

Summary Form for Electronic Document Submittal

Form F

Lead agencies may include 15 hardcopies of this document when submitting electronic copies of Environmental Impact Reports, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, or Notices of Preparation to the State Clearinghouse (SCH). The SCH also accepts other summaries, such as EIR Executive Summaries prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15123. Please include one copy of the Notice of Completion Form (NOC) with your submission and attach the summary to each electronic copy of the document.

SCH #: 2024120924

Project Title: Sandyland Shoreline Protection Project

Lead Agency: Santa Barbara County

Contact Name: Morgan Jones

Email: mmjones@countyofsb.org Phone Number: (805) 568-3059

Project Location: Santa Barbara County

City

County

Project Description (Proposed actions, location, and/or consequences).

The proposed Project would repair and restore the 1983 design of the existing 3,700-foot-long Sandyland Seawall (shoreline protection in the form of a rock revetment) located between Sand Point Road residences and the coastline. Specifically, the proposed Project would involve repairing the revetment by placing up to 15,000 tons of 3- to 5-ton armor rocks to achieve the 1983 design of the revetment with a 16.4-foot crest elevation (relative to NAVD88 vertical datum), a 2:1 (horizontal:vertical) seaward slope, and a crest width of 10 feet. The repair would involve minor re-working of the revetment, using new (imported) armor rocks to fill voids in the revetment, correct low points and reduced width in the revetment crest, and address areas where existing armor stone has been dislodged. Where possible, existing displaced armor rock would be salvaged and re-used. In addition, the proposed Project would provide dune vegetation enhancement along the landward splash wall. Existing non-native vegetation would be removed and native dune vegetation would be planted to create additional habitat area. Construction of the dune feature may require the import of a small amount of sand for dune vegetation establishment in locations where sand cover does not exist. Lastly, 12 private access stairways would be demolished, and the design rock revetment would be restored in their place. The remaining 11 existing access stairways would be rebuilt.

Identify the project's significant or potentially significant effects and briefly describe any proposed mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid that effect.

Significant and unavoidable effects on the environment identified in the Draft EIR include noise effects. Significant but mitigable effects on the environment identified in the Draft EIR include: biological resources, cultural and tribal cultural resources. To reduce or avoid impacts, the Draft EIR identifies the following mitigation measures: MM BIO-1 (Pre-Construction Special-status Plant Surveys); MM BIO-2 (Pre-Construction Nesting Bird Surveys); MM BIO-3a (Pre-Construction Special-status Wildlife Surveys); MM BIO-3b (California Legless Lizard); MM BIO-3c (Invertebrates); MM BIO-3d (California grunion); MM BIO-3e (Burrowing Owls); MM BIO-4 (Working Environmental Orientation); MM BIO-5 (Biological Monitor); MM BIO-6 (Avoidance of Native Dune Mat Vegetation); MM BIO-7 (Regulatory Permits); MM CTCR-1 (Stop Work at Encounter); MM CTCR-2 (Encountering Human Remains); MM NOI-1 (Muffler Systems for Mobile Construction Equipment); and MM NOI-2 (Noise Shielding for Stationary Construction Equipment and Rock Dumping Areas).

If applicable, describe any of the project's areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public.

Based on the NOP scoping meetings, as well as public letters received on the NOP and Environmental Scoping Document (see Appendix A), known concerns include, but are not limited to the following:

- Sediment deposition, erosion, and transport;
- Sea level rise adaptation strategies;
- Protections of Carpinteria Marsh as well as other sensitive habitat and biological resources;
- Short- and long-term beach access and beach-goer safety; and
- Protections for cultural resources and tribal cultural resources.

Provide a list of the responsible or trustee agencies for the project.

The County of Santa Barbara Public Works Department, Transportation Division (Transportation Division) is the Lead Agency with principal responsibility for approving or carrying out the proposed Project (CEQA Guidelines Section 15367). Additional agencies with approval authority over aspects of the proposed Project include the California Coastal Commission (CCC) and the Central Coast Water Quality Control Board (CEQA Guidelines Section 15381). State agencies with general management authority over specified natural resources of the State when the resources may occur within the County include the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) and the California State Lands Commission (CSLC) (CEQA Guidelines Section 15386).