

# Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: 2014051061

Project Title: Los Angeles County General Hospital Campus Master Plan

Lead Agency: County of Los Angeles

Contact Name: Krystin Hence

Email: KHence@opportunity.lacounty.gov

Phone Number: 323.578.5389

Project Location: Los Angeles  
*City*

Los Angeles  
*County*

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The Project Site encompasses approximately 81.9 acres that make up the Los Angeles General Medical Center Campus (Campus) located at and around 1200 State Street, on parcels of land owned by the County of Los Angeles, in the City of Los Angeles. The Project Site consists of a main campus and four additional areas separated from the main campus by local roadways, which are referred to as the "non-contiguous areas." The 70.5-acre main campus is generally bounded by Zonal Avenue, Mission Road, Marengo Street, and Chicago Street. State Street bisects the main campus. The Project Site is surrounded by the Boyle Heights and Lincoln Heights neighborhoods of the City of Los Angeles, in the County of Los Angeles.

The Proposed Project includes implementation of a new Master Plan including Use and Development Standards, which, would guide long-term redevelopment of parts of the Project Site, including adaptive reuse of the General Hospital Building. The Proposed Project includes the demolition of existing buildings, structures, storage containers, modular buildings, pavement, utilities, and landscaping to allow for the development of approximately 8.1 million square feet of new uses, including residential, general office, medical office, retail, patient and caregiver lodging, community facilities, education, warehouse, clean tech/light industrial, and hospital uses. To support the development of the proposed new uses, the Proposed Project includes other related improvements related to infrastructure, including utilities, circulation and transportation demand management strategies, parking, and open space and landscaping. In addition to onsite improvements to infrastructure, some work may occur offsite within the City of Los Angeles, such as utility connections/replacements, public right-of-way improvements, and other related activities. Portions of the Project Site not subject to the proposed Master Plan would continue to be governed by the previously approved 2014 Master Plan and its associated development parameters.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

Potentially significant construction impacts and significant and unavoidable operational impacts to air quality (Chapter 3.2, *Air Quality*, see Mitigation Measures AIR-1a, AIR-1b, GHG-1a through GHG-1c, AIR-4a through AIR-4c, and AIR-6). Potentially significant construction and operational impacts to biological resources (Chapter 3.3, *Biological Resources*, see Mitigation Measures BIO-1 through BIO-3). Significant and unavoidable construction impacts to cultural resources (Chapter 3.4, *Cultural Resources*, see Mitigation Measures CUL-1a through CUL-1c, and CUL-2). Potentially significant construction and operational impacts to geology and soils (Chapter 3.6, *Geology and Soils*, see Mitigation Measures GEO-1 and GEO-4). Significant and unavoidable construction and operational impacts to greenhouse gas emissions (Chapter 3.7, *Greenhouse Gas Emissions*, see Mitigation Measures GHG-1a, GHG-1b, and TRA-2). Potentially significant construction and operational impacts to hazards and hazardous materials (Chapter 3.8, *Hazards and Hazardous Materials*, see Mitigation Measures HAZ-1a through HAZ-1d, HAZ-2, TRA-1, and TRA-4). Potentially significant construction and operational impacts to hydrology and water quality (Chapter 3.9, *Hydrology and Water Quality*, see Mitigation Measures HAZ 2, HYD-2, HYD-3a through HYD-3b). Potentially significant operational impacts to land use and planning (Chapter 3.10, *Land Use and Planning*, see Mitigation Measures CUL-1a through CUL-1c). Significant and unavoidable construction and operational impacts to noise (Chapter 3.11, *Noise*, see Mitigation Measures NOI-1, NOI-3a through NOI-3b, and NOI-4). Potentially significant operational impacts to public services (Chapter 3.13, *Public Services*, see Mitigation Measures TRA-1). Significant and unavoidable construction impacts to recreation (Chapter 3.14, *Recreation*, see Mitigation Measures AIR-1a through AIR-1, NOI-1, and NOI-4). Significant and unavoidable operational impacts to transportation (Chapter 3.15, *Transportation*, see Mitigation Measures TRA-1 and TRA-3a through TRA-3d). Potentially significant construction impacts to tribal cultural resources (Chapter 3.16, *Tribal Cultural Resources*, see Mitigation Measures TCR-1a through TCR-1c). Significant and unavoidable construction and operational impacts to utilities (Chapter 3.17, *Utilities and Service Systems*, see Mitigation Measures USS-1 and USS-2).

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

Prior to the preparation of this Draft SEIR, the County issued a Notice of Preparation (NOP) in accordance with Section 15082 of the CEQA Guidelines. The 55-day public review period began on September 26, 2025, and concluded on November 21, 2025. Thirteen comments letters were received in response to the NOP. In addition, the County held a virtual Scoping Meeting on October 8, 2025, in which verbal comments were provided by some in attendance. Several comments were raised regarding community benefits, population growth, public services, circulation and traffic congestion, noise, and utilities. Based on the scoping process, the primary areas of controversy known to the County included potential impacts to: population growth; public services access; traffic congestion and vehicle miles traveled (VMT); and utilities. Comments received during the NOP's public review period are provided in Appendix A, *NOP and Scoping Comments Received*, of the Draft SEIR and a summary of the comments received during the Scoping Meeting is provided in Table 1-1, *Summary of Scoping Comments Received*, in Chapter 1, *Introduction*, of the Draft SEIR.

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

State Water Resources Control Board  
California Office of Historic Preservation  
City of Los Angeles Department of Water and Power  
City of Los Angeles Department of Transportation  
City of Los Angeles Bureau of Sanitation  
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Metro)