

Technical Memorandum

To: Ted Beckwith, Director of Engineering

From: Eliza Laws, Senior Environmental Analyst
Noemi Avila, Assistant Environmental Analyst

Date: February 7, 2024

Re: Air Quality/Greenhouse Gas Analysis for the Rubidoux Community Services District (RCSD) Well 25 Project

The following air quality assessment was prepared to evaluate whether the expected criteria air pollutant emissions generated as a result of construction and operation of the proposed Project would cause exceedances of the South Coast Air Quality Management District's (SCAQMD) thresholds for air quality in the Project area. The greenhouse gas (GHG) assessment was prepared to evaluate whether the Project would generate a significant amount of GHG emissions as a result of construction and operation of the proposed Project would exceed the SCAQMD draft screening significance thresholds. This assessment was conducted within the context of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA, California Public Resources Code Sections 21000 *et seq.*). The methodology follows the *CEQA Air Quality Handbook* prepared by the SCAQMD for quantification of emissions and evaluation of potential impacts to air resources. As recommended by SCAQMD staff, the **California Emissions Estimator Model**® version 2022.1 (CalEEMod) was used to quantify Project-related emissions.

The analysis herein evaluates the Well 25 Project ("Project") located near Mission Boulevard south of State Route 60 (SR-60), west of the Santa Ana River, in the City of Jurupa Valley, Riverside County. The Project consists of the construction and operation of a new groundwater well with a target production capacity of 1,500 gallons per minute (GPM) to replace an existing well, water piping, and water treatment facility. The well will be located on a 1-acre site near the intersection of Mission Boulevard and Daly Avenue and includes a 400 square foot building to house the well and appurtenances. Well 25 would be equipped with a 75 to 150 horsepower (hp) electric motor. Approximately 2,640 linear feet of raw water pipeline is proposed along Mission Boulevard, Daly Avenue, and 34th Street. The proposed water treatment facility will be an expansion of two existing facilities: the Leland J Thompson Water Treatment Facility (referred to as the Thompson Facility) and the La Verne Mahnke Manganese Treatment Facility (referred to as the Mahnke Facility). The Thompson Facility is located at 5245 34th Street and the Mahnke Facility is located at the southwest corner of 34th Street/Crestmore Road. The Project site also includes a vacant parcel identified as the Potential Thompson Expansion Site. The Potential Thompson Expansion Site is an approximately 1.4-acre vacant parcel located east of the Thompson Facility.

▪ Regional Significance Thresholds

The thresholds contained in the *SCAQMD CEQA Air Quality Handbook*¹ (SCAQMD 1993) and posted in a supplemental table as mass daily thresholds on SCAQMD's website² are considered regional thresholds and are shown in **Table 1 – SCAQMD CEQA Daily Regional Significance Thresholds**, below. These regional thresholds were developed based on the SCAQMD's treatment of a major stationary source.

Table 1 – SCAQMD CEQA Daily Regional Significance Thresholds

Emission Threshold	Units	VOC	NO _x	CO	SO _x	PM-10	PM-2.5
Construction	lbs/day	75	100	550	150	150	55
Operation	lbs/day	55	55	550	150	150	55

Air quality impacts can be described in a short- and long-term perspective. Short-term impacts occur during site grading and Project construction and consist of fugitive dust and other particulate matter, as well as exhaust emissions generated by construction-related vehicles. Long-term air quality impacts occur once the Project is in operation. Operational emissions sources are limited because the well pumps are electric. The primary source of operational emissions is the routine visits by vehicles driven by maintenance personnel and are considered negligible.

The Project will be required to comply with existing SCAQMD rules for the reduction of fugitive dust emissions. SCAQMD Rule 403 establishes these procedures. Compliance with this rule is achieved through application of standard best management practices in construction and operation activities, such as the application of water or chemical stabilizers to disturbed soils, reducing haul road dust by application of water, covering haul vehicles, restricting vehicle speeds on unpaved roads to 15 mph, sweeping loose dirt from paved site access roadways, cessation of construction activity when winds exceed 25 mph and establishing a permanent, stabilizing ground cover on finished sites. In addition, projects that disturb 50 or more acres or more of soil, or move 5,000 cubic yards of materials per day are required to submit a Fugitive Dust Control Plan or a Large Operation Notification Form to SCAQMD. Based on the size of this Project's disturbance area (approximately 3.19 acres total), a Fugitive Dust Control Plan or a Large Operation Notification Form would not be required.

Short-Term Analysis

Short-term emissions from Project construction were evaluated using the CalEEMod program. The estimated construction period for the proposed Project is approximately 14 months, as identified below. The default parameters within CalEEMod were used, except as identified below, and these default values generally reflect a worst-case scenario, which means that Project emissions are expected to be equal to or less than the estimated emissions. In addition to the default values used (shown in the CalEEMod output Attachment to this memo), assumptions for the Project relevant to model inputs for short-term construction emission estimates used are:

- Construction is anticipated to begin no sooner than July 2024. The modeled construction schedule for the Project is shown below:

¹ South Coast Air Quality Management District, *CEQA Air Quality Handbook*, November 1993. (Available at SCAQMD.)

² [Air Quality Analysis Handbook \(aqmd.gov\)](https://www.scaqmd.gov/Air-Quality-Analysis-Handbook)

Construction Activity	Start Date	End Date	Total Working Days
Well Drilling	July 1, 2024	July 31, 2024	23 days
Well Testing	August 1, 2024	August 31, 2024	22 days
Well Installation/Construction	September 1, 2024	August 31, 2025	260 days
Well Site Architectural Coating	August 25, 2025	August 31, 2025	5 days
Well Site Paving	August 25, 2025	August 31, 2025	5 days
Water Treatment Construction	September 1, 2024	May 31, 2025	195 days
Waterline Trenching	September 1, 2024	October 18, 2024	35 days
Waterline Repaving	October 19, 2024	October 25, 2024	5 days

- The off-road equipment to be used for each activity during the construction of the Project is shown below and based on engineering estimates. The engine tier for each piece of equipment is calculated using CalEEMod defaults for the statewide fleet average emissions factors:

Construction Activity	Off-Road Equipment	Unit Amount	Hours per Days
Well Drilling	Bore/Drill Rig ¹	1	24
	Air Compressor	1	8
Well Testing	Other Const Equipment ² (temporary diesel pump engine)	1	24
	Air Compressor	1	8
Well Construction/Grading	Crane	1	8
	Grader	1	8
	Rubber Tired Dozer	1	8
	Welder	1	8
	Tractor/Loader/Backhoe	2	8
Well Site Paving	Pavers	1	8
	Paving Equipment	1	8
	Roller	1	8
Well Site Architectural Coating	Air Compressor	1	8
Water Treatment Construction	Crane	1	8
	Tractor/Loader/Backhoe	1	8
Waterline Trenching	Excavator	1	8
	Rubber Tired Loader	1	8
	Tractor/Loader/Backhoe	2	8
Waterline Re-Pavement	Pavers	1	8
	Paving Equipment	1	8
	Roller	1	8

Notes:¹ Bore/Drill Rig is only anticipated to be used for one month but modeled conservatively for the entire duration of the construction activity.

² The Other Construction Equipment represents a 200 hp diesel pump.

- To evaluate Project compliance with SCAQMD Rule 403 for fugitive dust control, the Project utilized the option of watering the Project site three times daily which achieves a control efficiency of 74 percent for PM-10 and PM-2.5 emissions. Two (2) one-way vendor trips per day were added to the well drilling, well construction and paving activities to account for water truck trips.
- Four (4) one-way vendor trips per day added to each construction activity except for well drilling, well testing and well site architectural coating for material delivery/hauling.

- The waterline length is approximately 2,640 LF and assumed a disturbance width of 12 feet. The entire waterline disturbance area of approximately 0.73 acres is assumed to be re-paved.
- The approximately 1.40-acre water treatment site is conservatively assumed to be paved with asphalt.
- The approximately 1.06-acre well site includes a 400 square foot building and assumes approximately 0.25 acres are used as a basin and the remaining 0.81 acres will be paved.
- The CalEEMod default for worker trips for well testing, well site architectural coating and water treatment construction were zero because typical building construction is not proposed. Therefore, worker trips for the well testing, well site architectural coating and water treatment construction were estimated at a rate of 1.25 workers per piece of off-road equipment, which is the CalEEMod default rate for other construction activities (i.e., grading) contained in the User Guide Appendix C.

The results of this analysis are summarized below in **Table 2**.

Table 2 – Unmitigated Estimated Daily Construction Emissions

Activity	Peak Daily Emissions (lb/day)					
	VOC	NO _x	CO	SO ₂	PM-10	PM-2.5
SCAQMD Daily Thresholds	75	100	550	150	150	55
2024	4.47	32.70	36.40	0.07	3.81	2.33
Well 25	2.35	21.90	22.00	0.04	3.06	1.84
Water Treatment Facilities ¹	2.12	10.80	14.40	0.03	0.75	0.49
2025	7.65	29.80	33.78	0.06	3.64	2.18
Well 25	7.14	24.80	28.30	0.05	3.33	1.97
Water Treatment Facilities ¹	0.51	5.00	5.48	0.01	0.31	0.21
Maximum²	7.65	32.70	36.40	0.07	3.81	2.33
Exceeds Threshold?	No	No	No	No	No	No

Notes: ¹ Water Treatment Facilities emissions include the maximum emissions from construction of either the water treatment construction or the water pipeline.

² To be conservative, the maximum emissions are the greater of either construction in 2024 or 2025 and the emissions for each year are the sum of both well 25 and water treatment facilities because some of these activities overlap in each year. See the detailed model output reports attached herewith. Numbers are the maximum of summer or winter emissions each year. Emissions may not match due to rounding within the model.

As shown in **Table 2**, above, the emissions from construction of the Project are below the SCAQMD daily construction thresholds for all the criteria pollutants.

Long-Term Analysis

Long-term air quality impacts occur once the Project is in operation. Operational emissions refer to a full range of activities that can or may generate pollutant emissions when a project is functioning in its intended use, and typically include vehicle emissions, area source emissions that include stationary combustion of natural gas used for space and water heating, landscape maintenance, use of consumer products, and energy use.

Operational emissions related to the new well and water treatment facilities would be primarily from the electric well pump and from the routine visits by vehicles driven by maintenance personnel and are considered negligible because this Project site is in close proximity to other existing facilities. As such, the proposed Project is not anticipated to increase the frequency of ongoing maintenance routines.

▪ Localized Significance Threshold Analysis

Background

As part of the SCAQMD's environmental justice program, attention has been focused on localized effects of air quality. Staff at SCAQMD has developed localized significance threshold (LST) methodology³ that can be used by public agencies to determine whether or not a project may generate significant adverse localized air quality impacts (both short- and long-term). LSTs represent the maximum emissions from a project that will not cause or contribute to an exceedance of the state ambient air quality standard, and are developed based on the ambient concentrations of that pollutant for each source receptor area (SRA). The Project is located in SRA 23.

Short-Term Analysis

According to the LST methodology, only on-site emissions need to be analyzed. Emissions associated with vendor and worker trips are mobile source emissions that occur off site. The emissions analyzed under the LST methodology are NO₂, CO, PM-10, and PM-2.5. SCAQMD has provided LST lookup tables⁴ to allow users to readily determine if the daily emissions for proposed construction or operational activities could result in significant localized air quality impacts for projects five acres or smaller. The LST tables can be used as a screening tool to determine if dispersion modeling would be necessary. If project-related emissions are below the LST table emissions, no further analysis is necessary.

The SCAQMD's Fact Sheet for Applying CalEEMod to Localized Significance Thresholds is used to determine the maximum site acreage that is actively disturbed.⁵ Based on this SCAQMD guidance, the Project will disturb approximately one acres per day during grading. Therefore, the one-acre LST was used to compare the on-site emissions estimated by CalEEMod.

The LST thresholds are estimated using the maximum daily disturbed area (in acres) and the distance of the Project to the nearest sensitive receptors (in meters). The nearest sensitive receptors are residential properties adjacent to the southwest boundary of the Well 25 site, along the proposed water pipeline alignment, and the water treatment facility sites. According to LST methodology, projects with boundaries closer than 25 meters to the nearest receptor should use the LSTs for receptors located at 25 meters. Therefore, a receptor distance of 25 meters (85 feet) was used to ensure a conservative analysis. The results are summarized below **Table 3**.

³ South Coast Air Quality Management District, *Final Localized Significance Threshold Methodology*, Revised July 2008. (Available at <http://www.aqmd.gov/home/regulations/ceqa/air-quality-analysis-handbook/localized-significance-thresholds>, accessed February 2024.)

⁴ <http://www.aqmd.gov/home/regulations/ceqa/air-quality-analysis-handbook/localized-significance-thresholds>

⁵ <http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/ceqa/handbook/localized-significance-thresholds/caleemod-guidance.pdf?sfvrsn=2>

Table 3 –Unmitigated LST Results for Daily Construction Emissions

Pollutant	Peak Daily Emissions (lb/day)			
	NO _x	CO	PM-10	PM-2.5
LST for 1-acre at 25 meters	118	602	4	3
Well Drilling (2024)	5.50	8.72	0.20	0.19
Well Testing (2024)	12.00	11.60	0.47	0.44
Well Installation/Construction (2024)	21.60	20.70	2.81	1.78
Well Installation/Construction (2025)	19.30	19.80	2.68	1.67
Well Site Architectural Coating (2025)	1.18	1.52	0.04	0.03
Well Site Paving (2025)	3.73	4.99	0.17	0.16
Water Treatment Construction (2024)	5.20	5.07	0.21	0.20
Water Treatment Construction (2025)	4.76	5.03	0.19	0.18
Waterline Trenching (2024)	5.06	7.98	0.23	0.21
Waterline Repaving (2024)	3.91	5.01	0.19	0.18
Maximum¹	31.86	33.75	3.25	2.19
Exceeds Threshold?	No	No	No	No

Note: ¹ Maximum emissions are greater of either: 1) well drilling alone; 2) well testing alone; 3) the sum of well construction, water treatment construction, and waterline trenching in 2024; 4) the sum of well construction, water treatment construction and waterline repaving in 2024; 5) the sum of well construction and water treatment construction in 2025; or 6) the sum of well construction, well site architectural coating, and well site paving in 2025 since these activities overlap. Maximum emissions are shown in bold.

As shown in **Table 3**, emissions from construction of the Project are below the most conservative LST established by SCAQMD.

Long-Term Analysis

The Project involves construction of new well site, the installation of water pipeline and construction of additional water treatment facilities. The long-term emissions from the Project, as discussed previously, are primarily from the pump and in the form of mobile source emissions, with no stationary sources of emissions present. The new pumps are electric powered. The well site will also have a diesel-powered emergency generator. According to the LST methodology, LSTs only apply to the operational phase if a project includes stationary sources or on-site mobile equipment generating on-site emissions. Because the emergency generator will only be used during emergency power outages and routine testing, emissions would be negligible. The RCSD will be required to obtain an SCAQMD permit to install and operate the emergency generator. The SCAQMD permitting process would ensure that the Project meets regulatory requirements through the application review process and by placing specific operating conditions on the permit such as operating hour limits. As such, no further analysis of the emergency generator was prepared.

Greenhouse Gas Analysis

Greenhouse gases (GHG) are not presented in lbs/day like criteria pollutants; they are typically evaluated on an annual basis using the metric system. Several agencies, at various levels, have proposed draft GHG significance thresholds for use in CEQA documents. One of those agencies is the SCAQMD, which was working on GHG thresholds for development projects. In December 2008, the SCAQMD adopted a threshold of 10,000 metric tonnes per year of carbon dioxide equivalents (MTCO₂E/yr) for stationary source projects where SCAQMD is the lead agency. The most recent draft proposal was in September 2010⁶ and included screening significance thresholds for residential, commercial, and mixed-use projects at 3,500, 1,400, and 3,000 MTCO₂E/yr, respectively. Alternatively, a lead agency has the option to use

⁶ [http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/ceqa/handbook/greenhouse-gases-\(ghg\)-ceqa-significance-thresholds/year-2008-2009/ghg-meeting-15/ghg-meeting-15-main-presentation.pdf?sfvrsn=2](http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/ceqa/handbook/greenhouse-gases-(ghg)-ceqa-significance-thresholds/year-2008-2009/ghg-meeting-15/ghg-meeting-15-main-presentation.pdf?sfvrsn=2)

3,000 MTCO₂E/yr as a threshold for all non-industrial projects. Although both options are recommended by SCAQMD, a lead agency is advised to use only one option and to use it consistently. The SCAQMD significance thresholds also evaluate construction emissions by amortizing them over an expected project life of 30 years.

Short-Term Analysis

Construction-Related Emissions

The CalEEMod model calculates GHG emissions from fuel usage by construction equipment and construction-related activities, like construction worker trips, for the Project. CalEEMod also calculates the indirect GHG emissions related to electricity consumption (CalEEMod Version 2022.1 User's Guide, p. 2). The CalEEMod output results for construction-related GHG emissions provide for CO₂, methane (CH₄), nitrous oxide (N₂O), refrigerants (R), and CO₂E⁷ as shown on **Table 4**.

Table 4 – Project Construction Equipment GHG Emissions

Year	Total CO ₂	Metric Tons per year (MT/yr)			
		Total CH ₄	Total N ₂ O	Total R	Total CO ₂ E
2024	308.50	0.01	0.01	0.05	310.30
2025	400.90	0.01	0.01	0.07	403.50
Total	709.40	0.02	0.02	0.12	713.80
Amortized¹					23.79

Note: ¹Construction emissions were amortized over a 30-year period, as recommended by SCAQMD.

Results indicate that an estimated 713.80 MTCO₂E will occur from Project construction equipment over the course of the estimated approximately 14-month construction period, which is approximately 23.79 MTCO₂E amortized for a project lifetime of 30 years.

Long-Term Analysis

Energy-Related Emissions

GHG emissions from the operation of the electric pumps for the proposed well were calculated outside of CalEEMod using the estimated annual electricity consumption from the new well and the 2025 Southern California Edison (SCE) carbon intensity data from CalEEMod (351 pounds of CO₂E per megawatt-hour (MWh)). The proposed Project will operate a pump on the Well site. The total energy consumption is estimated to be approximately 981 MWh per year.⁸ Therefore, the estimated GHG emissions from operation of the proposed Project will be approximately 156.03 MTCO₂E per year. There will be limited lighting on the Project site. However, the GHG emissions from electricity usage will be negligible.

Due to the estimated amount of emissions from Project construction and pump electricity usage during operations as well as the nominal emissions from routine maintenance, site lighting and electricity use, the proposed Project will not generate a substantial amount of GHG emissions.

■ Conclusion

The conclusion of this analysis indicates that construction of the proposed Project will not exceed criteria pollutant thresholds established by SCAQMD on a regional or localized level. The Project will also not generate a substantial amount of GHG emissions. No mitigation is required.

Should you have any questions, please contact me at (951) 686-1070.

⁷ CO₂E is the sum of CO₂ emissions estimated plus the sum of CH₄, N₂O and refrigerant emissions estimated multiplied by their respective global warming potential (GWP).

⁸ 981 MWh per year is an engineering estimate.

CalEEMod Output Files

RCSD Well 25 Detailed Report

Table of Contents

- 1. Basic Project Information
 - 1.1. Basic Project Information
 - 1.2. Land Use Types
 - 1.3. User-Selected Emission Reduction Measures by Emissions Sector
- 2. Emissions Summary
 - 2.1. Construction Emissions Compared Against Thresholds
 - 2.2. Construction Emissions by Year, Unmitigated
- 3. Construction Emissions Details
 - 3.1. Well Installation/Construction (2024) - Unmitigated
 - 3.3. Well Installation/Construction (2025) - Unmitigated
 - 3.5. Well Testing (2024) - Unmitigated
 - 3.7. Paving (2025) - Unmitigated
 - 3.9. Architectural Coating (2025) - Unmitigated
 - 3.11. Well Drilling (2024) - Unmitigated

4. Operations Emissions Details

4.10. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type

4.10.1. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type - Unmitigated

4.10.2. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type - Unmitigated

4.10.3. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species - Unmitigated

5. Activity Data

5.1. Construction Schedule

5.2. Off-Road Equipment

5.2.1. Unmitigated

5.3. Construction Vehicles

5.3.1. Unmitigated

5.4. Vehicles

5.4.1. Construction Vehicle Control Strategies

5.5. Architectural Coatings

5.6. Dust Mitigation

5.6.1. Construction Earthmoving Activities

5.6.2. Construction Earthmoving Control Strategies

5.7. Construction Paving

5.8. Construction Electricity Consumption and Emissions Factors

5.18. Vegetation

5.18.1. Land Use Change

5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

5.18.1. Biomass Cover Type

5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

5.18.2. Sequestration

5.18.2.1. Unmitigated

6. Climate Risk Detailed Report

6.1. Climate Risk Summary

6.2. Initial Climate Risk Scores

6.3. Adjusted Climate Risk Scores

6.4. Climate Risk Reduction Measures

7. Health and Equity Details

7.1. CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Scores

7.2. Healthy Places Index Scores

7.3. Overall Health & Equity Scores

7.4. Health & Equity Measures

7.5. Evaluation Scorecard

7.6. Health & Equity Custom Measures

8. User Changes to Default Data

1. Basic Project Information

1.1. Basic Project Information

Data Field	Value
Project Name	RCSD Well 25
Construction Start Date	7/1/2024
Lead Agency	—
Land Use Scale	Project/site
Analysis Level for Defaults	County
Windspeed (m/s)	2.20
Precipitation (days)	14.2
Location	33.99277372504372, -117.39905362656276
County	Riverside-South Coast
City	Jurupa Valley
Air District	South Coast AQMD
Air Basin	South Coast
TAZ	5427
EDFZ	11
Electric Utility	Southern California Edison
Gas Utility	Southern California Gas
App Version	2022.1.1.21

1.2. Land Use Types

Land Use Subtype	Size	Unit	Lot Acreage	Building Area (sq ft)	Landscape Area (sq ft)	Special Landscape Area (sq ft)	Population	Description
Other Asphalt Surfaces	35.1	1000sqft	0.81	0.00	—	—	—	—

Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	10.9	1000sqft	0.25	0.00	—	—	—	—
----------------------------	------	----------	------	------	---	---	---	---

1.3. User-Selected Emission Reduction Measures by Emissions Sector

No measures selected

2. Emissions Summary

2.1. Construction Emissions Compared Against Thresholds

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Un/Mit.	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	3.33	7.14	24.8	28.3	0.05	1.06	2.27	3.33	0.98	1.00	1.97	—	5,378	5,378	0.21	0.11	2.34	5,417
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	2.79	2.35	21.9	21.7	0.04	0.97	2.09	3.06	0.89	0.95	1.84	—	4,109	4,109	0.16	0.07	0.04	4,133
Average Daily (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	1.24	1.10	9.41	9.97	0.02	0.41	0.99	1.40	0.37	0.45	0.83	—	1,969	1,969	0.08	0.03	0.27	1,981
Annual (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	0.23	0.20	1.72	1.82	< 0.005	0.07	0.18	0.26	0.07	0.08	0.15	—	326	326	0.01	0.01	0.05	328

2.2. Construction Emissions by Year, Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Year	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
------	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	-------	-------	-------	--------	--------	--------	------	-------	------	-----	-----	---	------

Daily - Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2024	2.80	2.35	21.8	22.0	0.04	0.97	2.09	3.06	0.89	0.95	1.84	—	4,369	4,369	0.18	0.07	1.38	4,385
2025	3.33	7.14	24.8	28.3	0.05	1.06	2.27	3.33	0.98	1.00	1.97	—	5,378	5,378	0.21	0.11	2.34	5,417
Daily - Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2024	2.79	2.35	21.9	21.7	0.04	0.97	2.09	3.06	0.89	0.95	1.84	—	4,109	4,109	0.16	0.07	0.04	4,133
2025	2.58	2.16	19.6	20.7	0.04	0.85	2.09	2.93	0.78	0.95	1.73	—	4,103	4,103	0.16	0.07	0.03	4,127
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2024	0.84	0.70	6.30	6.49	0.01	0.27	0.51	0.78	0.25	0.23	0.48	—	1,336	1,336	0.05	0.02	0.16	1,343
2025	1.24	1.10	9.41	9.97	0.02	0.41	0.99	1.40	0.37	0.45	0.83	—	1,969	1,969	0.08	0.03	0.27	1,981
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2024	0.15	0.13	1.15	1.18	< 0.005	0.05	0.09	0.14	0.05	0.04	0.09	—	221	221	0.01	< 0.005	0.03	222
2025	0.23	0.20	1.72	1.82	< 0.005	0.07	0.18	0.26	0.07	0.08	0.15	—	326	326	0.01	0.01	0.05	328

3. Construction Emissions Details

3.1. Well Installation/Construction (2024) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	2.70	2.27	21.6	20.7	0.04	0.97	—	0.97	0.89	—	0.89	—	3,724	3,724	0.15	0.03	—	3,737

Dust From Material Movement	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.84	1.84	—	0.89	0.89	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	2.70	2.27	21.6	20.7	0.04	0.97	—	0.97	0.89	—	0.89	—	3,724	3,724	0.15	0.03	—	3,737
Dust From Material Movement	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.84	1.84	—	0.89	0.89	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.65	0.54	5.15	4.95	0.01	0.23	—	0.23	0.21	—	0.21	—	889	889	0.04	0.01	—	892
Dust From Material Movement	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.44	0.44	—	0.21	0.21	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.12	0.10	0.94	0.90	< 0.005	0.04	—	0.04	0.04	—	0.04	—	147	147	0.01	< 0.005	—	148
Dust From Material Movement	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.08	0.08	—	0.04	0.04	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.08	0.08	0.07	1.25	0.00	0.00	0.20	0.20	0.00	0.05	0.05	—	216	216	0.01	0.01	0.86	219
Vendor	0.01	0.01	0.21	0.07	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.05	0.05	< 0.005	0.01	0.02	—	186	186	< 0.005	0.03	0.52	195
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.08	0.07	0.09	0.95	0.00	0.00	0.20	0.20	0.00	0.05	0.05	—	198	198	0.01	0.01	0.02	201
Vendor	0.01	0.01	0.22	0.07	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.05	0.05	< 0.005	0.01	0.02	—	186	186	< 0.005	0.03	0.01	195
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.24	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.05	0.00	0.01	0.01	—	48.0	48.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.09	48.7
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.05	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	44.5	44.5	< 0.005	0.01	0.05	46.6
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	7.94	7.94	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	8.05
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	7.37	7.37	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	7.71
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.3. Well Installation/Construction (2025) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Off-Road Equipment	2.50	2.10	19.3	19.8	0.04	0.84	—	0.84	0.78	—	0.78	—	3,725	3,725	0.15	0.03	—	3,738
Dust From Material Movement	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.84	1.84	—	0.89	0.89	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	2.50	2.10	19.3	19.8	0.04	0.84	—	0.84	0.78	—	0.78	—	3,725	3,725	0.15	0.03	—	3,738
Dust From Material Movement	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.84	1.84	—	0.89	0.89	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	1.19	1.00	9.20	9.40	0.02	0.40	—	0.40	0.37	—	0.37	—	1,771	1,771	0.07	0.01	—	1,777
Dust From Material Movement	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.88	0.88	—	0.42	0.42	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.22	0.18	1.68	1.72	< 0.005	0.07	—	0.07	0.07	—	0.07	—	293	293	0.01	< 0.005	—	294
Dust From Material Movement	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.16	0.16	—	0.08	0.08	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.08	0.07	0.07	1.16	0.00	0.00	0.20	0.20	0.00	0.05	0.05	—	211	211	0.01	0.01	0.78	215
Vendor	0.01	< 0.005	0.20	0.06	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.05	0.05	< 0.005	0.01	0.02	—	184	184	< 0.005	0.03	0.52	193
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.88	0.00	0.00	0.20	0.20	0.00	0.05	0.05	—	194	194	0.01	0.01	0.02	197
Vendor	0.01	< 0.005	0.21	0.06	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.05	0.05	< 0.005	0.01	0.02	—	184	184	< 0.005	0.03	0.01	192
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.44	0.00	0.00	0.09	0.09	0.00	0.02	0.02	—	93.6	93.6	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.16	94.9
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.10	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.03	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	—	87.3	87.3	< 0.005	0.01	0.11	91.4
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.08	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	15.5	15.5	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	15.7
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	14.5	14.5	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	15.1
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.5. Well Testing (2024) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	2.12	1.78	12.0	11.6	0.04	0.47	—	0.47	0.44	—	0.44	—	4,297	4,297	0.17	0.03	—	4,312
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.13	0.11	0.72	0.70	< 0.005	0.03	—	0.03	0.03	—	0.03	—	259	259	0.01	< 0.005	—	260
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.02	0.02	0.13	0.13	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	42.9	42.9	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	43.0
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.42	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.07	0.00	0.02	0.02	—	72.0	72.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.29	73.1
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	4.04	4.04	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	4.09
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.67	0.67	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.68
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.7. Paving (2025) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.48	0.40	3.73	4.99	0.01	0.17	—	0.17	0.16	—	0.16	—	756	756	0.03	0.01	—	758
Paving	—	0.42	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.01	0.01	0.05	0.07	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	10.4	10.4	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	10.4
Paving	—	0.01	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	1.71	1.71	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	1.72
Paving	—	< 0.005	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.58	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.10	0.00	0.02	0.02	—	106	106	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.39	107
Vendor	0.01	< 0.005	0.20	0.06	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.05	0.05	< 0.005	0.01	0.02	—	184	184	< 0.005	0.03	0.52	193
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	1.35	1.35	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	1.37
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	2.52	2.52	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	2.63
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.22	0.22	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.23
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.42	0.42	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.44
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.9. Architectural Coating (2025) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.21	0.17	1.18	1.52	< 0.005	0.04	—	0.04	0.03	—	0.03	—	178	178	0.01	< 0.005	—	179
Architect ural Coatings	—	3.93	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	2.44	2.44	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	2.45
Architect ural Coatings	—	0.05	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	0.40	0.40	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.41
Architect ural Coatings	—	0.01	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.19	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.01	—	35.2	35.2	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.13	35.8

Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.45	0.45	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.46
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.07	0.07	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.08
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.11. Well Drilling (2024) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.65	0.54	5.50	8.72	0.01	0.20	—	0.20	0.19	—	0.19	—	1,323	1,323	0.05	0.01	—	1,328
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Off-Road Equipment	0.04	0.03	0.35	0.55	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	83.4	83.4	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	83.7
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.01	0.01	0.06	0.10	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	13.8	13.8	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	13.8
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.42	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.07	0.00	0.02	0.02	—	72.0	72.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.29	73.1
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.07	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	—	62.1	62.1	< 0.005	0.01	0.17	65.1
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	4.22	4.22	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	4.28
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	3.91	3.91	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	4.10
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.70	0.70	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.71
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.65	0.65	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.68
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

4. Operations Emissions Details

4.10. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type

4.10.1. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Vegetation	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.10.2. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.10.3. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Species	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avoided	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sequestered	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Removed	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avoided	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sequestered	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Removed	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avoided	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Sequest	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Remove d	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

5. Activity Data

5.1. Construction Schedule

Phase Name	Phase Type	Start Date	End Date	Days Per Week	Work Days per Phase	Phase Description
Well Installation/Construction	Grading	09/01/2024	8/31/2025	5.00	260	—
Well Testing	Building Construction	8/1/2024	8/31/2024	5.00	22.0	—
Paving	Paving	08/25/2025	8/31/2025	5.00	5.00	—
Architectural Coating	Architectural Coating	08/25/2025	8/31/2025	5.00	5.00	—
Well Drilling	Trenching	7/01/2024	7/31/2024	5.00	23.0	—

5.2. Off-Road Equipment

5.2.1. Unmitigated

Phase Name	Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Engine Tier	Number per Day	Hours Per Day	Horsepower	Load Factor
Well Installation/Construction	Graders	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	148	0.41
Well Installation/Construction	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	Diesel	Average	2.00	8.00	84.0	0.37
Well Installation/Construction	Rubber Tired Dozers	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	367	0.40

Well Installation/Construction	Cranes	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	367	0.29
Well Installation/Construction	Welders	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	46.0	0.45
Well Testing	Off-Highway Trucks	Diesel	Average	1.00	24.0	200	0.74
Well Testing	Air Compressors	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	37.0	0.48
Paving	Pavers	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	81.0	0.42
Paving	Paving Equipment	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	89.0	0.36
Paving	Rollers	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	36.0	0.38
Architectural Coating	Air Compressors	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	37.0	0.48
Well Drilling	Bore/Drill Rigs	Diesel	Average	1.00	24.0	83.0	0.50
Well Drilling	Air Compressors	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	37.0	0.48

5.3. Construction Vehicles

5.3.1. Unmitigated

Phase Name	Trip Type	One-Way Trips per Day	Miles per Trip	Vehicle Mix
Well Drilling	—	—	—	—
Well Drilling	Worker	5.00	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Well Drilling	Vendor	2.00	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Well Drilling	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Well Drilling	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Well Installation/Construction	—	—	—	—
Well Installation/Construction	Worker	15.0	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Well Installation/Construction	Vendor	6.00	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Well Installation/Construction	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Well Installation/Construction	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Well Testing	—	—	—	—

Well Testing	Worker	5.00	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Well Testing	Vendor	0.00	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Well Testing	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Well Testing	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Paving	—	—	—	—
Paving	Worker	7.50	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Paving	Vendor	6.00	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Paving	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Paving	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Architectural Coating	—	—	—	—
Architectural Coating	Worker	2.50	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Architectural Coating	Vendor	0.00	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Architectural Coating	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Architectural Coating	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT

5.4. Vehicles

5.4.1. Construction Vehicle Control Strategies

Non-applicable. No control strategies activated by user.

5.5. Architectural Coatings

Phase Name	Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Parking Area Coated (sq ft)
Architectural Coating	0.00	0.00	600	200	3,437

5.6. Dust Mitigation

5.6.1. Construction Earthmoving Activities

Phase Name	Material Imported (cy)	Material Exported (cy)	Acres Graded (acres)	Material Demolished (sq. ft.)	Acres Paved (acres)
Well Installation/Construction	—	—	260	0.00	—
Paving	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.06

5.6.2. Construction Earthmoving Control Strategies

Control Strategies Applied	Frequency (per day)	PM10 Reduction	PM2.5 Reduction
Water Exposed Area	3	74%	74%

5.7. Construction Paving

Land Use	Area Paved (acres)	% Asphalt
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.81	100%
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0.25	0%

5.8. Construction Electricity Consumption and Emissions Factors

kWh per Year and Emission Factor (lb/MWh)

Year	kWh per Year	CO2	CH4	N2O
2024	0.00	532	0.03	< 0.005
2025	0.00	532	0.03	< 0.005

5.18. Vegetation

5.18.1. Land Use Change

5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

Vegetation Land Use Type	Vegetation Soil Type	Initial Acres	Final Acres
--------------------------	----------------------	---------------	-------------

5.18.1. Biomass Cover Type

5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

Biomass Cover Type	Initial Acres	Final Acres
--------------------	---------------	-------------

5.18.2. Sequestration

5.18.2.1. Unmitigated

Tree Type	Number	Electricity Saved (kWh/year)	Natural Gas Saved (btu/year)
-----------	--------	------------------------------	------------------------------

6. Climate Risk Detailed Report

6.1. Climate Risk Summary

Cal-Adapt midcentury 2040–2059 average projections for four hazards are reported below for your project location. These are under Representation Concentration Pathway (RCP) 8.5 which assumes GHG emissions will continue to rise strongly through 2050 and then plateau around 2100.

Climate Hazard	Result for Project Location	Unit
Temperature and Extreme Heat	25.6	annual days of extreme heat
Extreme Precipitation	2.20	annual days with precipitation above 20 mm
Sea Level Rise	—	meters of inundation depth
Wildfire	1.19	annual hectares burned

Temperature and Extreme Heat data are for grid cell in which your project are located. The projection is based on the 98th historical percentile of daily maximum/minimum temperatures from observed historical data (32 climate model ensemble from Cal-Adapt, 2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5). Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

Extreme Precipitation data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The threshold of 20 mm is equivalent to about ¾ an inch of rain, which would be light to moderate rainfall if received over a full day or heavy rain if received over a period of 2 to 4 hours. Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

Sea Level Rise data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The projections are from Radke et al. (2017), as reported in Cal-Adapt (Radke et al., 2017, CEC-500-2017-008), and consider inundation location and depth for the San Francisco Bay, the Sacramento-San Joaquin River Delta and California coast resulting different increments of sea level rise coupled with extreme storm events. Users may select from four scenarios to view the range in potential inundation depth for the grid cell. The four scenarios are: No rise, 0.5 meter, 1.0 meter, 1.41 meters

Wildfire data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The projections are from UC Davis, as reported in Cal-Adapt (2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5), and consider historical data of climate, vegetation, population density, and large (> 400 ha) fire history. Users may select from four model simulations to view the range in potential wildfire probabilities for the grid cell. The four simulations make different assumptions about expected rainfall and temperature are: Warmer/drier (HadGEM2-ES), Cooler/wetter (CNRM-CM5), Average conditions (CanESM2), Range of different rainfall and temperature possibilities (MIROC5). Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

6.2. Initial Climate Risk Scores

Climate Hazard	Exposure Score	Sensitivity Score	Adaptive Capacity Score	Vulnerability Score
Temperature and Extreme Heat	3	0	0	N/A
Extreme Precipitation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sea Level Rise	1	0	0	N/A
Wildfire	1	0	0	N/A
Flooding	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Drought	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Snowpack Reduction	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air Quality Degradation	0	0	0	N/A

The sensitivity score reflects the extent to which a project would be adversely affected by exposure to a climate hazard. Exposure is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest exposure.

The adaptive capacity of a project refers to its ability to manage and reduce vulnerabilities from projected climate hazards. Adaptive capacity is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest ability to adapt.

The overall vulnerability scores are calculated based on the potential impacts and adaptive capacity assessments for each hazard. Scores do not include implementation of climate risk reduction measures.

6.3. Adjusted Climate Risk Scores

Climate Hazard	Exposure Score	Sensitivity Score	Adaptive Capacity Score	Vulnerability Score
Temperature and Extreme Heat	3	1	1	3
Extreme Precipitation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sea Level Rise	1	1	1	2
Wildfire	1	1	1	2
Flooding	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Drought	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Snowpack Reduction	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air Quality Degradation	1	1	1	2

The sensitivity score reflects the extent to which a project would be adversely affected by exposure to a climate hazard. Exposure is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest exposure.

The adaptive capacity of a project refers to its ability to manage and reduce vulnerabilities from projected climate hazards. Adaptive capacity is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest ability to adapt.

The overall vulnerability scores are calculated based on the potential impacts and adaptive capacity assessments for each hazard. Scores include implementation of climate risk reduction measures.

6.4. Climate Risk Reduction Measures

7. Health and Equity Details

7.1. CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Scores

The maximum CalEnviroScreen score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects a higher pollution burden compared to other census tracts in the state.

Indicator	Result for Project Census Tract
Exposure Indicators	—
AQ-Ozone	97.0
AQ-PM	94.2
AQ-DPM	81.3
Drinking Water	61.5
Lead Risk Housing	88.3
Pesticides	0.00
Toxic Releases	69.1
Traffic	44.1
Effect Indicators	—
CleanUp Sites	0.00
Groundwater	47.4
Haz Waste Facilities/Generators	16.6
Impaired Water Bodies	33.2
Solid Waste	0.00
Sensitive Population	—
Asthma	65.9
Cardio-vascular	77.0

Low Birth Weights	76.0
Socioeconomic Factor Indicators	—
Education	95.3
Housing	84.6
Linguistic	77.6
Poverty	93.4
Unemployment	97.1

7.2. Healthy Places Index Scores

The maximum Health Places Index score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects healthier community conditions compared to other census tracts in the state.

Indicator	Result for Project Census Tract
Economic	—
Above Poverty	15.51392275
Employed	27.51186963
Median HI	18.63210574
Education	—
Bachelor's or higher	7.237264211
High school enrollment	19.96663673
Preschool enrollment	18.15732067
Transportation	—
Auto Access	34.2871808
Active commuting	50.30155268
Social	—
2-parent households	48.38958039
Voting	0.487617092
Neighborhood	—
Alcohol availability	32.67034518

Park access	36.50712178
Retail density	54.92108302
Supermarket access	74.87488772
Tree canopy	16.88694983
Housing	—
Homeownership	34.36417298
Housing habitability	19.85114847
Low-inc homeowner severe housing cost burden	26.21583472
Low-inc renter severe housing cost burden	56.05030155
Uncrowded housing	6.03105351
Health Outcomes	—
Insured adults	5.812909021
Arthritis	0.0
Asthma ER Admissions	35.9
High Blood Pressure	0.0
Cancer (excluding skin)	0.0
Asthma	0.0
Coronary Heart Disease	0.0
Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease	0.0
Diagnosed Diabetes	0.0
Life Expectancy at Birth	14.7
Cognitively Disabled	68.5
Physically Disabled	80.2
Heart Attack ER Admissions	10.0
Mental Health Not Good	0.0
Chronic Kidney Disease	0.0
Obesity	0.0

Pedestrian Injuries	52.3
Physical Health Not Good	0.0
Stroke	0.0
Health Risk Behaviors	—
Binge Drinking	0.0
Current Smoker	0.0
No Leisure Time for Physical Activity	0.0
Climate Change Exposures	—
Wildfire Risk	0.0
SLR Inundation Area	0.0
Children	6.8
Elderly	93.7
English Speaking	4.6
Foreign-born	80.6
Outdoor Workers	6.9
Climate Change Adaptive Capacity	—
Impervious Surface Cover	56.7
Traffic Density	23.7
Traffic Access	23.0
Other Indices	—
Hardship	95.0
Other Decision Support	—
2016 Voting	10.2

7.3. Overall Health & Equity Scores

Metric	Result for Project Census Tract
CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Score for Project Location (a)	91.0

Healthy Places Index Score for Project Location (b)	9.00
Project Located in a Designated Disadvantaged Community (Senate Bill 535)	Yes
Project Located in a Low-Income Community (Assembly Bill 1550)	Yes
Project Located in a Community Air Protection Program Community (Assembly Bill 617)	No

a: The maximum CalEnviroScreen score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects a higher pollution burden compared to other census tracts in the state.
b: The maximum Health Places Index score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects healthier community conditions compared to other census tracts in the state.

7.4. Health & Equity Measures

No Health & Equity Measures selected.

7.5. Evaluation Scorecard

Health & Equity Evaluation Scorecard not completed.

7.6. Health & Equity Custom Measures

No Health & Equity Custom Measures created.

8. User Changes to Default Data

Screen	Justification
Construction: Construction Phases	Per Engineering Estimates
Construction: Off-Road Equipment	Per Engineer Estimates
Construction: Trips and VMT	Two (2) daily vendor trips added for water trucks each activity except well testing and painting. Four (4) daily truck trips are assumed for material delivery/hauling during each activity except Well Drilling, Well Testing, and Well Site Architectural Coating. Worker trips added for Well Testing and Well Site Architectural Coating because no defaults were generated by model. Worker trips estimated per CalEEMod User Guide Section 4.6.1 default for workers based on equipment list.
Construction: Architectural Coatings	Well Building area to be painted estimated per CalEEMod User Guide for nonresidential use

RCSD Water Pipeline and WTPF Detailed Report

Table of Contents

- 1. Basic Project Information
 - 1.1. Basic Project Information
 - 1.2. Land Use Types
 - 1.3. User-Selected Emission Reduction Measures by Emissions Sector
- 2. Emissions Summary
 - 2.1. Construction Emissions Compared Against Thresholds
 - 2.2. Construction Emissions by Year, Unmitigated
- 3. Construction Emissions Details
 - 3.1. WTP Construction (2024) - Unmitigated
 - 3.3. WTP Construction (2025) - Unmitigated
 - 3.5. Waterline Repaving (2024) - Unmitigated
 - 3.7. Waterline Trenching (2024) - Unmitigated
- 4. Operations Emissions Details
 - 4.10. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type

4.10.1. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type - Unmitigated

4.10.2. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type - Unmitigated

4.10.3. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species - Unmitigated

5. Activity Data

5.1. Construction Schedule

5.2. Off-Road Equipment

5.2.1. Unmitigated

5.3. Construction Vehicles

5.3.1. Unmitigated

5.4. Vehicles

5.4.1. Construction Vehicle Control Strategies

5.5. Architectural Coatings

5.6. Dust Mitigation

5.6.1. Construction Earthmoving Activities

5.6.2. Construction Earthmoving Control Strategies

5.7. Construction Paving

5.8. Construction Electricity Consumption and Emissions Factors

5.18. Vegetation

5.18.1. Land Use Change

5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

5.18.1. Biomass Cover Type

5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

5.18.2. Sequestration

5.18.2.1. Unmitigated

6. Climate Risk Detailed Report

6.1. Climate Risk Summary

6.2. Initial Climate Risk Scores

6.3. Adjusted Climate Risk Scores

6.4. Climate Risk Reduction Measures

7. Health and Equity Details

7.1. CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Scores

7.2. Healthy Places Index Scores

7.3. Overall Health & Equity Scores

7.4. Health & Equity Measures

7.5. Evaluation Scorecard

7.6. Health & Equity Custom Measures

8. User Changes to Default Data

1. Basic Project Information

1.1. Basic Project Information

Data Field	Value
Project Name	RCSD Water Pipeline and WTPF
Construction Start Date	9/1/2024
Lead Agency	—
Land Use Scale	Project/site
Analysis Level for Defaults	County
Windspeed (m/s)	2.20
Precipitation (days)	14.2
Location	33.99496649252481, -117.3979505806767
County	Riverside-South Coast
City	Jurupa Valley
Air District	South Coast AQMD
Air Basin	South Coast
TAZ	5427
EDFZ	11
Electric Utility	Southern California Edison
Gas Utility	Southern California Gas
App Version	2022.1.1.21

1.2. Land Use Types

Land Use Subtype	Size	Unit	Lot Acreage	Building Area (sq ft)	Landscape Area (sq ft)	Special Landscape Area (sq ft)	Population	Description
Other Asphalt Surfaces	92.7	1000sqft	2.13	0.00	—	—	—	—

1.3. User-Selected Emission Reduction Measures by Emissions Sector

No measures selected

2. Emissions Summary

2.1. Construction Emissions Compared Against Thresholds

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Un/Mit.	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	1.39	1.17	10.8	14.4	0.03	0.45	0.30	0.75	0.42	0.07	0.49	—	3,093	3,093	0.12	0.08	1.91	3,123
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	1.39	2.12	10.8	14.1	0.03	0.45	0.30	0.75	0.42	0.07	0.49	—	3,076	3,076	0.12	0.08	0.05	3,104
Average Daily (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	0.23	0.21	1.87	2.22	< 0.005	0.08	0.05	0.12	0.07	0.01	0.08	—	529	529	0.02	0.01	0.13	534
Annual (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	0.04	0.04	0.34	0.40	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	< 0.005	0.02	—	87.5	87.5	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	88.3

2.2. Construction Emissions by Year, Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Year	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily - Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

2024	1.39	1.17	10.8	14.4	0.03	0.45	0.30	0.75	0.42	0.07	0.49	—	3,093	3,093	0.12	0.08	1.91	3,123
2025	0.61	0.51	4.98	5.48	0.01	0.19	0.12	0.31	0.18	0.03	0.21	—	1,535	1,535	0.06	0.04	0.78	1,549
Daily - Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2024	1.39	2.12	10.8	14.1	0.03	0.45	0.30	0.75	0.42	0.07	0.49	—	3,076	3,076	0.12	0.08	0.05	3,104
2025	0.61	0.51	5.00	5.39	0.01	0.19	0.12	0.31	0.18	0.03	0.21	—	1,529	1,529	0.06	0.04	0.02	1,543
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2024	0.23	0.21	1.87	2.22	< 0.005	0.08	0.05	0.12	0.07	0.01	0.08	—	529	529	0.02	0.01	0.13	534
2025	0.18	0.15	1.48	1.60	< 0.005	0.06	0.03	0.09	0.05	0.01	0.06	—	452	452	0.02	0.01	0.10	456
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2024	0.04	0.04	0.34	0.40	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	< 0.005	0.02	—	87.5	87.5	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	88.3
2025	0.03	0.03	0.27	0.29	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	< 0.005	0.01	—	74.9	74.9	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	75.5

3. Construction Emissions Details

3.1. WTP Construction (2024) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.61	0.51	5.20	5.07	0.01	0.21	—	0.21	0.20	—	0.20	—	1,281	1,281	0.05	0.01	—	1,285
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.61	0.51	5.20	5.07	0.01	0.21	—	0.21	0.20	—	0.20	—	1,281	1,281	0.05	0.01	—	1,285
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.15	0.12	1.24	1.21	< 0.005	0.05	—	0.05	0.05	—	0.05	—	306	306	0.01	< 0.005	—	307
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.03	0.02	0.23	0.22	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	50.6	50.6	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	50.8
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.42	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.07	0.00	0.02	0.02	—	72.0	72.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.29	73.1
Vendor	0.01	0.01	0.21	0.07	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.05	0.05	< 0.005	0.01	0.02	—	186	186	< 0.005	0.03	0.52	195
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.32	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.07	0.00	0.02	0.02	—	66.1	66.1	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	67.0
Vendor	0.01	0.01	0.22	0.07	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.05	0.05	< 0.005	0.01	0.02	—	186	186	< 0.005	0.03	0.01	195
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Worker	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.08	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	16.0	16.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	16.2
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.05	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	44.5	44.5	< 0.005	0.01	0.05	46.6
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	2.65	2.65	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	2.68
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	7.37	7.37	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	7.71
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.3. WTP Construction (2025) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.58	0.48	4.76	5.03	0.01	0.19	—	0.19	0.18	—	0.18	—	1,281	1,281	0.05	0.01	—	1,285
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.58	0.48	4.76	5.03	0.01	0.19	—	0.19	0.18	—	0.18	—	1,281	1,281	0.05	0.01	—	1,285
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.17	0.14	1.41	1.49	< 0.005	0.06	—	0.06	0.05	—	0.05	—	378	378	0.02	< 0.005	—	380

Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.03	0.03	0.26	0.27	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	62.7	62.7	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	62.9
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.39	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.07	0.00	0.02	0.02	—	70.5	70.5	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.26	71.5
Vendor	0.01	< 0.005	0.20	0.06	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.05	0.05	< 0.005	0.01	0.02	—	184	184	< 0.005	0.03	0.52	193
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.29	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.07	0.00	0.02	0.02	—	64.8	64.8	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	65.6
Vendor	0.01	< 0.005	0.21	0.06	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.05	0.05	< 0.005	0.01	0.02	—	184	184	< 0.005	0.03	0.01	192
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.09	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	19.4	19.4	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	19.7
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.06	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	54.3	54.3	< 0.005	0.01	0.07	56.8
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	3.21	3.21	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	3.25
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	8.98	8.98	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	9.41
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.5. Waterline Repaving (2024) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.51	0.43	3.91	5.01	0.01	0.19	—	0.19	0.18	—	0.18	—	756	756	0.03	0.01	—	758
Paving	—	1.11	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.01	0.01	0.05	0.07	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	10.4	10.4	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	10.4
Paving	—	0.02	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	1.71	1.71	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	1.72
Paving	—	< 0.005	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.47	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.10	0.00	0.02	0.02	—	99.2	99.2	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	100
Vendor	0.01	0.01	0.22	0.07	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.05	0.05	< 0.005	0.01	0.02	—	186	186	< 0.005	0.03	0.01	195
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	1.38	1.38	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	1.40
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	2.55	2.55	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	2.67
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.23	0.23	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.23
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.42	0.42	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.44
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.7. Waterline Trenching (2024) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.68	0.57	5.06	7.98	0.01	0.23	—	0.23	0.21	—	0.21	—	1,224	1,224	0.05	0.01	—	1,228
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Off-Road Equipment	0.68	0.57	5.06	7.98	0.01	0.23	—	0.23	0.21	—	0.21	—	1,224	1,224	0.05	0.01	—	1,228
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.07	0.05	0.49	0.77	< 0.005	0.02	—	0.02	0.02	—	0.02	—	117	117	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	118
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.01	0.01	0.09	0.14	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	19.4	19.4	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	19.5
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.83	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.13	0.00	0.03	0.03	—	144	144	0.01	< 0.005	0.57	146
Vendor	0.01	0.01	0.21	0.07	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.05	0.05	< 0.005	0.01	0.02	—	186	186	< 0.005	0.03	0.52	195
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.63	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.13	0.00	0.03	0.03	—	132	132	0.01	< 0.005	0.01	134
Vendor	0.01	0.01	0.22	0.07	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.05	0.05	< 0.005	0.01	0.02	—	186	186	< 0.005	0.03	0.01	195
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.01	< 0.005	0.01	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	12.8	12.8	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	13.0
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	17.9	17.9	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	18.7

Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	2.13	2.13	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	2.16
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	2.96	2.96	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	3.10
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

4. Operations Emissions Details

4.10. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type

4.10.1. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Vegetation	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.10.2. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
----------	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	-------	-------	-------	--------	--------	--------	------	-------	------	-----	-----	---	------

Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.10.3. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Species	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avoided	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sequestered	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Removed	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avoided	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Sequest	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Remove d	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avoided	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sequest ered	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Remove d	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

5. Activity Data

5.1. Construction Schedule

Phase Name	Phase Type	Start Date	End Date	Days Per Week	Work Days per Phase	Phase Description
WTP Construction	Building Construction	09/01/2024	5/31/2025	5.00	195	—
Waterline Repaving	Paving	10/19/2024	10/25/2024	5.00	5.00	—
Waterline Trenching	Trenching	09/01/2024	10/18/2024	5.00	35.0	—

5.2. Off-Road Equipment

5.2.1. Unmitigated

Phase Name	Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Engine Tier	Number per Day	Hours Per Day	Horsepower	Load Factor
WTP Construction	Cranes	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	367	0.29
WTP Construction	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	84.0	0.37
Waterline Repaving	Pavers	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	81.0	0.42
Waterline Repaving	Paving Equipment	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	89.0	0.36
Waterline Repaving	Rollers	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	36.0	0.38
Waterline Trenching	Excavators	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	36.0	0.38
Waterline Trenching	Rubber Tired Loaders	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	150	0.36
Waterline Trenching	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	Diesel	Average	2.00	8.00	84.0	0.37

5.3. Construction Vehicles

5.3.1. Unmitigated

Phase Name	Trip Type	One-Way Trips per Day	Miles per Trip	Vehicle Mix
Waterline Trenching	—	—	—	—
Waterline Trenching	Worker	10.0	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Waterline Trenching	Vendor	6.00	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Waterline Trenching	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Waterline Trenching	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
WTP Construction	—	—	—	—
WTP Construction	Worker	5.00	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
WTP Construction	Vendor	6.00	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
WTP Construction	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
WTP Construction	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Waterline Repaving	—	—	—	—
Waterline Repaving	Worker	7.50	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2

Waterline Repaving	Vendor	6.00	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Waterline Repaving	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Waterline Repaving	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT

5.4. Vehicles

5.4.1. Construction Vehicle Control Strategies

Non-applicable. No control strategies activated by user.

5.5. Architectural Coatings

Phase Name	Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Parking Area Coated (sq ft)
------------	--	--	--	--	-----------------------------

5.6. Dust Mitigation

5.6.1. Construction Earthmoving Activities

Phase Name	Material Imported (cy)	Material Exported (cy)	Acres Graded (acres)	Material Demolished (sq. ft.)	Acres Paved (acres)
Waterline Repaving	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.13

5.6.2. Construction Earthmoving Control Strategies

Control Strategies Applied	Frequency (per day)	PM10 Reduction	PM2.5 Reduction
Water Exposed Area	3	74%	74%

5.7. Construction Paving

Land Use	Area Paved (acres)	% Asphalt
Other Asphalt Surfaces	2.13	100%

5.8. Construction Electricity Consumption and Emissions Factors

kWh per Year and Emission Factor (lb/MWh)

Year	kWh per Year	CO2	CH4	N2O
2024	0.00	532	0.03	< 0.005
2025	0.00	532	0.03	< 0.005

5.18. Vegetation

5.18.1. Land Use Change

5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

Vegetation Land Use Type	Vegetation Soil Type	Initial Acres	Final Acres
--------------------------	----------------------	---------------	-------------

5.18.1. Biomass Cover Type

5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

Biomass Cover Type	Initial Acres	Final Acres
--------------------	---------------	-------------

5.18.2. Sequestration

5.18.2.1. Unmitigated

Tree Type	Number	Electricity Saved (kWh/year)	Natural Gas Saved (btu/year)
-----------	--------	------------------------------	------------------------------

6. Climate Risk Detailed Report

6.1. Climate Risk Summary

Cal-Adapt midcentury 2040–2059 average projections for four hazards are reported below for your project location. These are under Representation Concentration Pathway (RCP) 8.5 which assumes GHG emissions will continue to rise strongly through 2050 and then plateau around 2100.

Climate Hazard	Result for Project Location	Unit
Temperature and Extreme Heat	25.6	annual days of extreme heat
Extreme Precipitation	2.20	annual days with precipitation above 20 mm
Sea Level Rise	—	meters of inundation depth
Wildfire	1.19	annual hectares burned

Temperature and Extreme Heat data are for grid cell in which your project are located. The projection is based on the 98th historical percentile of daily maximum/minimum temperatures from observed historical data (32 climate model ensemble from Cal-Adapt, 2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5). Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

Extreme Precipitation data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The threshold of 20 mm is equivalent to about $\frac{3}{4}$ an inch of rain, which would be light to moderate rainfall if received over a full day or heavy rain if received over a period of 2 to 4 hours. Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

Sea Level Rise data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The projections are from Radke et al. (2017), as reported in Cal-Adapt (Radke et al., 2017, CEC-500-2017-008), and consider inundation location and depth for the San Francisco Bay, the Sacramento-San Joaquin River Delta and California coast resulting different increments of sea level rise coupled with extreme storm events.

Users may select from four scenarios to view the range in potential inundation depth for the grid cell. The four scenarios are: No rise, 0.5 meter, 1.0 meter, 1.41 meters

Wildfire data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The projections are from UC Davis, as reported in Cal-Adapt (2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5), and consider historical data of climate, vegetation, population density, and large (> 400 ha) fire history. Users may select from four model simulations to view the range in potential wildfire probabilities for the grid cell. The four simulations make different assumptions about expected rainfall and temperature are: Warmer/drier (HadGEM2-ES), Cooler/wetter (CNRM-CM5), Average conditions (CanESM2), Range of different rainfall and temperature possibilities (MIROC5). Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

6.2. Initial Climate Risk Scores

Climate Hazard	Exposure Score	Sensitivity Score	Adaptive Capacity Score	Vulnerability Score
Temperature and Extreme Heat	3	0	0	N/A
Extreme Precipitation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sea Level Rise	1	0	0	N/A
Wildfire	1	0	0	N/A
Flooding	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Drought	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Snowpack Reduction	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air Quality Degradation	0	0	0	N/A

The sensitivity score reflects the extent to which a project would be adversely affected by exposure to a climate hazard. Exposure is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest exposure.

The adaptive capacity of a project refers to its ability to manage and reduce vulnerabilities from projected climate hazards. Adaptive capacity is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest ability to adapt.

The overall vulnerability scores are calculated based on the potential impacts and adaptive capacity assessments for each hazard. Scores do not include implementation of climate risk reduction measures.

6.3. Adjusted Climate Risk Scores

Climate Hazard	Exposure Score	Sensitivity Score	Adaptive Capacity Score	Vulnerability Score
Temperature and Extreme Heat	3	1	1	3
Extreme Precipitation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sea Level Rise	1	1	1	2
Wildfire	1	1	1	2
Flooding	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Drought	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Snowpack Reduction	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air Quality Degradation	1	1	1	2

The sensitivity score reflects the extent to which a project would be adversely affected by exposure to a climate hazard. Exposure is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest exposure.

The adaptive capacity of a project refers to its ability to manage and reduce vulnerabilities from projected climate hazards. Adaptive capacity is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest ability to adapt.

The overall vulnerability scores are calculated based on the potential impacts and adaptive capacity assessments for each hazard. Scores include implementation of climate risk reduction measures.

6.4. Climate Risk Reduction Measures

7. Health and Equity Details

7.1. CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Scores

The maximum CalEnviroScreen score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects a higher pollution burden compared to other census tracts in the state.

Indicator	Result for Project Census Tract
Exposure Indicators	—
AQ-Ozone	97.0
AQ-PM	94.2
AQ-DPM	96.8

Drinking Water	61.5
Lead Risk Housing	93.6
Pesticides	0.00
Toxic Releases	74.9
Traffic	76.6
Effect Indicators	—
CleanUp Sites	40.8
Groundwater	47.6
Haz Waste Facilities/Generators	1.80
Impaired Water Bodies	33.2
Solid Waste	37.6
Sensitive Population	—
Asthma	65.9
Cardio-vascular	77.0
Low Birth Weights	70.0
Socioeconomic Factor Indicators	—
Education	95.8
Housing	83.1
Linguistic	78.5
Poverty	84.7
Unemployment	87.7

7.2. Healthy Places Index Scores

The maximum Health Places Index score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects healthier community conditions compared to other census tracts in the state.

Indicator	Result for Project Census Tract
Economic	—
Above Poverty	8.777107661

Employed	26.40831515
Median HI	17.91351213
Education	—
Bachelor's or higher	2.746054151
High school enrollment	100
Preschool enrollment	46.47760811
Transportation	—
Auto Access	41.51161299
Active commuting	44.77094829
Social	—
2-parent households	23.5724368
Voting	0.526113178
Neighborhood	—
Alcohol availability	30.59155653
Park access	9.854998075
Retail density	46.61876043
Supermarket access	77.32580521
Tree canopy	7.712049275
Housing	—
Homeownership	31.77210317
Housing habitability	14.33337611
Low-inc homeowner severe housing cost burden	18.23431284
Low-inc renter severe housing cost burden	27.26806108
Uncrowded housing	4.645194405
Health Outcomes	—
Insured adults	14.28204799
Arthritis	0.0

Asthma ER Admissions	35.9
High Blood Pressure	0.0
Cancer (excluding skin)	0.0
Asthma	0.0
Coronary Heart Disease	0.0
Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease	0.0
Diagnosed Diabetes	0.0
Life Expectancy at Birth	37.2
Cognitively Disabled	76.7
Physically Disabled	54.0
Heart Attack ER Admissions	10.0
Mental Health Not Good	0.0
Chronic Kidney Disease	0.0
Obesity	0.0
Pedestrian Injuries	19.6
Physical Health Not Good	0.0
Stroke	0.0
Health Risk Behaviors	—
Binge Drinking	0.0
Current Smoker	0.0
No Leisure Time for Physical Activity	0.0
Climate Change Exposures	—
Wildfire Risk	0.0
SLR Inundation Area	0.0
Children	5.3
Elderly	97.5
English Speaking	33.6

Foreign-born	63.6
Outdoor Workers	14.8
Climate Change Adaptive Capacity	—
Impervious Surface Cover	67.8
Traffic Density	84.6
Traffic Access	23.0
Other Indices	—
Hardship	90.3
Other Decision Support	—
2016 Voting	5.0

7.3. Overall Health & Equity Scores

Metric	Result for Project Census Tract
CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Score for Project Location (a)	96.0
Healthy Places Index Score for Project Location (b)	9.00
Project Located in a Designated Disadvantaged Community (Senate Bill 535)	Yes
Project Located in a Low-Income Community (Assembly Bill 1550)	Yes
Project Located in a Community Air Protection Program Community (Assembly Bill 617)	No

a: The maximum CalEnviroScreen score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects a higher pollution burden compared to other census tracts in the state.
b: The maximum Health Places Index score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects healthier community conditions compared to other census tracts in the state.

7.4. Health & Equity Measures

No Health & Equity Measures selected.

7.5. Evaluation Scorecard

Health & Equity Evaluation Scorecard not completed.

7.6. Health & Equity Custom Measures

No Health & Equity Custom Measures created.

8. User Changes to Default Data

Screen	Justification
Construction: Construction Phases	Per Engineering Estimates
Construction: Off-Road Equipment	Per Engineering Estimates
Construction: Trips and VMT	Two (2) daily vendor trips added for water trucks each activity except well testing and painting. Four (4) daily truck trips are assumed for material delivery/hauling during each activity. Worker trips added for WTP Construction because no defaults were generated by model. Worker trips estimated per CalEEMod User Guide Section 4.6.1 default for workers based on equipment list.