Project Specific Water Quality Management Plan


*A Template for Projects located within the **Santa Ana Watershed** Region of Riverside County*

APPROVED
CITY OF RIVERSIDE
PUBLIC WORKS - LAND DEVELOPMENT
APPROVED BY: cnieto DATE: 05-28-25
Reviewed by UZO OKEREKE

Project Title: 2069 Massachusetts Avenue Industrial

Public Works No: GP-2024-10585

Design Review/Case No: PR-2024-001666



☒ **Preliminary**
☐ **Final**

Original Date Prepared: 10/13/2021

Revision Date(s): 02/19/2024; 05/28/2024; 08/26/2024; 01/08/2025; 03/31/2025

Prepared for Compliance with
Regional Board Order No. **R8-2010-0033**

Contact Information:

Prepared for: Staley Point Capital
11150 Santa Monica Blvd., Suite 700
Los Angeles, CA 90025
(310) 929-8089
mike@staleypoint.com

Prepared by: Huitt-Zollars
90 E. Thousand Oaks Blvd., Suite 201
Thousand Oaks, CA 91360-5757
John Vlassis, P.E.
(805) 418-1802
jvlassis@huitt-zollars.com

OWNER'S CERTIFICATION

This Project-Specific Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP) has been prepared for Staley Point Capital by Huitt-Zollars, Inc. for the Massachusetts Avenue Industrial project.

This WQMP is intended to comply with the requirements of the City of Riverside for APN: 210-130-015, 210-130-016, Planning Case No. PR-2021-001062 which includes the requirement for the preparation and implementation of a Project-Specific WQMP.

The undersigned, while owning the property/project described in the preceding paragraph, shall be responsible for the implementation and funding of this WQMP and will ensure that this WQMP is amended as appropriate to reflect up-to-date conditions on the site. In addition, the property owner accepts responsibility for interim operation and maintenance of Stormwater BMPs until such time as this responsibility is formally transferred to a subsequent owner. This WQMP will be reviewed with the facility operator, facility supervisors, employees, tenants, maintenance and service contractors, or any other party (or parties) having responsibility for implementing portions of this WQMP. At least one copy of this WQMP will be maintained at the project site or project office in perpetuity. The undersigned is authorized to certify and to approve implementation of this WQMP. The undersigned is aware that implementation of this WQMP is enforceable under the City of Riverside Water Quality Ordinance (Municipal Code Section 14.12.315).

"I, the undersigned, certify under penalty of law that the provisions of this WQMP have been reviewed and accepted and that the WQMP will be transferred to future successors in interest."

Owner's Signature	Date
Owner's Printed Name	Owner's Title/Position

PREPARER'S CERTIFICATION

"The selection, sizing and design of stormwater treatment and other stormwater quality and quantity control measures in this plan meet the requirements of Regional Water Quality Control Board Order No. **R8-2010-0033** and any subsequent amendments thereto."

Preparer's Signature	03/31/2025 Date
John Vlassis, P.E. Preparer's Printed Name	Project Manager Preparer's Title/Position

Preparer's Licensure: RCE No. 49830

Table of Contents

Section A: Project and Site Information 5
A.1 Maps and Site Plans 5
A.2 Receiving Waters 6
A.3 Additional Permits/Approvals required for the Project: 6
Section B: Optimize Site Utilization (LID Principles) 7
Section C: Delineate Drainage Management Areas (DMAs) 8
Section D: Implement LID BMPs 9
D.1 Infiltration Applicability 9
D.2 Harvest and Use Assessment 10
D.3 Bioretention and Biotreatment Assessment 10
D.4 Feasibility Assessment Summaries 10
D.5 LID BMP Sizing 11
Section E: Alternative Compliance (LID Waiver Program) 12
Section F: Hydromodification 12
F.1 Hydrologic Conditions of Concern (HCOC) Analysis 12
Section G: Source Control BMPs 14
Section H: Construction Plan Checklist 15
Section I: Operation, Maintenance and Funding ..... **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

List of Tables

Table A.1 Identification of Receiving Waters 6
Table A.2 Other Applicable Permits 6
Table C.1 DMA Classifications 8
Table C.2 Type 'A', Self-Treating Areas 8
Table C.3 Type 'B', Self-Retaining Areas 8
Table C.4 Type 'C', Areas that Drain to Self-Retaining Areas 8
Table C.5 Type 'D', Areas Draining to BMPs 8
Table D.1 Infiltration Feasibility 9
Table D.2 LID Prioritization Summary Matrix 10
Table D.3 DCV Calculations for LID BMPs 11
Table F.1 Hydrologic Conditions of Concern Summary 13
Table G.1 Permanent and Operational Source Control Measures 14
Table H.1 Construction Plan Cross-reference 15

List of Appendices

Appendix 1: Maps and Site Plans 17
Appendix 2: Construction Plans 20
Appendix 3: Soils Information 21
Appendix 4: Historical Site Conditions 22
Appendix 5: LID Infeasibility 23
Appendix 6: BMP Design Details 24
Appendix 7: Hydromodification 25
Appendix 8: Source Control 28
Appendix 9: O&M 29
Appendix 10: Educational Materials ..... - 32 -

Section A: Project and Site Information

The site will be developed with two (2) new commercial/industrial buildings. Both buildings will be roughly 100,000 ft^2 in size, with Building 1 being in the northern half of the site and Building 2 in the south half of the site. Dock-high doors will be constructed along the south side of Building 1 and along the north side of Building 2. Both buildings will be surrounded by AC pavement in the parking and drive areas, PCC pavement in the truck court and truck traffic areas, and landscape areas.

PROJECT INFORMATION	
Type of Project:	Industrial
Ward Area:	Ward 1
Community Name:	Hunter Industrial Park
Development Name:	Massachusetts Avenue Industrial
PROJECT LOCATION	
Latitude & Longitude (DMS): N 33° 59' 12" W 117° 21' 26"	
Project Watershed and Sub-Watershed: Santa Ana; Santa Ana River, Reach 3	
APN(s): 210-130-015-1; 210-130-016-2	
Map Book and Page No.: The Thomas Guide: Los Angeles County (2003 Edition) Page 686 Grid A3	
PROJECT CHARACTERISTICS	
Proposed or Potential Land Use(s)	Industrial
Proposed or Potential SIC Code(s)	4225
Area of Impervious Project Footprint (SF)	394,063 SF
Total Area of proposed Impervious Surfaces within the Project Limits (SF)/or Replacement	394,063 SF
Does the project consist of offsite road improvements?	☐ Y ☒ N
Does the project propose to construct unpaved roads?	☐ Y ☒ N
Is the project part of a larger common plan of development (phased project)?	☒ Y ☐ N
EXISTING SITE CHARACTERISTICS	
Total area of existing Impervious Surfaces within the project limits (SF)	249,091
Is the project located within any MSHCP Criteria Cell?	☐ Y ☒ N
If so, identify the Cell number:	Insert text here.
Are there any natural hydrologic features on the project site?	☐ Y ☒ N
Is a Geotechnical Report attached?	☒ Y ☐ N
If no Geotech. Report, list the NRCS soils type(s) present on the site (A, B, C and/or D)	See Report
What is the Water Quality Design Storm Depth for the project?	D85 = 0.6"

A.1 Maps and Site Plans

Appendix 1 includes a map of the local vicinity and existing site. In addition, WQMP Site Plan, located in Appendix 1, includes the following:

- Drainage Management Areas
- Proposed Structural BMPs
- Drainage Path
- Drainage Infrastructure, Inlets, Overflows
- Source Control BMPs
- Buildings, Roof Lines, Downspouts
- Impervious Surfaces
- Standard Labeling

A.2 Receiving Waters

In order of upstream to downstream, the receiving waters that the project site is tributary to are as follows. A map of the receiving waters is included in Appendix 1. (https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/santaana/water_issues/programs/basin_plan/)

Table A.1 Identification of Receiving Waters

Receiving Waters	Hydrologic Unit	EPA Approved 303(d) List Impairments	Designated Beneficial Uses	Proximity to RARE Beneficial Use
Riverside Canal	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Lake Evans	801.27	None	REC1, REC2, WARM, COLD, WILD	N/A
Santa Ana River, Reach 3	801.21	Pathogens	GWR, REC1, REC2, WARM, WILD	1.73 miles

A.3 Additional Permits/Approvals required for the Project:

Table A.2 Other Applicable Permits

Agency	Permit Required	
State Department of Fish and Game, 1602 Streambed Alteration Agreement	☐ Y	☒ N
State Water Resources Control Board, Clean Water Act (CWA) Section 401 Water Quality Cert.	☐ Y	☒ N
US Army Corps of Engineers, CWA Section 404 Permit	☐ Y	☒ N
US Fish and Wildlife, Endangered Species Act Section 7 Biological Opinion	☐ Y	☒ N
Statewide Construction General Permit Coverage	☒ Y	☐ N
Statewide Industrial General Permit Coverage	☒ Y	☐ N
Western Riverside MSHCP Consistency Approval (e.g., JPR, DBESP)	☐ Y	☒ N
Other *(please list in the space below as required)*		
City of Riverside Conditional Use Permit	☒ Y	☐ N
City of Riverside Design Review	☒ Y	☐ N
City of Riverside Building Permit	☒ Y	☐ N
City of Riverside Grading Permit	☒ Y	☐ N
City of Riverside Construction Permit	☒ Y	☐ N

Section B: Optimize Site Utilization (LID Principles)

Site Optimization

Does the project identify and preserve existing drainage patterns? If so, how? If not, why?

This project's grading and drainage design uses contours from topographic data to infer drainage patterns and attempts to follow the existing contours. The design aims to preserve or replicate the site's natural drainage patterns and help maintain the site's predevelopment hydrologic function.

Does the project identify and protect existing vegetation? If so, how? If not, why?

This project does not protect existing vegetation because there are no areas containing dense vegetation or well-established trees for this project.

Does the project identify and preserve natural infiltration capacity? If so, how? If not, why?

This project takes advantage of the site's natural infiltration and storage capacity. The geotechnical investigation helps define the areas with potential for infiltration.

The proposed BMPs include two (2) proprietary underground infiltration trenches with CMPs (provided by Contech).

Does the project identify and minimize impervious area? If so, how? If not, why?

Yes, the site plan limits the overall coverage of paving and roofs by designing narrower and shorter sidewalk, substituting potential pavement areas with landscape area.

Does the project identify and disperse runoff to adjacent pervious areas? If so, how? If not, why?

*Yes, this project minimizes directly connecting impervious area by directing roof runoff and other runoff from impervious areas into landscaped areas such as parking islands, planter boxes, and other landscape area around the perimeter of the site. Also, Stormwater BMPs are interspersed in the landscapes areas along the perimeter of the site. D*rainage is directed from landscaped slopes offsite and not to impervious surfaces like parking lots.

Section C: Delineate Drainage Management Areas (DMAs)

Utilizing the procedure in Section 3.3 of the WQMP Guidance Document which discusses the methods of delineating and mapping the project site into individual DMAs.

Table C.1 DMA Classifications

DMA Name or ID	Surface Type(s)	Area (Sq. Ft.)	DMA Type
A-1	Roofs	197,847	Type D
A-2	Ornamental Landscaping	50,033	Type A
A-3	Concrete or Asphalt	195,328	Type D

Table C.2 Type 'A', Self-Treating Areas

DMA Name or ID	Area (Sq. Ft.)	Stabilization Type	Irrigation Type (if any)
A	443,205		

Table C.3 Type 'B', Self-Retaining Areas

Self-Retaining Area				**Type 'C' DMAs that are draining to the Self-Retaining Area**		
DMA Name/ ID	Post-project surface type	Area (square feet) [A]	Storm Depth (inches) [B]	DMA Name / ID	[C] from Table C.4 = [C]	Required Retention Depth (inches) [D]
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

$$[D] = [B] + \frac{[B] \cdot [C]}{[A]}$$

Table C.4 Type 'C', Areas that Drain to Self-Retaining Areas

DMA					**Receiving Self-Retaining DMA**		
DMA Name/ ID	Area (square feet) [A]	Post-project surface type	Runoff factor [B]	Product [C] = [A] x [B]	DMA name /ID	Area (square feet) [D]	Ratio [C]/[D]
N/A	**N/A**	**N/A**	**N/A**	**N/A**	**N/A**	**N/A**	**N/A**

Table C.5 Type 'D', Areas Draining to BMPs

DMA Name or ID	BMP Name or ID
A-1	BMP A

Section D: Implement LID BMPs

D.1 Infiltration Applicability

Is there an approved downstream 'Highest and Best Use' for stormwater runoff (ref: Chapter 2.4.4 of the WQMP Guidance Document)? ☐ Y ☒ N

Geotechnical Report

A Geotechnical Report is required by the City of Riverside to confirm present and past site characteristics that may affect the use of Infiltration BMPs, see Appendix 3.

Is this project classified as a small project consistent with the requirements of Chapter 2 of the WQMP Guidance Document? ☐ Y ☒ N

Infiltration Feasibility

Table D.1 below provides a simple means of assessing which DMAs on the project site support Infiltration BMPs:

Table D.1 Infiltration Feasibility

Does the project site…	YES	NO
…have any DMAs with a seasonal high groundwater mark shallower than 10 feet?		X
If Yes, list affected DMAs:		
…have any DMAs located within 100 feet of a water supply well?		X
If Yes, list affected DMAs:		
…have any areas identified by the geotechnical report as posing a public safety risk where infiltration of stormwater could have a negative impact?		X
If Yes, list affected DMAs:		
…have measured in-situ infiltration rates of less than 1.6 inches / hour?		X
If Yes, list affected DMAs:		
…have significant cut and/or fill conditions that would preclude in-situ testing of infiltration rates at the final infiltration surface?		X
If Yes, list affected DMAs:		
…geotechnical report identify other site-specific factors that would preclude effective and safe infiltration?		X
Describe here:		

D.2 Harvest and Use Assessment

The following conditions apply*:*

☐ Reclaimed water will be used for the non-potable water demands for the project.

☐ Downstream water rights may be impacted by Harvest and Use as approved by the Regional Board (verified with the City of Riverside).

☒ The Design Capture Volume will be addressed using Infiltration Only BMPs. (Harvest and Use BMPs are still encouraged, but are not required as the Design Capture Volume will be infiltrated or evapotranspired).

☐ None of the above.

Harvest and Use BMPs need not be assessed for the site.

D.3 Bioretention and Biotreatment Assessment

Other LID Bioretention and Biotreatment BMPs as described in Chapter 2.4.7 of the WQMP Guidance Document are feasible on nearly all development sites with sufficient advance planning.

For the project, the following applies:

☐ LID Bioretention/Biotreatment BMPs will be used for some or all DMAs of the project as noted below in Section D.4

☐ A site-specific analysis demonstrating the technical infeasibility of all LID BMPs has been performed and is included in Appendix 5.

☒ None of the above.

D.4 Feasibility Assessment Summaries

In concurrence with the Infiltration, Harvest and Use, Bioretention and Biotreatment Sections above, Table D.2 below summarizes which LID BMPs are technically feasible, and which are not, based upon the established hierarchy.

Table D.2 LID Prioritization Summary Matrix

DMA Name/ID	LID BMP Hierarchy				No LID (Alternative Compliance)
	1. Infiltration	2. Harvest and use	3. Bioretention	4. Biotreatment	
DMA A	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐

Based on LID BMP Prioritization, Infiltration is the most appropriate treatment BMP. Harvest and use is feasible for all DMAs, however because infiltration is being used Harvest and use is not necessary.

D.5 LID BMP Sizing

Each LID BMP is designed to ensure that the Design Capture Volume will be addressed by the selected BMPs. The VBMP worksheets are used to calculate the Design Capture Volume for each LID BMP, see Appendix 6 for the full set of tables. See Table D.3 below for an example calculation of the Design Capture Volume for DMAs draining to BMP A.

Table D.3 DCV Calculations for LID BMPs

DMA Type/ID	DMA Area (square feet)	Post-Project Surface Type	Effective Impervious Fraction, I_f	DMA Runoff Factor	DMA Areas x Runoff Factor	*BMP A/INFILTRATION TRENCH*		
	[A]		[B]	[C]	[A] x [C]			
A-1	*197,847*	*Roof*	*1.0*	*0.89*	*176,083*	*Design Storm Depth (in)*	*Design Capture Volume, V_{BMP} (cubic feet)*	*Proposed Volume on Plans (cubic feet)*
A-2	*50,033*	*Ornamental Landscaping*	*0.1*	*0.11*	*5,503*			
A-3	*195,328*	*Concrete or Asphalt*	*1.0*	*0.89*	*173,842*			
	443,208				356,238	0.60	17,811	***51,080***

[B], [C] are obtained from Section 2.3.1 of the WQMP Guidance Document
[E] is obtained from Exhibit A of the WQMP Guidance Document
[G] is obtained from LID BMP design procedure sheet, placed in Appendix 6

Section E: Alternative Compliance (LID Waiver Program)

LID BMPs are expected to be feasible on virtually all projects. Where LID BMPs have been demonstrated to be infeasible as documented in Section D, other Treatment Control BMPs must be used (subject to confirmation of LID waiver approval by the Regional Board). For the project, the following applies:

☒ LID Principles and LID BMPs have been incorporated into the site design to fully address all Drainage Management Areas. No alternative compliance measures are required for this project and thus this Section is not required to be completed.

- *Or* -

☐ The following Drainage Management Areas are unable to be addressed using LID BMPs. A site-specific analysis demonstrating technical infeasibility of LID BMPs has been approved by the Regional Board and included in Appendix 5. Additionally, no downstream regional and/or sub-regional LID BMPs exist or are available for use by the project. The alternative compliance measures on the following pages are being implemented to ensure that any pollutant loads expected to be discharged by not incorporating LID BMPs, are fully mitigated.

Section F: Hydromodification

F.1 Hydrologic Conditions of Concern (HCOC) Analysis

The project does not create a Hydrologic Condition of Concern, meeting the criteria for HCOC Exemption as shown below:

HCOC EXEMPTION 1: The Priority Development Project disturbs less than one acre. The City of Riverside has the discretion to require a Project-Specific WQMP to address HCOCs on projects less than one acre on a case by case basis. The disturbed area calculation should include all disturbances associated with larger common plans of development.

Does the project qualify for this HCOC Exemption? ☐ Y ☒ N

HCOC EXEMPTION 2: The volume and time of concentration[1] of storm water runoff for the post-development condition is not significantly different from the pre-development condition for a 2-year return frequency storm (a difference of 5% or less is considered insignificant) using one of the following methods to calculate:

- Riverside County Hydrology Manual
- Technical Release 55 (TR-55): Urban Hydrology for Small Watersheds (NRCS 1986), or derivatives thereof, such as the Santa Barbara Urban Hydrograph Method
- Other methods acceptable to the City of Riverside

Does the project qualify for this HCOC Exemption? ☐ Y ☒ N

Results included in Table F.1 below and hydrologic analysis included in Appendix 7.

Table F.1 Hydrologic Conditions of Concern Summary

	2 year – 24 hour		
	Pre-condition	**Post-condition**	**% Difference**
Time of Concentration	9 Minutes	9.5 Minutes	5.4%
Flow (CFS)	3.4	4.9	44.1%
Volume (Cubic Feet)	12,085.80	17,811.87	47.4%

[1] Time of concentration is defined as the time after the beginning of the rainfall when all portions of the drainage basin are contributing to flow at the outlet.

HCOC EXEMPTION 3: All downstream conveyance channels to an adequate sump (Prado Dam, Santa Ana River) that will receive runoff from the project are engineered and regularly maintained to ensure design flow capacity; no sensitive stream habitat areas will be adversely affected; or are not identified on the Co-Permittees Hydromodification Sensitivity Maps.

Does the project qualify for this HCOC Exemption? ☒ Y ☐ N

Section G: Source Control BMPs

Source control BMPs include permanent, structural features that may be required in your project plans — such as roofs over and berms around trash and recycling areas — and Operational BMPs, such as regular sweeping and "housekeeping", that must be implemented by the site's occupant or user. The MEP standard typically requires both types of BMPs. In general, Operational BMPs cannot be substituted for a feasible and effective permanent BMP.

The following table identifies the potential sources of runoff pollutants for this project and specifies how they are addressed through permanent controls and operational BMPs:

Table G.1 Permanent and Operational Source Control Measures

Potential Sources of Runoff pollutants	Permanent Structural Source Control BMPs	Operational Source Control BMPs
On-site storm drain	Mark all inlets with the words "Only Rain Down the Storm Drain" or similar. Catch Basin Markers may be available from the Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District, call 951.955.1200 to verify.	- Maintain and periodically repaint or replace inlet markings. - Provide stormwater pollution prevention information to new site owners, lessees, or operators. - See applicable operational BMPs in Fact Sheet SC-44, "Drainage System Maintenance," in the CASQA Stormwater Quality Handbooks at www.cabmphandbooks.com - Include the following in lease agreements: "Tenant shall not allow anyone to discharge anything to storm drains or to store or deposit materials so as to create a potential discharge to storm drains."
Landscape/ Outdoor Pesticide Use	- Design landscaping to minimize irrigation and runoff, to promote surface infiltration where appropriate, and to minimize the use of fertilizers and pesticides that can contribute to stormwater pollution. - Where landscaped areas are used to retain or detain stormwater, specify plants that are tolerant of saturated soil conditions. - Consider using pest-resistant plants, especially adjacent to hardscape. - To insure successful establishment, select plants appropriate to site soils, slopes, climate, sun, wind, rain, land use, air movement, ecological consistency, and plant interactions.	- Maintain landscaping using minimum or no pesticides. - See applicable operational BMPs in "What you should know for…..Landscape and Gardening" at http://rcflood.org/stormwater/ - Provide IPM information to new owners, lessees and operators.
Refuse areas	- State how site refuse will be handled and provide supporting detail to what is shown on plans. - State that signs will be posted on or near dumpsters with the words "Do not dump hazardous materials here" or similar.	- Provide adequate number of receptacles. - Inspect receptacles regularly; repair or replace leaky receptacles. - Keep receptacles covered. - Prohibit/prevent dumping of liquid or hazardous wastes. - Post "no hazardous materials" signs. - Inspect and pick up litter daily and clean up spills immediately.

		- Keep spill control materials available on-site. - See Fact Sheet SC-34, "Waste Handling and Disposal" in the CASQA Stormwater Quality Handbooks at www.cabmphandbooks.com
Loading Docks		- Move loaded and unloaded items indoors as soon as possible. - See Fact Sheet SC-30, "Outdoor Loading and Unloading," in the CASQA Stormwater Quality Handbooks at www.cabmphandbooks.com
Plazas, sidewalks, and parking lots.		- Sweep plazas, sidewalks, and parking lots regularly to prevent accumulation of litter and debris. - Collect debris from pressure washing to prevent entry into the storm drain system. - Collect washwater containing any cleaning agent or degreaser and discharge to the sanitary sewer not to a storm drain.

Section H: Construction Plan Checklist

Table H.1 below aims to assist the plan checker in an expeditious review of the project.

Table H.1 Construction Plan Cross-reference

BMP No. or ID	BMP Identifier and Description	Plan Sheet Number(s)	Latitude / Longitude
BMP A	Underground Proprietary Infiltration CMP	WQMP Site Plan	33°59'19.05" N, 117°21'24.46" W

wd

Maintenance Mechanism: Responsibility for operation and maintenance activities is to be upheld by the owner. The owner of the property, and its successors, and assigns, is responsible for implementation of this WQMP or BMPs for the project site.


Will the proposed BMPs be maintained by a Home Owners' Association (HOA) or Property Owners Association (POA)?

☐ Y ☒ N

Operation and Maintenance Plan and Maintenance Mechanism is included in Appendix 9. Educational materials for those personnel that will be maintaining the proposed BMPs within this Project-Specific WQMP are included in Appendix 10.

Appendix 1: Maps and Site Plans


Location Map, WQMP Site Plan and Receiving Waters Map



VICINITY MAP



AERIAL MAP




RECEIVING WATERS MAP


Appendix 2: Construction Plans

Grading and Drainage Plans

SITE PLAN

PRELIMINARY WATER QUALITY MANAGEMENT PLAN (P-WQMP)
APN 210-130-015, 210-130-016
2069 MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE
RIVERSIDE, CALIFORNIA





TYPICAL CMP AND BACKFILL DETAIL

I
8

NOT TO SCALE

LEGEND:

- A / 1.86 / 0.8 — SUB-AREA; DRAINAGE AREA (ACRES); DESIGN FLOW RATE (CFS)
- TRIBUTARY AREA BOUNDARY
- PROPERTY BOUNDARY
- FLOW DIRECTION ARROW
- A-2 ORNAMENTAL LANDSCAPING
- A-1 ROOF
- A-3 CONCRETE OR ASPHALT

N

0 25 50 100 150
SCALE 1" = 50'

Under Ground Service Alert of Southern California
Call: TOLL FREE 1-800 227-2600
TWO WORKING DAYS BEFORE YOU DIG

PLANS PREPARED UNDER THE SUPERVISION OF
JOHN VLASSIS, P.E. No. 49830
PRINT NAME: RCE#.

BENCHMARK:
POINT ID: I7-M3

REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER JOHN J. VLASSIS No. 49830 CIVIL STATE OF CALIFORNIA

CITY OF RIVERSIDE BUSINESS TAX CERTIFICATE NO. 751500178 EXP.

HUITT ZOLLARS
90 E. Thousand Oaks Boulevard, Suite 201
Thousand Oaks, California 91360-5757
805.418.1802
www.huitt-zollars.com

MARK	REVISIONS	APPR.	DATE

DESIGNED BY _____ DRAWN BY _____ CHECKED BY _____

PLANNING DIVISION
ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW REQUIRED

APPROVED BY: _____ DATE _____

2626 KANSAS AVE. AND 2069 MASSACHUSETTS AVE.
WQMP PLAN

GP-2024-10585

SHEET 8 OF 9

HORIZ. SCALE: 1"=30' VERT. SCALE: NA

FILENAME: R:\V3\14146.01 - Massachusetts Avenue Industrial\10 CADD & BIM\10.1 AutoCAD\Sheets\31414601CLD02.DWG Mar 28 2025 4:43pm


PROJECT SUMMARY

CALCULATION DETAILS
- LOADING = HS20/HS25
- APPROX. LINEAR FOOTAGE = 726 LF

STORAGE SUMMARY
- STORAGE VOLUME REQUIRED = N/A
- PIPE STORAGE VOLUME = 46,186 CF
- BACKFILL STORAGE VOLUME = 16,806 CF
- TOTAL STORAGE PROVIDED = 62,992 CF
- STONE VOID = 40%

PIPE DETAILS
- DIAMETER = 108"
- CORRUGATION = 5x1
- GAGE = 14
- COATING = ALT2
- WALL TYPE = PERFORATED
- BARREL SPACING = 36"

BACKFILL DETAILS
- WIDTH AT ENDS = 12"
- ABOVE PIPE = 12"
- WIDTH AT SIDES = 12"
- BELOW PIPE = 6"



NOTES

- ALL RISER AND STUB DIMENSIONS ARE TO CENTERLINE. ALL ELEVATIONS, DIMENSIONS, AND LOCATIONS OF RISERS AND INLETS, SHALL BE VERIFIED BY THE ENGINEER OF RECORD PRIOR TO RELEASING FOR FABRICATION.
- ALL FITTINGS AND REINFORCEMENT COMPLY WITH ASTM A998.
- ALL RISERS AND STUBS ARE $2\frac{2}{3}$" x $\frac{1}{2}$" CORRUGATION AND 16 GAGE UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.
- RISERS TO BE FIELD TRIMMED TO GRADE.
- QUANTITY OF PIPE SHOWN DOES NOT PROVIDE EXTRA PIPE FOR CONNECTING THE SYSTEM TO EXISTING PIPE OR DRAINAGE STRUCTURES. OUR SYSTEM AS DETAILED PROVIDES NOMINAL INLET AND/OR OUTLET PIPE STUB FOR CONNECTION TO EXISTING DRAINAGE FACILITIES. IF ADDITIONAL PIPE IS NEEDED IT IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR.
- BAND TYPE TO BE DETERMINED UPON FINAL DESIGN.
- THE PROJECT SUMMARY IS REFLECTIVE OF THE DYODS DESIGN, QUANTITIES ARE APPROX. AND SHOULD BE VERIFIED UPON FINAL DESIGN AND APPROVAL. FOR EXAMPLE, TOTAL EXCAVATION DOES NOT CONSIDER ALL VARIABLES SUCH AS SHORING AND ONLY ACCOUNTS FOR MATERIAL WITHIN THE ESTIMATED EXCAVATION FOOTPRINT.
- THESE DRAWINGS ARE FOR CONCEPTUAL PURPOSES AND DO NOT REFLECT ANY LOCAL PREFERENCES OR REGULATIONS. PLEASE CONTACT YOUR LOCAL CONTECH REP FOR MODIFICATIONS.

ASSEMBLY
SCALE: 1" = 30'

The design and information shown on this drawing is provided as a service to the project owner, engineer and contractor by Contech Engineered Solutions LLC ("Contech"). Neither this drawing, nor any part thereof, may be used, reproduced or modified in any manner without the prior written consent of Contech. Failure to comply is done at the user's own risk and Contech expressly disclaims any liability or responsibility for such use.

If discrepancies between the supplied information upon which the drawing is based and actual field conditions are encountered as site work progresses, these discrepancies must be reported to Contech immediately for re-evaluation of the design. Contech accepts no liability for designs based on missing, incomplete or inaccurate information supplied by others.

DATE	REVISION DESCRIPTION	BY

CONTECH® ENGINEERED SOLUTIONS LLC
www.ContechES.com
9025 Centre Pointe Dr., Suite 400, West Chester, OH 45069
800-338-1122 513-645-7000 513-645-7993 FAX

CONTECH® CMP DETENTION SYSTEMS
CONTECH **DYODS** DRAWING

DYO66077 Massachusetts Avenue
CMP 1 - COPY
Thousand Oaks, CA
DETENTION SYSTEM


PROJECT No.:	SEQ. No.:	DATE:
46673	66077	1/13/2025
DESIGNED: DYO	DRAWN: DYO	
CHECKED: DYO	APPROVED: DYO	
SHEET NO.: 1		

C:\EXPORTS\TEMPLATES\CMP_V10.DWG 10/18/2019 10:02 AM

TABLE 1:

DIAMETER, D	MIN. COVER	CORR. PROFILE
6"-10"	12"	1 1/2" x 1/4"
12"-48"	12"	2 2/3" x 1/2"
>48"-96"	12"	3" x 1", 5" x 1"
>96"	D/8	3" x 1", 5" x 1"

- STRUCTURAL BACKFILL MUST EXTEND TO LIMITS OF THE TABLE
- TOTAL HEIGHT OF COMPACTED COVER FOR CONVENTIONAL HIGHWAY LOADS IS MEASURED FROM TOP OF PIPE TO BOTTOM OF FLEXIBLE PAVEMENT OR TOP OF RIGID PAVEMENT.



ABOVE DETAIL IS A RECOMMENDATION. CONSULT GEOTECHNICAL EOR FOR PROJECT SPECIFIC BACKFILL REQUIREMENTS.

MINIMUM WIDTH DEPENDS ON SITE CONDITIONS AND ENGINEERING JUDGEMENT

INSTALLATION NOTES

1. WHEN PLACING THE FIRST LIFTS OF BACKFILL IT IS IMPORTANT TO MAKE SURE THAT THE BACKFILL IS PROPERLY COMPACTED UNDER AND AROUND THE PIPE HAUNCHES.
2. OTHER ALTERNATE BACKFILL MATERIAL MAY BE ALLOWED DEPENDING ON SITE SPECIFIC CONDITIONS, AS APPROVED BY SITE ENGINEER.
3. AN HDPE MEMBRANE LINER WILL BE PLACED ON THE CROWN OF EACH PIPE TO PROVIDE AN IMPERMEABLE BARRIER AGAINST ENVIRONMENTAL CHANGES THAT MAY ADVERSELY AFFECT THE SYSTEM OVER TIME. PLEASE REFER TO THE CORRUGATED METAL PIPE DETENTION DESIGN GUIDE FOR ADDITIONAL TECHNICAL DETAILS.

TABLE 2: PERFORATED STANDARD

CMP RETENTION STANDARD BACKFILL SPECIFICATIONS

	MATERIAL LOCATION	MATERIAL SPECIFICATION	DESCRIPTION	
1	FILL ENVELOPE WIDTH	PER ENGINEER OF RECORD	MINIMUM TRENCH WIDTH MUST ALLOW ROOM FOR PROPER COMPACTION OF HAUNCH MATERIALS UNDER THE PIPE. THE SUGGESTED MINIMUM TRENCH WIDTH, OR EOR RECOMMENDATION: PIPE ≤ 12": D + 16" PIPE > 12": 1.5D + 12"	MINIMUM EMBANKMENT WIDTH (IN FEET) FOR INITIAL FILL ENVELOPE: PIPE < 24": 3.0D PIPE 24" - 144": D + 4'0" PIPE > 144": D + 10'0"
2	FOUNDATION	**AASHTO 26.5.2** - PER ENGINEER OF RECORD	PRIOR TO PLACING THE BEDDING, THE FOUNDATION MUST BE CONSTRUCTED TO A UNIFORM AND STABLE GRADE. IN THE EVENT THAT UNSUITABLE FOUNDATION MATERIALS ARE ENCOUNTERED DURING EXCAVATION, THEY SHALL BE REMOVED AND FOUNDATION BROUGHT BACK TO GRADE WITH A FILL MATERIAL APPROVED BY THE ENGINEER OF RECORD.	
3	BEDDING	**AASHTO M 43: 3, 357, 4, 467, 5, 56, 57**	ENGINEER OF RECORD TO DETERMINE IF BEDDING IS REQUIRED. PIPE MAY BE PLACED ON THE TRENCH BOTTOM OF A RELATIVELY LOOSE, NATIVE SUITABLE WELL GRADED GRANULAR MATERIAL THAT IS ROUGHLY SHAPED TO FIT THE BOTTOM OF THE PIPE, 2" MIN DEPTH. THE BEDDING MATERIAL MAY BE SUITABLE OPEN GRADED GRANULAR BEDDING CONFORMING TO AASHTO SOIL CLASSIFICATIONS A1, A2, OR A3 WITH MAXIMUM PARTICLE SIZE OF 3" PER AASHTO 26.3.8.1	
4	CORRUGATED METAL PIPE			
5	BACKFILL	FREE-DRAINING, ANGULAR, NATURALLY OCCURRING WASHED-STONE PER **AASHTO M 43: 3, 357, 4, 467, 5, 56, 57** OR APPROVED EQUAL *	HAUNCH ZONE MATERIAL SHALL BE HAND SHOVELED OR SHOVEL SLICED INTO PLACE TO ALLOW FOR PROPER COMPACTION WITHOUT SOFT SPOTS. BACKFILL SHALL BE PLACED IN 8" +/- LOOSE LIFTS AND COMPACTED TO 90% STANDARD PROCTOR PER AASHTO T 99. BACKFILL SHALL BE PLACED SUCH THAT THERE IS NO MORE THAN A TWO LIFT (16") DIFFERENTIAL BETWEEN ANY OF THE PIPES AT ANY TIME DURING THE BACKFILL PROCESS. THE BACKFILL SHOULD BE ADVANCED ALONG THE LENGTH OF THE SYSTEM TO AVOID DIFFERENTIAL LOADING. WHERE CONVENTIONAL COMPACTION TESTING IS NOT PRACTICAL, THE MATERIAL SHALL BE MECHANICALLY COMPACTED UNTIL NO FURTHER YIELDING OF MATERIAL IS OBSERVED UNDER THE COMPACTOR. **IN AREAS WITH HIGH WATER TABLE FLUCTUATIONS THAT INTERACT WITH THE PIPE ZONE, CONSIDER INSTALLING A GEOTEXTILE SEPARATION LAYER TO PREVENT SOIL MIGRATION.	
6	COVER MATERIAL	UP TO MIN. COVER - **AASHTO M 145: A-1, A-2, A-3** ABOVE MIN. COVER - PER ENGINEER OF RECORD	COVER MATERIAL MAY INCLUDE NON-BITUMINOUS, GRANULAR ROADBASE MATERIAL WITHIN MIN COVER LIMITS	
7	RIGID OR FLEXIBLE PAVEMENT (IF APPLICABLE)	PER ENGINEER OF RECORD	FLEXIBLE PAVEMENT SHOULD NOT BE COUNTED AS PART OF THE FILL HEIGHT OVER THE CMP. FINAL BACKFILL MATERIAL SELECTION AND COMPACTION REQUIREMENTS SHALL FOLLOW THE PROJECT PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS PER THE ENGINEER OF RECORD.	
A	OPTIONAL SIDE GEOTEXTILE	NONE	GEOTEXTILE LAYER IS RECOMMENDED ON SIDES OF EXCAVATION TO PREVENT SOIL MIGRATION.	
B	GEOTEXTILE BETWEEN LAYERS	NONE	IF SOIL TYPES DIFFER AT ANY POINT ABOVE PIPE INVERT, A GEOTEXTILE LAYER IS RECOMMENDED TO BE PLACED BETWEEN THE LAYERS TO PREVENT SOIL MIGRATION.	

NOTES:
- FOR MULTIPLE BARREL INSTALLATIONS, THE RECOMMENDED STANDARD SPACING BETWEEN PARALLEL PIPE RUNS SHALL BE THE PIPE DIAMETER /2 BUT NO LESS THAN 12" FOR DIAMETERS <72". FOR 72" AND LARGER DIAMETERS, THE MINIMUM SPACING IS 36". CONTACT YOUR CONTECH REPRESENTATIVE FOR NONSTANDARD SPACING.

* APPROVED REGIONAL EQUIVALENTS FOR SECTION 5 INCLUDE CA-7, MIDOT 6AA, 6A, OR 5G, PROVIDED THEY MEET THE PARTICLE SIZES INDICATED.

MANUFACTURER RECOMMENDED BACKFILL

NOT TO SCALE

The design and information shown on this drawing is provided as a service to the project owner, engineer and contractor by Contech Engineered Solutions LLC ("Contech"). Neither this drawing, nor any part thereof, may be used, reproduced or modified in any manner without the prior written consent of Contech. Failure to comply is done at the user's own risk and Contech expressly disclaims any liability or responsibility for such use.

If discrepancies between the supplied information upon which the drawing is based and actual field conditions are encountered as site work progresses, these discrepancies must be reported to Contech immediately for re-evaluation of the design. Contech accepts no liability for designs based on missing, incomplete or inaccurate information supplied by others.

DATE	REVISION DESCRIPTION	BY


CONTECH® ENGINEERED SOLUTIONS LLC
www.ContechES.com
9025 Centre Pointe Dr., Suite 400, West Chester, OH 45069
800-338-1122 513-645-7000 513-645-7993 FAX

CONTECH® CMP DETENTION SYSTEMS
CONTECH DYODS DRAWING

DYO66077 Massachusetts Avenue
CMP 1 - COPY
Thousand Oaks, CA
DETENTION SYSTEM

PROJECT No.:	SEQ. No.:	DATE:
46673	66077	1/13/2025
DESIGNED: DYO	DRAWN: DYO	
CHECKED: DYO	APPROVED: DYO	
SHEET NO.: 1		

C:\EXPORTS\TEMPLATES\CMP_V10.DWG 10/18/2019 10:02 AM



CONSTRUCTION LOADS

FOR TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION VEHICLE LOADS, AN EXTRA AMOUNT OF COMPACTED COVER MAY BE REQUIRED OVER THE TOP OF THE PIPE. THE HEIGHT-OF-COVER SHALL MEET THE MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS SHOWN IN THE TABLE BELOW. THE USE OF HEAVY CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT NECESSITATES GREATER PROTECTION FOR THE PIPE THAN FINISHED GRADE COVER MINIMUMS FOR NORMAL HIGHWAY TRAFFIC.

PIPE SPAN, INCHES	AXLE LOADS (kips)			
	18-50	50-75	75-110	110-150
	MINIMUM COVER (FT)			
12-42	2.0	2.5	3.0	3.0
48-72	3.0	3.0	3.5	4.0
78-120	3.0	3.5	4.0	4.0
126-144	3.5	4.0	4.5	4.5

*MINIMUM COVER MAY VARY, DEPENDING ON LOCAL CONDITIONS. THE CONTRACTOR MUST PROVIDE THE ADDITIONAL COVER REQUIRED TO AVOID DAMAGE TO THE PIPE. MINIMUM COVER IS MEASURED FROM THE TOP OF THE PIPE TO THE TOP OF THE MAINTAINED CONSTRUCTION ROADWAY SURFACE.

CONSTRUCTION LOADING DIAGRAM

SCALE: N.T.S.

SPECIFICATION FOR DESIGNED DETENTION SYSTEM:

SCOPE
THIS SPECIFICATION COVERS THE MANUFACTURE AND INSTALLATION OF THE DESIGNED DETENTION SYSTEM DETAILED IN THE PROJECT PLANS.

MATERIAL
THE MATERIAL SHALL CONFORM TO THE APPLICABLE REQUIREMENTS LISTED BELOW:

ALUMINIZED TYPE 2 STEEL COILS SHALL CONFORM TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF AASHTO M-274 OR ASTM A-92.

THE GALVANIZED STEEL COILS SHALL CONFORM TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF AASHTO M-218 OR ASTM A-929.

THE POLYMER COATED STEEL COILS SHALL CONFORM TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF AASHTO M-246 OR ASTM A-742.

THE ALUMINUM COILS SHALL CONFORM TO THE APPLICABLE OF AASHTO M-197 OR ASTM B-744.

CONSTRUCTION LOADS
CONSTRUCTION LOADS MAY BE HIGHER THAN FINAL LOADS. FOLLOW THE MANUFACTURER'S OR NCSPA GUIDELINES.

PIPE
THE PIPE SHALL BE MANUFACTURED IN ACCORDANCE TO THE APPLICABLE REQUIREMENTS LISTED BELOW:

ALUMINIZED TYPE 2: AASHTO M-36 OR ASTM A-760

GALVANIZED: AASHTO M-36 OR ASTM A-760


APPLICABLE POLYMER COATED: AASHTO M-245 OR ASTM A-762

ALUMINUM: AASHTO M-196 OR ASTM B-745

APPLICABLE HANDLING AND ASSEMBLY
SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH NCSP'S (NATIONAL CORRUGATED STEEL PIPE ASSOCIATION) FOR ALUMINIZED TYPE 2, GALVANIZED OR POLYMER COATED STEEL. SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE MANUFACTURER'S RECOMMENDATIONS FOR ALUMINUM PIPE.
REQUIREMENTS
INSTALLATION
SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH AASHTO STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS FOR HIGHWAY BRIDGES, SECTION 26, DIVISION II DIVISION II OR ASTM A-798 (FOR ALUMINIZED TYPE 2, GALVANIZED OR POLYMER COATED STEEL) OR ASTM B-788 (FOR ALUMINUM PIPE) AND IN CONFORMANCE WITH THE PROJECT PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS. IF THERE ARE ANY INCONSISTENCIES OR CONFLICTS THE CONTRACTOR SHOULD DISCUSS AND RESOLVE WITH THE SITE ENGINEER.

IT IS ALWAYS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR TO FOLLOW OSHA GUIDELINES FOR SAFE PRACTICES.


NOTE:
THESE DRAWINGS ARE FOR CONCEPTUAL PURPOSES AND DO NOT REFLECT ANY LOCAL PREFERENCES OR REGULATIONS. PLEASE CONTACT YOUR LOCAL CONTECH REP FOR MODIFICATIONS.




SECTION VIEW

REINFORCING TABLE				
⌀ CMP RISER	A	⌀ B	REINFORCING	**BEARING PRESSURE (PSF)
24"	⌀ 4' 4'X4'	26"	#5 @ 12" OCEW #5 @ 12" OCEW	2,410 1,780
30"	⌀ 4'-6" 4'-6" X 4'-6"	32"	#5 @ 12" OCEW #5 @ 12" OCEW	2,120 1,530
36"	⌀ 5' 5' X 5'	38"	#5 @ 10" OCEW #5 @ 10" OCEW	1,890 1,350
42"	⌀ 5'-6" 5'-6" X 5'-6"	44"	#5 @ 10" OCEW #5 @ 9" OCEW	1,720 1,210
48"	⌀ 6' 6' X 6'	50"	#5 @ 9" OCEW #5 @ 8" OCEW	1,600 1,100

** ASSUMED SOIL BEARING CAPACITY



ROUND OPTION PLAN VIEW



SQUARE OPTION PLAN VIEW

NOTES:

1. DESIGN IN ACCORDANCE WITH AASHTO, 17th EDITION.
2. DESIGN LOAD HS25.
3. EARTH COVER = 1' MAX.
4. CONCRETE STRENGTH = 3,500 psi
5. REINFORCING STEEL = ASTM A615, GRADE 60.
6. PROVIDE ADDITIONAL REINFORCING AROUND OPENINGS EQUAL TO THE BARS INTERRUPTED, HALF EACH SIDE. ADDITIONAL BARS TO BE IN THE SAME PLANE.
7. TRIM OPENING WITH DIAGONAL #4 BARS, EXTEND BARS A MINIMUM OF 12" BEYOND OPENING, BEND BARS AS REQUIRED TO MAINTAIN BAR COVER.
8. PROTECTION SLAB AND ALL MATERIALS TO BE PROVIDED AND INSTALLED BY CONTRACTOR.
9. DETAIL DESIGN BY DELTA ENGINEERING, BINGHAMTON, NY.

MANHOLE CAP DETAIL

SCALE: N.T.S.

C:\EXPORTS\TEMPLATES\CMP_V10.DWG 10/18/2019 10:02 AM

The design and information shown on this drawing is provided as a service to the project owner, engineer and contractor by Contech Engineered Solutions LLC ("Contech"). Neither this drawing, nor any part thereof, may be used, reproduced or modified in any manner without the prior written consent of Contech. Failure to comply is done at the user's own risk and Contech expressly disclaims any liability or responsibility for such use.

If discrepancies between the supplied information upon which the drawing is based and actual field conditions are encountered as site work progresses, these discrepancies must be reported to Contech immediately for re-evaluation of the design. Contech accepts no liability for designs based on missing, incomplete or inaccurate information supplied by others.

DATE	REVISION DESCRIPTION	BY

CONTECH ENGINEERED SOLUTIONS LLC
www.ContechES.com
9025 Centre Pointe Dr., Suite 400, West Chester, OH 45069
800-338-1122 513-645-7000 513-645-7993 FAX

CONTECH CMP DETENTION SYSTEMS
CONTECH DYODS DRAWING

DYO66077 Massachusetts Avenue
CMP 1 - COPY
Thousand Oaks, CA
DETENTION SYSTEM

PROJECT No.:	SEQ. No.:	DATE:
46673	66077	1/13/2025
DESIGNED: DYO	DRAWN: DYO	
CHECKED: DYO	APPROVED: DYO	
SHEET NO.: 1		


CMP DETENTION INSTALLATION GUIDE

PROPER INSTALLATION OF A FLEXIBLE UNDERGROUND DETENTION SYSTEM WILL ENSURE LONG-TERM PERFORMANCE. THE CONFIGURATION OF THESE SYSTEMS OFTEN REQUIRES SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION PRACTICES THAT DIFFER FROM CONVENTIONAL FLEXIBLE PIPE CONSTRUCTION. CONTECH ENGINEERED SOLUTIONS STRONGLY SUGGESTS SCHEDULING A PRE-CONSTRUCTION MEETING WITH YOUR LOCAL SALES ENGINEER TO DETERMINE IF ADDITIONAL MEASURES, NOT COVERED IN THIS GUIDE, ARE APPROPRIATE FOR YOUR SITE.

FOUNDATION

CONSTRUCT A FOUNDATION THAT CAN SUPPORT THE DESIGN LOADING APPLIED BY THE PIPE AND ADJACENT BACKFILL WEIGHT AS WELL AS MAINTAIN ITS INTEGRITY DURING CONSTRUCTION.


IF SOFT OR UNSUITABLE SOILS ARE ENCOUNTERED, REMOVE THE POOR SOILS DOWN TO A SUITABLE DEPTH AND THEN BUILD UP TO THE APPROPRIATE ELEVATION WITH A COMPETENT BACKFILL MATERIAL. THE STRUCTURAL FILL MATERIAL GRADATION SHOULD NOT ALLOW THE MIGRATION OF FINES, WHICH CAN CAUSE SETTLEMENT OF THE DETENTION SYSTEM OR PAVEMENT ABOVE. IF THE STRUCTURAL FILL MATERIAL IS NOT COMPATIBLE WITH THE UNDERLYING SOILS AN ENGINEERING FABRIC SHOULD BE USED AS A SEPARATOR. IN SOME CASES, USING A STIFF REINFORCING GEOGRID REDUCES OVER EXCAVATION AND REPLACEMENT FILL QUANTITIES.



GRADE THE FOUNDATION SUBGRADE TO A UNIFORM OR SLIGHTLY SLOPING GRADE. IF THE SUBGRADE IS CLAY OR RELATIVELY NON-POROUS AND THE CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCE WILL LAST FOR AN EXTENDED PERIOD OF TIME, IT IS BEST TO SLOPE THE GRADE TO ONE END OF THE SYSTEM. THIS WILL ALLOW EXCESS WATER TO DRAIN QUICKLY, PREVENTING SATURATION OF THE SUBGRADE.

GEOMEMBRANE BARRIER


THE RESISTIVITY OF A PROJECT SITE MAY CHANGE OVER TIME DUE TO THE USE OF VARIOUS SALTING, DE-ICING, AND AGRICULTURAL AGENTS APPLIED ON OR NEAR THE AREA. TO MITIGATE THE POTENTIAL IMPACT OF THESE AGENTS, AN HDPE MEMBRANE LINER WILL BE INSTALLED ON THE CROWN OF EACH PIPE, CREATING AN IMPERMEABLE BARRIER. THIS MEASURE IS DESIGNED TO PROTECT THE SYSTEM FROM ENVIRONMENTAL CHANGES THAT COULD LEAD TO PREMATURE CORROSION AND REDUCE THE OVERALL SERVICE LIFE.



IN-SITU TRENCH WALL


IF EXCAVATION IS REQUIRED, THE TRENCH WALL NEEDS TO BE CAPABLE OF SUPPORTING THE LOAD THAT THE PIPE SHEDS AS THE SYSTEM IS LOADED. IF SOILS ARE NOT CAPABLE OF SUPPORTING THESE LOADS, THE PIPE CAN DEFLECT. PERFORM A SIMPLE SOIL PRESSURE CHECK USING THE APPLIED LOADS TO DETERMINE THE LIMITS OF EXCAVATION BEYOND THE SPRING LINE OF THE OUTER MOST PIPES.

IN MOST CASES THE REQUIREMENTS FOR A SAFE WORK ENVIRONMENT AND PROPER BACKFILL PLACEMENT AND COMPACTION TAKE CARE OF THIS CONCERN.




BACKFILL PLACEMENT

MATERIAL SHALL BE WORKED INTO THE PIPE HAUNCHES BY MEANS OF SHOVEL-SLICING, RODDING, AIR TAMPER, VIBRATORY ROD, OR OTHER EFFECTIVE METHODS.




IF AASHTO T99 PROCEDURES ARE DETERMINED INFEASIBLE BY THE GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER OF RECORD, COMPACTION IS CONSIDERED ADEQUATE WHEN NO FURTHER YIELDING OF THE MATERIAL IS OBSERVED UNDER THE COMPACTOR, OR UNDER FOOT, AND THE GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER OF RECORD (OR REPRESENTATIVE THEREOF) IS SATISFIED WITH THE LEVEL OF COMPACTION.

FOR LARGE SYSTEMS, CONVEYOR SYSTEMS, BACKHOES WITH LONG REACHES OR DRAGLINES WITH STONE BUCKETS MAY BE USED TO PLACE BACKFILL. ONCE MINIMUM COVER FOR CONSTRUCTION LOADING ACROSS THE ENTIRE WIDTH OF THE SYSTEM IS REACHED, ADVANCE THE EQUIPMENT TO THE END OF THE RECENTLY PLACED FILL, AND BEGIN THE SEQUENCE AGAIN UNTIL THE SYSTEM IS COMPLETELY BACKFILLED. THIS TYPE OF CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCE PROVIDES ROOM FOR STOCKPILED BACKFILL DIRECTLY BEHIND THE BACKHOE, AS WELL AS THE MOVEMENT OF CONSTRUCTION TRAFFIC. MATERIAL STOCKPILES ON TOP OF THE BACKFILLED DETENTION SYSTEM SHOULD BE LIMITED TO 8- TO 10-FEET HIGH AND MUST PROVIDE BALANCED LOADING ACROSS ALL BARRELS. TO DETERMINE THE PROPER COVER OVER THE PIPES TO ALLOW THE MOVEMENT OF CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT SEE TABLE 1, OR CONTACT YOUR LOCAL CONTECH SALES ENGINEER.



WHEN FLOWABLE FILL IS USED, YOU MUST PREVENT PIPE FLOATATION. TYPICALLY, SMALL LIFTS ARE PLACED BETWEEN THE PIPES AND THEN ALLOWED TO SET-UP PRIOR TO THE PLACEMENT OF THE NEXT LIFT. THE ALLOWABLE THICKNESS OF THE CLSM LIFT IS A FUNCTION OF A PROPER BALANCE BETWEEN THE UPLIFT FORCE OF THE CLSM, THE OPPOSING WEIGHT OF THE PIPE, AND THE EFFECT OF OTHER RESTRAINING MEASURES. THE PIPE CAN CARRY LIMITED FLUID PRESSURE WITHOUT PIPE DISTORTION OR DISPLACEMENT, WHICH ALSO AFFECTS THE CLSM LIFT THICKNESS. YOUR LOCAL CONTECH SALES ENGINEER CAN HELP DETERMINE THE PROPER LIFT THICKNESS.




CONSTRUCTION LOADING

TYPICALLY, THE MINIMUM COVER SPECIFIED FOR A PROJECT ASSUMES H-20 LIVE LOAD. BECAUSE CONSTRUCTION LOADS OFTEN EXCEED DESIGN LIVE LOADS, INCREASED TEMPORARY MINIMUM COVER REQUIREMENTS ARE NECESSARY. SINCE CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT VARIES FROM JOB TO JOB, IT IS BEST TO ADDRESS EQUIPMENT SPECIFIC MINIMUM COVER REQUIREMENTS WITH YOUR LOCAL CONTECH SALES ENGINEER DURING YOUR PRE-CONSTRUCTION MEETING.

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS

BECAUSE MOST SYSTEMS ARE CONSTRUCTED BELOW-GRADE, RAINFALL CAN RAPIDLY FILL THE EXCAVATION; POTENTIALLY CAUSING FLOATATION AND MOVEMENT OF THE PREVIOUSLY PLACED PIPES. TO HELP MITIGATE POTENTIAL PROBLEMS, IT IS BEST TO START THE INSTALLATION AT THE DOWNSTREAM END WITH THE OUTLET ALREADY CONSTRUCTED TO ALLOW A ROUTE FOR THE WATER TO ESCAPE. TEMPORARY DIVERSION MEASURES MAY BE REQUIRED FOR HIGH FLOWS DUE TO THE RESTRICTED NATURE OF THE OUTLET PIPE.



CMP DETENTION SYSTEM INSPECTION AND MAINTENANCE

UNDERGROUND STORMWATER DETENTION AND INFILTRATION SYSTEMS MUST BE INSPECTED AND MAINTAINED AT REGULAR INTERVALS FOR PURPOSES OF PERFORMANCE AND LONGEVITY.

INSPECTION

INSPECTION IS THE KEY TO EFFECTIVE MAINTENANCE OF CMP DETENTION SYSTEMS AND IS EASILY PERFORMED. CONTECH RECOMMENDS ONGOING, ANNUAL INSPECTIONS. SITES WITH HIGH TRASH LOAD OR SMALL OUTLET CONTROL ORIFICES MAY NEED MORE FREQUENT INSPECTIONS. THE RATE AT WHICH THE SYSTEM COLLECTS POLLUTANTS WILL DEPEND MORE ON SITE SPECIFIC ACTIVITIES RATHER THAN THE SIZE OR CONFIGURATION OF THE SYSTEM.

INSPECTIONS SHOULD BE PERFORMED MORE OFTEN IN EQUIPMENT WASHDOWN AREAS, IN CLIMATES WHERE SANDING AND/OR SALTING OPERATIONS TAKE PLACE, AND IN OTHER VARIOUS INSTANCES IN WHICH ONE WOULD EXPECT HIGHER ACCUMULATIONS OF SEDIMENT OR ABRASIVE/ CORROSIVE CONDITIONS. A RECORD OF EACH INSPECTION IS TO BE MAINTAINED FOR THE LIFE OF THE SYSTEM

MAINTENANCE

CMP DETENTION SYSTEMS SHOULD BE CLEANED WHEN AN INSPECTION REVEALS ACCUMULATED SEDIMENT OR TRASH IS CLOGGING THE DISCHARGE ORIFICE.

ACCUMULATED SEDIMENT AND TRASH CAN TYPICALLY BE EVACUATED THROUGH THE MANHOLE OVER THE OUTLET ORIFICE. IF MAINTENANCE IS NOT PERFORMED AS RECOMMENDED, SEDIMENT AND TRASH MAY ACCUMULATE IN FRONT OF THE OUTLET ORIFICE. MANHOLE COVERS SHOULD BE SECURELY SEATED FOLLOWING CLEANING ACTIVITIES. CONTECH SUGGESTS THAT ALL SYSTEMS BE DESIGNED WITH AN ACCESS/INSPECTION MANHOLE SITUATED AT OR NEAR THE INLET AND THE OUTLET ORIFICE. SHOULD IT BE NECESSARY TO GET INSIDE THE SYSTEM TO PERFORM MAINTENANCE ACTIVITIES, ALL APPROPRIATE PRECAUTIONS REGARDING CONFINED SPACE ENTRY AND OSHA REGULATIONS SHOULD BE FOLLOWED.

ANNUAL INSPECTIONS ARE BEST PRACTICE FOR ALL UNDERGROUND SYSTEMS. DURING THIS INSPECTION, IF EVIDENCE OF SALTING/DE-ICING AGENTS IS OBSERVED WITHIN THE SYSTEM, IT IS BEST PRACTICE FOR THE SYSTEM TO BE RINSED, INCLUDING ABOVE THE SPRING LINE SOON AFTER THE SPRING THAW AS PART OF THE MAINTENANCE PROGRAM FOR THE SYSTEM.

MAINTAINING AN UNDERGROUND DETENTION OR INFILTRATION SYSTEM IS EASIEST WHEN THERE IS NO FLOW ENTERING THE SYSTEM. FOR THIS REASON, IT IS A GOOD IDEA TO SCHEDULE THE CLEANOUT DURING DRY WEATHER.

THE FOREGOING INSPECTION AND MAINTENANCE EFFORTS HELP ENSURE UNDERGROUND PIPE SYSTEMS USED FOR STORMWATER STORAGE CONTINUE TO FUNCTION AS INTENDED BY IDENTIFYING RECOMMENDED REGULAR INSPECTION AND MAINTENANCE PRACTICES. INSPECTION AND MAINTENANCE RELATED TO THE STRUCTURAL INTEGRITY OF THE PIPE OR THE SOUNDNESS OF PIPE JOINT CONNECTIONS IS BEYOND THE SCOPE OF THIS GUIDE.

The design and information shown on this drawing is provided as a service to the project owner, engineer and contractor by Contech Engineered Solutions LLC ("Contech"). Neither this drawing, nor any part thereof, may be used, reproduced or modified in any manner without the prior written consent of Contech. Failure to comply is done at the user's own risk and Contech expressly disclaims any liability or responsibility for such use.

If discrepancies between the supplied information upon which the drawing is based and actual field conditions are encountered as site work progresses, these discrepancies must be reported to Contech immediately for re-evaluation of the design. Contech accepts no liability for designs based on missing, incomplete or inaccurate information supplied by others.

DATE	REVISION DESCRIPTION	BY

CONTECH ENGINEERED SOLUTIONS LLC
www.ContechES.com
9025 Centre Pointe Dr., Suite 400, West Chester, OH 45069
800-338-1122 513-645-7000 513-645-7993 FAX

CONTECH CMP DETENTION SYSTEMS

CONTECH **DYODS** DRAWING

DYO66077 Massachusetts Avenue
CMP 1 - COPY
Thousand Oaks, CA
DETENTION SYSTEM

PROJECT No.:	SEQ. No.:	DATE:
46673	66077	1/13/2025
DESIGNED: DYO	DRAWN: DYO	
CHECKED: DYO	APPROVED: DYO	
SHEET NO.: 1		

C:\EXPORTS\TEMPLATES\CMP_V10.DWG 10/18/2019 10:02 AM

Appendix 3: Soils Information

Geotechnical Study and Other Infiltration Testing Data

July 26, 2021

Staley Point Capital
11150 Santa Monica Boulevard, Suite 700
Los Angeles, California 90025

Attention: Mr. Ricardo Rivas
Vice President of Development

Project No.: **21G186-2**

Subject: **Results of Infiltration Testing**
Two Proposed Warehouses
NEC Massachusetts Avenue and Kansas Avenue
Riverside, California

Reference: Geotechnical Investigation, Two Proposed Warehouses, NEC Massachusetts Avenue and Kansas Avenue, Riverside, California, prepared by Southern California Geotechnical, Inc. (SCG), prepared for Staley Point Capital, SCG Project No. 21G186-1.

Mr. Rivas:

In accordance with your request, we have conducted infiltration testing at the subject site. We are pleased to present this report summarizing the results of the infiltration testing and our design recommendations.

Scope of Services

The scope of services performed for this project was in general accordance with our Proposal No. 21P289, dated June 9, 2021. The scope of services included site reconnaissance, subsurface exploration, field testing, and engineering analysis to determine the infiltration rates of the onsite soils. The infiltration testing was performed in general accordance with the guidelines published in Riverside County – Low Impact Development BMP Design Handbook – Section 2.3 of Appendix A, prepared for the Riverside County Department of Environmental Health (RCDEH), dated December, 2013.

Site and Project Description

The subject site is located at the northeast corner of Massachusetts Avenue and Kansas Avenue in Riverside, California. The site is bounded to the north by Roberta Street, to the west by Kansas Avenue, to the south by Massachusetts Avenue, and to the east by commercial/industrial buildings and a parking lot. The general location of the site is illustrated on the Site Location Map, included as Plate 1 of this report.

The site consists of two rectangular-shaped parcels, which total 10.55± acres in size. The site is currently developed with two (2) commercial/industrial buildings in the northern parcel. The western building is a single-story structure of concrete tilt-up construction, 50,000± ft^2 in size. The eastern building is a single-story structure of metal frame construction, 45,000± ft^2 in size.

Several above-ground storage tanks (ASTs) are located in the northern parcel. The ground surface cover surrounding the buildings consists of asphaltic concrete (AC) pavements within the material storage areas, parking and driving lanes. The pavements on the west side of the buildings are in poor condition with moderate cracking throughout. The pavements on the east side of the buildings are in fair condition with minor cracking throughout. The southern parcel is presently utilized as a parking lot for school buses. Ground surface cover consists of crushed aggregate base (CAB) with sparse native grass and weed growth. Medium- to large-sized trees line the property along the western, northern, and northern half of the eastern property lines.

Detailed topographic information was not available at the time of this report. Based on elevations obtained from Google Earth and visual observations made at the time of the subsurface investigation, the western portion of the northern parcel slopes to the west at a gradient of 1± percent. Approximately half way between the eastern and western boundary of the northern parcel, the site slopes abruptly towards the south, at a gradient of 3± percent. The eastern portion of the northern parcel is approximately 3± feet higher than the western portion. The southern parcel is approximately the same elevation as the eastern portion of the northern parcel. The western half of the southern parcel is approximately 3± feet higher than the western portion of the northern parcel. The southern parcel slopes to the west at a gradient of 1± percent.

Proposed Development

Based on the conceptual site plan (Scheme 3), prepared by HPA Architecture, the site will be developed with two (2) new commercial/industrial buildings. Both proposed buildings will be 100,000± ft^2 in size. Building 1 will be located in the northern half of the site and Building 2 will be located in the southern half of the site. Dock-high doors will be constructed along a portion of the south building wall of Building 1 and dock-high doors will be constructed along a portion of the north building wall of Building 2. The new buildings will be surrounded by AC pavements in the automobile parking and drive areas, and Portland cement concrete (PCC) pavements in the truck court and truck traffic areas. We expect the new development will also include areas of concrete flatwork and landscape planters.

We understand that the proposed development will include on-site stormwater infiltration. The type of infiltration system was not available at the time of our infiltration testing. It is expected that the infiltration system will consist of shallow detention basins or below-grade chambers, extending to a depth of 8± feet below the existing site grades.

Concurrent Study

SCG concurrently conducted a geotechnical investigation at the subject site, also referenced above. As part of this study, seven (7) borings advanced to depths of 20 to 25± feet below the existing site grades. Asphaltic concrete (AC) pavements were encountered at Boring Nos. B-1, B-2, B-3, and B-7, measuring 2 to 4± inches in thickness underlain by 0 to 3± inches of aggregate base (AB). At Boring Nos. B-4 through B-6, 1 to 2± inches of AB was encountered. With the exception of Boring No. B-2, artificial fill soils were encountered beneath the existing pavements at all of the boring locations, extending to depths of 2½ to 8½± feet below the existing site grades. The fill soils generally consist of loose to medium dense silty fine sands and silty sands with trace amounts of medium to coarse sands and fine gravel. Boring No. B-3 encountered a layer of dense silty fine sand at a depth of 1 foot. The fill soils possess a

disturbed and mottled appearance, with some samples possessing debris such as PCC and glass fragments, resulting in their classification as artificial fill. Native alluvium was encountered directly beneath the AC at Boring No. B-2 and beneath the fill soils of all remaining borings, extending to at least the maximum depth explored of 25± feet below ground surface. The native alluvial soils generally consist of loose to dense fine to medium sands, fine to coarse sands, silty sands, and fine sandy silts with varying sand and fine gravel content. Occasionally, some samples possess trace to little calcareous veining and nodules.

Groundwater

Free water was not encountered during the drilling of any of the borings. Based on the moisture content of the recovered soil samples and the lack of free water in the borings, the static groundwater table is at a greater depth than 25± feet below existing site grades.

Recent water level data was obtained from the California State Water Resources Control Board, GeoTracker, website, https://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/. Several monitoring wells on record are located on-site. Water level readings within these monitoring wells indicate a high groundwater levels ranging from 116 to 129± feet below the ground surface in January 2017.

Subsurface Exploration

Scope of Exploration

The subsurface exploration conducted for the infiltration testing consisted of four (4) infiltration test borings, advanced to a depth of 8± feet below the existing site grades. The infiltration borings were advanced using a truck-mounted drilling rig, equipped with 8-inch-diameter hollow stem augers and were logged during drilling by a member of our staff. The approximate locations of the infiltration test borings (identified as I-1 through I-4) are indicated on the Infiltration Test Location Plan, enclosed as Plate 2 of this report.

Upon the completion of the infiltration borings, the bottom of each test boring was covered with 2± inches of clean ¾-inch gravel. A sufficient length of 3-inch-diameter perforated PVC casing was then placed into each test hole so that the PVC casing extended from the bottom of the test hole to the ground surface. Clean ¾-inch gravel was then installed in the annulus surrounding the PVC casing.

Geotechnical Conditions

AC pavements were encountered at three (3) infiltration test locations. The encountered pavements consisted of 3± inches AC with no discernible AB at Infiltration Test Nos. I-1 and I-2 and 2±-inches AC with 4± inches AB at Infiltration Test No. I-3. Infiltration Test No. I-4 encountered a 1±-inch layer of AB.

Artificial fill soils were encountered beneath the pavements at Infiltration Test Nos. I-1 and I-2, extending 3 to 6± feet below existing site grades. The artificial fill soils consist of loose to medium dense silty fine to medium sands.

Native alluvial soils were encountered beneath the fill soils and beneath the pavements sections at all infiltration test boring locations, extending to at least the maximum explored depth of 8± feet below existing site grades. The alluvium generally consists of loose to dense silty fine to coarse sands. The Boring Logs, which illustrate the conditions encountered at the boring locations, are included with this report.

Infiltration Testing

As previously mentioned, the infiltration testing was performed in general accordance with the Riverside County guidelines: Riverside County – Low Impact Development BMP Design Handbook – Section 2.3 of Appendix A.

Pre-soaking

In accordance with the county infiltration standards all four (4) of the infiltration test borings were pre-soaked prior to the infiltration testing. The pre-soaking process consisted of filling the test borings by inverting a full 5-gallon bottle of clear water supported over each hole so that the water level reaches a level of at least 5 times the hole's radius above the gravel at the bottom of each hole. The pre-soaking was completed after all of the water had percolated through each test hole or after 15 hours since initiating the pre-soak. Based on the results of the pre-soaking process, different infiltration procedures were used during the infiltration testing at the infiltration boring locations.

Infiltration Testing

Following the pre-soaking process of the infiltration test borings, SCG performed the infiltration testing. Each test hole was filled with water to a depth of at least 5 times the hole's radius above the gravel at the bottom of each test hole, and less than or equal to the water level used during the pre-soaking process. In accordance with the Riverside County guidelines, since "sandy soils" were encountered at the bottom of the infiltration borings (when two-consecutive measurements show that 6 inches of water seep away in less than 25 minutes), these tests were run for an additional hour with measurements taken at 10-minute intervals. After each reading, the borings were refilled to the correct water level above the gravel at the bottom of each test hole. The water level readings are presented on the spreadsheets enclosed with this report. The infiltration rates for each of the timed intervals are also tabulated on the spreadsheets.

The infiltration rates from the test are tabulated in inches per hour. In accordance with the typically accepted practice, it is recommended that the most conservative reading from the latter part of the infiltration tests be used as the design infiltration rate. The rates are summarized below:

Infiltration Test No.	Depth (feet)	Soil Description	Infiltration Rate (inches/hour)
I-1	8	Brown Silty fine to coarse Sand	2.5

I-2	8	Brown Silty fine to medium Sand, little coarse Sand	1.2
I-3	8	Brown Silty fine to medium Sand, little coarse Sand	2.2
I-4	8	Brown Silty fine to coarse Sand	2.1

Laboratory Testing

Moisture Content

The moisture contents for the recovered soil samples within the borings were determined in accordance with ASTM D-2216 and are expressed as a percentage of the dry weight. These test results are presented on the Boring Logs.

Grain Size Analysis

The grain size distribution of selected soils collected from the bottom of each infiltration test boring have been determined using a range of wire mesh screens. These tests were performed in general accordance with ASTM D-422 and/or ASTM D-1140. The weight of the portion of the sample retained on each screen is recorded and the percentage finer or coarser of the total weight is calculated. The results of these tests are presented on Plates C-1 through C-4 of this report.

Design Recommendations

Four (4) infiltration tests were performed at the subject site. As noted above, the infiltration rates at these locations range from 1.2 to 2.5 inches per hour.

Based on the results of the infiltration testing, we recommend the following infiltration rates for the proposed infiltration systems:

Infiltration Location	Infiltration Rate (Inches per Hour)	Site Location
I-1	2.5	Northwest
I-2	1.2	Central
I-3	2.2	Central
I-4	2.1	Southwest

We recommend that a representative from the geotechnical engineer be on-site during the construction of the proposed infiltration system to identify the soil classification at the base of the chamber system. It should be confirmed that the soils at the base of the proposed infiltration system corresponds with those presented in this report to ensure that the performance of the system will be consistent with the rates reported herein.

The design of the proposed storm water infiltration system should be performed by the project civil engineer, in accordance with the City of Riverside and/or County of Riverside guidelines. However, it is recommended that the system be constructed so as to facilitate removal of silt and clay, or other deleterious materials from any water that may enter the system. The presence of such materials would decrease the effective infiltration rates. **It is recommended that the project civil engineer apply an appropriate factor of safety. The infiltration rate recommended above is based on the assumption that only clean water will be introduced to the subsurface profile. Any fines, debris, or organic materials could significantly impact the infiltration rates.** It should be noted that the recommended infiltration rates are based on infiltration testing at four (4) discrete locations and the overall infiltration rate of the storm water infiltration system could vary considerably.

Infiltration Rate Considerations

The infiltration rates presented herein was determined in accordance with the City of Riverside and/or County of Riverside guidelines and are considered valid only for the time and place of the actual test. Varying subsurface conditions will exist in other areas of the site, which could alter the recommended infiltration rates presented above. The infiltration rates will decline over time between maintenance cycles as silt or clay particles accumulate on the BMP surface. The infiltration rate is highly dependent upon a number of factors, including density, silt and clay content, grainsize distribution throughout the range of particle sizes, and particle shape. Small changes in these factors can cause large changes in the infiltration rates.

Infiltration rates are based on unsaturated flow. As water is introduced into soils by infiltration, the soils become saturated and the wetting front advances from the unsaturated zone to the saturated zone. Once the soils become saturated, infiltration rates become zero, and water can only move through soils by hydraulic conductivity at a rate determined by pressure head and soil permeability. Changes in soil moisture content will affect the infiltration rate. Infiltration rates should be expected to decrease until the soils become saturated. Soil permeability values will then govern groundwater movement. Permeability values may be on the order of 10 to 20 times less than infiltration rates. The system designer should incorporate adequate factors of safety and allow for overflow design into appropriate traditional storm drain systems, which would transport storm water off-site.

Construction Considerations

The infiltration rates presented in this report are specific to the tested locations and tested depths. Infiltration rates can be significantly reduced if the soils are exposed to excessive disturbance or compaction during construction. Compaction of the soils at the bottom of the infiltration system can significantly reduce the infiltration ability of the basins. Therefore, the subgrade soils within proposed infiltration system areas should not be over-excavated, undercut or compacted in any significant manner. **It is recommended that a note to this effect be added to the project plans and/or specifications.**

We recommend that a representative from the geotechnical engineer be on-site during the construction of the proposed infiltration systems to identify the soil classification at the base of each system. It should be confirmed that the soils at the base of the proposed infiltration systems correspond with those presented in this report to ensure that the performance of the systems will be consistent with the rates reported herein.

We recommend that scrapers and other rubber-tired heavy equipment not be operated on the basin bottom, or at levels lower than 2 feet above the bottom of the system, particularly within basins. As such, the bottom 24 inches of the infiltration systems should be excavated with non-rubber-tired equipment, such as excavators.

Basin Maintenance

The proposed project may include infiltration basins. Water flowing into these basins will carry some level of sediment. Wind-blown sediments and erosion of the basin side walls will also contribute to sediment deposition at the bottom of the basin. This layer has the potential to significantly reduce the infiltration rate of the basin subgrade soils. Therefore, a formal basin maintenance program should be established to ensure that these silt and clay deposits are removed from the basin on a regular basis. Appropriate vegetation on the basin sidewalls and bottom may reduce erosion and sediment deposition.

Basin maintenance should also include measures to prevent animal burrows, and to repair any burrows or damage caused by such. Animal burrows in the basin sidewalls can significantly increase the risk of erosion and piping failures.

Location of Infiltration Systems

The use of on-site storm water infiltration systems carries a risk of creating adverse geotechnical conditions. Increasing the moisture content of the soil can cause the soil to lose internal shear strength and increase its compressibility, resulting in a change in the designed engineering properties. Overlying structures and pavements in the infiltration area could potentially be damaged due to saturation of the subgrade soils. **The proposed infiltration systems for this site should be located at least 25 feet away from any structures, including retaining walls.** Even with this provision of locating the infiltration system at least 25 feet from the building(s), it is possible that infiltrating water into the subsurface soils could have an adverse effect on the proposed or existing structures. It should also be noted that utility trenches which happen to collect storm water can also serve as conduits to transmit storm water toward the structure, depending on the slope of the utility trench. Therefore, consideration should also be given to the proposed locations of underground utilities which may pass near the proposed infiltration system.

The infiltration system designer should also give special consideration to the effect that the proposed infiltration systems may have on nearby subterranean structures, open excavations, or descending slopes. In particular, infiltration systems should not be located near the crest of descending slopes, particularly where the slopes are comprised of granular soils. Such systems will require specialized design and analysis to evaluate the potential for slope instability, piping failures and other phenomena that typically apply to earthen dam design. This type of analysis is beyond the scope of this infiltration test report, but these factors should be considered by the infiltration system designer when locating the infiltration systems.

General Comments

This report has been prepared as an instrument of service for use by the client in order to aid in the evaluation of this property and to assist the architects and engineers in the design and preparation of the project plans and specifications. This report may be provided to the contractor(s) and other design consultants to disclose information relative to the project. However, this report is not intended to be utilized as a specification in and of itself, without

appropriate interpretation by the project architect, structural engineer, and/or civil engineer. The design of the infiltration system is the responsibility of the civil engineer. The role of the geotechnical engineer is limited to determination of infiltration rate only. By using the design infiltration rates contained herein, the civil engineer agrees to indemnify, defend, and hold harmless the geotechnical engineer for all aspects of the design and performance of the infiltration system. The reproduction and distribution of this report must be authorized by the client and Southern California Geotechnical, Inc. Furthermore, any reliance on this report by an unauthorized third party is at such party's sole risk, and we accept no responsibility for damage or loss which may occur. The analysis of this site was based on a subsurface profile interpolated from limited discrete soil samples. While the materials encountered in the project area are considered to be representative of the total area, some variations should be expected between trench locations and testing depths. If the conditions encountered during construction vary significantly from those detailed herein, we should be contacted immediately to determine if the conditions alter the recommendations contained herein.

This report has been based on assumed or provided characteristics of the proposed development. It is recommended that the owner, client, architect, structural engineer, and civil engineer carefully review these assumptions to ensure that they are consistent with the characteristics of the proposed development. If discrepancies exist, they should be brought to our attention to verify that they do not affect the conclusions and recommendations contained herein. We also recommend that the project plans and specifications be submitted to our office for review to verify that our recommendations have been correctly interpreted. The analysis, conclusions, and recommendations contained within this report have been promulgated in accordance with generally accepted professional geotechnical engineering practice. No other warranty is implied or expressed.

Closure

We sincerely appreciate the opportunity to be of service on this project. We look forward to providing additional consulting services during the course of the project. If we may be of further assistance in any manner, please contact our office.

Respectfully Submitted,

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GEOTECHNICAL, INC.


Jose A. Zuniga
Staff Engineer

Robert G. Trazo, GE 2655
Principal Engineer

REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER
ROBERT TRAZO
No. 2655
GEOTECHNICAL
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Distribution: (1) Addressee

Enclosures: Plate 1 - Site Location Map
Plate 2 - Infiltration Test Location Plan
Boring Log Legend and Logs (6 pages)
Infiltration Test Results Spreadsheets (4 pages)
Grain Size Distribution Graphs (4 pages)



SOURCE: USGS TOPOGRAPHIC MAP OF THE RIVERSIDE EAST QUADRANGLE, RIVERSIDE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA, 2018.

SITE LOCATION MAP


TWO PROPOSED WAREHOUSES

RIVERSIDE, CALIFORNIA

SCALE: 1" = 2000'	
DRAWN: JAZ CHKD: RGT	
SCG PROJECT 21G186-2	
PLATE 1	

SoCalGeo

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GEOTECHNICAL



GEOTECHNICAL LEGEND

- APPROXIMATE INFILTRATION TEST LOCATION
- APPROXIMATE BORING LOCATION (SCG PROJECT NO. 20G186-2)
- EXISTING BUILDINGS TO BE DEMOLISHED

NOTE: SITE PLAN PREPARED BY HPA.




INFILTRATION TEST LOCATION PLAN

TWO PROPOSED WAREHOUSES

RIVERSIDE, CALIFORNIA

SCALE: 1" = 100'	SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GEOTECHNICAL
DRAWN: JAZ CHKD: RGT	
SCG PROJECT 21G186-2	
PLATE 2	

BORING LOG LEGEND

SAMPLE TYPE	GRAPHICAL SYMBOL	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION
AUGER		SAMPLE COLLECTED FROM AUGER CUTTINGS, NO FIELD MEASUREMENT OF SOIL STRENGTH. (DISTURBED)
CORE		ROCK CORE SAMPLE: TYPICALLY TAKEN WITH A DIAMOND-TIPPED CORE BARREL. TYPICALLY USED ONLY IN HIGHLY CONSOLIDATED BEDROCK.
GRAB		SOIL SAMPLE TAKEN WITH NO SPECIALIZED EQUIPMENT, SUCH AS FROM A STOCKPILE OR THE GROUND SURFACE. (DISTURBED)
CS		CALIFORNIA SAMPLER: 2-1/2 INCH I.D. SPLIT BARREL SAMPLER, LINED WITH 1-INCH HIGH BRASS RINGS. DRIVEN WITH SPT HAMMER. (RELATIVELY UNDISTURBED)
NSR		NO RECOVERY: THE SAMPLING ATTEMPT DID NOT RESULT IN RECOVERY OF ANY SIGNIFICANT SOIL OR ROCK MATERIAL.
SPT		STANDARD PENETRATION TEST: SAMPLER IS A 1.4 INCH INSIDE DIAMETER SPLIT BARREL, DRIVEN 18 INCHES WITH THE SPT HAMMER. (DISTURBED)
SH		SHELBY TUBE: TAKEN WITH A THIN WALL SAMPLE TUBE, PUSHED INTO THE SOIL AND THEN EXTRACTED. (UNDISTURBED)
VANE		VANE SHEAR TEST: SOIL STRENGTH OBTAINED USING A 4 BLADED SHEAR DEVICE. TYPICALLY USED IN SOFT CLAYS-NO SAMPLE RECOVERED.

COLUMN DESCRIPTIONS

DEPTH: Distance in feet below the ground surface.

SAMPLE: Sample Type as depicted above.

BLOW COUNT: Number of blows required to advance the sampler 12 inches using a 140 lb hammer with a 30-inch drop. 50/3" indicates penetration refusal (>50 blows) at 3 inches. WH indicates that the weight of the hammer was sufficient to push the sampler 6 inches or more.

POCKET PEN.: Approximate shear strength of a cohesive soil sample as measured by pocket penetrometer.

GRAPHIC LOG: Graphic Soil Symbol as depicted on the following page.

DRY DENSITY: Dry density of an undisturbed or relatively undisturbed sample in lbs/ft^3.

MOISTURE CONTENT: Moisture content of a soil sample, expressed as a percentage of the dry weight.














LIQUID LIMIT: The moisture content above which a soil behaves as a liquid.

PLASTIC LIMIT: The moisture content above which a soil behaves as a plastic.

PASSING #200 SIEVE: The percentage of the sample finer than the #200 standard sieve.

UNCONFINED SHEAR: The shear strength of a cohesive soil sample, as measured in the unconfined state.

SOIL CLASSIFICATION CHART

MAJOR DIVISIONS			SYMBOLS		TYPICAL DESCRIPTIONS
			GRAPH	LETTER	
COARSE GRAINED SOILS MORE THAN 50% OF MATERIAL IS LARGER THAN NO. 200 SIEVE SIZE	GRAVEL AND GRAVELLY SOILS MORE THAN 50% OF COARSE FRACTION RETAINED ON NO. 4 SIEVE	CLEAN GRAVELS (LITTLE OR NO FINES)		GW	WELL-GRADED GRAVELS, GRAVEL - SAND MIXTURES, LITTLE OR NO FINES
				GP	POORLY-GRADED GRAVELS, GRAVEL - SAND MIXTURES, LITTLE OR NO FINES
		GRAVELS WITH FINES (APPRECIABLE AMOUNT OF FINES)		GM	SILTY GRAVELS, GRAVEL - SAND - SILT MIXTURES
				GC	CLAYEY GRAVELS, GRAVEL - SAND - CLAY MIXTURES
	SAND AND SANDY SOILS MORE THAN 50% OF COARSE FRACTION PASSING ON NO. 4 SIEVE	CLEAN SANDS (LITTLE OR NO FINES)		SW	WELL-GRADED SANDS, GRAVELLY SANDS, LITTLE OR NO FINES
				SP	POORLY-GRADED SANDS, GRAVELLY SAND, LITTLE OR NO FINES
		SANDS WITH FINES (APPRECIABLE AMOUNT OF FINES)		SM	SILTY SANDS, SAND - SILT MIXTURES
				SC	CLAYEY SANDS, SAND - CLAY MIXTURES
FINE GRAINED SOILS MORE THAN 50% OF MATERIAL IS SMALLER THAN NO. 200 SIEVE SIZE	SILTS AND CLAYS	LIQUID LIMIT LESS THAN 50		ML	INORGANIC SILTS AND VERY FINE SANDS, ROCK FLOUR, SILTY OR CLAYEY FINE SANDS OR CLAYEY SILTS WITH SLIGHT PLASTICITY
				CL	INORGANIC CLAYS OF LOW TO MEDIUM PLASTICITY, GRAVELLY CLAYS, SANDY CLAYS, SILTY CLAYS, LEAN CLAYS
				OL	ORGANIC SILTS AND ORGANIC SILTY CLAYS OF LOW PLASTICITY
	SILTS AND CLAYS	LIQUID LIMIT GREATER THAN 50		MH	INORGANIC SILTS, MICACEOUS OR DIATOMACEOUS FINE SAND OR SILTY SOILS
				CH	INORGANIC CLAYS OF HIGH PLASTICITY
				OH	ORGANIC CLAYS OF MEDIUM TO HIGH PLASTICITY, ORGANIC SILTS
HIGHLY ORGANIC SOILS				PT	PEAT, HUMUS, SWAMP SOILS WITH HIGH ORGANIC CONTENTS

NOTE: DUAL SYMBOLS ARE USED TO INDICATE BORDERLINE SOIL CLASSIFICATIONS

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GEOTECHNICAL
A California Corporation

BORING NO. I-1

JOB NO.: 21G186-2
PROJECT: Two Proposed Warehouses
LOCATION: Riverside, California

DRILLING DATE: 6/25/21
DRILLING METHOD: Hollow Stem Auger
LOGGED BY: Jamie Hayward

WATER DEPTH: Dry
CAVE DEPTH: --
READING TAKEN: At Completion

FIELD RESULTS						LABORATORY RESULTS						
DEPTH (FEET)	SAMPLE	BLOW COUNT	POCKET PEN. (TSF)	GRAPHIC LOG	DESCRIPTION SURFACE ELEVATION: --- MSL	DRY DENSITY (PCF)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PASSING #200 SIEVE (%)	ORGANIC CONTENT (%)	COMMENTS
					ASPHALTIC CONCRETE: 3± inches Asphaltic Concrete, no discernible Aggregate Base							
		11			FILL: Brown Silty fine to medium Sand, medium dense-damp		4					
		19			ALLUVIUM: Brown Silty fine Sand, trace medium Sand, medium dense-damp		4					
5												
		20			Brown Silty fine to coarse Sand, medium dense-damp		4			23		
					Boring Terminated at 8'							

TBL 21G186-2.GPJ SOCALGEO.GDT 7/26/21

TEST BORING LOG

PLATE B-1

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GEOTECHNICAL
A California Corporation

BORING NO. I-2

JOB NO.: 21G186-2
PROJECT: Two Proposed Warehouses
LOCATION: Riverside, California

DRILLING DATE: 6/25/21
DRILLING METHOD: Hollow Stem Auger
LOGGED BY: Jamie Hayward

WATER DEPTH: Dry
CAVE DEPTH: --
READING TAKEN: At Completion

FIELD RESULTS						LABORATORY RESULTS						
DEPTH (FEET)	SAMPLE	BLOW COUNT	POCKET PEN. (TSF)	GRAPHIC LOG	DESCRIPTION SURFACE ELEVATION: --- MSL	DRY DENSITY (PCF)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PASSING #200 SIEVE (%)	ORGANIC CONTENT (%)	COMMENTS
					ASPHALTIC CONCRETE: 3± inches Asphaltic Concrete, no discernible Aggregate Base							
		9			FILL: Brown Silty fine to medium Sand, loose to medium dense-moist		11					
		10					13					
5												
		20			ALLUVIUM: Brown Silty fine to medium Sand, little coarse Sand, medium dense-damp to moist		8			28		
					Boring Terminated at 8'							

TBL 21G186-2.GPJ SOCALGEO.GDT 7/26/21

TEST BORING LOG

PLATE B-2

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GEOTECHNICAL
A California Corporation

BORING NO. I-3

JOB NO.: 21G186-2
PROJECT: Two Proposed Warehouses
LOCATION: Riverside, California

DRILLING DATE: 6/25/21
DRILLING METHOD: Hollow Stem Auger
LOGGED BY: Jamie Hayward

WATER DEPTH: Dry
CAVE DEPTH: --
READING TAKEN: At Completion

FIELD RESULTS						LABORATORY RESULTS						
DEPTH (FEET)	SAMPLE	BLOW COUNT	POCKET PEN. (TSF)	GRAPHIC LOG	DESCRIPTION SURFACE ELEVATION: --- MSL	DRY DENSITY (PCF)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PASSING #200 SIEVE (%)	ORGANIC CONTENT (%)	COMMENTS
					ASPHALTIC CONCRETE: 2± inches Asphaltic Concrete, 4± inches Aggregate Base							
		6			ALLUVIUM: Brown Silty fine to coarse Sand, little calcareous veining, loose-moist		9					
		7					10					
5												
		38			Brown Silty fine to medium Sand, little coarse Sand, trace calcareous veining, dense-moist		12			40		
					Boring Terminated at 8'							

TBL 21G186-2.GPJ SOCALGEO.GDT 7/26/21

TEST BORING LOG **PLATE B-3**

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GEOTECHNICAL
A California Corporation

BORING NO. I-4

JOB NO.: 21G186-2
PROJECT: Two Proposed Warehouses
LOCATION: Riverside, California

DRILLING DATE: 6/25/21
DRILLING METHOD: Hollow Stem Auger
LOGGED BY: Jamie Hayward

WATER DEPTH: Dry
CAVE DEPTH: --
READING TAKEN: At Completion

FIELD RESULTS						LABORATORY RESULTS						
DEPTH (FEET)	SAMPLE	BLOW COUNT	POCKET PEN. (TSF)	GRAPHIC LOG	DESCRIPTION SURFACE ELEVATION: --- MSL	DRY DENSITY (PCF)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PASSING #200 SIEVE (%)	ORGANIC CONTENT (%)	COMMENTS
					AGGREGATE BASE: 1± inch Aggregate Base							
		16			ALLUVIUM: Brown Silty fine Sand, trace medium Sand, trace calcareous nodules, medium dense-damp		4					
		18			Brown Silty fine to coarse Sand, slightly cemented, medium dense-damp		4					
5												
		12					4			28		
					Boring Terminated at 8'							

TBL 21G186-2.GPJ SOCALGEO.GDT 7/26/21

TEST BORING LOG **PLATE B-4**

INFILTRATION CALCULATIONS

Project Name	Two Proposed Warehouses
Project Location	Riverside, CA
Project Number	21G186-2
Engineer	Jose Zuniga

Test Hole Radius	4	(in)
Test Depth	8.00	(ft)

Infiltration Test Hole	I-1

Soil Criteria Test

Interval Number		Time	Time Interval (min)	Water Depth (ft)	Change in Water Level (in)	Did 6 inches of water seep away in less than 25 minutes?	Sandy Soils or Non-Sandy Soils?
1	Initial	8:51 AM	25.00	5.00	28.80	YES	SANDY SOILS
	Final	9:16 AM		7.40			
2	Initial	9:18 AM	25.00	5.00	27.60	YES	SANDY SOILS
	Final	9:43 AM		7.30			

Test Data

Interval Number		Time	Time Interval (min)	Water Depth (ft)	Change in Water Level (ft)	Average Head Height (ft)	Infiltration Rate Q (in/hr)
1	Initial	9:44 AM	10.00	5.00	0.85	2.58	3.72
	Final	9:54 AM		5.85			
2	Initial	9:56 AM	10.00	5.00	0.80	2.60	3.47
	Final	10:06 AM		5.80			
3	Initial	10:08 AM	10.00	5.00	0.70	2.65	2.98
	Final	10:18 AM		5.70			
4	Initial	10:20 AM	10.00	5.00	0.65	2.68	2.74
	Final	10:30 AM		5.65			
5	Initial	10:32 AM	10.00	5.00	0.65	2.68	2.74
	Final	10:42 AM		5.65			
6	Initial	10:44 AM	10.00	5.00	0.60	2.70	2.51
	Final	10:54 AM		5.60			

Per County Standards, Infiltration Rate calculated as follows:

$$Q = \frac{\Delta H(60r)}{\Delta t(r + 2H_{avg})}$$

Where: Q = Infiltration Rate (in inches per hour)

ΔH = Change in Height (Water Level) over the time interval

r = Test Hole (Borehole) Radius

Δt = Time Interval

H_{avg} = Average Head Height over the time interval

INFILTRATION CALCULATIONS

Project Name	Two Proposed Warehouses
Project Location	Riverside, CA
Project Number	21G186-2
Engineer	Jose Zuniga

Test Hole Radius	4	(in)
Test Depth	8.00	(ft)

Infiltration Test Hole	I-2

Soil Criteria Test

Interval Number		Time	Time Interval (min)	Water Depth (ft)	Change in Water Level (in)	Did 6 inches of water seep away in less than 25 minutes?	Sandy Soils or Non-Sandy Soils?
1	Initial	11:09 AM	25.00	4.10	21.00	YES	SANDY SOILS
	Final	11:34 AM		5.85			
2	Initial	11:36 AM	25.00	4.50	15.60	YES	SANDY SOILS
	Final	12:01 PM		5.80			

Test Data

Interval Number		Time	Time Interval (min)	Water Depth (ft)	Change in Water Level (ft)	Average Head Height (ft)	Infiltration Rate Q (in/hr)
1	Initial	12:14 PM	10.00	4.10	0.40	3.70	1.24
	Final	12:24 PM		4.50			
2	Initial	12:26 PM	10.00	4.10	0.40	3.70	1.24
	Final	12:36 PM		4.50			
3	Initial	12:38 PM	10.00	4.10	0.40	3.70	1.24
	Final	12:48 PM		4.50			
4	Initial	12:50 PM	10.00	4.10	0.40	3.70	1.24
	Final	1:00 PM		4.50			
5	Initial	1:02 PM	10.00	4.10	0.40	3.70	1.24
	Final	1:12 PM		4.50			
6	Initial	1:14 PM	10.00	4.10	0.40	3.70	1.24
	Final	1:24 PM		4.50			

Per County Standards, Infiltration Rate calculated as follows:

$$Q = \frac{\Delta H(60r)}{\Delta t(r + 2H_{avg})}$$

Where: Q = Infiltration Rate (in inches per hour)

ΔH = Change in Height (Water Level) over the time interval

r = Test Hole (Borehole) Radius

Δt = Time Interval

H_{avg} = Average Head Height over the time interval

INFILTRATION CALCULATIONS

Project Name	Two Proposed Warehouses
Project Location	Riverside, CA
Project Number	21G186-2
Engineer	Jose Zuniga

Test Hole Radius	4	(in)
Test Depth	8.00	(ft)

Infiltration Test Hole: I-3

Soil Criteria Test

Interval Number		Time	Time Interval (min)	Water Depth (ft)	Change in Water Level (in)	Did 6 inches of water seep away in less than 25 minutes?	Sandy Soils or Non-Sandy Soils?
1	Initial	11:15 AM	25.00	4.30	16.80	YES	SANDY SOILS
	Final	11:40 AM		5.70			
2	Initial	11:45 AM	25.00	4.30	16.20	YES	SANDY SOILS
	Final	12:10 PM		5.65			

Test Data

Interval Number		Time	Time Interval (min)	Water Depth (ft)	Change in Water Level (ft)	Average Head Height (ft)	Infiltration Rate Q (in/hr)
1	Initial	1:27 PM	10.00	4.30	1.35	3.03	5.08
	Final	1:37 PM		5.65			
2	Initial	1:39 PM	10.00	4.30	1.15	3.13	4.19
	Final	1:49 PM		5.45			
3	Initial	1:51 PM	10.00	4.30	0.60	3.40	2.02
	Final	2:01 PM		4.90			
4	Initial	2:03 PM	10.00	4.30	0.65	3.38	2.20
	Final	2:13 PM		4.95			
5	Initial	2:15 PM	10.00	4.30	0.65	3.38	2.20
	Final	2:25 PM		4.95			
6	Initial	2:27 PM	10.00	4.30	0.65	3.38	2.20
	Final	2:37 PM		4.95			

Per County Standards, Infiltration Rate calculated as follows:

$$Q = \frac{\Delta H(60r)}{\Delta t(r + 2H_{avg})}$$

Where:
- Q = Infiltration Rate (in inches per hour)
- ΔH = Change in Height (Water Level) over the time interval
- r = Test Hole (Borehole) Radius
- Δt = Time Interval
- H_{avg} = Average Head Height over the time interval

INFILTRATION CALCULATIONS

Project Name	Two Proposed Warehouses
Project Location	Riverside, CA
Project Number	21G186-2
Engineer	Jose Zuniga

Test Hole Radius	4	(in)
Test Depth	8.00	(ft)

Infiltration Test Hole	I-4

Soil Criteria Test

Interval Number		Time	Time Interval (min)	Water Depth (ft)	Change in Water Level (in)	Did 6 inches of water seep away in less than 25 minutes?	Sandy Soils or Non-Sandy Soils?
1	Initial	3:28 PM	25.00	4.50	19.20	YES	SANDY SOILS
	Final	3:53 PM		6.10			
2	Initial	3:58 PM	25.00	4.50	18.00	YES	SANDY SOILS
	Final	4:23 PM		6.00			

Test Data

Interval Number		Time	Time Interval (min)	Water Depth (ft)	Change in Water Level (ft)	Average Head Height (ft)	Infiltration Rate Q (in/hr)
1	Initial	4:27 PM	10.00	4.50	0.70	3.15	2.53
	Final	4:37 PM		5.20			
2	Initial	4:39 PM	10.00	4.50	0.70	3.15	2.53
	Final	4:49 PM		5.20			
3	Initial	4:51 PM	10.00	4.50	0.70	3.15	2.53
	Final	5:01 PM		5.20			
4	Initial	5:03 PM	10.00	4.50	0.60	3.20	2.14
	Final	5:13 PM		5.10			
5	Initial	5:15 PM	10.00	4.50	0.60	3.20	2.14
	Final	5:25 PM		5.10			
6	Initial	5:27 PM	10.00	4.50	0.60	3.20	2.14
	Final	5:37 PM		5.10			

Per County Standards, Infiltration Rate calculated as follows:

$$Q = \frac{\Delta H(60r)}{\Delta t(r + 2H_{avg})}$$

Where: Q = Infiltration Rate (in inches per hour)


ΔH = Change in Height (Water Level) over the time interval

r = Test Hole (Borehole) Radius

Δt = Time Interval

H_{avg} = Average Head Height over the time interval

Grain Size Distribution




Sample Description	I-1 @ 6½'
Soil Classification	Brown Silty fine to coarse Sand

Two Proposed Warehouses
Riverside, California
Project No. 21G186-2
PLATE C- 1

SoCalGeo
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GEOTECHNICAL
A California Corporation

Grain Size Distribution




Sample Description	I-2 @ 6½'
Soil Classification	Brown Silty fine to medium Sand, little coarse Sand

Two Proposed Warehouses
Riverside, California
Project No. 21G186-2
PLATE C- 2

SoCalGeo
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GEOTECHNICAL
A California Corporation

Grain Size Distribution




Sample Description	I-3 @ 6½'
Soil Classification	Brown Silty fine to medium Sand, little coarse Sand

Two Proposed Warehouses
Riverside, California
Project No. 21G186-2
PLATE C- 3

SoCalGeo
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GEOTECHNICAL
A California Corporation

Grain Size Distribution



Sample Description	I-4 @ 6½'
Soil Classification	Brown Silty fine to coarse Sand

Two Proposed Warehouses
Riverside, California
Project No. 21G186-2
PLATE C- 4

SoCalGeo
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GEOTECHNICAL
A California Corporation

GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION
TWO PROPOSED WAREHOUSES

NEC Massachusetts Avenue and Kansas Avenue
Riverside, California
for
Staley Point Capital

SoCalGeo
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GEOTECHNICAL
A California Corporation

July 21, 2021

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GEOTECHNICAL
A California Corporation

Staley Point Capital
11150 Santa Monica Boulevard, Suite 700
Los Angeles, California 90025

Attention: Mr. Ricardo Rivas
Vice President of Development

Project No.: **21G186-1**

Subject: **Geotechnical Investigation**
Two Proposed Warehouses
NEC Massachusetts Avenue and Kansas Avenue
Riverside, California

Mr. Rivas:

In accordance with your request, we have conducted a geotechnical investigation at the subject site. We are pleased to present this report summarizing the conclusions and recommendations developed from our investigation.

We sincerely appreciate the opportunity to be of service on this project. We look forward to providing additional consulting services during the course of the project. If we may be of further assistance in any manner, please contact our office.

Respectfully Submitted,

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GEOTECHNICAL, INC.

Ricardo Frias, RCE 91772
Project Engineer

REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER
RICARDO FRIAS
No. 91772
CIVIL
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Robert G. Trazo, GE 2655
Principal Engineer

REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER
ROBERT TRAZO
No. 2655
GEOTECHNICAL
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Distribution: (1) Addressee

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY 1

2.0 SCOPE OF SERVICES 3

3.0 SITE AND PROJECT DESCRIPTION 4

3.1 Site Conditions 4
3.2 Proposed Development 4

4.0 SUBSURFACE EXPLORATION 6

4.1 Scope of Exploration/Sampling Methods 6
4.2 Geotechnical Conditions 6

5.0 LABORATORY TESTING 8

6.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 10

6.1 Seismic Design Considerations 10
6.2 Geotechnical Design Considerations 12
6.3 Site Grading Recommendations 14
6.4 Construction Considerations 17
6.5 Foundation Design and Construction 18
6.6 Floor Slab Design and Construction 20
6.7 Retaining Wall Design and Construction 21
6.8 Pavement Design Parameters 23

7.0 GENERAL COMMENTS 26

APPENDICES

A Plate 1: Site Location Map
Plate 2: Boring Location Plan
B Boring Logs
C Laboratory Test Results
D Grading Guide Specifications
E Seismic Design Parameters

1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Presented below is a brief summary of the conclusions and recommendations of this investigation. Since this summary is not all inclusive, it should be read in complete context with the entire report.

Geotechnical Design Considerations

- Artificial fill soils were encountered at most of the borings, extending from the ground surface to depths of 2½ to 8½± feet.
- The fill soils possess varying strengths. The existing fill soils are considered to represent undocumented fill. These soils, in their present condition, are not considered suitable for support of the foundation loads of the new structure. Additionally, it is anticipated that demolition of the existing structures and associated improvements will cause disturbance of the upper 2 to 3± feet of soil.
- The near-surface native alluvial soils within the upper 6 to 12± feet generally consist of silty sands and sands which possess variable strength and unfavorable consolidation/collapse characteristics. The alluvium greater than 6 to 12± feet generally possess high strengths and densities and favorable consolidation/collapse characteristics. Some localized areas of deeper excavation may be required if loose, porous, or low-density native soils are encountered at the base of the overexcavation. It should be noted that Boring No. B-1 encountered consolable/collapsible soils extending to a depth of 12± feet.
- The near-surface alluvial soils possess varying strengths. These soils, in their present condition, are not considered suitable for support of the foundation loads of the new structures.
- Remedial grading will be necessary to remove the undocumented fill soils and the upper portion of the near-surface native alluvial soils and replace these materials as compacted structural fill soils.

Site Preparation

- Demolition of the existing structures and pavements will be required in order to facilitate construction of the new buildings. Demolition should also include all utilities and any other subsurface improvements that will not remain in place for use with the new development. Debris resultant from demolition should be disposed of offsite. Alternatively, concrete and asphalt debris may be pulverized to a maximum 2-inch particle size, well mixed with the on-site sandy soils, and incorporated into new structural fills, or it may be crushed and used to mechanically stabilize overexcavation subgrades.
- Site stripping of existing vegetated areas should remove include all vegetation, including tree root masses and any organic topsoil.
- Remedial grading is recommended within the proposed building pad areas to remove the undocumented fill soils, which extend to depths of 2½ to 8½± feet at the boring locations, in their entirety. At a minimum, the building pad areas should be overexcavated to a depth of at least 5 feet below existing grade and to a depth of at least 5 feet below proposed pad grades, whichever is greater. Overexcavation within the foundation areas is recommended to extend to a depth of at least 4 feet below proposed foundation bearing grade.

- Deeper removals may be necessary in the area of Boring No. B-1 due to the presence of compressible/collapsible soils to a depth of 12± feet below the existing site grades.
- After overexcavation has been completed, the subgrade soils should be evaluated by the geotechnical engineer to identify any additional soils that should be overexcavated. The resulting subgrade should then be scarified to a depth of 12 inches, moisture conditioned or air dried to 0 to 4 percent above optimum, and recompacted to at least 90 percent of the ASTM D-1557 maximum dry density. The previously excavated soils may then be replaced as compacted structural fill.
- The new parking area subgrade soils are recommended to be scarified to a depth of 12± inches, thoroughly moisture conditioned and recompacted to at least 90 percent of the ASTM D-1557 maximum dry density.

Building Foundations

- Conventional shallow foundations, supported in newly placed compacted fill.
- 2,500 lbs/ft^2 maximum allowable soil bearing pressure.
- Reinforcement consisting of at least four (4) No. 5 rebars (2 top and 2 bottom) in strip footings.

Building Floor Slab

- Conventional Slab-on-Grade: minimum 6 inches thick.
- Modulus of Subgrade Reaction: k = 150 psi/in.
- Reinforcement not required for geotechnical considerations. The actual floor slab reinforcement should be determined by the structural engineer, based upon the imposed loading.

Pavement Design

ASPHALT PAVEMENTS (R = 50)					
Materials	**Thickness (inches)**				
	Auto Parking and Auto Drive Lanes (TI = 4.0 to 5.0)	Truck Traffic			
		TI = 6.0	TI = 7.0	TI = 8.0	TI = 9.0
Asphalt Concrete	3	3½	4	5	5½
Aggregate Base	3	4	5	5	7
Compacted Subgrade	12	12	12	12	12

PORTLAND CEMENT CONCRETE PAVEMENTS (R = 50)				
Materials	**Thickness (inches)**			
	Autos and Light Truck Traffic (TI = 6.0)	Truck Traffic		
		TI = 7.0	TI = 8.0	TI = 9.0
PCC	5	6	7	8
Compacted Subgrade (95% minimum compaction)	12	12	12	12

2.0 SCOPE OF SERVICES

The scope of services performed for this project was in accordance with our Proposal No. 21P289, dated June 9, 2021. The scope of services included a visual site reconnaissance, subsurface exploration, field and laboratory testing, and geotechnical engineering analysis to provide criteria for preparing the design of the building foundations, building floor slabs, and parking lot pavements along with site preparation recommendations and construction considerations for the proposed development. The evaluation of the environmental aspects of this site was beyond the scope of services for this geotechnical investigation.

3.0 SITE AND PROJECT DESCRIPTION

3.1 Site Conditions

The subject site is located at the northeast corner of Massachusetts Avenue and Kansas Avenue in Riverside, California. The site is bounded to the north by Roberta Street, to the west by Kansas Avenue, to the south by Massachusetts Avenue, and to the east by commercial/industrial buildings and a parking lot. The general location of the site is illustrated on the Site Location Map, included as Plate 1 of this report.

The site consists of two rectangular-shaped parcels, which total 10.55± acres in size. The site is currently developed with two (2) commercial/industrial buildings in the northern parcel. The western building is a single-story structure of concrete tilt-up construction, 50,000± ft^2 in size. The eastern building is a single-story structure of metal frame construction, 45,000± ft^2 in size. Several above-ground storage tanks (ASTs) are located in the northern parcel. The ground surface cover surrounding the buildings consists of asphaltic concrete (AC) pavements within the material storage areas, parking and driving lanes. The pavements on the west side of the buildings are in poor condition with moderate cracking throughout. The pavements on the east side of the buildings are in fair condition with minor cracking throughout. The southern parcel is presently utilized as a parking lot for school buses. Ground surface cover consists of crushed aggregate base (CAB) with sparse native grass and weed growth. Medium- to large-sized trees line the property along the western, northern, and northern half of the eastern property lines.

Detailed topographic information was not available at the time of this report. Based on elevations obtained from Google Earth and visual observations made at the time of the subsurface investigation, the western portion of the northern parcel slopes to the west at a gradient of 1± percent. Approximately half way between the eastern and western boundary of the northern parcel, the site slopes abruptly towards the south, at a gradient of 3± percent. The eastern portion of the northern parcel is approximately 3± feet higher than the western portion. The southern parcel is approximately the same elevation as the eastern portion of the northern parcel. The western half of the southern parcel is approximately 3± feet higher than the western portion of the northern parcel. The southern parcel slopes to the west at a gradient of 1± percent.

3.2 Proposed Development

Based on the conceptual site plan (Scheme 3), prepared by HPA Architecture, the site will be developed with two (2) new commercial/industrial buildings. Both proposed buildings will be 100,000± ft^2 is size. Building 1 will be located in the northern half of the site and Building 2 will be located in the southern half of the site. Dock-high doors will be constructed along a portion of the south building wall of Building 1 and dock-high doors will be constructed along a portion of the north building wall of Building 2. The new buildings will be surrounded by AC pavements in the automobile parking and drive areas, and Portland cement concrete (PCC) pavements in

the truck court and truck traffic areas. We expect the new development will also include areas of concrete flatwork and landscape planters.

Detailed structural information has not been provided. It is assumed that the new buildings will be single-story structures of tilt-up concrete construction, supported on conventional shallow foundation systems with concrete slab-on-grade floors. Based on the assumed construction, maximum column and wall loads are expected to be on the order of 100 kips and 4 to 7 kips per linear foot, respectively.

No significant amounts of below grade construction, such as basements or crawl spaces, are expected to be included in the proposed development. Based on the assumed topography, cuts and fills of up to 3 to 4± feet are expected to be necessary to achieve the proposed site grades.

4.0 SUBSURFACE EXPLORATION

4.1 Scope of Exploration/Sampling Methods

The subsurface exploration conducted for this project consisted of seven (7) borings advanced to depths of 20 to 25± feet below the existing site grades. The borings were logged during drilling by a member of our staff.

The borings were advanced with hollow-stem augers, by a conventional truck-mounted drilling rig. Representative bulk and relatively undisturbed soil samples were taken during drilling. Relatively undisturbed soil samples were taken with a split barrel "California Sampler" containing a series of one inch long, 2.416± inch diameter brass rings. This sampling method is described in ASTM Test Method D-3550. In-situ samples were also taken using a 1.4± inch inside diameter split spoon sampler, in general accordance with ASTM D-1586. Both of these samplers are driven into the ground with successive blows of a 140-pound weight falling 30 inches. The blow counts obtained during driving are recorded for further analysis. Bulk samples were collected in plastic bags to retain their original moisture content. The relatively undisturbed ring samples were placed in molded plastic sleeves that were then sealed and transported to our laboratory.

The approximate locations of the borings are indicated on the Boring Location Plan, included as Plate 2 in Appendix A of this report. The Boring Logs, which illustrate the conditions encountered at the boring locations, as well as the results of some of the laboratory testing, are included in Appendix B.

4.2 Geotechnical Conditions

Pavements

Asphaltic concrete (AC) pavements were encountered at Boring Nos. B-1, B-2, B-3, and B-7, measuring 2 to 4± inches in thickness underlain by 0 to 3± inches of aggregate base (AB). At Boring Nos. B-4 through B-6, 1 to 2± inches of AB was encountered.

Artificial Fill

With the exception of Boring No. B-2, artificial fill soils were encountered beneath the existing pavements at all of the boring locations, extending to depths of 2½ to 8½± feet below the existing site grades. The fill soils generally consist of loose to medium dense silty fine sands and silty sands with trace amounts of medium to coarse sands and fine gravel. Boring No. B-3 encountered a layer of dense silty fine sand at a depth of 1 foot. The fill soils possess a disturbed and mottled appearance, with some samples possessing debris such as PCC and glass fragments, resulting in their classification as artificial fill.

Alluvium

Native alluvium was encountered directly beneath the AC at Boring No. B-2 and beneath the fill soils of all remaining borings, extending to at least the maximum depth explored of 25± feet below ground surface. The native alluvial soils generally consist of loose to dense fine to medium sands, fine to coarse sands, silty sands, and fine sandy silts with varying sand and fine gravel content. Occasionally, some samples possess trace to little calcareous veining and nodules.

Groundwater

Free water was not encountered during the drilling of any of the borings. Based on the moisture content of the recovered soil samples and the lack of free water in the borings, the static groundwater table is at a greater depth than 25± feet below existing site grades.

Recent water level data was obtained from the California State Water Resources Control Board, GeoTracker, website, https://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/. Several monitoring wells on record are located on-site. Water level readings within these monitoring wells indicate a high groundwater levels ranging from 116 to 129± feet below the ground surface in January 2017.

5.0 LABORATORY TESTING

The soil samples recovered from the subsurface exploration were returned to our laboratory for further testing to determine selected physical and engineering properties of the soils. The tests are briefly discussed below. It should be noted that the test results are specific to the actual samples tested, and variations could be expected at other locations and depths.

Classification

All recovered soil samples were classified using the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS), in accordance with ASTM D-2488. Field identifications were then supplemented with additional visual classifications and/or by laboratory testing. The USCS classifications are shown on the Boring Logs and are periodically referenced throughout this report.

Density and Moisture Content

The density has been determined for selected relatively undisturbed ring samples. These densities were determined in general accordance with the method presented in ASTM D-2937. The results are recorded as dry unit weight in pounds per cubic foot. The moisture contents are determined in accordance with ASTM D-2216, and are expressed as a percentage of the dry weight. These test results are presented on the Boring Logs.

Consolidation

Selected soil samples have been tested to determine their consolidation potential, in accordance with ASTM D-2435. The testing apparatus is designed to accept either natural or remolded samples in a one-inch high ring, approximately 2.416 inches in diameter. Each sample is then loaded incrementally in a geometric progression and the resulting deflection is recorded at selected time intervals. Porous stones are in contact with the top and bottom of the sample to permit the addition or release of pore water. The samples are typically inundated with water at an intermediate load to determine their potential for collapse or heave. The results of the consolidation testing are plotted on Plates C-1 through C-8 in Appendix C of this report.

Maximum Dry Density and Optimum Moisture Content

A representative bulk sample has been tested for its maximum dry density and optimum moisture content. The results have been obtained using the Modified Proctor procedure, per ASTM D-1557 and are presented on Plate C-9 in Appendix C of this report. This test is generally used to compare the in-situ densities of undisturbed field samples, and for later compaction testing. Additional testing of other soil types or soil mixes may be necessary at a later date.

Soluble Sulfates

Representative samples of the near-surface soils were submitted to a subcontracted analytical laboratory for determination of soluble sulfate content. Soluble sulfates are naturally present in soils, and if the concentration is high enough, can result in degradation of concrete which

comes into contact with these soils. The results of the soluble sulfate testing are presented below, and are discussed further in a subsequent section of this report.

Sample Identification	Soluble Sulfates (%)	Sulfate Classification
B-1 @ 0 to 5 feet	0.002	Negligible (S0)
B-6 @ 0 to 5 feet	0.005	Negligible (S0)

Corrosivity Testing

Two representative samples of the near-surface soils were submitted to a subcontracted corrosion engineering laboratory to identify potentially corrosive characteristics with respect to common construction materials. The corrosivity testing included a determination of the electrical resistivity, pH, and chloride and nitrate concentrations of the soils, as well as other tests. The results of some of these tests are presented below.

Sample Identification	Saturated Resistivity (ohm-cm)	pH	Chlorides (mg/kg)	Nitrates (mg/kg)
B-1 @ 0 to 5 feet	8,800	7.8	7.7	6.7
B-6 @ 0 to 5 feet	3,040	7.8	11	103

6.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the results of our review, field exploration, laboratory testing and geotechnical analysis, the proposed development is considered feasible from a geotechnical standpoint. The recommendations contained in this report should be taken into the design, construction, and grading considerations.

The recommendations are contingent upon all grading and foundation construction activities being monitored by the geotechnical engineer of record. The recommendations are provided with the assumption that an adequate program of client consultation, construction monitoring, and testing will be performed during the final design and construction phases to verify compliance with these recommendations. Maintaining Southern California Geotechnical, Inc., (SCG) as the geotechnical consultant from the beginning to the end of the project will provide continuity of services. The geotechnical engineering firm providing testing and observation services shall assume the responsibility of Geotechnical Engineer of Record.

The Grading Guide Specifications, included as Appendix D, should be considered part of this report, and should be incorporated into the project specifications. The contractor and/or owner of the development should bring to the attention of the geotechnical engineer any conditions that differ from those stated in this report, or which may be detrimental for the development.

6.1 Seismic Design Considerations

The subject site is located in an area which is subject to strong ground motions due to earthquakes. The performance of a site specific seismic hazards analysis was beyond the scope of this investigation. However, numerous faults capable of producing significant ground motions are located near the subject site. Due to economic considerations, it is not generally considered reasonable to design a structure that is not susceptible to earthquake damage. Therefore, significant damage to structures may be unavoidable during large earthquakes. The proposed structures should, however, be designed to resist structural collapse and thereby provide reasonable protection from serious injury, catastrophic property damage and loss of life.

Faulting and Seismicity

Research of available maps indicates that the subject site is not located within an Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zone. Therefore, the possibility of significant fault rupture on the site is considered to be low.

The potential for other geologic hazards such as seismically induced settlement, lateral spreading, tsunamis, inundation, seiches, flooding, and subsidence affecting the site is considered low.

Seismic Design Parameters

The 2019 California Building Code (CBC) provides procedures for earthquake resistant structural design that include considerations for on-site soil conditions, occupancy, and the configuration of the structure including the structural system and height. The seismic design parameters presented below are based on the soil profile and the proximity of known faults with respect to the subject site.

Based on standards in place at the time of this report, the proposed development is expected to be designed in accordance with the requirements of the 2019 edition of the California Building Code (CBC), which was adopted on January 1, 2020.

The 2019 CBC Seismic Design Parameters have been generated using the SEAOC/OSHPD Seismic Design Maps Tool, a web-based software application available at the website www.seismicmaps.org. This software application calculates seismic design parameters in accordance with several building code reference documents, including ASCE 7-16, upon which the 2019 CBC is based. The application utilizes a database of risk-targeted maximum considered earthquake (MCE_R) site accelerations at 0.01-degree intervals for each of the code documents. The tables below were created using data obtained from the application. The output generated from this program is included as Plate E-1 in Appendix E of this report.

The 2019 CBC requires that a site-specific ground motion study be performed in accordance with Section 11.4.8 of ASCE 7-16 for Site Class D sites with a mapped S_1 value greater than 0.2. However, Section 11.4.8 of ASCE 7-16 also indicates an exception to the requirement for a site-specific ground motion hazard analysis for certain structures on Site Class D sites. The commentary for Section 11 of ASCE 7-16 (Page 534 of Section C11 of ASCE 7-16) indicates that "In general, this exception effectively limits the requirements for site-specific hazard analysis to very tall and or flexible structures at Site Class D sites." **Based on our understanding of the proposed development, the seismic design parameters presented below were calculated assuming that the exception in Section 11.4.8 applies to the proposed structures at this site. However, the structural engineer should verify that this exception is applicable to the proposed structures.** Based on the exception, the spectral response accelerations presented below were calculated using the site coefficients (F_a and F_v) from Tables 1613.2.3(1) and 1613.2.3(2) presented in Section 16.4.4 of the 2019 CBC.

2019 CBC SEISMIC DESIGN PARAMETERS

Parameter		Value
Mapped Spectral Acceleration at 0.2 sec Period	S_S	1.500
Mapped Spectral Acceleration at 1.0 sec Period	S_1	0.600
Site Class	---	D
Site Modified Spectral Acceleration at 0.2 sec Period	S_{MS}	1.500
Site Modified Spectral Acceleration at 1.0 sec Period	S_{M1}	1.020
Design Spectral Acceleration at 0.2 sec Period	S_{DS}	1.000
Design Spectral Acceleration at 1.0 sec Period	S_{D1}	0.680

It should be noted that the site coefficient F_v and the parameters S_{M1} and S_{D1} were not included in the SEAOC/OSHPD Seismic Design Maps Tool output for the 2019 CBC. We calculated these parameters-based on Table 1613.2.3(2) in Section 16.4.4 of the 2019 CBC using the value of S_1 obtained from the Seismic Design Maps Tool, assuming that a site-specific ground motion hazards analysis is not required for the proposed buildings at this site.

Liquefaction

Liquefaction is the loss of strength in generally cohesionless, saturated soils when the pore-water pressure induced in the soil by a seismic event becomes equal to or exceeds the overburden pressure. The primary factors which influence the potential for liquefaction include groundwater table elevation, soil type and plasticity characteristics, relative density of the soil, initial confining pressure, and intensity and duration of ground shaking. The depth within which the occurrence of liquefaction may impact surface improvements is generally identified as the upper 50 feet below the existing ground surface. Liquefaction potential is greater in saturated, loose, poorly graded fine sands with a mean (d_{50}) grain size in the range of 0.075 to 0.2 mm (Seed and Idriss, 1971). Non-sensitive clayey (cohesive) soils which possess a plasticity index of at least 18 (Bray and Sancio, 2006) are generally not considered to be susceptible to liquefaction, nor are those soils which are above the historic static groundwater table.

The Riverside County GIS website indicates that the subject site is located within a zone of low liquefaction susceptibility. In addition, the subsurface conditions encountered at the boring locations are not considered to be conducive to liquefaction. These conditions consist of no evidence of a long-term groundwater table within the depths explored by the borings. Based on these considerations, liquefaction is not considered to be a design concern for this project.

6.2 Geotechnical Design Considerations

General

The site is generally underlain by artificial fill soils, extending to depths of 2½ to 8½± feet at most of the boring locations. These soils possess variable densities, variable composition, and a disturbed, mottled appearance. Additionally, no documentation regarding the placement and compaction of these soils has been provided. The fill soils are therefore considered to be undocumented fill. The fill soils are underlain by native alluvium which possesses moderate consolidation/collapse characteristics to a depth of 6± feet below the existing site grades. Therefore, remedial grading is considered warranted within the proposed building area in order to remove the upper portion of the near-surface native alluvial soils, and replace these materials as compacted structural fill soils**. However, Boring No. B-1 encountered moderately compressible/collapsible and low-density native soils extending to a depth of 12± feet below existing site grades.**

Settlement

The recommended remedial grading will remove the existing undocumented fill soils and a portion of the near-surface native alluvial soils and replace these materials as compacted

structural fill. The native soils that will remain in place below the recommended depth of overexcavation will not be subject to significant stress increases from the foundations of the new structure. Therefore, following completion of the recommended grading, post-construction settlements are expected to be within tolerable limits.

Expansion

The near-surface soils generally consist of silty sands, sandy silts, and fine to coarse sands. Based on their composition, these soils have been visually classified as very low to non-expansive. Therefore, no design considerations related to expansive soils are considered warranted for this site.

Soluble Sulfates

The results of the soluble sulfate testing indicated a sulfate concentration of approximately 0.005 percent for the selected sample of the near-surface soils. This concentration is considered to be "not applicable" (S0) with respect to the American Concrete Institute (ACI) Publication 318-14 Building Code Requirements for Structural Concrete and Commentary, Section 4.3. Therefore, specialized concrete mix designs are not considered to be necessary, with regard to sulfate protection purposes. It is, however, recommended that additional soluble sulfate testing be conducted at the completion of rough grading to verify the soluble sulfate concentrations of the soils which are present at pad grade within the building areas.

Corrosion Potential

The results of laboratory testing indicate that the on-site soils possess saturated resistivity of 3,040 and 8,800 ohm-cm, and a pH value of 7.8. These test results have been evaluated in accordance with guidelines published by the Ductile Iron Pipe Research Association (DIPRA). The DIPRA guidelines consist of a point system by which characteristics of the soils are used to quantify the corrosivity characteristics of the site. Resistivity and pH are two of the five factors that enter into the evaluation procedure. Redox potential, relative soil moisture content and sulfides are also included. Although sulfide testing was not part of the scope of services for this project, we have evaluated the corrosivity characteristics of the on-site soils using resistivity, pH and moisture content. Based on these factors, and utilizing the DIPRA procedure, the on-site soils are not considered to be corrosive to ductile iron pipe. Therefore, polyethylene encasement or some other appropriate method of protection may be required for iron pipes.

A relatively low concentration (7.7 and 11 mg/kg) of chlorides were detected in the samples submitted for corrosivity testing. In general, soils possessing chloride concentrations in excess of 500 parts per million (ppm) are considered to be corrosive with respect to steel reinforcement within reinforced concrete. Based on the lack of any significant chlorides in the tested sample, the site is considered to have a C1 chloride exposure in accordance with the American Concrete Institute (ACI) Publication 318 Building Code Requirements for Structural Concrete and Commentary. Therefore, a specialized concrete mix design for reinforced concrete for protection against chloride exposure is not considered warranted.

Nitrates present in soil can be corrosive to copper tubing at concentrations greater than 50 mg/kg. The tested samples possess nitrate concentrations of 6.7 and 103 mg/kg. **Based on this test result, the on-site soils are considered to be corrosive to copper pipe.**

Since SCG does not practice in the area of corrosion engineering, we recommend that the client contact a corrosion engineer to provide a more thorough evaluation.

Shrinkage/Subsidence

Removal and recompaction of the artificial fill and near-surface native soils is estimated to result in an average shrinkage of 7 to 16 percent. Shrinkage estimates for the individual samples range between 4 and 19 percent based on the results of density testing and the assumption that the on-site soils will be compacted to about 92 percent of the ASTM D-1557 maximum dry density. It should be noted that the shrinkage estimate is based on the results of dry density testing performed on small-diameter samples of the existing soils taken at the boring locations. If a more accurate and precise shrinkage estimate is desired, SCG can perform a shrinkage study involving several excavated test-pits where in-place densities are determined using in-situ testing methods instead of laboratory density testing on small-diameter samples. Please contact SCG for details and a cost estimate regarding a shrinkage study, if desired.

Minor ground subsidence is expected to occur in the soils below the zone of removal, due to settlement and machinery working. The subsidence is estimated to be 0.1 feet.

These estimates are based on previous experience and the subsurface conditions encountered at the boring locations. The actual amount of subsidence is expected to be variable and will be dependent on the type of machinery used, repetitions of use, and dynamic effects, all of which are difficult to assess precisely.

Grading and Foundation Plan Review

Grading and foundation plans were not available at the time of this report. It is therefore recommended that we be provided with copies of the preliminary grading and foundation plans, when they become available, for review with regard to the conclusions, recommendations, and assumptions contained within this report.

6.3 Site Grading Recommendations

The grading recommendations presented below are based on the subsurface conditions encountered at the boring locations and our understanding of the proposed development. We recommend that all grading activities be completed in accordance with the Grading Guide Specifications included as Appendix D of this report, unless superseded by site-specific recommendations presented below.

Site Stripping and Demolition

Initial site stripping should include removal of any surficial vegetation. This should include any weeds, grasses, shrubs, and trees. Root balls associated with the trees should be removed in

their entirety, and the resultant excavations should be backfilled with compacted structural fill soils. The actual extent of site stripping should be determined in the field by the geotechnical engineer, based on the organic content and stability of the materials encountered.

Demolition of the existing structures and pavements will be required at this site. Demolition should include all subsurface remnants of the existing structures, including foundations, floor slabs, septic systems and any utilities that will not be reutilized with the proposed development. Any debris resultant from demolition should be disposed of offsite in accordance with local regulations. Alternatively, asphalt and concrete debris may be crushed to a maximum 2-inch particle size, well mixed with on-site soils, and incorporated into new structural fills or crushed to make miscellaneous base, if desired. Any excavations associated with demolition should be backfilled with compacted fill soils.

Treatment of Existing Soils: Building Pads

Remedial grading should be performed within the proposed building areas in order to remove the existing undocumented fill soils. Based on conditions encountered at the boring locations, excavation to depths of 2½ to 8½± feet will be required to remove the existing fill soils. The existing soils within the proposed building areas are recommended to be overexcavated to a depth of at least 5 feet below existing grade and to a depth of at least 5 feet below proposed building pad subgrade elevation, whichever is greater.

Where not encompassed within the general building pad overexcavations, additional overexcavation should be performed within the influence zones of the new foundations, to provide for a new layer of compacted structural fill extending to a depth of 4 feet below proposed bearing grade.

The overexcavation areas should extend at least 5 feet beyond the building perimeter and foundations, and to an extent equal to the depth of fill below the new foundations. If the proposed structure incorporates any exterior columns (such as for a canopy or overhang) the overexcavation should also encompass these areas.

Following completion of the overexcavation, the subgrade soils within the building areas should be evaluated by the geotechnical engineer to verify their suitability to serve as the structural fill subgrade, as well as to support the foundation loads of the new structure. This evaluation should include proofrolling and probing to identify any soft, loose or otherwise unstable soils that must be removed. Some localized areas of deeper excavation may be required if additional fill materials or loose, porous, or low density native soils are encountered at the base of the overexcavation. As mentioned in the previous section, **Boring No. B-1 encountered undocumented fill material up to a depth of 8½± feet below the existing site grades. Some collapsible/compressible soils were encountered beneath these undocumented fill soils at Boring No. B-1. Deeper removals may be necessary in this area of the site.**

After a suitable overexcavation subgrade has been achieved, the exposed soils should be scarified to a depth of at least 12 inches, moisture treated to 0 to 4 percent above the optimum moisture content. The subgrade soils should then be recompacted to at least 90 percent of the

ASTM D-1557 maximum dry density. The previously excavated soils may then be replaced as compacted structural fill.

Treatment of Existing Soils: Retaining Walls and Site Walls

The existing soils within the areas of proposed retaining and non-retaining site walls should be overexcavated to a depth of at least 3 feet below foundation bearing grade and replaced as compacted structural fill as discussed above for the proposed building pad. Any undocumented fill soils within any of these foundation areas should be removed in their entirety. The overexcavation areas should extend at least 3 feet beyond the foundation perimeters, and to an extent equal to the depth of fill below the new foundations. Please note that erection pads are considered to be part of the foundation system. These overexcavation recommendations apply to erection pads also. The overexcavation subgrade soils should be evaluated by the geotechnical engineer prior to scarifying, moisture conditioning, and recompacting the upper 12 inches of exposed subgrade soils, as discussed for the building areas. The previously excavated soils may then be replaced as compacted structural fill.

Please note that if the lateral and/or vertical extents of overexcavation are not achievable for the project retaining walls or site walls, then additional recommendations including, but not limited to reduced design bearing pressures may be required. Additionally, specialized grading techniques such as slot cutting or shoring may be required in order to facilitate construction.

Treatment of Existing Soils: Parking and Drive Areas

Based on economic considerations, overexcavation of the existing soils in the new parking areas is not considered warranted, with the exception of areas where lower strength or unstable soils are identified by the geotechnical engineer during grading.

Subgrade preparation in the new parking areas should initially consist of removal of all soils disturbed during stripping operations. The geotechnical engineer should then evaluate the subgrade to identify any areas of additional unsuitable soils. The subgrade soils should then be scarified to a depth of 12± inches, moisture conditioned to 0 to 4 percent above optimum, and recompacted to at least 90 percent of the ASTM D-1557 maximum dry density. Based on the presence of undocumented fill soils and compressible/collapsible alluvial soils throughout the site, it is expected that some isolated areas of additional overexcavation may be required to remove zones of lower strength, unsuitable soils.

The grading recommendations presented above for the proposed parking and drive areas assume that the owner and/or developer can tolerate minor amounts of settlement within the proposed parking areas. The grading recommendations presented above do not completely mitigate the extent of loose alluvium in the parking areas. As such, settlement and associated pavement distress could occur. Typically, repair of such distressed areas involves significantly lower costs than completely mitigating these soils at the time of construction. If the owner cannot tolerate the risk of such settlements, the parking and drive areas should be overexcavated to a depth of 2 feet below proposed pavement subgrade elevation, with the resulting soils replaced as compacted structural fill.

Fill Placement

- Fill soils should be placed in thin (6± inches), near-horizontal lifts, moisture conditioned to 0 to 4 percent above the optimum moisture content, and compacted.
- On-site soils may be used for fill provided they are cleaned of any debris to the satisfaction of the geotechnical engineer.
- All grading and fill placement activities should be completed in accordance with the requirements of the 2019 CBC and the grading code of the City of Riverside.
- All fill soils should be compacted to at least 90 percent of the ASTM D-1557 maximum dry density. Fill soils should be well mixed.
- Compaction tests should be performed periodically by the geotechnical engineer as random verification of compaction and moisture content. These tests are intended to aid the contractor. Since the tests are taken at discrete locations and depths, they may not be indicative of the entire fill and therefore should not relieve the contractor of his responsibility to meet the job specifications.

Imported Structural Fill

All imported structural fill should consist of very low expansive (EI < 20), well graded soils possessing at least 10 percent fines (that portion of the sample passing the No. 200 sieve). Additional specifications for structural fill are presented in the Grading Guide Specifications, included as Appendix D.

Utility Trench Backfill

In general, all utility trench backfill soils should be compacted to at least 90 percent of the ASTM D-1557 maximum dry density. As an alternative, a clean sand (minimum Sand Equivalent of 30) may be placed within trenches and compacted in place (jetting or flooding is not recommended). It is recommended that materials in excess of 3 inches in size not be used for utility trench backfill. Compacted trench backfill should conform to the requirements of the local grading code, and more restrictive requirements may be indicated by City of San Bernardino. All utility trench backfills should be witnessed by the geotechnical engineer. The trench backfill soils should be compaction tested where possible; probed and visually evaluated elsewhere.

Utility trenches which parallel a footing, and extending below a 1h:1v plane projected from the outside edge of the footing should be backfilled with structural fill soils, compacted to at least 90 percent of the ASTM D-1557 standard. Pea gravel backfill should not be used for these trenches.

6.4 Construction Considerations

Excavation Considerations

The near surface soils generally consist of silty sands, sandy silts, and fine to coarse sands. These materials will likely be subject to caving within shallow excavations. Where caving occurs within shallow excavations, flattened excavation slopes may be sufficient to provide excavation

stability. On a preliminary basis, the inclination of temporary slopes should not exceed 2h:1v. Deeper excavations may require some form of external stabilization such as shoring or bracing. Maintaining adequate moisture content within the near-surface soils will improve excavation stability. All excavation activities on this site should be conducted in accordance with Cal-OSHA regulations.

Moisture Sensitive Subgrade Soils

Some of the near surface soils possess appreciable silt content and may become unstable if exposed to significant moisture infiltration or disturbance by construction traffic. In addition, based on their granular content, some of the on-site soils will also be susceptible to erosion. The site should, therefore, be graded to prevent ponding of surface water and to prevent water from running into excavations.

Groundwater

The static groundwater table is considered to exist at a depth greater than 25± feet or more below existing grade. Therefore, groundwater is not expected to impact the grading or foundation construction activities.

6.5 Foundation Design and Construction

Based on the preceding grading recommendations, it is assumed that the new building pads will be underlain by structural fill soils used to replace near-surface alluvial soils. These new structural fill soils are expected to extend to depths of at least 4 feet below proposed foundation bearing grade, underlain by 1± foot of additional soil that has been densified and moisture conditioned in place. Based on this subsurface profile, the proposed structure may be supported on shallow foundations.

Foundation Design Parameters

New square and rectangular footings may be designed as follows:

- Maximum, net allowable soil bearing pressure: 2,500 lbs/ft^2.
- Minimum wall/column footing width: 14 inches/24 inches.
- Minimum longitudinal steel reinforcement within strip footings: Four (4) No. 5 rebars (2 top and 2 bottom).
- Minimum foundation embedment: 12 inches into suitable structural fill soils, and at least 18 inches below adjacent exterior grade. Interior column footings may be placed immediately beneath the floor slab.
- It is recommended that the perimeter building foundations be continuous across all exterior doorways. Any flatwork adjacent to the exterior doors should be doweled into the perimeter foundations in a manner determined by the structural engineer.

The allowable bearing pressures presented above may be increased by 1/3 when considering short duration wind or seismic loads. The minimum steel reinforcement recommended above is based on standard geotechnical practice. Additional rigidity may be necessary for structural considerations. The actual design of the foundations should be determined by the structural engineer.

Foundation Construction

The foundation subgrade soils should be evaluated at the time of overexcavation, as discussed in Section 6.3 of this report. It is further recommended that the foundation subgrade soils be evaluated by the geotechnical engineer immediately prior to steel or concrete placement. Soils suitable for direct foundation support should consist of newly placed structural fill, compacted to at least 90 percent of the ASTM D-1557 maximum dry density. Any unsuitable materials should be removed to a depth of suitable bearing compacted structural fill, with the resulting excavations backfilled with compacted fill soils. As an alternative, lean concrete slurry (500 to 1,500 psi) may be used to backfill such isolated overexcavations.

The foundation subgrade soils should also be properly moisture conditioned to 0 to 4 percent above the Modified Proctor optimum, to a depth of at least 12 inches below bearing grade. Since it is typically not feasible to increase the moisture content of the floor slab and foundation subgrade soils once rough grading has been completed, care should be taken to maintain the moisture content of the building pad subgrade soils throughout the construction process.

Estimated Foundation Settlements

Post-construction total and differential static settlements of shallow foundations designed and constructed in accordance with the previously presented recommendations are estimated to be less than 1.0 and 0.5 inches, respectively, under static conditions. Differential movements are expected to occur over a 30-foot span, thereby resulting in an angular distortion of less than 0.002 inches per inch.

Lateral Load Resistance

Lateral load resistance will be developed by a combination of friction acting at the base of foundations and slabs and the passive earth pressure developed by footings below grade. The following friction and passive pressure may be used to resist lateral forces:

- Passive Earth Pressure: 300 lbs/ft^3
- Friction Coefficient: 0.30

These are allowable values, and include a factor of safety. When combining friction and passive resistance, the passive pressure component should be reduced by one-third. These values assume that footings will be poured directly against compacted structural fill. The maximum allowable passive pressure is 3,000 lbs/ft^2.

6.6 Floor Slab Design and Construction

Subgrades which will support new floor slabs should be prepared in accordance with the recommendations contained in the ***Site Grading Recommendations*** section of this report. Based on the anticipated grading which will occur at this site, the floors of the new structures may be constructed as a conventional slabs-on-grade supported on newly placed structural fill, extending to a depth of at least 5 feet below proposed finished pad grades. Based on geotechnical considerations, the floor slabs may be designed as follows:

- Minimum slab thickness: 6 inches.

- Modulus of Subgrade Reaction: k = 150 psi/in.

- Minimum slab reinforcement: Not required for geotechnical considerations. The actual floor slab reinforcement should be determined by the structural engineer, based upon the imposed loading.

- Slab underlayment: If moisture sensitive floor coverings will be used then minimum slab underlayment should consist of a moisture vapor barrier constructed below the entire area of the proposed slab where such moisture sensitive floor coverings are expected. The moisture vapor barrier should meet or exceed the Class A rating as defined by ASTM E 1745-97 and have a permeance rating less than 0.01 perms as described in ASTM E 96-95 and ASTM E 154-88. A polyolefin material such as Stego® Wrap Vapor Barrier or equivalent will meet these specifications. The moisture vapor barrier should be properly constructed in accordance with all applicable manufacturer specifications. Given that a rock free subgrade is anticipated and that a capillary break is not required, sand below the barrier is not required. The need for sand and/or the amount of sand above the moisture vapor barrier should be specified by the structural engineer or concrete contractor. The selection of sand above the barrier is not a geotechnical engineering issue and hence outside our purview. Where moisture sensitive floor coverings are not anticipated, the vapor barrier may be eliminated.

- Moisture condition the floor slab subgrade soils to 0 to 4 percent above the Modified Proctor optimum moisture content, to a depth of 12 inches. The moisture content of the floor slab subgrade soils should be verified by the geotechnical engineer within 24 hours prior to concrete placement.

- Proper concrete curing techniques should be utilized to reduce the potential for slab curling or the formation of excessive shrinkage cracks.

The actual design of the floor slab should be completed by the structural engineer to verify adequate thickness and reinforcement. Additional rigidity may be necessary for structural considerations.

6.7 Retaining Wall Design and Construction

Although not indicated on the site plan, the proposed development may require some small retaining walls (less than 3 to 5± feet in height) to facilitate the new site grades and the in dock-high areas of the building.

Retaining Wall Design Parameters

Based on the soil conditions encountered at the boring locations, the following parameters may be used in the design of new retaining walls for this site. We have provided parameters assuming the use of on-site soils for retaining wall backfill. The near surface soils generally consist of silty sands, sandy silts, and fine to coarse sands. Based on their classifications, these materials are expected to possess a friction angle of at least 30 degrees when compacted to 90 percent of the ASTM-1557 maximum dry density.

If desired, SCG could provide design parameters for an alternative select backfill material behind the retaining walls. The use of select backfill material could result in lower lateral earth pressures. In order to use the design parameters for the imported select fill, this material must be placed within the entire active failure wedge. This wedge is defined as extending from the heel of the retaining wall upwards at an angle of approximately 60° from horizontal. If select backfill material behind the retaining wall is desired, SCG should be contacted for supplementary recommendations.

RETAINING WALL DESIGN PARAMETERS

Design Parameter		**Soil Type**
		On-Site Sands and Silty Sands
Internal Friction Angle (ϕ)		30°
Unit Weight		134 lbs/ft^3
Equivalent Fluid Pressure:	Active Condition (level backfill)	45 lbs/ft^3
	Active Condition (2h:1v backfill)	72 lbs/ft^3
	At-Rest Condition (level backfill)	67 lbs/ft^3

Regardless of the backfill type, the walls should be designed using a soil-footing coefficient of friction of 0.30 and an equivalent passive pressure of 300 lbs/ft^3. The structural engineer should incorporate appropriate factors of safety in the design of the retaining walls.

The active earth pressure may be used for the design of retaining walls that do not directly support structures or support soils that in turn support structures and which will be allowed to deflect. The at-rest earth pressure should be used for walls that will not be allowed to deflect such as those which will support foundation bearing soils, or which will support foundation loads directly.

Where the soils on the toe side of the retaining wall are not covered by a "hard" surface such as a structure or pavement, the upper 1 foot of soil should be neglected when calculating passive resistance due to the potential for the material to become disturbed or degraded during the life of the structure.

Seismic Lateral Earth Pressures

In accordance with the 2019 CBC, any retaining walls more than 6 feet in height must be designed for seismic lateral earth pressures. If walls 6 feet or more are required for this site, the geotechnical engineer should be contacted for supplementary seismic lateral earth pressure recommendations.

Retaining Wall Foundation Design

The retaining wall foundations should be supported within newly placed compacted structural fill, extending to a depth of at least 3 feet below the proposed bearing grade. Foundations to support new retaining walls should be designed in accordance with the general Foundation Design Parameters presented in a previous section of this report.

Backfill Material

On-site soils may be used to backfill the retaining walls. However, all backfill material placed within 3 feet of the back wall face should have a particle size no greater than 3 inches. The retaining wall backfill materials should be well graded.

It is recommended that a properly installed prefabricated drainage composite such as the MiraDRAIN 6000XL (or approved equivalent), which is specifically designed for use behind retaining walls be used. If the drainage composite material is not covered by an impermeable surface, such as a structure or pavement, a 12-inch thick layer of a low permeability soil should be placed over the backfill to reduce surface water migration to the underlying soils. The drainage composite should be separated from the backfill soils by a suitable geotextile, approved by the geotechnical engineer.

All retaining wall backfill should be placed and compacted under engineering controlled conditions in the necessary layer thicknesses to ensure an in-place density between 90 and 93 percent of the maximum dry density as determined by the Modified Proctor test (ASTM D1557). Care should be taken to avoid over-compaction of the soils behind the retaining walls, and the use of heavy compaction equipment should be avoided.

Subsurface Drainage

As previously indicated, the retaining wall design parameters are based upon drained backfill conditions. Consequently, some form of permanent drainage system will be necessary in conjunction with the appropriate backfill material. Subsurface drainage may consist of either:

- A weep hole drainage system typically consisting of a series of 2-inch diameter holes in the wall situated slightly above the ground surface elevation on the exposed side of the

wall and at an approximate 10-foot on-center spacing. Alternatively, 4-inch diameter holes at an approximate 20-foot on-center spacing can be used for this type of drainage system. In addition, the weep holes should include a 2 cubic foot pocket of open graded gravel, surrounded by an approved geotextile fabric, at each weep hole location.

- A 4-inch diameter perforated pipe surrounded by 2 cubic feet of gravel per linear foot of drain placed behind the wall, above the retaining wall footing. The gravel layer should be wrapped in a suitable geotextile fabric to reduce the potential for migration of fines. The footing drain should be extended to daylight or tied into a storm drainage system. The actual design of this type of system should be determined by the civil engineer to verify that the drainage system possesses the adequate capacity and slope for its intended use.

6.8 Pavement Design Parameters

Site preparation in the pavement area should be completed as previously recommended in the ***Site Grading Recommendations*** section of this report. The subsequent pavement recommendations assume proper drainage and construction monitoring, and are based on either PCA or CALTRANS design parameters for a twenty (20) year design period. However, these designs also assume a routine pavement maintenance program to obtain the anticipated 20-year pavement service life.

Pavement Subgrades

It is anticipated that the new pavements will be primarily supported on a layer of compacted structural fill, consisting of scarified, thoroughly moisture conditioned and recompacted existing soils. The near-surface soils generally consist of silty fine sands, fine sands and sandy silts. These soils are considered to possess good pavement support characteristics with estimated R-values of 50 to 60. The subsequent pavement design is based upon an R-value of 50. Any fill material imported to the site should have support characteristics equal to or greater than that of the on-site soils and be placed and compacted under engineering controlled conditions. It is recommended that R-value testing be performed after completion of rough grading. Depending upon the results of the R-value testing, it may be feasible to use thinner pavement sections in some areas of the site.

Asphaltic Concrete

Presented below are the recommended thicknesses for new flexible pavement structures consisting of asphaltic concrete over a granular base. The pavement designs are based on the traffic indices (TI's) indicated. The client and/or civil engineer should verify that these TI's are representative of the anticipated traffic volumes. If the client and/or civil engineer determine that the expected traffic volume will exceed the applicable traffic index, we should be contacted for supplementary recommendations. The design traffic indices equate to the following approximate daily traffic volumes over a 20 year design life, assuming six operational traffic days per week.

Traffic Index	No. of Heavy Trucks per Day
4.0	0
5.0	1
6.0	3
7.0	11
8.0	35
9.0	93

For the purpose of the traffic volumes indicated above, a truck is defined as a 5-axle tractor trailer unit with one 8-kip axle and two 32-kip tandem axles. All of the traffic indices allow for 1,000 automobiles per day.

ASPHALT PAVEMENTS (R = 50)					
Materials	**Thickness (inches)**				
	Auto Parking and Auto Drive Lanes (TI = 4.0 to 5.0)	Truck Traffic			
		TI = 6.0	TI = 7.0	TI = 8.0	TI = 9.0
Asphalt Concrete	3	3½	4	5	5½
Aggregate Base	3	4	5	5	7
Compacted Subgrade	12	12	12	12	12

The aggregate base course should be compacted to at least 95 percent of the ASTM D-1557 maximum dry density. The asphaltic concrete should be compacted to at least 95 percent of the Marshall maximum density, as determined by ASTM D-2726. The aggregate base course may consist of crushed aggregate base (CAB) or crushed miscellaneous base (CMB), which is a recycled gravel, asphalt and concrete material. The gradation, R-Value, Sand Equivalent, and Percentage Wear of the CAB or CMB should comply with appropriate specifications contained in the current edition of the "Greenbook" Standard Specifications for Public Works Construction.

Portland Cement Concrete

The preparation of the subgrade soils within concrete pavement areas should be performed as previously described for proposed asphalt pavement areas. The minimum recommended thicknesses for the Portland Cement Concrete pavement sections are as follows:

PORTLAND CEMENT CONCRETE PAVEMENTS				
Materials	**Thickness (inches)**			
	Autos and Light Truck Traffic (TI = 6.0)	Truck Traffic		
		TI = 7.0	TI = 8.0	TI = 9.0
PCC	5	6	7	8
Compacted Subgrade (95% minimum compaction)	12	12	12	12

The concrete should have a 28-day compressive strength of at least 3,000 psi. Any reinforcement within the PCC pavements should be determined by the project structural engineer. The maximum joint spacing within all of the PCC pavements is recommended to be equal to or less than 30 times the pavement thickness.

7.0 GENERAL COMMENTS


This report has been prepared as an instrument of service for use by the client, in order to aid in the evaluation of this property and to assist the architects and engineers in the design and preparation of the project plans and specifications. This report may be provided to the contractor(s) and other design consultants to disclose information relative to the project. However, this report is not intended to be utilized as a specification in and of itself, without appropriate interpretation by the project architect, civil engineer, and/or structural engineer. The reproduction and distribution of this report must be authorized by the client and Southern California Geotechnical, Inc. Furthermore, any reliance on this report by an unauthorized third party is at such party's sole risk, and we accept no responsibility for damage or loss which may occur. The client(s)' reliance upon this report is subject to the Engineering Services Agreement, incorporated into our proposal for this project.

The analysis of this site was based on a subsurface profile interpolated from limited discrete soil samples. While the materials encountered in the project area are considered to be representative of the total area, some variations should be expected between boring locations and sample depths. If the conditions encountered during construction vary significantly from those detailed herein, we should be contacted immediately to determine if the conditions alter the recommendations contained herein.

This report has been based on assumed or provided characteristics of the proposed development. It is recommended that the owner, client, architect, structural engineer, and civil engineer carefully review these assumptions to ensure that they are consistent with the characteristics of the proposed development. If discrepancies exist, they should be brought to our attention to verify that they do not affect the conclusions and recommendations contained herein. We also recommend that the project plans and specifications be submitted to our office for review to verify that our recommendations have been correctly interpreted.

The analysis, conclusions, and recommendations contained within this report have been promulgated in accordance with generally accepted professional geotechnical engineering practice. No other warranty is implied or expressed.

APPENDIX A



SOURCE: USGS TOPOGRAPHIC MAP OF THE RIVERSIDE EAST QUADRANGLE, RIVERSIDE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA, 2018.

SITE LOCATION MAP


TWO PROPOSED WAREHOUSES

RIVERSIDE, CALIFORNIA

SCALE: 1" = 2000'	
DRAWN: JAZ CHKD: RGT	
SCG PROJECT 21G186-1	
PLATE 1	

SoCalGeo

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GEOTECHNICAL



NORTH

SoCalGeo

GEOTECHNICAL LEGEND

APPROXIMATE BORING LOCATION

EXISTING BUILDINGS TO BE DEMOLISHED

NOTE: SITE PLAN PREPARED BY HPA.

BORING LOCATION PLAN

TWO PROPOSED WAREHOUSES




RIVERSIDE, CALIFORNIA

SCALE: 1" = 100'	
DRAWN: JAZ CHKD: RF	
SCG PROJECT 21G186-1	
PLATE 2	

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GEOTECHNICAL

APPENDIX B

BORING LOG LEGEND

SAMPLE TYPE	GRAPHICAL SYMBOL	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION
AUGER		SAMPLE COLLECTED FROM AUGER CUTTINGS, NO FIELD MEASUREMENT OF SOIL STRENGTH. (DISTURBED)
CORE		ROCK CORE SAMPLE: TYPICALLY TAKEN WITH A DIAMOND-TIPPED CORE BARREL. TYPICALLY USED ONLY IN HIGHLY CONSOLIDATED BEDROCK.
GRAB		SOIL SAMPLE TAKEN WITH NO SPECIALIZED EQUIPMENT, SUCH AS FROM A STOCKPILE OR THE GROUND SURFACE. (DISTURBED)
CS		CALIFORNIA SAMPLER: 2-1/2 INCH I.D. SPLIT BARREL SAMPLER, LINED WITH 1-INCH HIGH BRASS RINGS. DRIVEN WITH SPT HAMMER. (RELATIVELY UNDISTURBED)
NSR		NO RECOVERY: THE SAMPLING ATTEMPT DID NOT RESULT IN RECOVERY OF ANY SIGNIFICANT SOIL OR ROCK MATERIAL.
SPT		STANDARD PENETRATION TEST: SAMPLER IS A 1.4 INCH INSIDE DIAMETER SPLIT BARREL, DRIVEN 18 INCHES WITH THE SPT HAMMER. (DISTURBED)
SH		SHELBY TUBE: TAKEN WITH A THIN WALL SAMPLE TUBE, PUSHED INTO THE SOIL AND THEN EXTRACTED. (UNDISTURBED)
VANE		VANE SHEAR TEST: SOIL STRENGTH OBTAINED USING A 4 BLADED SHEAR DEVICE. TYPICALLY USED IN SOFT CLAYS-NO SAMPLE RECOVERED.

COLUMN DESCRIPTIONS

DEPTH: Distance in feet below the ground surface.

SAMPLE: Sample Type as depicted above.

BLOW COUNT: Number of blows required to advance the sampler 12 inches using a 140 lb hammer with a 30-inch drop. 50/3" indicates penetration refusal (>50 blows) at 3 inches. WH indicates that the weight of the hammer was sufficient to push the sampler 6 inches or more.

POCKET PEN.: Approximate shear strength of a cohesive soil sample as measured by pocket penetrometer.

GRAPHIC LOG: Graphic Soil Symbol as depicted on the following page.

DRY DENSITY: Dry density of an undisturbed or relatively undisturbed sample in lbs/ft^3.

MOISTURE CONTENT: Moisture content of a soil sample, expressed as a percentage of the dry weight.














LIQUID LIMIT: The moisture content above which a soil behaves as a liquid.

PLASTIC LIMIT: The moisture content above which a soil behaves as a plastic.

PASSING #200 SIEVE: The percentage of the sample finer than the #200 standard sieve.

UNCONFINED SHEAR: The shear strength of a cohesive soil sample, as measured in the unconfined state.

SOIL CLASSIFICATION CHART

MAJOR DIVISIONS			SYMBOLS		TYPICAL DESCRIPTIONS
			GRAPH	LETTER	
COARSE GRAINED SOILS MORE THAN 50% OF MATERIAL IS LARGER THAN NO. 200 SIEVE SIZE	GRAVEL AND GRAVELLY SOILS MORE THAN 50% OF COARSE FRACTION RETAINED ON NO. 4 SIEVE	CLEAN GRAVELS (LITTLE OR NO FINES)		GW	WELL-GRADED GRAVELS, GRAVEL - SAND MIXTURES, LITTLE OR NO FINES
				GP	POORLY-GRADED GRAVELS, GRAVEL - SAND MIXTURES, LITTLE OR NO FINES
		GRAVELS WITH FINES (APPRECIABLE AMOUNT OF FINES)		GM	SILTY GRAVELS, GRAVEL - SAND - SILT MIXTURES
				GC	CLAYEY GRAVELS, GRAVEL - SAND - CLAY MIXTURES
	SAND AND SANDY SOILS MORE THAN 50% OF COARSE FRACTION PASSING ON NO. 4 SIEVE	CLEAN SANDS (LITTLE OR NO FINES)		SW	WELL-GRADED SANDS, GRAVELLY SANDS, LITTLE OR NO FINES
				SP	POORLY-GRADED SANDS, GRAVELLY SAND, LITTLE OR NO FINES
		SANDS WITH FINES (APPRECIABLE AMOUNT OF FINES)		SM	SILTY SANDS, SAND - SILT MIXTURES
				SC	CLAYEY SANDS, SAND - CLAY MIXTURES
FINE GRAINED SOILS MORE THAN 50% OF MATERIAL IS SMALLER THAN NO. 200 SIEVE SIZE	SILTS AND CLAYS	LIQUID LIMIT LESS THAN 50		ML	INORGANIC SILTS AND VERY FINE SANDS, ROCK FLOUR, SILTY OR CLAYEY FINE SANDS OR CLAYEY SILTS WITH SLIGHT PLASTICITY
				CL	INORGANIC CLAYS OF LOW TO MEDIUM PLASTICITY, GRAVELLY CLAYS, SANDY CLAYS, SILTY CLAYS, LEAN CLAYS
				OL	ORGANIC SILTS AND ORGANIC SILTY CLAYS OF LOW PLASTICITY
	SILTS AND CLAYS	LIQUID LIMIT GREATER THAN 50		MH	INORGANIC SILTS, MICACEOUS OR DIATOMACEOUS FINE SAND OR SILTY SOILS
				CH	INORGANIC CLAYS OF HIGH PLASTICITY
				OH	ORGANIC CLAYS OF MEDIUM TO HIGH PLASTICITY, ORGANIC SILTS
HIGHLY ORGANIC SOILS				PT	PEAT, HUMUS, SWAMP SOILS WITH HIGH ORGANIC CONTENTS

NOTE: DUAL SYMBOLS ARE USED TO INDICATE BORDERLINE SOIL CLASSIFICATIONS

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GEOTECHNICAL
A California Corporation

BORING NO. B-1

JOB NO.: 21G186-1
PROJECT: Two Proposed Warehouses
LOCATION: Riverside, California

DRILLING DATE: 6/25/21
DRILLING METHOD: Hollow Stem Auger
LOGGED BY: Jamie Hayward

WATER DEPTH: Dry
CAVE DEPTH: 23 feet
READING TAKEN: At Completion

FIELD RESULTS						LABORATORY RESULTS						
DEPTH (FEET)	SAMPLE	BLOW COUNT	POCKET PEN. (TSF)	GRAPHIC LOG	DESCRIPTION SURFACE ELEVATION: --- MSL	DRY DENSITY (PCF)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PASSING #200 SIEVE (%)	ORGANIC CONTENT (%)	COMMENTS
					ASPHALTIC CONCRETE: 4± inches Aspahtic Concrete, no discernible Aggregate Base							
		14			FILL: Brown Silty fine to medium Sand, loose-damp	106	5					
		7			FILL: Brown Silty fine Sand, trace medium Sand, loose-damp	111	6					
5		24			FILL: Brown Silty fine to coarse Sand, trace glass fragments, mottled, medium dense-moist	117	9					
		15			FILL: Gray Brown fine to coarse Sand, little fine to coarse Gravel, mottled, medium dense-dry to damp	111	2					
		18			ALLUVIUM: Brown Silty fine Sand, little medium Sand, medium dense-damp to moist	109	6					
10												
		27					6					
15												
		29			@ 18½ feet, trace tree roots		12					
20												
		22					7					
25					Boring Terminated at 25'							

TBL 21G186-1.GPJ SOCALGEO.GDT 7/22/21

TEST BORING LOG **PLATE B-1**

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GEOTECHNICAL
A California Corporation

BORING NO. B-2

JOB NO.: 21G186-1
PROJECT: Two Proposed Warehouses
LOCATION: Riverside, California

DRILLING DATE: 6/25/21
DRILLING METHOD: Hollow Stem Auger
LOGGED BY: Jamie Hayward

WATER DEPTH: Dry
CAVE DEPTH: 17 feet
READING TAKEN: At Completion

FIELD RESULTS						LABORATORY RESULTS						
DEPTH (FEET)	SAMPLE	BLOW COUNT	POCKET PEN. (TSF)	GRAPHIC LOG	DESCRIPTION SURFACE ELEVATION: --- MSL	DRY DENSITY (PCF)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PASSING #200 SIEVE (%)	ORGANIC CONTENT (%)	COMMENTS
					ASPHALTIC CONCRETE: 2± inches Aspahtic Concrete, no discernible Aggregate Base							
		9			ALLUVIUM: Brown Silty fine Sand, loose to medium dense-damp		5					
		15			@ 3½ feet, trace medium Sand		6					
5												
		11			Brown Silty fine to coarse Sand, medium dense to dense-damp		3					
		33					4					
10												
					Brown Silty fine Sand, trace medium Sand, dense-damp							
		34					5					
15												
		34			@ 18½ feet, little medium Sand, little calcareous nodules and veining		5					
20												
					Boring Terminated at 20'							

TBL 21G186-1.GPJ SOCALGEO.GDT 7/22/21

TEST BORING LOG

PLATE B-2

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GEOTECHNICAL
A California Corporation

BORING NO. B-3

JOB NO.: 21G186-1
PROJECT: Two Proposed Warehouses
LOCATION: Riverside, California

DRILLING DATE: 6/25/21
DRILLING METHOD: Hollow Stem Auger
LOGGED BY: Jamie Hayward

WATER DEPTH: Dry
CAVE DEPTH: 23 feet
READING TAKEN: At Completion

FIELD RESULTS						LABORATORY RESULTS						
DEPTH (FEET)	SAMPLE	BLOW COUNT	POCKET PEN. (TSF)	GRAPHIC LOG	DESCRIPTION SURFACE ELEVATION: --- MSL	DRY DENSITY (PCF)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PASSING #200 SIEVE (%)	ORGANIC CONTENT (%)	COMMENTS
					ASPHALTIC CONCRETE: 4± inches Aspahtic Concrete, 3± inches Aggregate Base							
		61			FILL: Brown Silty fine to coarse Sand, mottled, dense-damp	121	4					
		16			FILL: Brown Silty fine Sand, trace medium to coarse Sand, medium dense-damp	102	4					
5		36			@ 5 feet, slightly mottled, trace fine Gravel	114	4					
		36			ALLUVIUM: Brown Silty fine to coarse Sand, slightly cemented, medium dense-damp to moist	110	9					
		39			@ 9 feet, little calcareous veining	112	4					
10												
					Light Brown Silty fine Sand, trace medium to coarse Sand, little calcareous nodules and veining, medium dense-damp							
		27					5					
15												
		22					7					
20												
		21					6					
25					Boring Terminated at 25'							

TBL 21G186-1.GPJ SOCALGEO.GDT 7/22/21

TEST BORING LOG **PLATE B-3**

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GEOTECHNICAL
A California Corporation

BORING NO. B-4

JOB NO.: 21G186-1
PROJECT: Two Proposed Warehouses
LOCATION: Riverside, California

DRILLING DATE: 6/25/21
DRILLING METHOD: Hollow Stem Auger
LOGGED BY: Jamie Hayward

WATER DEPTH: Dry
CAVE DEPTH: 22 feet
READING TAKEN: At Completion

FIELD RESULTS						LABORATORY RESULTS						
DEPTH (FEET)	SAMPLE	BLOW COUNT	POCKET PEN. (TSF)	GRAPHIC LOG	DESCRIPTION SURFACE ELEVATION: --- MSL	DRY DENSITY (PCF)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PASSING #200 SIEVE (%)	ORGANIC CONTENT (%)	COMMENTS
					AGGREGATE BASE: 1± inch Aggregate Base							
		31			FILL: Gray Brown Silty fine to coarse Sand, slightly cemented, medium dense-dry to damp	112	2					
		24				104	5					
5		36				116	3					
		16			ALLUVIUM: Brown fine to coarse Sand, little Silt, medium dense-dry to damp	110	2					
		73/10"			@ 9 feet, highly cemented, very dense	115	2					
10												
					Light Brown Silty fine Sand, trace to little medium to coarse Sand, medium dense to dense-damp to moist							
		30					5					
15												
		35					7					
20												
		25			@23½ feet, trace calcareous nodules and veining		10					
25												
					Boring Terminated at 25'							

TBL 21G186-1.GPJ SOCALGEO.GDT 7/22/21

TEST BORING LOG

PLATE B-4

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GEOTECHNICAL
A California Corporation

BORING NO. B-5

JOB NO.: 21G186-1
PROJECT: Two Proposed Warehouses
LOCATION: Riverside, California

DRILLING DATE: 6/25/21
DRILLING METHOD: Hollow Stem Auger
LOGGED BY: Jamie Hayward

WATER DEPTH: Dry
CAVE DEPTH: 17 feet
READING TAKEN: At Completion

FIELD RESULTS						LABORATORY RESULTS						
DEPTH (FEET)	SAMPLE	BLOW COUNT	POCKET PEN. (TSF)	GRAPHIC LOG	DESCRIPTION SURFACE ELEVATION: --- MSL	DRY DENSITY (PCF)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PASSING #200 SIEVE (%)	ORGANIC CONTENT (%)	COMMENTS
					AGGREGATE BASE: 2± inch Aggregate Base							
		9			FILL: Brown Silty fine Sand, trace medium to coarse Sand, trace fine Gravel, trace PCC fragments, loose-damp		4					
		5			ALLUVIUM: Brown Silty fine Sand, trace medium to coarse Sand, loose to medium dense-damp to moist		6					
5		10			@ 6 feet, trace fine Gravel		8					
		26			Brown Silty fine Sand, little medium Sand, trace coarse Sand, trace calcareous nodules and veining, medium dense-moist		9					
10												
		27			Brown Silty fine to medium Sand, trace coarse Sand, medium dense-damp		6					
15												
		21					4					
20					Boring Terminated at 20'							

TBL 21G186-1.GPJ SOCALGEO.GDT 7/22/21

TEST BORING LOG **PLATE B-5**

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GEOTECHNICAL
A California Corporation

BORING NO. B-6

JOB NO.: 21G186-1
PROJECT: Two Proposed Warehouses
LOCATION: Riverside, California

DRILLING DATE: 6/25/21
DRILLING METHOD: Hollow Stem Auger
LOGGED BY: Jamie Hayward

WATER DEPTH: Dry
CAVE DEPTH: 18 feet
READING TAKEN: At Completion

FIELD RESULTS						LABORATORY RESULTS						
DEPTH (FEET)	SAMPLE	BLOW COUNT	POCKET PEN. (TSF)	GRAPHIC LOG	DESCRIPTION SURFACE ELEVATION: --- MSL	DRY DENSITY (PCF)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PASSING #200 SIEVE (%)	ORGANIC CONTENT (%)	COMMENTS
					AGGREGATE BASE: 1± inch Aggregate Base							
		38			FILL: Light Gray Brown Silty fine to coarse Sand, mottled, medium dense-dry to damp	121	2					
		23			ALLUVIUM: Brown Silty fine to coarse Sand, medium dense-damp	110	4					
5		27			Light Brown Silty fine Sand, little medium Sand, slightly cemented, medium dense-damp to moist	119	5					
		22			@ 7 feet, little calcareous nodules and veining	107	4					
10		26				113	3					
		27					8					
15												
		22			Brown fine to medium Sand, little Silt, medium dense-damp		4					
20												
		31			Dark Brown Silty fine Sand, little Clay, trace to little medium Sand, dense-moist		9					
25					Boring Terminated at 25'							

TBL 21G186-1.GPJ SOCALGEO.GDT 7/22/21

TEST BORING LOG

PLATE B-6

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GEOTECHNICAL
A California Corporation

BORING NO. B-7

JOB NO.: 21G186-1
PROJECT: Two Proposed Warehouses
LOCATION: Riverside, California

DRILLING DATE: 6/25/21
DRILLING METHOD: Hollow Stem Auger
LOGGED BY: Jamie Hayward

WATER DEPTH: Dry
CAVE DEPTH: 19 feet
READING TAKEN: At Completion

FIELD RESULTS						LABORATORY RESULTS						
DEPTH (FEET)	SAMPLE	BLOW COUNT	POCKET PEN. (TSF)	GRAPHIC LOG	DESCRIPTION SURFACE ELEVATION: --- MSL	DRY DENSITY (PCF)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PASSING #200 SIEVE (%)	ORGANIC CONTENT (%)	COMMENTS
					ASPHALTIC CONCRETE: 3± inches Aspahtic Concrete, no discernible Aggregate Base							
		10			FILL: Brown Silty fine to coarse Sand, trace fine Gravel, mottled, medium dense-moist		9					
		5			ALLUVIUM: Brown Silty fine to medium Sand, trace coarse Sand, loose to medium dense-damp to moist		6					
5		20					10					
		27			Brown fine Sandy Silt, trace medium Sand, medium dense-moist		10					
10												
		15					12					
15												
		25			@ 18½ feet, trace Clay, little calcareous nodules and veining		11					
20					Boring Terminated at 20'							


TBL 21G186-1.GPJ SOCALGEO.GDT 7/22/21

TEST BORING LOG

PLATE B-7

APPENDIX C

Consolidation/Collapse Test Results



Classification: FILL: Brown Silty fine Sand, trace medium Sand


Boring Number:	B-1	Initial Moisture Content (%)	6
Sample Number:	---	Final Moisture Content (%)	14
Depth (ft)	3 to 4	Initial Dry Density (pcf)	111.6
Specimen Diameter (in)	2.4	Final Dry Density (pcf)	128.7
Specimen Thickness (in)	1.0	Percent Collapse (%)	3.27

Two Proposed Warehouses
Riverside, California
Project No. 21G186-1

PLATE C- 1

SoCalGeo
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GEOTECHNICAL
A California Corporation

Consolidation/Collapse Test Results



Classification: FILL: Brown Silty fine to coarse Sand


Boring Number:	B-1	Initial Moisture Content (%)	9
Sample Number:	---	Final Moisture Content (%)	11
Depth (ft)	5 to 6	Initial Dry Density (pcf)	117.1
Specimen Diameter (in)	2.4	Final Dry Density (pcf)	128.0
Specimen Thickness (in)	1.0	Percent Collapse (%)	1.37

Two Proposed Warehouses
Riverside, California
Project No. 21G186-1

PLATE C- 2

SoCalGeo
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GEOTECHNICAL
A California Corporation

Consolidation/Collapse Test Results



Classification: FILL: Gray Brown fine to coarse Sand, litle fine to coarse Gravel


Boring Number:	B-1	Initial Moisture Content (%)	2
Sample Number:	---	Final Moisture Content (%)	14
Depth (ft)	7 to 8	Initial Dry Density (pcf)	111.5
Specimen Diameter (in)	2.4	Final Dry Density (pcf)	120.2
Specimen Thickness (in)	1.0	Percent Collapse (%)	2.15

Two Proposed Warehouses
Riverside, California
Project No. 21G186-1

PLATE C- 3

SoCalGeo
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GEOTECHNICAL
A California Corporation

Consolidation/Collapse Test Results




Classification: Brown Silty fine Sand, little medium Sand

Boring Number:	B-1	Initial Moisture Content (%)	6
Sample Number:	---	Final Moisture Content (%)	19
Depth (ft)	9 to 10	Initial Dry Density (pcf)	109.1
Specimen Diameter (in)	2.4	Final Dry Density (pcf)	125.7
Specimen Thickness (in)	1.0	Percent Collapse (%)	3.07

Two Proposed Warehouses
Riverside, California
Project No. 21G186-1
PLATE C- 4

SoCalGeo
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GEOTECHNICAL
A California Corporation

Consolidation/Collapse Test Results



Classification: Brown Silty fine to coarse Sand


Boring Number:	B-6	Initial Moisture Content (%)	4
Sample Number:	---	Final Moisture Content (%)	17
Depth (ft)	3 to 4	Initial Dry Density (pcf)	110.0
Specimen Diameter (in)	2.4	Final Dry Density (pcf)	126.0
Specimen Thickness (in)	1.0	Percent Collapse (%)	3.76

Two Proposed Warehouses
Riverside, California
Project No. 21G186-1

PLATE C- 5

SoCalGeo
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GEOTECHNICAL
A California Corporation

Consolidation/Collapse Test Results



Classification: Light Brown Silty fine Sand, little medium Sand


Boring Number:	B-6	Initial Moisture Content (%)	5
Sample Number:	---	Final Moisture Content (%)	17
Depth (ft)	5 to 6	Initial Dry Density (pcf)	119.2
Specimen Diameter (in)	2.4	Final Dry Density (pcf)	133.4
Specimen Thickness (in)	1.0	Percent Collapse (%)	2.69

Two Proposed Warehouses
Riverside, California
Project No. 21G186-1

PLATE C- 6

SoCalGeo
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GEOTECHNICAL
A California Corporation

Consolidation/Collapse Test Results



Classification: Brown fine to coarse Sand, little Silt


Boring Number:	B-4	Initial Moisture Content (%)	2
Sample Number:	---	Final Moisture Content (%)	15
Depth (ft)	7 to 8	Initial Dry Density (pcf)	110.1
Specimen Diameter (in)	2.4	Final Dry Density (pcf)	120.0
Specimen Thickness (in)	1.0	Percent Collapse (%)	1.64

Two Proposed Warehouses
Riverside, California
Project No. 21G186-1

PLATE C- 7

SoCalGeo
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GEOTECHNICAL
A California Corporation

Consolidation/Collapse Test Results



Classification: Light Brown Silty fine Sand, little medium Sand


Boring Number:	B-6	Initial Moisture Content (%)	3
Sample Number:	---	Final Moisture Content (%)	18
Depth (ft)	9 to 10	Initial Dry Density (pcf)	113.3
Specimen Diameter (in)	2.4	Final Dry Density (pcf)	122.4
Specimen Thickness (in)	1.0	Percent Collapse (%)	1.61

Two Proposed Warehouses
Riverside, California
Project No. 21G186-1

PLATE C- 8

SoCalGeo
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GEOTECHNICAL
A California Corporation

Moisture/Density Relationship
ASTM D-1557



Soil ID Number	B-3 @ 0-5'
Optimum Moisture (%)	7
Maximum Dry Density (pcf)	136.5
Soil Classification	Brown Silty fine to coarse Sand, trace fine Gravel

Two Proposed Warehouses
Riverside, California
Project No. 21G186-1

PLATE C-9

SoCalGeo
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GEOTECHNICAL
A California Corporation

APPENDIX D

GRADING GUIDE SPECIFICATIONS

These grading guide specifications are intended to provide typical procedures for grading operations. They are intended to supplement the recommendations contained in the geotechnical investigation report for this project. Should the recommendations in the geotechnical investigation report conflict with the grading guide specifications, the more site specific recommendations in the geotechnical investigation report will govern.

General

- The Earthwork Contractor is responsible for the satisfactory completion of all earthwork in accordance with the plans and geotechnical reports, and in accordance with city, county, and applicable building codes.

- The Geotechnical Engineer is the representative of the Owner/Builder for the purpose of implementing the report recommendations and guidelines. These duties are not intended to relieve the Earthwork Contractor of any responsibility to perform in a workman-like manner, nor is the Geotechnical Engineer to direct the grading equipment or personnel employed by the Contractor.

- The Earthwork Contractor is required to notify the Geotechnical Engineer of the anticipated work and schedule so that testing and inspections can be provided. If necessary, work may be stopped and redone if personnel have not been scheduled in advance.

- The Earthwork Contractor is required to have suitable and sufficient equipment on the job-site to process, moisture condition, mix and compact the amount of fill being placed to the approved compaction. In addition, suitable support equipment should be available to conform with recommendations and guidelines in this report.

- Canyon cleanouts, overexcavation areas, processed ground to receive fill, key excavations, subdrains and benches should be observed by the Geotechnical Engineer prior to placement of any fill. It is the Earthwork Contractor's responsibility to notify the Geotechnical Engineer of areas that are ready for inspection.

- Excavation, filling, and subgrade preparation should be performed in a manner and sequence that will provide drainage at all times and proper control of erosion. Precipitation, springs, and seepage water encountered shall be pumped or drained to provide a suitable working surface. The Geotechnical Engineer must be informed of springs or water seepage encountered during grading or foundation construction for possible revision to the recommended construction procedures and/or installation of subdrains.

Site Preparation

- The Earthwork Contractor is responsible for all clearing, grubbing, stripping and site preparation for the project in accordance with the recommendations of the Geotechnical Engineer.

- If any materials or areas are encountered by the Earthwork Contractor which are suspected of having toxic or environmentally sensitive contamination, the Geotechnical Engineer and Owner/Builder should be notified immediately.

- Major vegetation should be stripped and disposed of off-site. This includes trees, brush, heavy grasses and any materials considered unsuitable by the Geotechnical Engineer.

- Underground structures such as basements, cesspools or septic disposal systems, mining shafts, tunnels, wells and pipelines should be removed under the inspection of the Geotechnical Engineer and recommendations provided by the Geotechnical Engineer and/or city, county or state agencies. If such structures are known or found, the Geotechnical Engineer should be notified as soon as possible so that recommendations can be formulated.

- Any topsoil, slopewash, colluvium, alluvium and rock materials which are considered unsuitable by the Geotechnical Engineer should be removed prior to fill placement.

- Remaining voids created during site clearing caused by removal of trees, foundations basements, irrigation facilities, etc., should be excavated and filled with compacted fill.

- Subsequent to clearing and removals, areas to receive fill should be scarified to a depth of 10 to 12 inches, moisture conditioned and compacted

- The moisture condition of the processed ground should be at or slightly above the optimum moisture content as determined by the Geotechnical Engineer. Depending upon field conditions, this may require air drying or watering together with mixing and/or discing.

Compacted Fills

- Soil materials imported to or excavated on the property may be utilized in the fill, provided each material has been determined to be suitable in the opinion of the Geotechnical Engineer. Unless otherwise approved by the Geotechnical Engineer, all fill materials shall be free of deleterious, organic, or frozen matter, shall contain no chemicals that may result in the material being classified as “contaminated,” and shall be very low to non-expansive with a maximum expansion index (EI) of 50. The top 12 inches of the compacted fill should have a maximum particle size of 3 inches, and all underlying compacted fill material a maximum 6-inch particle size, except as noted below.

- All soils should be evaluated and tested by the Geotechnical Engineer. Materials with high expansion potential, low strength, poor gradation or containing organic materials may require removal from the site or selective placement and/or mixing to the satisfaction of the Geotechnical Engineer.

- Rock fragments or rocks less than 6 inches in their largest dimensions, or as otherwise determined by the Geotechnical Engineer, may be used in compacted fill, provided the distribution and placement is satisfactory in the opinion of the Geotechnical Engineer.

- Rock fragments or rocks greater than 12 inches should be taken off-site or placed in accordance with recommendations and in areas designated as suitable by the Geotechnical Engineer. These materials should be placed in accordance with Plate D-8 of these Grading Guide Specifications and in accordance with the following recommendations:

    - Rocks 12 inches or more in diameter should be placed in rows at least 15 feet apart, 15 feet from the edge of the fill, and 10 feet or more below subgrade. Spaces should be left between each rock fragment to provide for placement and compaction of soil around the fragments.

    - Fill materials consisting of soil meeting the minimum moisture content requirements and free of oversize material should be placed between and over the rows of rock or

concrete. Ample water and compactive effort should be applied to the fill materials as they are placed in order that all of the voids between each of the fragments are filled and compacted to the specified density.

- Subsequent rows of rocks should be placed such that they are not directly above a row placed in the previous lift of fill. A minimum 5-foot offset between rows is recommended.

- To facilitate future trenching, oversized material should not be placed within the range of foundation excavations, future utilities or other underground construction unless specifically approved by the soil engineer and the developer/owner representative.

- Fill materials approved by the Geotechnical Engineer should be placed in areas previously prepared to receive fill and in evenly placed, near horizontal layers at about 6 to 8 inches in loose thickness, or as otherwise determined by the Geotechnical Engineer for the project.

- Each layer should be moisture conditioned to optimum moisture content, or slightly above, as directed by the Geotechnical Engineer. After proper mixing and/or drying, to evenly distribute the moisture, the layers should be compacted to at least 90 percent of the maximum dry density in compliance with ASTM D-1557-78 unless otherwise indicated.

- Density and moisture content testing should be performed by the Geotechnical Engineer at random intervals and locations as determined by the Geotechnical Engineer. These tests are intended as an aid to the Earthwork Contractor, so he can evaluate his workmanship, equipment effectiveness and site conditions. The Earthwork Contractor is responsible for compaction as required by the Geotechnical Report(s) and governmental agencies.

- Fill areas unused for a period of time may require moisture conditioning, processing and recompaction prior to the start of additional filling. The Earthwork Contractor should notify the Geotechnical Engineer of his intent so that an evaluation can be made.

- Fill placed on ground sloping at a 5-to-1 inclination (horizontal-to-vertical) or steeper should be benched into bedrock or other suitable materials, as directed by the Geotechnical Engineer. Typical details of benching are illustrated on Plates D-2, D-4, and D-5.

- Cut/fill transition lots should have the cut portion overexcavated to a depth of at least 3 feet and rebuilt with fill (see Plate D-1), as determined by the Geotechnical Engineer.

- All cut lots should be inspected by the Geotechnical Engineer for fracturing and other bedrock conditions. If necessary, the pads should be overexcavated to a depth of 3 feet and rebuilt with a uniform, more cohesive soil type to impede moisture penetration.

- Cut portions of pad areas above buttresses or stabilizations should be overexcavated to a depth of 3 feet and rebuilt with uniform, more cohesive compacted fill to impede moisture penetration.

- Non-structural fill adjacent to structural fill should typically be placed in unison to provide lateral support. Backfill along walls must be placed and compacted with care to ensure that excessive unbalanced lateral pressures do not develop. The type of fill material placed adjacent to below grade walls must be properly tested and approved by the Geotechnical Engineer with consideration of the lateral earth pressure used in the design.

Foundations

- The foundation influence zone is defined as extending one foot horizontally from the outside edge of a footing, and proceeding downward at a ½ horizontal to 1 vertical (0.5:1) inclination.

- Where overexcavation beneath a footing subgrade is necessary, it should be conducted so as to encompass the entire foundation influence zone, as described above.

- Compacted fill adjacent to exterior footings should extend at least 12 inches above foundation bearing grade. Compacted fill within the interior of structures should extend to the floor subgrade elevation.

Fill Slopes

- The placement and compaction of fill described above applies to all fill slopes. Slope compaction should be accomplished by overfilling the slope, adequately compacting the fill in even layers, including the overfilled zone and cutting the slope back to expose the compacted core

- Slope compaction may also be achieved by backrolling the slope adequately every 2 to 4 vertical feet during the filling process as well as requiring the earth moving and compaction equipment to work close to the top of the slope. Upon completion of slope construction, the slope face should be compacted with a sheepsfoot connected to a sideboom and then grid rolled. This method of slope compaction should only be used if approved by the Geotechnical Engineer.

- Sandy soils lacking in adequate cohesion may be unstable for a finished slope condition and therefore should not be placed within 15 horizontal feet of the slope face.

- All fill slopes should be keyed into bedrock or other suitable material. Fill keys should be at least 15 feet wide and inclined at 2 percent into the slope. For slopes higher than 30 feet, the fill key width should be equal to one-half the height of the slope (see Plate D-5).

- All fill keys should be cleared of loose slough material prior to geotechnical inspection and should be approved by the Geotechnical Engineer and governmental agencies prior to filling.

- The cut portion of fill over cut slopes should be made first and inspected by the Geotechnical Engineer for possible stabilization requirements. The fill portion should be adequately keyed through all surficial soils and into bedrock or suitable material. Soils should be removed from the transition zone between the cut and fill portions (see Plate D-2).

Cut Slopes

- All cut slopes should be inspected by the Geotechnical Engineer to determine the need for stabilization. The Earthwork Contractor should notify the Geotechnical Engineer when slope cutting is in progress at intervals of 10 vertical feet. Failure to notify may result in a delay in recommendations.

- Cut slopes exposing loose, cohesionless sands should be reported to the Geotechnical Engineer for possible stabilization recommendations.

- All stabilization excavations should be cleared of loose slough material prior to geotechnical inspection. Stakes should be provided by the Civil Engineer to verify the location and dimensions of the key. A typical stabilization fill detail is shown on Plate D-5.

- Stabilization key excavations should be provided with subdrains. Typical subdrain details are shown on Plates D-6.


Subdrains

- Subdrains may be required in canyons and swales where fill placement is proposed. Typical subdrain details for canyons are shown on Plate D-3. Subdrains should be installed after approval of removals and before filling, as determined by the Soils Engineer.


- Plastic pipe may be used for subdrains provided it is Schedule 40 or SDR 35 or equivalent. Pipe should be protected against breakage, typically by placement in a square-cut (backhoe) trench or as recommended by the manufacturer.

- Filter material for subdrains should conform to CALTRANS Specification 68-1.025 or as approved by the Geotechnical Engineer for the specific site conditions. Clean ¾-inch crushed rock may be used provided it is wrapped in an acceptable filter cloth and approved by the Geotechnical Engineer. Pipe diameters should be 6 inches for runs up to 500 feet and 8 inches for the downstream continuations of longer runs. Four-inch diameter pipe may be used in buttress and stabilization fills.

CUT LOT



CUT/FILL LOT (TRANSITION)



*SEE TEXT OF REPORT FOR SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATION. ACTUAL DEPTH OF OVEREXCAVATION MAY BE GREATER.

TRANSITION LOT DETAIL

GRADING GUIDE SPECIFICATIONS


NOT TO SCALE

DRAWN: JAS
CHKD: GKM

PLATE D-1

SoCalGeo

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GEOTECHNICAL



FILL ABOVE CUT SLOPE DETAIL


GRADING GUIDE SPECIFICATIONS

NOT TO SCALE

DRAWN: JAS
CHKD: GKM

PLATE D-2

SoCalGeo
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GEOTECHNICAL



PIPE MATERIAL	DEPTH OF FILL OVER SUBDRAIN
ADS (CORRUGATED POLETHYLENE)	8
TRANSITE UNDERDRAIN	20
PVC OR ABS: SDR 35	35
SDR 21	100


SCHEMATIC ONLY
NOT TO SCALE

CANYON SUBDRAIN DETAIL
GRADING GUIDE SPECIFICATIONS

NOT TO SCALE
DRAWN: JAS
CHKD: GKM

PLATE D-3

SoCalGeo
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GEOTECHNICAL



NOTE:
BENCHING SHALL BE REQUIRED WHEN NATURAL SLOPES ARE EQUAL TO OR STEEPER THAN 5:1 OR WHEN RECOMMENDED BY THE GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER.

FILL ABOVE NATURAL SLOPE DETAIL


GRADING GUIDE SPECIFICATIONS

NOT TO SCALE

DRAWN: JAS
CHKD: GKM

PLATE D-4

SoCalGeo
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GEOTECHNICAL



STABILIZATION FILL DETAIL


GRADING GUIDE SPECIFICATIONS

NOT TO SCALE

DRAWN: JAS
CHKD: GKM

PLATE D-5

SoCalGeo
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GEOTECHNICAL




"FILTER MATERIAL" TO MEET FOLLOWING SPECIFICATION OR APPROVED EQUIVALENT: (CONFORMS TO EMA STD. PLAN 323)

SIEVE SIZE	PERCENTAGE PASSING
1"	100
3/4"	90-100
3/8"	40-100
NO. 4	25-40
NO. 8	18-33
NO. 30	5-15
NO. 50	0-7
NO. 200	0-3

"GRAVEL" TO MEET FOLLOWING SPECIFICATION OR APPROVED EQUIVALENT:

SIEVE SIZE	MAXIMUM PERCENTAGE PASSING
1 1/2"	100
NO. 4	50
NO. 200	8

SAND EQUIVALENT = MINIMUM OF 50



DETAIL "A"

NOTES:
1. TRENCH FOR OUTLET PIPES TO BE BACKFILLED WITH ON-SITE SOIL.

SLOPE FILL SUBDRAINS


GRADING GUIDE SPECIFICATIONS

NOT TO SCALE

DRAWN: JAS
CHKD: GKM

PLATE D-6

SoCalGeo
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GEOTECHNICAL



"FILTER MATERIAL" TO MEET FOLLOWING SPECIFICATION OR APPROVED EQUIVALENT: (CONFORMS TO EMA STD. PLAN 323)

SIEVE SIZE	PERCENTAGE PASSING
1"	100
3/4"	90-100
3/8"	40-100
NO. 4	25-40
NO. 8	18-33
NO. 30	5-15
NO. 50	0-7
NO. 200	0-3

"GRAVEL" TO MEET FOLLOWING SPECIFICATION OR APPROVED EQUIVALENT:

SIEVE SIZE	MAXIMUM PERCENTAGE PASSING
1 1/2"	100
NO. 4	50
NO. 200	8

SAND EQUIVALENT = MINIMUM OF 50

RETAINING WALL BACKDRAINS

GRADING GUIDE SPECIFICATIONS


NOT TO SCALE

DRAWN: JAS
CHKD: GKM


PLATE D-7

SoCalGeo

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GEOTECHNICAL



Section View



Plan View

PLACEMENT OF OVERSIZED MATERIAL

GRADING GUIDE SPECIFICATIONS

NOT TO SCALE

DRAWN: PM
CHKD: GKM

PLATE D-8

SoCalGeo

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GEOTECHNICAL

APPENDIX E

Latitude, Longitude: 33.988332, -117.356362



Date	6/28/2021, 8:58:22 AM
Design Code Reference Document	ASCE7-16
Risk Category	III
Site Class	D - Stiff Soil

Type	Value	Description
S_S	1.5	MCE_R ground motion. (for 0.2 second period)
S_1	0.6	MCE_R ground motion. (for 1.0s period)
S_{MS}	1.5	Site-modified spectral acceleration value
S_{M1}	null -See Section 11.4.8	Site-modified spectral acceleration value
S_{DS}	1	Numeric seismic design value at 0.2 second SA
S_{D1}	null -See Section 11.4.8	Numeric seismic design value at 1.0 second SA

Type	Value	Description
SDC	null -See Section 11.4.8	Seismic design category
F_a	1	Site amplification factor at 0.2 second
F_v	null -See Section 11.4.8	Site amplification factor at 1.0 second
PGA	0.585	MCE_G peak ground acceleration
F_{PGA}	1.1	Site amplification factor at PGA
PGA_M	0.643	Site modified peak ground acceleration
T_L	8	Long-period transition period in seconds
SsRT	1.797	Probabilistic risk-targeted ground motion. (0.2 second)
SsUH	1.92	Factored uniform-hazard (2% probability of exceedance in 50 years) spectral acceleration
SsD	1.5	Factored deterministic acceleration value. (0.2 second)
S1RT	0.669	Probabilistic risk-targeted ground motion. (1.0 second)
S1UH	0.735	Factored uniform-hazard (2% probability of exceedance in 50 years) spectral acceleration.
S1D	0.6	Factored deterministic acceleration value. (1.0 second)
PGAd	0.585	Factored deterministic acceleration value. (Peak Ground Acceleration)
C_{RS}	0.936	Mapped value of the risk coefficient at short periods
C_{R1}	0.91	Mapped value of the risk coefficient at a period of 1 s

SOURCE: SEAOC/OSHPD Seismic Design Maps Tool
<https://seismicmaps.org/>

SEISMIC DESIGN PARAMETERS - 2019 CBC
TWO PROPOSED WAREHOUSES
RIVERSIDE, CALIFORNIA

DRAWN: JAZ
CHKD: RGT
SCG PROJECT
21G186-1
PLATE E-1

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GEOTECHNICAL

Appendix 4: Historical Site Conditions

Phase I Environmental Site Assessment or Other Information on Past Site Use

Appendix 5: LID Infeasibility

LID Technical Infeasibility Analysis

Appendix 6: BMP Design Details

BMP Sizing, Design Details and other Supporting Documentation

Infiltration Trench - Design Procedure	BMP ID: A	Legend:	Required Entries / Calculated Cells

Company Name:	Huitt-Zollars	Date:	3/28/2025
Designed by:	John Vlassis	County/City Case No.:	

Design Volume

Enter the area tributary to this feature, Max = 10 acres — A_t = 10 acres

Enter V_{BMP} determined from Section 2.1 of this Handbook — V_{BMP} = 17,811 ft^3

Calculate Maximium Depth of the Reservoir Layer

Enter Infiltration rate — I = 2.5 in/hr

Enter Factor of Safety, FS (unitless) — FS = 3

Obtain from Table 1, Appendix A: "Infiltration Testing" of this BMP Handbook

n = 40 %

Calculate D_1. $D_1 = \dfrac{I\ (in/hr) \times 72\ hrs}{12\ (in/ft) \times (n/100) \times FS}$ — D_1 = 12.50 ft

Enter depth to historic high groundwater mark (measured from finished grade) — 116 ft

Enter depth to top of bedrock or impermeable layer (measured from finished grade) — 25 ft

D_2 is the smaller of:

Depth to groundwater - 11 ft; & Depth to impermeable layer - 6 ft — D_2 = 19.0 ft

D_{MAX} is the smaller value of D_1 and D_2, must be less than or equal to 8 feet. — D_{MAX} = 8.0 ft

Trench Sizing

Enter proposed reservoir layer depth D_R, must be ≤ D_{MAX} — D_R = 8.00 ft

Calculate the design depth of water, d_W

Design $d_W = (D_R) \times (n/100)$ — Design d_W = 3.20 ft

Minimum Surface Area, A_S $A_S = \dfrac{V_{BMP}}{d_W}$ — A_S = 5,566 ft^2

Proposed Design Surface Area — A_D = 7,854 ft^2

Minimum Width = D_R + 1 foot pea gravel — 9.00 ft

Sediment Control Provided? (Use pulldown) — Yes

Geotechnical report attached? (Use pulldown) — Yes

If the trench has been designed correctly, there should be no error messages on the spreadsheet.

Date: 1/13/2025
Project Name: CMP 1 - COPY - 66077 (1-13-2025 22-41-52)

City / County:
State:

Designed By:
Company:
Telephone:

CMP: Underground Detention System Storage Volume Estimation

=Adjustable Input Cells

Contech Engineered Solutions, LLC is pleased to offer the following estimate of storage volume for the above named project. The results are submitted as an estimate only, without liability on the part of Contech Engineered Solutions, LLC for accuracy or suitability to any particular applicaton and are subject to verification of the Engineer of Record. **This tool is only applicable for rectangular shaped systems.**

Summary of Inputs

System Information		Backfill Information		Pipe & Analysis Information	
Out-to-out length (ft):	238.0	Backfill Porosity (%):	40%	System Diameter (in):	108
Out-to-out width (ft):	33.0	Depth Above Pipe (in):	12.0	Pipe Spacing (in):	36
Number of Manifolds (ea):	2.0	Depth Below Pipe (in):	6.0	Incremental Analysis (in):	2
Number of Barrels (ea):	3.0	Width At Ends (ft):	1.0	System Invert (Elevation):	0
		Width At Sides (ft):	1.0		


Storage Volume Estimation

System		Pipe		Stone		Total System		Miscellaneous	
Depth (ft)	Elevation (ft)	Incremental Storage (cf)	Cumulative Storage (cf)	Incremental Storage (cf)	Cumulative Storage (cf)	Incremental Storage (cf)	Cumulative Storage (cf)	Percent Open Storage (%)	Ave. Surface Area (sf)
0.00	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0%	3,360.0
0.17	0.16	0.0	0.0	560.0	560.0	560.0	560.0	0.0%	3,360.0
0.33	0.33	0.0	0.0	560.0	1,120.0	560.0	1,120.0	0.0%	3,360.0
0.50	0.50	0.0	0.0	560.0	1,680.0	560.0	1,680.0	0.0%	3,360.0
0.67	0.66	196.5	196.5	481.4	2,161.4	677.9	2,357.9	8.3%	4,417.1
0.83	0.83	356.1	552.6	417.5	2,579.0	773.7	3,131.6	17.6%	4,840.8
1.00	1.00	456.8	1,009.4	377.3	2,956.2	834.1	3,965.7	25.5%	5,156.0
1.17	1.16	535.7	1,545.1	345.7	3,301.9	881.4	4,847.1	31.9%	5,413.4
1.33	1.33	601.6	2,146.7	319.4	3,621.3	921.0	5,768.0	37.2%	5,632.7
1.50	1.50	658.5	2,805.2	296.6	3,917.9	955.1	6,723.1	41.7%	5,824.1
1.67	1.66	708.5	3,513.7	276.6	4,194.5	985.1	7,708.2	45.6%	5,993.7
1.83	1.83	753.0	4,266.7	258.8	4,453.3	1,011.8	8,720.0	48.9%	6,145.4
2.00	2.00	793.0	5,059.7	242.8	4,696.1	1,035.8	9,755.8	51.9%	6,282.1
2.17	2.16	829.1	5,888.9	228.3	4,924.4	1,057.5	10,813.3	54.5%	6,405.7
2.33	2.33	861.9	6,750.8	215.3	5,139.7	1,077.1	11,890.5	56.8%	6,517.9
2.50	2.50	891.6	7,642.3	203.4	5,343.1	1,094.9	12,985.4	58.9%	6,619.7
2.67	2.66	918.5	8,560.8	192.6	5,535.7	1,111.1	14,096.5	60.7%	6,712.2
2.83	2.83	943.0	9,503.9	182.8	5,718.5	1,125.8	15,222.3	62.4%	6,796.1
3.00	3.00	965.2	10,469.0	173.9	5,892.4	1,139.1	16,361.4	64.0%	6,871.9
3.17	3.16	985.2	11,454.2	165.9	6,058.3	1,151.1	17,512.5	65.4%	6,940.3
3.33	3.33	1,003.2	12,457.4	158.7	6,217.0	1,161.9	18,674.5	66.7%	7,001.6
3.50	3.50	1,019.3	13,476.7	152.3	6,369.3	1,171.6	19,846.0	67.9%	7,056.2
3.67	3.66	1,033.6	14,510.3	146.6	6,515.9	1,180.1	21,026.2	69.0%	7,104.4
3.83	3.83	1,046.1	15,556.3	141.6	6,657.5	1,187.6	22,213.8	70.0%	7,146.4
4.00	4.00	1,056.9	16,613.2	137.2	6,794.7	1,194.1	23,407.9	71.0%	7,182.4
4.17	4.16	1,066.1	17,679.3	133.6	6,928.3	1,199.7	24,607.6	71.8%	7,212.6
4.33	4.33	1,073.7	18,753.0	130.5	7,058.8	1,204.2	25,811.8	72.7%	7,237.1
4.50	4.50	1,079.7	19,832.8	128.1	7,186.9	1,207.8	27,019.7	73.4%	7,256.1
4.67	4.66	1,084.3	20,917.1	126.3	7,313.2	1,210.6	28,230.2	74.1%	7,269.6
4.83	4.83	1,087.3	22,004.3	125.1	7,438.3	1,212.4	29,442.6	74.7%	7,277.7
5.00	5.00	1,088.8	23,093.1	124.5	7,562.8	1,213.3	30,655.8	75.3%	7,280.4
5.17	5.16	1,088.8	24,181.8	124.5	7,687.3	1,213.3	31,869.1	75.9%	7,277.7
5.33	5.33	1,087.3	25,269.1	125.1	7,812.4	1,212.4	33,081.4	76.4%	7,269.6
5.50	5.50	1,084.3	26,353.3	126.3	7,938.7	1,210.6	34,292.0	76.8%	7,256.1
5.67	5.66	1,079.7	27,433.1	128.1	8,066.8	1,207.8	35,499.8	77.3%	7,237.1
5.83	5.83	1,073.7	28,506.8	130.5	8,197.3	1,204.2	36,704.1	77.7%	7,212.6
6.00	6.00	1,066.1	29,572.9	133.6	8,330.8	1,199.7	37,903.7	78.0%	7,182.4
6.17	6.16	1,056.9	30,629.8	137.2	8,468.1	1,194.1	39,097.9	78.3%	7,146.4


These results are submitted to you as a guideline only, without liability on the part of CONTECH Engineered Solutions, LLC for accuracy or suitability to any particular application, and are subject to your verification.

6.33	6.33	1,046.1	31,675.9	141.6	8,609.7	1,187.6	40,285.5	78.6%	7,104.4
6.50	6.50	1,033.6	32,709.4	146.6	8,756.2	1,180.1	41,465.6	78.9%	7,056.2
6.67	6.66	1,019.3	33,728.7	152.3	8,908.5	1,171.6	42,637.2	79.1%	7,001.6
6.83	6.83	1,003.2	34,731.9	158.7	9,067.2	1,161.9	43,799.1	79.3%	6,940.3
7.00	7.00	985.2	35,717.1	165.9	9,233.2	1,151.1	44,950.3	79.5%	6,871.9
7.17	7.16	965.2	36,682.3	173.9	9,407.1	1,139.1	46,089.4	79.6%	6,796.1
7.33	7.33	943.0	37,625.3	182.8	9,589.9	1,125.8	47,215.2	79.7%	6,712.2
7.50	7.50	918.5	38,543.8	192.6	9,782.5	1,111.1	48,326.3	79.8%	6,619.7
7.67	7.66	891.6	39,435.4	203.4	9,985.9	1,094.9	49,421.2	79.8%	6,517.9
7.83	7.83	861.9	40,297.2	215.3	10,201.1	1,077.1	50,498.3	79.8%	6,405.7
8.00	8.00	829.1	41,126.4	228.3	10,429.4	1,057.5	51,555.8	79.8%	6,282.1
8.17	8.16	793.0	41,919.4	242.8	10,672.2	1,035.8	52,591.7	79.7%	6,145.4
8.33	8.33	753.0	42,672.5	258.8	10,931.0	1,011.8	53,603.5	79.6%	5,993.7
8.50	8.50	708.5	43,380.9	276.6	11,207.6	985.1	54,588.6	79.5%	5,824.1
8.67	8.66	658.5	44,039.4	296.6	11,504.2	955.1	55,543.6	79.3%	5,632.7
8.83	8.83	601.6	44,641.0	319.4	11,823.6	921.0	56,464.6	79.1%	5,413.4
9.00	9.00	535.7	45,176.7	345.7	12,169.3	881.4	57,346.0	78.8%	5,156.0
9.17	9.16	456.8	45,633.5	377.3	12,546.6	834.1	58,180.1	78.4%	4,840.8
9.33	9.33	356.1	45,989.6	417.5	12,964.1	773.7	58,953.8	78.0%	4,417.1
9.50	9.50	196.5	46,186.1	481.4	13,445.6	677.9	59,631.7	77.5%	3,360.0
9.67	9.66	0.0	46,186.1	560.0	14,005.6	560.0	60,191.7	76.7%	3,360.0
9.83	9.83	0.0	46,186.1	560.0	14,565.6	560.0	60,751.7	76.0%	3,360.0
10.00	10.00	0.0	46,186.1	560.0	15,125.6	560.0	61,311.7	75.3%	3,360.0
10.17	10.16	0.0	46,186.1	560.0	15,685.6	560.0	61,871.7	74.6%	3,360.0
10.33	10.33	0.0	46,186.1	560.0	16,245.6	560.0	62,431.7	74.0%	3,360.0
10.50	10.50	0.0	46,186.1	560.0	16,805.6	560.0	62,991.7	73.3%	3,360.0

These results are submitted to you as a guideline only, without liability on the part of CONTECH Engineered Solutions, LLC for accuracy or suitability to any particular application, and are subject to your verification.



HCOC Applicability Map



Existing Storm Drain/Watercourse Delineation Map

Appendix 8: Source Control

Pollutant Sources/Source Control Checklist

STORMWATER POLLUTANT SOURCES/SOURCE CONTROL CHECKLIST

How to use this worksheet (also see instructions in Section G of the WQMP Template):

1. Review Column 1 and identify which of these potential sources of stormwater pollutants apply to your site. Check each box that applies.
2. Review Column 2 and incorporate all of the corresponding applicable BMPs in your WQMP Exhibit.
3. Review Columns 3 and 4 and incorporate all of the corresponding applicable permanent controls and operational BMPs in your WQMP. Use the format shown in Table G.1on page 23 of this WQMP Template. Describe your specific BMPs in an accompanying narrative, and explain any special conditions or situations that required omitting BMPs or substituting alternative BMPs for those shown here.

IF THESE SOURCES WILL BE ON THE PROJECT SITE ...	**... THEN YOUR WQMP SHOULD INCLUDE THESE SOURCE CONTROL BMPs, AS APPLICABLE**		
1 **Potential Sources of Runoff Pollutants**	**2** **Permanent Controls—Show on WQMP Drawings**	**3** **Permanent Controls—List in WQMP Table and Narrative**	**4** **Operational BMPs—Include in WQMP Table and Narrative**
☒ **A.** On-site storm drain inlets	☐ Locations of inlets.	☐ Mark all inlets with the words "Only Rain Down the Storm Drain" or similar. Catch Basin Markers may be available from the Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District, call 951.955.1200 to verify.	☐ Maintain and periodically repaint or replace inlet markings. ☐ Provide stormwater pollution prevention information to new site owners, lessees, or operators. ☐ See applicable operational BMPs in Fact Sheet SC-44, "Drainage System Maintenance," in the CASQA Stormwater Quality Handbooks at www.cabmphandbooks.com ☐ Include the following in lease agreements: "Tenant shall not allow anyone to discharge anything to storm drains or to store or deposit materials so as to create a potential discharge to storm drains."
☐ **B.** Interior floor drains and elevator shaft sump pumps		☐ State that interior floor drains and elevator shaft sump pumps will be plumbed to sanitary sewer.	☐ Inspect and maintain drains to prevent blockages and overflow.
☐ **C.** Interior parking garages		☐ State that parking garage floor drains will be plumbed to the sanitary sewer.	☐ Inspect and maintain drains to prevent blockages and overflow.

STORMWATER POLLUTANT SOURCES/SOURCE CONTROL CHECKLIST

IF THESE SOURCES WILL BE ON THE PROJECT SITE ...	... THEN YOUR WQMP SHOULD INCLUDE THESE SOURCE CONTROL BMPs, AS APPLICABLE		
1 **Potential Sources of Runoff Pollutants**	2 **Permanent Controls—Show on WQMP Drawings**	3 **Permanent Controls—List in WQMP Table and Narrative**	4 **Operational BMPs—Include in WQMP Table and Narrative**
☐ **D1.** Need for future indoor & structural pest control		☐ Note building design features that discourage entry of pests.	☐ Provide Integrated Pest Management information to owners, lessees, and operators.
☒ **D2.** Landscape/ Outdoor Pesticide Use	☐ Show locations of native trees or areas of shrubs and ground cover to be undisturbed and retained. ☐ Show self-retaining landscape areas, if any. ☐ Show stormwater treatment and hydrograph modification management BMPs. (See instructions in Chapter 3, Step 5 and guidance in Chapter 5.)	State that final landscape plans will accomplish all of the following. ☐ Preserve existing native trees, shrubs, and ground cover to the maximum extent possible. ☐ Design landscaping to minimize irrigation and runoff, to promote surface infiltration where appropriate, and to minimize the use of fertilizers and pesticides that can contribute to stormwater pollution. ☐ Where landscaped areas are used to retain or detain stormwater, specify plants that are tolerant of saturated soil conditions. ☐ Consider using pest-resistant plants, especially adjacent to hardscape. To insure successful establishment, select plants appropriate to site soils, slopes, climate, sun, wind, rain, land use, air movement, ecological consistency, and plant interactions.	☐ Maintain landscaping using minimum or no pesticides. ☐ See applicable operational BMPs in "What you should know for…..Landscape and Gardening" at http://rcflood.org/stormwater/Error! Hyperlink reference not valid. ☐ Provide IPM information to new owners, lessees and operators.

STORMWATER POLLUTANT SOURCES/SOURCE CONTROL CHECKLIST

IF THESE SOURCES WILL BE ON THE PROJECT SITE ...	... THEN YOUR WQMP SHOULD INCLUDE THESE SOURCE CONTROL BMPs, AS APPLICABLE		
1 **Potential Sources of Runoff Pollutants**	**2** **Permanent Controls—Show on WQMP Drawings**	**3** **Permanent Controls—List in WQMP Table and Narrative**	**4** **Operational BMPs—Include in WQMP Table and Narrative**
☐ **E.** Pools, spas, ponds, decorative fountains, and other water features.	☐ Show location of water feature and a sanitary sewer cleanout in an accessible area within 10 feet. (Exception: Public pools must be plumbed according to County Department of Environmental Health Guidelines.)	If the Co-Permittee requires pools to be plumbed to the sanitary sewer, place a note on the plans and state in the narrative that this connection will be made according to local requirements.	☐ See applicable operational BMPs in "Guidelines for Maintaining Your Swimming Pool, Jacuzzi and Garden Fountain" at http://rcflood.org/stormwater/
☐ **F.** Food service	☐ For restaurants, grocery stores, and other food service operations, show location (indoors or in a covered area outdoors) of a floor sink or other area for cleaning floor mats, containers, and equipment. ☐ On the drawing, show a note that this drain will be connected to a grease interceptor before discharging to the sanitary sewer.	☐ Describe the location and features of the designated cleaning area. ☐ Describe the items to be cleaned in this facility and how it has been sized to insure that the largest items can be accommodated.	☐ See the brochure, "The Food Service Industry Best Management Practices for: Restaurants, Grocery Stores, Delicatessens and Bakeries" at http://rcflood.org/stormwater/ Provide this brochure to new site owners, lessees, and operators.
☒ **G.** Refuse areas	☐ Show where site refuse and recycled materials will be handled and stored for pickup. See local municipal requirements for sizes and other details of refuse areas. ☐ If dumpsters or other receptacles are outdoors, show how the designated area will be covered, graded, and paved to prevent run-on and show locations of berms to prevent runoff from the area. ☐ Any drains from dumpsters, compactors, and tallow bin areas shall be connected to a grease removal device before discharge to sanitary sewer.	☐ State how site refuse will be handled and provide supporting detail to what is shown on plans. ☐ State that signs will be posted on or near dumpsters with the words "Do not dump hazardous materials here" or similar.	☐ State how the following will be implemented: Provide adequate number of receptacles. Inspect receptacles regularly; repair or replace leaky receptacles. Keep receptacles covered. Prohibit/prevent dumping of liquid or hazardous wastes. Post "no hazardous materials" signs. Inspect and pick up litter daily and clean up spills immediately. Keep spill control materials available on-site. See Fact Sheet SC-34, "Waste Handling and Disposal" in the CASQA Stormwater Quality Handbooks at www.cabmphandbooks.com

STORMWATER POLLUTANT SOURCES/SOURCE CONTROL CHECKLIST

IF THESE SOURCES WILL BE ON THE PROJECT SITE ...	... THEN YOUR WQMP SHOULD INCLUDE THESE SOURCE CONTROL BMPs, AS APPLICABLE		
1 **Potential Sources of Runoff Pollutants**	2 **Permanent Controls—Show on WQMP Drawings**	3 **Permanent Controls—List in WQMP Table and Narrative**	4 **Operational BMPs—Include in WQMP Table and Narrative**
❑ **H.** Industrial processes.	❑ Show process area.	❑ If industrial processes are to be located on site, state: "All process activities to be performed indoors. No processes to drain to exterior or to storm drain system."	❑ See Fact Sheet SC-10, "Non-Stormwater Discharges" in the CASQA Stormwater Quality Handbooks at www.cabmphandbooks.com See the brochure "Industrial & Commercial Facilities Best Management Practices for: Industrial, Commercial Facilities" at http://rcflood.org/stormwater/

STORMWATER POLLUTANT SOURCES/SOURCE CONTROL CHECKLIST

IF THESE SOURCES WILL BE ON THE PROJECT SITE ...	... THEN YOUR WQMP SHOULD INCLUDE THESE SOURCE CONTROL BMPs, AS APPLICABLE		
1 **Potential Sources of Runoff Pollutants**	2 **Permanent Controls—Show on WQMP Drawings**	3 **Permanent Controls—List in WQMP Table and Narrative**	4 **Operational BMPs—Include in WQMP Table and Narrative**
❑ **I.** Outdoor storage of equipment or materials. (See rows J and K for source control measures for vehicle cleaning, repair, and maintenance.)	❑ Show any outdoor storage areas, including how materials will be covered. Show how areas will be graded and bermed to prevent run-on or run-off from area. ❑ Storage of non-hazardous liquids shall be covered by a roof and/or drain to the sanitary sewer system, and be contained by berms, dikes, liners, or vaults. ❑ Storage of hazardous materials and wastes must be in compliance with the local hazardous materials ordinance and a Hazardous Materials Management Plan for the site.	Include a detailed description of materials to be stored, storage areas, and structural features to prevent pollutants from entering storm drains. Where appropriate, reference documentation of compliance with the requirements of Hazardous Materials Programs for: ▪ Hazardous Waste Generation ▪ Hazardous Materials Release Response and Inventory ▪ California Accidental Release (CalARP) ▪ Aboveground Storage Tank ▪ Uniform Fire Code Article 80 Section 103(b) & (c) 1991 ▪ Underground Storage Tank www.cchealth.org/groups/hazmat/	❑ See the Fact Sheets SC-31, "Outdoor Liquid Container Storage" and SC-33, "Outdoor Storage of Raw Materials " in the CASQA Stormwater Quality Handbooks at www.cabmphandbooks.com

IF THESE SOURCES WILL BE ON THE PROJECT SITE ...	... THEN YOUR WQMP SHOULD INCLUDE THESE SOURCE CONTROL BMPs, AS APPLICABLE		
1 **Potential Sources of Runoff Pollutants**	**2** **Permanent Controls—Show on WQMP Drawings**	**3** **Permanent Controls—List in WQMP Table and Narrative**	**4** **Operational BMPs—Include in WQMP Table and Narrative**
❑ **J.** Vehicle and Equipment Cleaning	❑ Show on drawings as appropriate: (1) Commercial/industrial facilities having vehicle/equipment cleaning needs shall either provide a covered, bermed area for washing activities or discourage vehicle/equipment washing by removing hose bibs and installing signs prohibiting such uses. (2) Multi-dwelling complexes shall have a paved, bermed, and covered car wash area (unless car washing is prohibited on-site and hoses are provided with an automatic shut-off to discourage such use). (3) Washing areas for cars, vehicles, and equipment shall be paved, designed to prevent run-on to or runoff from the area, and plumbed to drain to the sanitary sewer. (4) Commercial car wash facilities shall be designed such that no runoff from the facility is discharged to the storm drain system. Wastewater from the facility shall discharge to the sanitary sewer, or a wastewater reclamation system shall be installed.	❑ If a car wash area is not provided, describe any measures taken to discourage on-site car washing and explain how these will be enforced.	Describe operational measures to implement the following (if applicable): ❑ Washwater from vehicle and equipment washing operations shall not be discharged to the storm drain system. Refer to "Outdoor Cleaning Activities and Professional Mobile Service Providers" for many of the Potential Sources of Runoff Pollutants categories below. Brochure can be found at http://rcflood.org/stormwater/ ❑Car dealerships and similar may rinse cars with water only.

STORMWATER POLLUTANT SOURCES/SOURCE CONTROL CHECKLIST

IF THESE SOURCES WILL BE ON THE PROJECT SITE ...	... THEN YOUR WQMP SHOULD INCLUDE THESE SOURCE CONTROL BMPs, AS APPLICABLE		
1 **Potential Sources of Runoff Pollutants**	**2** **Permanent Controls—Show on WQMP Drawings**	**3** **Permanent Controls—List in WQMP Table and Narrative**	**4** **Operational BMPs—Include in WQMP Table and Narrative**
❑ **K.** Vehicle/Equipment Repair and Maintenance	❑ Accommodate all vehicle equipment repair and maintenance indoors. Or designate an outdoor work area and design the area to prevent run-on and runoff of stormwater. ❑ Show secondary containment for exterior work areas where motor oil, brake fluid, gasoline, diesel fuel, radiator fluid, acid-containing batteries or other hazardous materials or hazardous wastes are used or stored. Drains shall not be installed within the secondary containment areas. ❑ Add a note on the plans that states either (1) there are no floor drains, or (2) floor drains are connected to wastewater pretreatment systems prior to discharge to the sanitary sewer and an industrial waste discharge permit will be obtained.	❑ State that no vehicle repair or maintenance will be done outdoors, or else describe the required features of the outdoor work area. ❑ State that there are no floor drains or if there are floor drains, note the agency from which an industrial waste discharge permit will be obtained and that the design meets that agency's requirements. ❑ State that there are no tanks, containers or sinks to be used for parts cleaning or rinsing or, if there are, note the agency from which an industrial waste discharge permit will be obtained and that the design meets that agency's requirements.	In the Stormwater Control Plan, note that all of the following restrictions apply to use the site: ❑ No person shall dispose of, nor permit the disposal, directly or indirectly of vehicle fluids, hazardous materials, or rinsewater from parts cleaning into storm drains. ❑ No vehicle fluid removal shall be performed outside a building, nor on asphalt or ground surfaces, whether inside or outside a building, except in such a manner as to ensure that any spilled fluid will be in an area of secondary containment. Leaking vehicle fluids shall be contained or drained from the vehicle immediately. ❑ No person shall leave unattended drip parts or other open containers containing vehicle fluid, unless such containers are in use or in an area of secondary containment. Refer to "Automotive Maintenance & Car Care Best Management Practices for Auto Body Shops, Auto Repair Shops, Car Dealerships, Gas Stations and Fleet Service Operations". Brochure can be found at http://rcflood.org/stormwater/ Refer to Outdoor Cleaning Activities and Professional Mobile Service Providers for many of the Potential Sources of Runoff Pollutants categories below. Brochure can be found at http://rcflood.org/stormwater/

IF THESE SOURCES WILL BE ON THE PROJECT SITE ...	... THEN YOUR WQMP SHOULD INCLUDE THESE SOURCE CONTROL BMPs, AS APPLICABLE		
1 **Potential Sources of Runoff Pollutants**	2 **Permanent Controls—Show on WQMP Drawings**	3 **Permanent Controls—List in WQMP Table and Narrative**	4 **Operational BMPs—Include in WQMP Table and Narrative**
❑ **L.** Fuel Dispensing Areas	❑ Fueling areas[6] shall have impermeable floors (i.e., portland cement concrete or equivalent smooth impervious surface) that are: a) graded at the minimum slope necessary to prevent ponding; and b) separated from the rest of the site by a grade break that prevents run-on of stormwater to the maximum extent practicable. ❑ Fueling areas shall be covered by a canopy that extends a minimum of ten feet in each direction from each pump. [Alternative: The fueling area must be covered and the cover's minimum dimensions must be equal to or greater than the area within the grade break or fuel dispensing area[1].] The canopy [or cover] shall not drain onto the fueling area.		❑ The property owner shall dry sweep the fueling area routinely. ❑ See the Fact Sheet SD-30 , "Fueling Areas" in the CASQA Stormwater Quality Handbooks at www.cabmphandbooks.com

[6] The fueling area shall be defined as the area extending a minimum of 6.5 feet from the corner of each fuel dispenser or the length at which the hose and nozzle assembly may be operated plus a minimum of one foot, whichever is greater.

STORMWATER POLLUTANT SOURCES/SOURCE CONTROL CHECKLIST

IF THESE SOURCES WILL BE ON THE PROJECT SITE ...	... THEN YOUR WQMP SHOULD INCLUDE THESE SOURCE CONTROL BMPs, AS APPLICABLE		
1 **Potential Sources of Runoff Pollutants**	2 **Permanent Controls—Show on WQMP Drawings**	3 **Permanent Controls—List in WQMP Table and Narrative**	4 **Operational BMPs—Include in WQMP Table and Narrative**
☒ **M.** Loading Docks	☐ Show a preliminary design for the loading dock area, including roofing and drainage. Loading docks shall be covered and/or graded to minimize run-on to and runoff from the loading area. Roof downspouts shall be positioned to direct stormwater away from the loading area. Water from loading dock areas shall be drained to the sanitary sewer, or diverted and collected for ultimate discharge to the sanitary sewer. ☐ Loading dock areas draining directly to the sanitary sewer shall be equipped with a spill control valve or equivalent device, which shall be kept closed during periods of operation. ☐ Provide a roof overhang over the loading area or install door skirts (cowling) at each bay that enclose the end of the trailer.		☐ Move loaded and unloaded items indoors as soon as possible. ☐ See Fact Sheet SC-30, "Outdoor Loading and Unloading," in the CASQA Stormwater Quality Handbooks at www.cabmphandbooks.com

IF THESE SOURCES WILL BE ON THE PROJECT SITE ...	... THEN YOUR WQMP SHOULD INCLUDE THESE SOURCE CONTROL BMPs, AS APPLICABLE		
1 **Potential Sources of Runoff Pollutants**	2 **Permanent Controls—Show on WQMP Drawings**	3 **Permanent Controls—List in WQMP Table and Narrative**	4 **Operational BMPs—Include in WQMP Table and Narrative**
❑ **N.** Fire Sprinkler Test Water		❑ Provide a means to drain fire sprinkler test water to the sanitary sewer.	❑ See the note in Fact Sheet SC-41, "Building and Grounds Maintenance," in the CASQA Stormwater Quality Handbooks at www.cabmphandbooks.com
O. Miscellaneous Drain or Wash Water or Other Sources ❑ Boiler drain lines ❑ Condensate drain lines ❑ Rooftop equipment ❑ Drainage sumps ❑ Roofing, gutters, and trim. ❑ Other sources		❑ Boiler drain lines shall be directly or indirectly connected to the sanitary sewer system and may not discharge to the storm drain system. ❑ Condensate drain lines may discharge to landscaped areas if the flow is small enough that runoff will not occur. Condensate drain lines may not discharge to the storm drain system. ❑ Rooftop equipment with potential to produce pollutants shall be roofed and/or have secondary containment. ❑ Any drainage sumps on-site shall feature a sediment sump to reduce the quantity of sediment in pumped water. ❑ Avoid roofing, gutters, and trim made of copper or other unprotected metals that may leach into runoff. Include controls for other sources as specified by local reviewer.	

STORMWATER POLLUTANT SOURCES/SOURCE CONTROL CHECKLIST

IF THESE SOURCES WILL BE ON THE PROJECT SITE ...	... THEN YOUR WQMP SHOULD INCLUDE THESE SOURCE CONTROL BMPs, AS APPLICABLE		
1 **Potential Sources of Runoff Pollutants**	2 **Permanent Controls—Show on WQMP Drawings**	3 **Permanent Controls—List in WQMP Table and Narrative**	4 **Operational BMPs—Include in WQMP Table and Narrative**
☒ **P.** Plazas, sidewalks, and parking lots.			☐ Sweep plazas, sidewalks, and parking lots regularly to prevent accumulation of litter and debris. Collect debris from pressure washing to prevent entry into the storm drain system. Collect washwater containing any cleaning agent or degreaser and discharge to the sanitary sewer not to a storm drain.

Appendix 9: O&M

Operation and Maintenance Plan and Documentation of Finance, Maintenance and Recording Mechanisms

Maintenance Responsibility

Responsibility feeor operation and maintenance activities is initially upheld by the Developer/Builder until the completion of construction, responsibility then transfers to the owner. The owner of the property, and its successors, and assigns, is responsible for implementation of this WQMP or BMPs for the project site.

General Operation and Maintenance Activities

Operation and maintenance (O&M) activities are described below. O&M is normally performed by maintenance crews as part of normal/scheduled maintenance activities. Routine maintenance activities are described in the following sections:

Routine Operation and Maintenance Activities

Site Inspection

Frequent inspections of the roof drains, overflow drains, and all area drains should be conducted regularly to ensure no blockages exist and that stormwater flows drain efficiently into all drains. The storm drain inlets will be inspected on a regular, scheduled basis to ensure that the facility is operating properly, to record observations, and to initiate any actions that may be required. While the frequency of site inspections may vary depending on the season, it will typically be on a monthly basis.

Trash & Debris Removal

Litter may be picked up at any time during site visits for other purposes. Regular, scheduled trash/debris removal will be performed at all BMPs on a quarterly basis and/or after storm events that result in heavy trash accumulations.

Minor Vegetation Removal/Thinning

Vegetation growth will be inspected annually, and removed or thinned as necessary. Vegetation at inlets and outlets will be manually or mechanically removed if vegetation is found to be clogging or otherwise affecting the operation of the facility. Access roads will remain clear of vegetation and obstructions. Significant vegetation removal is covered under the major maintenance activities section below.

Site vegetation shall be maintained as frequently as necessary to maintain the aesthetic appearance of the site as well as the infiltration capabilities (where applicable). This includes the removal of fallen, dead, and/or invasive plants, watering as necessary, and the replanting and/or reseeding of vegetation for re-establishment as necessary.

Minor Sediment Removal

It is expected that there will be a minor amount of sediment deposition at points within the storm drain inlet, primarily in forebay(s) near the inlet(s). When such deposits obstruct water flow, the deposits will be removed.

O&M MAINTENANCE/FREQUENCY MATRIX				
	BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES (BMP)	**RESPONSIBILITY**	**FREQUENCY**	**PROGRAM**
N1, N2	Education for Property Owners, Activity Restrictions	Owner will provide educational materials.	Training and education program must be provided within 6 months of hire date and annually thereafter. Materials are included in the project WQMP	Educational materials and training will be provided to Property owner, tenants and occupants, maintenance staff members, and contracted maintenance crews if any, including education materials and restrictions to reduce pollutants from reaching the storm drain system.
N3	Common Area Landscape Management	Construction Manager during construction, Owner through its landscape maintenance firm	Monthly during regular maintenance.	Manage landscaping in accordance with the City and County management guidelines for use of fertilizers and pesticides.
N11	Common Area Litter Control	Owner and Employees	Continuous	Litter may be picked up at any time during site visits for other purposes.
N12	Employee Training	Owner	Monthly for maintenance personnel and employees	Owner will train staff and landscape maintenance firm after construction. Include the educational materials contained in the approved SUSMP.
N13	Housekeeping of Loading Docks	Owner	Refer to Attachment 10 for specific BMP maintenance requirements.	Owner will train staff and landscape maintenance firm after construction.
N14	Catch Basin Inspection	Owner	Cleaning to take place in late summer/early fall.	Inspect, clean and maintained at 100% of the catch basins and inlets on an annual basis.
N15	Parking Lots Sweeping	Owner	Once or twice a year	Parking lots to be swept prior to the beginning of the storm season, in late summer/early fall, as defined by the city of Riverside

Appendix 10: Educational Materials

BMP Fact Sheets, Maintenance Guidelines and Other End-User BMP Information

Site Design & Landscape Planning SD-10



Design Objectives

- ☑ Maximize Infiltration
- ☑ Provide Retention
- ☑ Slow Runoff
- ☑ Minimize Impervious Land Coverage
- Prohibit Dumping of Improper Materials
- Contain Pollutants
- Collect and Convey

Description

Each project site possesses unique topographic, hydrologic, and vegetative features, some of which are more suitable for development than others. Integrating and incorporating appropriate landscape planning methodologies into the project design is the most effective action that can be done to minimize surface and groundwater contamination from stormwater.

Approach

Landscape planning should couple consideration of land suitability for urban uses with consideration of community goals and projected growth. Project plan designs should conserve natural areas to the extent possible, maximize natural water storage and infiltration opportunities, and protect slopes and channels.

Suitable Applications

Appropriate applications include residential, commercial and industrial areas planned for development or redevelopment.

Design Considerations

Design requirements for site design and landscapes planning should conform to applicable standards and specifications of agencies with jurisdiction and be consistent with applicable General Plan and Local Area Plan policies.

CASQA
CALIFORNIA STORMWATER QUALITY ASSOCIATION

Designing New Installations

Begin the development of a plan for the landscape unit with attention to the following general principles:

- Formulate the plan on the basis of clearly articulated community goals. Carefully identify conflicts and choices between retaining and protecting desired resources and community growth.
- Map and assess land suitability for urban uses. Include the following landscape features in the assessment: wooded land, open unwooded land, steep slopes, erosion-prone soils, foundation suitability, soil suitability for waste disposal, aquifers, aquifer recharge areas, wetlands, floodplains, surface waters, agricultural lands, and various categories of urban land use. When appropriate, the assessment can highlight outstanding local or regional resources that the community determines should be protected (e.g., a scenic area, recreational area, threatened species habitat, farmland, fish run). Mapping and assessment should recognize not only these resources but also additional areas needed for their sustenance.

Project plan designs should conserve natural areas to the extent possible, maximize natural water storage and infiltration opportunities, and protect slopes and channels.

Conserve Natural Areas during Landscape Planning

If applicable, the following items are required and must be implemented in the site layout during the subdivision design and approval process, consistent with applicable General Plan and Local Area Plan policies:

- Cluster development on least-sensitive portions of a site while leaving the remaining land in a natural undisturbed condition.
- Limit clearing and grading of native vegetation at a site to the minimum amount needed to build lots, allow access, and provide fire protection.
- Maximize trees and other vegetation at each site by planting additional vegetation, clustering tree areas, and promoting the use of native and/or drought tolerant plants.
- Promote natural vegetation by using parking lot islands and other landscaped areas.
- Preserve riparian areas and wetlands.

Maximize Natural Water Storage and Infiltration Opportunities Within the Landscape Unit

- Promote the conservation of forest cover. Building on land that is already deforested affects basin hydrology to a lesser extent than converting forested land. Loss of forest cover reduces interception storage, detention in the organic forest floor layer, and water losses by evapotranspiration, resulting in large peak runoff increases and either their negative effects or the expense of countering them with structural solutions.
- Maintain natural storage reservoirs and drainage corridors, including depressions, areas of permeable soils, swales, and intermittent streams. Develop and implement policies and

regulations to discourage the clearing, filling, and channelization of these features. Utilize them in drainage networks in preference to pipes, culverts, and engineered ditches.

- Evaluating infiltration opportunities by referring to the stormwater management manual for the jurisdiction and pay particular attention to the selection criteria for avoiding groundwater contamination, poor soils, and hydrogeological conditions that cause these facilities to fail. If necessary, locate developments with large amounts of impervious surfaces or a potential to produce relatively contaminated runoff away from groundwater recharge areas.

Protection of Slopes and Channels during Landscape Design

- Convey runoff safely from the tops of slopes.
- Avoid disturbing steep or unstable slopes.
- Avoid disturbing natural channels.
- Stabilize disturbed slopes as quickly as possible.
- Vegetate slopes with native or drought tolerant vegetation.
- Control and treat flows in landscaping and/or other controls prior to reaching existing natural drainage systems.
- Stabilize temporary and permanent channel crossings as quickly as possible, and ensure that increases in run-off velocity and frequency caused by the project do not erode the channel.
- Install energy dissipaters, such as riprap, at the outlets of new storm drains, culverts, conduits, or channels that enter unlined channels in accordance with applicable specifications to minimize erosion. Energy dissipaters shall be installed in such a way as to minimize impacts to receiving waters.
- Line on-site conveyance channels where appropriate, to reduce erosion caused by increased flow velocity due to increases in tributary impervious area. The first choice for linings should be grass or some other vegetative surface, since these materials not only reduce runoff velocities, but also provide water quality benefits from filtration and infiltration. If velocities in the channel are high enough to erode grass or other vegetative linings, riprap, concrete, soil cement, or geo-grid stabilization are other alternatives.
- Consider other design principles that are comparable and equally effective.

Redeveloping Existing Installations

Various jurisdictional stormwater management and mitigation plans (SUSMP, WQMP, etc.) define “redevelopment” in terms of amounts of additional impervious area, increases in gross floor area and/or exterior construction, and land disturbing activities with structural or impervious surfaces. The definition of “ redevelopment” must be consulted to determine whether or not the requirements for new development apply to areas intended for redevelopment. If the definition applies, the steps outlined under “designing new installations” above should be followed.

Redevelopment may present significant opportunity to add features which had not previously been implemented. Examples include incorporation of depressions, areas of permeable soils, and swales in newly redeveloped areas. While some site constraints may exist due to the status of already existing infrastructure, opportunities should not be missed to maximize infiltration, slow runoff, reduce impervious areas, disconnect directly connected impervious areas.

Other Resources

A Manual for the Standard Urban Stormwater Mitigation Plan (SUSMP), Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, May 2002.

Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington, Washington State Department of Ecology, August 2001.

Model Standard Urban Storm Water Mitigation Plan (SUSMP) for San Diego County, Port of San Diego, and Cities in San Diego County, February 14, 2002.

Model Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP) for County of Orange, Orange County Flood Control District, and the Incorporated Cities of Orange County, Draft February 2003.

Ventura Countywide Technical Guidance Manual for Stormwater Quality Control Measures, July 2002.

Efficient Irrigation SD-12



Design Objectives

- ☑ Maximize Infiltration
- ☑ Provide Retention
- ☑ Slow Runoff
- Minimize Impervious Land Coverage
- Prohibit Dumping of Improper Materials
- Contain Pollutants
- Collect and Convey

Description

Irrigation water provided to landscaped areas may result in excess irrigation water being conveyed into stormwater drainage systems.

Approach

Project plan designs for development and redevelopment should include application methods of irrigation water that minimize runoff of excess irrigation water into the stormwater conveyance system.

Suitable Applications

Appropriate applications include residential, commercial and industrial areas planned for development or redevelopment. (Detached residential single-family homes are typically excluded from this requirement.)

Design Considerations

Designing New Installations

The following methods to reduce excessive irrigation runoff should be considered, and incorporated and implemented where determined applicable and feasible by the Permittee:

- Employ rain-triggered shutoff devices to prevent irrigation after precipitation.
- Design irrigation systems to each landscape area's specific water requirements.
- Include design featuring flow reducers or shutoff valves triggered by a pressure drop to control water loss in the event of broken sprinkler heads or lines.
- Implement landscape plans consistent with County or City water conservation resolutions, which may include provision of water sensors, programmable irrigation times (for short cycles), etc.

CASQA
CALIFORNIA STORMWATER QUALITY ASSOCIATION

- Design timing and application methods of irrigation water to minimize the runoff of excess irrigation water into the storm water drainage system.
- Group plants with similar water requirements in order to reduce excess irrigation runoff and promote surface filtration. Choose plants with low irrigation requirements (for example, native or drought tolerant species). Consider design features such as:
  - Using mulches (such as wood chips or bar) in planter areas without ground cover to minimize sediment in runoff
  - Installing appropriate plant materials for the location, in accordance with amount of sunlight and climate, and use native plant materials where possible and/or as recommended by the landscape architect
  - Leaving a vegetative barrier along the property boundary and interior watercourses, to act as a pollutant filter, where appropriate and feasible
  - Choosing plants that minimize or eliminate the use of fertilizer or pesticides to sustain growth
- Employ other comparable, equally effective methods to reduce irrigation water runoff.

Redeveloping Existing Installations

Various jurisdictional stormwater management and mitigation plans (SUSMP, WQMP, etc.) define "redevelopment" in terms of amounts of additional impervious area, increases in gross floor area and/or exterior construction, and land disturbing activities with structural or impervious surfaces. The definition of " redevelopment" must be consulted to determine whether or not the requirements for new development apply to areas intended for redevelopment. If the definition applies, the steps outlined under "designing new installations" above should be followed.

Other Resources

A Manual for the Standard Urban Stormwater Mitigation Plan (SUSMP), Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, May 2002.

Model Standard Urban Storm Water Mitigation Plan (SUSMP) for San Diego County, Port of San Diego, and Cities in San Diego County, February 14, 2002.

Model Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP) for County of Orange, Orange County Flood Control District, and the Incorporated Cities of Orange County, Draft February 2003.

Ventura Countywide Technical Guidance Manual for Stormwater Quality Control Measures, July 2002.

Trash Storage Areas SD-32

Description

Trash storage areas are areas where a trash receptacle (s) are located for use as a repository for solid wastes. Stormwater runoff from areas where trash is stored or disposed of can be polluted. In addition, loose trash and debris can be easily transported by water or wind into nearby storm drain inlets, channels, and/or creeks. Waste handling operations that may be sources of stormwater pollution include dumpsters, litter control, and waste piles.

Approach

This fact sheet contains details on the specific measures required to prevent or reduce pollutants in stormwater runoff associated with trash storage and handling. Preventative measures including enclosures, containment structures, and impervious pavements to mitigate spills, should be used to reduce the likelihood of contamination.

Design Objectives

- Maximize Infiltration
- Provide Retention
- Slow Runoff
- Minimize Impervious Land Coverage
- Prohibit Dumping of Improper Materials
- ☑ Contain Pollutants
- Collect and Convey

Suitable Applications

Appropriate applications include residential, commercial and industrial areas planned for development or redevelopment. (Detached residential single-family homes are typically excluded from this requirement.)

Design Considerations

Design requirements for waste handling areas are governed by Building and Fire Codes, and by current local agency ordinances and zoning requirements. The design criteria described in this fact sheet are meant to enhance and be consistent with these code and ordinance requirements. Hazardous waste should be handled in accordance with legal requirements established in Title 22, California Code of Regulation.

Wastes from commercial and industrial sites are typically hauled by either public or commercial carriers that may have design or access requirements for waste storage areas. The design criteria in this fact sheet are recommendations and are not intended to be in conflict with requirements established by the waste hauler. The waste hauler should be contacted prior to the design of your site trash collection areas. Conflicts or issues should be discussed with the local agency.

Designing New Installations

Trash storage areas should be designed to consider the following structural or treatment control BMPs:

- Design trash container areas so that drainage from adjoining roofs and pavement is diverted around the area(s) to avoid run-on. This might include berming or grading the waste handling area to prevent run-on of stormwater.
- Make sure trash container areas are screened or walled to prevent off-site transport of trash.

CASQA
CALIFORNIA STORMWATER QUALITY ASSOCIATION

- Use lined bins or dumpsters to reduce leaking of liquid waste.
- Provide roofs, awnings, or attached lids on all trash containers to minimize direct precipitation and prevent rainfall from entering containers.
- Pave trash storage areas with an impervious surface to mitigate spills.
- Do not locate storm drains in immediate vicinity of the trash storage area.
- Post signs on all dumpsters informing users that hazardous materials are not to be disposed of therein.

Redeveloping Existing Installations

Various jurisdictional stormwater management and mitigation plans (SUSMP, WQMP, etc.) define "redevelopment" in terms of amounts of additional impervious area, increases in gross floor area and/or exterior construction, and land disturbing activities with structural or impervious surfaces. The definition of " redevelopment" must be consulted to determine whether or not the requirements for new development apply to areas intended for redevelopment. If the definition applies, the steps outlined under "designing new installations" above should be followed.

Additional Information

Maintenance Considerations

The integrity of structural elements that are subject to damage (i.e., screens, covers, and signs) must be maintained by the owner/operator. Maintenance agreements between the local agency and the owner/operator may be required. Some agencies will require maintenance deed restrictions to be recorded of the property title. If required by the local agency, maintenance agreements or deed restrictions must be executed by the owner/operator before improvement plans are approved.

Other Resources


A Manual for the Standard Urban Stormwater Mitigation Plan (SUSMP), Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, May 2002.

Model Standard Urban Storm Water Mitigation Plan (SUSMP) for San Diego County, Port of San Diego, and Cities in San Diego County, February 14, 2002.

Model Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP) for County of Orange, Orange County Flood Control District, and the Incorporated Cities of Orange County, Draft February 2003.

Ventura Countywide Technical Guidance Manual for Stormwater Quality Control Measures, July 2002.

Maintenance Bays & Docks SD-31



Design Objectives

- Maximize Infiltration
- Provide Retention
- Slow Runoff
- Minimize Impervious Land Coverage
- ☑ Prohibit Dumping of Improper Materials
- ☑ Contain Pollutants
- Collect and Convey

Description

Several measures can be taken to prevent operations at maintenance bays and loading docks from contributing a variety of toxic compounds, oil and grease, heavy metals, nutrients, suspended solids, and other pollutants to the stormwater conveyance system.

Approach

In designs for maintenance bays and loading docks, containment is encouraged. Preventative measures include overflow containment structures and dead-end sumps. However, in the case of loading docks from grocery stores and warehouse/distribution centers, engineered infiltration systems may be considered.

Suitable Applications

Appropriate applications include commercial and industrial areas planned for development or redevelopment.

Design Considerations

Design requirements for vehicle maintenance and repair are governed by Building and Fire Codes, and by current local agency ordinances, and zoning requirements. The design criteria described in this fact sheet are meant to enhance and be consistent with these code requirements.

Designing New Installations

Designs of maintenance bays should consider the following:

- Repair/maintenance bays and vehicle parts with fluids should be indoors; or designed to preclude urban run-on and runoff.
- Repair/maintenance floor areas should be paved with Portland cement concrete (or equivalent smooth impervious surface).

CASQA
CALIFORNIA STORMWATER QUALITY ASSOCIATION

- Repair/maintenance bays should be designed to capture all wash water leaks and spills. Provide impermeable berms, drop inlets, trench catch basins, or overflow containment structures around repair bays to prevent spilled materials and wash-down waters form entering the storm drain system. Connect drains to a sump for collection and disposal. Direct connection of the repair/maintenance bays to the storm drain system is prohibited. If required by local jurisdiction, obtain an Industrial Waste Discharge Permit.
- Other features may be comparable and equally effective.

The following designs of loading/unloading dock areas should be considered:

- Loading dock areas should be covered, or drainage should be designed to preclude urban run-on and runoff.
- Direct connections into storm drains from depressed loading docks (truck wells) are prohibited.
- Below-grade loading docks from grocery stores and warehouse/distribution centers of fresh food items should drain through water quality inlets, or to an engineered infiltration system, or an equally effective alternative. Pre-treatment may also be required.
- Other features may be comparable and equally effective.

Redeveloping Existing Installations

Various jurisdictional stormwater management and mitigation plans (SUSMP, WQMP, etc.) define "redevelopment" in terms of amounts of additional impervious area, increases in gross floor area and/or exterior construction, and land disturbing activities with structural or impervious surfaces. The definition of " redevelopment" must be consulted to determine whether or not the requirements for new development apply to areas intended for redevelopment. If the definition applies, the steps outlined under "designing new installations" above should be followed.

Additional Information

Stormwater and non-stormwater will accumulate in containment areas and sumps with impervious surfaces. Contaminated accumulated water must be disposed of in accordance with applicable laws and cannot be discharged directly to the storm drain or sanitary sewer system without the appropriate permit.

Other Resources

A Manual for the Standard Urban Stormwater Mitigation Plan (SUSMP), Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, May 2002.

Model Standard Urban Storm Water Mitigation Plan (SUSMP) for San Diego County, Port of San Diego, and Cities in San Diego County, February 14, 2002.

Model Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP) for County of Orange, Orange County Flood Control District, and the Incorporated Cities of Orange County, Draft February 2003.

Ventura Countywide Technical Guidance Manual for Stormwater Quality Control Measures, July 2002.

Storm Drain Signage SD-13



Design Objectives

- Maximize Infiltration
- Provide Retention
- Slow Runoff
- Minimize Impervious Land Coverage
- ☑ Prohibit Dumping of Improper Materials
- Contain Pollutants
- Collect and Convey

Description

Waste materials dumped into storm drain inlets can have severe impacts on receiving and ground waters. Posting notices regarding discharge prohibitions at storm drain inlets can prevent waste dumping. Storm drain signs and stencils are highly visible source controls that are typically placed directly adjacent to storm drain inlets.

Approach

The stencil or affixed sign contains a brief statement that prohibits dumping of improper materials into the urban runoff conveyance system. Storm drain messages have become a popular method of alerting the public about the effects of and the prohibitions against waste disposal.

Suitable Applications

Stencils and signs alert the public to the destination of pollutants discharged to the storm drain. Signs are appropriate in residential, commercial, and industrial areas, as well as any other area where contributions or dumping to storm drains is likely.

Design Considerations

Storm drain message markers or placards are recommended at all storm drain inlets within the boundary of a development project. The marker should be placed in clear sight facing toward anyone approaching the inlet from either side. All storm drain inlet locations should be identified on the development site map.

Designing New Installations

The following methods should be considered for inclusion in the project design and show on project plans:

- Provide stenciling or labeling of all storm drain inlets and catch basins, constructed or modified, within the project area with prohibitive language. Examples include "NO DUMPING

CASQA
CALIFORNIA STORMWATER QUALITY ASSOCIATION

– DRAINS TO OCEAN" and/or other graphical icons to discourage illegal dumping.

- Post signs with prohibitive language and/or graphical icons, which prohibit illegal dumping at public access points along channels and creeks within the project area.

Note - Some local agencies have approved specific signage and/or storm drain message placards for use. Consult local agency stormwater staff to determine specific requirements for placard types and methods of application.

Redeveloping Existing Installations

Various jurisdictional stormwater management and mitigation plans (SUSMP, WQMP, etc.) define "redevelopment" in terms of amounts of additional impervious area, increases in gross floor area and/or exterior construction, and land disturbing activities with structural or impervious surfaces. If the project meets the definition of "redevelopment", then the requirements stated under " designing new installations" above should be included in all project design plans.

Additional Information

Maintenance Considerations

- Legibility of markers and signs should be maintained. If required by the agency with jurisdiction over the project, the owner/operator or homeowner's association should enter into a maintenance agreement with the agency or record a deed restriction upon the property title to maintain the legibility of placards or signs.

Placement

- Signage on top of curbs tends to weather and fade.

- Signage on face of curbs tends to be worn by contact with vehicle tires and sweeper brooms.

Supplemental Information

Examples

- Most MS4 programs have storm drain signage programs. Some MS4 programs will provide stencils, or arrange for volunteers to stencil storm drains as part of their outreach program.

Other Resources

A Manual for the Standard Urban Stormwater Mitigation Plan (SUSMP), Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, May 2002.

Model Standard Urban Storm Water Mitigation Plan (SUSMP) for San Diego County, Port of San Diego, and Cities in San Diego County, February 14, 2002.


Model Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP) for County of Orange, Orange County Flood Control District, and the Incorporated Cities of Orange County, Draft February 2003.

Ventura Countywide Technical Guidance Manual for Stormwater Quality Control Measures, July 2002.



Sample Stencil 1

NO DUMPING



DRAINS TO OCEAN

Sample Stencil 2

3.5 Bioretention Facility

Type of BMP	LID – Bioretention
Treatment Mechanisms	Infiltration, Evapotranspiration, Evaporation, Biofiltration
Maximum Drainage Area	This BMP is intended to be integrated into a project's landscaped area in a distributed manner. Typically, contributing drainage areas to Bioretention Facilities range from less than 1 acre to a maximum of around 10 acres.
Other Names	Rain Garden, Bioretention Cell, Bioretention Basin, Biofiltration Basin, Landscaped Filter Basin, Porous Landscape Detention

Description

Bioretention Facilities are shallow, vegetated basins underlain by an engineered soil media. Healthy plant and biological activity in the root zone maintain and renew the macro-pore space in the soil and maximize plant uptake of pollutants and runoff. This keeps the Best Management Practice (BMP) from becoming clogged and allows more of the soil column to function as both a sponge (retaining water) and a highly effective and self-maintaining biofilter. In most cases, the bottom of a Bioretention Facility is unlined, which also provides an opportunity for infiltration to the extent the underlying onsite soil can accommodate. When the infiltration rate of the underlying soil is exceeded, fully biotreated flows are discharged via underdrains. Bioretention Facilities therefore will inherently achieve the maximum feasible level of infiltration and evapotranspiration and achieve the minimum feasible (but highly biotreated) discharge to the storm drain system.

Siting Considerations

These facilities work best when they are designed in a relatively level area. Unlike other BMPs, Bioretention Facilities can be used in smaller landscaped spaces on the site, such as:

- ✓ Parking islands
- ✓ Medians
- ✓ Site entrances

Landscaped areas on the site (such as may otherwise be required through minimum landscaping ordinances), can often be designed as Bioretention Facilities. This can be accomplished by:


- *Depressing* landscaped areas below adjacent impervious surfaces, rather than elevating those areas
- Grading the site to direct runoff from those impervious surfaces *into* the Bioretention Facility, rather than away from the landscaping
- Sizing and designing the depressed landscaped area as a Bioretention Facility as described in this Fact Sheet

Bioretention Facilities should however not be used downstream of areas where large amounts of sediment can clog the system. Placing a Bioretention Facility at the toe of a steep slope should also be avoided due to the potential for clogging the engineered soil media with erosion from the slope, as well as the potential for damaging the vegetation.

Design and Sizing Criteria

The recommended cross section necessary for a Bioretention Facility includes:

- Vegetated area
- 18' minimum depth of engineered soil media
- 12' minimum gravel layer depth with 6' perforated pipes (added flow control features such as orifice plates may be required to mitigate for HCOC conditions)



While the 18-inch minimum engineered soil media depth can be used in some cases, it is recommended to use 24 inches or a preferred 36 inches to provide an adequate root zone for the chosen plant palate. Such a design also provides for improved removal effectiveness for nutrients. The recommended ponding depth inside of a Bioretention Facility is 6 inches; measured from the flat bottom surface to the top of the water surface as shown in Figure 1.

Because this BMP is filled with an engineered soil media, pore space in the soil and gravel layer is assumed to provide storage volume. However, several considerations must be noted:

- Surcharge storage above the soil surface (6 inches) is important to assure that design flows do not bypass the BMP when runoff exceeds the soil's absorption rate.
- In cases where the Bioretention Facility contains engineered soil media deeper than 36 inches, the pore space within the engineered soil media can only be counted to the 36-inch depth.
- A maximum of 30 percent pore space can be used for the soil media whereas a maximum of 40 percent pore space can be use for the gravel layer.

Figure 1: Standard Layout for a Bioretention Facility

Engineered Soil Media Requirements

The engineered soil media shall be comprised of 85 percent mineral component and 15 percent organic component, by volume, drum mixed prior to placement. The mineral component shall be a Class A sandy loam topsoil that meets the range specified in Table 1 below. The organic component shall be nitrogen stabilized compost[1], such that nitrogen does not leach from the media.

Table 1: Mineral Component Range Requirements

Percent Range	Component
70-80	Sand
15-20	Silt
5-10	Clay

The trip ticket, or certificate of compliance, shall be made available to the inspector to prove the engineered mix meets this specification.

Vegetation Requirements

Vegetative cover is important to minimize erosion and ensure that treatment occurs in the Bioretention Facility. The area should be designed for at least 70 percent mature coverage throughout the Bioretention Facility. To prevent the BMP from being used as walkways, Bioretention Facilities shall be planted with a combination of small trees, densely planted shrubs, and natural grasses. Grasses shall be native or ornamental; preferably ones that do not need to be mowed. The application of fertilizers and pesticides should be minimal. To maintain oxygen levels for the vegetation and promote biodegradation, it is important that vegetation not be completely submerged for any extended period of time. Therefore, a maximum of 6 inches of ponded water shall be used in the design to ensure that plants within the Bioretention Facility remain healthy.

A 2 to 3-inch layer of standard shredded aged hardwood mulch shall be placed as the top layer inside the Bioretention Facility. The 6-inch ponding depth shown in Figure 1 above shall be measured from the top surface of the 2 to 3-inch mulch layer.

Curb Cuts

To allow water to flow into the Bioretention Facility, 1-foot-wide (minimum) curb cuts should be placed approximately every 10 feet around the perimeter of the Bioretention Facility. Figure 2 shows a curb cut in a Bioretention Facility. Curb cut flow lines must be at or above the V_{BMP} water surface level.

[1] For more information on compost, visit the US Composting Council website at: http://compostingcouncil.org/



Figure 2: Curb Cut located in a Bioretention Facility

To reduce erosion, a gravel pad shall be placed at each inlet point to the Bioretention Facility. The gravel should be 1- to 1.5-inch diameter in size. The gravel should overlap the curb cut opening a minimum of 6 inches. The gravel pad inside the Bioretention Facility should be flush with the finished surface at the curb cut and extend to the bottom of the slope.

In addition, place an apron of stone or concrete, a foot square or larger, inside each inlet to prevent vegetation from growing up and blocking the inlet. See Figure 3.




Figure 3: Apron located in a Bioretention Facility

Terracing the Landscaped Filter Basin

It is recommended that Bioretention Facilities be level. In the event the facility site slopes and lacks proper design, water would fill the lowest point of the BMP and then discharge from the basin without being treated. To ensure that the water will be held within the Bioretention Facility on sloped sites, the BMP must be terraced with nonporous check dams to provide the required storage and treatment capacity.

The terraced version of this BMP shall be used on non-flat sites with no more than a 3 percent slope. The surcharge depth cannot exceed 0.5 feet, and side slopes shall not exceed 4:1. Table 2 below shows the spacing of the check dams, and slopes shall be rounded up (i.e., 2.5 percent slope shall use 10' spacing for check dams).

Table 2: Check Dam Spacing

6" Check Dam Spacing	
Slope	**Spacing**
1%	*25'*
2%	*15'*
3%	*10'*

Roof Runoff

Roof downspouts may be directed towards Bioretention Facilities. However, the downspouts must discharge onto a concrete splash block to protect the Bioretention Facility from erosion.

Retaining Walls

It is recommended that Retaining Wall Type 1A, per Caltrans Standard B3-3 or equivalent, be constructed around the entire perimeter of the Bioretention Facility. This practice will protect the sides of the Bioretention Facility from collapsing during construction and maintenance or from high service loads adjacent to the BMP. Where such service loads would not exist adjacent to the BMP, an engineered alternative may be used if signed by a licensed civil engineer.

Side Slope Requirements


Bioretention Facilities Requiring Side Slopes

The design should assure that the Bioretention Facility does not present a tripping hazard. Bioretention Facilities proposed near pedestrian areas, such as areas parallel to parking spaces or along a walkway, must have a gentle slope to the bottom of the facility. Side slopes inside of a Bioretention Facility shall be 4:1. A typical cross section for the Bioretention Facility is shown in Figure 1.

Bioretention Facilities Not Requiring Side Slopes

Where cars park perpendicular to the Bioretention Facility, side slopes are not required. A 6-inch maximum drop may be used, and the Bioretention Facility must be planted with trees and shrubs to prevent pedestrian access. In this case, a curb is not placed around the Bioretention Facility,

but wheel stops shall be used to prevent vehicles from entering the Bioretention Facility, as shown in Figure 4.



Planter Boxes

Bioretention Facilities can also be placed above ground as planter boxes. Planter boxes must have a minimum width of 2 feet, a maximum surcharge depth of 6 inches, and no side slopes are necessary. Planter boxes must be constructed so as to ensure that the top surface of the engineered soil media will remain level. This option may be constructed of concrete, brick, stone or other stable materials that will not warp or bend. Chemically treated wood or galvanized steel, which has the ability to contaminate stormwater, should not be used. Planter boxes must be lined with an impermeable liner on all sides, including the bottom. Due to the impermeable liner, the inside bottom of the planter box shall be designed and constructed with a cross fall, directing treated flows within the subdrain layer toward the point where subdrain exits the planter box, and subdrains shall be oriented with drain holes oriented down. These provisions will help avoid excessive stagnant water within the gravel underdrain layer. Similar to the in-ground Bioretention Facility versions, this BMP benefits from healthy plants and biological activity in the root zone. Planter boxes should be planted with appropriately selected vegetation.



Figure 5: Planter Box
Source: LA Team Effort

Overflow

An overflow route is needed in the Bioretention Facility design to bypass stored runoff from storm events larger than V_{BMP} or in the event of facility or subdrain clogging. Overflow systems must connect to an acceptable discharge point, such as a downstream conveyance system as shown in Figure 1 and Figure 4. The inlet to the overflow structure shall be elevated inside the Bioretention Facility to be flush with the ponding surface for the design capture volume (V_{BMP}) as shown in Figure 4. This will allow the design capture volume to be fully treated by the Bioretention Facility, and for larger events to safely be conveyed to downstream systems. The overflow inlet shall **not** be located in the entrance of a Bioretention Facility, as shown in Figure 6.

Underdrain Gravel and Pipes

An underdrain gravel layer and pipes shall be provided in accordance with Appendix B – Underdrains.



Figure 6: Incorrect Placement of an Overflow Inlet.

Inspection and Maintenance Schedule

The Bioretention Facility area shall be inspected for erosion, dead vegetation, soggy soils, or standing water. The use of fertilizers and pesticides on the plants inside the Bioretention Facility should be minimized.

Schedule	Activity
Ongoing	• Keep adjacent landscape areas maintained. Remove clippings from landscape maintenance activities. • Remove trash and debris • Replace damaged grass and/or plants • Replace surface mulch layer as needed to maintain a 2-3 inch soil cover.
After storm events	• Inspect areas for ponding
Annually	• Inspect/clean inlets and outlets

Bioretention Facility Design Procedure

1) Enter the area tributary, A_T, to the Bioretention Facility.


2) Enter the Design Volume, V_{BMP}, determined from Section 2.1 of this Handbook.

3) Select the type of design used. There are two types of Bioretention Facility designs: the standard design used for most project sites that include side slopes, and the modified design used when the BMP is located perpendicular to the parking spaces or with planter boxes that do not use side slopes.

4) Enter the depth of the engineered soil media, d_S. The minimum depth for the engineered soil media can be 18' in limited cases, but it is recommended to use 24' or a preferred 36' to provide an adequate root zone for the chosen plant palette. Engineered soil media deeper than 36' will only get credit for the pore space in the first 36'.

5) Enter the top width of the Bioretention Facility.

6) Calculate the total effective depth, d_E, within the Bioretention Facility. The maximum allowable pore space of the soil media is 30% while the maximum allowable pore space for the gravel layer is 40%. Gravel layer deeper than 12' will only get credit for the pore space in the first 12'.



a. For the design with side slopes the following equation shall be used to determine the total effective depth. Where, d_P is the depth of ponding within the basin.

$$d_E(\text{ft}) = \frac{0.3 \times \left[\left(w_T(\text{ft}) \times d_S(\text{ft})\right) + 4\left(d_P(\text{ft})\right)^2\right] + 0.4 \times 1(\text{ft}) + d_P(\text{ft})\left[4d_P(\text{ft}) + \left(w_T(\text{ft}) - 8d_P(\text{ft})\right)\right]}{w_T(\text{ft})}$$

This above equation can be simplified if the maximum ponding depth of 0.5' is used. The equation below is used on the worksheet to find the minimum area required for the Bioretention Facility:

$$d_E(\text{ft}) = (0.3 \times d_S(\text{ft}) + 0.4 \text{ x } 1(\text{ft})) - \left(\frac{0.7\ (\text{ft}^2)}{w_T(\text{ft})}\right) + 0.5(\text{ft})$$

b. For the design without side slopes the following equation shall be used to determine the total effective depth:

$$d_E(\text{ft}) = d_P(\text{ft}) + [(0.3) \times d_S(\text{ft}) + (0.4) \times 1(\text{ft})]$$

The equation below, using the maximum ponding depth of 0.5', is used on the worksheet to find the minimum area required for the Bioretention Facility:

$$d_E(\text{ft}) = 0.5\ (\text{ft}) + [(0.3) \times d_S(\text{ft}) + (0.4) \times 1(\text{ft})]$$

7) Calculate the minimum surface area, A_M, required for the Bioretention Facility. This does not include the curb surrounding the Bioretention Facility or side slopes.

$$A_M(\text{ft}^2) = \frac{V_{BMP}(\text{ft}^3)}{d_E\ (\text{ft})}$$

8) Enter the proposed surface area. This area shall not be less than the minimum required surface area.

9) Verify that side slopes are no steeper than 4:1 in the standard design, and are not required in the modified design.

10) Provide the diameter, minimum 6 inches, of the perforated underdrain used in the Bioretention Facility. See Appendix B for specific information regarding perforated pipes.

11) Provide the slope of the site around the Bioretention Facility, if used. The maximum slope is 3 percent for a standard design.

12) Provide the check dam spacing, if the site around the Bioretention Facility is sloped.

13) Describe the vegetation used within the Bioretention Facility.

References Used to Develop this Fact Sheet

Anderson, Dale V. "Landscaped Filter Basin Soil Requirements." Riverside, May 2010.

California Department of Transportation. CalTrans Standard Plans. 15 September 2005. May 2010 <http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/esc/oe/project_plans/HTM/stdplns-met-new99.htm>.

Camp Dresser and McKee Inc.; Larry Walker Associates. California Stormwater Best Management Practice Handbook for New Development and Redevelopment. California Stormwater Quality Association (CASQA), 2004.

Contra Costa Clean Water Program. Stormwater Quality Requirements for Development Applications. 3rd Edition. Contra Costa, 2006.

County of Los Angeles Public Works. Stormwater Best Management Practice Design and Maintenance Manual. Los Angeles, 2009.

Kim, Hunho, Eric A. Seagren and Allen P. Davis. "Engineered Bioretention for Removal of Nitrate from Stormwater Runoff." Water Environment Research 75.4 (2003): 355-366.

LA Team Effort. LA Team Effort: FREE Planter Boxes for Businesses. 2 November 2009. May 2010 <http://lateameffort.blogspot.com/2009/11/free-planter-boxes-for-businesses-est.html>.

Montgomery County Maryland Department of Permitting Services Water Resources Section. Biofiltration (BF). Montgomery County, 2005.

Program, Ventura Countywide Stormwater Quality Management. Technical Guidance Manual for Stormwater Quality Control Measures. Ventura, 2002.

United States Environmental Protection Agency. Storm Water Technology Fact Sheet Bioretention. Washington D.C, 1999.

Urban Drainage and Flood Control District. Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3 - Best Management Practices. Vol. 3. Denver, 2008. 3 vols.

Urbonas, Ben R. Stormwater Sand Filter Sizing and Design: A Unit Operations Approach. Denver: Urban Drainage and Flood Control District, 2002.

Appendix 8: Source Control

Pollutant Sources/Source Control Checklist

Appendix 9: O&M

Operation and Maintenance Plan and Documentation of Finance, Maintenance and Recording Mechanisms

Maintenance Responsibility

Responsibility feeor operation and maintenance activities is initially upheld by the Developer/Builder until the completion of construction, responsibility then transfers to the owner. The owner of the property, and its successors, and assigns, is responsible for implementation of this WQMP or BMPs for the project site.

General Operation and Maintenance Activities

Operation and maintenance (O&M) activities are described below. O&M is normally performed by maintenance crews as part of normal/scheduled maintenance activities. Routine maintenance activities are described in the following sections:

Routine Operation and Maintenance Activities

Site Inspection

Frequent inspections of the roof drains, overflow drains, and all area drains should be conducted regularly to ensure no blockages exist and that stormwater flows drain efficiently into all drains. The storm drain inlets will be inspected on a regular, scheduled basis to ensure that the facility is operating properly, to record observations, and to initiate any actions that may be required. While the frequency of site inspections may vary depending on the season, it will typically be on a monthly basis.

Trash & Debris Removal

Litter may be picked up at any time during site visits for other purposes. Regular, scheduled trash/debris removal will be performed at all BMPs on a quarterly basis and/or after storm events that result in heavy trash accumulations.

Minor Vegetation Removal/Thinning

Vegetation growth will be inspected annually, and removed or thinned as necessary. Vegetation at inlets and outlets will be manually or mechanically removed if vegetation is found to be clogging or otherwise affecting the operation of the facility. Access roads will remain clear of vegetation and obstructions. Significant vegetation removal is covered under the major maintenance activities section below.

Site vegetation shall be maintained as frequently as necessary to maintain the aesthetic appearance of the site as well as the infiltration capabilities (where applicable). This includes the removal of fallen, dead, and/or invasive plants, watering as necessary, and the replanting and/or reseeding of vegetation for re-establishment as necessary.

Minor Sediment Removal

It is expected that there will be a minor amount of sediment deposition at points within the storm drain inlet, primarily in forebay(s) near the inlet(s). When such deposits obstruct water flow, the deposits will be removed.

O&M MAINTENANCE/FREQUENCY MATRIX				
	BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES (BMP)	**RESPONSIBILITY**	**FREQUENCY**	**PROGRAM**
N1, N2	Education for Property Owners, Activity Restrictions	Owner will provide educational materials.	Training and education program must be provided within 6 months of hire date and annually thereafter. Materials are included in the project WQMP	Educational materials and training will be provided to Property owner, tenants and occupants, maintenance staff members, and contracted maintenance crews if any, including education materials and restrictions to reduce pollutants from reaching the storm drain system.
N3	Common Area Landscape Management	Construction Manager during construction, Owner through its landscape maintenance firm	Monthly during regular maintenance.	Manage landscaping in accordance with the City and County management guidelines for use of fertilizers and pesticides.
N11	Common Area Litter Control	Owner and Employees	Continuous	Litter may be picked up at any time during site visits for other purposes.
N12	Employee Training	Owner	Monthly for maintenance personnel and employees	Owner will train staff and landscape maintenance firm after construction. Include the educational materials contained in the approved SUSMP.
N13	Housekeeping of Loading Docks	Owner	Refer to Attachment 10 for specific BMP maintenance requirements.	Owner will train staff and landscape maintenance firm after construction.
N14	Catch Basin Inspection	Owner	Cleaning to take place in late summer/early fall.	Inspect, clean and maintained at 100% of the catch basins and inlets on an annual basis.
N15	Parking Lots Sweeping	Owner	Once or twice a year	Parking lots to be swept prior to the beginning of the storm season, in late summer/early fall, as defined by the city of Riverside

Appendix 10: Educational Materials

BMP Fact Sheets, Maintenance Guidelines and Other End-User BMP Information

Site Design & Landscape Planning SD-10



Design Objectives

- ☑ Maximize Infiltration
- ☑ Provide Retention
- ☑ Slow Runoff
- ☑ Minimize Impervious Land Coverage
- Prohibit Dumping of Improper Materials
- Contain Pollutants
- Collect and Convey

Description

Each project site possesses unique topographic, hydrologic, and vegetative features, some of which are more suitable for development than others. Integrating and incorporating appropriate landscape planning methodologies into the project design is the most effective action that can be done to minimize surface and groundwater contamination from stormwater.

Approach

Landscape planning should couple consideration of land suitability for urban uses with consideration of community goals and projected growth. Project plan designs should conserve natural areas to the extent possible, maximize natural water storage and infiltration opportunities, and protect slopes and channels.

Suitable Applications

Appropriate applications include residential, commercial and industrial areas planned for development or redevelopment.

Design Considerations

Design requirements for site design and landscapes planning should conform to applicable standards and specifications of agencies with jurisdiction and be consistent with applicable General Plan and Local Area Plan policies.

CASQA
CALIFORNIA STORMWATER QUALITY ASSOCIATION

Designing New Installations

Begin the development of a plan for the landscape unit with attention to the following general principles:

- Formulate the plan on the basis of clearly articulated community goals. Carefully identify conflicts and choices between retaining and protecting desired resources and community growth.
- Map and assess land suitability for urban uses. Include the following landscape features in the assessment: wooded land, open unwooded land, steep slopes, erosion-prone soils, foundation suitability, soil suitability for waste disposal, aquifers, aquifer recharge areas, wetlands, floodplains, surface waters, agricultural lands, and various categories of urban land use. When appropriate, the assessment can highlight outstanding local or regional resources that the community determines should be protected (e.g., a scenic area, recreational area, threatened species habitat, farmland, fish run). Mapping and assessment should recognize not only these resources but also additional areas needed for their sustenance.

Project plan designs should conserve natural areas to the extent possible, maximize natural water storage and infiltration opportunities, and protect slopes and channels.

Conserve Natural Areas during Landscape Planning

If applicable, the following items are required and must be implemented in the site layout during the subdivision design and approval process, consistent with applicable General Plan and Local Area Plan policies:

- Cluster development on least-sensitive portions of a site while leaving the remaining land in a natural undisturbed condition.
- Limit clearing and grading of native vegetation at a site to the minimum amount needed to build lots, allow access, and provide fire protection.
- Maximize trees and other vegetation at each site by planting additional vegetation, clustering tree areas, and promoting the use of native and/or drought tolerant plants.
- Promote natural vegetation by using parking lot islands and other landscaped areas.
- Preserve riparian areas and wetlands.

Maximize Natural Water Storage and Infiltration Opportunities Within the Landscape Unit

- Promote the conservation of forest cover. Building on land that is already deforested affects basin hydrology to a lesser extent than converting forested land. Loss of forest cover reduces interception storage, detention in the organic forest floor layer, and water losses by evapotranspiration, resulting in large peak runoff increases and either their negative effects or the expense of countering them with structural solutions.
- Maintain natural storage reservoirs and drainage corridors, including depressions, areas of permeable soils, swales, and intermittent streams. Develop and implement policies and

regulations to discourage the clearing, filling, and channelization of these features. Utilize them in drainage networks in preference to pipes, culverts, and engineered ditches.

- Evaluating infiltration opportunities by referring to the stormwater management manual for the jurisdiction and pay particular attention to the selection criteria for avoiding groundwater contamination, poor soils, and hydrogeological conditions that cause these facilities to fail. If necessary, locate developments with large amounts of impervious surfaces or a potential to produce relatively contaminated runoff away from groundwater recharge areas.

Protection of Slopes and Channels during Landscape Design

- Convey runoff safely from the tops of slopes.
- Avoid disturbing steep or unstable slopes.
- Avoid disturbing natural channels.
- Stabilize disturbed slopes as quickly as possible.
- Vegetate slopes with native or drought tolerant vegetation.
- Control and treat flows in landscaping and/or other controls prior to reaching existing natural drainage systems.
- Stabilize temporary and permanent channel crossings as quickly as possible, and ensure that increases in run-off velocity and frequency caused by the project do not erode the channel.
- Install energy dissipaters, such as riprap, at the outlets of new storm drains, culverts, conduits, or channels that enter unlined channels in accordance with applicable specifications to minimize erosion. Energy dissipaters shall be installed in such a way as to minimize impacts to receiving waters.
- Line on-site conveyance channels where appropriate, to reduce erosion caused by increased flow velocity due to increases in tributary impervious area. The first choice for linings should be grass or some other vegetative surface, since these materials not only reduce runoff velocities, but also provide water quality benefits from filtration and infiltration. If velocities in the channel are high enough to erode grass or other vegetative linings, riprap, concrete, soil cement, or geo-grid stabilization are other alternatives.
- Consider other design principles that are comparable and equally effective.

Redeveloping Existing Installations

Various jurisdictional stormwater management and mitigation plans (SUSMP, WQMP, etc.) define “redevelopment” in terms of amounts of additional impervious area, increases in gross floor area and/or exterior construction, and land disturbing activities with structural or impervious surfaces. The definition of “ redevelopment” must be consulted to determine whether or not the requirements for new development apply to areas intended for redevelopment. If the definition applies, the steps outlined under “designing new installations” above should be followed.

Redevelopment may present significant opportunity to add features which had not previously been implemented. Examples include incorporation of depressions, areas of permeable soils, and swales in newly redeveloped areas. While some site constraints may exist due to the status of already existing infrastructure, opportunities should not be missed to maximize infiltration, slow runoff, reduce impervious areas, disconnect directly connected impervious areas.

Other Resources

A Manual for the Standard Urban Stormwater Mitigation Plan (SUSMP), Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, May 2002.

Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington, Washington State Department of Ecology, August 2001.

Model Standard Urban Storm Water Mitigation Plan (SUSMP) for San Diego County, Port of San Diego, and Cities in San Diego County, February 14, 2002.

Model Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP) for County of Orange, Orange County Flood Control District, and the Incorporated Cities of Orange County, Draft February 2003.

Ventura Countywide Technical Guidance Manual for Stormwater Quality Control Measures, July 2002.

Efficient Irrigation SD-12



Design Objectives

- ☑ Maximize Infiltration
- ☑ Provide Retention
- ☑ Slow Runoff
- Minimize Impervious Land Coverage
- Prohibit Dumping of Improper Materials
- Contain Pollutants
- Collect and Convey

Description

Irrigation water provided to landscaped areas may result in excess irrigation water being conveyed into stormwater drainage systems.

Approach

Project plan designs for development and redevelopment should include application methods of irrigation water that minimize runoff of excess irrigation water into the stormwater conveyance system.

Suitable Applications

Appropriate applications include residential, commercial and industrial areas planned for development or redevelopment. (Detached residential single-family homes are typically excluded from this requirement.)

Design Considerations

Designing New Installations

The following methods to reduce excessive irrigation runoff should be considered, and incorporated and implemented where determined applicable and feasible by the Permittee:

- Employ rain-triggered shutoff devices to prevent irrigation after precipitation.
- Design irrigation systems to each landscape area's specific water requirements.
- Include design featuring flow reducers or shutoff valves triggered by a pressure drop to control water loss in the event of broken sprinkler heads or lines.
- Implement landscape plans consistent with County or City water conservation resolutions, which may include provision of water sensors, programmable irrigation times (for short cycles), etc.

CASQA
CALIFORNIA STORMWATER QUALITY ASSOCIATION

- Design timing and application methods of irrigation water to minimize the runoff of excess irrigation water into the storm water drainage system.
- Group plants with similar water requirements in order to reduce excess irrigation runoff and promote surface filtration. Choose plants with low irrigation requirements (for example, native or drought tolerant species). Consider design features such as:
  - Using mulches (such as wood chips or bar) in planter areas without ground cover to minimize sediment in runoff
  - Installing appropriate plant materials for the location, in accordance with amount of sunlight and climate, and use native plant materials where possible and/or as recommended by the landscape architect
  - Leaving a vegetative barrier along the property boundary and interior watercourses, to act as a pollutant filter, where appropriate and feasible
  - Choosing plants that minimize or eliminate the use of fertilizer or pesticides to sustain growth
- Employ other comparable, equally effective methods to reduce irrigation water runoff.

Redeveloping Existing Installations

Various jurisdictional stormwater management and mitigation plans (SUSMP, WQMP, etc.) define "redevelopment" in terms of amounts of additional impervious area, increases in gross floor area and/or exterior construction, and land disturbing activities with structural or impervious surfaces. The definition of " redevelopment" must be consulted to determine whether or not the requirements for new development apply to areas intended for redevelopment. If the definition applies, the steps outlined under "designing new installations" above should be followed.

Other Resources

A Manual for the Standard Urban Stormwater Mitigation Plan (SUSMP), Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, May 2002.

Model Standard Urban Storm Water Mitigation Plan (SUSMP) for San Diego County, Port of San Diego, and Cities in San Diego County, February 14, 2002.

Model Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP) for County of Orange, Orange County Flood Control District, and the Incorporated Cities of Orange County, Draft February 2003.

Ventura Countywide Technical Guidance Manual for Stormwater Quality Control Measures, July 2002.

Trash Storage Areas SD-32

Description

Trash storage areas are areas where a trash receptacle (s) are located for use as a repository for solid wastes. Stormwater runoff from areas where trash is stored or disposed of can be polluted. In addition, loose trash and debris can be easily transported by water or wind into nearby storm drain inlets, channels, and/or creeks. Waste handling operations that may be sources of stormwater pollution include dumpsters, litter control, and waste piles.

Approach

This fact sheet contains details on the specific measures required to prevent or reduce pollutants in stormwater runoff associated with trash storage and handling. Preventative measures including enclosures, containment structures, and impervious pavements to mitigate spills, should be used to reduce the likelihood of contamination.

Design Objectives

- Maximize Infiltration
- Provide Retention
- Slow Runoff
- Minimize Impervious Land Coverage
- Prohibit Dumping of Improper Materials
- ☑ Contain Pollutants
- Collect and Convey

Suitable Applications

Appropriate applications include residential, commercial and industrial areas planned for development or redevelopment. (Detached residential single-family homes are typically excluded from this requirement.)

Design Considerations

Design requirements for waste handling areas are governed by Building and Fire Codes, and by current local agency ordinances and zoning requirements. The design criteria described in this fact sheet are meant to enhance and be consistent with these code and ordinance requirements. Hazardous waste should be handled in accordance with legal requirements established in Title 22, California Code of Regulation.

Wastes from commercial and industrial sites are typically hauled by either public or commercial carriers that may have design or access requirements for waste storage areas. The design criteria in this fact sheet are recommendations and are not intended to be in conflict with requirements established by the waste hauler. The waste hauler should be contacted prior to the design of your site trash collection areas. Conflicts or issues should be discussed with the local agency.

Designing New Installations

Trash storage areas should be designed to consider the following structural or treatment control BMPs:

- Design trash container areas so that drainage from adjoining roofs and pavement is diverted around the area(s) to avoid run-on. This might include berming or grading the waste handling area to prevent run-on of stormwater.
- Make sure trash container areas are screened or walled to prevent off-site transport of trash.

CASQA
CALIFORNIA STORMWATER QUALITY ASSOCIATION

- Use lined bins or dumpsters to reduce leaking of liquid waste.
- Provide roofs, awnings, or attached lids on all trash containers to minimize direct precipitation and prevent rainfall from entering containers.
- Pave trash storage areas with an impervious surface to mitigate spills.
- Do not locate storm drains in immediate vicinity of the trash storage area.
- Post signs on all dumpsters informing users that hazardous materials are not to be disposed of therein.

Redeveloping Existing Installations

Various jurisdictional stormwater management and mitigation plans (SUSMP, WQMP, etc.) define "redevelopment" in terms of amounts of additional impervious area, increases in gross floor area and/or exterior construction, and land disturbing activities with structural or impervious surfaces. The definition of " redevelopment" must be consulted to determine whether or not the requirements for new development apply to areas intended for redevelopment. If the definition applies, the steps outlined under "designing new installations" above should be followed.

Additional Information

Maintenance Considerations

The integrity of structural elements that are subject to damage (i.e., screens, covers, and signs) must be maintained by the owner/operator. Maintenance agreements between the local agency and the owner/operator may be required. Some agencies will require maintenance deed restrictions to be recorded of the property title. If required by the local agency, maintenance agreements or deed restrictions must be executed by the owner/operator before improvement plans are approved.

Other Resources


A Manual for the Standard Urban Stormwater Mitigation Plan (SUSMP), Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, May 2002.

Model Standard Urban Storm Water Mitigation Plan (SUSMP) for San Diego County, Port of San Diego, and Cities in San Diego County, February 14, 2002.

Model Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP) for County of Orange, Orange County Flood Control District, and the Incorporated Cities of Orange County, Draft February 2003.

Ventura Countywide Technical Guidance Manual for Stormwater Quality Control Measures, July 2002.

Maintenance Bays & Docks SD-31



Design Objectives

- Maximize Infiltration
- Provide Retention
- Slow Runoff
- Minimize Impervious Land Coverage
- ☑ Prohibit Dumping of Improper Materials
- ☑ Contain Pollutants
- Collect and Convey

Description

Several measures can be taken to prevent operations at maintenance bays and loading docks from contributing a variety of toxic compounds, oil and grease, heavy metals, nutrients, suspended solids, and other pollutants to the stormwater conveyance system.

Approach

In designs for maintenance bays and loading docks, containment is encouraged. Preventative measures include overflow containment structures and dead-end sumps. However, in the case of loading docks from grocery stores and warehouse/distribution centers, engineered infiltration systems may be considered.

Suitable Applications

Appropriate applications include commercial and industrial areas planned for development or redevelopment.

Design Considerations

Design requirements for vehicle maintenance and repair are governed by Building and Fire Codes, and by current local agency ordinances, and zoning requirements. The design criteria described in this fact sheet are meant to enhance and be consistent with these code requirements.

Designing New Installations

Designs of maintenance bays should consider the following:

- Repair/maintenance bays and vehicle parts with fluids should be indoors; or designed to preclude urban run-on and runoff.
- Repair/maintenance floor areas should be paved with Portland cement concrete (or equivalent smooth impervious surface).

CASQA
CALIFORNIA STORMWATER QUALITY ASSOCIATION

- Repair/maintenance bays should be designed to capture all wash water leaks and spills. Provide impermeable berms, drop inlets, trench catch basins, or overflow containment structures around repair bays to prevent spilled materials and wash-down waters form entering the storm drain system. Connect drains to a sump for collection and disposal. Direct connection of the repair/maintenance bays to the storm drain system is prohibited. If required by local jurisdiction, obtain an Industrial Waste Discharge Permit.
- Other features may be comparable and equally effective.

The following designs of loading/unloading dock areas should be considered:

- Loading dock areas should be covered, or drainage should be designed to preclude urban run-on and runoff.
- Direct connections into storm drains from depressed loading docks (truck wells) are prohibited.
- Below-grade loading docks from grocery stores and warehouse/distribution centers of fresh food items should drain through water quality inlets, or to an engineered infiltration system, or an equally effective alternative. Pre-treatment may also be required.
- Other features may be comparable and equally effective.

Redeveloping Existing Installations

Various jurisdictional stormwater management and mitigation plans (SUSMP, WQMP, etc.) define "redevelopment" in terms of amounts of additional impervious area, increases in gross floor area and/or exterior construction, and land disturbing activities with structural or impervious surfaces. The definition of " redevelopment" must be consulted to determine whether or not the requirements for new development apply to areas intended for redevelopment. If the definition applies, the steps outlined under "designing new installations" above should be followed.

Additional Information

Stormwater and non-stormwater will accumulate in containment areas and sumps with impervious surfaces. Contaminated accumulated water must be disposed of in accordance with applicable laws and cannot be discharged directly to the storm drain or sanitary sewer system without the appropriate permit.

Other Resources

A Manual for the Standard Urban Stormwater Mitigation Plan (SUSMP), Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, May 2002.

Model Standard Urban Storm Water Mitigation Plan (SUSMP) for San Diego County, Port of San Diego, and Cities in San Diego County, February 14, 2002.

Model Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP) for County of Orange, Orange County Flood Control District, and the Incorporated Cities of Orange County, Draft February 2003.

Ventura Countywide Technical Guidance Manual for Stormwater Quality Control Measures, July 2002.

Storm Drain Signage SD-13



Design Objectives

- Maximize Infiltration
- Provide Retention
- Slow Runoff
- Minimize Impervious Land Coverage
- ☑ Prohibit Dumping of Improper Materials
- Contain Pollutants
- Collect and Convey

Description

Waste materials dumped into storm drain inlets can have severe impacts on receiving and ground waters. Posting notices regarding discharge prohibitions at storm drain inlets can prevent waste dumping. Storm drain signs and stencils are highly visible source controls that are typically placed directly adjacent to storm drain inlets.

Approach

The stencil or affixed sign contains a brief statement that prohibits dumping of improper materials into the urban runoff conveyance system. Storm drain messages have become a popular method of alerting the public about the effects of and the prohibitions against waste disposal.

Suitable Applications

Stencils and signs alert the public to the destination of pollutants discharged to the storm drain. Signs are appropriate in residential, commercial, and industrial areas, as well as any other area where contributions or dumping to storm drains is likely.

Design Considerations

Storm drain message markers or placards are recommended at all storm drain inlets within the boundary of a development project. The marker should be placed in clear sight facing toward anyone approaching the inlet from either side. All storm drain inlet locations should be identified on the development site map.

Designing New Installations

The following methods should be considered for inclusion in the project design and show on project plans:

- Provide stenciling or labeling of all storm drain inlets and catch basins, constructed or modified, within the project area with prohibitive language. Examples include "NO DUMPING

CASQA
CALIFORNIA STORMWATER QUALITY ASSOCIATION

– DRAINS TO OCEAN" and/or other graphical icons to discourage illegal dumping.

- Post signs with prohibitive language and/or graphical icons, which prohibit illegal dumping at public access points along channels and creeks within the project area.

Note - Some local agencies have approved specific signage and/or storm drain message placards for use. Consult local agency stormwater staff to determine specific requirements for placard types and methods of application.

Redeveloping Existing Installations

Various jurisdictional stormwater management and mitigation plans (SUSMP, WQMP, etc.) define "redevelopment" in terms of amounts of additional impervious area, increases in gross floor area and/or exterior construction, and land disturbing activities with structural or impervious surfaces. If the project meets the definition of "redevelopment", then the requirements stated under " designing new installations" above should be included in all project design plans.

Additional Information

Maintenance Considerations

- Legibility of markers and signs should be maintained. If required by the agency with jurisdiction over the project, the owner/operator or homeowner's association should enter into a maintenance agreement with the agency or record a deed restriction upon the property title to maintain the legibility of placards or signs.

Placement

- Signage on top of curbs tends to weather and fade.

- Signage on face of curbs tends to be worn by contact with vehicle tires and sweeper brooms.

Supplemental Information

Examples

- Most MS4 programs have storm drain signage programs. Some MS4 programs will provide stencils, or arrange for volunteers to stencil storm drains as part of their outreach program.

Other Resources

A Manual for the Standard Urban Stormwater Mitigation Plan (SUSMP), Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, May 2002.

Model Standard Urban Storm Water Mitigation Plan (SUSMP) for San Diego County, Port of San Diego, and Cities in San Diego County, February 14, 2002.


Model Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP) for County of Orange, Orange County Flood Control District, and the Incorporated Cities of Orange County, Draft February 2003.

Ventura Countywide Technical Guidance Manual for Stormwater Quality Control Measures, July 2002.



Sample Stencil 1

NO DUMPING



DRAINS TO OCEAN

Sample Stencil 2

3.5 Bioretention Facility

Type of BMP	LID – Bioretention
Treatment Mechanisms	Infiltration, Evapotranspiration, Evaporation, Biofiltration
Maximum Drainage Area	This BMP is intended to be integrated into a project's landscaped area in a distributed manner. Typically, contributing drainage areas to Bioretention Facilities range from less than 1 acre to a maximum of around 10 acres.
Other Names	Rain Garden, Bioretention Cell, Bioretention Basin, Biofiltration Basin, Landscaped Filter Basin, Porous Landscape Detention

Description

Bioretention Facilities are shallow, vegetated basins underlain by an engineered soil media. Healthy plant and biological activity in the root zone maintain and renew the macro-pore space in the soil and maximize plant uptake of pollutants and runoff. This keeps the Best Management Practice (BMP) from becoming clogged and allows more of the soil column to function as both a sponge (retaining water) and a highly effective and self-maintaining biofilter. In most cases, the bottom of a Bioretention Facility is unlined, which also provides an opportunity for infiltration to the extent the underlying onsite soil can accommodate. When the infiltration rate of the underlying soil is exceeded, fully biotreated flows are discharged via underdrains. Bioretention Facilities therefore will inherently achieve the maximum feasible level of infiltration and evapotranspiration and achieve the minimum feasible (but highly biotreated) discharge to the storm drain system.

Siting Considerations

These facilities work best when they are designed in a relatively level area. Unlike other BMPs, Bioretention Facilities can be used in smaller landscaped spaces on the site, such as:

- ✓ Parking islands
- ✓ Medians
- ✓ Site entrances

Landscaped areas on the site (such as may otherwise be required through minimum landscaping ordinances), can often be designed as Bioretention Facilities. This can be accomplished by:


- *Depressing* landscaped areas below adjacent impervious surfaces, rather than elevating those areas
- Grading the site to direct runoff from those impervious surfaces *into* the Bioretention Facility, rather than away from the landscaping
- Sizing and designing the depressed landscaped area as a Bioretention Facility as described in this Fact Sheet

Bioretention Facilities should however not be used downstream of areas where large amounts of sediment can clog the system. Placing a Bioretention Facility at the toe of a steep slope should also be avoided due to the potential for clogging the engineered soil media with erosion from the slope, as well as the potential for damaging the vegetation.

Design and Sizing Criteria

The recommended cross section necessary for a Bioretention Facility includes:

- Vegetated area
- 18' minimum depth of engineered soil media
- 12' minimum gravel layer depth with 6' perforated pipes (added flow control features such as orifice plates may be required to mitigate for HCOC conditions)



While the 18-inch minimum engineered soil media depth can be used in some cases, it is recommended to use 24 inches or a preferred 36 inches to provide an adequate root zone for the chosen plant palate. Such a design also provides for improved removal effectiveness for nutrients. The recommended ponding depth inside of a Bioretention Facility is 6 inches; measured from the flat bottom surface to the top of the water surface as shown in Figure 1.

Because this BMP is filled with an engineered soil media, pore space in the soil and gravel layer is assumed to provide storage volume. However, several considerations must be noted:

- Surcharge storage above the soil surface (6 inches) is important to assure that design flows do not bypass the BMP when runoff exceeds the soil's absorption rate.
- In cases where the Bioretention Facility contains engineered soil media deeper than 36 inches, the pore space within the engineered soil media can only be counted to the 36-inch depth.
- A maximum of 30 percent pore space can be used for the soil media whereas a maximum of 40 percent pore space can be use for the gravel layer.

Figure 1: Standard Layout for a Bioretention Facility

Engineered Soil Media Requirements

The engineered soil media shall be comprised of 85 percent mineral component and 15 percent organic component, by volume, drum mixed prior to placement. The mineral component shall be a Class A sandy loam topsoil that meets the range specified in Table 1 below. The organic component shall be nitrogen stabilized compost[1], such that nitrogen does not leach from the media.

Table 1: Mineral Component Range Requirements

Percent Range	Component
70-80	Sand
15-20	Silt
5-10	Clay

The trip ticket, or certificate of compliance, shall be made available to the inspector to prove the engineered mix meets this specification.

Vegetation Requirements

Vegetative cover is important to minimize erosion and ensure that treatment occurs in the Bioretention Facility. The area should be designed for at least 70 percent mature coverage throughout the Bioretention Facility. To prevent the BMP from being used as walkways, Bioretention Facilities shall be planted with a combination of small trees, densely planted shrubs, and natural grasses. Grasses shall be native or ornamental; preferably ones that do not need to be mowed. The application of fertilizers and pesticides should be minimal. To maintain oxygen levels for the vegetation and promote biodegradation, it is important that vegetation not be completely submerged for any extended period of time. Therefore, a maximum of 6 inches of ponded water shall be used in the design to ensure that plants within the Bioretention Facility remain healthy.

A 2 to 3-inch layer of standard shredded aged hardwood mulch shall be placed as the top layer inside the Bioretention Facility. The 6-inch ponding depth shown in Figure 1 above shall be measured from the top surface of the 2 to 3-inch mulch layer.

Curb Cuts

To allow water to flow into the Bioretention Facility, 1-foot-wide (minimum) curb cuts should be placed approximately every 10 feet around the perimeter of the Bioretention Facility. Figure 2 shows a curb cut in a Bioretention Facility. Curb cut flow lines must be at or above the V_{BMP} water surface level.

[1] For more information on compost, visit the US Composting Council website at: http://compostingcouncil.org/



Figure 2: Curb Cut located in a Bioretention Facility

To reduce erosion, a gravel pad shall be placed at each inlet point to the Bioretention Facility. The gravel should be 1- to 1.5-inch diameter in size. The gravel should overlap the curb cut opening a minimum of 6 inches. The gravel pad inside the Bioretention Facility should be flush with the finished surface at the curb cut and extend to the bottom of the slope.

In addition, place an apron of stone or concrete, a foot square or larger, inside each inlet to prevent vegetation from growing up and blocking the inlet. See Figure 3.




Figure 3: Apron located in a Bioretention Facility

Terracing the Landscaped Filter Basin

It is recommended that Bioretention Facilities be level. In the event the facility site slopes and lacks proper design, water would fill the lowest point of the BMP and then discharge from the basin without being treated. To ensure that the water will be held within the Bioretention Facility on sloped sites, the BMP must be terraced with nonporous check dams to provide the required storage and treatment capacity.

The terraced version of this BMP shall be used on non-flat sites with no more than a 3 percent slope. The surcharge depth cannot exceed 0.5 feet, and side slopes shall not exceed 4:1. Table 2 below shows the spacing of the check dams, and slopes shall be rounded up (i.e., 2.5 percent slope shall use 10' spacing for check dams).

Table 2: Check Dam Spacing

6" Check Dam Spacing	
Slope	**Spacing**
1%	*25'*
2%	*15'*
3%	*10'*

Roof Runoff

Roof downspouts may be directed towards Bioretention Facilities. However, the downspouts must discharge onto a concrete splash block to protect the Bioretention Facility from erosion.

Retaining Walls

It is recommended that Retaining Wall Type 1A, per Caltrans Standard B3-3 or equivalent, be constructed around the entire perimeter of the Bioretention Facility. This practice will protect the sides of the Bioretention Facility from collapsing during construction and maintenance or from high service loads adjacent to the BMP. Where such service loads would not exist adjacent to the BMP, an engineered alternative may be used if signed by a licensed civil engineer.

Side Slope Requirements


Bioretention Facilities Requiring Side Slopes

The design should assure that the Bioretention Facility does not present a tripping hazard. Bioretention Facilities proposed near pedestrian areas, such as areas parallel to parking spaces or along a walkway, must have a gentle slope to the bottom of the facility. Side slopes inside of a Bioretention Facility shall be 4:1. A typical cross section for the Bioretention Facility is shown in Figure 1.

Bioretention Facilities Not Requiring Side Slopes

Where cars park perpendicular to the Bioretention Facility, side slopes are not required. A 6-inch maximum drop may be used, and the Bioretention Facility must be planted with trees and shrubs to prevent pedestrian access. In this case, a curb is not placed around the Bioretention Facility,

but wheel stops shall be used to prevent vehicles from entering the Bioretention Facility, as shown in Figure 4.



Planter Boxes

Bioretention Facilities can also be placed above ground as planter boxes. Planter boxes must have a minimum width of 2 feet, a maximum surcharge depth of 6 inches, and no side slopes are necessary. Planter boxes must be constructed so as to ensure that the top surface of the engineered soil media will remain level. This option may be constructed of concrete, brick, stone or other stable materials that will not warp or bend. Chemically treated wood or galvanized steel, which has the ability to contaminate stormwater, should not be used. Planter boxes must be lined with an impermeable liner on all sides, including the bottom. Due to the impermeable liner, the inside bottom of the planter box shall be designed and constructed with a cross fall, directing treated flows within the subdrain layer toward the point where subdrain exits the planter box, and subdrains shall be oriented with drain holes oriented down. These provisions will help avoid excessive stagnant water within the gravel underdrain layer. Similar to the in-ground Bioretention Facility versions, this BMP benefits from healthy plants and biological activity in the root zone. Planter boxes should be planted with appropriately selected vegetation.



Figure 5: Planter Box
Source: LA Team Effort

Overflow

An overflow route is needed in the Bioretention Facility design to bypass stored runoff from storm events larger than V_{BMP} or in the event of facility or subdrain clogging. Overflow systems must connect to an acceptable discharge point, such as a downstream conveyance system as shown in Figure 1 and Figure 4. The inlet to the overflow structure shall be elevated inside the Bioretention Facility to be flush with the ponding surface for the design capture volume (V_{BMP}) as shown in Figure 4. This will allow the design capture volume to be fully treated by the Bioretention Facility, and for larger events to safely be conveyed to downstream systems. The overflow inlet shall **not** be located in the entrance of a Bioretention Facility, as shown in Figure 6.

Underdrain Gravel and Pipes

An underdrain gravel layer and pipes shall be provided in accordance with Appendix B – Underdrains.



Figure 6: Incorrect Placement of an Overflow Inlet.

Inspection and Maintenance Schedule

The Bioretention Facility area shall be inspected for erosion, dead vegetation, soggy soils, or standing water. The use of fertilizers and pesticides on the plants inside the Bioretention Facility should be minimized.

Schedule	Activity
Ongoing	• Keep adjacent landscape areas maintained. Remove clippings from landscape maintenance activities. • Remove trash and debris • Replace damaged grass and/or plants • Replace surface mulch layer as needed to maintain a 2-3 inch soil cover.
After storm events	• Inspect areas for ponding
Annually	• Inspect/clean inlets and outlets

Bioretention Facility Design Procedure

1) Enter the area tributary, A_T, to the Bioretention Facility.


2) Enter the Design Volume, V_{BMP}, determined from Section 2.1 of this Handbook.

3) Select the type of design used. There are two types of Bioretention Facility designs: the standard design used for most project sites that include side slopes, and the modified design used when the BMP is located perpendicular to the parking spaces or with planter boxes that do not use side slopes.

4) Enter the depth of the engineered soil media, d_S. The minimum depth for the engineered soil media can be 18' in limited cases, but it is recommended to use 24' or a preferred 36' to provide an adequate root zone for the chosen plant palette. Engineered soil media deeper than 36' will only get credit for the pore space in the first 36'.

5) Enter the top width of the Bioretention Facility.

6) Calculate the total effective depth, d_E, within the Bioretention Facility. The maximum allowable pore space of the soil media is 30% while the maximum allowable pore space for the gravel layer is 40%. Gravel layer deeper than 12' will only get credit for the pore space in the first 12'.



a. For the design with side slopes the following equation shall be used to determine the total effective depth. Where, d_P is the depth of ponding within the basin.

$$d_E(\text{ft}) = \frac{0.3 \times \left[\left(w_T(\text{ft}) \times d_S(\text{ft})\right) + 4\left(d_P(\text{ft})\right)^2\right] + 0.4 \times 1(\text{ft}) + d_P(\text{ft})\left[4d_P(\text{ft}) + \left(w_T(\text{ft}) - 8d_P(\text{ft})\right)\right]}{w_T(\text{ft})}$$

This above equation can be simplified if the maximum ponding depth of 0.5' is used. The equation below is used on the worksheet to find the minimum area required for the Bioretention Facility:

$$d_E(\text{ft}) = (0.3 \times d_S(\text{ft}) + 0.4 \text{ x } 1(\text{ft})) - \left(\frac{0.7\ (\text{ft}^2)}{w_T(\text{ft})}\right) + 0.5(\text{ft})$$

b. For the design without side slopes the following equation shall be used to determine the total effective depth:

$$d_E(\text{ft}) = d_P(\text{ft}) + [(0.3) \times d_S(\text{ft}) + (0.4) \times 1(\text{ft})]$$

The equation below, using the maximum ponding depth of 0.5', is used on the worksheet to find the minimum area required for the Bioretention Facility:

$$d_E(\text{ft}) = 0.5\ (\text{ft}) + [(0.3) \times d_S(\text{ft}) + (0.4) \times 1(\text{ft})]$$

7) Calculate the minimum surface area, A_M, required for the Bioretention Facility. This does not include the curb surrounding the Bioretention Facility or side slopes.

$$A_M(\text{ft}^2) = \frac{V_{BMP}(\text{ft}^3)}{d_E\ (\text{ft})}$$

8) Enter the proposed surface area. This area shall not be less than the minimum required surface area.

9) Verify that side slopes are no steeper than 4:1 in the standard design, and are not required in the modified design.

10) Provide the diameter, minimum 6 inches, of the perforated underdrain used in the Bioretention Facility. See Appendix B for specific information regarding perforated pipes.

11) Provide the slope of the site around the Bioretention Facility, if used. The maximum slope is 3 percent for a standard design.

12) Provide the check dam spacing, if the site around the Bioretention Facility is sloped.

13) Describe the vegetation used within the Bioretention Facility.

References Used to Develop this Fact Sheet

Anderson, Dale V. "Landscaped Filter Basin Soil Requirements." Riverside, May 2010.

California Department of Transportation. CalTrans Standard Plans. 15 September 2005. May 2010 <http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/esc/oe/project_plans/HTM/stdplns-met-new99.htm>.

Camp Dresser and McKee Inc.; Larry Walker Associates. California Stormwater Best Management Practice Handbook for New Development and Redevelopment. California Stormwater Quality Association (CASQA), 2004.

Contra Costa Clean Water Program. Stormwater Quality Requirements for Development Applications. 3rd Edition. Contra Costa, 2006.

County of Los Angeles Public Works. Stormwater Best Management Practice Design and Maintenance Manual. Los Angeles, 2009.

Kim, Hunho, Eric A. Seagren and Allen P. Davis. "Engineered Bioretention for Removal of Nitrate from Stormwater Runoff." Water Environment Research 75.4 (2003): 355-366.

LA Team Effort. LA Team Effort: FREE Planter Boxes for Businesses. 2 November 2009. May 2010 <http://lateameffort.blogspot.com/2009/11/free-planter-boxes-for-businesses-est.html>.

Montgomery County Maryland Department of Permitting Services Water Resources Section. Biofiltration (BF). Montgomery County, 2005.

Program, Ventura Countywide Stormwater Quality Management. Technical Guidance Manual for Stormwater Quality Control Measures. Ventura, 2002.

United States Environmental Protection Agency. Storm Water Technology Fact Sheet Bioretention. Washington D.C, 1999.

Urban Drainage and Flood Control District. Urban Storm Drainage Criteria Manual Volume 3 - Best Management Practices. Vol. 3. Denver, 2008. 3 vols.

Urbonas, Ben R. Stormwater Sand Filter Sizing and Design: A Unit Operations Approach. Denver: Urban Drainage and Flood Control District, 2002.