GEOTECHNICAL EXPLORATION PROPOSED WAVEGARDEN COVE 3100 IRVINE AVENUE NEWPORT BEACH, CALIFORNIA

Prepared For:

Back Barrels, LLC.

1940 Continental Avenue Costa Mesa, CA 92627

Project No. PWAS_20240507

July 19, 2024

CARL KIM GEOTECHNICAL, INC. 945 Baileyana Road Hillsborough, CA 94010 949-441-8143 carlkimgeo@gmail.com July 19, 2024

Project No. PWAS_20240507

Back Bay Barrels, LLC. 1940 Continental Avenue Costa Mesa, CA 92627

Attention: Mr. Adam Cleary

Subject: Geotechnical Exploration Proposed Wavegarden Cove 3100 Irvine Avenue Newport Beach, California

Per your request, Carl Kim Geotechnical, Inc. (Carl Kim Geo) has performed a geotechnical exploration for the subject project. The purpose of this study was to review and verify engineering properties of onsite soils, identify geologic and seismic hazards that may impact the site, and develop foundation and earthwork recommendations for the project that are in general conformance with the 2022 California Building Code (CBC).

Based on plans prepared by X Engineering and 52nd Street Consultants LLC and a "geotechnical brief" prepared by LPC, Carl Kim Geo understands that the proposed Snug Harbor project will include construction of a 13-foot-deep surf lagoon, a 3-story 50,000-square-foot clubhouse building with one subterranean level, a building for athlete lodging, two additional pools, parking lots with solar panel canopies, a service yard, pavement, landscaping, and utilities. Retaining walls are planned to achieve design grades.

The project site is located at the Newport Beach Golf Course, east of the intersection of Irvine Avenue and Mesa Drive. The project site is an irregularly shaped parcel that includes three holes, a driving range, pro shop, clubhouse, restaurant, and parking areas. The site generally slopes toward the northwest. An existing 15- to 20-foot-high slope descends from the southeast edge of the property from about Elevation (El.) +58 feet mean sea level (msl). The rest of the site generally slopes gently from about El. +50 feet msl to about El. +15 feet msl near the west corner of the property. Based on review of aerial photos, the golf course was constructed between 1972 and 1980. The site is bounded by the Santa Ana-Delhi channel and Irvine Avenue from the north, Mesa Drive from the south, and commercial properties from the southeast.

Carl Kim Geo reviewed and incorporated subsurface geotechnical data previously collected by Moore Twining and performed additional subsurface explorations. Current explorations included two hand-auger borings and seven (7) cone penetration test soundings.

This site is located in the Santa Ana Heights area adjacent to the Delhi Channel approximately ³/₄ mile north of Upper Newport Bay. Santa Ana Heights is located northwest of the San Joaquin Hills and is mapped as covered by coastal terrace deposits.

CARL KIM GEOTECHNICAL, INC. 945 Baileyana Road Hillsborough, CA 94010 949-441-8143 carlkimgeo@gmail.com The project site is underlain by engineered fill (thickness ranging from 0 to about 15 feet) described clayey sand, sandy lean clay, silty sand, and clay. The fill is underlain by late Quaternary to recent alluvium, which is underlain by Quaternary marine terrace deposits consisting primarily of lean clay with interlayers of fine to medium sand, silty sand, and silt layers.

Groundwater below the site has been encountered in temporary piezometers at approximately El. +4 to +15 feet msl. However, this water level is likely the result of a pressurized confined or semiconfined aquifer. The recommended design groundwater level for the site is at El. +8 feet msl, which is approximately two feet above the adjacent concrete-lined Delhi Channel. Widespread dewatering or lowering of a water table is not anticipated to be required. However, isolated seepage zones may be encountered in excavations.

Based on results of our study, it is our opinion that the proposed development is feasible from a geotechnical standpoint provided that the recommendations presented herein are implemented in the design and construction of the project. No evidence of extraordinarily adverse geological or geotechnical hazards at the site were noted that will preclude the development of the project as currently planned.

We appreciate the opportunity to work with you on this project. If you have any questions, or if we can be of further service, please call us at your convenience.

Respectfully submitted,

Carl Kim Geotechnical, Inc.

Carl C. Kim Senior Principal Engineer



Hahm R. Hillst

Andrew Hillstrand Consulting Engineering Geologist

ARH/CCK

Distribution: (4) Addressee

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Section</u>	<u> </u>		<u>P</u>	<u>age</u>	
1.0	INTRO	DUCTIO	N	1	
	1.1	.1 Site Location and Project Description			
	1.2	Purpos	e and Scope	1	
		Task 1	- Document Review	1	
		Task 2	-Subsurface Exploration and Laboratory Testing	2	
		Task 3	-Geologic/Seismic Hazards Evaluation	2	
		Task 4	- Engineering Analysis and Report	2	
2.0	GEOLC	DGIC CC	ONDITIONS	3	
	2.1	Geolog	ic Setting	3	
	2.2	Site Ge	eology	3	
	2.3	Ground	lwater	4	
3.0	GEOLOGIC HAZARDS				
	3.1	Faulting	g and Seismicity	6	
		3.1.1	Surface Rupture Hazard	6	
		3.1.2	Historical Seismicity	7	
		3.1.3	Seismicity	7	
	3.2	Second	lary Seismic Hazards	9	
		3.2.1	Liquefaction	9	
		3.2.2	Seismically-Induced Settlement	9	
		3.2.3	Lateral Spreading or Flow Failure	9	
		3.2.4	Seismically-Induced Landslides	10	
		3.2.5	Seiches and Tsunamis	10	
	3.3	Floodin	g Hazards	10	
	3.4	Expans	ive Soils	11	

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

Section

<u>Page</u>

	3.5	Corrosi	ve Soils	11
	3.6	Subsur	face Gases	11
	3.7	Subside	ence	11
4.0	CONCL	USION	S	12
5.0	RECO	MEND	ATIONS	13
	5.1	Earthw	ork and Grading	13
		5.1.1	Site Preparation	13
		5.1.2	Subgrade Preparation	14
		5.1.3	Fill Materials	15
		5.1.4	Fill Placement and Compaction	15
		5.1.5	Shrinkage	16
		5.1.5	Reuse of concrete and Asphalt in Fill	16
	5.2	Shoring	J	16
		5.2.1	Lateral Earth Pressures	16
		5.2.2	Surcharge Pressure from adjacent buildings	17
		5.2.3	Design of Soldier Piles	17
		5.2.4	Lagging	18
		5.2.5	Deflection	18
		5.2.6	Monitoring	18
	5.3	Founda	ations	19
		5.3.1	Spread Footings	19
		5.3.3	Flagpole Type Foundations	20
		5.3.4	Auger Pressure Grouted Piles	20

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

Section

6.0

<u>Page</u>

5.4	Slabs-	on-Grade	23
5.5	Ceme	nt Type and Corrosion Protection	24
5.6	Latera	I Earth Pressures	24
5.7	Pavem	nent Design	25
	5.7.1	Asphalt Concrete Paving	25
	5.7.2	Portland Cement Concrete Paving	26
	5.7.3	Specifications	26
5.8	Tempo	prary Excavations	26
5.9	Trench	n Backfill	27
5.10	Draina	ge and Landscaping	28
5.11	Additic	onal Geotechnical Services	28
LIMITA	TIONS		29

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

Section

Figures

Figure 1 – Site Location	Rear of Text
Figure 2 – Aerial Photos	Rear of Text
Figure 3 – Geologic Man	Rear of Text
Figure 4 Historic High Groundwater Level	Rear of Text
Figure 5 – Pagianal Faulta	Real of Text
Figure 6 – Regional Faults	
	Rear of Text
Figure 7 – Seismic Hazards	Rear of Text
Figure 8 – Tsunami Hazard	Rear of Text
Figure 9 – Flood Hazards	Rear of Text
Figure 10 – Dam Inundation	Rear of Text
<u>Plates</u>	
Plate 1 – Explorations	Rear of Text
Plate 2 – Cross-Sections A-A through F-F'	Rear of Text
Appendices	
Appendix A – References	Rear of Text
Appendix B – Explorations	Rear of Text
Appendix C – Laboratory Tests	Rear of Text
Appendix D – Seismic Design Parameters	Rear of Text
Appendix E – Liquefaction Analysis	Rear of Text
Appendix F – Earthwork Grading Guide Specifications	Rear of Text
Appendix G – Foundations	Rear of Text

<u>Page</u>

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 SITE LOCATION AND PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The project site (latitude + 33.6585°, longitude -117.8819°) is located within a portion of the Newport Beach Golf Course located at 3100 Irvine Avenue in Newport Beach (Figure 1 – Site Location) (Figure 2 – Aerial Photos). The irregularly shaped property includes three holes, a driving range, pro shop, clubhouse, restaurant, and parking areas. The site generally slopes toward the northwest. An existing 15- to 20-foot-high slope descends from the southeast edge of the property from about Elevation (El.) +58 feet mean sea level (msl). The rest of the site generally slopes gently from about El. +50 feet msl to about El. +15 feet msl near the west corner of the property. Based on review of aerial photos, the golf course was constructed between 1972 and 1980. The site is bounded by the Santa Ana-Delhi channel and Irvine Avenue from the north, Mesa Drive from the south, and commercial properties from the southeast.

Based on preliminary plans and specifications for the project, Carl Kim Geo understands that the proposed Snug Harbor project will include construction of a 13-foot-deep surf lagoon, a 3-story 50,000-square-foot clubhouse building with one subterranean level, a building for athlete lodging, two additional pools, parking lots with solar panel canopies, a service yard, pavement, landscaping, and utilities. Segmental and conventional retaining walls are planned to achieve design grades. A plinth structure approximately 40 feet wide by 350 feet long will be constructed along the central axis of the lagoon to generate waves. The plinth structure will be tied in with a continuous footing that will span the remaining length of the lagoon. The proposed project elements are shown on Plate 1, Explorations.

1.2 PURPOSE AND SCOPE

The purpose of this study was to characterize engineering properties of onsite soils, identify geologic and seismic hazards impacting the site, and develop geotechnical recommendations for foundations and earthwork. The tasks completed as part of this study are described below in more detail.

TASK 1 - DOCUMENT REVIEW

Carl Kim Geo reviewed preliminary plans and specifications prepared by X Engineering, 52nd Street Consultants LLC, and La Playa Consulting Inc. (LPC). In addition, previous geotechnical data gathered by Moore Twining Associates (2019, 2020) were reviewed and incorporated into this report. The documents reviewed are referenced in Appendix A.

TASK 2 -SUBSURFACE EXPLORATION AND LABORATORY TESTING

Current exploration included seven (7) cone penetration test (CPT) soundings, sampling of the near-surface hand excavations for each of the CPTs, and two (2) hand-auger borings advanced to obtain representative subsurface data for grading and foundation design in addition to prior explorations conducted by others. Prior exploration data by Moore Twining included logs from 26 hollow stem auger borings and four CPT soundings. Six (6) of the hollow-stem-auger borings were converted to temporary piezometers. Explorations ranged in depth from 4 to 75 feet below ground surface (bgs). Exploration locations are shown on Plate 1 and logs of current and prior explorations are attached in Appendix B, Explorations.

Laboratory test results from current and prior explorations are attached in Appendix C, Laboratory Tests. The testing included:

- Soil classification (ASTM D2488);
- Moisture and density (ASTM D 2216 and D 2937);
- Expansion Index (ASTM D 4829);
- Direct Shear (ASTM D3080);
- Consolidation (ASTM D 2435);
- Compaction (ASTM D 1557); and
- R-value (CTM 301).

TASK 3 – GEOLOGIC/SEISMIC HAZARDS EVALUATION

Using available geologic data, we have developed information on the general geologic conditions beneath the project including the locations of documented active and potentially active faults near the site. This study addresses the potential for primary earthquake hazards (ground shaking and surface rupture) and secondary earthquake hazards (liquefaction, seismic settlement, seiches, and earthquake-induced landsliding) impacting the site. Seismic design parameters are attached in Appendix D.

TASK 4 - ENGINEERING ANALYSIS AND REPORT

The results of subsurface exploration, laboratory testing, geologic-seismic hazards, and geotechnical design recommendations are summarized below.

2.0 GEOLOGIC CONDITIONS

2.1 GEOLOGIC SETTING

The property is located in the Peninsular Ranges physiographic province of California. This geomorphic province is characterized by north-northwest trending geologic grain, meaning that its primary faults, folds, mountains and valleys are all aligned in north-northwest direction.

The site is located northwest of the pediment of the San Juaquin Hills in the Santa Ana Heights area, approximately ³/₄-mile north of Upper Newport Bay. According to regional geologic mapping by Douglas M. Morton and others of the United States Geological Survey (USGS, 2006), the Santa Ana Heights area consists of "old paralic deposits overlain by alluvial-fan deposits". A regional scale geologic map compiled by the indicates the site is underlain by Quaternary-age marine terrace deposits that may or may not be covered by river-derived alluvium in places. The older rock units underlying the region (e.g. Monterey, Topanga Formations, etc.) are generally folded into a series of anticlines and synclines with axes that trend and plunge toward the northwest roughly parallel to the Newport-Inglewood Fault Zone and Whittier-Elsinore Fault system to the north (Yerkes, 1965) (USGS, 2006).

The regional geologic conditions of the site and vicinity are shown on Figure 3, Geology.

2.2 SITE GEOLOGY

An improved concrete culvert known as the Santa Ana-Delhi Channel traverses the northwest and west edges of the site. The drainage course was known as the Delhi Drainage Ditch for some time prior to improvements (USGS, 1932). This drainage system locally formed the topographically lowest portion of the site as it meanders and drains from north to south generally toward the Upper Newport Bay (aka upper Back Bay). Aerial photos and geomorphology indicate the active channel and lowest fluvial flood plain (where Holocene to recent alluvial deposits would be deposited) was 250 to 300 feet wide extending from roughly the present Santa Ana-Delhi Channel southeastward (Figure 2 – Aerial Photos).

Based on aerial photos reviewed (Appendix A) the site appears to have been used historically for agriculture and was fallow for several decades before it was developed into the Newport Beach Golf Course around or just before 1980. It appears that grading was performed along the periphery of the site and for construction of onsite infrastructure.

Based on subsurface explorations, field mapping, and review of historic maps and aerials photos, earth materials at the site consist of man-made fill, alluvium, and older terrace deposits. The identified and interpreted limits of the earth materials are shown on Plates 1 and 2. The materials underlying the site are described below and in Appendix B.

<u>Undocumented Artificial Fill (afu)</u>: The site is mantled by variable thicknesses of manmade fill. Fill was identified in B-3, B-5, B-11, and B-19. A maximum of approximately 15 feet of fill was identified in B-11. Note that fill is expected to occur in other areas explored but was not specifically labeled in many of the explorations. As encountered, fill soils vary from soft to stiff sandy lean clay, and loose to dense and silty sand and clayey sand that is generally moist.

<u>Quaternary Alluvium (Map Symbol - Qal)</u>: Quaternary to recent age alluvium encountered in site explorations consisted of layers of lean clay, sandy lean clay, clayey sand, silty sand, and poorly graded sands. Soils with notable organic content were logged in B-3, B-5, B-16, and CKG CPT-2. The materials were generally slightly moist to moist. Fine-grained soils varied from soft to stiff while granular soils encountered were logged as medium dense.

<u>Quaternary Terrace Deposits (Map Symbol - Qt)</u>: Quaternary-age terrace deposits encountered in site explorations consisted of layers of lean clay, sandy lean clay, and fat clay with interlayers or intermixed zones of silty sand, poorly graded sand, and silt. The materials were generally moist. Fine-grained soils varied from soft to hard while granular soils encountered were logged as medium dense to very dense.

2.3 GROUNDWATER

According to the California Geological Survey (CGS, 1997a), the historic high groundwater level in the vicinity of the site is approximately 10 feet bgs (Figure 4 – Historic High Groundwater Level).

Subsurface explorations indicate groundwater levels below the site are variable. Explorations appear to intersect laterally discontinuous aquiclude materials confined by clay layers. At most locations where granular materials were encountered, water levels appear to rise above granular soil layers. Six temporary piezometers were constructed in 2020 at B-17, B-18, B-19, B-22, B-23, and B-24 (Moore Twining, 2020a,b).

Available groundwater level measurements from prior explorations and six temporary piezometers are tabulated in Appendix B. Measured water levels and interpreted and perched water zone are also discussed below and shown on Plate 1 and 2.

A pore dissipation test was conducted in CKG CPT-3 at 55.92 feet bgs May 28, 2024 indicates groundwater in the zone tested had the potential to rise to approximate EI. +6 feet msl. Three accessible existing temporary piezometers were sounded by Carl Kim Geo May 28, 2024. The highest level measured was in B-24 at a depth of 18.52 feet below top of casing (approximate EI. +9.5 feet msl).

Free water was encountered in 7 of 26 hollow stem auger borings. The highest measured groundwater levels were in borings B-9 and B-11 in 2019 at depths of 18 and 20 feet bgs, respectively. The corresponding calculated (2019) groundwater levels are at approximately EI. +14 to +16 feet msl.

The water level measured in B-9 appears to be a localized perched water zone. Water levels below the site vary from unobserved to El. +15 feet msl. Given the variability of water levels across the site and the presence of the adjacent Delhi Channel with a flow line at approximately El. +6 feet msl, the recommended design groundwater level is 15 feet bgs.

Perched water and groundwater would be expected to occur where granular soils are encountered. Fluctuation of the groundwater level and localized zones of perched water should be anticipated below grade. Irrigation of landscaped areas can also impact local groundwater levels or likelihood of perched water or seepage to be encountered in excavations.

3.0 GEOLOGIC HAZARDS

Geologic hazards include surface faulting, ground lurching, seismic shaking, landslides, liquefaction, seismically-induced settlement, lateral spreading, seismically-induced landslides, flooding, expansive soils, corrosive soils, and soil gas. The following sections discuss these hazards and their potential impacts at the site in more detail.

3.1 FAULTING AND SEISMICITY

In general, the primary seismic hazards for sites in the region include strong ground shaking and surface fault rupture. Our discussion of faults potentially impacting the site is prefaced with a discussion of California legislation and state policies concerning the classification and land-use criteria associated with faults. By definition of the California Geological Survey (CGS), an active fault is a fault which has had surface displacement within Holocene time (about the last 11,000 years). Similarly, a fault whose recency of past movement is older than 11,700 years is a pre-Holocene fault, and does not meet State criteria as "active." Age-undetermined faults are those whose age of most recent movement is not known and is unconstrained. These updated definitions were necessary to eliminate agency and practitioner confusion for fault investigation reports as mandated by the Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Faulting Zones Act of 1972 (AP Act) and recently revised Special Publication 42 (CGS, 2018). The intent of this act is to prevent siting of habitable structures across traces of "active" faults.

3.1.1 SURFACE RUPTURE HAZARD

According to the State of California Earthquake Fault Zones maps), the site is not located within a currently established Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zone. Therefore, a surface fault rupture hazard evaluation is not mandated for this site.

The closest zoned active fault is a segment of the Newport-Inglewood-Rose Canyon Fault Zone approximately 5.6 miles to the west (CGS, 1997a,b). Inferred/buried strands of the Newport-Inglewood-Rose Canyon Fault Zone are mapped trending south of the site but are not currently zoned as active. The closest mapped trace is approximately 0.9 mile south of the site. No photo lineaments or other geomorphic evidence of active or potentially active faults intersecting the site were observed or recognized as part of our review of aerial photos and historic topographic maps; therefore, potential for surface fault rupture at the site is expected to be low.

Major active and potentially active faults in the site vicinity are shown on Figure 5, *Regional Faults*.

3.1.2 HISTORICAL SEISMICITY

Although Southern California has been seismically active during the past 200 years, written accounts of only the strongest shocks survive the early part of this period. Early descriptions of earthquakes are rarely specific enough to allow an association with any particular fault zone. It is also not possible to precisely locate epicenters of earthquakes that have occurred prior to the twentieth century.

A search of historical earthquakes was performed using the USGS database (<u>https://earthquake.usgs.gov/earthquakes/search/</u>) for the time period between 1769 and the present. Within that time frame, 353 earthquakes of magnitude 4 or greater were found within a 100-kilometer radius of the site (Figure 6, *Historical Seismicity*).

3.1.3 SEISMICITY

The principal seismic hazard to the site is ground shaking resulting from an earthquake occurring along any of several major active and potentially active faults in southern California (Figure 5). The intensity of ground shaking at a given location depends primarily upon the earthquake magnitude, the distance from the source, and the site response characteristics. Accordingly, design of the project should be performed in accordance with all applicable current codes and standards utilizing the appropriate seismic design parameters to reduce seismic risk as defined by California Geological Survey (CGS) Chapter 2 of Special Publication 117A (CGS, 2008). The 2022 edition of the California Building Code (CBC) is the current edition of the code. Through compliance with these regulatory requirements and the utilization of appropriate seismic design parameters selected by the design professionals, potential effects relating to seismic shaking can be reduced.

The following code-based seismic parameters should be considered for design under the 2022 CBC:

Categorization/Coefficient	Code-Based
Site Latitude	+33.6587°
Site Longitude	-117.8826°
Site Class	D
Mapped Spectral Response Acceleration at Short Period (0.2 sec), S_S	1.311 g
Mapped Spectral Response Acceleration at Long Period (1 sec), S_1	0.468 g
Short Period (0.2 sec) Site Coefficient, Fa	1.0
Long Period (1 sec) Site Coefficient, F_v	1.832 ¹
Adjusted Spectral Response Acceleration at Short Period (0.2 sec), S _{MS}	1.311 g
Adjusted Spectral Response Acceleration at Long Period (1 sec), S_{M1}	0.858 ¹ g
Design Spectral Response Acceleration at Short Period (0.2 sec), S_{DS}	0.874 g
Design Spectral Response Acceleration at Long Period (1 sec), S_{D1}	0.572 ¹ g
Site Amplification Factor, F _{PGA}	1.1
Site Modified Peak Ground Acceleration, PGA _M	0.620 g

2022 CBC Map) Based	Ground	Motion	Parameters
--------------	---------	--------	--------	------------

¹ See Section 11.4.8 of ASCE 7-16. A site-specific ground motion hazard analysis in accordance with Section 21.2 of ASCE 7-16 is required for this site. Per Supplement 3 to ASCE 7-16, a site-specific ground motion hazard analysis is not required where the value of the parameters S_{M1} and S_{D1} in the table are increased by 50%.

The site is located within a seismically active region, as is all of Southern California. Based on the available subsurface information for the site, the site was designated as Site Class D. Details are presented in Appendix D.

3.2 SECONDARY SEISMIC HAZARDS

In general, secondary seismic hazards for sites in the region could include soil liquefaction, seismically-induced settlement, lateral spreading, landsliding, seiches and tsunamis. These potential secondary seismic hazards are discussed below.

3.2.1 LIQUEFACTION

Liquefaction is a seismic phenomenon in which loose, saturated, fine-grained granular soils behave similarly to a fluid when subjected to high-intensity ground shaking. Liquefaction occurs when three general conditions exist: 1) shallow groundwater; 2) low density, fine, clean sandy soils; and 3) high-intensity ground motion. Studies indicate that saturated, loose and medium dense, near-surface cohesionless soils exhibit the highest liquefaction potential, while dry, dense, cohesionless soils and cohesive soils exhibit low to negligible liquefaction potential.

As shown on Figure 7, *Seismic Hazards*, a liquefaction hazard zone is delineated by the State of California (CGS, 1997a) along the northwest edge of the site. Based on our site-specific evaluation using a design high groundwater at 15 feet bgs, PGA_M , and a mean magnitude of 6.7, liquefaction hazard is deemed low. The results are presented in Appendix E.

3.2.2 SEISMICALLY-INDUCED SETTLEMENT

Seismically-induced settlement consists of dynamic settlement of unsaturated soil (above groundwater) and liquefaction-induced settlement (below groundwater). These settlements occur primarily within low density sandy soil due to reduction in volume during and shortly after an earthquake event.

Based on our evaluation using the historic high groundwater level of 15 feet bgs, PGA_M , and a mean magnitude of 6.7, the potential total earthquake-induced settlement is estimated to be less than $\frac{1}{2}$ inch (Appendix E). Moore Twining's CPT-3 indicated over 1 inch of seismically-induced settlement but most of the settlement occurred within the undocumented fill in the upper 10 feet, which will be removed and replaced as engineered fill during grading. The differential settlement can be taken as half the total settlement over a horizontal distance of 30 feet.

3.2.3 LATERAL SPREADING OR FLOW FAILURE

Liquefaction may also cause lateral spreading. For lateral spreading to occur, the liquefiable zone must be continuous, unconstrained laterally, and free to move along

gently sloping ground toward an unconfined area. Because liquefaction hazard is low, the potential for lateral spreading is deemed low.

3.2.4 SEISMICALLY-INDUCED LANDSLIDES

As shown on Figure 7, *Seismic Hazards*, the site is not mapped within a seismicallyinduced landslide hazard zone identified by the State of California (CGS, 1999). In addition, due to project site lacking significant slopes, it is our opinion that the potential for seismically-induced landslide hazard at the site is low.

3.2.5 SEICHES AND TSUNAMIS

Seiches are large waves generated in enclosed bodies of water in response to ground shaking. Tsunamis are waves generated in large bodies of water by fault displacement or major ground movement. Once built, the Wavegarden lagoon will be an enclosed body of water subject to accelerations from ground movements. An area immediately south of Mesa Drive is within a tsunami hazard Zone (Figure 8, *Tsunami Hazard*). As such, the site and periphery are subject to low to moderate seiche and/or tsunami hazards.

3.3 FLOODING HAZARDS

According to a Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) flood insurance rate map (FEMA, 2008), the project site is located within a flood hazard area identified as "Zone X", which is defined as an area of minimal flood hazard. Regionally, storm runoff flow is generally directed to the south toward Upper Newport Bay. As shown on Figure 9, *Flood Hazards*, the site is **not** located within a flood hazard zone.

Earthquake-induced flooding can be caused by failure of dams or other water-retaining structures as a result of earthquakes. The site is not mapped within modeled inundation zone associated with proximal reservoirs (Figure 10). Therefore, the risk of seismically-induced flooding due to dam failure is considered very low.

3.4 EXPANSIVE SOILS

Expansive soils contain significant amounts of clay particles that swell considerably when wetted and which shrink when dried. Foundations constructed on these soils are subject to uplifting forces caused by the swelling. Without proper mitigation measures, heaving and cracking of both building foundations and slabs-on-grade could result.

Prior laboratory testing indicates that site soils have very low to medium expansion potential. Low to medium plasticity clays were encountered in explorations. Expansion Index test results range from 0 to 74 and are included in Appendix C.

3.5 CORROSIVE SOILS

Results of corrosion testing are included in Appendix C. The underlying soil should be assumed to be moderately corrosive to buried ferrous metals per ASTM STP 1013. Concrete in contact with the soil is expected to have severe (S2) exposure to sulfate attack per ACI 318 (ACI, 2019). An exposure class of C1 may be assumed for concrete in contact with soil exposed to moisture per ACI 318 but not to external sources of chlorides.

3.6 SUBSURFACE GASES

Based on review of State of California Geologic Energy Management Division (CalGEM, formerly DOGGR) records, the project site is not located within an oil field boundary (CalGEM, 2024). Accordingly, the potential for methane hazards to affect the site is low.

3.7 SUBSIDENCE

Based on review of referenced reports the site is not within an area of known significant subsidence associated with groundwater or petroleum withdrawal, peat oxidation, or hydro-compaction.

4.0 CONCLUSIONS

Based on the results of our study, it is our opinion that the proposed project is feasible from a geotechnical standpoint. In our opinion, the following geotechnical factors should be considered:

- The project site is underlain by variable thicknesses of fill in areas up to 15 feet in thickness. The fill is described as sandy clay and silty sand. The fill is underlain by Quaternary age and younger alluvium and Quaternary age marine terrace deposits consisting of low plasticity clay, sandy clay, silt, and some sand layers.
- Our review of the geologic literature (Appendix A) indicates there are no known active faults that intersect the site. In addition, site-specific data does not indicate the presence of faulting at the site.
- The main seismic hazard that may affect the site is strong ground shaking.
- Groundwater appears to occur in discrete confined layers at different elevations across the site. Perched water may occur at the site at approximately El. +16 feet msl. Design groundwater may be assumed at 15 feet below the existing ground surface.
- The expansion potential of near-surface onsite soils is expected to be low to medium.
- The onsite soils are expected to be severely corrosive to buried ferrous metals and have moderate sulfate exposure to concrete.
- Due to shallow groundwater, the presence of thick clay layers underlying the site that would be expected to have very low to no permeability, and evidence of pressurized aquifers below the site, stormwater infiltration is deemed infeasible.
- Existing temporary piezometers are a potential conduit for groundwater migration and nuisance conditions for the Wavegarden project.
- The planned grading will place up to 20 feet of new fill to establish design elevations along the north side of the site. Raising the ground surface elevation at the site will induce settlement. We estimate about an inch of settlement per foot of new fill placed to raise site grades. Accordingly, we recommend that the rough site grading be performed as far in advance as possible before construction of the proposed improvements.

5.0 **RECOMMENDATIONS**

The proposed development is feasible from a geotechnical standpoint, provided that the recommendations presented in this report are properly incorporated in design and construction.

The recommendations presented below are based upon the exhibited geotechnical engineering properties of the soils and their anticipated response both during and after construction. The recommendations are also based upon proper field observation and testing during construction. The project geotechnical engineer should be notified of suspected variances in field conditions to determine the effect upon the recommendations subsequently presented. These recommendations are considered minimal and may be superseded by more restrictive requirements of the civil and structural engineers, the City of Newport Beach, and other governing agencies.

Carl Kim Geo should review the grading and foundation plans and project specifications as they become available to verify that the recommendations presented in this report have been incorporated into the plans for this project.

5.1 EARTHWORK AND GRADING

We recommend that earthwork on the site be performed in accordance with the recommendations presented in this report and the project specifications as prepared by others. The *Earthwork and Grading Guide Specifications* included in Appendix F may be used for guidance in developing the project specifications. If conflict arises, the recommendations in Appendix F shall be superseded by the project specifications, recommendations contained in this report and/or the City of Newport Beach requirements, whichever is more stringent. All site grading should be performed in accordance with the applicable local codes and in accordance with the project specifications that are prepared by the appropriate design professional.

5.1.1 SITE PREPARATION

Prior to clearing of the site, existing piezometers B-17, B-18, B-19, B-22, B-23, and B-24 should be destroyed and sealed in accordance with state and local requirements.

After the site is cleared, the soils should be carefully observed for the removal of all unsuitable deposits. We recommend that after removal of pavements and hardscape, and complete demolition of existing structures within the proposed improvement footprints, all undocumented fill soils should be excavated from these proposed improvement footprints, which is expected to occur over most of the northern half of the site. Undocumented fill was encountered as deep as 15 feet bgs in the borings. Deeper fill may be encountered between boring locations.

Overexcavation is not required for footings established directly on undisturbed natural soils. Any underground obstructions encountered should be removed. Those lines should be removed or rerouted where interfering with proposed construction. It is essential that excavation does not undermine foundations of any existing infrastructure that will remain in place along the boundaries of the project. As-built details of any structure to remain should be provided to Carl Kim Geo and the structural engineer prior to incorporation into the new design.

Areas outside the structure footprint limits, planned for new asphalt and/or concrete pavement or pavers, should be over-excavated to a minimum depth of 24 inches below existing or finish grade or 18 inches below proposed pavement sections, whichever is deeper.

5.1.2 SUBGRADE PREPARATION

Excavation bottoms should be observed by Carl Kim Geo prior to placement of any backfill or new construction. After overexcavations are completed, and prior to fill placement, exposed surfaces should be scarified to a minimum depth of 6 inches, moistureconditioned to 2 to 4 percent above optimum moisture content, and recompacted to a minimum 90 percent relative compaction as determined by ASTM D1557 standard test method (modified Proctor compaction curve).

Based on the explorations (Appendix B) saturated subgrade conditions are expected in deep excavations for undocumented fill removal and the planned basement for the 3-story building, which will require stabilization for support of engineered fill or new structures. Adjustment to the stabilization limits should be anticipated based on observed performance during stabilization. The stabilization methodology may vary and it is the contractor's responsibility to achieve a non-yielding compacted subgrade prior to fill placement or foundation construction. While the laboratory-indicated moisture contents alone may not cause subgrade instability, the exposed moisture conditions may vary from what is currently reported. As such, we provide this information for planning purposes. The following proven geotechnical solution may be considered should subgrade instability occur during grading.

Rock Stabilization: If saturated subgrade conditions exist at the bottom of excavation, a 4- to 6-inch layer of 2- to 3-inch crushed rock should be placed in the excavation. Rock should be mechanically compacted under the weight of the equipment to push the rock into the underlying clay soils. Vibratory equipment should <u>not be used</u> to work in the rock blanket as the vibrations may aggravate locally soft saturated clays causing pumping conditions to expand laterally and destabilize the subgrade further. Clay soils removed from the excavation will require drying prior to reuse and are not considered suitable for use behind retaining walls.

Depending upon the degree of subgrade instability, should it occur, the initial lift may completely penetrate the subgrade, and additional lifts will be necessary. Alternatively, the quantity of material may be reduced if a geogrid or geotextile fabric is considered to provide additional reinforcement effect after the placement of the initial lift. Geogrid or geotextile reinforcement should be placed with a minimum 3 feet of overlap between adjacent panels extending a distance of at least 5 feet beyond the footprint on all sides.

5.1.3 FILL MATERIALS

On-site soil that is free of construction debris, organics, or rock larger than 4 inches in largest dimension is suitable to be used as fill for support of structures. Onsite clayey soils with an Expansion Index greater than 20 should not be used within 2 feet of concrete slabs-on-grade to avoid potential for lightly loaded concrete slabs to heave. Any imported fill soil should be approved by the geotechnical engineer prior to import or use onsite. Import soils should be uncontaminated, granular in nature, free of organic material (loss on ignition less than 2 percent), have a very low expansion potential (with an El of 20 or lower) and have a low corrosion impact to the proposed improvements.

Because of the medium expansive nature of some onsite clay soils, precautions should be taken to reduce the potential heaving of concrete slabs on grade if clay soil is exposed in the subgrade. A 24-inch-thick layer of relatively non-expansive, predominantly granular soils is recommended immediately beneath concrete walks and slabs on grade, including Portland cement concrete paving. This select, non-expansive granular soil should contain sufficient fines as to be relatively impermeable when compacted. Material of this type was observed onsite within the undocumented fill encountered at the boring locations. This granular undocumented fill material may be reused onsite.

5.1.4 FILL PLACEMENT AND COMPACTION

All fill soil should be placed in thin, loose lifts, moisture-conditioned, as necessary, to 2 to 4 percent above optimum moisture content, and compacted to a minimum 90% relative compaction as determined by ASTM D 1557 standard test method (modified Proctor compaction curve) within building footprints. Aggregate base for pavement sections should be compacted to a minimum of 95% relative compaction. At least the upper 12 inches of the exposed soils in roadways and access drives, parking and (concrete –paver) flatwork areas, should be compacted to at least 95 percent relative compaction based on ASTM Test Method D 1557.

5.1.5 SHRINKAGE

The change in volume of excavated and recompacted soil varies according to soil type and location. This volume change is represented as a percentage increase (bulking) or decrease (shrinkage) in volume of fill after removal and recompaction. Field and laboratory data used in our calculations included laboratory-measured maximum dry density for the general soil type encountered at the subject site, the measured in-place densities of near surface soils encountered and our experience.

Based upon the results of the in-place density and the moisture-density relationship exhibited by representative bulk samples of the near surface soils, recompaction of the soils is anticipated to result in volume shrinkage in the range of 10 to 15 percent. The estimated shrinkage does not include material losses due to removal of organic material or other unsuitable bearing materials (debris, rubble, oversize material greater than 6-inches) and the actual shrinkage that occurs during grading may vary throughout the site.

5.1.5 REUSE OF CONCRETE AND ASPHALT IN FILL

Pulverized demolition concrete free of rebar and other materials and demolished asphalt pavement can be pulverized to particles no-larger-than (\leq) 3-inches and mixed with site soils for use in compacted fill. Blended pulverized concrete and asphalt should be mixed with at least 25% soils by weight. Such materials must be free of and segregated from any hazardous materials and/or organic material of any kind.

5.2 SHORING

A shoring system for the site may consist of soldier piles and lagging. Soldier piles may consist of steel H-beams vibrated into place or set in pre-drilled holes and backfilled with lean-mix concrete to the ground surface. If the depth of the excavation is less than about 20 feet, tieback anchors or internal bracing will not be required. Due to shallow groundwater, the potential for caving below groundwater may pose difficulties in the installation of soldier piles set in pre-drilled holes. Accordingly, the shoring contractor should be prepared to use special techniques and measures, if necessary, to permit the proper installation of the soldier piles.

5.2.1 LATERAL EARTH PRESSURES

For design of cantilevered shoring, where the surface of the backfill is level, it can be assumed that drained soils will exert a lateral pressure equal to that developed by a fluid with a density of 40 pounds per cubic foot (pcf). For design of braced shoring, where the surface of the backfill is level, it can be assumed that drained soils will exert a uniform lateral pressure of 30 pounds per square feet (psf). In addition to the recommended earth

pressure, the shoring should be designed to resist any applicable surcharge loads due to foundation, storage, traffic, or other anticipated loads.

In addition to the recommended earth pressure, the upper 10 feet of shoring adjacent to streets should be designed to resist a uniform lateral pressure 100 psf, acting as a result of an assumed 300 psf surcharge behind the shoring due to normal street traffic. If the traffic is kept back at least 10 feet from the shoring, the traffic surcharge may be neglected. We can determine lateral surcharge pressures for specific cases, such as construction crane, concrete trucks, and other heavy construction equipment adjacent to shoring, if requested.

5.2.2 SURCHARGE PRESSURE FROM ADJACENT BUILDINGS

Where existing building foundations are within a 1:1 plane projected upward from the bottom of the planned shoring and basement walls, a lateral surcharge load should be applied to the active earth pressure to account for the pressure imposed by the foundation. To calculate the design surcharge pressures from adjacent building foundations, the tributary loading area may be assumed to extend from the shoring a distance equal to the depth of excavation. Gravity (dead plus live) loads from the existing building foundations within the tributary loading area should be included in the evaluation of surcharge loads. A coefficient of 0.45 may be used to convert gravity loads to horizontal surcharge loads. The horizontal surcharge load should be applied at a depth equal to 1/3 of the shored excavation height.

5.2.3 DESIGN OF SOLDIER PILES

For the design of soldier piles spaced at least two diameters on centers (OC), the allowable lateral bearing value (passive value) of the soils below the level of excavation may be assumed to be 500 psf at the excavated surface, up to a maximum of 5,000 psf. To develop the full lateral value, provisions should be taken to assure firm contact between the soldier piles and the undisturbed soils. The concrete placed in the soldier pile excavations may be a lean-mix concrete. However, the concrete used in that portion of the soldier pile which is below the planned excavated level should be of sufficient strength to adequately transfer the imposed loads from the soldier pile to the surrounding soils.

The frictional resistance between the soldier piles and the retained earth may be used in resisting the downward component of the design load. The coefficient of friction between the soldier piles and the retained earth may be taken as 0.3. This value is based on the assumption that uniform full bearing will be developed between the steel soldier beam and the lean-mix concrete and between the lean-mix concrete and the retained earth. In addition, provided that the portion of the soldier piles below the excavated level is

backfilled with structural concrete, the soldier piles below the excavated level may be used to resist downward loads. The frictional resistance between the concrete soldier piles and the soils below the excavated level may be taken as equal to 500 psf.

5.2.4 LAGGING

Continuous lagging will be required between the soldier piles. Careful installation of the lagging will be necessary to achieve bearing against the retained earth.

The soldier piles should be designed for the full anticipated lateral pressure. However, the pressure on the lagging will be less due to arching in the soils. For clear spans up to 8 feet, we recommend that the lagging be designed for a semi-circular distribution of earth pressure where the maximum pressure is 400 psf at the midline between soldier piles, and 0 psf at the soldier piles.

5.2.5 DEFLECTION

It is difficult to accurately predict the amount of deflection of a shored embankment. It should be realized, however, that some deflection will occur. To help protect adjacent existing buildings and infrastructure, the maximum allowable horizontal shoring deflection as measured at the top of the excavation is $\frac{1}{2}$ inch.

If greater deflection occurs during construction, additional bracing may be necessary to minimize settlement of adjacent structures and of any utilities in the adjacent streets. To reduce the deflection of the shoring, if desired, a greater active pressure could be used in the shoring design.

5.2.6 MONITORING

Some means of monitoring the performance of the shoring system is recommended. The monitoring should consist of periodic surveying of the lateral and vertical locations of the tops of all the soldier piles. We will be pleased to discuss this further with the design consultants and the contractor when the design of the shoring system is finalized.

We recommend that the adjacent existing streets be surveyed for horizontal and vertical locations. Also, a careful survey of existing cracks and offsets in the streets should be performed and recorded along with photographic records. A pre-construction benchmark survey establishing horizontal locations and vertical elevations for the adjacent buildings combined with documentation of existing cracks and offsets may be useful in responding to claims of building distress and damage (if any).

5.3 FOUNDATIONS

Because structural loading information for the proposed buildings is not yet available, we assumed a maximum dead plus live column load of 450 kips in our evaluation. The design of the plinth structure is anticipated to be governed by dynamic loading with relatively small dead loads. The proposed new structures may be supported on a shallow spread footing foundation system established on engineered fill or undisturbed natural soils.

5.3.1 SPREAD FOOTINGS

Footings for proposed structures should have a minimum embedment of 2 feet and have a minimum width of 24 inches. Footings for proposed temporary structures may be supported directly on grade.

<u>Bearing Value:</u> Footings or post-tensioned concrete slabs with thickened edges established on engineered fill or undisturbed natural soils may be designed to impose an allowable bearing pressure of 2,000 pounds per square foot (psf). The excavations should be deepened as necessary to extend into satisfactory soils.

A 50 percent increase in the bearing value for short duration loading, such as wind or seismic forces, may be used.

The ultimate bearing capacity can be taken as 9,000 psf. This value does not incorporate a factor of safety and may only be used for an ultimate bearing capacity check with appropriate factored loads. A resistance factor of 0.45 may be used for initial bearing capacity evaluation with factored loads.

The recommended bearing value is a net value, and the weight of concrete in the footings can be taken as 50 pounds per cubic foot (pcf); the weight of soil backfill can be neglected when determining the downward loads.

<u>Settlement:</u> The above recommended allowable bearing capacities are generally based on a total post-construction settlement of about 1 inch for column loads not exceeding 450 kips in dead plus live loads.

Differential settlement due to static loading is generally estimated at ½ inch over a horizontal distance of 40 feet. Once developed by the structural engineer, we should review total dead and sustained live loads for each column including plan location and span distance, to evaluate if differential settlements between dissimilarly loaded columns will be tolerable. Excessive differential settlement can be mitigated with the use of reduced bearing pressures, deeper footing embedment, possibly changing overexcavation schemes and using imported base material under spread footings, or possibly other methods.

<u>Lateral Resistance</u>: Soil resistance available to withstand lateral loads on a shallow foundation is a function of the frictional resistance along the base of the footing and the passive resistance that may develop as the face of the structure tends to move into the soil. The frictional resistance between the base of the foundation and the subgrade soil may be computed using a coefficient of friction of 0.3. The passive resistance may be computed using an equivalent fluid pressure of 250 pounds-per-cubic-foot (pcf) up to a maximum of 2,500 psf, assuming there is constant contact between the footing and undisturbed soil. The passive resistance can be increased by one-third when considering short-duration wind or seismic loads. The friction resistance and the passive resistance of the soils can be combined without reduction in determining the total lateral resistance.

<u>Modulus of Subgrade Reaction</u>: For static loading, 20 pounds per cubic inch (pci) may be assumed as the modulus of subgrade reaction (k) for shallow foundations supported on engineered fill or undisturbed natural soils. For seismic loading, a k value of 100 pci may be assumed.

5.3.3 FLAGPOLE TYPE FOUNDATIONS

Canopy structures, light poles, and fencing may be supported on flagpole-type foundations. Flagpole-type foundations may be designed to impose an allowable vertical bearing pressure of 2,000 psf and an allowable lateral bearing pressure of 500 psf per foot below grade. The allowable vertical and lateral bearing pressures may be increased by one-third for short-duration loading such as wind or seismic loading. The recommended bearing value is a net value, and the weight of concrete in the flagpole footings can be taken as 50 pounds per cubic foot.

5.3.4 AUGER PRESSURE GROUTED PILES

Where required, pile foundations may be used to resist high uplift and moment demands, which is expected at the wave-generating plinth structure. Due to shallow groundwater, auger pressure grouted (APG) piles may be an efficient pile foundation option.

APG piles are constructed by advancing a hollow-stem continuous-flight auger into the ground and pumping grout through the hollow shaft of the auger, producing shafts of grout in the soil. Piles are typically designed, built, and installed by specialty pile contractors. Foundation design parameters, including allowable capacities and estimated settlements, must be provided by the specialty contractor.

Based on our recent field explorations, we recommend using allowable pile capacities for piles end bearing into dense to very dense sands encountered at depths greater than 25 feet below existing grades.

The following preliminary axial and lateral design capacities may be used for planning purposes.

Pile Length	16-inch- diameter	18-inch- diameter
35	115	130
40	120	140
45	135	160
50	145	180

Allowable Axial APG Pile Capacities (in Kips)

The top of the pile is anticipated to be at least 3 feet bgs. Dead plus live load capacities are shown in the table above. A one-third increase may be used for wind or seismic loads. A factor of safety of 2 was used in determining the pile capacities.

Uplift capacities may be taken as equal to 60 percent of the downward capacities. The capacities presented are based on the strength of the soils; the strength of the pile section should be checked to verify the structural capacity of the piles.

Piles in groups may be spaced at 3 pile diameters on-centers. If the piles are so spaced, no reduction in axial capacity due to group action needs to be considered in the design.

<u>Settlement</u>: The settlement of proposed improvements supported on APG piling in the manner recommended will be less than $\frac{1}{2}$ inch. Differential settlement over a horizontal distance of 30 feet will be about $\frac{1}{4}$ inch or less.

<u>Lateral Resistance</u>: Lateral loads may be resisted by the piles and by the passive resistance of the soils. The lateral capacity of the piles will depend on the pile type and size, the permissible deflection, and on the degree of fixity at the top of the pile.

We have calculated the lateral load, maximum moments, and depths to zero moment for 16- and *18-inch-diameter APG piles* using the computer program LPILE by ENSOFT, Inc. Our computations were performed for pile head deflections of $\frac{1}{4}$ inch and $\frac{3}{8}$ inch. The results are summarized in the tables below. Values may be interpolated for other pile diameters. The results are summarized in the tables below.

10-IIICII AFG File					
	Pile Head Deflection (inch)			inch)	
	1/4 3/8			³ / ₈	
Pile Head Condition	Free	Fixed	Free	Fixed	
Lateral Load (kips)	8	19	11	25	
Maximum Moment (inch-kips)	360	1,020	480	1,380	
Depth to Maximum Moment (ft)	6	0	7	0	
Depth to Zero Moment (ft)	18	20	18	20	

Lateral Load Design Data 16-inch APG Pile

Lateral Load Design Data 18-inch APG Pile

	Pile Head Deflection (inch)			nch)
	1/4		:	³ /8
Pile Head Condition	Free	Fixed	Free	Fixed
Lateral Load (kips)	10	23	13	30
Maximum Moment (inch-kips)	480	1,605	660	2,395
Depth to Maximum Moment (ft)	71⁄2	0	8	0
Depth to Zero Moment (ft)	20	23	20	24

The capacities presented in the table above are for pile lengths equal to or greater than 30 feet below the bottom of pile cap. The lateral capacity and reduction in the bending moment are based in part on the assumption that any required backfill adjacent to the pile caps and grade beams are properly compacted.

For piles in groups spaced at least 3 pile diameters on-centers, no reduction in the lateral capacity need be considered for the first row of piles. For subsequent rows in the direction parallel to loading, piles in groups spaced closer than 7 pile diameters on-centers will have a reduction in lateral capacity due to group effects. The lateral capacity of piles in groups spaced at 3 pile diameters on-centers may be assumed to be reduced by half. The reduction for other pile spacings may be interpolated between no reduction for piles spaced at 7 pile diameters on-centers and the reduction for piles spaced at 3 pile diameters on-centers and the reduction for piles spaced at 3 pile diameters.

The passive resistance of properly compacted fill against pile caps, grade beams, and turn-down walls will depend on the method of installation and magnitude of lateral deflection. The passive resistance of properly compacted fill may be assumed to be equal to the pressure developed by a fluid with a density of 250 pcf.

A one-third increase in the quoted passive values may be used for wind or seismic loads. The lateral resistance of the piles and the passive resistance of the soils may be combined without reduction in determining the total lateral resistance. <u>*Pile Installation*</u>: Drilling for new APG piles should not be performed within 5 feet of recently installed piles until the concrete has been allowed to set for at least 6 hours. We recommend that piles in groups be drilled and poured in an alternating sequence to minimize the potential for destabilizing adjacent recently installed piles.

The pile excavations will extend below groundwater and through potentially unstable soils that would flow into open excavations. Although not expected to impact APG pile installation, the specialty contractor should evaluate the potential drilling conditions when planning installation methods.

<u>Ultimate Values</u>: The various values recommended for foundation design are for use with loadings determined by a conventional working stress design. If the structures are analyzed based on an ultimate design concept, the recommended axial pile capacities may be multiplied by 1.5.

In no event, however, should the pile lengths be reduced from those required for support of dead plus live loads when using the working stress design method.

5.4 SLABS-ON-GRADE

Concrete slabs-on-grade should be designed by the structural engineer in accordance with 2022 CBC requirements for soils with a high expansion potential. More stringent requirements may be required by the structural engineer and/or architect; however, slabs-on-grade should have the following minimum recommended components:

Subgrade: The near-surface soils can be expansive and will shrink and swell with changes in the moisture content. Therefore, floor slabs-on-grade and adjacent concrete flatwork should be underlain by at least 24 inches of non-expansive fill (EI<21). Existing clay soils at planned basement levels are anticipated to be expansive. Accordingly, removal and replacement with non-expansive fill is recommended at the basement level.

Slab-on-grade subgrade soil should be moisture conditioned to within 2% of optimum moisture content, to a minimum depth of 18 inches within building footprints and compacted to 90% of the modified proctor (ASTM D 1557) laboratory maximum density prior to placing either a moisture barrier, steel and/or concrete. Onsite soil may be suitable for this use; however additional expansion testing should be performed upon completion of grading to verify expansive properties of onsite soil.

Moisture Barrier: A moisture barrier consisting of at least 15-mil-thick Stego-wrap vapor barriers (see: <u>http://www.stegoindustries.com/products/stego wrap vapor barrier.php</u>), or equivalent, should then be placed below slabs where moisture-sensitive floor coverings or equipment will be placed. Reinforced Concrete: A conventionally reinforced concrete slab-on-grade with a thickness of at least 5 inches within the building footprint and 6 inches for exterior SOG in pedestrian areas without heavy loads may be used. Reinforcing steel should be designed by the structural engineer, but as a minimum should be No. 3 rebar placed at 18 inches on-center, each direction (perpendicularly), mid-depth in the slab. A modulus of subgrade reaction (k) as a linear spring constant, of 75 pounds-per-square-inch per inch deflection (pci) can be used for design of heavily loaded slabs-on-grade, assuming a linear response up to deflections on the order of ³/₄ inch.

Minor cracking of concrete after curing due to expansion, drying and shrinkage is normal and will occur. However, cracking is often aggravated by a high water-to-cement ratio, high concrete temperature at the time of placement, small nominal aggregate size, and rapid moisture loss due to hot, dry, and/or windy weather conditions during placement and curing. Cracking due to temperature and moisture fluctuations can also be expected. The use of low-slump concrete or low water/cement ratios can reduce the potential for shrinkage.

5.5 CEMENT TYPE AND CORROSION PROTECTION

Based on the results of laboratory testing, concrete structures in contact with the onsite soil are expected to have moderate (S2) exposure to water-soluble sulfates in the soil. Type II/V cement plus pozzolan may be used for concrete construction onsite and the concrete should be designed in accordance with 2022 CBC requirements.

The onsite soil may be considered moderately corrosive to ferrous metals. Ferrous pipe should be avoided by using high-density polyethylene (HDPE) or other non-ferrous pipe when possible. Ferrous pipe, if used, should be protected by polyethylene bags, tap or coatings, di-electric fittings or other means to separate the pipe from onsite soils.

5.6 LATERAL EARTH PRESSURES

Recommended lateral earth pressures are provided as equivalent fluid unit weights, in psf/ft. or pcf., for retaining walls in drained conditions using onsite sandy soils as backfill.

Condition	Equivalent Fluid Unit Weight (psf/ft)
Condition	Level Backfill, Static Condition
Active	45
At-Rest	65
Passive	250
Coefficient of Friction	0.3

The above passive resistance values do not contain an appreciable factor of safety, so the structural engineer should apply the applicable factors of safety and/or load factors during design.

Cantilever walls that are designed for a deflection at the top of the wall of at least 0.001H, where H is equal to the wall height, may be designed using the active earth pressure condition. Rigid walls that are not free to rotate, walls that are braced at the top, and walls that provide indirect support for foundations should be designed using the at-rest condition. A seismic increment of 20 pcf may be added to the active earth pressure above to evaluate seismic loading on walls.

The above lateral earth pressures are based on fully drained conditions. Infiltrating surface water may build-up behind proposed basement walls. Therefore, walls below grade should be designed to resist hydrostatic pressures (additional fluid pressure of 45 pounds per cubic foot) or be provided with positive drainage behind the wall.

Lateral load resistance will be provided by the sliding resistance at the base of the foundation and the passive pressure developed along the front of the foundation. A frictional resistance coefficient of 0.3 may be used at the concrete and soil interface.

In addition to the above lateral forces due to retained earth, the appropriate loads due to surcharges should be considered in the design of retaining structures.

5.7 PAVEMENT DESIGN

5.7.1 ASPHALT CONCRETE PAVING

The paving thicknesses presented in the table below are based on our review of available subsurface data. We assumed an R-value of 5 for design (laboratory test results ranged from 0 to 17). The required paving and base thicknesses will depend on the expected wheel loads and volume of traffic (Traffic Index or TI). Assuming that the paving subgrade will consist of the on-site or comparable soils compacted to at least 95% of the maximum dry density obtainable by the ASTM Designation D1557 method of compaction as recommended, the minimum recommended paving thicknesses are presented in the following table.

Area	Traffic Index	Asphalt Concrete (inches)	Base Course (inches)
Parking Areas	4	3	6½
Light Truck	5	4	71⁄2
Heavy Truck	6	5	91⁄2
Main Drives	7	6	11½

The asphalt paving sections were determined using the Caltrans design method. We can determine the recommended paving and base course thicknesses for other Traffic Indices if required. Careful inspection is recommended to verify that the recommended thicknesses or greater are achieved, and that proper construction procedures are followed.

5.7.2 PORTLAND CEMENT CONCRETE PAVING

We have assumed that the subgrade consisting of a layer of non-expansive fill below Portland cement concrete paving will have an R-value of at least 20, which will need to be verified during grading. Portland cement concrete paving sections were determined in accordance with procedures developed by the Portland Cement Association. Concrete paving sections for a range of Traffic Indices are presented in the following table. We have assumed that the Portland Cement Concrete will have a compressive strength of at least 4,000 pounds per square inch.

Aroo	Traffic	PCC	Base Course
Alea	Index	(inches)	(inches)
Parking Areas	4	5½	4
Light Truck	5	6	4
Heavy Truck	6	6½	4
Main Drives	7	71/2	4

The paving should be provided with expansion joints at regular intervals no more than 15 feet in each direction. Load transfer devices, such as dowels or keys, are recommended at joints in the paving to reduce possible offsets. The paving sections in the above table have been developed based on the strength of unreinforced concrete. Steel reinforcing may be added to the paving to reduce cracking and to prolong the life of the paving.

5.7.3 SPECIFICATIONS

The base course should conform to requirements of Section 26 of State of California Department of Transportation Standard Specifications (Caltrans), latest edition, or meet the specifications for untreated base as defined in Section 200-2 of the latest edition of the Standard Specifications for Public Works Construction (Green Book). The existing asphalt paving may be used for base course if it is crushed and processed to meet the requirements of crushed miscellaneous base per the Green Book. The base course should be compacted to at least 95 percent relative compaction. The asphalt concrete should conform to the specifications outlined in Section 203-6 of the Green Book, and asphalt concrete construction methods should meet the requirements of Section 302-5 of the Green Book.

5.8 TEMPORARY EXCAVATIONS

All temporary excavations, including utility trenches, retaining wall excavations, and foundation excavations should be performed in accordance with project plans, specifications, and all OSHA requirements. Excavations 4 feet or deeper should be laid back or shored in accordance with OSHA requirements before personnel are allowed to enter. Shoring recommendations are provided in Section 5.2 above.

No surcharge loads should be permitted within a horizontal distance equal to the height of cut or 5 feet, whichever is greater from the top of the cut, unless the cut is shored appropriately. Excavations that extend below an imaginary plane inclined at 45 degrees below the edge of any adjacent existing site foundation should be properly shored to maintain support of the adjacent structure.

Temporary excavations should be treated in accordance with the State of California version of OSHA excavation regulations, Construction Safety Orders for Excavation General Requirements, Article 6, Section 1541, effective October 1, 1995. The sides of excavations should be shored or sloped in accordance with OSHA regulations. OSHA allows the sides of unbraced excavations, up to a maximum height of 20 feet, to be cut to a ³/₄H:1V (horizontal:vertical) slope for Type A soils, 1H:1V for Type B soils, and 1½H:1V for Type C soils. Onsite soils are to be considered Type C soils which are subject to collapse in shallow unbraced excavations (i.e. approximately 3 feet in vertical height).

During construction, the soil conditions should be regularly evaluated to verify that conditions are as anticipated. The contractor shall be responsible for providing the "competent person" required by OSHA standards to evaluate soil conditions. Close coordination between the competent person and the geotechnical engineer should be maintained to facilitate construction while providing safe excavations.

5.9 TRENCH BACKFILL

Utility trenches should be backfilled with compacted fill in accordance with Sections 306-1 and 306-6 of the Standard Specifications for Public Works Construction, ("Greenbook"), 2018 Edition. Utility trenches can be backfilled with onsite sandy material free of rubble, debris, organic and oversized material up to (\leq) 3-inches in largest dimension. Prior to backfilling trenches, pipes should be bedded in and covered with either:

- (1) Sand: A uniform, sand material that has a Sand Equivalent (SE) greater-than-or-equal-to
 (≥) 30, passing the No. 4 U.S. Standard Sieve (or as specified by the pipe manufacturer), water densified in place, or
- (2) **CLSM:** Controlled Low Strength Material (CLSM) conforming to Section 201-6 of the *Standard Specifications for Public Works Construction,* ("Greenbook"), 2018 Edition.

Pipe bedding should extend at least 4 inches below the pipeline invert and at least 12 inches over the top of the pipeline. Native and clean fill soils can be used as backfill over the pipe bedding zone, and should be placed in thin lifts, moisture conditioned above optimum, and mechanically compacted to at least 95 percent relative compaction, relative to the ASTM D 1557 laboratory maximum density.

5.10 DRAINAGE AND LANDSCAPING

Building walls below grade should be waterproofed or at least damp proofed, depending upon the degree of moisture protection desired. Surface drainage should be designed to direct water away from foundations and toward approved drainage devices. Irrigation of landscaping should be controlled to maintain, as much as possible, consistent moisture content sufficient to provide healthy plant growth without overwatering.

5.11 ADDITIONAL GEOTECHNICAL SERVICES

The geotechnical recommendations presented in this report are based on subsurface conditions as interpreted from limited subsurface explorations and limited laboratory testing. Our conclusions and recommendations presented in this report should be reviewed and verified by Carl Kim Geo during site construction and revised accordingly if exposed geotechnical conditions vary from our preliminary findings and interpretations. The recommendations presented in this report are only valid if Carl Kim Geo verifies the site conditions during construction. Geotechnical observation and testing should be provided during the following activities:

- Grading and excavation of the site;
- Overexcavation and compaction;
- Compaction of all fill materials;
- Excavation and installation of foundations;
- After excavation of all slabs and footings and prior to placement of steel or concrete to confirm the slabs and footings are founded in firm, compacted fill;
- Utility trench backfilling and compaction; and
- When any conditions are encountered that varies significantly from the conditions described in this report.

Carl Kim Geo should review the final grading and foundation plans and specifications, when available, to comment on the geotechnical aspects. Our recommendations should be revised, as necessary, based on future plans and incorporated into the final design plans and specifications.

6.0 LIMITATIONS

The geotechnical engineering analyses presented in this geotechnical exploration report have been conducted in general accordance with current practice and the standard of care exercised by geotechnical consultants performing similar tasks in the project area. No other warranty, express or implied, is made regarding the conclusions, recommendations, and opinions presented in this report.

Please also note that our evaluation was limited to assessment of the geologic and seismic aspects of the site, and did not include evaluation of structural issues, environmental concerns or the presence of hazardous materials. Our conclusions, recommendations and opinions are based on an analysis of the observed site conditions, engineering characteristics of the observed site soils and our review of the referenced geologic literature and reports. If geologic conditions different from those described in this report are encountered, our office should be notified and additional recommendations, if warranted, will be provided upon request.
FIGURES AND PLATES









































EXPLANATION



EXISTING GRADE	
PROPOSED GRADE	

PROPERTY LINE

ARTIFICIAL FILL, UNDOCUMENTED/ DOCUMENTED

ALLUVIUM

BORING

TERRACE DEPOSIT

MEAN SEA LEVEL

GROUNDWATER LEVEL STATIC GROUNDWATER LEVEL

PERCHED GROUNDWATER LEVEL

PERCHED	GROUN	DVVAIE	K LEVEL

CKGEO	CLIENT:	Back Bay Barrels, LLC			
Carl Kim Geotechnical, Inc. 945 Baileyana Road Hillsborough, CA 94010	PROJECT:	Wavegarden Cove 3100 Irvine Avenue Newport Beach, CA	CROSS SECTIONS		
949-441-8143 CARLKIMGEO@GMAIL.COM	PROJECT NUMBER:	PWAS_20240507	PLATE 2A		
	•				







EXPLANATION

ዊ
afu
Qal
Qt
MSL
_

EXISTING GRADE PROPOSED GRADE

PROPERTY LINE

ARTIFICIAL FILL, UNDOCUMENTED/ DOCUMENTED

ALLUVIUM

BORING

TERRACE DEPOSIT

MEAN SEA LEVEL

GROUNDWATER LEVEL STATIC GROUNDWATER LEVEL

PERCHED GROUNDWATER LEVEL

CKGEO	CLIENT:	Back Bay Barrels, LLC	
Carl Kim Geotechnical, Inc. 945 Baileyana Road Hillsborough, CA 94010 949-441-8143 CARLKIMGEO@GMAIL.COM	PROJECT:	Wavegarden Cove 3100 Irvine Avenue Newport Beach, CA	CROSS SECTIONS
	PROJECT NUMBER:	PWAS_20240507	PLATE 2B

APPENDIX A

REFERENCES

APPENDIX A

REFERENCES

- 52nd Street Consultants, LLC, 2024, Snug Harbor, Newport Beach, CA 92660, Site Development Review [Plans], sheets 1 through 4, scale of 1 inch to 60 feet, dated, 4/29/2024.
- Baca Associates, Inc (Baca), 1989, New Office Building Development, EMA Grading permit No. 89-233823, 20351 Acacia Avenue, Sana Heights, County of Orange, California, Project No. A-0675-F, dated August 19, 1989.
- California Department of Water Resources (DWR), 2024, SGMA Data Viewer, [Interactive Web Page], <u>https://sgma.water.ca.gov/webgis/?appid=SGMADataViewer#gwlevels</u>
- California Geological Survey (CGS; formerly California Division of Mines and Geology, CDMG), 1980, Classification and Mapping of Quaternary Sedimentary Deposits for Purposes of Seismic Zonation, South Coastal Los Angeles Basin, Orange County, California, Open File Report 80-19 L.A., September 1980.
- _____, 1981, Geologic Map of Orange County, California, Showing Mines and Mineral Deposits, Bulletin 204, Plate 1.
 - ____, 1997a, Seismic Hazard Zone Evaluation Report for the Anaheim and Newport Beach 7.5-Minute Quadrangles, California, Seismic Hazard Zone Report 03.
 - _____, 1997b, Seismic Hazard Zone Evaluation Report for the Laguna Beach 7.5-Minute Quadrangle, California, Seismic Hazard Zone Report 013.
- _____, 1998a, Seismic Hazard Zones, Laguna Beach Quadrangle, Official Map dated April 15, 1998.
- _____, 1998b, Seismic Hazard Zones, Newport Beach Quadrangle, Official Map dated April 15, 1998.
- _____, 2001, Seismic Hazard Zones, Tustin Quadrangle, Revised Official Map dated January 17, 2001.
 - _____, 2008, Guidelines for Evaluating and Mitigating Seismic Hazards in California, Special Publication 117, Originally Adopted March 13, 1997, Revised and Re-adopted September 11, 2008.
 - ____, 2009, Tsunami Inundation Map for Emergency Planning, Newport Beach Quadrangle, Official Map dated March 15, 2009.
 - _____, 2010, Fault Activity Map of California and Adjacent Areas (Scale 1: 750,000), Geologic Data Map No. 6, Compiled and Interpreted by Charles W. Jennings and William Z. Bryant.
 - ____, 2015, Radon Potential in Orange County, California, Special Report 232, authored by Ronald K. Churchill, 2015
 - , 2018, Earthquake Fault Zones, A Guide for Government Agencies, Property Owners / Developers, and Geoscience Practitioners 2018. for Assessing Fault Rupture Hazards in California, Special Publication 42, Revised
 - ____, 2024, Orange County Tsunami Areas, https://www.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/tsunami/maps/orange

- California Department of Conservation, Geologic Energy Management Division (CalGEM) (formerly DOGGR), 2024, Interactive Wellfinder Website, <u>https://maps.conservation.ca.gov/doggr/wellfinder/</u>
- La Playa Consulting, Inc. (LPC), 2024, NPB2 Wavegarden Cove, Newport Beach, CA, Geotechnical Brief for Lagoon, LPC Project #: 24003, dated April 8, 2024.
- Moore Twining Associates, Inc. (Moore Twining), 2019a, [DRAFT] Preliminary Geotechnical Engineering Design Recommendations, Proposed Drive Shack Restaurant and Golf Driving Range, 3100 Irvine Avenue, Newport Beach, California, Project #: E40550.01, dated September 16, 2019.
- _____, 2020, [DRAFT] Geotechnical Engineering Investigation, Proposed Drive Shack Restaurant and Golf Driving Range, 3100 Irvine Avenue, Newport Beach, California, Project #: E40550.01, dated April 18, 2020.
- Morton, P.K., et. al., 1979, Environmental Geology of Orange County, California, California Department of Conservation, Division of Mines and Geology, OFR 79-08.
- Newport Beach (City of), 2024, CDD Docs [Parcel Records Interface], accessed June 2024 https://ecms.newportbeachca.gov/WEB/Browse.aspx?id=205567&dbid=0&repo=CNB
- NorCal Engineering, Soils Investigation, Proposed Leonard's Golf Shop Expansion Located at 3100 Irvine Avenue in the city of Newport Beach, California, Project number 7533-98, dated July 16, 1998.
- Orange County Water District (OCWD), 2017, Basin 8-1 Alternative, Submitted by Orange County Water District, City of La Habra, Irvine Ranch Water District, dated January 1, 2017 <u>https://sgma.water.ca.gov/portal/alternative/print/3</u>

Structural Engineers Association/Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development, 2020,

- U.S. Seismic Design Maps, web site address: https://seismicmaps.org/; Date Accessed: February 5, 2020.
- Soils International, Inc., 1988, Geotechnical Investigation Report, Edwards Theatre, Newport Center Drive, Newport Beach, California, Project S-1093-F, dated April 18, 1988.
- Tan, S.S., and Edgington, W.J., 1976, Geology and Engineering Geologic Aspects of the Laguna Beach Quadrangle, Orange County, California, California Division of Mines and Geology Special Report 127.
- United States Geological Survey (USGS), 1932, Newport Beach Quadrangle, Orange County, California, (Topographic), scale of 1: 31,680, contour interval of 5 feet, dated 1932.
- _____, 1949a, Laguna Beach Quadrangle, Orange County, California, 7.5 Minute Series (Topographic).

_____, 1949b, Newport Beach Quadrangle, Orange County, California, 7.5 x 10 Minute Series, W/2 Santa Ana 15' Quadrangle, contour interval 5 feet, scale of 1: 24,000 (Topographic).

- ____, 2006, Geologic Map of the San Bernardino and Santa Ana 30' x 60' Quadrangle, Version 1.0, Open File Report 2006-1217, Scale of 1: 100,000, by Morton, D.M. and F.K. Miller, dated 2006
- _____, 2020, Unified Hazard Tool, NSHM 2014 Dynamic Deaggregation Program; web site address: <u>https://earthquake.usgs.gov/hazards/interactive/</u>

- ____, 2024a, Interactive Fault Map [Quaternary Fault and Fold Database of the United States], https://www.usgs.gov/programs/earthquake-hazards/faults
- _____, 2024b, Interactive Geologic Map, http://ngmdb.usgs.gov/maps/MapView/
- _____, 2024c, Topoview Interactive Map https://ngmdb.usgs.gov/topoview/viewer/#4/40.01/-100.06
- _____, 2024d, Design Ground Motions, <u>https://earthquake.usgs.gov/hazards/designmaps/</u>
- X Engineering & consulting, Inc. (X Engineering), 2024, Conceptual Site Plan/Grading (Lagoon) Exhibit, Wavegarden Cove, Scale of 1 inch to 50 feet,
- Yerkes, R.F., McCulloh, T.H., Schoellhamer, J.E. and Vedder, J.G. (Yerkes), 1957, Geologic Map of the San Juaquin Hills-San Capistrano Area, Orange County, California, [USGS] Oil and Gas Investigations Map OM 193, scale of 1:24,000, dated 1957
- Yerkes, R.F., McCulloh, T.H., Schoellhamer, J.E. and Vedder, J.G. (Yerkes), 1965, Geology of the Los Angeles Basin, California -- An Introduction: U.S. Geological Survey, Professional Paper 420-A, 57 p.
- Yerkes, R.F., 1972, Geology and Oil Resources of the Western Puente Hills Area, Southern California: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 420-C, 63 p.

AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHS REVIEWED

Date	Photograph	Source
10-14-1939	5925-112	Continental Aerial Photo
11-18-1952	AXK-1K-43	Continental Aerial Photo
1-13-75	157 7-23	Continental Aerial Photo
1-20-1992	C85-13-20	Continental Aerial Photo

APPENDIX B

EXPLORATIONS

APPENDIX B

FIELD EXPLORATIONS

<u>General</u>

This appendix collates available relevant subsurface information from recent investigations by Carl Kim Geotechnical, Inc (Carl Kim Geo) and from prior explorations by others. The bullet points below summarize the data attached in this appendix.

Carl Kim Geo (2024)

- Seven (7) cone penetration test soundings (CKG CPT-1 through CKG CPT-7)
- Two (2) hand auger borings (HA-1 and HA-2)

Moore Twining Associates, Inc. (Moore Twining) (2019-2020)

- 4 CPT soundings (CPT-1 through CPT-4)
- 26 hollow stem auger borings (B-1 through B-26)
 - Six (6) of the 26 borings listed above were converted to temporary piezometers (B-17, B-18, B-19, B-22, B-23, and B-24)

Baca Associates, Inc. (Off site work 1989) (20351 SW Acacia Street)

• Four (4) hollow stem auger borings (BB-1 through BB-4)

Current Investigation

Current geotechnical investigations by Carl Kim Geotechnical, Inc. consisted of cone penetration test (CPT) soundings. As applicable, explorations were supervised and logged by qualified representatives. Earth materials encountered in hand-augered excavations for utility clearance were visually classified in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS). Interpreted stratigraphic boundaries are indicated on the logs. Some soil/material types transition gradually.

Reconnaissance and Logistics

Locations of the CPT soundings and hand auger borings were chosen to obtain subsurface information at locations appropriate for the objective of this report. Prior to conducting the subsurface explorations, Carl Kim Geotechnical personnel evaluated each drill site for equipment access and marked proposed locations. Locations were reviewed by Newport Beach Golf Course representatives.

Prior to field explorations an exploration permit was obtained from the County of Orange Environmental Health Division and Underground Service Alert (USA) was contacted greater than 48 hours in advance of subsurface work. USA contacted members (i.e. utility infrastructure owners) to provide clearance for drilling with respect to underground utility lines. No underground utilities were encountered with drilling equipment during the current investigation.

Subsurface Exploration

7 CPT soundings and two hand auger borings were advanced May 28, 2024. Shear wave measurements and a pore dissipation test was conducted at CKG CPT-3. Shallow soils were logged and sampled from each location. Soil descriptions are tabulated below and CPT interpretations are included in this appendix.

Temporary piezometers installed by Moore Twining were sounded using an a Solinst electric well sounder. The accessible wells included B-17, B-18, and B-19. The remaining wells (B-22, B-23, and B-24) were not located because they are located within the artificial turf covered driving range. Each well sounded appeared to be constructed with nominal 1-inch polyvinyl chloride (PVC) casing covered by a metal flush mount surface completion. Each well was outfitted with a compression cap. Depth to water (DTW) below top of casing (BTOC) was measured and recorded to the nearest hundredth of a foot (0.01 feet).

Borehole Sealing

Each borehole was abandoned using cement-bentonite grout emplaced via tremie pipe. Asphalt cold patch/soil was placed as needed to match the existing surface.

Sampling by Carl Kim Geo

Representative bulk (bag) samples of fill and native soils were obtained from CKG CPT-1 through CKG CPT-7 and HA-1 and HA-2. Samples were logged, labeled, and retained for laboratory testing. Bulk samples are designated with a B-[number] and California modified split spoon samples (ring samples) are designated with R-[number] below. No free groundwater was encountered in hand excavations.

Table B-1 - LOG OF HAND AUGER EXCAVATIONS, MAY 28, 2024

EXCAVATION	SAMPLE	SOIL DESCRIPTION
DESIGNATION	IDENTIFIERS.	
CKG CPT-1	B-1 at 0-5.0'	MEAN SEAL LEVEL (MSL)
		lean clay with sand (CL), soft to stiff, dark yellowish brown (10YR 3/6), moist, low plasticity, high dry strength, slow dilatancy; estimate 25-35% fine to coarse sand, trace gravel, few thin black organic-rich zones, trace rootlets
		TOTAL DEPTH OF HAND AUGER 5 FEET
CKG CPT-2	B-1 at 0-5.0'	APPROXIMATE SURFACE ELEVATION +20 MSL
		organic soil (OL/CL), soft under hand auger, black (10YR 2/1), dry to slightly moist, low to medium plasticity, low toughness, no dilatancy, medium dry strength; feels low density, no odor, micaceous, estimate 10% fine sand
		TOTAL DEPTH OF HAND AUGER 5 FEET
CKG CPT-3	B-1 at 0-5.0'	APPROXIMATE SURFACE ELEVATION +25 MSL
		silt with sand (ML), soft, dark yellow brown (10YR 3/6), dry to slightly moist, low plasticity, rapid dilatancy, low dry strength, estimate 15 to 20% fine to medium grained sand
		TOTAL DEPTH OF HAND AUGER 5 FEET
CKG CPT-4	B-1 at 0-5.0'	APPROXIMATE SURFACE ELEVATION +24 MSL organic soil (OL/CL), soft under hand auger, black (5YR 2.5/1), slightly moist, low to medium plasticity, low toughness, no dilatancy, high dry strength; micaceous TOTAL DEPTH OF HAND AUGER 5 FEET
CKG CPT-5	B-1 at 0-5.0'	APPROXIMATE SURFACE ELEVATION +19 MSL
		organic soil/ fat clay (OH/CH), soft under hand auger, black (5YR 2.5/1) with few light gray zones, slightly moist, high plasticity, low toughness, no dilatancy, high dry strength
	B-1 at 0-5 0'	APPROXIMATE SURFACE FLEVATION +43 MSI
	D-1 at 0-0.0	lean clay with sand (CL), soft to medium stiff under hand auger, dark yellowish brown (10YR 3/4), nonplastic, slow to rapid dilatancy (rapid, but faint reaction to test), high dry strength; estimate 40% fine to medium sand TOTAL DEPTH OF HAND AUGER 5 FEET

EXCAVATION DESIGNATION	SAMPLE IDENTIFIERS.	SOIL DESCRIPTION
CKG CPT-7	B-1 at 0-5.0'	APPROXIMATE SURFACE ELEVATION +37 MSL
		Asphalt (0-3"); Base (GW)(0.25' to 1') @1' to 5': well graded sand with gravel (SW), loose to dense under hand auger, yellowish brown (10YR 5/4), dry, fine to coarse sand, angular to subangular, estimate 15% subrounded fine gravel, estimate 5% fines; noncohesive – easy to excavate with hand auger; mostly "clean" sand
		TOTAL DEPTH OF HAND AUGER 5 FEET
HA-1	B-1 at 0-5.0' R-1 at 5.5'	APPROXIMATE SURFACE ELEVATION +45 lean clay/ silt with clay (CL/ML), very stiff under hand auger (difficult to excavate; appears to bulk considerably), dark yellowish brown (10YR 3/4), dry from 0 to 2.2', moist below, low to medium plasticity, slow dilatancy, medium dry strength, micaceous; estimate 10% fine sand, massive, orange paleosol appearance TOTAL DEPTH SAMPLED ~5.8 FEET BACKFILLED WITH FILL SAND
HA-2	B-1 at 0-4.0'	APPROXIMATE SURFACE ELEVATION +46 fat clay (CH), soft to 1.8', medium stiff below, dry to slightly moist, light gray, high plasticity, no dilatancy, medium tough, high dry strength TOTAL DEPTH SAMPLED 4 FEET BACKFILLED WITH FILL SAND

Table B-1 - LOG OF HAND AUGER EXCAVATIONS, MAY 28, 2024

Groundwater

The highest reported saturated soils observed at the site were encountered at boring B-9 at a depth of 18 feet bgs (~EL +14 feet msl).

Of the 26 hollow-stem auger borings drilled and logged by Moore Twining, free groundwater was observed in seven (7) of the points. Table B-2 below summarizes groundwater levels where encountered. Note that first encountered groundwater is shown in bold on Table B-2, which differs from subsequent water level measurements. In most cases it appears that free water was encountered in granular strata that is confined by clayey layers and under some pressure (confined/semi-confined conditions).

Similarly, a pore dissipation test was conducted in CKG CPT-3 at 55.92 feet BGS. The pore pressure in that zone was attenuating slowly when the test was concluded. The last pressure of 16 pounds per square inch was recorded suggesting that water in that zone could potentially rise to about EL +6 feet if overlying confining layers were not present.

FIELD POINT	DTW (FEET BTOC)	APPROX. SURFACE EL (FEET MSL)	CALC. GW EL (FEET MSL)	BORING TD (FEET)	MEASURE DATE	
B-4	30	18	-12	31.5	7/22/2019	
B-9	18	32	14	51.5	7/29/2019	
B-17	-	34	-	35	2/24/2020	
B-17	26	34	8	35	2/25/2020	
B-18	35	33	-2	51.5	2/24/2020	
B-18	18	33	15	51.5	2/25/2020	
B-19	38.5	36	-2.5	55.5	2/24/2020	
B-19	29	36	7	55.5	2/25/2020	
B-20	42.5	34	-8.5	66.5	2/25/2020	
B-20	37	34	-3	66.5	2/26/2020	
B-22	-	30	-	55	2/28/2020	
B-23	-	20	-	55	2/27/2020	
B-24	30	28	-2	39.5	2/26/2020	
B-24	24	28	4	39.5	2/27/2020	
NOTES: TD = TOTAL DEPTH EL = ELEVATION DTW = DEPTH TO WATER MSL = MEAN SEA LEVEL 1. DEPTH TO 'FIRST ENCOUNTERED GROUNDWATER' IN BOLD. 2. B-22 AND B-23 COMPLETED AS PEIZOMETERS IN DRY HOLES.						

TABLE B-2 - GROUNDWATER MEASUREMENTS IN BORINGS

Table B-3 summarizes all available groundwater level measurements from temporary piezometers constructed at the direction of Moore Twining.

r	_						1
		APPRUX.					
	WELL						
			GEUL.	MEASURE			NOTEO
POINT	(FEEI)	MSL)	IECH	DATE	BIUC)	MSL)	NOTES
B-17	35	34		2/28/2020	28	6	
B-17	35	34		4/17/2020	27.7	6.3	
B-18	50	33		2/28/2020	18	15	
B-18	50	33		4/17/2020	19	14	
B-19	55	36		2/28/2020	24	12	
B-19	55	36		4/17/2020	22.2	13.8	
B-22	55	30		2/28/2020	dry	-	
B-22	55	30		4/17/2020	dry	-	
B-22	55	30	ARH	5/28/2024	55.26	-25.3	WELL TD 55.40'
B-23	35	20		2/28/2020	dry	-	
B-23	35	20		4/17/2020	dry	-	
B-23	35	20	ARH	5/28/2024	34.77	-14.77	WELL TD 34.96'
B-24	40	28		2/28/2020	24	4	
B-24	40	28		4/17/2020	18.4	9.6	
B-24	40	28	ARH	5/28/2024	18.52	9.5	WELL TD 40.12' (soft)
NOTES:							
TD = TOTAL DEPTH EL = ELEVATION DTW = DEPTH TO WATER MSL = MEAN SEA LEVEL							
BTOC = BELOW TOP OF CASING							

TABLE B-3 - PIEZOMETER MEASUREMENTS

1. DATA OBTAINED BY CARL KIM GEO IS IN BOLD.

2. SURFACE AND DATUM ELEVATIONS ESTIMATED BASED ON MAPS.

3. THE DATUM IS A MEASURING POINT AT TOP OF PIEZOMETER CASING.

APPENDIX B - ATTACHMENTS

EXPLORATION LOGS (Current Investigation) CKG CPT-1 through CKG CPT-7 Well Permit

EXPLORATION LOGS (Prior Investigation - Moore Twining Associates, Inc. (2019, 2020)

Borings B-1 through B-26 CPT-1 through CPT-4 Piezometer Sounding Data Well Permit for Temporary Piezometer Installation

EXPLORATION LOGS (Off-Site – Baca Associates (1989) Borings BB-1 through BB-4



Project: Carl Kim Geotechnical Location: 3100 Irvine Ave, Newport Beach, CA

CKG CPT-1 Total depth: 50.42 ft, Date: 5/28/2024





Project: Carl Kim Geotechnical Location: 3100 Irvine Ave, Newport Beach, CA

CKG CPT-2 Total depth: 50.48 ft, Date: 5/28/2024





Project: Carl Kim Geotechnical Location: 3100 Irvine Ave, Newport Beach, CA

CKG CPT-3 Total depth: 75.29 ft, Date: 5/28/2024





Project: Carl Kim Geotechnical Location: 3100 Irvine Ave, Newport Beach, CA

CKG CPT-4 Total depth: 50.27 ft, Date: 5/28/2024





Project: Carl Kim Geotechnical Location: 3100 Irvine Ave, Newport Beach, CA

CKG CPT-5 Total depth: 50.34 ft, Date: 5/28/2024





Project: Carl Kim Geotechnical Location: 3100 Irvine Ave, Newport Beach, CA




Kehoe Testing and Engineering 714-901-7270 steve@kehoetesting.com www.kehoetesting.com

Project: Carl Kim Geotechnical Location: 3100 Irvine Ave, Newport Beach, CA



CPeT-IT v.2.3.1.9 - CPTU data presentation & interpretation software - Report created on: 5/29/2024, 6:56:02 AM Project file: C:\Users\stevek\OneDrive - Kehoe Testing and Engineering Inc\Documents\CPT Current Data\CarlKim-NewportBeach5-24\CPT Report\CPeT.cpt **CKG CPT-7** Total depth: 50.34 ft, Date: 5/28/2024

Carl Kim Geotechnical 3100 Irvine Ave. Newport Beach, CA

CPT Shear Wave Measurements

					S-Wave	Interval
	Tip	Geophone	Travel	S-Wave	Velocity	S-Wave
	Depth	Depth	Distance	Arrival	from Surface	Velocity
Location	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(msec)	(ft/sec)	(ft/sec)
CKG CPT-3	9.97	8.97	9.19	11.04	832	
	20.05	19.05	19.15	22.48	852	871
	30.02	29.02	29.09	34.56	842	822
	40.06	39.06	39.11	46.76	836	822
	50.03	49.03	49.07	61.24	801	688
	60.04	59.04	59.07	72.98	809	852
	70.05	69.05	69.08	82.64	836	1036
	75.07	74.07	74.10	87.46	847	1041

Shear Wave Source Offset -

2 ft

S-Wave Velocity from Surface = Travel Distance/S-Wave Arrival Interval S-Wave Velocity = (Travel Dist2-Travel Dist1)/(Time2-Time1)

TEST ID: CKG CPT-3



UNG UP 1-3

		PRINT NAME PHONE NUMBER
	V ANZORA 714-433-6287 AME PHONE NUMBER	JUA PRINT N
	ISSUED BY DATE	INSTITUTE TO ALL TO A DECEMBER OF COMPLETE ALL INSPECTION ALL REQUIRED DOCUMENTS RECEIVED
	Luano America 05-09-2024	FOLLOWING ARE MARKED AND SIGNED OFF:
	ER PERMIT EXPIRES ON 05-10-2025	FOR OFFICE USE ONLY NOT THE X OTH
	; AGENCY	ALITHORIZED SIGNATURE DATE THI
	VK COMPLETED PRIOR TO SUBMITTING PERMIT APPLICATION TO	BACKFILL.
	IES OF CEMENT TICKETS/CALCULATIONS.	- SOIL CUTTINGS AND UNAPPROVED SEALING MIXTURES ARE PROHIBITED TO BE USED AS COF
	T ENCOUNTERED WATER, PHOTO DOCUMENTATION, AND/OR	- FREEFALL IS PROHIBITED.
	IFY WHEN ALL WORK IS COMPLETED AND INCLUDE THE DEPTH TO	B.G.S.:
	URE ALL WELLS TO PREVENT TAMPERING.	MATERIAL FROM BOTTOM TO WITHIN 5 FEET
	(S). PLEASE REFERENCE PERMIT NO.	USE A TREMIE PIPE OR EQUIVALENT TO BACKFILL LOC THE PROBES WITH AN APPROVED SEALING LOC
	OPY OF THE WELL COMPLETION REPORT(S) AND/OR DRILLING	REMARKS CONTRACT A CO
	MIT TO THIS AGENCY, WITHIN 30 DAYS OF COMPLETION OF WORK,	JURISDICTION CA WELL STANDARDS & SUB
	PRIOR TO SEALING THE ANNULAR SPACE.	APPROVAL BY OTHER AGENCIES
	FRIOR TO ANY CHANGES OF THE WORK PLAN.	
	THY THIS AGENCY AT LEAST 48 HOURS:	DATE AMOUNT \$382.00 NOT
	ROVAL IS SUBJECT TO THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS:	HSU NU CHECK NU APP
	TTION OF DEDMIT (FOD OFFICE LISE ONLY)	EOD ACCOUNTING LISE ONLY
	& EXPLORATORY BORING DESTRUCTION section on the next page.) □ Soil Boring (hollow stem auger, mud rotary, sonic, or bucket auger, etc)	 (complete separate permits for probe survey and soil boring. Also complete the WELL Probe Survey (CPT or Direct Push Only) Probe Survey Soil Vapor Probes (Direct Push)
		EXPLORATORY BORINGS
	apor Probes Other	Piezometer Interpreted Horizontal Soil V
7	on/Recharge Geothermal Heat Exchange	□ Water Extraction □ Inclinometer □ Injecti
77	apor Extraction \Box Electrical Grounding Well	□ Monitoring □ Air Sparge □ Soil V
_	stion) Total No. of Wells	NON-PRODUCTION WELLS (fee is the same as monitoring well constru
S	(complete one permit application for per cathodic well)	□ CATHODIC WELL
0 -:	(complete one permit application for per water well) & No. of connections	WATER WELLS
Þ7	□ Destruction (Fee is per well)	SERVICE Construction
	Consultant Driller Well Owner	EMAIL PERMIT TO:
E TIMA	OVERSIGHT AGENCY (if applicable)	LONGTITUDE (DECIMAL) 33.658857
МЕГГ Ы	TION / STREET INTERSECTION APN 119-200-41	CITY WELL LOCA NEWPORT BEACH GOLF COI 3100 IRVINE AVE.
	Gon PROPOSED START DATE	For multiple cities addresses or locations complete a senarate permit applica
	XPLORATORY BORING PERMIT . DYER ROAD, SUITE 120 EHOCWELLS@OCHCA.COM TA ANA, CA 92705-5611 714-433-6000	APPLICATION FOR WELL/E ORANGE COUNTY HEALTH CARE AGENCY 1241 E ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH DIVISION SAN

WHEN SIGNED BY AN ORANGE COUNTY HEALTH CARE AGENCY REPRESENTATIVE, THIS APPLICATION IS A VALID PERMIT. (R10/21)

I hereby agree to comply with all applicable requirements of the Health Care Agency and with all ordinances and laws of the County of Orange and of the State of California pertaining to well construction, reconstruction and destruction, including the requirements to maintain the integrity of all significant confining zones. A violation of the California Well Standards and the local Well Ordinances may constitute a misdemeanor (County Well Ordinance Sec. 4-5-31).

	WELL OWNER	Sector Sector	
WELL OWNER'S NAME Back Bay Barrals I I	6	EMAI	L ADDRESS
Back Bay Battels LL BACK BAY BARDELS LL		Adam@s	SUFITAFITI: EOM
WELL OWNER'S ADDRESS / 0	CITY / STATE/ ZIP CODE		TELEPHONE NUMBER
1940 Continental Ave Co	osta Mesa, Ca 92627		(949) 836-3055
WELL OWNER'S	SIGNATURE		DATE
\square			5-9-24
Å	CONSULTING FIRM		
NAME OF CONSULTING FIRM	BUSINESS ADDRESS/CITY/STATE/ZI	P CODE PROF	FESSIONAL LICENSE NUMBER
Carl Kim Geotechnical, Inc.	945 Baileyana R	oad	PG 7720. CEG 2366
CARL KIM GEOTECHNICAL, INC.	Hillsborough, CA 9	94010	10 7720, CEO 2500
CONSULTANT'S SIGNATURE	DATE	E	MAIL ADDRESS
Andrew R. Hillstrand Date: 2024.05.09 09:43:42 -07'00'	5/8/2024	geoar	ndy@gmail.com
NAME OF DRUILER	DRILLING CONTRACTOR	C-57	LICENSE NUMBER
Kehoe Testing & Engineering, Inc.	kte3@kehaetesting.com	786163	786163
DPILLEP'S SIGNATI	IDE	,	DATE
G and Digital	ly signed by Steven P. Kehoe		15/00/2024
Date: 2	024.05.09 10:09:15-07'00'		55/09/2024
WATED & STORM	REQUIRED DOCUMENTS	L CONSTRUCTION	
An approval from the Division of Drinking Water (DDW) for public or municipal water	wells	
A cross-section well diagram detailing total denth	orehole diameter, depth and thicknes	s of the sanitary seal(s) type(s) of casing(s) and
length(s) of screen(s) / slotting. A top view is required	for nested wells that demonstrate the	radial thickness separa	ition.
□ Indicate the number of water aquifers the well will b	be screened through.		
\Box A site map using a 250-foot radius from the propose	d water well location that includes lo	ocations and distances t	0:
All existing, active, inactive, and/or abandoned was	ter wells.		
 All existing, abandoned, and/or proposed sewer lin 	es, recycled water lines, and storm d	rain lines.	
 All active and/or abandoned leach fields, cesspits, 	and septic tanks.		
 All animal enclosures (e.g., stables, coops, kennels All water courses and/or bodies of water including 	, etc.).	ands retention ponds	and/or swimming nools
 All other underground storage tanks and open (reg 	ulated) remediation sites.	shab, retennen penab, i	and of primining pools.
· All nearby structures (e.g., commercial and resider	ntial buildings, houses, storage sheds) sanitary hazards and t	heir locations.
NON-PR	ODUCTION WELL CONSTRUC	TION	The state of the
□ Written work plan. For regulated sites, an approved	work plan by the overseeing regulate	ory agency must be inc	luded for the installation of
any type of nested well. \Box Site and the location of the response of the second seco	alle (as teneneshing mene)		
□ Site map(s) showing the locations of the proposed w	vens (no topographical maps).	s of the sanitary sealls) type(s) of casing(s) and
\Box A cross-section well diagram detailing total depth, to length(s) of screen(s) / slotting. A top view is required	for nested wells that demonstrates a	2-inch radial thickness	separation between casings
and casing and wall of the borehole.			
WELL & E	XPLORATORY BORING DESTR	UCTION	he factured
Written work plan. For regulated sites, an approval	of the work plan by the overseeing re	gulatory agency must	be included.
Site map(s) showing the locations of the wells to be	destroyed (no topographical maps).		
Total depth 40-70 feet Borehole	diameter 1 44 inches	Sealing material BENT	ONITE-CEMENT
Method of destruction:	ananeternenes		
Pressure grout / removal of top 5 feet casin	g / removal of well boxes	Overdrill 🗆 E	xcavation
Other Approved sealing materials wi	Il be place via tremie from tota	l depth to ground s	urface.
- FF			

May 8, 2024

Orange County Health Care Agency 1241 East Dyer Road, Suite 120 Santa Ana, CA 92705-5611

Attn.: Water Quality, Wells Section (EHOCWells@ochca.com)

RE: "Work Plan" for Geotechnical Boring Permit, 3100 Irvine Avenue, Newport Beach, California, Assessor's Parcel Number (APN) 119-200-41

Dear Sir or Madam,

Carl Kim Geotechnical, Inc. (Carl Kim Geo) is planning geotechnical explorations that will include approximately nine (9) cone penetration test soundings to depths of 40 to 70 below ground surface (BGS). The CPT subcontractor is currently scheduled to commence the work on or about May 20, 2024. Carl Kim Geo's staff and subcontractors will use industry standard techniques to seal boreholes to surface. We will adhere to the requirements of the Orange County Well/Boring Permit and California Well Standards. As such, borings will be sealed with neat cement (Portland cement-bentonite grout) using positive displacement methods (tremie pipe) across the intervals explored.

For convenience, the map below was excerpted from <u>https://www.ocgis.com/ocpw/landrecords/</u> and includes the approximate locations of the proposed explorations, all of which are within parcel number 119-200-41. Note that depths and locations will be adjusted based on field conditions and other technical factors.



CARL KIM GEOTECHNICAL, INC. 945 Baileyana Road Hillsborough, CA 94010 949-441-8143 The proposed work will be observed and documented by qualified staff or directly by the undersigned.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at 805-573-0315 or geoandy@gmail.com.

Respectfully submitted,

Huchen R. Hillet

Andrew R. Hillstrand PG 7720, CEG 2366 Senior Engineering Geologist

Enclosure

APPENDIX B

LOGS OF BORINGS

This appendix contains the final logs of borings. These logs represent our interpretation of the contents of the field logs and the results of the field and laboratory tests.

The logs and related information depict subsurface conditions only at these locations and at the particular time designated on the logs. Soil conditions at other locations may differ from conditions occurring at these test boring locations. Also, the passage of time may result in changes in the soil conditions at these test boring locations.

In addition, an explanation of the abbreviations used in the preparation of the logs and a description of the Unified Soil Classification System are provided at the end of Appendix B.

R



First Encountered During Drilling: N/E

Test Boring: B-1

Project: Proposed Drive Shack - Restaurant and Golf Driving Range

Project Number: E40550.01

Drilled By: Pacific Drilling

Drill Type: CME 75

Logged By: Jovany C.

Date: July 29, 2019

Elevation: N/A

Auger Type: 6-5/8" Hollow Stem Augers

Hammer Type: 140 LB Auto Trip Hammer

ELEVATION/ DEPTH (feet)	SOIL SYMBOLS SAMPLER SYMBOLS AND FIELD TEST DATA	USCS	Soil Description	Remarks	N-Values blows/ft.	Moisture Content %
	4/6 4/6 3/6	SM	SILTY SAND; loose, moist, fine, dark-brown		7	
-	7/6 7/6 7/6		Medium dense, fine to medium grained, trace fine gravel		14	
5 - - -	5/6 8/6 12/6		Weakly cemented		20	
- - 10 - -	9/6 13/6 15/6	SP	POORLY GRADED SAND; medium dense, moist, fine to coarse grained, red-brown, trace fine gravel	DD = 100.7 pcf	28	3.9
- 15 - -	8/6 11/6 12/6	~	Iron oxide staining		23	
- 20 - -	9/6 7/6 10/6	CL	SANDY LEAN CLAY; stiff, moist, low to medium plasticity, blue and brown Bottom of boring	DD = 85.5 pcf	17	33.7
- 25 - - -						



Project: Proposed Drive Shack - Restaurant and Golf Driving Range

Project Number: E40550.01

Drilled By: Pacific Drilling

Drill Type: CME 75

Logged By: Jovany C.

Date: July 30, 2019

Elevation: N/A

Auger Type: 6-5/8" Hollow Stem Augers

Hammer Type: 140 LB Auto Trip Hammer

Depth to Groundwater First Encountered During Drilling: N/E

ELEVATION/ DEPTH (feet)	SOIL SYMBOLS SAMPLER SYMBOLS AND FIELD TEST DATA	USCS	Soil Description	Remarks	N-Values blows/ft.	Moisture Content %
	11/6 10/6 7/6 7/6 12/6 8/6	SM	SIITY SAND; medium dense, damp, fine to medium grained, brown, with rootlets, some clay Moist, weakly cemented		17 20	3.3 6.0
5 - - -	8/6 10/6 9/6		Increase in sand content, decrease in fines, trace fine gravel		19	6.8
10 - - -	1/6 2/6 10/6	CL	SANDY LEAN CLAY; medium stiff, moist, low plasticity, olive- green	DD = 92.6 pcf	12	21.8
15 - - -	3/6 6/6 8/6		Stiff, low to medium plasticity, iron oxide staining		14	22.3
- 20 - - -	2/6 4/6 8/6		Dark-brown staining		12	25.3
- 25 - - - -	2/6 5/6 9/6				14	24.5



First Encountered During Drilling: N/E

Test Boring: B-2

Project: Proposed Drive Shack - Restaurant and Golf Driving Range

Project Number: E40550.01

Drilled By: Pacific Drilling

Drill Type: CME 75

Logged By: Jovany C.

Date: July 30, 2019

Elevation: N/A

Auger Type: 6-5/8" Hollow Stem Augers

Hammer Type: 140 LB Auto Trip Hammer

ELEVATION/ DEPTH (feet)	SOIL SYMBOLS SAMPLER SYMBOLS AND FIELD TEST DATA	USCS	Soil Description	Remarks	N-Values blows/ft.	Moisture Content %
- 30 - - -	2/6 5/6 8/6		With sea shells		13	
- 35 - - -	2/6 7/6 10/6		Slight increase in moisture content, blue	DD = 91.7 pcf LL =42 Pl = 25	17	26.1
- 40 - - -	2/6 3/6 4/6	\bigcirc	Medium stiff		7	35.6
- 45 - - - -	15/6 24/6 40/6	SP	POORLY GRADED SAND; dense, moist, fine to medium grained, gray		65	
- 50 - - - -	15/6 27/6 27/6		Very dense, fine sand		54	1.6
- 55 - - - -	15/6 20/6 28/6		Dense		48	



Project: Proposed Drive Shack - Restaurant and Golf Driving Range

Project Number: E40550.01

Drilled By: Pacific Drilling

Drill Type: CME 75

Logged By: Jovany C.

Date: July 30, 2019

Elevation: N/A

Auger Type: 6-5/8" Hollow Stem Augers

Hammer Type: 140 LB Auto Trip Hammer

Depth to Groundwater First Encountered During Drilling: N/E

ELEVATION/ DEPTH	SOIL SYMBOLS SAMPLER SYMBOLS	USCS	Soil Description	Remarks	N-Values blows/ft.	Moisture Content %
(ieet) 60 	3/6 4/6 4/6	CL	LEAN CLAY; medium stiff, moist, low to medium plasticity, dark-gray	Sand = 2.0% -#200 = 98.0% LL = 40	8	
- - - 65	3/6		Stiff, black, 2 inch sandy silt lens	PI = 17	10	
	5/6					
- 70 - -	3/6 3/6 6/6		Bottom of boring		9	35.7
- 75						
-						
- 80 - - -						
- 85 -						
-						



Project: Proposed Drive Shack - Restaurant and Golf Driving Range

Project Number: E40550.01

Drilled By: Allen B.

Logged By: Jovany C. Date: July 22, 2019

Drill Type: CME 75

Elevation: N/A

Auger Type: 6-5/8" Hollow Stem Augers

Hammer Type: 140 LB Auto Trip Hammer

ELEVATION/ DEPTH (feet)	SOIL SYMBOLS SAMPLER SYMBOLS AND FIELD TEST DATA	USCS	Soil Description	Remarks	N-Values blows/ft.	Moisture Content %
	3/6 8/6 10/6 6/6 7/6 7/6	FIII	SANDY LEAN CLAY; very stiff, moist, low to medium plasticity, dark- brown, with rootlets, trace fine gravel, weak to moderate cementation Stiff, brown to black		18 14	6.5 9.1
5 - - -	2/6 1/6 1/6	CL	SANDY LEAN CLAY; Soft, moist, low plasticity, black, organics		2	60.5
- 10	4/6		Gray to black, iron oxide stains	DD = 87.8 pcf	16	34.6
- - -	9/6 3/6 4/6 5/6		Tan-brown, iron oxide stains		9	23
- 15	5/6		Stiff, bluish-gray to black, with seams	DD = 96.6 pcf	22	35.7
-	10/6 12/6 4/6 5/6 6/6		of black Bluish-gray		11	20.4
- 20 - - -	0/6 2/6 3/6		Medium stiff, dark-brown		5	46.0
- 25 - - - -	5/6 14/6 14/6	SM	SILTY SAND with Clay; very stiff, moist, fine to medium grained, dark- gray	DD = 92.5 pcf Gravel= 11.0% Sand = 76.9% -#200 = 12.1% c = 380 psf Ø = 36°	28	20.3

Notes:

Depth to Groundwater First Encountered During Drilling: N/E



First Encountered During Drilling: N/E

Test Boring: B-3

Project: Proposed Drive Shack - Restaurant and Golf Driving Range

Project Number: E40550.01

Drilled By: Allen B.

Logged By: Jovany C.

Date: July 22, 2019

Elevation: N/A

Drill Type: CME 75

Auger Type: 6-5/8" Hollow Stem Augers

Hammer Type: 140 LB Auto Trip Hammer

ELEVATION/ DEPTH (feet)	SOIL SYMBOLS SAMPLER SYMBOLS AND FIELD TEST DATA	USCS	Soil Description	Remarks	N-Values blows/ft.	Moisture Content %
- 30 - -	4/6 6/6 12/6	SM	SILTY SAND; medium dense, moist, fine sand, dark- gray, 2 inch clay lens	Sand = 82.9% -#200 =17.1%	18	
- - - 35 - -	11/6 33/6 50/5		Very dense, trace clay, trace gravel	DD = 107.4 pcf	>83	10.5
- 40 40 	7/6 7/6 11/6	CL	SANDY LEAN CLAY; very stiff, moist, low to medium plasticity, dark- gray Bottom of boring		18	24.4
- 50 - - - - 55 - - - - -						



Project: Proposed Drive Shack - Restaurant and Golf Driving Range

Project Number: E40550.01

Drilled By: Allen B.

Logged By: Jovany C.

Drill Type: CME 75

Date: July 22, 2019

Elevation: N/A

Auger Type: 6-5/8" Hollow Stem Augers

Hammer Type: 140 LB Auto Trip Hammer

Depth to Groundwater First Encountered During Drilling: 30 feet

ELEVATION/ DEPTH (feet)	SOIL SYMBOLS SAMPLER SYMBOLS AND FIELD TEST DATA	USCS	Soil Description	Remarks	N-Values blows/ft.	Moisture Content %
	7/6 9/6 11/6	CL	SANDY LEAN CLAY; very stiff, moist, low plasticity, dark-brown, weak to moderate cementation		20	6.4
- 5	4/6 4/6 4/6		Soft, with rootlets, iron oxide staining		8	18.8
- - 10 -	9/6 11/6 14/6	6	Very stiff, low to medium plasticity, gray to black	DD = 102.0 pcf	25	21.0
- - - - -	3/6 4/6 5/6		Stiff, bluish-gray, iron oxide stains		9	27.2
- - 20 - -	8/6 12/6 16/6	SP	POORLY GRADED SANDS; medium dense, moist, fine sand, bluish-gray		28	10.5
- - 25 - - -	5/6 8/6 10/6	CL	LEAN CLAY; very stiff, very moist, low plasticity, dark-gray		18	24.7



Project: Proposed Drive Shack - Restaurant and Golf Driving Range

Project Number: E40550.01

Drilled By: Allen B.

Drill Type: CME 75

Logged By: Jovany C.

Date: July 22, 2019

Elevation: N/A

Auger Type: 6-5/8" Hollow Stem Augers

Hammer Type: 140 LB Auto Trip Hammer

Depth to Groundwater First Encountered During Drilling: 30 feet

ELEVATION/ DEPTH (feet)	SOIL SYMBOLS SAMPLER SYMBOLS AND FIELD TEST DATA	USCS	Soil Description	Remarks	N-Values blows/ft.	Moisture Content %
DEPTH (feet) - 30 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	SAMPLER SYMBOLS AND FIELD TEST DATA	SP	Soil Description POORLY GRADED SAND; dense, wet, fine to medium grained, dark- gray Bottom of boring	Remarks	40	21.4
F						



Project: Proposed Drive Shack - Restaurant and Golf Driving Range

Project Number: E40550.01

Drilled By: Allen B.

Logged By: Jovany C. Date: July 22, 2019

Drill Type: CME 75

Elevation: N/A

Auger Type: 6-5/8" Hollow Stem Augers

Hammer Type: 140 LB Auto Trip Hammer

Depth to Groundwater First Encountered During Drilling: N/E

ELEVATION/ DEPTH (feet)	SOIL SYMBOLS SAMPLER SYMBOLS AND FIELD TEST DATA	USCS	Soil Description	Remarks	N-Values blows/ft.	Moisture Content %
	3/6 4/6 3/6	FILL	SANDY LEAN CLAY; medium stiff, moist, low to medium plasticity, brown to black		7	
- 5 - -	2/6 2/6 4/6		Soft, black, with rootlets, organics	DD = 69.0 pcf LOI=14.1%	6	43.4
- 10	4/6 4/6 4/6	CL	SANDY LEAN CLAY: medium stiff, low plasticity, olive green		8	
- - 15 -	4/6 4/6 5/6		Stiff, brown to blue		9	
- 20	4/6 6/6 10/6	SM	SILTY SAND; loose, moist, fine to medium grained, dark gray	DD = 95.2 pcf Sand = 72.7% -#200 = 27.3% c = 350 psf Ø = 30° LL = NV PI = NP	16	21.1
- 25 - - -	5/6 5/6 11/6 14/6	SP	POORLY GRADED SAND; medium dense, moist, fine to medium grained, dark-gray, trace coarse gravel, 2 inch clay lens		25	



First Encountered During Drilling: N/E

Test Boring: B-5

Project: Proposed Drive Shack - Restaurant and Golf Driving Range

Project Number: E40550.01

Drilled By: Allen B.

Logged By: Jovany C. Date: July 22, 2019

Drill Type: CME 75

Elevation: N/A

Auger Type: 6-5/8" Hollow Stem Augers

Hammer Type: 140 LB Auto Trip Hammer

ELEVATION/ DEPTH (feet)	SOIL SYMBOLS SAMPLER SYMBOLS AND FIELD TEST DATA	USCS	Soil Description	Remarks	N-Values blows/ft.	Moisture Content %
- 30	8/6 15/6 36/6		Dense, 2 inch clay lens	DD = 112.5 pcf	51	9.1
- 35 - - -	9/6 13/6 7/6		Medium dense		20	2.9
40 - - -	2/6 6/6 4/6	CL	SANDY LEAN CLAY; stiff, moist, low to medium plasticity, dark-gray, 2 inch sandy silt lens Bottom of boring		10	35.8
- 45 - -						
- 50 - -						
- 55 - -						



Test Boring: B-6

Project: Proposed Drive Shack - Restaurant and Golf Driving Range

Project Number: E40550.01

Drilled By: Allen B.

Logged By: Jovany C. Date: July 23, 2019

Drill Type: CME 75

Elevation: N/A

Auger Type: 6-5/8" Hollow Stem Augers

Hammer Type: 140 | B Auto Trin Hammer

ELEVATION/	SOIL SYMBOLS				N Velue -	Maleture
DEPTH (feet)	SAMPLER SYMBOLS AND FIELD TEST DATA	USCS	Soil Description	Remarks	N-Values blows/ft.	Moisture Content %
0	4/6 4/6 3/6 5/6 8/6	CL	SANDY LEAN CLAY; medium stiff, moist, low to medium plasticity, dark- brown, weakly cemented, with rootlets		8 13	
- - 5 -	4/6 5/6 6/6		Stiff, trace gravel, increase in sand content, Stiff, trace fine to coarse gravel, 1 inch poorly graded sand lens		11	
- - 10 -	7/6 14/6 18/6	6	Very stiff, brown, iron oxide stains	DD = 95.6 pcf	32	25.9
- 15	4/6 6/6 8/6		Stiff, light-brown to brown, iron oxide staining		14	
- 20 - -	3/6 5/6 7/6		Bluish-brown		12	
- - 25 -	2/6 3/6 4/6		Medium stiff, blue, interbedded mica		7	



First Encountered During Drilling: N/E

Test Boring: B-6

Project: Proposed Drive Shack - Restaurant and Golf Driving Range

Project Number: E40550.01

Drilled By: Allen B.

Logged By: Jovany C. Date: July 23, 2019

Drill Type: CME 75

Elevation: N/A

Auger Type: 6-5/8" Hollow Stem Augers

Hammer Type: 140 LB Auto Trip Hammer

ELEVATION/ DEPTH (feet)	SOIL SYMBOLS SAMPLER SYMBOLS AND FIELD TEST DATA	USCS	Soil Description	Remarks	N-Values blows/ft.	Moisture Content %
- 30 -	2/6		Soft, dark-gray		4	
_			Bottom of boring			
-						
- 35						
-						
-			2			
- 40 -						
-						
-						
- 45						
-						
- 50						
-						
-						
- — 55						
-						
-						
F						



First Encountered During Drilling: N/E

Test Boring: B-7

Project: Proposed Drive Shack - Restaurant and Golf Driving Range

Project Number: E40550.01

Drilled By: Pacific Drilling

Drill Type: CME 75

Logged By: Jovany C.

Date: July 29, 2019

Elevation: N/A

Auger Type: 6-5/8" Hollow Stem Augers

Hammer Type: 140 LB Auto Trip Hammer

ELEVATION/ DEPTH (feet)	SOIL SYMBOLS SAMPLER SYMBOLS AND FIELD TEST DATA	USCS	Soil Description	Remarks	N-Values blows/ft.	Moisture Content %
0	2/6 2/6 4/6	CL	LEAN CLAY; medium stiff, moist, low to medium plasticity, olive-brown, iron oxide staining		6	
_	3/6 5/6		Stiff, low plasticity, bluish- grown, iron		10	
- 5 - -	5/6 4/6 6/6 9/6		oxide stains Low plasticity, gray to dark-gray	DD = 86.8pcf LL = 47 PI = 23	15	25.5
- - 10 -	2/6 5/6 6/6	0	Low to medium plasticity, blue, iron oxide staining		11	
_						
- 15 - - -	11/6 22/6 35/6	SM	SILTY SAND; dense, moist, fine to medium grained, olive- brown, trace clay	DD =105.6 pcf Sand = 81.3% -#200 = 18.7%	57	7.8
- 20 - - -	10/6 15/6 16/6	SP	POORLY GRADED SAND; dense, moist, fine to medium, brown		31	
- 25 - -	2/6 2/6 4/6	CL	SANDY LEAN CLAY; medium stiff, moist, low to medium plasticity, blue, iron oxide staining		6	



Project: Proposed Drive Shack - Restaurant and Golf Driving Range

Project Number: E40550.01

Drilled By: Pacific Drilling

Drill Type: CME 75

Logged By: Jovany C.

Date: July 29, 2019

Elevation: N/A

Auger Type: 6-5/8" Hollow Stem Augers

Hammer Type: 140 LB Auto Trip Hammer

Depth to Groundwater First Encountered During Drilling: N/E

ELEVATION/ DEPTH (feet)	SOIL SYMBOLS SAMPLER SYMBOLS AND FIELD TEST DATA	USCS	Soil Description	Remarks	N-Values blows/ft.	Moisture Content %
- 30 - -	3/6 6/6 5/6		Stiff, very moist, 3 inches of interbedded muscovite		11	
- - 35 - -	1/6 2/6 3/6		Medium stiff, low to medium plasticity, dark-gray		5	
- 	4/6 6/6 6/6	δ	Stiff, 2 inches of interbedded sandy silt		12	
- 45 -	5/6 6/6 8/6	ML	SANDY SILT; stiff, moist, non- plastic, dark-gray		14	
- - 50 -	3/6 5/6 6/6	CL	SANDY LEAN CLAY; stiff, moist, low plasticity, dark-gray, with organics Bottom of boring		11	
- - - 55 -						
-						



First Encountered During Drilling: N/E

Test Boring: B-8

Project: Proposed Drive Shack - Restaurant and Golf Driving Range

Project Number: E40550.01

Drilled By: Pacific Drilling

Drill Type: CME 75

Logged By: Jovany C.

Date: July 29, 2019

Elevation: N/A

Auger Type: 6-5/8" Hollow Stem Augers

Hammer Type: 140 LB Auto Trip Hammer

ELEVATION/ DEPTH (feet)	SOIL SYMBOLS SAMPLER SYMBOLS AND FIELD TEST DATA	USCS	Soil Description	Remarks	N-Values blows/ft.	Moisture Content %
	4/6 4/6 4/6	CL	SANDY LEAN CLAY; medium stiff, moist, low to medium plasticity, dark- brown		8	
_	4/6 7/6		Stiff		14	
- 5 - -	7/6 4/6 8/6 7/6		Red-brown, trace fine gravel	DD = 109.2 pcf	15	11.8
- - 10 - -	2/6 3/6 5/6	SP	POORLY GRADED SAND; loose, moist, fine to coarse grained, red- brown		8	
- 15 - -	5/6 11/6 13/6	CL	SANDY LEAN CLAY; very stiff, moist, low plasticity, olive brown, iron oxide staining	DD = 94.2 pcf	24	28.8
- 20	3/6 5/6 8/6		Stiff, low to medium plasticity, brown, iron oxide staining, seams of sand Bottom of boring		13	
- - 25 - -						



Project: Proposed Drive Shack - Restaurant and Golf Driving Range

Project Number: E40550.01

Drilled By: Pacific Drilling

Drill Type: CME 75

Logged By: Jovany C.

Date: July 29, 2019

Elevation: N/A

Auger Type: 6-5/8" Hollow Stem Augers

Hammer Type: 140 LB Auto Trip Hammer

ELEVATION/ DEPTH (feet)	SOIL SYMBOLS SAMPLER SYMBOLS AND FIELD TEST DATA	USCS	Soil Description	Remarks	N-Values blows/ft.	Moisture Content %
0	3/6 4/6 7/6	SM	SILTY SAND; medium dense, moist, fine to medium dense, brown		11	
-	2/6 5/6 5/6		Trace gravel, 2 inch thick clay lens in sample		10	
- 5 - - - -	3/6 5/6 7/6	ML	Sandy Silt; stiff, moist, slight plasticity, red-brown, iron oxide staining	Sand = 49.6% -#200 = 50.4%	12	
- 10 - - - -	6/6 9/6 12/6	SM	SILTY SAND; medium dense, moist, fine to medium grained, red-brown	DD = 96.7 pcf	21	4.2
- 15 - - - -	- - - - - - - - - - - - - -	SP	POORLY GRADED SAND; moist, medium dense, fine to medium 2 feet of heave at 18 feet	Sand = 96.8% -#200 = 3.2% LL = NV PI = NP	23	
- 20 - - -	3/6 9/6 17/6		Wet, bluish-gray		26	
- 25 - - - -	2/6 3/6 2/6	CL	SANDY LEAN CLAY; medium stiff, wet, low plasticity, grayish- blue, with weathered interbedded muscovite		5	

Notes:

Depth to Groundwater

First Encountered During Drilling: 18 feet



Test Boring: B-9

Project: Proposed Drive Shack - Restaurant and Golf Driving Range

Project Number: E40550.01

Drilled By: Pacific Drilling

Drill Type: CME 75

Logged By: Jovany C.

Date: July 29, 2019

Elevation: N/A

Auger Type: 6-5/8" Hollow Stem Augers

LEVATION/ DEPTH (feet)	SOIL SYMBOLS SAMPLER SYMBOLS AND FIELD TEST DATA	USCS	Soil Description	Remarks	N-Values blows/ft.	Moisture Content %
- 30 - - -	3/6 4/6 4/6		Low to medium plasticity, dark-gray		8	
- 35 - - -	3/6 4/6 5/6	ML	SANDY SILT; stiff, moist, low plasticity, dark-gray, organic odor, some clay		9	
- 40 - -	1/6 3/6 5/6		Medium stiff, low plasticity, increase in clay content		8	
45 - - -	6/6 	SP	POORLY GRADED SAND; medium dense, wet, fine to medium grained, dark gray, trace organics		28	
50 - - -	13/6 23/6 25/6		Dense Bottom of boring		48	
- 55 - -						



First Encountered During Drilling: N/E

Test Boring: B-10

Project: Proposed Drive Shack - Restaurant and Golf Driving Range

Project Number: E40550.01

Drilled By: Allen B.

Drill Type: CME 75

Logged By: Jovany C.

Date: July 16, 2019

Elevation: N/A

Auger Type: 6-5/8" Hollow Stem Augers

Hammer Type: 140 LB Auto Trip Hammer

ELEVATION/	SOIL SYMBOLS				N-Values	Moisture
DEPTH (feet)	SAMPLER SYMBOLS AND FIELD TEST DATA	USCS	Soil Description	Remarks	blows/ft.	Content %
	8/6 10/6 12/6 11/6 11/6 14/6 17/6	AC SP	2.0 inches of Asphaltic CONCRETE over 6.5 inches of AGGREGATE BASE POORLY GRADED SAND; medium dense, moist, fine to coarse grained, light-brown		22 31	
- 5	13/6 20/6 29/6		Dense	DD = 108.0 pcf	49	2.4
- 10	3/6 9/6 12/6	CL	LEAN CLAY; hard, moist, low plasticity, brown	-	21	
- 15 - - - -	4/6 8/6 8/6		Very stiff, low to medium plasticity, bluish-brown, moderately cemented, iron oxide staining		16	
- 20 - - -	4/6 8/6 12/6		Bottom of boring		20	
- 25 - - -						



Project: Proposed Drive Shack - Restaurant and Golf Driving Range

Project Number: E40550.01

Drilled By: Allen B.

Logged By: Jovany C.

Date: July 16, 2019

Elevation: N/A

Drill Type: CME 75

Auger Type: 6-5/8" Hollow Stem Augers

Hammer Type: 140 LB Auto Trip Hammer

Depth to Groundwater First Encountered During Drilling: 20 Feet

ELEVATION/ DEPTH (feet)	SOIL SYMBOLS SAMPLER SYMBOLS AND FIELD TEST DATA	USCS	Soil Description	Remarks	N-Values blows/ft.	Moisture Content %
0	8/6 9/6 10/6	AC FILL	2.0 inches of ASPHALTIC CONCRETE over 6 inches of AGGREGATE BASE		19	
-	4/6	FILL	moist, fine to medium grained, brown		12	
- 5 - -	8/6 9/6 9/6 9/6		SANDY LEAN CLAY; stiff, moist, low to medium plasticity, red-brown to black Very stiff		18	
- 10 - - -		FILL	SANDY LEAN CLAY; stiff, moist, low plasticity, bluish-gray, iron oxide staining			
- 15 - - -	7/6 9/6 9/6	SM	SILTY SAND; medium dense, moist, fine to medium grained, brown, trace clay		18	
- 20	7/6 	SP	POORLY GRADED SAND; medium dense, wet, fine to coarse, bluish- gray	DD =104.5 pcf Sand = 95.5% -#200 = 4.5% LL = NV PI = NP	26	20.6
- 25 - - -	6/6 5/6 6/6	CL	SANDY LEAN CLAY; stiff, wet, low to medium plasticity, blue, sea shells		11	



Project: Proposed Drive Shack - Restaurant and Golf Driving Range

Project Number: E40550.01

Drilled By: Allen B.

Logged By: Jovany C.

Date: July 16, 2019

Drill Type: CME 75

Elevation: N/A

Auger Type: 6-5/8" Hollow Stem Augers

Hammer Type: 140 LB Auto Trip Hammer

Depth to Groundwater First Encountered During Drilling: 20 Feet

ELEVATION/ DEPTH (foot)	SOIL SYMBOLS SAMPLER SYMBOLS	USCS	Soil Description	Remarks	N-Values blows/ft.	Moisture Content %
- 30 - - -	3/6 4/6 4/6		LEAN CLAY; medium stiff, wet, low to medium plasticity, bluish-gray, 1 inch poorly graded sand lens		8	
- 35 - - -	4/6 6/6 8/6	CL	SANDY LEAN CLAY; stiff, moist, low to medium plasticity, bluish-gray (3 feet of heave during drilling)		14	
- 40 - -	20/6 24/6 22/6	CL	Seam of poorly graded sand SANDY LEAN CLAY; hard, moist, low to medium plasticity, bluish-gray		46	
- 45 - - -	6/6 12/6 29/6	ML	SANDY SILT; hard, moist, non _plastic, gray, 1" clay lens Bottom of boring		41	
- - 50 - -						
- 55 - -						
-						



First Encountered During Drilling: N/E

Test Boring: B-12

Project: Proposed Drive Shack - Restaurant and Golf Driving Range

Project Number: E40550.01

Drilled By: Allen B.

Logged By: Jovany C.

Date: July 15, 2019

Elevation: N/A

Drill Type: CME 75

Auger Type: 6-5/8" Hollow Stem Augers

Hammer Type: 140 LB Auto Trip Hammer

ELEVATION/ DEPTH (feet)	SOIL SYMBOLS SAMPLER SYMBOLS AND FIELD TEST DATA	USCS	Soil Description	Remarks	N-Values blows/ft.	Moisture Content %
DEPTH (feet) - 0 - 5 - 10 -	SAMPLER SYMBOLS AND FIELD TEST DATA 8/6 6/6 12/6 10/6 12/6 11/6 11/6 15/6 15/6	AC SC CL	Soil Description 2.5 inches of ASPHALTIC CONCRETE over 6.0 inches of AGGREGATE BASE CLAYEY SAND; medium dense, moist, fine to medium grained, red- brown to brown, weakly cemented SANDY LEAN CLAY; very stiff, moist, low to medium plasticity, brown, moderate cementation With 1" clayey sand lens Bottom of boring	Remarks	20 23 30	Content %
- 25						



Project: Proposed Drive Shack - Restaurant and Golf Driving Range

Project Number: E40550.01

Drilled By: Allen B.

Logged By: Jovany C.

Date: July 15, 2019

Elevation: N/A

Drill Type: CME 75

Hammer Type: 140 LB Auto Trip Hammer

Auger Type: 6-5/8" Hollow Stem Augers

Depth to Groundwater First Encountered During Drilling: N/E

ELEVATION/ DEPTH (feet)	SOIL SYMBOLS SAMPLER SYMBOLS AND FIELD TEST DATA	USCS	Soil Description	Remarks	N-Values blows/ft.	Moisture Content %
0	5/6 5/6 6/6 6/6	AC CH	2.3 inches of ASPHALTIC CONCRETE over 6.0 inches of AGGREGATE BASE FAT CLAY; stiff, moist, medium to high plasticity, light-brown		11 30	
- 5 - -	12/6	UL	LEAN CLAY; very stiff, moist, low plasticity, light-brown			
- 10 	4/6 6/6 6/6	\circ	Stiff, decrease in plasticity, olive		12	
- 15 	6/6 9/6 9/6 9/6	ML	SILT; very stiff, moist, non plastic, red-brown		18	
- 20	4/6 9/6 13/6	SP	POORLY GRADED SAND; medium dense, moist, fine to medium grained, trace coarse sand, brown, iron oxide staining Bottom of boring		22	
- 25 - - - -						



First Encountered During Drilling: N/E

Test Boring: B-14

Project: Proposed Drive Shack - Restaurant and Golf Driving Range

Project Number: E40550.01

Drilled By: Allen B.

Logged By: Jovany C.

Date: July 15, 2019

Elevation: N/A

Drill Type: CME 75

Auger Type: 6-5/8" Hollow Stem Augers

Hammer Type: 140 LB Auto Trip Hammer

ELEVATION/ DEPTH	SOIL SYMBOLS SAMPLER SYMBOLS	USCS	Soil Description	Remarks	N-Values	Moisture
(feet)	AND FIELD TEST DATA				blows/π.	Content %
0	5/6 5/6 5/6 5/6	AC SC	2.1 inches of ASPHALTIC CONCRETE over 7.5 inches of AGGREGATE BASE		10	
- - - 5	7/6 8/6 11/6	SP	CLAYEY SAND; loose, moist, fine to medium grained, dark brown to red- brown, gravel noted in cuttings Medium dense, slight increase in		19	
- - - 10	3/6 4/6 6/6	CL	fines content POORLY GRADED SAND; loose, moist, fine to coarse, tan brown, trace clay fragments SANDY LEAN CLAY; stiff, moist, low to medium plasticity, dark-brown, 1 inch clayey sand lens		10	
- - - 15 -	5/6 16/6 21/6	CL	LEAN CLAY; Very stiff, moist, low to medium plasticity, dark-brown to red- brown, trace sand	DD = 124.3 pcf	37	11.0
- - - 20	4/6 6/6 8/6		Stiff, gray to brown Bottom of boring		14	
- - - 25 - - -						



Project: Proposed Drive Shack - Restaurant and Golf Driving Range

Project Number: E40550.01

Drilled By: Allen B.

Drill Type: CME 75

Logged By: Jovany C.

Date: July 15, 2019

Elevation:

Auger Type: 6-5/8" Hollow Stem Augers

Hammer Type: 140 LB Auto Trip Hammer

ELEVATION/ DEPTH (feet)	SOIL SYMBOLS SAMPLER SYMBOLS AND FIELD TEST DATA	USCS	Soil Description	Remarks	N-Values blows/ft.	Moisture Content %
- 0 - - - - 5 - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	3/6 4/6 5/6 5/6 6/6 7/6 6/6 13/6 26/6	AC SC CL	2.8 inches of ASPHALTIC CONCRETE over 5.0 inches of AGGREGATE BASE CLAYEY SAND; loose, moist, fine to medium grained, dark- brown to black SANDY LEAN CLAY; stiff, moist, low plasticity, dark-brown Very stiff, increase in sand content, 2" clayey sand lens	DD = 112.0 pcf	9 13 39	11.6
- - 10 -	5/6 6/6 7/6	\bigcirc	Very stiff, low plasticity, dark-brown		13	
- - 15 - -			Low to medium plasticity			
- 20	2/6 2/6 3/6		Medium stiff, moist, low to medium plasticity, light-gray to light-green		5	
- - 25 - - -	2/6 2/6 4/6	СН	FAT CLAY; stiff, moist, medium to high plasticity, bluish-green, interbedded tan, sea shells		6	

Notes:

Depth to Groundwater First Encountered During Drilling: N/E



Project: Proposed Drive Shack - Restaurant and Golf Driving Range

Project Number: E40550.01

Drilled By: Allen B.

Drill Type: CME 75

Logged By: Jovany C.

Date: July 15, 2019

Elevation:

Auger Type: 6-5/8" Hollow Stem Augers

Hammer Type: 140 LB Auto Trip Hammer

Depth to Groundwater First Encountered During Drilling: N/E

ELEVATION/ DEPTH (feet)	SOIL SYMBOLS SAMPLER SYMBOLS AND FIELD TEST DATA	USCS	Soil Description	Remarks	N-Values blows/ft.	Moisture Content %
- 30 - - - -	4/6 6/6 8/6	CL	SANDY LEAN CLAY; stiff, moist, low to medium plasticity, dark-blue	DD = 84.5 pcf	14	33.2
- 35 - - -	10/6 17/6 21/6	SP	POORLY GRADED SAND; dense, moist, fine sand, gray		38	
- 40 - - -	5/6 7/6 9/6	ML	SANDY SILT; very stiff, moist, non- plastic, dark-gray		16	
- 45 - - - -	5/6 7/6 9/6	SP	POORLY GRADED SAND; medium dense, moist, fine, gray Bottom of boring		16	
- 50 - - - -						
- 55 - - - -						

Notes:

Figure Number



Project: Proposed Drive Shack - Restaurant and Golf Driving Range

Project Number: E40550.01

Drilled By: Allen B.

Logged By: Jovany C.

Drill Type: CME 75

Date: July 23, 2019

Elevation: N/A

Auger Type: 6-5/8" Hollow Stem Augers

Hammer Type: 140 LB Auto Trip Hammer

Depth to Groundwater First Encountered During Drilling: N/E

ELEVATION/ DEPTH (feet)	SOIL SYMBOLS SAMPLER SYMBOLS AND FIELD TEST DATA	USCS	Soil Description	Remarks	N-Values blows/ft.	Moisture Content %
- 5	2/6 4/6 4/6 6/6 8/6 10/6 3/6	CL	SANDY LEAN CLAY; medium stiff, moist, low to medium plasticity, black, with rootlets, weak to moderate cementation Stiff Increase in sand content	DD = 83.2 pcf c = 230 psf ø = 30°	8 18 9	13.8 29.5 28.7
- - - - 10 -	4/6 5/6 2/6 1/6 2/6	6	Soft, decrease in plasticity		3	60.2
- - - - - -	2/6 6/6 8/6	CL	SANDY LEAN CLAY; stiff, moist, low plasticity, black, organics		14	37.7
- - 20 - -	7/6	ML	SANDY SILT; very stiff, moist, non- plastic, brown		24	7.9
- - 25 - - -	3/6 4/6 5/6	CL	SANDY LEAN CLAY; stiff, moist, low to medium plasticity, bluish-gray		9	20.0



First Encountered During Drilling: N/E

Test Boring: B-16

Project: Proposed Drive Shack - Restaurant and Golf Driving Range

Project Number: E40550.01

Drilled By: Allen B.

Logged By: Jovany C. Date: July 23, 2019

Drill Type: CME 75

Elevation: N/A

Auger Type: 6-5/8" Hollow Stem Augers

Hammer Type: 140 LB Auto Trip Hammer

ELEVATION/ DEPTH (feet)	SOIL SYMBOLS SAMPLER SYMBOLS AND FIELD TEST DATA	USCS	Soil Description	Remarks	N-Values blows/ft.	Moisture Content %
- 30 - -	0/6 2/6 2/6		Soft, trace gravel		4	29.0
- - 35 - -	2/6 4/6 4/6		Medium stiff, bluish-gray		8	20.5
- 40 - -	4/6 6/6 8/6	SM	SILTY SAND; medium dense, moist, fine to medium grained, bluish-gray, with trace clay		14	15.8
- 45 -	5/6 6/6 7/6		Bottom of boring		13	9.7
-			g			
— 50 -						
-						
- - 55						
-						

Notes:

Figure Number



Project: Proposed Drive Shack - Restaurant and Golf Driving Range

Project Number: E40550.01

Drilled By: Pac Drill

Drill Type: Fraste L.A.R.

Logged By: Jovany C. Date: February 24, 2020

Elevation: N/A

Auger Type: 6-5/8" Hollow Stem Augers

Hammer Type: 140 LB Auto Trip Hammer

Depth to Groundwater First Encountered During Drilling: N/E

0 SM SILTY SAND; moist, fine to medium grained, brown 5 CL SANDY LEAN CLAY; moist, low plasticity, dark-brown 10 Increase in sand content 10 Gravish-blue, low to medium plasticity 15 SM 20 SM	ELEVATION/ DEPTH (feet)	SOIL SYMBOLS SAMPLER SYMBOLS AND FIELD TEST DATA	USCS	Soil Description	Remarks	N-Values blows/ft.	Moisture Content %
-5 CL SANDY LEAN CLAY; moist, low plasticity, dark-brown -10 Increase in sand content -10 Grayish-blue, low to medium plasticity -15 Greenish-blue, slight increase in moisture -20 SM SILTY SAND; moist, fine to medium grained, red-brown	0		SM	SILTY SAND; moist, fine to medium grained, brown Dark-brown			
15 Grayish-blue, low to medium plasticity 15 Greenish-blue, slight increase in moisture 20 SM SILTY SAND; moist, fine to medium grained, red-brown	- 5 - - - - - - - - 10		CL	SANDY LEAN CLAY; moist, low plasticity, dark-brown Increase in sand content			
-20 -20 -20	- - - - 15		\bigcirc	Grayish-blue, low to medium plasticity Greenish-blue, slight increase in			
	- - - - 20 - - - -		SM	SILTY SAND; moist, fine to medium grained, red-brown			
25 SP POORLY GRADED SAND; moist, fine to medium grained, red-brown	- 25 - - -		SP	POORLY GRADED SAND; moist, fine to medium grained, red-brown			

Notes: Groundwater not encountered during drilling on February 24, 2020. Groundwater was measured at 26 feet BSG on February 25, 2020.

Figure Number


Project: Proposed Drive Shack - Restaurant and Golf Driving Range

Project Number: E40550.01

Drilled By: Pac Drill

Drill Type: Fraste L.A.R.

Logged By: Jovany C. Date: February 24, 2020

Elevation: N/A

Auger Type: 6-5/8" Hollow Stem Augers

Hammer Type: 140 LB Auto Trip Hammer

Depth to Groundwater First Encountered During Drilling: N/E

ELEVATI DEPTI (feet)	ION/ H)	SOIL SYMBOLS SAMPLER SYMBOLS AND FIELD TEST DATA	USCS	Soil Description	Remarks	N-Values blows/ft.	Moisture Content %
	- 30						
	-		CL	LEAN CLAY; moist, low plasticity, grayish-blue			
	- 35						
	- 55			Slight increase in moisture, Bottom of boring B-17 at 35 feet BSG			
	-						
-	- 40						
-	-						
	-						
	- 45						
-	-						
	-						
	- 50 -						
-	-						
-	- 55						
-	-						
	-						
						.	
Notes: (∍rouno neasu	red at 26 feet BS	G on Feb	ning drilling on February 24, 202 pruary 25, 2020.	u. Grounawa	ater was	5



Project: Proposed Drive Shack - Restaurant and Golf Driving Range

Project Number: E40550.01

Drilled By: Pac Drill

Drill Type: Fraste L.A.R.

Logged By: Jovany C.

Elevation: N/A

Date: February 24, 2020

Auger Type: 6-5/8" Hollow Stem Augers

Hammer Type: 140 LB Auto Trip Hammer

Depth to Groundwater First Encountered During Drilling: 35 Feet

ELEVATION/ DEPTH (feet)	SOIL SYMBOLS SAMPLER SYMBOLS AND FIELD TEST DATA	USCS	Soil Description	Remarks	N-Values blows/ft.	Moisture Content %
	3/6 5/1::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	SP-SM	POORLY GRADED SAND WITH SILT; loose, moist, fine to coarse grained, brown		9	
- - 5 - -	1 <td>CL SP-SM</td> <td>LEAN CLAY; medium stiff, moist, low plasticity, brown POORLY GRADED SAND WITH SILT: loose, moist, fine to coarse</td> <td></td> <td>7</td> <td></td>	CL SP-SM	LEAN CLAY; medium stiff, moist, low plasticity, brown POORLY GRADED SAND WITH SILT: loose, moist, fine to coarse		7	
- 10 -	+ +	CL	grained, brown, some fine subangular gravel SANDY LEAN CLAY; stiff, moist, low to medium plasticity, grayish-blue		9	
- - - 15 -	1 1: 1: 1: 1: 5 1: 1: 1: 1: 8/6 1: 1	SP-SM	POORLY GRADED SAND with Silt; medium dense, moist, fine to medium grained, brown	Sand=92.2% -#200=7.8%	18	
- - - 20 -	4/6 6/6 8/6	CL	LEAN CLAY; stiff, very moist, low to medium plasticity, grayish-blue, iron oxide staining	DD=88.1 pcf	14	34.8
- - - 25 -	3/6 3/6 3/6		Medium stiff, with shells		6	
-					4 0000	

Notes: Groundwater encountered at about 35 feet BSG during drilling on February 24, 2020. Groundwater was measured at 18 feet BSG on February 25, 2020.



Project: Proposed Drive Shack - Restaurant and Golf Driving Range

Project Number: E40550.01

Drilled By: Pac Drill

Drill Type: Fraste L.A.R.

Logged By: Jovany C.

Date: February 24, 2020

Elevation: N/A

Auger Type: 6-5/8" Hollow Stem Augers

Hammer Type: 140 LB Auto Trip Hammer

Depth to Groundwater First Encountered During Drilling: 35 Feet

ELEVATION/ DEPTH (feet)	SOIL SYMBOLS SAMPLER SYMBOLS AND FIELD TEST DATA	USCS	Soil Description	Remarks	N-Values blows/ft.	Moisture Content %
- 30 - - - -	7/6 8/6 8/6		Stiff	DD=75.5 pcf	16	37.7
- 35 - - -		ML	SANDY SILT; very stiff, very moist, non-plastic, dark-gray		22	
- 40 - - -	12/6 16/6 10/6	\bigcirc			26	
- - 45 - -	3/6 4/6 4/6	CL	LEAN CLAY; medium stiff, wet, medium plasticity, dark-gray		8	
- - 50 - - -	3/6 4/6 7/6		Stiff Bottom of boring B-18 at 51.5 feet BSG		11	
- - 55 - - -						
⊦ Notes: <i>Grou</i>	ndwater encountere	ed at abo	but 35 feet BSG during drilling o	n Februarv 24	4, 2020.	

Groundwater was measured at 18 feet BSG on February 25, 2020.



Test Boring: B-19

Project: Proposed Drive Shack - Restaurant and Golf Driving Range

Project Number: E40550.01

Drilled By: Pac Drill

Drill Type: Fraste L.A.R.

Logged By: Jovany C. Date: February 24, 2020

III Type: Flaste L.A.R.

Elevation: N/A

First Encountered During Drilling: 38.5 Feet

Auger Type: 6-5/8" Hollow Stem Augers

Hammer Type:	140 LB Auto	Trip Hammer
--------------	-------------	--------------------

ELEVATION/ DEPTH (feet)	SOIL SYMBOLS SAMPLER SYMBOLS AND FIELD TEST DATA	USCS	Soil Description	Remarks	N-Values blows/ft.	Moisture Content %
- 0 - - - 5 -	7/6 1.1.1.1.1 8/6 3/6 5/6 5/6	SM SP-SM CL	FILL - SILTY SAND; moist, fine to medium grained, brown to red brown, moderate resistance to hand auger, plastic debris POORLY GRADED SAND WITH SILT; medium dense, moist, fine to coarse grained, brown to red- brown SANDY LEAN CLAY; stiff, moist, low plasticity, brown, iron oxide staining		16 10	5.0
- - 10 -	11/6 15/6 17/6	\circ	Very stiff, low to medium plasticity, green-brown	DD=103.9 pcf	32	20.7
- - 15 - -	4/6 7/6 9/6		Dark greenish-brown, decrease in sand content		16	21.1
- - 20 - -	6/6 13/6 17/6		LEAN CLAY; very stiff, moist, low to medium plasticity, greenish-brown	DD=96.9 pcf	30	24.2
- - 25 - - -	5/6 8/6 11/6				19	23.5

Notes: Groundwater encountered at 38.50 feet BSG during drilling on February 24, 2020. Groundwater was measured at 29 feet BSG on February 25, 2020.



Test Boring: B-19

Project: Proposed Drive Shack - Restaurant and Golf Driving Range

Project Number: E40550.01

Drilled By: Pac Drill

Drill Type: Fraste L.A.R.

Logged By: Jovany C.

Date: February 24, 2020

e L.A.R.

Elevation: N/A

First Encountered During Drilling: 38.5 Feet

Auger Type: 6-5/8" Hollow Stem Augers

Hammer Type: 140 LB Auto Trip Hammer

ELEVATION/ DEPTH (feet)	SOIL SYMBOLS SAMPLER SYMBOLS AND FIELD TEST DATA	USCS	Soil Description	Remarks	N-Values blows/ft.	Moisture Content %
- 30 - - -	9/6 12/6 15/6		Bluish-gray to greenish-brown	DD=97.6 pcf LL=49 PI=31	27	25.1
- 35 - -	5/6 6/6 9/6		Stiff, dark-gray		15	29.7
- - 40 - -	¥/6 3/6 2/6	\Diamond	Medium stiff, wet	DD=81.0 pcf ø=19° C=320 psf	5	34.9
- - 45 - - -	3/6 3/6 3/6				6	32.6
50 - - -	6/6 8/6 13/6		Very stiff, grayish-blue	DD=89.9 pcf	21	30.6
- 55 - - -	6/6 8/6 12/6		Bottom of boring B-19 at 56.5 feet BSG		20	24.2

Notes: Groundwater encountered at 38.50 feet BSG during drilling on February 24, 2020. Groundwater was measured at 29 feet BSG on February 25, 2020.



Test Boring: B-20

Project: Proposed Drive Shack - Restaurant and Golf Driving Range

Project Number: E40550.01

Drilled By: Pac Drill

Drill Type: Fraste L.A.R.

Logged By: Jovany C.

Date: February 25, 2020

Elevation: N/A

First Encountered During Drilling: 42.5 Feet

Auger Type: 6-5/8" Hollow Stem Augers

Hammer Type:	140 LB Auto	Trip Hammer
--------------	-------------	--------------------

ELEVATION/ DEPTH (feet)	SOIL SYMBOLS SAMPLER SYMBOLS AND FIELD TEST DATA	USCS	Soil Description	Remarks	N-Values blows/ft.	Moisture Content %
	10/6 6/6 5/6	SP	POORLY GRADED SAND; medium dense, moist, fine to coarse grained, brown		11	
- 5	3/6 5/6 6/6	CL	SANDY LEAN CLAY; stiff, moist, low plasticity, brown		11	
- 10 - - -	5/6 6/6 8/6	\bigcirc	Low to medium plasticity, decrease in sand content		14	
- 15 - -	10/6 12/6 16/6		Very stiff, iron oxide staining	DD=94.6 pcf	28	26.3
- 20 - -	5/6 7/6 10/6				17	
- 25 - - -	4/6 4/6 4/6		Medium stiff, grayish-blue, interbedded shells		8	
-	4/6		interbedded shells			

Notes: Groundwater encountered at 42.5 feet BSG during drilling on February 25, 2020. Groundwater was measured at 37 feet BSG on February 26, 2020.



Project: Proposed Drive Shack - Restaurant and Golf Driving Range

Project Number: E40550.01

Drilled By: Pac Drill

Drill Type: Fraste L.A.R.

Logged By: Jovany C.

Date: February 25, 2020

Elevation: N/A

Auger Type: 6-5/8" Hollow Stem Augers

Hammer Type: 140 LB Auto Trip Hammer

Depth to Groundwater First Encountered During Drilling: 42.5 Feet

ELEVATION/ DEPTH (feet)	SOIL SYMBOLS SAMPLER SYMBOLS AND FIELD TEST DATA	USCS	Soil Description	Remarks	N-Values blows/ft.	Moisture Content %
	8/6 9/6 10/6	СН	FAT CLAY; Stiff, moist, high plasticity, gray blue	DD=83.5 pcf ø=18° C=700 psf LL=69 Pl=47 Sand=7.0% -#200=93.0%	19	32.4
- 35 - - - -	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	SP-SM	POORLY GRADED SAND with Silt; medium dense, wet, fine-grained, light-gray to brown, 1 inch layer of clay		12	
- 40 - - -	→ → ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	\bigcirc	Very dense, dry, light-gray		>85	
45 - - -	13/6 13/6 13/6 20/6 14/7 26/6 14/7 14/7 14/7 14/7 14/7 15/7 13/6 20/6 26/6 14/7 14/7 14/7 15/7 13/6 20/6 26/6 14/7 15/7		Dense	Sand=90.6% -#200=9.4% LL=NV PI=NP	46	2.7
- 50 - -	111 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		Very dense		54	
- 55 - - -	20/6 24/6 30/6				54	
Notes: Grou	Indwater encounter	ed at 42	5 feet BSG during drilling on Fe	bruary 25_20	20. Gro	undwate

Notes: Groundwater encountered at 42.5 feet BSG during drilling on February 25, 2020. Groundwater was measured at 37 feet BSG on February 26, 2020.



Project: Proposed Drive Shack - Restaurant and Golf Driving Range

Project Number: E40550.01

Drilled By: Pac Drill

Drill Type: Fraste L.A.R.

Logged By: Jovany C.

Date: February 25, 2020 Elevation: N/A

Auger Type: 6-5/8" Hollow Stem Augers

Hammer Type: 140 LB Auto Trip Hammer

Depth to Groundwater First Encountered During Drilling: 42.5 Feet

ELEVATION/ DEPTH (feet)	SOIL SYMBOLS SAMPLER SYMBOLS AND FIELD TEST DATA	USCS	Soil Description	Remarks	N-Values blows/ft.	Moisture Content %
(reet) - 60 - - - - - - - - - - - - -	AND FIELD TEST DATA 13/6 11/1 + 1 13/6 13/6 13/6 13/6 13/6 13/6 1.1 + 1 1.1 + 1 1.		Dense, wet Medium dense, 1 inch layer of clay in sample shoe Bottom of boring B-20 at 66.5 feet BSG		48	
Notes: Grou	ndwater encountere	ed at 42.	5 feet BSG during drilling on Feb	oruary 25, 20	20. Gro	oundwate

was measured at 37 feet BSG on February 26, 2020.



Project: Proposed Drive Shack - Restaurant and Golf Driving Range

Project Number: E40550.01

Drilled By: Pac Drill

Drill Type: Fraste L.A.R.

Logged By: Amanda T. Date: February 27, 2020

Elevation: N/A

Auger Type: 6-5/8" Hollow Stem Augers

Hammer Type: 140 LB Auto Trip Hammer

Depth to Groundwater First Encountered During Drilling: N/E

ELEVATI DEPT (feet)	ION/ H	SOIL SYMBOLS SAMPLER SYMBOLS AND FIELD TEST DATA	USCS	Soil Description	Remarks	N-Values blows/ft.	Moisture Content %
	- 0	10/6 5/6 4/6	ML	SANDY SILT; medium stiff, moist, non-plastic, red-brown		9	6.4
	-	☐ 16/6 ☐ 20/6		Very stiff	DD=122.0 pcf	34	5.7
	- 5 - -	14/6 4/6 3/6 7/6	CL	SANDY LEAN CLAY; stiff, moist, low to medium plasticity, brown		10	15.8
	- 10 -	14/6 50/6		Hard		>50	
	- - 15 - -	5/6 7/6 9/6		Very stiff, low plasticity, blueish- green, decrease in sand content		16	
	- 20 -	5/6		Stiff		14	
	-	<u> </u>		Bottom of boring B-21 at 21.5 feet BSG			
	- 25 -						
	-						
Notes: (Grour	ndwater was not en	countere	ed during drilling on February 27	, 2020.	1	



Project: Proposed Drive Shack - Restaurant and Golf Driving Range

Project Number: E40550.01

Drilled By: Pac Drill

Drill Type: Fraste L.A.R.

Logged By: Amanda T. Date: February 28, 2020

Elevation: N/A

Auger Type: 6-5/8" Hollow Stem Augers

Hammer Type: 140 LB Auto Trip Hammer

Depth to Groundwater First Encountered During Drilling: N/E

ELEVATION/ DEPTH (feet)	SOIL SYMBOLS SAMPLER SYMBOLS AND FIELD TEST DATA	USCS	Soil Description	Remarks	N-Values blows/ft.	Moisture Content %
0	5/6 2/6 2/6	ML	SANDY SILT; soft, moist, non plastic, dark-brown		4	
- 5 - - -	3/6 3/6 5/6	CL	LEAN CLAY; medium stiff, moist, low plasticity, brown		8	
- 10	3/6 5/6 7/6	\bigcirc	Olive-gray		12	
- 15 - -	6/6 7/6 8/6		Olive-brown, with shells		15	
- 20 - -	4/6 5/6 6/6		Stiff, bluish-green		11	
- 25	5/6 8/6 9/6		Very stiff	DD=96.7 pcf	17	21.8
Notes: Groui	ے ہے ndwater was not en	countere	ed durina drillina on Februarv 28	3. 2020.	1	I



Project: Proposed Drive Shack - Restaurant and Golf Driving Range

Project Number: E40550.01

Drilled By: Pac Drill

Drill Type: Fraste L.A.R.

Logged By: Amanda T. Date: February 28, 2020

Elevation: N/A

Auger Type: 6-5/8" Hollow Stem Augers

Hammer Type: 140 LB Auto Trip Hammer

Depth to Groundwater First Encountered During Drilling: N/E

ELEVATION/ DEPTH (feet)	ELEVATION/ SOIL SYMBOLS DEPTH SAMPLER SYMBOLS (feet) AND FIELD TEST DATA		Soil Description	Remarks	N-Values blows/ft.	Moisture Content %
- 30 - - -	5/6 6/6 6/6		Stiff, gray, with shell		12	
- 35 - - -	5/6 8/6 29/6	SM	SILTY SAND; dense, moist, fine to medium grained, gray	DD=100.5 Sand=72.6% -#200=27.4%	37	14.3
40 - - -	17/6 22/6 23/6				45	
- 45 - - -	16/6 23/6 22/6				45	
- 50 - -	0/6 5/6 6/6	CL	LEAN CLAY; stiff, moist, low to medium plasticity, dark-brown	LL=48 PI=19	11	
- - 55 - - -	8/6 9/6 10/6		Very stiff, gray	ø=24° C=340 psf	19	

Notes: Groundwater was not encountered during drilling on February 28, 2020.



Project: Proposed Drive Shack - Restaurant and Golf Driving Range

Project Number: E40550.01

Drilled By: Pac Drill

Drill Type: Fraste L.A.R.

Logged By: Amanda T. Date: February 28, 2020

Elevation: N/A

Auger Type: 6-5/8" Hollow Stem Augers

Hammer Type: 140 LB Auto Trip Hammer

Depth to Groundwater First Encountered During Drilling: N/E

ELEVATION/ DEPTH (feet)	SOIL SYMBOLS SAMPLER SYMBOLS AND FIELD TEST DATA	USCS	Soil Description	Remarks	N-Values blows/ft.	Moisture Content %
- 60	2/6 4/6 3/6		Medium stiff		7	
- - - 65 -	8/6 10/6 8/6		Stiff		18	
- - 70 - -	3/6 10/6 27/6	SC SP	CLAYEY SAND; dense, moist, fine grained, dark-brown POORLY GRADED SAND; dense, moist, fine to medium grained, gray		37	
- - 75 - -	15/6 33/6 35/6	SP	Very dense, fine to coarse gravel Bottom of boring B-22 at 76.5 feet		68	
- - - 80 -			636			
- - - 85 -						
Notes: Grou	ndwater was not en	countere	ed durina drillina on February 28	3. 2020.		



Project: Proposed Drive Shack - Restaurant and Golf Driving Range

Project Number: E40550.01

Drilled By: Pac Drill

Drill Type: Fraste L.A.R.

Logged By: Amanda T. Date: February 27, 2020

Elevation: N/A

Auger Type: 6-5/8" Hollow Stem Augers

Hammer Type: 140 LB Auto Trip Hammer

Depth to Groundwater First Encountered During Drilling: N/E

ELEVAT DEPT (feet)	ION/ H)	SOIL SYMBOLS SAMPLER SYMBOLS AND FIELD TEST DATA	USCS	Soil Description	Remarks	N-Values blows/ft.	Moisture Content %
	- 0	4/6 2/6 2/6	CL	LEAN CLAY; soft, moist, low plasticity, dark-brown		4	
	- - 5 - -	1/6 1/6 1/6		Very soft		2	
	- 10 - -	0/6 0/6 1/6	\mathbf{O}	Olive to dark-brown		1	
	- - - 15 - -	0/6 4/6 5/6		Stiff, low to medium plasticity, gray		9	
	- - 20 - -	6/6 6/6 8/6	SC	CLAYEY SAND; stiff, moist, fine to medium grained, light- brown		14	
	- - 25 - -	20/6 23/6 21/6		Medium dense		44	
Notes: (Groui	हिस्ट्रेस ndwater was not en		ed during drilling on Februarv 27	. 2020.		



Project: Proposed Drive Shack - Restaurant and Golf Driving Range

Project Number: E40550.01

Drilled By: Pac Drill

Drill Type: Fraste L.A.R.

Logged By: Amanda T. Date: February 27, 2020

Elevation: N/A

Auger Type: 6-5/8" Hollow Stem Augers

Hammer Type: 140 LB Auto Trip Hammer

Depth to Groundwater First Encountered During Drilling: N/E

ELEVA DEP (fee	TION/ TH et)	SOIL SYMBOLS SAMPLER SYMBOLS AND FIELD TEST DATA	USCS	Soil Description	Remarks	N-Values blows/ft.	Moisture Content %
	- 30 - -	4/6 6/6 8/6	CL	LEAN CLAY; stiff, moist, low to medium plasticity, gray		14	
	- 35 - -	7/6 7/6 13/6 28/6	SC	CLAYEY SAND; dense, moist, fine to medium grained, gray Bottom of boring B-23 at 36.5 feet BSG		41	
	- 40 		\circ				
	- 45 -						
	- 50 -						
	- 55 - -						
Notes:	 Groui	ndwater was not en	countere	ed during drilling on February 27	, 2020.		



Project: Proposed Drive Shack - Restaurant and Golf Driving Range

Project Number: E40550.01

Drilled By: Pac Drill

Drill Type: Fraste L.A.R.

Logged By: Jovany C. Date: February 26, 2020

Auger Type: 6-5/8" Hollow Stem Augers

Elevation: N/A

Hammer Type: 140 LB Auto Trip Hammer

Depth to Groundwater	
First Encountered During Drilling:	30 Feet

ELEVATION/ DEPTH (feet)	SOIL SYMBOLS SAMPLER SYMBOLS AND FIELD TEST DATA	USCS	Soil Description	Remarks	N-Values blows/ft.	Moisture Content %
0	10/6 3/6 6/6	CL	SANDY LEAN CLAY; stiff, moist, low plasticity, brown		9	
- 5	9/6 9/6 8/6		Very stiff, weakly cemented, trace fine gravel		17	
- - 10 - - -	15/6 13/6 10/6	SC	CLAYEY SAND; medium dense, moist, fine to medium grained, brown, trace fine gravel		23	
- 15 - - -	5 9/6 - 12/6 - 14/6 -	CL SM	SANDY LEAN CLAY; very stiff, moist, low to medium plasticity, brown to blue SILTY SAND; medium dense, moist, fine to medium grained, dark red- brown	DD=102.3 pcf	26	16.8
- 20 - - -	0 []]]]]] 5/6 8/6	CL	SANDY LEAN CLAY; stiff, moist, low to medium plasticity, blue, iron oxide staining, some organics		13	
- 25 - - -	5		Stiff, no organics	DD=96.8 pcf	18	26.9
Notes: Gro	ے ہے۔ windwater was encou	Intered a	t 30.00 feet BSG durina drillina (on February	26. 202	0.

Notes: Groundwater was encountered at 30.00 feet BSG during drilling on February 26, 2020. Groundwater was measured at 24 feet BSG on February 27, 2020.



Test Boring: B-24

Project: Proposed Drive Shack - Restaurant and Golf Driving Range

Project Number: E40550.01

Drilled By: Pac Drill

Drill Type: Fraste L.A.R.

Logged By: Jovany C. Date: February 26, 2020

Elevation: N/A

First Encountered During Drilling: 30 Feet

Auger Type: 6-5/8" Hollow Stem Augers

Hammer Type: 140 LB Auto Trip Hammer

ELEVATION/ DEPTH (feet)	SOIL SYMBOLS SAMPLER SYMBOLS AND FIELD TEST DATA	USCS	Soil Description	Remarks	N-Values blows/ft.	Moisture Content %
- 30	5/6 5/6 5/6		Wet, dark-gray		10	
- 35 - -	2/6 3/6 3/6		Medium stiff		6	
- - 40 - -	11/6	√ SP	Hard POORLY GRADED SAND; very dense, moist, fine to medium grained, light-gray Bottom of boring B-24 at 39.5 feet BSG		>50	
45 - -						
- - 50 - -						
- 55 - -						
-						

Notes: Groundwater was encountered at 30.00 feet BSG during drilling on February 26, 2020. Groundwater was measured at 24 feet BSG on February 27, 2020.



Project: Proposed Drive Shack - Restaurant and Golf Driving Range

Project Number: E40550.01

Drilled By: Pac Drill

Drill Type: Fraste L.A.R.

Logged By: Amanda T. Date: February 26, 2020

Elevation: N/A

Auger Type: 6-5/8" Hollow Stem Augers

Hammer Type: 140 LB Auto Trip Hammer

ELEVATION/ DEPTH (feet)	SOIL SYMBOLS SAMPLER SYMBOLS AND FIELD TEST DATA	USCS	Soil Description	Remarks	N-Values blows/ft.	Moisture Content %
0	3/6 2/6 4/6	CL	LEAN CLAY; medium stiff, moist, low plasticity, brown		6	
- - - 5 - -	2/6 2/6 2/6		Soft, dark-brown		4	
_ 10 	0/6 0/6 2/6	\mathbf{a}	Low to medium plasticity		2	
- - - 15 -	3/6 4/6 6/6		Medium stiff		10	
- - 20 -	2/6 2/6 5/6		Medium stiff, gray, with sand		7	
- - 25 - -	8/6 7/6 17/6	SC	CLAYEY SAND; medium dense, moist, fine to medium grained, gray		24	
-	7/6				20	

Notes: Groundwater was not encountered during drilling on February 26, 2020.

Depth to Groundwater First Encountered During Drilling: N/E



Project: Proposed Drive Shack - Restaurant and Golf Driving Range

Project Number: E40550.01

Drilled By: Pac Drill

Drill Type: Fraste L.A.R.

Logged By: Amanda T. Date: February 26, 2020

Elevation: N/A

Auger Type: 6-5/8" Hollow Stem Augers

Hammer Type: 140 LB Auto Trip Hammer

Depth to Groundwater First Encountered During Drilling: N/E

ELEVATION/ DEPTH	SOIL SYMBOLS SAMPLER SYMBOLS	USCS	Soil Description	Remarks	N-Values blows/ft.	Moisture Content %
(feet)						
- 30)		Bottom of boring B-25 at 30 feet BSG			
-						
-						
-						
- 35						
-						
_						
- 40	1					
-	,					
_		\mathbb{N}				
-						
- 45	5					
-						
-						
-						
- 50)					
-						
-						
-						
- 55	5					
-						
-						
Notes: Gro	undwater was not en	counter	ed durina drillina on February 26	2020		
				, _•_•.		



Project: Proposed Drive Shack - Restaurant and Golf Driving Range

Project Number: E40550.01

Drilled By: Pac Drill

Drill Type: Fraste L.A.R.

Logged By: Jovany C. Date: February 26, 2020

Elevation: N/A

Auger Type: 6-5/8" Hollow Stem Augers

Hammer Type: 140 LB Auto Trip Hammer

Depth to Groundwater First Encountered During Drilling: N/E

ELEVATION/ DEPTH (feet)	SOIL SYMBOLS SAMPLER SYMBOLS AND FIELD TEST DATA	USCS	Soil Description	Remarks	N-Values blows/ft.	Moisture Content %
0	2/6 3/6 3/6	CL	SANDY LEAN CLAY; medium stiff, moist, low plasticity, dark brown, with rootlets		6	13.0
5 - - -	3/6 6/6 6/6		Medium stiff	DD=103.5 pcf LL=31 PI=18	12	22.6
- 10 - - -	2/6 2/6 2/6	\bigcirc	Soft, low to medium plasticity, black		4	
- 15 - -	1/6 2/6 2/6				4	
- 20 	7/6 9/6 11/6		Stiff, moist, low to medium plasticity, bluish-gray	DD=106.1 pcf	20	18.8
- - - 25 - - - - -	5/6 4/6 7/6		Stiff, black to gray Bottom of boring B-26 at 25 feet BSG		11	18.7
Notes: Grou	undwater was not en	countere	ed during drilling on February 26	6, 2020.		



Notes:

- Test borings were drilled between July 15, 2019 and July 30, 2019 using a CME-75 drill rig equipped with 6-5/8" inch outside diameter hollow-stem augers and using a limited access rig (L.A.R.) equipped with 6 inch outside diameter hollow stem augers. Additional soil borings were drilled between Febraury 24, 20 and February 28, 20 using a limited acess rig equipped with 6 inch outisde diameter hollow stem augers.
- 2. Groundwater was encountered during drilling (see logs).
- Boring locations were located by pace with reference to the existing site features.
- 4. These logs are subject to the limitations, conclusions, and recommendations in this report.
- 5. The "N-value" reported for the California Modified Split Barrel Sampler is the uncorrected field blow count. This value shold not be interpreted as an SPT equivalent N-value.
- 6. Results of tests conducted on samples recovered are reported on the logs. Abbreviations used are:

AMSL	=	Above mean sea level
O.D.	=	Outside diameter
DD	=	Dry density (pcf)
-#200	=	Percent passing #200 sieve (%)
N/A	=	Not applicable
N/E	=	None encountered
pcf	=	pounds per cubic foot
psf	=	pounds per square foot
BSG	=	below site grade
\mathbf{LL}	=	Liquid Limit
PI	=	Plasticity Index
С	=	Cohesion
ø	=	Angle of Internal Friction
NV	=	No Value
NP	=	Non Plastic

KEY TO SYMBOLS

Symbol Description

Strata symbols

CH: FAT CLAY

SP-SM: Poorly graded sand with silt



__ Boring continues

Water table during drilling

Soil Samplers



Standard penetration test

California Modified split barrel ring sampler

Undisturbed thin wall Shelby tube



Project: Moore Twining Associates

Location: Newport Beach Golf Course



CPT-1 Total depth: 26.13 ft, Date: 7/23/2019



Project: Moore Twining Associates

Location: Newport Beach Golf Course



CPT-2 Total depth: 29.14 ft, Date: 7/23/2019



Project: Moore Twining Associates

Location: Newport Beach Golf Course



CPeT-IT v.2.3.1.8 - CPTU data presentation & interpretation software - Report created on: 7/26/2019, 10:06:02 AM Project file: C:\CPT Project Data\MooreTwining-NewportBeach7-19\CPT Report\Plots.cpt **CPT-3** Total depth: 47.58 ft, Date: 7/23/2019



Project: Moore Twining Associates

Location: Newport Beach Golf Course



CPT-4 Total depth: 34.40 ft, Date: 7/23/2019

Temporary Piezometers Groundwater Depth

			Depth to Water,	Estimated Surface	Approximate Groundwater Elevation
Boring Location	Well Depth, Feet BSG	Date Measured	Feet BSG	Elevation* (Feet AMSL)	(Feet AMSL)
B-17	35	2/28/2020	28	34	6
B-18	50	2/28/2020	18	33	15
B-19	55	2/28/2020	24	36	12
B-22	55	2/28/2020	Dry	30	N/A
B-23	35	2/28/2020	Dry	20	N/A
B-24	40	2/28/2020	24	28	4
B-17	35	4/17/2020	27.7	34	6.3
B-18	50	4/17/2020	19	33	14.0
B-19	55	4/17/2020	22.2	36	13.8
B-22	55	4/17/2020	Dry	30	N/A
B-23	35	4/17/2020	Dry	20	N/A
B-24	40	4/17/2020	18.4	28	9.6

*Surface elevations estimated from topographic survey provided by Kimley-Horn

APPLICATION FOR WEL ORANGE COUNTY HEALTH CARE AGENCY ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH DIVISION	L CONSTRUCTION PERMIT 1241 E. DYER ROAD, SUITE 120 (714) 433-6000 SANTA ANA, CA 92705-5611 FAX: (714) 433-6481
Newport Beach	DATE 1/31/20
WELL LOCATION (ADDRESS IF AVAILABLE) 3100 Irvine Aver	nue, Newport Beach, CA
NAME OF WELL OWNER Brett Feuerstein	TYPE OF WELL (CHECK) PROBE SURVEY
ADDRESS 8294 Mira Mesa Blvd CITY ZIP TELEPHONE San Diego, CA 92126 (858) 271-4682 NAME OF CONSULTING FIRM Moore Twining & Associates, Inc BUSINESS ADDRESS 2527 Fresno Street CITY ZIP TELEPHONE Fresno 93721 559-268-7021 NAME OF DRILLING CO. C-57 LICENSE NO.	PUBLIC DOMESTIC SOIL BORING IRRIGATION OTHER CATHODIC TOTAL NUMBER A. WELLS - SUBMIT A WELL CONSTRUCTION DIAGRAM (INCLUDE DIMENSIONS) B. SOIL BORINGS AND PROBES - TOTAL DEPTH TOTAL DEPTH depths range from 30' to 55'
CITY San Diego 92110 CILEPHONE 610 204 2692	SEALING MATERIAL Bentonite & Concrete
See attached	ordinances and laws of the County of Orange and of the State of California pertaining to well construction, reconstruction and destruction, including the require- ments to maintain the integrity of all significant confining zones. APPLICANT'S SIGNATURE Zubair Anwar PRINT NAME 559-268-7021 x258 PHONE NUMBER
FOR ACCOUNTING USE ONLY: HSO NO. 402986 CHECK NO. 60605 0204/20 DATE 02/06/20 AMOUNT \$1,175.00 INTL SL APPROVAL BY OTHER AGENCIES: JURISDICTION REMARKS	DISPOSITION OF PERMIT (DO NOT FILL IN): APPROVED SUBJECT TO THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS: A. NOTIFY THIS AGENCY AT LEAST 48 HOURS PRIOR TO START. Notify of any changes. PRIOR TO START. Notify of any changes. PRIOR TO SEALING THE ANNULAR SPACE OR FILLING OF THE CONDUCTOR CASING. B. SUBMIT TO THE AGENCY WITHIN 30 DAYS AFTER COMPLETION OF WORK, A WELL COMPLETION REPORT AND/OR DRILLING LOGS. PLEASE REFERENCE PERMIT NO. C. SECURE ALL MONITORING WELLS TO PREVENT TAMPERING. D. OTHER Notify when al work is complete and inclusion He depose to 1st water: DENIED PERMITSSUED BY
AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE DATE	PRINT NAME PHONE NUMBER

.

WHEN SIGNED BY ORANGE COUNTY HEALTH CARE AGENCY REPRESENTATIVE, THIS APPLICATION IS A PERMIT.





W	/ //		00000
and the second second	ells	4	02986
Date	e_2/6/20 Ini	tials	SL
Clie	nt Name Brett Feuerstein		
Ad	dress 8294 Mira Mesa Blud		
SO	n Diego, CA 92126 Ph	#	
Pair	AND A PUINTE THINKING ASSOCIA	I Lat	nc
1 care	1577 Evolus Grant	10011	
Ad	dress 1327 FIEMIN SILECT		
Fr	esno, CA 93721 Ph	#	de(e)
-	Flease circle the respective se	IVICE CL	de(s)
01	CEQ/HSF (Acct/Bat#)	\$
02	CEQ Plan Check/Foods (PC#	j	\$
03	CEQ Plan Check/Pools (PC#	j	\$
04	Food Vehicles Cat	/	\$
2.5	Decal No(s)		
05	CEQ/Court Restitution/Judament		S
	Name		
	Case#		
06	Hotels/Motels (Acct/Bat#)	\$
07	Massage Parlor (Acct/Bat#		\$
08	Noise	/	\$
00	Liquid Waste Hauler		¢
10	Earm Labor Camp Registration		\$
11	Aboveground Petroleum Storage Act		¢
12	Hazardous Wasta (Aast/Pat#	1	¢
12	Hazardous Waste (Acci/Bat#)	Ф С
13	Hazardous Waste Fines		ъъ
14	Name	nı	Þ
	Case#		
15	Hazardous Waste Clean-up		s
16	Medical Waste/Body Art)	\$
17	LIST/HSE (Acct/Bat#		\$
18	LIST Plan Check (PC#		\$
10	LIST State Surcharge	/	\$
20	UST Restitution (ludoment		\$
20	Name		Ψ
1.4	Case#		
G	Wells (Const Y Becon Destr)	\$ 1.175.0
C	Water Cath Init Monit		4 <u>-11-1-</u>
	Add Monit #Wells	/	
	Driller	1	
22	Backflow/Cross Connection		¢
66	Client(s)		Ψ
00	Small Water Systems		¢
23	CLIPA - Rase Eco		¢
24	CUPA - Dase Fee		¢
25	EOG OC Senitation District		9
20	Tiorrod Pormitting		9
2/	other		9
-			¢
			¢
			¢
	A DIRE N		u

101.53



DATE	DRILLED 8/5/89 DRI	LING	EQUIP	MENT	Hollo	w-St	em EV	F1	igh ON	t A	uge	21	-			
	110 WEIGHT 140 105: - 3	Co.	OR.		TACE	SH	EAR	- RE	515	TAI	NCE	@	A) 500	ITIC		1
h In F	SOILS COLOA COLOA	URE	TENCY P	NIT WEI		A				2	5 ¥1	3	/ DI	4		
Dept	(landscape area)			1	47	•	1311	10		20		30		40)	-
	SAND, fine to medium,	brown	moist	mod.		İΠ	Π	Π	T		Π	Π	Π	Π	Π	
	variable clayey to sl. clayey. scat.			comp.		Ħ	\dagger	Ħ				111		\ddagger	Ħ	-
-34	gravels]		109	Ht	\dagger					††		╬	Ħ	
	×.				207	H	\ddagger	f†-	H	-	\mathbf{H}	\mathbf{H}	H	╬	H	1
	2 ² 2 ² 2	й 	damo	a - 5		H	╂	╂┼	$\left \cdot \right $		+	╫	H	╂	╟	1
5 -	یر بر ۲		to	a		Ш	╢	╀┼	$\left \right $	+	Ц	╇	┝╄┥	╀	\square	-
					104	1	#	-				-++-		#	\parallel	
						Щ	#	_	Щ			Щ.	Щ	#	Ц	
	CLAY, very silty, .numerous veins and	gray and	moist	firm		Ш	Ц					Ш	Ш	Ш	\prod	
	lenses of fine	gray	ati _n intern												$\left[\right]$	
128	sand and silty sand	CIOWN		a a ^{la}	85											
						Π	Π	Π	Π		ĪI			П	Π	Ī
					a.	Ш	TT	Ħ	Π	Π	Π	Π	T	T	IT	Ī
						H	╁╂	tt	П		Ħ	††			IT	İ
11						łĦ	\dagger	Ħ	Ħ		Ħ	$\parallel \parallel$	\mathbf{H}		it	i
- 20					03	H	\dagger	╂╋						╈	H	1
15						╂┼╂	╂╊	H			Ħ	\mathbf{H}	++	╬	Ħ	ł
- 11	End @ 15.0 ft.			g ann 1941		H	╂	╂╋	$\left \right $	+	┥┤	+++		+	╟	$\frac{1}{1}$
	Notes:				an san ar	H	╢	\mathbb{H}		+	+	┽╂┤	++	┼┼	╟	1
	(1) No ground water					H	╢	₽	$ \cdot \cdot $	+	+		+	#	#	4
				- 110 ⁻¹⁰¹		Ш	$\downarrow\downarrow$	Щ		+	\downarrow		4	4	μ	
20				8 8 9 8		Щ	44	\prod	Ш	Ц	-	44	Ц	Ш	μ	ļ
						Ш	11	Ц			11	111	Ц	1	Ц	
					n de la c											
				a j												I
		1				Π	Π	Π		Π	Π		Π	Π	Π	Ī
25		8 5 5 2 ⁸										\square	Π	Ī	Π	İ
							مر مخت	T			-				-	
	Acacia Pla Santa Ana Heigh	nts, Ca	l aliforn	ia				Ľ	RO	JEC	T	No.	à-	067	5-3	F
								F	LA	TE					-	
	CONSULTING FOUN	BACA	ENGINE	SSOC	ENGIN	S EERI	NB	61	EOL	.00	IST	S				

3

•

DF		ING WEIGHT 140 1bs 3	0" dro	p	SU	RFACE	E	LE	em VA	FLI	.gh N	t A	ug	31				
In Feet	npies	SOILS COLOR	CONSIS	ORY I.B. F.	UNIT		St PI	HE/	AR SSU	RES	- KI	ANI PS	PE	@ R -3	501	JAR	C1P E T	FO
epth	501	CLASSIFICATION	Nr.)	"CY	OF FIL	SHT.	M	015	TU	RE	CON	TE	NT	- %	6 D	RY	W	EI
<u> </u>							∏	П	TT		2 11	a TT	ET	30 TT	П	П	ы П	Г
•		variable clayey to	brown		1005e		╟	╁╂	╉╋	₩	╂	╟╋╴	$\left \right $	╢	╟	╟	╟	H
12		gravels		moist	comp.	118	Ħ	Ì	╁	╁╂	╎╎				T		Ħ	Η
			brown				I			Ħ		Ť				İ	Ì	Ħ
5		1	* 6 -	l sal, a	Я.,		Ц		1	Щ				Ш	Ц	ļļ	Щ	\Box
	3					110	H		•	₩					┢┥	-	-	$\prod_{i=1}^{n}$
		fine to medium,			como.		╟	╢	╂	╢	┼┼	╟		$\left \right $	$\left \right $	╟	╟	
		silty to slight silty					╟	╂╂	╫	╫		╟╋			┢╋		╟	
	8			e ² 8		118	Ħ			Ħ							İ	
							П	Π		\prod	\prod		\square	\square	\square	Π	\square	
2									4			4			H		μ	ļ
1		Veins and lenses	gray with	moist	to		╟	╢	╢	₽		╟		$\left \right $		$\left \right $	╟	
	5	sand	brown		STIII	97	Ħ	$\left \right $	╢	╁		H					╞┼╴	
15-			Stams		in the second		Í	Ħ	Ħ	Ħ		İ			Ī	İİ	I	İ
			8 ×				Ц	\prod	Ц						\square	\prod	\square	Π
						а. ^н ч	Щ	\prod	╢	₩		4			4		╟	\downarrow
	2		a _{na} n a			102	╟	$\left\{ \right\}$	╢	╢		╟	╟		+		╟	
20	╞	Fnd 0 20 0 5t					╂┼	╢	╫	╁		H	╟	$\left \right $	+		╟	H
	1	Notec					Ħ						tt	IT	Ì	İİ	Ì	Ī
		(1) No ground water	23 ^{00 00 00} 2			-	I	Π	\prod	Π	\prod	\prod	\prod	Ш	I	I	ĮГ	\prod
			15		19 B -		\parallel	\prod	$\downarrow\downarrow$	₽		μ			4		\parallel	H
25-	11_	<u> </u>		I	I	1	Π	Ц	11	Ц		U,	11	Ш	4	Ц	Ц	U
		Acacia Plaza	a III		<u> </u>			-		PF	101	EC	τı	No.	A-	-06	75	-ī
		Santa Ana Heights	, Cali	fornia						PL	AT	Έ			Γ		D	
	- 11 - 2		BACA	A	SSOC	IATE	ËS		•	0.5	~ ~							_

)



DR		NG WEIGHT 140 lbs :	30" dr	qo	SUI	RFACE	ELE	/AT	ION			-	A 111		
Feet	ir foot	SOILS COL HOIST	CONSIS	A. P.	UNIT .		PRES	SUF	ESI:	KIPS	PE	(04) R 5	QUA	RE	FOC
epth fr	IOVE D	CLASSIFICATION	RE	CNCY	A CU. F. T.	45	MOIST	I RUR	EC	2 17 NT	ENT	- %	DR	<u>r w</u>	EIS
		SAND, fine to medium.	brown	dry	10050	<u> </u>	IIII	Ш	Π		Π	30 111	Π	Î	Π
	20	silty, variable clayey to sl. clayey,		sl.	mod.	109			$\frac{1}{1}$						╁
	1	scat. gravels		moist	comp.								Ш	11	Ц
		5 e													\prod
5	38				anp.	115									
	Ţ	1	ng in nin Tin đư	and a singu		112		T				\prod			Π
										Π		Π	\prod	Π	Π
		medium to coarse,	red	sl.				Π	T	\prod		П	\prod	T	Π
	52	binder to clean,	DIOWN	moist		111			T		Π	Π	Π	TT	Ħ
_1		heavy gravels		2 ¹⁰ - ¹⁰ 4					T		\prod		\square	T	Ħ
6							TIT		T	Ш	Π	Π	T	TI	ÍŤ
1		very fine to fine,	pale	moist					ŤŤ	III				tt	Ħ
	35	sl. silty, with	gray			101	}		Ħ			itt.		tt	Ħ
		mator stri venis	DIOWU				┠┼┼┼		\ddagger						Ħ
		a igi agi a					 		11.			itt-	††		Ħ
15-	╞	End @ 15.0 ft.	-				\mathbf{H}		\ddagger		Ħ	itt-			Ħ
		Notone					<u> </u>	H	\dagger			┟╂╂╴			Ħ
1		(1) No ground water				a.	┠╁┼┼		\dagger	$\left\{ \right\}$	\dagger	┟╂╂╴		+	H
			9 - 12		. • •		┣╁┼┼	H	\dagger	╏╏╏	\mathbf{H}		Ħ	╬	H
							┣┿┼┼		††	╏╏╏		H	┢╋┥	╂╋	H
20			3 P.			a.	\mathbf{H}		╁┼	┟╂╂			\uparrow	+	${\mathbb H}$
		6.6 Jac 7.6 2.20 g 2.0 g					┠╂╂╋		╁┼	$\left \right \right $	++	++-	$\left \right $	╫	╂
							┡╫╫	$\left \right $	╬	$\left\{ + \right\}$	++	┝╋╋	$\left + \right $	╫	₩
					а ^н а.		┠╫╫╴	$\left \right $	╂╋	$\left\{ + \right\}$	++	┝╂╂╍	┼┼┤	++	₩
-			5		8		┠┼┼┼	$\left \right $	╂	╎┤┤	++	┢╋╂┝	\mathbb{H}	#	\mathbb{H}
25						L			11	Ш	Ш	Ш		П	Ц
		Acac	ia Pla	za III				Τ	PRO	JEC	т.	Vo.	A-0	675	-F
_		Santa Ana He	eights	, Calif	tornia				PLA	TE				F	
		CONSULTING FOUND	BACA	A	SSOC	LATE		6	EOL	.00	STS				

)

•

. 1

APPENDIX C

LABORATORY TESTS


An Independent Commercial Testing Laboratory, Established 1904

781 East Washington Boulevard, Los Angeles, California 90021

♦ Phone (213) 749-3411 ♦ Fax (213) 746-0744

July 2, 2024

SEL File No.: 49262-1 SEL Report No.: G-24-2978

Carl Kim Geotechnical, Inc. 945 Baileyana Road Hillsborough, CA 94010

Attention: Mr. Carl Kim

RE: Wave Garden Cove PWAS_20240507

SUBJECT: Soil Testing

STANDARD: ASTM Standard Test Method and California Test Method.

SAMPLE LOCATION: Wave Garden Cove

DATE SAMPLED: 5/28/2024

DATE RECEIVED: 6/ 6 /2024

REPORT OF TESTS

In compliance with the request of your authorized representative, we have conducted the subject test as per project requirements for the above-referenced project.

Bulk soil and drive ring samples were delivered to our laboratory by Mr. Andy Hillstrand, where samples were processed and tested in accordance to ASTM standard test method requested.

Test results are as follows:

ASTM D3080 Direct Shear of Soil Under Consolidated Drain Condition (intact) : See Plate No.: A-1

CKG-HA1, Sample No. R-1, Depth at 5.5 ft., Plate A-1

Sample Classification	Dry Density	Moisture (Content (%)	Normal Stress	Stress at Peak	Strength Intercept	Friction Angle
	(per)	Initial	Final	(ksf)	(ksf)	(ksf) Č	(θ)
	121.0	12.3	15.3	1.0	0.960		-
Very Dark Gray Elastic Silt	121.4	12.1	15.0	4.0	2436	0.311	29.5°
	120.9	12.3	15.6	8.0	4.908		



An Independent Commercial Testing Laboratory, Established 1904

781 East Washington Boulevard, Los Angeles, California 90021

◆ Phone (213) 749-3411 ◆ Fax (213) 746-0744

Liquid Limit, Plastic Limit and Plasticity Index ASTM D4318: Plate No.; B-1A and B-B

Sample I.D.	Liquid Limit	Plastic Limit	Plasticity Index	USCS Group Symbol
CKG-HA1/B-1 @ 0 - 5ft	20	14	6	CL/ML
CKG-HA2/B-1@ 0-4 ft	47	20	27	CL

Expansion Index ASTM D4829: see Plate No.: C-1A, C-1B and C-1C

BH/ Sample No.	Depth (ft)	Soil Classification (Visual)	Dry Density (pcf)	Moisture Initial	Content (%) Final	Potential Expansion	Expansion Index
CKG-CPT-2, B-1	0 to 5	Black OL/a	79.6	19.9	40.3	MEDIUM	60
CKG-CPT-3, B-1	0 to 5	Brown Silty Sand	113.0	8.8	16.9	VERY LOW	10
CKG-CPT-6, B-1	0 to 5	Brown Silty Sand	120.7	7.5	12.4	VERY LOW	0

ASTM D1557-21 Laboratory Compaction Characteristics of Soil: see Plate No.: D-1A to D-1D

BH No.	Sample Depth (ft.) Soil Classification (Visual)		Max. Dry Density (pcf)	Optimum Moisture Content %	
CKG-CPT-2	B-1	0 to 5	Black Lean CLAY	94.8	22.7
CKG-CPT-3	B-1	0 to 5	Brown Silty Sand	124.5	9.4
CKG-CPT-6	B-1	0 to 5	Brown Silty Sand	133.7	7.9
CKG-HA-2	B-1	0 to 4	Clayey Silt	116.1	13.4

CONSOLIDATION TEST AND TIME RATE: See Plates E-1A and E-1B

Consolidation test was conducted on soil sample, CKG CPT-3, B-1, depth 0 to 5 feet in accordance with ASTM D2435/D2435M. The result of testing for consolidation is shown in Plate E-1A and the time rate is shown in Plate E-1B.

CORROSION TESTS: F-1A to F-1D

				Corrosic	m	
BH/ Sample No.	Depth (ft)	Soil Type	Min. Resistivity Ohm-cm	Soluble Chloride mg/kg	Soluble Sulfate mg/kg	pН
CKG-B-1	0 to 5	Sandy Silt	9580	781	2110	7.6

Attached are the following plates;

Plate No.: A-1 Direct Shear Test ASTM D3080. Plate No.: B-1A & B-1B ASTM D4318 Liquid Limit, Plastic Limit and Plasticity Index of Soils. Plate No.: C-1A to C-1C ASTM 4829 Expansion Index of Soils



An Independent Commercial Testing Laboratory, Established 1904

781 East Washington Boulevard, Los Angeles, California 90021

♦ Phone (213) 749-3411 ♦ Fax (213) 746-0744

Plate No.: D-1A to D-1D ASTM SD1557-21 Modified Proctor of Soil. Plate No.: E-1A ASTM D2435 Consolidation test inundated @ 1.6k. Plate No.: E-1B ASTM D2435 Consolidation test Time Rate at 3.2k. Plates No.: F-1A to F-1D Corrosion Tests (Ph, Sulfate, Chloride and Min. Resistivity).

Should you have any further questions regarding the contents of this report, please feel free to contact us.

Respectfully submitted, SMITH-EMERY Laboratories

Elpidio Saucedo Geotechnical Laboratory Manager

Ildebrando Resurreccion Geotechnical Laboratories

AC/ac cc: 2-Addressee



SMITH-EMERY Laboratories

791/781 East Washington Boulevard, Los Angeles 90021 Tel. No. (213) 745-5333: Fax No.: (213) 741-8621

DIRECT SHEAR TEST (ASTM D308(

			Lab. Ref. No.: 273
Client:	Carl Kime Geotechnical, Inc.		SEG Report No.: G-24-2981
Project:	Wave Garden Cove, PWAS 20240507		SEG File No.: 449262-1
Location:	NA		Date Sampled: 5/28/24
Remark:	Intact ring sample of modified California ring.		Date Received: 5/28/24
Other:	Max Dry Den	OMC%	Date Test: 6/6/24

Boring/Pit No.: CKG-HA-1 Sample No.: R-1

Depth(ft): 5.5ft

Test/Sample ID:	1	2	3
Initial thickness, in	1.00	1.00	1.00
Initial water content, %	12.3	12.1	12.3
Initial dry density, pcf	121.0	121.4	120.9
Initial degree of saturation, %	89.05	88.89	88.88
Final water content,%	15.3	15.0	16.6
Normal stress, ksf	1.000	4.000	8.000
Peak shear stress, ksf	0.960	2.436	4.908
Ultimate shear stress, ksf	0.624	2.232	4.644

Shear Stress Vs Lateral Deflection Graph 6000 8.00 4.00 5000 4000 Shear Stress (psf) 3000 2000 1000 0 0 0.05 0.2 0.25 0.3 0.1 0.15

Shear Deflection (in)

Shearing Rate (in/min): 0.0075 Soaked w/ Water: Yes

Shear Box Dia., in.

Intact ring sample modified California ring.

Very Dark Gray Elastic SILT

Specimen Type/Soil structure

Soil description:

2.419



Shear Strength Parameters*

	Cohesion psf	Friction Angle, deg.
Peak	311.4	29.5
Ultimate	4.7 ·	29.9

* Labs interpretation only



PLATE No.: A-1A



SMITH-EMERY Laboratories

791/781 East Washington Boulevard, Los Angeles 90021 Tel. No. (213) 745-5333: Fax No.: (213) 741-8621

)IRECT SHEAR TEST (ASTM D308(

						L	ab. Ref. No.:	272
lient:	Carl Kime Geotech	nical, Inc.				SEG	Report No .:	G-24-2978
oject:	Wave Garden Cove	, PWAS 202	240507			S	EG File No.:	49262-1
ocation:	NA					- D	ate Sampled:	5/28/24
emark:	Remolded Sample 1	to 90% of M	DD			Da	te Received:	5/28/24
ther:		1	Max Dry Den		OMC%		Date Test:	6/19/24
	Boring/Pit No.:	CKG-HA-1	Sample No.:	<u>B-1</u>	Depth(ft):	0-4 ft	Box Dia in	2 410
	Test/Sample ID:	1	2	3		Silear	бох Dia., ш.	2.419
	Initial thickness in	1.00	1.00	1.00		Specimen Ty	ne/Soil struc	hire
Ini	tial water content %	13.4	13.4	13.0		Specificit 1 j	Join Boll Bill de	
In	nitial dry density nof	103.8	104 1	104.8		Intact ring s	ample modifi	ied Californi
nitial de	area of saturation %	50.87	60 55	50 84			ring.	
IIIIAI UE	gree of Saturation, 70	20.0	25.0	22.7				
Г	mai water content,%	29.9	25.0	25.7		Soil descripti	07:	
	Normal stress kef	1.000	4 000	8 000		Son descripti	011.	
1	Pook choor stress, kef	0.684	2 304	4.176		Vary D	ark Grav Fla	stic SII T
T TI4:	reak silear stress, ksi	0.004	2.304	4.170		rery Di	in Oray Ela	Suc BILI
Om	nate shear stress, ksi	0.012	2.140	4.132				
	Shoor Stross	Ve Lotoral I	Deflection C.	ranh				
450	00 T	v S Later al I	Venection GI					
40	00	- 4.00	,					
		****				Shaaring D	ata (in/min)	0.0075
350	00					Snearing R	ate (m/min):	0.0075
300	00					Soak	ed w/ water:	Yes
isd 250	00							
SS CO								
200								
ਸ਼ 150	00 / / 00							
eq 100	00		-					
51		*****						
J				10				
	0	0.1	0.16 0	2 0.25	0.2			
	0 0.05		015 0	/ 1/7				
	0 0.05	0.1 Shear Defle	ction (in)		0.3			
	0 0.05	Shear Defle	ction (in)		0.3			
	0 0.05	Shear Defle	ction (in)	trace Graph	0.5	Shear Stren	gth Paramet	ers*
1 500	0 0.05	Shear Defle	s Normal St	tress Graph	0.3	Shear Stren	th Paramet Cohesion	ers* Friction
4.500	0 0.05	Shear Defle	s Normal St	tress Graph	0.3	<u>Shear Stren</u>	gth Paramet Cohesion psf	ers* Friction Angle, des
1.500	0 0.05	Shear Defle	s Normal S	tress Graph		Shear Strens	th Paramet Cohesion psf 233.5	ers* Friction Angle, deg 26.4
1.500 1.000	0 0.05	Shear Defle	s Normal S	tress Graph		Shear Stren Peak Ultimate	th Paramet Cohesion psf 233.5 113.7	ers* Friction Angle, deg 26.4 26.8
4.500 4.000 3.500	0 0.05	Shear Defle	s Normal St	tress Graph		Shear Stren Peak Ultimate	th Paramet Cohesion psf 233.5 113.7	ers* Friction Angle, deg 26.4 26.8
4.500 4.000 3.500 3.000	0 0.05	Shear Defle	s Normal Si	tress Graph		Shear Stren Peak Ultimate * Labs interpre	th Paramet Cohesion psf 233.5 113.7 tation only	ers* Friction Angle, dep 26.4 26.8
4.500 4.000 3.500 3.000	0 0.05	shear Defle	s Normal Si	tress Graph		Shear Stren Peak Ultimate * Labs interpre	th Paramet Cohesion psf 233.5 113.7 tation only	ers* Friction Angle, deg 26.4 26.8
1.500 4.000 3.500 9.000 2.500	0 0.05	Shear Defle	s Normal Si	tress Graph		Shear Stren Peak Ultimate * Labs interpre	th Paramet Cohesion psf 233.5 113.7 tration only	Friction Angle, de 26.4 26.8
4.500 4.000 3.500 2.500 2.500	0 0.05	Shear Defle	s Normal Si	tress Graph		Shear Stren Peak Ultimate * Labs interpre	th Paramet Cohesion psf 233.5 113.7 tration only	Friction Angle, deg 26.4 26.8
4.500 4.000 3.500 2.500 2.500	0 0.05	shear Defle	s Normal Si	tress Graph		Shear Stren Peak Ultimate * Labs interpre	th Paramet Cohesion psf 233.5 113.7 tration only	ers* Friction Angle, deg 26.4 26.8
4.500 4.000 3.500 2.500 2.500 2.000	0 0.05	shear Defle	s Normal Si	tress Graph		Shear Stren Peak Ultimate * Labs interpre	th Paramet Cohesion psf 233.5 113.7 tration only	ers* Friction Angle, deg 26.4 26.8
4.500 4.000 3.500 2.500 2.500 2.500	0 0.05	ar Stress V	s Normal Si	tress Graph		Shear Strens Peak Ultimate * Labs interpre	th Paramet Cohesion psf 233.5 113.7 tation only	ers* Friction Angle, dej 26.4 26.8
4.500 4.000 3.500 2.500 2.500 2.000 1.500	0 0.05	ar Stress V	s Normal Si	tress Graph		Shear Stren Peak Ultimate * Labs interpre	th Paramet Cohesion psf 233.5 113.7 tation only	ers* Friction Angle, dej 26.4 26.8
4.500 4.000 3.500 2.500 2.500 1.500 1.000	0 0.05	Shear Defle	s Normal Si	tress Graph		Shear Stren Peak Ultimate * Labs interpre	th Paramet Cohesion psf 233.5 113.7 tation only	ers* Friction Angle, deg 26.4 26.8



SMITH-EMERY Laboratories Liquid Limit, Plastic Limit, and Plasticity Index ASTM D4318-17



PLATE NO.: B-1A

SMITH-EMERY Laboratories



Liquid Limit, Plastic Limit, and Plasticity Index ASTM D4318-17



PLATE NO.: B-1B



791/781 E. Washington Blvd., Los Angeles CA 90021 Tel.No.: (213) 745-5333; Fax No. (213) 741-8621

Expansion Index

UBC 18-2/ASTM D4829-11

Client:	Carl Kim Geo	technical Inc.					Lab. Ref. No.:	265
Project:	Wave Garden	Cove PWAS_202	40507				SEL File No.:	49262-1
Location:	NA						Date Sampled:	5/28/24
Material Des	scription:	Black OL/a					Date Received:	5/28/24
Boring No.:	CGK-CPT-2	Sample No.	B-1	Depth (ft.)	0-5 FT		Date Tested:	6/17/24
Equipment:	Used:	Ring I.D.	A	Oven:	SE SQ-1	2	Chamber No .:	1
Balance	: B946769478	5 #Rammer	SE SH-1	Porestone (g):		-	Sampled by:	`A.Hillstrand
Ring Ht.(in)	: 1.0000 X	Ave. Specimen Ht:	1.0149	1.0055	1.0130	1.0210	1.0200	
Ring Dia.(in)) 4.00	Initial Vol. ft ³	0.00738	Final Degree o	f Saturation:	Fi	nal Ht Specimen:	1.0753
Test Sample				Assumed sp.	gr. of soil =	2.700	Final Vol. ft ³	0.00782
Sample Cond	lition as receive	ed: WET	DRY X					
Assumed sp.	gr. of soil =	2.700	Moistu	ire and Densi	ty Data	Initial	Final]
Moisture con	ntent	Original/Initial	After Mold	Wt. of wet so	il + Ring	687.0	741.5	
wt.wet soil +	- tare (g)	301.1	268.0	Wt. of dry soi	il+ Ring	634.0	634.0	
dry wt soil +	tare wt. (g)	277.6	257.9	Wt. of Moistu	ire	53.0	107.5	
tare wt. (g)		160.0	130.9	Wt. of Ring		367.3	367.3	
Moisture con	ntent %	20.0	8.0	Wt of dry soil		266.7	266.7	
Retai	ined Sieve #4:	0		Moisture Con	tent %	19.9	40.3	
Test S	ample Wt.(g):	0.0		Wet Density ((pcf)	95.4	105.4	
Retained	Sieve #4(%):			Dry Density (pcf)	79.6	75.1	
				% Saturation		48	88	
D	ate	Time	Time Lapsed	Load (kPa)/(p	osi)	Dial Reading		
6/1	7/24	11:40		0	0.0000	0.0000		1
·		11:50		6.9 kPa/ 1 psi	0.0058	0.0058		
			10 min		0.0058	0.0058		
			6sec	Saturated	0.0058	0.0058		1) () () () () () () () () () (
			15sec		0.0056	0.0002		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	30sec		0.0048	0.0010		
		11:51	1min	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	0.0033	0.0025		
		11:52	2min		-0.0048	0.0106		
		11:54	4min		-0.0144	0.0202		1.0
	() () () () () () () () () ()	11:58	8min	-	-0.0264	0.0322		
		12:05	15min		-0.0369	0.0427		
		12:20	30min		-0.0424	0.0482		
		12:50	1hr	1	-0.0455	0.0513		
		13:50	2 hrs		-0.0478	0.0536		
		14:10:00 PM	3 hrs		-0.0546	0.0604	REPORT	
					EI 50	60	60	1.00

Note: El $_{50}$ prepare the test specimen in accordance with 8.1-8.4 to achieve degree of saturation $50 \pm 2\%$. The deformation of the specimen is recorded for 24H or until the rate of deformation becomes less than 0.0002 in/h.whichever occur first. A minimum recording time of 3 h is required Report El zero (0) when result is negative (-). % Saturation: (%mcx sp.grx Dd)/(sp.grx 62.4-Dd)

	TADLE 10-1-D	And the stations
Expansion Index	Potential Expansion	PLATE No.: C-1A
	Result	
0 - 20	VERY LOW	
21 - 50	LOW	
51 - 90	MEDIUM	
91 - 130	HIGH	
> 130	VERY HIGH	

Tested By: E. Saucedo

Checked By: A. Cabanilla



791/781 E. Washington Blvd., Los Angeles CA 90021 Tel.No.: (213) 745-5333; Fax No. (213) 741-8621

Expansion Index

UBC 18-2/ASTM D4829-11

Client:	Carl Kim Geo	im Geotechnical Inc. Lab. Ref. No.: 2						
Project:	Wave Garden	Cove PWAS_2024	40507				SEL File No.:	49262-1
Location:	NA						Date Sampled:	5/28/24
Material De	scription:	Brown Silty San	d				Date Received:	5/28/24
Boring No.:	CGK-CPT-3	Sample No.	B -1	Depth (ft.)	0-5 FT		Date Tested:	6/20/24
Equipment:	Used:	Ring I.D.:	Α	Oven:	SE SQ-1		Chamber No .:	1
Balance	: B946769478	5 #Rammer:	SE SH-1	Porestone (g):		N	Sampled by:	A.Hillstrand
Ring Ht.(in)	: 1.0000 X	Ave. Specimen Ht:	1.0029	1.0045	1.0045	1.0020	1.0005	1
Ring Dia.(in) 4.00	Initial Vol. ft ³	0.00729	Final Degree o	f Saturation:	Fit	nal Ht Specimen:	1.0132
Test Sample				Assumed sp.	gr. of soil =	2.700	Final Vol. ft ³	0.00737
Sample Conc	lition as receive	d: WET	DRY 🗴					
Assumed sp	. gr. of soil =	2.700	Moistu	ire and Densi	ty Data	Initial	Final	
Moisture con	ntent	Original/Initial	After Mold	Wt. of wet so	il + Ring	774.0	804.2	
wt.wet soil +	tare (g)	304.4	268.0	Wt. of dry so	il+ Ring	741.0	741.0	
dry wt soil +	- tare wt. (g)	292.7	257.9	Wt. of Moistu	ire	33.0	63.2	
tare wt. (g)		160.0	130.9	Wt. of Ring		366.9	366.9	
Moisture con	ntent %	8.8	8.0	Wt of dry soil	l	374.1	374.1	
Retai	ined Sieve #4:	0		Moisture Con	itent %	8.8	16.9	
Test S	ample Wt.(g):	0.0		Wet Density	(pcf)	123.0	130.7	
Retained	l Sieve #4(%):			Dry Density (pcf)	113.0	111.8	
				% Saturation		48	90	
D	ate	Time	Time Lapsed	Load (kPa)/(r	osi)	Dial Reading		
6/2	.0/24	11:30		0	0.0000	0.0000		
		11:40		6.9 kPa/ 1 psi	0.0000	0.0000		(1)
		a second second second	10 min	/	0.0040	0.0040		
			6sec	Saturated	0.0043	0.0043		1
			15sec		0.0045	-0.0005		1
			30sec	1	0.0046	-0.0006		×
		11:41	1min		0.0046	-0.0006		
		11:42	2min	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.0052	-0.0012		
÷		11:44	4min	2	0.0052	-0.0012		
1		11:48	8min		0.4100	-0.4060		
(11:55	15min		0.0008	0.0032		
		12:10	30min		-0.0025	0.0065		1.00
-		12:40	1hr		-0.0041	0.0081		
S		13:40	2 hrs		-0.0048	0.0088	Q	
11/	2/23	11:40	24 hrs		-0.0063	0.0103	REPORT	
					EI 50	10	10	

Note: EI $_{50}$ prepare the test specimen in accordance with 8.1-8.4 to achieve degree of saturation 50 ±2%. The deformation of the specimen is recorded for 24H or until the rate of deformation becomes less than 0.0002 in/h.whichever occur first. A minimum recording time of 3 h is required Report EI zero (0) when result is negative (-). % Saturation: (%mcx sp.grx Dd)/(sp.grx 62.4-Dd)

	IABLE 18-1-B
Expansion Index	Potential Expansion
	Result
0 - 20	VERY LOW
21 - 50	LOW
51 - 90	MEDIUM
91 - 130	HIGH
> 130	····· VERY HIGH

Tested By: E. Saucedo

Checked By: A. Cabanilla

PLATE No.: C-1B



791/781 E. Washington Blvd., Los Angeles CA 90021 Tel.No.: (213) 745-5333; Fax No. (213) 741-8621

Expansion Index

UBC 18-2/ASTM D4829-11

Client:	Carl Kim Geo		Lab. Ref. No.:	269				
Project:	Wave Garden	Cove PWAS_2024	40507				SEL File No.:	49262-1
Location:	NA				_		Date Sampled:	5/28/24
Material De	scription:	Brown Silty San	d				Date Received:	5/28/24
Boring No.:	CGK-CPT-6	Sample No.	B-1	Depth (ft.)	0-5 FT		Date Tested:	6/18/24
Equipment:	Used:	Ring I.D.:	A	Oven:	SE SQ-1	2	Chamber No .:	1
Balance	: B946769478	5 #Rammer:	SE SH-1	Porestone (g):			Sampled by:	`A.Hillstrand
Ring Ht.(in)): 1.0000 X	Ave. Specimen Ht:	1.0075	1.0075	1.0080	1.0040	1.0105	0
Ring Dia.(in	a) 4.00	Initial Vol. ft ³	0.00733	Final Degree of	f Saturation:	Fi	nal Ht Specimen:	1.0076
Test Sample				Assumed sp.	gr. of soil =	2.700	Final Vol. ft ³	0.00733
Sample Cond	dition as receive	d: WET	DRY X					
Assumed sp	. gr. of soil =	2.700	Moista	ire and Densi	ty Data	Initial	Final]
Moisture co	ntent	Original/Initial	After Mold	Wt. of wet so	il + Ring	798.6	818.4	
wt.wet soil +	+ tare (g)	303.0	268.0	Wt. of dry soi	il+ Ring	768.5	768.5	
dry wt soil +	+ tare wt. (g)	293.0	257.9	Wt. of Moistu	ire	30.1	49.9	
tare wt. (g)		160.0	130.9	Wt. of Ring		366.9	366.9	
Moisture co	ntent %	7.5	8.0	Wt of dry soil	[401.6	401.6	
Reta	ined Sieve #4:	0		Moisture Con	tent %	7.5	12.4	
Test S	ample Wt.(g):	0.0		Wet Density ((pcf)	129.8	135.7	
Retained	l Sieve #4(%):			Dry Density (pcf)	120.7	120.7	
				% Saturation		51	85	
D	Date	Time	Time Lapsed	Load (kPa)/(p	osi)	Dial Reading		
6/1	18/24	11:30		0	0.0000	0.0000		1
		11:40		6.9 kPa/ 1 psi	0.0000	0.0000		1
			10 min		0.0035	0.0035		1
			6sec	Saturated	0.0049	0.0049		
		1	15sec	1	0.0052	-0.0017		1
1			30sec		0.0056	-0.0021		1
		11:41	1min		0.0058	-0.0023		
		11:42	2min		0.0056	-0.0021		
		11:44	4min		0.0054	-0.0019		
		11:48	8min		0.0051	-0.0016		
		11:55	15min		0.0048	-0.0013		
		12:10	30min		0.0039	-0.0004		
		12:40	1hr		0.0035	0.0000		
		13:40	2 hrs	han and	0.0034	0.0001		
11/	12/23	11:40	24 hrs	1	0.0034	0.0001	REPORT	
					EI 50	0	0	1.0

Note: E1 so prepare the test specimen in accordance with 8.1-8.4 to achieve degree of saturation $50\pm2\%$. The deformation of the specimen is recorded for 24H or until the rate of deformation becomes less than 0.0002 in/h.whichever occur first. A minimum recording time of 3 h is required

Report El zero (0) when result is negative (-). % Saturation: (%mcx sp.grx Dd)/(sp.grx 62.4-Dd)

	IADLE 10-1-D	
Expansion Index	Potential Expansion	PLATE No.: C-1C
	Result	
0 - 20	····· VERY LOW	
21 - 50	LOW	
51 - 90	MEDIUM	
91 - 130	····· HIGH	
> 130	VERY HIGH	

Tested By: E. Saucedo

Checked By: A. Cabanilla



791/781 East Washington Blvd., Los Angeles, CA 90021; Tel (213) 745-5333; Fax (213) 749-8621 LABORATORY COMPACTION CHARACTERISTICS ASTM D1557-21

Client:	Car	1 Ki	m G	eote	chni	cal	Inc.	0010	505	_		_					- La	ab. Ref No.:	265
Project:	Wa	ve G	arde	en Co	ove F	WF	15 2	0240	507		_		-				- St	SL File No.:	49262-1
Location:	INA	.1.т		01	_	-	_	-			_		-			-	- Da	te Sampled:	5/28/24
Soil Class:	Bla	CKL	ean	Clay		-	_	_	_		_		_		-		- Dat	e Received:	5/28/24
Source:	-		-	_	-	_	-		-				_				- L	Date Tested:	6/6-12/24
Remarks:	- 1										_	:if 3-25%r	et,ro ¬	ck correct	tion 1	reqr'd	2	sampled by:	A. Hillstrand
Equipment:	Scale	e: B904	41608	5/B84	676947	8	Dr	ying:	Oven		X	Burner:	1	Microwave	Ш	Method A	(+)#4≤25%	Calibrated M	old Vol. cc:
Rammer:	: M	echni	cal	10 lb	SX		Ma	inual	10 łb	s L		PRÉPARAT	ION:			Method B	(+) 3/8"≤25%	4" dia.	6" dia
Rock Correcti	Pie ion:		ł	Round		s.: #I	DIV/0		5.5 It	os ∟ M⊂	 2%:	X W #DIV/0!	Vet	∠ ⊥ % Ret'd #4)ry	Method C C 0.0] (+) 3/4"≤30%	940	2124
ZAV	/ As	sumed	Gs.: 2	2.60		%	Pass #	4	100.0			Water den	sity: (62.428		Calibrated Mo	old Vol. cc:	940	
Soil Gs ass:	2.60		_	Bori	ng No.	: <u>C</u>	GK-C	PT-2	Sam	iple N	lo.:	B-1	_	Depth ((ft):	0.5 ft	Water Density:	62.23	
Test no.								1			2		3		4	5	sieve size	ret'd (g)	% ret'd
wt. of mold	1 + w	et so	il (g)			36	14.0		3684	1.0	3737	7.0	3748	8.0	3720.0	3/4"		
wt. of mold	d (g)						19	85.5		1985	5.5	1985	5.5	198	5.5	1985.5	3/8"		
wt. of wet s	soil (g)				1.	16	28.5		1698	3.5	1751	1.5	1762	2.5	1734.5	#4	0.0	0.0
wet density	ofs	oil (g	/cc)	1			1	.732		1.8	07	1.8	63	1.8	375	1.845	Total	9914.0	
wt. wet soil	l + ta	re (g)				5	11.4		520).1	522	2.0	520	0.9	560.1	pass #4 %Mois	t content	7.0
wt.dry soil	+ tar	e (g)					4	60.3		462	2.2	458	3.0	452	2.5	478.8	wet pass #4 (g)		10608.0
Wt of tare ((g)					1	1	77.2		177	.2	176	5.3	17	7.4	177.3	dry pass #4 (g)		9914.0
moisture co	onten	t %						18.1		20).3	22	2.7	24	4.9	27.0	ASTM D127		
Density of	soil (pcf)						91.6	-	93	.8	94	1.8	93	3.7	90.7	wt OD (g)	0.0	
corrected m	noisti	ire co	onte	nt %)	3	#DIV	//0!	#D	IV/0	1	#DIV/0)!	#DIV/(0!	#DIV/0!	wt SSD	0.0	• (
Density of	Density of soil (pcf)corrected						₩DI\	/0!	#D	IV/0	!	#DIV/0)!	#DIV/0	D!	#DIV/0!	wt in water (g)	0	
Dry Density	ZAV	1			_			86		9	91		96	1	01		OD Gs	#DIV/0!	
100 % Saturat	tion @	ZAV						29.5	1	27	.2	25	5.0	23	3.0		moist %	#DIV/0!	
				M	ax Dry	De	ısity (pcf) :	94.8			OWC %	1	22.7		% Saturation:	83.5		
		Ma	x Dr	y De	nsity (pcf)	corre	cted :				OWC % C	Corr			% Saturation:			
			_			_		_											1
									Moist	ure-1	Den	sity Relati	onsh	lip					
	101		1122			1111		10121		1111	-12								
	100																		
	00									1.1.1									
	35	-)	1	1						
	98 -	121											1		ZA	V @ Gs 2.60			
	97 -			1				+			11		1					4 - 1 - 1	
	96 -													V					
(Jun	25_											X		1					
1	94			4						1	_			1					
and a star	93								\bigwedge	-				1/	11				
2								1						X					
	₽ ⁹²	TTT.						X					111	1	1				
	91 -						1141								1				
	90 -	1										1			11.1	1			
	89				1			1.1.1			171					1			
	88											I I							
	87			1		-							1	†					
	86	世.	1 - 1				111												
	1;	2 13	1	4 1	5 16	1	7 1	8 19	20	21	2	22 23 2	24	25 26	27	28 29	30 31 32	33 34	
											Wat	er Content	(%)			ſ	Dr . mm		
			-	-	_						_						PLATE	No.: D-1A	
	Tes	ted b	y: E	E. Sa	ucede	0									C	hecked by:	A. Cabanil	la	



791/781 East Washington Blvd., Los Angeles, CA 90021; Tel (213) 745-5333; Fax (213) 749-8621 LABORATORY COMPACTION CHARACTERISTICS ASTM D1557-21

Client:	Carl Kim	Geotechnic	al Inc.					La	ab. Ref No	.: 266
Project:	Wave Gar	den Cove P	WAS_20240	507				SE	EL File No	.: 49262-1
Location:	NA							Da	te Sampled	1: 5/28/24
Soil Class:	Brown Sil	ty Sand						Dat	e Received	1: 5/28/24
Source:								I	Date Tested	1: 6/6-12/24
Remarks:					:if 5-25%ret,r	ock correction	reqr'd	S	Sampled by	A. Hillstran
Equipment:	Scale: B90410	6085/B846769478	Drying:	Oven X	Burner:	Microwave	Method A	x (+)#4≤25%	Calibrated M	Aold Vol. cc:
Rammer:	Mechnica	1 10 lbs x	Manual	10 lbs 🔲	PREPARATION	J:	Method B	 □(+) 3/8"≤25%	4" dia.	6" dia
	Pie	Round x		5.5 lbs	X Wet	Dry	Method C	(+) 3/4"≤30%	940	2124
Rock Correcti	ion:	OD Gs.:	#DIV/0!	MC%:	#DIV/0!	% Ret'd #4	0.0			1
74V	Assumed Gs	. 2 60	% Pass #4	100.0	Water density:	62 428	Calibrated	Mold Vol. cc:	940	
Soil Gs ass:	2.60	Boring No.:	CGK-CPT-3	Sample No.:	B-1	Depth (ft):	0.5 ft	Water Density:	62.23	30 0
Test no.			1	2	3	4		sieve size	ret'd (g)	% ret'd
wt. of mold	+ wet soil	(g)	3941.0	4034.0	4037.0	3957.0		3/4"		
wt. of mold	l (g)		1985.5	1985.5	1985.5	1985.5		3/8"		1
wt. of wet s	soil (g)		1955.5	2048.5	2051.5	1971.5		#4	0.0	0.0
wet density	of soil (g/c	c)	2.080	2,179	2.182	2.097		Total	9914.0	0.0
in or defibility	or oon (Br		(21.4	(())	642.2	5(0.0			22240	
wt. wet soll	1 + tare(g)	1	509.0	003.0	643.3	515.0		pass #4 %Mois	t content	7.0
WLdry Soll	+ tare(g)		598.2	618.0	590.0	515.9		wet pass #4 (g)		10608.0
wt of tare ((g)		127.2	127.9	126.7	127.2		dry pass #4 (g)		9914.0
moisture co	ontent %		7.0	9.3	11.5	13.9		ASTM D127		
Density of s	soil (pct)		121.3	124.5	122.2	115.0		wt OD (g)	0.0	-
corrected m	noisture cor	itent %					-	wt SSD	0.0	_
Density of s	soil (pcf)co	rrected						wt in water (g)	0	-
Dry Density @	2 ZAV		113	125	130	135		OD Gs	#DIV/0!	-
100 % Saturat	ion @ ZAV		15.2	11.5	9.7	9.4		moist %	#DIV/0!	_
		Max Dry	Density (pcf) :	124.5	OWC %	9.4	% Saturat	tion: 81.6		
	Max	Dry Density (p	cf) corrected :	_	OWC % Corr		% Saturat	tion:		
				Moisture-Der	nsity Relations	hip				
	130	1.11	N						15767	
	129			1						
	128		1		1.1.1.4.1.1.1		1192-012	1000 100 110		
	127			V			1			
	126			N	ZAV @ C	Gs 2.60	+ 1.			
	125				/		1.			
	124	/	TIT		/		n en er			
jou)	123		1	XX						
sitv	122	/	1	X						
Gen	121	1		X	1					
2	120	1211111			11		n 111		1.2.2	
-	119		1	1.1.1	11					
	118				VI			3.5.5 N. 3. 19		
	117				11			122.23 122.31		
	116						HH H	323-6 Marin	1411	
	115				1		112		1	
	114						10.00			
	113		1		-	1			1.2.2	
	6	7 8	9 10	11 12	13 1	4 15	16 1	7 18 19	20	
				Wa	ter Content (%)			· · · · · ·		-
								PLATE N	lo.: D-1B	
	Tested by	E. Saucedo			-	(Thecked h	v: A. Cabanill	la	2

Laboratory Compaction Characteristics of Soil Using Modified Effort ASTM D1557



791/781 East Washington Blvd., Los Angeles, CA 90021; Tel (213) 745-5333; Fax (213) 749-8621 LABORATORY COMPACTION CHARACTERISTICS ASTM D1557-21

Client:	Carl Kim Geotechnical Inc. Lab. Ref No.: 269 Wave Garden Cove, PWAS, 20240507 SEL File No.: 400													
Project:	Wave Gar	den Cove P	WAS_20240:	507				SI	EL File No.	: 49262-1				
Location:	NA							Da	te Sampled	: 5/28/24				
Soil Class:	Brown Sil	ty Sand						Dat	e Received	: 5/28/24				
Source:								I	Date Tested	: 6/7-11/24				
Remarks:					:if 5-25%ret,ro	ock correction	reqr'd		Sampled by	: A. Hillstrand				
Equipment:	Scale: B90416	5085/B846769478	Drying:	Oven X	Burner:	Microwave	Method A	X (+)#4≤25%	Calibrated M	fold Vol. cc:				
Rammer:	Mechnica	l 10 lbs x	Manual	10 lbs 🔲 1	PREPARATION	:	Method B	[](+) 3/8"≤25%	4" dia.	6" dia				
	Pie	Round X		5.5 lbs 🔲	X Wet	🗌 Dry	Method C	(+) 3/4"≤30%	940	2124				
Rock Correct	ion:	OD Gs.:	#DIV/0!	MC%:	#DIV/0!	% Ret'd #4	0.0	_						
ZAV	Assumed Gs	.: 2.60	% Pass #4	100.0	Water density:	62.428	Calibrated	Mold Vol. cc:	940	200				
Soil Gs ass:	2.60	Boring No.:	CGK-CPT-	Sample No.:	<u>B-1</u>	Depth (ft):	0-5.0ft	Water Density:	62.23	_				
Test no.			1	2	3	4		5 sieve size	ret'd (g)	% ret'd				
wt. of mold	l + wet soil	(g)	3960.0	4052.0	4161.0	4091.0		3/4'						
wt. of mold	l (g)		1985.5	1985.5	1985.5	1985.5		3/8'						
wt. of wet s	soil (g)		1974.5	2066.5	2175.5	2105.5		#4	0.0	0.0				
wet density	of soil (g/c	cc)	2.101	2.198	2.314	2.240		Tota	7079.6					
wt. wet soil	l + tare (g)	-	720.0	690.0	674.4	666.6		pass #4 %Mois	t content	8.1				
wt.dry soil	+ tare (g)		700.0	661.6	637.0	621.5		wet pass #4 (g)		7653.0				
Wt of tare	(g)		177.8	179.3	174.8	176.9		dry pass #4 (g)		7079.6				
moisture co	ontent %		3.8	5.9	8.1	10.1		ASTM D127						
Density of	soil (pcf)		126.3	129.6	133.7	127.0		wt OD (g)	0.0	_				
corrected n	noisture con	itent %			1.1.1			wt SSD	0.0	_				
Density of	soil (pcf)co	rrected						wt in water (g)	0	-				
Dry Density	@ ZAV		122	127	132	137		OD Gs	#DIV/0!	_				
100 % Saturat	tion @ ZAV		12.0	10.6	9.2	7.9		moist %	#DIV/0!	-				
		Max Dry	Density (pcf) :	133.7	OWC %	7.9	% Saturat	ion: <u>97.7</u>	-					
	Max	Dry Density (p	cf) corrected :		OWC % Corr		% Saturat	ion:	-	-				
				Moisture-Den	sity Relations	hip								
						F								
	137	1		1	1 1 1	1 1 1	I I	E E E E E E E E						
	136			1										
	135			1										
	134		1	÷\	1201									
	122		11/											
	155				ZAV @ Gs 2.6	0			10122					
	5 132								and the second					
per,	9 ¹³¹		1						E FETTINE.					
	130	-		111										
	129								the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second se					
	128		1											
	127			1										
	126									1				
	125				N				自由自由					
	124				1									
	124								U.F.					
	123				V									
	122	2 3 4	5 6 7	9 10	11 12 13	14 15 16	17 18	19 20 21 22	23 24					
				Wat	er Content (%)									
					× •			PLATE I	No.: D-1C					

Laboratory Compaction Characteristics of Soil Using Modified Effort ASTM D1557



791/781 East Washington Blvd., Los Angeles, CA 90021; Tel (213) 745-5333; Fax (213) 749-8621 LABORATORY COMPACTION CHARACTERISTICS ASTM D1557-21

Client:	Carl Kim	Geotechnica	al Inc.					La	ab. Ref No.	.: 272
Project:	Wave Gard	den Cove PV	WAS_20240	507				SI	EL File No.	: 49262-1
Location:	NA							Da	te Sampled	1: 5/28/24
Soil Class:	Brown Cla	yey Silt						Dat	e Received	1: 5/28/24
Source:								I	Date Tested	: 6/7-12/24
Remarks:					:if 5-25%ret,r	ock correction	reqr'd	. 5	Sampled by	: A. Hillstrand
Equipment:	Scale: B90416	085/B846769478	Drying:	Oven X	Burner:	Microwave	Method A	X (+)#4≤25%	Calibrated N	Iold Vol. cc:
Rammer:	Mechnica	10 lbs x	Manual	10 lbs 🔲	PREPARATION	J:	Method B	[](+) 3/8"≤25%	4" dia.	6" dia
	Pie	Round X		5.5 lbs	X Wet	Dry	Method C	[(+) 3/4"≤30%	940	2124
Rock Correcti	ion:	OD Gs.:	#DIV/0!	MC%:	#DIV/0!	% Ret'd #4	0.0			
ZAV	Assumed Gs.	: 2.60	% Pass #4	100.0	Water density:	62.428	Calibrated	Mold Vol. cc:	940	
Soil Gs ass:	2.60	Boring No.:	CKG-HA2	Sample No.:	<u>B-1</u>	Depth (ft):	0-4.0 ft	Water Density:	62.23	
Test no.			1	2	3	4		5 sieve size	ret'd (g)	% ret'd
wt. of mold	+ wet soil	(g)	3884.0	3960.0	3978.0	3939.0		3/4"		
wt. of mold	l (g)		1985.5	1985.5	1985.5	1985.5		3/8"		
wt. of wet s	soil (g)		1898.5	1974.5	1992.5	1953.5		#4	0.0	0.0
wet density	of soil (g/c	c)	2.020	2.101	2.120	2.078		Total	9813.1	
wt. wet soil	+ tare (g)		667.5	670.0	684.2	670.8		pass #4 %Mois	t content	8.1
wt.dry soil	+ tare (g)		620.0	614.0	617.1	597.1		wet pass #4 (g)		10608.0
Wt of tare ((g)		180.0	178.4	177.0	174.1	1	dry pass #4 (g)		9813.1
moisture co	ontent %		10.8	12.9	15.2	17.4		ASTM D127		
Density of s	soil (pcf)	1	113.8	116.2	114.8	110.5		wt OD (g)		
corrected m	noisture con	tent %						wt SSD		
Density of s	soil (pcf)con	rrected						wt in water (g)		
Dry Density @	2 ZAV		105	112	120	124		OD Gs		
100 % Saturat	ion @ ZAV		20.6	17.4	13.6	8.5		moist %		
		Max Dry I	Density (pcf) :	116.1	OWC %	13.4	% Saturati	on: 88.5		
	Max I	Dry Density (p	cf) corrected :		OWC % Corr		% Saturati	on:		
				M.:	the D. L. C.					1
				woisture-Der	isity kelations	snip				
	120			1		1 1 1		1 1 1 1		
	119	50.3 HIGH 10					end with the		1.1.1	
	110		1							
	118			V						
	117				ZAV @	Gs 2 60		REAL PROPERTY	Later Trail	
	116									
	115		A							
CD CD	114				Y					
tv (i	2 113				11					
ensi	112				11					
					X					
<u> </u>					16		1201-1-1-1-			
	110	1101 111 1211	The state of the s		1					
	109			1			1000 1000 100 100 1000 1000 1000 1000			
	108									
	107			i					1.01	
	106								111111	
	105							1		
	4 5	6 7 8 9	10 11 12	13 14 15	16 17 18	19 20 21 2	2 23 24	25 26 27 28	29 30	
				Wa	ter Content (%)					
						_		PLATE N	lo.: D-1D	
	Tested by:	E. Saucedo	1		0	(Checked b	y: <u>A. Cabanil</u>	la	-







1195 N. Tustin, Anaheim, CA 92807 Tel. (714) 238-6133 Fax (714) 238-6144

R-VALUE (CT 301/ ASTM D2844)

					Lab Ref. No.:	A24-142
Client: Smith Emery L	os Angeles			_	Report Date:	07/02/24
Project: Wave Garden C	Cove/PWAS 2	20240507		Tested By:	CL DateTested	07/01/24
Project #: 49262-1				Checked By:	CL Date Prepped	07/01/24
Depth: 0-5'					Date Received	06/19/24
Boring No.:. CKGCPT-2			Sample #:	BS-1	Sampled By:	-
Description: OL/A			Cump	201	Date:	05/02/08
				-	~	05/02/00
Test Specimen ID:	А	В	С	D	Initial Moisture:	
Prepared weight (g)	1100	1100	1100		Mass of Wet Soil + Can, $g =$	0.0
Compaction Foot Pressure (psi)	300	350	350		- Mass of Can,g =	0.0
Initial Moisture, %	N/A	N/A	N/A		Oven-dry Soil +Can,g =	0.0
Soak Water (ml)	75	75	75		Moisture Content,% =	N/A
Water Added for Saturation (g)	75	45	30		-	
Moisture at Compaction, %	#VALUE!	#VALUE!	#VALUE!			
Exudation Load (Lb.)					_	
Exudation Pressure (psi)	0	0	0		Pavement/Traffic	<u>Data</u>
Height of Specimen, (in.)	0.00	0.00	2.53		Surface	
Wt. of Specimen & Mold (g)	0	0	2972		Base	
Wt. of Mold (g)	2068	2067	2067		Subbase	
Wt. of Specimen (g)	-2068	-2067	905			
Dry Density (pcf)					Gravel Equivalent	Factor (Gf)
					Gt =	1.00
Expansion Dial Reading, In.	0	0	0		Traffic Index, TI=	5.0
Expansion Pressure (psi)	0.000	0.000	0.000		Mars of Cover Mat -	120
Stabilometer P _H w 20000 (100ps)	0	0	0		Unit Mass of Cover Mai. –	150
Turns Displacement, u	0	0	0		(pu)	
K-Value Dy Stabilouicus					Spring Constant for deflection Bar	303
K-value by Stab. (Correction)					TOI UCITATION Dat	nai/in
Thickness by Stabilometer, in					_	han m
Thickness by Exp. Pressure, in	0.0	0.0	0.0		_	
Equilibrium Thic	kness, in =	0	(from right c'	hart helow)	-	
1			(,		
			ם ב	<u></u> ≩ 15		
90		╞╤╤╤	티 말	43		
		E H	ㅋ	40		
80			<u> </u>	25		
70		₽ ₽₽₽₽	<u> </u>			
			1 I	₹ 30 E		
<u>E</u> 60			TT ×	2 25	┤╴╴╴╴╸ ╺╼┶╴╴╴╸╋╺╴╸╸╶ <u>╊</u> ╺╴╸╸ <u>╊</u> ╸╴╸╸╋╴╴╸╸	
			<u> </u>			
			<u> </u>	² 20		
₩ 40 4 0	ੋ	₽=====		2 15 E		
		FF###	크 달			
30		E====	크 달			
20		ੋ	11 Ĕ	5		
		╞╪╪╪	3 E			
10	╞╞╞╤		<u> </u>	· 0 • · · · ·	10 15 00 05 20 35	40 45
			1 E		10 15 20 25 50 55	40 43
0 100 2	<u>, 100 3</u>	.00 ,	400	COVER	THICKNESSS BY EAPAINSION I RE	SSUKE (III)
EXUDATION I	ORFSSURE (PS'	n N				
	KE00011E (-~)				
				R-VALU <u>E RF</u>	SULT	
Remarks		ŗ	í		BY EXPANSION PRESSURE:	
Loss than 5			F	W EVOUDATE	ON DECOUDE (from left chart).	0
Less thun 5			U D	YEACUDAIN	UN PRESSURE (IIOIII leit chart).	0

0



1195 N. Tustin, Anaheim, CA 92807 Tel. (714) 238-6133 Fax (714) 238-6144

R-VALUE (CT 301/ ASTM D2844)

Lab Ref. No.: A24-142 Client: Smith Emery Los Angeles Report Date: 06/26/24 DateTested 06/25/24 Project: Wave Garden Cove/PWAS 20240507 Tested By: CL Date Prepped 06/24/24 Project #: 49262-1 Checked By: CL Depth: 0-5' Date Received 06/19/24 Boring No .:. CKGCPT-6 Sample #: BS2 Sampled By: -Description: CL/ML Date: 05/02/08 С Test Specimen ID: A В D Initial Moisture: Prepared weight (g) 1100 1100 1100 Mass of Wet Soil + Can, g =101.0 Compaction Foot Pressure (psi) 250 300 350 Mass of Can,g = 0.0 Initial Moisture, % 1.8 1.8 1.8 Oven-dry Soil +Can,g = 99.2 Soak Water (ml) 40 40 40 Moisture Content,% = 1.8 25 Water Added for Saturation (g) 49 35 Moisture at Compaction, % 10.1 8.8 7.8 Exudation Load (Lb.) Exudation Pressure (psi) 228 460 516 Pavement/Traffic Data Height of Specimen, (in.) 2.57 2.53 Surface 2.67 Wt. of Specimen & Mold (g) 3230 3199 3183 Base Wt. of Mold (g) 2069 2066 2070 Subbase Wt. of Specimen (g) 1161 1133 1113 Dry Density (pcf) 119.8 122.9 123.7 Gravel Equivalent Factor (Gf) Gf =1.00 Expansion Dial Reading, In. 0.0005 0.0008 0.001 Traffic Index, TI= 5.0 0.303 Expansion Pressure (psi) 0.152 0.242 Stabilometer P_H @ 2000lb (160psi) 130 104 59 Unit Mass of Cover Mat. = 130 Turns Displacement, d 3.8 3.87 3.5 (pcf) **R-Value By Stabilometer** 13 55 Spring Constant 26 303 **R-Value By Stab. (corrected)** 14 55 for deflection Bar 26 psi/in 14.2 8.6 Thickness by Stabilometer, in 16.4 Thickness by Exp. Pressure, in 2.03.2 4.0Equilibrium Thickness, in = 0 (from right chart below)



17



781 East Washington Blvd., Los Angeles, CA 90021 (213) 745-5312 FAX (213) 745-6372

June 18, 2024

Angelito Cabanilla Smith Emery Laboratories 791 East Washington Blvd. Los Angeles, CA 90021

Report No.: 2406041

Project Name: Carl Kim Geotechnical Inc. / 49262-1, Wavegarden Cove (PWAS 20240507)

Dear Angelito Cabanilla,

This report contains the analytical results for the sample(s) received under chain of custody(s) by Positive Lab Service on June 06, 2024.

The test results in this report are performed in compliance with ELAP accreditation requirements for the certified parameters. The laboratory report may not be produced, except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory.

The issuance of the final Certificate of Analysis takes precedence over any previous Preliminary Report. Preliminary data should not be used for regulatory purposes. Authorized signature(s) is provided on final report only.

If you have any questions in reference to this report, please contact your Positive Lab Service coordinator.

Project Manager FILE NO .: 49262-1 PLATE NO .: F-1A



781 East Washington Blvd., Los Angeles, CA 90021 (213) 745-5312 FAX (213) 745-6372

Certificate of Analysis

Page 2 of 3

Report Date: 06/18/24

PLS Report No.: 2406041

Submitted: 06/06/24

File #:73419

Smith Emery Laboratories 791 East Washington Blvd. Los Angeles, CA 90021

Attn: Angelito Cabanilla

Phone: (213) 745-5333 FAX:(213) 746-0744

Project: Carl Kim Geotechnical Inc. / 49262-1, Wavegarden Cove (PWAS 20240507)

Sample ID: B-1 ML Sandy Silt	Soil (2406))41-01)	Sampl	ed: 05/2	8/24 00	:00	Received: 06/06/24	F			
Analyte	Results	Flag	D.F.	Units	PQL		Prep/Test Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Ву	Batch
Resistivity, Minimum	9580		1	ohm-cm	1.00	-	CTM 643	06/07/24	06/07/24	ja	BF40716
Analyte	Results	Flag	D.F.	Units	PQL		Prep/Test Method	Prepared	Analyzed	Ву	Batch
Soluble Chloride	781		1	mg/kg	5.00	-	EPA 300.0M	06/12/24	06/18/24	jks	BF41802
Soluble Sulfate	2110		1	mg/kg	5.00		EPA 300.0M	06/12/24	06/18/24	jks	BF41802
Analyte	Results	Flag	D.F.	Units	PQL		Prep/Test Method	Prepared	Analyzed	By	Batch
рН	7.6		1	pH Units	0.1	*	EPA 9045C	06/12/24	06/12/24	SS	BF41213
			0	and the contract of the	Se un hura		in the				

Quality Control Data

					Spike	Source	11.12	%REC		RPD	
Analyte		Result	PQL	Units	Level	Result	%REC	Limits	RPD	Limit	Qualifier
Batch 8F41802				(Level 1				2.5.3	1999	CLORE.	-023193
Blank		Prepared: (6/12/24 An	alyzed: 06/18	/24						
Soluble Chloride		ND	5.00	mg/kg							
Soluble Sulfate		ND	5.00	mg/kg							
LCS		Prepared: (6/12/24 Ani	alyzed: 06/18	/24						
Soluble Chloride		53.7	5.00	mg/kg	50.00		107	70-130			
Soluble Sulfate		56.7	5.00	mg/kg	50.00		113	70-130			
Duplicate	Source: 2406041-01	Prepared: 0	6/12/24 Ana	alyzed: 06/18	/24						
Soluble Chloride		714	5.00	mg/kg		781			8.99	30	
Soluble Sulfate	- W WWW AND IN A REAL OF	1390	5.00	mg/kg		2110			40.9	30	V-2
Matrix Spike	Source: 2406041-01	Prepared: (6/12/24 An	alyzed: 06/18	/24				L.A.		
Soluble Chloride		1020	5.00	mg/kg	50.00	781	474	70-130			V-3
Soluble Sulfate		2210	5.00	mg/kg	50.00	2110	200	70-130			V-3
Matrix Spike Dup	Source: 2406041-01	Prepared: (6/12/24 Ana	alyzed: 06/18	/24		- Ain				
Soluble Chloride		876	5.00	mg/kg	50.00	781	191	70-130	85.0	30	V-3
Soluble Sulfate	and a second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second	2000	5.00	mg/kg	50.00	2110	NR	70-130	NR	30	V-3
Batch 8F41213			PLANT	12201			134	12.24	2616	1	
Duplicate	Source: 2406071-01	Prepared &	Analyzed: 06	/12/24							
pН		7.4	0.1	pH Units		7.2			1.78	5	

FILE NO.: 49262-1

PLATE NO .: F-1B



781 East Washington Blvd., Los Angeles, CA 90021 (213) 745-5312 FAX (213) 745-6372

Certificate of Analysis

Page 3 of 3

File #:73419 Report Date: 06/18/24 Submitted: 06/06/24 PLS Report No.: 2406041

Smith Emery Laboratories 791 East Washington Blvd. Los Angeles, CA 90021

Attn: Angelito Cabanilla

Phone: (213) 745-5333 FAX:(213) 746-0744

Project: Carl Kim Geotechnical Inc. / 49262-1, Wavegarden Cove (PWAS 20240507)

Notes and Definitions

V-3 Amount spiked was less than 1/4 of concentration in the sample.

V-2 Out-of-Range recovery was due to sample Heterogeneity.

NA Not Applicable

ND Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reported limit(s)

NR Not Reported

MDL Method Detection Limit

PQL Practical Quantitation Limit

Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program Certificate No. 1131, Mobile Lab No. 2534, LACSD No. 10138

Fick Owen lin Tar

Authorized Signature(s)

FILE NO .: 49262-1

PLATE NO .: F-1C



791/781 East Washington Blvd., Los Angeles, CA 90021 Chain of Custody

#2406041

Client: Carl Kim Geotechnical Inc.

Project Name: Wavegarden Cove (PWAS 20240507)

Location: CKG CPT-3 Source: Boring B-1 (0-5')

Tel. No. (213) 745-5333; Fax No. (213) 741-8621

Date: 6/4/2024

Page _ 1 of _ 1

Project No.: 49262-1

Sampled By: A. Hillstrand

Sample Number	Boring No. / Depth in Ft.	Description	Date Sampled	Time	Container Type	No.	Test Requested and Standard
B-1	B-1/ 0-5'	SANDY SIZT	5/28/24		PLASTIC 5	1	Min. Resistivity, pH value, Sol. Sulfate & Sol. Chlorides

Turn	around Time: Same Day 24hr 5	day Other: * Normal			
	Results Att	n: * Angelito Cabnilla	Phone / Fax #:	1	
CHA	AIN OF CUSTODY:	V			
1	Signature	LAB TECH	Inclusive Dates		
2	Signature	Sample Receiving Title	6/6/24 10:32or Inclusive Dates		
3	Signature	Title	Inclusive Dates		OBSERV. TEMP: <u>22.5</u> °C CORREC. TEMP: <u>23.5</u> °C THERMOID: <u>46</u> BY: <u>4</u>

6/6/24 @ 11:15a * VERIFIEB THT & RÉPOIRT RESULTS PER ECPEDIO VIA PHONE

FILE NO.: 49262-1 PLATE NO.: F-1D

APPENDIX C

RESULTS OF LABORATORY TESTS

This appendix contains the individual results of the following tests. The results of the moisture content and dry density tests are included on the test boring logs in Appendix B. These data, along with the field observations, were used to prepare the final test boring logs in Appendix B.

These Included:	To Determine:
Moisture Content (ASTM D2216)	Moisture contents representative of field conditions at the time the sample was taken.
Density Determination (ASTM D2216)	Dry unit weight of sample representative of in-situ or in-place undisturbed condition.
Grain-Size Distribution (ASTM D422)	Size and distribution of soil particles, i.e., sand, gravel and fines (silt and clay).
Atterberg Limits (ASTM D4318)	Determines the moisture content where the soil behaves as a viscous material (liquid limit) and the moisture content at which the soil reaches a plastic state
Expansion Index (ASTM D4829)	Swell potential of soil with increases in moisture content.
Consolidation (ASTM 2435)	The amount and rate at which a soil sample compresses when loaded, and the influence of saturation on its behavior.
Direct Shear (ASTM D3080)	Soil shearing strength under varying loads and/or moisture conditions.
R-Value (CTM 301)	The capacity of a subgrade or subbase to support a pavement section designed to carry a specified traffic load.
Moisture-Density Relationship (ASTM D1557)	The optimum (best) moisture content for compacting soil and the maximum dry unit weight (density) for a given compactive effort.
Sulfate Content (ASTM D4327)	Percentage of water-soluble sulfate as (SO4) in soil samples. Used as an indication of the relative degree of sulfate attack on concrete and for selecting the cement type.
Chloride Content (ASTM D4327)	Percentage of soluble chloride in soil. Used to evaluate the potential attack on encased reinforcing steel.
Resistivity (ASTM D1125)	The potential of the soil to corrode metal.
pH (ASTM D4972)	The acidity or alkalinity of subgrade material.






























EXPANSION INDEX TEST, ASTM D4829

MTA PROJECT NAME: MTA PROJECT NO.:	Proposed Drive Shack and Golf Driving Range E40550.01	Restaurant	REPORT I	DATE: {	8/19/2019 7/12/2019
SAMPLE I.D.: SAMPLED BY:	B-2@0-5'				
SAMPLE DATE:	7/29/2019	TESTED BY		MA	
MATERIALS DESCRIPTION:	Silty sand	k		5	
% PASSING # 4 SIEVE	100				
Initial Moisture Determination:	<u></u>	Final Moistur	e Determin	ation:	
Pan + Wet Soil Wt., gm	250.0	Wet Soil Wt.	, Ibs		0.9714
Pan + Dry Soil Wt., gm	231.8	Dry Soil Wt.,	lbs		0.8577
Pan Wt., gm Initial % Moisture Content	0.0	Final % Mois	ture Conter	ht	13 3
miliar 70 Worstare Contern		T ITUI 70 MOIE			10.0
Initial Expansion Data:		Final Expan	sion Data:		
Ring + Sample Wt., lbs	0.9250	Ring + Samp	ole Wt., Ibs	-	0.9714
Ring Wt., Ibs Remolded Wt. Ibs	0.0000	Ring Wt., Ibs	i It lhe	а Н	0.0000
Remolded Wet Density, pcf	127.2	Remolded W	et Density.	pcf	133.6
Remolded Dry Density, pcf	117.9	Remolded D	ry Density,	pcf	118.0
Expansion Data:		Initial Volum	9	Final Volum	e
Initial Gage Reading, in:	0.0500	0.00727222		0.007269	α
Final Gage Reading, in:	0.0496				
Expansion, in:	-0.0004				
Expansion Index	Con	iments:	Very Low	Expansion I	Potential

Classification of Expansive Soils. (Table No.1 From ASTM D4829)

Expansion Index	Potential Expansion
0-20	Very Low
21-50	Low
51-90	Medium
91-130	Hìgh
>130	Very High

www.mooretwining.com

rx: 559 268.7021 rx: 559 268.7126 2527 Fresno Street Fresno, CA 93721



EXPANSION INDEX TEST, ASTM D4829

MTA PROJECT NAME:	Proposed Drive Shack and Golf Driving Range	Restaurant	REPORT I	DATE:	8/19/2019
MTA PROJECT NO.: SAMPLE I.D.:	E40550.01 B-4 @ 3-5'				
SAMPLED BY: SAMPLE DATE:	7/22/2019	TESTED BY		MA	
MATERIALS DESCRIPTION:	Sandy lean clay				
% PASSING # 4 SIEVE	100				
Initial Moisture Determination:	<u></u>	Final Moistur	e Determin	ation:	<u></u>
Pan + Wet Soil Wt., gm Pan + Dry Soil Wt., gm Pan Wt_ om	250.0 205.8	Wet Soil Wt. Dry Soil Wt.,	, Ibs Ibs	-	0.8018 0.5782
Initial % Moisture Content	21.5	Final % Mois	ture Conte	nt -	38.7
Initial Expansion Data:		Final Expan	sion Data:		
Ring + Sample Wt., Ibs Ring Wt., Ibs Remolded Wt., Ibs Remolded Wet Density, pcf Remolded Dry Density, pcf	0.7024 0.0000 0.7024 96.6 79.5	Ring + Samp Ring Wt., Ibs Remolded W Remolded W Remolded D	ole Wt., lbs / /t., lbs /et Density, ry Density,	pcf _ pcf _	0.8018 0.0000 0.8018 108.2 78.0
Expansion Data:		Initial Volum	-	Final Volum	IG
Initial Gage Reading, in: Final Gage Reading, in: Expansion, in: Expansion Index	0.0500 0.0692 0.0192 19 Con	nments:	Very Low	Expansion	Potential

Classification of Expansive Soils. (Table No.1 From ASTM D4829)

Expansion Index	Potential Expansion
0-20	Very Low
21-50	Low
51-90	Medium
91-130	High
>130	Very High

www.mooretwining.com



























2527 Fresno Street Fresno, CA 93721 (559) 268-7021 Phone (559) 268-0740 Fax

August 14, 2019

Work Order #: FH07003

Zubair Anwar MTA Geotechnical Division 2527 Fresno Street Fresno, CA 93721

RE: Proposed Drive Shack & Golf Driving Range

Enclosed are the analytical results for samples received by our laboratory on **08/07/19**. For your reference, these analyses have been assigned laboratory work order number **FH07003**.

All analyses have been performed according to our laboratory's quality assurance program. All results are intended to be considered in their entirety, Moore Twining Associates, Inc. (MTA) is not responsible for use of less than complete reports. Results apply only to samples analyzed.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact us at the number listed above.

Sincerely,

Moore Twining Associates, Inc.

Susan Federico Client Services Representative



2527 Fresno Street Fresno, CA 93721 (559) 268-7021 Phone (559) 268-0740 Fax

MTA Geotechnical Division	Project:	Proposed Drive Shack & Golf Driving Range	Benertoda
2527 Fresno Street	Project Number:	E40550.01	neportad;
Fresno CA, 93721	Project Manager:	Zubair Anwar	00/14/2018

Analytical Report for the Following Samples

Sample ID	Notes	Laboratory ID	Matrix	Date Sampled	Date Received
B4 @ 3 - 5	18 × 28	FH07003-01	Soil	08/07/19 00:00	08/07/19 09:18
B9 @ 0 - 5		FH07003-02	Soil	08/07/19 00:00	08/07/19 09:18

1.1.1



2527 Fresno Street Fresno, CA 93721 (559) 268-7021 Phone (559) 268-0740 Fax

ASTM D4972-89

Mod

ASTM D4327

MTA Geotechnical Division 2527 Fresno Street Fresno CA, 93721		1	Projec Project Numbe Project Manage	r: Propose r: E40550. r: Zubair A	d Dríve Shá 01 nwar	ack & Golf D	riving Range		Reported; 08/14/2019
φ. 			B4	@3-5		U 99			
		FH0700	3-01 (Soil)	Sam	pled: 08/0	07/19 00:00	כ		
Analyte	Flag	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed	Method
Inorganics									
Chloride		42	6.0	mg/kg	3	89H0712	08/07/19	08/09/19	ASTM D4327-84
Chloride		0.0042	0.00060	% by Weight	3	[CALC]	08/09/19	08/09/19	ASTM D4327-84
Sulfate as SO4		0.029	0.00060	% by Weight	3	[CALC]	08/09/19	08/09/19	ASTM D4327-84

Sulfate as SO4 290 6.0 mg/kg 3 B9H0712 B9 @ 0 - 5

7.8

0.10

FH07003-02 (Soil) Sampled: 08/07/19 00:00

pH Units

1

B9H0712

08/07/19

08/07/19

08/09/19

08/09/19

Analyte	Flag	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed	Method
norganics	0								10 CLARACE
Chloride		180	12	mg/kg	6	B9H0712	08/07/19	08/09/19	ASTM D4327-84
Chloride		0.018	0.0012	% by Weight	6	[CALC]	08/09/19	08/09/19	ASTM D4327-84
Sulfate as SO4		0.054	0.0012	% by Weight	6	[CALC]	08/09/19	08/09/19	ASTM D4327-84
рН		8.6	0.10	pH Units	1	B9H0712	08/07/19	08/09/19	ASTM D4972-89 Mod
Sulfate as SO4		540	12	mg/kg	6	B9H0712	08/07/19	08/09/19	ASTM D4327

Notes and Definitions

pg/L micrograms per liter (parts per billion concentration units)

mg/L milligrams per liter (parts per million concentration units)

mg/kg milligrams per kilogram (parts per million concentration units)

ND Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit

RPD Relative Percent Difference

pH

Analysis of pH, filtration, and residual chlorine is to take place immediately after sampling in the field. If the test was performed in the laboratory, the hold time was exceeded. <u>(for aqueous matrices only)</u>

OORE TWINING

CHAIN OF CUSTODY / ANALYSIS REQUEST

ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY DIVISION CALIFORNIA ELAP CERTIFICATION # 1371	WORK C		SFL	10700	3	
REPORT TO:		D Proof	RT COPY TO	•	REPORTING.	
ATTENTION: Zubair Answar COMPANY NAME: ADDRESS:	ATTENTION: COMPANY NAME: ADDRESS:				STANDARD FORMA EDT (SWRCB) GEOTRACKER/COI GLOBAL ID: COUNTY ENVIRON	T C PDF C Excel ELT (LUFT) MENTAL HEALTH:
PHONE	PHONE				STATE WATER RES	OURCES CONTROL
EMAIL / FAX:	Email / Fax:	\	<u>N</u>			a in a second the fraction of the second second second second second second second second second second second
SAMPLE INFORMATION .	SAMPLE TY SOUD: BS - BIOSOLIO	PES	CONTRACT / P.	<u>P</u> 0. NO.:	ROJECT INFORMATION	- , hanne
SIGNATURE:	CR - CERAMIC SL - SOIL/SOLII	D L	PROJECT: Pre	posed dr	inc shade R	eshiront and
PUBLIC SYSTEM TH ROUTINE PRIVATE WELL II REPEAT OTHER II REPLACEMENT	GW - DRINKING GW - GROUND V OL - OIL SF - SURFACE V	WATER WATER VATER	PROJECT NUM	AGER: DUL	550.01	
	ST ~ STORM WA	ATER		<u>205</u> An	ALYSIS REQUEST	war
Notes on Received Condition L A CUSTODY SEAL(5) BROKEN SAM B CON ICE AMBIENT TEMP. INCO S E CUENY SAMPLE ID D	N: PLES(S) DAMAGED RRECT PRESERVATION	Corrosion				STATION CODE
1 B483-5 BI-	1/19 N/A S					
2 B900-5 81	III9N/A S	6.7				
				······································		
COMMENTS / ADDITIONAL INSTRUCTIONS:			l			
RELINQUISHED BY	COMPANY D	ATE	TIME	RECEIVED BY		COMPANY
Migue Alcaraz MTA	MAT 8-	14 10	113	JAK C		i(tz)
			باويع بدير ومستحدث		1.1.1.	

Payment for services rendered as noted herein are due in full within 30 days from the date Involced. If not so paid, account balances are deemed delinquent. Delinquent balances are subject to monthly service charges and interest specified in MTA's current Standard Terms and Conditions for Laboratory Services. The person signing for the Client/Company acknowledges that they are either the Client or an authorized agent to the Client, that the Client agrees to be responsible for payment for the services on this Chain of Custody and agrees to MTA's terms and conditions for laboratory services unless contractually bound otherwise. MTA's current terms and conditions can be obtained by contacting our accounting department at (559) 268-7021.

	Sample Integrity Page_	<u>2</u> of	2	IVII	vore Iw Wi	ning As D#/_	sociate HU	<u> </u>	3		٨	/ITA Bott	tes: Yes o	r No	
و	Was temperature within range? Chemistry <6°C Micro <10°C Temp°C	Yes N	o [⟨] N/A	Did all Was a s	bottle la	oels agre amount	e with C of sam	OC? /	Yes N	to N/A	Were th vials? (\	nere bubl /olatiles (oles in VOA Only)	Yes	No_N/A
COC In	If samples were taken today, is there evidence that chilling has begun? RecvdC°	Yes	A/N 6	Were c	d? orrect co	intainers	and	((5	······································	Was PM discrep	1 notified ancies?	of	Yes	No N/A
-	Did all bottles arrive unbroken and intact?	res in	O N/A	thiesen		ceiveu n	ui the te	515 ~	res r	10 N/A	PM:				
-	Do samples have a hold time 2 hours?</td <td>Yes No</td> <td>N/A</td> <td>reques</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>By/Time</td> <td>31</td> <td></td> <td>_</td> <td></td>	Yes No	N/A	reques							By/Time	31		_	
	125mi (A) 250ml (B) 1Liter (C) 40mi VOA (V)	1 th				-				ļ			 	_	
	Bacti Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃					<u> </u>	1				<u> </u>				
	None (P)			L							1				
	Cr6 Buffer (P) Borate Carbonate Buffer									1					
	HNO ₃ (P)		19												
1	$H_2SO_4(P)$					1		2		1					
	NaOH (P)						1			1					
	NaOH+ZnAc (P)						1			1	-				
8	Dissolved Oxygen 300ml (P)				1		1	1	i		h				
	None (AG)	1			1	ter the									
	None (CG) 500ml			-				+						+	+
	No C Q 250ml (Brown Q) 540		~			+		+						+	125
g	No. C.O. (AC)					-				<u> </u>					
2					-	<u> </u>			ļ	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			_	<u> </u>
ec l	Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃ (AG)				<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	_	ļ		ļ				
H S	Thio/K Citrate				-	L									
tle	<u>NH₄CI (</u> AG) 552										1		1		
t	HCI (AG)														
	None (CG) 500ml														
1 3	H ₃ PO ₄ (AG)									[
8	Other:					1	1		1						
	Plastic Bag	1		1	Ì			1							
[Low Level He/Metals Double Bag	<u>- R'</u>		<u> </u>			1				1				
	Client Own			1240			1					-			
	Glace Jan 175/ 250/ 500						+								+
	Spil Tubol Brack Staal / Diactio														+
	Soli Tube, Drassy Steely Flastic													_	
	is geneore				<u> </u>	<u> </u>	+	-		<u> </u>					
	Ascorooc Acid (AG) Voa							ļ							
	1galion Cubitainer						ļ								
															1
	20-02 (h. 200), 20 (mpt) (12.00), 20 (h. 200) (2.00) (2.00) (12.00) (12.00)								Cont	ainer	Presei	vative	Date/1	ime/Initi	als
ts							E	SPF	1						
T.							L N	SPF		-	1.000			98-91-98	8-0.
E							2 C	SPE							
Pagi							Ite	SPC		a a.			1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 19	8	52020
e l	19						토	SPE	л						
51-	lan _{ma} n da man da da da da da da da da da da da da da					÷	1	19 F F	VA I	510					
of 5	Labe	led by:	<u></u> @	044	2	Lab	els che) _ked by:	NE	<u>a</u> (197	5			FL-S	C-0003-(

4



Project Name:	Proposed Drive Shack Restaurant	Report Date:	8/22/2019
Project Number:	E40550.01	Gample Date.	112212013
		Sampled By:	JC
Subject:	Minimum Resistivity, ASTM G187	Tested By:	MA
Material Description:	Clayey sand	Test Date:	8/20/2019
Location:	B-4 @ 3-5'		

Laboratory Test Results, Minimum Resistivity - ASTM G187

Total Water Added, mls	Resistivity, Ohm-cm
50 mls	66,700
100 mls	57,362
150 mls	40,687
200 mls	30,015
250 mls	13,340
300 mls	8,671
350 mls	6,337
400 mls	2,868
450 mls	2,935

11123	<i></i>	
Kerr	larks:	

Min. Resistivity is

2,868 Ohm-cm

www.mooretwining.com

PH: 559.268.7021 FX: 559.268.7126 2527 Fresno Stroet Fresno, CA 93721



Project Name:	Proposed Drive Shack Restaurant	Report Date:	8/23/2019	
	and Golf Driving Range	Sample Date:	7/22/2019	
Project Number:	E40550.01			
		Sampled By:	JC	
Subject:	Minimum Resistivity, ASTM G187	Tested By:	MA	
Material Description:	Clayey sand	Test Date:	8/20/2019	
Location:	B-9 @ 0-5'			

Laboratory Test Results, Minimum Resistivity - ASTM G187

al Water Added, mis	Resistivity, Ohm-cm	
50 mls	62,698	
100 mls	41,354	
150 mls	27,347	
200 mls	18,009	
250 mls	13,340	
300 mls	10,005	
350 mls	7,337	
400 mls	8,004	

Remarks:	Min. Resistivity is	7,337	Ohm-cm

FR: 559.268.7021 FX: 559.268.7126 2527 Fresno Strent Fresno, CA 93721



3/00 IRUNE AVE. G & 303394 1349G - 18 9129199

t

NorCal Engineering

Soils and Geotechnical Consultants 10641 'Iumbolt Street Los Alamitos, CA 90720 (562) 799-9469 Fax (562) 799-9459

May 3, 1999

Project Number 7533-98

2

2

Attn. Mr. Ray Duran

Re: Foundation Excavation Observations - Proposed Leonard's Golf Shop Expansion - Located at 3100 irvine Avenue, in the City of Newport Beach, California

Dear Mr. Duran:

Pursuant to your request, this firm has observed and approved foundation evaluations for the above referenced project. The foundation excavations for the above referenced project. The foundation excavations for the above referenced project. The foundation excavations for the above referenced project. The foundation excavations for the above referenced project. The foundation excavations for the above referenced project. The foundation excavations for the above referenced project. The foundation excavations for the above referenced project. The foundation excavations for the above referenced project. The foundation excavations for the above referenced project. The foundation excavations for the above referenced project. The foundation excavations for the above referenced project and the foundation excavations for the above referenced project. The foundation excavations for the above referenced project and the above referenced project. The foundation excavations for the above referenced project and the above referenced project and the above referenced project and the above referenced project and the above referenced project and the above referenced project and the above reference a

Respectfully submitted NORCAL EL.GINEE FESS uth No. 841 Keith D. Tucker Exp. 12/31/00 Pr. ject Engine R.G.E. 841

= HB \$

Gregory H. Bennett Project Manager

NorCal Engineering

Soils and Geotechnical Consultants 10641 Humbolt Street Los Alamitos, CA 90720 (562) 799-9469 Fax (562) 799-9459

May 6, 1999

Project Number 7533-98

U

7

Duran Construction Company 22901 Savi Ranch Parkway, Suite A Yorba Linda, California 92887

Attn: Mr. Ray Duran

RE: Observation and Testing of Rough Grading Operations – Proposed Leonard's Golf Shop Expansion – Located at 3100 Irvine Avenue, in the City of Newport Beach, California

Dear Mr. Duran:

Pursuant to your request, this firm has observed and tested rough grading operations at the above referenced project. Results of the compaction tests are attached and locations of these tests are shown on the accompanying Site Plan. All work was performed in accordance with our Geotechnical Investigation dated July 16, 1998, Project Number 7533-98 and all present day standards of the Geotechnical Engineering Industry.

Site Grading

All vegetation and demolition debris was stripped and removed from the fill area prior to grading operations. The existing low density soils were removed to competent native soils, the exposed subgrade scarified moisture conditioned and then recompacted to a minimum of 90% relative compaction. All excavations were observed and approved by this firm prior to placement of fill material. The overexcavation consisted of a minimum of five horizontal feet or to the depth of fill placed, whichever is greater beyond the outside edge of all proposed foundations with exception.

May 6, 1999 Page 2 Project Number 8078-99

3

Fill soils placed were compacted to a minimum of 90% of the laboratory standard in lifts not in excess of eight and in thickness. The maximum depth of fill placed was 2 feet. A track loader was utilized for compaction control. A water hose provided moisture control. The approximate limits of compacted fill are indicated on the attached Site Plan.

Laboratory/Field Testing

The relative compaction was determined by Sand Cone Method (ASTM: D1556-82) and by the Drive Tube Method (ASTM: D2937). The maximum density of the fill soils was obtained by the laboratory standard (ASTM: D1557-91) and results are shown on Table I. Compaction tests were performed a minimum of every 500 cubic yards placed and every two feet in depth of fill placed. Results of field density tests are presented in Table II.

Conclusions

The geotechnical engineering aspects of the grading have been observed and are in compliance with the geotechnical engineer's recommendations. The development has been graded to the approval of this firm and is suitable for its intended use.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you. If you have any further questions, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted, NORCAL ENGINEERING

OFESN TUC unu 5 Keith D. Tucker No. 841 **Project Engineer** io a Exp. 12/31/00 R.G.E. 841

Scott D. Spensiero Project Manager

Project Number 8078-99

S.

May 6, 1999 Page 3

1

1

TABLE 1 MAXIMUM DENSITY TESTS (ASTM: D-1557-91)

and the second

Soil Type	Classification	Optimum <u>Moisture</u>	Maximum Dry Density (lbs./cu.ft.)	
T	Clayey SILT	15.5	116.5	

TABLE II SUMMARY OF COMPACTION TEST RESULTS

Date of Test	Test <u>No.</u>	Depth	Percent <u>Moisture</u>	Unit Wt. Ibs./cu.ft.	Relative Compaction	Soil <u>Type</u>
4/29/99	101	2.0-2.5	18.9	105.2	90	1
4/29/99	102	1.0-1.5	17.1	116.1	90	I
4/29/99	103	0.0-0.5	14.3	107.1	92	1

*Depth below finished grade

**Retest of failing tests after area reworked





P/C1349.98

-1

A.

Soils Investigation Proposed Leonard's Golf Shop Expansion 3100 Irvine Avenue Newport Beach, California

all of a lar

..

APPROVED FOR OFRANT ISSUANCE SCOTT FALEKAS & ASSOCIATES, INC.

BY DATE These plans have been received for adherence to the applicable carries and orrinance. Authorization is hereby granted to issue a budene permit pending approval by all applicable City agencies.

The pieparen Formanting of a permit based on approved to plans chall per be construct to permit of at plane any mulation of the applicable Duran Construction Corporation in presumed to pive 22901 Savi-Rarkway, Suite A Yorba Linda, California 92887

> Project Number 7533-98 July 16, 1998



NorCal Engineering SOILS AND GEOTECHNICAL CONSULTANTS 10641 HUMBOLT STREET LOS ALAMITOS, CA 90720 (552)799-9469 FAX (562)799-9459

July 16, 1998

0

(

(

C

(

Ć

1-

Project Number 7533-98

Duran Construction Corporation 22901 Savi Ranch Parkway, Suite A Yorba Linda, California 92887

Attn: Mr. Ray Duran

RE: Soils investigation - Proposed Leonard's Golf Shop Expansion -Located at 3100 Irvine Avenue, in the City of Newport Beach, California

Dear Mr. Duran:

Pursuant to your request, this firm has performed a Soils Investigation for the above referenced project in accordance with your authorization. The purpose of this investigat. • is to evaluate the geotechnical conditions of the subject site and to provide recommendations for the proposed golf shop expansion. This soils engineering report presents the finding of our study along with conclusions and recommendations for development.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you. If you have any further questions, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

ROFESSIO Respectfully submitted, NORCAL ENGINEERIN No. 141 Exp. 12/31/00 Keith D. Tucker **Project Engineer** R.G.E. 841

Wil Will

Mark A. Burkholder Project Manager

July 16, 1998 Page 2

С

Ú

<

C

(

(

C

Structural Considerations

This geotechnical engineering report presents the findings of our study along with engineering analysis and recommendations for the proposed development. It is proposed to construct a one story, 1,300 square feet addition to the existing golf shop facility. Other improvements may consist of asphaltic and/or concrete parking and driveway areas and landscaping. Final building plans shall be reviewed by this firm prior to submittal for city approval to determine the need for any additional study and revised recommendations pertinent to the proposed development, if necessary.

Site Description

The property lies within the Irvine Golf Course in the city of Newport Beach. The proposed expansion area is currently covered with asphaltic concrete pavement and planter areas.

Field Investigation

The purpose of the investigation was to explore the subsurface conditions and to provide preliminary geotechnical engineering design parameters for evaluation of the site with respect to the proposed development. The investigation consisted of the placement of two subsurface exploratory borings by hand auger to a maximum depth of 12 feet placed at accessible locations on the site. The explorations were visually classified and logged by a field engineer with locations of the subsurface explorations shown on the attached Site Plan.

July 16, 1998 Page 3

C

(

(

ł

(.

1

The exploratory explorations revealed the existing earth materials to consist of surficial fill and natural soil zones. A detailed description of the subsurface conditions is listed on the excavation logs in Appendix A. These soils are described as follows:

- Fill: Surficial fill soils consisting of slightly clayey SAND were encountered in both borings to a depth of approximately 12 inches. These soils were noted to be moist and loose.
- Natural: Native, undisturbed soils also classifying as slightly clayey SAND were observed beneath the upper fill soils. The native soils were observed to be dense and moist. Clayey SILT materials were then encountered beneath the sandy soils.

Groundwater was encountered at a depth of 7 feet below existing grades.

Laboratory Tests

Relatively undisturbed samples of the subsurface soils were obtained to perform laboratory testing and analysis for direct shear, consolidation tests, and to determine in-place moisture/densities. These undisturbed samples consisted of one inch rings with inside diameter of 2.5 inches. Bulk bag samples were obtained in the upper soils for expansion index tests and maximum density tests. Wall loadings on the order of 2,000 lbs./lin.ft. and maximum compression loads on the order of 20 kips were utilized for testing and design purposes. All test results are included in Appendix B, unless otherwise noted.

v of Newport Beach

A. The field moisture content (ASTM:D 2216) and the dry densities of the ring samples were determined in the laboratory. This data is listed on the logs of borings.

July 16, 1998 Page 4

1

C

{

1

(

(

- B. Maximum density tests (ASTM: D-1557-78) were performed on typical samples of the upper soils. Results of these tests are shown on Table I.
- C. Expansion index tests in accordance with the Uniform Building Code Standard No. 29-2 were performed on remolded samples of the upper soils to determine the expansive characteristics and to provide any necessary recommendations for reinforcement of the slabs-on-grade and the foundations. Results of these tests are provided on Table II.
- D. Direct shear tests (ASTM: D-3080) were performed on undisturbed and disturbed samples of the subsurface soils. These tests were performed to determine parameters for the calculation of the safe bearing capacity. The test is performed under saturated conditions at loads of 500 lbs./sq.ft., 1,000 lbs./sq.ft., and 2,000 lbs./sq.ft. with results shown on Plate A.
- E. Consolidation tests (ASTM: D-2435) were performed on undisturbed samples to determine the differential and total settlement which may be anticipated based upon the proposed loads. Water was added to the samples at a surcharge of one KSF and the settlement curves are plotted on Plate B.

July 16, 1998 Page 5

C

(

C

C

(

 F. The potential corrosive effects of the on-site soils to concrete are being determined in the laboratory per EPA test method 9038.
 The test results will be provided in an addendum to this report.

in the second

Conclusions and Recommendations

Based upon our evaluations, the proposed development is acceptable from a geotechnical engineering standpoint. By following the recommendations and guidelines set forth in our report, the structures will be safe from excessive settlements under the anticipated design loadings and conditions. The proposed development shall meet all requirements of the City Building Ordinance and will not impose any adverse effect on existing adjacent structures.

It is recommended that site inspections be performed by a representative of this firm during all grading and construction of the development to verify the findings and recommendations documented in this report. Any unusual conditions which may be encountered in the course of the project development may require the need for additional study and revised recommendations.

Site Grading Recommendations

Any vegetation shall be removed and hauled from proposed grading areas prior to the start of grading operations. Any removed soils may be reutilized as compacted fill once any deleterious material or oversized materials (in excess of eight inches) are removed. All grading operations shall be performed in accordance with the attached "Specifications for Compacted Fill Operations."
Ę,

July 16, 1998 Page 5

C

(

(

(

C

C

 F. The potential corrosive effects of the on-site soils to concrete are being determined in the laboratory per EPA test method 9038.
The test results will be provided in an addendum to this report.

CP La Gr

Conclusions and Recommendations

Based upon our evaluations, the proposed development is acceptable from a geotechnical engineering standpoint. By following the recommendations and guidelines set forth in our report, the structures will be safe from excessive settlements under the anticipated design loadings and conditions. The proposed development shall meet all requirements of the City Building Ordinance and will not impose any adverse effect on existing adjacent structures.

It is recommended that site inspections be performed by a representative of this firm during all grading and construction of the development to verify the findings and recommendations documented in this report. Any unusual conditions which may be encountered in the course of the project development may require the need for additional study and revised recommendations.

Site Grading Recommendations

Any vegetation shall be removed and hauled from proposed grading areas prior to the start of grading operations. Any removed soils may be reutilized as compacted fill once any deleterious material or oversized materials (in excess of eight inches) are removed. All grading operations shall be performed in accordance with the attached "Specifications for Compacted Fill Operations."

July 16, 1998 Page 6

5.

C

1

(

C

All upper disturbed soils (\pm 12 inches) in areas to provide structural support shall be removed to competent native material, the exposed surface scarified to a depth of 12 inches, brought to the proper moisture content and compacted to a minimum of 90% of the laboratory standard (ASTM: D-1557-78) prior to placement of any additional compacted fill soils, foundations, slabs-on-grade and pavement. Grading shall extend a minimum of five horizontal feet or to the depth of vertical overexcavation, whichever is greater, beyond the outside edge of the perimeter foundation where possible.

A diligent search shall be conducted during grading operations in an effort to uncover any underground structures, irrigation or utility lines. If found, these structures and lines shall be either removed or properly abandoned prior to the proposed construction. Care should be taken to provide or maintain adequate lateral support for all adjacent improvements and structures at all times during the grading operations and construction phase. Adequate drainage away from the structures, pavement and slopes should be provided at all times.

Temporary Excavations

Temporary unsurcharged excavations over 4 feet in height in the existing site materials may be trimmed at a 1 to 1 (horizontal to vertical) gradient. Cuts over 8 feet in height must be assessed by this firm prior to excavation activities. In areas where soil with little or no binder is encountered, where adverse geological conditions are exposed, or where excavations are adjacent to existing structures, shoring, slot-cutting, or flatter excavations may be required. The temporary cut slope gradients given above do not preclude local raveling and sloughing. All excavations shall be made in accordance with the requirements of CAL-OSHA and other public agencies having jurisdiction.

July 16, 1998 Page 7

C

1

(

C

(

Foundation Design

The foundations may be designed utilizing safe being capacity of 1,500 psf for an embedded depth of 24 inches below lowest adjacent grade into approved compacted fill soils or competent native soils. All continuous foundations shall be reinforced with a minimum of one #5 bar, top and bottom. A representative of this firm shall inspect all foundation excavations prior to pouring concrete.

Care should be taken when excavating foundations adjacent to the existing structure so that proper lateral support is not removed from existing foundations. This may require the slot-cutting of new foundations in the area.

Lateral Resistance

The following values may be utilized in resisting lateral loads imposed on the structure. Requirements of the Uniform Building Code should be adhered to when the coefficient of friction and passive pressures are combined.

Coefficient of Friction - 0.35 Equivalent Passive Fluid Pressure = 200 lbs./cu.ft. Maximum Passive Pressure = 2,000 lbs./cu.ft.

The passive pressure recommendations are valid only for either competent native soils and/or compacted fill soils.

Settlement Analysis

Resultant pressure curves for the consolidation tests are shown on Plate B. Computations utilizing these curves and the recommended safe bearing capacities reveal that the foundations will experience settlements on the order of 1/2 inch and differential settlements of less than 1/4 inch.

July 16, 1998 Page 8

1

C

5

(

C

(

Retaining Wall Design Parameters

Active earth pressures against retaining walls will be equal to the pressures developed by the following fluid densities. These values are for granular backfill material placed adjacent to the walls at various ground slopes above the walls.

Surface Slope of Retained Materials	Equivalent Fluid
(Horizontal to Vertical)	Density (Ib./cu.ft.)
Level	30
5 to 1	35
4 to 1	38
3 to 1	40
2 to 1	45

Any applicable short-term construction surcharges and seismic forces should be added to the above lateral pressure values. All walls shall be waterproofed as needed and protected from hydrostatic pressure by a reliable permanent subdrain system.

Slab Recommendations

All concrete slabs-on-grade shall be a minimum of four inches in thickness and may be placed on approved compacted fill soils. A vapor barrier should be utilized in areas which would be sensitive to the infiltration of moisture. This membrane should be placed beneath a 4 inch thick sand layer and not directly beneath the concrete due to the possibility of curling of the slab. Slabs shall be reinforced with a minimum of #3 bars, placed 18 inche on center in both directions, positioned mid-height in the slab. All concrete slab areas to receive floor coverings should be moisture tested to meet all manufacturer requirements prior to placement.

July 16, 1998 Page 9

Slab subgrade soils shall be moisture conditioned to approximately 120% of optimum moisture levels immediately prior to placement of concrete.

Closure

(

С

(.

(

C

(

C

Ľ

The recommendations and conclusions contained in this report are based upon the soil conditions uncovered in our test excavations. No warranty of the soil condition between our excavations is implied. NorCal Engineering should be notified for possible further recommendations if unexpected to unfavorable conditions are encountered during construction phase. It is the responsibility of the owner to ensure that all information within this report is submitted to the Architect and appropriate Engineers for the project.

This firm should have the opportunity to review the final plans to verify that all our recommendations are incorporated. This report and all conclusions are subject to the review of the controlling authorities for the project.

A preconstruction conference should be held between the developer, ger, al contractor, grading contractor, city inspector, architect, and soil engineer to clarify any questions relating to the grading operations and subsequent construction. Our representative should be present during the grading operations and construction phase to certify that such recommendations are complied within the field.

This soils investigation has been conducted in a manner consistent with the level of care and skill exercised by members of our profession currently practicing under similar conditions in the Southern California area. No other warranty, expressed or implied, is made.

ς.

July 16, 1998 Page 10

SPECIFICATIONS FOR PLACEMENT OF COMPACTED FILL

Preparation

C

(

C

ί.

Any existing low density soils and/or saturated soils shall be removed to competent natural soil under the inspection of the Soils Engineering Firm. After the exposed surface has been cleansed of debris and/or vegetation, it shall be scarified until it is uniform in consistency, brought to the proper moisture content and compacted to a minimum of 90% relative compaction (in accordance with ASTM: D-1557-78).

Material For Fill

The on-site soils or approved import soils may be utilized for the compacted fill provided they are free of any deleterious materials and shall not contain any rocks, brick, asphaltic concrete, concrete or other hard materials greater than eight inches in maximum dimensions. Any import soil must be approved by the Soils Engineering firm a minimum of 24 hours prior to importation of site.

Placement of Compacted Fill Soils

The approved fill soils shall be placed in layers not excess of six incher- in thickness. Each lift shall be uniform in thickness and thoroughly blended. The fill soils shall be brought to within 15% of the optimum moisture content, unless otherwise specified by the Soils Engineering firm. Each lift shall be compacted to a minimum of 90% relative compaction (in accordance with ASTM: D-1557-78) and approved prior to the placement of the next layer of soil. Compaction tests shall be obtained at the discretion of the Soils Engineering firm but to a minimum of one test for every 500 cubic yards placed and/or for every 2 feet of compacted fill placed.

July 16, 1998 Page 11

C

1

(

C

(

The minimum relative compaction shall be obtained in accordance with accepted methods in the construction industry. The final grade of the structural areas shall be in a dense and smooth condition prior to placement of slabs-on-grade or pavement areas. No fill soils shall be placed, spread or compacted during unfavorable weather conditions. When the grading is interrupted by heavy rains, compaction operations shall not be resumed until approved by the Soils Engineering firm.

Grading Observations

The controlling governmental agencies should be notified prior to commencement of any grading operations. This firm recommends that the grading operations be conducted under the observation of a Soils Engineering film as deemed necessary. A 24 hour notice must be provided to this firm prior to the time of our initial inspection.

Observation shall include the clearing and grubbing operations to assure that all unsuitable materials have been properly removed; approve the exposed subgrade in areas to receive fill and in areas where excavation has resulted in the desired finished grade and designate areas of overexcavation; and perform field compaction tests to determine relative compaction achieved during fill placement. In addition, all foundation excavations shall be observed by the Soils Engineering firm to confirm that appropriate bearing materials are present at the design grades and recommend any modifications to construct footings.

NorCal Engineering



-

July 16, 1998

C

C

1

(

(.

(

ι.

L

Project Number 7533-98

9



Appendix A - Logs of Exploratory Explorations *Logs of Test Borings B1 and B2

<u>Appendix B</u> - Laboratory Analysis *Table I - Maximum Dry Density Tests *Table II - Expansion Index Tests

> *Plate A - Direct Shear Tests *Plate B - Consolidation Tests

July 16, 1998

:

1

C

C

(

٢

C

-

2

Project Number 7533-98

7

APPENDIX A

COARSE GRAVELS INCOME THAN 80% GRAVELS INCOME THAN 80% INCOME	MA	JOR DIVISIO	NS	5%	HE JL S	TYPICAL NAMES
GRAVELS GRAVELS MORAT CLASS <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>CLEAN</td><td>13 10 10</td><td>GW</td><td>HELL GRASES SRAVELS, GRAVEL-SAND MIETU LITTLE DA NO PINES</td></t<>			CLEAN	13 10 10	GW	HELL GRASES SRAVELS, GRAVEL-SAND MIETU LITTLE DA NO PINES
Induce True Days GRAVELS GRAVELS GRAVELS, GRAVEL-SAND-SILF MER TUM TRE MA. WITH FINES GRAVELS, GRAVEL-SAND-SILF MER COARSE GRAINED SOILS WITH FINES A GC CLATET GRAVELS, GRAVEL-SAND-SILF MER UDRE THAN 50% OF FINESI ST GC CLATET GRAVELS, GRAVEL-SAND-SILF MER UDRE THAN 50% GC CLEAN SW WILL GRADED SANDS, GRAVELLY SANDS, UTTE GR NO FINESI ILAGRE THAN 50% GF GOARSE FRAC- TOM IS SUBLER THAN THE NO.4 SANDS SW WITH FINES, SANDS SIEVE SIZED SANDS SANDS SW SUTT SANDS, GRAVELY SANDS, GRAVELLY SANDS, GRAVELLY SANDS, WITH FINES SIEVE SIZED SANDS SM SUTT SANDS, SAND-SIET WERTURES. SIEVE SIZED SANDS SSM SUTT SANDS, SAND-SIET WERTURES. SIEVE SIZED SANDS SSM SUTT SANDS, SAND-SIET WERTURES. SIEVE SIZED SIEVE SIZED SIETS AND CLAYS SSM SUTT SAND CLAYS GRAINED SIETS AND CLAYS CLATET SAND CLAYS SUST CLAYS. SUST SAND CLAYS GO SIEVE SIZE SIETS AND CLAYS CH MAREMARE SIZE, SAND CLAYS. SUST SAND CREATED SIET SAND CREATED.		GRAVELS	GRAVELS (UTTLE OA NO FINES)		GP	PODALT GRADED BRAVELS OR BRAVEL-SAN MITTURES, LITTLE OR NO PINES.
COARSE GRAINED SOILS SIEVE SIZEI Impreciasis and the soil of finesi GC CLATET GRAVELS, GRAVEL- SAND-CLAT I CONTRESS Impreciasis of finesi SW Will CRADED SANDS, GRAVELY SANDS, UTTLE OR NO FINES. Impreciasis GC CLEAN SW Will CRADED SANDS, GRAVELY SANDS, UTTLE OR NO FINES. Impreciasis GC SANDS SW Will CRADED SANDS, GRAVELY SANDS, UTTLE OR NO FINES. Impreciasis SANDS SANDS SP POORLY BRADED SANDS, GRAVELLY SANDS, UTTLE OR NO FINES. Impreciasis SANDS SANDS SP POORLY BRADED SANDS, GRAVELLY SANDS, UTTLE OR NO FINES. Impreciasis SANDS SANDS SP POORLY BRADED SANDS, GRAVELLY SANDS, UTTLE OR NO FINES. Impreciasis SANDS SANDS SP POORLY BRADED SANDS, GRAVELLY SANDS, UTTLE OR NO FINES. Impreciasis SANDS SANDS SSANDS SSANDS, SAND SANDS, SANDS SSANDS, SAND SANDS, SAND SAND SANDS, SAND SAND SAND SANDS, SAND SAND SANDS, SANDS, SANDS, SAND SANDS, SANDS, SAND SANDS, SANDS, SAND SANDS, SAND SANDS, SA		IMORE THAN 30% OF COARSE FRAG- TIGH IS LARGER THAN THE NO.4	GRAVELS WITH FINES APPRECIABLE ANT OF FINESI	VY VY	GM	SILTY BRAVELS, GRAVEL-SAND-SILF MULTURE
SOILS (WORE THAN SO'S GW WATERNAL OF LARGER THAN SO'S SANDS SIEVE SIZD SANDS (WORE THAN SO'S OF COARSE THAN SO'S SANDS WITH FINES SANDS WITH FINES SANDS SILTS AND CLAYS (LIOUID LIWIT LESS THAN SO'S OF WATERNAL IS SULTS AND CLAYS (LIOUID LIWIT LESS THAN SO'S OF WATERNAL IS SULTS AND CLAYS (LIOUID LIWIT LESS THAN SO'S OF WATERNAL IS SULTS AND CLAYS (LIOUID LIWIT LESS THAN SO'S OF WATERNAL IS SULTS AND CLAYS (LIOUID LIWIT LESS THAN SO'S OF WATERNAL IS SULTS AND CLAYS (LIOUID LIWIT WORE THAN SO'S OL CHARANCE SULTS AND CRAYS OF LOW TO WEDNUM PLASTICIT, CANSLEAU CLAYS OF NEW PLASTICITY (MOREANCE CLAYS OF HIGH PLASTICITY OF WATERNAL IS SULTS AND CLAYS (LIOUID LIWIT WORE THAN SO'S (LIOUID LIWIT WORE THAN S	COARSE GRAINED	SIEVE SIZE)		A. H.	GC	CLATET GRAVELS, GRAVEL-SAND-CLAT METU
LARGER THER SOC SANDS SIEVE SIZD SANDS INDRE THER SOTS SANDS OF COARSE THEOR SANDS TIOM IS SUBLER SANDS THAN THE NO.4 SIEVE SIZD SIEVE SIZD SANDS SIEVE SIZD SANDS TOM IS SUBLER SANDS THAN THE NO.4 SIEVE SIZED SIEVE SIZD SANDS WITH FINES SM SIEVE SIZED SANDS WITH FINES SM SIEVE SIZED SANDS WITH FINES SM SIEVE SIZED SANDS WITH FINES SM SIEVE SIZED SANDS CLAYS WITH FINES SIEVE SIZED SIEVE SIZED MOREANCE SITS AND VERT FINE SINDS OF CLAYS INDREMEC CLAYS INDREMES SINT SLIP FINE SINDS OF CLAYS ILIOUID LIWIT LESS THAN SOT SIETT CLATS OF LOW TO MEDINE SOILS SILTS AND CLAYS INDREME THAN SOS OL OP MATERILLIS SILTS AND CLAYS INDREME THAN SOS SILTS AND CLAYS INDREME THAN SOS SILTS	SOILS (WORE THAN SO% • OF WATERIAL IS	SANDS INORE THIN 50% OF COARSE FRIC- TION IS SMILLER THAN THE HO.4 SIEVE SLIEJ	CLEAN		SW	WELL GRADED SANDS, GRAVELLY SANDS, LITTLE OR NO FINES.
OF COARSE FRUE- TION IS SULLER THAN THE NO.4 SIEVE SIEVE SANDS WITH FINES SM SILTT SANDS, SAND-SILT WEATURES. SIEVE SIEVE SIEVE SIEVE SANDS SIEVE SIEVE SANDS WITH FINES SC CLATET SANDS, SAND-CLAT WITTIMES. SIEVE SIEVE SILTS AND CLAYS ML INCREMIC SLTS AND VERT FINE SUBDE FLOW, SET OR CLATET FINE SUBDE CLATET SATS WITH SLIENT FLASTICITY. FINE GRAINED SOILS SILTS AND CLAYS INCREMIC CLATS OF LOW TO MEDNIM PLASTICITY, GRAVELLY CLATS, SANDT C SILTT CLATS, LEAN CLATS. SOILS OL CAMER THAN SO'S OL CAMER THAN SOO SIEVE SIZE SILTS AND CLAYS SILTS AND CLAYS INCREMIC SILTS, MCLASS. OL CAMERNIC SILTS, MCLASS. OF WATERIAL IS SMALLER THAN SOO SIEVE SIZE SILTS AND CLAYS INCREMIC SILTS, MCLASSONS ON DUSTOMA FINE SANDT OF SITT SOLS, GLASTIC SU OF MATERIAL IS SMALLER THAN SOO SIEVE SIZE SILTS AND CLAYS ON MATERIAL IS SMALLER THAN SOO SIEVE SIZE SILTS AND CLAYS INDREMIC CLAYS OF NEW PLASTICITY. OH MATERIAL IS SMALLER THAN SOO SIEVE SIZE SILTS AND CLAYS INDREMIC CLAYS OF NEW PLASTICITY. ON MATERIAL IS SMALLER THAN SOO SIEVE SIZE SILTS AND CLAYS INDREMIC CLAYS OF NEW PLASTICITY.	LAAGEA THAN 200 SIEVE SIZZI		SANDS		SP	POORLE GRADED SANDS OR GRAVELLE SANDS, LITTLE OR NO FIRES,
SIEVE SIEE! INTERPASCUABLE ANT OF FINES. SC CLATET SAND, SAND - CLAY MIXTURES. OF FINES! SC CLATET SAND, SAND - CLAY MIXTURES. SILTS AND CLAYS ML INCREANIC SLTS AND VERT FINE SANDS OF CLATET SAND VERT FINE SANDS OF CLATET SATS WITH SLIENT PLASTICITY. FINE SILTS AND CLAYS ML INCREANIC SLTS AND VERT FINE SANDS OF CLATET SATS WITH SLIENT PLASTICITY. FINE SILTS AND CLAYS INCREANCE CLATE OF LOW TO MEDRIM PLASTICITY. GRAINED SOILS OL OREANIC SATS AND OREANIC SLITS. SANDT CLATE. MOME THAM SO% OF MATERIAL IS SILTS AND CLAYS OL MATERIAL IS SILTS AND CLAYS INCREAME SLITS. MCLESOUS OF DUTOMES SCOLS SILTS AND CLAYS INCREAME SLITS. MCLESOUS OF DUTOMES MARTERIAL IS SILTS AND CLAYS INCREAME SLITS. MCLESOUS OF DUTOMES SCOLS SILTS AND CLAYS INCREAME CLAYS OF HIGH PLASTICITY. MARTERIAL IS SILTS AND CLAYS INCREAME CLAYS OF HIGH PLASTICITY. SCOLS SILTS AND CLAYS INCREAME SLITS. MCLESOUS OF DUTOMES OF MATERIAL IS SILTS AND CLAYS INCREAME CLAYS OF HIGH PLASTICITY. ILICUID LINIT WORE THAN SOI OH MARTERIAL IS			SANDS	•	SM	SILST SAMOS, SAND - SILT WOLTURES.
FINE GRAINED SOILS (MORE THAN SO'S OF MATERIAL IS SUBJES AND CLAYS (LIQUID LIWIT LESS THAN SO) FINE GRAINED SOILS (MORE THAN SO'S OF MATERIAL IS SUBJER THAN SOO SIEVE SIZE SILTS AND CLAYS (LIQUID LIWIT WORE THAN SO) CL MOREANIC SILTS, MELCEOUS OR DUATOMA FINE SANDT OF SILTS, MELCEOUS OR DUATOMA FINE SANDT OF SILTS, MELCEOUS OR DUATOMA FINE SANDT OF SILTS, MELCEOUS OR DUATOMA FINE SANDT OF SILTS OF HER PLASTICIT, CH MOREANIC CLAYS OF HER PLASTICIT, CH MOREANIC CLAYS OF HER PLASTICIT, CH MOREANIC CLAYS OF HER PLASTICIT, CH MOREANIC CLAYS OF HER PLASTICIT, CH MOREANIC CLAYS OF HER PLASTICIT, CH MOREANIC CLAYS OF HER PLASTICIT, CH MOREANIC CLAYS OF HER PLASTICIT, CH MOREANIC CLAYS OF HER PLASTICIT, CH MOREANIC CLAYS OF HER PLASTICIT, CH MOREANIC CLAYS OF HER PLASTICIT, CH MOREANIC CLAYS OF MEDIUM TO HIGH PLASTICIT, OF SAND SILTS, CH MOREANIC CLAYS OF MEDIUM TO HIGH PLASTICIT, OF SAND SILTS,			APPRECIALE ANT. OF FINES		SC	CLATET SANOS, SAND - CLAY WIXTURES.
FINE SILTS AND CLATS ILIGUID LINIT LESS THAN SOI CL INDREAME CLATS, CLATS, CLATS, SANDT C SILT CLATS, LEAN CLATS, SANDT C SILT CLATS, LEAN CLATS, SANDT C SILT CLATS, LEAN CLATS, SANDT C SILT CLATS, LEAN CLATS, SANDT C SILT CLATS, LEAN CLATS, SANDT C SILT CLATS, LEAN CLATS, SANDT C SILT CLATS, LEAN CLATS, SANDT C SILT CLATS, LEAN CLATS, SANDT C SILT CLATS, LEAN CLATS, SANDT C SILT CLATS, LEAN CLATS, SANDT C SILT CLATS, SANDT CLATS, SANDT C SILT CLATS, LEAN CLATS, SANDT C SILT SAND CLAYS MAH INDREAMIC SILTS, MCLCEOUS OR DUTOWA SILT SAND CLAYS SILT SAND CLAYS ILICUID LINIT WORE THAN SOI CH INDREAMIC CLATS OF HIGH PLASTICITY, A CH INDREAMIC CLATS OF HIGH PLASTICITY, A CH OH OH OH OH OH OH OH OH OH OH OH OH					ML	INORGANIC SETS AND VERT FINE SARDS, RO FLOUR, SETT OR CLAYET FINE SANDS OR CLATET SETS WITH SLIGHT FLASTICITT.
GRAINED SOILS IMORE THAN SO% OF MATERIAL IS SWALLER THAN 800 SIEVE SIZE ILIQUID LIMIT MORE THAN SOI OH CARANIC CLAYS OF HIGH PLASTICITY, OH CARANIC CLAYS OF HIGH PLASTICITY, OH CARANIC CLAYS OF MEDIUM TO HIGH PLASTICITY, OR SANIC SILTS.	FINE	SILTS AND CLAYS (LICUID LIWIT LESS THAN SO) SILTS AND CLAYS (LICUID LIWIT WORE THAN SO)			CL	INORGANIC CLAYS OF LOW TO MEDRIM PLASTICITT, GRAVELLT CLATS, SANDT CLATS, SILTY CLATS, LEAN CLATS.
IN ORE THAN SO & OF MATERIAL IS SUBLER THAN SOO SIEVE SIZE ILIQUID LIMIT WORE THAN SOI OH OH OH OH OH OH OH OH CLAYS OF HIGH PLASTICIT, OH OH CLAYS OF MEDIUM TO HIGH MAN INORGANIC CLAYS OF HEH PLASTICIT, OH OH OH CLAYS OF MEDIUM TO HIGH MAN INORGANIC CLAYS OF MEDIUM TO HIGH MAN INORGANIC CLAYS OF MEDIUM TO HIGH MAN INORGANIC SILTS.	GRAINED SOILS				OL	CREAKIC SILTS AND CREAKIC SATT CLOTS
BOD SIEVE SEE SILTS AND CLATS ILIQUID LIMIT MORE THAN SOI CH IHORGANIC CLATS OF HIGH PLASTICITY, OH ORGANIC CLATS OF MEDIUM TO HIGH PLASTICITY, ORGANIC SILTS.	CHORE THAN 30% OF WATERIAL IS SHALLER THAN				MH	INCARATE SILTS, MEACEOUS OF DUTORACEOUS FIRE SURDY OF SLTY SOLS, ELASTIC SATS.
OH CARANIC CLATS OF WEDIUM TO MIGH MASTICITY, ORGANIC SILTS.	800 SIEVE SALE				СН	IHORGANIC CLAYS OF HIGH PLASTICITY, FAT CL
				E	он	ORGANIC CLATS OF MEDIUM TO MIGH PLASSIGITY, ORGANIC SILTS.
HIGHLY ORGANIC SOILS	HIGHLY	ORGANIC	SOILS	1111	PI	PEAT AND OTHER MIGHLE ORDANIC SOILS
BOUNDARY CLASSIFICATIONS. SOLS POSSESSING CHARACTERISTICS OF TWO GROUPS ARE DESIGNATED BY CONSIMILIONS OF GROUP SYMPSUS	BOUNDARY CLASSIFIC	CATIONS. SOLS PO CONSIDET	SSESSING CHAAACT IONS OF GAQUE STA	E.4-31 19313	ics of	TTO SHOUPS ARE DESIGNATED BT

...

0



and a state

DESCRIPTION OF SUBSURFACE MATERIALS PENETRATICA RESISTANCE IBLONSIFOUTI SAUPLE FAT ORY CONSITY (PCF) NUSION ELEVATION IFEETI 113310 Magain THE SUMMARY APPLIES ONLY AT THE LOCATION OF THIS BORING AND AT THE THE OF ORIGING, SUBSIDIALS CONDITIONS MAY OFFER AT OTHER LOCATIONS AND MAY CHANGE AT THIS LICATION WITH THIS PASSAGE OF THE THE GATA PRESENTED IS A SIMPLIFICATION OF ACTUAL CONDITIONS ENCOUNTERED. 0 Fill - Slightly clayey SAND, reddish brown, moist, loose R 122.4 13.9 Native - Slightly clayey SAND, reddish brown, moist, dense R 15.9 114.8 5. Clayey SILT grey/brown, moist, stiff - increase in sand content with depth 10 19 20 25 30 14 SAMPLE TYPES DATE DAILLED: 7-13-98 C Rock Core a sult sample EQUIPMENT USED: Hand Auger J Jar Sample CROUNCHATER LEVEL: None encountered S Standard Split Spoon Ring Supple NorCal Engineering Solls AND GEOTECHNICAL CONSULTANTS LOG OF BORING #2 7533-98 OATE PROJECT

the second billion

r

(-

(

(.

July 16, 1998

۲.

10.

C

٢

C

٢.

(

C

C

C

Project Number 7533-98

APPENDIX B

S.

July 16, 1998

(

C

(

C

Ç

TABLE I MAXIMUM DENSITY TESTS (ASTM: D-1557-78)

Sample	Classification	Optimum Moisture	Maximum Dry Density (lbs./cu.ft.)
B1 @ 0-2'	slightly clayey SAND	9.0	128.0
B2 @ 2.5-3	clayey SILT	15.5	116.5

TABLE II EXPANSION INDEX TESTS (U.B.C. STD. 29-2)

Sample	Classification	Expansion Index
B1 @ 0-2'	slightly clayey SAND	05
82 @ 2.5-3	clayey SILT	74

NorCal Engineering



and the second s

C

(

C



The start was

1

5

٣.

C

C

C

(

(

C

٢.,

Soils Investigation Proposed Leenard's Golf Shop Expansion 3100 Irvine Avenue Newport Beach, California

-

Ş

ç,

٧.



Prepared For:

Duran Construction Corporation 22901 Savi Ranch Parkway, Suite A Yorba Linda, California 92887

> Project Number 7533-98 July 16, 1998

City

NorCal Engineering Beach

NorCal Engineering SOILS AND GEOTECHNICAL CONSULTANTS 10641 HUMBOLT STREET LOS ALAMITOS, CA 90720 (562)799-9469 FAX (562)799-9459

July 16, 1998

'1

Project Number 7533-98

5

22

Durar, Construction Corporation 22901 Savi Ranch Parkway, Suite A Yorba Linda, California 92887

Attn: Mr. Ray Duran

RE: Soils Investigation - Proposed Leonard's Golf Shop Expansion -Located at 3100 Irvine Avenue, in the City of Newport Beach, California

Dear Mr. Duran:

Pursuant to your request, this firm has performed a Soils Investigation for the above referenced project in accordance with your authorization. The purpose of this investigation is to evaluate the geotechnical conditions of the subject site and to provide recommendations for the proposed golf shop expansion. This soils engineering report presents the finding of our study along with conclusions and recommendations for development.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you. If you have any further questions, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted, NORCAL ENGINEERIN ROFESSIO TUC D. Keith D. Tucker No. 641 ō 111 **Project Engineer** Exp. 12/31/00 R.G.E. 841 OTECHT OF CAL ewport Beach

ul um Mark A. Burkholder Project Manager

July 16, 1998 Page 2

Structural Considerations

This geotechnical engineering report presents the findings of our study along with engineering analysis and recommendations for the proposed development. It is proposed to construct a one story, 1,300 square feet addition to the existing golf shop facility. Other improvements may consist of asphaltic and/or concrete parking and driveway areas and landscaping. Final building plans shall be reviewed by this firm prior to submittal for city approval to determine the need for any additional study and revised recommendations pertinent to the proposed development, if necessary.

Site Description

è

1 2

The property lies within the Irvine Golf Course in the city of Newport Beach. The proposed expansion area is currently covered with asphaltic concrete pavement and planter areas.

Field Investigation

The purpose of the investigation was to explore the subsurface conditions and to provide preliminary geotechnical engineering design parameters for evaluation of the site with respect to the proposed development. The investigation consisted of the placement of two subsurface exploratory borings by hand auger to a maximum depth of 12 feet placed at accessible locations on the site. The explorations were visually classified and logged by a field engineer with locations of the subsurface explorations shown on the attached Site Plan.

NorCal Engineering

Ś

5.

July 16, 1998 Page 3

The exploratory explorations revealed the existing earth materials to consist of surficial fill and natural soil zones. A detailed description of the subsurface conditions is listed on the excavation logs in Appendix A. These soils are described as follows:

- Fill: Surficial fill soils consisting of slightly clayey SAND were encountered in both borings to a depth of approximately 12 inches. These soils were noted to be moist and loose.
- Natural: Native, undisturbed soils also classifying as slightly clayey SAND were observed beneath the upper fill soils. The native soils were observed to be dense and moist. Clayey SILT materials were then encountered beneath the sandy soils.

Groundwater was encountered at a depth of 7 feet below existing grades.

Laboratory Tests

Relatively undisturbed samples of the subsurface soils were obtained to perform laboratory testing and analysis for direct shear, consolidation tests, and to determine in-place moisture/densities. These undisturbed samples consisted of one inch rings with inside diameter of 2.5 inches. Bulk bag samples were obtained in the upper soils for expansion index tests and maximum density tests. Wall loadings on the order of 2,000 lbs./lin.ft. and maximum compression loads on the order of 20 kips were utilized for testing and design purposes. All test results are included in Appendix B, unless otherwise noted.

NorCal Engineering

A. The field moisture content (ASTM:D 2216) and the dry densities of the ring samples were determined in the laboratory. This data is listed on the logs of borings.

July 16, 1998 Page 4

- B. Maximum density tests (ASTM: D-1557-78) were performed on typical samples of the upper soils. Results of these tests are shown on Table I.
- C. Expansion index tests in accordance with the Uniform Building Code Standard No. 29-2 were performed on remolded samples of the upper soils to determine the expansive characteristics and to provide any necessary recommendations for reinforcement of the slabs-on-grade and the foundations. Results of these tests are provided on Table II.
- D. Direct shear tests (ASTM: D-3080) were performed on undisturbed and disturbed samples of the subsurface soils. These tests were performed to determine parameters for the calculation of the safe bearing capacity. The test is performed under saturated conditions at loads of 500 lbs./sq.ft., 1,000 lbs./sq.ft., and 2,000 lbs./sq.ft. with results shown on Plate A.
- E. Consolidation tests (ASTM: D-2435) were performed on undisturbed samples to determine the differential and total settlement which may be anticipated based upon the proposed loads. Water was added to the samples at a surcharge of one KSF and the settlement curves are plotted on Plate B.

NorCal Engineering

July 16, 1998 Page 5

> F. The potential corrosive effects of the on-site soils to concrete are being determined in the laboratory per EPA test method 9038.
> The test results will be provided in an addendum to this report.

Conclusions and Recommendations

Based upon our evaluations, the proposed development is acceptable from a geotechnical engineering standpoint. By following the recommendations and guidelines set forth in our report, the structures will be safe from excessive settlements under the anticipated design loadings and conditions. The proposed development shall meet all requirements of the City Building Ordinance and will not impose any adverse effect on existing adjacent structures.

It is recommended that site inspections be performed by a representative of this firm during all grading and construction of the development to verify the findings and recommendations documented in this report. Any unusual conditions which may be encountered in the course of the project development may require the need for additional study and revised recommendations.

Site Grading Recommendations

Any vegetation shall be removed and hauled from proposed grading areas prior to the start of grading operations. Any removed soils may be reutilized as compacted fill once any deleterious material or oversized materials (in excess of eight inches) are removed. All grading operations shall be performed in accordance with the attached "Specifications for Compacted Fill Operations."

NorCal Engineering

July 16, 1998 Page 6

All upper disturbed soils (±12 inches) in areas to provide structural support shall be removed to competent native material, the exposed surface scarified to a depth of 12 inches, brought to the proper moisture content and compacted to a minimum of 90% of the laboratory standard (ASTM: D-1557-78) prior to placement of any additional compacted fill soils, foundations, slabs-on-grade and pavement. Grading shall extend a minimum of five horizontal feet or to the depth of vertical overexcavation, whichever is greater, beyond the outside edge of the perimeter foundation where possible.

A diligent search shall be conducted during grading operations in an effort to uncover any underground structures, irrigation or utility lines. If found, these structures and lines shall be either removed or properly abandoned prior to the proposed construction. Care should be taken to provide or maintain adequate lateral support for all adjacent improvements and structures at all times during the grading operations and construction phase. Adequate drainage away from the structures, pavement and slopes should be provided at all times.

Temporary Excavations

Temporary unsurcharged excavations over 4 feet in height in the existing site materials may be trimmed at a 1 to 1(horizontal to vertical) gradient. Cuts over 8 feet in height must be assessed by this firm pript to excavation activities. In areas where soil with little or no binder is encountered, where adverse geological conditions are exposed, or where excavations are adjacent to existing structures, shoring, slot-cutting, or flatter excavations may be required. The temporary cut slope gradients given above do not preclude local raveling and sloughing. All excavations shall be made in accordance with the requirements of CAL-OSHA and other public agencies having jurisdiction.

NorCal Engineering

July 16, 1998 Page 7

Foundation Design

The foundations may be designed utilizing safe being capacity of 1,500 psf for an embedded depth of 24 inches below lowest adjacent grade into approved compacted fill soils or competent native soils. All continuous foundations shall be reinforced with a minimum of one #5 bar, top and bottom. A representative of this firm shall inspect all foundation excavations prior to pouring concrete.

Care should be taken when excavating foundations adjacent to the existing structure so that proper lateral support is not removed from existing foundations. This may require the slot-cutting of new foundations in the area.

Lateral Resistance

The following values may be utilized in resisting lateral loads imposed on the structure. Requirements of the Uniform Building Code should be adhered to when the coefficient of friction and passive pressures are combined.

Coefficient of Friction - 0.35 Equivalent Passive Fluid Pressure = 200 lbs./cu.ft. Maximum Passive Pressure = 2,000 lbs./cu.ft.

The passive pressure recommendations are valid only for either competent native soils and/or compacted fill soils.

Settlement Analysis

Resultant pressure curves for the consolidation tests are shown on Plate B. Computations utilizing these curves and the recommended safe bearing capacities reveal that the foundations will experience settlements on the order of 1/2 inch and differential settlements of less than 1/4 inch.

July 16, 1998 Page 8

Retaining Wall Design Parameters

Active earth pressures against retaining walls will be equal to the pressures developed by the following fluid densities. These values are for granular backfill material placed adjacent to the walls at various ground slopes above the walls.

Surface Slope of Retained Materials	Equivalent Fluid
(Horizontal to Vertical)	Density (lb./cu.ft.)
Level	30
5 to 1	35
4 to 1	38
3 to 1	40
2 to 1	45

Any applicable short-term construction surcharges and seismic forces should be added to the above lateral pressure values. All walls shall be waterproofed as needed and protected from hydrostatic pressure by a reliable permanent subdrain system.

Slab Recommendations

All concrete slabs-on-grade shall be a minimum of four inches in thickness and may be placed on approved compacted fill soils. A vapor barrier should be utilized in areas which would be sensitive to the infiltration of moisture. This membrane should be placed beneath a 4 inch thick sand layer and not directly beneath the concrete due to the possibility of curling of the slab. Slabs shall be reinforced with a minimum of #3 bars, placed 18 inches on center in both directions, positioned mid-height in the slab. All concrete slab areas to receive floor coverings should be moisture tested to meet all manufacturer requirements prior to placement.

NorCal Engineering

١, 1

July 16, 1998 Page 9

Slab subgrade soils shall be moisture conditioned to approximately 120% of optimum moisture levels immediately prior to placement of concrete.

A STATE

Closure

The recommendations and conclusions contained in this report are based upon the soil conditions uncovered in our test excavations. No warranty of the soil condition between our excavations is implied. NorCal Engineering should be notified for possible further recommendations if unexpected to unfavorable conditions are encountered during construction phase. It is the responsibility of the owner to ensure that all information within this report is submitted to the Architect and appropriate Engineers for the project.

This firm should have the opportunity to review the final plans to verify that all our recommendations are incorporated. This report and all conclusions are subject to the review of the controlling authorities for the project.

A preconstruction conference should be held between the developer, general contractor, grading contractor, city inspector, architect, and soil engineer to clarify any questions relating to the grading operations and subsequent construction. Our representative should be present during the grading operations and construction phase to certify that such recommendations are complied within the field.

This soils investigation has been conducted in a manner consistent with the level of care and skill exercised by members of our profession currently practicing under similar conditions in the Southern California area. No other warranty, expressed or implied, is made.

NorCal Engineering

July 16, 1998 Page 10

SPECIFICATIONS FOR PLACEMENT OF COMPACTED FILL

Preparation

-

....

-

Any existing low density soils and/or saturated soils shall be removed to competent natural soil under the inspection of the Soils Engineering Firm. After the exposed surface has been cleansed of debris and/or vegetation, it shall be scarified until it is uniform in consistency, brought to the proper moisture content and compacted to a minimum of 90% relative compaction (in accordance with ASTM: D-1557-78).

Material For Fill

The on-site soils or approved import soils may be utilized for the compacted fill provided they are free of any deleterious materials and shall not contain any rocks, brick, asphaltic concrete, concrete or other hard materials greater than eight inches in maximum dimensions. Any import soil must be approved by the Soils Engineering firm a minimum of 24 hours prior to importation of site.

Placement of Compacted Fill Soils

The approved fill soils shall be placed in layers not excess of six inches in thickness. Each lift shall be uniform in thickness and thoroughly blended. The fill soils shall be brought to within 15% of the optimum moisture content, unless otherwise specified by the Soils Engineering firm. Each lift shall be compacted to a minimum of 90% relative compaction (in accordance with ASTM: D-1557-78) and approved prior to the placement of the next layer of soil. Compaction tests shall be obtained at the discretion of the Soils Engineering firm but to a minimum of one test for every 500 cubic yards placed and/or for every 2 feet of compacted fill placed.

NorCal Engineering

July 16, 1998 Page 11

-

17

. .

.)

1.1

...

1

18

The minimum relative compaction shall be obtained in accordance with accepted methods in the construction industry. The final grade of the structural areas shall be in a dense and smooth condition prior to placement of slabs-on-grade or pavement areas. No fill soils shall be placed, spread or compacted during unfavorable weather conditions. When the grading is interrupted by heavy rains, compaction operations shall not be resumed until approved by the Soils Engineering firm.

Grading Observations

The controlling governmental agencies should be notified prior to commencement of any grading operations. This firm recommends that the grading operations be conducted under the observation of a Soils Engineering firm as deemed necessary. A 24 hour notice must be provided to this firm prior to the time of our initial inspection.

Observation shall include the clearing and grubbing operations to assure that all unsuitable materials have been properly removed; approve the exposed subgrade in areas to receive fill and in areas where excavation has resulted in the desired finished grade and designate areas of overexcavation; and perform field compaction tests to determine relative compaction achieved during fill placement. In addition, all foundation excavations shall be observed by the Soils Engineering firm to confirm that appropriate bearing materials are present at the design grades and recommend any modifications to construct footings.

NorCal Engineering



July 16, 1998

Project Number 7533-98

APPENDICES (In order of appearance)

20

Appendix A - Logs of Exploratory Explorations *Logs of Test Borings B1 and B2

Appendix B - Laboratory Analysis *Table I - Maximum Dry Density Tests *Table II - Expansion Index Tests

> *Plate A - Direct Shear Tests *Plate B - Consolidation Tests

July 16, 1998

9

A

. 1

14

1.1

04

Project Number 7533-98

ATPENDIX A

NorCal Engineering

МА	JOR DIVISIO	WS	5-2	19365	TYPICAL NAMES
		CLEAN	0.00	SW	HELL GALLES GALVELS, GJAVEL-SAND WIE FUR LITLE OF NO FINES
	GRAVELS	GRAVELS INFILE 03 NO FIMESI GRAVELS WITH FINES IAPPRECIASLE ANT OF FIMESI		GP	POORLY GRADEL GRAVELS ON GRAVEL - SANG MISTURES, LITTLE OR NO FUNES.
	OF COARSE FRAC- TION IS LARGER THAN THE NO.4		AN AN	GM	SILTY BRAVELS, GRAVEL-SAND-SILT MIETURE
COARSE GRAINED	SIEVE SIZE)		A HA	GC	CLATET GRAVELS, GRAVEL-SAND-CLAT WOTTH
SOILS WORE THAN SO % OF WATERIAL IS		CLEAN		sw	WELL GRADED GANDS, GRAVELLY SANDS, Little of ho fines.
LARCEN THAN 200 SIEVE SUZEJ	SANDS	SANUS		SP	POORLY GRADED SANDS OR GRAVELLY SANDS, LITTLE OR NO FILES.
	OF COARSE FRAC- TION IS SMILLER THAN THE NO.4	SANDS WITH FINES (APPRECUBLE AUT. OF FINES)	•	SM	SILTY SANDS, SAND-SILF WOITURES.
(3/ 67E 3 /2EJ			sc	CLATET SANOS, SAND-CLAT WIRTURES.
	SILTS AND CLAYS ILIQUIO LIWIT LESS THAN SOJ SILTS AND CLAYS ILIQUID LIWIT MORE THAN SOJ			ML	INGRELING STUPS AND YEAT FINE SANDS, AD FLOUR, SAFT OR CLAYET FINE SANDS OR CLAYET SAFE WITH SLIGHT PLASTICITY.
FINE				CL	INGREAMS CLATS OF LOW TO MEDIUM PLASTICITT, ERAYTLLY CLATS, SANDT CLATS, SILTY CLATS, LEAN CLATS.
GRAINED • SOILS				OL	CARANIC SILTS AND CARANIC SATT CLATS
WORE THAN 50% OF MATERIAL IS SWALLER THAN 200 SIEVE SIZE				MPH	INGRANTS SILTS, NEACEOUS OF OUTOMACEOUS FINE SUNDY ON SELTY SOLS, ELASTIC SALTS.
				СН	INORGANIC CLAYS OF HIGH PLASTICITY, FLT CL
				он	OBGANIC CLAY'S OF MEDIUM TO MIGM PLASTICITY, ORGANIC SILTS.
HIGHL	ORGANIC	SOILS	11111	PI	PEAR AND OTHER MIGHLY OPSAMIC SOILS
BOJHJAAT ÉLASSIPI	CATIONS. SOLS AO CONSIGNT	155553946 6428261 1045 OF 88048 571	12.4-51 V\$2.5	cs ar	THO BROUPS ARE DESIGNATED BT
NorCal	Engin	eering		UN	IFIED SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYST

XIL

.



	-	8	2333	SAMPL	660	THE SUMMARY APPLIES CRUY AT THE LOCATION OF THIS SCAIND AND AT THE TILE OF DRILLING SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS WAY DIFFER AT OTHER COCATIONS AND HAT GHANGE AT THIS LOCATION WITH THE FASEAGE OF TIME. THE DATA PRESENTED IS A SUMPLIFICATION OF ACTUAL CONDITIONS SUPCONTRESS.	ELEVANON
	13.9	122.4	4	R	0	Fill - Slightly clayey SAND, reddish brown, moist, loose Native - Slightly clayey SAND, reddish brown, moist, dense Clayey SILT grey/brown, moist, stiff - ir prease in sand content with depth	·
SANPL SANPL	E TYPES Rock Correstandard	e I Split ple	spoon		35 35 0 Bu	DATE DRILLED: 7-13-98 t Sample EQUIVMENT USED: Hand Auger Sample GADUMDNATER LEVEL: None encountered	

July 16, 1998

ŀ,

3

~

١

1

3

1.1

1

10

.

4

Project Number 7533-98

Q

4

APPENDIX B

2.2
Project Number 7533-98

de la comparte da la

TABLE I MAXIMUM DENSITY TESTS (ASTM: D-1657-78)

.....

60

July 16, 1998

Sample	Classification	Optimum <u>Moisture</u>	Maximum Dry Density (lbs./cu.ft.)
B1 @ 0-2'	slightly clayey SAND	9.0	128.0
B2 @ 2.5-3	clayey SILT	15.5	116.5

TABLE II EXPANSION INDEX TESTS (U.B.C. STD. 29-2)

<u>Sample</u>	Classification	Expansion Index
81 @ 0-2'	slightly clayey SAND	05
B2 @ 2.5-3	clayey SILT	74

NorCal Engineering



1 Contraction



The state of the s

V

1

'01

Survey and

BACA ASSOCIATES, INC.



GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS Soils - Foundations - Geology

1141 N. LEMON STREET, ANAHEIM, CALIFORNIA 92801 (714) 778-0702

August 19, 1989

Project: A-0675-F

DeMille and Healy Development 20301 Acacia Street Suite 240 Santa Ana Heights, CA. 92707

Attention: Mr. Dan DeMille

Gentlemen:

Submitted herewith is the report of a geotechnical soils and foundation investigation conducted by this office for the proposed Acacia Plaza III office building project located on Acacia Street in the Orange County district of Santa Ana Heights, California.

The project site is currently occupied by several one-story residence and garage buildings, as well as other associated features such as paved drives, fences and yard walls, walkways, various moderate to large size trees, etc. It is possible that subterranean waste disposal structures (septic tanks, cesspools, etc.) may also occur within the property. Partial excavation and recompaction is recommended to provide for a firm, uniform, subgrade support condition under the building, particularly in view of the considerable amount of site demolition clearing and associated disturbance to be performed. Conventional shallow footings will provide adequate foundation support for proposed buildings and other structures.

The investigation was made in accordance with generally accepted engineering procedures and included such field and laboratory tests considered necessary in the circumstances. In the opinion of the undersigned, the accompanying report has been substantiated by mathematical data in conformity with generally accepted engineering principles and presents fairly the design information requested by your organization.

Respectfully submitted,

BACA ASSOCIATES

Albert Baca, RCE #28927, GE #106



AB/se

Distribution: (5) DeMille and Healy Development Nuttall-Uchizono Associates (1)

INTRODUCTION

The primary objectives of this study were to explore subsurface conditions beneath the project site and evaluate the existing earth materials relative to foundation support, lateral pressure design considerations, floor slab support and A.C. pavement design. Also presented in this report are general observations, data and recommendations relating to site preparation, grading and earthwork compaction, as well as soil concrete corrosion potential.

-1-

The general scope of work directed at meeting the study objectives included the following:

- Review of current tentative project plans, as well as periodic discussion of various project development features and considerations with the client.
- (2) Subsurface exploration by means of four test borings with a flight-auger drill rig.
- (3) Laboratory testing to establish earth material characteristics.
- (4) Geotechnical evaluation and analysis of field and laboratory test data.
- (5) Preparation of report.

This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of the client and their consultants in the design of the proposed Acacia Plaza III office building development.

SITE CONDITIONS

The rectangular shaped, approximate one acre property is located on the northwest side of Acacia Street about 500 feet southwest of its intersection with Orchard Drive in the unincorporated Orange County community of Santa Ana Heights, California. The Newport Beach Golf Course borders the rear property line on the northwest. The adjacent property to the northeast is occupied by an existing office building complex (Acacia Plaza II), while lots to the southwest are occupied by older residences. The approximate site location with respect to surrounding streets and highways, the general topographical setting of the area, and other landmarks is shown on Plate A, Vicinity Map.

Topographically, the subject property and adjacent lots to the northeast and southwest are essentially level, with a slight southeast to northwest drainage gradient towards the somewhat lower golf course area. The total on-site topographical relief is estimated to be on the order of 4 to 5 feet.

The project site is occupied by several small one-story residence and garage structures. Other typical appurtenances include paved driveways, concrete walkways, yard fences and walls, lawns, other landscaped areas and numerous moderate to large size trees. It is understood that now abandoned on-site waste disposal systems

(septic tanks, cesspools, etc.) may possibly occur within the property, probably in close proximity to the existing residences.

PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

It is understood that the existing buildings and all other appurtenant features will be demolished and/or removed in the course of preparing the site for new construction.

The primary element of the proposed development will consist of a two-story office building. The approximate tentative building location is shown on Plate B, Plot Plan. It is understood that the planned building structure will probably be of typical woodframe construction with lower level concrete floor slabs on grade.

Based on past experience with similar types of construction, it is estimated that structural foundation loads will be on the order of 1500 to 2500 pounds per lineal foot along continuous bearing walls, and/or 30 to 50 kips at isolated column supports.

The major portion of remaining non-building site areas will be employed as A.C. paved driveways and parking stalls with some local perimeter and interior planters.

It is anticipated that grading required to prepare the site for construction will involve relatively modest grade changes, possibly on the order of 3 to 4 foot maximum depth cuts and/or fills (exclusive of subterranean excavation if any).

3

The field investigation consisted of subsurface exploration by means of four (4) test borings made with a hollow-stem, continuous flight auger drill rig. Exploration depths ranged between 15 to 25 feet. Approximate test boring locations are shown on Plate B.

A continuous record of the earth materials encountered during exploratory drilling was made by the field engineer and is presented on Plates C and F, "Logs of Borings". It should be noted that the lines designating the interfaces between various strata on the boring logs represent approximate boundaries only since the actual transition between materials may be somewhat gradual.

"Undisturbed" samples were secured at selected depth intervals for laboratory examination and testing. Sampling was accomplished with a 2.5 inch I. D. steel barrel lined with a series of one-inch long thin brass rings. The sample barrel was driven approximately 12 inches with a 140-pound weight dropped 30 inches. Recorded blow counts for 12 inches of sampler penetration are tabulated in the "Blows per Foot" column of the boring logs. Disturbed bulk samples of the various predominant materials observed were also obtained.

It should be noted that the hollow-stem drilling equipment employed uses continuous flight auger sections resulting in full temporary casing of the test boring, thereby not allowing caving to occur. It is probable that an open boring would have

experienced only slight to occasional local moderate caving, however.

SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

3

In addition to the existing building slabs, immediate surface conditions include various walkway and drive concrete pavements, lawn grass covers, other landscaping, and local areas of exposed earth. It is possible that the upper, variable 1 to 3 foot zone may consist of fill and/or processed native materials associated with the original development of the site.

Natural deposits beneath the project site are mapped as Pleistocene age marine terrace deposits reportedly consisting of essentially flat lying, interbedded silty/clayey sands and silty/sandy clays. These upper terrace deposits have been estimated to be in excess of 100 feet thick in the site vicinity, followed by the Niguel (Pliocene age) and older Tertiary sedimentary formations (bedrock) which extend down to the granitic basement complex at a depth estimated to be about 15,000 feet.

The soil profile as observed within the 25-foot maximum depth explored generally consisted of fine to medium grained sands with a variable moderate to very slight clay content. A silty clay deposit encountered in borings 1 and 2 at a depth of about 7 feet and 12 feet, respectively, apparently feathers out to the southeast, or at least dips below the bottom of boring 3 and 4. Also, the sand texture coarseness and clay/silt content appear to increase and decrease, respectively, toward the southeast.

)

As reflected by the common moderate to high sampler blow counts recorded during exploratory drilling operations (see Plates C to F, the site deposits exhibit a firm to very firm in-situ consistency, generally improving gradually with depth.

No groundwater or local perched seepage zones were observed within the 25-foot maximum depth explored.

More detailed descriptions of the soil profile as observed in the test borings are presented on Plates C to F.

LABORATORY TESTING

In-situ density and/or moisture content values were determined for all the undisturbed samples obtained during exploratory drilling operations. Test results are plotted and tabulated on Plates C to F, Logs of Borings.

Mechanical analyses by the hydrometer test method were performed on selected samples to confirm field classifications. Test results were as follows:

	Boring <u>No.</u>	Depth (feet)	Percent <u>Sand</u>	Percent 	Percent <u>Clay</u>
	2	2.0	60	25	15
	2	5.5	72	10	18
	2	9.5 14 5	/5 27	12	13
	2	19.5	15	40	45
5	4	2.5	65	18	17

*Bulk Sample

7

An Expansion Index test was performed on a bulk sample selected as being generally representative of the existing near surface clayey sand soils (boring #4 @ 2.5 feet). Test results were as follows:

- (1) Moisture @ Compaction = 7.7 percent
- (2) Dry Unit Weight = 119.0 pcf
- (3) Expansion Index = 24 (Low expansive per UBC Table 29)

Direct shear testing was concentrated on various "undisturbed" samples representative of the common clayey to slight clayey sands within the upper 5 to 10 foot zone. Testing was performed under various normal loads in the saturated-drained condition. Individual plotted test results, as well as the estimated average friction angle and cohesion values are presented on Plate G.

Consolidation (load-deformation) tests were also performed on various typical undisturbed soil samples. Plotted test results are presented on Plates H, I, J. and K.

A chemical analysis was performed on a bulk sample specimen obtained in boring #4 at a depth of 2.5 feet. Test results indicated a soluble sulfate content of 169 ppm (Test Method No. Calif. 417A). Based on this test result, the site soils are characterized by a very low concrete corrosion potential and the use of special sulfate resistant cement is not considered necessary.

1

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the findings of this investigation. it is concluded that development of the site as planned is feasible relative to soils foundation conditions.

Conventional shallow spread footings should provide adequate foundation support for proposed building structure. In view of the considerable amount of demolition, site clearing and probable attendant surficial disturbance anticipated to prepare the site for grading and construction, as well as possible local nonuniformities of the upper clayey sand soils, partial excavation and recompaction is recommended for the building area in order to secure a known uniform subgrade support condition.

Following are more specific observations, conclusions, and design recommendations.

A. Site Preparation Earthwork:

Prior to grading, the site should be cleared of existing buildings, pavement, slabs and other structures, as well as any significant vegetation, debris, demolition rubble, etc. Tree roots should be removed to a depth of at least 3.0 feet below existing or finished grades, whichever is lower. Any buried

debris, rubble or other contaminated material exposed during subsequent earthwork operations should also be removed. Excavations made for removal of any existing foundations, utility lines, septic tanks, other subterranean structures, tree roots, etc., should be cleared of loose material and backfilled with clean compacted soil.

Existing cesspools, if any, should be broken off at a depth of at least 5.0 feet, cleared of any significant bottom sludge, mud, debris, etc., filled with clean pea gravel and covered over with clean compacted fill. Removal of bottom debris and/or sludge can usually be accomplished fairly readily by "drilling out" with a large diameter auger.

In order to improve the general consistency and uniformity of the upper subgrade soils, expose and correct any possible existing loose and/or contaminated local backfills, shallow buried structures, etc., and recompact any demolition disturbance, it is recommended that the building area be processed in the following manner to a distance of at least 5 feet outside the exterior building wall perimeter:

- Remove the existing surface soils to a depth of at least 2.5 feet below the existing or final ground surface, whichever is lower, and stockpile for subsequent recompaction.
- (2) The exposed over-cut surface should then be scarified to an additional depth of at least 6 inches, watered or aerated as required, thoroughly mixed to a uniform near optimum moisture condition, and recompacted to at least 90 percent of the ASTM D-1557-78 laboratory test standard.

- (3) Backfill with the stockpiled excavation material and/or other approved native or import soils. All backfill should be spread, watered, mixed, and compacted by mechanical means in approximate 6-inch thick lifts. The degree of compaction obtained should be at least 90 percent of the ASTM D-1557-78 laboratory test standard.
- (4) Continue filling as required to secure final building pad subgrade elevations.

Prior to placing new fill in other non-building areas, the exposed cleared surface should be plowed, scarified, or otherwise processed to a depth of at least 8 inches, watered and/or aerated as required, thoroughly mixed to a uniform, near optimum moisture condition, and recompacted to at least 90 percent of the ASTM D-1557-78 test standard. The final exposed surface within cut or "at grade" A.C. or concrete paved areas should also be processed in this manner.

All new fill should be spread, watered, mixed and compacted by mechanical means in approximate 6-inch thick lifts to at least 90 percent of the aforementioned standard.

Backfill placed in narrow, restricted areas, such as along utility trenches, may be placed in 12- to 24-inch thick lifts. Backfill consolidation by flooding or jetting should not be allowed. All backfill should be mechanically compacted to at least 90 percent of the aforementioned test standard.

Completed building, exterior concrete and A.C. pavement subgrades should be trimmed and rolled to a firm smooth surface. Final

watering and rolling should be performed immediately prior to placing concrete or paving.

Imported fill material should consist of clean, granular soils free from vegetation, debris, or rocks larger than 3 inches. The Expansion Index Value should not exceed a maximum of 20.

All earthwork operations should be subject to compaction control inspection and testing by the Soils Engineer. The Soils Engineer should be notified at least two days in advance of the start of grading. A joint meting between a representative of the client, the contractor and the Soils Engineer is recommended prior to grading to discuss specific procedures and scheduling.

B. Foundation Support:

Assuming compliance with site preparation and earthwork compaction recommendations, the proposed building structure may be supported on conventional shallow spread footings bearing on the new compacted backfill-fill zone. A maximum allowable bearing value of 2000 psf may be used for design purposes. The recommended design bearing value is for dead plus live loads and may be increased one-third for combined dead, live and seismic forces.

Footings should be at least 12 inches in width and should extend to a depth of at least 18 inches below the lowest adjacent finished subgrade or interior floor slab surface. It is also recommended that nominal steel reinforcement at least equivalent to one #5 bar both top and bottom be employed in all continuous bearing walls.

Bearing value analysis by the "Terzaghi" method and using shear strength values shown on Plate G indicates a safety factor of at least 4.4 for the recommended recommended 2000 psf design value. Also, the actual safety factor will probably be somewhat greater due to the recommended excavation and recompaction.

Estimated average settlements in inches for footings under the full recommended loading condition are as follows:

Footing	Continuous	Square
Size (feet)	Footing	Footing
1.0 2.0 3.5 5.0	0.25 0.40 0.55	0.25 0.35 0.45

Concrete Slabs:

Based on field observations and laboratory test results, the upper clayey sand subgrade soils are generally classified as "low" or slightly expansive. Although not considered critical, it is recommended that nominal reinforcement such as "6x6-10/10" welced wire mesh be employed for all concrete floor slabs on grade (properly placed at near midpoint of the slab section). Also, the exposed finished subgrade surface should be maintained or restored to a moist, near optimum condition prior to placing concrete.

It is recommended that a moisture barrier be provided under office floors, carpeted areas, or other concrete slabs where slab moisture would be detrimental. A 6-mil vinyl plastic membrane with 6 inch sealed laps and 1 to 2 inches of protective clean sand bedding and cover should be adequate.

It is recommended that concrete slabs which are placed directly over a native soil subgrade and are subject to vehicle traffic loads be at least 5.0 inches in thickness. It is also suggested that nominal reinforcement such as "6x6-10/10" welded wire mesh be employed in new exterior concrete slabs and paving.

D. Lateral Pressure:

An allowable lateral bearing value against the sides of footings of 300 pounds per square foot per foot of depth to a maximum of 4500 pounds per square foot may be used provided there is positive contact between the vertical bearing surface and the adjacent soil.

Friction between the base of footings and/or floor slabs and the underlying soil may be assumed as 40 percent of the dead load. Friction and lateral pressure may be combined provided the assumed lateral bearing resistance does not exceed two-thirds of the allowable.

Recommended active lateral soil pressure values for design of drained retaining walls and/or depressed ramp walls are as follows:

*Slope of <u>Retained Earth</u>	8 12			E	quiv Pres	alent ssure	Fluid (pcf)
	e.			100 - 100 -		20	
Level		5) 20	2 B	10	200 E	- 30	1 " * " " » * *
2:1		:	5		•	45	100 B
1-1/2:1	6		30 10	Ξ.		55	

*Slope inclination in a direction perpendicular to the wall face.

A pipe and gravel drain (4-inch perforated PVC schedule 40 embedded in at least 3 cubic feet of filter gravel per lineal foot of pipe) should be provided on the retained earth side and near the base of all retaining walls. Water intercepted near the base of the wall by the perforated PVC pipe should be directed to appropriate outlets. Typical weep-holes leading out of the gravel drain (no pipe) would be satisfactory for walls which face into open patio or yard areas.

-14

All backfill placed behind retaining walls should be spread, watered or aerated as required, thoroughly mixed to a uniform near optimum moisture condition and compacted by mechanical means to at least 90 percent of the ASTM D-1557-78 laboratory test standard.

E. A.C. Paving:

In view of the unknown grading changes to be made in the course of developing the site, it is somewhat difficult to anticipate actual final subgrade soil mixtures and stabilometer test were, therefore, not performed. In any case, however, it is estimated that an "R" value of 30 would reasonably represent the near surface site soils. Based on this parameter, recommended pavement sections for probable traffic conditions and estimated Traffic Index design values are as follows:

Traf	fic Conditions	Assumed	A.C. (inches)	Rock Base _(inches)	
(1)	Driveways	5.0	3.0	6.0	1
(2)	Parking Stalls	4.0	2.5	4.0	

It is recommended that the top 6 inches of the finished soil subgrade be watered, rolled and compacted at near the optimum moisture content to at least 90 percent of the ASTM D-1557-78 laboratory compaction standard. Final subgrade watering, rolling and compaction should be accomplished immediately prior to paving. The completed subgrade should be trimmed and rolled to a firm, smooth surface.

Base course material should be at least equivalent to "crushed miscellaneous base" as defined by the Standard Specifications for Public Works Construction, 1985 Edition (section 200-2.4, page $\ddagger84$). All rock base should be compacted to at least 95 percent of the ASTM D-1557-78 laboratory test standard.

REMARKS

ר

Conclusions and recommendations presented in this report represent our best engineering judgement only based on the available preliminary design information and the data developed during the course of our study. No other warranty or responsibility is expressed or implied.

Soil conditions have been interpreted from existing surface exposures and the materials encountered in the test borings. These conditions may not necessarily represent other areas between or beyond the test borings.

All shoring and bracing should be in accordance with current requirements to CAL-OSHA, and the Industrial Accident Commission

of the State of California, and all other public agencies having jurisdiction.

A reasonable effort was made to restore drill hole sites to their original condition. This included backfilling and tamping of the test borings and general surface cleanup. It should be noted that as with any backfill, residual consolidation and surface subsidence resulting in a possible hazardous condition could occur at the test borings. The client is cautioned to periodially examine the test boring sites, and, if necessary, backfill any resulting depressions.

This office will be further available for consultation or to make a final review of project plans and specifications to assist in assuring correct interpretation of this report's recommendations for use in applicable sections.

This report may be subject to review by the controlling public agencies for the project.





DR	IVI	NG WEIGHT 140 lbs 3	10" dro	p p	SUI	RFACE	EL	EV	ATI	ON		-90.				
n Feet	er tool	SOILS COLOS	CONSIS	ORY B. P.	UNIT		SH. PR	EAR	RE URE	5151 - K	IPS	CE PE	@	ANT	TCIF	F
Sam -	Iows p	CLASSIFICATION *	NE	. ACY	"QUELO	h _T	MO	ISTL	IRE	CO	NTE	NT	. %	DR	/ w	3
	P	(Indikiscape area)			\rightarrow		$\frac{1}{1}$			π	20 TT	П	30 	Π		Т
		variable clayey to sl. clayey, scat.	DLOWII	noist	comp.		$\left \right \right $	╫		╉	╂┼				╂	╁
	34	gravels				109	Ħ	\dagger		-11	tt				\dagger	t
		R.					Ħ	$\dagger \dagger$		╂╋	ff	Ħ			Ħ	t
{				damp	0		┢╫┦	†		╂	\dagger				††	t
5 -	22	5 5 1		to drv		104	┢╏	$^{++}$	H	╂	\ddagger	H	++		₩	$\frac{1}{1}$
{	T		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			104	H	╈	╎┤┤	╫	╂╂	H	++		₩	$\frac{1}{1}$
		CLAY. verv silty.	gray	verv	firm		\mathbb{H}	╬	₩	╢	╂╋	\mathbb{H}	╉╃		╂	$\frac{1}{1}$
		numerous veins and	and	moist			H	╂	$\left \right $	╢	╀┼	\mathbb{H}	++		╢	ł
1 {		sand and silty sand	crown				Ш	#			₩	Ш	++-		#	ł
10-	128					85	ΗĮ	+	Щ	╢	₽		#		╀	ļ
							Ш	$\downarrow\downarrow$	Ш	Щ	Ц		Ш		Щ	ļ
					0		Ш	\parallel		4	Ц	Щ			Щ	1
	ļ						Ш									
							Ш		\square		\square					
	29					93				<u> .</u>						
		End @ 15.0 ft.	a a	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	5 g						Π				IT	T
		Notaa			i ann "u l	al a s La sa a	Π	Π	Π	Π	Π		Π		T	T
		(1) No ground water		Let 2			Ħ			\parallel	T		Π		\ddagger	t
							Ħ	ŤŤ		\parallel	\mathbf{H}	ÌÌÌ			Ħ	t
					910 2 1 2		H	\dagger	Ħ	\dagger	Ħ	Ħ	Ħi		\dagger	t
20					* a	1 1 1	Ħ	\ddagger	H	\ddagger	††	Ħİ			\ddagger	t
						نې د د دگړې	H	$^{++}$		╁╂	$^{\dagger \dagger}$				\ddagger	╞
1	1					9 B 2	┟┼┤	╫	$\left + \right $	╂	╂╂	$\left \right $	╂╂┤		╫	$\frac{1}{1}$
					. И с		┝┼┤	┼┼		╢	╂╋	$\left \right $	++		╬	ł
{							Ύ+	╢	$\left \right $	╢	₽	$\left \cdot \right $	++		₩	╀
25	L.		e *	<u> </u>		l	Ш	11	Ш	Ш	11		Ш		Ш	1
		Acacia Pla	aza III	 [P	RO.	IEC	T N	10.	- 0	675	
		Santa Ana Heigh	nts, Ca	liform	ia				P	LA'	ΓE		+	682 (34)	С	
			BACA	A	SSOC	IATE	S						_			

DI	R 1	VI	NG WEIGHT 140 1bs 3	0" dro	p	SU	RFACE	E	.E1	/A1	10	N			سین تسری	 				
Feet	Dies	ir toot	SOILS Cor 40'S	CONSIS	LORY LORY	UNIX		St	IEA	R F SUI	RE-	KIP	NC S	E (Per	20 5 1	AN1 QUJ	INE	PA		
epth In	Sam	owe pe	CLASSIFICATION 00	URE	ENCY	CI.F.	SHT.	M	DIST		EC	2 :0N	TEN	T -	3 <u>%</u>	DR	4 Y V 	IE!		
0	Ч	æ.		$ \rightarrow $				╀	LT.		—	20		3	° ГГ	ГГ	40	T		
			SAND, fine to medium, variable clayey to	brown	dry	100se		H	╟	╢		+	╢			╟		╉		
		36	si. Clayey, scat. gravels		moist	comp.	118	H	┝┼	╂╂╴		┼┼	╂╂			╞╂╴		╉		
	1		v fe	brown				Ħ					Ħ	Ħ						
5				× 0 .	1 200, 1			I									\square	T		
		34					110	\parallel		•			<u> </u>	Ц		4		1		
			fino to modium					H			_		╢		+			1		
8			silty to slight			u.p.		╟	$\left \right $	╟	╟		╢		$\left + \right $	╟╋	$\left \right $	$\frac{1}{1}$		
		88	anty				118	╟		╂╋			╫	\mathbb{H}						
0-				399 - 14 15-11 - 14				Ħ					İİ	\square	T		Ħ	Ť		
								Π	\prod	Π			\prod			\square	\prod	I		
			CLAY, silty, numerous veins and lenses	gray with	gray with	very moist	firm		H	\prod	-				Ц	Ц	ļļ.		1	
	l	55	of sand and silty sand	red brown		stiff		╟	╟			┤┨	╢	$\left \right $			\mathbb{H}	$\frac{1}{1}$		
5-	Π			stains			97	┢	╟	╟				\mathbf{H}			$\left \right $	t		
										Ħ					Ħ		T			Ť
										Í	Π				Π	Ш	T		\square	T
	U	25					107	ļļ		 .		11				\parallel	Ш			
20	Ħ	23					102	╀	₽				╢			H	Щ	+		
2			End @ 20.0 ft.					╟	╟	╢			╢	H		╟	$\left \right $	+		
	1		Notes: (1) No ground water	ns ^{e n 5}				Ħ	$\left \right $	╂╋		╢	Ħ	$\frac{11}{11}$		$\left \right $	$\left \right $	+		
5 5	1			3				Ħ		Ħ			\dagger			݆		t		
25			* 8 ₆	a.				Π	Π			Π	II			\Box	Ш	I		
			Acadia Blaz			· · · · · ·					PR	OJE	ст	N	<u>,</u>	A-C	167	5-1		
			Santa Ana Heights	, Cali	fornia						PL	ATE			\dagger]	>		
8		1		BACA	A	SSOC		ĒS				<u> </u>			_1_		- 14-	-		

)



DRI	VING WEIGHT 140 lbs 3	30" dre	op	SUI	HOLLC	W-S	EVA	Flig	ht A	uge	<u> </u>		_	
Feet plas	SOILS COLOSA	CONSIS	ORY I.B. P.	UNIT		SHI	EAR	RESIS	TAN	CE (PER	a A 50	NTIC	IPAT FC	20
Depth Ir Sam	CLASSIFICATION	RE	CNCY	* 01. F. T.	SH7	MO		RE CO		NT-	3 %0	RY 1	NEIG	31
5-	SAND, fine to medium, silty, variable clayey to sl. clayey, scat. gravels medium to coarse, variable sl. clay binder to clean, heavy gravels very fine to fine, sl. silty, with minor silt veins	brown red brown pale gray brown	dry sl. moist sl. moist	loose mod. comp.	109 115 111 101									
20-	End @ 15.0 ft. Notes: (1) No ground water													
	Acacia Plaza III Santa Ana Heights, California BACA ASSOCIATES													

)

•

. 1











APPENDIX D

SEISMIC DESIGN PARAMETERS



 C_{V}

1.362

OSHPD

Wavegarden Cove NB

Latitude, Longitude: 33.6587, -117.8826



Vertical coefficient

DISCLAIMER

While the information presented on this website is believed to be correct, <u>SEAOC</u> /<u>OSHPD</u> and its sponsors and contributors assume no responsibility or liability for its accuracy. The material presented in this web application should not be used or relied upon for any specific application without competent examination and verification of its accuracy, suitability and applicability by engineers or other licensed professionals. SEAOC / OSHPD do not intend that the use of this information replace the sound judgment of such competent professionals, having experience and knowledge in the field of practice, nor to substitute for the standard of care required of such professionals in interpreting and applying the results of the seismic data provided by this website. Users of the information from this website assume all liability arising from such use. Use of the output of this website does not imply approval by the governing building code bodies responsible for building code approval and interpretation for the building site described by latitude/longitude location in the search results of this website.

U.S. Geological Survey - Earthquake Hazards Program

Unified Hazard Tool

Please do not use this tool to obtain ground motion parameter values for the design code reference documents covered by the <u>U.S. Seismic Design Maps web tools</u> (e.g., the International Building Code and the ASCE 7 or 41 Standard). The values returned by the two applications are not identical.

Please also see the new <u>USGS Earthquake Hazard Toolbox</u> for access to the most recent NSHMs for the conterminous U.S. and Hawaii.

Input	
Edition Dynamic: Conterminous U.S. 2014 (u	Spectral Period Peak Ground Acceleration
Latitude Decimal degrees	Time Horizon Return period in years
33.6587	2475
Longitude Decimal degrees, negative values for western longitudes -117.8826	
Site Class	
259 m/s (Site class D)	




Summary statistics for, Deaggregation: Total

Deaggregation targets	Recovered targets					
Return period: 2475 yrs Exceedance rate: 0.0004040404 yr ⁻¹ PGA ground motion: 0.65084062 g	Return period: 2949.8301 yrs Exceedance rate: 0.00033900258 yr ⁻¹					
Totals	Mean (over all sources)					
Binned: 100 %	m: 6.7 r: 10.93 km					
Residual: 0 %						
Trace: 0.1 %	ε ο: 1.24 σ					
Mode (largest m-r bin)	Mode (largest m-r- ϵ_0 bin)					
m: 7.69	m: 6.89					
r: 5.67 km	r: 3.35 km ε₀: 0.29 σ					
ε.: 0.44 σ						
Contribution: 10.71 %	Contribution: 6.15 %					
Discretization	Epsilon keys					
r: min = 0.0, max = 1000.0, Δ = 20.0 km	ε0: [-∞2.5)					
m: min = 4.4, max = 9.4, Δ = 0.2	ε1: [-2.52.0)					
ε: min = -3.0, max = 3.0, Δ = 0.5 σ	ε2: [-2.01.5)					
	ε3: [-1.51.0)					
	ε4: [-1.00.5)					
	ε5: [-0.50.0)					
	ε6: [0.00.5]					
	ε7: [0.5 1.0]					
	ɛɛ : [1.0 1.5]					
	E9: [1.5., 2.0]					
	ELU: [2.02.5)					
	[2.3 + ^w]					

Deaggregation Contributors

Source Set ၊ Source	Туре	r	m	ε ₀	lon	lat	az	%
UC33brAvg_FM32	System							32.30
San Joaquin Hills [0]		3.35	7.13	0.34	117.885°W	33.671°N	351.83	12.81
Newport-Inglewood alt 2 [0]		6.89	7.48	0.77	117.937°W	33.619°N	228.64	6.48
Compton [0]		16.72	7.35	1.27	118.043°W	33.702°N	288.18	2.70
Palos Verdes [6]		25.33	7.46	1.98	118.119°W	33.544°N	239.84	1.87
Newport-Inglewood (Offshore) [0]		8.15	6.55	1.37	117.915°W	33.591°N	201.47	1.83
San Joaquin Hills [1]		4.61	6.93	0.52	117.845°W	33.669°N	72.20	1.21
UC33brAvg_FM31	System							27.76
San Joaquin Hills [0]		3.35	7.52	0.29	117.885°W	33.671°N	351.83	8.25
Newport-Inglewood alt 1 [0]		7.02	7.45	0.77	117.940°W	33.619°N	230.44	7.15
Compton [0]		16.72	7.28	1.31	118.043°W	33.702°N	288.18	2.55
Newport-Inglewood (Offshore) [0]		8.15	6.46	1.41	117.915°W	33.591°N	201.47	2.02
Palos Verdes [6]		25.33	7.29	2.08	118.119°W	33.544°N	239.84	1.74
Whittier alt 1 [2]		28.76	7.61	1.98	117.731°W	33.884°N	29.17	1.08
UC33brAvg_FM31 (opt)	Grid							20.02
PointSourceFinite: -117.883, 33.699		6.78	5.66	1.34	117.883°W	33.699°N	0.00	3.30
PointSourceFinite: -117.883, 33.699		6.78	5.66	1.34	117.883°W	33.699°N	0.00	3.30
PointSourceFinite: -117.883, 33.717		8.09	5.68	1.53	117.883°W	33.717°N	0.00	2.09
PointSourceFinite: -117.883, 33.717		8.09	5.68	1.53	117.883°W	33.717°N	0.00	2.09
PointSourceFinite: -117.883, 33.735		8.95	5.94	1.54	117.883°W	33.735°N	0.00	1.55
PointSourceFinite: -117.883, 33.735		8.95	5.94	1.54	117.883°W	33.735°N	0.00	1.55
UC33brAvg_FM32 (opt)	Grid							19.93
PointSourceFinite: -117.883, 33.699		6.80	5.64	1.35	117.883°W	33.699°N	0.00	3.18
PointSourceFinite: -117.883, 33.699		6.80	5.64	1.35	117.883°W	33.699°N	0.00	3.18
PointSourceFinite: -117.883, 33.717		8.09	5.68	1.54	117.883°W	33.717°N	0.00	2.18
PointSourceFinite: -117.883, 33.717		8.09	5.68	1.54	117.883°W	33.717°N	0.00	2.18
PointSourceFinite: -117.883, 33.735		8.97	5.93	1.55	117.883°W	33.735°N	0.00	1.5
PointSourceFinite: 117 883 33 735		<u> </u>	F 02	1 55	117 002%///	22 72E°N	0.00	1 50

APPENDIX E

LIQUEFACTION ANALYSIS



Carl Kim Geotechnical, Inc. 945 Baileyana Road Hillsborough, CA 94010 carlkimgeo@gmail.com

Project title : Carl Kim Geotechnical

Location : 3100 Irvine Ave, Newport Beach, CA



Overall Liquefaction Potential Index report



Carl Kim Geotechnical, Inc. 945 Baileyana Road Hillsborough, CA 94010 carlkimgeo@gmail.com

Project title : Carl Kim Geotechnical

Location : 3100 Irvine Ave, Newport Beach, CA



Overall Liquefaction Severity Number report



Carl Kim Geotechnical, Inc. 945 Baileyana Road Hillsborough, CA 94010 carlkimgeo@gmail.com

Project title : Carl Kim Geotechnical

Location : 3100 Irvine Ave, Newport Beach, CA



Overall vertical settlements report





CLiq v.3.5.2.22 - CPT Liquefaction Assessment Software - Report created on: 6/23/2024, 4:26:38 PM Project file: C:\Users\carlk\OneDrive\Documents\CK BUSINESS\projects\Steve Coyne Wavegarden\analysis\wavegarden cove.clq



CLiq v.3.5.2.22 - CPT Liquefaction Assessment Software - Report created on: 6/23/2024, 4:26:39 PM Project file: C:\Users\carlk\OneDrive\Documents\CK BUSINESS\projects\Steve Coyne Wavegarden\analysis\wavegarden cove.clq



CLiq v.3.5.2.22 - CPT Liquefaction Assessment Software - Report created on: 6/23/2024, 4:26:39 PM Project file: C:\Users\carlk\OneDrive\Documents\CK BUSINESS\projects\Steve Coyne Wavegarden\analysis\wavegarden cove.clg 40·

48·



Liquefaction analysis overall plots

CLiq v.3.5.2.22 - CPT Liquefaction Assessment Software - Report created on: 6/23/2024, 4:26:40 PM Project file: C:\Users\carlk\OneDrive\Documents\CK BUSINESS\projects\Steve Coyne Wavegarden\analysis\wavegarden cove.clg



CLiq v.3.5.2.22 - CPT Liquefaction Assessment Software - Report created on: 6/23/2024, 4:26:40 PM Project file: C:\Users\carlk\OneDrive\Documents\CK BUSINESS\projects\Steve Coyne Wavegarden\analysis\wavegarden cove.clg



CLiq v.3.5.2.22 - CPT Liquefaction Assessment Software - Report created on: 6/23/2024, 4:26:41 PM Project file: C:\Users\carlk\OneDrive\Documents\CK BUSINESS\projects\Steve Coyne Wavegarden\analysis\wavegarden cove.clq



CLiq v.3.5.2.22 - CPT Liquefaction Assessment Software - Report created on: 6/23/2024, 4:26:41 PM Project file: C:\Users\carlk\OneDrive\Documents\CK BUSINESS\projects\Steve Coyne Wavegarden\analysis\wavegarden cove.clq



CLiq v.3.5.2.22 - CPT Liquefaction Assessment Software - Report created on: 6/23/2024, 4:26:41 PM Project file: C:\Users\carlk\OneDrive\Documents\CK BUSINESS\projects\Steve Coyne Wavegarden\analysis\wavegarden cove.clq



CLiq v.3.5.2.22 - CPT Liquefaction Assessment Software - Report created on: 6/23/2024, 4:26:42 PM Project file: C:\Users\carlk\OneDrive\Documents\CK BUSINESS\projects\Steve Coyne Wavegarden\analysis\wavegarden cove.clq



CLiq v.3.5.2.22 - CPT Liquefaction Assessment Software - Report created on: 6/23/2024, 4:26:42 PM Project file: C:\Users\carlk\OneDrive\Documents\CK BUSINESS\projects\Steve Coyne Wavegarden\analysis\wavegarden cove.clq



CLiq v.3.5.2.22 - CPT Liquefaction Assessment Software - Report created on: 6/23/2024, 4:26:43 PM Project file: C:\Users\carlk\OneDrive\Documents\CK BUSINESS\projects\Steve Coyne Wavegarden\analysis\wavegarden cove.clq APPENDIX F

EARTHWORK AND GRADING GUIDE SPECIFICATIONS

APPENDIX F

CARL KIM GEOTECHNICAL, INC. EARTHWORK AND GRADING GUIDE SPECIFICATIONS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section	Appendix F Page	<u>ə</u>
F-1.0 GE	ENERAL	1
F-1.1 F-1.2 F-1.3	Intent Role of Carl Kim Geotechnical, Inc The Earthwork Contractor	1 1 1
F-2.0 PF	REPARATION OF AREAS TO BE FILLED	3
F-2.1 F-2.2 F-2.3 F-2.4 F-2.5	Clearing and Grubbing Processing Overexcavation	3 3 3 4 4
F-3.0 FI	LL MATERIAL	5
F-3.1 F-3.2 F-3.3	Fill Quality Oversize Import	5555
F-4.0 FI	LL PLACEMENT AND COMPACTION	ô
F-4.1 F-4.2 F-4.3 F-4.4 F-4.5 F-4.6	Fill Layers 6 Fill Moisture Conditioning 6 Compaction of Fill 6 Compaction of Fill Slopes 6 Compaction Testing 6 Compaction Test Locations 6	5 5 5 5 5 7
F-5.0 EX	(CAVATION	3
F-6.0 TF	RENCH BACKFILLS	9
F-6.1 F-6.2 F-6.3	Safety)))

F-1.0 GENERAL

F-1.1 Intent

These Earthwork and Grading Guide Specifications are for grading and earthwork shown on the current, approved grading plan(s) and/or indicated in the Carl Kim Geotechnical, Inc. (Carl Kim Geo) geotechnical report(s). These Guide Specifications are a part of the recommendations contained in the geotechnical In case of conflict, the project-specific recommendations in the report(s). geotechnical report shall supersede these Guide Specifications. Carl Kim Geo shall provide geotechnical observation and testing during earthwork and grading. Based on these observations and tests, Carl Kim Geo may provide new or revised recommendations that could supersede these specifications or the recommendations in the geotechnical report(s).

F-1.2 Role of Carl Kim Geotechnical, Inc.

Prior to commencement of earthwork and grading, Carl Kim Geo shall meet with the earthwork contractor to review the earthwork contractor's work plan, to schedule sufficient personnel to perform the appropriate level of observation, mapping and compaction testing. During earthwork and grading, Carl Kim Geo shall observe, map, and document subsurface exposures to verify geotechnical design assumptions. If observed conditions are found to be significantly different than the interpreted assumptions during the design phase, Carl Kim Geo shall inform the owner, recommend appropriate changes in design to accommodate these observed conditions, and notify the review agency where required. Subsurface areas to be geotechnically observed, mapped, elevations recorded, and/or tested include (1) natural ground after clearing to receiving fill but before fill is placed, (2) bottoms of all "remedial removal" areas, (3) all key bottoms, and (4) benches made on sloping ground to receive fill.

Carl Kim Geo shall observe moisture-conditioning and processing of the subgrade and fill materials, and perform relative compaction testing of fill to determine the attained relative compaction. Carl Kim Geo shall provide *Daily Field Reports* to the owner and the Contractor on a routine and frequent basis.

F-1.3 The Earthwork Contractor

The earthwork contractor (Contractor) shall be qualified, experienced and knowledgeable in earthwork logistics, preparation and processing of ground to receive fill, moisture-conditioning and processing of fill, and compacting fill. The

Contractor shall review and accept the plans, geotechnical report(s), and these Guide Specifications prior to commencement of grading. The Contractor shall be solely responsible for performing grading and backfilling in accordance with the current, approved plans and specifications.

The Contractor shall inform the owner and Carl Kim Geo of changes in work schedules at least one working day in advance of such changes so that appropriate observations and tests can be planned and accomplished. The Contractor shall not assume that Carl Kim Geo is aware of all grading operations.

The Contractor shall have the sole responsibility to provide adequate equipment and methods to accomplish earthwork and grading in accordance with the applicable grading codes and agency ordinances, these Guide Specifications, and recommendations in the approved geotechnical report(s) and grading plan(s). If, in the opinion of Carl Kim Geo, unsatisfactory conditions, such as unsuitable soil, improper moisture condition, inadequate compaction, adverse weather, etc., are resulting in a quality of work less than required in these specifications, Carl Kim Geo shall reject the work and may recommend to the owner that earthwork and grading be stopped until unsatisfactory condition(s) are rectified.

F-2.0 PREPARATION OF AREAS TO BE FILLED

F-2.1 Clearing and Grubbing

Vegetation, such as brush, grass, roots and other deleterious material shall be sufficiently removed and properly disposed of in a method acceptable to the owner, governing agencies and Carl Kim Geo. Care should be taken not to encroach upon or otherwise damage native and/or historic trees designated by the Owner or appropriate agencies to remain. Pavements, flatwork or other construction should not extend under the "drip line" of designated trees to remain.

Carl Kim Geo shall evaluate the extent of these removals depending on specific site conditions. Earth fill material shall not contain more than 3 percent of organic materials (by dry weight: ASTM D 2974). Nesting of the organic materials shall not be allowed.

If potentially hazardous materials are encountered, the Contractor shall stop work in the affected area, and a hazardous material specialist shall be informed immediately for proper evaluation and handling of these materials prior to continuing to work in that area. As presently defined by the State of California, most refined petroleum products (gasoline, diesel fuel, motor oil, grease, coolant, etc.) have chemical constituents that are considered to be hazardous waste. As such, the indiscriminate dumping or spillage of these fluids onto the ground may constitute a misdemeanor, punishable by fines and/or imprisonment, and shall not be allowed.

F-2.2 Processing

Existing ground that has been declared satisfactory for support of fill, by Carl Kim Geo, shall be scarified to a minimum depth of 6 inches (15 cm). Existing ground that is not satisfactory shall be over-excavated as specified in the following Section F-2.3. Scarification shall continue until soils are broken down and free of large clay lumps or clods and the working surface is reasonably uniform, flat, and free of uneven features that would inhibit uniform compaction.

F-2.3 Overexcavation

In addition to removals and over-excavations recommended in the approved geotechnical report(s) and the grading plan, soft, loose, dry, saturated, spongy, organic-rich, highly fractured or otherwise unsuitable ground shall be over-excavated to competent ground as evaluated by Carl Kim Geo during grading. All undocumented fill soils under proposed structure footprints should be excavated

F-2.4 Benching

Where fills are to be placed on ground with slopes steeper than 5:1 (horizontal to vertical units), (>20 percent grade) the ground shall be stepped or benched. The lowest bench or key shall be a minimum of 15 feet (4.5 m) wide and at least 2 feet (0.6 m) deep, into competent material as evaluated by Carl Kim Geo. Other benches shall be excavated a minimum height of 4 feet (1.2 m) into competent material or as otherwise recommended by Carl Kim Geo. Fill placed on ground sloping flatter than 5:1 (horizontal to vertical units), (<20 percent grade) shall also be benched or otherwise over-excavated to provide a flat subgrade for the fill.

F-2.5 Evaluation/Acceptance of Fill Areas

All areas to receive fill, including removal and processed areas, key bottoms, and benches, shall be observed, mapped, elevations recorded, and/or tested prior to being accepted by Carl Kim Geo as suitable to receive fill. The Contractor shall obtain a written acceptance (*Daily Field Report*) from Carl Kim Geo prior to fill placement. A licensed surveyor shall provide the survey control for determining elevations of processed areas, keys and benches.

F-3.0 FILL MATERIAL

F-3.1 Fill Quality

Material to be used as fill shall be essentially free of organic matter and other deleterious substances evaluated and accepted by Carl Kim Geo prior to placement. Soils of poor quality, such as those with unacceptable gradation, high expansion potential, or low strength shall be placed in areas acceptable to Carl Kim Geo or mixed with other soils to achieve satisfactory fill material.

F-3.2 Oversize

Oversize material defined as rock, or other irreducible material with a maximum dimension greater than 6 inches (15 cm), shall not be buried or placed in fill unless location, materials and placement methods are specifically accepted by Carl Kim Geo. Placement operations shall be such that nesting of oversized material does not occur and such that oversize material is completely surrounded by compacted or densified fill. Oversize material shall not be placed within 10 feet (3 m) measured vertically from finish grade, or within 2 feet (0.61 m) of future utilities or underground construction.

F-3.3 Import

If importing of fill material is required for grading, proposed import material shall meet the requirements of Section F-3.1, and be free of hazardous materials ("contaminants") and rock larger than 3-inches (8 cm) in largest dimension. All import soils shall have an Expansion Index (EI) of 20 or less and a sulfate content no greater than (\leq) 500 parts-per-million (ppm). A representative sample of a potential import source shall be given to Carl Kim Geo at least four full working days before importing begins, so that suitability of this import material can be determined and appropriate tests performed.

F-4.0 FILL PLACEMENT AND COMPACTION

F-4.1 Fill Layers

Approved fill material shall be placed in areas prepared to receive fill, as described in Section 0, above, in near-horizontal layers not exceeding 8 inches (20 cm) in loose thickness. Carl Kim Geo may accept thicker layers if testing indicates the grading procedures can adequately compact the thicker layers, and only if the building officials with the appropriate jurisdiction approve. Each layer shall be spread evenly and mixed thoroughly to attain relative uniformity of material and moisture throughout.

F-4.2 Fill Moisture Conditioning

Fill soils shall be watered, dried back, blended and/or mixed, as necessary to attain a relatively uniform moisture content at or slightly over optimum. Maximum density and optimum soil moisture content tests shall be performed in accordance with the American Society of Testing and Materials (ASTM) Test Method D 1557.

F-4.3 Compaction of Fill

After each layer has been moisture-conditioned, mixed, and evenly spread, each layer shall be uniformly compacted to not-less-than (\geq) 95 percent of the maximum dry density as determined by ASTM Test Method D 1557. Compaction equipment shall be adequately sized and be either specifically designed for soil compaction or of proven reliability to efficiently achieve the specified level of compaction with uniformity.

F-4.4 Compaction of Fill Slopes

In addition to normal compaction procedures specified above, compaction of slopes shall be accomplished by back rolling of slopes with sheepsfoot rollers at increments of 3 to 4 feet (1 to 1.2 m) in fill elevation, or by other methods producing satisfactory results acceptable to Carl Kim Geo. Upon completion of grading, relative compaction of the fill, out to the slope face, shall be at least 90 percent of the ASTM D 1557 laboratory maximum density.

F-4.5 Compaction Testing

Field-tests for moisture content and relative compaction of the fill soils shall be performed by Carl Kim Geo. Location and frequency of tests shall be at our field representative(s) discretion based on field conditions encountered. Compaction test locations will not necessarily be selected on a random basis. Test locations shall be selected to verify adequacy of compaction levels in areas that are judged to be prone to inadequate compaction (such as close to slope faces and at the fill/bedrock benches).

F-4.6 Compaction Test Locations

Carl Kim Geo shall document the approximate elevation and horizontal coordinates of each density test location. The Contractor shall coordinate with the project surveyor to assure that sufficient grade stakes are established so that Carl Kim Geo can determine the test locations with sufficient accuracy. Adequate grade stakes shall be provided.

F-5.0 EXCAVATION

Excavations, as well as over-excavation for remedial purposes, shall be evaluated by Carl Kim Geo during grading. Remedial removal depths shown on geotechnical plans are estimates only. The actual extent of removal shall be determined by Carl Kim Geo based on the field evaluation of exposed conditions during grading. Where fill-over-cut slopes are to be graded, the cut portion of the slope shall be made, then observed and reviewed by Carl Kim Geo prior to placement of materials for construction of the fill portion of the slope, unless otherwise recommended by Carl Kim Geo.

F-6.0 TRENCH BACKFILLS

F-6.1 Safety

The Contractor shall follow all OSHA and Cal/OSHA requirements for safety of trench excavations. Work should be performed in accordance with Article 6 of the *California Construction Safety Orders*, 2003 Edition or more current (see also: <u>http://www.dir.ca.gov/title8/sb4a6.html</u>).

F-6.2 Bedding and Backfill

All utility trench bedding and backfill shall be performed in accordance with applicable provisions of the current edition of the *Standard Specifications for Public Works Construction* (Green Book). Bedding material shall have a Sand Equivalent greater than 30 (SE>30). Bedding shall be placed to 1-foot (0.3 m) over the top of the conduit, and densified by jetting in areas of granular soils, if allowed by the permitting agency. Otherwise, the pipe-bedding zone should be backfilled with Controlled Low Strength Material (CLSM) consisting of at least one sack of Portland cement per cubic-yard of sand, and conforming to Section 201-6 of the current edition of the *Standard Specifications for Public Works Construction* (Green Book). Backfill over the bedding zone shall be placed and densified mechanically to a minimum of 95 percent of relative compaction (ASTM D 1557) from 1 foot (0.3 m) above the top of the conduit to the surface. Backfill above the pipe zone shall <u>not</u> be jetted. Jetting of the bedding around the conduits shall be observed by Carl Kim Geo.

F-6.3 Lift Thickness

Lift thickness of trench backfill shall not exceed those allowed in the Standard Specifications of Public Works Construction unless the Contractor can demonstrate to Carl Kim Geo that the fill lift can be compacted to the minimum relative compaction by his alternative equipment and method, and only if the building officials with the appropriate jurisdiction approve.