Appendix

# Appendix J Preliminary Drainage Report

## Appendix

This page intentionally left blank.

## **Coyote Canyon Landfill Project: Preliminary Drainage Report**

December 14, 2023

#### Submitted to:



City of Newport Beach 100 Civic Center Drive Newport Beach, CA 92660

## **C**Public Works

Orange County Public Works 601 N. Ross Street Santa Ana, CA 92701

Prepared for: ARCHAEA ENERGY a bp company Archaea Energy Inc. 4444 Westheimer Road, Suite G450 Houston, TX 77027



**BKF Engineers** 4675 MacArthur Court, Suite 400 Newport Beach, CA 92660

## Contents

1. Introduction	2
1.1 Overview	2
1.2 Location	2
1.3 Drainage	2
2. Methodology	3
2-1. Overview	3
2-2. Rational Method	3
3. Conclusion	5
4. References	6

#### **List of Tables**

Table 1. Pre-Project AES RM Subarea Flow Summary	4
Table 2. Post-Project AES RM Subarea Flow Summary	4
Table 3. Post-Project vs. Pre-Project AES RM 10-Year Flows at Discharge Points	4
Table 4. Post-Project vs. Pre-Project AES RM 25-Year Flows at Discharge Points	4

#### List of Exhibits

Exhibit 1. Project Vicinity Map	7
Exhibit 2. Pre-Project Drainage Map	8
Exhibit 3. Post-Project Drainage Map	9

#### **List of Appendices**

**Appendix A.** AES Pre-Project RM Calculations **Appendix B.** AES Post-Project RM Calculations

## 1. Introduction

### 1.1 Overview

The following Preliminary Drainage Report is being prepared for the Coyote Canyon Landfill (CCL) Project ("Project"). This Report will summarize the pre-project and post-project peak flowrates and present a proposed drainage concept. This report will include analyses and modeling to compare the 10-year and 25-year pre- and post- flowrates.

### 1.2 Location

The Project is a 1.88-acre site located at Coyote Canyon Landfill (CCL), which is at 20662 Newport Coast Drive in the City of Newport Beach, Orange County, California. The Project is a new Renewable Natural Gas (RNG) Plant at CCL which will convert landfill gas into a pipeline quality natural gas equivalent. The RNG Plant will occupy about 1.07 acres of the Project site.

A project vicinity map is shown on **Exhibit 1.** 

### 1.3 Drainage

#### <u>Pre-Project</u>

The pre-project condition site overland flows to two discharge locations. About 75% of the Project site (1.4-acres), on the eastern side discharges to a concrete ditch (*Discharge Point #1*). The concrete ditch is tributary to an offsite City-owned 24-inch RCP. The remaining 25% of the Project site (0.5-acres), on the western side, drains to the entrance road (*Discharge Point #2*). These road flows drain offsite along the road's v-gutter and are intercepted by catch basins which also discharge to the 24-inch RCP.

Refer to the pre-project condition drainage map shown on **Exhibit 2.** 

#### <u>Post-Project</u>

The post-project condition site drainage preserves the pre-project drainage patterns and flow distribution to the two discharge locations. The eastern 1.4-acres of the site drains northerly to the proposed perimeter access road along the northern and eastern Project boundary. The access road gutter directs flows and captures them with inlets to a subsurface gravel layer under the access road. The gravel layer will provide storage and is for hydromodification compliance. Within the gravel layer, a perforated pipe directs flows to a treatment BMP unit at the northwest Project boundary, located at *Discharge Point #1*. The BMP unit's outflows are piped offsite to the 24-inch RCP. The western 0.5-acres does not change in post-project condition, as the tributary area and flow paths to *Discharge Point #2* are the same as pre-project condition.

Refer to the post-project condition drainage map shown on **Exhibit 3**.

For focus of this drainage report, the analyses present hydrology assuming surface flows and are not based on subsurface flows. Additionally, hydraulic analyses are beyond the scope of this report and will be evaluated under a separate cover.

## 2. Methodology

## 2-1. Overview

The 10-year and 25-year hydrologic calculations for the pre-project and post-project conditions are prepared using the Advanced Engineering Software (AES) software. The AES software includes *Rational Method* (RM) calculations to determine peak flowrates.

## 2-2. Rational Method

The *Rational Method* (RM) calculations with the *Advanced Engineering Software* (AES) software are completed for the Projects drainage areas. These RM calculations follow the *Orange County Hydrology Manual* (OCHM). The software requires input for drainage area characteristics, which includes area, landuse, flowpath length, and flowpath slope.

The RM correlates rainfall intensity, runoff coefficient, and drainage area to peak runoff. The software computes runoff from the following relationship:

 $Q = 0.90 \times (I - F_m) \times A$  for I greater than  $F_p$  $Q = 0.90 \times a_i \times I \times A$  for I less than or equal to  $F_p$ 

```
Where:

Q = \text{runoff (cfs)}

0.90 = \text{calibration constant determined by an average fit between Rational Method and

design storm unit hydrograph

<math>F_m = a_p \times F_p = \text{loss rate of total watershed (in/hr)}

I = \text{rainfall intensity (in/hr)}

F_p = \text{infiltration rate for pervious areas (in/hr)}

a_i = \text{ratio of impervious area to total area (decimal fraction)}

a_p = \text{ratio of pervious area to total area (decimal fraction)}
```

A summary of the modeling parameters for the RM calculations are presented:

- <u>Subareas</u>: The Project's subarea boundaries are shown on **Exhibit 2** and **Exhibit 3** for preproject and post-project conditions. Boundaries are delineated using 2022 topographic survey by D. Woolley & Associates and 2023 preliminary proposed grading by BKF.
- <u>Landuse</u>: The Project landuse is designated as *commercial* (90% impervious) for impervious areas and *urban turf* in poor condition for pervious areas. Given 100% impervious landuse category is not allowed in AES, the commercial area was scaled up to equate to a subarea's actual impervious percentage.
- <u>Soils</u>: The Project was assigned Soil Group D and applied an Antecedent Moisture Condition (AMC) II for 10-year and 25-year storms, which represent moderate runoff potential.
- Loss Rate: The loss rates for pervious areas (Fp) is based on Soil Group, which is 0.2 inches per hour for Soil Group D.
- <u>Time of Concentration (T<sub>c</sub>)</u>: The initial time of concentration (T<sub>c</sub>) is calculated with the Kirpich formula, and requires subarea length, slope, and development type. For model routing, initial subareas for commercial/industrial landuses should be subdivided to have flowpath lengths less than 330 feet, as recommended in the OCHM Addendum No. 1. The Project subareas have flow paths lengths not exceeding the 330 feet criteria.

A summary of the *Rational Method* (RM) calculations for the 10-year and 25-year storms for each of the sub-area is shown in **Table 1** and **Table 2** for pre-project and post-project conditions.

Area ID	Area (ac)	Imper %	Q <sub>10</sub> (cfs)	Q <sub>25</sub> (cfs)
101	0.41	0.0%	0.75	0.91
102	0.96	19.8%	2.86	3.43
103	0.51	49.0%	1.36	1.63

Table 1. Pre-Project AES RM Subarea Flow Summary

Table 2.	Post-Project	AES RM	Subarea	Flow S	ummarv
	1 000 1 1 0 1000		oubuica	1011 0	2000 in 1000 j

Area ID	Area (ac)	Imper %	Q <sub>10</sub> (cfs)	Q <sub>25</sub> (cfs)
201	0.38	73.7%	1.04	1.25
202	0.99	58.6%	3.11	3.71
203	0.51	49.0%	1.36	1.63

For comparison assessment, post-project versus pre-project flows are determined at the two discharge locations. For the post-project condition, flows tributary to *Discharge Point #1* increase by 1.2 and 1.4 cfs during the 10-year and 25-year storms, respectively.

Table 3. Post-Project vs.	Pre-Project AES RM 10-Yea	ar Flows at Discharge Points

Discharge Point	Area (ac)	Pre-Proj. Q10 (cfs)	Post-Proj. Q10 (cfs)	$[Post] - [Pre] \\ \Delta Q_{10}$
#1	1.37	2.40	3.61	1.21
#2	0.51	1.36	1.36	0.00

Table 4. Post-Project vs	Pre-Project AES RM 2	5-Year Flows at Discharge Points
--------------------------	----------------------	----------------------------------

Discharge Point	Area (ac)	Pre-Proj. Q25 (cfs)	Post-Proj. Q25 (cfs)	[Post] – [Pre] ΔQ25
#1	1.37	2.93	4.33	1.40
#2	0.51	1.63	1.63	0.00

The results of the RM modeling are included in **Appendix A** for pre-project and **Appendix B** for post-project.

## 3. Conclusion

This Preliminary Drainage Report for the Coyote Canyon Landfill (CCL) Project has determined preliminary hydrology calculations to determine pre-project and post-project design flowrates. This Report has also presented the Project's proposed drainage concept.

The analyses in this Report show post-project discharge rates for the 10-year and 25-year event exceed the pre-project rates at Discharge Point #1. however, the site was previously occupied with structures associated with a landfill gas-to-energy facility that was operated from 1988 to December 2015. The facility received landfill gas from the Coyote Landfill and converted it to electricity. The facility had five buildings as well as numerous other supporting structures on-site. In addition to the five buildings on the project site, the major features of the facility include the following: a boiler and dilution fan structure, five pad-mounted transformers, a generator breaker, a cooling tower structure, landfill gas blowers, four flares for burning excess landfill gas, a storage area and an exhaust stack associated with the steam plant. In addition, there are several above ground storage tanks located on the project site. The site was completely paved. See Figure 1 below. In December 2015, the pervious operator, Fortistar, closed the facility since the landfill was no longer producing enough landfill gas for the facility to remain economically viable. The site was subsequently demolished and prepared for a new facility by a new operator. It is our opinion that the pre-project condition should be the condition before the removal and demolition of the previous facility, Since the proposed project site consists of pervious areas, the post-project condition flow rate for the 10and 25-year flows are less than pre-project condition when it is 100% impervious. Therefore, the proposed project does not have an impact to the drainage condition.



## 4. References

*Orange County Hydrology Manual*, Williamson and Schmid, Oct. 1986. *Orange County Flood Control District Design Manual*, 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed., Jan. 2023. Exhibit 1. Project Vicinity Map



REV	DATE	DESCRIPTION	DRN BY	CHK BY	APRV BY	CIVIL ENGINEER:		
						BKE BKF ENGINEERS		RENG
						NEWPORT BEACH, CA 92660	ENERGY	
						(949) 526-8640	4444 WESTHEIMER ROAD, SUITE G450 HOUSTON, TX 77027	2321 E. 28TH STREET, SUITE SIGNAL HILL, CA 90755, Ph:
υ	11/16/23	ISSUE FOR BID	DMM	٧L	RTC -	www.bki.com	Ph: (346) 708-8272	EMAIL: INFO@BIOGASENG.COM

### Exhibit 2. Pre-Project Drainage Map



rea ID	Area (ac)	Tmper %	Q10 (cfs)	Q₂₅ (cfs)
101	0.41	0.0%	0.75	0.91
102	0.96	19.8%	2.86	3.43
103	0.51	49.0%	1.36	1.63

#### PRELIMINARY DRAINAGE REPORT DESCRIPTION CIVIL ENGINEER: ENGINEER: REV DATE DRN BY CHK BY APRV BY OWNER: ARCHAEA BKF ENGINEERS 4675 MACARTHUR CT., SUITE 400 NEWPORT BEACH, CA 92660 BKF ENERGY -4444 WESTHEIMER ROAD, SUITE G450 HOUSTON, TX 77027 Ph: (346) 708-8272 2321 E. 28TH STREET, SUITE 400 SIGNAL HILL, CA 90755, Ph: (562) 726-3565 EMAIL: INFO@BIOGASENG.COM (949) 526-8640 www.bkf.com v-11/16/23 ISSUE FOR BID DMM ٧L RTC

#### J-11

BIOGAS ENGINEERING



## Exhibit 3. Post-Project Drainage Map



Area ID	Area (ac)	Imper %	Q10 (cfs)	Q <sub>25</sub> (cfs)
201	0.38	73.7%	1.04	1.25
202	0.99	58.6%	3.11	3.71
203	0.51	49.0%	1.36	1.63

#### PRELIMINARY DRAINAGE REPORT CIVIL ENGINEER: REV DATE DESCRIPTION DRN BY CHK BY APRV BY OWNER: ENGINEER: BIOGAS ARCHAEA BKF ENGINEERS 4675 MACARTHUR CT., SUITE 400 BKF ENERGY NEWPORT BEACH, CA 92660 4444 WESTHEIMER ROAD, SUITE G450 HOUSTON, TX 77027 Ph: (346) 708-8272 2321 E. 28TH STREET, SUITE 400 (949) 526-8640 SIGNAL HILL, CA 90755, Ph: (562) 726-3565 EMAIL: INFO@BIOCASENG.COM www.bkf.com 11/16/23 ISSUE FOR BID DMM ٧L RTC ΰ

#### J-13



Coyote Canyon Landfill Project: Preliminary Drainage Report December 14, 2023

10-Year

```
*****
         RATIONAL METHOD HYDROLOGY COMPUTER PROGRAM PACKAGE
         (Reference: 1986 ORANGE COUNTY HYDROLOGY CRITERION)
      (c) Copyright 1983-2016 Advanced Engineering Software (aes)
         Ver. 23.0 Release Date: 07/01/2016 License ID 1676
                    Analysis prepared by:
 _____
 FILE NAME: CLPRE10.DAT
 TIME/DATE OF STUDY: 12:59 12/14/2023
USER SPECIFIED HYDROLOGY AND HYDRAULIC MODEL INFORMATION:
_____
              --*TIME-OF-CONCENTRATION MODEL*--
 USER SPECIFIED STORM EVENT(YEAR) = 10.00
 SPECIFIED MINIMUM PIPE SIZE(INCH) = 12.00
 SPECIFIED PERCENT OF GRADIENTS(DECIMAL) TO USE FOR FRICTION SLOPE = 0.85
 *DATA BANK RAINFALL USED*
 *ANTECEDENT MOISTURE CONDITION (AMC) II ASSUMED FOR RATIONAL METHOD*
 *USER-DEFINED STREET-SECTIONS FOR COUPLED PIPEFLOW AND STREETFLOW MODEL*
   HALF- CROWN TO STREET-CROSSFALL: CURB GUTTER-GEOMETRIES: MANNING
   WIDTH CROSSFALL IN- / OUT-/PARK- HEIGHT WIDTH LIP HIKE FACTOR
NO
    (FT)
         (FT) SIDE / SIDE/ WAY (FT) (FT) (FT) (FT)
                                                   (n)
1 30.0
         20.0 0.018/0.018/0.020 0.67 2.00 0.0313 0.167 0.0150
 GLOBAL STREET FLOW-DEPTH CONSTRAINTS:
  1. Relative Flow-Depth = 0.00 FEET
     as (Maximum Allowable Street Flow Depth) - (Top-of-Curb)
  2. (Depth)*(Velocity) Constraint = 6.0 (FT*FT/S)
 *SIZE PIPE WITH A FLOW CAPACITY GREATER THAN
  OR EOUAL TO THE UPSTREAM TRIBUTARY PIPE.*
 *USER-SPECIFIED MINIMUM TOPOGRAPHIC SLOPE ADJUSTMENT NOT SELECTED
************
 FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 101.10 TO NODE 101.20 IS CODE = 21
 >>>>RATIONAL METHOD INITIAL SUBAREA ANALYSIS<<<<<
 >>USE TIME-OF-CONCENTRATION NOMOGRAPH FOR INITIAL SUBAREA<<
INITIAL SUBAREA FLOW-LENGTH(FEET) = 279.00
                           782.80 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) =
 ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) =
                                                  781.30
 Tc = K*[(LENGTH** 3.00)/(ELEVATION CHANGE)]**0.20
 SUBAREA ANALYSIS USED MINIMUM Tc(MIN.) = 14.200
* 10 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 2.232
 SUBAREA TC AND LOSS RATE DATA(AMC II):
  DEVELOPMENT TYPE/
                  SCS SOIL AREA
                                   Fp
                                           Ар
                                                SCS
                                                    Тс
    LAND USE
                    GROUP (ACRES) (INCH/HR) (DECIMAL) CN (MIN.)
 URBAN POOR COVER
 "TURF"
                            0.41
                                                    14.20
                     D
                                   0.20
                                          1.000
                                                 87
 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS LOSS RATE, Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.20
 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS AREA FRACTION, Ap = 1.000
 SUBAREA RUNOFF(CFS) =
                    0.75
                    0.41 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) =
 TOTAL AREA(ACRES) =
                                             0.75
FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 101.20 TO NODE 102.20 IS CODE = 51
_____
 >>>>COMPUTE TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL FLOW<
 >>>>TRAVELTIME THRU SUBAREA (EXISTING ELEMENT)<<<<<
_____
 ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 781.30 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 781.10
 CHANNEL LENGTH THRU SUBAREA(FEET) = 94.00 CHANNEL SLOPE = 0.0021
 CHANNEL BASE(FEET) = 2.00 "Z" FACTOR = 2.000
 MANNING'S FACTOR = 0.020 MAXIMUM DEPTH(FEET) = 1.00
 CHANNEL FLOW THRU SUBAREA(CFS) = 0.75
 FLOW VELOCITY(FEET/SEC.) = 1.17 FLOW DEPTH(FEET) =
                                            0.25
                                            J-16
```

TRAVEL TIME(MIN.) = 1.33 Tc(MIN.) = 15.54 LONGEST FLOWPATH FROM NODE 101.10 TO NODE 102.20 = 373.00 FEET. \*\*\*\*\*\* FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 102.10 TO NODE 102.20 IS CODE = 82 \_\_\_\_\_ >>>>ADD SUBAREA RUNOFF TO MAINLINE, AT MAINLINE Tc,<<<<< >>>>(AND COMPUTE INITIAL SUBAREA RUNOFF)<<<<< \_\_\_\_\_ INITIAL SUBAREA FLOW-LENGTH(FEET) = 197.00 ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 782.70 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 781.10 Tc = K\*[(LENGTH\*\* 3.00)/(ELEVATION CHANGE)]\*\*0.20 SUBAREA ANALYSIS USED MINIMUM Tc(MIN.) = 6.588 \* 10 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 3.466 SUBAREA TC AND LOSS RATE DATA(AMC II): DEVELOPMENT TYPE/ SCS SOIL AREA Fp Ap SCS Тс LAND USE GROUP (ACRES) (INCH/HR) (DECIMAL) CN (MIN.) URBAN POOR COVER "TURF" D 0.75 1.000 87 0.20 11.38 COMMERCIAL D 0.21 0.20 0.100 75 6.59 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS LOSS RATE, Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.20 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS AREA FRACTION, Ap = 0.802SUBAREA AREA(ACRES) = 0.96 INITIAL SUBAREA RUNOFF(CFS) = 2.86 \*\* ADD SUBAREA RUNOFF TO MAINLINE AT MAINLINE Tc: MAINLINE Tc(MIN.) = 15.54 10 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 2.120 SUBAREA AREA(ACRES) =0.96SUBAREA RUNOFF(CFS) =1.69EFFECTIVE AREA(ACRES) =1.37AREA-AVERAGED Fm(INCH/HR) =0.17 AREA-AVERAGED Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.20 AREA-AVERAGED Ap = 0.86 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 1.4 2.40 FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 102.20 TO NODE 102.30 IS CODE = 51 >>>>COMPUTE TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL FLOW<<<<< >>>>TRAVELTIME THRU SUBAREA (EXISTING ELEMENT) <<<<< ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 781.10 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 779.70 CHANNEL LENGTH THRU SUBAREA(FEET) = 68.00 CHANNEL SLOPE = 0.0206 CHANNEL BASE(FEET) = 2.00 "Z" FACTOR = 2.000 MANNING'S FACTOR = 0.020 MAXIMUM DEPTH(FEET) = 1.00 CHANNEL FLOW THRU SUBAREA(CFS) =2.40FLOW VELOCITY(FEET/SEC.) =3.73FLOW VELOCITY(FEET/SEC.) =0.26TRAVEL TIME(MIN.) =0.30Tc(MIN.) =15.84LONGEST FLOWPATH FROM NODE101.10TO NODE102.30 = 441.00 FFFT. FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 103.10 TO NODE 103.20 IS CODE = 21 >>>>RATIONAL METHOD INITIAL SUBAREA ANALYSIS<<<<< >>USE TIME-OF-CONCENTRATION NOMOGRAPH FOR INITIAL SUBAREA<< \_\_\_\_\_ INITIAL SUBAREA FLOW-LENGTH(FEET) = 275.00 ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 782.70 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 781.20 Tc = K\*[(LENGTH\*\* 3.00)/(ELEVATION CHANGE)]\*\*0.20 SUBAREA ANALYSIS USED MINIMUM Tc(MIN.) = 8.152 \* 10 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 3.068 SUBAREA TC AND LOSS RATE DATA(AMC II): DEVELOPMENT TYPE/ SCS SOIL AREA Fρ Ap SCS Тс GROUP (ACRES) (INCH/HR) (DECIMAL) CN LAND USE (MIN.) URBAN POOR COVER "TURF" D 0.23 0.20 1.000 87 14.08 COMMERCIAL D 0.28 0.20 0.100 75 8.15 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS LOSS RATE, Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.20 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS AREA FRACTION, Ap = 0.509 SUBAREA RUNOFF(CFS) = 1.36 TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 0.51 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = 1.36 \_\_\_\_\_ END OF STUDY SUMMARY: TOTAL AREA(ACRES)=0.5TC(MIN.)=8.15EFFECTIVE AREA(ACRES)=0.51AREA-AVERAGED Fm(INCH/HR)0.10 AREA-AVERAGED Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.20 AREA-AVERAGED Ap = 0.509 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = 1.36

-----

END OF RATIONAL METHOD ANALYSIS

٨

Coyote Canyon Landfill Project: Preliminary Drainage Report December 14, 2023

25-Year

```
*****
         RATIONAL METHOD HYDROLOGY COMPUTER PROGRAM PACKAGE
         (Reference: 1986 ORANGE COUNTY HYDROLOGY CRITERION)
      (c) Copyright 1983-2016 Advanced Engineering Software (aes)
         Ver. 23.0 Release Date: 07/01/2016 License ID 1676
                    Analysis prepared by:
 _____
 FILE NAME: CLPRE25.DAT
 TIME/DATE OF STUDY: 13:06 12/14/2023
USER SPECIFIED HYDROLOGY AND HYDRAULIC MODEL INFORMATION:
_____
              --*TIME-OF-CONCENTRATION MODEL*--
 USER SPECIFIED STORM EVENT(YEAR) = 25.00
 SPECIFIED MINIMUM PIPE SIZE(INCH) = 12.00
 SPECIFIED PERCENT OF GRADIENTS(DECIMAL) TO USE FOR FRICTION SLOPE = 0.85
 *DATA BANK RAINFALL USED*
 *ANTECEDENT MOISTURE CONDITION (AMC) II ASSUMED FOR RATIONAL METHOD*
 *USER-DEFINED STREET-SECTIONS FOR COUPLED PIPEFLOW AND STREETFLOW MODEL*
   HALF- CROWN TO STREET-CROSSFALL: CURB GUTTER-GEOMETRIES: MANNING
   WIDTH CROSSFALL IN- / OUT-/PARK- HEIGHT WIDTH LIP HIKE FACTOR
NO
    (FT)
         (FT) SIDE / SIDE/ WAY (FT) (FT) (FT) (FT)
                                                   (n)
1 30.0
         20.0 0.018/0.018/0.020 0.67 2.00 0.0313 0.167 0.0150
 GLOBAL STREET FLOW-DEPTH CONSTRAINTS:
  1. Relative Flow-Depth = 0.00 FEET
     as (Maximum Allowable Street Flow Depth) - (Top-of-Curb)
  2. (Depth)*(Velocity) Constraint = 6.0 (FT*FT/S)
 *SIZE PIPE WITH A FLOW CAPACITY GREATER THAN
  OR EOUAL TO THE UPSTREAM TRIBUTARY PIPE.*
 *USER-SPECIFIED MINIMUM TOPOGRAPHIC SLOPE ADJUSTMENT NOT SELECTED
************
 FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 101.10 TO NODE 101.20 IS CODE = 21
 >>>>RATIONAL METHOD INITIAL SUBAREA ANALYSIS<<<<<
 >>USE TIME-OF-CONCENTRATION NOMOGRAPH FOR INITIAL SUBAREA<<
INITIAL SUBAREA FLOW-LENGTH(FEET) = 279.00
                           782.80 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) =
 ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) =
                                                  781.30
 Tc = K*[(LENGTH** 3.00)/(ELEVATION CHANGE)]**0.20
 SUBAREA ANALYSIS USED MINIMUM Tc(MIN.) = 14.200
* 25 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 2.672
 SUBAREA TC AND LOSS RATE DATA(AMC II):
  DEVELOPMENT TYPE/
                  SCS SOIL AREA
                                   Fp
                                           Ар
                                                SCS
                                                    Тс
    LAND USE
                    GROUP (ACRES) (INCH/HR) (DECIMAL) CN (MIN.)
 URBAN POOR COVER
 "TURF"
                     D
                            0.41
                                                    14.20
                                   0.20
                                          1.000
                                                 87
 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS LOSS RATE, Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.20
 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS AREA FRACTION, Ap = 1.000
 SUBAREA RUNOFF(CFS) =
                    0.91
 TOTAL AREA(ACRES) =
                    0.41 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) =
                                             0.91
FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 101.20 TO NODE 102.20 IS CODE = 51
_____
 >>>>COMPUTE TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL FLOW<
 >>>>TRAVELTIME THRU SUBAREA (EXISTING ELEMENT)<<<<<
_____
 ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 781.30 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 781.10
 CHANNEL LENGTH THRU SUBAREA(FEET) = 94.00 CHANNEL SLOPE = 0.0021
 CHANNEL BASE(FEET) = 2.00 "Z" FACTOR = 2.000
 MANNING'S FACTOR = 0.020 MAXIMUM DEPTH(FEET) = 1.00
 CHANNEL FLOW THRU SUBAREA(CFS) = 0.91
 FLOW VELOCITY(FEET/SEC.) = 1.24 FLOW DEPTH(FEET) =
                                            0.29
                                            J-20
```

TRAVEL TIME(MIN.) = 1.26 Tc(MIN.) = 15.46 LONGEST FLOWPATH FROM NODE 101.10 TO NODE 102.20 = 373.00 FEET. \*\*\*\*\*\* FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 102.10 TO NODE 102.20 IS CODE = 82 \_\_\_\_\_ >>>>ADD SUBAREA RUNOFF TO MAINLINE, AT MAINLINE Tc,<<<<< >>>>(AND COMPUTE INITIAL SUBAREA RUNOFF)<<<<< \_\_\_\_\_ INITIAL SUBAREA FLOW-LENGTH(FEET) = 197.00 ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 782.70 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 781.10 Tc = K\*[(LENGTH\*\* 3.00)/(ELEVATION CHANGE)]\*\*0.20 SUBAREA ANALYSIS USED MINIMUM Tc(MIN.) = 6.588 \* 25 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 4.127 SUBAREA TC AND LOSS RATE DATA(AMC II): DEVELOPMENT TYPE/ SCS SOIL AREA Fp Ap SCS Тс LAND USE GROUP (ACRES) (INCH/HR) (DECIMAL) CN (MIN.) URBAN POOR COVER "TURF" D 0.75 1.000 87 0.20 11.38 COMMERCIAL D 0.21 0.20 0.100 75 6.59 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS LOSS RATE, Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.20 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS AREA FRACTION, Ap = 0.802SUBAREA AREA(ACRES) = 0.96 INITIAL SUBAREA RUNOFF(CFS) = 3.43 \*\* ADD SUBAREA RUNOFF TO MAINLINE AT MAINLINE Tc: MAINLINE Tc(MIN.) = 15.46 25 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 2.546 SUBAREA AREA(ACRES) =0.96SUBAREA RUNOFF(CFS) =2.06EFFECTIVE AREA(ACRES) =1.37AREA-AVERAGED Fm(INCH/HR) =0.17 AREA-AVERAGED Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.20 AREA-AVERAGED Ap = 0.86 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 1.4 2.93 FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 102.20 TO NODE 102.30 IS CODE = 51 >>>>COMPUTE TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL FLOW<<<<< >>>>TRAVELTIME THRU SUBAREA (EXISTING ELEMENT) <<<<< ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 781.10 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 779.70 CHANNEL LENGTH THRU SUBAREA(FEET) = 68.00 CHANNEL SLOPE = 0.0206 CHANNEL BASE(FEET) = 2.00 "Z" FACTOR = 2.000 MANNING'S FACTOR = 0.020 MAXIMUM DEPTH(FEET) = 1.00 CHANNEL FLOW THRU SUBAREA(CFS) = 2.93 FLOW VELOCITY(FEET/SEC.) = 3.96 FLOW DEPTH(FEET) = 0.29 TRAVEL TIME(MIN.) = 0.29 Tc(MIN.) = 15.75 LONGEST FLOWPATH FROM NODE 101.10 TO NODE 102.30 = 4 441.00 FFFT. FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 103.10 TO NODE 103.20 IS CODE = 21 >>>>RATIONAL METHOD INITIAL SUBAREA ANALYSIS<<<<< >>USE TIME-OF-CONCENTRATION NOMOGRAPH FOR INITIAL SUBAREA<< \_\_\_\_\_ INITIAL SUBAREA FLOW-LENGTH(FEET) = 275.00 ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 782.70 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 781.20 Tc = K\*[(LENGTH\*\* 3.00)/(ELEVATION CHANGE)]\*\*0.20 SUBAREA ANALYSIS USED MINIMUM Tc(MIN.) = 8.152 \* 25 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 3.658 SUBAREA TC AND LOSS RATE DATA(AMC II): DEVELOPMENT TYPE/ SCS SOIL AREA Fρ Ap SCS Тс GROUP (ACRES) (INCH/HR) (DECIMAL) CN LAND USE (MIN.) URBAN POOR COVER "TURF" D 0.23 0.20 1.000 87 14.08 COMMERCIAL D 0.28 0.20 0.100 75 8.15 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS LOSS RATE, Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.20 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS AREA FRACTION, Ap = 0.509 SUBAREA RUNOFF(CFS) = 1.63 TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 0.51 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = 1.63 \_\_\_\_\_ END OF STUDY SUMMARY: TOTAL AREA(ACRES)=0.5TC(MIN.)=8.15EFFECTIVE AREA(ACRES)=0.51AREA-AVERAGED Fm(INCH/HR)0.10 AREA-AVERAGED Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.20 AREA-AVERAGED Ap = 0.509 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = 1.63

-----

END OF RATIONAL METHOD ANALYSIS

٨

Appendix B. AES Post-Project RM Calculations

Coyote Canyon Landfill Project: Preliminary Drainage Report December 14, 2023

10-Year

```
*****
         RATIONAL METHOD HYDROLOGY COMPUTER PROGRAM PACKAGE
         (Reference: 1986 ORANGE COUNTY HYDROLOGY CRITERION)
      (c) Copyright 1983-2016 Advanced Engineering Software (aes)
         Ver. 23.0 Release Date: 07/01/2016 License ID 1676
                    Analysis prepared by:
 FILE NAME: CLPST10.DAT
 TIME/DATE OF STUDY: 16:16 12/14/2023
USER SPECIFIED HYDROLOGY AND HYDRAULIC MODEL INFORMATION:
_____
               --*TIME-OF-CONCENTRATION MODEL*--
 USER SPECIFIED STORM EVENT(YEAR) = 10.00
 SPECIFIED MINIMUM PIPE SIZE(INCH) = 12.00
 SPECIFIED PERCENT OF GRADIENTS(DECIMAL) TO USE FOR FRICTION SLOPE = 0.85
 *ΟΔΤΔ ΒΔΝΚ ΒΔΤΝΕΔΙΙ ΠSED*
 *ANTECEDENT MOISTURE CONDITION (AMC) II ASSUMED FOR RATIONAL METHOD*
 *USER-DEFINED STREET-SECTIONS FOR COUPLED PIPEFLOW AND STREETFLOW MODEL*
   HALF- CROWN TO STREET-CROSSFALL: CURB GUTTER-GEOMETRIES: MANNING
   WIDTH CROSSFALL IN- / OUT-/PARK- HEIGHT WIDTH LIP HIKE FACTOR
NO
    (FT)
         (FT) SIDE / SIDE/ WAY (FT) (FT) (FT) (FT)
                                                    (n)
1 30.0
         20.0 0.018/0.018/0.020 0.67 2.00 0.0313 0.167 0.0150
 GLOBAL STREET FLOW-DEPTH CONSTRAINTS:
   1. Relative Flow-Depth = 0.00 FEET
     as (Maximum Allowable Street Flow Depth) - (Top-of-Curb)
   2. (Depth)*(Velocity) Constraint = 6.0 (FT*FT/S)
 *SIZE PIPE WITH A FLOW CAPACITY GREATER THAN
  OR EOUAL TO THE UPSTREAM TRIBUTARY PIPE.*
 *USER-SPECIFIED MINIMUM TOPOGRAPHIC SLOPE ADJUSTMENT NOT SELECTED
************
 FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 201.10 TO NODE 201.20 IS CODE = 21
 _____
 >>>>RATIONAL METHOD INITIAL SUBAREA ANALYSIS<<<<<
 >>USE TIME-OF-CONCENTRATION NOMOGRAPH FOR INITIAL SUBAREA<<
INITIAL SUBAREA FLOW-LENGTH(FEET) = 302.00
                           783.00 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) =
 ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) =
                                                   780.80
 Tc = K*[(LENGTH** 3.00)/(ELEVATION CHANGE)]**0.20
 SUBAREA ANALYSIS USED MINIMUM Tc(MIN.) =
                                  7.987
 * 10 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 3.104
 SUBAREA TC AND LOSS RATE DATA(AMC II):
  DEVELOPMENT TYPE/
                  SCS SOIL AREA
                                   Fp
                                           Ар
                                                 SCS
                                                     Τc
                    GROUP (ACRES) (INCH/HR) (DECIMAL) CN (MIN.)
    LAND USE
 URBAN POOR COVER
 "TURF"
                     D
                            0.07
                                    0.20
                                           1.000
                                                  87
                                                      13.79
 COMMERCIAL
                     D
                            0.31
                                    0.20
                                           0.100
                                                  75
                                                      7.99
 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS LOSS RATE, Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.20
 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS AREA FRACTION, Ap = 0.263
 SUBAREA RUNOFF(CFS) = 1.04
 TOTAL AREA(ACRES) =
                    0.38 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) =
                                              1.04
******
 FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 201.20 TO NODE 202.20 IS CODE = 51
 >>>>COMPUTE TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL FLOW<
 >>>>TRAVELTIME THRU SUBAREA (EXISTING ELEMENT)<<<<<
_____
 ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 780.80 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 780.40
 CHANNEL LENGTH THRU SUBAREA(FEET) = 68.00 CHANNEL SLOPE = 0.0059
CHANNEL BASE(FEET) = 2.00 "Z" FACTOR = 2.000
 MANNING'S FACTOR = 0.014 MAXIMUM DEPTH(FEET) = 1.00
 CHANNEL FLOW THRU SUBAREA(CFS) =
                               1.04
```

```
J-25
```

FLOW VELOCITY(FEET/SEC.) = 2.37 FLOW DEPTH(FEET) = 0.19 TRAVEL TIME(MIN.) = 0.48 Tc(MIN.) = 8.47 LONGEST FLOWPATH FROM NODE 201.10 TO NODE 202.20 = 370.00 FEET. \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 202.10 TO NODE 202.20 IS CODE = 82 >>>>ADD SUBAREA RUNOFF TO MAINLINE, AT MAINLINE TC,<<<< >>>>(AND COMPUTE INITIAL SUBAREA RUNOFF)<<<<< INITIAL SUBAREA FLOW-LENGTH(FEET) = 204.00 ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 782.70 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 780.40 Tc = K\*[(LENGTH\*\* 3.00)/(ELEVATION CHANGE)]\*\*0.20 SUBAREA ANALYSIS USED MINIMUM Tc(MIN.) = 6.256 \* 10 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 3.570 SUBAREA TC AND LOSS RATE DATA(AMC II): DEVELOPMENT TYPE/ SCS SOIL AREA Fp Ap SCS Тс GROUP (ACRES) (INCH/HR) (DECIMAL) CN (MIN.) LAND USE URBAN POOR COVER "TURF" D 0.35 0.20 1.000 87 10.80 COMMERCIAL D 0.64 0.20 75 0.100 6.26 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS LOSS RATE, Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.20 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS AREA FRACTION, Ap = 0.415 SUBAREA AREA(ACRES) = 0.99 INITIAL SUBAREA RUNOFF(CFS) = 3.11 \*\* ADD SUBAREA RUNOFF TO MAINLINE AT MAINLINE Tc: MAINLINE Tc(MIN.) = 8.47 10 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 3.002 SUBAREA AREA(ACRES) =0.99SUBAREA RUNOFF(CFS) =2.60EFFECTIVE AREA(ACRES) =1.37AREA-AVERAGED Fm(INCH/HR) =0.07 AREA-AVERAGED Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.20 AREA-AVERAGED Ap = 0.37 TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 1.4 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = 3.61 \*\*\*\*\* FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 202.20 TO NODE 202.30 IS CODE = 51 \_\_\_\_\_ >>>>COMPUTE TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL FLOW<<<<< >>>>TRAVELTIME THRU SUBAREA (EXISTING ELEMENT)<<<<< ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 780.40 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 779.70 CHANNEL LENGTH THRU SUBAREA(FEET) = 67.00 CHANNEL SLOPE = 0.0104 CHANNEL BASE(FEET) = 2.00 "Z" FACTOR = 2.000 MANNING'S FACTOR = 0.014 MAXIMUM DEPTH(FEET) = 1.00 CHANNEL FLOW THRU SUBAREA(CFS) = 3.61 FLOW VELOCITY(FEET/SEC.) = 4.22 FLOW DEPTH(FEET) = 0.32 TRAVEL TIME(MIN.) = 0.26 Tc(MIN.) = 8.73 LONGEST FLOWPATH FROM NODE 201.10 TO NODE 202.30 = 437.00 FEET. FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 203.10 TO NODE 203.20 IS CODE = 21 \_\_\_\_\_ >>>>RATIONAL METHOD INITIAL SUBAREA ANALYSIS<<<<< >>USE TIME-OF-CONCENTRATION NOMOGRAPH FOR INITIAL SUBAREA<< INITIAL SUBAREA FLOW-LENGTH(FEET) = 275.00 ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 782.70 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 781.20 Tc = K\*[(LENGTH\*\* 3.00)/(ELEVATION CHANGE)]\*\*0.20 SUBAREA ANALYSIS USED MINIMUM Tc(MIN.) = 8.152 \* 10 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 3.068 SUBAREA TC AND LOSS RATE DATA(AMC II): DEVELOPMENT TYPE/ SCS SOIL AREA Fp Ар SCS Τc LAND USE GROUP (ACRES) (INCH/HR) (DECIMAL) CN (MIN.) URBAN POOR COVER "TURF" 0.23 0.28 D 0.23 0.20 1.000 87 14.08 COMMERCIAL D 0.20 0.100 75 8.15 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS LOSS RATE, Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.20 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS AREA FRACTION, Ap = 0.509 SUBAREA RUNOFF(CFS) = 1.36 TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 0.51 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = 1.36 END OF STUDY SUMMARY: TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 0.5 TC(MIN.) = 8.15 0.51 AREA-AVERAGED Fm(INCH/HR)= 0.10 EFFECTIVE AREA(ACRES) = AREA-AVERAGED Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.20 AREA-AVERAGED Ap = 0.509 1.36 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) =

\_\_\_\_\_

-----

END OF RATIONAL METHOD ANALYSIS

٨

Coyote Canyon Landfill Project: Preliminary Drainage Report December 14, 2023

25-Year

```
******
         RATIONAL METHOD HYDROLOGY COMPUTER PROGRAM PACKAGE
         (Reference: 1986 ORANGE COUNTY HYDROLOGY CRITERION)
      (c) Copyright 1983-2016 Advanced Engineering Software (aes)
         Ver. 23.0 Release Date: 07/01/2016 License ID 1676
                    Analysis prepared by:
 FILE NAME: CLPST25.DAT
 TIME/DATE OF STUDY: 16:16 12/14/2023
USER SPECIFIED HYDROLOGY AND HYDRAULIC MODEL INFORMATION:
_____
               --*TIME-OF-CONCENTRATION MODEL*--
 USER SPECIFIED STORM EVENT(YEAR) = 25.00
 SPECIFIED MINIMUM PIPE SIZE(INCH) = 12.00
 SPECIFIED PERCENT OF GRADIENTS(DECIMAL) TO USE FOR FRICTION SLOPE = 0.85
 *ΟΔΤΔ ΒΔΝΚ ΒΔΤΝΕΔΙΙ ΠSED*
 *ANTECEDENT MOISTURE CONDITION (AMC) II ASSUMED FOR RATIONAL METHOD*
 *USER-DEFINED STREET-SECTIONS FOR COUPLED PIPEFLOW AND STREETFLOW MODEL*
   HALF- CROWN TO STREET-CROSSFALL: CURB GUTTER-GEOMETRIES: MANNING
   WIDTH CROSSFALL IN- / OUT-/PARK- HEIGHT WIDTH LIP HIKE FACTOR
NO
    (FT)
         (FT) SIDE / SIDE/ WAY (FT) (FT) (FT) (FT)
                                                    (n)
1 30.0
         20.0 0.018/0.018/0.020 0.67 2.00 0.0312 0.167 0.0150
 GLOBAL STREET FLOW-DEPTH CONSTRAINTS:
   1. Relative Flow-Depth = 0.00 FEET
     as (Maximum Allowable Street Flow Depth) - (Top-of-Curb)
   2. (Depth)*(Velocity) Constraint = 6.0 (FT*FT/S)
 *SIZE PIPE WITH A FLOW CAPACITY GREATER THAN
  OR EOUAL TO THE UPSTREAM TRIBUTARY PIPE.*
 *USER-SPECIFIED MINIMUM TOPOGRAPHIC SLOPE ADJUSTMENT NOT SELECTED
*************
 FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 201.10 TO NODE 201.20 IS CODE = 21
 _____
 >>>>RATIONAL METHOD INITIAL SUBAREA ANALYSIS<<<<<
 >>USE TIME-OF-CONCENTRATION NOMOGRAPH FOR INITIAL SUBAREA<<
INITIAL SUBAREA FLOW-LENGTH(FEET) = 302.00
                           783.00 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) =
 ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) =
                                                    780.80
 Tc = K*[(LENGTH** 3.00)/(ELEVATION CHANGE)]**0.20
 SUBAREA ANALYSIS USED MINIMUM Tc(MIN.) =
                                  7.987
 * 25 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 3.700
 SUBAREA TC AND LOSS RATE DATA(AMC II):
  DEVELOPMENT TYPE/
                  SCS SOIL AREA
                                   Fp
                                            Ар
                                                 SCS
                                                     Τc
                    GROUP (ACRES) (INCH/HR) (DECIMAL) CN (MIN.)
    LAND USE
 URBAN POOR COVER
 "TURF"
                      D
                            0.07
                                    0.20
                                           1.000
                                                  87
                                                      13.79
 COMMERCIAL
                     D
                            0.31
                                    0.20
                                           0.100
                                                  75
                                                      7.99
 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS LOSS RATE, Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.20
 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS AREA FRACTION, Ap = 0.263
 SUBAREA RUNOFF(CFS) = 1.25
 TOTAL AREA(ACRES) =
                    0.38 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) =
                                              1.25
******
 FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 201.20 TO NODE 202.20 IS CODE = 51
 >>>>COMPUTE TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL FLOW<
 >>>>TRAVELTIME THRU SUBAREA (EXISTING ELEMENT)<<<<<
_____
 ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 780.80 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 780.40
 CHANNEL LENGTH THRU SUBAREA(FEET) = 68.00 CHANNEL SLOPE = 0.0059
CHANNEL BASE(FEET) = 2.00 "Z" FACTOR = 2.000
 MANNING'S FACTOR = 0.014 MAXIMUM DEPTH(FEET) = 1.00
 CHANNEL FLOW THRU SUBAREA(CFS) =
                               1.25
```

```
J-29
```

FLOW VELOCITY(FEET/SEC.) = 2.47 FLOW DEPTH(FEET) = 0.21 TRAVEL TIME(MIN.) = 0.46 Tc(MIN.) = 8.45 LONGEST FLOWPATH FROM NODE 201.10 TO NODE 202.20 = 370.00 FEET. \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 202.10 TO NODE 202.20 IS CODE = 82 >>>>ADD SUBAREA RUNOFF TO MAINLINE, AT MAINLINE TC,<<<< >>>>(AND COMPUTE INITIAL SUBAREA RUNOFF)<<<<< INITIAL SUBAREA FLOW-LENGTH(FEET) = 204.00 ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 782.70 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 780.40 Tc = K\*[(LENGTH\*\* 3.00)/(ELEVATION CHANGE)]\*\*0.20 SUBAREA ANALYSIS USED MINIMUM Tc(MIN.) = 6.256 \* 25 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 4.249 SUBAREA TC AND LOSS RATE DATA(AMC II): DEVELOPMENT TYPE/ SCS SOIL AREA Fp Ap SCS Тс GROUP (ACRES) (INCH/HR) (DECIMAL) CN (MIN.) LAND USE URBAN POOR COVER "TURF" D 0.35 0.20 1.000 87 10.80 COMMERCIAL D 0.64 0.20 75 0.100 6.26 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS LOSS RATE, Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.20 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS AREA FRACTION, Ap = 0.415 SUBAREA AREA(ACRES) = 0.99 INITIAL SUBAREA RUNOFF(CFS) = 3.71 \*\* ADD SUBAREA RUNOFF TO MAINLINE AT MAINLINE Tc: MAINLINE Tc(MIN.) = 8.45 25 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 3.585 SUBAREA AREA(ACRES) =0.99SUBAREA RUNOFF(CFS) =3.12EFFECTIVE AREA(ACRES) =1.37AREA-AVERAGED Fm(INCH/HR) =0.07 AREA-AVERAGED Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.20 AREA-AVERAGED Ap = 0.37 TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 1.4 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = 4.33 \*\*\*\*\* FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 202.20 TO NODE 202.30 IS CODE = 51 \_\_\_\_\_ >>>>COMPUTE TRAPEZOIDAL CHANNEL FLOW<<<<< >>>>TRAVELTIME THRU SUBAREA (EXISTING ELEMENT)<<<<< ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 780.40 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 779.70 CHANNEL LENGTH THRU SUBAREA(FEET) = 67.00 CHANNEL SLOPE = 0.0104 CHANNEL BASE(FEET) = 2.00 "Z" FACTOR = 2.000 MANNING'S FACTOR = 0.014 MAXIMUM DEPTH(FEET) = 1.00 CHANNEL FLOW THRU SUBAREA(CFS) = 4.33 FLOW VELOCITY(FEET/SEC.) = 4.52 FLOW DEPTH(FEET) = 0.35 TRAVEL TIME(MIN.) = 0.25 Tc(MIN.) = 8.69 LONGEST FLOWPATH FROM NODE 201.10 TO NODE 202.30 = 437.00 FEET. FLOW PROCESS FROM NODE 203.10 TO NODE 203.20 IS CODE = 21 \_\_\_\_\_ >>>>RATIONAL METHOD INITIAL SUBAREA ANALYSIS<<<<< >>USE TIME-OF-CONCENTRATION NOMOGRAPH FOR INITIAL SUBAREA<< INITIAL SUBAREA FLOW-LENGTH(FEET) = 275.00 ELEVATION DATA: UPSTREAM(FEET) = 782.70 DOWNSTREAM(FEET) = 781.20 Tc = K\*[(LENGTH\*\* 3.00)/(ELEVATION CHANGE)]\*\*0.20 SUBAREA ANALYSIS USED MINIMUM Tc(MIN.) = 8.152 \* 25 YEAR RAINFALL INTENSITY(INCH/HR) = 3.658 SUBAREA TC AND LOSS RATE DATA(AMC II): DEVELOPMENT TYPE/ SCS SOIL AREA Fp Ар SCS Тс LAND USE GROUP (ACRES) (INCH/HR) (DECIMAL) CN (MIN.) URBAN POOR COVER "TURF" 0.23 0.28 1.000 D 0.23 0.20 87 14.08 COMMERCIAL D 0.20 0.100 75 8.15 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS LOSS RATE, Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.20 SUBAREA AVERAGE PERVIOUS AREA FRACTION, Ap = 0.509 SUBAREA RUNOFF(CFS) = 1.63 TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 0.51 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = 1.63 END OF STUDY SUMMARY: TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 0.5 TC(MIN.) = 8.15 0.51 AREA-AVERAGED Fm(INCH/HR)= 0.10 EFFECTIVE AREA(ACRES) = AREA-AVERAGED Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.20 AREA-AVERAGED Ap = 0.509 PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = 1.63

\_\_\_\_\_

-----

END OF RATIONAL METHOD ANALYSIS

٨