Plot Plan No. 26225, Revised Permit No. 1, (PP26225R01)

GREENHOUSE GAS ANALYSIS

Prepared By:

Haseeb Qureshi | hqureshi@urbanxroads.com
Shannon Wong | swong@urbanxroads.com

Reference Number	Agency	Date
17103-02 GHG Report	County of Riverside	July 1, 2026

This page intentionally left blank

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY **1**
ES.1 Summary of Findings 1
ES.2 Regulatory Requirements 1

1 INTRODUCTION **1**
1.1 Site Location 1
1.2 Project Description 1

2 CLIMATE CHANGE SETTING **4**
2.1 Introduction to Global Climate Change (GCC) 4
2.2 Global Climate Change Defined 4
2.3 GHGs 4
2.4 Global Warming Potential (GWP) 12
2.5 GHG Emissions Inventories 13
2.6 Effects of Climate Change in California 14
2.7 Regulatory Setting 16

3 PROJECT GHG IMPACT **38**
3.1 Introduction 38
3.2 Standards of Significance 38
3.3 Models Employed To Analyze Greenhouse Gases 39
3.4 Life-Cycle Analysis Not Required 39
3.5 Construction Emissions 40
3.6 Operational Emissions 41
3.7 GHG Emissions Findings and Recommendations 44

4 REFERENCES **48**
5 CERTIFICATION **52**

APPENDICES

APPENDIX 3.1: CALEEMOD PROJECT EMISSIONS MODEL OUTPUTS

LIST OF EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT 1-A: LOCATION MAP **2**

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE ES-1: SUMMARY OF CEQA SIGNIFICANCE FINDINGS 1
TABLE 2-1: GHGS (1 OF 6) 5
TABLE 2-1: GHGS (2 OF 6) 6
TABLE 2-1: GHGS (3 OF 6) 7
TABLE 2-1: GHGS (4 OF 6) 8
TABLE 2-1: GHGS (5 OF 6) 9
TABLE 2-1: GHGS (6 OF 6) 10
EXHIBIT 2-A: SUMMARY OF PROJECTED GLOBAL WARMING IMPACT, 2070-2099 (AS COMPARED WITH 1961-1990) 11
EXHIBIT 3-A: PROJECTED IMPACTS BY EMISSIONS SCENARIO AND WARMING RANGE 11
TABLE 2-2: GWP AND ATMOSPHERIC LIFETIME OF SELECT GHGS 13
TABLE 2-3: TOP GHG PRODUCING COUNTRIES AND THE EUROPEAN UNION 14
TABLE 3-1: CONSTRUCTION DURATION 40
TABLE 3-2: CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT ASSUMPTIONS 40
TABLE 3-3: AMORTIZED ANNUAL CONSTRUCTION EMISSIONS 41
TABLE 3-4: PROJECT TRIP GENERATION AND MOBILE TRAVEL MILES 42
TABLE 3-5: PROJECT GHG EMISSIONS 44

LIST OF ABBREVIATED TERMS

%	Percent
°C	Degrees Celsius
°F	Degrees Fahrenheit
(1)	Reference
2017 Scoping Plan	*Final 2017 Scoping Plan Update*
AB	Assembly Bill
AB 32	Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006
AB 1493	Pavley Fuel Efficiency Standards
AB 1881	California Water Conservation Landscaping Act of 2006
Annex I	Industrialized Nations
AQIA	*Akash Winery Air Quality Impact Analysis*
BAU	Business as Usual
C_2F_6	Hexafluoroethane
C_2H_6	Ethane
$C_2H_2F_4$	Tetrafluroethane
$C_2H_4F_2$	Ethylidene Fluoride
CAA	Federal Clean Air Act
CalEEMod	California Emissions Estimator Model
CalEPA	California Environmental Protection Agency
CALGAPS	California LBNL GHG Analysis of Policies Spreadsheet
CALGreen	California Green Building Standards Code
CAPCOA	California Air Pollution Control Officers Association
CARB	California Air Resource Board
CEC	California Energy Commission
CCR	California Code of Regulations
CEQA	California Environmental Quality Act
CEQA Guidelines	*CEQA Statute and Guidelines*
CDFA	California Department of Food and Agriculture
CF_4	Tetrafluoromethane
CFC	Chlorofluorocarbons
CFC-113	Trichlorotrifluoroethane
CH_4	Methane
CNRA	California Natural Resources Agency
CNRA 2009	*2009 California Climate Adaptation Strategy*
CO_2	Carbon Dioxide
CO_2e	Carbon Dioxide Equivalent
Convention	United Nation's Framework Convention on Climate Change
COP	Conference of the Parties
County	County of Riverside
CPUC	California Public Utilities Commission
DWR	Department of Water Resources

EMFAC	Emission Factor Model
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
EV	Electric Vehicle
GCC	Global Climate Change
Gg	Gigagram
GHGA	Greenhouse Gas Analysis
gpd	Gallons Per Day
gpm	Gallons Per Minute
GWP	Global Warming Potential
H_2O	Water
HFC	Hydrofluorocarbons
HDT	Heavy-Duty Trucks
HFC-23	Fluoroform
HFC-134a	1,1,1,2-tetrafluoroethane
HFC-152a	1,1-difluoroethane
hp	Horsepower
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
ISO	Independent System Operator
ITE	Institute of Transportation Engineers
kWh	Kilowatt Hours
lbs	Pounds
LBNL	Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory
LCA	Life-Cycle Analysis
LCD	Liquid Crystal Display
LCFS	Low Carbon Fuel Standard or Executive Order S-01-07
LEV III	Low-Emission Vehicle
LULUCF	Land-Use, Land-Use Change and Forestry
MCY	Motorcycles
MDT	Medium-Duty Trucks
$MMTCO_2e$	Million Metric Ton of Carbon Dioxide Equivalent
mpg	Miles Per Gallon
MPOs	Metropolitan Planning Organizations
$MMTCO_2e/yr$	Million Metric Ton of Carbon Dioxide Equivalent Per Year
MT/yr	Metric Tons Per Year
$MTCO_2e$	Metric Ton of Carbon Dioxide Equivalent
$MTCO_2e/yr$	Metric Ton of Carbon Dioxide Equivalent Per Year
MW	Megawatts
MWh	Megawatts Per Hour
MWELO	California Department of Water Resources' Model Water Efficient
N_2O	Nitrous Oxide
NDC	Nationally Determined Contributions
NF_3	Nitrogen Trifluoride
NHTSA	National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

NIOSH	National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health
NO_X	Nitrogen Oxides
Non-Annex I	Developing Nations
OAL	Office of Administrative Law
OPR	Office of Planning and Research
PFC	Perfluorocarbons
ppb	Parts Per Billion
ppm	Parts Per Million
ppt	Parts Per Trillion
Project	Akash Winery
RTP	Regional Transportation Plan
SAFE	Safer Affordable Fuel-Efficient Vehicles Rule
SB	Senate Bill
SB 32	California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006
SB 375	Regional GHG Emissions Reduction Targets/Sustainable Communities Strategies
SB 1078	Renewable Portfolio Standards
SB 1368	Statewide Retail Provider Emissions Performance Standards
SCAB	South Coast Air Basin
SCAG	Southern California Association of Governments
SCAQMD	South Coast Air Quality Management District
Scoping Plan	California Air Resources Board Climate Change Scoping Plan
SCS	Sustainable Communities Strategy
sf	Square Feet
SF_6	Sulfur Hexaflouride
SLPS	Short-Lived Climate Pollutant Strategy
SP	Service Population
TDM	Transportation Demand Measures
Title 20	Appliance Energy Efficiency Standards
Title 24	California Building Code
U.N.	United Nations
U.S.	United States
UNFCCC	United Nations' Framework Convention on Climate Change
VMT	Vehicle Miles Traveled
WCI	Western Climate Initiative
WRI	World Resources Institute
ZE/NZE	Zero and Near-Zero Emissions
ZEV	Zero-Emissions Vehicles

This page intentionally left blank

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

ES.1 SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

The results of this Akash Winery Greenhouse Gas Analysis (GHGA) are summarized below based on the significance criteria in Section 3 of this report consistent with Appendix G of the Guidelines for Implementation of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA Guidelines) (1). Table ES-1 shows the findings of significance for potential greenhouse gas (GHG) impacts under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).

TABLE ES-1: SUMMARY OF CEQA SIGNIFICANCE FINDINGS

Analysis	Report Section	Significance Findings	
		Unmitigated	Mitigated
GHG Impact #1: Would the Project generate GHG emissions either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?	3.7.1	*Less Than Significant*	*n/a*
GHG Impact #2: Would the Project conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of GHGs?	3.7.2	*Less Than Significant*	*n/a*

ES.2 REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS

The Project would be required to comply with regulations imposed by the State of California, the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD), and the County of Riverside aimed at the reduction of air pollutant emissions. Those that are directly and indirectly applicable to the Project and that would assist in the reduction of GHG emissions include.

- Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006 (Assembly Bill [AB] 32) (2).
- Regional GHG Emissions Reduction Targets/Sustainable Communities Strategies (Senate Bill [SB] 375) (3).
- Pavley Fuel Efficiency Standards (AB 1493). Establishes fuel efficiency ratings for new vehicles (4).
- California Building Code (Title 24 California Code of Regulations [CCR]). Establishes energy efficiency requirements for new construction (5).
- Appliance Energy Efficiency Standards (Title 20 CCR). Establishes energy efficiency requirements for appliances (6).
- Low Carbon Fuel Standard (LCFS). Requires carbon content of fuel sold in California to be 10 percent (%) less by 2020 (7).
- Statewide Retail Provider Emissions Performance Standards (SB 1368). Requires energy generators to achieve performance standards for GHG emissions (8).
- Renewable Portfolio Standards (RPS). Requires electric corporations to increase the amount of energy obtained from eligible renewable energy resources to 20% by 2010 and 33% by 2020. SB

350 mandated a 50% RPS by 2030. SB 100 increased the RPS requirements to 60% by 2030 with new interim targets of 44% by 2024 and 52% by 2027 (9).

- California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006 (SB 32). Requires the state to reduce statewide GHG emissions to 40% below 1990 levels by 2030, a reduction target that was first introduced in Executive Order B-30-15 (10).

Promulgated regulations that would affect the Project's emissions are accounted for in the Project's GHG calculations provided in this report. In particular, AB 1493, LCFS, and RPS are accounted for in the Project's emission calculations.

This page intentionally left blank

1 INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results of the GHGA prepared by Urban Crossroads, Inc., for the proposed Akash Winery Project (Project). The purpose of this GHGA is to evaluate Project-related construction and operational emissions and determine the level of GHG impacts as a result of constructing and operating the Project.

1.1 SITE LOCATION

The Project site is located at 39730 Calle Contento (Assessor's Parcel Number [APN] 943-210-012) in the County of Riverside, as shown in Exhibit 1-A.

1.2 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

It is our understanding that the 19.34-acre Project facility has approximately 14.5 acres devoted to vineyards. The existing structures on-site consist of the following: a 4,932 square foot (sf) tasting and production building (consisting of tasting, retail, lounge, and wine production, storage, office/lab, and glass washing station), and a 430 sf restroom facility.

The Project proposes to expand the existing 4,932 sf tasting and production building by an additional 16,886 square feet. The expanded building area will consist of an increased wine production area, wine storage, wine lab, barrel washroom, staff restrooms, staff breakroom, offices, conference room, and a covered tractor parking area. The existing wine tasting/production building area will also be modified to add a delicatessen and wine tasting bar. Outdoor patio covers will also be replaced along with the addition of an outdoor wine tasting bar and refrigeration unit. The Project is anticipated to be developed in a single phase with an opening year of 2027.

EXHIBIT 1-A: LOCATION MAP



LEGEND:

Site Boundary

This page intentionally left blank

2 CLIMATE CHANGE SETTING

2.1 INTRODUCTION TO GLOBAL CLIMATE CHANGE (GCC)

GCC is defined as the change in average meteorological conditions on the earth with respect to temperature, precipitation, and storms. The majority of scientists believe that the climate shift taking place since the Industrial Revolution is occurring at a quicker rate and magnitude than in the past. Scientific evidence suggests that GCC is the result of increased concentrations of GHGs in the earth's atmosphere, including carbon dioxide (CO_2), methane (CH_4), nitrous oxide (N_2O), and fluorinated gases. The majority of scientists believe that this increased rate of climate change is the result of GHGs resulting from human activity and industrialization over the past 200 years.

An individual project, like the Project evaluated in this GHGA, cannot generate enough GHG emissions to affect a discernible change in global climate. However, the Project may participate in the potential for GCC by its incremental contribution of GHGs combined with the cumulative increase of all other sources of GHGs, which when taken together constitute potential influences on GCC. Because these changes may have serious environmental consequences, Section 3 will evaluate the potential for the Project to have a significant effect upon the environment as a result of its potential contribution to the greenhouse effect.

2.2 GLOBAL CLIMATE CHANGE DEFINED

GCC refers to the change in average meteorological conditions on the earth with respect to temperature, wind patterns, precipitation, and storms. Global temperatures are regulated by naturally occurring atmospheric gases such as water vapor, CO_2, N_2O, CH_4, hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs), perfluorocarbons (PFCs), and sulfur hexafluoride (SF_6). These particular gases are important due to their residence time (duration they stay) in the atmosphere, which ranges from 10 years to more than 100 years. These gases allow solar radiation into the earth's atmosphere, but prevent radiative heat from escaping, thus warming the earth's atmosphere. GCC can occur naturally as it has in the past with the previous ice ages.

Gases that trap heat in the atmosphere are often referred to as GHGs. GHGs are released into the atmosphere by both natural and anthropogenic activity. Without the natural GHG effect, the earth's average temperature would be approximately 61 degrees Fahrenheit (°F) cooler than it is currently. The cumulative accumulation of these gases in the earth's atmosphere is considered to be the cause for the observed increase in the earth's temperature.

2.3 GHGS

2.3.1 GHGs and Health Effects

GHGs trap heat in the atmosphere, creating a GHG effect that results in global warming and climate change. Many gases demonstrate these properties as discussed in Table 2-1. For the purposes of this analysis, emissions of CO_2, CH_4, and N_2O were evaluated (see Table 3-4 later in this report) because these gases are the primary contributors to GCC from development projects. Although there are other substances such as fluorinated gases that also contribute to GCC, these fluorinated gases were not evaluated as their sources are not well-defined and do not contain accepted emissions factors or methodology to accurately calculate these gases.

TABLE 2-1: GHGS (1 OF 6)

GHGs	Description	Sources	Health Effects
Water	Water is the most abundant, important, and variable GHG in the atmosphere. Water vapor is not considered a pollutant; in the atmosphere, it maintains a climate necessary for life. Changes in its concentration are primarily considered to be a result of climate feedbacks related to the warming of the atmosphere rather than a direct result of industrialization. Climate feedback is an indirect, or secondary, change, either positive or negative, that occurs within the climate system in response to a forcing mechanism. The feedback loop in which water is involved is critically important to projecting future climate change. As the temperature of the atmosphere rises, more water is evaporated from ground storage (rivers, oceans, reservoirs, soil). Because the air is warmer, the relative humidity can be higher (in essence, the air is able to 'hold' more water when it is warmer), leading to more water vapor in the atmosphere. As a GHG, the higher concentration of water vapor is then able to absorb more thermal indirect energy radiated from the earth, thus	The main source of water vapor is evaporation from the oceans (approximately 85%). Other sources include evaporation from other water bodies, sublimation (change from solid to gas) from sea ice and snow, and transpiration from plant leaves.	There are no known direct health effects related to water vapor at this time. It should be noted however that when some pollutants react with water vapor, the reaction forms a transport mechanism for some of these pollutants to enter the human body through water vapor.

TABLE 2-1: GHGS (2 OF 6)

GHGs	Description	Sources	Health Effects
Water (Continued)	further warming the atmosphere. The warmer atmosphere can then hold more water vapor and so on. This is referred to as a "positive feedback loop." The extent to which this positive feedback loop would continue is unknown as there are also dynamics that hold the positive feedback loop in check. As an example, when water vapor increases in the atmosphere, more of it would eventually condense into clouds, which are more able to reflect incoming solar radiation (thus allowing less energy to reach the earth's surface and heat it up) (11).		
CO_2	CO_2 is an odorless and colorless GHG. Since the industrial revolution began in the mid-1700s, the sort of human activity that increases GHG emissions has increased dramatically in scale and distribution. Data from the past 50 years suggests a corollary increase in levels and concentrations. As an example, prior to the industrial revolution, CO_2 concentrations were fairly stable at 280 parts per million (ppm). Today, they are around 370 ppm, an increase of more than 30%. Left unchecked, the concentration of CO_2 in the atmosphere is projected to increase to a minimum of 540	CO_2 is emitted from natural and manmade sources. Natural sources include: the decomposition of dead organic matter; respiration of bacteria, plants, animals, and fungus; evaporation from oceans; and volcanic outgassing. Anthropogenic sources include: the burning of coal, oil, natural gas, and wood. CO_2 is naturally removed from the air by photosynthesis, dissolution into	Outdoor levels of CO_2 are not high enough to result in negative health effects. According to the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) high concentrations of CO_2 can result in health effects such as: headaches, dizziness, restlessness, difficulty breathing, sweating, increased heart rate, increased cardiac output, increased blood pressure, coma, asphyxia, and/or convulsions. It should be noted that current concentrations of CO_2 in the earth's atmosphere are estimated to be approximately 370 ppm, the actual reference

TABLE 2-1: GHGS (3 OF 6)

GHGs	Description	Sources	Health Effects
CO_2 (Continued)	ppm by 2100 as a direct result of anthropogenic sources (12).	ocean water, transfer to soils and ice caps, and chemical weathering of carbonate rocks (13).	exposure level (level at which adverse health effects typically occur) is at exposure levels of 5,000 ppm averaged over 10 hours in a 40-hour workweek and short-term reference exposure levels of 30,000 ppm averaged over a 15-minute period (14).
CH_4	CH_4 is an extremely effective absorber of radiation, although its atmospheric concentration is less than CO_2 and its lifetime in the atmosphere is brief (10-12 years), compared to other GHGs.	CH_4 in the atmosphere is generated by many different sources, such as fossil fuel production, transport and use, from the decay of organic matter in wetlands, and as a byproduct of digestion by ruminant animals such as cows. Determining which specific sources are responsible for variations in annual increases of CH_4 is complex, but scientists estimate that fossil fuel production and use contributes roughly 30% of the total CH_4 emissions. These industrial sources of CH_4 are relatively simple to pinpoint and control using current technology (15).	CH_4 is extremely reactive with oxidizers, halogens, and other halogen-containing compounds. Exposure to elevated levels of CH_4 can cause asphyxiation, loss of consciousness, headache and dizziness, nausea and vomiting, weakness, loss of coordination, and an increased breathing rate.

TABLE 2-1: GHGS (4 OF 6)

GHGs	Description	Sources	Health Effects
N_2O	N_2O, also known as laughing gas, is a colorless GHG. Concentrations of N_2O also began to rise at the beginning of the industrial revolution. In 1998, the global concentration was 314 parts per billion (ppb).	N_2O is produced by microbial processes in soil and water, including those reactions which occur in fertilizer containing nitrogen. In addition to agricultural sources, some industrial processes (fossil fuel-fired power plants, nylon production, nitric acid production, and vehicle emissions) also contribute to its atmospheric load. It is used as an aerosol spray propellant, i.e., in whipped cream bottles. It is also used in potato chip bags to keep chips fresh. It is used in rocket engines and in race cars. N_2O can be transported into the stratosphere, be deposited on the earth's surface, and be converted to other compounds by chemical reaction (16).	N_2O can cause dizziness, euphoria, and sometimes slight hallucinations. In small doses, it is considered harmless. However, in some cases, heavy and extended use can cause Olney's Lesions (brain damage) (16).
Chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs)	CFCs are gases formed synthetically by replacing all hydrogen atoms in CH_4 or ethane (C_2H_6) with chlorine	CFCs have no natural source. They are found in aerosol	In confined indoor locations, working with CFC-113 or other CFCs is

TABLE 2-1: GHGS (5 OF 6)

GHGs	Description	Sources	Health Effects
Chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs) (Continued)	and/or fluorine atoms. CFCs are nontoxic, nonflammable, insoluble and chemically unreactive in the troposphere (the level of air at the earth's surface).	sprays, blowing agents for foams and packing materials, as solvents, and as refrigerants. (17).	thought to result in death by cardiac arrhythmia (heart frequency too high or too low) or asphyxiation.
HFCs	HFCs are synthetic, man-made chemicals that are used as a substitute for CFCs. Out of all the GHGs, they are one of three groups with the highest global warming potential (GWP). The HFCs with the largest measured atmospheric abundances are (in order), Fluoroform (HFC-23), 1,1,1,2-tetrafluoroethane (HFC-134a), and 1,1-difluoroethane (HFC-152a). Prior to 1990, the only significant emissions were of HFC-23. HCF-134a emissions are increasing due to its use as a refrigerant.	HFCs are manmade for applications such as automobile air conditioners and refrigerants.	No health effects are known to result from exposure to HFCs.
PFCs	PFCs have stable molecular structures and do not break down through chemical processes in the lower atmosphere. High-energy ultraviolet rays, which occur about 60 kilometers above earth's surface, are able to destroy the compounds. Because of this, PFCs have exceptionally long lifetimes, between 10,000 and 50,000 years. Two common PFCs are tetrafluoromethane (CF_4) and hexafluoroethane (C_2F_6). The EPA estimates that concentrations of CF_4 in the	The two main sources of PFCs are primary aluminum production and semiconductor manufacture.	No health effects are known to result from exposure to PFCs.

TABLE 2-1: GHGS (6 OF 6)

GHGs	Description	Sources	Health Effects
PFCs (Continued)	atmosphere are over 70 parts per trillion (ppt).		
SF_6	SF_6 is an inorganic, odorless, colorless, nontoxic, nonflammable gas. It also has the highest GWP of any gas evaluated (23,900) (18). The EPA indicates that concentrations in the 1990s were about 4 ppt.	SF_6 is used for insulation in electric power transmission and distribution equipment, in the magnesium industry, in semiconductor manufacturing, and as a tracer gas for leak detection.	In high concentrations in confined areas, the gas presents the hazard of suffocation because it displaces the oxygen needed for breathing.
Nitrogen Trifluoride (NF_3)	NF_3 is a colorless gas with a distinctly moldy odor. The World Resources Institute (WRI) indicates that NF_3 has a 100-year GWP of 17,200 (19).	NF_3 is used in industrial processes and is produced in the manufacturing of semiconductors, Liquid Crystal Display (LCD) panels, types of solar panels, and chemical lasers.	Long-term or repeated exposure may affect the liver and kidneys and may cause fluorosis (20).

The potential health effects related directly to the emissions of CO_2, CH_4, and N_2O as they relate to development projects, such as the Project, are still being debated in the scientific community. Their cumulative effects to GCC have the potential to cause adverse effects to human health. Increases in Earth's ambient temperatures would result in more intense heat waves, causing more heat-related deaths. Exhibit 2-A presents the potential impacts of global warming (21).

EXHIBIT 2-A: SUMMARY OF PROJECTED GLOBAL WARMING IMPACT, 2070-2099 (AS COMPARED WITH 1961-1990)

Emissions Scenario	Atmospheric Lifetime (years)	GWP (100-year time horizon) 2nd Assessment Report
CO2	Multiple	1
CH4	11.8	21
N2O	109	310
HFC-23	228	11,700
HFC-134a	14	1,300
HFC-152a	1.6	140
SF6	3,200	23,900

EXHIBIT 3-A: PROJECTED IMPACTS BY EMISSIONS SCENARIO AND WARMING RANGE

Emissions Scenario	Warming Range (°F) (years)	Projected Impacts
Higher Emissions Scenario	8–10.5°F	• 90% loss in Sierra snowpack • 22–30 inches of sea level rise • 3–4 times as many heat wave days in major urban centers • 4–6 times as many heat-related deaths in major urban centers • 2.5 times more critically dry years • 20% increase in energy demand
Medium-High Emissions Scenario	5.5–8°F	• 70–80% loss in Sierra snowpack • 14–22 inches of sea level rise • 2.5–4 times as many heat wave days in major urban centers • 2–6 times as many heat-related deaths in major urban centers • 75–85% increase in days conducive to ozone formation* • 2–2.5 times more critically dry years • 10% increase in electricity demand • 30% decrease in forest yields (pine) • 55% increase in expected risk of large wildfires

Emissions Scenario	Warming Range (°F) (years)	Projected Impacts
Lower Emissions Scenario	3–5.5°F	• 30–60% loss in Sierra snowpack • 6–14 inches of sea level rise • 2–2.5 times as many heat wave days in major urban centers • 2–3 times as many heat-related deaths in major urban centers • 25–35% increase in days conducive to ozone formation* • Up to 1.5 times more critically dry years • 3–6% increase in electricity demand • 7–14% decrease in forest yields (pine) • 10–35% increase in risk of large wildfires

* For high ozone locations in Los Angeles (Riverside) and the San Joaquin Valley (Visalia)
Source: Barbara H. Allen-Diaz. "Climate change affects us all." University of California, Agriculture and Natural Resources, 2009.

2.4 GLOBAL WARMING POTENTIAL (GWP)

GHGs have varying GWP values. GWP of a GHG indicates the amount of warming a gas cause over a given period of time and represents the potential of a gas to trap heat in the atmosphere. CO_2 is utilized as the reference gas for GWP, and thus has a GWP of 1. CO_2 equivalent (CO_2e) is a term used for describing the different GHGs in a common unit. CO_2e signifies the amount of CO_2 which would have the equivalent GWP.

The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) is the international body for assessing the science related to climate change. IPCC Assessment Reports cover the full scientific, technical and socio-economic assessment of climate change. The atmospheric lifetime and GWP of selected GHGs are summarized at Table 2-2. As shown in the table below, GWP for the 2nd Assessment Report range from 1 for CO_2 to 23,900 for SF_6 and GWP for the 6th Assessment Report range from 1 for CO_2 to 25,200 for SF_6 (22).

TABLE 2-2: GWP AND ATMOSPHERIC LIFETIME OF SELECT GHGS

Gas	Atmospheric Lifetime (years)	GWP (100-year time horizon)	
		2nd Assessment	6th Assessment
CO2	Multiple	1	1
CH4	11.8	21	28
N2O	109	310	273
HFC-23	228	11,700	14,600
HFC-134a	14	1,300	1,526
HFC-152a	1.6	140	164
SF6	3,200	23,900	25,200

Source: IPCC Second Assessment Report, 1995 and IPCC Sixth Assessment Report, 2023

2.5 GHG EMISSIONS INVENTORIES

2.5.1 Global

Worldwide anthropogenic GHG emissions are tracked by the IPCC for industrialized nations (referred to as Annex I) and developing nations (referred to as Non-Annex I). Human GHG emissions data for Annex I nations are available through 2021. Based on the latest available data, the sum of these emissions totaled approximately 28,272,940 gigagram (Gg) CO_2e[1] (23) (24) as summarized on Table 2-3.

2.5.2 United States

As noted in Table 2-3, the United States, as a single country, was the number two producer of GHG emissions in 2021.

2.5.3 State of California

California has significantly slowed the rate of growth of GHG emissions due to the implementation of energy efficiency programs as well as adoption of strict emission controls but is still a substantial contributor to the United States (U.S.) emissions inventory total (16). The California Air Resource Board (CARB) compiles GHG inventories for the State of California. Based upon the 2024 GHG inventory data (i.e., the latest year for which data are available) for the 2000-2022 GHG emissions period, California emitted an average 371.1 million metric tons of CO_2e per year ($MMTCO_2e/yr$) or 371,100 Gg CO_2e (5.85% of the total United States GHG emissions) (25). Based on data published by the U.S. Energy Information Administration, California's per capita (9.12 metric tons) GHG emissions are much less than the nationwide per capita (15.8 metric ton) average (26).

[1] The global emissions are the sum of Annex I and non-Annex I countries, without counting Land-Use, Land-Use Change and Forestry (LULUCF). For countries without 2021 data, the United Nations' Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) data for the most recent year were used U.N. Framework Convention on Climate Change, "Annex I Parties – GHG total without LULUCF," The most recent GHG emissions for China and India are from 2014 and 2016, respectively.

TABLE 2-3: TOP GHG PRODUCING COUNTRIES AND THE EUROPEAN UNION

Emitting Countries	GHG Emissions (Gg CO_2e)
China	12,300,200
United States	6,340,228
European Union (27-member countries)	3,468,394
India	2,839,425
Russian Federation	2,156,599
Japan	1,168,094
Total	28,272,940

2.6 EFFECTS OF CLIMATE CHANGE IN CALIFORNIA

2.6.1 Public Health

Higher temperatures may increase the frequency, duration, and intensity of conditions conducive to air pollution formation. For example, days with weather conducive to ozone formation could increase from 25 to 35% under the lower warming range to 75 to 85% under the medium warming range. In addition, if global background ozone levels increase as predicted in some scenarios, it may become impossible to meet local air quality standards. Air quality could be further compromised by increases in wildfires, which emit fine particulate matter that can travel long distances, depending on wind conditions. Based on *Our Changing Climate Assessing the Risks to California by the California Climate Change Center*, large wildfires could become up to 55% more frequent if GHG emissions are not significantly reduced (27).

In addition, under the higher warming range scenario, there could be up to 100 more days per year with temperatures above 90°F in Los Angeles and 95°F in Sacramento by 2100. This is a significant increase over historical patterns and approximately twice the increase projected if temperatures remain within or below the lower warming range. Rising temperatures could increase the risk of death from dehydration, heat stroke/exhaustion, heart attack, stroke, and respiratory distress caused by extreme heat.

2.6.2 Water Resources

A vast network of man-made reservoirs and aqueducts captures and transports water throughout the state from northern California rivers and the Colorado River. The current distribution system relies on Sierra Nevada snowpack to supply water during the dry spring and summer months. Rising temperatures, potentially compounded by decreases in precipitation, could severely reduce spring snowpack, increasing the risk of summer water shortages.

If temperatures continue to increase, more precipitation could fall as rain instead of snow, and the snow that does fall could melt earlier, reducing the Sierra Nevada spring snowpack by as much as 70 to 90%. Under the lower warming range scenario, snowpack losses could be only half as large as those possible if temperatures were to rise to the higher warming range. How much snowpack could be lost depends in part on future precipitation patterns, the projections for which remain uncertain. However, even under the wetter climate projections, the loss of snowpack could pose challenges to

water managers and hamper hydropower generation. It could also adversely affect winter tourism. Under the lower warming range, the ski season at lower elevations could be reduced by as much as a month. If temperatures reach the higher warming range and precipitation declines, there might be many years with insufficient snow for skiing and snowboarding.

The State's water supplies are also at risk from rising sea levels. An influx of saltwater could degrade California's estuaries, wetlands, and groundwater aquifers. Saltwater intrusion caused by rising sea levels is a major threat to the quality and reliability of water within the southern edge of the Sacramento/San Joaquin River Delta – a major fresh water supply.

2.6.3 Agriculture

Increased temperatures could cause widespread changes to the agriculture industry reducing the quantity and quality of agricultural products statewide. First, California farmers could possibly lose as much as 25% of the water supply needed. Although higher CO_2 levels can stimulate plant production and increase plant water-use efficiency, California's farmers could face greater water demand for crops and a less reliable water supply as temperatures rise. Crop growth and development could change, as could the intensity and frequency of pest and disease outbreaks. Rising temperatures could aggravate ozone pollution, which makes plants more susceptible to disease and pests and interferes with plant growth.

Plant growth tends to be slow at low temperatures, increasing with rising temperatures up to a threshold. However, faster growth can result in less-than-optimal development for many crops, so rising temperatures could worsen the quantity and quality of yield for a number of California's agricultural products. Products likely to be most affected include wine grapes, fruits, and nuts.

In addition, continued GCC could shift the ranges of existing invasive plants and weeds and alter competition patterns with native plants. Range expansion could occur in many species while range contractions may be less likely in rapidly evolving species with significant populations already established. Should range contractions occur, new or different weed species could fill the emerging gaps. Continued GCC could alter the abundance and types of many pests, lengthen pests' breeding season, and increase pathogen growth rates.

2.6.4 Forests and Landscapes

GCC has the potential to intensify the current threat to forests and landscapes by increasing the risk of wildfire and altering the distribution and character of natural vegetation. If temperatures rise into the medium warming range, the risk of large wildfires in California could increase by as much as 55%, which is almost twice the increase expected if temperatures stay in the lower warming range. However, since wildfire risk is determined by a combination of factors, including precipitation, winds, temperature, and landscape and vegetation conditions, future risks would not be uniform throughout the state. In contrast, wildfires in northern California could increase by up to 90% due to decreased precipitation.

Moreover, continued GCC has the potential to alter natural ecosystems and biological diversity within the state. For example, alpine and subalpine ecosystems could decline by as much as 60 to 80% by the end of the century as a result of increasing temperatures. The productivity of the state's forests has the potential to decrease as a result of GCC.

2.6.5 Rising Sea Levels

Rising sea levels, more intense coastal storms, and warmer water temperatures could increasingly threaten the state's coastal regions. Under the higher warming range scenario, sea level is anticipated to rise 22 to 35 inches by 2100. Elevations of this magnitude would inundate low-lying coastal areas with saltwater, accelerate coastal erosion, threaten vital levees and inland water systems, and disrupt wetlands and natural habitats. Under the lower warming range scenario, sea level could rise 12-14 inches.

2.7 REGULATORY SETTING

2.7.1 International

Climate change is a global issue involving GHG emissions from all around the world; therefore, countries such as the ones discussed below have made an effort to reduce GHGs.

IPCC

In 1988, the United Nations (U.N.) and the World Meteorological Organization established the IPCC to assess the scientific, technical, and socioeconomic information relevant to understanding the scientific basis of risk of human-induced climate change, its potential impacts, and options for adaptation and mitigation.

United Nation's Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC)

On March 21, 1994, the U.S. joined a number of countries around the world in signing the Convention. Under the UNFCCC, governments gather and share information on GHG emissions, national policies, and best practices; launch national strategies for addressing GHG emissions and adapting to expected impacts, including the provision of financial and technological support to developing countries; and cooperate in preparing for adaptation to the impacts of climate change.

International Climate Change Treaties

The Kyoto Protocol is an international agreement linked to the UNFCCC. The major feature of the Kyoto Protocol is that it sets binding targets for 37 industrialized countries and the European community for reducing GHG emissions at an average of 5% against 1990 levels over the five-year period 2008–2012. The Convention (as discussed above) encouraged industrialized countries to stabilize emissions; however, the Protocol commits them to do so. Developed countries have contributed more emissions over the last 150 years; therefore, the Protocol places a heavier burden on developed nations under the principle of "common but differentiated responsibilities."

In 2001, President George W. Bush indicated that he would not submit the treaty to the U.S. Senate for ratification, which effectively ended American involvement in the Kyoto Protocol. In December 2009, international leaders met in Copenhagen to address the future of international climate change commitments post-Kyoto. No binding agreement was reached in Copenhagen; however, the UN Climate Change Committee identified the long-term goal of limiting the maximum global average temperature increase to no more than 2 degrees Celsius (°C) above pre-industrial levels, subject to a review in 2015. The Committee held additional meetings in Durban, South Africa in November 2011; Doha, Qatar in November 2012; and Warsaw, Poland in November 2013. The meetings gradually gained consensus among participants on individual climate change issues.

On September 23, 2014, more than 100 Heads of State and Government and leaders from the private sector and civil society met at the Climate Summit in New York hosted by the U.N. At the Summit, heads of government, business and civil society announced actions in areas that would have the greatest impact on reducing emissions, including climate finance, energy, transport, industry, agriculture, cities, forests, and building resilience.

Parties to the UNFCCC reached a landmark agreement on December 12, 2015, in Paris, charting a fundamentally new course in the two-decade-old global climate effort. Culminating a four-year negotiating round, the new treaty ends the strict differentiation between developed and developing countries that characterized earlier efforts, replacing it with a common framework that commits all countries to put forward their best efforts and to strengthen them in the years ahead. This includes, for the first time, requirements that all parties report regularly on their emissions and implementation efforts and undergo international review.

The agreement and a companion decision by parties were the key outcomes of the conference, known as the 21st session of the UNFCCC Conference of the Parties (COP) 21. Together, the Paris Agreement and the accompanying COP decision:

- Reaffirm the goal of limiting global temperature increase well below 2°C, while urging efforts to limit the increase to 1.5 degrees;
- Establish binding commitments by all parties to make "nationally determined contributions" (NDCs), and to pursue domestic measures aimed at achieving them;
- Commit all countries to report regularly on their emissions and "progress made in implementing and achieving" their NDCs, and to undergo international review;
- Commit all countries to submit new NDCs every five years, with the clear expectation that they would "represent a progression" beyond previous ones;
- Reaffirm the binding obligations of developed countries under the UNFCCC to support the efforts of developing countries, while for the first time encouraging voluntary contributions by developing countries too;
- Extend the current goal of mobilizing $100 billion a year in support by 2020 through 2025, with a new, higher goal to be set for the period after 2025;
- Extend a mechanism to address "loss and damage" resulting from climate change, which explicitly would not "involve or provide a basis for any liability or compensation;"
- Require parties engaging in international emissions trading to avoid "double counting;" and
- Call for a new mechanism, similar to the Clean Development Mechanism under the Kyoto Protocol, enabling emission reductions in one country to be counted toward another country's NDC (C2ES 2015a) (28).

Following President Biden's day one executive order, the United States officially rejoined the landmark Paris Agreement on February 19, 2021, positioning the country to once again be part of the global climate solution. Meanwhile, city, state, business, and civic leaders across the country and around the world have been ramping up efforts to drive the clean energy advances needed to meet the goals of the agreement and put the brakes on dangerous climate change.

2.7.2 National

Prior to the last decade, there have been no concrete federal regulations of GHGs or major planning for climate change adaptation. The following are actions regarding the federal government, GHGs, and fuel efficiency.

GHG Endangerment

In *Massachusetts v. Environmental Protection Agency* 549 U.S. 497 (2007), decided on April 2, 2007, the United States Supreme Court (Supreme Court) found that four GHGs, including CO_2, are air pollutants subject to regulation under Section 202(a)(1) of the Clean Air Act (CAA). The Supreme Court held that the EPA Administrator must determine whether emissions of GHGs from new motor vehicles cause or contribute to air pollution, which may reasonably be anticipated to endanger public health or welfare, or whether the science is too uncertain to make a reasoned decision. On December 7, 2009, the EPA Administrator signed two distinct findings regarding GHGs under Section 202(a) of the CAA:

- Endangerment Finding: The Administrator finds that the current and projected concentrations of the six key well-mixed GHGs— CO_2, CH_4, N_2O, HFCs, PFCs, and SF_6—in the atmosphere threaten the public health and welfare of current and future generations.
- Cause or Contribute Finding: The Administrator finds that the combined emissions of these well-mixed GHGs from new motor vehicles and new motor vehicle engines contribute to the GHG pollution, which threatens public health and welfare.

These findings do not impose requirements on industry or other entities. However, this was a prerequisite for implementing GHG emissions standards for vehicles, as discussed in the section "Clean Vehicles" below. After a lengthy legal challenge, the Supreme Court declined to review an Appeals Court ruling that upheld the EPA Administrator's findings (29).

Clean Vehicles

Congress first passed the Corporate Average Fuel Economy law in 1975 to increase the fuel economy of cars and light duty trucks. The law has become more stringent over time. On May 19, 2009, President Obama put in motion a new national policy to increase fuel economy for all new cars and trucks sold in the U.S. On April 1, 2010, the EPA, and the Department of Transportation's National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) announced a joint final rule establishing a national program that would reduce GHG emissions and improve fuel economy for new cars and trucks sold in the U.S.

The first phase of the national program applies to passenger cars, light-duty trucks, and medium-duty (MD) passenger vehicles, covering model years 2012 through 2016. They require these vehicles to meet an estimated combined average emissions level of 250 grams of CO_2 per mile, equivalent to 35.5 miles per gallon (mpg) if the automobile industry were to meet this CO_2 level solely through fuel economy improvements. Together, these standards would cut CO_2 emissions by an estimated 960 million metric tons and 1.8 billion barrels of oil over the lifetime of the vehicles sold under the program (model years 2012–2016). In August 2012, the EPA and the NHTSA issued final rules on a second-phase joint rulemaking establishing national standards for light-duty vehicles for model years 2017 through 2025. The new standards apply to passenger cars, light-duty trucks, and MD passenger vehicles. The final standards are projected to result in an average industry fleetwide level

of 163 grams/mile of CO_2 in model year 2025, which is equivalent to 54.5 mpg if achieved exclusively through fuel economy improvements.

The EPA and the U.S. Department of Transportation issued final rules for the first national standards to reduce GHG emissions and improve fuel efficiency of heavy-duty trucks (HDT) and buses on September 15, 2011, effective November 14, 2011. For combination tractors, the agencies are proposing engine and vehicle standards that begin in the 2014 model year and achieve up to a 20% reduction in CO_2 emissions and fuel consumption by the 2018 model year. For HDT and vans, the agencies are proposing separate gasoline and diesel truck standards, which phase in starting in the 2014 model year and achieve up to a 10% reduction for gasoline vehicles and a 15% reduction for diesel vehicles by the 2018 model year (12 and 17%, respectively if accounting for air conditioning leakage). Lastly, for vocational vehicles, the engine and vehicle standards would achieve up to a 10% reduction in fuel consumption and CO_2 emissions from the 2014 to 2018 model years.

On April 2, 2018, the EPA signed the Mid-term Evaluation Final Determination, which declared that the MY 2022-2025 GHG standards are not appropriate and should be revised (30). This Final Determination serves to initiate a notice to further consider appropriate standards for MY 2022-2025 light-duty vehicles. On August 2, 2018, the NHTSA in conjunction with the EPA, released a notice of proposed rulemaking, the *Safer Affordable Fuel-Efficient (SAFE) Vehicles Rule for Model Years 2021-2026 Passenger Cars and Light Trucks* (SAFE Vehicles Rule). The SAFE Vehicles Rule was proposed to amend existing Corporate Average Fuel Economy (CAFE) and tailpipe CO_2 standards for passenger cars and light trucks and to establish new standards covering model years 2021 through 2026. As of March 31, 2020, the NHTSA and EPA finalized the SAFE Vehicle Rule which increased stringency of CAFE and CO_2 emissions standards by 1.5% each year through model year 2026 (31). On December 21, 2021, after reviewing all the public comments submitted on NHTSA's April 2021 Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, NHTSA finalizes the CAFE Preemption rulemaking to withdraw its portions of the so-called SAFE I Rule. The final rule concludes that the SAFE I Rule overstepped the agency's legal authority and established overly broad prohibitions that did not account for a variety of important state and local interests. The final rule ensures that the SAFE I Rule will no longer form an improper barrier to states exploring creative solutions to address their local communities' environmental and public health challenges (32).

On March 31, 2022, NHTSA finalized CAFE standards for MY 2024-2026. The standards for passenger cars and light trucks for MYs 2024-2025 were increased at a rate of 8% per year and then increased at a rate of 10% per year for MY 2026 vehicles. NHTSA currently projects that the revised standards would require an industry fleet-wide average of roughly 49 mpg in MY 2026 and would reduce average fuel outlays over the lifetimes of affected vehicles that provide consumers hundreds of dollars in net savings. These standards are directly responsive to the agency's statutory mandate to improve energy conservation and reduce the nation's energy dependence on foreign sources (33).

Mandatory Reporting of GHGs

The Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2008, passed in December 2007, requires the establishment of mandatory GHG reporting requirements. On September 22, 2009, the EPA issued the Final Mandatory Reporting of GHGs Rule, which became effective January 1, 2010. The rule requires reporting of GHG emissions from large sources and suppliers in the U.S. and is intended to collect accurate and timely emissions data to inform future policy decisions. Under the rule, suppliers of fossil fuels or industrial GHGs, manufacturers of vehicles and engines, and facilities that emit 25,000

metric tons per year (MT/yr) or more of GHG emissions are required to submit annual reports to the EPA.

New Source Review

The EPA issued a final rule on May 13, 2010, that establishes thresholds for GHGs that define when permits under the New Source Review Prevention of Significant Deterioration and Title V Operating Permit programs are required for new and existing industrial facilities. This final rule "tailors" the requirements of these CAA permitting programs to limit which facilities would be required to obtain Prevention of Significant Deterioration and Title V permits. In the preamble to the revisions to the Federal Code of Regulations, the EPA states:

> *"This rulemaking is necessary because without it the Prevention of Significant Deterioration and Title V requirements would apply, as of January 2, 2011, at the 100 or 250 tons per year levels provided under the CAA, greatly increasing the number of required permits, imposing undue costs on small sources, overwhelming the resources of permitting authorities, and severely impairing the functioning of the programs. EPA is relieving these resource burdens by phasing in the applicability of these programs to GHG sources, starting with the largest GHG emitters. This rule establishes two initial steps of the phase-in. The rule also commits the agency to take certain actions on future steps addressing smaller sources but excludes certain smaller sources from Prevention of Significant Deterioration and Title V permitting for GHG emissions until at least April 30, 2016."*

The EPA estimates that facilities responsible for nearly 70% of the national GHG emissions from stationary sources would be subject to permitting requirements under this rule. This includes the nation's largest GHG emitters—power plants, refineries, and cement production facilities.

Standards of Performance for GHG Emissions for New Stationary Sources: Electric Utility Generating Units

As required by a settlement agreement, the EPA proposed new performance standards for emissions of CO_2 for new, affected, fossil fuel-fired electric utility generating units on March 27, 2012. New sources greater than 25 megawatts (MW) would be required to meet an output-based standard of 1,000 pounds (lbs) of CO_2 per MW-hour (MWh), based on the performance of widely used natural gas combined cycle technology. It should be noted that on February 9, 2016, the Supreme Court issued a stay of this regulation pending litigation. Additionally, the current EPA Administrator has also signed a measure to repeal the Clean Power Plan, including the CO_2 standards. The Clean Power Plan was officially repealed on June 19, 2019, when the EPA issued the final Affordable Clean Energy rule (ACE). Under ACE, new state-specific emission guidelines were established that provided existing coal-fired electric utility generating units with achievable standards.

On January 19, 2021, the D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals ruled that the EPA's ACE Rule for GHG emissions from power plants rested on an erroneous interpretation of the CAA that barred EPA from considering measures beyond those that apply at and to an individual source. The court therefore vacated and remanded the ACE Rule and adopted a replacement rule which regulates CO_2 emissions from existing power plants, potentially again considering generation shifting and other measures to more aggressively target power sector emissions.

Cap-and-Trade

Cap-and-trade refers to a policy tool where emissions are limited to a certain amount and can be traded or provides flexibility on how the emitter can comply. Successful examples in the U.S. include the Acid Rain Program and the N_2O Budget Trading Program and Clean Air Interstate Rule in the northeast. There is no federal GHG cap-and-trade program currently; however, some states have joined to create initiatives to provide a mechanism for cap-and-trade.

The Regional GHG Initiative is an effort to reduce GHGs among the states of Connecticut, Delaware, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New York, Rhode Island, and Vermont. Each state caps CO_2 emissions from power plants, auctions CO_2 emission allowances, and invests the proceeds in strategic energy programs that further reduce emissions, save consumers money, create jobs, and build a clean energy economy. The Initiative began in 2008 and has retained all participating states as of 2020.

The Western Climate Initiative (WCI) partner jurisdictions have developed a comprehensive initiative to reduce regional GHG emissions to 15% below 2005 levels by 2020. The partners were originally California, British Columbia, Manitoba, Ontario, and Quebec. However, Manitoba and Ontario are not currently participating. California linked with Quebec's cap-and-trade system January 1, 2014, and joint offset auctions took place in 2015. While the WCI has yet to publish whether it has successfully reached the 2020 emissions goal initiative set in 2007, SB 32 requires that California, a major partner in the WCI, adopt the goal of reducing statewide GHG emissions to 40% below the 1990 level by 2030.

Executive Order 13990

On January 20, 2021, Federal agencies were directed to immediately review, and take action to address, Federal regulations promulgated and other actions taken during the last 4 years that conflict with national objectives to improve public health and the environment; ensure access to clean air and water; limit exposure to dangerous chemicals and pesticides; hold polluters accountable, including those who disproportionately harm communities of color and low-income communities; reduce GHG emissions; bolster resilience to the impacts of climate change; restore and expand our national treasures and monuments; and prioritize both environmental justice and employment.

2.7.3 California

California has a long history of adopting regulations to improve energy efficiency in new and remodeled buildings. These regulations have kept California's energy consumption relatively flat even with rapid population growth.

2.7.3.1 Legislative Actions to Reduce GHGs

The State of California legislature has enacted a series of bills that constitute the most aggressive program to reduce GHGs of any state in the nation. Some legislation, such as the landmark AB 32, was specifically enacted to address GHG emissions. Other legislation, such as Title 24 and Title 20 energy standards, were originally adopted for other purposes such as energy and water conservation but also provided GHG reductions. This section describes the major provisions of the legislation.

AB 1881

The Water Conservation in Landscaping Act of 2006 requires local agencies to adopt the updated DWR model ordinance or equivalent. AB 1881 also requires the CEC to consult with the DWR to adopt, by regulation, performance standards and labeling requirements for landscape irrigation equipment, including irrigation controllers, moisture sensors, emission devices, and valves to reduce the wasteful, uneconomic, inefficient, or unnecessary consumption of energy or water.

SB 1368

California SB 1368 adds Sections 8340 and 8341 to the Public Utilities Code (effective January 1, 2007) with the intent "to prevent long-term investments in power plants with GHG emissions in excess of those produced by a combined-cycle natural gas power plant" with the aim of "reducing emissions of GHGs from the state's electricity consumption, not just the state's electricity production." SB 1368 provides a mechanism for reducing the GHG emissions of electricity providers, both in-state and out-of-state, thereby assisting CARB in meeting its mandate under AB 32, the Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006.

AB 32

The California State Legislature enacted AB 32, which required that GHGs emitted in California be reduced to 1990 levels by the year 2020 (this goal has been met[2]). GHGs, as defined under AB 32, include CO_2, CH_4, N_2O, HFCs, PFCs, and SF_6. Since AB 32 was enacted, a seventh chemical, NF_3, has also been added to the list of GHGs. CARB is the state agency charged with monitoring and regulating sources of GHGs. Pursuant to AB 32, CARB adopted regulations to achieve the maximum technologically feasible and cost-effective GHG emission reductions. AB 32 states the following:

> *"Global warming poses a serious threat to the economic well-being, public health, natural resources, and the environment of California. The potential adverse impacts of global warming include the exacerbation of air quality problems, a reduction in the quality and supply of water to the state from the Sierra snowpack, a rise in sea levels resulting in the displacement of thousands of coastal businesses and residences, damage to marine ecosystems and the natural environment, and an increase in the incidences of infectious diseases, asthma, and other human health-related problems."*

SB 375

On September 30, 2008, SB 375 was signed by Governor Schwarzenegger. According to SB 375, the transportation sector is the largest contributor of GHG emissions, which emits over 40% of the total GHG emissions in California. SB 375 states, "Without improved land use and transportation policy, California would not be able to achieve the goals of AB 32." SB 375 does the following: it (1) requires metropolitan planning organizations (MPOs) to include sustainable community strategies in their regional transportation plans for reducing GHG emissions; (2) aligns planning for transportation and housing; and (3) creates specified incentives for the implementation of the strategies.

[2] Based upon the 2024 GHG inventory data (i.e., the latest year for which data are available) for the 2000-2022 GHG emissions period, California emitted an average 371.1 $MMTCO_2e$) (27). This is less than the 2020 emissions target of 431 $MMTCO_2e$. This is less than the 2020 emissions target of 431 $MMTCO_2e$.

SB 375 requires MPOs to prepare a Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS) within the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) that guides growth while taking into account the transportation, housing, environmental, and economic needs of the region. SB 375 uses CEQA streamlining as an incentive to encourage residential projects, which help achieve AB 32 goals to reduce GHG emissions. Although SB 375 does not prevent CARB from adopting additional regulations, such actions are not anticipated in the foreseeable future.

Concerning CEQA, SB 375, as codified in Public Resources Code Section 21159.28, states that CEQA findings for certain projects are not required to reference, describe, or discuss (1) growth inducing impacts, or (2) any project-specific or cumulative impacts from cars and light-duty truck trips generated by the project on global warming or the regional transportation network, if the project:

1. Is in an area with an approved sustainable communities strategy or an alternative planning strategy that CARB accepts as achieving the GHG emission reduction targets.
2. Is consistent with that strategy (in designation, density, building intensity, and applicable policies).
3. Incorporates the MMs required by an applicable prior environmental document.

AB 1493 - Pavley Fuel Efficiency Standards

The second phase of the implementation for the Pavley bill was incorporated into Amendments to the Low-Emission Vehicle Program (LEV III) or the Advanced Clean Cars (ACC) program. The ACC program combines the control of smog-causing pollutants and GHG emissions into a single coordinated package of requirements for MY 2017 through 2025. The regulation will reduce GHGs from new cars by 34% from 2016 levels by 2025. The new rules will clean up gasoline and diesel-powered cars, and deliver increasing numbers of zero-emission technologies, such as full battery electric cars, newly emerging plug-in hybrid EV and hydrogen fuel cell cars. The package will also ensure adequate fueling infrastructure is available for the increasing numbers of hydrogen fuel cell vehicles planned for deployment in California. On March 9, EPA reinstated California's authority under the Clean Air Act to implement its own GHG emission standards for cars and light trucks, which other states can also adopt and enforce. With this authority restored, EPA will continue partnering with states to advance the next generation of clean vehicle technologies.

Clean Energy and Pollution Reduction Act of 2015 (SB 350)

In October 2015, the legislature approved, and Governor Jerry Brown signed SB 350, which reaffirms California's commitment to reducing its GHG emissions and addressing climate change. Key provisions include an increase in the RPS, higher energy efficiency requirements for buildings, initial strategies towards a regional electricity grid, and improved infrastructure for EV charging stations. Provisions for a 50% reduction in the use of petroleum statewide were removed from the Bill because of opposition and concern that it would prevent the Bill's passage. Specifically, SB 350 requires the following to reduce statewide GHG emissions:

- Increase the amount of electricity procured from renewable energy sources from 33% to 50% by 2030, with interim targets of 40% by 2024, and 45% by 2027.
- Double the energy efficiency in existing buildings by 2030. This target would be achieved through the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC), the California Energy Commission (CEC), and local publicly owned utilities.

- Reorganize the Independent System Operator (ISO) to develop more regional electrify transmission markets and to improve accessibility in these markets, which would facilitate the growth of renewable energy markets in the western United States.

SB 32

On September 8, 2016, Governor Brown signed SB 32 and its companion bill, AB 197. SB 32 requires the state to reduce statewide GHG emissions to 40% below 1990 levels by 2030, a reduction target that was first introduced in Executive Order B-30-15. The new legislation builds upon the AB 32 goal and provides an intermediate goal to achieving S-3-05, which sets a statewide GHG reduction target of 80% below 1990 levels by 2050. AB 197 creates a legislative committee to oversee regulators to ensure that CARB not only responds to the Governor, but also the Legislature (10).

2017 CARB Scoping Plan

In November 2017, CARB released the *Final 2017 Scoping Plan Update* (*2017 Scoping Plan*), which identifies the State's post-2020 reduction strategy. The *2017 Scoping Plan* reflects the 2030 target of a 40% reduction below 1990 levels, set by Executive Order B-30-15 and codified by SB 32. Key programs that the proposed Second Update builds upon include the Cap-and-Trade Regulation, the LCFS, and much cleaner cars, trucks, and freight movement, utilizing cleaner, renewable energy, and strategies to reduce CH_4 emissions from agricultural and other wastes.

The *2017 Scoping Plan* establishes a new emissions limit of 260 $MMTCO_2e$ for the year 2030, which corresponds to a 40% decrease in 1990 levels by 2030 (34).

California's climate strategy would require contributions from all sectors of the economy, including the land base, and would include enhanced focus on zero and near-zero emission (ZE/NZE) vehicle technologies; continued investment in renewables, including solar roofs, wind, and other distributed generation; greater use of low carbon fuels; integrated land conservation and development strategies; coordinated efforts to reduce emissions of short-lived climate pollutants (CH_4, black carbon, and fluorinated gases); and an increased focus on integrated land use planning to support livable, transit-connected communities and conservation of agricultural and other lands. Requirements for direct GHG reductions at refineries would further support air quality co-benefits in neighborhoods, including in disadvantaged communities historically located adjacent to these large stationary sources, as well as efforts with California's local air pollution control and air quality management districts (air districts) to tighten emission limits on a broad spectrum of industrial sources. Major elements of the *2017 Scoping Plan* framework include:

- Implementing and/or increasing the standards of the Mobile Source Strategy, which include increasing zero-emission vehicles (ZEV) buses and trucks.
- LCFS, with an increased stringency (18% by 2030).
- Implementing SB 350, which expands the RPS to 50% RPS and doubles energy efficiency savings by 2030.
- California Sustainable Freight Action Plan, which improves freight system efficiency, utilizes near-zero emissions technology, and deployment of ZEV trucks.
    - Implementing the proposed Short-Lived Climate Pollutant Strategy (SLPS), which focuses on reducing CH4 and HCF emissions by 40% and anthropogenic black carbon emissions by 50% by year 2030.
    - Continued implementation of SB 375.

- Post-2020 Cap-and-Trade Program that includes declining caps.
- 20% reduction in GHG emissions from refineries by 2030.
- Development of a Natural and Working Lands Action Plan to secure California's land base as a net carbon sink.

Note, however, that the *2017 Scoping Plan* acknowledges that:

> *"[a]chieving net zero increases in GHG emissions, resulting in no contribution to GHG impacts, may not be feasible or appropriate for every project, however, and the inability of a project to mitigate its GHG emissions to net zero does not imply the project results in a substantial contribution to the cumulatively significant environmental impact of climate change under CEQA."*

In addition to the statewide strategies listed above, the *2017 Scoping Plan* also identifies local governments as essential partners in achieving the State's long-term GHG reduction goals and identifies local actions to reduce GHG emissions. As part of the recommended actions, CARB recommends that local governments achieve a community-wide goal to achieve emissions of no more than 6 metric tons of CO_2e ($MTCO_2e$) or less per capita by 2030 and 2 $MTCO_2e$ or less per capita by 2050. For CEQA projects, CARB states that lead agencies may develop evidence-based bright-line numeric thresholds—consistent with the *2017 Scoping Plan* and the State's long-term GHG goals—and projects with emissions over that amount may be required to incorporate on-site design features and MMs that avoid or minimize project emissions to the degree feasible; or a performance-based metric using a CAP or other plan to reduce GHG emissions is appropriate.

According to research conducted by the Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (LBNL) and supported by CARB, California, under its existing and proposed GHG reduction policies, could achieve the 2030 goals under SB 32. The research utilized a new, validated model known as the California LBNL GHG Analysis of Policies Spreadsheet (CALGAPS), which simulates GHG and criteria pollutant emissions in California from 2010 to 2050 in accordance to existing and future GHG-reducing policies. The CALGAPS model showed that by 2030, emissions could range from 211 to 428 $MTCO_2e$ per year ($MTCO_2e$/yr), indicating that "even if all modeled policies are not implemented, reductions could be sufficient to reduce emissions 40% below the 1990 level [of SB 32]." CALGAPS analyzed emissions through 2050 even though it did not generally account for policies that might be put in place after 2030. Although the research indicated that the emissions would not meet the State's 80% reduction goal by 2050, various combinations of policies could allow California's cumulative emissions to remain very low through 2050 (35) (36).

Cap-and-Trade Program

The *2017 Scoping Plan* identifies a Cap-and-Trade Program as one of the key strategies for California to reduce GHG emissions. According to CARB, a cap-and-trade program would help put California on the path to meet its goal of achieving a 40% reduction in GHG emissions from 1990 levels by 2030. Under cap-and-trade, an overall limit on GHG emissions from capped sectors is established, and facilities subject to the cap would be able to trade permits to emit GHGs within the overall limit.

CARB adopted a California Cap-and-Trade Program pursuant to its authority under AB 32. The Cap-and-Trade Program is designed to reduce GHG emissions from regulated entities by more than 16% between 2013 and 2020, and by an additional 40% by 2030. The statewide cap for GHG emissions from the capped sectors (e.g., electricity generation, petroleum refining, and cement production)

commenced in 2013 and would decline over time, achieving GHG emission reductions throughout the program's duration.

Covered entities that emit more than 25,000 $MTCO_2e$/yr must comply with the Cap-and-Trade Program. Triggering of the 25,000 $MTCO_2e$/yr "inclusion threshold" is measured against a subset of emissions reported and verified under the California Regulation for the Mandatory Reporting of GHG Emissions (Mandatory Reporting Rule or "MRR").

Under the Cap-and-Trade Program, CARB issues allowances equal to the total amount of allowable emissions over a given compliance period and distributes these to regulated entities. Covered entities are allocated free allowances in whole or part (if eligible), and may buy allowances at auction, purchase allowances from others, or purchase offset credits. Each covered entity with a compliance obligation is required to surrender "compliance instruments" for each $MTCO_2e$ of GHG they emit. There also are requirements to surrender compliance instruments covering 30% of the prior year's compliance obligation by November of each year (37).

The Cap-and-Trade Program provides a firm cap, which provides the highest certainty of achieving the 2030 target. An inherent feature of the Cap-and-Trade program is that it does not guarantee GHG emissions reductions in any discrete location or by any particular source. Rather, GHG emissions reductions are only guaranteed on an accumulative basis. As summarized by CARB in the *First Update to the Climate Change Scoping Plan*:

> *"The Cap-and-Trade Regulation gives companies the flexibility to trade allowances with others or take steps to cost-effectively reduce emissions at their own facilities. Companies that emit more have to turn in more allowances or other compliance instruments. Companies that can cut their GHG emissions have to turn in fewer allowances. But as the cap declines, aggregate emissions must be reduced. In other words, a covered entity theoretically could increase its GHG emissions every year and still comply with the Cap-and-Trade Program if there is a reduction in GHG emissions from other covered entities. Such a focus on aggregate GHG emissions is considered appropriate because climate change is a global phenomenon, and the effects of GHG emissions are considered cumulative."* (38)

The Cap-and-Trade Program covers approximately 80% of California's GHG emissions (34). The Cap-and-Trade Program covers the GHG emissions associated with electricity consumed in California, whether generated in-state or imported. Accordingly, GHG emissions associated with CEQA projects' electricity usage are covered by the Cap-and-Trade Program. The Cap-and-Trade Program also covers fuel suppliers (natural gas and propane fuel providers and transportation fuel providers) to address emissions from such fuels and from combustion of other fossil fuels not directly covered at large sources in the Program's first compliance period. The Cap-and-Trade Program covers the GHG emissions associated with the combustion of transportation fuels in California, whether refined in-state or imported.

2022 CARB Scoping Plan

On December 15, 2022, CARB adopted the 2022 Scoping Plan for Achieving Carbon Neutrality (2022 Scoping Plan) (39). The 2022 Scoping Plan builds on the 2017 Scoping Plan as well as the requirements set forth by AB 1279, which directs the state to become carbon neutral no later than 2045. To achieve this statutory objective, the 2022 Scoping Plan lays out how California can reduce

GHG emissions by 85% below 1990 levels and achieve carbon neutrality by 2045. The Scoping Plan scenario to do this is to "deploy a broad portfolio of existing and emerging fossil fuel alternatives and clean technologies, and align with statutes, Executive Orders, Board direction, and direction from the governor." The 2022 Scoping Plan sets one of the most aggressive approaches to reach carbon neutrality in the world. Unlike the 2017 Scoping Plan, CARB no longer includes a numeric per capita threshold and instead advocates for compliance with a local GHG reduction strategy (CAP) consistent with *CEQA Guidelines* section 15183.5.

The key elements of the 2022 CARB Scoping Plan focus on transportation - the regulations that will impact this sector are adopted and enforced by CARB on vehicle manufacturers and outside the jurisdiction and control of local governments. As stated in the Plan's executive summary:

> *"The major element of this unprecedented transformation is the aggressive reduction of fossil fuels wherever they are currently used in California, building on and accelerating carbon reduction programs that have been in place for a decade and a half. That means rapidly moving to zero-emission transportation; electrifying the cars, buses, trains, and trucks that now constitute California's single largest source of planet-warming pollution."*
>
> *"[A]pproval of this plan catalyzes a number of efforts, including the development of new regulations as well as amendments to strengthen regulations and programs already in place, not just at CARB but across state agencies."*

Under the 2022 Scoping Plan, the State will lead efforts to meet the 2045 carbon neutrality goal through implementation of the following objectives:

- Reimagine roadway projects that increase VMT in a way that meets community needs and reduces the need to drive.
- Double local transit capacity and service frequencies by 2030.
- Complete the High-Speed Rail (HSR) System and other elements of the intercity rail network by 2040.
- Expand and complete planned networks of high-quality active transportation infrastructure.
- Increase availability and affordability of bikes, e-bikes, scooters, and other alternatives to light-duty vehicles, prioritizing needs of underserved communities.
- Shift revenue generation for transportation projects away from the gas tax into more durable sources by 2030.
- Authorize and implement roadway pricing strategies and reallocate revenues to equitably improve transit, bicycling, and other sustainable transportation choices.
- Prioritize addressing key transit bottlenecks and other infrastructure investments to improve transit operational efficiency over investments that increase VMT.
- Develop and implement a statewide transportation demand management (TDM) framework with VMT mitigation requirements for large employers and large developments.
- Prevent uncontrolled growth of autonomous vehicle (AV) VMT, particularly zero-passenger miles.
- Channel new mobility services towards pooled use models, transit complementarity, and lower VMT outcomes.
- Establish an integrated statewide system for trip planning, booking, payment, and user accounts that enables efficient and equitable multimodal systems.

- Provide financial support for low-income and disadvantaged Californians' use of transit and new mobility services.
- Expand universal design features for new mobility services.
- Accelerate infill development in existing transportation-efficient places and deploy strategic resources to create more transportation-efficient locations.
- Encourage alignment in land use, housing, transportation, and conservation planning in adopted regional plans (RTP/SCS and RHNA) and local plans (e.g., general plans, zoning, and local transportation plans).
- Accelerate production of affordable housing in forms and locations that reduce VMT and affirmatively further fair housing policy objectives.
- Reduce or eliminate parking requirements (and/or enact parking maximums, as appropriate) and promote redevelopment of excess parking, especially in infill locations.
- Preserve and protect existing affordable housing stock and protect existing residents and businesses from displacement and climate risk.

Included in the 2022 Scoping Plan is a set of Local Actions (Appendix D to the 2022 Scoping Plan) aimed at providing local jurisdictions with tools to reduce GHGs and assist the state in meeting the ambitious targets set forth in the 2022 Scoping Plan. Appendix D to the 2022 Scoping Plan includes a section on evaluating plan-level and project-level alignment with the State's Climate Goals in CEQA GHG analyses. In this section, CARB identifies several recommendations and strategies that should be considered for new development to determine consistency with the 2022 Scoping Plan. CARB's primary recommendation for determining consistency with the 2022 Scoping Plan and determining a less than significant impact is for Project's to rely on a CEQA-qualified Climate Action Plan (CAP).

In Appendix D of the 2022 Scoping Plan, CARB states: "When jurisdictions have a CEQA-qualified CAP, an individual project that complies with the strategies and actions within a CEQA-qualified CAP can tier and streamline its project-specific CEQA GHG analysis to make a determination "that a project's incremental contribution to a cumulative [GHG] effect is not cumulatively considerable" (CEQA Guidelines Sections 15064.4 (b)(3) and 15183.5). CARB states that CEQA-qualified CAPs serve to assist the state with its long-term carbon neutrality goals. As such, it follows that a Project that is consistent with a CEQA-qualified CAP would also be consistent with meeting the States 2022 Scoping Plan goals." For analytical purposes, the County of Riverside's adopted CAP is relied upon in this analysis to determine if the Project would have a significant impact with respect to GHG emissions.

2.7.3.2 Executive Orders Related to GHG Emissions

California's Executive Branch has taken several actions to reduce GHGs through the use of Executive Orders. Although not regulatory, they set the tone for the state and guide the actions of state agencies.

Executive Order S-3-05

California Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger announced on June 1, 2005, through Executive Order S-3-05, the following reduction targets for GHG emissions:

- By 2010, reduce GHG emissions to 2000 levels.
- By 2020, reduce GHG emissions to 1990 levels.
- By 2050, reduce GHG emissions to 80% below 1990 levels.

The 2050 reduction goal represents what some scientists believe is necessary to reach levels that would stabilize the climate. The 2020 goal was established to be a mid-term target. Because this is an executive order, the goals are not legally enforceable for local governments or the private sector.

Executive Order S-01-07 (LCFS)

Governor Schwarzenegger signed Executive Order S-01-07 on January 18, 2007. The order mandates that a statewide goal shall be established to reduce the carbon intensity of California's transportation fuels by at least 10% by 2020. CARB adopted the LCFS on April 23, 2009.

After a series of legal changes, in order to address the Court ruling, CARB was required to bring a new LCFS regulation to the Board for consideration in February 2015. The proposed LCFS regulation was required to contain revisions to the 2010 LCFS as well as new provisions designed to foster investments in the production of the low-carbon intensity fuels, offer additional flexibility to regulated parties, update critical technical information, simplify and streamline program operations, and enhance enforcement. On November 16, 2015, the Office of Administrative Law (OAL) approved the Final Rulemaking Package. The new LCFS regulation became effective on January 1, 2016.

In 2018, CARB approved amendments to the regulation, which included strengthening the carbon intensity benchmarks through 2030 in compliance with the SB 32 GHG emissions reduction target for 2030. The amendments included crediting opportunities to promote zero emission vehicle adoption, alternative jet fuel, carbon capture and sequestration, and advanced technologies to achieve deep decarbonization in the transportation sector (40).

Executive Order S-13-08

Executive Order S-13-08 states that "climate change in California during the next century is expected to shift precipitation patterns, accelerate sea level rise and increase temperatures, thereby posing a serious threat to California's economy, to the health and welfare of its population and to its natural resources." Pursuant to the requirements in the Order, the *2009 California Climate Adaptation Strategy* (*CNRA 2009*) was adopted, which is the "…first statewide, multi-sector, region-specific, and information-based climate change adaptation strategy in the United States." Objectives include analyzing risks of climate change in California, identifying, and exploring strategies to adapt to climate change, and specifying a direction for future research.

Executive Order B-30-15

On April 29, 2015, Governor Brown issued an executive order to establish a California GHG reduction target of 40% below 1990 levels by 2030. The Governor's executive order aligned California's GHG reduction targets with those of leading international governments ahead of the U.N. Climate Change Conference in Paris late 2015. The Order sets a new interim statewide GHG emission reduction target to reduce GHG emissions to 40% below 1990 levels by 2030 in order to ensure California meets its target of reducing GHG emissions to 80% below 1990 levels by 2050 and directs CARB to update the *2017 Scoping Plan* to express the 2030 target in terms of $MMTCO_2e$. The Order also requires the state's climate adaptation plan to be updated every three years, and for the State to continue its climate change research program, among other provisions. As with Executive Order S-3-05, this Order is not legally enforceable to local governments and the private sector. Legislation that would update AB 32 to make post 2020 targets and requirements a mandate is in process in the State Legislature.

Executive Order B-55-18 and SB 100

SB 100 and Executive Order B-55-18 were signed by Governor Brown on September 10, 2018. Under the existing RPS, 25% of retail sales of electricity are required to be from renewable sources by December 31, 2016, 33% by December 31, 2020, 40% by December 31, 2024, 45% by December 31, 2027, and 50% by December 31, 2030. SB 100 raises California's RPS requirement to 50% renewable resources target by December 31, 2026, and to achieve a 60% target by December 31, 2030. SB 100 also requires that retail sellers and local publicly owned electric utilities procure a minimum quantity of electricity products from eligible renewable energy resources so that the total kilowatt hours (kWh) of those products sold to their retail end-use customers achieve 44% of retail sales by December 31, 2024, 52% by December 31, 2027, and 60% by December 31, 2030. In addition to targets under AB 32 and SB 32, Executive Order B-55-18 establishes a carbon neutrality goal for the state of California by 2045; and sets a goal to maintain net negative emissions thereafter. The Executive Order directs the California Natural Resources Agency (CNRA), California EPA (CalEPA), the California Department of Food and Agriculture (CDFA), and CARB to include sequestration targets in the Natural and Working Lands Climate Change Implementation Plan consistent with the carbon neutrality goal.

2.7.3.3 California Regulations and Building Codes

California has a long history of adopting regulations to improve energy efficiency in new and remodeled buildings. These regulations have kept California's energy consumption relatively flat even with rapid population growth.

Title 20 CCR Sections 1601 et seq. – Appliance Efficiency Regulations

The Appliance Efficiency Regulations regulate the sale of appliances in California. The Appliance Efficiency Regulations include standards for both federally regulated appliances and non-federally regulated appliances. Twenty-three categories of appliances are included in the scope of these regulations. The standards within these regulations apply to appliances that are sold or offered for sale in California, except those sold wholesale in California for final retail sale outside the state and those designed and sold exclusively for use in recreational vehicles (RV) or other mobile equipment (CEC 2012).

Title 24 Energy Efficiency Standards and California Green Building Standards

California Code of Regulations (CCR) Title 24 Part 6: The California Energy Code was first adopted in 1978 in response to a legislative mandate to reduce California's energy consumption.

The standards are updated periodically to allow consideration and possible incorporation of new energy efficient technologies and methods. CCR, Title 24, Part 11: California Green Building Standards Code (CALGreen) is a comprehensive and uniform regulatory code for all residential, commercial, and school buildings that went in effect on August 1, 2009, and is administered by the California Building Standards Commission.

The 2022 California Title 24 Energy Standards became effective on January 1, 2023, with updates for the 2025 standards set to take effect on January 1, 2026. Title 24, Part 11 includes both mandatory measures and optional voluntary measures. The Project would be required to comply with the

applicable standards in place at the time plan check submittals are made. These require, among other items (41):

Nonresidential Mandatory Measures

- Short-term bicycle parking. If the new project or an additional alteration is anticipated to generate visitors, provide permanently anchored bicycle racks within 200 feet of the visitors' entrance, readily visible to passers-by, for 20% of the peak daily visitors, with a minimum of one two-bike capacity rack (5.106.4.1.1).
- Long-term bicycle parking. Acceptable bicycle parking facility for Sections 5.106.4.1.2.1, 5.106.4.1.2.2 and 5.106.4.1.2.3 shall be conveniently located near the street and shall meet one of the following:
    1) Covered, lockable enclosures with permanently anchored racks for bicycles;
    2) Lockable bicycle rooms with permanently anchored racks; or
    3) Lockable, permanently anchored bicycle lockers.

  Calculations for bicycle parking requirements shall be rounded up to the nearest whole number (5.106.4.1.2).
- EV charging stations. Construction to provide EV infrastructure and facilitate EV charging shall comply with Section 5.106.5.3.1 EV capable spaces, Section 5.106.5.3.2. EV charging stations and associated Table 5.106.5.3.1, or Section 5.106.5.3.6 EV charging stations – Power allocation method and associated Table 5.106.5.3.6, and shall be provided in accordance with regulations in the *California Building Code* and the *California Electrical Code.*
- Light pollution reduction. Outdoor lighting systems shall be designed and installed to comply with the following:
    1) The minimum requirements in the *California Energy Code* for Lighting Zones 0-4 as defined in Chapter 10, Section 10-114 of the *California Administrative Code*; and
    2) Backlight (B) ratings as defined in IES TM-15-11 (shown in Table A-1 in Chapter 8);
    3) Uplight and Glare ratings as defined in *California Energy Code* (shown in Tables 130.2-A and 130.2-B in Chapter 8)
    4) Allowable BUG ratings not exceeding those shown in Table 5.106.8, or
    5) Comply with a local ordinance lawfully enacted pursuant to Section 101.7, whichever is more stringent (5.106.8).
- Construction waste management. Recycle and/or salvage for reuse a minimum of 65% of the nonhazardous construction and demolition waste in accordance with Section 5.408.1.1. 5.405.1.2, or 5.408.1.3; or meet a local construction and demolition waste management ordinance, whichever is more stringent (5.408.1)
- Excavated soil and land clearing debris. 100% of trees, stumps, rocks and associated vegetation and soils resulting primarily from land clearing shall be reused or recycled. For a phased project, such material may be stockpiled on site until the storage site is developed (5.408.3).

- Recycling by Occupants. Provide readily accessible areas that serve the entire building and are identified for the depositing, storage, and collection of non-hazardous materials for recycling, including (at a minimum) paper, corrugated cardboard, glass, plastics, organic waste, and metals or meet a lawfully enacted local recycling ordinance, if more restrictive (5.410.1).
- Water conserving plumbing fixtures and fittings. Plumbing fixtures (water closets and urinals) and fittings (faucets and showerheads) shall comply with the following:
    1) Water Closets. The effective flush volume of all water closets shall not exceed 1.28 gallons per flush (5.303.3.1).
    2) Urinals. The effective flush volume of wall-mounted urinals shall not exceed 0.125 gallons per flush (5.303.3.2.1). The effective flush volume of floor- mounted or other urinals shall not exceed 0.5 gallons per flush (5.303.3.2.2).
    3) Showerheads. Single showerheads shall have a minimum flow rate of not more than 1.8 gallons per minute and 80 psi (5.303.3.3.1). When a shower is served by more than one showerhead, the combined flow rate of all showerheads and/or other shower outlets controlled by a single valve shall not exceed 1.8 gallons per minute at 80 psi (5.303.3.3.2).
    4) Faucets and fountains. Nonresidential lavatory faucets shall have a maximum flow rate of not more than 0.5 gallons per minute at 60 psi (5.303.3.4.1). Kitchen faucets shall have a maximum flow rate of not more than 1.8 gallons per minute of 60 psi (5.303.3.4.2). Wash fountains shall have a maximum flow rate of not more than 1.8 gallons per minute/20 [rim space (inches) at 60 psi (5.303.3.4.3). Metering faucets shall not deliver more than 0.20 gallons per cycle (5.303.3.4.4). Metering faucets for wash fountains shall have a maximum flow rate not more than 0.20 gallons per cycle (5.303.3.4.5).
- Outdoor potable water use in landscaped areas. Nonresidential developments shall comply with a local water efficient landscape ordinance or the current California Department of Water Resources' Model Water Efficient Landscape Ordinance (MWELO), whichever is more stringent (5.304.1).
- Meters. For new buildings or additions in excess of 50,000 sf, separate submeters shall be installed as follows (5.303.1.1):
    1) For each individual leased, rented or other tenant space within the building projected to consume more than 100 gal/day (380 L/day), including, but not limited to, spaces used for laundry or cleaners, restaurant or food service, medical or dental office, laboratory, or beauty salon or barber shop.
    2) Where separate submeters for individual buildings tenants are unfeasible, for water supplied to the following subsystems:
        a. Makeup water for cooling towers where flow through is greater than 500 gpm (30 L/s).
        b. Makeup water for evaporative coolers greater than 6 gpm (0.04 L/s).
        c. Steam and hot-water boilers with energy input more than 500,000 BTU/h (147 kW).

    For excess consumption, a separate submeter or metering device shall be provided for any tenant within a new building or within an addition that is projected to consume more than 1,000 gal/day (5.303.1.2).

- Commissioning. For new buildings 10,000 sf and over, building commissioning shall be included in the design and construction processes of the building project to verify that the building systems and components meet the owner's or owner representative's project requirements (5.410.2).

CARB Refrigerant Management Program

CARB adopted a regulation in 2009 to reduce refrigerant GHG emissions from stationary sources through refrigerant leak detection and monitoring, leak repair, system retirement and retrofitting, reporting and recordkeeping, and proper refrigerant cylinder use, sale, and disposal. The regulation is set forth in sections 95380 to 95398 of Title 17, CCR. The rules implementing the regulation establish a limit on statewide GHG emissions from stationary facilities with refrigeration systems with more than 50 pounds of a high GWP refrigerant. The refrigerant management program is designed to (1) reduce emissions of high-GWP GHG refrigerants from leaky stationary, non-residential refrigeration equipment; (2) reduce emissions from the installation and servicing of refrigeration and air-conditioning appliances using high-GWP refrigerants; and (3) verify GHG emission reductions.

SB 97 and the CEQA Guidelines Update

Passed in August 2007, SB 97 added Section 21083.05 to the Public Resources Code. The code states "(a) On or before July 1, 2009, the Office of Planning and Research (OPR) shall prepare, develop, and transmit to the Resources Agency guidelines for the mitigation of GHG emissions or the effects of GHG emissions as required by this division, including, but not limited to, effects associated with transportation or energy consumption. (b) On or before January 1, 2010, the Resources Agency shall certify and adopt guidelines prepared and developed by the OPR pursuant to subdivision (a)."

In 2012, Public Resources Code Section 21083.05 was amended to state:

> *"The Office of Planning and Research and the Natural Resources Agency shall periodically update the guidelines for the mitigation of greenhouse gas emissions or the effects of greenhouse gas emissions as required by this division, including, but not limited to, effects associated with transportation or energy consumption, to incorporate new information or criteria established by the State Air Resources Board pursuant to Division 25.5 (commencing with Section 38500) of the Health and Safety Code."*

On December 28, 2018, the Natural Resources Agency announced the OAL approved the amendments to the *CEQA Guidelines* for implementing CEQA. The CEQA Amendments provide guidance to public agencies regarding the analysis and mitigation of the effects of GHG emissions in CEQA documents. The CEQA Amendments fit within the existing CEQA framework by amending existing *CEQA Guidelines* to reference climate change.

Section 15064.4 was added to the *CEQA Guidelines* and states that in determining the significance of a project's GHG emissions, the lead agency should focus its analysis on the reasonably foreseeable incremental contribution of the project's emissions to the effects of climate change. A project's incremental contribution may be cumulatively considerable even if it appears relatively insignificant compared to statewide, national, or global emissions. The agency's analysis should consider a timeframe that is appropriate for the project. The agency's analysis also must reasonably reflect evolving scientific knowledge and state regulatory schemes. Additionally, a lead agency may use a

model or methodology to estimate GHG emissions resulting from a project. The lead agency has discretion to select the model or methodology it considers most appropriate to enable decision makers to intelligently take into account the project's incremental contribution to climate change. The lead agency must support its selection of a model or methodology with substantial evidence. The lead agency should explain the limitations of the particular model or methodology selected for use (42).

2.7.4 Regional

The Project site is located within the South Coast Air Basin (SCAB), which is under the jurisdiction of the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD).

SCAQMD

SCAQMD is the agency responsible for air quality planning and regulation in the SCAB. The SCAQMD addresses the impacts to climate change of projects subject to SCAQMD permit as a lead agency if they are the only agency having discretionary approval for the project and acts as a responsible agency when a land use agency must also approve discretionary permits for the project. The SCAQMD acts as an expert commenting agency for impacts to air quality. This expertise carries over to GHG emissions, so the agency helps local land use agencies through the development of models and emission thresholds that can be used to address GHG emissions.

In 2008, SCAQMD formed a Working Group to identify GHG emissions thresholds for land use projects that could be used by local lead agencies in the SCAB. The Working Group developed several different options that are contained in the SCAQMD Draft Guidance Document – Interim CEQA GHG Significance Threshold, which could be applied by lead agencies. The working group has not provided additional guidance since release of the interim guidance in 2008. The SCAQMD Board has not approved the thresholds; however, the Guidance Document provides substantial evidence supporting the approaches to significance of GHG emissions that can be considered by the lead agency in adopting its own threshold. The current interim thresholds consist of the following tiered approach:

- Tier 1 consists of evaluating whether or not the project qualifies for any applicable exemption under CEQA.
- Tier 2 consists of determining whether the project is consistent with a GHG reduction plan. If a project is consistent with a qualifying local GHG reduction plan, it does not have significant GHG emissions.
- Tier 3 consists of screening values, which the lead agency can choose, but must be consistent with all projects within its jurisdiction. A project's construction emissions are averaged over 30 years and are added to the project's operational emissions. If a project's emissions are below one of the following screening thresholds, then the project is less than significant:
  - Residential and commercial land use: 3,000 $MTCO_2e/yr$
  - Industrial land use: 10,000 $MTCO_2e/yr$
  - Based on land use type: residential: 3,500 $MTCO_2e/yr$; commercial: 1,400 $MTCO_2e/yr$; or mixed use: 3,000 $MTCO_2e/yr$
- Tier 4 has the following options:
  - Option 1: Reduce Business-as-Usual (BAU) emissions by a certain percentage; this percentage is currently undefined.
  - Option 2: Early implementation of applicable AB 32 Scoping Plan measures

- Option 3: 2020 target for service populations (SP), which includes residents and employees: 4.8 $MTCO_2e$ per SP per year for projects and 6.6 $MTCO_2e$ per SP per year for plans;
- Option 3, 2035 target: 3.0 $MTCO_2e$ per SP per year for projects and 4.1 $MTCO_2e$ per SP per year for plans

- Tier 5 involves mitigation offsets to achieve target significance threshold.

The SCAQMD's interim thresholds used the Executive Order S-3-05-year 2050 goal as the basis for the Tier 3 screening level. Achieving the Executive Order's objective would contribute to worldwide efforts to cap CO_2 concentrations at 450 ppm, thus stabilizing global climate.

SCAQMD only has authority over GHG emissions from development projects that include air quality permits. At this time, it is unknown if the project would include stationary sources of emissions subject to SCAQMD permits. Notwithstanding, if the Project requires a stationary permit, it would be subject to the applicable SCAQMD regulations.

SCAQMD Regulation XXVII, adopted in 2009 includes the following rules:

- Rule 2700 defines terms and post global warming potentials.
- Rule 2701, SoCal Climate Solutions Exchange, establishes a voluntary program to encourage, quantify, and certify voluntary, high quality certified GHG emission reductions in the SCAQMD.
- Rule 2702, GHG Reduction Program created a program to produce GHG emission reductions within the SCAQMD. The SCAQMD would fund projects through contracts in response to requests for proposals or purchase reductions from other parties.

Connect SoCal 2024-2050 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy

On April 4, 2024, Southern California Association of Governments' (SCAG) Regional Council adopted the Connect SoCal 2024-2050 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (RTP/SCS). The SCAG 2024 Connect SoCal refers to the Southern California Association of Governments' (SCAG) Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (RTP/SCS) for the year 2024. It outlines a comprehensive vision and plan for transportation and sustainable growth across Southern California, addressing issues such as transportation infrastructure, land use, housing, and environmental sustainability. The plan aims to guide development and policy decisions to support a more connected, efficient, and sustainable future for the region (43).

2.7.5 Local

County of Riverside Climate Action Plan (CAP)

The County of Riverside's Climate Action Plan (CAP) was designed under the premise that the County of Riverside, and the community it represents, is uniquely capable of addressing emissions associated with sources under the County of Riverside's jurisdiction, and that the County of Riverside's emission reduction efforts should coordinate with the state strategies of reducing emissions in order to accomplish these reductions in an efficient and cost-effective manner.

The CAP Update (November 2019) establishes GHG emission reduction programs and regulations that correlate with and support evolving State GHG emissions reduction goals and strategies. The CAP Update includes reduction targets for year 2030 and year 2050. These reduction targets require the County of Riverside to reduce emissions by at least 525,511 $MTCO_2e$ below the adjusted BAU (ABAU) scenario by 2030 and at least 2,982,948 MT CO_2e below the ABAU scenario by 2050 (44).

To evaluate consistency with the CAP Update, the County of Riverside has implemented CAP Update Screening Tables (Screening Tables) to aid in measuring the reduction of GHG emissions attributable to certain design and construction measures incorporated in development projects. To this end, the Screening Tables establish categories of GHG Implementation Measures. Under each Implementation Measure category, mitigation or project design features (collectively "features") are assigned point values that correspond to the minimum GHG emissions reduction that would result from each feature. Projects that yield at least 100 points are considered to be consistent with the GHG emissions reduction quantities anticipated in the County of Riverside's GHG Technical Report and support the GHG emissions reduction targets established under the CAP Update. The potential for such projects to generate direct or indirect GHG emissions that would result in a significant impact on the environment; or conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases would be considered less-than-significant.

This page intentionally left blank

3 PROJECT GHG IMPACT

3.1 INTRODUCTION

The Project has been evaluated to determine if it will result in a significant GHG impact. The significance of these potential impacts is described in the following sections.

3.2 STANDARDS OF SIGNIFICANCE

The criteria used to determine the significance of potential Project-related GHG impacts are taken from the Initial Study Checklist in Appendix G of the State *CEQA Guidelines* (14 California Code of Regulations §§15000, et seq.). Based on these thresholds, a project would result in a significant impact related to GHG if it would (45):

- Generate GHG emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?
- Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of GHGs?

The evaluation of an impact under CEQA requires measuring data from a project against both existing conditions and a "threshold of significance." For establishing significance thresholds, the Office of Planning and Research's amendments to the CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.7(c) state *"[w]hen adopting thresholds of significance, a lead agency may consider thresholds of significance previously adopted or recommended by other public agencies, or recommended by experts, provided the decision of the lead agency to adopt such thresholds is supported by substantial evidence."*

CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.4(a) further states, *". . . A lead agency shall have discretion to determine, in the context of a particular project, whether to: (1) Use a model or methodology to quantify greenhouse gas emissions resulting from a project, and which model or methodology to use . . .; or (2) Rely on a qualitative analysis or performance-based standards."*

CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.4 provides that a lead agency should consider the following factors, among others, in assessing the significance of impacts from greenhouse gas emissions:

- Consideration #1: The extent to which the project may increase or reduce greenhouse gas emissions as compared to the existing environmental setting.
- Consideration #2: Whether the project emissions exceed a threshold of significance that the lead agency determines applies to the project.
- Consideration #3: The extent to which the project complies with regulations or requirements adopted to implement a statewide, regional, or local plan for the reduction or mitigation of greenhouse gas emissions. Such regulations or requirements must be adopted by the relevant public agency through a public review process and must reduce or mitigate the project's incremental contribution of greenhouse gas emissions. In determining the significance of impacts, the lead agency may consider a project's consistency with the State's long-term climate goals or strategies, provided that substantial evidence supports the agency's analysis of how those goals or strategies address the project's incremental contribution to climate change and its conclusion that the project's incremental contribution is not cumulatively considerable.

3.2.1 Thresholds of Significance

The CAP Update identifies a two-step approach in evaluating GHG emissions. First, a screening threshold of 3,000 $MTCO_2e/yr$ is used to determine if additional analysis is required. Projects that exceed the 3,000 $MTCO_2e/yr$ will be required to quantify and disclose the anticipated GHG emissions then either 1) demonstrate GHG emissions at project buildout year levels of efficiency and include project design features and/or mitigation measures to reduce GHG emissions or 2) garner 100 points through the Screening Tables.

Projects that garner at least 100 points (equivalent to an approximate 49% reduction in GHG emissions below 2008 baseline levels) are determined to be consistent with the reduction quantities anticipated in the County of Riverside's GHG Technical Report and consequently would be consistent with the CAP Update. As such, projects that achieve a total of 100 points or more are considered to have a less than significant individual and cumulative impact on GHG emissions.

3.3 Models Employed To Analyze Greenhouse Gases

3.3.1 California Emissions Estimator Model (CalEEMod)

The California Air Pollution Control Officers Association (CAPCOA) in conjunction with other California air districts, including SCAQMD, released CalEEMod 2022 in May 2022. CalEEMod periodically releases updates, as such the latest version available at the time of this report has been utilized in this analysis. The purpose of this model is to calculate construction-source and operational-source criteria pollutants and GHG emissions from direct and indirect sources; and quantify applicable air quality and GHG reductions achieved from mitigation measures (46). Accordingly, the latest version of CalEEMod has been used for this Project to determine GHG emissions. Output from the model runs for construction and operational activity for the proposed Project is provided in Appendices 3.1 and 3.2. CalEEMod includes GHG emissions from the following source categories: construction, area, energy, mobile, waste, water, and refrigerants sources.

3.4 Life-Cycle Analysis Not Required

A full life-cycle analysis (LCA) for construction and operational activity is not included in this analysis due to the lack of consensus guidance on LCA methodology at this time (47). Life-cycle analysis (i.e., assessing economy-wide GHG emissions from the processes in manufacturing and transporting all raw materials used in the Project development, infrastructure, and on-going operations) depends on emission factors or econometric factors that are not well established for all processes. At this time, an LCA would be extremely speculative and thus has not been prepared.

Additionally, the SCAQMD recommends analyzing direct and indirect project GHG emissions generated within California and not life-cycle emissions because the life-cycle effects from a project could occur outside of California, might not be very well understood, or documented, and would be challenging to mitigate (48). Additionally, the science to calculate life cycle emissions is not yet established or well defined; therefore, the SCAQMD has not recommended, and is not requiring, life-cycle emissions analysis.

3.5 CONSTRUCTION EMISSIONS

Project construction activities would generate CO_2 and CH_4 emissions. The *Akash Winery Air Quality Impact Analysis* (AQIA) report contains detailed information regarding Project construction activities (49). As discussed in the AQIA, construction-related emissions are expected from the following activities.

- Grading
- Building Construction
- Paving
- Architectural Coating

3.5.1 Construction Duration

For purposes of analysis, Project construction will begin in December 2026 and would last through June 2027. The construction schedule utilized in the analysis, shown in Table 3-1, represents a "conservative" analysis scenario should construction occur any time after the respective dates since emission factors for construction decrease as time passes and the analysis year increases due to emission regulations becoming more stringent.[3] The duration of construction activity and associated equipment represents a reasonable approximation of the expected construction fleet as required per the *CEQA Guidelines* (50).

TABLE 3-1: CONSTRUCTION DURATION

Construction Activity	Start Date	End Date	Days
Grading	12/14/2026	12/15/2026	2
Building Construction	12/15/2026	05/04/2027	100
Paving	05/05/2027	05/18/2027	10
Architectural Coating	05/19/2027	06/01/2027	10

3.5.2 Construction Equipment

Consistent with industry standards and typical construction practices, each piece of equipment listed in Table 3-2 is assumed to operate up to a total of eight (8) hours per day, or more than two-thirds of the period during which construction activities are allowed pursuant to the County code.

TABLE 3-2: CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT ASSUMPTIONS

Construction Activity	Equipment	Quantity	Hours
Grading	Graders	1	8
Grading	Rubber Tired Dozers	1	8
Grading	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	2	8
Building Construction	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	1	8
Building Construction	Cranes	1	8

[3] As shown in the CalEEMod User's Guide Version 2022, Appendix G "Table G-11. Statewide Average Annual Offroad Equipment Emission Factors" as the analysis year increases, emission factors for the same equipment pieces decrease due to the natural turnover of older equipment being replaced by newer less polluting equipment and new regulatory requirements.

Construction Activity	Equipment	Quantity	Hours
Building Construction	Forklifts	1	8
Building Construction	Generator Sets	1	8
Building Construction	Welder	1	8
Paving	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	1	8
Paving	Cement and Mortar Mixers	1	8
Paving	Pavers	1	8
Paving	Paving Equipment	1	8
Paving	Rollers	1	8
Architectural Coating	Air Compressors	1	8

3.5.3 Construction Emissions Summary

For construction phase Project emissions, GHGs are quantified and amortized over the life of the Project. To amortize the emissions over the life of the Project, the SCAQMD recommends calculating the total GHG emissions for the construction activities, dividing it by a 30-year Project life then adding that number to the annual operational phase GHG emissions (51). As such, construction emissions were amortized over a 30-year period and added to the annual operational phase GHG emissions. The amortized construction emissions are presented in Table 3-3.

TABLE 3-3: AMORTIZED ANNUAL CONSTRUCTION EMISSIONS

Year	Total CO2E
2026	37.07
2027	279.37
Total Annual Construction Emissions	316.44
Amortized Over 30 Years	10.55

3.6 OPERATIONAL EMISSIONS

Operational activities associated with the Project would result in emissions of CO_2, CH_4, N_2O, and Refrigerant emissions from the following primary sources:

- Mobile Source Emissions;
- Area Source Emissions;
- Energy Source Emissions;
- Water Supply, Treatment, and Distribution;
- Solid Waste; and
- Refrigeration.

3.6.1 Mobile Source Emissions

The Project related operational GHG emissions derive primarily from vehicle trips generated by the Project associated with the proposed use. The Project trip generation has been calculated based on the Project's anticipated event schedule, including the estimated number of events per year, number

of guests per event, and associated travel miles. The anticipated trip generation for the Project is shown in Table 3-4 and is based on client provided information.

TABLE 3-4: PROJECT TRIP GENERATION AND MOBILE TRAVEL MILES

Event	Event Frequency	No. Events per Year	Number Guests	Travel Miles Per Event	Miles per year
Wellness Classes	Once a week	52	40	20	41,600
Comedy Night	Twice per month	24	200	20	96,000
Wine Club Night	Twice per month	24	200	20	96,000
Charity Events	Twice per month	24	200	20	96,000
Live Music-Indoor	5x per week	260	200	20	1,040,000
Live Music-Outdoor	4x per month	48	200	20	192,000
Wedding Ceremonies	5x per month	60	200	20	240,000
Wedding Reception	5x per month	60	200	20	240,000
Total					2,041,600

3.6.2 Area Source Emissions

Landscape Maintenance Equipment

Landscape maintenance equipment would generate emissions from fuel combustion and evaporation of unburned fuel. Equipment in this category would include lawnmowers, shedders/grinders, blowers, trimmers, chain saws, and hedge trimmers used to maintain the landscaping of the Project. It should be noted that on October 9, 2021, Governor Gavin Newsom signed AB 1346. The bill aims to ban the sale of new gasoline-powered equipment under 25 gross horsepower (known as small off-road engines [SOREs]) by January 1, 2024, which is now in effect. However, for purposes of analysis, the emissions associated with landscape maintenance equipment were calculated based on assumptions provided in CalEEMod, and do not incorporate the emissions reductions that would be realized from implementation of AB 1346.

3.6.3 Energy Source Emissions

Combustion Emissions Associated with Electricity and Natural Gas

GHGs are emitted from buildings as a result of activities for which electricity and natural gas are typically used as energy sources. Combustion of any type of fuel emits CO_2 and other GHGs directly into the atmosphere; these emissions are considered direct emissions associated with a building; the building energy use emissions do not include street lighting.[4] GHGs are also emitted during the generation of electricity from fossil fuels; these emissions are considered to be indirect emissions.

[4] The CalEEMod emissions inventory model does not include indirect emission related to street lighting. Indirect emissions related to street lighting are expected to be negligible and cannot be accurately quantified at this time as there is insufficient information as to the number and type of street lighting that would occur.

Natural gas and electricity usage associated with the Project was calculated by CalEEMod using default parameters.

3.6.4 Water Supply, Treatment, and Distribution

Indirect GHG emissions result from the production of electricity used to convey, treat, and distribute water and wastewater. The amount of electricity required to convey, treat, and distribute water depends on the volume of water as well as the sources of the water.

Project-related water demand associated with wine production was estimated based on the net Project site area (4.84 acres) and the Rancho California Water District (RCWD) winery water duty factor of 1.5 acre-feet per year per acre (ac-ft/yr/ac), as identified in the Wine Country Community Plan Environmental Impact Report (EIR). Applying a conversion factor of 325,851 gallons per acre-foot yields an estimated annual water demand of approximately 2,365,678 gallons associated with wine production. This water demand was added to the CalEEMod default water demand, resulting in a total annual Project water demand of approximately 2,587,909 gallons.

3.6.5 Solid Waste

The proposed land uses would result in the generation and disposal of solid waste. A percentage of this waste would be diverted from landfills by a variety of means, such as reducing the amount of waste generated, recycling, and/or composting. The remainder of the waste not diverted would be disposed of at a landfill. GHG emissions from landfills are associated with the anaerobic breakdown of material. GHG emissions associated with the disposal of solid waste associated with the proposed Project were calculated by CalEEMod using default parameters.

3.6.6 Refrigerants

Air conditioning (A/C) and refrigeration equipment associated with the buildings are anticipated to generate GHG emissions. CalEEMod automatically generates a default A/C and refrigeration equipment inventory for each project land use subtype based on industry data from the USEPA (2016b). CalEEMod quantifies refrigerant emissions from leaks during regular operation and routine servicing over the equipment lifetime and then derives average annual emissions from the lifetime estimate. Note that CalEEMod does not quantify emissions from the disposal of refrigeration and A/C equipment at the end of its lifetime. Per 17 CCR 95371, new facilities with refrigeration equipment containing more than 50 pounds of refrigerant are prohibited from utilizing refrigerants with a GWP of 150 or greater as of January 1, 2022. Additionally, beginning January 1, 2025, all new air conditioning equipment may not use refrigerants with a GWP of 750 or greater. GHG emissions associated with refrigerants were calculated by CalEEMod using default parameters.

3.6.7 GHG Emissions Summary

The estimated Project-related GHG emissions are summarized in Table 3-5. Detailed operation model outputs for the Project are presented in Appendix 3.1. As shown in Table 3-5, construction and operation of the Project would generate approximately 961.63 $MTCO_2e$/yr at Project Buildout.

TABLE 3-5: PROJECT GHG EMISSIONS

Source	Total CO2E
Annual Construction Emissions Amortized Over 30 Years	10.55
Mobile	710.82
Area	0.34
Energy	215.44
Water	18.08
Waste	4.91
Refrigeration	1.49
Total	961.63
Screening Threshold	3,000
Threshold Exceeded?	NO

3.7 GHG EMISSIONS FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

3.7.1 GHG Impact 1

Potential to generate direct or indirect GHG emissions that would result in a significant impact on the environment.

The purpose of the CAP Update is to provide guidance on how to analyze GHG emissions and determine significance during the CEQA review of proposed development projects within the County. To address the state's requirement to reduce GHG emissions, the County prepared its CAP Update with the goal of reducing GHG emissions within the County by 49% below "existing" 2008 levels by the year 2030. The County's target is consistent with the AB 32 target and ensures that the County will be providing GHG reductions locally that will complement state efforts to reduce GHG emissions. The County's target is also consistent with the SB 32 target that expands on AB 32 to reduce GHG emissions to 40% below the 1990 levels by 2030. Because the County's CAP Update addresses GHG emissions reductions and is consistent with the requirements of AB 32, SB 32, and international efforts to reduce GHG emissions, compliance with the CAP Update fulfills the description of mitigation found in the State CEQA Guidelines.

The CAP identifies a two-step approach in evaluating GHG emissions. First, a screening threshold of 3,000 $MTCO_2e/yr$ is used to determine if additional analysis is required. Projects that exceed the 3,000 $MTCO_2e/yr$ will be required to demonstrate and achieve a 25% reduction minimum of GHG emissions from a 2011-year level of efficiency compared to the mitigated Project buildout year or demonstrate at least 100 points (equivalent to an approximate 15% reduction in GHG emissions) through the CAP Screening Tables.

As shown on Table 3-5, the Project will result in approximately 961.63 $MTCO_2e/yr$; as such, the proposed Project would not exceed the County's screening threshold of 3,000 $MTCO_2e/yr$. Thus, the Project would result in a less than significant impact with respect to GHG emissions.

3.7.2 GHG Impact 2

Would the Project conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of GHGs?

The purpose of the County of Riverside CAP Update is to provide guidance on how to analyze GHG emissions and determine significance during the CEQA review of proposed development projects within the County. Because the County of Riverside CAP Update addresses GHG emissions reductions and is consistent with the requirements of AB 32, SB 32, and international efforts to reduce GHG emissions, compliance with the CAP Update fulfills the description of mitigation found in the State CEQA Guidelines.

As discussed previously, the proposed Project would not exceed the County's screening threshold of 3,000 $MTCO_2e$/yr. As such, the Project would not be required to achieve at least 100 CAP Screening Table points, and would be considered to have a less than significant individual and cumulative impact on GHG emissions.

2022 Scoping Plan Consistency

As previously stated, pursuant to 15604.4 of the CEQA Guidelines, a lead agency may rely on qualitative analysis or performance-based standards to determine the significance of impacts from GHG emissions (52). As such, the Project's consistency with the 2022 Scoping Plan, is qualitatively discussed below.

Included in the 2022 Scoping Plan is a set of Local Actions (Appendix D to the 2022 Scoping Plan) aimed at providing local jurisdictions with tools to reduce GHGs and assist the state in meeting the ambitious targets set forth in the 2022 Scoping Plan. Appendix D to the 2022 Scoping Plan includes a section on evaluating plan-level and project-level alignment with the State's Climate Goals in CEQA GHG analyses. In this section, CARB identifies several recommendations and strategies that should be considered for new development in order to determine if a project would conflict with the 2022 Scoping Plan.

The 2022 Scoping Plan includes three priority areas to reduce GHG emissions that would apply to all land use development projects. More specifically, the three priority areas include: (1) transportation electrification, (2) VMT reduction, and (3) Building Decarbonization. The potential for the Project to conflict with these three priority areas is discussed as follows:

Transportation Electrification: No Conflict. The Project would include EV charging infrastructure in accordance with the California Green Building Standards Code. Therefore, the Project does not conflict with this priority area.

VMT Reduction: No Conflict. As noted in the Project's VMT Assessment, the Project would result in a less than significant VMT impact. As such, the Project would not conflict with this priority area.

Building Decarbonization: No Conflict. Per Title 24 requirements, the Project would be required to comply with all Title 24 Energy and CALGreen requirements.

As shown in the preceding discussion, the Project does not conflict with the 2022 Scoping Plan.

Consistency with County of Riverside CAP

The purpose of the County of Riverside CAP Update is to provide guidance on how to analyze GHG emissions and determine significance during the CEQA review of proposed development projects within the County. Because the County of Riverside CAP Update addresses GHG emissions reductions and is consistent with the requirements of AB 32, SB 32, and international efforts to reduce GHG emissions, compliance with the CAP Update fulfills the description of mitigation found in the State CEQA Guidelines.

As discussed previously, the proposed Project would not exceed the County's screening threshold of 3,000 $MTCO_2e$/yr. As such, the Project would not be required to achieve at least 100 CAP Screening Table points, and would be considered to have a less than significant individual and cumulative impact on GHG emissions.

The County of Riverside CAP Update is a plan for the reduction of GHG emissions in accordance with CEQA Guidelines Section 15183.5. Pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Sections 15064(h)(3), 15130(d), and 15183(b), a project's incremental contribution to a cumulative GHG emissions effect may be determined not to be cumulatively considerable if it complies with the requirements of the CAP. Consistency with the County's CAP would result in a less than significant impact with respect to both CEQA threshold questions for GHG. Further, as previously stated, CARB recommends the use of a CAP to determine a project's impacts with respect to GHG and states that projects that are consistent with a CAP are presumed to be consistent with the 2022 Scoping Plan.

This page intentionally left blank

4 REFERENCES

1. **California Natural Resources Agency.** *2023 California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Statute and Guidelines.* s.l. : Association of Environmental Professionals, 2023.
2. **California Air Resources Board.** Assembly Bill 32: Global Warming Solutions Act. [Online] 2006. https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/fact-sheets/ab-32-global-warming-solutions-act-2006.
3. **Air Resources Board.** Sustainable Communities. [Online] 2008. https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/our-work/programs/sustainable-communities-climate-protection-program/about.
4. —. Clean Car Standards - Pavley, Assembly Bill 1493. [Online] September 24, 2009. http://www.arb.ca.gov/cc/ccms/ccms.htm.
5. **California Building Standards Commission.** California Building Standards Code (Title 24, California Code of Regulations). [Online] http://www.bsc.ca.gov/codes.aspx.
6. **California Energy Commission.** California Code of Regulations, TITLE 20, Division 2. [Online] September 3, 2013. https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2021-07/Title%2020%20Updated%20July%2023%2C%202021.pdf.
7. **California Air Resources Board.** Title 17 - California Code of Regulation. [Online] 2010. https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2020-09/basics-notes.pdf.
8. **California Energy Commission.** SB 1368 Emission Performance Standards. [Online] September 29, 2006. http://www.energy.ca.gov/emission_standards/.
9. —. Renewables Portfolio Standard (RPS). [Online] 2002. http://www.energy.ca.gov/portfolio/.
10. **California Legislative Information.** Senate Bill No. 32. [Online] September 8, 2016. https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill_id=201520160SB32.
11. **National Environmental Education Foundation.** The Principal Greenhouse Gases and Their Sources. *National Environmental Education Foundation.* [Online] https://www.neefusa.org/weather-and-climate/climate-change/principal-greenhouse-gases-and-their-sources#WaterVapor.
12. *Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report.* **International Panel on Climate Change.** 4, 2007.
13. *The Carbon Cycle and Climate Change.* **Bennington, Bret J.** 1, s.l. : Brooks/Cole. ISBN 1 3: 978-0-495-73855-8.
14. **The National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health.** Carbon Dioxide. *Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.* [Online] https://www.cdc.gov/niosh/npg/npgd0103.html.
15. **National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.** Increase in atmospheric methane set another record during 2021. *National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.* [Online] https://www.noaa.gov/news-release/increase-in-atmospheric-methane-set-another-record-during-2021#:~:text=NOAA's%20preliminary%20analysis%20showed%20the,during%202020%20was%2015.3%20ppb..
16. **World Resources Institute.** Climate Analysis Indicator Tool (CAIT). [Online] http://cait.wri.org.
17. **National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.** CFCs and their substitutes in stratospheric ozone depletion. *National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.* [Online] https://gml.noaa.gov/hats/about/cfc.html.
18. **United States Environmental Protection Agency.** Regulation for Reducing Sulfur Hexafluoride Emissions from Gas Insulated Switchgear. *Environmental Protection Agency.* [Online] May 7, 2014. https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2016-02/documents/mehl-arb-presentation-2014-wkshp.pdf.

19. **World Resources Institute.** Nitrogen Trifluoride Now Required in GHG Protocol Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory. [Online] May 22, 2013. https://www.wri.org/blog/2013/05/nitrogen-trifluoride-now-required-ghg-protocol-greenhouse-gas-emissions-inventories.

20. **National Center for Biotechnology Information.** Nitrogen Trifluoride. *PubChem Compound Database.* [Online] https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/compound/24553 .

21. **Barbara H. Allen-Diaz.** Climate change affects us all. *University of California Agriculture and Natural Resources.* [Online] April 1, 2009. http://calag.ucanr.edu/Archive/?article=ca.v063n02p51.

22. **Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.** Climate Change 2021 The Physical Science Basis. *Climate Change 2021 The Physical Science Basis.* [Online] https://www.ipcc.ch/report/sixth-assessment-report-working-group-i/.

23. **United Nations.** Annex 1. [Online] 2023. https://di.unfccc.int/time_series.

24. —. GHG Profiles - Non-Annex I. [Online] 2023. http://di.unfccc.int/ghg_profile_non_annex1.

25. **California Air Resources Board.** 2024 GHG Inventory. *California Greenhouse Gas Emission Inventory 2000-2022 Edition.* [Online] https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/ghg-inventory-data.

26. **Energy Information Administration .** [Online] https://www.eia.gov/state/data.php?sid=US.

27. **California Energy Commission.** *Our Changing Climate Assessing the Risks to California.* 2006.

28. **Center for Climate and Energy Solutions (C2ES).** Outcomes of the U.N. Climate Change Conference. *Center for Climate and Energy Solutions (C2ES).* [Online] 2015. http://www.c2es.org/international/negotiations/cop21-paris/summary.

29. **Agency, United States Environmental Protection.** Endangerment and Cause or Contribute Findings for Greenhouse Gases under the Section 202(a) of the Clean Air Act. *United States Environmental Protection Agency.* [Online] 2020. https://www.epa.gov/climate-change/endangerment-and-cause-or-contribute-findings-greenhouse-gases-under-section-202a.

30. **Federal Register.** Mid-Term Evaluation of Greenhouse Gas Emissions Standards for Model Year 2022-2025 Light-Duty Vehicles. [Online] 2018. https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2018/04/13/2018-07364/mid-term-evaluation-of-greenhouse-gas-emissions-standards-for-model-year-2022-2025-light-duty.

31. **Administration, National Highway Traffic Safety.** SAFE: The Safer Affordable Fuel-Efficient 'SAFE' Vehicle Rule. *National Highway Traffic Safety Administration.* [Online] 2020. https://www.nhtsa.gov/corporate-average-fuel-economy/safe.

32. **National Highway Traffic Safety Administration.** Corporate Average Fuel Economy. [Online] https://www.nhtsa.gov/laws-regulations/corporate-average-fuel-economy.

33. **United States Department of Transportation.** Corporate Average Fuel Economy Standards for Model Years 2024-2026 Passenger Cars and Light Trucks. [Online] https://www.nhtsa.gov/sites/nhtsa.gov/files/2022-04/Final-Rule-Preamble_CAFE-MY-2024-2026.pdf.

34. **California Air Resources Board.** California's 2017 Climate Change Scoping Plan . [Online] 2017. https://ww3.arb.ca.gov/cc/scopingplan/scoping_plan_2017_es.pdf.

35. **Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory.** California's Policies Can Significantly Cut Greenhouse Gas Emissions through 2030. *Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory.* [Online] January 22, 2015. http://newscenter.lbl.gov/2015/01/22/californias-policies-can-significantly-cut-greenhouse-gas-emissions-2030/.

36. **Ernest Orlando Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory.** Modeling California policy impacts on greenhouse gas emissions. [Online] 2015. https://eaei.lbl.gov/sites/all/files/lbnl-7008e.pdf.

37. **California Air Resources Board.** Legal Disclaimer & User's Notice. [Online] April 2019. https://ww3.arb.ca.gov/cc/capandtrade/capandtrade/ct_reg_unofficial.pdf.

38. —. Climate Change Scoping Plan. [Online] 2014. https://ww3.arb.ca.gov/cc/scopingplan/2013_update/first_update_climate_change_scoping_plan.pdf.

39. —. *2022 Scoping Plan for Achieving Carbon Neutrality.*

40. —. Low Carbon Fuel Standard. [Online] December 2019. https://ww3.arb.ca.gov/fuels/lcfs/lcfs.htm.

41. **California Department of General Services.** 2025 California Green Building Standards Code, Title 24, Part 11. *CALGreen.* [Online] https://codes.iccsafe.org/content/CAGBC2025P1.

42. **California Natural Resources Agency.** *2023 California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Statute and Guidelines.* s.l. : Association of Environmental Professionals, 2023.

43. **Southern California Association Governments.** Connect SoCal 2024-2050 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy. [Online] 2024. https://scag.ca.gov/sites/main/files/file-attachments/23-2987-connect-socal-2024-final-complete-040424.pdf?1714175547.

44. **County of Riverside.** County of Riverside Climate Action Plan Update. [Online] November 2019. https://planning.rctlma.org/Portals/14/CAP/2019/2019_CAP_Update_Full.pdf.

45. **State of California.** *2024 CEQA California Environmental Quality Act.* 2024.

46. **California Air Pollution Control Officers Association (CAPCOA).** California Emissions Estimator Model (CalEEMod). [Online] May 2022. www.caleemod.com.

47. **California Natural Resources Agency.** Final Statement of Reasons for Regulatory Action, Amendments to the State CEQA Guidelines Addressing Analysis and Mitigation of Greenhouse Gas Emissions Pursuant to SB97. [Online] December 2009. https://resources.ca.gov/CNRALegacyFiles/ceqa/docs/Final_Statement_of_Reasons.pdf.

48. **South Coast Air Quality Management District.** *Minutes for the GHG CEQA Significance Threshold Stakeholder Working Group #15.* 2008.

49. **Urban Crossroads, Inc.** *Akash Winery Air Quality Impact Analysis.* 2026.

50. **State of California.** *2020 CEQA California Environmental Quality Act.* 2020.

51. **South Coast Air Quality Management District.** *Greenhouse Gas CEQA Significance Threshold Stakeholder Working Group #13.* [Powerpoint] Diamond Bar : s.n., 2009.

52. **Association of Environmental Professionals.** *2018 CEQA California Environmental Quality Act.* 2018.

This page intentionally left blank

5 CERTIFICATION

The contents of this GHG study report represent an accurate depiction of the GHG impacts associated with the proposed Akash Winery Project. The information contained in this GHG report is based on the best available data at the time of preparation. If you have any questions, please contact me directly at hqureshi@urbanxroads.com.

Haseeb Qureshi
Principal
URBAN CROSSROADS, INC.
1133 Camelback #8329
Newport Beach, CA 92658
hqureshi@urbanxroads.com

Education

Master of Science in Environmental Studies
California State University, Fullerton • May, 2010
Bachelor of Arts in Environmental Analysis and Design
University of California, Irvine • June, 2006

Professional Affiliations

AEP – Association of Environmental Professionals
AWMA – Air and Waste Management Association
ASTM – American Society for Testing and Materials

Professional Certifications

Planned Communities and Urban Infill – Urban Land Institute • June, 2011
Indoor Air Quality and Industrial Hygiene – EMSL Analytical • April, 2008
Principles of Ambient Air Monitoring – CARB • August, 2007
AB2588 Regulatory Standards – Trinity Consultants • November, 2006
Air Dispersion Modeling – Lakes Environmental • June, 2006

This page intentionally left blank

APPENDIX 3.1:

CALEEMOD PROJECT EMISSIONS MODEL OUTPUTS

17103 - Akash Winery Detailed Report

Table of Contents

1. Basic Project Information
   1.1. Basic Project Information
   1.2. Land Use Types
   1.3. User-Selected Emission Reduction Measures by Emissions Sector
2. Emissions Summary
   2.1. Construction Emissions Compared Against Thresholds
   2.2. Construction Emissions by Year
      2.2.1. Total Construction Emissions by Year, Unmitigated
      2.2.2. Onsite Construction Emissions by Year, Unmitigated
      2.2.3. Offsite Construction Emissions by Year, Unmitigated
   2.3. Operations Emissions Compared Against Thresholds
   2.4. Operations Emissions by Sector, Unmitigated
3. Construction Emissions Details
   3.1. Grading (2026)
      3.1.1. Onsite - Unmitigated
      3.1.2. Offsite - Unmitigated
   3.2. Building Construction (2026)
      3.2.1. Onsite - Unmitigated

3.2.2. Offsite - Unmitigated
3.3. Building Construction (2027)
3.3.1. Onsite - Unmitigated
3.3.2. Offsite - Unmitigated
3.4. Paving (2027)
3.4.1. Onsite - Unmitigated
3.4.2. Offsite - Unmitigated
3.5. Architectural Coating (2027)
3.5.1. Onsite - Unmitigated
3.5.2. Offsite - Unmitigated
4. Operations Emissions Details
4.1. Mobile Emissions by Land Use
4.1.1. Unmitigated
4.2. Energy
4.2.1. Electricity Emissions By Land Use - Unmitigated
4.2.3. Natural Gas Emissions By Land Use - Unmitigated
4.3. Area Emissions by Source
4.3.1. Unmitigated
4.4. Water Emissions by Land Use
4.4.1. Unmitigated
4.5. Waste Emissions by Land Use
4.5.1. Unmitigated

4.6. Refrigerant Emissions by Land Use
4.6.1. Unmitigated
4.7. Offroad Emissions By Equipment Type
4.7.1. Unmitigated
4.8. Stationary Emissions By Equipment Type
4.8.1. Unmitigated
4.9. User Defined Emissions By Equipment Type
4.9.1. Unmitigated
4.10. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type
4.10.1. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type - Unmitigated
4.10.2. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type - Unmitigated
4.10.3. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species - Unmitigated
5. Activity Data
5.1. Construction Schedule
5.2. Off-Road Equipment
5.2.1. Unmitigated
5.3. Construction Vehicles
5.3.1. Unmitigated
5.4. Vehicles
5.4.1. Construction Vehicle Control Strategies
5.5. Architectural Coatings
5.6. Dust Mitigation

5.6.1. Construction Earthmoving Activities
5.6.2. Construction Earthmoving Control Strategies
5.7. Construction Paving
5.8. Construction Electricity Consumption and Emissions Factors
5.9. Operational Mobile Sources
5.9.1. Unmitigated
5.10. Operational Area Sources
5.10.1. Hearths
5.10.2. Architectural Coatings
5.10.3. Landscape Equipment
5.11. Operational Energy Consumption
5.11.1. Unmitigated
5.12. Operational Water and Wastewater Consumption
5.12.1. Unmitigated
5.13. Operational Waste Generation
5.13.1. Unmitigated
5.14. Operational Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Equipment
5.14.1. Unmitigated
5.15. Operational Off-Road Equipment
5.15.1. Unmitigated
5.16. Stationary Sources
5.16.1. Emergency Generators and Fire Pumps

5.16.2. Process Boilers
5.17. User Defined
5.18. Vegetation
5.18.1. Land Use Change
5.18.1.1. Unmitigated
5.18.1. Biomass Cover Type
5.18.1.1. Unmitigated
5.18.2. Sequestration
5.18.2.1. Unmitigated
6. Climate Risk Detailed Report
6.1. Climate Risk Summary
6.2. Initial Climate Risk Scores
6.3. Adjusted Climate Risk Scores
6.4. Climate Risk Reduction Measures
7. Health and Equity Details
7.1. CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Scores
7.2. Healthy Places Index Scores
7.3. Overall Health & Equity Scores
7.4. Health & Equity Measures
7.5. Evaluation Scorecard
7.6. Health & Equity Custom Measures
8. User Changes to Default Data

8.1. Justifications
8.3. Land Use
8.4. Construction
8.4.1. Construction Phases
8.4.2. Off-Road Equipment
8.4.6. Trips and VMT
8.4.8. Architectural Coatings
8.5. Operations
8.5.2. Area Sources
8.5.2.3. Architectural Coatings
8.5.4. Water and Waste Water
8.5.6. Refrigerants

1. Basic Project Information

1.1. Basic Project Information

Data Field	Value
Project Name	17103 - Akash Winery
Construction Start Date	12/14/2026
Operational Year	2027
Lead Agency	—
Land Use Scale	Project/site
Analysis Level for Defaults	County
Windspeed (m/s)	1.80000
Precipitation (days)	18.6000
Location	39730 Calle Contento, Temecula, CA 92591, USA
County	Riverside-South Coast
City	Unincorporated
Air District	South Coast AQMD
Air Basin	South Coast
TAZ	5530
EDFZ	11
Electric Utility	Southern California Edison
Gas Utility	Southern California Gas

Data Field	Value
App Version	2022.1.1.45

1.2. Land Use Types

Land Use Subtype	Size	Unit	Lot Acreage	Building Area (sq ft)	Landscape Area (sq ft)	Special Landscape Area (sq ft)	Population	Description
Quality Restaurant	15.9250	1000sqft	0.36559	15,925.0	0.00000	—	—	—
General Light Industry	0.96100	1000sqft	0.02206	961.000	0.00000	—	—	Wine Production
Parking Lot	221.000	Space	4.45000	0.00000	0.00000	—	—	—

1.3. User-Selected Emission Reduction Measures by Emissions Sector

No measures selected

2. Emissions Summary

2.1. Construction Emissions Compared Against Thresholds

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Un/Mit.	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	13.5835	13.4850	12.2028	13.2275	0.04919	0.36206	1.26800	1.63005	0.33732	0.33938	0.67670	—	5,805.57	5,805.57	0.14722	0.53197	9.69355	5,977.47

Un/Mit.	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	1.90476	1.56199	16.1060	16.1226	0.04979	0.64055	3.38520	4.02575	0.59354	1.25958	1.85312	—	6,220.82	6,220.82	0.16272	0.56185	0.27508	6,392.59
Average Daily (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	0.78961	0.71237	3.31985	3.46722	0.01374	0.09679	0.37323	0.47003	0.09031	0.09996	0.19027	—	1,638.18	1,638.18	0.04055	0.15756	1.24448	1,687.39
Annual (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	0.14410	0.13001	0.60587	0.63277	0.00251	0.01766	0.06811	0.08578	0.01648	0.01824	0.03473	—	271.220	271.220	0.00671	0.02609	0.20604	279.367

2.2. Construction Emissions by Year

2.2.1. Total Construction Emissions by Year, Unmitigated

Includes both onsite and offsite emissions.

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Year	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily - Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2027	13.5835	13.4850	12.2028	13.2275	0.04919	0.36206	1.26800	1.63005	0.33732	0.33938	0.67670	—	5,805.57	5,805.57	0.14722	0.53197	9.69355	5,977.47
Daily - Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2026	1.90476	1.56199	16.1060	16.1226	0.04979	0.64055	3.38520	4.02575	0.59354	1.25958	1.85312	—	6,220.82	6,220.82	0.16272	0.56185	0.27508	6,392.59

Year	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
2027	1.49905	1.21077	12.3249	12.9075	0.04919	0.36206	1.26800	1.63005	0.33732	0.33938	0.67670	—	5,784.17	5,784.17	0.14733	0.53197	0.25183	5,946.63
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2026	0.05927	0.04807	0.49266	0.49942	0.00181	0.01596	0.05776	0.07373	0.01484	0.01741	0.03225	—	217.442	217.442	0.00552	0.02058	0.16795	223.880
2027	0.78961	0.71237	3.31985	3.46722	0.01374	0.09679	0.37323	0.47003	0.09031	0.09996	0.19027	—	1,638.18	1,638.18	0.04055	0.15756	1.24448	1,687.39
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2026	0.01082	0.00877	0.08991	0.09114	0.00033	0.00291	0.01054	0.01346	0.00271	0.00318	0.00589	—	36.0001	36.0001	0.00091	0.00341	0.02781	37.0659
2027	0.14410	0.13001	0.60587	0.63277	0.00251	0.01766	0.06811	0.08578	0.01648	0.01824	0.03473	—	271.220	271.220	0.00671	0.02609	0.20604	279.367

2.2.2. Onsite Construction Emissions by Year, Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Year	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily - Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2027	13.4041	13.3723	9.47687	11.4072	0.02273	0.30915	0.00000	0.30915	0.28441	0.00000	0.28441	—	2,159.13	2,159.13	0.08758	0.01752	0.00000	2,166.54
Daily - Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2026	1.72575	1.45011	13.1150	14.5107	0.02333	0.58764	2.11720	2.70484	0.54063	0.92020	1.46083	—	2,527.64	2,527.64	0.10253	0.02051	0.00000	2,536.31
2027	1.32433	1.10319	9.47687	11.4072	0.02273	0.30915	0.00000	0.30915	0.28441	0.00000	0.28441	—	2,159.13	2,159.13	0.08758	0.01752	0.00000	2,166.54
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2026	0.05271	0.04397	0.38053	0.43834	0.00084	0.01402	0.01160	0.02562	0.01290	0.00504	0.01794	—	81.4568	81.4568	0.00330	0.00066	0.00000	81.7364
2027	0.73754	0.68027	2.46366	3.00673	0.00587	0.08106	0.00000	0.08106	0.07457	0.00000	0.07457	—	559.011	559.011	0.02268	0.00454	0.00000	560.929

Year	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2026	0.00962	0.00802	0.06945	0.08000	0.00015	0.00256	0.00212	0.00468	0.00235	0.00092	0.00327	—	13.4861	13.4861	0.00055	0.00011	0.00000	13.5324
2027	0.13460	0.12415	0.44962	0.54873	0.00107	0.01479	0.00000	0.01479	0.01361	0.00000	0.01361	—	92.5506	92.5506	0.00375	0.00075	0.00000	92.8683

2.2.3. Offsite Construction Emissions by Year, Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Year	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily - Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2027	0.17946	0.11266	2.72591	1.82025	0.02646	0.05291	1.26800	1.32091	0.05291	0.33938	0.39229	—	3,646.44	3,646.44	0.05964	0.51445	9.69355	3,810.93
Daily - Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2026	0.17902	0.11188	2.99101	1.61191	0.02646	0.05291	1.26800	1.32091	0.05291	0.33938	0.39229	—	3,693.18	3,693.18	0.06019	0.54135	0.27508	3,856.28
2027	0.17472	0.10759	2.84804	1.50025	0.02646	0.05291	1.26800	1.32091	0.05291	0.33938	0.39229	—	3,625.04	3,625.04	0.05975	0.51445	0.25183	3,780.09
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2026	0.00657	0.00410	0.11213	0.06108	0.00097	0.00195	0.04616	0.04811	0.00195	0.01236	0.01431	—	135.986	135.986	0.00221	0.01992	0.16795	142.144
2027	0.05207	0.03210	0.85618	0.46049	0.00787	0.01574	0.37323	0.38897	0.01574	0.09996	0.11570	—	1,079.17	1,079.17	0.01787	0.15303	1.24448	1,126.46
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2026	0.00120	0.00075	0.02046	0.01115	0.00018	0.00036	0.00842	0.00878	0.00036	0.00226	0.00261	—	22.5140	22.5140	0.00037	0.00330	0.02781	23.5335
2027	0.00950	0.00586	0.15625	0.08404	0.00144	0.00287	0.06811	0.07099	0.00287	0.01824	0.02112	—	178.669	178.669	0.00296	0.02534	0.20604	186.499

2.3. Operations Emissions Compared Against Thresholds

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Un/Mit.	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	1.17014	0.98570	1.92731	15.7697	0.04675	0.06897	3.96121	4.03018	0.06688	1.00536	1.07224	22.6955	5,817.54	5,840.24	2.53681	0.20822	23.4444	5,989.16
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	1.03055	0.85929	2.02905	11.8296	0.04397	0.06767	3.96121	4.02887	0.06589	1.00536	1.07126	22.6955	5,536.93	5,559.62	2.53458	0.21348	9.39856	5,696.00
Average Daily (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	1.12282	0.94420	2.07116	12.8346	0.04439	0.06856	3.91400	3.98256	0.06657	0.99356	1.06013	22.6955	5,579.14	5,601.83	2.53498	0.21529	15.2510	5,744.62
Annual (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	0.20491	0.17232	0.37799	2.34232	0.00810	0.01251	0.71431	0.72682	0.01215	0.18133	0.19347	3.75751	923.690	927.447	0.41969	0.03564	2.52498	951.087

2.4. Operations Emissions by Sector, Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Sector	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mobile	0.57174	0.42483	1.42216	14.6162	0.04372	0.02974	3.96121	3.99095	0.02797	1.00536	1.03333	—	4,471.08	4,471.08	0.10748	0.16379	14.4198	4,536.99
Area	0.54351	0.53343	0.00618	0.73439	0.00004	0.00130	—	0.00130	0.00099	—	0.00099	—	3.02000	3.02000	0.00013	0.00003	—	3.03089

Sector	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Energy	0.05489	0.02744	0.49897	0.41913	0.00299	0.03792	—	0.03792	0.03792	—	0.03792	—	1,295.53	1,295.53	0.11943	0.00921	—	1,301.26
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	14.2217	47.9190	62.1407	1.46284	0.03520	—	109.202
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	8.47384	0.00000	8.47384	0.84693	0.00000	—	29.6471
Refrig.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	9.02466	9.02466
Total	1.17014	0.98570	1.92731	15.7697	0.04675	0.06897	3.96121	4.03018	0.06688	1.00536	1.07224	22.6955	5,817.54	5,840.24	2.53681	0.20822	23.4444	5,989.16
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mobile	0.56284	0.41902	1.53008	11.4104	0.04097	0.02974	3.96121	3.99095	0.02797	1.00536	1.03333	—	4,193.48	4,193.48	0.10538	0.16906	0.37389	4,246.87
Area	0.41283	0.41283	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Energy	0.05489	0.02744	0.49897	0.41913	0.00299	0.03792	—	0.03792	0.03792	—	0.03792	—	1,295.53	1,295.53	0.11943	0.00921	—	1,301.26
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	14.2217	47.9190	62.1407	1.46284	0.03520	—	109.202
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	8.47384	0.00000	8.47384	0.84693	0.00000	—	29.6471
Refrig.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	9.02466	9.02466
Total	1.03055	0.85929	2.02905	11.8296	0.04397	0.06767	3.96121	4.02887	0.06589	1.00536	1.07126	22.6955	5,536.93	5,559.62	2.53458	0.21348	9.39856	5,696.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mobile	0.56560	0.42132	1.56796	11.9125	0.04137	0.02974	3.91400	3.94375	0.02797	0.99356	1.02153	—	4,233.62	4,233.62	0.10569	0.17086	6.22633	4,293.41
Area	0.50233	0.49543	0.00423	0.50301	0.00003	0.00089	—	0.00089	0.00068	—	0.00068	—	2.06850	2.06850	0.00009	0.00002	—	2.07595
Energy	0.05489	0.02744	0.49897	0.41913	0.00299	0.03792	—	0.03792	0.03792	—	0.03792	—	1,295.53	1,295.53	0.11943	0.00921	—	1,301.26
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	14.2217	47.9190	62.1407	1.46284	0.03520	—	109.202
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	8.47384	0.00000	8.47384	0.84693	0.00000	—	29.6471
Refrig.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	9.02466	9.02466

Sector	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Total	1.12282	0.94420	2.07116	12.8346	0.04439	0.06856	3.91400	3.98256	0.06657	0.99356	1.06013	22.6955	5,579.14	5,601.83	2.53498	0.21529	15.2510	5,744.62
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mobile	0.10322	0.07689	0.28615	2.17403	0.00755	0.00543	0.71431	0.71973	0.00510	0.18133	0.18643	—	700.924	700.924	0.01750	0.02829	1.03084	710.823
Area	0.09168	0.09042	0.00077	0.09180	0.00001	0.00016	—	0.00016	0.00012	—	0.00012	—	0.34246	0.34246	0.00001	< 0.0000 05	—	0.34370
Energy	0.01002	0.00501	0.09106	0.07649	0.00055	0.00692	—	0.00692	0.00692	—	0.00692	—	214.490	214.490	0.01977	0.00152	—	215.438
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	2.35456	7.93355	10.2881	0.24219	0.00583	—	18.0796
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.40294	0.00000	1.40294	0.14022	0.00000	—	4.90842
Refrig.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.49414	1.49414
Total	0.20491	0.17232	0.37799	2.34232	0.00810	0.01251	0.71431	0.72682	0.01215	0.18133	0.19347	3.75751	923.690	927.447	0.41969	0.03564	2.52498	951.087

3. Construction Emissions Details

3.1. Grading (2026)

3.1.1. Onsite - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Off-Road Equipment	1.72575	1.45011	13.1150	14.5107	0.02333	0.58764	—	0.58764	0.54063	—	0.54063	—	2,527.64	2,527.64	0.10253	0.02051	—	2,536.31
Dust From Material Movement	—	—	—	—	—	—	2.11720	2.11720	—	0.92020	0.92020	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	—	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.00946	0.00795	0.07186	0.07951	0.00013	0.00322	—	0.00322	0.00296	—	0.00296	—	13.8501	13.8501	0.00056	0.00011	—	13.8976
Dust From Material Movement	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.01160	0.01160	—	0.00504	0.00504	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	—	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.00173	0.00145	0.01311	0.01451	0.00002	0.00059	—	0.00059	0.00054	—	0.00054	—	2.29304	2.29304	0.00009	0.00002	—	2.30091
Dust From Material Movement	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00212	0.00212	—	0.00092	0.00092	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite truck	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	—	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000

3.1.2. Offsite - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.08973	0.07981	0.08752	1.08908	0.00000	0.00000	0.26142	0.26142	0.00000	0.06128	0.06128	—	253.625	253.625	0.00397	0.00992	0.02426	256.705
Vendor	0.08929	0.03208	2.90349	0.52283	0.02646	0.05291	1.00658	1.05949	0.05291	0.27810	0.33101	—	3,439.55	3,439.55	0.05622	0.53142	0.25082	3,599.57
Hauling	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	—	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.00049	0.00043	0.00052	0.00624	0.00000	0.00000	0.00142	0.00142	0.00000	0.00033	0.00033	—	1.40735	1.40735	0.00002	0.00005	0.00221	1.42630
Vendor	0.00049	0.00018	0.01618	0.00285	0.00014	0.00029	0.00546	0.00575	0.00029	0.00151	0.00180	—	18.8458	18.8458	0.00031	0.00291	0.02280	19.7441
Hauling	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	—	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.00009	0.00008	0.00010	0.00114	0.00000	0.00000	0.00026	0.00026	0.00000	0.00006	0.00006	—	0.23300	0.23300	< 0.00005	0.00001	0.00037	0.23614
Vendor	0.00009	0.00003	0.00295	0.00052	0.00003	0.00005	0.00100	0.00105	0.00005	0.00028	0.00033	—	3.12014	3.12014	0.00005	0.00048	0.00378	3.26886
Hauling	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	—	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000

3.2. Building Construction (2026)

3.2.1. Onsite - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	1.38126	1.15048	9.85821	11.4601	0.02273	0.34482	—	0.34482	0.31724	—	0.31724	—	2,159.19	2,159.19	0.08759	0.01752	—	2,166.60
Onsite truck	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	—	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.04325	0.03602	0.30867	0.35883	0.00071	0.01080	—	0.01080	0.00993	—	0.00993	—	67.6067	67.6067	0.00274	0.00055	—	67.8388
Onsite truck	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	—	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.00789	0.00657	0.05633	0.06549	0.00013	0.00197	—	0.00197	0.00181	—	0.00181	—	11.1931	11.1931	0.00045	0.00009	—	11.2315
Onsite truck	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	—	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000

3.2.2. Offsite - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.08973	0.07981	0.08752	1.08908	0.00000	0.00000	0.26142	0.26142	0.00000	0.06128	0.06128	—	253.625	253.625	0.00397	0.00992	0.02426	256.705
Vendor	0.08929	0.03208	2.90349	0.52283	0.02646	0.05291	1.00658	1.05949	0.05291	0.27810	0.33101	—	3,439.55	3,439.55	0.05622	0.53142	0.25082	3,599.57
Hauling	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	—	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.00280	0.00249	0.00300	0.03567	0.00000	0.00000	0.00809	0.00809	0.00000	0.00189	0.00189	—	8.04200	8.04200	0.00012	0.00031	0.01264	8.15031
Vendor	0.00280	0.00100	0.09243	0.01631	0.00083	0.00166	0.03120	0.03286	0.00166	0.00863	0.01029	—	107.690	107.690	0.00176	0.01664	0.13030	112.823
Hauling	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	—	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.00051	0.00045	0.00055	0.00651	0.00000	0.00000	0.00148	0.00148	0.00000	0.00035	0.00035	—	1.33144	1.33144	0.00002	0.00005	0.00209	1.34938
Vendor	0.00051	0.00018	0.01687	0.00298	0.00015	0.00030	0.00569	0.00600	0.00030	0.00157	0.00188	—	17.8294	17.8294	0.00029	0.00275	0.02157	18.6792
Hauling	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	—	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000

3.3. Building Construction (2027)

3.3.1. Onsite - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	1.32433	1.10319	9.47687	11.4072	0.02273	0.30915	—	0.30915	0.28441	—	0.28441	—	2,159.13	2,159.13	0.08758	0.01752	—	2,166.54
Onsite truck	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	—	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	1.32433	1.10319	9.47687	11.4072	0.02273	0.30915	—	0.30915	0.28441	—	0.28441	—	2,159.13	2,159.13	0.08758	0.01752	—	2,166.54
Onsite truck	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	—	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.32136	0.26770	2.29967	2.76809	0.00552	0.07502	—	0.07502	0.06902	—	0.06902	—	523.937	523.937	0.02125	0.00425	—	525.735
Onsite truck	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	—	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.05865	0.04886	0.41969	0.50518	0.00101	0.01369	—	0.01369	0.01260	—	0.01260	—	86.7437	86.7437	0.00352	0.00070	—	87.0414
Onsite truck	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	—	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000

3.3.2. Offsite - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.09017	0.08025	0.06945	1.32851	0.00000	0.00000	0.26142	0.26142	0.00000	0.06128	0.06128	—	270.715	270.715	0.00309	0.00948	0.84102	274.459
Vendor	0.08929	0.03241	2.65646	0.49174	0.02646	0.05291	1.00658	1.05949	0.05291	0.27810	0.33101	—	3,375.73	3,375.73	0.05655	0.50497	8.85253	3,536.48
Hauling	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	—	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.08576	0.07584	0.07848	1.00421	0.00000	0.00000	0.26142	0.26142	0.00000	0.06128	0.06128	—	248.978	248.978	0.00353	0.00948	0.02181	251.913
Vendor	0.08896	0.03175	2.76956	0.49604	0.02646	0.05291	1.00658	1.05949	0.05291	0.27810	0.33101	—	3,376.07	3,376.07	0.05622	0.50497	0.23001	3,528.18
Hauling	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	—	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.02081	0.01840	0.02102	0.25577	0.00000	0.00000	0.06268	0.06268	0.00000	0.01468	0.01468	—	61.1818	61.1818	0.00086	0.00230	0.08803	61.9768
Vendor	0.02167	0.00778	0.67744	0.11989	0.00642	0.01284	0.24180	0.25464	0.01284	0.06687	0.07971	—	819.194	819.194	0.01372	0.12254	0.92720	856.980
Hauling	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	—	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.00380	0.00336	0.00384	0.04668	0.00000	0.00000	0.01144	0.01144	0.00000	0.00268	0.00268	—	10.1294	10.1294	0.00014	0.00038	0.01457	10.2610
Vendor	0.00395	0.00142	0.12363	0.02188	0.00117	0.00234	0.04413	0.04647	0.00234	0.01220	0.01455	—	135.627	135.627	0.00227	0.02029	0.15351	141.883
Hauling	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	—	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000

3.4. Paving (2027)

3.4.1. Onsite - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.62044	0.52043	4.87754	7.20959	0.01050	0.19500	—	0.19500	0.17940	—	0.17940	—	1,102.20	1,102.20	0.04471	0.00894	—	1,105.98
Paving	1.16590	1.16590	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	—	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.01700	0.01426	0.13363	0.19752	0.00029	0.00534	—	0.00534	0.00491	—	0.00491	—	30.1972	30.1972	0.00122	0.00024	—	30.3008
Paving	0.03194	0.03194	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	—	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.00310	0.00260	0.02439	0.03605	0.00005	0.00097	—	0.00097	0.00090	—	0.00090	—	4.99948	4.99948	0.00020	0.00004	—	5.01664

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Paving	0.00583	0.00583	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	—	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000

3.4.2. Offsite - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.09017	0.08025	0.06945	1.32851	0.00000	0.00000	0.26142	0.26142	0.00000	0.06128	0.06128	—	270.715	270.715	0.00309	0.00948	0.84102	274.459
Vendor	0.08929	0.03241	2.65646	0.49174	0.02646	0.05291	1.00658	1.05949	0.05291	0.27810	0.33101	—	3,375.73	3,375.73	0.05655	0.50497	8.85253	3,536.48
Hauling	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	—	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.00235	0.00208	0.00237	0.02888	0.00000	0.00000	0.00708	0.00708	0.00000	0.00166	0.00166	—	6.90763	6.90763	0.00010	0.00026	0.00994	6.99738
Vendor	0.00245	0.00088	0.07649	0.01354	0.00072	0.00145	0.02730	0.02875	0.00145	0.00755	0.00900	—	92.4896	92.4896	0.00155	0.01383	0.10468	96.7558
Hauling	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	—	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.00043	0.00038	0.00043	0.00527	0.00000	0.00000	0.00129	0.00129	0.00000	0.00030	0.00030	—	1.14364	1.14364	0.00002	0.00004	0.00165	1.15850
Vendor	0.00045	0.00016	0.01396	0.00247	0.00013	0.00026	0.00498	0.00525	0.00026	0.00138	0.00164	—	15.3127	15.3127	0.00026	0.00229	0.01733	16.0190
Hauling	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	—	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000

3.5. Architectural Coating (2027)

3.5.1. Onsite - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.18287	0.15113	1.10822	1.50052	0.00230	0.02540	—	0.02540	0.02337	—	0.02337	—	178.017	178.017	0.00722	0.00144	—	178.628
Architectural Coatings	13.2212	13.2212	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	—	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.00501	0.00414	0.03036	0.04111	0.00006	0.00070	—	0.00070	0.00064	—	0.00064	—	4.87718	4.87718	0.00020	0.00004	—	4.89391
Architectural Coatings	0.36222	0.36222	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	—	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Off-Road Equipment	0.00091	0.00076	0.00554	0.00750	0.00001	0.00013	—	0.00013	0.00012	—	0.00012	—	0.80747	0.80747	0.00003	0.00001	—	0.81024
Architectural Coatings	0.06611	0.06611	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	—	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000

3.5.2. Offsite - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.09017	0.08025	0.06945	1.32851	0.00000	0.00000	0.26142	0.26142	0.00000	0.06128	0.06128	—	270.715	270.715	0.00309	0.00948	0.84102	274.459
Vendor	0.08929	0.03241	2.65646	0.49174	0.02646	0.05291	1.00658	1.05949	0.05291	0.27810	0.33101	—	3,375.73	3,375.73	0.05655	0.50497	8.85253	3,536.48
Hauling	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	—	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.00235	0.00208	0.00237	0.02888	0.00000	0.00000	0.00708	0.00708	0.00000	0.00166	0.00166	—	6.90763	6.90763	0.00010	0.00026	0.00994	6.99738
Vendor	0.00245	0.00088	0.07649	0.01354	0.00072	0.00145	0.02730	0.02875	0.00145	0.00755	0.00900	—	92.4896	92.4896	0.00155	0.01383	0.10468	96.7558
Hauling	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	—	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Worker	0.00043	0.00038	0.00043	0.00527	0.00000	0.00000	0.00129	0.00129	0.00000	0.00030	0.00030	—	1.14364	1.14364	0.00002	0.00004	0.00165	1.15850
Vendor	0.00045	0.00016	0.01396	0.00247	0.00013	0.00026	0.00498	0.00525	0.00026	0.00138	0.00164	—	15.3127	15.3127	0.00026	0.00229	0.01733	16.0190
Hauling	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	—	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000

4. Operations Emissions Details

4.1. Mobile Emissions by Land Use

4.1.1. Unmitigated

Mobile source emissions results are presented in Sections 2.6. No further detailed breakdown of emissions is available.

4.2. Energy

4.2.1. Electricity Emissions By Land Use - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Quality Restaurant	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	530.396	530.396	0.05056	0.00613	—	533.486

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
General Light Industry	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	8.72213	8.72213	0.00083	0.00010	—	8.77294
Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	161.058	161.058	0.01535	0.00186	—	161.996
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	700.176	700.176	0.06674	0.00809	—	704.255
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Quality Restaurant	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	530.396	530.396	0.05056	0.00613	—	533.486
General Light Industry	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	8.72213	8.72213	0.00083	0.00010	—	8.77294
Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	161.058	161.058	0.01535	0.00186	—	161.996
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	700.176	700.176	0.06674	0.00809	—	704.255
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Quality Restaurant	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	87.8131	87.8131	0.00837	0.00101	—	88.3247
General Light Industry	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.44405	1.44405	0.00014	0.00002	—	1.45246
Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	26.6649	26.6649	0.00254	0.00031	—	26.8203
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	115.922	115.922	0.01105	0.00134	—	116.597

4.2.3. Natural Gas Emissions By Land Use - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Quality Restaurant	0.05367	0.02683	0.48788	0.40982	0.00293	0.03708	—	0.03708	0.03708	—	0.03708	—	582.125	582.125	0.05152	0.00110	—	583.740
General Light Industry	0.00122	0.00061	0.01109	0.00931	0.00007	0.00084	—	0.00084	0.00084	—	0.00084	—	13.2281	13.2281	0.00117	0.00002	—	13.2648
Parking Lot	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	—	0.00000	0.00000	—	0.00000	—	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	—	0.00000
Total	0.05489	0.02744	0.49897	0.41913	0.00299	0.03792	—	0.03792	0.03792	—	0.03792	—	595.353	595.353	0.05269	0.00112	—	597.005
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Quality Restaurant	0.05367	0.02683	0.48788	0.40982	0.00293	0.03708	—	0.03708	0.03708	—	0.03708	—	582.125	582.125	0.05152	0.00110	—	583.740
General Light Industry	0.00122	0.00061	0.01109	0.00931	0.00007	0.00084	—	0.00084	0.00084	—	0.00084	—	13.2281	13.2281	0.00117	0.00002	—	13.2648
Parking Lot	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	—	0.00000	0.00000	—	0.00000	—	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	—	0.00000
Total	0.05489	0.02744	0.49897	0.41913	0.00299	0.03792	—	0.03792	0.03792	—	0.03792	—	595.353	595.353	0.05269	0.00112	—	597.005
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Quality Restaurant	0.00979	0.00490	0.08904	0.07479	0.00053	0.00677	—	0.00677	0.00677	—	0.00677	—	96.3775	96.3775	0.00853	0.00018	—	96.6448
General Light Industry	0.00022	0.00011	0.00202	0.00170	0.00001	0.00015	—	0.00015	0.00015	—	0.00015	—	2.19007	2.19007	0.00019	< 0.00005	—	2.19614
Parking Lot	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	—	0.00000	0.00000	—	0.00000	—	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	—	0.00000
Total	0.01002	0.00501	0.09106	0.07649	0.00055	0.00692	—	0.00692	0.00692	—	0.00692	—	98.5675	98.5675	0.00872	0.00019	—	98.8409

4.3. Area Emissions by Source

4.3.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Source	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Consumer Products	0.37661	0.37661	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Architectural Coatings	0.03622	0.03622	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Landscape Equipment	0.13068	0.12060	0.00618	0.73439	0.00004	0.00130	—	0.00130	0.00099	—	0.00099	—	3.02000	3.02000	0.00013	0.00003	—	3.03089
Total	0.54351	0.53343	0.00618	0.73439	0.00004	0.00130	—	0.00130	0.00099	—	0.00099	—	3.02000	3.02000	0.00013	0.00003	—	3.03089

Source	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Consumer Products	0.37661	0.37661	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Architectural Coatings	0.03622	0.03622	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	0.41283	0.41283	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Consumer Products	0.06873	0.06873	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Architectural Coatings	0.00661	0.00661	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Landscape Equipment	0.01633	0.01507	0.00077	0.09180	0.00001	0.00016	—	0.00016	0.00012	—	0.00012	—	0.34246	0.34246	0.00001	< 0.00005	—	0.34370
Total	0.09168	0.09042	0.00077	0.09180	0.00001	0.00016	—	0.00016	0.00012	—	0.00012	—	0.34246	0.34246	0.00001	< 0.00005	—	0.34370

4.4. Water Emissions by Land Use

4.4.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Quality Restaurant	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	9.26265	31.2099	40.4725	0.95275	0.02293	—	71.1236
General Light Industry	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	4.95904	16.7092	21.6682	0.51009	0.01227	—	38.0782
Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	—	0.00000
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	14.2217	47.9190	62.1407	1.46284	0.03520	—	109.202
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Quality Restaurant	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	9.26265	31.2099	40.4725	0.95275	0.02293	—	71.1236
General Light Industry	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	4.95904	16.7092	21.6682	0.51009	0.01227	—	38.0782
Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	—	0.00000
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	14.2217	47.9190	62.1407	1.46284	0.03520	—	109.202
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Quality Restaurant	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.53354	5.16715	6.70069	0.15774	0.00380	—	11.7753

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
General Light Industry	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.82103	2.76639	3.58742	0.08445	0.00203	—	6.30428
Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	—	0.00000
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	2.35456	7.93355	10.2881	0.24219	0.00583	—	18.0796

4.5. Waste Emissions by Land Use

4.5.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Quality Restaurant	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	7.83162	0.00000	7.83162	0.78274	0.00000	—	27.4002
General Light Industry	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.64222	0.00000	0.64222	0.06419	0.00000	—	2.24691
Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	—	0.00000
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	8.47384	0.00000	8.47384	0.84693	0.00000	—	29.6471
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Quality Restaurant	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	7.83162	0.00000	7.83162	0.78274	0.00000	—	27.4002
General Light Industry	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.64222	0.00000	0.64222	0.06419	0.00000	—	2.24691
Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	—	0.00000
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	8.47384	0.00000	8.47384	0.84693	0.00000	—	29.6471
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Quality Restaurant	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.29661	0.00000	1.29661	0.12959	0.00000	—	4.53641
General Light Industry	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.10633	0.00000	0.10633	0.01063	0.00000	—	0.37200
Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	—	0.00000
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.40294	0.00000	1.40294	0.14022	0.00000	—	4.90842

4.6. Refrigerant Emissions by Land Use

4.6.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Quality Restaurant	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	8.93481	8.93481
General Light Industry	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.08985	0.08985
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	9.02466	9.02466
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Quality Restaurant	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	8.93481	8.93481
General Light Industry	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.08985	0.08985
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	9.02466	9.02466
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Quality Restaurant	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.47926	1.47926
General Light Industry	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.01488	0.01488
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.49414	1.49414

4.7. Offroad Emissions By Equipment Type

4.7.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Equipment Type	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.8. Stationary Emissions By Equipment Type

4.8.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Equipment Type	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Equipment Type	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.9. User Defined Emissions By Equipment Type

4.9.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Equipment Type	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.10. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type

4.10.1. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Vegetation	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.10.2. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.10.3. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Species	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avoided	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sequestered	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Removed	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avoided	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sequestered	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Species	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Removed	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avoided	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sequestered	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Removed	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

5. Activity Data

5.1. Construction Schedule

Phase Name	Phase Type	Start Date	End Date	Days Per Week	Work Days per Phase	Phase Description
Grading	Grading	12/14/2026	12/15/2026	5.00000	2.00000	2
Building Construction	Building Construction	12/16/2026	5/4/2027	5.00000	100.0000	100
Paving	Paving	5/5/2027	5/18/2027	5.00000	10.00000	10

Phase Name	Phase Type	Start Date	End Date	Days Per Week	Work Days per Phase	Phase Description
Architectural Coating	Architectural Coating	5/19/2027	6/1/2027	5.00000	10.00000	10

5.2. Off-Road Equipment

5.2.1. Unmitigated

Phase Name	Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Engine Tier	Number per Day	Hours Per Day	Horsepower	Load Factor
Grading	Graders	Diesel	Average	1.000000	8.00000	148.000	0.41000
Grading	Rubber Tired Dozers	Diesel	Average	1.000000	8.00000	367.000	0.40000
Grading	Tractors/Loaders/ Backhoes	Diesel	Average	2.00000	8.00000	84.0000	0.37000
Building Construction	Cranes	Diesel	Average	1.000000	8.00000	367.000	0.29000
Building Construction	Forklifts	Diesel	Average	1.000000	8.00000	82.0000	0.20000
Building Construction	Generator Sets	Diesel	Average	1.000000	8.00000	14.0000	0.74000
Building Construction	Tractors/Loaders/ Backhoes	Diesel	Average	1.000000	8.00000	84.0000	0.37000
Building Construction	Welders	Diesel	Average	3.00000	8.00000	46.0000	0.45000
Paving	Cement and Mortar Mixers	Diesel	Average	1.000000	8.00000	10.00000	0.56000
Paving	Pavers	Diesel	Average	1.000000	8.00000	81.0000	0.42000
Paving	Paving Equipment	Diesel	Average	1.000000	8.00000	89.0000	0.36000
Paving	Rollers	Diesel	Average	1.000000	8.00000	36.0000	0.38000
Paving	Tractors/Loaders/ Backhoes	Diesel	Average	1.000000	8.00000	84.0000	0.37000

Phase Name	Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Engine Tier	Number per Day	Hours Per Day	Horsepower	Load Factor
Architectural Coating	Air Compressors	Diesel	Average	1.000000	8.00000	37.0000	0.48000

5.3. Construction Vehicles

5.3.1. Unmitigated

Phase Name	Trip Type	One-Way Trips per Day	Miles per Trip	Vehicle Mix
Grading	Worker	20.0000	18.5000	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Grading	Vendor	15.0000	80.0000	HHDT,MHDT
Grading	Hauling	0.00000	0.00000	HHDT
Grading	Onsite truck	0.00000	0.00000	HHDT
Building Construction	Worker	20.0000	18.5000	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Building Construction	Vendor	15.0000	80.0000	HHDT,MHDT
Building Construction	Hauling	0.00000	0.00000	HHDT
Building Construction	Onsite truck	0.00000	0.00000	HHDT
Paving	Worker	20.0000	18.5000	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Paving	Vendor	15.0000	80.0000	HHDT,MHDT
Paving	Hauling	0.00000	0.00000	HHDT
Paving	Onsite truck	0.00000	0.00000	HHDT
Architectural Coating	Worker	20.0000	18.5000	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Architectural Coating	Vendor	15.0000	80.0000	HHDT,MHDT
Architectural Coating	Hauling	0.00000	0.00000	HHDT

Phase Name	Trip Type	One-Way Trips per Day	Miles per Trip	Vehicle Mix
Architectural Coating	Onsite truck	0.00000	0.00000	HHDT

5.4. Vehicles

5.4.1. Construction Vehicle Control Strategies

Non-applicable. No control strategies activated by user.

5.5. Architectural Coatings

Phase Name	Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Parking Area Coated (sq ft)
Architectural Coating	0.00000	0.00000	25,329.0	8,443.00	11,630.5

5.6. Dust Mitigation

5.6.1. Construction Earthmoving Activities

Phase Name	Material Imported (cy)	Material Exported (cy)	Acres Graded (acres)	Material Demolished (sq. ft.)	Acres Paved (acres)
Grading	—	—	2.00000	0.00000	0.00000
Paving	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	4.45000

5.6.2. Construction Earthmoving Control Strategies

Control Strategies Applied	Frequency (per day)	PM10 Reduction	PM2.5 Reduction
Water Exposed Area	3	74%	74%

5.7. Construction Paving

Phase Name	Land Use	Area Paved (acres)	% Asphalt
Paving	Quality Restaurant	0.00000	0%
Paving	General Light Industry	0.00000	0%
Paving	Parking Lot	4.45000	100%

5.8. Construction Electricity Consumption and Emissions Factors

kWh per Year and Emission Factor (lb/MWh)

Year	kWh per Year	CO2	CH4	N2O
2026	0.00000	346.196	0.03300	0.00400
2027	0.00000	346.196	0.03300	0.00400

5.9. Operational Mobile Sources

5.9.1. Unmitigated

Land Use Type	Trips/Weekday	Trips/Saturday	Trips/Sunday	Trips/Year	VMT/Weekday	VMT/Saturday	VMT/Sunday	VMT/Year
Total all Land Uses	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	5,593.42	5,593.42	5,593.42	2,041,600

5.10. Operational Area Sources

5.10.1. Hearths

Land Use	Hearth Type	Unmitigated (number)	Mitigated (number)
Quality Restaurant	Wood Fireplaces	0	0

Land Use	Hearth Type	Unmitigated (number)	Mitigated (number)
Quality Restaurant	Gas Fireplaces	0	0
Quality Restaurant	Propane Fireplaces	0	0
Quality Restaurant	Electric Fireplaces	0	0
Quality Restaurant	No Fireplaces	0	0
Quality Restaurant	Conventional Wood Stoves	0	0
Quality Restaurant	Catalytic Wood Stoves	0	0
Quality Restaurant	Non-Catalytic Wood Stoves	0	0
Quality Restaurant	Pellet Wood Stoves	0	0
General Light Industry	Wood Fireplaces	0	0
General Light Industry	Gas Fireplaces	0	0
General Light Industry	Propane Fireplaces	0	0
General Light Industry	Electric Fireplaces	0	0
General Light Industry	No Fireplaces	0	0
General Light Industry	Conventional Wood Stoves	0	0
General Light Industry	Catalytic Wood Stoves	0	0
General Light Industry	Non-Catalytic Wood Stoves	0	0
General Light Industry	Pellet Wood Stoves	0	0
Parking Lot	Wood Fireplaces	0	0
Parking Lot	Gas Fireplaces	0	0
Parking Lot	Propane Fireplaces	0	0
Parking Lot	Electric Fireplaces	0	0

Land Use	Hearth Type	Unmitigated (number)	Mitigated (number)
Parking Lot	No Fireplaces	0	0
Parking Lot	Conventional Wood Stoves	0	0
Parking Lot	Catalytic Wood Stoves	0	0
Parking Lot	Non-Catalytic Wood Stoves	0	0
Parking Lot	Pellet Wood Stoves	0	0

5.10.2. Architectural Coatings

Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Parking Area Coated (sq ft)
0.00000	0.00000	25,329.0	8,443.00	11,630.5

5.10.3. Landscape Equipment

Season	Unit	Value
Snow Days	day/yr	0.00000
Summer Days	day/yr	250.000

5.11. Operational Energy Consumption

5.11.1. Unmitigated

Electricity (kWh/yr) and CO2 and CH4 and N2O and Natural Gas (kBTU/yr)

Land Use	Electricity (kWh/yr)	CO2	CH4	N2O	Natural Gas (kBTU/yr)
Quality Restaurant	559,205	346.196	0.0330	0.0040	1,816,387

Land Use	Electricity (kWh/yr)	CO2	CH4	N2O	Natural Gas (kBTU/yr)
General Light Industry	9,195.88	346.196	0.0330	0.0040	41,275.3
Parking Lot	169,806	346.196	0.0330	0.0040	0.00000

5.12. Operational Water and Wastewater Consumption

5.12.1. Unmitigated

Land Use	Indoor Water (gal/year)	Outdoor Water (gal/year)
Quality Restaurant	4,833,774	0.00000
General Light Industry	2,587,909	0.00000
Parking Lot	0.00000	0.00000

5.13. Operational Waste Generation

5.13.1. Unmitigated

Land Use	Waste (ton/year)	Cogeneration (kWh/year)
Quality Restaurant	14.5316	0.00000
General Light Industry	1.19164	0.00000
Parking Lot	0.00000	0.00000

5.14. Operational Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Equipment

5.14.1. Unmitigated

Land Use	Equipment Type	Refrigerant	GWP	Quantity (kg)	Operations Leak Rate	Service Leak Rate	Times Serviced
Quality Restaurant	Household refrigerators and/or freezers	User Defined	150.000	0.00000	0.60000	0.00000	1.000000
Quality Restaurant	Other commercial A/C and heat pumps	User Defined	750.000	1.80000	4.00000	4.00000	18.0000
Quality Restaurant	Walk-in refrigerators and freezers	User Defined	150.000	0.00040	7.50000	7.50000	20.0000
General Light Industry	Other commercial A/C and heat pumps	User Defined	750.000	0.30000	4.00000	4.00000	18.0000

5.15. Operational Off-Road Equipment

5.15.1. Unmitigated

5.16. Stationary Sources

5.16.1. Emergency Generators and Fire Pumps

5.16.2. Process Boilers

5.17. User Defined

5.18. Vegetation

5.18.1. Land Use Change

5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

Vegetation Land Use Type	Vegetation Soil Type	Initial Acres	Final Acres

5.18.1. Biomass Cover Type

5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

Biomass Cover Type	Initial Acres	Final Acres

5.18.2. Sequestration

5.18.2.1. Unmitigated

Tree Type	Number	Electricity Saved (kWh/year)	Natural Gas Saved (btu/year)

6. Climate Risk Detailed Report

6.1. Climate Risk Summary

Cal-Adapt midcentury 2040–2059 average projections for four hazards are reported below for your project location. These are under Representation Concentration Pathway (RCP) 8.5 which assumes GHG emissions will continue to rise strongly through 2050 and then plateau around 2100.

Climate Hazard	Result for Project Location	Unit
Temperature and Extreme Heat	33.0000	annual days of extreme heat
Extreme Precipitation	4.10000	annual days with precipitation above 20 mm
Sea Level Rise	—	meters of inundation depth
Wildfire	23.7900	annual hectares burned

Temperature and Extreme Heat data are for grid cell in which your project are located. The projection is based on the 98th historical percentile of daily maximum/minimum temperatures from observed historical data (32 climate model ensemble from Cal-Adapt, 2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5). Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

Extreme Precipitation data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The threshold of 20 mm is equivalent to about ¾ an inch of rain, which would be light to moderate rainfall if received over a full day or heavy rain if received over a period of 2 to 4 hours. Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

Sea Level Rise data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The projections are from Radke et al. (2017), as reported in Cal-Adapt (Radke et al., 2017, CEC-500-2017-008), and consider inundation location and depth for the San Francisco Bay, the Sacramento-San Joaquin River Delta and California coast resulting different increments of sea level rise coupled with extreme storm events. Users may select from four scenarios to view the range in potential inundation depth for the grid cell. The four scenarios are: No rise, 0.5 meter, 1.0 meter, 1.41 meters

Wildfire data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The projections are from UC Davis, as reported in Cal-Adapt (2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5), and consider historical data of climate, vegetation, population density, and large (> 400 ha) fire history. Users may select from four model simulations to view the range in potential wildfire probabilities for the grid cell. The four simulations make different assumptions about expected rainfall and temperature are: Warmer/drier (HadGEM2-ES), Cooler/wetter (CNRM-CM5), Average conditions (CanESM2), Range of different rainfall and temperature possibilities (MIROC5). Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

6.2. Initial Climate Risk Scores

Climate Hazard	Exposure Score	Sensitivity Score	Adaptive Capacity Score	Vulnerability Score
Temperature and Extreme Heat	5	0	0	N/A
Extreme Precipitation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sea Level Rise	1	0	0	N/A
Wildfire	1	0	0	N/A
Flooding	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Drought	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Snowpack Reduction	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air Quality Degradation	0	0	0	N/A

The sensitivity score reflects the extent to which a project would be adversely affected by exposure to a climate hazard. Exposure is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest exposure.

The adaptive capacity of a project refers to its ability to manage and reduce vulnerabilities from projected climate hazards. Adaptive capacity is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest ability to adapt.

The overall vulnerability scores are calculated based on the potential impacts and adaptive capacity assessments for each hazard. Scores do not include implementation of climate risk

reduction measures.

6.3. Adjusted Climate Risk Scores

Climate Hazard	Exposure Score	Sensitivity Score	Adaptive Capacity Score	Vulnerability Score
Temperature and Extreme Heat	5	1	1	4
Extreme Precipitation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sea Level Rise	1	1	1	2
Wildfire	1	1	1	2
Flooding	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Drought	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Snowpack Reduction	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air Quality Degradation	1	1	1	2

The sensitivity score reflects the extent to which a project would be adversely affected by exposure to a climate hazard. Exposure is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest exposure.

The adaptive capacity of a project refers to its ability to manage and reduce vulnerabilities from projected climate hazards. Adaptive capacity is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest ability to adapt.

The overall vulnerability scores are calculated based on the potential impacts and adaptive capacity assessments for each hazard. Scores include implementation of climate risk reduction measures.

6.4. Climate Risk Reduction Measures

7. Health and Equity Details

7.1. CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Scores

The maximum CalEnviroScreen score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects a higher pollution burden compared to other census tracts in the state.

Indicator	Result for Project Census Tract
Exposure Indicators	—
AQ-Ozone	76.9384
AQ-PM	31.5744
AQ-DPM	25.0653
Drinking Water	19.3456
Lead Risk Housing	4.96534
Pesticides	58.8621
Toxic Releases	8.73968
Traffic	14.0875
Effect Indicators	—
CleanUp Sites	40.8361
Groundwater	30.8816
Haz Waste Facilities/Generators	59.1396
Impaired Water Bodies	66.7367
Solid Waste	75.6701
Sensitive Population	—
Asthma	17.8215
Cardio-vascular	64.2323
Low Birth Weights	67.9240
Socioeconomic Factor Indicators	—

Indicator	Result for Project Census Tract
Education	46.2288
Housing	2.99113
Linguistic	9.46001
Poverty	11.2688
Unemployment	65.6299

7.2. Healthy Places Index Scores

The maximum Health Places Index score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects healthier community conditions compared to other census tracts in the state.

Indicator	Result for Project Census Tract
Economic	—
Above Poverty	90.00384961
Employed	14.1537277
Median HI	89.1056076
Education	—
Bachelor's or higher	59.373797
High school enrollment	25.49724111
Preschool enrollment	10.97138458
Transportation	—
Auto Access	80.12318748
Active commuting	2.707558065
Social	—

Indicator	Result for Project Census Tract
2-parent households	78.17271911
Voting	57.98793789
Neighborhood	—
Alcohol availability	92.19812652
Park access	4.965995124
Retail density	9.778005903
Supermarket access	7.4554087
Tree canopy	6.351854228
Housing	—
Homeownership	80.30283588
Housing habitability	95.02117285
Low-inc homeowner severe housing cost burden	92.58308739
Low-inc renter severe housing cost burden	86.06441678
Uncrowded housing	75.52932119
Health Outcomes	—
Insured adults	82.56127294
Arthritis	44.8
Asthma ER Admissions	91.5
High Blood Pressure	53.6
Cancer (excluding skin)	36.4
Asthma	46.1

Indicator	Result for Project Census Tract
Coronary Heart Disease	77.0
Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease	59.8
Diagnosed Diabetes	82.1
Life Expectancy at Birth	84.3
Cognitively Disabled	99.0
Physically Disabled	89.8
Heart Attack ER Admissions	62.1
Mental Health Not Good	56.0
Chronic Kidney Disease	79.8
Obesity	46.0
Pedestrian Injuries	19.6
Physical Health Not Good	65.0
Stroke	80.6
Health Risk Behaviors	—
Binge Drinking	16.3
Current Smoker	49.4
No Leisure Time for Physical Activity	67.1
Climate Change Exposures	—
Wildfire Risk	4.7
SLR Inundation Area	0.0
Children	27.6

Indicator	Result for Project Census Tract
Elderly	90.0
English Speaking	73.0
Foreign-born	22.5
Outdoor Workers	91.7
Climate Change Adaptive Capacity	—
Impervious Surface Cover	79.1
Traffic Density	14.5
Traffic Access	23.0
Other Indices	—
Hardship	38.7
Other Decision Support	—
2016 Voting	59.3

7.3. Overall Health & Equity Scores

Metric	Result for Project Census Tract
CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Score for Project Location (a)	34.0000
Healthy Places Index Score for Project Location (b)	61.0000
Project Located in a Designated Disadvantaged Community (Senate Bill 535)	No
Project Located in a Low-Income Community (Assembly Bill 1550)	No
Project Located in a Community Air Protection Program Community (Assembly Bill 617)	No

a: The maximum CalEnviroScreen score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects a higher pollution burden compared to other census tracts in the state.

b: The maximum Health Places Index score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects healthier community conditions compared to other census tracts in the state.

7.4. Health & Equity Measures

No Health & Equity Measures selected.

7.5. Evaluation Scorecard

Health & Equity Evaluation Scorecard not completed.

7.6. Health & Equity Custom Measures

No Health & Equity Custom Measures created.

8. User Changes to Default Data

8.1. Justifications

Screen	Justification
Land Use	Taken from site plan, consistent with previous CalEEMod run. Based on the PD, the total project facility is 19.34 acres, of which 14.5 acres are vineyards. As such, 4.84 acres have been modeled to reflect the net project site.
Construction: Construction Phases	Schedule adjusted based on previous analysis.
Construction: Off-Road Equipment	Equipment list adjusted based on previous analysis.
Construction: Trips and VMT	Worker, vendor, onsite truck trips, and vendor trip lengths based on previous analysis.
Construction: Architectural Coatings	SCAQMD Rule 1113
Operations: Architectural Coatings	SCAQMD Rule 1113

Screen	Justification
Operations: Water and Waste Water	Water usage for wine production was estimated based on the net Project site (4.84 ac) x the RCWD Duty Factor for a Winery use (1.5 ac-ft/yr/ac) x the gal/ac-ft unit conversion factor (325,851 gal/ac-ft). Based on this calculation, the water usage for wine production would be 2,365,678 gal/year and has been added to the LI CalEEMod default water usage, totaling 2,587,909 gal/year.
Operations: Refrigerants	As of 1 January 2022, new commercial refrigeration equipment may not use refrigerants with a GWP of 150 or greater. Further, R-404A (the CalEEMod default) is unacceptable for new supermarket and cold storage systems as of 1 January 2019 and 2023, respectively. Beginning 1 January 2025, all new air conditioning equipment may not use refrigerants with a GWP of 750 or greater.

8.3. Land Use

Model Parameter	Units	Default Value	New Value
Lot Area	acre	1.98900	4.45000
Landscape Area	sq. ft	—	0.00000

8.4. Construction

8.4.1. Construction Phases

Phase Type	Phase Name	Model Parameter	Default Value	New Value
Grading	Grading	Start Date	1/20/2027	12/14/2026
Grading	Grading	End Date	1/31/2027	12/15/2026
Grading	Grading	Work Days per Phase	8.00000	2.00000
Grading	Grading	Description	—	2
Building Construction	Building Construction	Start Date	2/1/2027	12/16/2026

Phase Type	Phase Name	Model Parameter	Default Value	New Value
Building Construction	Building Construction	End Date	12/20/2027	5/4/2027
Building Construction	Building Construction	Work Days per Phase	230.000	100.0000
Building Construction	Building Construction	Description	—	100
Paving	Paving	Start Date	12/21/2027	5/5/2027
Paving	Paving	End Date	1/15/2028	5/18/2027
Paving	Paving	Work Days per Phase	18.0000	10.00000
Paving	Paving	Description	—	10
Architectural Coating	Architectural Coating	Start Date	1/16/2028	5/19/2027
Architectural Coating	Architectural Coating	End Date	2/10/2028	6/1/2027
Architectural Coating	Architectural Coating	Work Days per Phase	18.0000	10.00000
Architectural Coating	Architectural Coating	Description	—	10

8.4.2. Off-Road Equipment

Phase Name	Equipment Type	Model Parameter	Default Value	New Value
Grading	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	Number per Day	3.00000	2.00000
Building Construction	Cranes	Hours Per Day	7.00000	8.00000
Building Construction	Forklifts	Number per Day	3.00000	1.000000
Building Construction	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	Number per Day	3.00000	1.000000
Building Construction	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	Hours Per Day	7.00000	8.00000
Building Construction	Welders	Number per Day	1.000000	3.00000
Paving	Cement and Mortar Mixers	Number per Day	2.00000	1.000000

Phase Name	Equipment Type	Model Parameter	Default Value	New Value
Paving	Cement and Mortar Mixers	Hours Per Day	6.00000	8.00000
Paving	Paving Equipment	Number per Day	2.00000	1.000000
Paving	Paving Equipment	Hours Per Day	6.00000	8.00000
Paving	Rollers	Number per Day	2.00000	1.000000
Paving	Rollers	Hours Per Day	6.00000	8.00000
Architectural Coating	Air Compressors	Hours Per Day	6.00000	8.00000

8.4.6. Trips and VMT

Phase Name	Trip Type	Model Parameter	Default Value	New Value
Grading	Worker	One-Way Trips per Day	10.00000	20.0000
Grading	Vendor	One-Way Trips per Day	—	15.0000
Grading	Vendor	Miles per Trip	10.2000	80.0000
Grading	Hauling	Miles per Trip	20.0000	0.00000
Building Construction	Worker	One-Way Trips per Day	7.09212	20.0000
Building Construction	Vendor	One-Way Trips per Day	2.76762	15.0000
Building Construction	Vendor	Miles per Trip	10.2000	80.0000
Building Construction	Hauling	Miles per Trip	20.0000	0.00000
Paving	Worker	One-Way Trips per Day	12.5000	20.0000
Paving	Vendor	One-Way Trips per Day	—	15.0000
Paving	Vendor	Miles per Trip	10.2000	80.0000
Paving	Hauling	Miles per Trip	20.0000	0.00000

Phase Name	Trip Type	Model Parameter	Default Value	New Value
Architectural Coating	Worker	One-Way Trips per Day	1.41842	20.0000
Architectural Coating	Vendor	One-Way Trips per Day	—	15.0000
Architectural Coating	Vendor	Miles per Trip	10.2000	80.0000
Architectural Coating	Hauling	Miles per Trip	20.0000	0.00000

8.4.8. Architectural Coatings

Phase Name	Model Parameter	Units	Default Value	New Value
Architectural Coating	Non-Residential Interior VOC	g/L	100.0000	50.0000
Architectural Coating	Non-Residential Exterior VOC	g/L	100.0000	50.0000

8.5. Operations

8.5.2. Area Sources

8.5.2.3. Architectural Coatings

Model Parameter	Units	Default Value	New Value
Non-Residential Interior VOC	g/L	100.0000	50.0000
Non-Residential Exterior VOC	g/L	100.0000	50.0000

8.5.4. Water and Waste Water

Land Use	Model Parameter	Units	Default Value	New Value
General Light Industry	Indoor Water	gal/year	222,231	2,587,909

8.5.6. Refrigerants

Land Use	Equipment Type	Model Parameter	Default Value	New Value
Quality Restaurant	Household refrigerators and/or freezers	Refrigerant	R-134a	User Defined
Quality Restaurant	Household refrigerators and/or freezers	GWP	1,430.00	150.000
Quality Restaurant	Other commercial A/C and heat pumps	Refrigerant	R-410A	User Defined
Quality Restaurant	Other commercial A/C and heat pumps	GWP	2,088.00	750.000
Quality Restaurant	Walk-in refrigerators and freezers	Refrigerant	R-404A	User Defined
Quality Restaurant	Walk-in refrigerators and freezers	GWP	3,922.00	150.000
General Light Industry	Other commercial A/C and heat pumps	Refrigerant	R-410A	User Defined
General Light Industry	Other commercial A/C and heat pumps	GWP	2,088.00	750.000

This page intentionally left blank