

Final Mitigated Negative Declaration

This Final Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND) was prepared in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (California Public Resources Code 21000 et. seq.).

Public Comments and Responses to Comments

The Draft Environmental Assessment/Initial Study (EA/IS) and draft MND were circulated for public review and comment from November 21, 2024, to December 20, 2024. The comments received during this review period and the responses to comments from the Western Shasta Resource Conservation District (WSRCD) and U.S. Bureau of Land Management (BLM) are included in Appendix F, "Comments and Responses to Comments Received on the Draft Initial Study/ Mitigated Negative Declaration for the Reading Island Boat Ramp Improvement Project."

Changes Made to the Draft IS/MND

In response to comments received, revisions were made to the Draft EA/IS, MND, and Appendix E, "Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program under the California Environmental Quality Act (MMRP)." These revisions are minor and do not affect the analysis or change the conclusions made in the Draft IS/MND. The changes described below constitute the Final IS/MND.

The changes made are listed by section and page number below. Added text is underlined.

Proposed MND - Cultural Resources Section (page 10); Initial Study Section 2.1.1.12, "Project Design Features – Cultural Resources" (page 26); Draft MMRP Section 3.0, "MMRP Summary Table" (page 13)

- Text was added to Project Design Feature CR-1 to clarify that cultural resources sensitivity training will be conducted on-site by BLM archaeology staff and a Tribal cultural monitor representing the Paskenta Band of Nomlaki Indians (Tribe), as follows:

CR-1: All field personnel working on the project shall receive on-site cultural resources sensitivity training prior to the start of operations to ensure project design features intended to protect cultural resources are understood. The training shall be provided by BLM archaeology staff and a Tribal cultural monitor representing the Paskenta Band of Nomlaki Indians, if available.

- Text was added to Project Design Feature CR-2 to clarify that at the request of consulting Tribes, Tribal cultural monitors will be present for all ground disturbing activity, as follows:

CR-2: At the request of consulting Tribes, a designated Tribal cultural monitor(s) shall be present for all ground-disturbing activities. At the request of the BLM archaeologist, project actions will also be monitored by authorized cultural resources monitor(s).

- Text was added to Project Design Feature CR-3 to ensure that the consulting Tribes are notified if previously unidentified cultural or tribal cultural resources are encountered, as follows:

CR-3: Post-Review Discoveries - If previously unidentified archaeological, cultural, or tribal cultural resources are encountered during operations all work must cease within 150 feet of the discovery and the BLM Field Manager and archaeologist, as well as the on-site Tribal cultural monitor(s), must be notified immediately. Examples of archaeological resources include but are not limited to: Native American flaked stone projectile points and tools, ground, battered or pecked stone implements; bottles, cans, ceramic, metal, and other materials that could date to 50 years or older; distinct or sudden occurrence of dark soils containing artifacts, bone, and/or shell remains; stacked or intentionally-placed rock features and excavated pits, trenches, and ditches; human remains, funerary objects, sacred objects, or objects of cultural patrimony (as defined at 43 CFR 10.2). A field examination by a professional archaeologist and Tribal cultural monitor(s) may be required and further steps for resource protection will be implemented, including mitigation and consultation with the Native American Indian community, consistent with the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act procedures, the Archaeological Resources Protection Act, and other applicable laws and regulations. Work may proceed on other parts of the project site while compliance mitigation for archaeological or Tribal resources is being carried out.

- Text was added to Project Design Feature CR-4 to ensure consulting Tribes are notified of any changes in treatment or project design, as follows:

CR-4: The BLM archaeologist and consulting Tribes must be notified prior to any change in treatment or project design and all follow-up, maintenance, or re-entry actions to ensure protection measures remain sufficient and are properly implemented.

Project Information

Project: Reading Island Boat Ramp Improvement Project

State Clearinghouse Number: 2024110747

Lead Agency: Western Shasta Resource Conservation District (WSRCD) and United States Bureau of Land Management (BLM)

Project Location: The proposed project is located within what is commonly known as Reading Island in Shasta County, California. Reading Island is located on the Balls Ferry U.S. Geological Survey 7.5-minute quadrangle in Sections 3, 4, 9, and 10 of Township 29 North, Range 3 West (coordinates 40.389340°N, -122.197773°W). Reading Island is bordered to the west by Anderson Creek, to the east by the Sacramento River (at river mile 274), and to the south by the confluence of Anderson Creek with the Sacramento River. Reading Island is generally shown on Figures 1 and 2.

Project Description: WSRCD and BLM propose to replace the original floating boat dock and improve access to an existing motorized boat ramp on Anderson Creek near its confluence with the Sacramento River. Accumulated in-stream material would be excavated to improve boat access. The ramp would be cleared of debris and sediment to create a 2-foot-wide shoulder on either side, increasing access and ease of use. Roadway shoulder improvements would allow for easier navigation on the entrance road. The development of Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)-compliant parking is also proposed. The entry gate would be updated with an automated gate for programmed opening and closing. Portable toilets may also be placed adjacent to the proposed ADA-compliant parking spaces. Depending on recreation use levels, a potential gravel overflow parking lot and permanent vault toilets are proposed, if needed.

Figure 1. Vicinity map and general location of Reading Island.




Figure 2. Reading Island Boat Ramp Location



Findings: An EA/IS was prepared to assess the proposed project's potential effects on the environment and the significance of those impacts. Based on the EA/IS, WSRCD has determined that the proposed project would not have a significant impact on the environment because mitigation measures would be implemented to reduce impacts to less-than-significant levels. This conclusion is supported by the following findings:

1. The proposed project would have no impact on:
 - Aesthetics.
 - Agricultural and forest resources.
 - Cultural resources.
 - Energy.
 - Geology and soils.
 - Hazards and hazardous materials.
 - Land use and planning.
 - Mineral resources.
 - Population and housing.
 - Public services.
 - Tribal cultural resources.
 - Utilities and service systems.
 - Wildfire.
2. The proposed project would have a less-than-significant impact on:
 - Air quality.
 - Biological resources – non-native, invasive plant species.
 - Biological resources – wetlands and other waters of the U.S and State.
 - Greenhouse gas emissions.
 - Hydrology and water quality.
 - Noise.
 - Recreation.
 - Transportation.
3. Mitigation measures have been adopted by WSRCD to reduce potentially significant impacts to less-than-significant levels on:
 - Biological resources – fisheries.
 - Biological resources – wildlife.

Mitigation Measures

The following mitigation measures will be implemented by WSRCD and BLM to avoid, minimize, and mitigate environmental impacts resulting from implementation of the proposed project. Implementation of these mitigation measures would reduce the potential environmental impacts of the proposed project to a less-than-significant level.

Biological Resources — Fisheries

Mitigation Measure Fish-1: Implement Measures to Minimize Injury or Mortality to Adult or Juvenile Fish Species.

To minimize injury or mortality to adult or juvenile fish species, the contractor shall implement the following measures:

- A qualified biologist shall be on site to monitor in-water construction activities.
- In-water construction activities shall be minimized to the greatest extent possible and shall be restricted to an in-water work window of October 1 through February 15, unless the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) and National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Fisheries specify a different in-water work window for Anderson Creek.
- Prior to beginning work within Anderson Creek, the excavator bucket shall be operated to “tap” the surface of the water, or where safe, a qualified biologist shall wade ahead of the equipment to scare fish away from the work area.
- Operation of the excavator bucket within the stream shall be conducted slowly and deliberately to allow fish time to seek refuge outside the work area.
- Consultation shall be completed with CDFW and NOAA Fisheries for potential impacts to listed salmonids and green sturgeon. CDFW and NOAA Fisheries recommended measures shall be implemented as part of the proposed project.
- If water is drafted from Anderson Creek or the Sacramento River for construction purposes, water pump intakes shall be screened in compliance with CDFW and NOAA Fisheries salmonid-screening specifications.

Mitigation Measure Fish-2: Implement Measures to Minimize Potential to Interfere with Movement of Migratory Fish Species

In-water work shall only occur up to 12 hours per day to allow a 12-hour window for fish to migrate through the work area. If turbidity curtains are used, they will be installed in a way to not inhibit fish migration through the project area.

Biological Resources — Wildlife

Mitigation Measure WILD-1: Conduct Pre-Project Bird Nest Surveys and Implement Protection Measures

The action area contains suitable nesting habitat for a multitude of species protected under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act of 1918. The regional nesting season for raptors protected under the act with the potential to occur within the action area is from January 1 through August 31; for non-raptors, nesting season in the region occurs from approximately April through August 15. Project activities that are likely to cause disturbance to nesting birds would require the following design features if the activities were implemented during the nesting season:

- *Pre-project surveys:* Project activities conducted during the nesting season would require surveys to determine the presence of active nests within or adjacent to proposed activities, no more than 7 days prior to commencing work. Surveys would be conducted by a qualified biologist.
- *Implementation of Avoidance Measures:* Where nests are found, a buffer of 250 feet should be established around the nest and maintained until birds have fledged or breeding activities have ceased. If it is determined that a smaller buffer would be sufficient to prevent impacts to nesting birds, buffer size may be adjusted by a qualified biologist, in coordination with the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) and United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). The buffer would be monitored by a biological observer until project work has ceased, or the nest is no longer active. Any incidental take of a migratory bird would be reported to CDFW and/or USFWS, as appropriate.

Mitigation Measure WILD-2: Implement Avoidance Work Window and Pre-Construction Bat Surveys Prior to Removal of Trees that Provide Suitable Roosting Habitat

Removal of trees that provide suitable bat roosting (such as trees with deep bark crevices, snags, or holes) shall be conducted between August 31 and October 30, or earlier than October 30 if evening temperatures fall below 45 degrees Fahrenheit or more than half inch of rainfall occurs within 24 hours during the month of October. If removal of trees that provide suitable roosting habitat for bats is necessary, a qualified biologist shall conduct preconstruction surveys for the presence of individuals within 24 hours prior to the start of construction. If roosting bats are discovered, the following measures shall be implemented:

- A qualified biologist shall monitor removal and trimming of trees that

provide suitable bat roosting habitat.

- Tree removal and trimming shall occur over two consecutive days.
 - Day 1:
 - Remove limbs and branches in the afternoon using chainsaws only. Limbs with cavities, crevices, or deep bark fissures shall be avoided, and only branches or limbs without those features shall be removed.
 - Day 2:
 - The entire tree shall be removed.
 - Prior to tree removal and trimming, each tree shall be shaken gently and several minutes shall pass before felling trees or limbs to allow bats time to arouse and leave the tree.
 - The biologist shall search downed vegetation for dead or injured bat species and report any dead or injured special-status bat species to CDFW.

Mitigation Measure WILD-3: Conduct Searches for Western Pond Turtle Each Day Before and During Construction Activities

Turtle searches shall be conducted each day prior to the start of construction. Searches shall include the area under and around construction equipment, as well as the planned work area for the day. If western pond turtles are encountered within the work area, work activity in the immediate vicinity will cease until any turtles have left the work area on their own or a CDFW-approved biologist moves the individual(s) out of harm's way.

Mitigation Measure WILD-4: Implement Measures for Valley Elderberry Longhorn Beetle

Protection measures shall be implemented around elderberry shrubs with stems greater than 1-inch diameter at ground level (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2017), including the following:

- No elderberry shrubs will be removed and as much as feasible, all activities adjacent to elderberry shrubs will be conducted outside the flight season of the valley elderberry longhorn beetle (March through July).
- Elderberry shrubs within and immediately adjacent to the project area will be temporarily fenced, as needed, with guidance from the designated biologist and designated as biologically sensitive areas.
- A qualified biologist will monitor the work area to assure that all avoidance and minimization measures are implemented.
- Herbicide will not be used within the dripline of the elderberry shrub. Insecticides will not be used within 98 feet of an elderberry shrub. All chemicals will be applied using a backpack sprayer or similar direct application method.
- Mechanical weed removal within the dripline of the elderberry shrub will be

limited to the season when adults are not active (August through February) and will avoid damaging the elderberry.

- Placement of excavated material shall occur in approved construction spoil area(s) more than 20 feet from the dripline of elderberry shrubs.
- Construction personnel shall ensure that dust control measures are implemented in the vicinity of any elderberry shrub within 100 feet of construction activities.

Note: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2017. Framework for Assessing Impacts to the Valley Elderberry Longhorn Beetle (*Desmocerus californicus dimorphus*).

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service; Sacramento, California. 28 pp.

<https://www.fws.gov/sites/default/files/documents/survey-guidelines-for-valley-elderberry-longhorn-beetle.pdf>.

Project Design Features

The following avoidance and minimization measures, plans, and construction best management practices (BMPs) were incorporated into the proposed project as design features, and design refinements were made accordingly, to avoid or minimize potential adverse effects to the environment during construction.

Air Quality

AQ-1: The construction contractor shall implement fugitive dust prevention and control measures, which may include the following:

- All ground-disturbing operations shall be suspended when winds exceed 20 miles per hour (mph), or when winds carry dust beyond the property line despite implementation of all feasible dust control measures.
- Traffic and equipment speeds on all unpaved surfaces shall be reduced to 15 mph or less, and unnecessary vehicle traffic shall be reduced by restricting access.
- Measures shall be implemented to reduce or eliminate carryout and trackout of fugitive dust or soil on construction vehicles, such as sweeping and picking up any trackout on adjacent public streets as needed.

AQ-2: The construction contractor shall implement construction equipment exhaust minimization measures, which may include the following:

- All construction equipment shall be maintained in proper tune according to manufacturer's specifications.
- To the extent practicable, the use of diesel construction equipment meeting current California Air Resources Board (CARB) certification standards for off-road heavy-duty diesel engines shall be maximized.
- Unnecessary vehicle idling shall be restricted to five minutes or less.
- Visible emissions from stationary diesel-powered equipment shall not exceed 40-percent opacity for more than three minutes in any one hour.

Biological Resources

VEG-1: Native plant materials (e.g. seed) may be used on site after operations have been completed.

WEED-1: As feasible, weed infestations will be inventoried and areas will be prioritized for treatment and/or avoidance as necessary, particularly in operating areas and in areas along access routes. When possible, high-risk sites will be pre-treated for weed establishment and spread before the

implementation of individual projects

WEED-2: Where available, the contractor shall use weed-free gravel and fill dirt for road work. Introduction and spread of weeds caused by moving weed-infested sand, gravel, borrow, and fill material will be avoided. One year after implementation of the proposed action, BLM will monitor for the introduction of weeds, especially *Dittrichia graveolens*, in the action area where gravel was used.

WEED-3: To prevent weed germination and establishment, native vegetation will be retained to the maximum extent practicable in and around individual project activity areas and soil disturbance will be kept to a minimum while still meeting project objectives.

WEED-4: Equipment will be cleaned or pressure washed before entering public lands, prior to engaging in individual project activities, before transport to new work areas, and before leaving the project site if operating in areas infested with weeds to remove mud, dirt, and plant parts.

WEED-5: To avoid the importation or spread of invasive weeds or non-native invasive plant species, all tools, equipment and materials required for project implementation will be washed prior to transport to the project site.

BIO-1: Native vegetation disturbance and removal shall be minimized to the greatest extent possible. Riparian vegetation along the streambank where excavation is proposed should be protected where feasible.

Cultural Resources

CR-1: All field personnel working on the project shall receive on-site cultural resources sensitivity training prior to start of operations to ensure project design features intended to protect cultural resources are understood. The training shall be provided by a Tribal cultural monitor representing the Paskenta Band of Nomlaki Indians, if available.

CR-2: At the request of consulting tribes, a designated Tribal cultural monitor(s) shall be present for all ground-disturbing activities. At the request of the BLM archaeologist, project actions will also be monitored by authorized cultural resources monitor(s).

CR-3: Post-Review Discoveries - If previously unidentified archaeological, cultural, or tribal cultural resources are encountered during operations all work must cease within 150 feet of the discovery and the BLM Field Manager and archaeologist, as well as the on-site Tribal cultural monitor(s), must be notified immediately. Examples of archaeological, cultural, or tribal cultural resources

include but are not limited to: Native American flaked stone projectile points and tools, ground, battered or pecked stone implements; bottles, cans, ceramic, metal, and other materials that could date to 50 years or older; distinct or sudden occurrence of dark soils containing artifacts, bone, and/or shell remains; stacked or intentionally-placed rock features and excavated pits, trenches, and ditches; human remains, funerary objects, sacred objects, or objects of cultural patrimony (as defined at 43 CFR 10.2). A field examination by a professional archaeologist and Tribal cultural monitor(s) may be required and further steps for resource protection will be implemented, including mitigation and consultation with the Native American Indian community, consistent with the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act procedures, the Archaeological Resources Protection Act, and other applicable laws and regulations. Work may proceed on other parts of the project site while compliance mitigation for archaeological or Tribal resources is being carried out.

CR-4: The BLM archaeologist and consulting Tribes must be notified prior to any change in treatment or project design and all follow-up, maintenance, or re-entry actions to ensure protection measures remain sufficient and are properly implemented.

Hydrology and Water Quality

WQ-1: The contractor shall prepare a stormwater pollution prevention plan (SWPPP) or Water Quality Control Program (WQCP), as appropriate, and receive approval from the lead agency prior to the start of construction. The BMPs specified by the SWPPP or WQCP shall be implemented to monitor, minimize, and prevent construction dirt, debris, stormwater runoff, and miscellaneous by-products from entering Anderson Creek.

WQ-2: The contractor shall prepare and implement a spill prevention and control plan prior to construction, which will contain measures to avoid or minimize potential chemical contamination within Anderson Creek, the Sacramento River, and its floodplain. The plan may include the following construction BMPs:

- All personnel involved in use of hazardous materials shall be trained in emergency response, spill control, and notification.
- Contractors shall have oil-absorbent and spill-containment materials on site when mechanical equipment is in operation within 100 feet of the stream and shall adhere to all required State and federal standards. If a spill occurs, no additional work shall commence in-channel until (1) the mechanical equipment is inspected by the contractor and the leak has been repaired, (2) the spill has been contained, and (3) the appropriate agencies have been contacted and have evaluated the impacts of the spill.

- Staging, storage, and refueling of vehicles and equipment shall take place outside the stream channel. Any equipment that may leak shall be stored over impermeable surfaces, if available, and drip pans (or other type of impermeable containment measure) will be placed under parked machinery and checked and replaced when necessary, to prevent drips and leaks from entering the environment.
- Machinery that enters the stream during work shall be steam cleaned, inspected daily, and properly maintained to avoid water quality contamination from the release of grease, oil, petroleum products, or other hazardous materials.
- Every reasonable precaution will be exercised to protect streams and other waters from pollution with fuels, oils, and other harmful materials. Safer alternative products (such as biodegradable hydraulic fluids) will be used where feasible.
- The use or storage of petroleum-powered equipment shall be accomplished in a manner to prevent the potential release of petroleum materials into the stream.
- Any fuel stored within the proposed action area shall be stored outside the channel in a double-walled contained vessel surrounded by a berm appropriately sized for the volume.
- Spill containment kits shall be on site at all times.

Noise

Noise-1: The contractor shall implement BMPs to minimize construction-related noise, including the following:

- All construction equipment shall be equipped with the manufacturer's specified noise-muffling devices that are properly operated and maintained.
- All construction equipment shall be stored in a designated staging area during the construction phase to eliminate daily heavy-duty truck trips on local roadways.
- Speed limits shall be established and enforced for construction vehicle traffic on Adobe Road to minimize traffic noise.

Mandatory Findings of Significance

- No substantial evidence exists that the proposed project would have a negative or adverse effect on the environment.
- The project would not substantially degrade the quality of the environment, significantly reduce the habitat for fish and wildlife species, result in fish or wildlife populations below a self-sustaining level, reduce the number or restrict the range of a special-status species, or eliminate important examples of California history or prehistory.

- The project would not have environmental effects that would cause substantial direct or indirect adverse effects on humans.
- The project would not have environmental effects that are individually limited but cumulatively considerable.


Statement of No Significant Effect

In accordance with Section 21082.1 of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), WSRCD staff have independently reviewed and analyzed the EA/IS and Proposed Mitigated Negative Declaration for the Reading Island Boat Ramp Improvement Project and find that the Environmental Assessment/Initial Study and Proposed Mitigated Negative Declaration reflect the independent judgment of WSRCD staff.

The WSRCD has reviewed the potential environmental effects of the proposed project and has determined that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there would not be a significant effect in this case because revisions to the project have been made by the project proponents, WSRCD and BLM, as described in the Proposed Mitigated Negative Declaration. The WSRCD find these mitigation measures and project design features adequate to reduce potential impacts that could occur during proposed project implementation to less than significant levels.

Furthermore, WSRCD has reviewed and considered the information contained in the Final MND, which includes the Draft IS, proposed MND, and comments received during the public review process, prior to approval of the project.


Therefore, on the basis of the whole record, WSRCD finds that there is no substantial evidence that the proposed project will have a significant effect on the environment and adopts this Mitigated Negative Declaration pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15074.



Ross Perry

Project Manager

Wester Shasta Resource Conservation District



Date