

11.13 Noise Assessment



Westside Annexation and North Lancaster Industrial Specific Plan

NOISE AND VIBRATION ANALYSIS CITY OF LANCASTER

PREPARED BY:

Bill Lawson, PE, INCE blawson@urbanxroads.com (949) 584-3148

April 21, 2025

16126-09 Noise Report



TABLE OF CONTENTS

TA	BLE O	F CONTENTS	
AF	PEND	CES	. IV
LIS	ST OF E	XHIBITS	. IV
			V
		ABBREVIATED TERIVIS	. VI 1
сл 1			יייד בייי
-	4 4		
	1.1 1.2	Site Location	3 2
•	1.2		
2	FU	NDAMENTALS	9
	2.1	Range of Noise	9
	2.2	Noise Descriptors	10
	2.3	Sound Propagation	10
	2.4	Noise Barrier Attenuation	11
	2.5	Land Use Compatibility With Noise	12
	2.7	Community Response to Noise	12
	2.8	Vibration	13
3	REG	GULATORY SETTING	.15
-	3 1	State of California Noise Requirements	15
	3.2	City of Lancaster General Plan Safety Element	15
	3.3	Operational Noise Standards	16
	3.4	Construction Noise Standards	17
	3.5	Construction Vibration Standards	18
	3.6	General William J. Fox Airfield Land Use Compatibility	18
	3.7	Rosamond Skypark Land Use Compatibility	20
4	SIG		23
	4.1	Noise Level Increases (Threshold A)	23
	4.2	Vibration (Threshold B)	25
	4.3	CEQA Guidelines Not Further Analyzed (Threshold C)	25
	4.4	Significance Criteria Summary	26
5	EXI	STING NOISE LEVEL MEASUREMENTS	.27
	5.1	Measurement Procedure and Criteria	27
	5.2	Noise Measurement Locations	27
	5.3	Noise Measurement Results	29
6	TR/	AFFIC NOISE METHODS AND PROCEDURES	31
	6.1	FHWA Traffic Noise Prediction Model	31
	6.2	Off-Site Traffic Noise Prediction Model Inputs	31
7	OF	F-SITE TRAFFIC NOISE ANALYSIS	.37
	7.1	Traffic Noise Contours	37
	7.2	Existing Project Traffic Noise Level Increases	41
	7.3	Background (2031) Project Traffic Noise Level Increases	42



	7.4	Off-Site Traffic Noise Mitigation	. 43
8 9	REC OP	CEIVER LOCATIONS	.47 .51
	9.1 9.2 9.3 9.4 9.5 9.6	Operational Noise Sources Reference Noise Levels CadnaA Noise Prediction Model Project Operational Noise Levels Project Operational Noise Level Compliance Project Operational Noise Level Increases	51 55 55 56 57
10	CO	NSTRUCTION IMPACTS	.59
	10.1 10.2 10.3 10.4 10.5 10.6 10.7	Construction Noise Levels Construction Reference Noise Levels Construction Noise Analysis Project Site Construction Noise Level Compliance Temporary Construction Noise Level Increases Nighttime Concrete Pour Noise Analysis Construction Vibration Analysis	59 59 61 63 63 63 64 64
11 12	REF CEF	ERENCES	.69 .71

APPENDICES

CITY OF LANCASTER MUNICIPAL CODE
STUDY AREA PHOTOS
NOISE LEVEL MEASUREMENT WORKSHEETS
OFF-SITE TRAFFIC NOISE LEVEL CALCULATIONS
OPERATIONAL NOISE CALCULATIONS
TYPICAL CONSTRUCTION NOISE CALCULATIONS
PEAK HOUR CONSTRUCTION NOISE CALCULATIONS
NIGHTTIME CONCRETE POUR NOISE MODEL INPUTS

LIST OF EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT 1-A: LOCATION MAP	4
EXHIBIT 1-B: ANNEXATION AREA	5
EXHIBIT 1-C: NORTH LANCASTER INDUSTRIAL SPECIFIC PLAN LAND USE PLAN	7
EXHIBIT 2-A: TYPICAL NOISE LEVELS	9
EXHIBIT 2-B: NOISE LEVEL INCREASE PERCEPTION	12
EXHIBIT 2-C: TYPICAL LEVELS OF GROUND-BORNE VIBRATION	14
EXHIBIT 3-A: NOISE COMPATIBLE LAND USE OBJECTIVES	16
EXHIBIT 3-B: GENERAL WILLIAM J. FOX AIRFIELD COMPATIBILITY MAP	19
EXHIBIT 3-C: GENERAL WILLIAM J. FOX NOISE CONTOURS FOR COMPATIBILITY PLANNING	21
EXHIBIT 3-D: GENERAL WILLIAM J. FOX NOISE COMPATIBILITY CRITERIA	22
EXHIBIT 5-A: NOISE MEASUREMENT LOCATIONS	28



EXHIBIT 6-A:	OFF-SITE STUDY AREA ROADWAY SEGMENTS	32
EXHIBIT 8-A:	RECEIVER LOCATIONS	48
EXHIBIT 9-A:	PAS 2 & 4 OPERATIONAL NOISE SOURCE LOCATIONS	52
EXHIBIT 9-B:	PAS 6, 7 & 8 OPERATIONAL NOISE SOURCE LOCATIONS	53
EXHIBIT 10-A	: CONSTRUCTION NOISE SOURCE LOCATIONS	60

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE ES-1: SUMMARY OF CEQA SIGNIFICANCE FINDINGS1	
TABLE 4-1: SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA SUMMARY	j
TABLE 5-1: AMBIENT NOISE LEVEL MEASUREMENTS)
TABLE 6-1: OFF-SITE ROADWAY PARAMETERS	j
TABLE 6-2: AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC VOLUMES	,
TABLE 6-3: TIME OF DAY VEHICLE SPLITS	j
TABLE 6-4: EXISTING WITH PROJECT VEHICLE MIX	
TABLE 6-5: BACKGROUND (2031) WITH PROJECT VEHICLE MIX)
TABLE 7-1: EXISTING WITHOUT PROJECT CONTOURS	;
TABLE 7-2: EXISTING WITH PROJECT CONTOURS)
TABLE 7-3: BACKGROUND (2031) WITHOUT PROJECT CONTOURS40)
TABLE 7-4: BACKGROUND (2031) WITH PROJECT CONTOURS41	
TABLE 7-5: EXISTING WITH PROJECT TRAFFIC NOISE LEVEL INCREASES42	
TABLE 7-6: BACKGROUND (2031) WITH PROJECT TRAFFIC NOISE INCREASES	
TABLE 9-1: REFERENCE NOISE LEVEL MEASUREMENTS54	
TABLE 9-2: PROJECT OPERATIONAL NOISE LEVELS	Ì
TABLE 9-3: OPERATIONAL NOISE LEVEL COMPLIANCE57	'
TABLE 9-5: PROJECT OPERATIONAL NOISE LEVEL INCREASES	;
TABLE 10-1: CONSTRUCTION REFERENCE NOISE LEVELS61	
TABLE 10-2: TYPICAL CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT SUMMARY	
TABLE 10-3: PEAK HOUR CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT SUMMARY62	
TABLE 10-4: PROJECT CONSTRUCTION NOISE COMPLIANCE	
TABLE 10-5: DAYTIME CONSTRUCTION NOISE INCREASES	
TABLE 10-6: NIGHTTIME CONCRETE POUR NOISE COMPLIANCE	,
TABLE 10-7: NIGHTTIME CONCRETE POUR NOISE INCREASES)
TABLE 10-8: VIBRATION SOURCE LEVELS FOR CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT)
TABLE 10-9: CONSTRUCTION VIBRATION LEVELS67	,

LIST OF ABBREVIATED TERMS

(1)	Reference
ALUCP	Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan
ANSI	American National Standards Institute
Calveno	California Vehicle Noise
CEQA	California Environmental Quality Act
CNEL	Community Noise Equivalent Level
dBA	A-weighted decibels
EIR	Environmental Impact Report
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
FHWA	Federal Highway Administration
FICON	Federal Interagency Committee on Noise
FTA	Federal Transit Administration
INCE	Institute of Noise Control Engineering
L _{eq}	Equivalent continuous (average) sound level
L _{max}	Maximum level measured over the time interval
LMC	Lancaster Municipal Code
mph	Miles per hour
PPV	Peak Particle Velocity
Project	Westside Annexation and North Lancaster Industrial
	Specific Plan
REMEL	Reference Energy Mean Emission Level
RMS	Root-mean-square
SP	North Lancaster Industrial Specific Plan
ТА	Westside Annexation and North Lancaster Industrial Specific Plan
	Local Transportation Assessment
VdB	Vibration Decibels



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Urban Crossroads, Inc. has prepared this noise study to determine the noise exposure and the necessary noise mitigation measures for the proposed Westside Annexation and North Lancaster Industrial Specific Plan development (Project). This noise study has been prepared to satisfy applicable City of Lancaster noise standards and significance criteria based on Appendix G of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines. (1)

The results of this Noise and Vibration Analysis are summarized below based on the significance criteria in Section 4 of this report consistent with Appendix G of the CEQA Guidelines. (1) Table ES-1 shows the findings of significance for each potential noise and/or vibration impact under CEQA before and after any required mitigation measures.

Analysia	Report	Significance Findings			
Analysis	Section	Unmitigated	Mitigated		
Off-Site Traffic Noise	7	Potentially Significant	Significant and Unavoidable		
Operational Noise	9	Less Than Significant -			
Construction Noise		Less Than Significant	-		
Nighttime Concrete Pour	10	Less Than Significant	-		
Construction Vibration		Less Than Significant	-		

TABLE ES-1: SUMMARY OF CEQA SIGNIFICANCE FINDINGS



This page intentionally left blank



1 INTRODUCTION

This Noise and Vibration Analysis has been completed to determine the noise impacts associated with the development of the Westside Annexation and North Lancaster Industrial Specific Plan ("Project"). This noise and vibration analysis briefly describes the Project, provides information regarding noise fundamentals, sets out the local regulatory setting, presents the study methods and procedures for noise analysis, evaluates the exterior noise environment, potential off-site traffic impacts, the Project-related long-term stationary-source operational noise, and Project-related short-term construction noise and vibration impacts.

1.1 SITE LOCATION

The Project site encompasses approximately 7,153 acres in the Antelope Valley portion of unincorporated Los Angeles County, as shown on Exhibit 1-A. The site is generally bound by Avenue B to the north, Sierra Highway and Edwards Air Force Base to the east, Avenue G to the south, and 30th Street West to the west. SR-14, Sierra Highway, 10th Street West, and 20th Street West transect the site in a north-south direction. Unincorporated Los Angeles County surrounds the Project site to the north, east, and west. The City of Lancaster is located to the south and west of the site.

1.2 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The proposed Project involves two components: 1) annexation of the Project site from unincorporated Los Angeles County into the City of Lancaster jurisdiction and 2) adoption of the proposed North Lancaster Industrial Specific Plan (SP), which would allow up to approximately 38.5 million square feet of industrial development. The Project site encompasses approximately 7,153 acres in the Antelope Valley of unincorporated Los Angeles County.

Annexation (ANX24-002)

The proposed Project includes the annexation of approximately 7,153 acres currently in unincorporated Los Angeles County into the City's jurisdiction. There are six land use areas proposed within the Annexation Area: non-urban residential uses, multifamily residential (mobile home), mixed use (residential with retail, office, or service uses), light industrial, public uses, and the proposed SP. Exhibit 1-B identifies the proposed land use areas within the Annexation Area. The uses proposed within the Annexation Area (which does not include the Specific Plan Area) are summarized below:

- 5,793,480 sf of Industrial Park uses
- 3,620,925 sf of Warehousing uses
- 3,620,925 sf of High-Cube Parcel Hub uses
- 1,448,370 sf of High-Cube Cold Storage uses
- 719 Single Family Detached dwelling units (DUs)
- 683 Multifamily (Low-Rise) Residential DUs
- 435 Mobile Home Park DUs
- 1,110,780 sf of Business Park uses





EXHIBIT 1-A: LOCATION MAP





EXHIBIT 1-B: ANNEXATION AREA

Specific Plan (SP24-002)

The North Lancaster Industrial Specific Plan would encompass approximately 1,860.7 acres in the central portion of the annexation area. The Specific Plan is proposed to allow for a site-specific land use plan, development standards, design guidelines, infrastructure systems, and implementation strategies on which subsequent development activities would be implemented. Exhibit 1-C illustrates the proposed land use plan for the Specific Plan area. As shown, the Specific Plan area would be separated into eight planning areas with Light Industrial and Heavy Industrial land use designations.

Within Planning Areas (PA) 2, 4, 6 (eastern half), 7, and 8, 11.3 million square feet of industrial warehouse buildings and associated site improvements are proposed.¹. The proposed development would be constructed over a six-year duration. Planning Areas 1, 3, and 5 total 949.4 acres and would allow for up to 20,677,934 sf of development. A total of 2,015,072 square feet of warehouse space was previously approved in PA 6 (western half).

PAs 2, 4, 6 (eastern half), 7, and 8 are anticipated to have an opening year of 2031. This analysis also evaluates the noise impacts that would occur at buildout of the Annexation Areas and the remaining Specific Plan Planning Areas combined, with an assumed buildout year of 2040. At the time this analysis was prepared, the future tenants of the proposed Project were unknown, and therefore, this study includes a conservative analysis of the proposed Project uses.

¹ The western half of PA 6 has been previously entitled and its impacts analyzed in a previously approved CEQA document. In addition, the western half of PA 6 has been included as part of the cumulative background conditions that are reflected in the off-site traffic noise analysis presented in Section 7 of this report.



EXHIBIT 1-C: NORTH LANCASTER INDUSTRIAL SPECIFIC PLAN LAND USE PLAN

LEGEND:

PAs 2 & 4 Project Boundary

PAs 6 (East Half), 7 & 8 Project Boundary

This page intentionally left blank

2 FUNDAMENTALS

Noise is simply defined as "unwanted sound." Sound becomes unwanted when it interferes with normal activities, when it causes actual physical harm, or when it has adverse effects on health. Noise is measured on a logarithmic scale of sound pressure level known as a decibel (dB). A-weighted decibels (dBA) approximate the subjective response of the human ear to broad frequency noise source by discriminating against very low and very high frequencies of the audible spectrum. They are adjusted to reflect only those frequencies which are audible to the human ear. Exhibit 2-A presents a summary of the typical noise levels and their subjective loudness and effects that are described in more detail below.

COMMON OUTDOOR ACTIVITIES	COMMON INDOOR ACTIVITIES	A - WEIGHTED SOUND LEVEL dBA	SUBJECTIVE LOUDNESS	EFFECTS OF NOISE
THRESHOLD OF PAIN		140		
NEAR JET ENGINE	<u> </u>	130	INTOLERABLE OR DEAFENING	
		120		HEARING LOSS
JET FLY-OVER AT 300m (1000 ft)	ROCK BAND	110		
LOUD AUTO HORN		100	The second	
GAS LAWN MOWER AT 1m (3 ft)		90		
DIESEL TRUCK AT 15m (50 ft), at 80 km/hr (50 mph)	FOOD BLENDER AT 1m (3 ft)	80		
NOISY URBAN AREA, DAYTIME	VACUUM CLEANER AT 3m (10 ft)	70	LOUD	SPEECH
HEAVY TRAFFIC AT 90m (300 ft)	NORMAL SPEECH AT 1m (3 ft)	60		
QUIET URBAN DAYTIME	LARGE BUSINESS OFFICE	50	MODERATE	CIEED
QUIET URBAN NIGHTTIME	THEATER, LARGE CONFERENCE ROOM (BACKGROUND)	40		DISTURBANCE
QUIET SUBURBAN NIGHTTIME	LIBRARY	30		
QUIET RURAL NIGHTTIME	BEDROOM AT NIGHT, CONCERT HALL (BACKGROUND)	20	FAINT	
	BROADCAST/RECORDING STUDIO	10		NO EFFECT
LOWEST THRESHOLD OF HUMAN HEARING	LOWEST THRESHOLD OF HUMAN HEARING	0		

EXHIBIT 2-A: TYPICAL NOISE LEVELS

Source: Environmental Protection Agency Office of Noise Abatement and Control, Information on Levels of Environmental Noise Requisite to Protect Public Health and Welfare with an Adequate Margin of Safety (EPA/ONAC 550/9-74-004) March 1974.

2.1 RANGE OF NOISE

Since the range of intensities that the human ear can detect is so large, the scale frequently used to measure intensity is a scale based on multiples of 10, the logarithmic scale. The scale for measuring intensity is the decibel scale. Each interval of 10 decibels indicates a sound energy ten times greater than before, which is perceived by the human ear as being roughly twice as loud. (2) The most common sounds vary between 40 dBA (very quiet) to 100 dBA (very loud). Normal conversation at three feet is roughly at 60 dBA, while loud jet engine noises equate to 110 dBA

at approximately 1,000 feet, which can cause serious discomfort. (3) Another important aspect of noise is the duration of the sound and the way it is described and distributed in time.

2.2 NOISE DESCRIPTORS

Environmental noise descriptors are generally based on averages, rather than instantaneous, noise levels. The most used metric is the equivalent level (L_{eq}). Equivalent sound levels are not measured directly but are calculated from sound pressure levels typically measured in A-weighted decibels (dBA). The equivalent sound level (L_{eq}) represents a steady state sound level containing the same total energy as a time varying signal over a given sample period and is commonly used to describe the "average" noise levels within the environment.

Peak hour or average noise levels, while useful, do not completely describe a given noise environment. Noise levels lower than peak hour may be disturbing if they occur during times when quiet is most desirable, namely evening and nighttime (sleeping) hours. To account for this, the Community Noise Equivalent Level (CNEL), representing a composite 24-hour noise level is utilized. The CNEL is the weighted average of the intensity of a sound, with corrections for time of day, and averaged over 24 hours. The time-of-day corrections require the addition of 5 decibels to dBA L_{eq} sound levels in the evening from 7:00 p.m. to 10:00 p.m., and the addition of 10 decibels to dBA L_{eq} sound levels at night between 10:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m. These additions are made to account for the noise sensitive time periods during the evening and night hours when noise can become more intrusive. CNEL does not represent the actual sound level heard at any time, but rather represents the total sound exposure. The City of Lancaster relies on the 24-hour CNEL level to assess land use compatibility with transportation related noise sources.

2.3 SOUND PROPAGATION

When sound propagates over a distance, it changes in level and frequency content. The way noise reduces with distance depends on the following factors.

2.3.1 GEOMETRIC SPREADING

Sound from a localized source (i.e., a stationary point source) propagates uniformly outward in a spherical pattern. The sound level attenuates (or decreases) at a rate of 6 dB for each doubling of distance from a point source. Highways consist of several localized noise sources on a defined path and hence can be treated as a line source, which approximates the effect of several point sources. Noise from a line source propagates outward in a cylindrical pattern, often referred to as cylindrical spreading. Sound levels attenuate at a rate of 3 dB for each doubling of distance from a line source. (2)

2.3.2 GROUND ABSORPTION

The propagation path of noise from a highway to a receiver is usually very close to the ground. Noise attenuation from ground absorption and reflective wave canceling adds to the attenuation associated with geometric spreading. Traditionally, the excess attenuation has also been expressed in terms of attenuation per doubling of distance. This approximation is usually sufficiently accurate for distances of less than 200 ft. For acoustically hard sites (i.e., sites with a reflective surface between the source and the receiver, such as a parking lot or body of water), no excess ground attenuation is assumed. For acoustically absorptive or soft sites (i.e., those sites with an absorptive ground surface between the source and the receiver such as soft dirt, grass, or scattered bushes and trees), an excess ground attenuation value of 1.5 dB per doubling of distance is normally assumed. When added to the cylindrical spreading, the excess ground attenuation results in an overall drop-off rate of 4.5 dB per doubling of distance from a line source. (4)

2.3.3 ATMOSPHERIC EFFECTS

Receivers located downwind from a source can be exposed to increased noise levels relative to calm conditions, whereas locations upwind can have lowered noise levels. Sound levels can be increased at large distances (e.g., more than 500 feet) due to atmospheric temperature inversion (i.e., increasing temperature with elevation). Other factors such as air temperature, humidity, and turbulence can also have significant effects. (2)

2.3.4 SHIELDING

A large object or barrier in the path between a noise source and a receiver can substantially attenuate noise levels at the receiver. The amount of attenuation provided by shielding depends on the size of the object and the frequency content of the noise source. Shielding by trees and other such vegetation typically only has an "out of sight, out of mind" effect. That is, the perception of noise impact tends to decrease when vegetation blocks the line-of-sight to nearby residents. However, for vegetation to provide a substantial, or even noticeable, noise reduction, the vegetation area must be at least 15 feet in height, 100 feet wide and dense enough to completely obstruct the line-of-sight between the source and the receiver. This size of vegetation may provide up to 5 dBA of noise reduction. The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) does not consider the planting of vegetation to be a noise abatement measure. (5)

2.4 NOISE CONTROL

Noise control is the process of obtaining an acceptable noise environment for an observation point or receiver by controlling the noise source, transmission path, receiver, or all three. This concept is known as the source-path-receiver concept. In general, noise control measures can be applied to these three elements.

2.5 Noise Barrier Attenuation

Effective noise barriers can reduce noise levels by 10 to 15 dBA, cutting the loudness of traffic noise in half. A noise barrier is most effective when placed close to the noise source or receiver. Noise barriers, however, do have limitations. For a noise barrier to work, it must block the line-of-sight path of sound from the noise source.

2.6 LAND USE COMPATIBILITY WITH NOISE

Some land uses are more tolerant of noise than others. For example, schools, hospitals, churches, and residences are more sensitive to noise intrusion than commercial or industrial developments and related activities. As ambient noise levels affect the perceived amenity or livability of a development, so too can the mismanagement of noise impacts impair the economic health and growth potential of a community by reducing the area's desirability as a place to live, shop and work. For this reason, land use compatibility with the noise environment is an important consideration in the planning and design process. The FHWA encourages State and Local government to regulate land development in such a way that noise-sensitive land uses are either prohibited from being located adjacent to a highway, or that the developments are planned, designed, and constructed in such a way that noise impacts are minimized. (6)

2.7 COMMUNITY RESPONSE TO NOISE

Approximately sixteen percent of the population has a very low tolerance for noise and will object to any noise not of their making. Consequently, even in the quietest environment, some complaints may occur. Twenty to thirty percent of the population will not complain even in very severe noise environments. (7 pp. 8-6) Thus, a variety of reactions can be expected from people exposed to any given noise environment.

Surveys have shown that community response to noise varies from no reaction to vigorous action for newly introduced noises averaging from 10 dB below existing to 25 dB above existing. (8) According to research originally published in the Noise Effects Handbook (7), the percentage of high annoyance ranges from approximately 0 percent at 45 dB or less, 10 percent are highly annoyed around 60 dB, and increases rapidly to approximately 70 percent being highly annoyed at approximately 85 dB or greater. Despite this variability in behavior on an individual level, the population can be expected to exhibit the following responses to changes in noise levels as shown on Exhibit 2-B. A change of 3 dBA is considered barely perceptible, and changes of 5 dBA are considered readily perceptible. (4)





2.8 VIBRATION

Per the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment Manual, vibration is the periodic oscillation of a medium or object. The rumbling sound caused by the vibration of room surfaces is called structure-borne noise. Sources of ground-borne vibrations include natural phenomena (e.g., earthquakes, volcanic eruptions, sea waves, landslides) or human-made causes (e.g., explosions, machinery, traffic, trains, construction equipment). Vibration sources may be continuous, such as factory machinery, or transient, such as explosions. As is the case with airborne sound, ground-borne vibrations may be described by amplitude and frequency. Additionally, in contrast to airborne noise, ground-borne vibration outdoors is not a common environmental problem and annoyance from ground-borne vibration is almost exclusively an indoor phenomenon (8). Therefore, the effects of vibrations should only be evaluated at a structure and the effects of the building structure on the vibration should be considered. Wood-frame buildings, such as typical residential structures, are more easily excited by ground vibration than heavier buildings. In contrast, large masonry buildings with spread footings have a low response to ground vibration (8). In general, the heavier a building is, the lower the response will be to the incident vibration energy. However, all structurers reduce vibration levels due to the coupling of the building to the soil.

There are several different methods that are used to quantify vibration. The peak particle velocity (PPV) is defined as the maximum instantaneous peak of the vibration signal (8). The PPV is most frequently used to describe vibration impacts to buildings but is not always suitable for evaluating human response (annoyance) because it takes some time for the human body to respond to vibration signals. Instead, the human body responds to average vibration amplitude often described as the root mean square (RMS). The RMS amplitude is defined as the average of the squared amplitude of the signal and is most frequently used to describe the effect of vibration on the human body (8). However, the RMS amplitude and PPV are related mathematically, and the RMS amplitude of equipment is typically calculated from the PPV reference level. The RMS amplitude is approximately 70% of the PPV (9). Thus, either can be used in the description of vibration impacts.

While not universally accepted, vibration decibel notation (VdB) is another vibration notation developed and used by the FTA in their guidance manual to describe vibration levels and provide a background of common vibration levels and set vibration limits. (8) Decibel notation (VdB) serves to reduce the range of numbers used to describe vibration levels and is used in this report to describe vibration levels. As stated in the FTA guidance manual, the background vibration-velocity level in residential areas is generally 50 VdB. Ground-borne vibration is normally perceptible to humans at approximately 65 VdB. For most people, a vibration-velocity level of 75 VdB is the approximate dividing line between barely perceptible and distinctly perceptible levels. Typical outdoor sources of perceptible ground-borne vibration are construction equipment, steel-wheeled trains, and traffic on rough roads. If a roadway is smooth, the ground-borne vibration is rarely perceptible. The range of interest is from approximately 50 VdB, which is the typical background vibration-velocity level, to 100 VdB, which is the general threshold where minor damage can occur in fragile buildings. Exhibit 2-C illustrates common vibration sources and the human and structural response to ground-borne vibration

Human/Structural Response	3	Veloci Level	ty *	Typical Sources (50 ft from source)
Threshold, minor cosmetic damage fragile buildings		100	-	Blasting from construction projects
Difficulty with tasks such as reading a VDT screen		90	•	Bulldozers and other heavy tracked construction equipment
•		11	-	Commuter rail, upper range
Residential annoyance, infrequent events (e.g. commuter rail)	→	80	-	Rapid transit, upper range
			-	Commuter rail, typical
Residential annoyance, frequent events (e.g. rapid transit)		70	←	Bus or truck over bump Rapid transit, typical
Limit for vibration sensitive equipment. Approx. threshold for human perception of vibration	-	60	•	Bus or truck, typical
		50	•	Typical background vibration
		\bigcirc		

EXHIBIT 2-C: TYPICAL LEVELS OF GROUND-BORNE VIBRATION

* RMS Vibration Velocity Level in VdB relative to 10⁻⁶ inches/second

Source: Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment Manual.

3 REGULATORY SETTING

To limit population exposure to physically and/or psychologically damaging as well as intrusive noise levels, the federal government, the State of California, various county governments, and most municipalities in the state have established standards and ordinances to control noise. In most areas, automobile and truck traffic is the major source of environmental noise. Traffic activity generally produces an average sound level that remains constant with time. Air and rail traffic, and commercial and industrial activities are also major sources of noise in some areas. Federal, state, and local agencies regulate different aspects of environmental noise. Federal and state agencies generally set noise standards for mobile sources such as aircraft and motor vehicles, while regulation of stationary sources is left to local agencies.

3.1 STATE OF CALIFORNIA NOISE REQUIREMENTS

The State of California regulates freeway noise, sets standards for sound transmission, provides occupational noise control criteria, identifies noise standards, and provides guidance for local land use compatibility. State law requires that each county and city adopt a General Plan that includes a Noise Element which is to be prepared per guidelines adopted by the Governor's Office of Planning and Research (OPR). (10) The purpose of the Noise Element is to *limit the exposure of the community to excessive noise levels*. In addition, the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requires that all known environmental effects of a project be analyzed, including environmental noise impacts.

3.2 CITY OF LANCASTER GENERAL PLAN SAFETY ELEMENT

The City of Lancaster has included a Noise section in the Safety Element (11) of the General Plan to control and abate environmental noise, and to protect the citizens of Lancaster from excessive exposure to noise. The Noise section specifies the maximum exterior noise levels allowable for new developments impacted by transportation noise sources such as arterial roads, freeways, airports and railroads. To protect City of Lancaster residents from excessive noise, the Noise section contains the following goal related to the Project:

Goal 4.3 Promote noise-compatible land use relationships by implementing the noise standards identified in Table 4-3 to be utilized for design purposes in new development and establishing a program to attenuate the existing noise problem.

To ensure noise issues are addressed (Goal 4-3), the Noise section identifies the following policies:

- 4.3.1 Ensure that noise-sensitive land uses and noise generators are located and designed so that City noise objectives will be achieved.
- 4.3.2 Wherever feasible, manage the generation of single event noise levels (SENL) from motor vehicles, trains, aircraft, commercial, industrial, construction, and other activities such that SENL levels are no greater than 15 dBA above the noise objectives included in the Plan for Public Health and Safety.

4.3.3 Ensure that the provision of noise attenuation does not create significant negative visual impacts.

The City of Lancaster General Plan Noise section specifies the noise levels allowable for new developments. The *Noise Compatible Land Use Objectives* (Table 4-3 in the Safety Element; Exhibit 3-A below) identify an exterior noise level of 70 dBA CNEL for industrial uses and 65 dBA CNEL residential land uses. The City of Lancaster General Plan Noise section standards are shown on Exhibit 3-A.

Land Use	Maximum Exterior CNEL	Maximum Interior CNEL
Rural, Single-Family, Multi-Family Residential	65 dBA	45 dBA
Schools: Classrooms Playgrounds	65 dBA 70 dBA	45 dBA
Libraries		50 dBA
Hospitals/Convalescent Facilities: Living Areas Sleeping Areas		50 dBA 40 dBA
Commercial and Industrial Office Areas	70 dBA 	 50 dBA

EXHIBIT 3-A: NOISE COMPATIBLE LAND USE OBJECTIVES

Source: City of Lancaster General Plan, Safety Element, Table 4-3.

3.3 OPERATIONAL NOISE STANDARDS

To analyze noise impacts originating from a designated fixed location or private property, stationary-source (operational) noise such as the expected cold storage loading dock activity, tractor trailer storage activity, roof-top air conditioning units, parking lot vehicle movements, trash enclosure activity, and truck movements are typically evaluated against standards established under a jurisdiction's municipal code. Section 8.24.030 of the City of Lancaster Municipal Code (LMC) included in Appendix 3.1 states that *no person shall make, cause or suffer, or permit to be made upon any premises owned, occupied or controlled by him/her any unnecessary noises or sounds which are physically annoying to persons of ordinary sensitiveness which are so harsh or so prolonged or unnatural or unusual in their use, time, or place as to occasion physical discomfort to the inhabitants of any neighborhood. However, the LMC does not identify specific exterior noise level standards for non-residential zones. (12)*

To control operational noise source activities, the City of Lancaster General Plan Safety Element Table 4-3 presented in Exhibit 3-A above, outline the 24-hour CNEL noise level limits by land use type. For noise sensitive residential land uses, the Noise Compatible Land Use Objectives identify an exterior noise level limit of 65 dBA CNEL.According to the City of Lancaster General Plan 2030 Master Environmental Assessment (MEA), (13) both stationary and mobile noise sources within Lancaster need to be considered. Stationary sources of noise include airports, industrial and construction activities, air conditioning and refrigeration units, whistles or bells (signaling breaks or shift changes), high level radio, stereo, or television usage, power tools, lawnmowers, appliances used in the home, and barking dogs. Noise associated with these sources may represent a single event noise occurrence, short-term, or long-term/continuous noise. As stated above, the City of Lancaster established maximum exterior and interior noise levels for land uses in the City; refer to Table 8-10 in the MEA; Exhibit 3-A above. Mobile noise sources are typically transportation-related and include aircraft, trains, automobiles, trucks, buses, and off-road vehicles. Vehicular traffic noise is subject to the noise standards identified in Table 8-10 in the MEA; Exhibit 3-A above. Since mobile noise sources are often associated with traffic volumes, these impacts are many times categorized as long-term noise impacts.

3.4 CONSTRUCTION NOISE STANDARDS

To control noise impacts associated with the construction of the proposed Project, the City of Lancaster has established limits to the hours of operation. The LMC has set restrictions on the hours during which construction activity may take place. LMC Section 8.24.040, *Loud, unnecessary and unusual noises prohibited – Construction and Building,* indicates that a person at any time on Sunday or any day between the hours of 8:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m. shall not perform any construction or repair work of any kind upon any building or structure or perform any earth excavating, filling or moving where any of the foregoing entails the use of any air compressor, jack hammer, power-driven drill, riveting machine, excavator, diesel-powered truck, tractor or other earth moving equipment, hard hammers on steel or iron or any other machine tool, device or equipment which makes loud noises within 500 feet of an occupied dwelling, apartment, hotel, mobile home or other place of residence. (12) Therefore, the Project's construction activity shall be limited to the permitted hours of 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. on any day with no activity allowed on Sundays.

According to the FTA *Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment Manual,* local noise ordinances are typically not very useful in evaluating construction noise impacts. They usually relate to nuisance and hours of allowed activity, and sometimes specify limits in terms of maximum levels, but are generally not practical for assessing the impact of a construction project. Project construction noise criteria should account for the existing noise environment, the absolute noise levels during construction activities, the duration of the construction, and the adjacent land use. (8 p. 172)

Due to the lack of standardized construction noise thresholds, the FTA provides guidelines that can be considered reasonable criteria for construction noise assessments. The FTA identifies two types of construction noise assessment criteria, general and detailed. For general construction noise assessments, the analysis is limited to the two noisiest pieces of equipment with an hourly daytime exterior noise level threshold for residential land use of 90 dBA $L_{eq(1hr)}$. (8 p. 179) However, for long-term construction projects that would expose sensitive receivers to noise for extended periods of time, the FTA considers a daytime 8-hour average exterior construction noise level of 80 dBA $L_{eq(8hr)}$. The absolute FTA thresholds are intended to account for reasonable expectations regarding construction noise during the daytime hours and are intended to protect human health. Therefore, to evaluate whether the Project will generate potentially significant short-term noise level of 80 dBA L_{eq} is used as a reasonable threshold to assess construction noise level impacts based on the FTA detailed analysis construction noise criteria with a nighttime exterior construction noise level of 70 dBA L_{eq} . (8 p. 179)

3.5 CONSTRUCTION VIBRATION STANDARDS

Construction activity can result in varying degrees of ground-borne vibration, depending on the equipment and methods used, distance to the affected structures and soil type. Construction vibration is generally associated with pile driving and rock blasting. Other construction equipment such as air compressors, light trucks, hydraulic loaders, etc., generates little or no ground vibration. (8) To analyze vibration impacts originating from the operation and construction of the Westside Annexation and North Lancaster Industrial Specific Plan, vibration-generating activities are appropriately evaluated against standards established under a City's Municipal Code, if such standards exist. However, the City of Lancaster do not identify specific construction vibration level limits. Therefore, for analysis purposes, the Caltrans *Transportation and Construction Vibration Guidance Manual*, (9 p. 38) Table 19, vibration damage are used in this noise study to assess potential temporary construction-related impacts at adjacent building locations. The nearest noise sensitive buildings can best be described as "older residential structures" with a maximum acceptable continuous vibration threshold of 0.3 PPV (in/sec).

3.6 GENERAL WILLIAM J. FOX AIRFIELD LAND USE COMPATIBILITY

General William J. Fox Airfield is a regional general aviation facility serving the cities of Lancaster and Palmdale as well as unincorporated communities in northern Los Angeles County. (13) The airport produces a minor amount of aircraft noise and is currently the only general aviation facility within the City of Lancaster. Additionally, all of the land within a mile of the airport boundary has been zoned for industrial use. (13) The General William J. Fox Airfield Land Use Compatibility Plan (ALUCP) includes the policies for determining the land use compatibility of the Project. (14) The purpose of the noise policies is to avoid the establishment of noise-sensitive land use in the portions of airport environs that are exposed to significant levels of aircraft noise (Policy 2.2.1). As shown in Exhibit 3-B, portions of the Project are located within ALUCP Compatibility Zones C, D and E. According to Table 2B of the ALUCP, the noise impacts for Compatibility Zones C and D are *moderate* with *low* noise impacts in Compatibility Zone E.



EXHIBIT 3-B: GENERAL WILLIAM J. FOX AIRFIELD COMPATIBILITY MAP

While Exhibit 3-B presents the Land Use Compatibility Zones, ALUCP Policy 2.2.2 requires the use of the CNEL Noise Contours for Compatibility Planning (Figure 2B in the ALUCP; Exhibit 3-C below) as the primary determinant of whether the proposed development in the airport vicinity will be compatible with the noise impacts of General William J. Fox Airfield. Examples of the acceptable noise levels for land uses in the airport's vicinity are presented below (Table 2C in the ALUCP, Exhibit 3-D).

The Noise Compatibility Criteria outlined in Exhibit 3-D shows that land uses exposed to exterior noise levels of less than 55 dBA CNEL are considered *clearly acceptable* or *normally acceptable*. Since all the Project land uses are located outside the 55 dBA CNEL noise exposure contour, the noise impacts from General William J. Fox Airfield are considered *less than significant*.

3.7 ROSAMOND SKYPARK LAND USE COMPATIBILITY

Rosamond Skypark is a residential and public-use airport located in the unincorporated community of Rosamond in Kern County. According to the County of Kern ALUCP, (15) the airport's land use compatibility area extends approximately 5,000 feet from the runway. This area lies about 3.5 miles northwest of the Specific Plan. Because all proposed project land uses fall outside both the designated land use compatibility area and the documented noise contours boundaries of the Rosamond Skypark, noise impacts associated with the airport are considered *less than significant*.





Land Use Category	CNEL (dB)					
	50-55	55 <u>-</u> 60	60-65	<u>65–70</u>	<mark>70–75</mark>	
Residential	13	ili de				
single-family, nursing homes, mobile homes	++	0	822	1222		
multi-family, apartments, condominiums	++	+	0		1.11.1	
Public						
schools, libraries, hospitals	+	0	8.00	. 	-	
churches, auditoriums, concert halls	+	0	0	-		
transportation, parking, cemeteries	++	++	++	+	0	
Commercial and Industrial						
offices, retail trade, restaurants	++	+	0	0	107	
service commercial, wholesale trade, warehousing, light industrial	++	++	+	0	0	
general manufacturing, utilities, extractive industry	++	++	++	+	+	
Agricultural and Recreational						
cropland	++	++	++	++	+	
livestock breeding	++	+	0	0		
parks, playgrounds, zoos	++	+	+	0	1975	
golf courses, riding stables, water recreation	++	++	+	0	0	
outdoor spectator sports	++	+	+	o	102	
amphitheaters	+	0		(-	

EXHIBIT 3-D: GENERAL WILLIAM J. FOX NOISE COMPATIBILITY CRITERIA

Land Use Acceptability		Interpretation/Comments		
++	Clearly Acceptable	The activities associated with the specified land use can be carried out with essentially no interference from the noise exposure.		
+	Normally Acceptable	Noise is a factor to be considered in that slight interference with outdoor activities may occur. Conventional construction methods will eliminate most noise intrusions upon indoor activities.		
0	Marginally Acceptable	The indicated noise exposure will cause moderate interference with outdoor activities and with indoor activities when windows are open. The land use is acceptable on the conditions that outdoor activities are minimal and construction features which provide sufficient noise attenuation are used (e.g., installation of air conditioning so that windows can be kept closed). Under other circumstances, the land use should be discouraged.		
	Normally Unacceptable	Noise will create substantial interference with both outdoor and indoor activities. Noise intrusion upon indoor activities can be mitigated by requiring special noise insulation construction. Land uses which have conventionally constructed structures and/or involve outdoor activities which would be disrupted by noise should generally be avoided.		
	Clearly Unacceptable	Unacceptable noise intrusion upon land use activities will occur. Adequate structural noise insulation is not practical under most circumstances. The indicated land use should be avoided unless strong overriding factors prevail and it should be prohibited if outdoor activities are involved.		

Source: General J. Fox Airfield Land Use Compatibility Plan Table 2C.



4 SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA

The following significance criteria are based on currently adopted guidance provided by Appendix G of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines. (1) For the purposes of this report, impacts would be potentially significant if the Project results in or causes:

- A. Generation of a substantial temporary or permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the vicinity of the project in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?
- B. Generation of excessive ground-borne vibration or ground-borne noise levels?
- C. For a project located within the vicinity of a private airstrip or an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?

4.1 Noise Level Increases (Threshold A)

Noise level increases resulting from the Project are evaluated based on the Appendix G CEQA Guidelines. Under CEQA, consideration must be given to the magnitude of the increase, the existing baseline ambient noise levels, and the location of receivers to determine if a noise increase represents a significant adverse environmental impact. This approach recognizes *that there is no single noise increase that renders the noise impact significant*. (15) This is primarily because of the wide variation in individual thresholds of annoyance and differing individual experiences with noise. Thus, an important way of determining a person's subjective reaction to a new noise is the comparison of it to the existing environment to which one has adapted—the so-called *ambient* environment. In general, the more a new noise level exceeds the previously existing ambient noise level, the less acceptable the new noise level will typically be judged.

4.1.1 NOISE SENSITIVE RECEIVERS (SUBSTANTIAL PERMANENT NOISE LEVEL INCREASE)

The Federal Interagency Committee on Noise (FICON) (16) developed guidance to be used for the assessment of project-generated increases in noise levels that consider the ambient noise level. The FICON recommendations are based on studies that relate aircraft noise levels to the percentage of persons highly annoyed by aircraft noise. Although the FICON recommendations were specifically developed to assess aircraft noise impacts, these recommendations are often used in environmental noise impact assessments involving the use of cumulative noise exposure metrics, such as the average-daily noise level (CNEL) and equivalent continuous noise level (L_{eq}).

As previously stated, the approach used in this noise study recognizes *that there is no single noise increase that renders a noise impact significant*, based on a 2008 California Court of Appeal ruling on Gray v. County of Madera. (15) For example, if the ambient noise environment is quiet (<60 dBA) and the new noise source greatly increases the noise levels, an impact may occur if the noise criteria may be exceeded. Therefore, for this analysis, a *readily perceptible* 5 dBA or greater project-related noise level increase is considered a significant impact when the without project noise levels are below 60 dBA. Per the FICON, in areas where the without project noise levels range from 60 to 65 dBA, a 3 dBA *barely perceptible* noise level increase appears to be

appropriate for most people. When the without project noise levels already exceed 65 dBA, any increase in community noise louder than 1.5 dBA or greater is considered a significant impact if the noise criteria for a given land use is exceeded, since it likely contributes to an existing noise exposure exceedance.

The FICON guidance provides an established source of criteria to assess the impacts of substantial temporary or permanent increase in baseline ambient noise levels. Based on the FICON criteria, the amount to which a given noise level increase is considered acceptable is reduced when the without Project (baseline) noise levels are already shown to exceed certain land-use specific exterior noise level criteria. The specific levels are based on typical responses to noise level increases of 5 dBA or *readily perceptible*, 3 dBA or *barely perceptible*, and 1.5 dBA depending on the underlying without Project noise levels for noise-sensitive uses. These levels of increases and their perceived acceptance are consistent with guidance provided by both the Federal Highway Administration (4 p. 9) and Caltrans (17 p. 2_48).

4.1.2 NON-NOISE SENSITIVE RECEIVERS (SUBSTANTIAL PERMANENT NOISE LEVEL INCREASE)

The City of Lancaster General Plan *Noise Compatible Land Use Objectives* was used to establish the satisfactory noise levels of significance for the non-noise-sensitive land uses in the Project study area. As previously shown on Exhibit 3-A, a maximum exterior noise level criteria of 70 dBA CNEL is used to describe non-noise-sensitive land use. To determine if Project-related traffic noise increases are significant at off-site non-noise-sensitive land uses, a *barely perceptible* 3 dBA criteria is used. When the without Project noise levels are greater than the *normally acceptable* 70 dBA CNEL land use criteria, a *barely perceptible* 3 dBA or greater noise level increase is considered a significant impact since the noise level criteria is already exceeded. The noise level increases used to determine significant impacts for non-noise-sensitive land uses is generally consistent with the FICON noise level increase thresholds for noise-sensitive land uses but instead rely on the City of Lancaster *Noise Compatible Land Use Objectives* 70 dBA CNEL exterior noise level criteria for non-noise sensitive land uses.

4.1.3 CONSTRUCTION NOISE (SUBSTANTIAL TEMPORARY NOISE LEVEL INCREASE)

To control the noise-generating construction activities, the temporary noise level increases over the existing *ambient* conditions must be considered under CEQA Significance Threshold A. While the City of Lancaster does not identify a specific construction related noise level increase threshold, the City of Lancaster General Plan Noise Policy 4.3.2 outlines the maximum acceptable increase necessary to promote noise-compatible land use relationships. Therefore, if the Projectrelated construction noise levels generate a temporary noise level increase above the existing ambient noise levels of up to 15 dBA, consistent with City of Lancaster General Plan Noise Policy 4.3.2, then the Project construction noise increases will be considered a *potentially significant impact*.





4.2 VIBRATION (THRESHOLD B)

As described in Section 3.5, the vibration impacts originating from the construction of the Westside Annexation and North Lancaster Industrial Specific Plan, vibration-generating activities are appropriately evaluated using standards established by the Caltrans *Transportation and Construction Vibration Guidance Manual*, Table 19 and 20. The Caltrans' vibration thresholds for building damage and human annoyance are used in this noise study to assess potential operational and temporary construction-related impacts at adjacent building locations. A maximum acceptable continuous vibration threshold of 0.3 PPV (in/sec) is used to describe the nearest residential buildings adjacent to the Project site.

4.3 CEQA GUIDELINES NOT FURTHER ANALYZED (THRESHOLD C)

CEQA Noise Threshold C applies when there are nearby public and private airports and/or airstrips and focuses on land use compatibility of the Project to nearby airports and airstrips. The closest airport is General William J. Fox Airfield, located over 2 miles west of the Project. As previously indicated in Section 3.6, the noise contour boundaries of General William J. Fox Airfield presented on Exhibit 3-C of this report show that the land uses are considered *clearly acceptable and normally acceptable* since the development area is located outside the 55 dBA CNEL contour. In addition, the proposed project land uses fall outside both the designated land use compatibility area and the documented noise contours boundaries of the Rosamond Skypark. Therefore, the Project impacts are considered *less than significant,* and no further noise analysis is provided under CEQA Significance Criteria C



4.4 SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA SUMMARY

Noise impacts shall be considered significant if any of the following occur as a direct result of the proposed development. Table 4-1 shows the significance criteria summary matrix that includes the allowable criteria used to identify potentially significant incremental noise level increases.

Amahusia	Receiving	Constitution (c)	Significance Criteria	
Analysis	Land Use	Condition(s)	Daytime	Nighttime
	Noise- Sensitive ¹	if ambient is < 60 dBA CNEL	≥ 5 dBA CNEL Project increase	
		if ambient is 60 - 65 dBA CNEL	≥ 3 dBA CNEL Project increase	
Off-Site		if ambient is > 65 dBA CNEL	≥ 1.5 dBA CNEL Project increase	
On-Site	Non-Noise- Sensitive ²	if ambient is > 70 dBA CNEL	≥ 3 dBA CNEL Project increase	
Operational	Noise- Sensitive	if ambient is 60 - 65 dBA Leq ¹	\geq 3 dBA L _{eq} Project increase	
Operational		if ambient is > 65 dBA Leq ¹	≥ 1.5 dBA L _{eq} Project increase	
	Noise- Sensitive	Noise Level Threshold ⁴	80 dBA L _{eq}	70 dBA L _{eq}
Construction		Exterior Noise Level Increase ⁵	15 dBA L _{eq}	
		Vibration Level Threshold ⁶	0.3 PPV (in/sec)	

TABLE 4-1: SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA SUMMARY

¹ FICON, 1992.

² The City of Lancaster General Plan Safety Element Noise Compatible Land Use Objectives (Exhibit 3-A)

³ Federal Transit Administration, Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment Manual.

⁴ City of Lancaster General Plan Safety Element Policy 4.3.2.

⁵ Caltrans Transportation and Construction Vibration Guidance Manual, April 2020 Table 19;"PPV" = peak particle velocity



5 EXISTING NOISE LEVEL MEASUREMENTS

To assess the existing noise level environment, 24-hour noise level measurements were taken at six locations in the Project study area. The receiver locations were selected to describe and document the existing noise environment within the Project study area. Exhibit 5-A provides the boundaries of the Project study area and the noise level measurement locations. To fully describe the existing noise conditions, long-term noise level measurements were collected by Urban Crossroads, Inc. on Tuesday, December 14, 2024. Appendix 5.1 includes study area photos.

5.1 MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE AND CRITERIA

To describe the existing noise environment, the hourly noise levels were measured during typical weekday conditions over a 24-hour period. By collecting individual hourly noise level measurements, it is possible to describe the equivalent daytime and nighttime hourly noise levels. The long-term noise readings were recorded using Piccolo Type 2 integrating sound level meter and dataloggers. The Piccolo sound level meters were calibrated using a Larson-Davis calibrator, Model CAL 150. All noise meters were programmed in "slow" mode to record noise levels in "A" weighted form. The sound level meters and microphones were equipped with a windscreen during all measurements. All noise level measurement equipment satisfies the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) standard specifications for sound level meters ANSI S1.4-2014/IEC 61672-1:2013. (18)

5.2 NOISE MEASUREMENT LOCATIONS

The long-term noise level measurements were positioned as close to the nearest sensitive receiver locations as possible to assess the existing equivalent hourly noise levels surrounding the Project site. Both Caltrans and the FTA recognize that it is not reasonable to collect noise level measurements that can fully represent every part of a private yard, patio, deck, or balcony normally used for human activity when estimating impacts for new development projects. This is demonstrated in the Caltrans general site location guidelines which indicate that, *sites must be free of noise contamination by sources other than sources of interest. Avoid sites located near sources such as barking dogs, lawnmowers, pool pumps, and air conditioners unless it is the express intent of the analyst to measure these sources. (2) Further, FTA guidance states, that it is not necessary nor recommended that existing noise exposure be determined by measuring at every noise-sensitive location in the project area. Rather, the recommended approach is to characterize the noise environment for clusters of sites based on measurements or estimates at representative locations in the community. (8)*

Based on recommendations of Caltrans and the FTA, it is not necessary to collect measurements at each individual building or residence, because each receiver measurement represents a group of buildings that share acoustical equivalence. (8) In other words, the area represented by the receiver shares similar shielding, terrain, and geometric relationship to the reference noise source. Receivers represent a location of noise sensitive areas and are used to estimate the Background (2031) noise level impacts.





EXHIBIT 5-A: NOISE MEASUREMENT LOCATIONS

16126-09 Noise Report


5.3 NOISE MEASUREMENT RESULTS

The noise measurements presented below on Table 5-1 focus on the overall 24-hour CNEL noise levels necessary to demonstrate compliance with the *Noise Compatible Land Use Objectives* outlined above in Exhibit 3-A. In addition, Table 5-1 presents the equivalent or the hourly energy average sound levels (L_{eq}) necessary to describe the to describe the existing *ambient* conditions. Table 5-1 provides the (energy average) noise levels used to describe the hourly daytime and nighttime noise levels at each noise level measurement location. The equivalent sound level (L_{eq}) represents a steady state sound level containing the same total energy as a time varying signal over a given sample period. The daytime and nighttime equivalent noise levels represent the average of all hourly noise levels observed during these time periods expressed as a single number.

Location ¹	Description	Energy A Noise (dBA	CNEL ²	
		Daytime	Nighttime	
L1	Located west of the SP within the Leisure Lake Mobile Estates near the residence at 48303 20th Street West.	56.4	48.9	57.9
L2	Located southwest of the SP at the southern entry for the Antelope Valley Fairgrounds at 2551 Avenue G8.	65.7	55.1	65.6
L3	Located south of the SP near the existing single-family residence at 1145 Regents Street.	72.6	64.6	73.8
L4	Located east of the SP at the southwest corner of the intersection of Division Street and W Avenue F4.	55.0	47.7	56.5
L5	Located east of the SP within Mitchell's Avenue E RV Park at 721 Avenue E.	70.4	59.8	70.6
L6	Located northeast of the SP the existing Little Texas residential use just north of Avenue C.	51.2	46.9	54.7

TABLE 5-1: AMBIENT NOISE LEVEL MEASUREMENTS

¹ See Exhibit 5-A for the noise level measurement locations.

² The long-term 24-hour measurement worksheets are included in Appendix 5.2.

The measurements presented below in Table 5-1 allows for a comparison of the before and after Project noise levels and is necessary to assess potential noise increase due to the Project's contribution to the *ambient* noise levels. This approach is necessary to calculate the temporary or permanent increase in *ambient* noise levels as required by the CEQA Guidelines Environmental Checklist. Appendix 5.2 provides summary worksheets of the noise levels for each hour as well as the minimum, maximum, L₁, L₂, L₅, L₈, L₂₅, L₉₀, L₉₅, and L₉₉ percentile noise levels observed during the daytime and nighttime periods.



This page intentionally left blank



6 TRAFFIC NOISE METHODS AND PROCEDURES

The purpose of this off-site traffic noise analysis is to evaluate the traffic noise impacts for the PAs 2, 4, 6, 7, and 8. The off-site traffic noise impacts associated with the SP and Annexation Areas will be controlled by the noise policies outlined in the City of Lancaster General Plan Safety Element. The following section outlines the methods and procedures used to estimate and analyze the Existing and Background (2031) traffic noise environment. Consistent with City of Lancaster *Noise Compatible Land Use Objectives* (see Exhibit 3-A), all transportation related noise levels are presented in terms of the 24-hour CNEL's. Unlike a simple arithmetic average noise level, CNEL represents the logarithmic summation of the equivalent hourly noise levels with evening and nighttime noise penalties recognizing that noise may have different impacts on people depending on when it occurs.

6.1 FHWA TRAFFIC NOISE PREDICTION MODEL

The expected roadway noise level increases from vehicular traffic were calculated by Urban Crossroads, Inc. using a computer program that replicates the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) Traffic Noise Prediction Model- FHWA-RD-77-108. (19) This methodology is commonly used to describe the off-site traffic noise levels throughout southern California. The FHWA Model arrives at a predicted noise level through a series of adjustments to the Reference Energy Mean Emission Level (REMEL) by vehicle type. REMEL represents the maximum sound level (L_{max}) of individual vehicle "pass by" events by vehicle type when measured at a "reference distance" of 50 feet from the center of the travel lane.

In California the national REMELs are substituted with the California Vehicle Noise (Calveno) Emission Levels. (20) Adjustments are then made to the REMEL to account for: the roadway classification (e.g., collector, secondary, major or arterial), the roadway active width (i.e., the distance between the center of the outermost travel lanes on each side of the roadway), the total average daily traffic (ADT), the travel speed, the percentages of automobiles, medium trucks, and heavy trucks in the traffic volume, the roadway grade, the angle of view (e.g., whether the roadway view is blocked), the site conditions ("hard" or "soft" relates to the absorption of the ground, pavement, or landscaping), and the percentage of total ADT which flows each hour throughout a 24-hour period. Research conducted by Caltrans has shown that the use of soft site conditions is appropriate for the application of the FHWA traffic noise prediction model used in this analysis. (21) This is the same methodology and approach used for the City of Lancaster General Plan EIR. (22)

6.2 OFF-SITE TRAFFIC NOISE PREDICTION MODEL INPUTS

Table 6-1 presents the roadway parameters used to assess the off-site dBA CNEL transportation noise impacts for PAs 2, 4, 6, 7, and 8. Table 6-1 identifies the 17 off-site study area roadway segments as shown on Exhibit 6-A, the distance from the centerline to adjacent land use based on the functional roadway classifications and the vehicle speeds. Roadway segments were selected for traffic noise impact analysis based on a review of the Project's passenger car and



truck trip distributions as presented in the TA. Only segments with assigned Project traffic were included in the analysis, as segments without Project traffic are not expected to experience any increase in off-site traffic noise levels.



EXHIBIT 6-A: OFF-SITE STUDY AREA ROADWAY SEGMENTS



ID	Roadway	Segment	Roadway Type	Receiving Land Use ¹	Distance from Centerline to Receiving Land Use (Feet) ²	Vehicle Speed (mph)
1	20th St. West	s/o Avenue E	Collector	Sensitive	32'	50
2	Sierra Hwy.	n/o Avenue A	Major	Sensitive	59'	55
3	Sierra Hwy.	s/o Avenue A	Major	Non-Sensitive	59'	55
4	Sierra Hwy.	n/o Avenue D	Major	Non-Sensitive	59'	55
5	Sierra Hwy.	n/o Avenue E	Major	Non-Sensitive	59'	55
6	Sierra Hwy.	n/o Avenue F	Major	Sensitive	59'	55
7	Sierra Hwy.	n/o Avenue G	Major	Non-Sensitive	59'	55
8	Sierra Hwy.	n/o Avenue H	Major	Non-Sensitive	59'	55
9	Avenue A	w/o Sierra Hwy.	Secondary	Sensitive	42'	55
10	Avenue D	w/o 20th St. West	Major	Non-Sensitive	59'	55
11	Avenue D	e/o 20th St. West	Major	Non-Sensitive	59'	55
12	Avenue D	w/o Sierra Hwy.	Major	Non-Sensitive	59'	55
13	Avenue E	w/o Sierra Hwy.	Secondary	Non-Sensitive	42'	55
14	Avenue F	w/o 20th St. West	Secondary	Non-Sensitive	42'	55
15	Avenue F	e/o 20th St. West	Secondary	Non-Sensitive	42'	55
16	Avenue F	w/o Sierra Hwy.	Secondary	Non-Sensitive	42'	55
17	Avenue G	w/o Sierra Hwy.	Secondary	Non-Sensitive	42'	55

TABLE 6-1: OFF-SITE ROADWAY PARAMETERS

¹ Based on a review of existing aerial imagery. Noise sensitive uses limited to existing residential land uses.

 $^{\rm 2}$ Distance to receiving land use is based upon the right-of-way distances.

The ADT volumes used in this study area presented on Table 6-2 are based on the *Westside Annexation and North Lancaster Industrial Specific Plan Local Transportation Assessment,* (TA) prepared by Urban Crossroads, Inc. for the following traffic scenarios (23):

- Existing
- Existing with PAs 2, 4, 6, 7, and 8
- Background (2031) Background (2031) with PAs 2, 4, 6, 7, and 8

The ADT volumes vary for each roadway segment based on the existing traffic volumes and the combination of project traffic distributions. This analysis relies on a comparative evaluation of the off-site traffic noise impacts at the boundary of the right-of-way of the receiving adjacent land use, without and with project ADT traffic volumes from the Project Local Transportation Assessment. Consistent with the TA, the Project is anticipated to generate a net total of 21,182 two-way trips per day (actual vehicles) that includes 4,940 truck trips.



			Average Daily Traffic Volumes ¹					
	Boodwov	Sogmont	Exis	ting	Backgrou	nd (2031)		
U	Koadway	Segment	Without Project	With Project	Without Project	With Project		
1	20th St. West	s/o Avenue E	2,282	5,344	3,299	6,362		
2	Sierra Hwy.	n/o Avenue A	6,782	7,841	7,642	8,701		
3	Sierra Hwy.	s/o Avenue A	7,813	9,684	8,766	10,638		
4	Sierra Hwy.	n/o Avenue D	8,197	10,068	9,186	11,057		
5	Sierra Hwy.	n/o Avenue E	11,409	11,990	12,791	13,373		
6	Sierra Hwy.	n/o Avenue F	7,119	9,715	8,111	10,708		
7	Sierra Hwy.	n/o Avenue G	7,402	10,333	9,375	12,305		
8	Sierra Hwy.	n/o Avenue H	6,761	8,632	8,249	10,121		
9	Avenue A	w/o Sierra Hwy.	1,346	2,158	1,468	2,280		
10	Avenue D	w/o 20th St. West	3,859	11,999	4,416	12,556		
11	Avenue D	e/o 20th St. West	3,349	11,489	3,859	11,999		
12	Avenue D	w/o Sierra Hwy.	3,349	4,799	3,859	5,310		
13	Avenue E	w/o Sierra Hwy.	202	2,217	220	2,236		
14	Avenue F	w/o 20th St. West	3,586	11,826	7,157	15,397		
15	Avenue F	e/o 20th St. West	1,330	6,508	2,049	7,227		
16	Avenue F	w/o Sierra Hwy.	1,330	2,194	2,403	3,267		
17	Avenue G	w/o Sierra Hwy.	3,218	3,218	3,562	3,562		

TABLE 6-2: AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC VOLUMES

¹Westside Annexation and North Lancaster Industrial Specific Plan Local Transportation Assessment, Urban Crossroads, Inc.

To quantify the off-site noise levels, Project-related truck trips were added to the heavy truck category in the FHWA noise prediction model. The addition of the Project related truck trips increases the percentage of heavy trucks in the vehicle mix. This approach recognizes that the FHWA noise prediction model is significantly influenced by the number of heavy trucks in the vehicle mix. The unadjusted daily Project truck trip-ends were assigned to the individual off-site study area roadway segments based on the Project truck trip distribution percentages documented in the traffic study.

Table 6-3 provides the time of day (daytime, evening, and nighttime) vehicle splits and the traffic flow by vehicle type (vehicle mix) used for all without Project traffic scenarios. Tables 6-4 to 6-5 show the with Project vehicle mix. Due to the added Project truck trips, the increase in Project traffic volumes and the distributions of trucks on the study area road segments, the percentage of autos, medium trucks and heavy trucks will vary for each of the traffic scenarios. This explains why the existing and Background (2031) traffic volumes and vehicle mixes vary between seemingly identical study area roadway segments.



Time of Dou		Vehicle Mix ¹		Time of Day
Time of Day	Autos ²	Medium Trucks ³ Heavy Trucks ⁴		Split ¹
Daytime	73.13%	1.44%	2.66%	77.23%
Evening	7.43%	0.07%	0.25%	7.75%
Nighttime	13.94%	0.35%	0.72%	15.02%
Daily	94.51%	1.86%	3.63%	100.00%

TABLE 6-3: TIME OF DAY VEHICLE SPLITS

¹ Based on 11/14/2024, 24-hour directional vehicle classification count collected on West Avenue H west of Sierra Highway in the City of Lancaster (Westside Annexation and North Lancaster Industrial Specific Plan Local Transportation Assessment, Urban Crossroads, Inc.) ² All vehicles with two axles and four wheels designed primarily for transportation of nine or fewer passengers (automobiles) or

transportation of cargo (light trucks).

³ All vehicles with two axles and six wheels designed for transportation of cargo.

⁴ All vehicles with three or more axles designed for the transportation of cargo.

				With P	roject ¹	
ID	Roadway	Segment	Autos	Medium Trucks	Heavy Trucks	Total ²
1	20th St. West	s/o Avenue E	82.59%	3.73%	13.68%	100.00%
2	Sierra Hwy.	n/o Avenue A	92.10%	2.22%	5.67%	100.00%
3	Sierra Hwy.	s/o Avenue A	93.02%	2.00%	4.98%	100.00%
4	Sierra Hwy.	n/o Avenue D	93.08%	1.99%	4.93%	100.00%
5	Sierra Hwy.	n/o Avenue E	94.06%	1.91%	4.03%	100.00%
6	Sierra Hwy.	n/o Avenue F	91.78%	2.18%	6.04%	100.00%
7	Sierra Hwy.	n/o Avenue G	91.29%	2.26%	6.45%	100.00%
8	Sierra Hwy.	n/o Avenue H	92.84%	2.02%	5.14%	100.00%
9	Avenue A	w/o Sierra Hwy.	96.58%	1.16%	2.26%	100.00%
10	Avenue D	w/o 20th St. West	82.13%	3.73%	14.13%	100.00%
11	Avenue D	e/o 20th St. West	81.58%	3.82%	14.60%	100.00%
12	Avenue D	w/o Sierra Hwy.	89.46%	2.61%	7.93%	100.00%
13	Avenue E	w/o Sierra Hwy.	84.98%	3.00%	12.03%	100.00%
14	Avenue F	w/o 20th St. West	79.17%	4.30%	16.54%	100.00%
15	Avenue F	e/o 20th St. West	76.41%	4.76%	18.83%	100.00%
16	Avenue F	w/o Sierra Hwy.	91.18%	2.20%	6.62%	100.00%
17	Avenue G	w/o Sierra Hwy.	94.51%	1.86%	3.63%	100.00%

TABLE 6-4: EXISTING WITH PROJECT VEHICLE MIX

¹ Total of vehicle mix percentage values rounded to the nearest one-hundredth.



				With P	roject ¹	
ID	Roadway	Segment	Autos	Medium Trucks	Heavy Trucks	Total ²
1	20th St. West	s/o Avenue E	84.50%	3.43%	12.07%	100.00%
2	Sierra Hwy.	n/o Avenue A	92.34%	2.19%	5.47%	100.00%
3	Sierra Hwy.	s/o Avenue A	93.16%	1.99%	4.86%	100.00%
4	Sierra Hwy.	n/o Avenue D	93.21%	1.98%	4.81%	100.00%
5	Sierra Hwy.	n/o Avenue E	94.11%	1.91%	3.99%	100.00%
6	Sierra Hwy.	n/o Avenue F	92.03%	2.15%	5.81%	100.00%
7	Sierra Hwy.	n/o Avenue G	91.80%	2.20%	6.00%	100.00%
8	Sierra Hwy.	n/o Avenue H	93.09%	1.99%	4.92%	100.00%
9	Avenue A	w/o Sierra Hwy.	96.47%	1.20%	2.33%	100.00%
10	Avenue D	w/o 20th St. West	82.68%	3.65%	13.67%	100.00%
11	Avenue D	e/o 20th St. West	82.13%	3.73%	14.13%	100.00%
12	Avenue D	w/o Sierra Hwy.	89.95%	2.53%	7.52%	100.00%
13	Avenue E	w/o Sierra Hwy.	85.06%	2.99%	11.96%	100.00%
14	Avenue F	w/o 20th St. West	82.73%	3.73%	13.54%	100.00%
15	Avenue F	e/o 20th St. West	78.21%	4.47%	17.32%	100.00%
16	Avenue F	w/o Sierra Hwy.	92.28%	2.09%	5.64%	100.00%
17	Avenue G	w/o Sierra Hwy.	94.51%	1.86%	3.63%	100.00%

TABLE 6-5: BACKGROUND (2031) WITH PROJECT VEHICLE MIX

¹ Total of vehicle mix percentage values rounded to the nearest one-hundredth.

7 OFF-SITE TRAFFIC NOISE ANALYSIS

By far, the largest single source of community noise is the flow of traffic on major roadways. Motor vehicle noise is generated by engine vibrations, the interaction between tires and the road, and the exhaust system. To assess the off-site transportation CNEL noise level impacts associated with the development of the proposed Project, noise contours were developed based on the *Westside Annexation and North Lancaster Industrial Specific Plan Local Transportation Assessment*, prepared by Urban Crossroads, Inc. (23)

7.1 TRAFFIC NOISE CONTOURS

Noise contour boundaries represent equal levels of noise exposure and are measured in CNEL from the center of the roadway. Noise contours were used to assess the Project's incremental 24-hour dBA CNEL traffic-related noise impacts at land uses adjacent to roadways conveying Project traffic.

7.1.1 ANNEXATION AREA

A larger area will be annexed into the City of Lancaster (currently within Los Angeles County) which encompasses 7,153 acres of which 1,860.7 acres is associated with the SP. There are six land use areas proposed within the Annexation Area: non-urban residential uses, multifamily residential (mobile home), mixed use (residential with retail, office, or service uses), light industrial, public uses, and the proposed SP. According to the *Westside Annexation and North Lancaster Industrial Specific Plan Local Transportation Assessment* the Annexation Area will generate a total of 163,472 two-way trips per day. The annexation area is currently located within unincorporated Los Angeles County and the off-site traffic noise level impacts were previously evaluated in the Los Angeles County General Plan Update Draft EIR (24). The Draft EIR determined that Buildout of the General Plan including the annexation area would result in an increase in traffic on local roadways, which would substantially increase the existing ambient noise environment.

7.1.2 NORTH LANCASTER INDUSTRIAL SPECIFIC PLAN

The SP encompasses approximately 1,860.7 acres. Note that the approved Antelope Valley Logistics Center West Site is located within the western half of PA 6 of the SP which includes the development of two 1,007,536 square foot High-Cube Transload & Short-Term Storage Warehouse buildings for a total of 2,015,072 square feet. The western half of PA 6 has been previously entitled and its impacts analyzed in a previously approved CEQA document. In addition, the western half of PA 6 has been included as part of the cumulative background conditions that are reflected in the off-site traffic noise analysis.



According to the *Westside Annexation and North Lancaster Industrial Specific Plan Local Transportation Assessment*, the land use mix for the SP includes 40% Industrial Park, 25% Warehousing, 25% High-Cube Parcel Hub Warehouse, and 10% High-Cube Cold Storage Warehouse uses for PAs 1, 3, and 5.

The noise contours represent the distance to noise levels of a constant value and are measured from the center of the roadway for the 70, 65, and 60 dBA CNEL. The noise contours do not consider the effect of any existing noise barriers or topography that may attenuate ambient noise levels. In addition, because the noise contours reflect modeling of vehicular noise on area roadways, they appropriately do not reflect noise contributions from the surrounding stationary noise sources within the Project study area. Tables 7-1 through 7-4 present a summary of the exterior dBA CNEL traffic noise levels for each traffic condition. Appendix 7.1 includes a summary of the dBA CNEL traffic noise level contour worksheets for each of the traffic conditions.

	Road	Segment	Receiving	CNEL at Receiving	Distance to Contour from Centerline (Feet)		
			Land Use ¹	Land Use (dBA) ²	70 dBA CNEL	65 dBA CNEL	60 dBA CNEL
1	20th St. West	s/o Avenue E	Sensitive	67.5	RW	47	101
2	Sierra Hwy.	n/o Avenue A	Sensitive	69.9	RW	125	269
3	Sierra Hwy.	s/o Avenue A	Non-Sensitive	70.5	64	137	296
4	Sierra Hwy.	n/o Avenue D	Non-Sensitive	70.7	66	142	306
5	Sierra Hwy.	n/o Avenue E	Non-Sensitive	72.2	82	177	381
6	Sierra Hwy.	n/o Avenue F	Sensitive	70.1	60	129	278
7	Sierra Hwy.	n/o Avenue G	Non-Sensitive	70.3	62	133	286
8	Sierra Hwy.	n/o Avenue H	Non-Sensitive	69.9	RW	125	269
9	Avenue A	w/o Sierra Hwy.	Sensitive	64.8	RW	RW	88
10	Avenue D	w/o 20th St. West	Non-Sensitive	67.4	RW	86	185
11	Avenue D	e/o 20th St. West	Non-Sensitive	66.8	RW	78	168
12	Avenue D	w/o Sierra Hwy.	Non-Sensitive	66.8	RW	78	168
13	Avenue E	w/o Sierra Hwy.	Non-Sensitive	56.6	RW	RW	RW
14	Avenue F	w/o 20th St. West	Non-Sensitive	69.1	RW	79	169
15	Avenue F	e/o 20th St. West	Non-Sensitive	64.8	RW	RW	87
16	Avenue F	w/o Sierra Hwy.	Non-Sensitive	64.8	RW	RW	87
17	Avenue G	w/o Sierra Hwy.	Non-Sensitive	68.6	RW	73	158

TABLE 7-1: EXISTING WITHOUT PROJECT CONTOURS

¹ Based on a review of existing aerial imagery. Noise sensitive uses are limited to existing residential land uses.

² The CNEL is calculated at the boundary of the right-of-way of the receiving adjacent land use.

"RW" = Location of the respective noise contour falls within the right-of-way of the road.



	Road	Segment	Receiving Land Use ¹	CNEL at Receiving	Distance to Contour from Centerline (Feet)		
				Land Use (dBA) ²	70 dBA CNEL	65 dBA CNEL	60 dBA CNEL
1	20th St. West	s/o Avenue E	Sensitive	75.3	72	154	333
2	Sierra Hwy.	n/o Avenue A	Sensitive	71.7	76	164	353
3	Sierra Hwy.	s/o Avenue A	Non-Sensitive	72.2	83	178	384
4	Sierra Hwy.	n/o Avenue D	Non-Sensitive	72.3	85	182	392
5	Sierra Hwy.	n/o Avenue E	Non-Sensitive	72.6	88	190	409
6	Sierra Hwy.	n/o Avenue F	Sensitive	72.7	90	194	417
7	Sierra Hwy.	n/o Avenue G	Non-Sensitive	73.2	96	208	448
8	Sierra Hwy.	n/o Avenue H	Non-Sensitive	71.8	78	167	360
9	Avenue A	w/o Sierra Hwy.	Sensitive	65.8	RW	47	102
10	Avenue D	w/o 20th St. West	Non-Sensitive	76.4	158	340	732
11	Avenue D	e/o 20th St. West	Non-Sensitive	76.3	156	336	723
12	Avenue D	w/o Sierra Hwy.	Non-Sensitive	70.5	64	137	296
13	Avenue E	w/o Sierra Hwy.	Non-Sensitive	70.4	45	97	208
14	Avenue F	w/o 20th St. West	Non-Sensitive	78.9	164	353	761
15	Avenue F	e/o 20th St. West	Non-Sensitive	76.8	119	255	550
16	Avenue F	w/o Sierra Hwy.	Non-Sensitive	68.5	RW	72	155
17	Avenue G	w/o Sierra Hwy.	Non-Sensitive	68.6	RW	73	158

TABLE 7-2: EXISTING WITH PROJECT CONTOURS

¹ Based on a review of existing aerial imagery. Noise sensitive uses are limited to existing residential land uses.

² The CNEL is calculated at the boundary of the right-of-way of the receiving adjacent land use.

 $"\mathsf{RW}"$ = Location of the respective noise contour falls within the right-of-way of the road.



	Road	Segment	Receiving Land Use ¹	CNEL at Receiving	Distano Ce	Distance to Contour from Centerline (Feet)			
				Land Use (dBA) ²	70 dBA CNEL	65 dBA CNEL	60 dBA CNEL		
1	20th St. West	s/o Avenue E	Sensitive	69.1	RW	60	129		
2	Sierra Hwy.	n/o Avenue A	Sensitive	70.4	63	135	292		
3	Sierra Hwy.	s/o Avenue A	Non-Sensitive	71.0	69	148	320		
4	Sierra Hwy.	n/o Avenue D	Non-Sensitive	71.2	71	153	330		
5	Sierra Hwy.	n/o Avenue E	Non-Sensitive	72.6	89	191	411		
6	Sierra Hwy.	n/o Avenue F	Sensitive	70.7	65	141	304		
7	Sierra Hwy.	n/o Avenue G	Non-Sensitive	71.3	72	155	334		
8	Sierra Hwy.	n/o Avenue H	Non-Sensitive	70.7	66	142	307		
9	Avenue A	w/o Sierra Hwy.	Sensitive	65.2	RW	43	93		
10	Avenue D	w/o 20th St. West	Non-Sensitive	68.0	RW	94	202		
11	Avenue D	e/o 20th St. West	Non-Sensitive	67.4	RW	86	185		
12	Avenue D	w/o Sierra Hwy.	Non-Sensitive	67.4	RW	86	185		
13	Avenue E	w/o Sierra Hwy.	Non-Sensitive	57.0	RW	RW	RW		
14	Avenue F	w/o 20th St. West	Non-Sensitive	72.1	58	125	269		
15	Avenue F	e/o 20th St. West	Non-Sensitive	66.7	RW	54	117		
16	Avenue F	w/o Sierra Hwy.	Non-Sensitive	67.3	RW	60	130		
17	Avenue G	w/o Sierra Hwy.	Non-Sensitive	69.1	RW	78	169		

TABLE 7-3: BACKGROUND (2031) WITHOUT PROJECT CONTOURS

¹ Based on a review of existing aerial imagery. Noise sensitive uses are limited to existing residential land uses.

² The CNEL is calculated at the boundary of the right-of-way of the receiving adjacent land use.

 $"\mathsf{RW}"$ = Location of the respective noise contour falls within the right-of-way of the road.



	Road	Segment	Receiving	CNEL at Receiving	Distand	Distance to Contour from Centerline (Feet)		
			Land Use ¹	Land Use (dBA) ²	70 dBA CNEL	65 dBA CNEL	60 dBA CNEL	
1	20th St. West	s/o Avenue E	Sensitive	75.6	75	162	349	
2	Sierra Hwy.	n/o Avenue A	Sensitive	72.0	80	173	373	
3	Sierra Hwy.	s/o Avenue A	Non-Sensitive	72.5	87	188	405	
4	Sierra Hwy.	n/o Avenue D	Non-Sensitive	72.7	89	192	414	
5	Sierra Hwy.	n/o Avenue E	Non-Sensitive	73.1	94	203	438	
6	Sierra Hwy.	n/o Avenue F	Sensitive	73.1	94	203	438	
7	Sierra Hwy.	n/o Avenue G	Non-Sensitive	73.8	105	226	487	
8	Sierra Hwy.	n/o Avenue H	Non-Sensitive	72.4	85	183	394	
9	Avenue A	w/o Sierra Hwy.	Sensitive	66.1	RW	50	107	
10	Avenue D	w/o 20th St. West	Non-Sensitive	76.5	160	344	740	
11	Avenue D	e/o 20th St. West	Non-Sensitive	76.4	158	340	732	
12	Avenue D	w/o Sierra Hwy.	Non-Sensitive	70.8	66	143	309	
13	Avenue E	w/o Sierra Hwy.	Non-Sensitive	70.5	45	97	209	
14	Avenue F	w/o 20th St. West	Non-Sensitive	79.3	175	378	813	
15	Avenue F	e/o 20th St. West	Non-Sensitive	76.9	121	261	563	
16	Avenue F	w/o Sierra Hwy.	Non-Sensitive	69.8	RW	87	188	
17	Avenue G	w/o Sierra Hwy.	Non-Sensitive	69.1	RW	78	169	

TABLE 7-4: BACKGROUND (2031) WITH PROJECT CONTOURS

¹ Based on a review of existing aerial imagery. Noise sensitive uses are limited to existing residential land uses.

² The CNEL is calculated at the boundary of the right-of-way of the receiving adjacent land use.

"RW" = Location of the respective noise contour falls within the right-of-way of the road.

7.2 EXISTING PROJECT TRAFFIC NOISE LEVEL INCREASES

An analysis of existing traffic noise levels plus traffic noise generated by the proposed Project has been included in this report to fully analyze all the existing traffic scenarios identified in the traffic study. This condition is provided solely for informational purposes and will not occur, since the Project will not be fully developed and occupied under Existing conditions. Table 7-1 shows the Existing without Project conditions CNEL noise levels. The Existing without Project exterior noise levels is expected to range from 56.6 to 72.2 dBA CNEL, without accounting for any noise attenuation features such as noise barriers or topography. Table 7-2 shows the Existing with Project conditions will range from 65.8 to 78.9 dBA CNEL. Table 7-5 shows that the Project off-site traffic noise level impacts will range from 0.4 to 13.8 dBA CNEL.

Based on the significance criteria for off-site traffic noise presented in Table 4-1, 14 of the study area roadway segments are shown to experience *potentially significant* off-site traffic noise increases due to the Existing with Project Buildout conditions. For an off-site traffic noise level impact to be considered significant, receivers need to perceive an increase of traffic noise levels over time and the off-site traffic impacts are generally limited to noise sensitive residential



receivers that are likely to perceive this increase. Section 7.4 describes the off-site traffic noise mitigation measures considered in this analysis.

ID	Road	Segment	Receiving Land Use ¹	CNEL at Receiving Land Use (dBA) ¹			Incremental Noise Level Increase Threshold ²	
				No Project	With Project	Project Addition	Limit	Exceeded?
1	20th St. West	s/o Avenue E	Sensitive	67.5	75.3	7.8	1.5	Yes
2	Sierra Hwy.	n/o Avenue A	Sensitive	69.9	71.7	1.8	1.5	Yes
3	Sierra Hwy.	s/o Avenue A	Non-Sensitive	70.5	72.2	1.7	1.5	Yes
4	Sierra Hwy.	n/o Avenue D	Non-Sensitive	70.7	72.3	1.6	1.5	Yes
5	Sierra Hwy.	n/o Avenue E	Non-Sensitive	72.2	72.6	0.4	1.5	No
6	Sierra Hwy.	n/o Avenue F	Sensitive	70.1	72.7	2.6	1.5	Yes
7	Sierra Hwy.	n/o Avenue G	Non-Sensitive	70.3	73.2	2.9	1.5	Yes
8	Sierra Hwy.	n/o Avenue H	Non-Sensitive	69.9	71.8	1.9	1.5	Yes
9	Avenue A	w/o Sierra Hwy.	Sensitive	64.8	65.8	1.0	3.0	No
10	Avenue D	w/o 20th St. West	Non-Sensitive	67.4	76.4	9.0	1.5	Yes
11	Avenue D	e/o 20th St. West	Non-Sensitive	66.8	76.3	9.5	1.5	Yes
12	Avenue D	w/o Sierra Hwy.	Non-Sensitive	66.8	70.5	3.7	1.5	Yes
13	Avenue E	w/o Sierra Hwy.	Non-Sensitive	56.6	70.4	13.8	5.0	Yes
14	Avenue F	w/o 20th St. West	Non-Sensitive	69.1	78.9	9.8	1.5	Yes
15	Avenue F	e/o 20th St. West	Non-Sensitive	64.8	76.8	12.0	3.0	Yes
16	Avenue F	w/o Sierra Hwy.	Non-Sensitive	64.8	68.5	3.7	3.0	Yes
17	Avenue G	w/o Sierra Hwy.	Non-Sensitive	68.6	68.6	0.0	0.0	No

TABLE 7-5: EXISTING WITH PROJECT TRAFFIC NOISE LEVEL INCREASES

¹Based on a review of existing aerial imagery. Noise sensitive uses limited to existing residential land uses.

² The CNEL is calculated at the boundary of the right-of-way of each roadway and the property line of the receiving land use.

³ Does the Project create an incremental noise level increase exceeding the significance criteria (Table 4-1)?

7.3 BACKGROUND (2031) PROJECT TRAFFIC NOISE LEVEL INCREASES

Table 7-3 presents the Background (2031) without Project conditions CNEL noise levels. The Background (2031) without Project exterior noise levels is expected to range from 57.0 to 72.6 dBA CNEL, without accounting for any noise attenuation features such as noise barriers or topography. Table 7-4 shows the Background (2031) with Project conditions will range from 66.1 to 79.3 dBA CNEL. Table 7-6 shows that the Project off-site traffic noise level increases will range from 0.5 to 13.5 dBA CNEL. Based on the significance criteria for off-site traffic noise presented in Table 4-1, 12 of the study area roadway segments are shown to experience *potentially significant* off-site traffic noise increases due to the Existing with Project Buildout conditions.



ID	Road	Segment	Receiving Land Use ¹	CNEL at Receiving Land Use (dBA) ¹			Incremental Noise Level Increase Threshold ²	
				No Project	With Project	Project Addition	Limit	Exceeded?
1	20th St. West	s/o Avenue E	Sensitive	69.1	75.6	6.5	1.5	Yes
2	Sierra Hwy.	n/o Avenue A	Sensitive	70.4	72.0	1.6	1.5	Yes
3	Sierra Hwy.	s/o Avenue A	Non-Sensitive	71.0	72.5	1.5	1.5	No
4	Sierra Hwy.	n/o Avenue D	Non-Sensitive	71.2	72.7	1.5	1.5	No
5	Sierra Hwy.	n/o Avenue E	Non-Sensitive	72.6	73.1	0.5	1.5	No
6	Sierra Hwy.	n/o Avenue F	Sensitive	70.7	73.1	2.4	1.5	Yes
7	Sierra Hwy.	n/o Avenue G	Non-Sensitive	71.3	73.8	2.5	1.5	Yes
8	Sierra Hwy.	n/o Avenue H	Non-Sensitive	70.7	72.4	1.7	1.5	Yes
9	Avenue A	w/o Sierra Hwy.	Sensitive	65.2	66.1	0.9	1.5	No
10	Avenue D	w/o 20th St. West	Non-Sensitive	68.0	76.5	8.5	1.5	Yes
11	Avenue D	e/o 20th St. West	Non-Sensitive	67.4	76.4	9.0	1.5	Yes
12	Avenue D	w/o Sierra Hwy.	Non-Sensitive	67.4	70.8	3.4	1.5	Yes
13	Avenue E	w/o Sierra Hwy.	Non-Sensitive	57.0	70.5	13.5	5.0	Yes
14	Avenue F	w/o 20th St. West	Non-Sensitive	72.1	79.3	7.2	1.5	Yes
15	Avenue F	e/o 20th St. West	Non-Sensitive	66.7	76.9	10.2	1.5	Yes
16	Avenue F	w/o Sierra Hwy.	Non-Sensitive	67.3	69.8	2.5	1.5	Yes
17	Avenue G	w/o Sierra Hwy.	Non-Sensitive	69.1	69.1	0.0	1.5	No

TABLE 7-6: BACKGROUND (2031) WITH PROJECT TRAFFIC NOISE INCREASES

¹Based on a review of existing aerial imagery. Noise sensitive uses limited to existing residential land uses.

² The CNEL is calculated at the boundary of the right-of-way of each roadway and the property line of the receiving land use.

³ Does the Project create an incremental noise level increase exceeding the significance criteria (Table 4-1)?

7.4 OFF-SITE TRAFFIC NOISE MITIGATION

Under CEQA, when a project has a *potentially significant* impact, mitigation measures must be considered to reduce or eliminate the harm whenever feasible. This ensures informed decision-making, protects the environment, and helps projects comply with legal requirements to minimize adverse effects. The off-site Traffic Noise Analysis shows that Project traffic noise increases on 14 study area roadway segments will exceed the incremental noise level increase thresholds shown on Table 4-1. To reduce the *potentially significant* Project traffic noise increases on the impacted study area roadway segments, potential noise mitigation measures were considered in this analysis. Potential mitigation measures discussed below include rubberized asphalt hot mix pavement and off-site noise barriers for the existing noise sensitive residential land uses adjacent to impacted roadway segments.

7.4.1 RUBBERIZED ASPHALT

The FHWA Traffic Noise Prediction Model (FHWA-RD-77-108) used for the off-site traffic noise analysis, assumes a standard dense-graded asphalt pavement as the default pavement type. This



model does not account for variations in pavement type, texture, or surface characteristics, which can influence noise levels. Therefore, due to the potential noise attenuation benefits, rubberized asphalt is considered as a mitigation measure for the off-site Project-related traffic noise increases. In addition, according to the Director of Public Works, rubberized asphalt is the City's standard pavement type for all roadways.

To reduce traffic noise levels at the noise source, Caltrans research has shown that rubberized asphalt can provide noise attenuation of approximately 4 dBA for automobile traffic noise levels. (8) Changing the pavement type of a roadway has been shown to reduce the amount of tire/pavement noise produced at the source under both near-term and long-term conditions. Traffic noise is generated primarily by the interaction of the tires and pavement, the engine, and exhaust systems. For automobiles noise, as much as 75 to 90-percent of traffic noise is generated by the interaction of the tires and pavement, especially when traveling at higher and constant speeds. (2) According to research conducted by Caltrans (8) and(18) the Canadian Ministry of Transportation and Highways (8) a 4 dBA reduction in tire/pavement noise is attainable using rubberized asphalt under typical operating conditions.

The effectiveness of reducing traffic noise levels is higher on roadways with low percentages of heavy trucks, since the heavy truck engine and exhaust noise is not reduced by rubberized pavement. This is due to the height of the truck engine exhaust stack above the pavement. (8) Per Caltrans guidance a truck stack height is modeled using a height of 11.5 feet above the road. (4) (25) Based on California Vehicle Noise (Calveno) Reference Energy Mean Emission Levels (REMELs), one heavy truck traveling at 55 mph generates as much noise as approximately 13 autos at the same speed. (17 p. 3_20) This is due to a combination of factors including the difference between the noise source height for heavy trucks of 11.5 feet versus autos with a noise source height of less than 2 feet. With the primary off-site traffic noise source for this Project consisting of heavy trucks with a stack height of 11.5 feet off the ground, the tire/pavement noise reduction benefits associated with rubberized asphalt will be primarily limited to autos.

While the off-site Project-related traffic noise level increases would theoretically be reduced with the 4 dBA reduction provided by rubberized asphalt, the reduction would not provide reliable benefits for the noise levels generated by heavy truck traffic. This is, as previously stated, due to the noise source height difference between automobiles and trucks. While rubberized asphalt will provide some noise reduction, this noise study recognizes that this is only effective for tireon-pavement noise at higher speeds and would not reduce truck-related off-site traffic noise levels associated with truck engine and exhaust stacks to less than significant levels. Since the use of rubberized asphalt would not lower the off-site traffic noise levels below a level of significance, rubberized asphalt is not proposed as mitigation for the Project and the off-site Project-related traffic noise level increases at adjacent land uses would remain *significant*. Nevertheless, rubberize asphalt is the City standard for all roadways and any new roadway construction within the annexation area will be required to utilize rubberized asphalt.

7.4.2 OFF-SITE NOISE BARRIERS

While noise barriers are commonly used to reduce the potential traffic noise levels from nearby transportation noise source activities, they are typically developed in coordination with new



noise sensitive residential development or as part of a roadway widening project. Even though off-site noise barriers are typically not developed by individual off-site projects that contribute to the cumulative off-site traffic noise levels, off-site noise barriers were considered in this analysis as a potential traffic noise mitigation measure to reduce the Project-related impacts.

Off-site noise barriers are estimated to provide a *readily perceptible* 5 dBA reduction which, according to the FHWA, is *simple* to attain when blocking the line-of-sight from the noise source to the receiver. (4) Caltrans guidance in the Highway Design Manual, Section 1102.3(3), indicates that for design purposes, *the noise barrier should intercept the line of sight from the exhaust stack of a truck to the receptor*, and an 11.5-foot-high truck stack height is assumed to represent the truck engine and exhaust noise source. (25) As a result, any external noise barriers at noise-sensitive land uses affected by Project-related traffic noise increases would need to be sufficiently tall and long to obstruct the line-of-sight between the noise source (11.5 feet high, per Caltrans) and the receiver (5 feet high, per FHWA guidance) to achieve a 5 dBA noise reduction, as recommended by FHWA guidance.

Consequently, off-site noise barriers are not considered feasible, as they would not reduce offsite traffic noise levels to below a level of significance. While the construction of a 12-foot-high noise barriers could conceivably reduce the absolute exterior noise levels, it will not change the fact that the source traffic noise levels will increase due to the added Project traffic volumes. In addition, any noise barriers would block views and result in aesthetic and visual impacts affecting passersby that would off-set any noise attenuation benefits that may result from such walls. Lastly, many of the needed off-site walls are on property owned or controlled by others. As such, off-site noise barriers would not be feasible and would not lower the off-site traffic noise levels below a level of significance, and therefore, noise barriers are not proposed as mitigation for the Project.

7.4.3 SIGNIFICANT OFF-SITE TRAFFIC NOISE IMPACTS

Both rubberized asphalt and off-site noise barriers are considered as potential noise mitigation measures to reduce the *potentially significant* off-site traffic noise increases shown on Tables 7-5 and 7-6. Therefore, the Project-related off-site traffic noise increases at adjacent noise sensitive land uses are considered a *significant and unavoidable* impact.



This page intentionally left blank



8 **RECEIVER LOCATIONS**

To assess the potential for long-term operational and short-term construction noise impacts, the following receiver locations, as shown on Exhibit 8-A, were identified as representative locations for analysis. The selection of receiver locations is based on FHWA guidelines and is consistent with additional guidance provided by Caltrans and the FTA, as previously described in Section 5.2. Sensitive receivers are generally defined as locations where people reside or where the presence of unwanted sound could otherwise adversely affect the use of the land. Noise-sensitive land uses are generally considered to include schools, hospitals, single-family dwellings, mobile home parks, churches, libraries, and recreation areas. Moderately noise-sensitive land uses typically include multi-family dwellings, hotels, motels, dormitories, out-patient clinics, cemeteries, golf courses, country clubs, athletic/tennis clubs, and equestrian clubs. Land uses that are considered relatively insensitive to noise include business, commercial, and professional developments. Land uses that are typically not affected by noise include: industrial, manufacturing, utilities, agriculture, undeveloped land, parking lots, warehousing, liquid and solid waste facilities, salvage yards, and transit terminals.

To describe the potential off-site Project noise levels, six receiver locations were identified. Other sensitive land uses in the Project study area that are located at greater distances than those identified in this noise study will experience lower noise levels than those presented in this report due to the additional attenuation from distance and the shielding of intervening structures. Distance is measured in a straight line from the Project boundary to each receiver location.

- R1: Location R1 represents the existing Leisure Lake Mobile Estates, approximately 145 feet west of the SP. Receiver R1 is placed at 48303 20th Street W Lot 208 in the private outdoor living area (backyard) facing the Project site. A 24-hour noise measurement was taken near this location, L1, to describe the existing ambient noise environment.
- R2: Location R2 represents the Antelope Valley Fair and Event Center RV Park at 2551 Avenue G8, approximately 7,213 feet southwest of the SP. R2 is placed in the nearest RV parking space facing the SP. A 24-hour noise measurement was taken near this location, L2, to describe the existing ambient noise environment.
- R3: Location R3 represents the existing single-family residence at 1145 Regents Street, approximately 8,077 feet south of the SP. Receiver R3 is placed in the private outdoor living area (backyard) facing the Project site. A 24-hour noise measurement was taken near this location, L3, to describe the existing ambient noise environment.
- R4: Location R4 represents the existing residence at 47149 5th Street W, approximately 974 feet east of the Project site. Receiver R4 is placed in the private outdoor living area (backyard) facing the Project site. While noise measurement location L4 represents the nearest noise measurement, the ambient noise conditions at Receiver R4 are likely better represented by noise measurement location L5 due to its proximity to Sierra Highway and the Union Pacific Railroad. However, a review the ambient noise level measurements shows that the ambient noise levels at measurement location L4 are lower and therefore, will conservatively overstate the potential Project noise level contributions and impacts. Therefore, the nearest 24-hour noise measurement at location L4 is used to describe the existing ambient noise environment.





EXHIBIT 8-A: RECEIVER LOCATIONS

16126-09 Noise Report



- R5: Location R5 represents Mitchell's Avenue E RV Park at 721 W Avenue E, approximately 1,015 feet east of the SP. Receiver R5 is placed at the nearest RV Camp Site facing the SP. A 24-hour noise measurement was taken near this location, L5, to describe the existing ambient noise environment.
- R6: Location R6 represents the existing Little Texas residential use just north of Avenue C, approximately 5,936 feet northeast of the SP. Receiver R6 is placed in the private outdoor living area (backyard) facing the SP. A 24-hour noise measurement was taken near this location, L6, to describe the existing ambient noise environment.



This page intentionally left blank



9 OPERATIONAL NOISE ANALYSIS

This section analyzes the potential stationary-source operational noise impacts at the nearby receiver locations, identified in Section 8. This operational noise analysis focuses on the operational noise source activity from PAs 2, 4, 6, 7, and 8 since the noise source activities associated with the Annexation Area and SP are speculative and not known at this time. All noise sources activities associated with the Annexation and SP are still controlled by the policies outlined in the City of Lancaster General Plan Safety Element and the applicable noise standards presented in Section 3.

9.1 OPERATIONAL NOISE SOURCES

To present the potential worst-case noise conditions, this analysis assumes the Project would be operational 24 hours per day, seven days per week. The on-site Project-related noise sources are expected to include: cold storage loading dock activity, tractor trailer storage activity, roof-top air conditioning units, parking lot vehicle movements, trash enclosure activity, and truck movements. To conservatively describe the future noise environment, Exhibits 9-A and 9-B present the 404 individual noise sources used to assess the operational noise levels. To reduce the noise exposure to the existing noise sensitive areas near the Project site including the Leisure Lakes Mobile Estates located west of the SP, several design features were considered as part of the site planning process. These design features include positioning the loading dock areas in an east-west orientation and increasing building setback by placing a large parking lot between the loading docks and the existing residential areas to the west.

9.2 REFERENCE NOISE LEVELS

To estimate the Project operational noise impacts, reference noise level measurements were collected from similar types of activities to represent the noise levels expected with the development of the proposed Project. This section provides a detailed description of the reference noise level measurements shown on Table 9-1 used to estimate the Project operational noise impacts. It is important to note that the following projected noise levels assume the worst-case noise environment with all noise source activity all operating at the same time. These sources of noise activity will likely vary throughout the day.

9.2.1 MEASUREMENT PROCEDURES

The reference noise level measurements presented in this section were collected using a Larson Davis LxT Type 1 precisions sound level meter (serial number 01146). The LxT sound level meter was calibrated using a Larson-Davis calibrator, Model CAL 200, was programmed in "slow" mode to record noise levels in "A" weighted form and was located at approximately five feet above the ground elevation for each measurement. The sound level meters and microphones were equipped with a windscreen during all measurements. All noise level measurement equipment satisfies the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) standard specifications for sound level meters ANSI S1.4-2014/IEC 61672-1:2013. (18)





EXHIBIT 9-A: PAS 2 & 4 OPERATIONAL NOISE SOURCE LOCATIONS





EXHIBIT 9-B: PAS 6, 7 & 8 OPERATIONAL NOISE SOURCE LOCATIONS





Reference	Noise Min./ Source Hour ¹		Reference Noise Level	Sound Power		
Noise Source	Height (Feet)	Day	Night	(dBA L _{eq}) @ 50 Feet	Level (dBA)²	
Cold Storage Loading Dock Activity	8'	60	60	65.7	111.5	
Tractor Trailer Storage Activity	8'	60	60	62.8	103.4	
Roof-Top Air Conditioning Units	5'	39	28	57.2	88.9	
Parking Lot Vehicle Movements	5'	60	60	52.6	81.1	
Trash Enclosure Activity	5'	60	30	57.3	89.0	
Truck Movements	8'	60	60	59.8	93.2	

TABLE 9-1: REFERENCE NOISE LEVEL MEASUREMENTS

¹Anticipated duration (minutes within the hour) of noise activity during typical hourly conditions expected at the Project site. "Daytime" = 7:00 a.m. - 10:00 p.m.; "Nighttime" = 10:00 p.m. - 7:00 a.m.

² Sound power level represents the total amount of acoustical energy (noise level) produced by a sound source independent of distance or surroundings. Sound power levels calculated using the CadnaA noise model at the reference distance to the noise source. Numbers may vary due to size differences between point and area noise sources.

9.2.2 COLD STORAGE LOADING DOCK ACTIVITY

The reference cold storage loading dock activities are intended to describe the typical outdoor operational noise activities associated with the Project. This includes truck idling, reefer activity (refrigerator truck/cold storage), deliveries, backup alarms, trailer docking including a combination of tractor trailer semi-trucks, two-axle delivery trucks, and background operation activities. Since the noise levels generated by cold storage loading dock activity can be higher due to the use of refrigerated trucks or reefers, this reference noise level conservatively assumes that all loading dock activity is associated with cold storage facilities. (23) The reference noise level measurement was taken in the center of the loading dock activity area and represents multiple concurrent noise sources resulting in a combined noise level of 65.7 dBA Leg at a uniform distance of 50 feet. Specifically, the reference noise level measurement represents one truck located approximately 30 feet from the noise level meter with another truck passing by to park roughly 20 feet away, both with their engines idling. Throughout the reference noise level measurement, a separate docked and running reefer truck was located approximately 50 feet east of the measurement location. Additional background noise sources included truck pass-by noise, truck drivers talking to each other next to docked trucks, and air brake release noise when trucks parked.

9.2.3 TRACTOR TRAILER STORAGE ACTIVITY

To evaluate the noise levels associated with truck idling, backup alarms, trailer movements and storage activities, Urban Crossroads collected a reference noise level measurement at an existing parcel hub facility to describe the potential operational noise levels associated with Project tractor trailer storage activities. The measured reference noise level at 50 feet from activity was measured at 62.8 dBA L_{eq}. The reference noise level measurement includes a semi-truck with trailer pass-by event, background switcher cab trailer towing, drop-off, idling, and backup alarm events. Tractor trailer activity is estimated during all the daytime, evening, and nighttime hours.

9.2.4 ROOF-TOP AIR CONDITIONING UNITS

The noise level measurements describe a single mechanical roof-top air conditioning unit. The reference noise level represents a Lennox SCA120 series 10-ton model packaged air conditioning unit. At the uniform reference distance of 50 feet, the reference noise level is 59.2 dBA L_{eq}. Based on the typical operating conditions observed over a four-day measurement period, the roof-top air conditioning units are estimated to operate for and average 39 minutes per hour during the daytime hours, and 28 minutes per hour during the nighttime hours. These operating conditions reflect peak summer cooling requirements with measured temperatures approaching 96 degrees Fahrenheit (°F) with average daytime temperatures of 82°F. For this noise analysis, the air conditioning units are expected to be located on the roof of the Project buildings.

9.2.5 PARKING LOT VEHICLE MOVEMENTS

To describe the on-site parking lot activity, a long-term reference noise level measurement was collected in the center of activity within the staff parking lot of a warehouse distribution center. At 50 feet from the center of activity, the parking lot produced a reference noise level of 56.1 dBA L_{eq}. Parking activities are expected to take place during the full hour (60 minutes) throughout the daytime and evening hours. The parking lot noise levels are mainly due to cars pulling in and out of parking spaces in combination with car doors opening and closing.

9.2.6 TRASH ENCLOSURE ACTIVITY

To describe the noise levels associated with a trash enclosure activity, Urban Crossroads collected a reference noise level measurement at an existing trash enclosure containing two dumpster bins. The trash enclosure noise levels describe metal gates opening and closing, metal scraping against concrete floor sounds, dumpster movement on metal wheels, and trash dropping into the metal dumpster. The reference noise levels describe trash enclosure noise activities when trash is dropped into an empty metal dumpster, as would occur at the Project Site. The measured reference noise level at the uniform 50-foot reference distance is 59.3 dBA L_{eq} for the trash enclosure activity. The reference noise level describes the expected noise source activities associated with the trash enclosures for the Project's proposed building.

9.2.7 TRUCK MOVEMENTS

The truck movements reference noise level measurement was collected over a period of 1 hour and 28 minutes and represent multiple heavy trucks entering and exiting the outdoor loading dock area producing a reference noise level of 59.8 dBA L_{eq} at 50 feet. The noise sources included at this measurement location account for trucks entering and existing the Project driveways and maneuvering in and out of the outdoor loading dock activity area.

9.3 CADNAA NOISE PREDICTION MODEL

To fully describe the exterior operational noise levels from the Project, Urban Crossroads, Inc. developed a noise prediction model using the CadnaA (Computer Aided Noise Abatement) computer program. CadnaA can analyze multiple types of noise sources using the spatially accurate Project site plan, georeferenced Nearmap aerial imagery, topography, buildings, and



barriers in its calculations to predict outdoor noise levels. Using the ISO 9613-2 protocol, CadnaA will calculate the distance from each noise source to the noise receiver locations, using the ground absorption, distance, and barrier/building attenuation inputs to provide a summary of noise level at each receiver and the partial noise level contributions by noise source.

Consistent with the ISO 9613-2 protocol, the CadnaA noise prediction model relies on the reference sound power level (L_w) to describe individual noise sources. While sound pressure levels (e.g., L_{eq}) quantify in decibels the intensity of given sound sources at a reference distance, sound power levels (L_w) are connected to the sound source and are independent of distance. Sound pressure levels vary substantially with distance from the source and diminish because of intervening obstacles and barriers, air absorption, wind, and other factors. Sound power is the acoustical energy emitted by the sound source and is an absolute value that is not affected by the environment. The operational noise level calculations provided in this noise study account for the distance attenuation provided due to geometric spreading, when sound from a localized stationary source (i.e., a point source) propagates uniformly outward in a spherical pattern. A default ground attenuation factor of 0.5 was used in the noise analysis to account for mixed ground representing a combination of hard and soft surfaces. Appendix 9.1 includes the detailed noise dBA L_{eq} model inputs used to estimate the Project operational noise levels presented in this section.

9.4 PROJECT OPERATIONAL NOISE LEVELS

Using the reference noise levels to represent the Project operations that include cold storage loading dock activity, tractor trailer storage activity, roof-top air conditioning units, parking lot vehicle movements, trash enclosure activity, and truck movements, Urban Crossroads, Inc. calculated the operational source noise levels that are expected to be generated at the Project site and the Project-related noise level increases that would be experienced at each of the sensitive receiver locations. Table 9-2 shows that the Project operational noise levels are expected to range from 37.3 to 55.4 dBA CNEL.

Nation Courses1	Operational Noise Levels by Receiver Location (CNEL)						
Noise Source-	R1	R2	R3	R4	R5	R6	
Cold Storage Loading Dock Activity	46.4	36.2	38.7	51.1	54.5	40.7	
Tractor Trailer Storage Activity	40.4	29.7	31.7	43.7	47.2	33.7	
Roof-Top Air Conditioning Units	39.0	18.5	18.3	31.3	34.4	21.1	
Parking Lot Vehicle Movements	40.3	16.1	17.1	30.5	33.1	18.8	
Trash Enclosure Activity	22.8	13.2	14.1	27.9	30.6	16.2	
Truck Movements	33.0	21.4	21.5	32.6	35.4	22.3	
Total (All Noise Sources)	48.8	37.3	39.6	52.0	55.4	41.6	

TABLE 9-2: PROJECT OPERATIONAL NOISE LEVELS

¹ See Exhibit 9-A for the noise source locations. CadnaA noise model calculations are included in Appendix 9.1.



9.5 PROJECT OPERATIONAL NOISE LEVEL COMPLIANCE

To demonstrate compliance with local noise regulations, the Project-only operational noise levels are evaluated against the City of Lancaster 24-hour CNEL exterior noise level thresholds outlined in the City of Lancaster General Plan Safety Element, Table 4-3 (see Exhibit 3-A) at the nearest noise-sensitive receiver locations. Table 9-3 shows the operational noise levels associated with the Project will not exceed the City of Lancaster exterior noise level standards. Therefore, the operational noise impacts are considered *less than significant* at the nearby noise-sensitive receiver locations.

Receiver Location ¹	Measurement Location	Project Operational Noise Levels (CNEL) ²	Noise Level Standards (CNEL) ³	Noise Level Standards Exceeded? ⁴
R1	L1	48.8	65	No
R2	L2	37.3	65	No
R3	L3	39.6	65	No
R4	L4	52.0	65	No
R5	L5	55.4	65	No
R6	L6	41.6	65	No

TABLE 9-3: OPERATIONAL NOISE LEVEL COMPLIANCE

¹ See Exhibit 8-A for the sensitive receiver locations.

² Proposed Project operational noise level calculations are included in Appendix 9-1.

³ City of Lancaster General Plan Safety Element, Table 4-3 (see Exhibit 3-A).

⁴ Do the estimated Project operational noise source activities exceed the noise level standards?

9.6 PROJECT OPERATIONAL NOISE LEVEL INCREASES

To describe the Project operational noise level increases, the Project operational noise levels are combined with the existing ambient noise levels measurements for the nearby receiver locations that may be potentially impacted by Project operational noise sources. Since the units used to measure noise, decibels (dB), are logarithmic units, the Project-operational and existing ambient noise levels cannot be combined using standard arithmetic equations. (2) Instead, they must be logarithmically added using the following base equation:

 $SPL_{Total} = 10log_{10}[10^{SPL1/10} + 10^{SPL2/10} + \dots 10^{SPLn/10}]$

where "SPL1," "SPL2," etc. are equal to the sound pressure levels being combined, or in this case, the Project-operational and existing ambient noise levels. The difference between the combined Project and ambient noise levels describes the Project noise level increases to the existing ambient noise environment. Noise levels that would be experienced at receiver locations when Project-source noise is added to the 24-hour ambient conditions are presented on Table 9-4 respectively. As indicated on Table 9-4, the Project will generate an operational noise increases ranging from 0.0 to 1.3 dBA CNEL at the nearest receiver locations. Project-related operational noise level increases significance criteria



presented in Table 4-1. Therefore, Project related operational noise level increases at the sensitive receiver locations will be *less than significant*.

Receiver Location ¹	Total Project Operational Noise Level ²	Measurement Location ³	Reference Ambient Noise Levels ⁴	Combined Project and Ambient⁵	Project Increase ⁶	Increase Criteria ⁷	Increase Criteria Exceeded?
R1	48.8	L1	57.9	58.4	0.5	5.0	No
R2	37.3	L2	65.6	65.6	0.0	1.5	No
R3	39.6	L3	73.8	73.8	0.0	1.5	No
R4	52.0	L4	56.5	57.8	1.3	5.0	No
R5	55.4	L5	70.6	70.7	0.1	1.5	No
R6	41.6	L6	54.7	54.9	0.2	5.0	No

TABLE 9-5: PROJECT OPERATIONAL NOISE LEVEL INCREASES

¹ See Exhibit 8-A for the receiver locations.

² Total Project operational noise levels as shown on Table 9-2.

³ Reference noise level measurement locations as shown on Exhibit 5-A.

⁴ Observed ambient noise levels as shown on Table 5-1.

⁵ Represents the combined ambient conditions plus the Project activities.

⁶ The noise level increase expected with the addition of the proposed Project activities.

⁷ Significance increase criteria as shown on Table 4-1.



10 CONSTRUCTION IMPACTS

This section analyzes potential impacts resulting from the short-term construction activities associated with the development of the Project. Exhibit 10-A shows the construction noise source locations in relation to the nearest sensitive receiver locations previously described in Section 8. As outlined in Section 3.4, LMC Section 8.24.040 requires that the Project's construction activity be limited to the permitted hours of 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. on any day with no activity allowed on Sundays.

In addition, since neither the City of Lancaster General Plan or Municipal Code establish numeric maximum acceptable construction source noise levels at potentially affected receivers for CEQA analysis purposes, a numerical construction threshold based on Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment Manual is used for analysis of daytime construction impacts. The FTA considers a daytime exterior construction noise level of 80 dBA L_{eq} as a reasonable threshold for noise sensitive residential land use with a nighttime exterior construction noise level of 70 dBA L_{eq} . (8 p. 179)

10.1 CONSTRUCTION NOISE LEVELS

The FTA *Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment Manual* recognizes that construction projects are accomplished in several different stages and outlines the procedures for assessing noise impacts during construction. Each stage has a specific equipment mix, depending on the work to be completed during that stage. As a result of the equipment mix, each stage has its own noise characteristics; some stages have higher continuous noise levels than others, and some have higher impact noise levels than others. The Project construction activities are expected to occur in the following stages:

- Demolition
- Site Preparation
- Grading
- Building Construction
- Paving
- Architectural Coating

10.2 CONSTRUCTION REFERENCE NOISE LEVELS

To describe construction noise activities, this construction noise analysis was prepared using reference construction equipment noise levels from the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) published the Roadway Construction Noise Model (RCNM), which includes a national database of construction equipment reference noise emission levels. (26) The RCNM equipment database, provides a comprehensive list of the noise generating characteristics for specific types of construction equipment. In addition, the database provides an acoustical usage factor to estimate the fraction of time each piece of construction equipment is operating at full power (i.e., its loudest condition) during a construction operation.







EXHIBIT 10-A: CONSTRUCTION NOISE SOURCE LOCATIONS



10.3 CONSTRUCTION NOISE ANALYSIS

Using the reference construction equipment noise levels and the CadnaA noise prediction model, calculations of the typical $L_{eq(8hr)}$ and the peak hour equipment $L_{eq(1hr)}$ Project construction noise levels at the nearby receiver locations were completed. Consistent with FTA guidance for general construction noise assessment, Table 10-1 presents the combined noise levels for the noisest pieces of construction equipment expected to be used in each phase, assuming all equipment operates at the same time.

Construction Stage	Reference Construction Equipmnet ¹	Reference Noise Level @ 50 Feet (dBA L _{eq})	Composite Reference Noise Level (dBA L _{eq}) ²	Reference Power Level (dBA L _w) ³	
	Concrete Saw	83			
Demolition	Grapple (on backhoe)	83	86.8	118.4	
	Demolition Grapple (on backhoe) Gradall Tractor Backhoe Grader Grading Excavator	79			
Cite	Tractor	80			
Site Preparation	Backhoe	74	84.0	115.6	
	Grader	81			
Grading	Scraper	80			
	Excavator	77	83.3	114.9	
	Dozer	78			
Duilding	Crane	73			
Building	Generator	78	80.6	112.2	
Construction	Front End Loader	75			
	Paver	74			
Paving	Dump Truck	72	77.8	109.5	
	Roller	73			
A	Man Lift	68			
Architectural	Compressor (air)	74	76.2	107.8	
Coating	Generator (<25kVA)	70			

TABLE 10-1: CONSTRUCTION REFERENCE NOISE LEVELS

¹ FHWA Road Construction Noise Model.

² Represents the combined noise level for all equipment assuming they operate at the same time.

³ The total amount of acoustical energy produced by a sound source independent of distance or surroundings.

10.3.1 TYPICAL CONSTRUCTION NOISE LEVELS

To account for the dynamic nature of typical construction activities, the CadnaA construction noise analysis evaluates the equipment as multiple moving point sources or work crews using an area source method within the overall construction area. Construction projects involve various stages, and activities frequently shift from one location to another. For example, during the initial stages, noise-generating activities might concentrate in one area, and then move to another section as construction progresses. As shown in Table 10-2, the highest typical construction noise levels for all construction stages are expected to range from 28.9 to 58.3 dBA L_{eq(8hr)} at the nearby receiver locations. Appendix 10.1 includes the typical construction noise model calculations.



	Typical Construction Noise Levels (dBA Leq(8hr))								
Location ¹	Demolition	Site Preparation	Grading	Building Construction	Paving	Architectural Coating	Highest Levels ²		
R1	58.3	55.5	54.8	52.1	49.4	47.7	58.3		
R2	39.5	36.7	36.0	33.3	30.6	28.9	39.5		
R3	39.8	37.0	36.3	33.6	30.9	29.2	39.8		
R4	53.3	50.5	49.8	47.1	44.4	42.7	53.3		
R5	53.8	51.0	50.3	47.6	44.9	43.2	53.8		
R6	40.0	37.2	36.5	33.8	31.1	29.4	40.0		

TABLE 10-2: TYPICAL CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT SUMMARY

¹ Construction noise source and receiver locations are shown on Exhibit 10-A.

² Construction noise level calculations based on distance from the construction activity, which is measured from the Project site boundary to the nearest receiver locations. CadnaA typical construction noise model calculations are included in Appendix 10.1.

10.3.2 PEAK HOUR CONSTRUCTION NOISE LEVELS

Construction activities are typically evaluated as mobile sources since these activities tend to vary considerably, not only as the speed and power of the equipment varies, but also as the equipment constantly changes in terms of its distance from the receivers and its relative location. (27). However, to present a conservative analysis, the peak hour Project construction equipment noise levels by stage were also calculated at the limits of construction (Project site boundary) nearest to the affected receivers. Since it is unlikely that multiple pieces of construction equipment can operate simultaneously near the limits of construction for the entire construction period, the peak hour noise analysis likely overstates the potential Project related construction noise impacts. Table 10-3 shows that the peak hour construction equipment noise levels are expected to range from 27.8 to 74.2 dBA $L_{eq(1hr)}$ at the nearby receiver locations. Appendix 10.2 includes the loudest construction noise model calculations.

	Peak Hour Construction Noise Levels (dBA Leq(Bhr))							
Location ¹	Demolition	Site Preparation	Grading	Building Construction	Paving	Architectural Coating	Highest Levels ²	
R1	74.2	71.4	70.7	68.0	65.3	63.6	74.2	
R2	38.4	35.6	34.9	32.2	29.5	27.8	38.4	
R3	39.2	36.4	35.7	33.0	30.3	28.6	39.2	
R4	57.2	54.4	53.7	51.0	48.3	46.6	57.2	
R5	56.8	54.0	53.3	50.6	47.9	46.2	56.8	
R6	38.8	36.0	35.3	32.6	29.9	28.2	38.8	

TABLE 10-3: PEAK HOUR CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT SUMMARY

¹Construction noise source and receiver locations are shown on Exhibit 10-A.

² Construction noise level calculations based on distance from the construction activity, which is measured from the Project site boundary to the nearest receiver locations. CadnaA typical construction noise model calculations are included in Appendix 10.2.



10.4 PROJECT SITE CONSTRUCTION NOISE LEVEL COMPLIANCE

To evaluate whether the Project will generate potentially significant short-term noise levels at nearest receiver locations, the FTA construction-related daytime noise level threshold of 80 dBA L_{eq} is used to assess the daytime construction noise level impacts. The typical and peak hour construction noise analysis shows that the nearest receiver locations will not exceed the reasonable daytime 80 dBA L_{eq} significance threshold during Project construction activities as shown on Table 10-4. Therefore, the noise impacts due to Project construction noise are considered *less than significant*.

	Construction Noise Levels (dBA L _{eq})						
Receiver Location ¹	Typical Construction (8-Hour) ²	TypicalPeak HourConstructionConstruction(8-Hour)2(1-Hour)3		Threshold Exceeded? ⁵			
R1	58.3	74.2	80	No			
R2	39.5	38.4	80	No			
R3	39.8	39.2	80	No			
R4	53.3	57.2	80	No			
R5	53.8	56.8	80	No			
R6	40.0	38.8	80	No			

TABLE 10-4: PROJECT CONSTRUCTION NOISE COMPLIANCE

¹ Construction equipment noise source and receiver locations are shown on Exhibit 10-A.

² Typical construction equipment noise levels as shown on Table 10-2.

³ Loudest construction equipment noise level as shown on Table 10-3.

⁴ Construction noise level thresholds as shown on Table 4-1.

 $^{\rm 5}$ Do the estimated Project construction noise levels exceed the construction noise level threshold?

10.5 TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION NOISE LEVEL INCREASES

To describe the temporary Project construction noise contributions to the existing ambient noise environment, the Project construction noise levels were combined with the existing daytime ambient noise levels measurements at the nearest off-site receiver locations. The difference between the combined Project-construction and ambient noise levels is used to describe the construction noise level increases. Temporary noise increases that would be experienced at sensitive receiver locations when the typical Project construction-source noise is added to the ambient conditions are presented on Table 10-4. A temporary noise level increase of up to 15 dBA is considered a *potentially significant* impact consistent with the City of Lancaster General Plan Noise Policy 4.3.2

As indicated in Table 10-5, the Project will contribute construction noise increases ranging from 0.0 to 4.1 dBA L_{eq} during the daytime hours at the nearest receiver locations. The unmitigated construction noise analysis shows that the nearest receiver locations will not exceed the 15 dBA noise increase significance threshold during Project construction activities. The temporary construction noise level increase analysis shows that the noise impacts due to Project construction noise are considered *less than significant*.



Receiver Location ¹	Typical Project Construction Noise Level ²	Measurement Location ³	Reference Ambient Noise Levels ⁴	Combined Project and Ambient ⁵	Project Increase ⁶	Increase Criteria ⁷	Increase Criteria Exceeded?
R1	58.3	L1	56.4	60.5	4.1	15	No
R2	39.5	L2	65.7	65.7	0.0	15	No
R3	39.8	L3	72.6	72.6	0.0	15	No
R4	53.3	L4	55.0	57.2	2.2	15	No
R5	53.8	L5	70.4	70.5	0.1	15	No
R6	40.0	L6	51.2	51.5	0.3	15	No

TABLE 10-5: DAYTIME CONSTRUCTION NOISE INCREASES

¹ Construction noise source and receiver locations are shown on Exhibit 10-A.

² Typical daytime construction noise levels as shown on Table 10-4.

³ Reference noise level measurement locations as shown on Exhibit 5-A.

⁴ Observed daytime ambient noise levels as shown on Table 5-1.

⁵ Represents the combined ambient conditions plus the Project construction activities.

⁶ The noise level increase expected with the addition of the proposed Project construction activities.

⁷ City of Lancaster General Plan Safety Element Policy 4.3.2.

10.6 NIGHTTIME CONCRETE POUR NOISE ANALYSIS

It is our understanding that nighttime concrete pouring activities may occur as a part of Project building construction activities. Nighttime concrete pouring activities are often used to support reduced concrete mixer truck transit times and lower air temperatures than during the daytime hours and are generally limited to the actual building pad and loading dock areas. However, nighttime construction activities are prohibited by LMC Section 8.24.040. Exceptions can be granted based on a specific request from a contractor for specific dates with justification. In addition, any nighttime construction noise activities shall satisfy the FTA residential 70 dBA L_{eq} noise limit outlined in Table 4-1.

10.6.1 NIGHTTIME CONCRETE POUR REFERENCE NOISE LEVEL MEASUREMENTS

To estimate the noise levels due to nighttime concrete pour activities, sample reference noise level measurements were taken during a nighttime concrete pour at an unrelated construction site. Urban Crossroads, Inc. collected short-term nighttime concrete pour reference noise level measurements during the noise-sensitive nighttime hours between 1:00 a.m. to 2:00 a.m. at 27334 San Bernardino Avenue in the City of Redlands. The reference noise levels describe the expected concrete pour noise sources that may include concrete mixer truck movements and pouring activities, concrete paving equipment, rear mounted concrete mixer truck backup alarms, engine idling, air brakes, generators, and workers communicating/whistling. To describe the nighttime concrete pour noise levels associated with the construction of the Westside Annexation and North Lancaster Industrial Specific Plan, this analysis relies on reference sound pressure level of 67.7 dBA L_{eq} at 50 feet represented by a sound power level (L_w) of 100.3 dBA L_w. While the Project noise levels will depend on the actual duration of activities and specific equipment fleet in use at the time of construction, the reference sound power level of 100.3 dBA L_w is used to describe the expected Project nighttime concrete pour noise activities.
10.6.2 NIGHTTIME CONCRETE POUR NOISE LEVEL COMPLIANCE

As shown on Table 10-6, the noise levels associated with the nighttime concrete pour activities are estimated to range from 21.4 to 40.2 dBA L_{eq} and will satisfy the City of Lancaster stationarysource nighttime exterior hourly average L_{eq} residential noise level threshold at all the receiver locations. Based on the results of this analysis, all the nearest noise receiver locations will experience *less than significant* impacts due to the Project related nighttime concrete pour activities. Appendix 10.3 includes the CadnaA nighttime concrete pour noise model inputs.

_ ·	Concrete Pou	ur Construction Noise Lev	/els (dBA L _{eq})
Receiver Location ¹	Exterior Noise Levels ²	Threshold ³	Threshold Exceeded? ⁴
R1	40.2	70	No
R2	21.4	70	No
R3	21.7	70	No
R4	35.2	70	No
R5	35.7	70	No
R6	21.9	70	No

TABLE 10-6: NIGHTTIME CONCRETE POUR NOISE COMPLIANCE

¹Construction noise source and receiver locations are shown on Exhibit 10-A.

² Unmitigated Nighttime Concrete Pour noise model calculations are included in Appendix 10.3.

³ Construction noise level thresholds as shown on Table 4-1.

⁴ Do the estimated Project construction noise levels exceed the construction noise level threshold?

10.6.3 TEMPORARY CONCRETE POUR NOISE LEVEL INCREASES

To describe the temporary concrete pour noise contributions, the concrete pour noise levels were combined with the existing nighttime ambient noise levels measurements at the nearest off-site receiver locations. The difference between the combined Project-construction and ambient noise levels is used to describe the construction noise level increases. Temporary noise increases that would be experienced at sensitive receiver locations when the typical Project construction-source noise is added to the ambient conditions are presented on Table 10-7. A temporary noise level increase of up to 15 dBA is considered a *potentially significant* impact consistent with the City of Lancaster General Plan Noise Policy 4.3.2

As indicated in Table 10-7, the Project nighttime concrete pour activities will contribute noise increases ranging from 0.0 to 0.5 dBA L_{eq} during the nighttime hours at the nearest receiver locations. The unmitigated construction noise analysis shows that the nearest receiver locations will not exceed the 15 dBA noise increase significance threshold during Project construction activities. Therefore, the temporary concrete pour construction noise increase analysis shows that the noise increase to Project construction noise are *less than significant*.



Receiver Location ¹	Concrete Pour Noise Level ²	Measurement Location ³	Reference Ambient Noise Levels ⁴	Combined Project and Ambient ⁵	Project Increase ⁶	Increase Criteria ⁷	Increase Criteria Exceeded?
R1	40.2	L1	48.9	49.4	0.5	15	No
R2	21.4	L2	55.1	55.1	0.0	15	No
R3	21.7	L3	64.6	64.6	0.0	15	No
R4	35.2	L4	47.7	47.9	0.2	15	No
R5	35.7	L5	59.8	59.8	0.0	15	No
R6	21.9	L6	46.9	46.9	0.0	15	No

TABLE 10-7: NIGHTTIME CONCRETE POUR NOISE INCREASES

 $^{\rm 1}$ Construction noise source and receiver locations are shown on Exhibit 10-A.

² Nighttime concrete pour noise levels as shown on Table 10-6.

³ Reference noise level measurement locations as shown on Exhibit 5-A.

 $^{\rm 4}$ Observed night time ambient noise levels as shown on Table 5-1.

⁵ Represents the combined nighttime ambient conditions plus the Project construction activities.

⁶ The noise level increase expected with the addition of the proposed Project construction activities.

⁷ City of Lancaster General Plan Safety Element Policy 4.3.2.

10.7 CONSTRUCTION VIBRATION ANALYSIS

Construction activity can result in varying degrees of ground vibration, depending on the equipment and methods employed. Operation of construction equipment causes ground vibrations that spread through the ground and diminish in strength with distance. Ground vibration levels associated with various types of construction equipment are summarized on Table 10-8. Based on the representative vibration levels presented for various construction equipment types, it is possible to estimate the potential for human response (annoyance) and building damage using the following vibration assessment methods defined by the FTA. To describe the vibration impacts the FTA provides the following equation: $PPV_{equip} = PPV_{ref} \times (25/D)^{1.5}$

Equipment	PPV (in/sec) at 25 feet
Small bulldozer	0.003
Jackhammer	0.035
Loaded Trucks	0.076
Large bulldozer	0.089
Vibratory Roller	0.210

TABLE 10-8: VIBRATION SOURCE LEVELS FOR CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT

Federal Transit Administration, Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment Manual



Using the vibration source level of construction equipment provided on Table 10-8 and the construction vibration assessment methodology published by the FTA, it is possible to estimate the Project vibration impacts. Table 10-9 presents the expected Project related vibration levels at the nearby receiver locations. At distances ranging from 145 to 8,077 feet from Project construction activities, construction vibration velocity levels are estimated to range from 0.000 to 0.015 PPV in/sec. Based on maximum acceptable continuous vibration threshold of 0.3 PPV (in/sec), the typical Project construction vibration levels will fall below the building damage thresholds at all the noise sensitive receiver locations. Therefore, the Project-related vibration impacts are considered *less than significant* during typical construction activities at the Project site.

	Distance to Const.		Typical	Constructio PPV (ir	on Vibratior n/sec) ³	Levels		Thresholds	Thresholds
Location ¹	Activity (Feet) ²	Small bulldozer	Jack- hammer	Loaded Trucks	Large bulldozer	Vibratory Roller	Highest Vibration Level	PPV (in/sec) ⁴	Exceeded? ⁵
R1	145'	0.000	0.003	0.005	0.006	0.015	0.015	0.3	No
R2	7,213'	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.5	No
R3	8,077'	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.3	No
R4	974'	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.3	No
R5	1,019'	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.3	No
R6	5,936'	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.3	No

TABLE 10-9: CONSTRUCTION VIBRATION LEVELS

¹Vibration source and building locations are shown on Exhibit 10-A.

 $^{\rm 2}\,{\rm Distance}$ from building facade to Project construction boundary.

³ Based on the Vibration Source Levels of Construction Equipment (Table 10-8).

⁴ Caltrans Transportation and Construction Vibration Guidance Manual, Table 19 and 20

⁵ Does the peak vibration exceed the acceptable vibration thresholds?

"PPV" = Peak Particle Velocity





11 REFERENCES

- 1. Association of Environmental Professionals. *California Environmental Quality Act Statute & Guidelines.* 2023.
- 2. California Department of Transportation Environmental Program. *Technical Noise Supplement A Technical Supplement to the Traffic Noise Analysis Protocol.* Sacramento, CA : s.n., September 2013.
- 3. Environmental Protection Agency Office of Noise Abatement and Control. Information on Levels of Environmental Noise Requisite to Protect Public Health and Welfare with an Adequate Margin of Safety. March 1974. EPA/ONAC 550/9/74-004.
- 4. U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, Office of Environment and Planning, Noise and Air Quality Branch. *Highway Traffic Noise Analysis and Abatement Policy and Guidance*. December 2011.
- 5. U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration. *Highway Noise Barrier Design Handbook*. 2001.
- 6. U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration. *Highway Traffic Noise in the United States, Problem and Response.* April 2000. p. 3.
- 7. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Office of Noise Abatement and Control. *Noise Effects Handbook-A Desk Reference to Health and Welfare Effects of Noise.* October 1979 (revised July 1981). EPA 550/9/82/106.
- 8. U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Transit Administration. *Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment Manual, FTA Report No. 0123.* September 2018.
- 9. California Department of Transportation. *Transportation and Construction Vibration Guidance Manual.* April 2020.
- 10. Office of Planning and Research. State of California General Plan Guidelines. October 2017.
- 11. City of Lancaster. General Plan Safety Element. Final Draft February 2022.
- 12. —. Municipal Code, Chapter 8.24 Noise Regulations.
- 13. —. General Plan 2030 Master Environmental Assessment. April 2009.
- 14. Los Angeles County Airport Land Use Commission. General William J. Fox Airfield Land Use Compatibility Plan. December 1, 2004.
- 15. County of Kern. Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan. November 13, 2012.
- 16. California Court of Appeal. *Gray v. County of Madera, F053661.* 167 Cal.App.4th 1099; Cal.Rptr.3d, October 2008.
- 17. Federal Interagency Committee on Noise. Federal Agency Review of Selected Airport Noise Analysis Issues. August 1992.
- 18. California Department of Transportation. Technical Noise Supplement. November 2009.
- 19. American National Standards Institute (ANSI). Specification for Sound Level Meters ANSI S1.4-2014/IEC 61672-1:2013.
- 20. U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration. FHWA Highway Traffic Noise Prediction Model. December 1978. FHWA-RD-77-108.



- 21. California Department of Transportation Environmental Program, Office of Environmental Engineering. Use of California Vehicle Noise Reference Energy Mean Emission Levels (Calveno REMELs) in FHWA Highway Traffic Noise Prediction. September 1995. TAN 95-03.
- 22. California Department of Transportation. *Traffic Noise Attenuation as a Function of Ground and Vegetation Final Report.* June 1995. FHWA/CA/TL-95/23.
- 23. **City of Lancaster.** *General Plan 2030 Program Environmental Impact Report Technical Appendices.* December 2008.
- 24. **Urban Crossroads, Inc.** Westside Annexation and North Lancaster Industrial Specific Plan Local Transportation Assessment. March 2025.
- 25. **County of Los Angeles.** *Los Angeles County General Plan Update Draft Environmental Impact Report.* June 2014. State Clearinghouse #2011081042.
- 26. California Department of Transportation. *Highway Design Manual, Chapter 1100 Highway Traffic Noise Abatement*. November 2017.
- 27. U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, Office of Environment and Planning. FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model. January, 2006.
- 28. U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration. *FHWA Highway Construction Noise Handbook.* Final Report August 2006.



12 CERTIFICATION

The contents of this noise study report represent an accurate depiction of the noise environment and impacts associated with the proposed Westside Annexation and North Lancaster Industrial Specific Plan Project. The information contained in this noise study report is based on the best available data at the time of preparation. If you have any questions, please contact me directly at (949) 584-3148.

Bill Lawson, P.E., INCE Principal URBAN CROSSROADS, INC. 1133 Camelback #8329 Newport Beach, CA 92658 (949) 581-3148 blawson@urbanxroads.com



EDUCATION

Master of Science in Civil and Environmental Engineering California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo • December, 1993

Bachelor of Science in City and Regional Planning California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo • June, 1992

PROFESSIONAL REGISTRATIONS

PE – Registered Professional Traffic Engineer – TR 2537 • January, 2009
AICP – American Institute of Certified Planners – 013011 • June, 1997–January 1, 2012
PTP – Professional Transportation Planner • May, 2007 – May, 2013
INCE – Institute of Noise Control Engineering • March, 2004

PROFESSIONAL AFFILIATIONS

ASA – Acoustical Society of America ITE – Institute of Transportation Engineers

PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATIONS

Certified Acoustical Consultant – County of San Diego • March, 2018 Certified Acoustical Consultant – County of Orange • February, 2011 FHWA-NHI-142051 Highway Traffic Noise Certificate of Training • February, 2013





APPENDIX 3.1:

CITY OF LANCASTER MUNICIPAL CODE



Chapter 8.24 NOISE REGULATIONS

8.24.010 Declaration of policy.

It is declared to be the policy of the city to prohibit unnecessary, excessive and annoying noises from all sources subject to its police power. At certain levels noises are detrimental to the health and welfare of the citizenry, and, in the public interests, such noise levels shall be systematically proscribed.

(Prior code § 4-1.1)

8.24.020 Definitions.

Unless the context otherwise clearly indicates, the words and phrases used in this chapter are defined as follows:

"Commercial purpose" means and includes the use, operation or maintenance of any sound-amplifying equipment for the purpose of advertising any business, or any goods, or any services, or for the purpose of attracting the attention of the public to, or advertising for, or soliciting patronage or customers to or for any performance, show, entertainment, exhibition or event, for the purpose of demonstrating any such sound equipment.

"Day" means the time period from seven a.m. to eight p.m.

"Impulsive sound" means a short-duration sound (such as might be produced by the impact of a drop hammer or a pile driver) with one second or less duration.

"Motor vehicles" means and includes, but is not limited to, automobiles, trucks, motorcycles, mini-bikes and go-carts.

"Night" means the time period from eight p.m. to seven a.m.

"Noncommercial purpose" means the use, operation or maintenance of any sound equipment for other than a commercial purpose. "Noncommercial purpose" means and includes, but shall not be limited to, philanthropic, political, patriotic and charitable purposes.

"Person" means a person, firm, association, co-partnership, joint venture, corporation, or any entity, public or private, in nature.

"Sound" means the sensation perceived by the sense of hearing. For the purpose of this chapter, the terms "sound" and "noise" shall be used synonymously.

"Sound-amplifying equipment" means any machine or device for the amplification of the human voice, music or any other sound, but shall not include:

- 1. Warning devices on emergency vehicles;
- 2. Horns, burglar and fire alarms, or other warning devices expressly authorized by law.

"Sound truck" means any motor vehicle, or any other vehicle, regardless of motive power, whether in motion or stationary, which carries, is equipped with, or which has mounted thereon or attached thereto any sound-amplifying equipment for commercial, political and charitable purposes.

(Prior code § 4-1.2)

(Ord. No. 916, § 1, 2-10-09)

8.24.030 Loud, unnecessary and unusual noises prohibited.

Notwithstanding any other provision of this chapter, and in addition thereto, no person shall make, cause or suffer, or permit to be made upon any premises owned, occupied or controlled by him/her any unnecessary noises or sounds which are physically annoying to persons of ordinary sensitiveness which are so harsh or so prolonged or unnatural or unusual in their use, time, or place as to occasion physical discomfort to the inhabitants of any neighborhood. All animals shall be so maintained.

(Ord. 791 § 1, 2001: Ord. 693 § 1 (part), 1995: prior code § 4-1.3)

8.24.040 Loud, unnecessary and unusual noises prohibited—Construction and building.

Except as otherwise provided in this chapter, a person at any time on Sunday or any day between the hours of eight p.m. and seven a.m. shall not perform any construction or repair work of any kind upon any building or structure or perform any earth excavating, filling or moving where any of the foregoing entails the use of any air compressor, jack hammer, power-driven drill, riveting machine, excavator, diesel-powered truck, tractor or other earth-moving equipment, hard hammers on steel or iron or any other machine tool, device or equipment which makes loud noises within five hundred (500) feet of an occupied dwelling, apartment, hotel, mobile home or other place of residence.

(Ord. 693 § 1 (part), 1995: prior code § 4-1.4)

(Ord. No. 916, § 2, 2-10-09)

8.24.050 Exceptions.

- A. The provisions of Section 8.24.040 do not apply to any person who performs the construction, repair, excavation or moving work pursuant to the express written permission of the city engineer to perform such work at times prohibited in Section 8.24.040. Upon receipt of an application stating the reasons for the request, the city engineer may grant such permission if he finds that:
 - 1. The work proposed to be done is effected with the public interest; or
 - 2. Hardship or injustice or unreasonable delay would result with the interruption thereof with the hours and days specified in Section 8.24.040; or
 - 3. The building or structure involved is devoted or intended to be devoted to a use immediately incident to public interest.
- B. The provisions of Section 8.24.040 do not apply to the construction, repair or excavation during prohibited hours as may be necessary to restore property to a safe condition following a public calamity or work required to protect persons or property from imminent exposure to danger or work by private or public utility companies when restoring utility service.

(Prior code § 4-1.5)

8.24.060 Violation—Penalty.

Every person who violates any of the provisions of this chapter is guilty of an infraction and upon conviction is punishable as provided in Chapter 1.12 of the Lancaster Municipal Code or as otherwise provided in California Penal Code Section 415.

Violations of any of the provisions of this chapter may, in the alternative, be punished by the issuance of an administrative citation, the penalty amount of which shall be assessed at a rate as set forth in the fee schedule in Section 1.12.020 of Chapter 1.12 of this code.

As an alternative to paying the amount of the administrative citation, a cited person may elect to enroll in and perform community service, as set forth in Section 1.16.095 of Chapter 1.16 of this code. A cited person who has been granted an indigency waiver pursuant to Section 1.16.085 of Chapter 1.16 of this code shall enroll in and perform community service as an alternative to paying the amount of the administrative citation.

(Prior code § 4-1.6)

(Ord. No. 1097, § 4(Exh. C), 1-10-2023)

8.24.070 Injunctions.

As an additional remedy, the operation or maintenance of any device, instrument, vehicle or machinery in violation of any provision of this chapter shall be deemed and is declared to be a public nuisance, and may be subject to abatement summarily by a restraining order or injunction issued by a court of competent jurisdiction.

(Prior code § 4-1.7)

APPENDIX 5.1:

STUDY AREA PHOTOS





JN:16126



16126_L1_B 1.North 34, 45' 39.320000", 118, 9' 59.910000"



16126_L1_B 2.South 34, 45' 39.060000", 118, 9' 59.800000"



16126_L1_B 3.East 34, 45' 39.000000", 118, 9' 59.830000"



16126_L1_B 4.West 34, 45' 38.990000", 118, 9' 59.880000"



16126_L2_E 1.North 34, 43' 14.420000", 118, 10' 29.050000"



16126_L2_E 3.East 34, 43' 14.220000", 118, 10' 29.100000"



16126_L2_E 2.South 34, 43' 14.310000", 118, 10' 29.160000"



16126_L2_E 4.West 34, 43' 14.200000", 118, 10' 29.130000"

JN:16126



16126_L3_G 1.North 34, 43' 7.210000", 118, 9' 8.600000"



16126_L3_G 2.South 34, 43' 7.200000", 118, 9' 8.520000"



16126_L3_G 3.East 34, 43' 7.210000", 118, 9' 8.520000"



16126_L3_G 4.West 34, 43' 7.250000", 118, 9' 8.740000"



16126_L4_H 1.North 34, 44' 39.950000", 118, 7' 52.440000"



16126_L4_H 2.South 34, 44' 39.910000", 118, 7' 52.470000"



16126_L4_H 3.East 34, 44' 39.900000", 118, 7' 52.440000"



16126_L4_H 4.West 34, 44' 39.950000", 118, 7' 52.690000"



16126_L5_l 1.North 34, 45' 45.580000", 118, 8' 39.300000"



16126_L5_l 2.South 34, 45' 45.550000", 118, 8' 39.240000"



16126_L5_I 3.East 34, 45' 45.580000", 118, 8' 39.210000"



16126_L5_I 4.West 34, 45' 45.500000", 118, 8' 39.490000"



16126_L6_J 1.North 34, 47' 30.200000", 118, 8' 44.240000"



16126_L6_J 2.South 34, 47' 30.200000", 118, 8' 44.240000"



16126_L6_J 3.East 34, 47' 30.200000", 118, 8' 44.240000"



16126_L6_J 4.West 34, 47' 30.200000", 118, 8' 44.460000"

APPENDIX 5.2:

NOISE LEVEL MEASUREMENT WORKSHEETS



						24-Ho	ur Noise Le	evel Meas	urement S	ummary						
Date:	Tuesday, De	ecember 17,	2024		Location:	L1 - Located	west of the S	P within the	Leisure Lake	e Mobile	Meter:	Piccolo II			JN:	16126
Project:	North Lanca	aster			Source:	Estates near	the residenc	e at 48303 20	0th Street W	'est					Analyst:	Z. Ibrahim
							Hourly L _{eq} (dBA Readings	(unadjusted)							
QE (0															
e 75.0	0															
65.0 0.0																
₹ 55.0						- <mark>-</mark> -	<u>63.6</u>									
P 45.0	0 - 5	5.8 3	6.	13.6		57. 56		2.5 54.1	. <mark></mark>	33.7	9 <mark>.3.6</mark>	2.4.2	6.1.3 61.3	54.6	19.3	17.5
35.0																
	0	1 2	3	4 5	6	7 8	9 1	l0 11	12 1 12	L3 14	15 1	6 17	18 19	20	21 22	23
Timoframo	llour	,	,	,	110/	1.29/	1 59/		eginning	150%	100%	105%	100%	1	Adi	Adi I
Timeframe	Hour	45.4	53 7	41.0	L1%	52.6	L5%	L8%	45.0	43.4	L90%	L95%	<i>L99%</i>	45.4	Aaj.	55.4
	1	42.3	51.2	38.0	50.6	50.0	47.7	46.0	41.7	40.2	38.7	38.4	38.1	42.3	10.0	52.3
	2	42.8	54.2	36.3	53.7	52.9	49.6	46.4	40.7	38.6	36.9	36.7	36.4	42.8	10.0	52.8
Night	3	40.4	49.0	36.9	48.5	47.6	45.1	42.6	40.1	39.0	37.5	37.3	37.0	40.4	10.0	50.4
	4	43.6	54.5	37.5	53.7	52.9	50.0	47.3	42.3	40.4	38.4	38.0	37.6	43.6	10.0	53.6
	6	56.0	68.1	45.3	67.6	66.9	63.2	60.1	53.6	49.7	46.2	45.8	45.4	56.0	10.0	66.0
	7	57.1	66.4	50.1	66.0	65.1	62.8	61.2	57.4	53.9	51.0	50.6	50.2	57.1	0.0	57.1
	8	59.5	69.1	47.2	68.9	68.4	66.5	64.9	59.1	55.0	49.0	48.0	47.4	59.5	0.0	59.5
	10	52 5	62.7	50.5 41.2	62.3	61 7	71.4	58 2	51.7	58.7 46.7	52.0 42.2	51.3 41 7	50.7 41 3	52.5	0.0	52 5
	10	54.1	62.8	41.9	62.4	61.9	60.3	59.3	54.7	50.8	44.0	43.2	42.1	54.1	0.0	54.1
	12	52.5	62.6	39.2	62.3	61.7	59.3	57.5	52.3	47.6	40.8	40.1	39.4	52.5	0.0	52.5
	13	53.7	63.1	43.0	62.7	62.1	60.0	58.5	53.8	49.7	44.6	43.9	43.1	53.7	0.0	53.7
Day	14	53.7	63.4	41.4	63.1 61.0	62.4	60.0	58.5	54.0	49.4	43.2	42.4	41.5	53.7	0.0	53.7
	15	52.3	61.9	41.3	61.5	60.8	58.8	57.5	52.6	47.8	43.8	42.0	42.1	52.3	0.0	52.3
	17	54.2	62.3	45.8	61.9	61.5	59.8	58.5	55.0	51.3	47.1	46.5	45.9	54.2	0.0	54.2
	18	53.9	61.4	48.0	61.0	60.5	59.0	57.9	54.7	51.6	48.9	48.5	48.1	53.9	0.0	53.9
	19	51.3	59.5	44.2	59.1	58.5	56.8	55.6	51.9	48.8	45.4	44.9	44.4	51.3	5.0	56.3
	20	54.6	67.5	44.3	66.8 61.7	65.5	61.2	57.8	52.2	48.9	45.4	44.9	44.4	54.6	5.0	59.6
	21	49.3	56.6	40.5	56.3	55.9	59.0	52.8	49.4	47.7	49.0	40.0	40.0	49.3	10.0	59.3
Night	23	47.5	58.6	36.8	58.2	57.7	55.6	52.2	45.3	41.3	37.9	37.4	36.9	47.5	10.0	57.5
Timeframe	Hour	L _{eq}	L _{max}	L _{min}	L1%	L2%	L5%	L8%	L25%	L50%	L90%	L95%	L99%	24-Hour	Leq	(dBA)
Day	Min	51.3	59.5	39.2	59.1	58.5	56.8	55.6	51.7	46.7	40.8	40.1	39.4	CNEL	Daytime	Nighttime
Energy	Average	56.4	/2./ Ave	rage:	63.6	63.0	61.0	59.8	54.7	58.7	45.9	45.3	44.7		(7am-10pm)	(10pm-7am)
Night	Min	40.4	49.0	36.3	48.5	47.6	45.1	42.6	40.1	38.6	36.9	36.7	36.4	57.9	56.4	48.9
Night	Max	56.0	68.1	45.3	67.6	66.9	63.2	60.1	53.6	49.7	46.2	45.8	45.4			
Energy	Average	48.9	Ave	rage:	55.5	54.8	52.3	50.0	44.9	42.7	40.5	40.2	39.8			



						24-Ho	our Noise Le	evel Meas	urement S	ummary						
Date:	Tuesday, D	ecember 17,	2024		Location:	L2 - Located	southwest of	f the SP at th	e southern e	entry for the	Meter:	Piccolo II			JN:	16126
Project:	North Lanc	aster			Source:	Antelope Va	lley Fairgrour	1ds at 2551 A	venue G8.						Analyst:	Z. Ibrahim
							Hourly L _{eq} a	dBA Readings	(unadjusted)							
05.0	2															
85.0	5 — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —															
	2															
6 5.0	<u> </u>								<u> </u>							
00.0 ــ 60.0 ـ	5					5.7	2.3	9 <mark>6.5</mark>	<mark> </mark>	9 <mark>.7.0</mark>	4.9	<u></u>	<u></u>			
1 50.0	2 	r. 8	<u> </u>	.8	- 09						9			<mark>∞</mark> —	.0 1.0	<u> </u>
¥ 40.0	5 – 13 –	49	48											23	27 D	- 21
35.0) 	1 2	2	л с	6	7 0	0 1		12 1	2 14	15 10	17	19 10	20	21 22	
	U	1 2	5	4 5	0	/ 0	5 1	Hour Be	eginning	15 14	15 10) 1/	10 15	20	21 22	25
Timeframe	Hour	,	,	1.	11%	12%	15%	18%	125%	150%	190%	195%	199%		Adi	Adi. I
Timejrume	0	- eq	- max	40.3	59.3	59.1	57.9	56.4	51.5	46.8	41.4	40.9	40.4	- eq	10.0	61.1
	1	49.7	58.3	40.1	58.1	57.7	56.7	55.6	50.0	44.1	41.0	40.5	40.2	49.7	10.0	59.7
	2	46.8	55.1	38.3	54.7	54.2	53.0	51.9	47.7	43.0	39.0	38.7	38.4	46.8	10.0	56.8
Night	3	48.7	57.2	38.1	56.9	56.5	55.2	54.1	49.0	43.8	38.9	38.6	38.2	48.7	10.0	58.7
	4	53.8	61.1	42.2	60.8	60.5	59.6	58.7	54.9	50.9	44.1	43.2	42.4	53.8	10.0	63.8
	5	58.2 60.8	65.1	50.5	64.9	64.8	64.2	63.9	59.4 62.0	56.9 60.1	52.1	51.4 55.9	50.7	58.2 60.8	10.0	70.8
	7	66.6	75.3	58.7	75.0	74.5	72.5	71.0	66.7	63.8	60.2	59.6	58.9	66.6	0.0	66.6
	8	65.7	74.6	57.4	74.3	73.9	72.0	70.2	65.5	62.4	58.9	58.2	57.6	65.7	0.0	65.7
	9	65.3	74.4	55.4	74.1	73.6	71.5	70.1	65.2	61.9	57.0	56.3	55.5	65.3	0.0	65.3
	10	66.8	78.1	54.8	77.7	76.8	73.7	71.6	65.6	61.6	56.4	55.7	54.9	66.8	0.0	66.8
	11	68 5	76.5	54.6	76.2	75.6 78.2	73.4	/1./	67.5	62.7	56.7	55.8	54.7	68 5	0.0	68.5
	12	66.7	76.8	56.2	76.4	75.9	73.4	71.5	66.4	62.4	57.9	57.1	56.3	66.7	0.0	66.7
Day	14	67.0	76.8	56.0	76.5	75.9	73.6	71.6	66.7	63.4	57.9	57.0	56.2	67.0	0.0	67.0
	15	64.9	74.3	54.1	74.0	73.4	71.2	69.7	64.8	61.3	56.2	55.2	54.4	64.9	0.0	64.9
	16	67.9	78.9	57.2	78.4	77.6	74.3	72.5	66.8	63.5	58.7	58.0	57.4	67.9	0.0	67.9
	17	67.3	75.9	59.6	75.5	75.0	73.0		67.5	64.7	61.2	60.4	59.8	67.3	0.0	67.3
	10	59.5	65.3	53.7	65 0	64.8	63.8	62.8	60.5	58.4	54.8	54.0	53.4	59.5	5.0	64.5
	20	53.8	58.9	46.5	58.7	58.5	57.9	57.3	55.1	52.9	48.2	47.4	46.7	53.8	5.0	58.8
	21	55.3	64.3	46.9	64.0	63.4	61.1	59.2	55.4	52.9	48.6	47.8	47.0	55.3	5.0	60.3
Night	22	54.6	61.7	44.6	61.5	61.0	59.7	58.8	55.8	52.8	47.0	46.0	44.9	54.6	10.0	64.6
Timofrance	23	51.7	59.9	39.6	59.6	59.3	58.1	56.8	52.5	48.2	41.4	40.5	39.7	51.7	10.0	61.7
rimejrame	Hour	53.8	58.9	46.5	58 7	58 5	57.9	L8%	L25%	52.9	48.2	L95%	46.7	24-Hour	Leq Davtime	Nighttime
Day	Max	68.5	79.4	59.6	79.0	78.2	75.6	73.6	67.5	64.7	61.2	60.4	59.8	CNEL	(7am <u>-10pm</u>)	(10pm-7am)
Energy	Average	65.7	Ave	rage:	72.9	72.3	70.2	68.7	64.2	61.0	56.5	55.7	55.0			
Night	Min	46.8	55.1	38.1	54.7	54.2	53.0	51.9	47.7	43.0	38.9	38.6	38.2	65.6	65.7	55.1
Enorgy	Max	60.8	65.1	55.1	64.9	64.8	64.2	63.9	62.0	60.1	56.7	55.9	55.2			
Energy.	Average	55.1	Ave	age.	00.0	0.85	0.0C	0.10	0.6C	49.0	44.0	44.0	43.3			



Date: Project:	Tuesday, De North Lanca	ecember 17, aster	2024		Location: Source:	24-Ho L3 - Located residence at	ur Noise L south of the 1145 Regent	evel Measu SP near the e ts Street.	urement S existing singl	ummary e-family	Meter:	Piccolo II			JN: Analyst:	16126 Z. Ibrahim
85.0 2 80.0							Hourly L _{eq}	dBA Readings	(unadjusted)							
gp) 65.0 66.0 60.0 65.0 60.0 55.0 50.0	60.5	7.2		60.7 66.8	70.7	73.6	71.9	71.1	72.0	73.5	74.0	74.7	73.1	68.7	66.9 65.4	63.7
9 45.0 40.0 35.0	0	1 2	3	4 5	6	7 8	9	10 11 Hour Be	12 1	.3 14	15 1	6 17	18 19	20	21 22	23
Timeframe	Hour	L	L	k min	11%	12%	15%	18%	125%	150%	190%	195%	199%	<i>L</i>	Adi.	Adi. L
linicjianie	0	60.5	- max 73.0	38.9	72.6	71.8	68.8	66.0	55.5	48.2	40.4	39.6	39.1	60.5	10.0	70.5
	1	57.2	70.5	38.7	70.1	69.2	65.6	62.0	48.3	41.9	39.5	39.1	38.8	57.2	10.0	67.2
	2	56.1	68.7	37.9	68.3	67.5	64.3	61.4	50.5	42.7	38.9	38.6	38.1	56.1	10.0	66.1
Night	3	56.5	69.3	38.4	68.9	68.1	64.9	61.9	50.2	42.6	39.1	38.9	38.6	56.5	10.0	66.5
	4	66.8	73.0	42.0	72.6	71.8	68.4 74.1	65.5 72.0	57.8	51.2 60.0	43.8	43.0	42.1	66.8	10.0	76.8
	6	70.7	80.3	56.6	79.9	79.2	77.2	75.9	71.1	65.7	58.6	57.6	56.8	70.7	10.0	80.7
	7	73.6	82.0	59.3	81.7	81.0	79.3	78.3	74.9	70.4	61.9	60.5	59.5	73.6	0.0	73.6
	8	73.4	81.4	61.1	81.1	80.4	78.8	77.9	74.9	70.6	63.0	62.0	61.2	73.4	0.0	73.4
	9	71.9	80.9	57.4	80.5	79.9	77.9	76.7	72.9	67.7	59.8	58.6	57.6	71.9	0.0	71.9
	10	71.1	80.0	55.6	79.6	78.9	77.0	76.0	72.2	67.2	58.5	56.9	55.8	71.1	0.0	71.1
	12	72.0	80.5	56.3	80.1	79.5	77.5	76.5	73.1	68.6	59.0	58.0	56.5	72.0	0.0	72.0
	13	72.5	80.5	58.4	80.2	79.6	78.2	77.1	74.0	69.7	61.5	60.2	58.6	72.5	0.0	72.5
Day	14	73.5	80.9	59.7	80.6	80.1	78.8	78.0	75.1	71.2	62.3	61.1	59.9	73.5	0.0	73.5
	15	74.0	81.4	58.8	81.1	80.5	79.0	78.2	75.5	71.9	62.2	60.3	59.0	74.0	0.0	74.0
	16	74.5	82.7	58.7	82.4	81.7	79.9	78.9	76.0	72.1	62.1	60.2	58.8	74.5	0.0	74.5
	1/	/4./	82.5	60.6 50.6	82.1	81.4	79.7	/8.9	76.3	/2.6	63.5	62.0	60.8	/4./	0.0	/4./
	10	70.3	79.0	56.2	78.7	78.1	76.3	75.4	71.3	65.9	58.6	57.4	56.4	70.3	5.0	75.3
	20	68.7	78.6	53.4	78.3	77.5	75.3	73.8	68.9	63.0	56.1	55.0	53.7	68.7	5.0	73.7
	21	66.9	76.9	50.3	76.6	75.9	73.8	72.5	66.9	60.3	52.8	51.6	50.6	66.9	5.0	71.9
Night	22	65.4	76.3	45.2	75.9	75.2	72.9	71.3	64.2	56.7	47.7	46.4	45.4	65.4	10.0	75.4
	23	63.7	76.5	45.4	76.0	75.0	71.0	68.2	60.6	54.3	48.2	47.1	45.8	63.7	10.0	73.7
Timeframe	Min				L1%	75.0	L5%	L8%	66 Q	L50%	L90%	L95%	50.6	24-Hour	Leq (Davtime	(UDA) Nighttime
Day	Max	74.7	82.7	61.1	82.4	81.7	79.9	78.9	76.3	72.6	63.5	62.0	61.2	CNEL	(7am-10pm)	(10pm-7a <u>m</u>)
Energy	Average	72.6	Ave	erage:	80.3	79.6	77.9	76.8	73.3	68.6	60.3	58.9	57.7			
Night	Min	56.1	68.7	37.9	68.3	67.5	64.3	61.4	48.3	41.9	38.9	38.6	38.1	73.8	72.6	64.6
Energy	Max	70.7	80.3	56.6	79.9	79.2	77.2	75.9	71.1	65.7	58.6	57.6	56.8			
Linel gy	Average	04.0	AVE	iuse.	/3.0	12.1	09.7	07.1	30.2	51.5	43.4	44.0	43.9			



						24-Ho	ur Noise L	evel Meas	urement S	ummary						
Date:	Tuesday, D	ecember 17,	2024		Location:	L4 - Located	east of the S	P at the sout	hwest corne	r of	Mete	r: Piccolo II			JN:	16126
Project:	North Lanc	aster			Source:	intersection	of Division St	treet and W	Avenue F4.						Analyst:	Z. Ibrahim
							Hourly L	dBA Readinas	(unadiusted)						,	
							eq		(
85.0																
A 75.0	0															
<u> </u>																
<u>_</u> 60.0	õ –									-						
1 50.0		w 4	9		~ ~ ~	8.3 8.3	<u>.</u>	<mark>ہے ۔۔۔ ہ</mark>	8.9 8.9	<mark> </mark>	<u>0</u>	4	9 6	0	о и	
P 45.0	0 4	38.6	6	53.	52.	<mark>.54</mark>	2 <mark>.5</mark>	22 ⁻	- ⁰ l	2 <mark>.5</mark>	2 <mark>3.</mark>	5.	<mark>52.</mark>	20.	49.	45
35.0	ō + +														24 22	
	0	1 2	3	4 5	6	/ 8	9 1	10 11 Hour Be	12 1 eginning	.3 14	15	16 17	18 19	20	21 22	23
Timeframe	Hour	L _{eq}	L _{max}	L _{min}	L1%	L2%	L5%	L8%	L25%	L50%	L90%	L95%	L99%	L _{eq}	Adj.	Adj. L _{eq}
	0	43.3	52.6	35.7	52.3	51.6	49.9	48.2	43.1	39.0	36.3	36.0	35.7	43.3	10.0	53.3
		42.3	53.4	34.8	53.0	52.5	50.4	47.2	38.6	36.9	35.3	35.1	34.9	42.3	10.0	52.3
Night	2	38.4	46.4	34.6	46.0	45.2	43.1	41.6	38.4	36.9	34.9	34.8	34.6	38.4	10.0	48.4
Night	4	44.8	56.1	36.6	55.7	54.9	52.6	49.8	41.2	39.2	37.2	36.9	36.7	40.0	10.0	54.8
	5	53.0	60.4	50.0	59.7	59.2	57.8	56.6	52.2	51.3	50.4	50.2	50.1	53.0	10.0	63.0
	6	52.2	63.1	44.5	62.7	61.9	59.4	56.6	50.1	47.4	45.4	45.0	44.6	52.2	10.0	62.2
	7	54.3	64.3	46.8	63.9	63.2	61.3	59.4	53.0	50.2	47.6	47.3	46.9	54.3	0.0	54.3
	8	58.3	68.6	51.2	68.0 CE 0	67.0	64.7	62.7	57.3	54.9	52.5	51.7	51.3	58.3	0.0	58.3
	10	52.0	62.5	39.3	62.1	61.6	59.7	57.7	52.4	46.2	40.4	39.9	39.4	52.0	0.0	52.0
	11	55.9	66.7	47.5	66.0	65.3	63.0	61.7	54.7	50.0	47.9	47.7	47.5	55.9	0.0	55.9
	12	58.9	68.2	53.9	67.8	67.2	65.4	63.2	57.6	55.8	54.6	54.3	54.0	58.9	0.0	58.9
	13	55.9	67.0	40.1	66.9	66.4	64.4	61.5	52.3	46.0	41.6	41.1	40.3	55.9	0.0	55.9
Day	14	51.3	62.0	38.8	61.7	61.1	59.1	57.2	49.3	43.9	39.9	39.4	38.9	51.3	0.0	51.3
	15	53.5	64.3	40.7	63.9	63.2	61.3	59.2	51.8	46.6	42.0	41.4	40.8	53.5	0.0	53.5
	16	53.4	63.8 71.1	41.4	63.5	62.9	60.8	58.9	52.3	46.7	42.7	42.1	41.6	53.4	0.0	53.4
	18	53.6	63.5	44.8	63.2	62.6	60.2	58.4	53.1	49.3	46.2	45.7	45.1	53.6	0.0	53.6
	19	52.3	62.2	43.7	61.8	61.2	59.4	57.9	51.2	47.6	44.8	44.3	43.8	52.3	5.0	57.3
	20	50.6	61.0	43.2	60.6	59.8	57.1	55.0	49.3	46.9	44.3	43.8	43.4	50.6	5.0	55.6
	21	49.0	58.9	41.1	58.6	57.9	55.3	53.3	48.4	45.7	42.3	41.8	41.2	49.0	5.0	54.0
Night	22	46.5	56.1	39.0	55.7	55.0	52.5	50.7	46.2	43.6	40.3	39.6	39.1	46.5	10.0	56.5
Timeframe	23	45.1	55.6	36.2	55.0	54.1	51.3	49.5	44.7	41.1	37.4	36.8	36.3	45.1	10.0	55.1
nnejrune	Min	49.0	58.9	- min 38.8	58.6	57.9	55.3	53.3	48.4	43.9	39.9	39.4	38.9	24-Hour	Davtime	Nighttime_
Day	Max	58.9	71.1	53.9	69.6	68.3	65.4	63.2	57.6	55.8	54.6	54.3	54.0	CNEL	(7am-10pm)	(10pm-7a <u>m)</u>
Energy	Average	55.0	Ave	erage:	64.2	63.5	61.3	59.2	52.5	48.3	44.9	44.4	43.9			
Night	Min	38.4	46.4	34.5	46.0	45.2	43.1	41.6	38.1	36.5	34.9	34.7	34.6	56.5	55.0	47.7
	Max	53.0	63.1	50.0	62.7	61.9	59.4	56.6	52.2	51.3	50.4	50.2	50.1			
Energy	Average	47.7	Ave	erage:	54.5	53.8	51.7	49.5	43.6	41.3	39.1	38.8	38.5			



						24-Ho	ur Noise L	evel Meas	urement S	ummary						
Date:	Tuesday, De	ecember 17,	2024		Location:	L5 - Located	east of the S	P within Mito	hell's Avenu	ie E RV Park	Meter:	Piccolo II			JN:	16126
Project:	North Lanca	aster			Source:	at 721 Avenu	ue E								Analyst:	Z. Ibrahim
							Hourly L _{eq}	dBA Readings	(unadjusted)							
85.0	0															
3 80.0	0															
5 70.0						N 0	m	4 – μ	0 (n –	<mark>и и</mark>	n <u> </u>		(
60.0 تــ				~ ~		<mark>70.</mark>	<mark>.5</mark>	<mark>2 2 _</mark>	<mark>2</mark> - 1		- <mark>2</mark> -7	<u> </u>	69. 57.9	6.4	2	
50.0	0 - w	- 4	<u>م</u>	59.6 62.	Ö		+							9	- <u>6</u>	7.4
H H H H H H H H H H		- ²	44													
35.0	0 ++ 0	1 2	3	4 5	6	7 8	9 .	10 11	12 1	3 14	15 10	5 17	18 19	20	21 22	23
	-				•			Hour Be	eginning							
Timeframe	Hour	L _{eq}	L _{max}	L _{min}	L1%	L2%	L5%	L8%	L25%	L50%	L90%	L95%	L99%	L _{eq}	Adj.	Adj. L _{eq}
	0	50.8	62.9	34.6	62.5	61.8	58.9	56.5	46.3	38.4	35.4	34.9	34.6	50.8	10.0	60.8
		54.4	69.4 69.7	34.8	69.3	68.3	64.2	61.1	43.2	37.7	35.5	35.2	34.9	54.4	10.0	66.2
Night	3	44.9	58.7	34.3	57.8	56.7	52.4	47.8	39.2	36.3	34.7	34.6	34.4	44.9	10.0	54.9
	4	59.9	72.5	49.1	71.9	71.0	66.9	64.0	56.8	53.3	50.0	49.6	49.2	59.9	10.0	69.9
	5	62.2	75.1	41.8	74.5	73.6	70.4	67.5	57.4 63.4	48.3	43.1	42.6	42.0	62.2	10.0	72.2
	7	70.2	80.4	50.0	79.9	78.9	76.6	75.3	70.9	64.2	52.1	50.9	50.1	70.2	0.0	70.2
	8	72.0	81.1	52.5	80.6	79.9	78.2	77.1	73.2	67.6	56.0	54.1	52.7	72.0	0.0	72.0
	9 10	70.3	80.8 81.0	47.1 49.3	80.3	79.4	77.0	/5.6 75.5	70.5	63.4 64.9	51.0	49.2	47.4	70.3	0.0	70.3
	10	70.5	80.0	50.9	79.6	78.7	76.5	75.3	71.5	66.2	54.4	52.5	51.1	70.4	0.0	70.5
	12	70.9	79.9	51.9	79.5	78.7	77.0	75.9	72.0	66.5	56.1	54.2	52.3	70.9	0.0	70.9
Dav	13	71.3	81.0	50.7	80.5	79.6	77.2	75.9	72.2	67.7	56.2	53.6	51.0	71.3	0.0	71.3
Day	14	72.2	82.4	51.5	81.8	80.8	78.4	75.9	72.4	68.2	57.1	55.1	51.5	72.2	0.0	72.2
	16	71.5	80.9	53.4	80.4	79.6	77.7	76.3	72.4	67.6	56.8	55.2	53.7	71.5	0.0	71.5
	17	70.8	79.9	52.9	79.4	78.6	76.8	75.8	72.1	67.0	56.1	54.3	53.1	70.8	0.0	70.8
	18	69.9	80.2	51.0	79.6 78.5	/8.5	76.3 74.8	/5.1	/0.6	64.5 60.2	53.5	52.1	51.2	69.9	0.0	69.9 72.9
	20	66.4	77.9	47.4	77.4	76.3	73.7	72.0	65.1	57.3	48.7	48.0	47.5	66.4	5.0	71.4
	21	64.2	76.8	43.2	76.2	75.1	71.7	69.7	61.3	52.9	44.7	43.9	43.3	64.2	5.0	69.2
Night	22	60.7	73.5	40.9	72.8	71.7	68.4 64.8	66.0	57.4	49.5	42.8	41.9	41.1	60.7	10.0	70.7
Timeframe	Hour	L _{eq}	L_{max}	L min	L1%	L2%	L5%	L8%	L25%	40.8 L50%	L90%	L95%	L99%	37.4 24 Hour	Leq	dBA)
Day	Min	64.2	76.8	43.2	76.2	75.1	71.7	69.7	61.3	52.9	44.7	43.9	43.3	CNEL	Daytime	Nighttime
Energy	Max Average	72.2	82.4 Ave	53.4	81.8	80.8	78.4	77.1	73.2	68.2	57.1	55.2	53.7		(7am-10pm)	(10pm-7am)
Night	Min	44.9	58.7	34.3	57.8	56.7	52.4	47.8	39.2	36.3	34.7	34.6	34.4	70.6	70.4	59.8
	Max	65.3	77.0	49.1	76.5	75.5	73.0	71.2	63.4	54.4	50.0	49.6	49.2			
Energy	Average	59.8	Ave	erage:	69.5	68.4	64.5	61.4	51.3	44.3	39.9	39.5	39.0			



						24-Ho	ur Noise Le	evel Measu	urement S	Summary						
Date:	Tuesday, De	ecember 17, I	2024		Location:	L6 -Located r	northeast of t	he SP the ex	isting Little	Texas	Meter:	Piccolo II			JN:	16126
Project:	North Lanca	aster			Source:	residential u	se just north	of Avenue C							Analyst:	Z. Ibrahim
							Hourly L _{eq} a	IBA Readings	(unadjusted,)						
85.0	0															
3 80.0	8															
B 70.0	ğ <u>+</u> +															
- 60.0																
1 50.0		<u>л</u> 0	6	r 8	0	<u>0</u>	0 0	N 00	8	<u>n</u>	N 0	0 00	<u> </u>	8	N 00	0
ទ 45.0 ម 40.0	0 4	41.	- 6	45.	49.	52. 52.	2 <mark>.</mark>	<mark>51. v.</mark>	4 <mark>9</mark> .	20 <mark>. 20. 20.</mark>	4 <mark>9.</mark>	2 <mark>0.</mark>	50.	<mark>- 21.</mark>	4 <mark>9.</mark>	51.
35.0		1 2	3	1 5	6	7 8	9 1	0 11	12 .	12 1/	15 1	6 17	18 10	20	21 22	22
	U	1 2	J	4 5	0	/ 0	5 1	Hour Be	eginning	15 14	15 1	0 1/	10 15	20	21 22	25
Timeframe	Hour	L _{eq}	L _{max}	L _{min}	L1%	L2%	L5%	L8%	L25%	L50%	L90%	L95%	L99%	L _{eq}	Adj.	Adj. L _{eq}
	0	44.8	50.8	41.4	50.5	50.3	49.2	48.4	44.8	43.5	42.0	41.7	41.4	44.8	10.0	54.8
		41.5	45.9	39.2	45.6	45.2	44.5	43.9	41.8	40.9	39.7	39.5	39.3	41.5	10.0	51.5
Night	3	40.9	46.2	38.4	45.9	45.5	44.5	43.6	42.5	40.0	38.8	38.6	38.5	42.0	10.0	50.9
J. J	4	42.7	49.3	38.7	49.0	48.6	47.7	46.7	42.9	41.0	39.3	39.1	38.8	42.7	10.0	52.7
	5	45.8	53.8	41.3	53.2	52.3	50.4	49.5	46.1	43.9	41.9	41.7	41.4	45.8	10.0	55.8
_	6 7	49.0	54.6 60.6	45.1	54.3 60.4	54.0 60.1	53.0 59.4	52.4	49.8	47.8	45.8	45.5	45.1	49.0	10.0	59.0
	8	52.6	59.4	45.9	58.8	58.1	57.1	56.5	53.7	51.0	46.9	46.4	46.0	52.6	0.0	52.6
	9	50.0	56.9	42.5	56.6	56.3	55.3	54.4	51.0	47.6	43.9	43.3	42.8	50.0	0.0	50.0
	10	50.2	58.3	41.0	58.0	57.6	56.5	55.5	50.3	46.5	42.0	41.6	41.2	50.2	0.0	50.2
	11	51.8	59.9	43.6	59.4	58.8	57.6	56.8	52.3	48.9	44.9	44.4	43.8	51.8	0.0	51.8
	12	49.8 50.7	58.6	41.3	58.0	57.2	55.8	54.6	49.0 51.6	48.9	44.8	41.9	41.4	50.7	0.0	49.8 50.7
Day	14	50.3	57.1	42.4	56.8	56.6	55.3	54.5	51.3	48.5	44.0	43.3	42.6	50.3	0.0	50.3
	15	49.7	56.8	42.1	56.4	56.0	54.8	53.7	50.7	47.8	43.4	42.8	42.2	49.7	0.0	49.7
	16	48.8	55.6	42.0	55.3	54.9	54.1	53.2	49.6	46.6	43.1	42.6	42.2	48.8	0.0	48.8
	17	50.8	56.8	44.3	56.5	56.2	55.5	54.9	52.0	49.2	45.2	44.8	44.4	50.8	0.0	50.8
	18	51.7	57.3	47.0	57.1	50.8	55.7	54.9 54.1	52.5	50.5 /8.8	47.9	47.5	47.1	51.7	5.0	51.7 55.4
	20	51.2	59.6	44.4	59.3	59.0	57.1	55.3	51.4	48.5	45.5	45.0	44.5	51.2	5.0	56.2
	21	49.7	57.7	42.9	57.1	56.6	55.1	54.2	50.2	47.0	43.8	43.4	43.0	49.7	5.0	54.7
Night	22	48.8	53.7	45.9	53.4	53.1	52.5	51.7	49.3	47.8	46.5	46.2	45.9	48.8	10.0	58.8
Timefume	23	51.9	59.5	48.2	59.2	58.8	56.7	55.8	51.7	49.7	49.0	48.9	48.2	51.9	10.0	61.9
Timejrame	Min	48.8	55.6	41.0	55.3	54.9	54.1	53.2	49.6	46.5	42.0	41.6	41.2	24-Hour	Davtime	Niahttime
Day	Max	55.5	60.6	50.4	60.4	60.1	59.4	58.9	56.2	54.4	51.6	51.1	50.5	CNEL	(7am-10pm)	(10pm-7am)
Energy	Average	51.2	Ave	erage:	57.6	57.2	56.0	55.1	51.6	48.7	45.0	44.5	44.0		F ()	46.0
Night	Min Max	40.9 51.9	45.9 59.5	37.7 48.2	45.6 59.2	45.2 58.8	44.5 56.7	43.6 55.8	41.0 51.7	39.5 49.7	38.2 49.0	38.0 48.9	37.8 48.2	54.7	51.2	46.9
Energy	Average	46.9	Ave	erage:	51.1	50.7	49.5	48.7	45.5	43.8	42.4	42.1	41.8			



APPENDIX 7.1:

OFF-SITE TRAFFIC NOISE LEVEL CALCULATIONS





	FHWA-RI	D-77-108 HIGH	WAY	NOISE F	REDIC		ODEL (9/12/2	021)		
Scenar Road Nan Road Segme	rio: Existing ne: 20th St. Wo nt: s/o Avenue	est E				Project Job N	Name: \ umber: `	Vestid 16126	e Annex &	NLISP	
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	IPUT DATA				N	OISE N	IODE	L INPUT	S	
Highway Data				Si	ite Cor	ditions	(Hard =	10, Sc	ft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	2,282 vehicle	es					Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	9.51%			Me	edium Tru	icks (2 A	xles):	15		
Peak H	lour Volume:	217 vehicle	s		He	avy Truc	:ks (3+ A	xles):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	50 mph		V	ohiclo	Mix					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	12 feet		-	Veh	icleTvpe		Dav	Evenina	Niaht	Dailv
Site Data						A	utos:	77.4%	7.9%	14.8%	94.51%
Ba	rrier Height:	0.0 feet			М	edium Tr	ucks:	77.4%	3.8%	18.8%	1.86%
Barrier Type (0-V	Vall, 1-Berm):	0.0				Heavy Tr	ucks:	73.4%	6.8%	19.9%	3.63%
Centerline Di	ist. to Barrier:	32.0 feet		N	oise S	ource El	evations	in fe	et)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	32.0 feet				Autos	s: 0.0	000			
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediu	m Trucks	: 2.2	297			
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Hea	v Trucks	s: 8.0	004	Grade Ad	iustment	: 0.0
P	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		_							
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		Lá	ane Eq	uivalent	Distanc	e (in i	'eet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos	5: 31.6	328			
	Left View:	-90.0 degre	es		Mediu	m Trucks	31.	548			
	Right View:	90.0 degre	es		Hea	vy Trucks	5: 31.5	5/6			
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculation	s									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dist	ance	Finite	Road	Fresn	e/	Barrier Att	en Bei	m Atten
Autos:	70.20	-9.18		2.84		-1.20		-4.51	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	81.00	-26.23		2.90		-1.20		-4.86	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	85.38	-23.34		2.89		-1.20		-5.72	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Nois	e Levels (with	out Topo and	barrier	r attenu	ation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	ur Leq Day	/	Leq Eve	ening	Leq	Night		Ldn	C	NEL
Autos:	62	2.7	61.0		57.1		55.0		62.8	3	63.1
Medium Trucks:	56	3.5	54.8		47.7		49.9		57.2	2	57.3
Heavy Trucks:	63	3.7	61.8		57.5		57.4		64.6	3	64.8
Vehicle Noise:	66	6.7	64.9		60.5		59.8		67.2	2	67.5
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)			r					
				70 dE	BA	65 0	1BA	6	0 dBA	55	dBA
	Ldi				21		45		97		209
		C	NEL:		22		47		101		217

Scenar Road Narr Road Segme	io: Existing Wit ne: 20th St. We nt: s/o Avenue	h PAs 2, 4, 6, st E	7, an	id 8		Project Job Ni	Name: V umber: 1	Vestid 6126	le Annex &	NLISP	
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	PUT DATA				N	OISE M	ODE	L INPUT	5	
Highway Data				3	Site Con	ditions (Hard =	10, So	ft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	5,344 vehicle	es				A	lutos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	9.51%			Me	dium Tru	icks (2 A	xles):	15		
Peak H	lour Volume:	508 vehicles	s		He	avy Truc	ks (3+ A	xles):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	50 mph			Vehicle I	Mix					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	12 feet			Veh	icleType	l	Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data						A	utos:	77.4%	7.9%	14.8%	82.59%
Ba	rrier Heiaht:	0.0 feet			Me	edium Tr	ucks:	77.4%	3.8%	18.8%	3.73%
Barrier Type (0-W	Vall, 1-Berm):	0.0			F	leavy Tr	ucks:	73.4%	6.8%	19.9%	13.68%
Centerline Di	st. to Barrier:	32.0 feet		-	Noico Sc	urco Ek	wations	(in fe	of		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	32.0 feet		Ľ	140/36 30			00	ey		
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediu	m Trucks	. 0.0	00			
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heav	v Trucks	. <u>2.2</u> : 80	04	Grade Ad	iustment	0.0
P	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet			nour	<i>y maone</i>	. 0.0	0.	,		
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		4	Lane Eq	uivalent	Distanc	e (in f	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos	8: 31.8	28			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	es		Mediu	m Trucks	s: 31.5	48			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	es		Heav	y Trucks	8: 31.5	576			
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculations	;									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Di	stance	Finite	Road	Fresne	e/	Barrier Atte	en Ber	m Atten
Autos:	70.20	-6.06		2.8	4	-1.20	-	4.51	0.0	000	0.00
Medium Trucks:	81.00	-19.52		2.9	0	-1.20	-	4.86	0.0	000	0.00
Heavy Trucks:	85.38	-13.87		2.8	9	-1.20		5.72	0.0	000	0.00
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (witho	out Topo and	barri	ier atten	uation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	r Leq Day	r	Leq E	vening	Leq I	Vight		Ldn	CI	VEL
Autos:	65.	8	64.1		60.2		58.1		65.9)	66.
Medium Trucks:	63.	2	61.5		54.4		56.6		63.9)	64.
Heavy Trucks:	73.	2	/1.3		66.9		66.9		74.1		74.3
venicie ivoise:	74.	3	12.4		68.0		67.8		75.0	J	75.
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise Co	ntour (in feet,)	70	dD A	67 -			O dBA	57	d D A
			I da:	700	UDA CO	050	140	6	U UBA	55	udA eco
		~	Lan:		69 70		149		321		592
		CI	VEL:		12		104		333		/1/

Thursday, March 20, 2025

	FHWA-RI	0-77-108 HIGHW	AY NOI	SE PRE		IODEL (9/12/2	021)		
Scenar Road Nan Road Segme	io: Background ne: 20th St. We nt: s/o Avenue	d (2031) est E			Project Job N	Name: lumber:	Westic 16126	le Annex &	NLISP	
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	IPUT DATA			1	IOISE	NODE	L INPUT	s	
Highway Data				Site C	onditions	(Hard =	10, Sc	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	3,299 vehicles					Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	9.51%			Medium Tr	ucks (2	Axles):	15		
Peak F	lour Volume:	314 vehicles			Heavy Tru	cks (3+)	Axles):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	50 mph		Vehic	lo Mix					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	12 feet		Venic	ehicleTvne		Dav	Evenina	Night	Daily
Site Data					ennerer ype	Autos:	77.4%	7.9%	14.8%	94.51%
Ba	rrier Height:	0.0 feet			Medium T	rucks:	77.4%	3.8%	18.8%	1.86%
Barrier Type (0-V	/all_1-Berm):	0.0			Heavy T	rucks:	73.4%	6.8%	19.9%	3.63%
Centerline Di	st. to Barrier:	32.0 feet		Noice	Course E	lovation	a lin fe	ati		-
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	32.0 feet		Noise	Source E	evalion	S (III IE	el)		
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Auto	s: 0.	000			
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet		Me	alum Truck	S: 2.	297	Grade Ac	liuctmon	t: 0.0
P	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		п	eavy Truck	s. 8.	004	Graue Au	jusimeni	. 0.0
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		Lane	Equivalen	t Distan	ce (in i	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%			Auto	s: 31.	828			
	Left View:	-90.0 degrees		Me	dium Truck	s: 31	548			
	Right View:	90.0 degrees		н	eavy Truck	s: 31	576			
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculation	s		1						-
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distance	e Fir	ite Road	Fresi	nel	Barrier At	en Bei	rm Atten
Autos:	70.20	-7.57	2	2.84	-1.20		-4.51	0.	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	81.00	-24.63	2	2.90	-1.20		-4.86	0.	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	85.38	-21.74	2	2.89	-1.20		-5.72	0.	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (with	out Topo and b	arrier att	enuatio	n)					
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	Ir Leq Day	Leq	Evening	g Leq	Night		Ldn	С	NEL
Autos:	64	.3 63	2.6	5	3.7	56.	6	64.	4	64.7
Medium Trucks:	58	.1 5	6.4	4	9.3	51.	5	58.	8	58.9
Heavy Trucks:	65	.3 6	3.4	5	9.1	59.	0	66.	2	66.4
Vehicle Noise:	68	.3 6	6.5	6	2.1	61.4	4	68.	8	69.1
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)								
			. 7	U dBA	65	aBA 	1 6	ou dBA	55	aBA
		L	dn:	-	27	58		124	ł	268
		CN	=L:		28	60)	129	,	278

	FHWA-RI	D-77-108 HIGH	WAY NO	ISE P	REDIC	TION M	ODEL (9/12/2	2021)		
Scenai Road Nan Road Segme	rio: Backgroun ne: 20th St. W ent: s/o Avenue	d (2031) With P est : E	As 2, 4,			Project Job Ni	Name: umber:	Westi 16126	de Annex &	NLISP	
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	IPUT DATA				N	OISE N	NODE	EL INPUTS	5	
Highway Data				Sit	te Con	ditions ('Hard =	10, S	oft = 15)		
Average Daily Peak Hour Peak F	Traffic (Adt): Percentage: Jour Volume:	6,362 vehicle 9.51% 605 vehicles	s		Me He	dium Tru avy Truc	, ks (3+ A	Autos Axles) Axles)	: 15 : 15 : 15		
Near/Far I a	ne Distance:	12 feet		Ve	hicle I	<i>lix</i>					
NCull ul Lo	ine Distance.	12 1000			Vehi	cleType		Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data						A	utos:	77.49	6 7.9%	14.8%	84.50%
Ba	rrier Height:	0.0 feet			Me	edium Tr	ucks:	77.49	6 3.8%	18.8%	3.43%
Barrier Type (0-V	Vall, 1-Berm):	0.0			F	leavy Tr	ucks:	73.49	6.8%	19.9%	5 12.07%
Centerline D	ist. to Barrier:	32.0 feet		No	oise So	urce Ele	vation	s (in f	eet)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	32.0 feet				Autos	. 01	000			
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediuu	n Trucks	. 0.	207			
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heav	v Trucks	. 2.	104	Grade Adi	iustmen	t: 0.0
P	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet			mour	,	. 0.	004	,		
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		La	ne Equ	uivalent	Distand	ce (in	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos	31.	828			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	s		Mediur	n Trucks	: 31.	548			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	S		Heav	y Trucks	31.	576			
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculation	s									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distan	ce	Finite	Road	Fresn	el	Barrier Atte	en Be	rm Atten
Autos:	70.20	-5.21		2.84		-1.20		-4.51	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	81.00	-19.12		2.90		-1.20		-4.86	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	85.38	-13.66		2.89		-1.20		-5.72	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Nois	e Levels (with	out Topo and I	barrier at	tenua	ation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Ho	ur Leq Day	Le	q Eve	ning	Leq I	Vight		Ldn	C	NEL
Autos:	66	3.6 6	54.9		61.0		59.0)	66.7	7	67.0
Medium Trucks:	63	3.6 6	51.9		54.8		57.0)	64.3	3	64.4
Heavy Trucks:	73	3.4	71.5		67.2		67.1		74.3	3	74.5
Vehicle Noise:	74	1.6	72.7		68.3		68.1		75.3	3	75.6
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise C	ontour (in feet)						1			
				70 dB	A	65 c	IBA		60 dBA	55	5 dBA
			Ldn:		73		156		337		726
		CN	IEL:		75		162		349		752

	FHWA-R	D-77-108 HIGI	IWAY	NOISE	PREDIC		ODEL (S	9/12/2	021)		
Scenar Road Nan Road Segme	rio: Existing ne: Sierra Hwy ent: n/o Avenue	A A			Project Job N	Name: \ umber: 1	Vestic 16126	le Annex &	NLISP		
SITE	SPECIFIC II	NPUT DATA				N	IOISE N	IODE	L INPUTS	5	
Highway Data				S	ite Cor	ditions	(Hard =	10, Sc	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	6,782 vehic	les					Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	9.51%			Me	edium Tri	ucks (2 A	xles):	15		
Peak H	our Volume:	645 vehicle	es		He	avy Tru	cks (3+ A	xles):	15		
Ve	ehicle Speed:	55 mph		V	ohiclo	Mix					
Near/Far La	ane Distance:	58 feet		-	Veh	icleType		Dav	Evenina	Niaht	Daily
Site Data							Autos:	77.4%	7.9%	14.8%	94.51%
Ba	rrier Height	0.0 feet			М	edium Ti	rucks:	77.4%	3.8%	18.8%	1.86%
Barrier Type (0-V	Vall, 1-Berm):	0.0				Heavy Ti	rucks:	73.4%	6.8%	19.9%	3.63%
Centerline D	ist. to Barrier:	59.0 feet		N	oise S	ource El	evations	; (in fe	eet)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	59.0 feet				Auto	s: 0.0	000			
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediu	m Truck	s: 2.2	297			
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Hear	vy Truck	s: 8.0	004	Grade Adj	iustment	: 0.0
P	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet					Distance	- 6	64		
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		L	ane Eq	uivaient	Distanc	e (In i	reet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%			Madiu	Auto	S: 51.0	150			
	Lett View: Right View:	-90.0 degre	es		Hear	w Truck	s. 51.4 s: 51.4	169			
	ragin view.	50.0 degre	.03			<i>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </i>	0. 01.				
FHWA Noise Mod	lel Calculation	s								1 -	
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dist	ance	Finite	Road	Fresn	e/	Barrier Atte	en Ber	m Atten
Autos:	/1./8	-4.80)	-0.31		-1.20		4.09	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks	86.40	-21.91	,	-0.29		-1.20		-4.00	0.0	00	0.000
Hereiting to al Maria	- 1 (:44	-15.02		-0.23		-1.20		0.00	0.0	.00	0.000
VehicleType	Lea Peak Ho	ur Leg Da	Darrie	lea Evi	aning	l ea	Niaht		l dn	0	NEI
Autos	6!	54	63.7	LUYLI	59.8	LUY	57.8		65.5	5	65.8
Medium Trucks:	59	9.0	57.3		50.2		52.4		59.7	,	59.8
Heavy Trucks:	65	5.9	64.0		59.6		59.5		66.8	3	67.0
Vehicle Noise:	69	9.1	67.3		63.0		62.2		69.6	6	69.9
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise C	ontour (in fee	t)								
				70 dl	BA	65	dBA	6	60 dBA	55	dBA
			Ldn:		56		120		259		559
		C	NEL:		58		125		269		580

	FHWA-RI	0-77-108 HIGH	WAY	NOISE	PREDIC	TION M	ODEL (9/	12/20	021)						
Scenario Road Name Road Segmen	Scenario: Existing With PAs 2, 4, 6, 7, and Road Name: Sierra Hwy. Road Segment: n/o Avenue A						8 Project Name: Westide Annex & NLISP Job Number: 16126								
SITE S	SPECIFIC IN	IPUT DATA				N	OISE M	ODE	L INPUT	5					
Highway Data				S	Site Con	ditions ('Hard = 1	0, So	ft = 15)						
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	7,841 vehicle	es				A	utos:	15						
Peak Hour	Percentage:	9.51%			Me	dium Tru	cks (2 Ax	les):	15						
Peak He	our Volume:	746 vehicle	s		He	avy Truc	ks (3+ A)	les):	15						
Vel	nicle Speed:	55 mph		v	/ehicle l	<i>lix</i>									
Near/Far Lar	ne Distance:	58 feet			Veh	cleType	D	ay	Evening	Night	Daily				
Site Data						A	utos: 7	7.4%	7.9%	14.8%	92.10%				
Bar	rier Heiaht:	0.0 feet			M	edium Tr	ucks: 7	7.4%	3.8%	18.8%	2.22%				
Barrier Type (0-Wa	all, 1-Berm):	0.0			ŀ	leavy Tr	ucks: 7	3.4%	6.8%	19.9%	5.67%				
Centerline Dis	t. to Barrier:	59.0 feet			loise Sr	urce El	vations	(in fc	of						
Centerline Dist. t	o Observer:	59.0 feet		-	10/36 30		. 0.00	0	ey						
Barrier Distance t	o Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediu	n Trucks	. 0.00	0 27							
Observer Height (/	Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heav	v Trucks	. 2.2.	14	Grade Ad	iustment	0.0				
Pa	Pad Elevation: 0.0 feet						. 0.00	· ·	,						
Roa	d Elevation:	0.0 feet		L	ane Eq	uivalent	Distance	in t	eet)						
F	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos	51.6	24							
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	es		Mediui	n Trucks	: 51.4	52							
	Right View:	90.0 degre	es		Heav	y Trucks	: 51.4	59							
FHWA Noise Mode	Calculation	5													
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dist	tance	Finite	Road	Fresne	1	Barrier Atte	en Ber	m Atten				
Autos:	71.78	-4.34		-0.31	I	-1.20	-4	1.69	0.0	000	0.000				
Medium Trucks:	82.40	-20.51		-0.29)	-1.20		1.88	0.0	000	0.000				
Heavy Trucks:	86.40	-16.45		-0.29)	-1.20		5.35	0.0	000	0.00				
Unmitigated Noise	Levels (with	out Topo and	barrie	r attenı	uation)										
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	r Leq Day	/	Leq Ev	rening	Leq I	Vight		Ldn	CI	VEL				
Autos:	65	.9	64.2		60.3		58.3		66.0)	66.3				
Medium Trucks:	60	.4	58.7		51.6		53.8		61.1		61.3				
Heavy Trucks:	68	.5	66.5		62.2		62.1		69.3	3	69.6				
Vehicle Noise:	70	.8	69.0		64.6		64.1		71.4	ļ	71.3				
Centerline Distanc	e to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)	70	0.4		0.4		0 -10 4		-10.4				
				70 a	BA	65 0	IBA	6	U aBA	55	abA 700				
		~	Lan: NEL ·		73		158		340		733				
		C.	VEL.		10		104		303		/01				

Thursday, March 20, 2025

	FHWA-RD	-77-108 HIGH\	VAY N	OISE	PREDIC	TION M	ODEL (9/12/2	021)			
Scenan Road Nam Road Segmei	o: Background e: Sierra Hwy. nt: n/o Avenue	(2031) A				Project Job N	Name: umber:	Westic 16126	le Annex	& NL	ISP	
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	PUT DATA				N	OISE	NODE	L INPU	rs		
Highway Data				S	ite Con	ditions	(Hard =	10, Sc	oft = 15)			
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	7,642 vehicles	5					Autos:	15			
Peak Hour	Percentage:	9.51%			Me	dium Tri	ucks (2	Axles):	15			
Peak H	our Volume:	727 vehicles			He	avy Truc	cks (3+)	Axles):	15			
Ve	hicle Speed:	55 mph		v	ehicle I	Mix						
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	58 feet		-	Veh	icleTvpe		Dav	Evening	Nic	aht	Dailv
Site Data						1	Autos:	77.4%	7.9%	14	1.8%	94.51%
Bai	rier Heiaht:	0.0 feet			Me	edium Ti	rucks:	77.4%	3.8%	18	3.8%	1.86%
Barrier Type (0-W	all, 1-Berm):	0.0			ŀ	leavy Ti	rucks:	73.4%	6.8%	19	9.9%	3.63%
Centerline Di	st. to Barrier:	59.0 feet			laise Sc	urco Fl	ovation	e (in fa	oof)			
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	59.0 feet		~	10/30 00	Auto	evalion	000				
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Modiu	n Truck	s. 0.	207				
Observer Height (Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heav	v Truck	s. 2. s [.] 8	004	Grade A	diustr	ment	0.0
Pa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet			mour	<i>y maon</i>	J. U.	004		-,		
Roa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		L	ane Equ	uivalent	Distan	ce (in i	feet)			
1	Road Grade:	0.0%				Auto	s: 51	.624				
	Left View:	-90.0 degrees	6		Mediui	n Truck	s: 51.	.452				
	Right View:	90.0 degree	6		Heav	y Truck	s: 51.	.469				
FHWA Noise Mode	el Calculations	;										
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dista	nce	Finite	Road	Fresi	nel	Barrier A	tten	Berr	m Atten
Autos:	71.78	-4.34		-0.31		-1.20		-4.69	0	.000	-	0.000
Medium Trucks:	82.40	-21.40		-0.29)	-1.20		-4.88	0	.000		0.000
Heavy Trucks:	86.40	-18.50		-0.29)	-1.20		-5.35	0	.000		0.000
Unmitigated Noise	Levels (witho	out Topo and b	arrier	attenı	uation)							
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	r Leq Day	L	eq Ev	ening	Leq	Night		Ldn		CN	IEL
Autos:	65.	96	4.2		60.3		58.	3	66	i.0		66.3
Medium Trucks:	59.	5 5	7.8		50.7		52.	9	60	.2		60.4
Heavy Trucks:	66.	4 6	4.5		60.1		60.	1	67	.3		67.5
Vehicle Noise:	69.	6 6	7.8		63.5		62.	В	70	.2		70.4
Centerline Distand	e to Noise Co	ntour (in feet)										
				70 d	BA	65	dBA	6	60 dBA		55	dBA
		L	.dn:		61		130) _	28	1		605
		CN	EL:		63		135	i	29	2		628

	FHWA-R	D-77-108 HIGH	IWAY N	DISE F	PREDIC		ODEL (§	9/12/2	:021)				
Scenar Road Nan Road Segme			Project Job Ni	Name: \ umber: 1	Vesti 16126	de Annex &	NLISP						
SITE	SPECIFIC II	NPUT DATA				N	OISE N	IODE		5			
Highway Data				S	ite Con	ditions ('Hard =	10, S	oft = 15)				
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	8,701 vehicl	es					Autos.	15				
Peak Hour	Percentage:	9.51%			Medium Trucks (2 Axles): 15								
Peak H	our Volume:	827 vehicle	s		He	avy Truc	ks (3+ A	xles).	15				
Ve	hicle Speed:	55 mph		V	obiclo I	Niv							
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	58 feet			Veh	icleType		Dav	Evenina	Niaht	Daily		
Site Data						A	utos:	77.49	6 7.9%	14.8%	92.34%		
Ba	rrier Height	0.0 feet			M	edium Tr	ucks:	77.4%	6 3.8%	18.8%	2.19%		
Barrier Type (0-V	/all. 1-Berm):	0.0			ŀ	leavy Tr	ucks:	73.4%	6.8%	19.9%	5.47%		
Centerline Di	st. to Barrier:	59.0 feet		N	nisa Sr	urce Ele	vations	in f	oot)				
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	59.0 feet			0130 00	Autor	. 0.0	000	000				
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Modiu	m Trucks	. 0.0	00					
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heav	n Trucks	. 2.2	104	Grade Adi	ustmen	t: 0.0		
P	ad Elevation:	Elevation: 0.0 feet				,	. 0.0	704	,				
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		L	ane Eq	uivalent	Distanc	e (in	feet)				
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos	51.6	524					
	Left View:	-90.0 degre	es		Mediui	m Trucks	: 51.4	152					
	Right View:	90.0 degre	es		Heav	y Trucks	51.4	169					
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculation	IS											
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dista	nce	Finite	Road	Fresn	el	Barrier Atte	en Be	rm Atten		
Autos:	71.78	-3.88		-0.31		-1.20		-4.69	0.0	00	0.000		
Medium Trucks:	82.40	-20.13		-0.29		-1.20		-4.88	0.0	00	0.000		
Heavy Trucks:	86.40	-16.15		-0.29		-1.20		-5.35	0.0	00	0.000		
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (with	out Topo and	barrier a	attenu	ation)								
VehicleType	Leq Peak Ho	ur Leq Da	/ L	eq Eve	ening	Leq I	Vight		Ldn	C	NEL		
Autos:	6	6.4	64.7		60.8		58.8		66.5	5	66.8		
Medium Trucks:	6	D.8	59.1		52.0		54.2		61.5	5	61.6		
Heavy Trucks:	6	8.8	66.8		62.5		62.4		69.6	;	69.8		
Vehicle Noise:	7	1.2	69.3		65.0		64.4		71.8	3	72.0		
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise C	ontour (in fee)										
				70 dE	BA	65 0	IBA		60 dBA	55	5 dBA		
			Ldn:		77		167		359		774		
		С	NEL:		80		173		373		803		

	FHWA-R	D-77-108 HIGH	IWAY I	NOISE	PREDIC	CTION MO	ODEL (S	9/12/20	021)		
Scenar Road Nan	io: Existing					Project I	Name: \	Vestid	e Annex &	NLISP	
Road Segme	nt: s/o Avenue	A				300 142	imber.	10120			
SITE	SPECIFIC II	IPUT DATA				N	OISE N	IODE	L INPUT	S	
Highway Data				S	ite Con	ditions (Hard =	10, So	ft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	7,813 vehicl	es					Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	9.51%			Me	edium Tru	cks (2 A	xles):	15		
Peak H	lour Volume:	743 vehicle	s		He	avy Truc	ks (3+ A	xles):	15		
Ve	ehicle Speed:	55 mph		V	ehicle	Mix					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	58 feet		Ē	Veh	icleType		Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data						A	utos:	77.4%	7.9%	14.8%	94.51%
Ba	rrier Height:	0.0 feet			М	edium Tru	ucks:	77.4%	3.8%	18.8%	1.86%
Barrier Type (0-V	Vall, 1-Berm):	0.0			1	Heavy Tru	ucks:	73.4%	6.8%	19.9%	3.63%
Centerline D	ist. to Barrier:	59.0 feet		N	oise So	ource Ele	vations	; (in fe	et)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	59.0 feet				Autos	: 0.0	000			
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediu	m Trucks	: 2.2	297			
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heav	vy Trucks	: 8.0	004	Grade Adj	iustment	: 0.0
P	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet					Distant	- 6- 4			
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		L	ane Eq	uivaient	Distanc	e (In 1	eet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos	: 51.6	524			
	Left View:	-90.0 degre	es		Meaiu	m Trucks	: 51.4	152			
	Right View:	90.0 degre	es		Heat	y Trucks	: 51.4	109			
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculation	s									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dist	ance	Finite	Road	Fresn	el	Barrier Atte	en Ber	m Atten
Autos:	71.78	-4.24		-0.31		-1.20		-4.69	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	82.40	-21.30		-0.29		-1.20		-4.88	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	86.40	-18.40		-0.29		-1.20		-5.35	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Nois	e Levels (with	out Topo and	barrier	r attenu	ation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Ho	ur Leq Da	V	Leq Eve	ening	Leg N	light		Ldn	C	NEL
Autos:	66	3.0	64.3		60.4		58.4		66.1	1	66.4
Medium Trucks:	59	9.6	57.9		50.8		53.0		60.3	3	60.5
Heavy Trucks:	66	3.5	64.6		60.2		60.2		67.4	1	67.6
Vehicle Noise:	69	9.7	67.9		63.6		62.9		70.3	3	70.5
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise C	ontour (in feet	9	70 //							
			L	70 dl	BA	65 d	BA	6	и авА	55	aBA
		~	Ldn:		61		132		285		614
		C	NEL:		64		137		296		638

	FHWA-RD	0-77-108 HIGH	WAY N	IOISE	PREDIC	TION MO	ODEL (9	/12/2	021)		
Scenar Road Nam Road Segme	io: Existing Wi ne: Sierra Hwy. nt: s/o Avenue	8		Project I Job Ni	Name: V Imber: 1	Vestic 6126	le Annex &	NLISP			
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	PUT DATA				N	OISE M	ODE	L INPUT	5	
Highway Data				5	Site Con	ditions (Hard = 1	10, Sc	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	9,684 vehicle	es				A	utos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	9.51%			Me	dium Tru	cks (2 A	xles):	15		
Peak H	lour Volume:	921 vehicle	s		He	avy Truc	ks (3+ A	xles):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	55 mph		1	/ehicle I	Nix					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	58 feet			Vehi	cleType	L	Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data						A	utos: ī	77.4%	7.9%	14.8%	93.02%
Ba	rrier Heiaht:	0.0 feet			Me	edium Tri	ucks: 7	7.4%	3.8%	18.8%	2.00%
Barrier Type (0-W	/all. 1-Berm);	0.0			F	leavy Tri	ucks: 7	73.4%	6.8%	19.9%	4.98%
Centerline Di	st. to Barrier:	59.0 feet			Voico Sa	urco Ele	wations	(in fr	of		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	59.0 feet		<i>'</i>	10/36 30	Autos	· 0.0	00	eu		
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediu	n Trucks	. 0.0	97			
Observer Height ((Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heav	v Trucks	· 2.2	04	Grade Adi	ustment	0.0
Pi	Pad Elevation: 0.0 feet						. 0.0	•••	,		
Roa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		L	ane Equ	uivalent	Distanc	e (in i	feet)		
1	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos	: 51.6	24			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	es		Mediur	n Trucks	: 51.4	52			
	Right View:	90.0 degre	es		Heav	y Trucks	: 51.4	69			
FHWA Noise Mode	el Calculation:	s									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dista	ance	Finite	Road	Fresne	e/	Barrier Atte	en Ber	m Atten
Autos:	71.78	-3.38		-0.3	1	-1.20	-	4.69	0.0	00	0.000
Medium Trucks:	82.40	-20.06		-0.29	9	-1.20	-	4.88	0.0	00	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	86.40	-16.10		-0.29	9	-1.20	-	5.35	0.0	00	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (with	out Topo and	barrier	atten	uation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	r Leq Day	1	Leq Ev	/ening	Leq N	light		Ldn	CI	NEL
Autos:	66	.9	65.2		61.3		59.3		67.0)	67.3
Medium Trucks:	60	.9	59.2		52.1		54.3		61.6	5	61.7
Heavy Trucks:	68	.8	66.9		62.6		62.5		69.7		69.9
Vehicle Noise:	71	.4	69.6		65.2		64.6		72.0)	72.2
Centerline Distand	ce to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)								
				70 a	iBA	65 a	BA	6	60 dBA	55	dBA
			Ldn:		80		172		370		797
		-	CNEL:				4 77 7				

Thursday, March 20, 2025

	FHWA-RD	-77-108 HIGHW	AY NOISI	E PREDIO	CTION MO	DEL (9	/12/20	21)		
Scenar	io: Background	I (2031)			Project N	ame: V	Vestide	e Annex &	& NLISP	
Road Nam	ne: Sierra Hwy.				Job Nu	nber: 1	6126			
Road Segme	nt: s/o Avenue	A								
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	PUT DATA			NC	ISE M	ODEI	L INPUT	S	
Highway Data				Site Con	ditions (H	lard = 1	10, So	ft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	8,766 vehicles				A	utos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	9.51%		Me	edium Truc	ks (2 A	xles):	15		
Peak H	lour Volume:	834 vehicles		He	avy Truck	s (3+ A	xles):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	55 mph		Vehicle	Mix					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	58 feet		Veh	icleTvpe	1	Dav	Evenina	Niaht	Dailv
Site Data					Au	tos:	77.4%	7.9%	14.8%	94.51%
Bai	rrier Heiaht:	0.0 feet		М	edium Tru	cks: 1	77.4%	3.8%	18.8%	1.86%
Barrier Type (0-W	/all, 1-Berm):	0.0			Heavy Tru	cks: 1	73.4%	6.8%	19.9%	3.63%
Centerline Dis	st. to Barrier:	59.0 feet		Noise S	ource Elev	ations	(in fe	et)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	59.0 feet			Autos:	0.0	00			
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet		Mediu	m Trucks	2.2	97			
Observer Height ((Above Pad):	5.0 feet		Heat	v Trucks	8.0	04	Grade Ad	liustmen	t: 0.0
Pa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet			,	0.0	•••			
Roa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		Lane Eq	uivalent E	listanc	e (in f	eet)		
1	Road Grade:	0.0%			Autos:	51.6	24			
	Left View:	-90.0 degrees		Mediu	m Trucks:	51.4	-52			
	Right View:	90.0 degrees		Hea	vy Trucks:	51.4	69			
FHWA Noise Mode	el Calculations	5								
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distance	Finite	Road	Fresne	el l	Barrier At	ten Be	rm Atten
Autos:	71.78	-3.74	-0.	31	-1.20		4.69	0.	.000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	82.40	-20.80	-0.3	29	-1.20		4.88	0.	.000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	86.40	-17.90	-0.3	29	-1.20	-	5.35	0.	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (with	out Topo and ba	rrier atte	nuation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	r Leq Day	Leq E	Evening	Leg N	ight		Ldn	C	NEL
Autos:	66	.5 64	.8	60.9		58.9		66.	6	66.9
Medium Trucks:	60	.1 58	.4	51.3		53.5		60.	8	61.0
Heavy Trucks:	67	.0 65	.1	60.7		60.7		67.	9	68.1
Vehicle Noise:	70	.2 68	.4	64.1		63.4		70.	8	71.0
Centerline Distand	ce to Noise Co	ntour (in feet)							-	
			70	dBA	65 dE	BA	6	0 dBA	55	i dBA
		Ld	In:	66		143		308	3	663
		CNE	:L:	69		148		320)	689

	FRIVA-KL		WATN	IUISEI	PREDIC		JDEL (9/12/2	021)		
Scenar Road Narr Road Segme	io: Background ne: Sierra Hwy nt: s/o Avenue	d (2031) With F A	PAs 2, 4	l,		Project I Job Nu	Vame: imber:	Westio 16126	le Annex &	NLISP	
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	IPUT DATA				N	DISE	NODE		s	
Highway Data				s	ite Con	ditions (Hard =	10, Se	oft = 15)		
Average Daily Peak Hour Peak H Ve	Traffic (Adt): Percentage: lour Volume: hicle Speed:	10,638 vehicle 9.51% 1,012 vehicles 55 mph	s	V	Mei Hei /ehicle M	dium Tru avy Truci fix	cks (2) ks (3+)	Autos: Axles): Axles):	15 15 15		
Nedi/Fdi La	ne Distance.	30 leel			Vehi	cleType		Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data						A	utos:	77.4%	7.9%	14.8%	93.16%
Ba Barrier Type (0-W	rrier Height: /all, 1-Berm):	0.0 feet 0.0			Me F	edium Tru leavy Tru	icks: icks:	77.4% 73.4%	3.8% 6.8%	18.8% 19.9%	1.99% 4.86%
Centerline Di	st. to Barrier:	59.0 feet		N	loise So	urce Ele	vation	s (in f	eet)		
Centerline Dist. Barrier Distance Observer Height	to Observer: to Observer: (Above Pad): ad Elevation:	59.0 feet 0.0 feet 5.0 feet 0.0 feet			Mediur Heav	Autos n Trucks y Trucks	: 0. : 2. : 8.	000 297 004	Grade Ad	justment	t: 0.0
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		L	ane Equ	ivalent	Distan	ce (in	feet)		
	Road Grade: Left View: Right View:	0.0% -90.0 degree 90.0 degree	es es		Mediur Heav	Autos n Trucks y Trucks	51. 51. 51.	624 452 469			
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculation	s									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dista	ance	Finite	Road	Fresr	nel	Barrier Att	en Bei	rm Atten
Autos:	71.78	-2.97		-0.31		-1.20		-4.69	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks: Heavy Trucks:	82.40 86.40	-19.68 -15.79		-0.29 -0.29)	-1.20 -1.20		-4.88 -5.35	0.0 0.0	000 000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (with	out Topo and	barrier	attenu	uation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	Ir Leq Day		Leq Ev	ening	Leq N	light		Ldn	С	NEL
Autos:	67	.3	65.6		61.7		59.	7	67.4	1	67.7
Medium Trucks:	61	.2	59.6		52.4		54.	7	62.0)	62.1
Heavy Trucks:	69	.1	67.2		62.9		62.	8	70.0)	70.2
venicle Noise:	71	.7	69.9		65.5		64.9	9	72.3	3	72.5
Centerline Distant	ce to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)		70 d	DA.	65 d	DA		SO dPA	55	dBA
			I dn	70 0	84	05 U	181		300	55	8/1
		CI	VEL:		87		188		405		872

	FHWA-R	D-77-108 HIGH	IWAY N	OISE F	PREDIC		ODEL (9/12/2	021)		
Scena Road Nan Road Segme	rio: Existing ne: Sierra Hwy nt: n/o Avenue				Project Job N	Name: \ umber: `	Vestio 16126	de Annex &	NLISP		
SITE	SPECIFIC II	IPUT DATA				N	IOISE N	IODE	L INPUT	S	
Highway Data				S	ite Cor	ditions	(Hard =	10, So	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	8,197 vehicl	es				,	Autos:	15		
Peak Hou	Percentage:	9.51%			Me	edium Tri	ucks (2 A	(xles):	15		
Peak I	lour Volume:	780 vehicle	s		He	avy Tru	cks (3+ A	(xles):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	55 mph		V	ohiclo	Mix					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	58 feet		-	Veh	icleType		Dav	Evenina	Niaht	Daily
Site Data							Autos:	77.4%	5 7.9%	14.8%	94.51%
Ba	rrier Height	0.0 feet			М	edium Ti	rucks:	77.4%	3.8%	18.8%	1.86%
Barrier Type (0-V	Vall, 1-Berm):	0.0				Heavy Ti	rucks:	73.4%	6.8%	19.9%	3.63%
Centerline D	ist. to Barrier:	59.0 feet		N	oise S	ource El	evations	s (in f	eet)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	59.0 feet				Auto	s: 0.0	000	,		-
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediu	m Truck	s: 2.2	297			
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Hear	vy Truck	s: 8.0	004	Grade Adj	justment	. 0.0
F	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		-							
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		Li	ane Eq	uivalent	Distanc	e (In	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Auto	S: 51.0	524			
	Left View:	-90.0 degre	es		меаш	m Truck	S: 51.4	452			
	Right View:	90.0 degre	es		неа	у тиск	S: 51.4	409			
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculation	s	_								
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dista	nce	Finite	Road	Fresn	el	Barrier Atte	en Bei	rm Atten
Autos:	71.78	-4.04		-0.31		-1.20		-4.69	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	82.40	-21.09		-0.29		-1.20		-4.88	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	86.40	-18.20		-0.29		-1.20		-5.35	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Nois	e Levels (with	out Topo and	barrier	attenu	ation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Ho	ur Leq Daj	/ L	.eq Eve	ening	Leq	Night		Ldn	C	NEL
Autos:	66	3.2	64.5		60.6		58.6		66.3	3	66.6
Medium Trucks:	59	9.8	58.1		51.0		53.2		60.5	5	60.7
Heavy Trucks:	66	5.7	64.8		60.5		60.4		67.6	- -	67.8
venicie Noise:	65	1.9	68.1		63.8		63.1		70.5	0	70.7
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise C	ontour (in feel)	70 4	24	65	ARA		SO dBA	FF	dRA
			I dn:	10 00	63	03	127	L .	204	- 35	634
		0	NEL ·		66		142		294		650
		0			00		142		500		005

FH	WA-RD	-77-108 HIGH	IWAY	NOISE	PREDIC		ODEL (9	12/2	021)		
Scenario: Exis Road Name: Sien Road Segment: n/o	Scenario: Existing With PAs 2, 4, 6, 7, and Road Name: Sierra Hwy. Road Segment: n/o Avenue D						Name: V umber: 1	/estic 5126	le Annex &	NLISP	
SITE SPECI	FIC IN	PUT DATA				N	OISE M	ODE	L INPUT	s	
Highway Data				5	Site Con	ditions ('Hard = 1	0, Sc	ft = 15)		
Average Daily Traffic	(Adt):	10,068 vehicle	es				A	utos:	15		
Peak Hour Percen	tage:	9.51%			Me	dium Tru	cks (2 A	(les):	15		
Peak Hour Vol	ume:	957 vehicle	s		He	avy Truc	ks (3+ A.	des):	15		
Vehicle Sp	peed:	55 mph		1	/ehicle	Nix					
Near/Far Lane Dist	ance:	58 feet		-	Veh	icleTvpe	L	Dav	Evenina	Niaht	Dailv
Site Data						A	utos: 7	7.4%	7.9%	14.8%	93.08%
Barrier He	iaht [.]	0.0 feet			M	edium Tr	ucks: 7	7.4%	3.8%	18.8%	1.99%
Barrier Type (0-Wall, 1-B	erm):	0.0			1	leavy Tr	ucks: 7	3.4%	6.8%	19.9%	4.93%
Centerline Dist. to Ba	arrier:	59.0 feet			laina Cr	uree Ele	vetione	lin fe	ati		
Centerline Dist. to Obse	erver:	59.0 feet		,	voise st	Autor	valions	00	el)		
Barrier Distance to Obse	erver:	0.0 feet			Madiu	Autos m Trucks	. 0.0	07			
Observer Height (Above	Pad):	5.0 feet			Heav	n Trucks	. 2.2	57 DA	Grade Ad	iustment	.00
Pad Eleve	ation:	0.0 feet			near	y macks	. 0.0	-	0/000/10	uounoni	. 0.0
Road Eleve	ation:	0.0 feet		L	.ane Eq	uivalent	Distance	e (in i	feet)		
Road G	rade:	0.0%				Autos	: 51.6	24			
Left	View:	-90.0 degree	es		Mediu	m Trucks	51.4	52			
Right	View:	90.0 degree	es		Heav	y Trucks	: 51.4	69			
FHWA Noise Model Calco	ulations	;									
VehicleType REN	1EL	Traffic Flow	Dis	stance	Finite	Road	Fresne	1	Barrier Atte	en Ber	m Atten
Autos:	71.78	-3.21		-0.31	1	-1.20	-	4.69	0.0	000	0.00
Medium Trucks:	82.40	-19.90		-0.29	9	-1.20	-	4.88	0.0	000	0.00
Heavy Trucks:	86.40	-15.97		-0.29	9	-1.20	-	5.35	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise Level	s (witho	ut Topo and	barri	er atten	uation)						
VehicleType Leq Pe	ak Hou	r Leq Day	/	Leq Ev	/ening	Leq I	Vight		Ldn	C	NEL
Autos:	67.	1	65.4		61.5		59.4		67.1	1	67.5
Medium Trucks:	61.	0	59.3		52.2		54.4		61.7	7	61.9
Heavy Trucks:	68.	9	67.0		62.7		62.6		69.8	3	70.0
vehicle Noise:	71.	5	69.7		65.3		64.7		72.1	1	72.3
Centerline Distance to No	oise Co	ntour (in feet)	70 -	ID A	65 -			O dBA		dDA
			L day	70 0	IDA 01	00 0	176	6	0 UDA	55	01E
		0	Lan: NEL		85 85		1/6		3/8		815 846
			*66.		00		102		392		040

Thursday, March 20, 2025

	FHWA-RD	-77-108 HIGH	WAY NC	ISE I	PREDIC	TION M	ODEL (9	9/12/2	021)				
Scenario: Background (2031) Road Name: Sierra Hwy. Road Segment: n/o Avenue D SITE SPECIFIC INPUT DATA					Project Name: Westide Annex & NLISP Job Number: 16126								
					NOISE MODEL INPUTS								
Highway Data				S	ite Con	ditions	(Hard =	10, Sc	oft = 15)			
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	9,186 vehicle	s					Autos:	15				
Peak Hour	9.51%			Medium Trucks (2 Axles): 15									
Peak H	lour Volume:	874 vehicles			He	avy Truc	cks (3+ A	(xles):	15				
Ve	55 mph		V	ehicle I	Mix								
Near/Far La	58 feet			Veh	icleTvpe		Dav	Evenii	na N	liaht	Daily		
Site Data						, ,	Autos:	77.4%	7.9	9% 1	4.8%	94.51%	
Ba	rrier Height	0.0 feet			M	edium Ti	ucks:	77.4%	3.8	8% 1	8.8%	1.86%	
Barrier Type (0-V	0.0			ŀ	leavy Tr	ucks:	73.4%	6.8	8% 1	9.9%	3.63%		
Centerline Di	ist. to Barrier:	59.0 feet			laiaa Cr	uree El	ovotion	in fi	nofi)				
Centerline Dist. to Observer:		59.0 feet		N	use so	Auto	evalions	s (III 16	el)				
Barrier Distance to Observer:		0.0 feet			Madiu	Autos	5. 0.0	007					
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heat	W Truck	5. Z.4 e' 9.0	297	Grade	Adius	tment	0.0	
Pad Elevation:		0.0 feet			near	y macks	J. 0.0	504	0,000	, lajao	unionit.	0.0	
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		L	ane Eq	uivalent	Distanc	e (in :	feet)				
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos	s: 51.0	624					
Left View:		-90.0 degrees			Medium Trucks: 51.452								
	Right View:	90.0 degree	s		Heav	y Truck	s: 51.4	469					
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculations	6											
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distan	се	Finite	Road	Fresn	el	Barrier	Atten	Ben	m Atten	
Autos:	71.78	-3.54		-0.31		-1.20		-4.69		0.000)	0.000	
Medium Trucks:	82.40	-20.60		-0.29		-1.20		-4.88		0.000)	0.000	
Heavy Trucks:	86.40	-17.70		-0.29		-1.20		-5.35		0.000)	0.000	
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (witho	out Topo and I	oarrier a	ttenu	ation)								
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	r Leq Day	Le	eq Ev	ening	Leq	Night		Ldn		CI	VEL	
Autos:	66	.7	65.0		61.1		59.1		66.8			67.1	
Medium Trucks:	dium Trucks: 60		58.6		51.5	53.7			61.0		61.2		
Heavy Trucks: 67		2 65.3			60.9	60.9			68.1		68.3		
Vehicle Noise:	70	.4 (58.6		64.3		63.6	i		71.0		71.2	
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise Co	ntour (in feet)		70 %	DA I	6E .		4	O dBA		6F	dBA	
	//			, u ai	DM 69	00 UDA 147			219		UD OBA		
		~	_un. IEL ·		71		147			330		710	
		Cr			11		133			000		710	

	FHWA-RD	0-77-108 HIGH	I YAWI	NOISE	PREDIC		DEL (9	/12/2	021)			
Scenario: Background (2031) With PAs 2, Road Name: Sierra Hwy. Road Segment: n/o Avenue D					, Project Name: Westide Annex & NLISP Job Number: 16126							
SITE SPECIFIC INPUT DATA					NOISE MODEL INPUTS							
Highway Data				S	Site Conditions (Hard = 10, Soft = 15)							
Average Daily Traffic (Adt): 11.057 vehicles					Autos: 15							
Peak Hour	9.51%			Medium Trucks (2 Axles): 15								
Peak Hour Volume: 1,052 ve			s		Heavy Trucks (3+ Axles): 15							
Vehicle Speed: 55 mp				V	ahiclo I	Mix						
Near/Far La	ane Distance:	58 feet		v	Veh	icleTyne	1	Jav	Evening	Niaht	Daily	
Site Data					V CI	Δı	tos: 7	7 1%	7.9%	14.8%	03 21%	
Ba	wier Height	0.0 feet			M	edium Tru	cks: 7	7.4%	3.8%	18.8%	1.98%	
Da Barriar Tuna (0.1/	Voll 1 Bormi	0.0 1001			ŀ	Heavy Tru	cks: 7	3.4%	6.8%	19.9%	4.81%	
Centerline D	ist to Barriar	59.0 feet										
Centerline Dist	to Observer	50.0 feet		Ν	loise Sc	ource Elev	ations	(in fe	eet)			
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet				Autos:	0.0	00				
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Mediui	m Trucks:	2.2	97				
Pad Elevation		0.0 feet			Heav	y Trucks:	8.0	04	Grade Adju	istment	: 0.0	
Road Elevation:		0.0 feet		L	ane Eq	uivalent D	istance	e (in i	feet)			
Road Grade:		0.0%				Autos:	51.6	24				
	Left View:	-90.0 degrees			Mediui	m Trucks:	51.4	52				
	90.0 degre	es		Heav	y Trucks:	51.4	69					
FHWA Noise Mod	lel Calculations	5										
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dist	ance	Finite	Road	Fresne	e/	Barrier Atte	n Ber	m Atten	
Autos:	71.78	-2.80		-0.31		-1.20	-	4.69	0.00	00	0.000	
Medium Trucks:	82.40	-19.52		-0.29)	-1.20	-4.88		0.00	00	0.000	
Heavy Trucks:	86.40	-15.67		-0.29)	-1.20	-	5.35	0.00	00	0.000	
Unmitigated Nois	e Levels (with	out Topo and	barrie	r attenu	uation)							
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	r Leq Day	/	Leq Ev	ening	Leq Ni	ight		Ldn	C	NEL	
Autos:	67	·.5 65.8		61.9		59.8			67.6		67.9	
Medium Trucks:	61	.4	59.7		52.6		54.8		62.1		62.2	
Heavy Trucks: 69		2 67.3			63.0		62.9		70.1		70.3	
Vehicle Noise:	71	.9	70.1		65.7		65.1		72.4		72.7	
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise Co	ntour (in feet)									
				70 d	BA	65 dE	BA	6	60 dBA	55	dBA	
			Ldn:		86		185		399		859	
		С	NEL:		89		192		414		892	
	FHWA-RI	D-77-108 HIGH	WAY NC	DISE F	PREDIC	TION M	ODEL (S	9/12/2	:021)			
---------------------------------	--	-----------------	-----------	--------	----------	----------	---------------------	----------------	--------------	---------	----------	
Scena Road Nan Road Segme	Scenario: Existing Road Name: Sierra Hwy. Road Segment: n/o Avenue E						Name: \ umber: `	Vesti 16126	de Annex &	NLISP		
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	NPUT DATA				N	IOISE N	IODE	EL INPUT	5		
Highway Data				S	ite Con	ditions	(Hard =	10, S	oft = 15)			
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	11,409 vehicle	es				,	Autos.	15			
Peak Hou	Percentage:	9.51%			Me	dium Tri	ucks (2 A	(xles)	15			
Peak I	lour Volume:	1,085 vehicle	s		He	avy Tru	cks (3+ A	(xles)	: 15			
Ve	hicle Speed:	55 mph		V	ohiclo I	Mix						
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	58 feet		-	Veh	icleType		Dav	Evenina	Night	Daily	
Site Data						/	Autos:	77.49	6 7.9%	14.8%	94.51%	
Ba	rrier Height	0.0 feet			Me	edium Ti	rucks:	77.49	6 3.8%	18.8%	1.86%	
Barrier Type (0-V	Vall, 1-Berm):	0.0			ŀ	Heavy Ti	rucks:	73.49	6.8%	19.9%	3.63%	
Centerline D	ist. to Barrier:	59.0 feet		N	oise Sc	ource Fl	evation	: (in f	eet)			
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	59.0 feet		-	0.00 00	Auto	s [.] 0 (000	000			
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediu	m Truck	s: 21	297				
Observer Height	Observer Height (Above Pad): 5.0 feet				Heav	v Truck	s: 8.0	004	Grade Ad	iustmen	: 0.0	
F	Pad Elevation: 0.0 feet					,						
Ro	Road Elevation: 0.0 feet					uivalent	Distanc	e (in:	feet)			
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Auto	s: 51.0	624				
	Left View:	-90.0 degre	es		Mediur	m Truck	s: 51.4	452				
	Right View:	90.0 degre	es		Heav	y Truck	s: 51.4	469				
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculation	s										
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distan	се	Finite	Road	Fresn	el	Barrier Atte	en Be	rm Atten	
Autos:	71.78	-2.60		-0.31		-1.20		-4.69	0.0	000	0.000	
Medium Trucks:	82.40	-19.66		-0.29		-1.20		-4.88	0.0	000	0.000	
Heavy Trucks:	86.40	-16.76		-0.29		-1.20		-5.35	0.0	000	0.000	
Unmitigated Nois	e Levels (with	out Topo and	barrier a	ttenu	ation)							
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	ur Leq Day	ν Le	eq Eve	ening	Leq	Night		Ldn	С	NEL	
Autos:	67	7.7	66.0		62.1		60.0		67.8	3	68.1	
Medium Trucks:	61	1.3	59.6		52.5		54.7		62.0)	62.1	
Heavy Trucks:	68	3.1	66.2		61.9		61.8	1	69.0)	69.2	
Vehicle Noise:	71	1.4	69.6		65.2		64.5		71.9)	72.2	
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)						-			
				70 dE	BA	65	dBA		60 dBA	55	dBA	
	Ldn:				79		170		367		791	
	CNEL:				82		177		381		821	

Scenario: Existing With PAs 2, 4, 6, 7, and 8 Project Name: Westide Annex & NLISP Road Name: Sierra Hwy. Job Number: 16126 Job Number: 16126 SITE SPECIFIC INPUT DATA NOISE MODEL INPUTS Highway Data Site Conditions (Hard = 10, Soft = 15) Average Daily Traffic (Adt): 11,990 vehicles Autos: 15 Peak Hour Porentage: 9,51% Medium Trucks (2 Axles): 15 Vehicle Speed: 55 mph Vehicle Type Day Evening Night Daily Site Data Autos: 77.4% 7.9% 18.8% 9.069 Barrier Type (0-Wall, 1-Berm): 0.0 Centerline Dist. to Observer: 0.0 feet Medium Trucks: 71.4% 7.9% 18.8% 9.09 Barrier Type (0-Wall, 1-Berm): 0.0 feet Autos: 0.00 Medium Trucks: 73.4% 6.8% 19.9% 4.03 Centerline Dist. to Observer: 0.0 feet Autos: 0.00 Medium Trucks: 8.004 Grade Adjustment: 0.0 Road Grade: 0.0% Left View: 90.0 degrees		FHWA-KL		IVVAT	NUISE	PREDIC			9/12/2	UZI)		
Koad Name: Stera Hwy. Job Number: Total Number: Job Number: Total Number: Job Number: Total Number: Job Number:	Scenar	Scenario: Existing With PAs 2, 4, 6, 7, and 8 Road Name: Sierra Hwy					Project	Name: \	Westic	le Annex &	NLISP	
SITE SPECIFIC INPUT DATA NOISE MODEL INPUTS Highway Data Site Conditions (Hard = 10, Soft = 15) Average Daily Traffic (Adt): 11,990 vehicles Peak Hour Percentage: 9,51% Peak Hour Volume: 1,140 vehicles Vehicle Speed: 55 mph Near/Far Lane Distance: 58 feet Site Data Autos: Barrier Height: 0.0 feet Barrier Type (0-Wall, 1-Berm): 0.0 Centerline Dist. to Observer: 59.0 feet Centerline Dist. to Observer: 50.0 feet Road Grade: 0.0% Left View: -90.0 degrees Right View: -90.0 degrees Right View: -90.0 degrees FHWA Noise Model Calculations Vehicle Type Vehicle Type Traffic Flow Vehicle Type REMEL Autos: 71.78 -2.40 -0.31 -1.20 -4.69 0.000 Medium Trucks: 81.469 VehicleType REMEL Traffic Flow VehicleType <	Road Nan Road Segme	ne: Sierra Hwy. ent: n/o Avenue	E				JOD N	umber:	16126			
Highway Data Site Conditions (Hard = 10, Soft = 15) Average Daily Traffic (Adt): 11,900 vehicles Autos: 15 Peak Hour Porentage: 9,51% Medium Trucks (2 Akles): 15 Peak Hour Volume: 1,140 vehicles Heavy Trucks (3+ Akles): 15 Vehicle Speed: 55 mph Medium Trucks (2 Akles): 15 Vehicle Speed: 55 mph Vehicle Type Day Levening Night Daily Site Data	SITE	SPECIFIC IN	IPUT DATA				N	OISE N	IODE		s	
Average Daily Traffic (Ad): 11,990 vehicles Autos: 11,5 Peak Hour Percentage: 9,51% Medium Trucks (2 Axles): 15 Peak Hour Volume: 1,140 vehicles Heavy Trucks (3 + Axles): 15 Vehicle Speed: 55 mph Day Evening Night Daily Site Data Dation: 77.4% 3.8% 18.8% 19.19 Barrier Height: 0.0 feet Medium Trucks: 77.4% 3.8% 18.8% 19.19 Barrier Type (0-Wall, 1-Berm): 0.0 Feet Autos: 77.4% 3.8% 18.8% 19.19 Barrier Distance to Observer: 0.0 feet Medium Trucks: 7.3% 6.8% 19.9% 4.03 Centerline Dist. to Observer: 0.0 feet Autos: 0.00 Medium Trucks: 2.297 Pobserver Height (Above Pad): 5.0 feet Autos: 51.624 Heavy Trucks: 51.624 Road Grade: 0.0% Autos: 51.624 Heavy Trucks: 51.469 WehicleType REMEL Traffic	Highway Data				5	Site Con	ditions	(Hard =	10, Sc	oft = 15)		
Peak Hour Percentage: 9,51% Medium Trucks (2 Axles): 15 Peak Hour Volume: 1,140 vehicles Heavy Trucks (3+ Axles): 15 Vehicle Speed: 55 mph Vehicle Type Day Evening Night Daily Site Data Autos: 77.4% 7.9% 14.8% 94.06% Barrier Type (0-Wall, 1-Berm): 0.0 Medium Trucks: 77.4% 3.8% 18.8% 94.06% Centerline Dist. to Doserver: 50.0 feet Medium Trucks: 77.4% 3.8% 18.9% 4.033 Deserver Height (Above Pad): 5.0 feet Autos: 0.00 Medium Trucks: 2.297 Observer Height (Above Pad): 0.0 feet Autos: 0.00 Medium Trucks: 8.004 Grade Adjustment: 0.0 Road Cirevation: 0.0 feet Autos: 51.624 Medium Trucks: 1.480 Road Grade: 0.0% Istance Finite Road Fresnel Barrier Atten Berm Atten WehicleType REMEL Traffic Flow Distance Finite	Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	11,990 vehicle	es				,	Autos:	15		
Peak Hour Volume: 1,140 vehicles Heavy Trucks (3+ Axles): 15 Vehicle Speed: 55 mph Vehicle Mix Vehicle Mix Vehicle Mix Site Data Autos: 77.4% 7.9% 14.8% 94.063 Barrier Height: 0.0 feet Autos: 77.4% 7.9% 14.8% 94.063 Barrier Type (0-Wall, 1-Berm): 0.0 feet Medium Trucks: 77.4% 3.8% 18.8% 1.919 Barrier Dist. to Observer: 59.0 feet Medium Trucks: 77.4% 3.8% 18.8% 1.919 Observer Height (Above Pad): 5.0 feet Autos: 0.00 Medium Trucks: 2.297 Road Grade: 0.0% Lane Equivalent Distance (in feet) Autos: 0.0 Road Grade: 0.0% Autos: 51.452 Heavy Trucks: 51.469 FHWA Noise Model Calculations VehicleType REMEL Traffic Flow Distance Finite Road Fresnel Barrier Atten Berm Atten Autos: 71.78 -2.40 -0.31 <td< td=""><td>Peak Hour</td><td>Percentage:</td><td>9.51%</td><td></td><td></td><td>Me</td><td>dium Tru</td><td>icks (2 A</td><td>Axles):</td><td>15</td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Peak Hour	Percentage:	9.51%			Me	dium Tru	icks (2 A	Axles):	15		
Vehicle Speed: 55 mph Vehicle Mix Vehicle Mix Vehicle Mix Site Data Barrier Height: 0.0 Barrier Height: 0.0 Barrier Height: 0.0 Barrier Type (0-Wail, 1-Berm): 0.0 Centerline Dist. to Barrier: 59.0 feet Barrier Jistance to Observer: 0.0 feet Autos: 77.4% 18.9% 4.033 Centerline Dist. to Observer: 59.0 feet Autos: 0.000 Barrier Jistance to Observer: 0.0 feet Autos: 0.000 Medium Trucks: 2.97 Pad Elevation: 0.0 feet Autos: 51.624 Road Grade: 0.0 degrees Right View: 9.0 degrees Right View: 9.0.0 degrees FHWA Noise Model Calculations <t< td=""><td>Peak F</td><td>lour Volume:</td><td>1,140 vehicle</td><td>s</td><td></td><td>He</td><td>avy Truc</td><td>:ks (3+ A</td><td>Axles):</td><td>15</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Peak F	lour Volume:	1,140 vehicle	s		He	avy Truc	:ks (3+ A	Axles):	15		
Near/Far Lane Distance: 58 feet Site Data VehicleType Day Evening Night Daily Site Data Autos: 77.4% 7.9% 14.8% 94.06% Barrier Type (0-Wall, 1-Berm): 0.0 Medium Trucks: 77.4% 3.9% 18.8% 94.08% Barrier Type (0-Wall, 1-Berm): 0.0 Medium Trucks: 77.4% 3.9% 18.8% 94.08% Centerline Dist. to Observer: 59.0 feet Moles Autos: 0.00 Moles Noise Source Elevations (in feet) Noise Source Elevations (in feet) Deserver Height (Nove Pad): 5.0 feet Autos: 0.00 feet Autos: 51.624 Road Grade: 0.0% Left (vew: 90.0 degrees Filte Road Fresnel Barrier Atten Berm Atten VehiclType REMEL Traffic Flow Distance Finite Road Fresnel Barrier Atten Berm Atten Autos: 71.78 -2.40 -0.31 -1.20 -4.68 0.000 0.000 Medium Trucks:	Ve	ehicle Speed:	55 mph		1	/ehicle l	Mix					
Site Data Autos: 77.4% 7.9% 14.8% 94.063 Barrier Height: 0.0 feet Medium Trucks: 77.4% 7.9% 14.8% 94.063 Barrier Type (0-Wall, 1-Berm): 0.0 Medium Trucks: 77.4% 7.9% 14.8% 94.063 Centerline Dist. to Barrier: 59.0 feet Medium Trucks: 77.4% 7.9% 14.8% 94.063 Diserver Height (Above Pad): 50.0 feet Moise Source Elevations (in feet) Noise Source filevations (in feet) Noise Source filevation (Near/Far La	ane Distance:	58 feet			Veh	icleType		Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Barrier Height: 0.0 feet Medium Trucks: 77.4% 3.8% 18.8% 1.919 Barrier Type (0-Wall, 1-Berm): 0.0 1.9000 1.90	Site Data						A	lutos:	77.4%	7.9%	14.8%	94.06%
Barrier Type (0-Wall, 1-Berm): 0.0 Heavy Trucks: 73.4% 6.8% 19.9% 4.033 Centerline Dist. to Diserver: 59.0 feet Noise Source Elevations (in feet) Autos: 0.000 Moise Source Elevations (in feet) Autos: 0.000 Medium Trucks: 2.297 Observer Height (Above Pad): 5.0 feet Autos: 0.000 Medium Trucks: 2.297 Pad Elevation: 0.0 feet Left View: 90.0 degrees Autos: 51.624 Road Grade: 0.0% Autos: 51.624 Medium Trucks: 51.624 Heavy Trucks: 51.469 Medium Trucks: 51.469 Medium Trucks: 51.469 FHWA Noise Model Calculations Fresnel Barrier Atten Berm Atten Berm Atten Autos: 71.78 -2.40 -0.31 -1.20 -4.69 0.000 0.000 Medium Trucks: 82.40 -19.33 -0.29 -1.20 -5.35 0.000 0.000 Unnitigated Noise Levels (without Topo and barrier attenuation) Vehicle/type Leg Day Le	Ba	rrier Heiaht:	0.0 feet			Me	edium Tr	ucks:	77.4%	3.8%	18.8%	1.91%
Centerline Dist. to Barrier: 59.0 feet Centerline Dist. to Observer: 0.0 feet Barrier Distance to Observer: 0.0 feet Observer: 0.0 feet Pad Elevation: 0.0 feet Road Elevation: 0.0 feet Road Elevation: 0.0 feet Road Grade: 0.0% Left View: 90.0 degrees Right View: 90.0 degrees WehicleType REMEL VehicleType REMEL Autos: 71.78 82.40 -19.33 -0.29 -1.20 Heavy Trucks: 88.40 10.8 0.29 -1.20 -5.35 0.000 0.000 Heavy Trucks: 88.40 -16.09 -0.29 -1.20 -5.35 0.000 0.000 Unmitigated Noise Levels (without Topa and barrier attenuation) VehicleType Leg Deak Hour Leg Day Leg Reside 66.9 62.3 60.2 68.8 66	Barrier Type (0-V	Vall, 1-Berm):	0.0			ŀ	leavy Tr	ucks:	73.4%	6.8%	19.9%	4.03%
Centerline Dist. to Observer: 59.0 feet Note Outce Lettries: 0.00 Barrier Distance to Observer: 0.0 feet Autos: 0.00 Observer Height (Above Pad): 5.0 feet Medium Trucks: 2.297 Pad Elevation: 0.0 feet Lane Equivalent Distance (in feet) Autos: 0.0 Road Grade: 0.0% Lane Equivalent Distance (in feet) Autos: 51.452 Right View: -90.0 degrees Heavy Trucks: 51.452 Heavy Trucks: 51.452 FHWA Noise Model Calculations Traffic Flow Distance Finite Road Fresnel Barrier Atten Berm Atten Autos: 71.78 -2.40 -0.31 -1.20 -4.69 0.000 0.00 Medium Trucks: 82.40 -18.33 -0.29 -1.20 -5.35 0.000 0.000 Immitigated Noise Levels (without Topo and barrier attenuation) WehiceType Leq Pay Leq Evening Leq Neght Ldn CNEL Autos: 67.9 66.2 62.3 60.2 62.3 62.4	Centerline Di	ist. to Barrier:	59.0 feet			laisa Sr	urce El	ovation	s (in fa	oof)		
Barrier Distance to Observer: 0.0 feet Medium Trucks: 2.97 Observer Height (Above Pad): 5.0 feet Medium Trucks: 2.97 Pad Elevation: 0.0 feet Heavy Trucks: 8.004 Grade Adjustment: 0.0 Road Elevation: 0.0 feet Lat View: -90.0 degrees Autos: 51.624 Right View: -90.0 degrees Medium Trucks: 51.462 Medium Trucks: 51.462 VehicleType REMEL Traffic Flow Distance Finite Road Fresnel Barrier Atten Berm Atten Autos: 71.78 -2.40 -0.31 -1.20 -4.69 0.000 0.00 Medium Trucks: 86.40 -16.09 -0.29 -1.20 -5.35 0.000 0.00 Immitigated Noise Levels (without Topo and barrier attenuation) Uvenicitrype Leq Day Leq Day Leq Evening Leq Night Ldn CNEL Autos: 67.9 66.2 62.5 69.7 69. 62.0 62.3 62.2 62.3 62.3	Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	59.0 feet		-		Autos	s' 0 (000			
Observer Height (Above Pad): 5.0 feet Intervention: 0.0 feet Heavy Trucks: 8.00 frade Adjustment: 0.0 Road Elevation: 0.0 feet Lane Equivalent Distance (in feet) Road Grade: 0.0% Autos: 51.624 Heavy Trucks: 51.624 Heavy Trucks: 90.0 degrees Heavy Trucks: 51.469 Heavy Trucks: 51.469 FHWA Noise Model Calculations VehicleType REMEL Traffic Flow Distance Finite Road Fresnel Barrier Atten Berm Atten Autos: 71.78 -2.40 -0.31 -1.20 -4.69 0.000 0.000 Medium Trucks: 88.40 -16.09 -0.29 -1.20 -5.35 0.000 0.000 Unmitigated Noise Levels (without Topo and barrier attenuation) VehicleType Lag Deak Lag Equip Minut CNEL Autos: 61.6 59.9 52.8 55.0 62.3 62.3 62.3 62.3 62.	Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediu	m Truck	. 21	297			
Pad Elevation: 0.0 feet Lane Equivalent Distance (infeet) Road Glavation: 0.0 feet Lane Equivalent Distance (infeet) Road Grade: 0.0% Autos: 51.624 Left View: -90.0 degrees Medium Trucks: 51.452 PHWA Noise Model Calculations Eventy Trucks: 51.469 VehicleType REMEL Traffic Flow Distance Finite Road Fresnel Barrier Atten Berm Atten Autos: 71.78 -2.40 -0.31 -1.20 -4.69 0.000 0.00 Medium Trucks: 82.40 -19.33 -0.29 -1.20 -5.35 0.000 0.00 Medium Trucks: 86.40 -16.09 -0.29 -1.20 -5.35 0.000 0.00 Unmitigated Noise Levels (without Topo and barrier attenuation) VehicleType Leg Peak Hour Leg Aay Leg Vening Leg Night Ldn CNEL Autos: 67.9 66.2 62.3 60.2 68.0 68.0 68.0 68.0 68.0 68.0 <t< td=""><td>Observer Height</td><td colspan="4">Observer Height (Above Pad): 5.0 feet</td><td>Heav</td><td>v Truck</td><td>5: 8.0</td><td>004</td><td>Grade Ad</td><td>iustment</td><td>: 0.0</td></t<>	Observer Height	Observer Height (Above Pad): 5.0 feet				Heav	v Truck	5: 8.0	004	Grade Ad	iustment	: 0.0
Road Elevation: 0.0 feet Lane Equivalent Distance (in feet) Road Grade: 0.0% Autos: 51.62 Left View: -90.0 degrees Medium Trucks: 51.452 Right View: 90.0 degrees Heavy Trucks: 51.452 FHWA Noise Model Catculations Fraffic Flow Distance Finite Road Fresnel Barrier Atten Berm Atten Autos: 71.78 -2.40 -0.31 -1.20 -4.69 0.000 0.00 Medium Trucks: 82.40 -19.33 -0.29 -1.20 -4.88 0.000 0.00 Imitigated Noise Levels (without Topo and barrier attenuation) -0.29 -1.20 -5.35 0.000 0.000 Unnitigated Noise Levels (without Topo and barrier attenuation) -0.29 -1.20 -5.35 0.000 0.00 Unmitigated Noise Levels (without Topo and barrier attenuation) -0.29 -1.20 -5.35 0.000 0.00 VehicleType Leq Day Leq Evening Leq Night Ldn CNEL Autos: 61.6 <td< td=""><td>P</td><td colspan="3">Pad Elevation: 0.0 feet</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	P	Pad Elevation: 0.0 feet										
Road Grade: 0.0% Autos: 51.624 Left View: 90.0 degrees Medium Trucks: 51.452 FHWA Noise Model Calculations Heavy Trucks: 51.452 VehicleType REMEL Traffic Flow Distance Finite Road Fresnel Barrier Atten Berm Atten Madium Trucks: 82.40 -19.33 -0.29 -1.20 -4.69 0.000 0.00 Medium Trucks: 82.40 -16.09 -0.29 -1.20 -5.35 0.000 0.00 Medium Trucks: 86.40 -16.09 -0.29 -1.20 -5.35 0.000 0.00 Unmitigated Noise Levels (without Topo and barrier attenuation) VehicleType Leg Peak Hour Leg Devining Leq Night Ldn CNEL Autos: 61.6 59.9 52.8 55.0 62.3 62.3 62.3 62.3 62.3 62.3 62.3 62.3 62.3 62.3 62.3 62.3 62.3 62.3 62.3 62.3 62.3 62.3 62.3 <td>Ro</td> <td colspan="5">Road Elevation: 0.0 feet</td> <td>uivalent</td> <td>Distanc</td> <td>ce (in i</td> <td>feet)</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Ro	Road Elevation: 0.0 feet					uivalent	Distanc	ce (in i	feet)		
Left View: -90.0 degrees Medium Trucks: 51.452 Right View: 90.0 degrees Heavy Trucks: 51.463 FHWA Noise Model Calculations VehicleType REMEL Traffic Flow Distance Finite Road Fresnel Barrier Atten Berm Atten Autos: 71.78 -2.40 -0.31 -1.20 -4.69 0.000 0.00 Medium Trucks: 82.40 -19.33 -0.29 -1.20 -4.88 0.000 0.00 Medium Trucks: 88.40 -16.09 -0.29 -1.20 -5.35 0.000 0.00 Unnitigated Noise Levels (without Topo and barrier attenuation) VehicleType Leg Peak Hour Leg Qay Leg Vening Leg Night Ldn CNEL Autos: 67.9 66.2 62.3 60.2 68.0 68.0 68.0 Medium Trucks: 61.6 59.9 52.8 55.0 62.3 62.2 69.7 69.0 69.0 65.0 72.4 72.0 Medium Trucks: 61.6		Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos	5: 51.0	624			
Prease Prease Prease Prease FHWA Noise Model Calculations Freshel Barrier Atten Bernier Atten VehicleType REMEL Traffic Flow Distance Finite Road Fresnel Barrier Atten Bernier Atten Autos: 71.78 -2.40 -0.31 -1.20 -4.69 0.000 0.00 Medium Trucks: 82.40 -19.33 -0.29 -1.20 -4.88 0.000 0.000 Imitigated Noise Levels (without Topo and barrier attenuation) VehicleType Leq Evening Leq Night Ldn CNEL Autos: 67.9 66.2 62.3 60.2 68.0 68. Medium Trucks: 61.6 59.9 52.8 55.0 62.3 62.9 Heavy Trucks: 61.6 59.9 52.8 65.0 62.3 62.9 Vehicle Noise: 71.8 70.0 65.7 65.0 72.4 72.4 Centerline Distance to Noise Contour (in feet) To dBA 65 dBA 60 dBA 55 dBA		Left View:	-90.0 degree	es		Mediui	m Trucks	5: 51.4	452			
FHVA Noise Model Calculations VehicleType REMEL Traffic Flow Distance Finite Road Fresnel Barrier Atten Berm Atten Autos: 71.78 -2.40 -0.31 -1.20 -4.69 0.000 0.00 Medium Trucks: 82.40 -19.33 -0.29 -1.20 -4.69 0.000 0.00 Unmitigated Noise Levels (without Topo and barrier attenuation) -0.29 -1.20 -5.35 0.000 0.00 VehicleType Leq Deak Hour Leq Dev Leq Vening Leq Night Ldn CNEL Autos: 61.6 59.9 52.8 55.0 62.3 69.7 69. VehicleType Leq Reak Hour Edg Deay 65.7 65.0 72.4 72.4 Heavy Trucks: 61.8 66.9 62.6 62.5 69.7 69. Vehicle Noise: 71.8 70.0 65.7 65.0 72.4 72.4 Centerline Distance to Noise Contour (in feet)		Right View:	90.0 degre	es		Heav	у ттиска	5. 51.4	469			
VehicleType REMEL Traffic Flow Distance Finite Road Fresnel Barrier Atten Berm Atten Autos: 71.78 -2.40 -0.31 -1.20 -4.69 0.000 0.000 Medium Trucks: 82.40 -19.33 -0.29 -1.20 -4.69 0.000 0.000 Medium Trucks: 88.40 -16.09 -0.29 -1.20 -5.35 0.000 0.000 Unmitgated Noise Levels (without Topo and barrier attenuation) -5.35 0.000 0.000 VehicleType Leg Peak Hour Leg Day Leg Vening Leg Night Ldn CNEL Medium Trucks: 61.9 66.2 62.3 60.2 68.0 68.8 Medium Trucks: 61.8 66.9 62.6 62.5 69.7 69.9 Vehicle Noise: 71.8 70.0 65.7 65.0 72.4 72. Centerline Distance to Noise Contour (in feet) - 70 dBA 65 dBA 60 dBA 55 dBA Ldn: 85	FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculation	s									
Autos: 71.78 -2.40 -0.31 -1.20 -4.69 0.000 0.000 Medium Trucks: 82.40 -19.33 -0.29 -1.20 -4.88 0.000 0.000 Heavy Trucks: 86.40 -16.09 -0.29 -1.20 -4.88 0.000 0.000 Unnitigated Noise Levels (without Topo and barrier attenuation) Leq Name Leq Night Ldn CNEL Medium Trucks: 61.6 59.9 62.2 62.3 60.2 68.0 68.0 Medium Trucks: 61.6 59.9 52.8 55.0 62.3 62.3 62.4 62.5 69.7 69.7 Vehicle Noise: 71.8 70.0 65.7 65.0 72.4 72.4 Centerline Distance to Noise Contour (in feet) C/0 BA 65 dBA 60 dBA 55 dBA Ldn: 85 183 394 844 CNEL: 88 190 409 88	VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dis	stance	Finite	Road	Fresn	el	Barrier Att	en Ber	m Atten
Medium Trucks: 82.40 -19.33 -0.29 -1.20 -4.88 0.000 0.000 Heavy Trucks: 86.40 -16.09 -0.29 -1.20 -5.35 0.000 0.000 Unmitigated Noise Levels (without Topo and barrier attenuation) -0.29 -1.20 -5.35 0.000 0.00 VehicleType Leq Pask Hour Leq Day Leq Evening Leq Night Ldn CNEL Autos: 67.9 66.2 62.3 60.2 68.0 68. Medium Trucks: 61.6 59.9 52.8 55.0 62.3 62.9 Heavy Trucks: 61.6 59.9 52.8 65.0 72.4 72. Vehicle Noise: 71.8 70.0 65.7 65.0 72.4 72. Centerline Distance to Noise Contour (in feet)	Autos:	71.78	-2.40		-0.31	1	-1.20		-4.69	0.0	000	0.00
Heavy Trucks: 86.40 -16.09 -0.29 -1.20 -5.35 0.000 0.000 Unmitigated Noise Levels (without Topo and barrier attenuation) Leq Reining Leq Reining Leq Right Ldn CNEL VehiceType Leq Peak Hour Leq Day Leq Zeining Leq Right Ldn CNEL Autos: 61.9 66.2 62.3 60.2 68.0 68.8 Medium Trucks: 61.8 66.9 62.6 62.5 69.7 69.7 VehiceTypes T1.8 70.0 65.7 65.0 72.4 72.2 Centerline Distance to Noise Contour (in feet) T0.0 65.7 65.0 55.0 BA 55.0 BA Ldn: 85 183 394 844 CNEL: 88 190 409 883	Medium Trucks:	82.40	-19.33		-0.29	9	-1.20		-4.88	0.0	000	0.00
Unmitigated Noise Levels (without Topo and barrier attenuation) VehicleType Leq Peak Hour Leq Day Leq Evening Leq Night Ldn CNEL Autors: 67.9 66.2 62.3 60.2 68.0 68.0 68.0 68.0 68.0 68.0 68.0 68.0 68.0 68.0 69.7 70.7 72.4 72.7 72.4	Heavy Trucks:	86.40	-16.09		-0.29	9	-1.20		-5.35	0.0	000	0.00
VehicleType Leg Peak Hour Leg Day Leg Evening Leq Night Ldn CNEL Autos: 67.9 66.2 23.3 60.2 68.0 68.8 Medium Trucks: 61.6 59.9 52.8 55.0 62.3 62.9 Heavy Trucks: 68.8 66.9 62.6 62.5 69.7 69.9 Vehicle Noise: 71.8 70.0 65.7 65.0 72.4 72.2 Centerline Distance to Noise Contour (in feet) 70 dBA 65 dBA 60 dBA 55 dBA Ldn: 85 183 394 844 CNEL: 88 190 409 88	Unmitigated Nois	e Levels (with	out Topo and	barrie	er atten	uation)						
Autos: 67.9 66.2 62.3 60.2 68.0 68.0 Medium Trucks: 61.6 59.9 52.8 55.0 62.3 62.7 69.7 Heavy Trucks: 61.8 66.9 62.6 62.5 69.7 69.7 Vehicle Noise: 71.8 70.0 65.7 65.0 72.4 72. Centerline Distance to Noise Contour (in feet) 70 dBA 65 dBA 60 dBA 55 dBA Ldn: 85 183 394 844 CNEL: 88 190 409 88	VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	ir Leq Day	/	Leq Ev	rening	Leq	Night		Ldn	C	NEL
Medium Trucks: 61.6 59.9 52.8 55.0 62.3	Autos:	67	.9	66.2		62.3		60.2	2	68.0)	68.
Heavy Trucks: 08.8 06.9 02.6 02.5 09.7 09.7 Vehicle Noise: 71.8 70.0 65.7 65.0 72.4 72.7 Centerline Distance to Noise Contour (in feet) 100 <t< td=""><td>Medium Trucks:</td><td>61</td><td>.6</td><td>59.9</td><td></td><td>52.8</td><td></td><td>55.0</td><td>)</td><td>62.3</td><td>3</td><td>62.4</td></t<>	Medium Trucks:	61	.6	59.9		52.8		55.0)	62.3	3	62.4
Verticite Noise: 11.5 10.0 65.7 65.0 72.4 72.4 Centerline Distance to Noise Contour (in feet) 70 dBA 65 dBA 60 dBA 55 dBA Ldn: 85 183 394 844 CNEL: 88 190 409 88	Heavy Trucks:	68	.8	66.9		62.6		62.5) \	69.		69.
Centerline Distance to Noise Contour (in feet) 70 dBA 65 dBA 60 dBA 55 dBA Ldn: 85 183 394 844 CNEL: 88 190 409 885	venicie Noise:	71	.8	70.0		05.7		65.0)	12.4	ŧ	72.
Ldn: 85 183 394 844 CNEL: 88 190 409 88	Centerline Distan	ce to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)	70 0	ID A	65 /		6	Oden	55	dBA
CNEL: 88 190 409 88				I dn'	100	28	050	1.07		201	- 55	200A 8/10
0.122. 00 100 400 00			C	NEI ·		88		103		394 409		881
		UNLL.				20						201

Thursday, March 20, 2025

	FHWA-RD	0-77-108 HIGHV	AY NO	ISE I	PREDIC	TION M	ODEL	(9/12/2	021)		
Scenari Road Nam Road Segmer	o: Background e: Sierra Hwy. nt: n/o Avenue	i (2031) E				Project Job N	Name: umber:	Westic 16126	de Annex a	& NLISF	2
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	PUT DATA				N	OISE	MODE	L INPUT	S	
Highway Data				S	ite Con	ditions	(Hard =	= 10, Sc	oft = 15)	-	
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	12,791 vehicles						Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	9.51%			Me	dium Tri	ucks (2	Axles):	15		
Peak H	our Volume:	1,216 vehicles			He	avy Truc	cks (3+	Axles):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	55 mph		v	ehicle I	Mix					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	58 feet		ŀ	Veh	icleType		Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data						1	Autos:	77.4%	5 7.9%	14.8	% 94.51%
Bai	rier Height:	0.0 feet			Me	edium Ti	rucks:	77.4%	3.8%	18.8	% 1.86%
Barrier Type (0-W	all, 1-Berm):	0.0			ŀ	leavy Ti	rucks:	73.4%	6.8%	19.9	% 3.63%
Centerline Dis	st. to Barrier:	59.0 feet			laise Sc	urco El	ovatio	ne (in fa	oof)		-
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	59.0 feet			0136 00	Auto	c v u li Oi	000	500		
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Modiu	n Truck	s. u	207			
Observer Height (bserver Height (Above Pad): 5.0 feet					v Truck	з. 2 s [.] Я	.251	Grade Ad	diustme	nt: 0.0
Pa	Pad Elevation: 0.0 feet						J. U	.004			
Roa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		L	ane Eq	uivalent	Distar	ice (in i	feet)		
I	Road Grade:	0.0%				Auto	s: 51	.624			
	Left View:	-90.0 degrees			Mediur	n Truck	s: 51	.452			
	Right View:	90.0 degrees			Heav	y Truck	s: 51	.469			
FHWA Noise Mode	el Calculation:	5									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distan	се	Finite	Road	Fres	nel	Barrier At	ten B	erm Atten
Autos:	71.78	-2.10		-0.31		-1.20		-4.69	0.	.000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	82.40	-19.16		-0.29	1	-1.20		-4.88	0.	.000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	86.40	-16.26		-0.29	1	-1.20		-5.35	0.	.000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	Levels (with	out Topo and b	arrier a	ttenu	ation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	r Leq Day	Le	q Ev	ening	Leq	Night		Ldn		CNEL
Autos:	68	.2 6	6.5		62.6		60	5	68	.3	68.6
Medium Trucks:	61	.8 6	D.1		53.0		55	2	62	.5	62.6
Heavy Trucks:	68	.6 6	6.7		62.4		62	.3	69	.5	69.
Vehicle Noise:	71	.9 7	D.1		65.7		65	0	72	.4	72.0
Centerline Distance	e to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)								-	
				70 di	BA	65	dBA	6	60 dBA	5	55 dBA
	Ldn:				85		18	4	39	ô	853
		CN	EL:		89		19	1	41	1	886

	FHWA-RI	D-77-108 HIGH	NAY NC	DISE F	PREDIC	TION M	IODEL (S	9/12/2	2021)		
Scena Road Nar Road Segme	Scenario: Background (2031) With PAs 2, 4 Road Name: Sierra Hwy. Road Segment: n/o Avenue E						Name: \ lumber: 1	Westi 16126	de Annex &	NLISP	
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	NPUT DATA				N	IOISE N	IODI	EL INPUTS	5	
Highway Data				S	ite Con	ditions	(Hard =	10, S	oft = 15)		
Average Daily Peak Hou Peak F Ve	r Traffic (Adt): r Percentage: Hour Volume: ehicle Speed:	13,373 vehicle 9.51% 1,272 vehicles 55 mph	s	V	Me He ehicle I	dium Tri avy Truc Mix) ucks (2 A cks (3+ A	Autos Axles) Axles)	: 15 : 15 : 15		
Near/Far La	ane Distance:	58 feet		-	Veh	icleTvpe		Dav	Evenina	Niaht	Dailv
Site Data							Autos:	77.49	6 7.9%	14.8%	94.11%
Ba Barrier Type (0-V	arrier Height: Vall, 1-Berm):	0.0 feet 0.0			Me H	edium Ti Heavy Ti	rucks: rucks:	77.49 73.49	6.8% 6.8%	18.8% 19.9%	1.91% 3.99%
Centerline D	ist. to Barrier:	59.0 feet		N	oise Sc	ource El	evations	s (in f	feet)		
Centerline Dist. Barrier Distance Observer Height F	to Observer: to Observer: (Above Pad): Pad Elevation:	59.0 feet 0.0 feet 5.0 feet 0.0 feet			Mediui Heav	Auto m Truck ry Truck	s: 0.0 s: 2.2 s: 8.0	000 297 004	Grade Adji	ustment	t: 0.0
Ro	Road Elevation: 0.0 feet					uivalent	Distanc	e (in	feet)		
	Road Grade: Left View: Right View:	0.0% -90.0 degree 90.0 degree	s		Mediui Heav	Auto m Truck ry Truck	s: 51.6 s: 51.4 s: 51.4	624 452 469			
FHWA Noise Mod	lel Calculation	s									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distan	се	Finite	Road	Fresn	el	Barrier Atte	en Bei	rm Atten
Autos: Medium Trucks: Heavy Trucks:	71.78 82.40 86.40	-1.93 -18.86 -15.66		-0.31 -0.29 -0.29		-1.20 -1.20 -1.20		-4.69 -4.88 -5.35	0.0 0.0 0.0	00 00 00	0.000 0.000 0.000
Unmitigated Nois	e Levels (with	out Topo and I	arrier a	ttenu	ation)						
VehicleType	Leg Peak Ho	ur Leq Day	Le	q Eve	ening	Leq	Night		Ldn	С	NEL
Autos	68	3.3 6	6.7		62.7		60.7		68.4		68.7
Medium Trucks.	62	2.1 6	60.4		53.2		55.5		62.8		62.9
Heavy Trucks	69	9.2 6	67.3		63.0		62.9)	70.1		70.3
Vehicle Noise:	72	2.3 7	0.5		66.1		65.4		72.8		73.1
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise C	ontour (in feet)									
L				70 dE	BA	65	dBA		60 dBA	55	dBA
		l	.dn:		91		196		422		909
	CNEL:				94		203		438		944

	FHWA-R	D-77-108 HIGH	IWAY N	OISE F	PREDIC	TION M	ODEL (S	9/12/2	021)		
Scenar Road Nan Road Segme	rio: Existing ne: Sierra Hwy nt: n/o Avenue	≥F				Project Job Ni	Name: \ umber: ^	Westic 16126	le Annex &	NLISP	
SITE	SPECIFIC II	NPUT DATA				N	OISE N	IODE	L INPUT	5	
Highway Data				S	ite Cor	ditions ('Hard =	10, Sc	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	7,119 vehicl	es				,	Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	9.51%			Me	edium Tru	icks (2 A	(xles):	15		
Peak H	our Volume:	677 vehicle	s		He	avy Truc	ks (3+ A	(xles):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	55 mph		V	ohiclo	Mix					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	58 feet			Veh	icleType		Dav	Evenina	Niaht	Daily
Site Data					1011	A	utos:	77.4%	7.9%	14.8%	94.51%
Ba	rrier Height	0.0 feet			М	edium Tr	ucks:	77.4%	3.8%	18.8%	1.86%
Barrier Type (0-V	Vall, 1-Berm):	0.0			1	Heavy Tr	ucks:	73.4%	6.8%	19.9%	3.63%
Centerline D	ist. to Barrier:	59.0 feet		N	oise Si	ource Ele	vation	: (in fe	pet)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	59.0 feet		-		Autos	. 00	000			
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediu	m Trucks	: 23	97			
Observer Height	Observer Height (Above Pad): 5.0 feet				Hea	v Trucks	: 8.0	004	Grade Ad	iustment	: 0.0
P	Pad Elevation: 0.0 feet					,					
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		Li	ane Eq	uivalent	Distand	e (in i	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos	:: 51.0	624			
	Left View:	-90.0 degre	es		Mediu	m Trucks	: 51.4	452			
	Right View:	90.0 degre	es		Hear	vy Trucks	1: 51.4	469			
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculation	s									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dista	ince	Finite	Road	Fresn	el	Barrier Atte	en Ber	m Atten
Autos:	71.78	-4.65		-0.31		-1.20		-4.69	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	82.40	-21.70		-0.29		-1.20		-4.88	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	86.40	-18.81		-0.29		-1.20		-5.35	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Nois	e Levels (with	out Topo and	barrier	attenu	ation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Ho	ur Leq Da	V L	Leq Eve	ening	Leq I	Vight		Ldn	C	NEL
Autos:	65	5.6	63.9		60.0		58.0		65.7	,	66.0
Medium Trucks:	59	9.2	57.5		50.4		52.6		59.9)	60.1
Heavy Trucks:	66	6.1	64.2		59.8		59.8	1	67.0)	67.2
Vehicle Noise:	69	9.3	67.5		63.2		62.4		69.9)	70.1
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise C	ontour (in fee	9	70 "	24		10.4	_			-/0.4
			L	70 dE	5A 50	65 0	IBA	6	ou dBA	55	aBA
	Ldn:			58		124		268		577	
	CNEL:				60		129		278		599

Cooper	ie: Evieting Wit	h DAa 2 4 6	7	4.0		Drojo et I	lome: \	Maatia	a Annay 8	NUED	
Dood Nor	O. Existing with	II PAS 2, 4, 0,	7, an	uo		Project r	mber: 1	6126	e Annex o	INLIOP	
Road Segme	nt: n/o Avenue	F				000 110	inder. 1	0120			
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	PUT DATA				N	DISE N	ODE		s	
Highway Data				;	Site Con	ditions (l	Hard =	10, Sc	ft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	9,715 vehicle	es				A	Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	9.51%			Me	dium Tru	cks (2 A	xles):	15		
Peak H	lour Volume:	924 vehicles	6		He	avy Truck	ks (3+ A	xles):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	55 mph		1	Vehicle I	Mix					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	58 feet		F	Veh	icleType	1	Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data						A	utos:	77.4%	7.9%	14.8%	91.78%
Ba	rrier Height:	0.0 feet			M	edium Tru	icks:	77.4%	3.8%	18.8%	2.18%
Barrier Type (0-W	/all, 1-Berm):	0.0			1	Heavy Tru	icks:	73.4%	6.8%	19.9%	6.04%
Centerline Di	st. to Barrier:	59.0 feet		-	Noico Se	urco Elo	vations	(in fr	of		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	59.0 feet		-	10/30 00	Autos	0.0	00	.00		
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediu	m Trucks	22	00			
Observer Height (bserver Height (Above Pad): 5.0 feet				Heav	v Trucks	80	04	Grade Ad	iustment	0.0
Pi	Pad Elevation: 0.0 feet			_	71041	<i>y maono</i> .	0.0			,	
Roa	Road Elevation: 0.0 feet				Lane Eq	uivalent	Distanc	e (in i	feet)		
1	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos.	51.6	524			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	es		Mediu	m Trucks.	51.4	152			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	es		Heav	y Trucks.	51.4	169			
FHWA Noise Mode	el Calculations	;									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dis	stance	Finite	Road	Fresn	e/	Barrier Att	en Ber	m Atten
Autos:	71.78	-3.43		-0.3	1	-1.20		4.69	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	82.40	-19.66		-0.2	9	-1.20		4.88	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	86.40	-15.24		-0.2	9	-1.20		-5.35	0.0	000	0.00
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (witho	ut Topo and	barri	er atten	uation)	_					
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	r Leq Day	·	Leg E	vening	Leq N	light		Ldn	CI	VEL
Autos:	66.	8	65.2		61.2		59.2		66.9	9	67.
Medium Trucks:	61.	2	59.6		52.4		54.7		62.0	D	62.
Heavy Trucks:	69.	7	67.7		63.4		63.3		70.5	5	70.
Vehicle Noise:	71.	9	70.1		65.7		65.2		72.5	5	72.
Centerline Distanc	ce to Noise Co	ntour (in feet,		70	-10.4	05.1			0 -10 4		-/0.4
			L	/00	JBA 07	65 d	BA	6	U dBA	55	aBA 007
		0	Ldn:		87		187		402		867
	CNEL:				90		194		417		899

Thursday, March 20, 2025

	FHWA-RD	-77-108 HIGH	WAY N	DISE	PREDIC	TION M	ODEL (9/12/2	021)			
Scenan Road Nam Road Segmei	io: Background e: Sierra Hwy. nt: n/o Avenue	I (2031) F				Project Job N	Name: umber:	Westio 16126	de Annex	& NL	.ISP	
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	PUT DATA				N	IOISE I	NODE	L INPU	TS		
Highway Data				5	Site Con	ditions	(Hard =	10, So	oft = 15)			
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	8,111 vehicle	es					Autos:	15			
Peak Hour	Percentage:	9.51%			Me	dium Tri	ucks (2	Axles):	15			
Peak H	lour Volume:	771 vehicles	S		He	avy Truc	cks (3+)	Axles):	15			
Ve	hicle Speed:	55 mph		1	/ehicle	Mix						
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	58 feet		-	Veh	icleType		Day	Evenin	g Ni	ght	Daily
Site Data						1	Autos:	77.4%	7.99	6 1	4.8%	94.51%
Bai	rrier Heiaht:	0.0 feet			M	edium Ti	rucks:	77.4%	3.89	6 1	8.8%	1.86%
Barrier Type (0-W	(all, 1-Berm):	0.0			ŀ	leavy Ti	rucks:	73.4%	6.89	61	9.9%	3.63%
Centerline Dis	st. to Barrier:	59.0 feet			voise So	ource El	evation	s (in f	eet)			
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	59.0 feet		-		Auto	e' 0	000				
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediu	n Truck	s. 0.	297				
Observer Height (bserver Height (Above Pad): 5.0 feet					v Truck	s: 8	004	Grade	Adiust	ment:	0.0
Pa	Pad Elevation: 0.0 feet						. 0.					
Roa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		L	ane Eq	uivalent	Distan	ce (in	feet)			
1	Road Grade:	0.0%				Auto	s: 51	.624				
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	es		Mediu	n Truck	s: 51.	452				
	Right View:	90.0 degree	es		Heav	y Truck	s: 51.	.469				
FHWA Noise Mode	el Calculations	5										
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dista	nce	Finite	Road	Fresi	nel	Barrier /	Atten	Ben	m Atten
Autos:	71.78	-4.08		-0.31	1	-1.20		-4.69		0.000		0.000
Medium Trucks:	82.40	-21.14		-0.29	Э	-1.20		-4.88		0.000		0.000
Heavy Trucks:	86.40	-18.24		-0.29	Э	-1.20		-5.35		0.000		0.00
Unmitigated Noise	Levels (with	out Topo and	barrier a	atten	uation)							
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	r Leq Day	' L	eq Ev	/ening	Leq	Night		Ldn		CI	IEL
Autos:	66	.2	64.5		60.6		58.	6	6	6.3		66.6
Medium Trucks:	59	.8	58.1		51.0		53.	2	6	0.5		60.
Heavy Trucks:	66	.7	64.7		60.4		60.3	3	6	7.5		67.
Vehicle Noise:	69	.9	68.1		63.7		63.	D	7	0.4		70.
Centerline Distand	ce to Noise Co	ntour (in feet,)									
				70 a	iBA	65	dBA	(60 dBA		55	dBA
			Ldn:		63		136	;	2	92		630
		CI	NEL:		65		141		3	04		654

	FHWA-RD	-77-108 HIGH	WAY N	NOISE	PREDIC		DEL (9/	12/20)21)		
Scenal Road Nan Road Segme	Scenario: Background (2031) With PAs 2, 4, Road Name: Sierra Hwy. Road Segment: n/o Avenue F						ame: W nber: 16	/estid 6126	e Annex & I	NLISP	
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	PUT DATA				NO	ISE M	ODE	L INPUTS		
Highway Data				S	ite Con	ditions (H	lard = 1	0, So	ft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	10,708 vehicl	es				A	utos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	9.51%			Me	dium Truc	ks (2 Ax	(les):	15		
Peak H	our Volume:	1,018 vehicle	s		He	avy Truck	s (3+ A)	des):	15		
Ve	ehicle Speed:	55 mph		V	ohiclo I	Niv					
Near/Far La	ane Distance:	58 feet		v	Veh	icleTvne	Г)av	Evening	Niaht	Daily
Site Data					VCIII	Δι	tos: 7	7 4%	7.9%	14.8%	92.03%
Ba	rrior Hoight	0.0 feet			M	edium Tru	cks: 7	7.4%	3.8%	18.8%	2.15%
Da Barriar Tuna (0.1/	Voll 1 Bormi	0.0 1001			ŀ	leavy Tru	cks: 7	3.4%	6.8%	19.9%	5.81%
Centerline D	ist to Barrier	50.0 feet									
Centerline Dist	to Observer:	50.0 feet		N	oise Sc	ource Elev	ations	(in fe	et)		
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet				Autos:	0.00	00			
Observer Height	Observer Height (Above Pad): 5.0 feet				Mediu	m Trucks:	2.29	97			
P	Pad Elevation: 0.0 feet				Heav	y Trucks:	8.00	04	Grade Adju	stment	: 0.0
Ro	Road Elevation: 0.0 feet				ane Eq	uivalent D	istance	e (in f	eet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos:	51.6	24			
	Left View:	-90.0 deare	es		Mediui	m Trucks:	51.4	52			
	Right View:	90.0 degre	es		Heav	y Trucks:	51.4	69			
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculations	;									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dist	ance	Finite	Road	Fresne	1 1	Barrier Atter	n Ber	m Atten
Autos:	71.78	-2.99		-0.31		-1.20		4.69	0.00	00	0.000
Medium Trucks:	82.40	-19.30		-0.29		-1.20		4.88	0.00	00	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	86.40	-14.98		-0.29		-1.20		5.35	0.00	00	0.000
Unmitigated Nois	e Levels (witho	out Topo and	barrier	r attenu	ation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	r Leq Daj	/	Leq Eve	ening	Leq Ni	ight		Ldn	C	NEL
Autos:	67.	3	65.6		61.7		59.6		67.4		67.7
Medium Trucks:	61.	.6	59.9		52.8		55.0		62.3		62.5
Heavy Trucks:	69.	9	68.0		63.7		63.6		70.8		71.0
Vehicle Noise:	72.	2	70.4		66.0		65.5		72.8		73.1
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise Co	ntour (in feet)								
				70 dl	BA	65 dE	3A	6	0 dBA	55	dBA
			Ldn:		91		196		422		910
	CNEL:				94		203		438		944

	FHWA-R	D-77-108 HIGH	IWAY N	IOISE F	PREDIC		ODEL (9/12/2	021)		
Scena Road Nan Road Segme	Scenario: Existing Road Name: Sierra Hwy. Road Segment: n/o Avenue G SITE SPECIFIC INPULT DATA						Name: \ umber: `	Vestio 16126	de Annex &	NLISP	
SITE	SPECIFIC II	IPUT DATA				N	IOISE N	IODE	L INPUT	5	
Highway Data				S	ite Cor	ditions	(Hard =	10, So	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	7,402 vehicl	es				,	Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	9.51%			Me	edium Tri	ucks (2 A	(xles):	15		
Peak I	lour Volume:	704 vehicle	s		He	avy Tru	cks (3+ A	(xles):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	55 mph		V	ohiclo	Mix					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	58 feet			Veh	icleType		Dav	Evenina	Niaht	Daily
Site Data					1011	/	Autos:	77.4%	5 7.9%	14.8%	94.51%
Ba	rrier Height	0.0 feet			М	edium Ti	rucks:	77.4%	3.8%	18.8%	1.86%
Barrier Type (0-V	Vall, 1-Berm):	0.0				Heavy Ti	rucks:	73.4%	6.8%	19.9%	3.63%
Centerline D	ist. to Barrier:	59.0 feet		N	oise S	ource El	evations	in f	eet)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	59.0 feet				Auto	s: 0.0	000	,		
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediu	m Truck	s: 2.2	97			
Observer Height	Observer Height (Above Pad): 5.0 feet				Hea	v Truck	s: 8.0	004	Grade Ad	iustment	: 0.0
P	Pad Elevation: 0.0 feet										
Ro	Road Elevation: 0.0 feet					uivalent	Distanc	e (in	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Auto	s: 51.0	524			
	Left View:	-90.0 degre	es		Mediu	m Truck	s: 51.4	452			
	Right View:	90.0 degre	es		Hea	y Truck	S: 51.4	469			
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculation	s									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dista	ance	Finite	Road	Fresn	el	Barrier Atte	en Ber	m Atten
Autos:	71.78	-4.48		-0.31		-1.20		-4.69	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	82.40	-21.53		-0.29		-1.20		-4.88	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	86.40	-18.64		-0.29		-1.20		-5.35	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Nois	e Levels (with	out Topo and	barrier	attenu	ation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Ho	ur Leq Da	/	Leq Eve	ening	Leq	Night		Ldn	C	NEL
Autos:	65	5.8	64.1		60.2		58.2		65.9)	66.2
Medium Trucks:	59	9.4	57.7		50.6		52.8		60.1		60.2
Heavy Trucks:	66	3.3	64.3		60.0		59.9		67.1		67.4
Vehicle Noise:	69	9.5	67.7		63.3		62.6		70.0)	70.3
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise C	ontour (in feel)			I		r		T	
				70 dE	BA	65	dBA		60 dBA	55	dBA
	Ldn:			59		128		275		593	
	CNEL:				62		133		286		615

	FHWA-RD	0-77-108 HIGH	WAY	NOISE	PREDIC		ODEL (9	12/2	021)		
Scenario Road Namo Road Segmen	Scenario: Existing With PAs 2, 4, 6, 7, and 8 Road Name: Sierra Hwy. Road Segment: n/o Avenue G					Project Job Ni	Name: V umber: 1	/estic 5126	le Annex &	NLISP	
SITE S	SPECIFIC IN	PUT DATA				N	OISE M	ODE	L INPUT	5	
Highway Data				5	Site Con	ditions ('Hard = 1	0, Sc	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	10,333 vehicle	es				A	utos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	9.51%			Me	dium Tru	cks (2 A	(les):	15		
Peak He	our Volume:	983 vehicle	s		He	avy Truc	ks (3+ A.	des):	15		
Vel	nicle Speed:	55 mph		1	/ehicle	Nix					
Near/Far Lar	ne Distance:	58 feet		F	Veh	icleTvpe	L	Dav	Evenina	Niaht	Dailv
Site Data						A	utos: 7	7.4%	7.9%	14.8%	91.29%
Bar	rier Height	0.0 feet			M	edium Tr	ucks: 7	7.4%	3.8%	18.8%	2.26%
Barrier Type (0-Wa	all. 1-Berm):	0.0			ŀ	leavy Tr	ucks: 7	3.4%	6.8%	19.9%	6.45%
Centerline Dis	t. to Barrier:	59.0 feet			laina Cr	uraa Ek	vetione	lin fe	a fl		
Centerline Dist. t	to Observer:	59.0 feet		,	voise sc	Autor	valions	(111.16	el)		
Barrier Distance t	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Madiu	Autos	. 0.0	JU 07			
Observer Height (/	Dbserver Height (Above Pad): 5.0 feet				Heau	II TIUCKS	. 2.2	97 D4	Grade Ad	iustment	. 0 0
Pa	Pad Elevation: 0.0 feet				neav	y mucka	. 0.0	04	Orade Auj	usunen	. 0.0
Roa	Road Elevation: 0.0 feet				ane Eq	uivalent	Distance	e (in i	feet)		
F	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos	: 51.6	24			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	es		Mediui	m Trucks	51.4	52			
	Right View:	90.0 degre	es		Heav	y Trucks	: 51.4	69			
FHWA Noise Mode	Calculation:	s									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dist	tance	Finite	Road	Fresne	1	Barrier Atte	en Ber	m Atten
Autos:	71.78	-3.18		-0.3	1	-1.20	-	4.69	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	82.40	-19.23		-0.29	9	-1.20	-	4.88	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	86.40	-14.69		-0.29	9	-1.20	-	5.35	0.0	000	0.00
Unmitigated Noise	Levels (with	out Topo and	barrie	r atten	uation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	r Leq Day	·	Leq Ev	/ening	Leq I	Vight		Ldn	C	NEL
Autos:	67	.1	65.4		61.5		59.5		67.2	2	67.
Medium Trucks:	61	.7	60.0		52.9		55.1		62.4	ţ	62.
Heavy Trucks:	70	.2	68.3		64.0		63.9		71.1		71.3
Vehicle Noise:	72	.3	70.5		66.1		65.6		73.0)	73.2
Centerline Distanc	e to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)	70	0.4		0.4		0 -0 4		-/0.4
			L	70 0	IBA 00	65 0	IBA 000	e	00 0BA	55	aBA
		~	Lan:		93		200		432		930
		C.	VCL.		90		208		448		904

Thursday, March 20, 2025

	FHWA-RD)-77-108 HIGHV	VAY NOI	SE F	PREDIC	TION M	ODEL (9/12/2	:021)			
Scenai Road Nan Road Segme	rio: Background ne: Sierra Hwy. nt: n/o Avenue	i (2031) G				Project Job N	Name: umber:	Westi 16126	de Ann	ex & NI	ISP	
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	PUT DATA				N	IOISE N	IODE	EL INP	UTS		
Highway Data				S	ite Con	ditions	(Hard =	10, S	oft = 1	5)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	9,375 vehicles						Autos.	: 15			
Peak Hour	Percentage:	9.51%			Me	dium Tri	ucks (2)	Axles)	: 15			
Peak H	our Volume:	892 vehicles			He	avy Tru	cks (3+ /	Axles)	: 15			
Ve	hicle Speed:	55 mph		V	ohiclo I	Mix						
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	58 feet		-	Veh	icleTvpe		Dav	Even	ina N	iaht	Daily
Site Data					v on		Autos:	77.49	6 7.	9% 1	4.8%	94.51%
Ba	rrier Height	0.0 feet			M	edium Ti	rucks:	77.49	63.	8% 1	8.8%	1.86%
Barrier Type (0-V	Vall. 1-Berm):	0.0			ŀ	leavy Ti	rucks:	73.49	66.	8% 1	9.9%	3.63%
Centerline D	ist. to Barrier:	59.0 feet						- (4	41			
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	59.0 feet		N	oise so	ource El	evation	s (IN 1	eet)			
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet				Auto	s: 0.	000				
Observer Height	Observer Height (Above Pad): 5.0 feet				Mediu	m Truck	S.' 2.	297	Grade	Adius	tmont	0.0
P	Pad Elevation: 0.0 feet					y muck	5. 8.	004	Graue	- Aujus	unent.	0.0
Ro	Road Elevation: 0.0 feet						Distan	ce (in	feet)			
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Auto	s: 51.	624				
	Left View:	-90.0 degrees			Mediu	m Truck	s: 51.	452				
	Right View:	90.0 degrees	;		Heav	y Truck	s: 51.	469				
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculation:	s		-								
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distanc	е	Finite	Road	Fresr	iel	Barrie	r Atten	Ben	m Atten
Autos:	71.78	-3.45	-1	0.31		-1.20		-4.69		0.000		0.000
Medium Trucks:	82.40	-20.51	-1	0.29		-1.20		-4.88		0.000		0.000
Heavy Trucks:	86.40	-17.61	-1	0.29		-1.20		-5.35		0.000		0.000
Unmitigated Nois	e Levels (with	out Topo and b	arrier at	tenu	ation)							
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	r Leq Day	Leo	l Eve	ening	Leq	Night		Ldn		CI	IEL
Autos:	66	.8 6	5.1		61.2		59.2	2		66.9		67.2
Medium Trucks:	60	.4 5	8.7		51.6		53.8	3		61.1		61.3
Heavy Trucks:	67	.3 6	5.4		61.0		61.0)		68.2		68.4
Vehicle Noise:	70	.5 6	8.7		64.4		63.6	6		71.1		71.3
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)	-	70 -4	04	05	-/0.4	1	CO - 10 A			-10.4
		,	/	υđ	DA 60	05	140	1	ου αΒΑ	200	55	UBA 604
	Lan: CNEL			09 70		149			322		720	
	CNEL:						155			334		720

	FHWA-R	D-77-108 HIGH	WAY NO	ISE F	PREDIC		ODEL (9	9/12/2	.021)		
Scenar Road Nan Road Segme	Scenario: Background (2031) With PAs 2, 4 Road Name: Sierra Hwy. Road Segment: n/o Avenue G SITE SPECIFIC INPUT DATA						Name: \ umber: `	Westi 16126	de Annex &	NLISP	
SITE	SPECIFIC II	NPUT DATA				N	OISE N	IODE	EL INPUTS	3	
Highway Data				S	ite Con	ditions ((Hard =	10, S	oft = 15)		
Average Daily Peak Hour Peak F	Traffic (Adt): Percentage: Iour Volume:	12,305 vehicle 9.51% 1,170 vehicles	s		Me He	dium Tru avy Truc) icks (2 A iks (3+ A	Autos Axles) Axles)	: 15 : 15 : 15		
Veor/Eor Le	ne Distance	55 mpn		V	ehicle l	Mix					
Ivedi/Fdi La	ane Distance.	56 leel			Veh	icleType		Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data						A	utos:	77.49	6 7.9%	14.8%	91.80%
Ba	rrier Height:	0.0 feet			M	edium Tr	ucks:	77.49	6 3.8%	18.8%	2.20%
Barrier Type (0-W	Vall, 1-Berm):	0.0			ŀ	Heavy Tr	ucks:	73.49	6.8%	19.9%	6.00%
Centerline Di	ist. to Barrier:	59.0 feet		N	nisa Sr	urce El	avation	s (in f	oot)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	59.0 feet			0/30 00	Autor	. 0.0	000	001		
Barrier Distance	Barrier Distance to Observer: 0.0 feet				Modiu	m Trucki	. 0.0	207			
Observer Height	Observer Height (Above Pad): 5.0 feet				Heav	n Trucks	s. 2.4	297	Grade Adi	ustman	+· 0.0
P	Pad Elevation: 0.0 feet				near	y mucho	. 0.0	004	0/000/10/	aounon	0.0
Ro	Road Elevation: 0.0 feet				ane Eq	uivalent	Distanc	ce (in	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos	51.0	624			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	s		Mediui	m Trucks	: 51.4	452			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	s		Heav	y Trucks	51.4	469			
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculation	s		_							
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distan	ce	Finite	Road	Fresn	el	Barrier Atte	en Be	rm Atten
Autos:	71.78	-2.40		0.31		-1.20		-4.69	0.0	00	0.000
Medium Trucks:	82.40	-18.60		0.29		-1.20		-4.88	0.0	00	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	86.40	-14.25		0.29		-1.20		-5.35	0.0	00	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (with	out Topo and	barrier at	tenu	ation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Ho	ur Leq Day	Le	q Eve	ening	Leq I	Vight		Ldn	С	NEL
Autos:	67	7.9	66.2		62.3		60.2	2	68.0	1	68.3
Medium Trucks:	62	2.3	60.6		53.5		55.7	7	63.0		63.2
Heavy Trucks:	70	0.7	68.7		64.4		64.3	3	71.5		71.7
Vehicle Noise:	72	2.9	71.1		66.7		66.2	2	73.5		73.8
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise C	ontour (in feet)									
				70 dE	BA	65 0	1BA		60 dBA	55	i dBA
	Ldn:				101		218		470		1,012
	CNEL:				105		226		487		1,050

	FHWA-R	D-77-108 HIGH	IWAY N	NOISE F	PREDIC		ODEL (9/12/2	021)						
Scenar Road Nan Road Segme	Scenario: Existing Road Name: Sierra Hwy. Road Segment: n/o Avenue H						Project Name: Westide Annex & NLISP Job Number: 16126								
SITE	SPECIFIC II	IPUT DATA				N	IOISE N	IODE	L INPUT	5					
Highway Data				S	ite Cor	ditions	(Hard =	10, S	oft = 15)						
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	6,761 vehicl	es				,	Autos:	15						
Peak Hour	Percentage:	9.51%			Me	edium Tri	ucks (2 A	xles).	15						
Peak H	lour Volume:	643 vehicle	s		He	avy Tru	cks (3+ A	xles).	15						
Ve	hicle Speed:	55 mph		V	ohiclo	Mix									
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	58 feet		-	Veh	icleType		Dav	Evenina	Niaht	Daily				
Site Data					1011	/	Autos:	77.4%	5 7.9%	14.8%	94.51%				
Ba	rrier Height	0.0 feet			М	edium Ti	rucks:	77.4%	3.8%	18.8%	1.86%				
Barrier Type (0-V	Vall, 1-Berm):	0.0				Heavy Ti	rucks:	73.4%	6.8%	19.9%	3.63%				
Centerline D	ist. to Barrier:	59.0 feet		N	oise S	ource El	evations	in f	eet)						
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	59.0 feet				Auto	s: 0.0	000	,						
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediu	m Truck	s: 2.2	97							
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Hea	v Truck	s: 8.0	004	Grade Ad	iustment	: 0.0				
P	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		-											
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		Li	ane Eq	uivalent	Distanc	e (in	feet)						
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Auto	s: 51.0	524							
	Left View:	-90.0 degre	es		Mediu	m Truck	s: 51.4	452							
	Right View:	90.0 degre	es		Hea	Vy Truck	S: 51.4	469							
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculation	s													
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dista	ance	Finite	Road	Fresn	el	Barrier Atte	en Bei	rm Atten				
Autos:	71.78	-4.87		-0.31		-1.20		-4.69	0.0	000	0.000				
Medium Trucks:	82.40	-21.93		-0.29		-1.20		-4.88	0.0	000	0.000				
Heavy Trucks:	86.40	-19.03		-0.29		-1.20		-5.35	0.0	000	0.000				
Unmitigated Nois	e Levels (with	out Topo and	barrier	r attenu	ation)										
VehicleType	Leq Peak Ho	ur Leq Da	v .	Leq Eve	ening	Leq	Night		Ldn	C	NEL				
Autos:	65	5.4	63.7		59.8		57.8		65.5	5	65.8				
Medium Trucks:	59	9.0	57.3		50.2		52.4		59.7	7	59.8				
Heavy Trucks:	65	5.9	64.0		59.6		59.5		66.7	,	67.0				
Vehicle Noise:	69	9.1	67.3		63.0		62.2		69.6	6	69.9				
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise C	ontour (in feel	9												
			L	70 dE	3A	65	аВА		ou dBA	55	aBA				
		~	Ldn:		56		120		259		558				
		C	NEL:		58		125		269		579				

FHW	/A-RD-	-77-108 HIGH	WAY	NOISE	PREDIC	TION M	ODEL (9	/12/2	021)		
Scenario: Existir Road Name: Sierra Road Segment: n/o Av	Scenario: Existing With PAs 2, 4, 6, 7, and 8 Road Name: Sierra Hwy. Road Segment: n/o Avenue H						Name: V umber: 1	Vestic 6126	le Annex &	NLISP	
SITE SPECIF	IC INI	PUT DATA				N	OISE M	ODE	L INPUT	S	
Highway Data				S	Site Con	ditions (Hard = 1	10, Sc	oft = 15)		
Average Daily Traffic (A	dt):	8,632 vehicle	es				A	utos:	15		
Peak Hour Percenta	ge:	9.51%			Me	dium Tru	icks (2 A	xles):	15		
Peak Hour Volu	ne:	821 vehicles	s		He	avy Truc	ks (3+ A	xles):	15		
Vehicle Spe	ed:	55 mph		L.	/ehicle	Nix					
Near/Far Lane Distar	ice:	58 feet		-	Veh	icleTvpe	1	Dav	Evenina	Niaht	Dailv
Site Data						A	utos: T	7.4%	7.9%	14.8%	92.84%
Barrier Heid	tht.	0.0 feet			М	edium Tr	ucks: 7	7.4%	3.8%	18.8%	2.02%
Barrier Type (0-Wall, 1-Ber	m):	0.0			1	leavy Tr	ucks: T	73.4%	6.8%	19.9%	5.14%
Centerline Dist. to Barr	ier:	59.0 feet			laina Cr	uree El	wationa	lin fe	a fl		
Centerline Dist. to Obser	ver:	59.0 feet		7	ioise st	Autor	evalions	00	el)		
Barrier Distance to Obser	ver:	0.0 feet			Madiu	m Trucki	5. U.U	00			
Observer Height (Above Pa	bserver Height (Above Pad): 5.0 feet					n Trucks	5. 2.2 S' 8.0	04	Grade Ad	iustment	· 0.0
Pad Elevat	ion:	0.0 feet			near	y macke	. 0.0	04	0/000/10	aounoni	. 0.0
Road Elevat	ion:	0.0 feet		L	.ane Eq	uivalent	Distanc	e (in i	feet)		
Road Gra	de:	0.0%				Autos	51.6	24			
Left Vi	ew:	-90.0 degree	es		Mediu	m Trucks	s: 51.4	52			
Right Vi	ew:	90.0 degree	es		Heav	y Trucks	s: 51.4	69			
FHWA Noise Model Calcul	ations										
VehicleType REME	EL.	Traffic Flow	Di	stance	Finite	Road	Fresne	e/	Barrier Atte	en Ber	m Atten
Autos: 7	1.78	-3.89		-0.31	1	-1.20	-	4.69	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks: 8	32.40	-20.52		-0.29	9	-1.20	-	4.88	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks: 8	36.40	-16.45		-0.29	9	-1.20	-	5.35	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise Levels	witho	ut Topo and	barri	er atteni	uation)						
VehicleType Leq Pea	k Hour	Leq Day	r -	Leq Ev	rening	Leq I	Vight		Ldn	CI	NEL
Autos:	66.4	1	64.7		60.8		58.7		66.5	5	66.8
Medium Trucks:	60.4	1	58.7		51.6		53.8		61.1		61.2
Heavy Trucks:	68.	5	66.5		62.2		62.1		69.3	3	69.
Vehicle Noise:	70.9	9	69.1		64.8		64.2		71.5	5	71.8
Centerline Distance to Noi	se Cor	ntour (in feet,)	70 -	0.4	65.	10.4		0 -0 4		-/0.4
				70 a	IBA 75	65 0	IBA	e	OU OBA	55	abA 740
		~	Lan:	75 161 347			748				
		CI	VEL:		78		167		360		//6

Thursday, March 20, 2025

	FHWA-RD	-77-108 HIGH	IWAY N	OISE	PREDIC	TION M	ODEL	(9/12/2	021)			
Scenari Road Nam Road Segmer	o: Background e: Sierra Hwy. nt: n/o Avenue	I (2031) H				Project Job N	Name: umber:	Westio 16126	de Annex	(& NL	.ISP	
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	PUT DATA				N	OISE	MODE	L INPU	ITS		
Highway Data				S	Site Con	ditions	(Hard =	10, So	oft = 15)			
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	8,249 vehicl	es					Autos:	15			
Peak Hour	Percentage:	9.51%			Me	dium Tri	ucks (2	Axles):	15			
Peak H	our Volume:	785 vehicle	s		He	avy Tru	cks (3+	Axles):	15			
Ve	hicle Speed:	55 mph		L.	/ohiclo I	Mix						
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	58 feet		F	Veh	icleType		Dav	Evenin	a N	iaht	Daily
Site Data						0.01.jp0	Autos:	77.4%	5 7.9	% 1	4.8%	94.51%
Bai	rier Height:	0.0 feet			Me	edium Ti	rucks:	77.4%	3.8	% 1	8.8%	1.86%
Barrier Type (0-W	all 1-Berm)	0.0 1001			ŀ	leavy Ti	rucks:	73.4%	6.8	% 1	9.9%	3.63%
Centerline Dis	st. to Barrier:	59.0 feet		-	/ 0-			- (- *	41			
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	59.0 feet		^	ioise so	ource El	evation	s (in te	eet)			
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet				Auto	s: 0	000				
Observer Height (Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Mediui	m Truck	s: 2	.297	Crada	Adium	mont	0.0
Pa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet			Heav	y Truck	s: 8	.004	Grade	Hujusi	ment.	0.0
Roa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		L	ane Equ	uivalent	Distan	ce (in	feet)			
I	Road Grade:	0.0%				Auto	s: 51	.624				
	Left View:	-90.0 degre	es		Mediur	n Truck	s: 51	.452				
	Right View:	90.0 degre	es		Heav	y Truck	s: 51	.469				
FHWA Noise Mode	el Calculations	5										
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dista	nce	Finite	Road	Fresi	nel	Barrier	Atten	Ber	m Atten
Autos:	71.78	-4.01		-0.31	l I	-1.20		-4.69		0.000		0.000
Medium Trucks:	82.40	-21.06		-0.29	9	-1.20		-4.88		0.000		0.000
Heavy Trucks:	86.40	-18.17		-0.29	9	-1.20		-5.35		0.000		0.000
Unmitigated Noise	Levels (witho	out Topo and	barrier a	attenu	uation)							
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	r Leq Da	/ L	eq Ev	ening	Leq	Night		Ldn		CI	IEL
Autos:	66	.3	64.6		60.7		58.	6	6	6.3		66.7
Medium Trucks:	59	.9	58.2		51.0		53.	3	6	0.6		60.7
Heavy Trucks:	66	.7	64.8		60.5		60.	4	6	7.6		67.
Vehicle Noise:	70	.0	68.2		63.8		63.	1	7	0.5		70.1
Centerline Distanc	e to Noise Co	ntour (in feel)	70 d	ID A	65	d R A		50 dBA		55	dBA
			I dn'	, o u	64	05	127	, ,	אםם טו ר	96	55	627
		~	NEL ·		66		1/1	,	2	07		661
		U	*		00		142	-	3	01		001

	FHWA-RD	0-77-108 HIGH	I YAWI	NOISE	PREDIC		DEL (9/	12/20	21)						
Scenal Road Nan Road Segme	Scenario: Background (2031) With PAs 2, 4, Road Name: Sierra Hwy. Road Segment: n/o Avenue H						, Project Name: Westide Annex & NLISP Job Number: 16126								
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	PUT DATA				NO	ISE M	ODEL	. INPUTS						
Highway Data				S	ite Con	ditions (H	lard = 1	0, Soi	't = 15)						
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	10,121 vehicl	es				A	utos:	15						
Peak Hour	Percentage:	9.51%			Me	dium Truc	ks (2 Ax	(les):	15						
Peak H	our Volume:	962 vehicle	s		He	avy Truck	s (3+ Ax	des):	15						
Ve	ehicle Speed:	55 mph		V	ohiclo I	Mix									
Near/Far La	ane Distance:	58 feet			Veh	icleType	0	21/	Evenina	Niaht	Daily				
Site Data					VCIII	Au	tos: 7	7 4%	7.9%	14.8%	93.09%				
Bala Ba	rrior Hoight:	0.0 foot			M	edium Tru	cks: 7	7.4%	3.8%	18.8%	1.99%				
Barrier Type (0-V	Vall 1-Rerm)	0.0 leet			ŀ	Heavy True	cks: 7	3.4%	6.8%	19.9%	4.92%				
Centerline D	ist to Barrier	59.0 feet							-						
Centerline Dist	to Observer:	59.0 feet		N	oise Sc	ource Elev	ations	(in fe	et)						
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet				Autos:	0.00	00							
Observer Height	(Above Pad)	5.0 feet			Mediui	m Trucks:	2.29	97							
P	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet			Heav	y Trucks:	8.00	04	Grade Adju	istment	: 0.0				
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		L	ane Eq	uivalent D	istance	e (in fe	et)						
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos:	51.62	24							
	Left View:	-90.0 degre	es		Mediui	m Trucks:	51.45	52							
	Right View:	90.0 degre	es		Heav	y Trucks:	51.46	69							
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculations	5									-				
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dist	ance	Finite	Road	Fresne	I E	Barrier Atte	n Ber	m Atten				
Autos:	71.78	-3.19		-0.31		-1.20	-4	4.69	0.00	00	0.000				
Medium Trucks:	82.40	-19.88		-0.29		-1.20	-4	4.88	0.00	00	0.000				
Heavy Trucks:	86.40	-15.95		-0.29		-1.20	-{	5.35	0.00	00	0.000				
Unmitigated Nois	e Levels (with	out Topo and	barrie	r attenu	ation)						-				
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	r Leq Day	/	Leq Eve	ening	Leq Ni	ght		Ldn	C	NEL				
Autos:	67	.1	65.4		61.5		59.4		67.2		67.5				
Medium Trucks:	61	.0	59.3		52.2		54.5		61.8		61.9				
Heavy Trucks:	68	.9	67.0		62.7		62.6		69.8		70.0				
Vehicle Noise:	71	.5	69.7		65.4		64.7		72.1		72.4				
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise Co	ntour (in feet)												
				70 dl	BA	65 dE	3A	60) dBA	55	dBA				
			Ldn:		82		176		379		817				
	CNEL:				85 183 394					848					

	FHWA-R	D-77-108 HIGH	IWAY N	IOISE P	REDI	CTION M	ODEL (9/12/2	021)		
Scenar Road Nan Road Segme	Scenario: Existing Road Name: Avenue A Road Segment: w/o Sierra Hwy.						Name: umber:	Westio 16126	de Annex &	NLISP	
SITE	SPECIFIC II	IPUT DATA				N	OISE N	IODE	L INPUT	5	
Highway Data				Si	te Cor	nditions	(Hard =	10, So	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	1,346 vehicl	es					Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	9.51%			Me	edium Tru	icks (2 A	Axles):	15		
Peak F	lour Volume:	128 vehicle	s		He	eavy Truc	:ks (3+ A	(xles)	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	55 mph		V	hiclo	Mix					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	36 feet			Veł	nicleType		Dav	Evenina	Night	Daily
Site Data					101	A	utos:	77.4%	5 7.9%	14.89	6 94.51%
Ba	rrior Hoight	0.0 feet			Μ	ledium Tr	ucks:	77.4%	3.8%	18.8%	6 1.86%
Barrier Type (0-W	/all, 1-Berm):	0.0				Heavy Tr	ucks:	73.4%	6.8%	19.9%	6 3.63%
Centerline Di	st. to Barrier:	42.0 feet		No	oise S	ource El	evation	s (in f	eet)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	42.0 feet				Autos	: 0.0	000	,		
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediu	m Trucks	: 2:	297			
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Hea	vv Trucks	: 8.0	004	Grade Ad	iustmen	t: 0.0
P	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet				.,					
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		Lé	ne Eq	uivalent	Distant	ce (in	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos	s: 38.:	275			
	Left View:	-90.0 degre	es		Mediu	m Trucks	s: 38.	043			
	Right View:	90.0 degre	es		Hea	vy Trucks	38.	066			
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculation	s									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dist	ance	Finite	Road	Fresn	el	Barrier Atte	en Be	erm Atten
Autos:	71.78	-11.88		1.64		-1.20		-4.60	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	82.40	-28.94		1.68		-1.20		-4.87	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	86.40	-26.04		1.67		-1.20		-5.53	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (with	out Topo and	barrier	attenu	ation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Ho	ur Leq Da	/	Leq Eve	ning	Leq	Night		Ldn	0	NEL
Autos:	60).3	58.6		54.7	,	52.7	,	60.4	Ļ	60.7
Medium Trucks:	53	3.9	52.3		45.1		47.4	Ļ	54.7	7	54.8
Heavy Trucks:	60).8	58.9		54.6	;	54.5	i i	61.7	7	61.9
Vehicle Noise:	64	1.0	62.2		57.9		57.2	2	64.6	6	64.8
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise C	ontour (in fee	9	70 -15			0.4		0.404		- 10.4
				10 dE	40	050	JDA 00		DU ABA	5	JUBA
		~	Lan:		18		39		85		183
		6	NEL:		19		41		88		190

	FHWA-RD	0-77-108 HIGH	WAY	NOISE	PREDIC	TION MO	ODEL (9	/12/2	021)		
Scenar Road Narr Road Segme	<i>io:</i> Existing Wi ne: Avenue A nt: w/o Sierra H	8		Project I Job Ni	Name: V Imber: 1	Vestic 6126	e Annex &	NLISP			
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	PUT DATA				N	OISE M	ODE		s	
Highway Data				S	Site Con	ditions (Hard = 1	10, Sc	ft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	2,158 vehicle	es				A	utos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	9.51%			Me	dium Tru	cks (2 A	xles):	15		
Peak H	lour Volume:	205 vehicle	s		He	avy Truc	ks (3+ A	xles):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	55 mph		v	/ehicle I	Nix					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	36 feet		F	Vehi	icleTvpe	1	Dav	Evenina	Niaht	Dailv
Site Data						A	utos: T	7.4%	7.9%	14.8%	96.58%
Ba	rrier Height	0.0 feet			Me	edium Tri	ucks: 7	7.4%	3.8%	18.8%	1.16%
Barrier Type (0-W	/all_1-Berm):	0.0			F	leavy Tri	ucks: 7	73.4%	6.8%	19.9%	2.26%
Centerline Di	st. to Barrier:	42.0 feet			laiaa Ca	uree Ele	vetione	lin fe	ati		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	42.0 feet		^	ioise su	Autoo	valions	00	el)		
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Modiu	Autos m Trucks	. 0.0	00			
Observer Height	bserver Height (Above Pad): 5.0 feet					n Trucks	· 2.2	04	Grade Adi	iustment	· 0.0
P	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet			neav	y mucho	. 0.0	04	0/000/10	aounoni	. 0.0
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		L	ane Equ	uivalent	Distanc	e (in i	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos	: 38.2	75			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	es		Mediur	m Trucks	: 38.0	43			
	Right View:	90.0 degre	es		Heav	y Trucks	: 38.0	66			
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculation	s									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dist	ance	Finite	Road	Fresne	e/	Barrier Atte	en Ber	m Atten
Autos:	71.78	-9.74		1.64	1	-1.20	-	4.60	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	82.40	-28.94		1.68	3	-1.20	-	4.87	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	86.40	-26.04		1.67	7	-1.20	-	5.53	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (with	out Topo and	barrier	r atteni	uation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	r Leq Day	·	Leq Ev	ening	Leq N	light		Ldn	CI	NEL
Autos:	62	.5	60.8		56.9		54.8		62.6	6	62.9
Medium Trucks:	53	.9	52.3		45.1		47.4		54.7	7	54.8
Heavy Trucks:	60	.8	58.9		54.6		54.5		61.7	,	61.9
Vehicle Noise:	65	.1	63.3		59.1		58.1		65.5	5	65.8
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)								
				70 d	IBA 🛛	65 a	BA	6	i0 dBA	55	dBA
Ldn:			21 46 98			212					
		CNEL:					22 47 102				

Thursday, March 20, 2025

	FHWA-RD	-77-108 HIGHWA	AY NOISI	E PREDIC	TION MC	DEL (9	/12/20)21)		
Scenari Road Nam Road Segmer	io: Background ie: Avenue A nt: w/o Sierra H	(2031) łwy.			Project N Job Nu	lame: V mber: 1	Vestid 6126	e Annex &	& NLISP	
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	PUT DATA			N	DISE N	ODE	L INPUT	S	
Highway Data				Site Con	ditions (H	lard =	10, So	ft = 15)		
Average Daily Peak Hour	Traffic (Adt): Percentage:	1,468 vehicles		Me	dium Tru	ks (2 A	Autos:	15 15		
Peak H	lour Volume:	140 vehicles		He	avy Truck	s (3+ A	xles):	15		
Ve	hicle Sneed	55 mph			,	- (
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	36 feet		Vehicle I	Mix		_			
				Veh	icleType	1	Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data					AL AL	itos:	77.4%	7.9%	14.8%	94.51%
Bai	rrier Height:	0.0 feet		IVI.	eaium Tru	CKS:	77.4%	3.8%	18.8%	0.00%
Barrier Type (0-W	/all, 1-Berm):	0.0		,	Heavy IIu	CKS.	13.470	0.070	19.9%	5.037
Centerline Dis	st. to Barrier:	42.0 feet		Noise So	ource Ele	vations	(in fe	et)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	42.0 feet			Autos:	0.0	00			
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet		Mediu	m Trucks:	2.2	97			
Observer Height (Above Pad):	5.0 feet		Heav	y Trucks:	8.0	04	Grade Ad	ljustmen	t: 0.0
Pa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet								
Roa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		Lane Eq	uivalent L	vistanc	e (in f	eet)		
1	Road Grade:	0.0%			Autos:	38.2	275			
	Left View: Right View:	-90.0 degrees 90.0 degrees		Mediul Heav	т Trucks: /y Trucks:	38.0)43)66			
FHWA Noise Mode	el Calculations	;								
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distance	Finite	Road	Fresne	e/	Barrier At	ten Be	rm Atten
Autos:	71.78	-11.50	1.6	54	-1.20		4.60	0.	000	0.00
Medium Trucks:	82.40	-28.56	1.6	58	-1.20		4.87	0.	000	0.00
Heavy Trucks:	86.40	-25.67	1.6	67	-1.20		-5.53	0.	000	0.00
Unmitigated Noise	Levels (witho	out Topo and bar	rier atte	nuation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	r Leq Day	Leq E	vening	Leq N	ight		Ldn	0	NEL
Autos:	60.	7 59.	0	55.1		53.1		60.	8	61.
Medium Trucks:	54.	3 52.	6	45.5		47.7		55.	0	55.
Heavy Trucks:	61.	2 59.	3	54.9		54.9		62.	1	62.
Vehicle Noise:	64.	4 62.	6	58.3		57.6		65.	0	65.
Centerline Distance	ce to Noise Co	ntour (in feet)	70	dBA	GE d	24	6	0 484	54	
		I de	/0	UDA 10	03 0		0		1 50	, UDA 10/
			<i>1.</i>	19		42		90	2	194
		CNEL		20		43		90	0	201

	FHWA-R	D-77-108 HIGH	IWAY NO	DISE	PREDIC		ODEL (9	9/12/2	2021)						
Scena Road Nar Road Segme	Scenario: Background (2031) With PAs 2, 4 Road Name: Avenue A Road Segment: Wo Sierra Hwy.						 Project Name: Westide Annex & NLISP Job Number: 16126 								
SITE	SPECIFIC II	NPUT DATA				N	OISE N	IODI	EL INPUTS	3					
Highway Data				S	ite Con	ditions	(Hard =	10, S	oft = 15)						
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	2,280 vehicl	es				,	Autos	: 15						
Peak Hou	r Percentage:	9.51%			Me	dium Tru	icks (2 A	Axles)	: 15						
Peak I	Hour Volume:	217 vehicle	s		He	avy Truc	ks (3+ A	Axles)	: 15						
Ve	ehicle Speed:	55 mph		V	ehicle	Mix									
Near/Far La	ane Distance:	36 feet		-	Veh	icleTvpe		Dav	Evenina	Niaht	Dailv				
Site Data						A	utos:	77.49	6 7.9%	14.8%	96.47%				
Ba	rrier Heiaht:	0 0 feet			М	edium Tr	ucks:	77.49	6 3.8%	18.8%	1.20%				
Barrier Type (0-V	Vall, 1-Berm):	0.0			1	Heavy Tr	ucks:	73.49	6.8%	19.9%	2.33%				
Centerline D	ist. to Barrier:	42.0 feet		N	oise Sr	ource El	vation	s (in t	feet)						
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	42.0 feet			0.00 00	Autor	. 00	000	000						
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediu	m Truck	. 0.0	207							
Observer Height	Observer Height (Above Pad): 5.0 feet					/v Truck	· 2.4	104	Grade Adi	ustmen	t: 0.0				
F	Pad Elevation: 0.0 feet						. 0.0	-00							
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		Li	ane Eq	uivalent	Distanc	ce (in	feet)						
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos	: 38.	275							
	Left View:	-90.0 degre	es		Mediu	m Trucks	: 38.	043							
	Right View:	90.0 degre	es		Heav	/y Trucks	8: 38.0	066							
FHWA Noise Mod	lel Calculation	s													
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distar	псе	Finite	Road	Fresn	el	Barrier Atte	en Be	rm Atten				
Autos	71.78	-9.50		1.64		-1.20		-4.60	0.0	00	0.000				
Medium Trucks.	82.40	-28.56		1.68		-1.20		-4.87	0.0	00	0.000				
Heavy Trucks	86.40	-25.67		1.67		-1.20		-5.53	0.0	00	0.000				
Unmitigated Nois	e Levels (with	out Topo and	barrier a	ttenu	ation)										
VehicleType	Leq Peak Ho	ur Leq Da	/ Le	eq Eve	ening	Leq	Vight		Ldn	С	NEL				
Autos:	62	2.7	61.0		57.1		55.1		62.8		63.1				
Medium Trucks.	54	4.3	52.6		45.5		47.7	7	55.0		55.2				
Heavy Trucks	6	1.2	59.3		54.9		54.9)	62.1		62.3				
Vehicle Noise:	65	5.4	63.6		59.4		58.4	-	65.8		66.1				
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise C	ontour (in fee	9												
				70 dł	BA	65 0	1BA		60 dBA	55	dBA				
		-	Ldn:	22 48 103				222							
	CNEL:					23 50 107					231				

	FHWA-R	D-77-108 HIGI	I YAWI	NOISE	PREDIC	CTION MO	ODEL (S	9/12/20	021)		
Scenar	rio: Existing					Project I	Name: \	Vestic	le Annex &	NLISP	
Road Nan Road Segme	nt: w/o 20th S	t. West				JOD NL	imber:	10120			
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	IPUT DATA				N	OISE N	IODE	L INPUT	S	
Highway Data				S	ite Cor	ditions (Hard =	10, Sc	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	3,859 vehic	es				1	Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	9.51%			Me	edium Tru	cks (2 A	xles):	15		
Peak H	lour Volume:	367 vehicle	s		He	avy Truc	ks (3+ A	xles):	15		
Ve	ehicle Speed:	55 mph		v	ehicle	Mix					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	58 feet		-	Veh	icleType		Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data						A	utos:	77.4%	7.9%	14.8%	94.51%
Ba	rrier Heiaht:	0.0 feet			М	edium Tru	ucks:	77.4%	3.8%	18.8%	1.86%
Barrier Type (0-V	Vall, 1-Berm):	0.0				Heavy Tri	ucks:	73.4%	6.8%	19.9%	3.63%
Centerline D	ist. to Barrier:	59.0 feet		N	loise S	ource Ele	vations	; (in fe	et)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	59.0 feet				Autos	: 0.0	000			
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediu	m Trucks	: 2.2	297			
Observer Height	Observer Height (Above Pad): 5.0 feet					vy Trucks	: 8.0	004	Grade Ad	justment	: 0.0
P	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet					Distant	- 6	(4)		
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		L	ane Eq	uivaient	Distanc	e (In 1	reet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos	51.6	524			
	Left View:	-90.0 degre	es		Mediu	m Trucks	51.4	152			
	Right View:	90.0 degre	es		неа	y Trucks	51.4	109			
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculation	s									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dist	ance	Finite	Road	Fresn	e/	Barrier Att	en Bei	m Atten
Autos:	71.78	-7.31		-0.31		-1.20		-4.69	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	82.40	-24.36		-0.29		-1.20		-4.88	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	86.40	-21.47		-0.29		-1.20		-5.35	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Nois	e Levels (with	out Topo and	barrier	r attenu	iation)			-			
VehicleType	Leq Peak Ho	ur Leq Da	y	Leq Ev	ening	Leq N	Vight		Ldn	C	NEL
Autos:	63	3.0	61.3		57.4		55.3		63.0)	63.4
Medium Trucks:	50	0.0	54.9		47.7		50.0		57.3	5	57.4
Vehicle Noise:	66	5.4 5.7	64.9		60.5		59.8		67.2	2	67.4
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise C	ontour (in fee	t)								
				70 d	BA	65 d	IBA	6	60 dBA	55	dBA
			Ldn:		38		83		178		384
	CNEL:				40 86 185				399		

	FHWA-RI	0-77-108 HIGH	IWAY N	NOISE	PREDIC	TION MO	ODEL (9	/12/2	021)		
Scenario Road Namo Road Segmen	o: Existing Wi e: Avenue D nt: w/o 20th St	8		Project I Job Ni	Name: V Imber: 1	/estic 6126	le Annex &	NLISP			
SITE S	SPECIFIC IN	IPUT DATA				N	OISE M	ODE	L INPUT	5	
Highway Data				S	ite Con	ditions (Hard = 1	0, Sc	ft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	11,999 vehicle	es				A	utos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	9.51%			Me	dium Tru	cks (2 A	kles):	15		
Peak He	our Volume:	1,141 vehicle	s		Hei	avy Truc	ks (3+ A.	xles):	15		
Vel	hicle Speed:	55 mph		v	ehicle A	lix					
Near/Far Lar	ne Distance:	58 feet		-	Vehi	cleTvpe	Ĺ	Dav	Evenina	Niaht	Dailv
Site Data						A	utos: 7	7.4%	7.9%	14.8%	82.13%
Bar	rier Height	0.0 feet			Me	edium Tri	ucks: 7	7.4%	3.8%	18.8%	3.73%
Barrier Type (0-Wa	all. 1-Berm):	0.0			H	leavy Tri	ucks: 7	3.4%	6.8%	19.9%	14.13%
Centerline Dis	t. to Barrier:	59.0 feet			laiaa Ca	uree Ele	vetiene	lin fe	ati		
Centerline Dist. t	to Observer:	59.0 feet		14	0136 30	Autos	· 0.0	00	ey		
Barrier Distance t	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediur	n Trucks	. 0.0	00			
Observer Height (/	bserver Height (Above Pad): 5.0 feet					v Trucks	. 2.2	04	Grade Ad	ustment	0.0
Pa	d Elevation:	0.0 feet			mour	,	. 0.0		,		
Roa	d Elevation:	0.0 feet		L	ane Equ	iivalent	Distance	e (in i	feet)		
F	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos	: 51.6	24			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	es		Mediur	n Trucks	: 51.4	52			
	Right View:	90.0 degre	es		Heav	y Trucks	: 51.4	69			
FHWA Noise Mode	Calculation	s									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dist	ance	Finite	Road	Fresne	e/	Barrier Atte	en Ber	m Atten
Autos:	71.78	-2.99		-0.31		-1.20	-	4.69	0.0	00	0.000
Medium Trucks:	82.40	-16.41		-0.29		-1.20	-	4.88	0.0	00	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	86.40	-10.63		-0.29	1	-1.20	-	5.35	0.0	00	0.00
Unmitigated Noise	Levels (with	out Topo and	barrier	r attenu	ation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	ir Leq Day	/	Leq Eve	ening	Leq N	light		Ldn	C	NEL
Autos:	67	.3	65.6		61.7		59.6		67.4	ł	67.7
Medium Trucks:	64	.5	62.8		55.7		57.9		65.2	2	65.3
Heavy Trucks:	74	.3	72.4		68.0		67.9		75.1		75.4
Vehicle Noise:	75	.4	73.6		69.1		68.9		76.2		76.4
Centerline Distanc	e to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)								
				70 dl	BA	65 a	BA	6	i0 dBA	55	dBA
Ldn:			152 328 707			1,522					
		CNEL:			450		0.40		700		

Thursday, March 20, 2025

	FHWA-RI	0-77-108 HIGHW	AY NOIS	e predio	TION MO	DEL (9	/12/20	121)		
Scenar Road Nan Road Segme	rio: Background ne: Avenue D nt: w/o 20th St	1 (2031) . West			Project N Job Nur	ame: W nber: 1	/estide 6126	e Annex 8	& NLISP	
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	IPUT DATA			NO	ISE M	ODEI	L INPUT	S	
Highway Data				Site Con	ditions (H	lard = 1	0, So	ft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	4,416 vehicles				A	utos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	9.51%		Me	dium Truc	ks (2 A)	(les):	15		
Peak F	lour Volume:	420 vehicles		He	avy Truck	s (3+ A)	kles):	15		
Ve	ehicle Speed:	55 mph		Vehicle	Mix					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	58 feet		Veh	icleType	L	Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data					Au	tos: 7	7.4%	7.9%	14.8%	94.51%
Ba	rrier Height:	0.0 feet		М	edium Tru	cks: 7	7.4%	3.8%	18.8%	1.86%
Barrier Type (0-V	Vall, 1-Berm):	0.0			Heavy Tru	cks: 7	3.4%	6.8%	19.9%	3.63%
Centerline Di	ist. to Barrier:	59.0 feet		Noise So	ource Elev	ations	(in fe	et)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	59.0 feet			Autos	0.0	00	.,		
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet		Mediu	m Trucks:	2.2	97			
Observer Height	Observer Height (Above Pad): 5.0 feet					8.0	04 04	Grade Ad	liustmen	t: 0.0
P	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet								
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		Lane Eq	uivalent D	listance	e (in f	eet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%			Autos:	51.6	24			
	Left View:	-90.0 degrees		Mediu	m Trucks:	51.4	52			
	Right View:	90.0 degrees		Heav	y Trucks:	51.4	69			
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculation	s		1						
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distance	Finite	Road	Fresne	el E	Barrier Att	ten Be	rm Atten
Autos:	71.78	-6.72	-0.	31	-1.20	-	4.69	0.0	000	0.00
Medium Trucks:	82.40	-23.78	-0.	29	-1.20	-	4.88	0.0	000	0.00
Heavy Trucks:	86.40	-20.88	-0.	29	-1.20	-	5.35	0.0	000	0.00
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (with	out Topo and ba	arrier atte	nuation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	Ir Leq Day	Leq I	Evening	Leq Ni	ight		Ldn	С	NEL
Autos:	63	.5 61	1.9	58.0		55.9		63.	6	63.
Medium Trucks:	57	.1 55	5.5	48.3		50.6		57.	9	58.
Heavy Trucks:	64	.0 62	2.1	57.8		57.7		64.	9	65.
Vehicle Noise:	67	.2 65	5.5	61.1		60.4		67.	8	68.
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)								
			70) dBA	65 dE	BA	6	0 dBA	55	dBA
		La	in:	42		90		195	5	420
		CNE	L:	44		94		202	2	436

	FHWA-RI	D-77-108 HIGF		DISE	PREDIC	TION M	ODEL (9/12/2	021)			
Scenar Road Nam Road Segme	io: Backgroun ne: Avenue D nt: w/o 20th Si	PAs 2, 4,	, Project Name: Westide Annex & NLISP Job Number: 16126									
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	NPUT DATA				N	OISE N	NODE	L INPUT	S		
Highway Data				S	ite Con	ditions ('Hard =	10, Sc	oft = 15)			
Average Daily Peak Hour Peak H Veak H	Traffic (Adt): Percentage: lour Volume: hicle Speed:	12,556 vehicl 9.51% 1,194 vehicle 55 mph	es s		Mei Hei	dium Tru avy Truc	, ks (3+ A	Autos: Axles): Axles):	15 15 15			
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	58 feet		v	enicie N	nix . T		_				
011 0 1					Veni	cie i ype		Day	Evening	Nigh	Daily	
Barrier Type (0-W	rrier Height: /all, 1-Berm):	0.0 feet 0.0			Me F	A dium Tr leavy Tr	utos: ucks: ucks:	77.4% 77.4% 73.4%	5 7.9% 5 3.8% 5 6.8%	14.8 18.8 19.9	% 82.68% % 3.65% % 13.67%	
Centerline Di	st. to Barrier:	59.0 feet		N	loise So	urce Ele	vation	s (in fe	eet)			
Centerline Dist. Barrier Distance Observer Height	to Observer: to Observer: (Above Pad): ad Elevation:	59.0 feet 0.0 feet 5.0 feet 0.0 feet			Mediur Heav	Autos n Trucks y Trucks	:: 0.0 :: 2.1 :: 8.0	000 297 004	Grade Ad	djustme	nt: 0.0	
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		L	ane Equ	iivalent	Distand	ce (in	feet)			
	Road Grade: Left View: Right View:	0.0% -90.0 degre 90.0 degre	es es		Mediur Heav	Autos n Trucks y Trucks	51. 51. 51.	624 452 469				
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculation	s										
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distar	псе	Finite	Road	Fresn	nel	Barrier At	ten E	lerm Atten	
Autos:	71.78	-2.76		-0.31		-1.20		-4.69	0.	.000	0.000	
Medium Trucks: Heavy Trucks:	82.40 86.40	-16.31 -10.58		-0.29)	-1.20 -1.20		-4.88 -5.35	0. 0.	.000	0.000	
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (with	out Topo and	barrier a	nttenu	uation)							
VehicleType	Leq Peak Ho	ur Leq Day	/ L	eq Ev	ening	Leq I	Vight		Ldn		CNEL	
Autos:	67	7.5	65.8		61.9		59.9	9	67.	.6	67.9	
Medium Trucks:	64	4.6	62.9		55.8		58.0)	65.	.3	65.4	
Heavy Trucks:	74	1.3	72.4		68.1		68.0)	75.	.2	75.4	
Vehicle Noise:	75	5.5	73.6		69.2		69.0)	76.	.3	76.5	
Centerline Distant	ce to Noise C	ontour (in feet)	70 d	DA I	65 0	ID A		SO dRA	1	55 dBA	
			I dn:	10 0	15/	050	333		711 711	5	1 5/1	
		С	NEL:		160		344		74	0	1,595	

	FHWA-R	D-77-108 HIGH	IWAY N	IOISE I	PREDIC	CTION MO	ODEL (S	9/12/2	021)		
Scenar	rio: Existing					Project I	Vame: \	Nestic	le Annex &	NLISP	
Road Nan Road Segme	ne: Avenue D ent: e/o 20th St	. West				Job Ni	imber: 1	16126			
SITE	SPECIFIC II	NPUT DATA				N	OISE N	IODE	L INPUT	5	-
Highway Data				S	ite Con	ditions (Hard =	10, Sc	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	3,349 vehicl	es					Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	9.51%			Me	edium Tru	cks (2 A	(xles)	15		
Peak H	lour Volume:	318 vehicle	s		He	avy Truc	ks (3+ A	(xles):	15		
Ve	ehicle Speed:	55 mph		V	ehicle	Mix				-	
Near/Far La	ane Distance:	58 feet		-	Veh	icleType		Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data						A	utos:	77.4%	7.9%	14.8%	94.51%
Ba	rrier Height:	0.0 feet			М	edium Tru	ucks:	77.4%	3.8%	18.8%	1.86%
Barrier Type (0-V	Vall, 1-Berm):	0.0			1	Heavy Tru	ucks:	73.4%	6.8%	19.9%	3.63%
Centerline D	ist. to Barrier:	59.0 feet		N	oise So	ource Ele	vations	s (in fe	et)		-
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	59.0 feet				Autos	: 0.0	000			
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediu	m Trucks	: 2.2	297			
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heav	vy Trucks	: 8.0	004	Grade Ad	justment	.: 0.0
P	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet					Distant		(4)		
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		L	ane Eq	uivaient	Distanc	e (In 1	reet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos	: 51.6	524			
	Left View:	-90.0 degre	es		Meaiu	m Trucks	: 51.4	452			
	Right View:	90.0 degre	es		Heat	y Trucks	: 51.4	469			
FHWA Noise Mod	lel Calculation	s								-	
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dista	ance	Finite	Road	Fresn	el	Barrier Att	en Ber	rm Atten
Autos:	71.78	-7.92		-0.31		-1.20		-4.69	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	82.40	-24.98		-0.29		-1.20		-4.88	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	86.40	-22.08		-0.29		-1.20		-5.35	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Nois	e Levels (with	out Topo and	barrier	attenu	ation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Ho	ur Leq Daj	/	Leq Eve	ening	Leg N	light		Ldn	C	NEL
Autos:	62	2.3	60.7		56.8		54.7		62.4	ŧ	62.7
Medium Trucks:	55	5.9	54.3		47.1		49.4		56.7	7	56.8
Heavy Trucks:	62	2.8	60.9		56.6		56.5	i	63.7	7	63.9
Vehicle Noise:	66	5.0	64.2		59.9		59.2		66.6	3	66.8
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise C	ontour (in feel	9	70 -"	34	65 -	DA	,	0 484		dDA
			1	70 al	DM 05	65 0	DA 75	6	U UDA		UDA 040
		0	Lan:		35		75		162		349
		L	INCL.		30		18		100		303

FHWA-RD-77-108 HIGHWAY	NOISE	PREDIC	TION M	ODEL (9	/12/20	J21)			
Scenario: Existing With PAs 2, 4, 6, 7, and Road Name: Avenue D Road Segment: e/o 20th St. West	d 8		Project I Job Nu	Name: V umber: 1	Vestid 6126	e Annex &	NLISP		
SITE SPECIFIC INPUT DATA			N	OISE M	ODE		s		
Highway Data		Site Con	ditions (Hard = 1	10, So	ft = 15)	-		
Average Daily Traffic (Adt): 11,489 vehicles Peak Hour Percentage: 9,51% Peak Hour Volume: 1,093 vehicles		Me He	dium Tru avy Truc	A cks (2 A ks (3+ A	utos: xles): xles):	15 15 15			
Venicle Speed: 55 mpn		Vehicle I	Nix						
Near/Far Lane Distance: 58 feet		Vehi	icleType	L	Day	Evening	Night	Daily	
Site Data			A	utos: T	77.4%	7.9%	14.8%	81.58%	
Barrier Height: 0.0 feet		Me	edium Tri	ucks: 7	7.4%	3.8%	18.8%	3.82%	
Barrier Type (0-Wall, 1-Berm): 0.0		F	leavy Tri	ucks: 1	73.4%	6.8%	19.9%	14.60%	
Centerline Dist. to Barrier: 59.0 feet	5	Noise Sr	ource Ele	vations	(in fe	ef)			
Centerline Dist. to Observer: 59.0 feet	F	10.00 00	Autos	. 0.0	00				
Barrier Distance to Observer: 0.0 feet		Mediu	m Trucks	. 0.0	00 07				
Observer Height (Above Pad): 5.0 feet		Heavy Trucks: 8.004 Grade Adjustment: 0.0							
Pad Elevation: 0.0 feet		Tieav	y Hucks	. 0.0	04	Orade Auj	usunon	. 0.0	
Road Elevation: 0.0 feet	1	Lane Equ	uivalent	Distanc	e (in f	feet)			
Road Grade: 0.0%			Autos	: 51.6	24				
Left View: -90.0 degrees		Mediur	m Trucks	: 51.4	52				
Right View: 90.0 degrees		Heav	y Trucks	: 51.4	69				
FHWA Noise Model Calculations									
VehicleType REMEL Traffic Flow Dis	stance	Finite	Road	Fresne	2/	Barrier Atte	en Ber	m Atten	
Autos: 71.78 -3.21	-0.3	1	-1.20	-	4.69	0.0	000	0.000	
Medium Trucks: 82.40 -16.51	-0.2	9	-1.20	-	4.88	0.0	000	0.00	
Heavy Trucks: 86.40 -10.68	-0.2	9	-1.20	-	5.35	0.0	000	0.00	
Unmitigated Noise Levels (without Topo and barrie	er atten	uation)							
VehicleType Leq Peak Hour Leq Day	Leq E	vening	Leq I	Vight		Ldn	C	NEL	
Autos: 67.1 65.4		61.5		59.4		67.1	-	67.5	
Medium Trucks: 64.4 62.7		55.6		57.8		65.1		65.3	
Heavy Trucks: 74.2 72.3		68.0		67.9		75.1	I	75.3	
Vehicle Noise: 75.4 73.5		69.0		68.8		76.1		76.3	
Centerline Distance to Noise Contour (in feet)									
	70 0	dBA	65 a	IBA	6	i0 dBA	55	dBA	
Ldn:		151 324 699 1,5				1,505			
CNEL:		156 336 723 1,558							

Thursday, March 20, 2025

	FHWA-RD	0-77-108 HIGHV	VAY NOI	SE F	PREDIC	TION M	ODEL	(9/12/2	021)			
Scenai Road Nan Road Segme	rio: Background ne: Avenue D nt: e/o 20th St.	i (2031) West				Project Job N	Name: umber:	Westio 16126	de Ann	ex & N	LISP	
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	PUT DATA				N	OISE	MODE	L INP	UTS		
Highway Data				Si	ite Con	ditions	(Hard =	10, So	oft = 1	5)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	3,859 vehicles						Autos:	15			
Peak Hour	Percentage:	9.51%			Me	dium Tru	icks (2	Axles):	15			
Peak H	lour Volume:	367 vehicles			He	avy Truc	cks (3+	Axles):	15			
Ve	hicle Speed:	55 mph		V	ehicle I	Mix						
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	58 feet		-	Veh	icleTvpe		Dav	Even	ina N	liaht	Daily
Site Data				+		, A	Autos:	77.4%	5 7.	9%	14.8%	94.51%
Ba	rrier Height:	0.0 feet			M	edium Ti	ucks:	77.4%	3.	8% '	18.8%	1.86%
Barrier Type (0-V	Vall, 1-Berm):	0.0			F	leavy Ti	ucks:	73.4%	6.	8% '	19.9%	3.63%
Centerline D	ist. to Barrier:	59.0 feet		N	oise Sr	ource El	evation	s (in fi	pet)			
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	59.0 feet			0/30 00	Auto	~ 0	000	501)			
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Modiu	m Truck	s. 0.	207				
Observer Height	Observer Height (Above Pad): 5.0 feet					v Truck	s. 2.	004	Grade	e Adius	tment	0.0
P	Pad Elevation: 0.0 feet						. 0	.004				
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		Lá	ane Eq	uivalent	Distan	ce (in	feet)			
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos	s: 51	.624				
	Left View:	-90.0 degrees	;		Mediu	m Truck:	s: 51	.452				
	Right View:	90.0 degrees			Heav	y Truck	s: 51	.469				
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculation:	5										
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distanc	е	Finite	Road	Fresi	nel	Barrie	r Atten	Ben	m Atten
Autos:	71.78	-7.31	-(D.31		-1.20		-4.69		0.000)	0.00
Medium Trucks:	82.40	-24.36	-(0.29		-1.20		-4.88		0.000)	0.00
Heavy Trucks:	86.40	-21.47	-(0.29		-1.20		-5.35		0.000)	0.00
Unmitigated Nois	e Levels (with	out Topo and b	arrier att	tenu	ation)							
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	r Leq Day	Leq	i Eve	ening	Leq	Night		Ldn		CI	VEL
Autos:	63	.0 6	1.3		57.4		55.	3		63.0		63.4
Medium Trucks:	56	.6 5	4.9		47.7		50.	0		57.3		57.4
Heavy Trucks:	63	.4 6	1.5		57.2		57.	1		64.3		64.
Vehicle Noise:	66	.7 6	4.9		60.5		59.	8		67.2		67.4
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)								-		
			7	'0 dE	BA	65 (dBA		60 dBA		55	dBA
		L	dn:		38		83	3		178		384
		CN	EL:		40		86	6		185		399

	FHWA-RL	0-77-108 HIGHWA	AY NOIS	E PREDIC		ODEL (9	/12/2	021)				
Scenar Road Narr Road Segme	Scenario: Background (2031) With PAs 2, 4, Road Name: Avenue D Road Segment: e/o 20th St. West				Project I Job Nu	Vame: V Imber: 1	Vestio 6126	de Annex &	NLISP			
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	IPUT DATA			N	DISE M	ODE		5			
Highway Data				Site Con	ditions (Hard =	10, Se	oft = 15)				
Average Daily Peak Hour Peak H Ve	Traffic (Adt): Percentage: lour Volume: hicle Speed:	11,999 vehicles 9.51% 1,141 vehicles 55 mph		Me He Vehicle I	edium Tru eavy Truci Mix	4 cks (2 A ks (3+ A	lutos: xles): xles):	15 15 15				
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	58 feet		Veh	icleType	1	Day	Evening	Night	Daily		
Site Data Ba Barrier Type (0-W	rrier Height: /all, 1-Berm):	0.0 feet 0.0		м	A edium Tru Heavy Tru	utos: icks: icks:	77.4% 77.4% 73.4%	7.9% 3.8% 6.8%	14.8% 18.8% 19.9%	6 82.13% 6 3.73% 6 14.13%		
Centerline Di	st. to Barrier:	59.0 feet		Noise Su	ource Fle	vations	(in f	eet)				
Centerline Dist. Barrier Distance Observer Height	Centerline Dist. to Observer: 59.0 feet Barrier Distance to Observer: 0.0 feet Dbserver Height (Above Pad): 5.0 feet Pad Elevation: 0.0 feet				Autos: 0.000 Medium Trucks: 2.297 Heavy Trucks: 8.004 Grade Adjustment: 0.0							
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		Lane Eq	uivalent	Distanc	e (in	feet)				
	Road Grade: Left View: Right View:	0.0% -90.0 degrees 90.0 degrees		Mediu Heav	Autos m Trucks /y Trucks	51.6 51.4 51.4	24 52 69					
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculation	s										
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distance	Finite	Road	Fresne	e/	Barrier Atte	en Be	erm Atten		
Autos: Medium Trucks: Heavy Trucks:	71.78 82.40 86.40	-2.99 -16.41 -10.63	-0. -0. -0.	31 29 29	-1.20 -1.20 -1.20	-	4.69 4.88 5.35	0.0 0.0 0.0	100 100 100	0.000 0.000 0.000		
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (with	out Topo and bai	rrier atte	nuation)								
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	ır Leq Day	Leq I	Evening	Leq N	light		Ldn	(CNEL		
Autos: Medium Trucks:	67 64	.3 65. .5 62.	.6 .8	61.7 55.7		59.6 57.9		67.4 65.2	2	67.7 65.3		
Vehicle Noise:	74		.6	69.1		68.9		75.1	2	76.4		
Centerline Distant	ce to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)						-				
			70	dBA	65 d	BA		50 dBA	5	5 dBA		
	Ldn: CNEL:					328 340		707 732		1,522 1,576		

	FHWA-R	D-77-108 HIGH	IWAY N	IOISE F	PREDIC	TION M	ODEL (9/12/2	021)		
Scenar Road Nan Road Segme	Scenario: Existing Road Name: Avenue D Road Segment: w/o Sierra Hwy.					Project Job N	Name: \ umber: `	Vestic 16126	le Annex &	NLISP	
SITE	SPECIFIC II	IPUT DATA				N	IOISE N	IODE	L INPUT	5	
Highway Data				S	ite Con	ditions	(Hard =	10, Sc	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	3,349 vehicl	es				,	Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	9.51%			Me	dium Tri	ucks (2 A	(xles):	15		
Peak H	lour Volume:	318 vehicle	s		He	avy Tru	cks (3+ A	(xles):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	55 mph		V	ohiclo	Mix					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	58 feet			Veh	icleType		Dav	Evenina	Niaht	Daily
Site Data					1011	/	Autos:	77.4%	7.9%	14.8%	94.51%
Ba	rrier Height	0.0 feet			М	edium Ti	rucks:	77.4%	3.8%	18.8%	1.86%
Barrier Type (0-V	Vall, 1-Berm):	0.0			1	Heavy Ti	rucks:	73.4%	6.8%	19.9%	3.63%
Centerline D	ist. to Barrier:	59.0 feet		N	oise Sr	ource Fl	evation	: (in fe	pet)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	59.0 feet			0.00 01	Auto	s [.] 0 (000			
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediu	m Truck	s: 21	297			
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heat	v Truck	s 8(104	Grade Ad	iustment	: 0.0
P	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet			moun	, <i>maon</i>			,		
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		Li	ane Eq	uivalent	Distanc	e (in :	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Auto	s: 51.0	624			
	Left View:	-90.0 degre	es		Mediu	m Truck	s: 51.4	452			
	Right View:	90.0 degre	es		Heav	y Truck	s: 51.4	469			
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculation	s									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dista	ance	Finite	Road	Fresn	el	Barrier Atte	en Ber	m Atten
Autos:	71.78	-7.92		-0.31		-1.20		-4.69	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	82.40	-24.98		-0.29		-1.20		-4.88	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	86.40	-22.08		-0.29		-1.20		-5.35	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Nois	e Levels (with	out Topo and	barrier	attenu	ation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Ho	ur Leq Da	V 1	Leq Eve	ening	Leq	Night		Ldn	C	NEL
Autos:	62	2.3	60.7		56.8		54.7		62.4	Ļ	62.7
Medium Trucks:	55	5.9	54.3		47.1		49.4		56.7	,	56.8
Heavy Trucks:	62	2.8	60.9		56.6		56.5		63.7	7	63.9
Vehicle Noise:	66	8.0	64.2		59.9		59.2		66.6	6	66.8
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise C	ontour (in fee	9								
				70 dE	BA	65	dBA	6	60 dBA	55	dBA
			Ldn:		35		75		162		349
		С	NEL:		36		78		168		363

	FHWA-RI	D-77-108 HIGH	WAY N	OISE F	PREDIC		ODEL (9)	/12/2	021)					
Scenari Road Nam Road Segmer	Scenario: Existing With PAs 2, 4, 6, 7, and 8 Road Name: Avenue D Road Segment: w/o Sierra Hwy.					Project I Job Nu	Name: W Imber: 10	/estic 6126	le Annex &	NLISP				
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	IPUT DATA				N	OISE M	ODE	L INPUT	S				
Highway Data				Si	ite Conc	litions (Hard = 1	0, Sc	ft = 15)					
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	4,799 vehicle	es				Α	utos:	15					
Peak Hour	Percentage:	9.51%			Med	lium Tru	cks (2 A)	kles):	15					
Peak H	our Volume:	456 vehicle	s		Hea	vy Truci	ks (3+ A)	xles):	15					
Vei	hicle Speed:	55 mph		V	ehicle M	lix								
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	58 feet			Vehic	leType	Ľ	Day	Evening	Night	Daily			
Site Data					Autos: 77.4% 7.9% 14.8% 8									
Bar	rier Height:	0.0 feet			Medium Trucks: 77.4% 3.8% 18.8% 2.6*									
Barrier Type (0-W	all. 1-Berm):	0.0			н	eavy Tru	ucks: 7	3.4%	6.8%	19.9%	7.93%			
Centerline Dis	st. to Barrier:	59.0 feet		AL.	oico So	urco Elo	wations	(in fr	of					
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	59.0 feet		14	0136 301	Autos	· 0.0	00	ey					
Barrier Distance	Barrier Distance to Observer: 0.0 feet						Medium Trucks: 2 297							
Observer Height (Observer Height (Above Pad): 5.0 feet					Heavy Trucks: 8.004 Grade Adjustment: 0.0								
Pa	Pad Elevation: 0.0 feet						. 0.0		,					
Roa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		La	ane Equ	ivalent	Distance	e (in i	feet)					
F	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos	: 51.6	24						
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	es		Medium	1 Trucks	: 51.4	52						
	Right View:	90.0 degre	es		Heavy	/ Trucks	: 51.4	69						
FHWA Noise Mode	el Calculation	s												
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dista	nce	Finite F	Road	Fresne	e/	Barrier Atte	en Ber	m Atten			
Autos:	71.78	-6.60		-0.31		-1.20	-	4.69	0.0	000	0.000			
Medium Trucks:	82.40	-21.96		-0.29		-1.20	-	4.88	0.0	000	0.000			
Heavy Trucks:	86.40	-17.12		-0.29		-1.20	-	5.35	0.0	000	0.000			
Unmitigated Noise	Levels (with	out Topo and	barrier	attenu	ation)									
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	ur Leq Day	′ L	.eq Eve	ening	Leq N	light		Ldn	CI	VEL			
Autos:	63	5.7	62.0		58.1		56.0		63.8	3	64.1			
Medium Trucks:	59	0.0	57.3		50.2		52.4		59.7	7	59.8			
Heavy Trucks:	67	.8	65.9		61.5		61.4		68.7	7	68.9			
Vehicle Noise:	69	1.6	67.8		63.4		62.9		70.3	3	70.5			
Centerline Distanc	e to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)											
			∟	70 dE	3A	65 d	BA	6	U dBA	55	aBA			
Ldn:			61 132 285 6				615							
		0			0.4		407		000		007			

Thursday, March 20, 2025

	FHWA-RD	-77-108 HIGHV	VAY N	IOISE	PREDIC	TION M	ODEL	(9/12/2	021)			
Scenario Road Name Road Segmen	o: Background e: Avenue D nt: w/o Sierra H	(2031) Iwy.				Project Job N	Name: umber:	Westic 16126	le Annex	& NLI	SP	
SITE S	SPECIFIC IN	PUT DATA				N	OISE	MODE	L INPU	TS		
Highway Data				5	Site Con	ditions	(Hard =	= 10, Sc	oft = 15)			
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	3,859 vehicles						Autos:	15			
Peak Hour I	Percentage:	9.51%			Me	dium Tru	ıcks (2	Axles):	15			
Peak Ho	our Volume:	367 vehicles			He	avy Truc	cks (3+	Axles):	15			
Veh	nicle Speed:	55 mph		1	/ehicle I	Nix						
Near/Far Lar	ne Distance:	58 feet			Vehi	cleType	T	Day	Evening	Nic	ht	Daily
Site Data						A	Autos:	77.4%	7.9%	5 14	.8%	94.51%
Bar	rier Heiaht:	0.0 feet			Me	edium Ti	ucks:	77.4%	3.8%	5 18	.8%	1.86%
Barrier Type (0-Wa	all, 1-Berm):	0.0			ŀ	leavy Tr	ucks:	73.4%	6.8%	5 19	.9%	3.63%
Centerline Dis	t. to Barrier:	59.0 feet			loise So	urce Fl	evatior	ns (in fe	pet)			
Centerline Dist. t	to Observer:	59.0 feet		-		Auto	oracio;	000				
Barrier Distance t	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediur	n Truck	5. 0 e 2	207				
Observer Height (/	Observer Height (Above Pad): 5.0 feet				Heav	v Truck	s. 2	004	Grade A	diustr	nent:	0.0
Pa	Pad Elevation: 0.0 feet						. 0	.004		-)		
Roa	d Elevation:	0.0 feet		L	ane Equ	iivalent	Distar	ice (in i	feet)			
F	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos	s: 51	.624				
	Left View:	-90.0 degrees	;		Mediur	n Truck	s: 51	.452				
	Right View:	90.0 degrees	;		Heav	y Truck	s: 51	.469				
FHWA Noise Mode	Calculations											
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dista	ance	Finite	Road	Fres	nel	Barrier A	tten	Berr	n Atten
Autos:	71.78	-7.31		-0.31	l	-1.20		-4.69	C	000.		0.000
Medium Trucks:	82.40	-24.36		-0.29	9	-1.20		-4.88	C	000.		0.000
Heavy Trucks:	86.40	-21.47		-0.29	9	-1.20		-5.35	C	0.000		0.000
Unmitigated Noise	Levels (witho	ut Topo and b	arrier	atten	uation)							
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	r Leq Day	1	Leq Ev	rening	Leq	Night		Ldn		CN	IEL
Autos:	63.	0 6	1.3		57.4		55.	.3	63	8.0		63.4
Medium Trucks:	56.	6 5	4.9		47.7		50.	0	57	.3		57.4
Heavy Trucks:	63.	4 6	1.5		57.2		57.	.1	64	.3		64.5
Vehicle Noise:	66.	7 6	4.9		60.5		59.	8	67	.2		67.4
Centerline Distanc	e to Noise Co	ntour (in feet)										
				70 a	IBA	65 (dBA	6	60 dBA		55	dBA
		L	dn:	38 83 178			384					
		CN	EL:		40		8	6	18	85		399

	FHWA-R	D-77-108 HIGI	IWAY N	OISE	PREDIC	TION MC	DEL (S	0/12/2	021)		
Scena Road Nar Road Segme	Scenario: Background (2031) With PAs 2, 4, Road Name: Avenue D Road Segment: w/o Sierra Hwy.					Project N Job Nu	lame: \ mber: 1	Vestio 6126	de Annex &	NLISP	
SITE	SPECIFIC I	NPUT DATA				N	DISE N	IODE	L INPUTS	5	
Highway Data				S	ite Con	ditions (I	lard =	10, So	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	5,310 vehicl	es				A	Autos:	15		
Peak Hou	Percentage:	9.51%			Me	dium Truc	cks (2 A	xles):	15		
Peak I	Hour Volume:	505 vehicle	s		He	avy Truck	(S (3+ A	xles):	15		
Ve	ehicle Speed:	55 mph		V	ohiclo I	Aiv					
Near/Far La	ane Distance:	58 feet			Veh	cleType		Dav	Evenina	Niaht	Daily
Site Data						AI	itos:	77.4%	7.9%	14.8%	6 89.95%
Ba	rrior Hoight	0.0 feet			M	edium Tru	icks:	77.4%	3.8%	18.8%	6 2.53%
Barrier Type (0-V	Vall 1-Berm)	0.0			ŀ	leavy Tru	icks:	73.4%	6.8%	19.9%	6 7.52%
Centerline D	ist. to Barrier:	59.0 feet						(in \$	4		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	59.0 feet		N	oise sc	urce Ele	vations		eet)		
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mar allow	Autos:	0.0	100			
Observer Height	Observer Height (Above Pad): 5.0 feet				Mediui	TI Trucks:	2.2	97	Grada Adi	uctmon	t· 0.0
F	Pad Elevation: 0.0 feet				neav	y mucks.	8.0	104	Graue Auj	usunen	2. 0.0
Ro	Road Elevation: 0.0 feet					uivalent l	Distanc	e (in	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos:	51.6	624			
	Left View:	-90.0 degre	es		Mediui	n Trucks:	51.4	152			
	Right View:	90.0 degre	es		Heav	y Trucks:	51.4	169			
FHWA Noise Mod	lel Calculation	S									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dista	ance	Finite	Road	Fresn	e/	Barrier Atte	en Be	rm Atten
Autos	71.78	-6.14		-0.31		-1.20		4.69	0.0	00	0.000
Medium Trucks.	82.40	-21.64		-0.29		-1.20		4.88	0.0	00	0.000
Heavy Trucks	86.40	-16.92		-0.29		-1.20		-5.35	0.0	00	0.000
Unmitigated Nois	e Levels (with	out Topo and	barrier	attenu	ation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Ho	ur Leq Da	y I	Leq Ev	ening	Leq N	light		Ldn	0	NEL
Autos:	6	4.1	62.4		58.5		56.5		64.2	2	64.5
Medium Trucks	5	9.3	57.6		50.5		52.7		60.0)	60.1
Heavy Trucks	6	3.0	66.1		61.7		61.7		68.9)	69.1
Vehicle Noise:	6	9.9	68.0		63.6		63.2		70.5	5	70.8
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise C	ontour (in fee	9								
				70 dl	BA	65 d	BA		50 dBA	55	5 dBA
			Ldn:		64 138 298			641			
	CNEL:				66		143		309		665

	FHWA-R	D-77-108 HIGH	WAY N	OISE F	PREDIC		ODEL (9/12/2	021)		
Scena Road Nan Road Segme	Scenario: Existing Road Name: Avenue E Road Segment: w/o Sierra Hwy.						Name: \ umber: `	Westid 16126	le Annex &	NLISP	
SITE	SPECIFIC II	IPUT DATA				N	IOISE N	IODE	L INPUT	5	
Highway Data				S	ite Cor	ditions	(Hard =	10, Sc	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	202 vehicl	es				,	Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	9.51%			Me	edium Tru	ucks (2 A	(xles):	15		
Peak I	lour Volume:	19 vehicle	s		He	avy Truc	cks (3+ A	(xles):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	55 mph		V	ohiclo	Mix					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	36 feet			Veh	icleType		Dav	Evenina	Night	Daily
Site Data					1011	.o.o.ypc A	Autos:	77.4%	7.9%	14.8%	94.51%
Ba	rrier Height	0.0 feet			М	edium Ti	rucks:	77.4%	3.8%	18.8%	1.86%
Barrier Type (0-V	Vall, 1-Berm):	0.0				Heavy Tr	rucks:	73.4%	6.8%	19.9%	3.63%
Centerline D	ist. to Barrier:	42.0 feet		N	oise Si	ource El	evation	: (in fe	pet)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	42.0 feet			0.00 0	Auto	s [.] 0 (000	.00		
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediu	m Truck	s: 21	297			
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heat	vy Truck	s 8(104	Grade Ad	iustment	: 0.0
P	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet			mou	,, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			,		
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		Li	ane Eq	uivalent	Distanc	e (in i	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos	s: 38.	275			
	Left View:	-90.0 degre	es		Mediu	m Truck	s: 38.0	043			
	Right View:	90.0 degre	es		Hear	vy Truck	s: 38.0	066			
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculation	s									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dista	nce	Finite	Road	Fresn	el	Barrier Atte	en Ber	m Atten
Autos:	71.78	-20.12		1.64		-1.20		-4.60	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	82.40	-37.17		1.68		-1.20		-4.87	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	86.40	-34.28		1.67		-1.20		-5.53	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Nois	e Levels (with	out Topo and	barrier a	attenu	ation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Ho	ur Leq Daj	/ L	.eq Eve	ening	Leq	Night		Ldn	CI	NEL
Autos:	52	2.1	50.4		46.5		44.5		52.2	2	52.5
Medium Trucks:	45	5.7	44.0		36.9		39.1		46.4	Ļ	46.6
Heavy Trucks:	52	2.6	50.7		46.3		46.3	1	53.5	5	53.7
Vehicle Noise:	55	5.8	54.0		49.7		48.9		56.3	3	56.6
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise C	ontour (in feel)			r		r		T	
				70 dE	ЗA	65 (dBA	6	60 dBA	55	dBA
		-	Ldn:		5		11		24		52
		С	NEL:		5		12		25		54

	FHWA-RD	-//-108 HIGH	IWAT	NUISE	PREDIC		ODEL (9	12/20	J21)				
Scenario Road Name Road Segment	: Existing Wit : Avenue E : w/o Sierra E	h PAs 2, 4, 6,	7, and	d 8		Project Job Ni	Name: V umber: 1	/estid 6126	e Annex &	NLISP			
SITE S						N		ODE	INDUT	2			
Highway Data	FECIFIC IN	FUIDAIA		5	Site Con	ditions (Hard = 1	0, So	ft = 15)	3			
Average Daily T	raffic (Adt):	2.217 vehicle	es				A	utos:	15				
Peak Hour P	ercentage:	9.51%			Me	dium Tru	icks (2 A	(les):	15				
Peak Ho	ur Volume:	211 vehicle	s		He	avy Truc	ks (3+ A	xles):	15				
Vehi	icle Speed:	55 mph			(abiala)	Mise	-						
Near/Far Lan	e Distance:	36 feet		Ľ	Venicie i Veh	icleType)av	Evenina	Night	Daily		
Site Data					Autos: 77.4% 7.9% 14.8% 84								
Borr	ior Hoight	0.0 feet			Medium Trucks: 77.4% 3.8% 18.8% 3.0								
Barrier Type (0 Wa	ler nergint.	0.0 1001			Heavy Trucks: 73.4% 6.8% 19.9% 12.03%								
Centerline Dist	to Barrier	42.0 feet		-									
Centerline Dist. to	Observer:	42.0 feet		1	Voise So	ource Ele	evations	(in fe	et)				
Barrier Distance to	Observer:	0.0 feet				Autos	s: 0.0	00					
Observer Height (A	Diserver Height (Above Pad): 5.0 feet					Heavy Trucks: 2.297							
Pad	Pad Elevation: 0.0 feet						5: 8.0	04	Grade Auj	usimeni	0.0		
Road	l Elevation:	0.0 feet		1	Lane Eq	uivalent	Distanc	e (in f	ieet)				
R	oad Grade:	0.0%				Autos	: 38.2	75					
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	es		Mediu	m Trucks	s: 38.0	43					
	Right View:	90.0 degree	es		Heav	y Trucks	8: 38.0	66					
FHWA Noise Model	Calculations	:											
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dis	stance	Finite	Road	Fresne	e/ .	Barrier Atte	en Ber	m Atten		
Autos:	71.78	-10.18		1.64	4	-1.20	-	4.60	0.0	000	0.000		
Medium Trucks:	82.40	-24.70		1.6	8	-1.20	-	4.87	0.0	000	0.000		
Heavy Trucks:	86.40	-18.67		1.6	7	-1.20	-	5.53	0.0	000	0.000		
Unmitigated Noise	Levels (witho	ut Topo and	barrie	er atten	uation)								
VehicleType L	eq Peak Hou.	r Leq Day	/	Leg Ev	vening	Leq I	Vight		Ldn	C	VEL		
Autos:	62.	0	60.4		56.4		54.4		62.1		62.4		
Medium Trucks:	58.	2	56.5		49.4		51.6		58.9)	59.0		
Heavy Trucks:	68.	2	66.3		61.9		61.9		69.1		69.3		
Venicle Noise:	69.	5	67.6		63.2		62.9		70.2	2	70.4		
Centerline Distance	to Noise Co	ntour (in feet)	70 -	10.4		10.4		0 -10 4		-10.4		
			L da:	700		00 0		0	U UDA	55	UDA 400		
		0	Lan: NEL		45 95 201 43				433				
		C.	VLL.		45		97		200		449		

Thursday, March 20, 2025

	FHWA-RD	-77-108 HIGHW	AY NOIS	E PREDIO	CTION MO	DEL (9/ [.]	12/2021	I)		
Scenari Road Nam Road Segmer	o: Background e: Avenue E nt: w/o Sierra H	l (2031) Hwy.			Project N Job Nui	ame: W nber: 16	estide /	Annex &	NLISP	
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	PUT DATA			NC	ISE MO	DDEL	INPUT	5	
Highway Data				Site Cor	ditions (H	lard = 10), Soft	= 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	220 vehicles				AL	itos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	9.51%		Me	edium Truc	ks (2 Ax	les):	15		
Peak H	our Volume:	21 vehicles		He	avy Truck	s (3+ Ax	les):	15		
Vei	hicle Speed:	55 mph		Vohiclo	Mix					
Near/Far Lai	ne Distance:	36 feet		Venicle	icleTvpe	D	av E	venina	Niaht	Dailv
Site Data					Au	tos: 7	7.4%	7.9%	14.8%	94.51%
Bar	rier Heiaht:	0 0 feet		M	edium Tru	cks: 71	7.4%	3.8%	18.8%	1.86%
Barrier Type (0-W	all, 1-Berm):	0.0			Heavy Tru	cks: 73	3.4%	6.8%	19.9%	3.63%
Centerline Dis	st. to Barrier:	42.0 feet		Noise S	ource Elev	vations	in feet)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	42.0 feet			Autos:	0.00	0			
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet		Mediu	m Trucks	2 29	17			
Observer Height (Above Pad):	5.0 feet		Hea	v Trucks:	8.00	14 Gi	rade Adj	iustment	: 0.0
Pa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet						,		
Roa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		Lane Eq	uivalent L	listance	(in fee	t)		
F	Road Grade:	0.0%			Autos:	38.27	'5			
	Left View:	-90.0 degrees		Mediu	m Trucks:	38.04	3			
	Right View:	90.0 degrees		Hea	vy Trucks:	38.06	66			
FHWA Noise Mode	el Calculations	5								-
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distance	e Finite	Road	Fresnel	Ba	rrier Atte	en Ber	m Atten
Autos:	71.78	-19.74	1	.64	-1.20	-4	1.60	0.0	000	0.00
Medium Trucks:	82.40	-36.80	1	.68	-1.20	-4	1.87	0.0	000	0.00
Heavy Trucks:	86.40	-33.90	1	.67	-1.20	-5	5.53	0.0	000	0.00
Unmitigated Noise	Levels (witho	out Topo and ba	arrier atte	enuation)						-
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	r Leq Day	Leq	Evening	Leq N	ight	Lo	dn	CI	NEL
Autos:	52.	.5 50	0.8	46.9		44.8		52.6	6	52.9
Medium Trucks:	46.	.1 44	1.4	37.3		39.5		46.8	3	46.
Heavy Trucks:	53.	.0 51	1.0	46.7		46.6		53.8	3	54.
Vehicle Noise:	56.	.2 54	1.4	50.0		49.3		56.7	,	57.
Centerline Distanc	e to Noise Co	ntour (in feet)								-
			70	0 dBA	65 dE	BA	60 0	dBA	55	dBA
		Lo	dn:	5		12		25		55
		CNE	EL:	6		12		26		57

	FHWA-RI	D-77-108 HIGI	IWAY N	IOISE F	PREDIC		ODEL (9	9/12/2	.021)		
Scena Road Nar Road Segme	Scenario: Background (2031) With PAs 2, 4 Road Name: Avenue E Road Segment: w/o Sierra Hwy.					Project Job N	Name: \ umber: `	Westi 16126	de Annex &	NLISP	
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	IPUT DATA				N	OISE N	IODE	EL INPUTS	3	
Highway Data				S	ite Con	ditions	(Hard =	10, S	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	2,236 vehicl	es				,	Autos	: 15		
Peak Hou	Percentage:	9.51%			Ме	dium Tru	icks (2 A	(xles	: 15		
Peak I	Hour Volume:	213 vehicle	s		He	avy Truc	:ks (3+ A	(xles	: 15		
Ve	ehicle Speed:	55 mph		V	ehicle I	Mix					
Near/Far La	ane Distance:	36 feet			Veh	icleType		Dav	Evenina	Night	Daily
Site Data							utos:	77.49	6 7.9%	14.8%	85.06%
Ba	rrier Height	0.0 feet			M	edium Tr	ucks:	77.49	6 3.8%	18.8%	2.99%
Barrier Type (0-1	Vall 1-Rerm)	0.0 1001			ŀ	leavy Tr	ucks:	73.49	6.8%	19.9%	11.96%
Centerline D	ist to Barrier:	42.0 feet									
Centerline Dist	to Observer:	42.0 feet		N	oise Sc	burce El	evations	s (in 1	eet)		
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet				Autos	s: 0.0	000			
Observer Height	Observer Height (Above Pad): 5.0 feet				Medium Trucks: 2.297 Hanny Trucks: 0.004 Grade Adjustment: 0.0						
F	Pad Elevation: 0.0 feet					y Trucks	s: 8.0)04	Grade Adj	usimen	t: 0.0
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		L	ane Eq	uivalent	Distanc	e (in	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos	s: 38.:	275			
	Left View:	-90.0 deare	es		Mediui	m Trucks	s: 38.	043			
	Right View:	90.0 degre	es		Heav	y Trucks	s: 38.	066			
FHWA Noise Mod	lel Calculation	s									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dista	ance	Finite	Road	Fresn	el	Barrier Atte	en Be	rm Atten
Autos	71.78	-10.14		1.64		-1.20		-4.60	0.0	00	0.000
Medium Trucks	82.40	-24.68		1.68		-1.20		-4.87	0.0	00	0.000
Heavy Trucks	86.40	-18.66		1.67		-1.20		-5.53	0.0	00	0.000
Unmitigated Nois	e Levels (with	out Topo and	barrier	attenu	ation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Ho	ur Leq Da	y I	Leq Eve	ening	Leq	Night		Ldn	C	NEL
Autos:	62	2.1	60.4		56.5		54.4	ŀ	62.2		62.5
Medium Trucks	58	3.2	56.5		49.4		51.6	;	58.9		59.0
Heavy Trucks	68	3.2	66.3		62.0		61.9)	69.1		69.3
Vehicle Noise:	69	9.5	67.6		63.2		62.9)	70.2		70.5
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise C	ontour (in fee	t)					1		1	
				70 dł	BA	65 0	dBA	1	60 dBA	55	ō dBA
			Ldn:		43 94 202			435			
	CNEL:						97		209		450

	FHWA-R	D-77-108 HIGH	IWAY N	IOISE F	REDIC	CTION MO	ODEL (S	9/12/2	021)		
Scenar Road Nan	io: Existing					Project I	Name: \	Nestic	le Annex &	NLISP	
Road Segme	nt: w/o 20th S	t. West				JOD INL	iniber.	10120			
SITE	SPECIFIC II	NPUT DATA				N	OISE N	IODE	L INPUT	5	
Highway Data				Si	te Cor	ditions (Hard =	10, Sc	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	3,586 vehicl	es					Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	9.51%			Me	edium Tru	cks (2 A	(xles)	15		
Peak H	lour Volume:	341 vehicle	s		He	avy Truc	ks (3+ A	(xles):	15		
Ve	ehicle Speed:	55 mph		Ve	ehicle	Mix					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	36 feet		Ē	Veh	icleType		Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data						A	utos:	77.4%	7.9%	14.8%	94.51%
Ba	rrier Height:	0.0 feet			М	edium Tru	ucks:	77.4%	3.8%	18.8%	1.86%
Barrier Type (0-V	Vall, 1-Berm):	0.0				Heavy Tri	ucks:	73.4%	6.8%	19.9%	3.63%
Centerline D	ist. to Barrier:	42.0 feet		N	oise S	ource Ele	vations	s (in fe	et)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	42.0 feet				Autos	: 0.0	000			
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediu	m Trucks	: 2.2	297			
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Hea	vy Trucks	. 8.0	004	Grade Adj	iustment	: 0.0
P	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet									
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		Lä	ane Eq	uivalent	Distanc	:e (IN 1	reet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos	: 38.2	275			
	Left View:	-90.0 degre	es		Mediu	m Trucks	: 38.0	043			
	Right View:	90.0 degre	es		Hea	vy Trucks	: 38.0	066			
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculation	S									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dista	ance	Finite	Road	Fresn	el	Barrier Atte	en Ber	m Atten
Autos:	71.78	-7.63		1.64		-1.20		-4.60	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	82.40	-24.68		1.68		-1.20		-4.87	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	86.40	-21.79		1.67		-1.20		-5.53	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Nois	e Levels (with	out Topo and	barrier	attenu	ation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Ho	ur Leq Da	v 1	Leq Eve	ening	Leg N	Vight		Ldn	C	NEL
Autos:	64	4.6	62.9		59.0		57.0)	64.7	,	65.0
Medium Trucks:	58	3.2	56.5		49.4		51.6	i	58.9)	59.0
Heavy Trucks:	65	5.1	63.2		58.8		58.7		66.0)	66.2
Vehicle Noise:	68	3.3	66.5		62.2		61.4		68.8	3	69.1
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise C	ontour (in feet	9	70 /							
			∟	70 dE	SA -	65 d	IBA _	e	ou dBA	55	aBA
		-	Ldn:		35		76		163		351
		С	NEL:		36		79		169		365

FRWA-RD-//-100 HIGHWA		SE PREDIC		JDEL (9	12/20	921)		
Scenario: Existing With PAs 2, 4, 6, 7,	and 8		Project I	Vame: V	/estid	e Annex &	NLISP	
Road Name: Avenue F			Job Nu	mber: 1	6126			
Road Segment: w/o 20th St. West								
SITE SPECIFIC INPUT DATA			N	DISE M	ODE	L INPUTS	5	
Highway Data		Site Con	ditions (Hard = 1	0, So	ft = 15)		
Average Daily Traffic (Adt): 11,826 vehicles				A	utos:	15		
Peak Hour Percentage: 9.51%		Me	dium Tru	cks (2 A	kles):	15		
Peak Hour Volume: 1,125 vehicles		He	avy Truci	ks (3+ A.	xles):	15		
Vehicle Speed: 55 mph		Vehicle	Mix					
Near/Far Lane Distance: 36 feet		Veh	icleType	L	Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data			A	utos: 7	7.4%	7.9%	14.8%	79.17%
Barrier Height: 0.0 feet		М	edium Tru	icks: 7	7.4%	3.8%	18.8%	4.30%
Barrier Type (0-Wall, 1-Berm): 0.0		1	Heavy Tru	icks: 7	3.4%	6.8%	19.9%	16.54%
Centerline Dist. to Barrier: 42.0 feet		Noiso S	urco Ela	vations	(in fo	of		
Centerline Dist. to Observer: 42.0 feet		140/36 30		· 0.0	00	ey		
Barrier Distance to Observer: 0.0 feet		Mediu	m Trucks	· 22	00			
Observer Height (Above Pad): 5.0 feet		Heavy Trucks: 8.004 Grade Adjustment: 0.0						
Pad Elevation: 0.0 feet		near	y mucho	. 0.0	04	0/000/10	aounoni	0.0
Road Elevation: 0.0 feet		Lane Eq	uivalent	Distance	e (in f	ieet)		
Road Grade: 0.0%			Autos	: 38.2	75			
Left View: -90.0 degrees		Mediu	m Trucks	: 38.0	43			
Right View: 90.0 degrees		Hear	y Trucks	: 38.0	66			
FHWA Noise Model Calculations								
VehicleType REMEL Traffic Flow	Distanc	e Finite	Road	Fresne	e/ .	Barrier Atte	en Ber	m Atten
Autos: 71.78 -3.21	1	1.64	-1.20	-	4.60	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks: 82.40 -15.87	1	1.68	-1.20	-	4.87	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks: 86.40 -10.01	1	1.67	-1.20	-	5.53	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise Levels (without Topo and ba	rrier att	enuation)						
VehicleType Leq Peak Hour Leq Day	Leq	Evening	Leq N	light		Ldn	CI	VEL
Autos: 69.0 67	.3	63.4		61.4		69.1		69.4
Medium Trucks: 67.0 65	.3	58.2		60.4		67.7	7	67.9
Heavy Trucks: 76.9 74	.9	70.6		70.5		77.7	, -	77.9
Vehicle Noise: 77.9 76	.0	71.6		71.4		78.7	, ,	78.9
Centerline Distance to Noise Contour (in feet)								
	7	0 dBA	65 d	BA	6	0 dBA	55	dBA
Ld	n:	158 341 736 1,5				1,585		
CNE	L.:	164 353 761 1,64				1.640		

Thursday, March 20, 2025

	FHWA-RD	0-77-108 HIGHV	VAY NO	ISE I	PREDIC		ODEL (9	9/12/2	021)			
Scena Road Nan Road Segme	rio: Background ne: Avenue F ent: w/o 20th St	i (2031) . West				Project Job Ni	Name: \ umber: `	Westio 16126	de Ann	ex & N	LISP	
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	PUT DATA				N	OISE N	IODE	L INF	UTS		
Highway Data				S	ite Con	ditions ('Hard =	10, So	oft = 1	5)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	7,157 vehicles	6					Autos:	15			
Peak Hou	Percentage:	9.51%			Me	dium Tru	icks (2 A	Axles):	15			
Peak I	lour Volume:	681 vehicles			He	avy Truc	ks (3+ A	(xles	15			
Ve	ehicle Speed:	55 mph		v	ehicle	Mix						
Near/Far La	ane Distance:	36 feet		-	Veh	icleTvpe		Dav	Even	ina N	liaht	Dailv
Site Data						A	utos:	77.4%	5 7.	9% '	14.8%	94.51%
Ba	rrier Height:	0.0 feet			М	edium Tr	ucks:	77.4%	3.	8% '	18.8%	1.86%
Barrier Type (0-V	Vall. 1-Berm):	0.0			1	leavy Tr	ucks:	73.4%	6.	8% '	19.9%	3.63%
Centerline D	ist. to Barrier:	42.0 feet		A	nisa Si	urce Ele	vation	s (in fi	oof)			
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	42.0 feet		-	0/30 00	Autor	. 0.0	200	501)			
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Modiu	m Trucks	. 0.0	207				
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heat	v Trucks	. 2.4 : 80	207	Grade	Adius	tment	0.0
F	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet				<i>y maone</i>	0.0	504				
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		L	ane Eq	uivalent	Distand	ce (in	feet)			
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos	: 38.	275				
	Left View:	-90.0 degrees	6		Mediu	m Trucks	:: 38.0	043				
	Right View:	90.0 degrees	5		Heav	y Trucks	38.0	066				
FHWA Noise Mod	lel Calculation	5										
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distand	e	Finite	Road	Fresn	el	Barrie	r Atten	Ber	m Atten
Autos:	71.78	-4.62		1.64		-1.20		-4.60		0.000)	0.000
Medium Trucks:	82.40	-21.68		1.68		-1.20		-4.87		0.000)	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	86.40	-18.79		1.67		-1.20		-5.53		0.000)	0.000
Unmitigated Nois	e Levels (with	out Topo and b	arrier at	tenu	ation)							
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	r Leq Day	Lee	q Ev	ening	Leq I	Vight		Ldn		CI	VEL
Autos:	67	.6 6	5.9		62.0		60.0)		67.7		68.0
Medium Trucks:	61	.2 5	9.5		52.4		54.6	6		61.9		62.0
Heavy Trucks:	68	.1 6	6.2		61.8		61.7	,		69.0		69.2
Vehicle Noise:	71	.3 6	9.5		65.2		64.4	Ļ		71.8		72.1
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)										
				70 di	BA	65 c	iBA 🗌	(60 dBA		55	dBA
		L	.dn:		56		120			259		557
		CN	EL:		58		125			269		578

	FHWA-RL	D-77-108 HIGHWA	VY NOISE	= PREDIC	TION MO	DEL (9/	12/202	1)		
Scenar Bood Nor	rio: Background	d (2031) With PAs	2, 4,		Project N	ame: W	estide	Annex &	NLISP	
Road Segme	nt: w/o 20th St	. West			<i>JUD IVU</i>	nber. 10	0120			
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	IPUT DATA			NO	ISE MO	ODEL	INPUTS	3	
Highway Data				Site Con	ditions (H	lard = 1	0, Soft	= 15)	-	
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	15,397 vehicles				AL	utos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	9.51%		Me	dium Truc	ks (2 Ax	(les):	15		
Peak H	our Volume:	1,464 vehicles		He	avy Truck	s (3+ Ax	des):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	55 mph	ŀ	Vehicle	Mix					
Near/Far La	ane Distance:	36 feet	ŀ	Veh	icleTvpe	D	av E	venina	Niaht	Dailv
Site Data					Au	tos: 7	7.4%	7.9%	14.8%	82.73%
Ba	rrier Height	0.0 feet		M	edium Tru	cks: 7	7.4%	3.8%	18.8%	3.73%
Barrier Type (0-V	Vall, 1-Berm):	0.0		I	Heavy Tru	cks: 7	3.4%	6.8%	19.9%	13.54%
Centerline D	ist. to Barrier:	42.0 feet		Noise So	ource Elev	ations	(in fee	Ð		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	42.0 feet	ľ		Autos:	0.00	00	/		
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet		Mediu	m Trucks:	2.29	97			
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet		Heav	y Trucks:	8.00	04 G	rade Adj	ustment	: 0.0
P	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet	-							
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet	-	Lane Eq	uivalent L	listance	e (in fee	et)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%			Autos:	38.27	75			
	Left View:	-90.0 degrees		Mediu	m Trucks:	38.04	43			
	Right View:	90.0 degrees		Heav	/y Trucks:	38.06	56			
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculation	s								
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distance	Finite	Road	Fresne	I Ba	arrier Atte	en Ber	m Atten
Autos:	71.78	-1.88	1.6	64	-1.20	-4	4.60	0.0	00	0.000
Medium Trucks:	82.40	-15.33	1.6	58	-1.20	-4	4.87	0.0	00	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	86.40	-9.74	1.6	67	-1.20	-5	5.53	0.0	00	0.000
Unmitigated Nois	e Levels (with	out Topo and bar	rier atter	nuation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	ir Leq Day	Leq E	vening	Leq Ni	ight	L	dn	C	NEL
Autos:	70	.3 68.	7	64.7		62.7		70.4		70.7
Medium Trucks:	67	.5 65.9	9	58.7		61.0		68.3		68.4
Heavy Trucks:	77	.1 75.3	2	70.9		70.8		78.0		78.2
Vehicle Noise:	78	.3 76.	5	72.0		71.8		79.1		79.3
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)	70	-10.4	05 -15			-10.4		-/0.4
		1		0BA 160	65 dE	3A 265	60	abA 700	55	1 600
		Lan	ı.	109		300		/ 86		1,093
		CNEL		175		3/8		813		1,752

	FHWA-RI	D-77-108 HIGH	IWAY NO	DISEF	REDI		DDEL (S	9/12/20	021)		
Scenar Road Nam Road Segme	io: Existing ne: Avenue F nt: e/o 20th St	. West				Project I Job Nu	Vame: \ mber: 1	Vestic 16126	le Annex &	NLISP	
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	NPUT DATA				N	DISE N	IODE	L INPUTS	5	
Highway Data				S	ite Cor	nditions (l	Hard =	10, Sc	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	1,330 vehicl	es				1	Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	9.51%			Me	edium True	cks (2 A	xles):	15		
Peak H	lour Volume:	127 vehicle	s		He	eavy Truck	ks (3+ A	xles):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	55 mph		V	ehicle	Mix					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	36 feet		-	Veł	nicleType		Dav	Evenina	Niaht	Dailv
Site Data						A	utos:	77.4%	7.9%	14.8%	6 94.51%
Ba	rrier Heiaht:	0.0 feet			Μ	ledium Tru	icks:	77.4%	3.8%	18.8%	6 1.86%
Barrier Type (0-W	/all, 1-Berm):	0.0				Heavy Tru	icks:	73.4%	6.8%	19.9%	6 3.63%
Centerline Di	st. to Barrier:	42.0 feet		N	oise S	ource Ele	vations	; (in fe	eet)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	42.0 feet				Autos	: 0.0	000	,		
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediu	im Trucks.	: 2.2	297			
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Hea	vy Trucks	8.0	004	Grade Adj	iustmen	t: 0.0
P	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		-							
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		Li	ane Eq	uivalent l	Distanc	e (in i	teet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos:	38.2	275			
	Left View:	-90.0 degre	es		Mediu	Im Trucks.	: 38.0)43			
	Right View:	90.0 degre	es		неа	vy Trucks.	38.0	000			
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculation	s									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distar	nce	Finite	Road	Fresn	e/	Barrier Atte	en Be	erm Atten
Autos:	71.78	-11.93		1.64		-1.20		-4.60	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	82.40	-28.99		1.68		-1.20		-4.87	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	86.40	-26.09		1.67		-1.20		-5.53	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (with	out Topo and	barrier a	ttenu	ation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	ur Leq Da	/ Le	eq Eve	ening	Leq N	light		Ldn	C	NEL
Autos:	60).3	58.6		54.7	,	52.7		60.4	Ļ	60.7
Medium Trucks:	53	3.9	52.2		45.1		47.3		54.6	6	54.7
Heavy Trucks:	60	0.8	58.9		54.5	5	54.4		61.6	3	61.9
Vehicle Noise:	64	1.0	62.2		57.9)	57.1		64.5)	64.8
Centerline Distant	ce to Noise Co	ontour (in feel)	70."	24		0.4		0.404		- 10.4
			1 1 1 1	70 at	5A 40	65 a	BA	e	DU aBA	55	
		~	Lan: NEL		18		39		84		181
		0	NEL:		19		41		87		188

	FHWA-RD	0-77-108 HIGH	WAYN	OISE	PREDIC		ODEL (9	/12/2	021)		
Scenar Road Narr Road Segme	io: Existing Wi ne: Avenue F nt: e/o 20th St.	th PAs 2, 4, 6, West	7, and	8		Project Job Nu	Name: V umber: 1	Vestic 6126	le Annex &	NLISP	
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	IPUT DATA				N	OISE M	ODE	L INPUT	5	
Highway Data				5	Site Con	ditions ('Hard = 1	10, Sc	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	6,508 vehicle	es				A	utos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	9.51%			Me	dium Tru	cks (2 A	xles):	15		
Peak H	lour Volume:	619 vehicles	s		He	avy Truc	ks (3+ A	xles):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	55 mph		1	/ehicle	Nix					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	36 feet			Veh	icleTvpe	4	Dav	Evenina	Niaht	Dailv
Site Data					Autos: 77.4% 7.9% 14.8% 7						
Ba	rrier Height [.]	0.0 feet			M	edium Tr	ucks: 7	7.4%	3.8%	18.8%	4.76%
Barrier Type (0-W	/all_1-Berm):	0.0			ŀ	leavy Tr	ucks: 7	73.4%	6.8%	19.9%	18.83%
Centerline Di	st. to Barrier:	42.0 feet			laina Cr	uree Ele	wationa	lin fe	a fi		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	42.0 feet		,	voise sc	Autor	valions	00	el)		
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Modiu	Autos m Trucks	. 0.0	00			
Observer Height	(Above Pad):			Heavy Trucks: 8 004 Grade Adjustment: 0.0							
P	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet			near	y macks	. 0.0	04	0/000/10	aounoni	. 0.0
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		L	ane Eq	uivalent	Distanc	e (in i	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos	: 38.2	75			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	es		Mediu	m Trucks	: 38.0	43			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	es		Heav	y Trucks	: 38.0	66			
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculation:	5									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dista	ance	Finite	Road	Fresne	e/	Barrier Atte	en Ber	m Atten
Autos:	71.78	-5.96		1.64	4	-1.20	-	4.60	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	82.40	-18.02		1.68	В	-1.20	-	4.87	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	86.40	-12.04		1.67	7	-1.20	-	5.53	0.0	000	0.00
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (with	out Topo and	barrier	atten	uation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	r Leq Day	· .	Leq Ev	/ening	Leq I	Vight		Ldn	CI	NEL
Autos:	66	.3	64.6		60.7		58.6		66.3	3	66.6
Medium Trucks:	64	.9	63.2		56.1		58.3		65.6	6	65.
Heavy Trucks:	74	.8	72.9		68.6		68.5		75.7		75.9
Vehicle Noise:	75	.8	/3.9		69.4		69.3		76.5)	76.8
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise Co	ontour (in feet,)		0.4						
			L	70 a	IBA	65 0	IBA	6	O aBA	55	ава
		~	Lan:	115 247 532 1,1				1,146			
	CNEL:						∠05		550		1,185

Thursday, March 20, 2025

	FHWA-RD	-77-108 HIGH\	NAY N	OISE	PREDIC	TION M	ODEL	(9/12/2	021)			
Scenari Road Nam Road Segmer	o: Background e: Avenue F nt: e/o 20th St.	I (2031) West				Project Job N	Name: umber:	Westie 16126	de Ann	ex & N	LISP	
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	PUT DATA				N	OISE	MODE	L INP	UTS		
Highway Data				S	Site Con	ditions	(Hard =	= 10, S	oft = 15	5)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	2,049 vehicle	s					Autos:	15			
Peak Hour	Percentage:	9.51%			Me	dium Tru	icks (2	Axles).	15			
Peak H	our Volume:	195 vehicles			He	avy Truc	:ks (3+	Axles).	15			
Ve	hicle Speed:	55 mph		V	/ehicle I	Nix						
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	36 feet			Veh	icleType		Day	Eveni	ing N	light	Daily
Site Data						A	utos:	77.4%	6 7.	9%	14.8%	94.51%
Bai	rier Height:	0.0 feet			Me	edium Tr	ucks:	77.4%	6 3.	8%	18.8%	1.86%
Barrier Type (0-W	all, 1-Berm):	0.0			ŀ	leavy Tr	ucks:	73.4%	6.6	8%	19.9%	3.63%
Centerline Dis	st. to Barrier:	42.0 feet			loise Sc	urce El	evatio	ns (in f	eet)			
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	42.0 feet		-		Autos	. 0	000				
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediu	n Trucks	. 0	207				
Observer Height (Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heav	v Trucks	. <u>2</u> : я	004	Grade	Adius	tment	0.0
Pa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet			mour	<i>y</i> uona		.004				
Roa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		L	ane Equ	uivalent	Distar	nce (in	feet)			
I	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos	s: 38	3.275				
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	S		Mediui	n Trucks	s: 38	3.043				
	Right View:	90.0 degree	S		Heav	y Trucks	s: 38	8.066				
FHWA Noise Mode	el Calculations	5										
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dista	nce	Finite	Road	Fres	nel	Barrie	r Atten	Ber	m Atten
Autos:	71.78	-10.06		1.64	1	-1.20		-4.60		0.000)	0.00
Medium Trucks:	82.40	-27.11		1.68	3	-1.20		-4.87		0.000)	0.00
Heavy Trucks:	86.40	-24.22		1.67	7	-1.20		-5.53		0.000)	0.00
Unmitigated Noise	Levels (with	out Topo and b	arrier	attenu	uation)							
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	r Leq Day	L	.eq Ev	rening	Leq I	Night		Ldn		CI	VEL
Autos:	62	.2 6	0.5		56.6		54	.5		62.2		62.
Medium Trucks:	55	.8 5	64.1		47.0		49	.2		56.5		56.
Heavy Trucks:	62	.7 6	0.7		56.4		56	.3		63.5		63.
Vehicle Noise:	65	.9 6	64.1		59.7		59	.0		66.4		66.
Centerline Distance	e to Noise Co	ntour (in feet)						1				
				70 d	IBA 🛛	65 0	зBA	1	60 dBA		55	dBA
		1	.dn:		24		5	2		112		242
		CN	EL:		25		5	4		117		251

	FHWA-R	D-77-108 HIGH	IWAY NC	DISE	PREDIC	TION M	ODEL (S	9/12/2	:021)		
Scena Road Nar Road Segme	rio: Backgroun ne: Avenue F ent: e/o 20th St	PAs 2, 4,			Project Job N	Name: \ umber: 1	Vesti 16126	de Annex &	NLISP		
SITE	SPECIFIC II	NPUT DATA				N	OISE N	IODE	EL INPUTS	6	
Highway Data				S	ite Con	ditions	(Hard =	10, S	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	7,227 vehicl	es					Autos	: 15		
Peak Hou	r Percentage:	9.51%			Me	dium Tru	icks (2 A	xles)	: 15		
Peak I	Hour Volume:	687 vehicle	s		He	avy Truc	:ks (3+ A	xles)	: 15		
Ve	ehicle Speed:	55 mph		V	ohiclo I	Mix					
Near/Far La	ane Distance:	36 feet		V	Veh	icleTupe		Dav	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data					ven	icie i ype	utos:	77 /0	2 7 0%	1/ 9%	79 21%
one Data		0 0 fr - 1		_	M	r edium Tr	ucks:	77 49	6 3.8%	18.8%	447%
Ba Domine Time (Oli	Arrier Height:	0.0 feet			ŀ	Heavy Tr	ucks:	73 49	6 6.8%	19.9%	17.32%
Barrier Type (U-V	vall, 1-Berm):	0.0 42.0 feet					uono.		0.070	10.070	
Centerline D	to Observer:	42.0 feet		N	oise So	ource El	evations	s (in f	ieet)		
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	42.0 feet				Autos	s: 0.0	000			
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Mediui	m Trucks	s: 2.2	297			
Observer meight	(ADOVE Fau).	5.0 feet			Heav	y Trucks	s: 8.0	004	Grade Adji	ustment	t: 0.0
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		L	ane Eq	uivalent	Distanc	e (in	feet)	-	
110	Road Grade	0.0%		-		Autos	: 38.	275			
	Left View	-90 0 degre	20		Mediu	m Trucks	38.0	143			
	Right View:	90.0 degre	es		Heav	y Trucks	s: 38.0	066			
FHWA Noise Mod	lel Calculation	S									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distar	nce	Finite	Road	Fresn	el	Barrier Atte	n Bei	rm Atten
Autos	71.78	-5.41		1.64		-1.20		-4.60	0.0	00	0.000
Medium Trucks.	82.40	-17.84		1.68		-1.20		-4.87	0.0	00	0.000
Heavy Trucks	86.40	-11.95		1.67		-1.20		-5.53	0.0	00	0.000
Unmitigated Nois	e Levels (with	out Topo and	barrier a	nttenu	ation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Ho	ur Leq Day	/ Le	eq Ev	ening	Leq	Night		Ldn	С	NEL
Autos:	: 66	5.8	65.1		61.2		59.2		66.9		67.2
Medium Trucks.	: 6	5.0	63.4		56.2		58.5		65.8		65.9
Heavy Trucks	74	1.9	73.0		68.7		68.6		75.8		76.0
Vehicle Noise:	7	5.9	74.0		69.6		69.4		76.7		76.9
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise C	ontour (in feet)	70 d	RA	65 (₩RΔ		60 dBA	55	dBA
			I dn'	100	117	001	252	l	544		1 171
		C	NEI ·		121	121 261 563 1.2				1 212	
		0			.21		201		500		.,212

	FHWA-RI	D-77-108 HIGI	IWAY N	OISE F	REDI		ODEL (S	9/12/2	021)		
Scenar Road Nam Road Segme	io: Existing ne: Avenue F nt: w/o Sierra	Hwy.				Project Job Ni	Name: \ umber: ^	Nestic 16126	le Annex &	NLISP	
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	IPUT DATA				N	OISE N	IODE	L INPUT	5	
Highway Data				Si	te Cor	nditions ((Hard =	10, Sc	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	1,330 vehic	es					Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	9.51%			Me	edium Tru	icks (2 A	(xles):	15		
Peak H	lour Volume:	127 vehicle	s		He	eavy Truc	:ks (3+ A	(xles):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	55 mph		14	hiclo	Mix					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	36 feet			Veł	nicleType		Dav	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data					101	A	utos:	77.4%	7.9%	14.8%	94.51%
Ba	rrior Hoiaht	0.0 feet			Μ	ledium Tr	ucks:	77.4%	3.8%	18.8%	1.86%
Barrier Type (0-W	/all. 1-Berm):	0.0				Heavy Tr	ucks:	73.4%	6.8%	19.9%	3.63%
Centerline Di	st. to Barrier:	42.0 feet		N	nisa S	ource Ele	ovation	in fa	oot)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	42.0 feet			0130 0	Autos	. 00	000			
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediu	m Trucks	. 0.0	207			
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Hea	vv Trucks	5. 2.2 5. 8(104	Grade Ad	iustmen	t: 0.0
P	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet			neu	vy macka	. 0.0	-04	0/000/10	aounon	0.0
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		Lá	ane Eq	uivalent	Distanc	e (in :	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos	s: 38.1	275			
	Left View:	-90.0 degre	es		Mediu	ım Trucks	s: 38.0	043			
	Right View:	90.0 degre	es		Hea	vy Trucks	s: 38.0	066			
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculation	s									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dista	nce	Finite	Road	Fresn	el	Barrier Atte	en Be	rm Atten
Autos:	71.78	-11.93		1.64		-1.20		-4.60	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	82.40	-28.99		1.68		-1.20		-4.87	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	86.40	-26.09		1.67		-1.20		-5.53	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (with	out Topo and	barrier	attenu	ation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	ur Leq Da	y L	.eq Eve	ening	Leq I	Night		Ldn	C	NEL
Autos:	60).3	58.6		54.7	7	52.7		60.4	ŀ	60.7
Medium Trucks:	53	3.9	52.2		45.1		47.3		54.6	6	54.7
Heavy Trucks:	60).8	58.9		54.5	5	54.4		61.6	6	61.9
Vehicle Noise:	64	1.0	62.2		57.9)	57.1		64.5	5	64.8
Centerline Distant	ce to Noise Co	ontour (in fee	t)								
				70 dE	3A	65 0	1BA	6	60 dBA	55	6 dBA
			Ldn:		18		39		84		181
		C	NEL:		19		41		87		188

	FRWA-RD	-//-100 HIGH	WAT	NOISE	PREDIC		ODEL (9	12/20	J21)					
Scenario:	Scenario: Existing With PAs 2, 4, 6, 7, and 8 Road Name: Avenue F					Project	Name: V	/estid	e Annex &	NLISP				
Road Name: Road Segment:	Avenue F w/o Sierra H	wv.				JOD NU	imper: 1	5126						
SITE SP	ECIFIC IN			1		N	OISE M	ODE		5				
Highway Data	Lon to in	OI DAIA		5	Site Con	ditions (Hard = 1	0, So	ft = 15)					
Average Daily Tra	affic (Adt):	2,194 vehicle	es				A	utos:	15					
Peak Hour Pe	rcentage:	9.51%			Me	dium Tru	cks (2 A	(les):	15					
Peak Hou	r Volume:	209 vehicles	s		He	avy Truc	ks (3+ A.	des):	15					
Vehic	le Speed:	55 mph		,	/ohiclo I	Mix								
Near/Far Lane	Distance:	36 feet		F	Veh	icleTvpe	L	Dav	Evenina	Night	Dailv			
Site Data					-	A	utos: 7	7.4%	7.9%	14.8%	91.18%			
Barrie	er Height	0.0 feet			M	edium Tr	ucks: 7	7.4%	3.8%	18.8%	2.20%			
Barrier Type (0-Wall	1-Berm):	0.0			ŀ	leavy Tr	ucks: 7	3.4%	6.8%	19.9%	6.62%			
Centerline Dist.	to Barrier:	42.0 feet		-	laina Ca	uree Ele	vetione	lin fo	of					
Centerline Dist. to	Observer:	42.0 feet		-	voise Sc	Autor	evations	(IN TE	et)					
Barrier Distance to	Observer:	0.0 feet			Madiu	Autos m Trucka	. 0.0	JU 27						
Observer Height (Ab	ove Pad):		Heavy Trucks: 8 004 Grade Adjustment: 0.0											
Pad	Elevation:	0.0 feet			neav	y mucka	. 0.0	J#	Grade Auj	usiment	0.0			
Road	Elevation:	0.0 feet		1	ane Eq	uivalent	Distance	e (in f	eet)					
Ro	ad Grade:	0.0%				Autos	: 38.2	75						
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	es		Mediui	m Trucks	: 38.0	43						
R	ight View:	90.0 degree	es		Heav	y Trucks	: 38.0	66						
FHWA Noise Model (Calculations													
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dis	tance	Finite	Road	Fresne	1	Barrier Atte	en Ber	m Atten			
Autos:	71.78	-9.92		1.64	4	-1.20	-	4.60	0.0	00	0.000			
Medium Trucks:	82.40	-26.10		1.6	3	-1.20	-	4.87	0.0	00	0.000			
Heavy Trucks:	86.40	-21.31		1.6	7	-1.20	-	5.53	0.0	00	0.00			
Unmitigated Noise L	evels (witho	ut Topo and	barrie	er atten	uation)									
VehicleType Le	eq Peak Hou	 Leq Day 	r	Leg Ev	/ening	Leq I	Vight		Ldn	CI	VEL			
Autos:	62.	3	60.6		56.7		54.7		62.4		62.1			
Medium Trucks:	56.	8	55.1		48.0		50.2		57.5		57.6			
Heavy Trucks:	65.	6	63.6		59.3		59.2		66.4	66.4 66				
Venicle Noise:	67.	6	65.8		61.4		60.9		68.3	5	68.			
Centerline Distance	to Noise Co	ntour (in feet,)	=0	0.4						10.4			
			L	70 0	IBA	65 0	IBA	6	U aBA	55	ава			
		~	Ldn:		32 69 149 3				321					
		Ci	VEL.	33 72 155 3					155	333				

Thursday, March 20, 2025

	FHWA-RD	0-77-108 HIGHW	AY NOI	SE F	PREDIC		IODEL (9/12/2	021)		
Scenari Road Nam	io: Background	i (2031)				Project	Name:	Westic	de Annex 8	NLISP	
Road Segmer	nt: w/o Sierra I	Hwy.				0001	annoon.	10120			
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	PUT DATA				N	IOISE I	NODE	L INPUT	S	
Highway Data				S	ite Con	ditions	(Hard =	10, Sc	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	2,403 vehicles						Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	9.51%			Me	dium Tr	ucks (2	Axles):	15		
Peak H	our Volume:	229 vehicles			He	avy Tru	cks (3+)	Axles):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	55 mph		14	ohiclo I	Mix					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	36 feet		-	Veh	icleTvpe		Dav	Evenina	Night	Daily
Site Data						,	Autos:	77.4%	7.9%	14.8%	94.51%
Bai	rrier Height:	0 0 feet			M	edium T	rucks:	77.4%	3.8%	18.8%	1.86%
Barrier Type (0-W	all, 1-Berm):	0.0			F	Heavy T	rucks:	73.4%	6.8%	19.9%	3.63%
Centerline Dis	st. to Barrier:	42.0 feet		N	nisa Sr	urce Fl	ovation	e (in fa	oof)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	42.0 feet		14	0136 30	Auto		000	<i>eei)</i>		
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Madiu	AULO Truck	s. 0.	207			
Observer Height (Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Hear	n Truck	s. ∠. ⇔ 0	297	Grade An	liustment	H 0.0
Pa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet			Tieav	y muck	s. o.	004	Orade Ha	justinent	. 0.0
Roa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		Li	ane Eq	uivalen	t Distan	ce (in i	feet)		
I	Road Grade:	0.0%				Auto	s: 38.	275			
	Left View:	-90.0 degrees			Mediu	m Truck	s: 38	043			
	Right View:	90.0 degrees			Heav	ry Truck	s: 38	066			
FHWA Noise Mode	el Calculation:	5									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distanc	е	Finite	Road	Fresi	nel	Barrier Att	ten Ber	rm Atten
Autos:	71.78	-9.36		1.64		-1.20		-4.60	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	82.40	-26.42		1.68		-1.20		-4.87	0.0	000	0.00
Heavy Trucks:	86.40	-23.53		1.67		-1.20		-5.53	0.0	000	0.00
Unmitigated Noise	Levels (with	out Topo and b	arrier at	tenu	ation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	r Leq Day	Leo	ı Eve	ening	Leq	Night		Ldn	C	NEL
Autos:	62	.9 6	1.2		57.3		55.3	2	62.	9	63.
Medium Trucks:	56	.5 5	4.8		47.7		49.	Э	57.	2	57.3
Heavy Trucks:	63	.3 6	1.4		57.1		57.)	64.	2	64.4
Vehicle Noise:	66	.6 6	4.8		60.4		59.	7	67.	1	67.3
Centerline Distanc	ce to Noise Co	ntour (in feet)									
			7	70 dE	BA	65	dBA	6	60 dBA	55	dBA
		L	dn:		27		58		125	i	269
		CNI	EL:		28		60		130)	279

	THWA-NL	5-11-100 mon	TTA I	NOISE	TREDIC		OBLL	(31-12/2	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>		
Scenari	o: Background	d (2031) With F	PAs 2,	4,		Project	Name:	Westic	le Annex &	NLISP	
Road Nam	e: Avenue F					Job Ni	umber:	16126			
Road Segmen	nt: w/o Sierra I	Hwy.									
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	IPUT DATA				N	OISE	MODE		s	
Highway Data				5	Site Cond	ditions ((Hard =	: 10, So	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	3,267 vehicle	es					Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	9.51%			Med	dium Tru	icks (2	Axles):	15		
Peak H	our Volume:	311 vehicles	s		Hea	avy Truc	ks (3+	Axles):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	55 mph		1	Vehicle N	lix					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	36 feet		F	Vehi	cleType		Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data						A	utos:	77.4%	7.9%	14.8%	6 92.28%
Bai	rier Heiaht:	0 0 feet			Me	dium Tr	ucks:	77.4%	3.8%	18.8%	6 2.09%
Barrier Type (0-W	all, 1-Berm):	0.0			h	leavy Tr	ucks:	73.4%	6.8%	19.9%	5.64%
Centerline Dis	st. to Barrier:	42.0 feet		,	Noico So	urco Ek	wation	e (in f	ootl		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	42.0 feet		-	10/36 30	Autor	valion	000	eey		
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Madium	Autos	5. U	207			
Observer Height (Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heav	v Trucks	5. Z	004	Grade Ad	iustmen	t· 0.0
Pa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet			neav,	y macks	. U	.004	0/000/10	aoumon	
Roa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		1	Lane Equ	iivalent	Distan	ce (in	feet)		
1	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos	: 38	.275			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	es		Mediun	n Trucks	38	.043			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	es		Heav	y Trucks	: 38	.066			
FHWA Noise Mode	el Calculation	s									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dis	tance	Finite	Road	Fres	nel	Barrier Att	en Be	rm Atten
Autos:	71.78	-8.14		1.64	4	-1.20		-4.60	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	82.40	-24.59		1.6	8	-1.20		-4.87	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	86.40	-20.28		1.6	7	-1.20		-5.53	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	Levels (with	out Topo and	barrie	er atten	uation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	ır Leq Day	r -	Leq E	vening	Leq I	Vight		Ldn	0	NEL
Autos:	64	.1	62.4		58.5		56.	4	64.2	2	64.5
Medium Trucks:	58	1.3	56.6		49.5		51.	7	59.0)	59.1
Heavy Trucks:	66	6.6	64.7		60.3		60.	3	67.5	5	67.7
Vehicle Noise:	68	1.9	67.1		62.7		62.	2	69.5	5	69.8
Centerline Distance	e to Noise Co	ontour (in feet,)								
				70 c	dBA	65 0	1BA	6	60 dBA	55	5 dBA
			Ldn:		39		84	ļ.	182		391
		NEL:		41 87 188 4					406		

	FHWA-R	D-77-108 HIGH	IWAY N	OISE F	PREDIC		ODEL (S	9/12/2	021)		
Scenar Road Nan Road Segme	rio: Existing ne: Avenue G nt: w/o Sierra	Hwy.				Project Job N	Name: \ umber: 1	Westid 16126	le Annex &	NLISP	
SITE	SPECIFIC II	IPUT DATA				N	IOISE N	IODE	L INPUT	5	
Highway Data				Si	ite Con	ditions	(Hard =	10, Sc	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	3,218 vehicl	es					Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	9.51%			Me	edium Tru	ucks (2 A	(xles):	15		
Peak H	lour Volume:	306 vehicle	s		He	avy Truc	cks (3+ A	(xles):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	55 mph		V	ohiclo	Mix					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	36 feet			Veh	icleType		Dav	Evenina	Night	Daily
Site Data					1011	.o.o.ypc A	Autos:	77.4%	7.9%	14.8%	94.51%
Ba	rrier Height	0.0 feet			М	edium Ti	rucks:	77.4%	3.8%	18.8%	1.86%
Barrier Type (0-V	Vall, 1-Berm):	0.0			1	Heavy Tr	rucks:	73.4%	6.8%	19.9%	3.63%
Centerline D	ist. to Barrier:	42.0 feet		N	oise So	ource El	evations	s (in fe	et)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	42.0 feet				Autos	s: 0.0	000	,		
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediu	m Truck	s: 22	297			
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heat	vy Truck	s 80	104	Grade Ad	iustment	: 0.0
P	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet				<i>, , , , , , , , , ,</i>	0. 0.0		,		
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		Lá	ane Eq	uivalent	Distanc	e (in t	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos	s: 38.2	275			
	Left View:	-90.0 degre	es		Mediu	m Truck	s: 38.0	043			
	Right View:	90.0 degre	es		Heav	vy Truck	s: 38.0	066			
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculation	s									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dista	nce	Finite	Road	Fresn	el	Barrier Atte	en Ber	m Atten
Autos:	71.78	-8.10		1.64		-1.20		-4.60	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	82.40	-25.15		1.68		-1.20		-4.87	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	86.40	-22.26		1.67		-1.20		-5.53	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Nois	e Levels (with	out Topo and	barrier	attenu	ation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Ho	ur Leq Daj	/ L	Leq Eve	ening	Leq	Night		Ldn	CI	NEL
Autos:	64	l.1	62.4		58.5		56.5		64.2	2	64.5
Medium Trucks:	57	.7	56.0		48.9		51.1		58.4	Ļ	58.6
Heavy Trucks:	64	1.6	62.7		58.4		58.3		65.5	5	65.7
Vehicle Noise:	67	7.8	66.0		61.7		61.0		68.4	Ļ	68.6
Centerline Distan	ce to Noise C	ontour (in feel)					T		T	
				70 dE	ЗA	65 (dBA	6	60 dBA	55	dBA
		-	Ldn:		33		70		152		327
		С	NEL:		34		73		158		339

	FHWA-KD	-//-108 HIGH	WAT	NUISE	PREDIC		ODEL (9	12/20	J21)		
Scenari	io: Existing Wit	h PAs 2, 4, 6,	7, and	d 8		Project	Name: V	/estid	e Annex &	NLISP	
Road Nam	e: Avenue G	han				Job Ni	umber: 1	6126			
Road Segmen	n. w/o oleita i	iwy.									
SITE :	SPECIFIC IN	PUT DATA			ito Con	N	OISE M	ODE	L INPUT	5	
Augura Data	T	0.040		-	Sile Con	unions (naru – r	0, 30	45		
Average Dally	Traffic (Adt):	3,218 venicie	es		Mo	dium Tru	A Icke (2 A	utos:	15		
Peak Hook H	Fercentage.	9.51% 306 vohiclo	~		He	avv Truc	ke (3+ A	vlac).	15		
r cak n	hicle Sneed	55 mph	5		110	avy mac	N3 (0 · 71	103).	10		
Near/Far I a	ne Distance:	36 feet		v	/ehicle l	lix					
Neal/T al Ea	ne bistance.	00 1001			Veh	cleType	L	Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data						A	utos: 7	7.4%	7.9%	14.8%	94.51%
Bai	rrier Height:	0.0 feet			Me	edium Tr	ucks: 7	7.4%	3.8%	18.8%	1.86%
Barrier Type (0-W	/all, 1-Berm):	0.0			ŀ	leavy Tr	ucks: 7	3.4%	6.8%	19.9%	3.63%
Centerline Dis	st. to Barrier:	42.0 feet		٨	loise Sc	urce Ele	evations	(in fe	et)		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	42.0 feet				Autos	: 0.0	00	,		
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Mediui	n Trucks	2.2	97			
Observer Height (Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heav	y Trucks	: 8.0	04	Grade Ad	iustment.	0.0
Pa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		_							
Roa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		L	ane Eq	uvalent	Distance	e (in 1	'eet)		
1	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos	: 38.2	75			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	es		Mediui	n Trucks	: 38.0	43			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	es		Heav	y Trucks	: 38.0	66			
FHWA Noise Mode	el Calculations	;									
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dis	stance	Finite	Road	Fresne	e/	Barrier Atte	en Ber	m Atten
Autos:	71.78	-8.10		1.64	1	-1.20	-	4.60	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	82.40	-25.15		1.68	3	-1.20	-	4.87	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	86.40	-22.26		1.67	7	-1.20	-	5.53	0.0	000	0.00
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (witho	out Topo and	barrie	er atteni	uation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	r Leq Day	<i>,</i>	Leq Ev	rening	Leq I	Vight		Ldn	CI	VEL
Autos:	64.	.1	62.4		58.5		56.5		64.2	2	64.
Medium Trucks:	57.	.7	56.0		48.9		51.1		58.4	ţ	58.6
Heavy Trucks:	64.	6	62.7		58.4		58.3		65.5	5	65.
Vehicle Noise:	67.	.8	66.0		61.7		61.0		68.4	ļ	68.6
Centerline Distand	ce to Noise Co	ntour (in feet,)								
			L	70 d	IBA 🛛	65 c	IBA	6	i0 dBA	55	dBA
			Ldn:		33		70		152		327
	CNEL:						72		158		330

Thursday, March 20, 2025

	FHWA-RD	0-77-108 HIGHW	AY NOIS	E PREDIC	CTION MO	DEL (9/	12/2021)			
Scenar Road Nam	io: Background	i (2031)			Project N	ame: W	estide Ar	nnex & N	LISP	
Road Segme	nt: w/o Sierra H	Hwy.			000 / 10					
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	PUT DATA			NO	ISE MO	ODEL IN	IPUTS		
Highway Data				Site Cor	ditions (H	lard = 10	0, Soft =	15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	3,562 vehicles				AL	utos: 1	5		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	9.51%		Me	edium Truc	ks (2 Ax	<i>les):</i> 1	5		
Peak H	our Volume:	339 vehicles		He	avy Truck	s (3+ Ax	<i>les):</i> 1	5		
Ve	hicle Speed:	55 mph		Vehicle	Mix					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	36 feet		Veh	icleTvpe	D	av Eve	enina N	liaht	Dailv
Site Data					Au	tos: 7	7.4%	7.9%	14.8%	94.51%
Bai	rrier Height:	0 0 feet		м	edium Truc	cks: 7	7.4%	3.8%	18.8%	1.86%
Barrier Type (0-W	all, 1-Berm):	0.0			Heavy Truc	cks: 7	3.4%	6.8%	19.9%	3.63%
Centerline Dis	st. to Barrier:	42.0 feet		Noise Se	ource Elev	ations	(in feet)			
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	42.0 feet			Autos	0.00	10			
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet		Mediu	m Trucks	2.20)7			
Observer Height (Above Pad):	5.0 feet		Heat	vy Trucks	8.00	л Gra	de Adius	stment:	0.0
Pa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet			<i>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </i>	0.00	,4			
Roa	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		Lane Eq	uivalent D	istance	(in feet)	1		
1	Road Grade:	0.0%			Autos:	38.27	75			
	Left View:	-90.0 degrees		Mediu	m Trucks:	38.04	13			
	Right View:	90.0 degrees		Hear	vy Trucks:	38.06	66			
FHWA Noise Mode	el Calculation:	5		1						
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Distance	Finite	Road	Fresnel	l Barı	ier Atten	Berr	m Atten
Autos:	71.78	-7.66	1	.64	-1.20	-4	1.60	0.000	J	0.000
Medium Trucks:	82.40	-24.71	1	.68	-1.20	-4	4.87	0.000	J	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	86.40	-21.82	1	.67	-1.20	-5	5.53	0.000	J	0.00
Unmitigated Noise	Levels (with	out Topo and ba	arrier atte	enuation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	r Leq Day	Leq	Evening	Leq Ni	ght	Ldr	1	CN	VEL
Autos:	64	.6 62	2.9	59.0		56.9		64.6		65.
Medium Trucks:	58	.2 56	3.5	49.4		51.6		58.9		59.
Heavy Trucks:	65	.1 63	3.1	58.8		58.7		65.9		66.
Vehicle Noise:	68	.3 66	6.5	62.1		61.4		68.8		69.
Centerline Distand	ce to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)								
			70	0 dBA	65 dE	BA	60 dE	3A	55	dBA
		Lo	in:	35		75		162		350
		CNE	L:	36		78		169		363

	FRIVA-KI		WAT	NUISE	PREDIC		ODEL	(9/12/2	021)		
Scenar Road Nam	io: Backgroun ne: Avenue G	d (2031) With F	PAs 2, 4	4,		Project Job N	Name: umber:	Westie 16126	de Annex &	NLISP	
Road Segme	nt: w/o Sierra	Hwy.									
SITE	SPECIFIC IN	IPUT DATA				N	OISE	MODE	L INPUT	S	
Highway Data				S	Site Con	ditions (Hard =	= 10, Se	oft = 15)		
Average Daily	Traffic (Adt):	3,562 vehicle	es					Autos:	15		
Peak Hour	Percentage:	9.51%			Me	dium Tru	icks (2	Axles):	15		
Peak H	lour Volume:	339 vehicle	s		Hei	avy Truc	ks (3+	Axles):	15		
Ve	hicle Speed:	55 mph		v	ehicle N	lix					
Near/Far La	ne Distance:	36 feet		F	Vehi	cleType		Day	Evening	Night	Daily
Site Data						A	utos:	77.4%	5 7.9%	14.8%	94.51%
Ba	rrier Height	0.0 feet			Me	edium Tr	ucks:	77.4%	3.8%	18.8%	1.86%
Barrier Type (0-W	/all. 1-Berm):	0.0			H	leavy Tr	ucks:	73.4%	6.8%	19.9%	3.63%
Centerline Di	st. to Barrier:	42.0 feet			laiaa Ca	uree El	watio	na (in f	a a fi		
Centerline Dist.	to Observer:	42.0 feet		~	ioise 30	urce Ere	evalion		eel)		
Barrier Distance	to Observer:	0.0 feet			Madium	Autos	. U	.000			
Observer Height	(Above Pad):	5.0 feet			Heav	n Trucks	o. ∠ ∽ o	.297	Grade Ad	iustman	. 0 0
P	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet			Tieav	y mucks	o. o	.004	Orade Au	usimen	. 0.0
Ro	ad Elevation:	0.0 feet		L	ane Equ	iivalent	Distar	nce (in	feet)		
	Road Grade:	0.0%				Autos	: 38	.275			
	Left View:	-90.0 degree	es		Mediur	n Trucks	: 38	.043			
	Right View:	90.0 degree	es		Heav	y Trucks	: 38	.066			
FHWA Noise Mod	el Calculation	s		I							
VehicleType	REMEL	Traffic Flow	Dist	ance	Finite	Road	Fres	nel	Barrier Att	en Be	rm Atten
Autos:	71.78	-7.66		1.64	Ļ	-1.20		-4.60	0.0	000	0.000
Medium Trucks:	82.40	-24.71		1.68	3	-1.20		-4.87	0.0	000	0.000
Heavy Trucks:	86.40	-21.82		1.67	7	-1.20		-5.53	0.0	000	0.000
Unmitigated Noise	e Levels (with	out Topo and	barrie	r attenı	uation)						
VehicleType	Leq Peak Hou	ur Leq Day	/	Leq Ev	ening	Leq I	Vight		Ldn	С	NEL
Autos:	64	1.6	62.9		59.0		56	.9	64.6	6	65.0
Medium Trucks:	58	3.2	56.5		49.4		51	.6	58.9	9	59.0
Heavy Trucks:	65	5.1	63.1		58.8		58	.7	65.9	9	66.1
Vehicle Noise:	68	3.3	66.5		62.1		61	.4	68.8	3	69.1
Centerline Distant	ce to Noise Co	ontour (in feet)								
				70 d	BA	65 (IBA		DU aba	55	aBA
			35		7	c	162		350		
		C	NEL:		36		7	5	169		363



APPENDIX 9.1:

OPERATIONAL NOISE CALCULATIONS



15951 - Bell Terminal

CadnaA Noise Prediction Model: 15951-07 Rail.cna Date: 10.01.25 Analyst: B. Lawson

Calculation Configuration

Configura	tion
Parameter	Value
General	
Max. Error (dB)	0.00
Max. Search Radius (ft)	6561.70
Min. Dist Src to Rcvr	0.00
Partition	
Raster Factor	0.50
Max. Length of Section (ft)	3280.80
Min. Length of Section (ft)	3.30
Min. Length of Section (%)	0.00
Proj. Line Sources	On
Proj. Area Sources	On
Ref. Time	
Daytime Penalty (dB)	0.00
Recr. Time Penalty (dB)	5.00
Night-time Penalty (dB)	10.00
DTM	
Standard Height (m)	0.00
Model of Terrain	Triangulation
Reflection	
max. Order of Reflection	2
Search Radius Src	328.08
Search Radius Rcvr	328.08
Max. Distance Source - Rcvr	3280.84 3280.84
Min. Distance Rvcr - Reflector	3.28 3.28
Min. Distance Source - Reflector	0.33
Industrial (ISO 9613 (1996))	
Lateral Diffraction	some Obj
Obst. within Area Src do not shield	On
Screening	Incl. Ground Att. over Barrier
	Dz with limit (20/25)
Barrier Coefficients C1,2,3	3.0 20.0 0.0
Temperature (°F)	50
rel. Humidity (%)	70
Ground Absorption G	0.50
Wind Speed for Dir. (mph)	6.7
Roads (TNM)	
Railways (FTA/FRA)	
Aircraft (???)	
Strictly acc. to AzB	

Receiver Noise Levels

Name	М.	ID		Level Lr		Lir	nit. Val	ue		Land	Use	Height		Ci	oordinates	
			Day	Night	CNEL	Day	Night	CNEL	Type	Auto	Noise Type			Х	Y	Z
			(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)				(ft)		(ft)	(ft)	(ft)
RECEIVERS		R1	56.2	56.2	62.9	55.0	45.0	0.0				5.00	r	6509964.67	1818483.79	5.00
RECEIVERS		R2	54.9	54.9	61.5	55.0	45.0	0.0				5.00	r	6510095.79	1818244.51	5.00
RECEIVERS		R3	53.3	53.3	60.0	55.0	45.0	0.0				5.00	r	6510569.61	1818134.08	5.00
RECEIVERS		R4	54.4	54.4	61.1	55.0	45.0	0.0				5.00	r	6510720.35	1818088.80	5.00
RECEIVERS		R5	57.1	57.1	63.8	55.0	45.0	0.0				5.00	r	6507647.87	1818767.61	5.00
RECEIVERS		R6	40.9	40.9	47.6	55.0	45.0	0.0				5.00	r	6507169.48	1819375.66	5.00

Point Source(s)

Name	М	1.	ID	R	esult. PW	/L		Lw/L	.i	Op	erating T	ime	Heigh	t	C	oordinates	
				Day	Evening	Night	Type	Value	norm.	Day	Special	Night			Х	Y	Z
				(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)			dB(A)	(min)	(min)	(min)	(ft)		(ft)	(ft)	(ft)
POINTSOUR	CE		RAILOFF01	119.9	119.9	119.9	Lw	119.9					30.00	r	6508497.28	1820727.57	30.00
POINTSOUR	CE		RAILOFF02	119.9	119.9	119.9	Lw	119.9					30.00	r	6508545.68	1820708.21	30.00
POINTSOUR	CE		LOAD01	113.0	113.0	113.0	Lw	113					10.00	r	6508519.23	1820102.27	10.00
POINTSOUR	CE		LOAD02	113.0	113.0	113.0	Lw	113					10.00	r	6508531.38	1820077.96	10.00
POINTSOUR	CE		LOCOIDLE	104.6	104.6	104.6	Lw	104.6					10.00	r	6511365.42	1817928.52	10.00

Line Source(s)

r	·	· · ·																		_
Name	М.	ID	R	esult. PW	/L	R	Result. PWL'			Lw / Li		Op	erating Ti	me		Moving	Pt. Src		Heig	nt
			Day	Evening	Night	Day	Evening	Night	Type	Value	norm.	Day	Special	Night		Number Spee		Speed		
			(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)			dB(A)	(min)	(min)	(min)	Day	Evening	Night	(mph)	(ft)	\square
LINESOURCE		HAUL01	101.3	101.3	101.3	72.4	72.4	72.4	Lw	101.3									10	r
CONVEYOR		CON01	111.2	111.2	111.2	91.1	91.1	91.1	Lw	111.2									30	r
CONVEYOR		CON02	111.2	111.2	111.2	89.9	89.9	89.9	Lw	111.2									30	r
•					•															

Name	М.	ID	R	esult. PW	L	R	esult. PW	Ľ		Lw / Li		Op	erating Ti	me		Moving	Pt. Src		Heigh	nt
			Day	Evening	Night	Day	Evening	Night	Type	Value	norm.	Day	Special	Night		Number Speed				
			(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)			dB(A)	(min)	(min)	(min)	Day	Evening	Night	(mph)	(ft)	
CONVEYOR		CON03	111.2	111.2	111.2	91.9	91.9	91.9	Lw	111.2									30	r
CONVEYOR		CON04	111.2	111.2	111.2	90.6	90.6	90.6	Lw	111.2									30	r
BNSF		BNSF	107.9	107.9	107.9	73.7	73.7	73.7	PWL-Pt	113.7					1.0	1.0	1.0	6.2	10	r

Name	ID	ŀ	lei	ght			Coordinat	es	
		Begin		End		x	У	z	Ground
		(ft)		(ft)		(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)
		(10)		(11)	_	(10)	(10)	(10)	(10)
LINESOURCE	HAUL01	10.00	r			6508841.67	1819850.27	10.00	0.00
						6508677.97	1819915.76	10.00	0.00
						6508660.37	1819929.18	10.00	0.00
						6508644.31	1819944.43	10.00	0.00
						6509620.00	1910061 22	10.00	0.00
					_	0508030.00	1819901.33	10.00	0.00
						6508617.60	1819979.67	10.00	0.00
						6508607.25	1819999.24	10.00	0.00
						6508512.17	1820180.11	10.00	0.00
						6508435 65	1820282 14	10.00	0.00
					-	GE08344.0E	1820400 68	10.00	0.00
					_	0508544.05	1820409.88	10.00	0.00
						6508327.63	1820438.20	10.00	0.00
						6508313.25	1820467.81	10.00	0.00
						6508300.98	1820498.35	10.00	0.00
						6508290 90	1820529.67	10.00	0.00
					-	6500250.50	1020525107	10.00	0.00
					_	6508285.04	1820501.05	10.00	0.00
						6508277.45	1820594.07	10.00	0.00
						6508274.15	1820626.81	10.00	0.00
						6508273.16	1820659.71	10.00	0.00
						6508274 48	1820692 59	10.00	0.00
			\vdash		-	CEN0201 22	1020002.00	10.00	0.00
					-	0008291.33	1020/38.59	10.00	0.00
						6508309.27	1820784.19	10.00	0.00
					L	6508307.90	1820792.82	10.00	0.00
						6508305.07	1820801.08	10.00	0.00
						6508300.87	1820808 75	10.00	0.00
			\vdash		-	6E0000E 40	10200015 57	10.00	0.00
						6508295.42	1820815.57	10.00	0.00
						6508288.88	1820821.37	10.00	0.00
						6508281.45	1820825.96	10.00	0.00
						6508273.34	1820829.20	10.00	0.00
						6508266 56	1820831 17	10.00	0.00
					_	0508200.50	1820831.17	10.00	0.00
						6508259.55	1820832.01	10.00	0.00
						6508252.50	1820831.71	10.00	0.00
						6508245.60	1820830.26	10.00	0.00
						6508239.01	1820827.71	10.00	0.00
						6508222.02	1020024 12	10.00	0.00
					_	6508232.55	1020024.13	10.00	0.00
						6508227.52	1820819.61	10.00	0.00
						6508222.91	1820814.26	10.00	0.00
						6508219.22	1820808.25	10.00	0.00
						6508216.56	1820801.71	10.00	0.00
						6508215.00	1820794 83	10.00	0.00
					_	0508215.00	1820794.83	10.00	0.00
						6508217.61	1820734.93	10.00	0.00
						6508220.26	1820706.87	10.00	0.00
						6508224.78	1820679.05	10.00	0.00
						6508231.15	1820651.60	10.00	0.00
						6508261.88	1820519 31	10.00	0.00
					-	6500201.00	1020315.51	10.00	0.00
					-	0508273.17	1820486.20	10.00	0.00
						6508286.59	1820453.91	10.00	0.00
						6508302.08	1820422.55	10.00	0.00
						6508319.59	1820392.27	10.00	0.00
						6508339 02	1820363 20	10.00	0.00
			\vdash		-	650000000	1020303.20	10.00	0.00
					-	0508360.31	1820335.45	10.00	0.00
						6508419.03	1820257.29	10.00	0.00
					L	6508478.54	1820179.72	10.00	0.00
						6508521.25	1820102.64	10.00	0.00
						6508586 36	1819972 43	10.00	0.00
			\vdash		-	6500603.30	1010046 45	10.00	0.00
			H		-	0506002.21	1019940.45	10.00	0.00
						6508620.21	1819921.91	10.00	0.00
					L	6508648.34	1819901.60	10.00	0.00
						6508832.37	1819827.47	10.00	0.00
CONVEYOR	CON01	30.00	r			6508953.16	1820389.80	30.00	0.00
		_ 5.00	H			6509767 70	1820400 75	20.00	0.00
			\vdash		-	0500/07.78	1020403.75	30.00	0.00
						0508627.96	1820463.52	30.00	0.00
CONVEYOR	CON02	30.00	r		L	6508767.78	1820409.75	30.00	0.00
						6508669.91	1820181.73	30.00	0.00
						6508863.20	1820167.02	30.00	0.00
	CONID3	30.00	r			6508786 95	1810077 01	30.00	0.00
SONVETON	201405	55.00	H		-	CEODE 20 40	101000101	20.00	0.00
					-	01.0256050	1820084.94	50.00	0.00
CONVEYOR	CON04	30.00	r			6508487.07	1820703.37	30.00	0.00
						6508626.89	1820647.44	30.00	0.00
						6508553.75	1820593.66	30.00	0.00
						6508419 31	1820558 17	30.00	0.00
			i						

Name	ID	ŀ	lei	ght			Coordinat	es	
		Begin	_	End		х	У	z	Ground
		(ft)		(ft)		(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)
BNSF	BNSF	10.00	r			6510278.34	1820043.67	10.00	0.00
						6508796.57	1820643.93	10.00	0.00
						6508752.10	1820652.69	10.00	0.00
						6508708.13	1820663.65	10.00	0.00
						6508664.75	1820676.81	10.00	0.00
						6508622.09	1820692.11	10.00	0.00
						6508529.78	1820729.55	10.00	0.00
						6508437.20	1820766.33	10.00	0.00
						6508389.77	1820797.85	10.00	0.00
						6508341.15	1820827.49	10.00	0.00
			_			6508341.13	1020027.45	10.00	0.00
						6508240 58	1020033.13	10.00	0.00
						6508240.58	1820880.91	10.00	0.00
						6508207.11	1820893.35	10.00	0.00
						6508172.91	1820903.62	10.00	0.00
						6508138.12	1820911.67	10.00	0.00
						6508102.89	1820917.46	10.00	0.00
						6508067.35	1820920.99	10.00	0.00
						6508031.66	1820922.23	10.00	0.00
						6507995.97	1820921.18	10.00	0.00
						6507960.42	1820917.84	10.00	0.00
			L		_	6507925.15	1820912.22	10.00	0.00
						6507890.32	1820904.35	10.00	0.00
						6507853.97	1820892.41	10.00	0.00
						6507818.50	1820878.06	10.00	0.00
						6507784.07	1820861.37	10.00	0.00
	l					6507750.84	1820842.40	10.00	0.00
						6507718.96	1820821.24	10.00	0.00
						6507688.56	1820797.99	10.00	0.00
						6507659.80	1820772.76	10.00	0.00
						6507632.80	1820745.65	10.00	0.00
						6507607.67	1820716 79	10.00	0.00
						6507607.07	1820710.73	10.00	0.00
			_			6507584.54	1820686.31	10.00	0.00
						6507563.50	1820654.35	10.00	0.00
						6507548.22	1820622.77	10.00	0.00
						6507535.25	1820590.16	10.00	0.00
						6507524.67	1820556.70	10.00	0.00
						6507516.53	1820522.57	10.00	0.00
						6507510.88	1820487.94	10.00	0.00
						6507507.74	1820452.99	10.00	0.00
						6507507.13	1820417.91	10.00	0.00
						6507509.05	1820382.87	10.00	0.00
						6507513.49	1820348.06	10.00	0.00
						6507520.44	1820313.67	10.00	0.00
						6507529.84	1820279.86	10.00	0.00
						6507541.66	1820246.83	10.00	0.00
						6507555.84	1820214.73	10.00	0.00
			-			6507572.29	1820183.73	10.00	0.00
						6507590.94	1820154.01	10.00	0.00
						6507611.68	1820125 70	10.00	0.00
			-			6507654 54	1820077 55	10.00	0.00
			-	├		6507600 10	1020077.35	10.00	0.00
			-			0507099.19	1010000 00	10.00	0.00
			-			0507702 5-	1010040.03	10.00	0.00
			-	├		050//93.55	1819943.20	10.00	0.00
			-	├		050/843.13	1819902.00	10.00	0.00
						6507894.23	1819862.68	10.00	0.00
						6507983.79	1819801.52	10.00	0.00
				⊢		6508072.03	1819738.48	10.00	0.00
						6508158.93	1819673.59	10.00	0.00
						6508244.44	1819606.89	10.00	0.00
						6508328.53	1819538.40	10.00	0.00
						6508411.16	1819468.15	10.00	0.00
					_	6508566.02	1819329.30	10.00	0.00
						6508719.67	1819189.10	10.00	0.00
						6508872.09	1819047.58	10.00	0.00
					_	6508949.51	1818959.55	10.00	0.00
						6509025.74	1818870.50	10.00	0.00
						6509051.92	1818844.57	10.00	0.00
	1					6509079.76	1818820.43	10.00	0.00
						6509109.13	1818798.18	10.00	0.00
						6509139.90	1818777.91	10.00	0.00
			-			6509171 95	1818759 72	10.00	0.00
			-			6509205 12	1818742 60	10.00	0.00
			-	├		65002203.12	1010700 07	10.00	0.00
			-			6500659 55	1010645 24	10.00	0.00
			-	├		6500000.00	1010045.24	10.00	0.00
			-	├		0509090.39	10100034.15	10.00	0.00
			-	⊢ –		0509/21.38	1818620.90	10.00	0.00
	1	I		ı		6509751.38	1818605.53	10.00	0.00

Name	ID	H	lei	ght		Coordinat	es	
		Begin		End	х	У	z	Ground
		(ft)		(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)
					6509780.24	1818588.12	10.00	0.00
					6509807.84	1818568.77	10.00	0.00
					6509834.03	1818547.55	10.00	0.00
					6509858.69	1818524.57	10.00	0.00
					6509881.70	1818499.94	10.00	0.00
					6509902.96	1818473.78	10.00	0.00
					6509922.36	1818446.21	10.00	0.00
					6509939.80	1818417.37	10.00	0.00
					6510037.48	1818227.58	10.00	0.00
					6510053.82	1818203.52	10.00	0.00
					6510072.09	1818180.90	10.00	0.00
					6510092.17	1818159.87	10.00	0.00
					6510113.93	1818140.58	10.00	0.00
					6510137.22	1818123.16	10.00	0.00
					6510161.86	1818107.73	10.00	0.00
					6510187.70	1818094.39	10.00	0.00
					6510214.56	1818083.24	10.00	0.00
					6510242.25	1818074.36	10.00	0.00
					6510270.58	1818067.81	10.00	0.00
					6511775.23	1817873.34	10.00	0.00

Area Source(s)

																_
Name	М.	ID	R	esult. PW	Ľ	Re	esult. PW	L''		Lw/L	i	Op	erating Ti	me	Height	
			Day	Evening	Night	Day	Evening	Night	Туре	Value	norm.	Day	Special	Night	(ft)	
			(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)			dB(A)	(min)	(min)	(min)		
AREASOURCE		STOCK01	111.2	111.2	111.2	74.5	74.5	74.5	Lw	111.2					30	r
AREASOURCE		STOCK02	111.2	111.2	111.2	71.5	71.5	71.5	Lw	111.2					30	r

Name	ID	Height					Coordinat	es	
		Begin		End		х	у	z	Ground
		(ft)		(ft)		(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)
AREASOURCE	STOCK01	30.00	r			6508990.96	1820483.42	30.00	0.00
						6509067.32	1820452.23	30.00	0.00
						6508837.16	1819882.19	30.00	0.00
						6508760.79	1819913.38	30.00	0.00
AREASOURCE	STOCK02	30.00	r			6508378.97	1820729.72	30.00	0.00
						6508783.38	1820559.78	30.00	0.00
						6508776.50	1820536.05	30.00	0.00
						6508767.21	1820513.15	30.00	0.00
						6508755.63	1820491.33	30.00	0.00
						6508741.86	1820470.81	30.00	0.00
						6508726.06	1820451.82	30.00	0.00
						6508708.38	1820434.55	30.00	0.00
						6508689.03	1820419.18	30.00	0.00
						6508668.20	1820405.89	30.00	0.00
						6508646.12	1820394.80	30.00	0.00
						6508623.01	1820386.05	30.00	0.00
						6508599.13	1820379.71	30.00	0.00
						6508574.72	1820375.87	30.00	0.00
						6508550.54	1820376.40	30.00	0.00
						6508526.53	1820379.38	30.00	0.00
						6508502.95	1820384.77	30.00	0.00
						6508480.04	1820392.51	30.00	0.00
						6508458.02	1820402.53	30.00	0.00
						6508437.13	1820414.72	30.00	0.00
						6508417.58	1820428.96	30.00	0.00
						6508399.56	1820445.10	30.00	0.00
						6508383.28	1820462.99	30.00	0.00
						6508369.82	1820485.49	30.00	0.00
						6508358.61	1820509.19	30.00	0.00
						6508349.76	1820533.87	30.00	0.00
						6508343.36	1820559.30	30.00	0.00
						6508339.46	1820585.23	30.00	0.00
						6508338.10	1820611.41	30.00	0.00
						6508343.30	1820637.33	30.00	0.00
						6508350.07	1820662.88	30.00	0.00
						6508358.39	1820687.97	30.00	0.00
						6508368.22	1820712.51	30.00	0.00

Barrier(s)

		<u> </u>	_											
Name	Sel.	М.	ID	Absc	orption	Z-Ext.	Canti	lever	Hei	ght		Coordinat	es	
				left	right		horz.	vert.	Begin	End	х	У	z	Ground
						(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)

APPENDIX 10.1:

TYPICAL CONSTRUCTION NOISE CALCULATIONS





15951 - Bell Terminal

CadnaA Noise Prediction Model: 15951-03 Construction.cna Date: 10.01.25 Analyst: B. Lawson

Calculation Configuration

Configura	tion
Parameter	Value
General	
Max. Error (dB)	0.00
Max. Search Radius (ft)	6561.70
Min. Dist Src to Rcvr	0.00
Partition	
Raster Factor	0.50
Max. Length of Section (ft)	3280.80
Min. Length of Section (ft)	3.30
Min. Length of Section (%)	0.00
Proj. Line Sources	On
Proj. Area Sources	On
Ref. Time	
Daytime Penalty (dB)	0.00
Recr. Time Penalty (dB)	5.00
Night-time Penalty (dB)	10.00
DTM	
Standard Height (m)	0.00
Model of Terrain	Triangulation
Reflection	
max. Order of Reflection	2
Search Radius Src	328.08
Search Radius Rcvr	328.08
Max. Distance Source - Rcvr	3280.84 3280.84
Min. Distance Rvcr - Reflector	3.28 3.28
Min. Distance Source - Reflector	0.33
Industrial (ISO 9613 (1996))	
Lateral Diffraction	some Obj
Obst. within Area Src do not shield	On
Screening	Incl. Ground Att. over Barrier
	Dz with limit (20/25)
Barrier Coefficients C1,2,3	3.0 20.0 0.0
Temperature (°F)	50
rel. Humidity (%)	70
Ground Absorption G	0.50
Wind Speed for Dir. (mph)	6.7
Roads (TNM)	
Railways (FTA/FRA)	
Aircraft (???)	
Strictly acc. to AzB	

Receiver Noise Levels

Name	м.	ID		Level Lr		Lir	nit. Val	ue		Land	Use	Height		Co	oordinates	
			Day	Night	CNEL	Day	Night	CNEL	Туре	Auto	Noise Type			Х	Y	Z
			(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)				(ft)		(ft)	(ft)	(ft)
RECEIVERS		R1	39.5	39.5	46.1	55.0	45.0	0.0				5.00	r	6509964.67	1818483.79	5.00
RECEIVERS		R2	27.5	27.5	34.2	55.0	45.0	0.0				5.00	r	6510095.79	1818244.51	5.00
RECEIVERS		R3	38.7	38.7	45.3	55.0	45.0	0.0				5.00	r	6510569.61	1818134.08	5.00
RECEIVERS		R4	21.6	21.6	28.3	55.0	45.0	0.0				5.00	r	6510720.35	1818088.80	5.00
RECEIVERS		R5	48.1	48.1	54.8	55.0	45.0	0.0				5.00	r	6507647.87	1818767.61	5.00
RECEIVERS		R6	48.7	48.7	55.4	55.0	45.0	0.0				5.00	r	6507169.48	1819375.66	5.00

Area Source(s)

Name	М.	ID	R	esult. PW	'L	Re	esult. PW	L''		Lw/L	i	Op	erating Ti	me	Height	
			Day	Evening	Night	Day	Evening	Night	Туре	Value	norm.	Day	Special	Night	(ft)	
			(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)			dB(A)	(min)	(min)	(min)		
SITEBOUNDARY		CONSTRUCTION	116.0	116.0	116.0	68.4	68.4	68.4	Lw	116					8	а

Name	ID	н	ei	ght		Coordinat	es	
		Begin		End	х	у	z	Ground
		(ft)		(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)
SITEBOUNDARY	CONSTRUCTION	8.00	а		6508191.33	1820891.78	8.00	0.00
					6509112.18	1820513.33	8.00	0.00
					6508738.87	1819598.30	8.00	0.00
					6508626.85	1819711.08	8.00	0.00
					6508543.25	1819808.34	8.00	0.00
					6508252.19	1820395.06	8.00	0.00
					6508235.67	1820470.93	8.00	0.00
					6508221.23	1820547.21	8.00	0.00
					6508208.87	1820623.87	8.00	0.00

Name	ID	н	eight		Coordinat	es	
		Begin	End	x	у	z	Ground
		(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)
				6508198.60	1820700.83	8.00	0.00
				6508190.43	1820778.04	8.00	0.00

Barrier(s)

Name	Sel.	M.	ID	Abso	rption	Z-Ext.	Canti	ilever	Hei	ght		Coordinat	es	
				left	right		horz.	vert.	Begin End		х	у	z	Ground
						(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)

APPENDIX 10.2:

PEAK HOUR CONSTRUCTION NOISE CALCULATIONS



16126 - Antelope Valley Commerce Center CadnaA Noise Prediction Model: 16126-04_ConstructionPeak.cna

CadnaA Noise Prediction Model: 16126-04_ConstructionPeak.cna Date: 20.03.25 Analyst: B. Lawson

Calculation Configuration

Configuration										
Parameter	Value									
General										
Max. Error (dB)	0.00									
Max. Search Radius (ft)	10000.00									
Min. Dist Src to Rcvr	0.00									
Partition										
Raster Factor	0.50									
Max. Length of Section (ft)	3280.80									
Min. Length of Section (ft)	3.30									
Min. Length of Section (%)	0.00									
Proj. Line Sources	On									
Proj. Area Sources	On									
Ref. Time										
Daytime Penalty (dB)	0.00									
Recr. Time Penalty (dB)	5.00									
Night-time Penalty (dB)	10.00									
DTM										
Standard Height (m)	0.00									
Model of Terrain	Triangulation									
Reflection										
max. Order of Reflection	2									
Search Radius Src	328.08									
Search Radius Rcvr	328.08									
Max. Distance Source - Rcvr	3280.84 3280.84									
Min. Distance Rvcr - Reflector	3.28 3.28									
Min. Distance Source - Reflector	0.33									
Industrial (ISO 9613 (1996))										
Lateral Diffraction	some Obj									
Obst. within Area Src do not shield	On									
Screening	Incl. Ground Att. over Barrier									
	Dz with limit (20/25)									
Barrier Coefficients C1,2,3	3.0 20.0 0.0									
Temperature (°F)	50									
rel. Humidity (%)	70									
Ground Absorption G	0.50									
Wind Speed for Dir. (mph)	6.7									
Roads (TNM)										
Railways (FTA/FRA)										
Aircraft (???)										
Strictly acc. to AzB										

Receiver Noise Levels

Name	М.	ID		Level Lr		Lir	mit. Val	ue		Lanc	Use	Height	:	Co	oordinates	
			Day	Night	CNEL	Day	Night	CNEL	Type	Auto	Noise Type			Х	Y	Z
			(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)				(ft)		(ft)	(ft)	(ft)
RECEIVERS		R1	58.3	-48.7	55.3	55.0	45.0	0.0				5.00	а	5985773.95	2589709.21	5.00
RECEIVERS		R2	39.5	-67.2	36.5	55.0	45.0	0.0				5.00	а	5982996.39	2577013.90	5.00
RECEIVERS		R3	39.8	-67.0	36.8	55.0	45.0	0.0				5.00	а	5989789.47	2574189.74	5.00
RECEIVERS		R4	53.3	-53.6	50.3	55.0	45.0	0.0				5.00	а	5993594.59	2582061.48	5.00
RECEIVERS		R5	53.8	-53.2	50.8	55.0	45.0	0.0				5.00	а	5992218.79	2590627.74	5.00
RECEIVERS		R6	40.0	-66.8	37.0	55.0	45.0	0.0				5.00	а	5993066.38	2601039.78	5.00

Point Source(s)

Name	М.	ID	R	esult. PW	/L		Lw/L	i	Op	erating Ti	ime	Heigh	t	C	oordinates	
			Day	Evening	Night	Туре	Value	norm.	Day	Special	Night			Х	Y	Z
			(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)			dB(A)	(min)	(min)	(min)	(ft)		(ft)	(ft)	(ft)
CONS_PEAK		0	118.4	118.4	118.4	Lw	118.4					8.00	а	5985918.77	2589704.57	0.00
CONS_PEAK		0	118.4	118.4	118.4	Lw	118.4					8.00	а	5987860.06	2582338.89	0.00
CONS_PEAK		0	118.4	118.4	118.4	Lw	118.4					8.00	а	5989816.59	2582311.72	0.00
CONS_PEAK		0	118.4	118.4	118.4	Lw	118.4					8.00	а	5992643.16	2582263.36	0.00
CONS_PEAK		0	118.4	118.4	118.4	Lw	118.4					8.00	а	5991199.89	2590641.68	0.00
CONS_PEAK		0	118.4	118.4	118.4	Lw	118.4					8.00	а	5991063.42	2595450.76	0.00



APPENDIX 10.3:

NIGHTTIME CONCRETE POUR NOISE MODEL INPUTS



16126 - Antelope Valley Commerce Center

CadnaA Noise Prediction Model: 16126-02_Pour.cna Date: 30.12.24 Analyst: B. Lawson

Calculation Configuration

Configuration											
Parameter	Value										
General											
Max. Error (dB)	0.00										
Max. Search Radius (ft)	10000.00										
Min. Dist Src to Rcvr	0.00										
Partition											
Raster Factor	0.50										
Max. Length of Section (ft)	3280.80										
Min. Length of Section (ft)	3.30										
Min. Length of Section (%)	0.00										
Proj. Line Sources	On										
Proj. Area Sources	On										
Ref. Time											
Daytime Penalty (dB)	0.00										
Recr. Time Penalty (dB)	5.00										
Night-time Penalty (dB)	10.00										
DTM											
Standard Height (m)	0.00										
Model of Terrain	Triangulation										
Reflection											
max. Order of Reflection	2										
Search Radius Src	328.08										
Search Radius Rcvr	328.08										
Max. Distance Source - Rcvr	3280.84 3280.84										
Min. Distance Rvcr - Reflector	3.28 3.28										
Min. Distance Source - Reflector	0.33										
Industrial (ISO 9613 (1996))											
Lateral Diffraction	some Obj										
Obst. within Area Src do not shield	On										
Screening	Incl. Ground Att. over Barrier										
	Dz with limit (20/25)										
Barrier Coefficients C1,2,3	3.0 20.0 0.0										
Temperature (°F)	50										
rel. Humidity (%)	70										
Ground Absorption G	0.50										
Wind Speed for Dir. (mph)	6.7										
Roads (TNM)											
Railways (FTA/FRA)											
Aircraft (???)											
Strictly acc. to AzB											

Receiver Noise Levels

Name	м.	ID		Level Lr		Lir	nit. Val	ue		Land	Use	Height		Coordinates			
			Day	Night	CNEL	Day	Night	CNEL	Туре	Auto	Noise Type			Х	Y	Z	
			(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)				(ft)		(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	
RECEIVERS		R1	40.2	-66.6	37.2	55.0	45.0	0.0				5.00	а	5985773.95	2589709.21	5.00	
RECEIVERS		R2	21.4	-79.1	18.4	55.0	45.0	0.0				5.00	а	5982996.39	2577013.90	5.00	
RECEIVERS		R3	21.7	-79.0	18.7	55.0	45.0	0.0				5.00	а	5989789.47	2574189.74	5.00	
RECEIVERS		R4	35.2	-71.2	32.2	55.0	45.0	0.0				5.00	а	5993594.59	2582061.48	5.00	
RECEIVERS		R5	35.7	-70.8	32.7	55.0	45.0	0.0				5.00	а	5992218.79	2590627.74	5.00	
RECEIVERS		R6	21.9	-79.0	18.9	55.0	45.0	0.0				5.00	a	5993066.38	2601039.78	5.00	

Area Source(s)

Name	М.	ID	R	esult. PW	′L	Re	esult. PW	L''		Lw / Li		Op	Height	:		
			Day	Evening	Night	Day	Evening	Night	Type	Value	norm.	Day	Special	Night	(ft)	
			(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)			dB(A)	(min)	(min)	(min)		
SITEBOUNDARY		CONSTRUCTION 24	107.3	0.3	0.3	44.8	-62.2	-62.2	PWL-Pt	100.3					8	а
SITEBOUNDARY		CONSTRUCTION 678	107.3	0.3	0.3	46.8	-60.2	-60.2	PWL-Pt	100.3					8	а

Name	ID	Height				Coordinates					
		Begin		End		х	у	z	Ground		
		(ft)		(ft)		(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)		
SITEBOUNDARY	CONSTRUCTION 24	8.00	a			5988606.69	2595527.66	8.00	0.00		
						5990994.13	2595512.10	8.00	0.00		
						5991110.78	2595403.23	8.00	0.00		
						5991196.32	2594617.79	8.00	0.00		
						5991250.76	2594625.56	8.00	0.00		
						5991274.09	2594384.48	8.00	0.00		
						5991204.10	2590301.72	8.00	0.00		
						5989882.06	2590317.28	8.00	0.00		

Name	ID	Height				Coordinates						
		Begin	Begin		gin End			х	У	z	Ground	
		(ft)		(ft)		(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)			
						5989850.96	2588940.80	8.00	0.00			
						5985884.85	2588987.46	8.00	0.00			
						5985915.95	2590247.29	8.00	0.00			
						5988536.70	2590223.96	8.00	0.00			
SITEBOUNDARY	CONSTRUCTION 678	8.00	а			5987829.02	2584928.03	8.00	0.00			
						5992331.72	2584881.37	8.00	0.00			
						5992658.34	2582252.85	8.00	0.00			
						5987844.57	2582330.62	8.00	0.00			