Yes []No	
Can fresh water for irrigation purposes be delived	to the held year round.	100 [ ]110	
		and an and a second	
Can process wastewater be delivered to the field	at agronomic rates and times? [X]	and an and a second	
	at agronomic rates and times? [X]	and an and a second	
Can process wastewater be delivered to the field Tailwater management method: <u>Returned to rete</u>	at agronomic rates and times? [X]	and an and a second	Acres Planted
Can process wastewater be delivered to the field Tailwater management method: <u>Returned to rete</u> <b>Crops grown and rotation:</b>	at agronomic rates and times? [X]	Yes []No	
Can process wastewater be delivered to the field Tailwater management method: <u>Returned to rete</u> <b>Crops grown and rotation:</b> Crop Type	at agronomic rates and times? [X] ention pond Plant Date	Yes [ ] No Harvest Date	Acres Planted 29 29
Can process wastewater be delivered to the field Tailwater management method: <u>Returned to rete</u> <b>Crops grown and rotation:</b> Crop Type Oats, silage-soft dough	at agronomic rates and times? [X] ention pond Plant Date Early November	Yes [ ] No Harvest Date Middle April	29
Can process wastewater be delivered to the field Tailwater management method: <u>Returned to rete</u> <b>Crops grown and rotation:</b> Crop Type Oats, silage-soft dough Corn, silage Sudangrass, silage	at agronomic rates and times? [X] ention pond Plant Date Early November Early May	Yes [ ] No Harvest Date Middle April Late August	29
Can process wastewater be delivered to the field Tailwater management method: <u>Returned to rete</u> <b>Crops grown and rotation:</b> Crop Type Oats, silage-soft dough Corn, silage Sudangrass, silage	at agronomic rates and times? [X] ention pond Plant Date Early November Early May	Yes [ ] No Harvest Date Middle April Late August	29
Can process wastewater be delivered to the field Tailwater management method: <u>Returned to rete</u> <b>Crops grown and rotation:</b> Crop Type Oats, silage-soft dough Corn, silage Sudangrass, silage FIELD NAME: <u>Zuber</u> Cropable acres: <u>40</u>	at agronomic rates and times? [X] ention pond Plant Date Early November Early May	Yes [ ] No Harvest Date Middle April Late August	29
Can process wastewater be delivered to the field Tailwater management method: <u>Returned to rete</u> <b>Crops grown and rotation:</b> Crop Type Oats, silage-soft dough Corn, silage Sudangrass, silage	at agronomic rates and times? [X] ention pond Plant Date Early November Early May Late August	Yes []No Harvest Date Middle April Late August Late October	29
Can process wastewater be delivered to the field Tailwater management method: <u>Returned to rete</u> Crops grown and rotation: Crop Type Oats, silage-soft dough Corn, silage Sudangrass, silage FIELD NAME: <u>Zuber</u> Cropable acres: <u>40</u> Predominant soil type: <u>Loamy sand</u>	at agronomic rates and times? [X] ention pond Plant Date Early November Early May Late August s exist on the field? []	Yes [] No Harvest Date Middle April Late August Late October Yes [X] No	29
Can process wastewater be delivered to the field Tailwater management method: <u>Returned to rete</u> <b>Crops grown and rotation:</b> Crop Type Oats, silage-soft dough Corn, silage Sudangrass, silage <b>FIELD NAME:</b> <u>Zuber</u> Cropable acres: <u>40</u> Predominant soil type: <u>Loamy sand</u> Do irrigation system head-to-head flow conditions	at agronomic rates and times? [X] ention pond Plant Date Early November Early May Late August s exist on the field? [] t to the field year round? [X]	Yes []No Harvest Date Middle April Late August Late October Yes [X]No Yes []No	29
Can process wastewater be delivered to the field Tailwater management method: <u>Returned to rete</u> <b>Crops grown and rotation:</b> Crop Type Oats, silage-soft dough Corn, silage Sudangrass, silage <b>FIELD NAME:</b> <u>Zuber</u> Cropable acres: <u>40</u> Predominant soil type: <u>Loamy sand</u> Do irrigation system head-to-head flow conditions Can fresh water for irrigation purposes be delived	at agronomic rates and times? [X] ention pond Plant Date Early November Early May Late August s exist on the field? [] d to the field year round? [X] at agronomic rates and times? [X]	Yes []No Harvest Date Middle April Late August Late October Yes [X]No Yes []No	29
Can process wastewater be delivered to the field Tailwater management method: <u>Returned to rete</u> <b>Crops grown and rotation:</b> Crop Type Oats, silage-soft dough Corn, silage Sudangrass, silage <b>FIELD NAME:</b> <u>Zuber</u> Cropable acres: <u>40</u> Predominant soil type: <u>Loamy sand</u> Do irrigation system head-to-head flow conditions Can fresh water for irrigation purposes be delived Can process wastewater be delivered to the field	at agronomic rates and times? [X] ention pond Plant Date Early November Early May Late August s exist on the field? [] d to the field year round? [X] at agronomic rates and times? [X]	Yes []No Harvest Date Middle April Late August Late October Yes [X]No Yes []No	29
Can process wastewater be delivered to the field Tailwater management method: <u>Returned to rete</u> <b>Crops grown and rotation:</b> Crop Type Oats, silage-soft dough Corn, silage Sudangrass, silage <b>FIELD NAME:</b> <u>Zuber</u> Cropable acres: <u>40</u> Predominant soil type: <u>Loamy sand</u> Do irrigation system head-to-head flow conditions Can fresh water for irrigation purposes be delivered Can process wastewater be delivered to the field Tailwater management method: <u>Returned to top</u>	at agronomic rates and times? [X] ention pond Plant Date Early November Early May Late August s exist on the field? [] d to the field year round? [X] at agronomic rates and times? [X]	Yes []No Harvest Date Middle April Late August Late October Yes [X]No Yes []No	29
Can process wastewater be delivered to the field Tailwater management method: <u>Returned to rete</u> <b>Crops grown and rotation:</b> Crop Type Oats, silage-soft dough Corn, silage Sudangrass, silage <b>FIELD NAME:</b> <u>Zuber</u> Cropable acres: <u>40</u> Predominant soil type: <u>Loamy sand</u> Do irrigation system head-to-head flow conditions Can fresh water for irrigation purposes be delived Can process wastewater be delivered to the field Tailwater management method: <u>Returned to top</u> <b>Crops grown and rotation:</b>	at agronomic rates and times? [X] ention pond Plant Date Early November Early May Late August s exist on the field? [] d to the field year round? [X] at agronomic rates and times? [X] of field	Yes []No Harvest Date Middle April Late August Late October Yes [X]No Yes []No Yes []No	29

General Order No. R5-2007-0035, Attachment C

July 1, 2009 deadline

Sudangrass, silage	Late August	Late September	40
5	J		

### C. LAND APPLICATION AREA FIELDS AND PARCELS

Field name	Cropable acres	Total harvests	Parcel number
Chatom	262	3	0044-0039-00010000 0044-0039-00020000
Vitorino	29	3	0044-0040-00030000 0044-0040-00410000
Zuber	40	3	0057-0015-00340000
Land application area totals	622	15	

General Order No. R5-2007-0035, Attachment C

July 1, 2009 deadline

# NUTRIENT BUDGET

#### A. NUTRIENT BUDGET FOR CROP: Chatom / Oats, silage-soft dough

Activity / Event		# of Events	The second se			Total N (lbs/acre)
Pre-irrigation prior to planting (with fertilizer) <i>Nutrient source:</i> Retention pond (lagoon) <i>Application method:</i> Pipeline		1	80. 669	-		80.6
Irrigation Source	N (lbs	/acre)	P (lbs/acre)	K (lbs/acre)	Runtime (hrs)	
TID Canal		0.6 0.6	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	96.0	
		1	0. 0%			0.6
Irrigation Source	N (lbs	/acre)	P (lbs/acre)	K (lbs/acre)	Runtime (hrs)	
TID Canal		0.6	0.0	0.0	96.0	
		0.6	0.0	0.0		
In season irrigation (with fertilizer) Nutrient source: Retention pond (lagoon) Application method: Pipeline		1	106. 669	Contra Contra		108.2
Irrigation Source	N (lbs	/acre)	P (lbs/acre)	K (lbs/acre)	Runtime (hrs)	
Chatom Irrigation Well	1	2.2	0.0	0.0	168.0	
		2.2	0.0	0.0		

	Total N (Ibs/acre)	Total P (lbs/acre)	Total K (lbs/acre)
Irrigation sources	3.5	0.0	0.0
Existing soil nutrient content	0.0	0.0	0.0
Plowdown credit	0.0	0.0	0.0
Commercial fertilizer	0.0	0.0	0.0
Dry manure	0.0	0.0	0.0
Liquid manure	186.0	28.0	193.0
Other	0.0	0.0	0.0
Atmospheric deposition	4.7		
Nutrients applied	194.1	28.0	193.0
Potential crop nutrient removal	140.0	22.4	116.2
Nutrient balance	54.1	5.6	76.8
Applied to removal ratio	1.39	1.25	1.66
Fresh water applied:1.	14 feet	Total harvests:	1

# Nutrient Management Plan Report General Order No. R5-2007-0035, Attachment C

July 1, 2009 deadline

# NUTRIENT BUDGET FOR CROP: Chatom / Corn, silage

Activity / Event		# o Event		N (Ibs/acre % avail			Total N (lbs/acre)
Starter fertilizer at planting Nutrient source: Commercial fertilizer Application method: Sidedress			1	22.0 100%			22.0
Pre-irrigation prior to planting (with fertilizer) Nutrient source: Retention pond (lagoon) Application method: Pipeline			1	80.0 66%			80.7
Irrigation Source	N (lbs	acre)	F	o (lbs/acre)	K (lbs/acre)	Runtime (hrs)	
TID Canal	and all a	0.7 0.7	-	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	112.0	
In season irrigation (no fertilizer) Nutrient source: Water only Application method: Surface			3	0.0 0%	0 0		1.9
Irrigation Source	N (lbs	acre)	F	(lbs/acre)	K (lbs/acre)	Runtime (hrs)	
TID Canal		0.6		0.0	0.0	96.0	
		0.6		0.0	0.0		
In season irrigation (with fertilizer) Nutrient source: Retention pond (lagoon) Application method: Pipeline			3	40.0 66%		.5 47.5 % 80%	121.9
Irrigation Source	N (lbs	acre)	F	o (lbs/acre)	K (lbs/acre)	Runtime (hrs)	
TID Canal		0.6		0.0	0.0	96.0	
		0.6		0.0	0.0		
In season irrigation (with fertilizer) <i>Nutrient source:</i> Commercial fertilizer <i>Application method:</i> Pipeline	16.00		1	30.0 100%	2	.0 0.0 % 0%	30.6
Irrigation Source	N (lbs	s/acre)	F	o (lbs/acre)	K (lbs/acre)	Runtime (hrs)	
TID Canal		0.6		0.0	0.0	96.0	
		0.6		0.0	0.0		

	Total N (Ibs/acre)	Total P (lbs/acre)	Total K (Ibs/acre)
Irrigation sources	5.0	0.0	0.0
Existing soil nutrient content	0.0	0.0	0.0
Plowdown credit	0.0	0.0	0.0
Commercial fertilizer	52.0	10.0	0.0
Dry manure	0.0	0.0	0.0
Liquid manure	200.0	27.5	237.5
Other	0.0	0.0	0.0
Atmospheric deposition	4.7		
Nutrients applied	261.7	37.5	237.5
Potential crop nutrient removal	192.0	36.0	158.4
Nutrient balance	69.7	1.5	79.1

		Nutrient Management Plan Report General Order No. R5-2007-0035, Attachment C July 1, 2009 deadline					
Applied to removal ratio	1.	36	1.04	1.50			
Fresh water applied:	3.71 feet	Tota	al harvests:	1			

# NUTRIENT BUDGET FOR CROP: Chatom / Sudangrass, silage

Activity / Event		# of Events				Total N (lbs/acre)
In season irrigation (no fertilizer) Nutrient source: Water only Application method: Surface		1	0. 0%	A second s	General Strength of	0.6
Irrigation Source	N (lbs	/acre)	P (lbs/acre)	K (lbs/acre)	Runtime (hrs)	
TID Canal		0.6	0.0	0.0	96.0	
		0.6	0.0	0.0		
In season irrigation (with fertilizer) <i>Nutrient source:</i> Retention pond (lagoon) <i>Application method:</i> Pipeline		2	2 50. 669	(To) - 761	e la constante de la constante	101.2
Irrigation Source	N (lbs	/acre)	P (lbs/acre)	K (lbs/acre)	Runtime (hrs)	
TID Canal		0.6	0.0	0.0	96.0	
		0.6	0.0	0.0		

	Total N (lbs/acre)	Total P (lbs/acre)	Total K (lbs/acre)
Irrigation sources	1.9	0.0	0.0
Existing soil nutrient content	0.0	0.0	0.0
Plowdown credit	0.0	0.0	0.0
Commercial fertilizer	0.0	0.0	0.0
Dry manure	0.0	0.0	0.0
Liquid manure	100.0	15.0	120.0
Other	0.0	0.0	0.0
Atmospheric deposition	4.7		
Nutrients applied	106.5	15.0	120.0
Potential crop nutrient removal	82.5	12.8	90.0
Nutrient balance	24.0	2.3	30.0
Applied to removal ratio	1.29	1.18	1.33
Fresh water applied:1.:	36 feet	Total harvests	1

#### NUTRIENT BUDGET FOR CROP: Vitorino / Oats, silage-soft dough

Activity / Event				K (lbs/acre) % avail.	
------------------	--	--	--	--------------------------	--

#### Nutrient Management Plan Report General Order No. R5-2007-0035, Attachment C July 1, 2009 deadline

NUTRIENT BUDGET FOR CROP (CONTINUED): Vitorino / Oats, silage-soft dough

Activity / Event		# of Events				Total N (lbs/acre)
Pre-irrigation prior to planting (with fertilizer) <i>Nutrient source:</i> Retention pond (lagoon) <i>Application method:</i> Pipeline		1	80.0 66%			80.7
Irrigation Source	N (lbs	/acre)	P (lbs/acre)	K (lbs/acre)	Runtime (hrs)	
TID Canal		0.7	0.0	0.0	12.0	
		0.7	0.0	0.0		
In season irrigation (no fertilizer) Nutrient source: Water only Application method: Surface		1	0.0	nu		0.5
Irrigation Source	N (lbs	/acre)	P (lbs/acre)	K (lbs/acre)	Runtime (hrs)	
TID Canal		0.5	0.0	0.0	9.0	
		0.5	0.0	0.0		
In season irrigation (with fertilizer) Nutrient source: Retention pond (lagoon) Application method: Pipeline		1	106.0 66%		Sector Se	107.2
Irrigation Source	N (Ibs	/acre)	P (lbs/acre)	K (lbs/acre)	Runtime (hrs)	
Chatom Irrigation Well		1.2	0.0	0.0	10.0	
		1.2	0.0	0.0		

	Total N (lbs/acre)	Total P (lbs/acre)	Total K (lbs/acre)
Irrigation sources	2.4	0.0	0.0
Existing soil nutrient content	0.0	0.0	0.0
Plowdown credit	0.0	0.0	0.0
Commercial fertilizer	0.0	0.0	0.0
Dry manure	0.0	0.0	0.0
Liquid manure	186.0	28.0	193.0
Other	0.0	0.0	0.0
Atmospheric deposition	4.7		
Nutrients applied	193.1	28.0	193.0
Potential crop nutrient removal	140.0	22.4	116.2
Nutrient balance	53.1	5.6	76.8
Applied to removal ratio	1.38	1.25	1.66
Fresh water applied: 1.	02 feet	Total harvests:	1

#### NUTRIENT BUDGET FOR CROP: Vitorino / Corn, silage

Activity / Events % avail. % avail. (lbs/acre	Activity / Event	# of Events			K (lbs/acre) % avail.	
---	------------------	----------------	--	--	--------------------------	--

July 1, 2009 deadline

### NUTRIENT BUDGET FOR CROP (CONTINUED): Vitorino / Corn, silage

Activity / Event		# of Event		N (lbs/acre) % avail			Total N (lbs/acre)
Starter fertilizer at planting Nutrient source: Commercial fertilizer Application method: Sidedress			1	22.0 100%			22.0
Pre-irrigation prior to planting (with fertilizer) Nutrient source: Retention pond (lagoon) Application method: Pipeline			1	80.0 66%		ne contra c	81.0
Irrigation Source	N (Ibs	/acre)	P	(lbs/acre)	K (lbs/acre)	Runtime (hrs)	
TID Canal		1.0		0.0	0.0	18.0	
		1.0		0.0	0.0		
In season irrigation (no fertilizer) Nutrient source: Water only Application method: Surface			3	0.0 0%		.0 0.0 % 0%	1.6
Irrigation Source	N (lbs	/acre)	F	(lbs/acre)	K (lbs/acre)	Runtime (hrs)	
TID Canal		0.5		0.0	0.0	9.0	
		0.5		0.0	0.0		
In season irrigation (with fertilizer) <i>Nutrient source:</i> Retention pond (lagoon) <i>Application method:</i> Pipeline			3	40.0 66%	2411 A.	.5 47.5 % 80%	121.6
Irrigation Source	N (lbs	/acre)	F	(lbs/acre)	K (lbs/acre)	Runtime (hrs)	
TID Canal		0.5		0.0	0.0	9.0	
		0.5		0.0	0.0	de la constance	
In season irrigation (with fertilizer) <i>Nutrient source:</i> Commercial fertilizer <i>Application method:</i> Pipeline			1	30.0 100%		.0 0.0 % 0%	30.5
Irrigation Source	N (lbs	s/acre)	F	o (lbs/acre)	K (lbs/acre)	Runtime (hrs)	
TID Canal		0.5	-	0.0	0.0	9.0	
		0.5		0.0	0.0		

	Total N (lbs/acre)	Total P (lbs/acre)	Total K (lbs/acre)
Irrigation sources	4.7	0.0	0.0
Existing soil nutrient content	0.0	0.0	0.0
Plowdown credit	0.0	0.0	0.0
Commercial fertilizer	52.0	10.0	0.0
Dry manure	0.0	0.0	0.0
Liquid manure	200.0	27.5	237.5
Other	0.0	0.0	0.0
Atmospheric deposition	4.7		
Nutrients applied	261.4	37.5	237.5
Potential crop nutrient removal	192.0	36.0	158.4
Nutrient balance	69.4	1.5	79.1

		trient Managemen Order No. R5-2007 July 1, 2009 de	0035, Attachn	
Applied to removal ratio	1.36	1.04	1.50	
Fresh water applied:	3.46 feet	Total harvests:	1	

### NUTRIENT BUDGET FOR CROP: Vitorino / Sudangrass, silage

Activity / Event		# of ents	N (lbs/acre % avail	<ul> <li>A 12 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2</li></ul>		Total N (Ibs/acre)
season irrigation (no fertilizer) Nutrient source: Water only Application method: Surface		1	0.0 0%			0.7
Irrigation Source	N (lbs/acr	e) I	P (lbs/acre)	K (lbs/acre)	Runtime (hrs)	
TID Canal	C	.7	0.0	0.0	12.0	
	C	.7	0.0	0.0		
In season irrigation (with fertilizer) <i>Nutrient source:</i> Retention pond (lagoon) <i>Application method:</i> Pipeline		2	50.0 66%	-		101.4
Irrigation Source	N (lbs/aci	e) I	P (lbs/acre)	K (lbs/acre)	Runtime (hrs)	
TID Canal	C	.7	0.0	0.0	12.0	
	C	.7	0.0	0.0		

	Total N (lbs/acre)	Total P (lbs/acre)	Total K (lbs/acre)
Irrigation sources	2.1	0.0	0.0
Existing soil nutrient content	0.0	0.0	0.0
Plowdown credit	0.0	0.0	0.0
Commercial fertilizer	0.0	0.0	0.0
Dry manure	0.0	0.0	0.0
Liquid manure	100.0	15.0	120.0
Other	0.0	0.0	0.0
Atmospheric deposition	4.7		
Nutrients applied	106.8	15.0	120.0
Potential crop nutrient removal	82.5	12.8	90.0
Nutrient balance	24.3	2.3	30.0
Applied to removal ratio	1.29	1.18	1.33
Fresh water applied:1.	54 feet	Total harvests:	1

### NUTRIENT BUDGET FOR CROP: Zuber / Oats, silage-soft dough

Activity / Event		N (lbs/acre) % avail.		K (lbs/acre) % avail.	
Activity / Event	Events	70 avail.	70 avail.	70 avall.	(IDSIACIE)

July 1, 2009 deadline

### NUTRIENT BUDGET FOR CROP (CONTINUED): Zuber / Oats, silage-soft dough

Activity / Event		# of Events	N (Ibs/acre) % avail			Total N (lbs/acre)
re-irrigation prior to planting (with fertilizer) Nutrient source: Retention pond (lagoon) Application method: Pipeline		1	80.0 66%		and the second sec	80.7
Irrigation Source	N (lbs	/acre)	P (lbs/acre)	K (lbs/acre)	Runtime (hrs)	
TID Canal		0.7	0.0	0.0	16.0	
		0.7	0.0	0.0		
In season irrigation (no fertilizer) Nutrient source: Water only Application method: Surface		1	0.0 0%			0.5
Irrigation Source	N (lbs	/acre)	P (lbs/acre)	K (lbs/acre)	Runtime (hrs)	
TID Canal	100	0.5	0.0	0.0	12.0	
		0.5	0.0	0.0		
In season irrigation (with fertilizer) <i>Nutrient source:</i> Retention pond (lagoon) <i>Application method:</i> Pipeline		1	106. 669		A. S.	109.2
Irrigation Source	N (lbs	/acre)	P (lbs/acre)	K (lbs/acre)	Runtime (hrs)	
Chatom Irrigation Well		3.2	0.0	0.0	36.0	
		3.2	0.0	0.0		

	Total N (lbs/acre)	Total P (lbs/acre)	Total K (lbs/acre)
Irrigation sources	4.3	0.0	0.0
Existing soil nutrient content	0.0	0.0	0.0
Plowdown credit	0.0	0.0	0.0
Commercial fertilizer	0.0	0.0	0.0
Dry manure	0.0	0.0	0.0
Liquid manure	186.0	28.0	193.0
Other	0.0	0.0	0.0
Atmospheric deposition	4.7		
Nutrients applied	195.0	28.0	193.0
Potential crop nutrient removal	140.0	22.4	116.2
Nutrient balance	55.0	5.6	76.8
Applied to removal ratio	1.39	1.25	1.66
Fresh water applied: 1.	20 feet	Total harvests:	1

### NUTRIENT BUDGET FOR CROP: Zuber / Corn, silage

Activity / Event	# of	N (lbs/acre)	P (lbs/acre)	K (lbs/acre)	Total N
	Events	% avail.	% avail.	% avail.	(Ibs/acre)
ridarity / Evolut		and the second second	have a second	12	

July 1, 2009 deadline

### NUTRIENT BUDGET FOR CROP (CONTINUED): Zuber / Corn, silage

Activity / Event		# of Event	34 L	N (lbs/acre % avail			Total N (lbs/acre)
Starter fertilizer at planting Nutrient source: Commercial fertilizer Application method: Sidedress			1	22.0 100%		-	22.0
Pre-irrigation prior to planting (with fertilizer) Nutrient source: Retention pond (lagoon) Application method: Pipeline			1	80.0 66%			80.8
Irrigation Source	N (lbs/a	acre)	Ρ	(lbs/acre)	K (lbs/acre)	Runtime (hrs)	
TID Canal		0.8		0.0	0.0	20.0	
		0.8		0.0	0.0		
In season irrigation (no fertilizer) Nutrient source: Water only Application method: Surface			3	0.0 0%			1.8
Irrigation Source	N (lbs/a	acre)	Ρ	(lbs/acre)	K (lbs/acre)	Runtime (hrs)	
TID Canal		0.6		0.0	0.0	14.0	
		0.6		0.0	0.0		
In season irrigation (with fertilizer) <i>Nutrient source:</i> Retention pond (lagoon) <i>Application method:</i> Pipeline			3	40.0 66%			121.8
Irrigation Source	N (lbs/a	acre)	Ρ	(lbs/acre)	K (lbs/acre)	Runtime (hrs)	
TID Canal		0.6		0.0	0.0	14.0	
have been and the second se		0.6		0.0	0.0		
In season irrigation (with fertilizer) <i>Nutrient source:</i> Commercial fertilizer <i>Application method:</i> Pipeline			1	30.0 100%		-	30.6
Irrigation Source	N (lbs/a	acre)	P	(lbs/acre)	K (lbs/acre)	Runtime (hrs)	
TID Canal		0.6		0.0	0.0	14.0	
		0.6		0.0	0.0		

	Total N (lbs/acre)	Total P (lbs/acre)	Total K (lbs/acre)
Irrigation sources	5.0	0.0	0.0
Existing soil nutrient content	0.0	0.0	0.0
Plowdown credit	0.0	0.0	0.0
Commercial fertilizer	52.0	10.0	0.0
Dry manure	0.0	0.0	0.0
Liquid manure	200.0	27.5	237.5
Other	0.0	0.0	0.0
Atmospheric deposition	4.7		
Nutrients applied	261.6	37.5	237.5
Potential crop nutrient removal	192.0	36.0	158.4
Nutrient balance	69.6	1.5	79.1

		Nutrient Management Plan Report General Order No. R5-2007-0035, Attachment C July 1, 2009 deadline						
Applied to removal ratio	1.36	1.04	1.50					
Fresh water applied:	3.66 feet	Total harvests:	1					

### NUTRIENT BUDGET FOR CROP: Zuber / Sudangrass, silage


Activity / Event		# of Events	N (lbs/acre) % avail			Total N (lbs/acre)
In season irrigation (no fertilizer) Nutrient source: Water only Application method: Surface		1	0.0 0%	14 manual	and the second se	0.7
Irrigation Source	N (lbs	/acre)	P (lbs/acre)	K (lbs/acre)	Runtime (hrs)	
TID Canal		0.7	0.0	0.0	16.0	
		0.7	0.0	0.0		
In season irrigation (with fertilizer) Nutrient source: Retention pond (lagoon) Application method: Pipeline		2	50.0 66%			101.3
Irrigation Source	N (lbs	acre)	P (lbs/acre)	K (lbs/acre)	Runtime (hrs)	
TID Canal		0.7	0.0	0.0	16.0	
	1	0.7	0.0	0.0		

	Total N (lbs/acre)	Total P (lbs/acre)	Total K (lbs/acre)
Irrigation sources	2.0	0.0	0.0
Existing soil nutrient content	0.0	0.0	0.0
Plowdown credit	0.0	0.0	0.0
Commercial fertilizer	0.0	0.0	0.0
Dry manure	0.0	0.0	0.0
Liquid manure	100.0	15.0	120.0
Other	0.0	0.0	0.0
Atmospheric deposition	4.7		
Nutrients applied	106.7	15.0	120.0
Potential crop nutrient removal	82.5	12.8	90.0
Nutrient balance	24.2	2.3	30.0
Applied to removal ratio	1.29	1.18	1.33
Fresh water applied: 1.	49 feet	Total harvests:	1

July 1, 2009 deadline

### NUTRIENT APPLICATIONS, POTENTIAL REMOVAL, AND BALANCE


### A. POUNDS OF NUTRIENT APPLIED VS. CROP REMOVAL POTENTIAL



	Total N (lbs)	Total P (lbs)	Total K (lbs)
Irrigation sources	3,440.1	0.0	0.0
Existing soil nutrient content	0.0	0.0	0.0
Plowdown credit	0.0	0.0	0.0
Commercial fertilizer	17,212.0	3,310.0	0.0
Dry manure	0.0	0.0	0.0
Liquid manure	160,866.0	23,335.5	182,215.5
Other	0.0	0.0	0.0
Atmospheric deposition	4,634.0		
Nutrients applied to all crops	186,152.1	26,645.5	182,215.5
Potential crop nutrient removal	137,199.5	23,550.7	120,682.6
Nutrient balance	48,952.6	3,094.9	61,532.9
Applied to removal ratio	1.36	1.13	1.51

July 1, 2009 deadline

### **B. POUNDS OF NITROGEN APPLIED BY NUTRIENT SOURCE**



	Total N (lbs)	Total P (lbs)	Total K (lbs)
Irrigation sources	3,440.1	0.0	0.0
Existing soil nutrient content	0.0	0.0	0.0
Plowdown credit	0.0	0.0	0.0
Commercial fertilizer	17,212.0	3,310.0	0.0
Dry manure	0.0	0.0	0.0
Liquid manure	160,866.0	23,335.5	182,215.5
Other	0.0	0.0	0.0
Atmospheric deposition	4,634.0		
Nutrients applied to all crops	186,152.1	26,645.5	182,215.5
Potential crop nutrient removal	137,199.5	23,550.7	120,682.6
Nutrient balance	48,952.6	3,094.9	61,532.9
Applied to removal ratio	1.36	1.13	1.51

General Order No. R5-2007-0035, Attachment C

July 1, 2009 deadline

### NUTRIENT BALANCE

### A. WHOLE FARM BALANCE

	Total N (lbs)	Total P (lbs)	Total K (lbs)
Nutrients in storage from herd*			
Daily gross	3,571.6	590.0	1,611.3
Annual gross	1,303,647.0	215,332.6	588,110.8
Net to pond storage after ammonia losses (30% loss applied)	736,837.7	176,933.1	490,092.4
Net to drylot storage after ammonia losses (30% loss applied)	175,715.3	38,399.5	312,319.1
Net in storage (30% loss applied)	912,552.9	215,332.6	802,411.5
Irrigation sources	3,440.1	0.0	0.0
Atmospheric deposition	4,634.0		
Imports	18,433.5	1,750.6	0.0
Exports	722,500.0	191,296.8	578,510.0
Potential crop nutrient removal	137,199.5	23,550.7	120,682.6
Nutrient balance	79,361.0	2,235.8	103,218.9
Nutrient balance ratio	1.58	1.09	1.86

\* Potassium excretion from milk cows and dry cows only.

July 1, 2009 deadline

### SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS PLAN

### A. MANURE SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS PLAN

			Minimum data co	llection requirements
Frequency	Sampling Methods	Source	Field Analytes	Lab Analytes
Frequency Annually	Annual estimation for total manure dry weight applied to each field will be quantified using the following: Dry weight applied from a source to a crop per application event = weight applied * (1 - (percent moisture / 100)) Dry weight applied to crop per application event = sum of dry weights applied from each source Dry weight applied to a crop = sum of dry weights applied during each application Dry weight applied to a field = sum of dry weights applied to a field = sum of dry weights applied to each crop Annual estimation for total manure dry weight exported will be quantified using the following: Dry weight exported from a source per event = weight exported * (1 - (percent moisture / 100))	Source Separator solids Corral solids Settling basin solids	Field Analytes Total dry weight (tons) manure applied annually to each land application area, and total dry weight (tons) manure exported offsite annually	Lab Analytes None required
	Dry weight exported per event = sum of dry weights exported from each source Dry weight exported to any offsite destination			
	= sum of dry weights exported per event			

General Order No. R5-2007-0035, Attachment C

July 1, 2009 deadline

### A. MANURE SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS PLAN (CONTINUED)

			Minimum data collection requirements		
Frequency	Sampling Methods	Source	Field Analytes	Lab Analytes	
Twice per year	For each manure source, a composite sample per the "Approved Sampling Procedures for Nutrient and Groundwater Monitoring at Existing Milk Cow Dairies" will be collected.	Separator solids Corral solids Settling basin solids	None required	Total nitrogen, total phosphorus, total potassium, and percent moisture	
Once every two years (biennially)	For each manure source, a composite sample per the "Approved Sampling Procedures for Nutrient and Groundwater Monitoring at Existing Milk Cow Dairies" will be collected.	Separator Solids Corral solids Settling basin solids	None required	General minerals, including: calcium, magnesium, sodium, sulfate, chloride Fixed solids (ash)	
Each offsite export of manure	For each manure source exported, a composite sample "Approved Sampling Procedures for Nutrient and Groundwater Monitoring at Existing Milk Cow Dairies" will be collected. For each manure source exported, a scaled weight by truckload will be recorded.	Separator solids Corral solids Settling basin solids	Date exported and total weight (tons) exported	Percent moisture	

July 1, 2009 deadline

### A. MANURE SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS PLAN (CONTINUED)

			Minimum data collection requirements		
Frequency	Sampling Methods	Source	Field Analytes	Lab Analytes	
Each application to each land application area	For each applied manure source, a composite sample per the "Approved Sampling Procedures for Nutrient and Groundwater Monitoring at Existing Milk Cow Dairies" will be collected. For each applied manure source, a scaled weight by truckload will be recorded.	Separator solids Corral solids Settling basin solids	Date applied and total weight (tons) applied	Percent moisture	

### B. PROCESS WASTEWATER SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS PLAN

		Source	Minimum dat	a collection requirements
Frequency	Sampling Methods		Field Analytes	Lab Analytes
Anually	A composite or grab sample prior to blending with irrigation water per the "Approved Sampling Procedures for Nutrient and Groundwater Monitoring at Existing Milk Cow Dairies" will be collected.	Pond 1	None required	pH, total dissolved solids, electrical conductivity, nitrate-nitrogen, ammonion-nitrogen, total Kjeldahl nitrogen, total phosphorus, and total potassium
Once every two years (biennially)	For each pond, a composite or grab sample per the "Approved Sampling Procedures for Nutrient and Groundwater Monitoring at Existing Milk Cow Dairies" will be collected.	Pond 1	None required	General minerals, including: calcium, magnesium, sodium, bicarbonate, carbonate, sulfate, and chloride

General Order No. R5-2007-0035, Attachment C

July 1, 2009 deadline

### B. PROCESS WASTEWATER SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS PLAN (CONTINUED)

			Minimum data c	ollection requirements
Frequency	Sampling Methods	Source	Field Analytes	Lab Analytes
Each application	For each pond, a composite or grab sample per the "Approved Sampling Procedures for Nutrient and Groundwater Monitoring at Existing Milk Cow Dairies" will be collected.	Pond 1	Date applied and volume (gallons or acre-inches) applied	None required
Quarterly during one application event	For field measurement: For each pond, a composite or grab sample per the "Approved Sampling Procedures for Nutrient and Groundwater Monitoring at Existing Milk Cow Dairies" will be collected. For laboratory analyses: For each pond, a composite or grab sample per the "Approved Sampling Procedures for Nutrient and Groundwater Monitoring at Existing Milk Cow Dairies" will be collected.	Pond 1	Date applied and electrical conductivity	Nitrate-nitrogen (only when pond is aerated), un-ionized ammonia-nitrogen, total Kjeldahl nitrogen, total phosphorus, total potassium, and total dissolved solids

### C. SOIL SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS PLAN

			Minimum data collection requirements	
Frequency	Sampling Methods	Source	Field Analytes	Lab Analytes

### Nutrient Management Plan Report General Order No. R5-2007-0035, Attachment C July 1, 2009 deadline

### C. SOIL SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS PLAN (CONTINUED)

			Minimum data collection requirements		
Frequency	Sampling Methods	Source	Field Analytes	Lab Analytes	
Spring pre-plant for each crop	For each field, a composite sample per the "Approved Sampling Procedures for Nutrient and Groundwater Monitoring at Existing Milk Cow Dairies" will be collected.	Chatom Field - 281 ac. Vitorino Field - 29 ac. Zuber Field - 40 ac.	None required	0 to 1 foot: Nitrate-nitrogen and organic matter 1 to 2 foot: Nitrate-nitrogen	
Once every five years for each land application area (may be distributed over a 5-year period by sampling 20% of the land application areas annually)	For each field, a composite sample per the "Approved Sampling Procedures for Nutrient and Groundwater Monitoring at Existing Milk Cow Dairies" will be collected.	Chatom Field - 281 ac. Vitorino Field - 29 ac. Zuber Field - 40 ac.	None required	Soluble phosphorus	

### D. PLANT TISSUE SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS PLAN

			Minimum data collection requirements	
Frequency	Sampling Methods	Source	Field Analytes	Lab Analytes
Each crop harvest from each land application area	For each field and crop, a composite sample per the "Approved Sampling Procedures for Nutrient and Groundwater Monitoring at Existing Milk Cow Dairies" will be collected. For each field and crop, a scaled weight by truckload will be recorded.	Chatom Field - Oat/Corn/Sudan Silage Vitorino Field - Oat/Corn/Sudan Silage Zuber Field - Oat/Corn/Sudan Silage	Date harvested and total weight (tons) of harvested material removed from each land application area	Percent wet weight of harvested plant removed Laboratory analyses for total nitrogen, total phosphorus, total potassium (expressed on a dry weight basis) fixed solids (ash), and percent moisture

### E. IRRIGATION WATER SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS PLAN

			Minimum data collection requirements	
Frequency	Sampling Methods	Source	Field Analytes	Lab Analytes

General Order No. R5-2007-0035, Attachment C

July 1, 2009 deadline

### E. IRRIGATION WATER SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS PLAN (CONTINUED)

Frequency	Sampling Methods	Source	Minimum data collection requirements	
			Field Analytes	Lab Analytes
One irrigation event during each irrigation season during actual irrigation events – for each irrigation water source (well and canal)	For each irrigation source, a grab sample per the "Approved Sampling Procedures for Nutrient and Groundwater Monitoring at Existing Milk Cow Dairies" will be collected. In lieu of sampling the irrigation water, the Discharger may provide equivalent data from the local irrigation district.	TID Canal Chatom Well	None required	Electrical conductivity, total dissolved solids, and total nitrogen
Each fresh water irrigation event for each land application area	TID Canal - flow rate multiplied by runtime. Chatom Well - flow rate multiplied by runtime.	TiD Canal Chatom Well	Date applied and volume (gallons or acre-inches) applied	None required

### F. GROUNDWATER MONITORING SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS PLAN

			Minimum data co	llection requirements
Frequency	Sampling Methods	Source	Field Analytes	Lab Analytes
Every five years (may be distributed over a 5-year period by sampling 20% of the wells annually)	For each domestic and agricultural supply well, a grab sample per the "Approved Sampling Procedures for Nutrient and Groundwater Monitoring at Existing Milk Cow Dairies" will be collected.	All onsite domestic wells Chatom well	None required	General minerals, including: calcium, magnesium, sodium, bicarbonate, carbonate, sulfate, chloride Total dissolved solids
Annually	For each domestic and agricultural supply well, a grab sample per the "Approved Sampling Procedures for Nutrient and Groundwater Monitoring at Existing Milk Cow Dairies" will be collected.	All domestic onsite wells Chatom Well	Electrical conductivity and ammonion-nitrogen	Nitrate-nitrogen. If field measurement indicates the presence of ammonium-nitrogen, the Discharger shall collect a sample for laboratory analysis of ammonium-nitrogen.

General Order No. R5-2007-0035, Attachment C

July 1, 2009 deadline

### NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT PLAN REVIEW

### A. NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT PLAN REVIEW

Person who created the NMP:	Locke, Sean	See above for contact information.
Date the NMP was drafted:	03/18/2023	
Person who approved the final NMF	: Locke, Sean	See above for contact information.
Date of NMP implementation:	03/18/2023	

General Order No. R5-2007-0035, Attachment C

July 1, 2009 deadline

### ATTACHED MAP AND DOCUMENTATION REFERENCES

The following list, based upon user selections and data entries, describes the minimum required attachments that must be submitted with the Nutrient Management Plan for the reporting schedule of 'July 1, 2009'.

### A. PRELIMINARY DAIRY FACILITY ASSESSMENT

The NMP will include the initial Preliminary Dairy Facility Assessment (Attachment A) and the annual updates as required by Monitoring and Reporting Program No. R5-2007-0035. Copies of these assessments shall be maintained for 10 years.

### B. LAND AREA MAP(S)

Identify each land application area (under the Discharger's control, whether it is owned, rented, or leased, to which manure or process wastewater from the production area is or may be applied for nutrient recycling) on a single published base map

- 1. A field identification system (Assessor's Parcel Number; land application area; crops grown); indication if each land application is owned, rented, or leased by the Discharger; indication of what type of waste is applied (solid manure only, wastewater only, or both solid manure and wastewater); drainage flow direction in each field, nearby surface waters, and storm water discharge points; tailwater and storm water drainage controls; subsurface (tile) drainage systems (including discharge points and lateral extent); irrigation supply wells and groundwater monitoring wells; sampling locations for discharges of storm water and tailwater to surface water from the field.
- Process wastewater conveyance structures, discharge points and discharge mixing points with irrigation water supplies; pumping facilities; flow meter locations; drainage ditches and canals, culverts, draining controls (berms, levees, etc.), and drainage easements.

Application area map reference number: Figure 4

Identify each field under control of the Discharger and within five miles of the dairy where neither process wastewater nor manure is applied. Each field shall be identified on a single published base map at an appropriate scale by the following:

- 1. Assessor's Parcel Number.
- 2. Total acreage.
- 3. Information on who owns or leases the field

Non-application area map reference number: Not Applicable

Setbacks, Buffers, and Other Alternatives to Protect Surface Water (see Technical Standard VII):

- 1. Identify all potential surface waters or conduits to surface water that are within 100 feet of any land application area.
- For each land application area that is within 100 feet of a surface water or a conduit to surface water, identify the setback, vegetated buffer, or other alternative practice that will be implemented to protect surface water (Technical Standard VII).

Setbacks and buffers map reference number: Figure 4

### C. PROCESS WASTEWATER WRITTEN AGREEMENTS

Provide copies of written agreements with third parties that receive process wastewater for their own use from the Discharger's dairy (Technical Standards V.A.1 and V.A.3).

General Order No. R5-2007-0035, Attachment C

July 1, 2009 deadline

### SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS PLAN CERTIFICATION

### A. DAIRY FACILITY INFORMATION

Name of dairy or business operating the dai	ry: Couco Creek Dairy Inc.		
Physical address of dairy:			
3303 S Washington RD	Turlock	Stanislaus	95380
Physical Address Number and Street	City	County	Zip Code

Street and nearest cross street (if no address):

### B. DOCUMENTATION OF QUALIFICATIONS AND PLAN DEVELOPMENT

I certify that I meet the requirements as a certified specialist in developing nutrient management plans as described in Attachment C of Waste Discharge Requirements General Order No. R5-2007-0035 and that I prepared the Sampling and Analysis plan.

TITLE/QUALIFICATIONS OF CERTIFIED NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT SPECIALIST	
Joe Ramos	3/19/2023
SIGNATURE OF TRAINED PROFESSIONAL	DATE
Joe Ramos	
PRINT OR TYPE NAME	
2857 Geer RD, STE A; Turlock, CA 95382	
MAILING ADDRESS	
(209) 250-2471	
PHONE NUMBER	

### C. OWNER AND/OR OPERATOR CERTIFICATION

I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted in this document and all attachments and that, based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the information is true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment.

Tony Machado	TONY Machado Turry Machado (Mar 20, 2023 13/42 PDT)	
SIGNATURE OF OWNER OF FACILITY SIGNATURE OF OPERATOR OF FACILITY		
Tony Machado		
PRINT OR TYPE NAME	PRINT OR TYPE NAME	
Mar 20, 2023	Mar 20, 2023	
DATE	DATE	

July 1, 2009 deadline

### NUTRIENT BUDGET CERTIFICATION

### A. DAIRY FACILITY INFORMATION

Name of dairy or business operating the dairy:	Couco Creek Dairy Inc.		
Physical address of dairy:			
3303 S Washington RD	Turlock	Stanislaus	95380
Number and Street	City	County	Zip Code

Street and nearest cross street (if no address):

### B. DOCUMENTATION OF QUALIFICATIONS AND PLAN DEVELOPMENT

I certify that I meet the requirements as a certified specialist in developing nutrient management plans as described in Attachment C of Waste Discharge Requirements General Order No. R5-2007-0035 and that I prepared the Nutrient Budget plan.

technical Sen	ice P	rovider
---------------	-------	---------

TITLE/QUALIFICATIONS OF CERTIFIED NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT SPECIALIST	
Joe Ramos	3/19/2023
SIGNATURE OF TRAINED PROFESSIONAL	DATE
Joe Ramos	
PRINT OR TYPE NAME	
2857 Geer RD, STE A; Turlock, CA 95382	
MAILING ADDRESS	
(209) 250-2471	
PHONE NUMBER	

### C. OWNER AND/OR OPERATOR CERTIFICATION

I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted in this document and all attachments and that, based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the information is true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment.

Tony Machado Tony Machado (Mar 20, 2023 15:42 PDT)	Tony Machado Tony Machado (Mar 20, 2023 15:42 PDT)	
SIGNATURE OF OWNER OF FACILITY SIGNATURE OF OPERATOR OF FACILITY		
Tony Machado		
PRINT OR TYPE NAME	PRINT OR TYPE NAME	
Mar 20, 2023	Mar 20, 2023	
DATE	DATE	

General Order No. R5-2007-0035, Attachment C

July 1, 2009 deadline

### STATEMENTS OF COMPLETION

Waste Discharge Requirements General Order No. R5-2007-0035 for Existing Milk Cow Dairies (General Order) requires owners and operators of existing milk cow dairies (Dischargers) to develop and implement a Nutrient Management Plan for their land application areas (land under control of the Discharger, whether it is owned, rented, or leased, to which manure or process wastewater from the production area is or may be applied for nutrient cycling). The Discharger is required to maintain the NMP at the dairy, make the NMP available to Central Valley Water Board staff during their inspections, and submit the NMP to the Executive Officer upon request.

The General Order requires the Discharger to submit two Statements of Completion during development of the NMP. The Discharger may use this form to comply with the General Order requirement to submit one or both of these Statements of Completion. Parts A and E must be completed for each Statement of Completion. Parts B, C and D are to be completed for the Statements of Completion due by 1 July 2008, 31 December 2008 and 1 July 2009, respectively. Both the owner and the operator of the dairy must sign this form in Part E below.

### A. DAIRY FACILITY INFORMATION

Name of dairy or business operating the dairy: Couco Creek Dairy Inc.

3303 S Washington RD	Turlock	Stanisla	us	95380
Number and Street	City	County		Zip Code
Street and nearest cross street (if no address):				
Operator name:		Telephone no.:		
			Landline	Cellular
Mailing Address Number and Street	City		State	Zip Code
_egal owner name: Machado, Tony		Telephone no.:		(209) 761-9322
*		_	Landline	Cellular
3303 S Washington RD	Turlock		CA	95380
Mailing Address Number and Street	City		State	Zip Code

	Nutrient Management Plan Report General Order No. R5-2007-0035, Attachment C July 1, 2009 deadline
B. STATEMENT OF	COMPLETION DUE 1 JULY 2008
I have completed July 2008:	the following items of the Nutrient Management Plan (check the boxes of completed sections), which are due 1
	d Application Information of land used for manure application and needed information on a facility map.
Item I.B Land	Application Information t for information provided on map above.
Litem I.C Land Copies of writ	Application Information ten third-party process wastewater agreements.
Item I.D Land Identification manure is app	Application Information of fields under control of the discharger within five miles of the dairy where neither process wastewater nor plied.
🗌 Item II Sampl	ing and Analysis Plan
Item IV Setba Identification appropriate p	ocks, Buffers, and Other Alternatives to Protect Surface Water of all potential surface waters or conduits to surface waters within 100 feet of land application areas and rotection.
Item VI Reco	rd-Keeping Requirements of monitoring records that will be maintained as required in the production and land application areas.
Has Item II (San Specialist as requ	npling and Analysis Plan) of the Nutrient Management Plan been certified by a Certified Nutrient Managemen uired in the General Order?
Yes	□ No
C. STATEMENT OF	COMPLETION DUE 31 DECEMBER 2008
I have completed December 2008:	I the following items of the Nutrient Management Plan (check the boxes of completed sections), which are due 3
Evaluation o	Risk Assessment f the effectiveness of management practices used to control the discharge of waste constituents from land reas by assessing the water quality monitoring results of discharges of manure, process wastewater, tailwate ile) drainage, or storm water from the land application areas.
D. STATEMENT OF	COMPLETION DUE 1 JULY 2009
I have completed July 2009:	d the following items of the Nutrient Management Plan (check the boxes of completed sections), which are due
Item I.A.2 La Identification map.	nd Application Area Information of process wastewater conveyance, mixing and drainage information for each land application area on a facilit
Litem III Nutri Established	ent Budget planned rates of nutrient applications by crop based on nutrient monitoring results for each land application area.
Has Item III (Nu required in the G	trient Budget) of the Nutrient Management Plan been certified by a Certified Nutrient Management Specialist a General Order?
T Yes	No

General Order No. R5-2007-0035, Attachment C

July 1, 2009 deadline

### E. CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

I certify under penalty of law that I have completed the items of the Nutrient Management Plan that are checked in Parts B, C and/or D above for the dairy identified in Part A above and that the appropriate certified nutrient management specialist has certified the items requiring such certification as noted in part B and/or D above and that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted in Parts A, B, C and D of this document and all attachments and that, based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the information is true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment.

Tony Machado Tony Machado (Mar 20, 2023 15:42 PDT)	Tony Machado Tony Machado (Mar 20, 2023 15:42 PDT)
SIGNATURE OF OWNER OF FACILITY	SIGNATURE OF OPERATOR OF FACILITY
Tony Machado	
PRINT OR TYPE NAME	PRINT OR TYPE NAME
Mar 20, 2023	Mar 20, 2023
DATE	DATE

# Couco Creek 2023 NMP

**Final Audit Report** 

2023-03-20

Created:	2023-03-19
By:	Joe Ramos (jramos@fragservices.com)
Status:	Signed
Transaction ID:	CBJCHBCAABAAAcHqsfBdKDJH_RN2hCaJOoHQcTwoZ4Eg

# "Couco Creek 2023 NMP" History

- Document created by Joe Ramos (jramos@fragservices.com) 2023-03-19 - 3:37:47 PM GMT
- Document emailed to Elizete Machado (tonycccows@yahoo.com) for signature 2023-03-19 - 3:41:20 PM GMT
- Email viewed by Elizete Machado (tonycccows@yahoo.com) 2023-03-19 - 3:45:41 PM GMT
- Signer Elizete Machado (tonycccows@yahoo.com) entered name at signing as Tony Machado 2023-03-20 10:42:24 PM GMT
- Document e-signed by Tony Machado (tonycccows@yahoo.com) Signature Date: 2023-03-20 - 10:42:26 PM GMT - Time Source: server
- Agreement completed. 2023-03-20 - 10:42:26 PM GMT


Names and email addresses are entered into the Acrobat Sign service by Acrobat Sign users and are unverified unless otherwise noted.





# LAND APPLICATION AREA FIELD INFORMATION ATTACHMENT


# DAIRY NAME: Couco Creek Dairy DAIRY ADDRESS: 3303 Washington Road, Turlock, 95380

044-039-001 & 002 C 044-040-003 & 041 V 057-015-34 3	Chatom Vitorino		Corn eilage/eildan		
	/itorino	262	silage/oat silage	XX	Wastewater
	/itorino				
		29	Corn silage/sudan silage/oat silage	XX	Wastewater
	Zuber	40	Corn silage/sudan silage/oat silage	XX	Wastewater









# WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN REVISION

**PREPARED FOR:** 

# **COUCO CREEK**

TURLOCK, CA

**PREPARED BY:** 



# WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN **REVISION** FOR COUCO CREEK **TURLOCK, CA**

The following Waste Management Plan is a State Water Board Waste Discharge Requirement to ensure the dairy production area is designed, constructed, modified, operated and maintained to prevent adverse impacts to ground and surface water quality.

### **Owner / Operator Certification**

I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted in this document and all attachments and that based upon my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the information is true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment.

 
 Tony Machado
 Date:
 Sep 6, 2023

 Ony Machado (Sep 6, 2023 12:04 PDT)
 Date:
 Sep 6, 2023
 Owner: To


<u>Tony Machado</u> ny Machado (Sep 6, 2023 12:04 PDT) Date: Sep 6, 2023 Operator: Tor

### **Engineer Certification**

I certify that this Technical Report was prepared by me or under my responsible charge, as a registered Civil Engineer, registered within the State of California.

Engineer: Craig Hartman

Date: 8-14-23



# WMP Signature Page - Couco

**Final Audit Report** 

2023-09-06

Created:	2023-09-06
By:	Benjamin Jackman (benjamin.jackman@maasenergy.com)
Status:	Signed
Transaction ID:	CBJCHBCAABAAx3GEfC2i-hGX2k5ECUOynjwjMx2G2_ja

# "WMP Signature Page - Couco" History

- Document created by Benjamin Jackman (benjamin.jackman@maasenergy.com) 2023-09-06 - 3:42:15 PM GMT
- Document emailed to Elizete Machado (tonycccows@yahoo.com) for signature 2023-09-06 - 3:42:55 PM GMT
- Email viewed by Elizete Machado (tonycccows@yahoo.com) 2023-09-06 - 6:32:06 PM GMT
- Signer Elizete Machado (tonycccows@yahoo.com) entered name at signing as Tony Machado 2023-09-06 - 7:04:43 PM GMT
- Document e-signed by Tony Machado (tonycccows@yahoo.com) Signature Date: 2023-09-06 - 7:04:45 PM GMT - Time Source: server
- Agreement completed. 2023-09-06 - 7:04:45 PM GMT

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

l.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	DAIRY FACILITY	2
Α.	FACILTY LOCATION AND RESPONSIBLE PARTIES	2
В.	DAIRY HERD PROFILE	2
III.	DAIRY PROPERTY	3
IV.	DAIRY PROCESS WATER GENERATION	4
V.	DAIRY PRECIPITATION	5
VI.	DAIRY CONTAINMENT CAPACITY	6
VII.	DAIRY FLOOD PROTECTION	9

### Attachment A: Updated Wastewater Management Calculations

### Attachment B: 2018 Wastewater Management Plan

### Attachment C: Updated Facility Maps

Attachment D: Flood Study

# I. INTRODUCTION

The Waste Management Plan is a Technical Report developed with the Owner and Operator of the subject Dairy Facility to ensure design, construction, operation, maintenance of dairy wastes generated at the facility are managed in compliance with State Water Board Discharge requirements.

This facility is currently permitted in the General Order for Existing Milk Cow Dairies, No. R5-2007-0035. This report tiers off the existing and approved 2018 Waste Management Plan, by Manny Sousa and will serve as Revision 1 to the existing Waste Management Plan, documenting the proposed changes to the facility.

The Dairy and Waste Management Plan modifications include a digester, sand lane, seperator, and assocated piping. The dairy will stay in the existing dairy general order.

Waste Management Plan Revision Couco Creek Dairy

## **II. DAIRY FACILITY**

### A. FACILTY LOCATION AND RESPONSIBLE PARTIES

### **Facility Location:**

Couco Creek 3303 S Washington Rd Turlock, CA 95380

County: Stanislaus County

Township 5S, Range 10E, Section 31, Mount Diablo Baseline and Meridian

Latitude: 37° 44′ 28″ N Longitude: -120° 29′ 51″ W

### **Responsible Parties:**

Owner:

Tony Machado 3303 S Washington Rd Turlock, CA 95380 (209) 761-9322

**Operator:** 

Tony Machado 3303 S Washington Rd Turlock, CA 95380 (209) 761-9322

### **B. DAIRY HERD PROFILE**

Since the 2018 Permit, there have been no changes from the existing permit or dairy herd profile. The existing permit and present dairy herd profile are listed below in Table 1.

### **Table 1: Dairy Herd Profile**

Cow Unit	Herd Profile	Maximum Number of Animals in Past 12 Months	Breed of Animals
Milking	3,050	3,050	Holstein
Dry	437	437	Holstein
Heifers 15-24 months	750	750	Holstein
Heifers 7-14 months	1,000	1,000	Holstein
Heifers 4-6 months	500	500	Holstein
Heifers 0-3 months	0	0	Holstein
Total Herd	5,737	5,737	

# **III. DAIRY PROPERTY**

The dairy facility has property associated with discharging its wastewater. There are no changes from the former approved Waste Management Plan on the fields, with the exception to the removal of acreage to construct the proposed a digester, sand lane, seperator, and assocated piping. The Dairy Facility features, and proposed improvements are displayed in the following Figures and Tables:

**Figure 2: Vicinity Map**: This map details the 5-mile zone surrounding the dairy and its fields. See Attachment C.

**Figure 3: Waste Management Plan Modifications:** This map details the proposed changes to the dairy. See Attachment C.

# **IV. DAIRY PROCESS WATER GENERATION**

The 2018 Waste Management Plan measured the water used in the facility in many locations to determine a weekly Barn Water Generation of 747,250 gallons/week based upon 3,050 milk cows or 35 gallons per milk cow per day during the 120-day Waste Management Plan period of November through February. The dairy will continue to either operate at the existing water usage per cow or make modifications to decrease water usage, but not increase the per cow rate. Table 2 shows the volume of water used for Waste Management Planning.

Table	2:	Process	Water	Generation

Wastewater Source	Proposed Milk Cows	Max Volume/cow (gal/milk cow/day)	Volume (gal/day)	Total Volume Accumulated in 120 Day Period (gal)
Milk Barn Wastewater Output	3,050	35	106,750	12,810,000

# V. DAIRY PRECIPITATION

Proposed improvements are to include a digester, sand lane, seperator, and assocated piping. The additional footprint and change of existing surface area from pervious to impervious will increase the volume of rainfall that needs to be retained onsite. The revised surface summary which includes the new surface area can be found in Table 3 below. This report amends the original WMP to reflect rainwater from roofs enters pond storage.

Area Description	Run-off Area (sq. ft.)	Run-off Coefficient Precipitation	Weighted Run-off Area (sq ft)
Wastewater Retention Pond Area	960,968	1.00	960,968
Total Impervious Area	1,533,942	0.75	1,150,457
Total Pervious Area	1,646,950	0.31	510,555
Total Production Area	4,141,860		2,621,979

### Table 3: Proposed Dairy Facility Surface Summary for Normal Precipitation

The weighted Run-off Area is used as a multiplier to calculate volumes from normal precipitation with Table 4 representing the Run-off Coefficients used for the 25 yr.-24 hr. large storm event.

Area Description	Run-off Area (sq. ft.)	Run-off Coefficient Precipitation	Weighted Run-off Area (sq ft)	
Wastewater Retention Pond Area	960,968	1.00	960,968	
Total Impervious Area	1,533,942	0.75	1,457,245	
Total Pervious Area	1,646,950	0.31	741,128	
Total Production Area	4,141,860		3,159,340	

### The 25 yr. – 24 hr. storm event for this location is 2.44 in, with a storm volume of 4,805,146 gallons.

Based upon the revised surface areas, normal precipitation, and evaporation rates from the former approved WMP, the volumes for precipitation at the facility can be found in Table 5 below.

Table 5	Precipitation	Evaporation
---------	---------------	-------------

	Normal Precipitation		1.5 Precipitation		Evap ETpan (in.)	
Month	Ave. Rainfall (in.)	Weighted Run-off Volume (gal)	Ave. Rainfall x 1.5 (in.)	Weighted Run-off Volume (gal)	Average Evaporation Rate (in.)	Total Volume Evaporated (gal)
November	1.07	1,748,773	1.61	2,623,159	1.83	1,096,176
December	2.26	3,693,669	3.39	5,540,504	1.16	694,844
January	2.63	4,298,385	3.95	6,447,577	1.27	760,734
February	2.29	3,742,700	3.44	5,614,050	2.22	1,329,788
Total:	8.25	13,483,527	12.38	20,225,291	6.48	3,881,542

The proposed improvements to the facility will greatly increase the waste management system and increase the containment capacity for dairy	facility will great	y increase the waste man	agement system	and increase the co	ontainment capa	city for dairy
process water and precipitation. The fiber will be removed and maintained through the mechanical screen and drying slab. Following the screen	e fiber will be rem	oved and maintained thro	ugh the mechan	iical screen and dry	ing slab. Followin	g the screen
in the process will be a sand land to remove sand, silt, and clay from entering the digester. It is estimated that the screen and sand lane will remove approximately 50% of the volumes from the manure produced by the herd. Using this estimated and the dairy heard, the manure generation can	remove sand, silt, a from the manure p	and clay from entering the produced by the herd. Usin	digester. It is est ng this estimated	imated that the screand and the dairy hear	een and sand lane d, the manure gei	: will remove neration can
be calculated per Table 6 below.		Table 6: Dairy Herd Waste Production	roduction			
Age of Animal & Housing Type	# of Animals	Waste Urine & Manure (cf/day) (ASABE 348.2)	Hours/Day on Flush Surface	Solid Separation Reduction Factor	Total (gal/day)	Total Day WMP Period (gal)
Milking Cows (Flush)	3,050	2.4	20	0.5	22,814	2,737,680
Milk Cows (Open Lot)	0	2.4	0	0.5	0	0
Dry Cows (Open Lot)	437	1.3	9	0.5	531	63,741
Heifers: 15-24 mo. (Open Lot)	750	0.78	24	0.5	2,188	262,548
Heifers: 7-14 mo. (Open Lot)	1,000	0.78	24	0.5	2,917	350,064
Heifers: 4-6 mo. (Open Lot)	500	0.3	9	0.5	140	16,830
Heifers: 0-3 mo. (Open Lot)	0	0.3	0	0.5	0	0
Total Herd:				Total:	28,591	3,430,863

Page **6** of **9** 

**Table 7: Pond Storage Summary** 

lion (Ir	4	0							
Total Retention Volume (gal)	15,863,334	22,028,310	198,916	110,016	39,141	2,141,618	2,151,435	5,298,353	
Storage Period Pond Volume Reduction (gal)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Freeboard Reduction (gal)	2,605,115	4,145,261	110,251	43,821	43,472	802,610	806,257	1,864,505	
Total Raw Volume (gal)	18,468,449	26,173,572	309,167	153,837	82,613	2,944,228	2,957,692	7,162,858	
Solids Reduction Depth (ft)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Freeboard Depth (ft)	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Side Slope H:V (ft:ft)	2	1	2	2	2	3	3	3	
Average Depth (ft)	17	14	3	4	2	4	4	4	
Top Width (ft)	193	194	68	63	63	100	100	229	
Top Length (ft)	923	1452	224	97	97	1103	1108	1103	
Pond Type	Storage Pond	Storage Pond	Settling Basin						
Pond	Pond 1:	Pond 2:	Pond 3:	Pond 4:	Pond 5:	Pond 6:	Pond 7:	Pond 8:	

Based on the proposed water usage per milk cow meeting existing flowrates and increasing overall proportionally to the increase in cows, the additional precipitation at the 1.5 factor, and the reduction of residual solids in ponds, the following Table 8 and Figure 1 is a summary of the Waste Management Plan which shows the facility meets the 1.5 Precipitation Factor after proposed improvements.

	1.5 Precipitation Factor	1.0 Precipitation Factor
Wastewater Volume Description (gal)	Total Volume in 120 Day Period (gal)	Total Volume in 120 Day Period (gal)
Operations	16,240,863	16,240,863
Precipitation	20,225,291	13,483,527
25 Year 24 Hour Event	4,805,146	4,805,146
Evaporation from Ponds	-3,881,542	-3,881,542
Required Pond Storage	37,389,758	30,647,994
Proposed Pond Storage	47,831,123	47,831,123
Excess Pond Capacity	10,441,365	17,183,129

-

# Table 8: Summary of Waste Management Plan




Figure 1: Waste Management Plan Summary

## **VII. DAIRY FLOOD PROTECTION**

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) provides a Flood Insurance Rate Map which identifies different flood zone areas. The Flood Insurance Rate Map Community Panel Number 06099C0800F 8/24/2021, indicates that the production area is in Zone X, an area in which is determined to be outside of the 0.2%, 100-year annual chance floodplain. There are no known floodways in the vicinity of this project. See Attachment D, Figure 3 for FEMA Map.

# **ATTACHMENT A:**

# UPDATED WASTEWATER MANAGEMENT CALCULATIONS

Client: Hartman Engineering, Inc.	By: Craig Hartman, PE	
Businets Development	D-1 0/7/0000	HARTMAN
Project: Couco Creek	Date: 8/7/2023	
Calculations For: Wastewater Retention Pond Volume Analysis	Project No.: 22037	ENGINEERING

### A. Existing and Proposed Pond Storage Volume

Existing

Existing											
Pond	Pond Type	Top Length (ft.)	Top Width (ft.)	Average Depth (ft.)	Side Slope H:V (ft:ft)	Freeboard Depth (ft.)	Solids Reduction Depth on November 1 (ft.)	Total Raw Volume (gal)	Freeboard Reduction (gal)	Storage Period Pond Volume Reduction (gal)	Total Retention Volume (gal)
Pond 1:	Storage Pond	923	193	17	1.8	2	0.0	18,468,449	2,605,115	0	15,863,334
Pond 2:	Storage Pond	1452	194	14	1.4	2	0.0	26,173,572	4,145,261	0	22,028,310
Pond 3:	Settling Basin	224	68	3	1.7	1	0.0	309,167	110,251	0	198,916
Pond 4:	Settling Basin	97	63	4	1.6	1	0.0	153,837	43,821	0	110,016
Pond 5:	Settling Basin	97	63	2	1.9	1	0.0	82,613	43,472	0	39,141
Pond 6:	Settling Basin	1103	100	4	2.5	1	0.0	2,944,228	802,610	0	2,141,618
Pond 7:	Settling Basin	1108	100	4	2.5	1	0.0	2,957,692	806,257	0	2,151,435
Pond 8:	Settling Basin	1103	229	4	2.5	1	0.0	7,162,858	1,864,505	0	5,298,353
							Total:	44,951,187	6,860,627	0	47,831,123

Proposed											
Pond	Pond Type	Top Length (ft.)	Top Width (ft.)	Average Depth (ft.)	Side Slope H:V (ft:ft)	Freeboard Depth (ft.)	Solids Reduction Depth (ft.)	Total Raw Volume (gal)	Freeboard Reduction (gal)	Storage Period Pond Volume Reduction (gal)	Total Retention Volume (gal)
Pond 1:	Storage Pond	923	193	17	2	2	0.0	18,468,449	2,605,115	0	15,863,334
Pond 2:	Storage Pond	1452	194	14	1	2	0.0	26,173,572	4,145,261	0	22,028,310
Pond 3:	Settling Basin	224	68	3	2	1	0.0	309,167	110,251	0	198,916
Pond 4:	Settling Basin	97	63	4	2	1	0.0	153,837	43,821	0	110,016
Pond 5:	Settling Basin	97	63	2	2	1	0.0	82,613	43,472	0	39,141
Pond 6:	Settling Basin	1103	100	4	3	1	0.0	2,944,228	802,610	0	2,141,618
Pond 7:	Settling Basin	1108	100	4	3	1	0.0	2,957,692	806,257	0	2,151,435
Pond 8:	Settling Basin	1103	229	4	3	1	0.0	7,162,858	1,864,505	0	5,298,353
Pond 9:	Digester	520	220	22	2.0	2	0.0	13,894,507	1,667,462	12,227,046	0
							Total:	72,146,922	12.088.753	12,227,046	47.831.123

### **B. Process Wastewater Volume Analysis**

Age of Animal & Housing Type	# of Animals	Waste Urine & Manure (cf/day) (ASABE 348.2)	Hours/Day on Flush Surface	Solid Separation Reduction Factor	Total (gal/day)	Total 120 Day WMP Period (gal)
Milking Cows (Flush)	3,050	2.4	20	0.5	22,814	2,737,680
Milk Cows (Open Lot)	0	2.4	0	0.5	0	0
Dry Cows (Open Lot)	437	1.3	6	0.5	531	63,741
Heifers: 15-24 mo. (Open Lot)	750	0.78	24	0.5	2,188	262,548
Heifers: 7-14 mo. (Open Lot)	1,000	0.78	24	0.5	2,917	350,064
Heifers: 4-6 mo. (Open Lot)	500	0.3	6	0.5	140	16,830
Heifers: 0-3 mo. (Open Lot)	0	0.3	0	0.5	0	0
				Total:	28,591	3,430,863

Storage Increase

0

### Summary

Wastewater Source	Max Volume/cow (gal/milk cow/day)	Volume (gal/day)	Total Volume Accumulated in 120 Day Period (gal)
Milk Barn Wastewater Output:	35	106,750	12,810,000
Animal Output (Urine & Manure):		28,591	3,430,863
Total Process Water Volume from Operations:		135,341	16,240,863

### C. PRECIPITATION RUN-OFF VOLUME ANALYSIS


### Production Area Subdivision Summary

Area Description	Run-off Area (sq. ft.)	Run-off Coefficient Precipitation	Weighted Run- off Area (sq. ft.)	Run-off Coefficient 25 yr. 24 hr.	Weighted Run- off Area (sq. ft.)	25 yr. 24 hr. Rainfall Event Rainfall (in)	Total Volume from 25 yr. 24 hr. (gal)
Wastewater Retention Pond Area	960,968	1.00	960,968	1.00	960,968	2.44	1,461,568
Total Impervious Area	1,533,942	0.75	1,150,457	0.95	1,457,245	2.44	2,216,372
Total Pervious Area	1,646,950	0.31	510,555	0.45	741,128	2.44	1,127,206
Total Production Area	4,141,860		2,621,979		3,159,340		4,805,146

Precipitation & Run-off	Normal	Precipitation	1.5 Pre	ecipitation	Evap Ei	Tpan (in.)
Month	Ave. Rainfall (in.)	Weighted Run- off Volume (gal)	Ave. Rainfall x 1.5 (in.)	Weighted Run- off Volume (gal)	Average Evaporation Rate (in.)	Total Volume Evaporated (gal)
November	1.07	1,748,773	1.61	2,623,159	1.83	1,096,176
December	2.26	3,693,669	3.39	5,540,504	1.16	694,844
January	2.63	4,298,385	3.95	6,447,577	1.27	760,734
February	2.29	3,742,700	3.44	5,614,050	2.22	1,329,788
Total:	8.25	13,483,527	12.38	20,225,291	6.48	3,881,542

### D. SUMMARY OF REQUIRED WASTEWATER RETENTION POND STORAGE VOLUME

	1.5 Precipitation Factor	1.0 Precipitation Factor
Wastewater Volume Description (gal)	Total Volume in 120 Day Period (gal)	Total Volume in 120 Day Period (gal)
Operations	16,240,863	16,240,863
Precipitation	20,225,291	13,483,527
25 Year 24 Hour Event	4,805,146	4,805,146
Evaporation from Ponds	-3,881,542	-3,881,542
Required Pond Storage	37,389,758	30,647,994
Proposed Pond Storage	47,831,123	47,831,123
Excess Pond Capacity	10,441,365	17,183,129



# **ATTACHMENT B:**

# **2018 APPROVED WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN**

# WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN

Couco Creek Dairy Inc. 3303 S. Washington Road Turlock, Ca. 95380

Prepared By:



2857 Geer Road, Suite A Turlock, California 95382

General Order No.	agement Plan Report R5-2007-0035, Attachme 1, 2010 deadline	nt B				
DAIRY FAC	CILITY INFORMATION					
A. NAME OF DAIRY OR BUSINESS OPERATING THE DAIRY	Y: Couco Creek Dairy In	2.	<b> </b>			
Physical address of dairy:		97.991.00				
3303 S Washington RD Turk	ook	Stanislaus				
Number and Street City		County	Zip Code			
Street and nearest cross street (if no address):		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
TRS Data and Coordinates:						
5S 9E 31 Mt. Diablo	37° 44' 28.00" N	120° 29' 51	.00" W			
Date facility was originally placed in operation: 06/01/196	1					
Regional Water Quality Control Board Basin Plan designati	ion: San Joaquin River E	lasin				
County Assessor Parcel Number(s) for dairy facility:						
0044-0039-0001-0000 0044-0040-0041-0000						
0044-0039-0001-0000 0044-0040-0041-0000						
B. OPERATOR NAME: Machado, Tony	Telepl	none no.;	(209) 761-9322			
	<u> </u>	Landline	Cellular			
3303 S Washington RD Mailing Address Number and Street	Turlock City	CA State	95380			
Operator should receive Regional Board correspondence	1979 - 2	] No	Zip Code			
C. LEGAL OWNER NAME: Machado, Tony	Telep	none no.:	(209) 761-9322			
3303 S Washington RD	<b>T</b>	Landline	Cellular			
Malling Address Number and Street	Turlock Cily	CA State	95380 Zlp Code			
Owner should receive Regional Board correspondence	(check): [X]Yes [	No	-p			
D. CONTACT NAME: Sousa, Manuel	Telep	10he no.: (209) 238-3151	l			
Title: Professional Engineer		Landline	Cellular			
P.O. Box 1613	Oakdale	CA	95361			
Mailing Address Number and Street	City	State	Zip Code			
CONTACT NAME: Ramos, Joe	Telep	none no.: (209) 250-2471	(209) 226-2375			
Title: Technical Service Provider		Landine	Cellular			
2857 Geer RD, STE A	Turlock	CA	95382			
Mailing Address Number and Street	City	State	Zip Code			

Couco Creek Dairy Inc. | 3303 S Washington RD | Turlock, CA 95380 | Stanislaus County | San Joaquin River Basin

03/29/2018 16:45:58

. . . .

. . . . .

### HERD AND MILKING EQUIPMENT

### A. HERD AND MILKING

The milk cow dairy is currently regulated under individual Waste Discharge Requirements. Total number of milk and dry cows combined as a baseline value in response to the Report of Waste Discharge (ROWD) request of October, 2005:

3,487 mllk and dry cows combined (regulatory review is required for any expansion)

Type of Animal	Present Count	Maximum Count	Dally Flush Hours	Avg Live Weight (lbs)
Milk Cows	3,050	3,050	20	1,400
Dry Cows	437	437	6	1,450
Bred Heifers (15-24 mo.)	750	750	24	900
Heifers (7-14 mo.)	1,000	1,000	24	600
Calves (4-6 mo.)	500	500	6	
Calves (0-3 mo.)	D	0	0	
Predominant milk cow breed:		Holstein		
Average milk production:		72	pounds per cow per d	ay
Average number of milk cows per string s	ent to the milkbarn:	300	milk cows per string	
Number of milkings per day:		. 2.0	milkings per day	
Number of times milk tank is emptied/fille	d each day:	5.0	per day	
Number of hours spent milking each day:		22.0	hours per day	
B. MILKBARN EQUIPMENT AND FLOOR W	/ASH		51 	
Bulk tank wash and sanitizing:		4.0	run cycles/wash	
Bulk tank wash vat volume:		50	gallons/cycle	
Bulk tank wash wastewater:		1,000.0	gallons/day	
Pipeline wash and sanitizing:		3,0	run cycles/wash	
Pipeline wash vat volume:		100	gallons/cycle	
Pipeline wash wastewater:		600.0	gallons/day	
Reused / recycled water is the source of p	parlor floor wash water:	[X]Yes []	No	
Milkbarn / parlor floor wash volume:		10,000	gallons/day	
Plate coolers type:		Well Water Co	oled (Water Reused/R	ecycled)
Plate coolers volume:		54,617	gallons/day	
Vacuum pumps / air compressors / chiller	s type:	Mechanically/A	Ir Cooled	
Vacuum pumps / air compressors / chiller	s volume:	0	gallons/day	
Milkbarn and equipment wastewater volu	ne generated dally:	64,992	gallons/day	

Couco Creek Dairy Inc. | 3303 S Washington RD | Turlock, CA 95380 | Stanislaus County | San Joaquin River Basin

03/29/2018 16:45:58

Ť

Gene	Waste Mana oral Order No. R July 1,		, Attachment E	3		
C. OTHER WATER USES						
Reused/recycled water is the source of herd of	drinking water;	[ ]	Yes [X]No			
	Milk Cowa	Dry Cows	Bred Helfers (15-24 mo.)	Bred Heifers (7-14 mo.)	Calves (4-6 mp.)	Calves (0-3 mo.)
Number of cows drinking from reusable water:	٥	0	0	0	0	C
	of 3,050	of 437	of 750	of 1,000	of 500	of C
Gallons per head per day:	0	0	0	0	0	C
Total reusable water consumed by herd:		12	0 ga	llons/day		
Reused/recycled water is the source of sprini	der pen water:	[X]	Yes [ ]No			
Number of sprinklers in the holding pen:			175 spi	rinklers		
Duration of each sprinkler cycle:			1,0 ml	nutes		
Number of sprinkler pen runs/milking:			3 cy	cles/milking		
Flow rate for each sprinkler head:		in a state	5.0 ga	llons/minute		
Total sprinkler pen wastewater volume:			53,392 ga	llons/day		
Total fresh water used in manure flush lane s	ystem(s):			ilons/day		
D. MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT						
No miscellaneous equipment entered.						
E. MILKBARN AND EQUIPMENT SUMMARY						
Number of days in storage period:	18 1	i.	120 da	ys	Ϋ́	
Water available for reuse/recycle:			54,617 ga	llons/day		
Recycled water reused:			63,392 ga	llons/day		
Recycled water leaving system:			0 ga	llons/day		
Reusable water balance:			0 ga	llons/day		
Volume of milkbarn and equipment wastewat storage period:	er generated fo	ır	7,799,040 ge	llons/storage pe	riod	
	MANURE AN	ID BEDDING	SOLIDS		·····	
A. IMPORTED AND FACILITY GENERATED B		ID BEDDING	SOLIDS			

Bedding Type	Imported or Generated (tons)	Density (lbs/cu, ft.)	Applied Separation Efficiency (default)	Sollds to Fond (cu. ft./period)
Almond shells	100	20.0	85%	1,500
Facility generated bedding	366	40.0	50%	9,150
			Total:	10,650

Couco Creek Dairy Inc. | 3303 S Washington RD | Turlock, CA 95380 | Stanislaus County | San Joaquin River Basin

5

ł

-----

### **B. SOLIDS SEPARATION PROCESS**

Combined manure solids separation efficiency (weight basis): 50 % Description of all solids separation equipment used in flushed lane manure management systems:

Multiple Mechanical separators with six solid separation basins.

### C. MANURE AND BEDDING SOLIDS SUMMARY

	cubic	; feet	gall	ons
	day	storage period	day	storage period
Manure generated by the herd (pre-separation):	9,111.61	1,093,393	68,159.59	8,179,151
Manure generated by the herd sent to pond(s):	6,158.92	738,830	46,056.93	5,526,832
Manure generated by the herd sent to dry lot(s):	1,677.54	201,305	12,548.89	1,505,867
Manure solids (herd) removed by separation:	618.26	74,192	4,624.94	554,993
Liquid component In separated solids not send to pond(s):	658,89	79,067	4,928,83	691,459
Imported and facility generated bedding sent to pond(s):	88.75	10,650	663.90	79,668
Total manure and bedding sent to pond(s):	6,245.67	749,480	46,720.83	5,606,600
Residual manure solids and bedding sent to pond(s) w/factor:	353.51	42,421	2,644.42	317,330
ч.	cubic fee	t per year	gallons	per year
Residual manure solids and bedding sent to pond(s) w/factor:		129,030		965,213

### RAINFALL AND RUNOFF

A. RAINFALL ESTIMATES	
Rainfall station nearest the facility:	Turlock
25 year/24 hour storm event (default NOAA Atlas 2, 1973):	2.50 inches/storage period
25 year/24 hour storm event (user-override):	inches/storage period
Storage period rainfall (default DWR climate data):	8.56 inches/storage period
Storage period rainfall (user-override):	inches/storage period
Flood zone:	Zone X
B. IMPERVIOUS AREAS	•

Name	Surface Area (sq. ft.)	Quantity	25yr/24hr Storm Runoff Coefficient	Storage Perlod Runoff Coefficient	Runoff Destination
Barn 10 Feed Lane	18,960	1	0.97	0.50	Drains into pond(s).
Barn 2 Feed and Flush Lanes	15,400	1	0.97	0.50	Drains into pond(s).
Barn 3 Feed Lane	5,859	1	0.97	0.60	Drains into pond(s).
Center Control Lane	4,813	1	0.97	0.50	Drains into pond(s).
Concrete Feed Area	196,140	1	0.97	0,50	Drains into pond(s).
Existing Manure Stacking Pad	60,000	1	0.97	0.50	Drains into pond(s).
Existing Separator Pad	20,230	1	0.97	. 0.50	Drains into pond(s).

Couco Creek Dairy Inc. | 3303 S Washington RD | Turlock, CA 95380 | Stanislaus County | San Joaquin River Basin

03/29/2018 16:45:58

1

I

Ge	Waste Mana neral Order No. R July 1,		5, Attachment B	
Helfer Feed and Flush Lanes	12,293	1	0.97	0,50 Drains into pond(s).
Milk Barn Parking and Side Yards	67,479	1	0.97	0.50 Drains into pond(s).
North Control Lane	1,829	1	0.97	0.50 Drains into pond(s).
Proposed Manure Stacking Pad	134,000	1	0.97	0.50 Drains into pond(s).
Proposed Separator Pad Extension	150,000	1	0.97	0.50 Drains into pond(s).
South Control Lane	1,295	1	0.97	0.50 Drains into pond(s).
South Feed Alley and Flush Lane	36,313	1	0.97	0,50 Drains into pond(s).
Sprinkler/Crowd Pens	5,110	1	0.97	0.50 Drains Into pond(s).
Surface area that does not run off into pond	l(s):		<u>     0</u> sq. ft.	
Surface area that runs off into pond(s):			729,721 sq. ft.	
Total surface area:			729,721 sq. ft.	L <sup>6</sup>
Runoff from normal storage period rainfall:		1000	1,946,934 gallons/st	orage period
Runoff from normal storage period rainfall v	vith 1.5 factor:		2,920,400 gallons/st	orage period
25 year/24 hour storm event runoff:			1,103,111 gallons/st	orage period
Total surface area runoff:			3,050,044 gallons/st	orage period
Total surface area runoff with 1.5 factor:		2	4,023,511 gallons/st	orage period
C. ROOF AREAS				
Name	Surface Ar	⊛a (sq. ft.)	Quantity	Runoff Destination
Barn 1		72,879	1	Wastewater pond
Barn 10		58,607	1	Wastewater pond
Barn 11		8,752	1	Wastewater pond
Barn 12		4,428	1	Wastewater pond
Barn 13		12,938	1	Wastewater pond
Barn 14		1,100	1	Wastewater pond
Barn 15		19,704	1	Wastewater pond
Barn 16		19,483	1	Wastewater pond
Barn 19		14,785	1	Wastewater pond
Barn 2		21,737	1	Wastewater pond
Barn 3		32,811	1	Wastewater pond
Barn 4		58,178	1	Wastewater pond
Barn 5		10,639	1	Wastewater pond
Barn 6		12,325	1	Wastewater pond
Barn 7		10,115	1	Wastewater pond
Barn 8		29,331	1	Wastewater pond
Barn 9		125,459	1	Wastewater pond

Couco Creek Dairy Inc. | 3303 S Washington RD | Turlock, CA 95380 | StanIslaus County | San Joaquin River Basin

:

			35, Attachment B	1	
Proposed Barn 19		26,750		1 To Field	
Proposed Barns 17 and 18		74,900	а Э	2 To Field	
Surface area that does not run off into pond(s):			176,550 sq.	ft.	
Surface area that runs off into pond(s):			513,271 sq.		
Total surface area:			689,821 sq.		
Runoff from normal storage period rainfall:			2,738,867 gall	ons/storage per	riod
Runoif from normal storage period rainfall with	1.5 factor:		4,108,301 gall	ons/storage pe	riod
25 year/24 hour storm event runoff:			799,903 gall	lons/storage pe	rlod
Total surface area runoff:		500. 1	<u>3,538,770</u> gall	lons/storage pe	riod
Total surface area runoff with 1.5 factor:		_	4,908,204 gal	lons/storage pe	riod
D. EARTHEN AREAS					8
Name	Surface Area (sq. ft.)	Quantity	25yr/24 Storm Coefficient	Storage Perlo Coefficier	d ht Runoff Destination
Earthen Areas subtracting Roof and Concrete	820,475	1	0.35	0.2	0 Drains into pond(s).
Earthen Areas subtracting Roof and Concrete	826,475	1	0.35	0.2	0 Drains into pond(s).
Surface area that does not run off into pond(s):		3 <u></u>	0 sq.	ft.	3
Surface area that runs off into pond(s):			1,646,950 sq.	ft.	
Total surface area:		-	<u>1,646,950</u> sq.	, ft.	
Runoff from normal storage period rainfall:		8.	1,757,659 gal	llons/storage pe	riod
Runoff from normal storage period rainfall with	1.5 factor:		2,636,489 gal	llons/storage pe	briod
25 year/24 hour storm event runoff:		73	898,336 gal	llons/storage pe	boind
Total surface area runoff:			2,655,996 gal	llons/storage pe	riod
Total surface area runoff with 1.5 factor:		-	<u>3,534,825</u> gal	llons/storage pe	riod
E. TAILWATER MANAGEMENT			33.		

No fields with tailwater entered.

	General Order No. R5-2	nent Plan Report 007-0035, Attachment B 0 deadline	
	LIQUID S	TORAGE	
A. POND OR BASIN DESCRIPTION:	Pond 1	2	
Pond is rectangular in shape:	[X]Yes [ ]No		
	Dir	mensions	
Earthen Length (EL):	<u>923</u> ft.	Earthen Depth (ED):	17 ft.
Earthen Width (EW):	<u>193</u> ft.	Side Slope (S):	1.8 ft. (h:1v)
Free Board (FB):	<u> </u>	Dead Storage Loss (DS):	2.0 ft.
	Ca	lculations	
Liquid Length (LL):	<u>916</u> ft.	Storage Volume Adjusted	
Liquid Width (LW):	<u>186</u> ft.	for Dead Storage Loss:	1,886,408 cu. ft.
Pond Surface Area:	<u>178,139</u> sq. ft.	Pond Marker Elevation:	14.4 ft.
Storage Volume:	2,120,767 cu. ft.	Evaporation Volume:	908,197 gals/period
		Adjusted Surface Area:	<u>168,916</u> sq. ft.
POND OR BASIN DESCRIPTION	Pond 2		
Pond is rectangular in shape:	[X]Yes [ ]No		
	DI	mensions	
Earthen Length (EL):	1,452 ft.	Earthen Depth (ED):	14 ft.
Earthen Width (EW):	<u>194</u> ft.	Side Slope (S):	1.4 ft. (h:1v)
Free Board (FB):	<u>2</u> ft.	Dead Storage Loss (DS):	2.0 ft.
	Ca	lculations	
Liquid Length (LL):	1,446 ft.	Storage Volume Adjusted	
Liquid Width (LW):	188 ft.	for Dead Storage Loss:	2,498,759 cu. ft.
Pond Surface Area:	281,688 sq. ft.	Pond Marker Elevation:	<u>    11.4 ft.</u>
Storage Volume:	2,944,961 cu. ft.	Evaporation Volume:	1,457,539 gals/period
		Adjusted Surface Area:	271,088 sq. ft.

Couco Creek Dairy Inc. | 3303 S Washington RD | Turlock, CA 95380 | Stanislaus County | San Joaquin River Basth

03/29/2018 16:45:58

÷

	General Order No. R5-2	nent Plan Report 2007-0035, Attachment B 10 deadline	
OND OR BASIN DESCRIPTION	: <u>SSB3</u>		
Pond is rectangular in shape:	[X]Yes [ ]No		
	Dir	mensions	
Earthen Length (EL):	224 ft.	Earthen Depth (ED):	3 ft.
Earthen Width (EW):	<u>68</u> ft.	Side Slope (S):	1.7 ft. (h:1v)
Free Board (FB):	<u>1</u> ft.	Dead Storage Loss (DS):	1,9 ft.
	Ca	alculations	
Liquid Length (LL):	<u>221</u> ft.	Storage Volume Adjusted	50 MARCA
Liquid Width (LW):	65 ft.	for Dead Storage Loss:	1,420 cu. ft.
Pond Surface Area:	15,232 sq. ft.	Pond Marker Elevation;	1.3 ft.
Storage Volume:	26,593 cu. ft.	Evaporation Volume:	74,962 gals/period
		Adjusted Surface Area:	<u>13,942</u> sq. ft,
POND OR BASIN DESCRIPTION	I: SSB 4		
Pond is rectangular in shape:	[X]Yes [ ]No		201275/2000
	Di	imensions	
Earthen Length (EL):	<u> </u>	Earthen Depth (ED):	4 ft.
Earthen Length (EL): Earthen Width (EW):	97 ft. 63 ft.	Earthen Depth (ED): Side Slope (S):	<u> </u>
200 12 140		10 404 60000 40 MM	
Earthen Width (EW):	<u>63</u> ft. <u>1</u> ft.	Side Slope (S):	1.6 ft. (h:1v)
Earthen Width (EW):	<u>63</u> ft. <u>1</u> ft.	Side Slope (S): Dead Storage Loss (DS): alculations Storage Volume Adjusted	<u>1,6</u> ft. (h:1v) <u>2,9</u> ft.
Earthen Width (EW): Free Board (FB):	<u>63</u> ft. <u>1</u> ft. Ca	Side Slope (S): Dead Storage Loss (DS):	1.6 ft. (h:1v)
Earthen Width (EW): Free Board (FB): Liquid Length (LL):	<u>63</u> ft. <u>1</u> ft. Ca <u>94</u> ft.	Side Slope (S): Dead Storage Loss (DS): alculations Storage Volume Adjusted	<u>1,6</u> ft. (h:1v) <u>2.9</u> ft.
Earthen Width (EW): Free Board (FB); Liquid Length (LL): Liquid Width (LW):	63 ft. 1 ft. 94 ft. 60 ft.	Side Slope (S): Dead Storage Loss (DS): alculations Storage Volume Adjusted for Dead Storage Loss:	<u>1.6</u> ft. (h:1v) <u>2.9</u> ft. <u>558</u> cu. ft.

Couco Creek Dairy Inc. | 3303 S Washington RD | Turlock, CA 95380 | Stanislaus County | San Joaquin River Basin

i c

-

.

	General Order No. R5-2	nent Plan Report 007-0035, Attachment B 10 deadline	
POND OR BASIN DESCRIPTIO	N: <u>SSB 5</u>		·····
Pond is rectangular in shape:	[X]Yes [ ]No		
	Dir	mensions	
Earthen Length (EL):	97 ft.	Earthen Depth (ED):	2 ft.
Earthen Width (EW):	63 ft.	Side Slope (S):	1.9 ft. (h:1v)
Free Board (FB):	1 ft,	Dead Storage Loss (DS):	0.9 ft.
	Са	lculations	5 20
Liquid Length (LL):	93 ft.	Storage Volume Adjusted	
Liquid Width (LW):	59 ft.	for Dead Storage Loss:	549 cu. ft.
Pond Surface Area:	6,111 sq. ft.	Pond Marker Elevation:	0.3 ft.
Storage Volume:	5,233 cu. ft.	Evaporation Volume:	28,643 gals/perio
		Adjusted Surface Area:	5,327 sq. ft.
POND OR BASIN DESCRIPTIO	N: SSB 6		
Pond is rectangular in shape:	: [X]Yes [ ]No		
	Di	mensions	
		11013013	
Earthen Length (EL):	1,108 ft.	Earthen Depth (ED):	4 ft.
Earthen Length (EL): Earthen Width (EW);	<u>1,108</u> ft. 100 ft.		4 ft. 2.5 ft. (h:1v)
	Bill Westerna D.	Earthen Depth (ED):	<u>4</u> ft. <u>2.5</u> ft. (h:1v) 2,9 ft.
Earthen Width (EW);	<u> </u>	Earthen Depth (ED):	<u>2.5</u> ft. (h:1v)
Earthen Width (EW);	<u> </u>	Earthen Depth (ED): Side Slope (S): Dead Storage Loss (DS): alculations Storage Volume Adjusted	2.5 ft. (h:1v) 2.9 ft.
Earthen Width (EW); Free Board (FB):	<u>100</u> ft. <u>1</u> ft. 	Earthen Depth (ED): Side Slope (S): Dead Storage Loss (DS):	<u>2.5</u> ft. (h:1v)
Earthen Width (EW); Free Board (FB): Liquid Length (LL):	100 ft. 1 ft. 1,103 ft.	Earthen Depth (ED): Side Slope (S): Dead Storage Loss (DS): alculations Storage Volume Adjusted	2.5 ft. (h:1v) 2.9 ft. 10,449 cu. ft.
Earthen Width (EW); Free Board (FB): Liquid Length (LL): Liquid Width (LW):	100 ft. 1 ft. 1 ca Ca 1,103 ft. 95 ft.	Earthen Depth (ED): Side Slope (S): Dead Storage Loss (DS): alculations Storage Volume Adjusted for Dead Storage Loss:	2.5 ft. (h:1v) 2.9 ft.

	General Order No. R5-2	nent Plan Report 2007-0035, Attachment B 10 deadline	
OND OR BASIN DESCRIPTION	۷: <u>SSB 7</u>		· · · · · ·
Pond is rectangular in shape:	[X]Yes [ ]No		
	Di	mensions	
Earthen Length (EL):	<u>1,108</u> ft.	Earthen Depth (ED):	4 ft.
Earthen Width (EW):	100 ft.	Side Slope (S):	2.5 代. (h:1v)
Free Board (FB);	<u>1</u> ft.	Dead Storage Loss (DS):	2.9 ft.
	Ca	lculations	
Liquid Length (LL);	1,103_ft.	Storage Volume Adjusted	
Liquid Width (LW):	95 ft.	for Dead Storage Loss:	10,449 cu. ft.
Pond Surface Area:	110,800 sq. ft.	Pond Marker Elevation:	2.4 ft.
		Europortion Velume.	
Storage Volume:	287,625 cu. ft.	Evaporation Volume:	bbb,zzb gais/perio
Storage Volume:	<u>287,625</u> cu. ft.	Adjusted Surface Area:	553,223 gais/pend 102,894 sq. ft.
	N: <u>SSB 8</u>		
OND OR BASIN DESCRIPTION	N; <u>SSB8</u> [X]Yes []No		
OND OR BASIN DESCRIPTION	N; <u>SSB8</u> [X]Yes []No	Adjusted Surface Area:	
POND OR BASIN DESCRIPTIO Pond is rectangular in shape:	N: <u>SSB8</u> [X]Yes []No Di	Adjusted Surface Area:	<u>102,894</u> sq. ft. <u>4</u> ft.
POND OR BASIN DESCRIPTIO Pond Is rectangular in shape: Earthen Length (EL):	N: <u>SSB 8</u> [X]Yes []No <u>1,103</u> ft.	Adjusted Surface Area: mensions Earthen Depth (ED):	<u>102,894</u> sq. ft.
POND OR BASIN DESCRIPTIO Pond Is rectangular in shape: Earthen Length (EL): Earthen Width (EW):	N: <u>SSB 8</u> [X] Yes [] No 1,103 ft. 229 ft. 1 ft.	Adjusted Surface Area: imensions Earthen Depth (ED): Side Slope (S):	<u>102,894</u> sq, ft. <u>4</u> ft. <u>2.3</u> ft. (h:1v)
POND OR BASIN DESCRIPTIO Pond Is rectangular in shape: Earthen Length (EL): Earthen Width (EW):	N: <u>SSB 8</u> [X] Yes [] No 1,103 ft. 229 ft. 1 ft.	Adjusted Surface Area:	<u>102,894</u> sq, ft. <u>4</u> ft. <u>2.3</u> ft. (h:1v) <u>2.9</u> ft.
POND OR BASIN DESCRIPTIO) Pond Is rectangular in shape: Earthen Length (EL): Earthen Width (EW): Free Board (FB):	N: <u>SSB 8</u> [X] Yes [] No 1,103 ft. 229 ft. 1 ft. Ca	Adjusted Surface Area: mensions Earthen Depth (ED): Side Slope (S): Dead Storage Loss (DS):	<u>102,894</u> sq, ft. <u>4</u> ft. <u>2.3</u> ft. (h:1v)
POND OR BASIN DESCRIPTIO Pond Is rectangular in shape: Earthen Length (EL): Earthen Width (EW): Free Board (FB): Liquid Length (LL):	N: <u>SSB 8</u> [X] Yes [] No <u>1,103</u> ft. <u>229</u> ft. <u>1</u> ft. Ca <u>1,098</u> ft.	Adjusted Surface Area:	<u>102,894</u> sq, ft. <u>4</u> ft. <u>2.3</u> ft. (h:1v) <u>2.9</u> ft.
POND OR BASIN DESCRIPTIO Pond Is rectangular in shape: Earthen Length (EL): Earthen Width (EW): Free Board (FB): Liquid Length (LL): Liquid Width (LW):	N: <u>SSB 8</u> [X] Yes [] No <u>1,103</u> ft. <u>229</u> ft. <u>1</u> ft. Ca <u>1,098</u> ft. <u>224</u> ft.	Adjusted Surface Area:	<u>4</u> ft. <u>2.3</u> ft. (h:1v) <u>2.9</u> ft. <u>24,618</u> cu. ft.

1,000,000.	
Liquid storage surface area:	924,086 sq. ft.
Rainfall onto retention pond(s):	5,130,493 gallons/storage period
Rainfall runoff into retention pond(s):	6,443,460 gallons/storage period
Normal rainfall onto retention pond(s) with 1.5 factor:	7,695,740 gallons/storage period
Normal rainfall runoff into retention pond(s) with 1.5 factor:	9,665,190 gallons/storage period
Storage period evaporation (default):	<u>11.50</u> inches/storage period
Storage period evaporation (user-override):	inches/storage period
Storage period evaporation volume:	4,920,315 gallons/storage period
Manure and bedding sent to pond(s):	5,606,500 gallons/storage period

Waste Management Plan Report	
General Order No. R5-2007-0035, Attachment B	
July 1, 2010 deadline	


Milkbarn water sent to pond(s):


Fresh flush water for storage period:


7,799,040 gallons/storage period

0 gallons/storage period

Couco Creek Dairy Inc. | 3303 S Washington RD | Turlock, CA 95380 | Stanislaus County | San Joaquin River Basin









Values shown in chart are approximate values per day.

Total milkbarn wastewater generated daily:	64,992 gallons/day
Total milkbarn wastewater generated per period:	7,799,040 gallons/storage period




### **B. PROCESS WASTEWATER (NORMAL PRECIPITATION)**




Values shown in chart are approximate values for storage period.

Storage period:	120 days
Total process wastewater generated daily:	243,994 gallons/day
Total process wastewater generated per period:	29,279,235 gallons/storage period
Total process wastewater removed due to evaporation:	4,920,315 gallons/storage period
Total storage capacity required:	24,358,920 gallons
	3,256,314 cu. ft.
Existing storage capacity (adjusted for dead storage loss):	33,162,714 gallons
	4,433,210 cu. ft.
Considering normal precipitation, existing capacity meets estimate	ted storage needs: [X]Yes []No




### C. PROCESS WASTEWATER (NORMAL PRECIPITATION WITH 1.5 FACTOR)




Values shown in chart are approximate values for storage period.

Storage period:	120 days
Total process wastewater generated daily:	292,218 gallons/day
Total process wastewater generated per period:	35,066,211 gallons/storage period
Total process wastewater removed due to evaporation:	4,920,315 gallons/storage period
Total storage capacity required:	30,145,896 gallons
	4,029,920 cu. ft.
Existing storage capacity (adjusted for dead storage loss):	33,162,714 gallons
	4,433,210 cu. ft.
Considering factored precipitation, existing capacity meets estim	ated storage needs: [X]Yes []No







Values shown in chart are approximate values for storage period.

Storage period:	120 days
Barn wastewater, fresh flush water, and tailwater:	7,799,040 gallons/storage period
Manure and bedding sent to pond:	5,606,500 gallons/storage period
Precipitation onto pond:	7,695,740 gallons/storage period
Precipitation runoff:	9,665,190 gallons/storage period
25 year/24 hour storm onto pond:	1,498,392 gallons/storage period
25 year/24 hour storm runoff.	2,801,350 gallons/storage period
Residual solids after liquids have been removed (liquid equivalent):	317,330 gallons/storage period
Total process wastewater removed due to evaporation:	4,920,315 gallons/storage period
Total required capacity:	30,145,896 gallons/storage period
Total existing capacity:	33,162,714 gallons/storage period
Existing capacity meets estimated storage needs:	[X]Yes [ ]No

### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PLAN

The goal of the Operation and Maintenance Plan is to eliminate discharges of waste or storm water to surface waters from the production area and the protection of underlying solls and ground water.

### A. POND MAINTENANCE

### I. FREEBOARD MONITORING

- 1. Freeboard will be monitored monthly from June 1 through September 1 (dry season) and weekly from October 1 through May 31 (wet season). The results will be recorded on a Dairy Production Area Visual Inspection Form.
- 2. Freeboard will be monitored during and after each significant storm event and the results recorded on a Production Area Significant Storm Event Inspection Form.
- 3. Ponds will be photographed on the first day of each month. Pond photos will be labeled and maintained with the dairy's monitoring records.

### II. PREPARATION FOR MAINTAINING WINTER STORAGE CAPACITY

- 1. The retention pond(s) will begin to be lowered to the minimum operating level on or before a designated date each year.
- 2. The minimum operating level will include the necessary storage volume as identified in Section II.A in Attachment B of the General Order.

### **iii, OTHER POND MONITORING**

- At the time of each monitoring for freeboard, the pond (s) will be inspected for evidence of excessive odors, mosquito breeding, algae, or equipment damage; and issues with berm integrity, including cracking, slumping, erosion, excess vegetation, animal burrows, and seepage. Any issues identified and corrective actions performed will be recorded on a Dairy Production Area Visual Inspection Form - Other Pond Monitoring.
- 2. At the time of each monitoring during and after each significant storm event, the ponds will be inspected for evidence of any discharge and issues with berm integrity, including cracking, slumping, erosion, excess vegetation, animal burrows, and seepage. Any issues identified and corrective actions performed will be recorded on a Production Area Significant Storm Event Inspection Form.

### **IV. SOLIDS REMOVAL PROCEDURES**

- 1. The average thickness of the solids accumulated on the bottom of the pond (s) will be measured on the designated interval using the owner, operator, and/or designer specified procedure.
- 2. Once solids/sludge on the bottom of the pond(s) reach the owner, operator, and/or designer specified critical thickness, solids/sludge will be removed so that adequate capacity is maintained.
- 3. When necessary, solids/sludge will be removed using the owner, operator, and/or designer specified methods for protecting any pond liner.

### OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE PLAN FOR POND: Pond 1

Dry season freeboard monitoring will occur on the 5th of each month.

Wet season freeboard monitoring will occur every Monday of each week.

Process wastewater pond contents will be lowered to the minimum operating level (elevation) of 2.0 feet above the pond invert beginning in April of each year.

Sludge accumulation will be measured annually.

The following method will be used to measure solids/sludge accumulation:

Studge accumulation should be measured at pond drawdown with a probe that can indicate sludge thickness

Couco Creek Dairy Inc. | 3303 S Washington RD | Turlock, CA 95380 | Stanislaus County | San Joaquin River Basin

03/29/2018 16:45:58

;

When solids/sludge accumulate to a thickness of 7.0 feet, the following method will be used to maintain adequate storage capacity while protecting any pond liner:

Water is added throughout the year to dilute solids. Solids can be transferred to SSB's 6-8 for drying or pumped out directly during irrigations. If necessary, storage can also be agitated and pumped into slurry wagons or directly excavated for Spring and/or Fail application. If excavation is required, cleaning equipment operator will be informed as to overall depth of storage and instructed to remain 6-12 inches from the floor.

### OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE PLAN FOR POND: Pond 2

Dry season freeboard monitoring will occur on the 5th of each month.

Wet season freeboard monitoring will occur every Monday of each week.

Process wastewater pond contents will be lowered to the minimum operating level (elevation) of 2.0 feet above the pond invert beginning in April of each year.

Sludge accumulation will be measured annually.

The following method will be used to measure solids/sludge accumulation:

Sludge accumulation should be measured at pond drawdown with a probe that can indicate sludge thickness.

When solids/sludge accumulate to a thickness of 4.0 feet, the following method will be used to maintain adequate storage capacity while protecting any pond liner:

Water is added throughout the year to dilute solids. Solids can be transferred to SSB's 6-8 for drying or pumped out directly during irrigations. If necessary, storage can also be agitated and pumped into slurry wagons or directly excavated for Spring and/or Fall application. If excavation is required, cleaning equipment operator will be informed as to overall depth of storage and instructed to remain 6-12 inches from the floor.

### OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE PLAN FOR POND: SSB 4

Dry season freeboard monitoring will occur on the 5th of each month.

Wet season freeboard monitoring will occur every Monday of each week.

Process wastewater pond contents will be lowered to the minimum operating level (elevation) of 0.0 feet above the pond Invert beginning in April of each year.

Sludge accumulation will be measured annually.

The following method will be used to measure solids/sludge accumulation:

Sludge accumulation should be measured at pond drawdown with a probe that can indicate sludge thickness,

When solids/sludge accumulate to a thickness of 3.0 feet, the following method will be used to maintain adequate storage capacity while protecting any pond liner:

SSB is dewatered and solids are allowed to dry. Manure is then typically removed from the basin using a front end loader.

### OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE PLAN FOR POND: SSB 6

Dry season freeboard monitoring will occur on the 5th of each month.

Wet season freeboard monitoring will occur every Monday of each week.

Process wastewater pond contents will be lowered to the minimum operating level (elevation) of 0.0 feet above the pond invert beginning in April of each year.

Sludge accumulation will be measured annually.

The following method will be used to measure solids/sludge accumulation:

Sludge accumulation should be measured at pond drawdown with a probe that can indicate sludge thickness,

Couco Creek Dairy Inc. | 3303 S Washington RD | Turlock, CA 95380 | Stanislaus County | San Joaquin River Basin

03/29/2018 16:45:58

When solids/sludge accumulate to a thickness of 3.0 feet, the following method will be used to maintain adequate storage capacity while protecting any pond liner:

SSB is dewatered and solids are allowed to dry. Manure is then typically removed from the basin using a front end loader.

### OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE PLAN FOR POND: SSB 7

Dry season freeboard monitoring will occur on the 5th of each month.

Wet season freeboard monitoring will occur every Monday of each week.

Process wastewater pond contents will be lowered to the minimum operating level (elevation) of 0.0 feet above the pond invert beginning in April of each year.

Sludge accumulation will be measured annually.

The following method will be used to measure solids/sludge accumulation:

Sludge accumulation should be measured at pond drawdown with a probe that can indicate sludge thickness.

When solids/sludge accumulate to a thickness of 3.0 feet, the following method will be used to maintain adequate storage capacity while protecting any pond liner:

SSB is dewatered and solids are allowed to dry. Manure is then typically removed from the basin using a front end loader.

### OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE PLAN FOR POND: SSB 8

Dry season freeboard monitoring will occur on the 5th of each month.

Wet season freeboard monitoring will occur every Monday of each week.

Process wastewater pond contents will be lowered to the minimum operating level (elevation) of 0.0 feet above the pond invert beginning in April of each year.

Sludge accumulation will be measured annually.

The following method will be used to measure solids/sludge accumulation:

Sludge accumulation should be measured at pond drawdown with a probe that can Indicate sludge thickness.

When sollds/sludge accumulate to a thickness of 3.0 feet, the following method will be used to maintain adequate storage capacity while protecting any pond liner:

SSB is dewatered and solids are allowed to dry. Manure is then typically removed from the basin using a front end loader.

### OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE PLAN FOR POND: SSB 3

Dry season freeboard monitoring will occur on the 5th of each month.

Wet season freeboard monitoring will occur every Monday of each week.

Process wastewater pond contents will be lowered to the minimum operating level (elevation) of 0.0 feet above the pond invert beginning in April of each year.

Sludge accumulation will be measured annually.

The following method will be used to measure solids/sludge accumulation:

Sludge accumulation should be measured at pond drawdown with a probe that can indicate sludge thickness.

When solids/sludge accumulate to a thickness of 2.0 feet, the following method will be used to maintain adequate storage capacity while protecting any pond liner:

SSB is dewatered and solids are allowed to dry. Manure is then typically removed from the basin using a front end loader.

### OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE PLAN FOR POND: SSB 5

Dry season freeboard monitoring will occur on the 5th of each month.

Wet season freeboard monitoring will occur every Monday of each week.

Process wastewater pond contents will be lowered to the minimum operating level (elevation) of 0.0 feet above the pond invert beginning in April of each year.

Sludge accumulation will be measured annually.

The following method will be used to measure solids/sludge accumulation:

SSB is dewatered and solids are allowed to dry. Manure is then typically removed from the basin using a front end loader.

When solids/sludge accumulate to a thickness of 1.0 feet, the following method will be used to maintain adequate storage capacity while protecting any pond liner:

Sludge accumulation should be measured at pond drawdown with a probe that can indicate sludge thickness.

### B. RAINFALL COLLECTION SYSTEM MAINTENANCE

i. Annually, rainfall collection systems will be assessed to ensure:

- 1. Conveyances are free of debris and operating within designer/manufacturer specifications.
- 2. Components are properly fastened according to designer/manufacturer specifications.
- 3. All downspouts and related infrastructure are connected to conveyances that divert water away from manured areas.
- 4. Water from the rainfall collection system(s) is diverted to an appropriate destination.

Buildings with rooftop rainfall collection systems	Quantity	Surface Area (sq. ft.)
Barn 1	1	72,879
Barn 10	1	58,607
Barn 11	1	8,752
Barn 12	1	4,428
Barn 13	1	12,938
Barn 14	1	1,100
Barn 15	1	19,704
Barn 16	1	19,483
Barn 19	1	14,785
Barn 2	1	21,737
Barn 3	1	32,811
Barn 4	1	58,178
Barn 5	1	10,639
Bam 6	1	12,325
Barn 7	1	10,115
	• 62	10,110

Couco Creek Dairy Inc. | 3303 S Washington RD | Turlock, CA 95380 | Stanislaus County | San Joaquin River Basin

03/29/2018 16:45:58

Waste Management Plan Report General Order No. R5-2007-0035, Attachment B July 1, 2010 deadline		
Barn 8	1	29,331
Barn 9	1	125,459
Proposed Barn 19	1	26,750
Proposed Barns 17 and 18	2	149,800
Assessment for buildings with rooftop rainfall collection systems will occur on or before:	1st of October	
Assessment for other rainfall collections systems will occur on or before:	1st of October	
Deportinition of how rainfall collection systems will be apparently	P <sup>art</sup> Public Transmission (Constraints)	<u> </u>

Description of how rainfall collection systems will be assessed:

Gutters and downspouts will be cleaned and repaired as needed to prevent unneeded overland flow of runoff.

### C. CORRAL MAINTENANCE

- i. Monthly from June 1st through September 30th (dry season) and weekly from October 1st through May 31st (wet season), the perimeter of the corrals and pens will be assessed to ensure that runon and runoff controls such as berms are functioning correctly, and that all water that contacts waste is collected and diverted into the wastewater retention pond (s). Any issues identified and corrective actions performed will be recorded on a Dairy Production Area Visual Inspection Form Corrals.
- II. The corrals will be assessed by the designated date to determine:

1. Whether manure needs to be removed from the corrals based on the owner, operator, and/or designer specified conditions.

- 2. Whether there are depressions within the corrals that should be filled/groomed to prevent ponding.
- iii. Removal of manure and/or regrading, when necessary, will be completed on or before the designated month/day of each year.

Day of the month dry season assessment will occur:	5th of each month
Day of the week wet season assessment will occur:	Monday
Solid manure removal and regrading assessment will occur on or before:	1st of October

Conditions requiring manure removal and/or regrading:

Corral conditions should be assessed by October 1 of each year to allow the owner/operator the opportunity to regrade and add fill material to the corrals. The corrals should be graded to prevent accumulation of wastewater in the corrals for longer than 48 hours. Well maintained/scraped corrals should provide adequate drainage at 1% to 1 1/2% slope.

Solid manure removal and/or regrading will occur on or before:

1st of November

### D. FEED STORAGE AREA MAINTENANCE

- i. During the dry season and prior to the wet season, the perimeter of storage areas will be assessed to ensure all runon and runoff controls such as berms are functioning correctly and runoff and leachate from the areas are collected and diverted into the wastewater pond(s). Any issues identified and corrective actions performed will be recorded on a Dairy Production Area Visual Inspection Form - Manure and Feed Storage Areas.
- ii. During the wet season, feed storage area(s) will be assessed to determine if there are depressions within any feed storage area that should be filled or repaired to prevent ponding.
- III. Any necessary regrading/resurfacing and berm/conveyance maintenance will be completed on an annual basis.

Day of the month dry season assessment will occur:	1st of each month
Day of the week wet season assessment will occur:	Monday
Regrading/resurfacing and berm maintenance assessment will occur on or before:	1st of October
Regrading/resurfacing and berm maintenance completion will occur on or before:	1st of November

### E. SOLID MANURE STORAGE AREA MAINTENANCE

- I. During the dry season and prior to the wet season, the perimeter of manure storage areas will be assessed to ensure all runon and runoff controls such as berms are functioning correctly and runoff and leachate from the areas are collected and diverted into the wastewater pond(s). Any Issues identified and corrective actions performed will be recorded on a Dairy Production Area Visual Inspection Form - Manure and Feed Storage Areas.
- ii. During the wet season, manure storage area(s) will be assessed to determine if there are depressions within any manure storage area that should be filled to prevent ponding.
- ii. Any necessary regrading/resurfacing and berm/conveyance maintenance will be completed on an annual basis.

Day of the month dry season assessment will occur:	1st of each month
Day of the month wet season assessment will occur:	Monday
Regrading/resurfacing and berm maintenance assessment will occur on or before:	1st of October
Regrading/resurfacing and berm maintenance completion will occur on or before:	1st of November

### F. ANIMAL HOUSING AND FLUSH WATER CONVEYANCE SYSTEM MAINTENANCE

i. A map will be attached that identifies critical points for monitoring the animal housing and flush water conveyance system to verify that water is being managed as identified in this Waste Management Plan. These points will be maintained at owner, operator, and/or designer specified intervals.

Animal housing area assessment will occur on or before;	1st of October
---	----------------

Animal housing drainage system maintenance will occur on or before: 1st of November

Animal housing area drainage system assessment and maintenance methods:

Debris is removed from flush lanes, drains, and corral drains as needed. Pumps are monitored daily. Corrals are regraded and soil is added as needed to insure drainage. The critical animal housing/flush conveyance points to monitor are all drains. These drains should be checked before every storm and during each flush event to insure that drain/conveyance clogging has not occurred.

### G. MORTALITY MANAGEMENT

i. Dead animals will be stored, removed, and disposed of properly.

Rendering company or landfill name:

Kows R Us (559) 668-3805

Rendering company or landfill telephone number:

### H. ANIMALS AND SURFACE WATER MANAGEMENT

 A system will be in place, monitored, and maintained to prevent animals from entering any surface waters when a stream or other surface water crosses or adjoins the corral(s).

Does a stream or any other surface water cross or adjoin the corrals? [ ] Yes [X] No

### I. MONITORING SALT IN ANIMAL RATIONS

i. The combined quantity of minerals as salt in animal drinking water and feed rations will be reviewed by a qualified nutritionist on a routine basis to verify that minerals are limited to the amount required to maintain animal health and optimum production. As feed rations change, mineral content may change.

Assessment interval: Monthly

### J. CHEMICAL MANAGEMENT

 Chemicals and other contaminants handled at the facility will not be disposed of in any manure or process wastewater, storm water storage or treatment system unless specifically designed to treat such chemicals and other contaminants.

					Disposal Company				
Chemical Name	Quantity	Unlts	Frequency	Usage Area	Destination (Used Chemical / Container)	Name		Phone	Collection Frequency
G.R. 100 chlorinated detergent	165	gallons	month	Milk Bårn	Returned to supplier	TDR		(209) 667-6455	as needed
G.R. 200 CIP Acid Cleaner	65	gallons	month	Milk Barn	Returned to supplier	TDR		(209) 667-6455	as needed
HASA 12.5% Hypo Chloride	30	galions	month	Milk Barn	Returned to supplier	TDR		(209) 667-6455	as needed

### REQUIRED ATTACHMENTS

The following list, based upon user selections and data entries, describes the minimum required attachments that must be submitted with the Waste Management Plan for the reporting schedule of 'July 1, 2010'.

### A. SITE MAP(S)

Provide a site map (or maps) of appropriate scale to show property boundaries and the location of the features of the production area including the following in sufficient detail: structures used for animal housing, milk parlor, and other buildings; corrals and ponds; solids separation facilities (settling basins or mechanical separators); other areas where animal wastes are deposited or stored; feed storage areas; drainage flow directions and nearby surface waters; all water supply wells (domestic, irrigation, and barn wells) and groundwater monitoring wells.

Production area map reference number: Figures 2A & 2B

Provide a site map (or maps) of appropriate scale to show property boundaries and the location of the features of all land application areas (land under the Discharger's control, whether it is owned, rented, or leased, to which manure or process wastewater from the production area is or may be applied for nutrient recycling) including the following in sufficient detail: a field identification system (Assessor's Parcel Number; field by name or number; total acreage of each field; crops grown; indication if each field is owned, leased, or used pursuant to a formal agreement); indication of what type of waste is applied (solid manure only, wastewater only, or both solid manure and wastewater); drainage flow direction in each field, nearby surface waters, and storm water discharge points; taliwater and storm water drainage controls; subsurface (tile) drainage systems (including discharge points and lateral extent); irrigation supply wells and groundwater monitoring wells; sampling locations for discharges of storm water and taliwater to surface water from the field.

Application area map reference number: Figure 3

Provide a site map (or maps) of appropriate scale to show property boundaries and the location of all cropland (land that is part of the dairy but not used for dairy waste application) including the following in sufficient detail: Assessor's Parcel Number, total acreage, crops grown, and information on who owns or leases the field. The Waste Management Plan shall indicate if such cropland is covered under the Conditional Waiver of Waste Discharge Requirements for Discharges from Irrigated Lands (Order No. R5-2006-0053 for Coalition Group or Order No. R5-2006-0054 for Individual Discharger, or updates thereto).

Non-application area map reference number: NA

Provide a site map (or maps) of appropriate scale to show property boundaries and the location of all off-property domestic wells within 600 feet of the production area or land application area (s) associated with the dairy and the location of all municipal supply wells within 1,500 feet of the production area or land application area(s) associated with the dairy.

Well area map reference number: Figures 2A, 2B & 3

Provide a site map (or maps) of appropriate scale to show property boundaries and a vicinity map, north arrow and the date the map was prepared. The map shall be drawn on a published base map (e.g., a topographic map or aerial photo) using an appropriate scale that shows sufficient details of all facilities.

Vicinity map reference number: Figure 1

### **B. PROCESS WASTEWATER MAP(S)**

Provide a site map (or maps) of appropriate scale to show property boundaries and the location of the features of the production area including the following in sufficient detail: process wastewater conveyance structures, discharge points, and discharge /mixing points with irrigation water supplies; pumping facilities and flow meter locations; upstream diversion structures, drainage ditches and canals, culverts, drainage controls (berms/levees, etc.), and drainage easements; and any additional components of the waste handling and storage system.

Production infrastructure system area map reference number: Figures 3a, 3b & 4

Couco Creek Dairy Inc. | 3303 S Washington RD | Turlock, CA 95380 | Stanislaus County | San Joaquin River Basin

03/29/2018 16:45:58

Page 23 of 26

Provide a site map (or maps) of appropriate scale to show property boundaries and the location of the features of all land application areas (land under the Discharger's control, whether it is owned, rented, or leased, to which manure or process wastewater from the production area is or may be applied for nutrient recycling) including the following in sufficient detail: process wastewater conveyance structures, discharge points and discharge mixing points with irrigation water supplies; pumping facilities; flow meter locations; drainage ditches and canals, culverts, drainage controls (berms, levees, etc.), and drainage easements.

Land application infrastructure system area map reference number: Figure 4

### C. EXCESS PRECIPITATION CONTINGENCY REPORT

There were no attachment references entered or required for this attachment section.

### D. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PLAN

Attach a map that identifies critical points for monitoring the system to verify that water is being managed as identified in this Waste Management Plan (see Attachment B, Pg B-7 V.F, V.G, and V.H for additional requirements).

Animal housing assessment map reference number:

Figure 2A

### E. FLOOD PROTECTION / INUNDATION REPORT

Provide a published flood zone map that shows the facility is outside the relevant flood zones.

Flood zone map and/or document reference number: 06099C0800E

### F. BACKFLOW PROTECTION

Attach documentation from a trained professional (i.e. a person certified by the American Backflow Prevention Association, an inspector from a state or local governmental agency who has experience and/or training in backflow prevention, or a consultant with such experience and/or training), as specified in Required Reports and Notices H.1 of Waste Discharge Requirements General Order No. R5-2007-0035, that there are no cross-connections that would allow the backflow of wastewater into a water supply well, irrigation well, or surface water as identified on the Site Map.

Backflow documentation reference number: Backflow Certificate

	laste Management Plan Order No. R5-2007-0035 July 1, 2010 deadlin	i, Attachment B	
	CERTIFICATION		
. DAIRY FACILITY INFORMATION			
Name of dairy or business operating the dairy:	ouco Creek Dairy Inc.		
Physical address of dairy:			
3303 S Washington RD	Turlock	Stanislaus	95380
Number and Street	City	County	Zlp Code
Change and segment serves also at 118 and address to	-214		

Street and nearest cross street (If no address):

### **B. DOCUMENTATION OF QUALIFICATIONS AND PLAN DEVELOPMENT**

I have reviewed the portion of the waste management plan that is related to storage capacity facility and design specifications in accordance with Item II, Attachment B of the Waste Discharge Requirements General Order for Existing Milk Cow Dairies - Order No. R5-2007-0035 and certify that this plan was prepared by, or under the responsible charge of, and certified by a civil engineer who is registered pursuant to California law or other person as may be permitted under the provisions of the California Business and Professions Code to assume responsible charge of such work.

Storage capacity is:

Insufficient

Retrofitting Plan/Schedule/Design Criteria attached in accordance with Attachment B, II.B, 1-5 and Attachment B, II. C.

Sufficient

- Certification 1 Certified in accordance with Attachment B, II. A. 1-8. (no contingency plan)
- Certification 2 Certified in accordance with Attachment B, II. A. 1-8, II. C. (with contingency plan attached)

Digitally signed by Manny Sousa, PE Date: 2018.04.20 08:26:20 -07'00'

4/20/2018

DATE

SIGNATURE OF CIVIL ENGINEER

Manuel Sousa PRINT OR TYPE NAME

P.O. Box 1613; Oakdale, CA 95361

MAILING ADDRESS

(209) 238-3151

PHONE NUMBER

03/29/2018 16:45:58

Page 25 of 26

**CIVIL ENGINEER'S WET STAMP** 

C. OWNER AND/OR OPERATOR CERTIFICATION

I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted in this document and all attachments and that, based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the information is true accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, instituting the possibility of fine and imprisonment.

SIGNATURE OF OWNER


SIGNATURE OF OPERATOR


PRINT OR TYPE NAME


Tony Machado


PRINT OR TYPE NAME


DATE














# **ATTACHMENT C:**

# **UPDATED FACILITY MAPS**




Figure 2: Vicinity Map



Figure 3: Waste Management Plan Modifications

# **ATTACHMENT D:**

**FLOOD STUDY** 




Figure 4: FEMA FIRMette Map