

#### TO: ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION COMMITTEE FROM: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

AGENDA DATE: July 11, 2024 AGENDA TIME: 1:30PM / No. 1

PROJECT TYPE:		p (PM) #0251 J, Inc.		_SUPE	RVISOR DIST	# <u>4</u>
	949 Lind	sey Rd.	APN:	020-1	<u>30-018 &amp; 020-</u>	130-019
	Calipatria, C	A 92233	PARCEL	SIZE:	+/- <u>480 AC &amp; +</u>	/-160 AC
GENERAL PLAN (existing	g) Agricu	Ilture	GENEF	RAL PLA	N (proposed)	N/A
ZONE (existing) A-3-0	G (Heavy Agric	ulture with G	eothermal Ove	rlay)	ZONE (proposed)	<u>N/A</u>
GENERAL PLAN FIND	<mark>NGS</mark> 🛛 🖓	CONSISTENT		STENT	MAY BE/F	INDINGS
PLANNING COMMISS	ION DECISIO	<u>N</u> :	HEA	RING DA	TE:	
		APPROVED				
PLANNING DIRECTOR	RS DECISION	1	HEA	RING DA	TE:	
		APPROVED				
ENVIROMENTAL EVA	LUATION CO	MMITTEE DE	CISION:	HEAF	RING DATE: 07-1	<u>1-2024</u>
				INITIA	AL STUDY: #24	-0008
	EDECLARATION		TED NEG. DECL	ARATIO	N 🗌 EIR	
DEPARTMENTAL REP	ORTS / APPF	ROVALS:				
PUBLIC WO AG APCD E.H.S. FIRE / OES SHERIFF OTHER		<ul> <li>NONE</li> <li>NONE</li> <li>NONE</li> <li>NONE</li> <li>NONE</li> <li>NONE</li> </ul>			ATTACHED ATTACHED ATTACHED ATTACHED ATTACHED ATTACHED	
<b>REQUESTED ACTI</b>	ON:					

See attached.

# NEGATIVE DECLARATION

Initial Study & Environmental Analysis For:

> Parcel Map #02511 Initial Study #24-0008 Kudu, Inc.



Prepared By:

COUNTY OF IMPERIAL Planning & Development Services Department 801 Main Street El Centro, CA 92243 (442) 265-1736 www.icpds.com

July 2024

EEC ORIGINAL PKG

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

#### SECTION 1

#### I. INTRODUCTION

#### SECTION 2

II.	ENVIRG	DNMENTAL CHECKLIST	8
	PROJE	CT SUMMARY	10
	ENVIRG	DNMENTAL ANALYSIS	13
	Ι.	AESTHETICS	
	11.	AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES	. 14
	III.	AIR QUALITY	15
	IV.	BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES	15
	V.	CULTURAL RESOURCES	16
	VI.	ENERGY	16
	VII.	GEOLOGY AND SOILS	
	VIII.	GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSION	
	IX.	HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	
	Х.	HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY	
	XI.	LAND USE AND PLANNING	
	XII.	MINERAL RESOURCES.	
	XIII.	NOISE	
	XIV.	POPULATION AND HOUSING	
	XV.	PUBLIC SERVICES	
	XVI.	RECREATION	
	XVII.	TRANSPORTATION	
	XVIII.		21
	XIX.	UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS	24
	XX.	WILDFIRE	

#### SECTION 3

<u>Se</u>	ECTION 4	
27	FINDINGS	27
VI.	NEGATIVE DECLARATION - COUNTY OF IMPERIAL	26
V.	REFERENCES	25
IV.	PERSONS AND ORGANIZATIONS CONSULTED	24
III.	MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE	23

VIII.	RESPONSE TO COMMENTS (IF ANY)	28
IX.	MITIGATION MONITORING & REPORTING PROGRAM (MMRP) (IF ANY)	29

PAGE

3

### SECTION 1 INTRODUCTION

#### A. PURPOSE

This document is a  $\square$  policy-level,  $\boxtimes$  project level Initial Study for evaluation of potential environmental impacts resulting with the proposed Parcel Map (Refer to Exhibit "A" & "B").

# B. CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT (CEQA) REQUIREMENTS AND THE IMPERIAL COUNTY'S GUIDELINES FOR IMPLEMENTING CEQA

As defined by Section 15063 of the State California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines and Section 7 of the County's "CEQA Regulations Guidelines for the Implementation of CEQA, as amended", an Initial Study is prepared primarily to provide the Lead Agency with information to use as the basis for determining whether an Environmental Impact Report (EIR), Negative Declaration, or Mitigated Negative Declaration would be appropriate for providing the necessary environmental documentation and clearance for any proposed project.

According to Section 15065, an EIR is deemed appropriate for a particular proposal if the following conditions occur:

- The proposal has the potential to substantially degrade the quality of the environment.
- The proposal has the potential to achieve short-term environmental goals to the disadvantage of long-term environmental goals.
- The proposal has possible environmental effects that are individually limited but cumulatively considerable.
- The proposal could cause direct or indirect adverse effects on human beings.

According to Section 15070(a), a Negative Declaration is deemed appropriate if the proposal would not result in any significant effect on the environment.

According to Section 15070(b), a Mitigated Negative Declaration is deemed appropriate if it is determined that though a proposal could result in a significant effect, mitigation measures are available to reduce these significant effects to insignificant levels.

This Initial Study has determined that the proposed applications will not result in any potentially significant environmental impacts and therefore, a Negative Declaration is deemed as the appropriate document to provide necessary environmental evaluations and clearance as identified hereinafter.

This Initial Study and Negative Declaration are prepared in conformance with the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970, as amended (Public Resources Code, Section 21000 et. seq.); Section 15070 of the State & County of Imperial's Guidelines for Implementation of the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970, as amended (California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Chapter 3, Section 15000, et. seq.); applicable requirements of the County of Imperial; and the regulations, requirements, and procedures of any other responsible public agency or an agency with jurisdiction by law.

Pursuant to the County of Imperial <u>Guidelines for Implementing CEQA</u>, depending on the project scope, the County of Imperial Board of Supervisors, Planning Commission and/or Planning Director is designated the Lead Agency, in accordance with Section 15050 of the CEQA Guidelines. The Lead Agency is the public agency which has the

principal responsibility for approving the necessary environmental clearances and analyses for any project in the County.

#### C. INTENDED USES OF INITIAL STUDY AND NEGATIVE DECLARATION

This Initial Study and Negative Declaration are informational documents, which are intended to inform County of Imperial decision makers, other responsible or interested agencies, and the general public of potential environmental effects of the proposed applications. The environmental review process has been established to enable public agencies to evaluate environmental consequences and to examine and implement methods of eliminating or reducing any potentially adverse impacts. While CEQA requires that consideration be given to avoiding environmental damage, the Lead Agency and other responsible public agencies must balance adverse environmental effects against other public objectives, including economic and social goals.

The Initial Study and Negative Declaration, prepared for the project will be circulated for a period of 20 days (30days if submitted to the State Clearinghouse for a project of area-wide significance) for public and agency review and comments. At the conclusion, if comments are received, the County Planning & Development Services Department will prepare a document entitled "Responses to Comments" which will be forwarded to any commenting entity and be made part of the record within 10-days of any project consideration.

#### D. CONTENTS OF INITIAL STUDY & NEGATIVE DECLARATION

This Initial Study is organized to facilitate a basic understanding of the existing setting and environmental implications of the proposed applications.

#### SECTION 1

**I. INTRODUCTION** presents an introduction to the entire report. This section discusses the environmental process, scope of environmental review, and incorporation by reference documents.

#### **SECTION 2**

II. ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM contains the County's Environmental Checklist Form. The checklist form presents results of the environmental evaluation for the proposed applications and those issue areas that would have either a potentially significant impact, potentially significant unless mitigation incorporated, less than significant impact or no impact.

**PROJECT SUMMARY, LOCATION AND EVIRONMENTAL SETTINGS** describes the proposed project entitlements and required applications. A description of discretionary approvals and permits required for project implementation is also included. It also identifies the location of the project and a general description of the surrounding environmental settings.

**ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS** evaluates each response provided in the environmental checklist form. Each response checked in the checklist form is discussed and supported with sufficient data and analysis as necessary. As appropriate, each response discussion describes and identifies specific impacts anticipated with project implementation.

#### SECTION 3

**III. MANDATORY FINDINGS** presents Mandatory Findings of Significance in accordance with Section 15065 of the CEQA Guidelines.

IV. PERSONS AND ORGANIZATIONS CONSULTED identifies those persons consulted and involved in

preparation of this Initial Study and Negative Declaration.

V. REFERENCES lists bibliographical materials used in the preparation of this document.

#### VI. NEGATIVE DECLARATION - COUNTY OF IMPERIAL

VII. FINDINGS

#### SECTION 4

#### VIII. RESPONSE TO COMMENTS (IF ANY)

#### IX. MITIGATION MONITORING & REPORTING PROGRAM (MMRP) (IF ANY)

#### E. SCOPE OF ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS

For evaluation of environmental impacts, each question from the Environmental Checklist Form is summarized and responses are provided according to the analysis undertaken as part of the Initial Study. Impacts and effects will be evaluated and quantified, when appropriate. To each question, there are four possible responses, including:

- 1. No Impact: A "No Impact" response is adequately supported if the impact simply does not apply to the proposed applications.
- 2. Less Than Significant Impact: The proposed applications will have the potential to impact the environment. These impacts, however, will be less than significant; no additional analysis is required.
- 3. Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated: This applies where incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less Than Significant Impact".
- 4. Potentially Significant Impact: The proposed applications could have impacts that are considered significant. Additional analyses and possibly an EIR could be required to identify mitigation measures that could reduce these impacts to less than significant levels.

#### F. POLICY-LEVEL or PROJECT LEVEL ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS

This Initial Study and Negative Declaration will be conducted under a policy-level, project level analysis. Regarding mitigation measures, it is not the intent of this document to "overlap" or restate conditions of approval that are commonly established for future known projects or the proposed applications. Additionally, those other standard requirements and regulations that any development must comply with, that are outside the County's jurisdiction, are also not considered mitigation measures and therefore, will not be identified in this document.

#### G. TIERED DOCUMENTS AND INCORPORATION BY REFERENCE

Information, findings, and conclusions contained in this document are based on incorporation by reference of tiered documentation, which are discussed in the following section.

#### 1. Tiered Documents

As permitted in Section 15152(a) of the CEQA Guidelines, information and discussions from other documents can be included into this document. Tiering is defined as follows:

"Tiering refers to using the analysis of general matters contained in a broader EIR (such as the one prepared

for a general plan or policy statement) with later EIRs and negative declarations on narrower projects; incorporating by reference the general discussions from the broader EIR; and concentrating the later EIR or negative declaration solely on the issues specific to the later project."

Tiering also allows this document to comply with Section 15152(b) of the CEQA Guidelines, which discourages redundant analyses, as follows:

"Agencies are encouraged to tier the environmental analyses which they prepare for separate but related projects including the general plans, zoning changes, and development projects. This approach can eliminate repetitive discussion of the same issues and focus the later EIR or negative declaration on the actual issues ripe for decision at each level of environmental review. Tiering is appropriate when the sequence of analysis is from an EIR prepared for a general plan, policy or program to an EIR or negative declaration for another plan, policy, or program of lesser scope, or to a site-specific EIR or negative declaration."

Further, Section 15152(d) of the CEQA Guidelines states:

"Where an EIR has been prepared and certified for a program, plan, policy, or ordinance consistent with the requirements of this section, any lead agency for a later project pursuant to or consistent with the program, plan, policy, or ordinance should limit the EIR or negative declaration on the later project to effects which:

(1) Were not examined as significant effects on the environment in the prior EIR; or

(2) Are susceptible to substantial reduction or avoidance by the choice of specific revisions in the project, by the imposition of conditions, or other means."

#### 2. Incorporation By Reference

Incorporation by reference is a procedure for reducing the size of EIRs/MND and is most appropriate for including long, descriptive, or technical materials that provide general background information, but do not contribute directly to the specific analysis of the project itself. This procedure is particularly useful when an EIR or Negative Declaration relies on a broadly-drafted EIR for its evaluation of cumulative impacts of related projects (*Las Virgenes Homeowners Federation v. County of Los Angeles* [1986, 177 Ca.3d 300]). If an EIR or Negative Declaration relies on information from a supporting study that is available to the public, the EIR or Negative Declaration cannot be deemed unsupported by evidence or analysis (*San Francisco Ecology Center v. City and County of San Francisco* [1975, 48 Ca.3d 584, 595]). This document incorporates by reference appropriate information from the "Final Environmental Impact Report and Environmental Assessment for the "County of Imperial General Plan EIR" prepared by Brian F. Mooney Associates in 1993 and updates.

When an EIR or Negative Declaration incorporates a document by reference, the incorporation must comply with Section 15150 of the CEQA Guidelines as follows:

- The incorporated document must be available to the public or be a matter of public record (CEQA Guidelines Section 15150[a]). The General Plan EIR and updates are available, along with this document, at the County of Imperial Planning & Development Services Department, 801 Main Street, El Centro, CA 92243 Ph. (442) 265-1736.
- This document must be available for inspection by the public at an office of the lead agency (CEQA Guidelines Section 15150[b]). These documents are available at the County of Imperial Planning & Development Services Department, 801 Main Street, El Centro, CA 92243 Ph. (442) 265-1736.
- These documents must summarize the portion of the document being incorporated by reference or briefly

describe information that cannot be summarized. Furthermore, these documents must describe the relationship between the incorporated information and the analysis in the tiered documents (CEQA Guidelines Section 15150[c]). As discussed above, the tiered EIRs address the entire project site and provide background and inventory information and data which apply to the project site. Incorporated information and/or data will be cited in the appropriate sections.

- These documents must include the State identification number of the incorporated documents (CEQA Guidelines Section 15150[d]). The State Clearinghouse Number for the County of Imperial General Plan EIR is SCH #93011023.
- The material to be incorporated in this document will include general background information (CEQA Guidelines Section 15150[f]). This has been previously discussed in this document.



#### Environmental Checklist

- 1. Project Title: Parcel Map #02511 / Initial Study #24-0008
- 2. Lead Agency: Imperial County Planning & Development Services Department
- 3. Contact person and phone number: Rocio Yee, Planner I, (442)265-1736, ext. 1750
- 4. Address: 801 Main Street, El Centro CA, 92243
- 5. E-mail: rocioyee@co.imperial.ca.us

11.

- Project location: 949 Lindsey Rd. Calipatria, CA 92233, The parcels are identified as Assessor's Parcel Number (APN) 020-130-018, with legal description POR PAR 5 COC OF NW4 & S2 SEC 9 12-13 480AC and APN: 020-130-019, legally described as POR PAR 5 COC OF NE4 SEC 9 12-13 160AC. Both parcels are located in an unincorporated area of the County of Imperial.
- 7. Project sponsor's name and address: Kudu, Inc.

#### 696 N. 8th Street, Brawley CA 92227

- 8. General Plan designation: Agriculture
- 9. Zoning: A-3-G (Heavy Agricultural with Geothermal Overlay)

10. Description of project: The parcel map consists of four (4) separate legal parcels and are assessed as two APNs being 020-130-018 and 020-130-019, which are approximately 480 acres and 160 acres, respectively. The reason behind the proposed parcel map is to separate the existing separately farmed fields into six (6) legal parcels; per Subdivision Map Act Section 66426 (d) allowing more than four parcels on a Parcel Map.

11. **Surrounding land uses and setting**: The first lot is located at the Southeast quadrant of Lindsey Road and Severe Road, the second lot is located at the Northeast quadrant of Young Road and Severe Road, the third lot is located at the Northwest quadrant of Young and Gentry Road, and the fourth lot is located at the Southwest quadrant of Gentry and Lindsey Road in the Imperial County, California.

The project is surrounded by parcels zoned as A-3-G (Heavy Agricultural with Geothermal Overlay) and M-3-G (Heavy Industrial with Geothermal Overlay) on the North; parcels zoned as A-3-G (Heavy Agricultural with Geothermal Overlay) on the South, East and West.

12. Other public agencies whose approval is required (e.g., permits, financing approval, or participation agreement.): Planning Commission.

13. Have California Native American tribes traditionally and culturally affiliated with the project area requested consultation pursuant to Public Resources Code section 21080.3.1? If so, is there a plan for consultation that includes, for example, the determination of significance of impacts to tribal cultural resources, procedures regarding confidentially, etc.?

The Quechan and Campo Band of Mission Indian Tribes have requested to be consulted under Assembly Bill 52. Consultation letters were sent to the Quechan and Torres Martinez Desert Cahuilla Indian Tribes on May 8, 2024. No comments have been received from the Quechan Indian Tribes and Campo Band of Mission Indian Tribes for this project to date.

Imperial County Planning & Development Services Department Page 8 of 33 Initial Study, Environmental Checklist Form & Negative Declaration for PM#02511 IS#24-0008 KUDU, Inc.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

Aesthetics	Agriculture and Forestry Resources	Air Quality
Biological Resources	Cultural Resources	Energy
Geology /Soils	Greenhouse Gas Emissions	Hazards & Hazardous Materials
Hydrology / Water Quality	Land Use / Planning	Mineral Resources
Noise	Population / Housing	Public Services
Recreation	Transportation	Tribal Cultural Resources
Utilities/Service Systems	Wildfire	Mandatory Findings of Significance

# **ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION COMMITTEE (EEC) DETERMINATION**

After Review of the Initial Study, the Environmental Evaluation Committee has:

Found that the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a <u>NEGATIVE</u> <u>DECLARATION</u> will be prepared.

Found that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. <u>A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION</u> will be prepared.

Found that the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an <u>ENVIRONMENTAL</u> <u>IMPACT REPORT</u> is required.

Found that the proposed project MAY have a "potentially significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed.

Found that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards, and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further is required.

EEC VOTES PUBLIC WORKS ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SVCS OFFICE EMERGENCY SERVICES APCD AG SHERIFF DEPARTMENT ICPDS MARKED	始 団 図 図 団 関 団 戦 登 営 四 関 つ 関 つ 戦 学	ABSENT 
lim Minnick, Director of Planning/EEC Chairman		Date:

- A. Project Location: The project is located at 949 Linsey Road, Calipatria, CA 92233; Assessor's Parcel Number: 020-130-018 and 020-130-019.
- B. Project Summary: The applicant, KUDU, Inc., proposes a parcel map to separate the existing separately farmed fields into legal parcels, the Parcel Map consist of four separate legal lots and are assessed as two Assessor Parcel Number being 020-130-180 and 020-130-019; The proposed parcels will be as followed:
  - Proposed Parcel 1 would be approximately 160.60 Acres
  - Proposed Parcel 2 would be approximately 159.92 Acres
  - Proposed Parcel 3 would be approximately 81.04 Acres
  - Proposed Parcel 4 would be approximately 79.44 Acres
  - Proposed Parcel 5 would be approximately 79.82 Acres
  - Proposed Parcel 6 would be approximately 80.25 Acres

Existing agricultural use (A-3-G) will remain the same.

C. Environmental Setting: The proposed project parcel is generally flat, located between Severe Road and Gentry Road, bounded to the south by Young Road in the County of Imperial, CA, and currently used as agricultural. Surrounding parcel uses are Heavy Agricultural with Geothermal Overlay and Medium Industrial with Geothermal Overlay. The City of Calipatria is located approximately 5.5 miles southeast of the project site.

Analysis: Under the Land Use Element of the Imperial County General Plan, the project site is designated as "Agriculture." It is classified as A-3-G (Heavy Agricultural with Geothermal Overlay) per Zone Map #53 of the Imperial County Land Use Ordinance (Title 9). Initial Study #24-0008 will analyze any impacts related to the proposed project. The Parcel Map proposes (6) six parcels, which complies with Section 90805 of the Imperial County Land Use Ordinance (Title 9); and Subdivision Map Act Section 66426 (d) allowing more than four parcels on a Parcel Map. The parcels will remain in agricultural use.

- Proposed Parcel 1 would be approximately 160.60 Acres
- Proposed Parcel 2 would be approximately 159.92 Acres
- Proposed Parcel 3 would be approximately 81.04 Acres
- Proposed Parcel 4 would be approximately 79.44 Acres
- Proposed Parcel 5 would be approximately 79.82 Acres
- Proposed Parcel 6 would be approximately 80.25 Acres
- D. General Plan Consistency: The project is located within the County's General Plan designation of "Agriculture." The site is currently zoned as A-3-G (Heavy Agricultural with Geothermal Overlay). The proposed project could be considered consistent with the General Plan and County Land Use Ordinance, Section 90509, since no change is being proposed to the existing "Agriculture" designation.





Imperial County Planning & Development Services Department Page 12 of 33

Initial Study, Environmental Checklist Form & Negative Declaration for PMed2511 1924-0008 KUDU, Inc.

#### EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS:

- 1) A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g., the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g., the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).
- All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
- 3) Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less than significant with mitigation, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
- 4) "Negative Declaration: Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less Than Significant Impact." The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from "Earlier Analyses," as described in (5) below, may be cross-referenced).
- 5) Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration. Section 15063(c)(3)(D). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
  - a) Earlier Analysis Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.
  - b) Impacts Adequately Addressed. Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
  - c) Mitigation Measures. For effects that are "Less than Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measures which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project.
- 6) Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g., general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.
- Supporting Information Sources: A source list should be attached, and other sources used or individuals contacted should be cited in the discussion.
- 8) This is only a suggested form, and lead agencies are free to use different formats; however, lead agencies should normally address the questions from this checklist that are relevant to a project's environmental effects in whatever format is selected.
- 9) The explanation of each issue should identify:
  - a) the significance criteria or threshold, if any, used to evaluate each question; and
  - b) the mitigation measure identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significance

		Potentially Significant Impact ( <b>PSI)</b>	Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated ( <b>PSUMI)</b>	Less Than Significant Impact (LTSI)	No Impac (NI)
	ESTHETICS ot as provided in Public Resources Code Section 21099, would the p	project:			
a)	Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista or scenic highway? a) The project site is not located near any scenic vista or s Circulation and Scenic Highway Element <sup>1</sup> . No impacts are ex		according to the Impe	County G	⊠ eneral Plan
b)	Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway? b) As previously stated on section (I)(a), the proposed project not substantially damage any scenic resources, only four a scenic highways No impacts are expected.				
c)	In non-urbanized areas, substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of public views of the site and its surrounding? (Public views are those that are experienced from publicly accessible vantage point.) If the project is in an urbanized area, would the project conflict with applicable zoning and other regulations governing scenic quality? c) The proposed project would not substantially or physically of the site and its surroundings since the existing agriculturation.				
d)	Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area? d) The proposed project is to separate (4) four existing agric Act Section 66426 (d) allowing more than four parcels on a F light or glare would adversely affect day or nighttime views in	Parcel Map. It is	not expected that a m		
Agriculi use in a environ the stat	AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES ermining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significan tural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. In determining whe imental effects, lead agencies may refer to information compiled by le's inventory of forest land, including the Forest and Range Assess measurement methodology provided in Forest Protocols adopted b	by the California ther impacts to find the California D sment Project an	Department of Conserv orest resources, includin pepartment of Forestry a d the Forest Legacy As	ation as an option of timberland, a and Fire Protect sessment proje	onal model to tre significant ion regarding ct; and fores
a)	Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non- agricultural use? a) The proposed project site is listed as "Prime Farmland" Imperial County Important Farmland 2018 Map <sup>2</sup> . The propose Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance to non-agricul	d project will n	ot convert any type of		
b)	Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act Contract? b) The County of Imperial has no current active Williamson A expected to conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or				
c)	Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code Section 51104(g))? c) The proposed project is consistent with the zoning, and it is not expected to conflict with existing zoning for, or cause re				
nperial Cou lage 14 of 3	unty Planning & Development Services Department Initi	al Study, Environmental (	Checklist Form & Negative Declarat	ion for PM#02511 IS#2	

r

		Potentially Significant Impact ( <b>PSI)</b>	Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated <b>(PSUMI)</b>	Less Than Significant Impact (LTSI)	No Impac (NI)
	ection 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Reso roduction (as defined by Government Code Section 5114(g))			erland zoned	Timberland
'n	tesult in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to on-forest use?				
	) The proposed project is not located in forest land, there onversion of forest land to non-forest. No impacts are expect		expected to result in t	he loss of foi	rest land or
to	volve other changes in the existing environment which, due their location or nature, could result in conversion of		П		$\boxtimes$
to	armland, to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land non-forest use? The proposed project is for a subdivision to separate (4) fo	ur existing agri	cultural fields into (6)	six legal parce	
S	ubdivision Map Act Section 66426 (d) allowing more than for s a result of this project and is not expected to change the rmland. Therefore, no impacts are expected.	our parcels on a	Parcel Map. No new	construction i	s proposed
AIR Q	UALITY				
	ailable, the significance criteria established by the applicable air on to the following determinations. Would the Project:	quality managem	ent district or air pollutic	on control distri	ct may be
	onflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air ality plan?			$\boxtimes$	
Re ap ru an	pplicable air quality plan. For future construction and earth egulations. Additionally, per Imperial County Air Pollution plicant and all developments must comply with all Air Distr les designed to maintain fugitive dust emissions below 20% d regulations will bring any impacts to less than significant	Control Distric ict Rules & Reg % visual opacity	t's comment letter <sup>17</sup> ulation VII- Fugitive D	dated May 22 ust Rules, a c	, 2024, the ollection of
cri un sta	esult in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any teria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment der an applicable federal or state ambient air quality andard?				
Im	As previously stated under item (III)(a) above, any future or perial County Air Pollution Control District; therefore, it is ntribute to an existing or projected air quality violation. The	not expected	that the proposed pro	ject would su	ubstantially
COI	pose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutants ncentrations?				
pro po	The proposed project is for a subdivision to create (6) six le oposed as a result of this project. The proposed subdivision llutants concentrations. Compliance with ACPD's requirem an significant.	n is not expecte	d to expose sensitive	receptors to	substantial
d) Re adv	sult in other emissions (such as those leading to odors versely affecting a substantial number of people?			$\boxtimes$	
od wit	As previously stated on item (III)(c) above, the proposed r ors that would adversely affect a substantial number of peop h ACPD's requirements, rules, and regulations and adherin s than significant.	le. Also, as prev	iously stated on item (	III)(b) above, c	ompliance
BIOLOG	GICAL RESOURCES Would the project:				
hat sen	ve a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through itat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, isitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, cies or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish				
	Wildlife or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?				

	Potentially		
Potential	y Significant	Less Than	
Significan	t Unless Mitigation	Significant	
Impact	Incorporated	Impact	No Impact
(PSI)	(PSUMI)	(LTSI)	(NI)

a) The proposed project site is located within disturbed land. According to the Imperial County General Plan's Conservation and Open Space Element<sup>3</sup>, Figure 1 "Sensitive Habitat Map<sup>3a</sup>," the project is not located within a sensitive habitat area. Additionally, in accordance to Figure 2 "Sensitive Species Map3b," the project is located within the Burrowing Owl Species Distribution Model area. However, the proposed project does not expect to have any physical changes to the environment. Consequently, it does not appear to have a substantially adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modification, or to any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or of special status in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife Service. Any future developments on site, the applicant shall contact ICPDS; therefore, any impacts are expected to be less than significant. b) Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional  $\Box$  $\boxtimes$ Π plans, policies, regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service? b) According to the Imperial County General Plan's Conservation and Open Space Element3, the project site is not within a sensitive or riparian habitat, or on other sensitive natural community. Additionally, the existing agricultural use is proposed to remain; therefore, it does not appear to have a substantial effect in local regional plans, policies, and regulations with respect to sensitive natural communities or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Any impacts are expected to be less than significant. Have a substantial adverse effect on state or federally C) protected wetlands (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal  $\Box$  $\square$ X pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means? c) As previously stated on item (IV)(b) above, the proposed project is for a minor subdivision that is not located within a riparian habitat and which will not cause a substantial adverse effect on federal protected wetlands (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means. Any impacts are expected to be less than significant. d) Interfere substantially with the movement of any resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native П П  $\boxtimes$ resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites? d) The proposed project site has an existing agricultural use in an area of approximately ±641.07 acres where no physical alterations to the environment are proposed. Additionally, as previously stated on item (IV)(b) above, the project site is not located within a Sensitive Habitat; therefore, it would not interfere substantially with the movement of any resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites. Any impacts are expected to be less than significant. Conflict with any local policies or ordinance protecting e) X biological resource, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance? e) The proposed project does not conflict with any local policy or ordinance protecting biological resources, such as tree preservation policies or ordinances. No impacts are expected. f) Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or X  $\Box$ other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan? f) The proposed project is for a subdivision to create (6) six parcels and is not within a designated sensitive area according to the Imperial County General Plan's Conservation and Open Space Element<sup>4</sup>, therefore, it would not conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan. Any impacts are expected to be less than significant. V. CULTURAL RESOURCES Would the project: Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a a) X historical resource pursuant to §15064.5? a) According to the Imperial County General Plan's Conservation and Open Space Element<sup>3</sup>, Figure 5, the project site is not located within an "Area of Heightened Historic Period Sensitivity3c." Additionally, in accordance with Figure 6, "Known Areas of Native American Cultural Sensitivity<sup>3d</sup>," does not locate the proposed project within a designated area of possible impact.

_		Potentially Significant Impact <b>(PSI)</b>	Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated (PSUMI)	Less Than Significant Impact <b>(LTSI)</b>	No Impact (NI)
	Also, on May 8, 2024, the County emailed the Quechar of Imperial has not received any comments to date. The documented nor known historical resources; therefore	e site is already distu	rbed by existing agric	ultural operation	
È	<ul> <li>b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of archaeological resource pursuant to §15064.5?</li> <li>b) The proposed project is located on already disturbe known archeological resources. The proposed minor s archeological resource. Any impacts are expected to b</li> </ul>	لـــا d land with existing a ubdivision is not likel	y to cause a substanti		
c	<ul> <li>c) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outsi of dedicated cemeteries?</li> <li>c) As previously stated on items (V)(a) and (V)(b) above cemeteries, therefore, the proposed minor subdivision outside of dedicated cemeteries. Any impacts are expensional of the statement of the stat</li></ul>	لـــا ve, the proposed projo on would not disturb	any human remains,		
VI. <b>E</b>	ENERGY Would the project:				
a	a) Result in potentially significant environmental impact due wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary consumption of ener resources, during project construction or operation?	ду 🗖			
	a) The proposed project is for a minor subdivision th currently agricultural; therefore, it will not result in pote or unnecessary consumption of energy resources, dur construction occur, said developments would require of a new building permit application with the Imperial Cour expected to be less than significant.	entially significant env ing the project constr compliance with the la	vironmental impact du ruction or operation. S test edition of the Cal	e to wasteful, in Should any new ifornia Building	nsufficient, v habitable g Code and
b)	<ul> <li>b) Conflict with or obstruct a state or local plan for renewate energy or energy efficiency?</li> <li>b) As previously stated in item (VI)(a) above, the proper changes to the existing use. Future new development renewable energy standards and regulations. Therefore plan for renewable energy or energy efficiency. Any implicit and the state of the stat</li></ul>	bsed project is for a n ents will require com , the proposed project	pliance with the late will not conflict with o	st energy effic or obstruct a st	ciency and
VII. <b>G</b>	GEOLOGY AND SOILS Would the project:				
a)	<ul> <li>a) Directly or indirectly cause potential substantial adverseffects, including risk of loss, injury, or death involving:</li> <li>a) The proposed subdivision does not appear to confil proposed developments are anticipated at the time. Add Should any new, future developments be to occur on the of the California Building Code as well as to go through would not directly or indirectly cause potential substatimpacts are expected to be less than significant.</li> </ul>	ict with the geology a litionally, the existing a parcels, such will be a ministerial building	agricultural operation subjected to complia permit review. Theref	s are proposed nce with the lat ore, the propos	to remain. est edition sed project
	<ol> <li>Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated of the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zonin Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or base on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 422 1) Although the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthq within any Earthquake Fault Zones, it is still locate northwest of the Imperial Fault according to the Cal Quaternary Faults Map<sup>6</sup> indicating seismic groun Seismic Zone D per the Uniform Building Code, wi incorporate the most stringent earthquake resistat either parcel, such will be subject to compliance w through an administrative building permit review. would bring any impacts to less than significant.</li> </ol>	ng to uake Fault Zoning Ma d within the Brawley S lifomia Fault Activity I d shaking is expecte hich required that any nt measures. Should ifth the latest edition of	Seismic Zone and app Map <sup>5</sup> and the United Si d. However, Imperia v developments within any new, future devel of the California Build	roximately 15 r tates Geologica I County is cla this zone be r opments are to ing Code as we	niles away al Survey's assified as required to o occur on ell as to go

-			Potentially Significant Impact (PSI)	Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated (PSUMI)	Less Than Significant Impact (LTSI)	No Impact (NI)
	2)	Strong Seismic ground shaking? 2) The proposed project is for a minor subdivision whe no new developments. As previously stated on item (VII) Seismic Zone and approximately 15 miles away northw expected. Adherence to the latest edition of the Califo building permit review would bring any impacts to less	(a)(1) above, the rest of the Imper mia Building Co	e proposed project is I rial Fault, indicating s ode and as well as to	ocated within eismic ground	the Brawley I shaking is
	3)	Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction and seiche/tsunami? 3) As previously stated in item (VII)(a)(2) above, the Additionally, the project site is not located in a seiche/ts are expected to be less than significant.				
	4)	Landslides? 4) According to Imperial County General Plan's Seismic 2, the proposed project is not located within a landslide is generally flat; therefore, no impacts are expected.				
b)	b) A	ult in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil? According to Imperial County General Plan's Seismic and posed project is not located within an area of substantial so				
c)	woul pote subs c) T prop with	ocated on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable or that Id become unstable as a result of the project, and ntially result in on- or off-site landslides, lateral spreading, sidence, liquefaction or collapse? he proposed project site is not located on a geological up posed minor subdivision. Should any future construction the latest edition of the California Building Code as well a compliance to these standards and regulations would bri	occur on eithe s to go through	r parcel, such will be a ministerial building	subjected to permit review.	compliance
d)	Build or pr d) TI U.S. on a (VII) regu	bocated on expansive soil, as defined in the latest Uniform ling Code, creating substantial direct or indirect risk to life operty? he proposed project is for a subdivision on already disturt Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservat In area containing Holtville, Imperial-Glenbar, and Indio si (c), any new developments will require adherence and lations, as well as to go through a ministerial building ificant.	tion Service "So Ity clays and loa compliance to	bil Maps, <sup>9</sup> " the propos ams. However, as pre the California Buildi	ed project site viously stated ng Code, star	e is located on section ndards and
e)	septi wher water e) No cons regu	e soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of c tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems e sewers are not available for the disposal of waste r? o proposed developments are anticipated as the existing struction proposing any septic or alternative wastewater lations from the Imperial County Public Health Department ese standards would bring any impacts to less than signi	disposal system , Division of Env	ns shall comply with a	applicable sta	ndards and
f)	or sit f) Th not a	tly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource e or unique geologic feature? e project site is located on already disturbed land with ex uppear to directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontol cts are expected to be less than significant.				

VIII. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSION Would the project:

			Potentially Significant Impact <b>(PSI)</b>	Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated (PSUMI)	Less Than Significant Impact (LTSI)	No Impact (NI)
	a)	Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?			$\boxtimes$	
		a) The proposed subdivision is for with already disturbed land proposed. The action is not expected to generate greenhou significant impact on the environment. Additionally, per Im dated May 22, 2024, stated that the applicant and all develop would emphasize Regulation VIII- Fugitive Dust Rules, a co below 20% visual opacity. Adherence and compliance to Ad significant.	se gas emission operial County A ments must com ollection of rules	is, either directly or in ir Pollution Control D ply with all Air District designed to maintain	directly, that r istrict's comm Rules & Regu n fugitive dust	nay have a ent letter <sup>17</sup> lations and emissions
	b)	Conflict with an applicable plan or policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?			$\boxtimes$	
		b) The proposed project would not conflict with any regula reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases to 1990 lev regulations. Less than significant impacts are expected.				
IX.	HA.	ZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS Would the projec	t:			
	a)	Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?				
		a) The proposed project is not expected to create a significar the handling of any hazardous materials. No impacts are exp		public or the environm	ent as it does i	not involve
	b)	Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonable foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?				$\boxtimes$
		b) The proposed minor subdivision is not expected to crea reasonable foreseeable upset and accident conditions involv no hazardous materials are anticipated as part of the project.	ing the release o	of hazardous materials		
	c)	Ernit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?				$\boxtimes$
		c) The proposed project does not anticipate the emitting of I hazardous materials, substances, or waste as previously sta site is not located within a ¼ mile of any schools. The ne approximately 6.3 miles southeast of the proposed project facilities. No impacts are expected.	ted on items (IX) arest school in	)(a) and (IX)(b) above. the area is Calipatria	Additionally, f a High School	the project , which is
	d)	Be located on a site, which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment? d) The proposed project is not located on a site included of the public of the project is not located on a site included of the proposed project is not located on a site included of the proposed project is not located on a site included of the project is n			according to	⊠ California
	2	Department of Toxic Substances Control EnviroStor <sup>10</sup> ; therefor	ore, no impacts a	are expected.		
	e)	For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard or excessive noise for people residing or working in the project area?				
		e) The proposed project is not located within an airport land Maps <sup>11</sup> . The nearest airport in the area is the Calipatria Mun project site; therefore, it would not result or create a significa the project area. No impacts are expected.	icipal Airport lo	cated approximately	6 miles southe	ast of the

		Potentially Significant Impact (PSI)	Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated (PSUMI)	Less Than Significant Impact (LTSI)	No Impac (NI)
f)	Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?				$\boxtimes$
	<ul> <li>f) The proposed subdivision would not interfere with an adop The applicant will meet any requirements requested by the Fi</li> </ul>				tion plan.
g)	Expose people or structures, either directly or indirectly, to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires? g) According to Cal Fire "Fire Hazard Severity Zones in State 7, 2007, the proposed project site is located within an uninco proposed. Should any future construction occur on either pa have either a private water or public source as pressurized h	rporated Local rcel, such may I	Responsibility Area. N be subject to the inclu	ew developme sion of fire spr	nts are not inklers and
	would bring any impacts to less than significant.	<b>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </b>			
HY	DROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY Would the project:				
a)	Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements or otherwise substantially degrade surface or ground water quality? a) The proposed minor subdivision is to separate two agricultu quality standards or waste discharge requirements or othe				
	Therefore, any impacts are expected to be less than significant		any degrade surface	or ground wa	ter quanty.
b)	Substantially decrease groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that the project may impede sustainable groundwater management of the basin?				
	b) The project proposes to continue the existing agricultural t supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharg management of the basin. Any impacts are expected to be less	e such that the	project may impede		
c)	Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river or through the addition of impervious surfaces, in a manner which would:				
	c) Although the proposed subdivision is located approximat physical alteration to the site that would substantially alter the the alteration of the course or a stream or river or though th project will be required to submit a grading and drainage letter regulations prior to the recordation of the proposed parcel ma impacts to less than significant.	existing draina e addition of im er according to	ge pattern of the site o pervious surfaces. Ac the Imperial County F	r area, includin Iditionally, the Public Works D	ng through proposed epartment
	(i) result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site;			$\boxtimes$	
	(i) According to Imperial County General Plan's Seismic and P the proposed subdivision is not located within an area of subs the proposed project will continue with the existing agricultur- impacts are expected to be less than significant.	stantial soil eros	sion or siltation on- or	off-site. Additi	onally,
	<ul> <li>substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or offsite;</li> </ul>			$\boxtimes$	
	(ii) As previously stated on item (X)(c)(i) above, the proposed not expected to substantially increase the rate or amount of substantially increase the rate	urface runoff in	a manner which would	d result in floo	ding on-or
	offsite. Compliance with Imperial County Public Works Depart				

	Potentially Significant Impact ( <b>PSI)</b>	Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated (PSUMI)	Less Than Significant Impact (LTSI)	No Impact (NI)
systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff; or:	of			

(iii) As previously stated on item (X)(c) above, Imperial County Public Works Department will require a grading letter prior to the recordation of the proposed parcel map which shall clearly show all on-site grading and shall demonstrate how off-site drainage resulting from the subdivision will be managed or controlled to prevent any adverse impacts. Compliance with Imperial County Public Works Department standards would ensure that any runoff water impacts would be reduced to less than significant.

(iv) impede or redirect flood flows?			$\boxtimes$	
--------------------------------------	--	--	-------------	--

(iv) According to the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Flood Map Service Center<sup>13</sup>, Flood Insurance Rate Map, the proposed project site is located within "Zone A" of flood map 06025C0750C, effective September 26, 2008. However, no new developments are proposed, and existing agricultural operations are to remain and as a result, it would not impede or redirect flood flows. Additionally, a reviewed and approved grading/drainage letter is to be required by the Imperial County Public Works Department. Therefore, compliance with ICPWD's standards would bring any impacts to be less than significant.

- d) In flood hazard, tsunami, or seiche zones, risk release of pollutants due to project inundation?
   d) The proposed project will continue with the existing agricultural use with no new development proposed; therefore, impacts related to risk release of pollutants due to project inundation are considered to be low. Additionally, as previously stated on item (X)(c)(iv) above, even though the proposed project site is located within "Zone A" of Flood Map 06025C0750C, compliance with ICPWD's standards would contribute to lessen any impacts to less than significant.
- e) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of a water quality \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ implementation of a water quality control plan or sustainable groundwater management plan?
   e) As previously stated on item (X)(c) above, the proposed project would require a grading letter approved by the Imperial Country Public Works Department prior to the recordation of the parcel map; therefore, it is not expected that the subdivision would conflict with or obstruct the implementation of a water quality control plan or sustainable groundwater management plan. Any impacts are expected to be less than significant.
- XI. LAND USE AND PLANNING Would the project:
  - a) Physically divide an established community?
     a) The proposed subdivision is to separate a parcel containing four (4) existing agricultural fields into six (6) legal parcels and would not physically divide an established community. Additionally, each proposed parcel does not anticipate changing the existing land use designation and zoning; therefore, no impacts are expected.
  - b) Cause a significant environmental impact due to a conflict with any land use plan, policy, or regulation adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?
    b) As previously stated on item (XI)(a) above, the proposed project is consistent with the Imperial County General Plan, Section 90303.01 (lot size) as no portion of any lot parcel within the A-3 zone shall contain less than 40 acres gross. Therefore, under the Subdivision Map Act Section 66426 (d) "Each parcel created by the division has a gross area of not less than 40 acres or is not less than a quarter of a quarter section" allowing more than four parcels on a Parcel Map, to separate the existing farmed fields into legal parcels. Additionally, the proposed Parcel Map is also consistent with the County's Land Use Ordinance; therefore, no impacts are expected.

#### XII. MINERAL RESOURCES Would the project:

a)	Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?		
	a) The proposed project does not anticipate the removal of an active mine per Imperial County General Plan's Conservage <sup>3</sup> e" Figure 8, No impacts are expected.		

_			Potentially Significant Impact ( <b>PSI)</b>	Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated (PSUMI)	Less Than Significant Impact <b>(LTSI)</b>	No Impact (NI)
	b)	Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan? b) The proposed Parcel Map will not result in the loss of a			I resources rea	⊠ covery site
XIII.	NO	delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land	d use plan. No in	pacts are expected.		
÷	a)	Generation of a substantial temporary or permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the vicinity of the project in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies? a) The proposed project is for a subdivision to separate fo would not result in the generation of temporary or permanen should any future construction occur, such action would be which states that construction equipment operation shall be and from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m. on Saturday. Additionally, construct	t noise beyond t subject to the li limited to the ho	hat which already occ nperial County Gener ours of 7 a.m. to 7 p.m.	urs on the site al Plan's Noise , Monday throu	. However, Element <sup>14</sup> Jgh Friday,
	b)	not exceed 75 dB Leq when averaged over an eight (8) hour Element would bring any impacts to less than significant. Generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?				
		b) The proposed subdivision does not anticipate any changes Additionally, as previously stated on item (XIII)(a) above, any Plan's Noise Element. Any impacts are expected to be less th	future constructi			
	c)	For a project located within the vicinity of a private airstrip or an airport land use plan or where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels? c) The proposed project site is not located within the vicinity	of a private airst	rip; therefore, no imp	acts are expect	⊠ ted.
XIV.	POF	PULATION AND HOUSING Would the project:				
i	a)	Induce substantial unplanned population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and business) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)? a) The proposed Parcel Map would not induce substantial unpl as no changes to the existing agricultural use are proposed.				
t	)	Displace substantial numbers of existing people or housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? b) The proposed Subdivision will not displace substantial num housing elsewhere as it has an existing agricultural use with n to be less than significant.	mbers of people	necessitating the con	⊠ struction or re	placement
XV.	PU	BLIC SERVICES				
а		Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other			⊠	
	rial Cou 22 of 3		tial Study, Environmental (	Checklist Form & Negative Declara		

		•	Potentially Significant Impact (PSI)	Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated (PSUMI)	Less Than Significant Impact (LTSI)	No impact (NI)
		performance objectives for any of the public services: a) The proposed subdivision would create six (6) parcels with Additionally, it is not anticipated that the project would res provision of new or physically altered government facilities construction of which could cause significant environment impact would be less than significant. 1) Fire Protection?	ult in substantia s, need for new	adverse physical im or physically altered	pacts associat government fa	ed with the cilities, the
		<ol> <li>The proposed subdivision is not expected to result in su- development may be subject to fire sprinklers and to have purposes such as pressurized hydrants. Compliance with IC</li> </ol>	either a private	or public source of v	water for fire s	
		<ol> <li>Police Protection?</li> <li>The proposed project is not expected to result in substant Patrol and Sheriff's Office North County Operations have act expected to be less than significant.</li> </ol>				
		3) Schools?			$\boxtimes$	
		<ol> <li>The proposed subdivision is not expected to have a subs non-residential parcels. Any impacts are expected to be less</li> </ol>			ect would gene	rate six (6)
		<ul><li>4) Parks?</li><li>4) The proposed project is not expected to have a substantia with existing agricultural operations. Any impacts are expect</li></ul>			⊠ I generate six (	6) parcels
		5) Other Public Facilities?				$\boxtimes$
XV	/I. <b>RE</b>	5) The proposed subdivision is not expected to have a substate expected.	innar niipact on t	Juner public lacinities,	mereiore, no n	mparis are
	a)	Would the project increase the use of the existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? a) The proposed project is to separate four (4) agricultural fie proposed to remain. Subsequently, the proposed subdivision regional parks or other recreational facilities such that subs accelerated. Any impacts are expected to be less than signific	on would not in tantial physical	crease the use of exi	isting neighbo	rhood and
	b)	Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse effect on the environment?			$\boxtimes$	
		<ul> <li>b) The proposed minor subdivision does not include nor required would only generate two parcels zoned as agricultural; therefore</li> </ul>				ilities as it
XVII.	TRA	NSPORTATION Would the project:				
	a)	Conflict with a program plan, ordinance or policy addressing the circulation system, including transit, roadway, bicycle and pedestrian facilities? a) The proposed project is to separate four (4) agricultural field to remain. The subdivision is not expected to create a substar County General Plan's Circulation and Scenic Highway Elem significant.	ntial impact on s	urrounding roads nor	conflicting with	th Imperial

Imperial County Planning & Development Services Department Page 23 of 33 Initial Study, Environmental Checklist Form & Negative Declaration for PM#02511 IS#24-0008 KUDU, Inc.

		Potentially Significant Impact <b>(PSI)</b>	Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated (PSUMI)	Less Than Significant Impact (LTSI)	No Impact (NI)
b)	Would the project conflict or be inconsistent with the CEQA Guidelines section 15064.3, subdivision (b)? b) The proposed subdivision will not conflict or be incor as it is not expected to have a significant transportation existing land use. Additionally, the proposed project site or a stop along an existing high quality transit corridor. L	nsistent with the CE impact within transit is not located within	priority areas with no 1 ½ mile of either an e	proposed cha existing major f	inge on the
c)	Substantially increases hazards due to a geometric design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)? c) The existing agricultural use on the proposed subdivis Use Designation and the site design is not expected to inc any new development and expects current agricultural op than significant.	ion's site is compati rease hazards. Addit	tionally, the proposed	project does n	ot propose
d)	Result in inadequate emergency access? d) The proposed project would not result in inadequate en zoning are proposed. Proposed parcel 1 will have legal a have legal and physical access from Severe Road, the p Road and Gentry Road, the proposed parcel 4 will have le will have legal and physical access from Gentry road an Gentry Road and Young Road. All proposed accesses app than significant impacts are expected.	nd physical access roposed parcel 3 wil egal and physical ac d the proposed pare	from Lindsey Road, t I have legal and physicess from Gentry Ro- cels 6 will have legal a	he proposed p ical access fro ad, the propose and physical ac	arcel 2 will m Lindsey ed parcel 5 ccess from
XVIII. <b>TF</b> a)	RIBAL CULTURAL RESOURCES Would the project cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a tribal cultural resource, defined in Public Resources Code Section 21074 as either a site, feature, place,			$\boxtimes$	
	cultural landscape that is geographically defined in terms of the size and scope of the landscape, sacred place or object with cultural value to a California Native American tribe, and that is:				
	a) According to the Imperial County General Plan's Con not located within any known Native American cultura appropriate tribes with potential interest in the area. C and Campo Band of Mission Indian Tribes for consulta significant impacts are expected.	al sensitivity area. A On May 8, 2024, AB5	dditionally, the Count 2 letter was sent to th	ty has consulte le Quechan Ind	ed with the lian Tribes
	<ul> <li>(i) Listed or eligible for listing in the California Register of Historical Resources, or in a local register of historical resources as define in Public Resources Code Section 5020.1(k), or</li> <li>(i) According to the California Historic Resources to be eligible under the Public Resources Code S be less than significant.</li> </ul>	<sup>15</sup> in Imperial County			
0	<ul> <li>(ii) A resource determined by the lead agency, in its discretion and supported by substantial evidence, to be significant pursuant to criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resources Code Section 5024.1. In applying the criteria set forth is subdivision (c) of Public Resource Code Section 5024.1, the lead agency shall consider the significance of the resource to a California Native American Tribe.</li> <li>(ii) No significant resources listed as defined in</li> </ul>	the Public Resource	Ces Code Section 50	⊠ 24.1 are exped	cted to be
Imperial Co Page 24 of	unty Planning & Development Services Department		hecklist Form & Negative Declara		1

\*

_		3	Potentially Significant Impact (PSI)	Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated (PSUMI)	Less Than Significant Impact (LTSI)	No impact (NI)
XIX.	UT	impacted by the proposed minor subdivision. Any in ILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS Would the project:	mpacts are expe	cted to be less than si	ignificant.	
	a)	Require or result in the relocation or construction of new or expanded water, wastewater treatment or stormwater drainage, electric power, natural gas, or telecommunications facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects? a) The proposed subdivision is to separate four (4) agricultu with the existing uses as no new developments are propose construction of a new expanded water, wastewater treatr telecommunication facilities, the construction of which could	d. Additionally, nent or stormw	it does not expect or ater drainage, electri	result in the re c power, natu	location or ral gas or
		will continue to receive water from the Vail Lateral 4 Delivery proposed development on any of the parcels or any changes less than significant.	#411 and will co	ntinue to drain to the V	Vail 4-A Drain.	There is no
	b)	Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing and reasonably foreseeable future development during normal, dry and multiple dry years? b) The proposed project does not project a change to the section "(X) there is no proposed development on any of the any impacts are expected to be less than significant.				
	c)	Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments? c) The proposed subdivision will separate a parcel containing it is not expected to result in a determination by the wastew that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected than significant impacts are expected.	ater treatment p	rovider which serves	or may serve t	he project .
ł	d)	Generate solid waste in excess of State or local standards, or in excess of the capacity of local infrastructure, or otherwise impair the attainment of solid waste reduction goals? d) Excess solid waste generation is not expected by the prop to remain on both new parcels. Less than significant impacts		Don as the existing agri	⊠ icultural use is	proposed
ć	e)	Comply with federal, state, and local management and reduction statutes and regulations related to solid waste? e) As previously stated in item (XIX)(d) above, the propose agricultural use as no new developments are proposed. The p management and reduction statutes and regulations related significant.	roposed subdiv	ision shall comply wit	h federal, state	, and local
XX.	WIL.	DFIRE				
		d in or near state responsibility areas or lands classified as very hig	h fire hazard sev	erity zones, would the F	Project:	
a	a)	Substantially impair an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?			$\boxtimes$	
		a) As previously stated on item $(X)(g)$ – "Hazards and Hazardou in State Responsibility Areas – Imperial County <sup>12</sup> " adopted No unincorporated Local Responsibility Area (LRA) with the cl approximately 26 miles west, across the Salton Sea, on the Diego. Therefore, the proposed subdivision would not sul emergency evacuation plan. Less than significant impacts are	ovember 7, 2007 osest Very Higł Borrego Springs ostantially impa	the proposed project Fire Hazard Severity Fire Protection Distr	site is located y Zone (VHFH) ict in the Cour	within an Z) located nty of San

		Potentially Significant Impact (PSI)	Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated (PSUMI)	Less Than Significant Impact (LTSI)	No Impact (NI)
b)	Due to slope, prevailing winds, and other factors, exacerbate wildfire risks, and thereby expose project occupants to pollutant concentrations from a wildfire or the uncontrolled spread of a wildfire? b) As previously stated on item (XX)(a) above, the proposed Zone (VHFHZ); therefore, impacts due to slope, prevailing wi expose project occupants to pollutant concentrations from a to be less than significant.	nds, and other fa	actors, exacerbate wil	dfire risks, and	thereby
c)	Require the installation or maintenance of associated infrastructure (such as roads, fuel breaks, emergency water sources, power lines or other utilities) that may exacerbate fire risk or that may result in temporary or ongoing impacts to the environment? c) The proposed subdivision does not anticipate any changes significant impacts are expected.	in the current u	se other than creating	🛛 1 six (6) parcels	. Less than
d)	Expose people or structures to significant risks, including downslope or downstream flooding or landslides, as a result of runoff, post-fire slope instability, or drainage changes? d) The proposed project site is generally flat and proposes previously stated on item (XX)(a) above, the proposed project Cal Fire's "Fire Hazard Severity Zones in State Responsibility people or structures to significant risks, including downslop post-fire slope instability, or drainage changes are considered	is not located w Areas – Imperia e or downstrea	within a Very High Fire al County <sup>12</sup> ; therefore i m flooding or landslid	Hazard Severit impacts related	y Zone per to expose

Note: Authority cited: Sections 21083 and 21083.05, Public Resources Code. Reference: Section 65088.4, Gov. Code; Sections 21080(c), 21080.1, 21080.3, 21083, 21083.05, 21083.3, 21093, 21094, 21095, and 21151, Public Resources Code; Sundstrom v. County of Mendocino, (1988) 202 Cal.App.3d 296; Leonoff v. Monterey Board of Supervisors, (1990) 222 Cal.App.3d 1337; Eureka Citizens for Responsible Govt v. City of Eureka (2007) 147 Cal.App.4th 357; Protect the Historic Amador Waterways v. Amador Water Agency (2004) 116 Cal.App.4th at 1109; San Franciscans Uphoking the Downtown Plan v. City and County of San Francisco (2002) 102 Cal.App.4th 656.

Revised 2009- CEQA Revised 2011- ICPDS Revised 2016 – ICPDS Revised 2017 – ICPDS Revised 2019 – ICPDS

	Potentially Significant Impact ( <b>PSI)</b>	Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated (PSUMI)	Less Than Significant Impact (LT <b>SI)</b>	No Impact
--	---	--	--	-----------

#### SECTION 3 III. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE

The following are Mandatory Findings of Significance in accordance with Section 15065 of the CEQA Guidelines.

- a) Does the project have the potential to substantially degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below selfsustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, substantially reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal, eliminate tribal cultural resources or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?
- b) Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects.)
- c) Does the project have environmental effects, which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?

	A	
	<b>D</b>	
	be	

#### IV. PERSONS AND ORGANIZATIONS CONSULTED

This section identifies those persons who prepared or contributed to preparation of this document. This section is prepared in accordance with Section 15129 of the CEQA Guidelines.

#### A. COUNTY OF IMPERIAL

- Jim Minnick, Director of Planning & Development Services
- Michael Abraham, AICP, Assistant Director of Planning & Development Services
- Diana Robinson, Planning Division Manager
- Rocio Yee, Project Planner
- Imperial County Air Pollution Control District
- Department of Public Works
- Fire Department
- Ag Commissioner
- Environmental Health Services
- Sheriff's Office

#### **B. OTHER AGENCIES/ORGANIZATIONS**

- Imperial Irrigation District
- Quechan Indian Tribe

(Written or oral comments received on the checklist prior to circulation)

#### V. REFERENCES

- Imperial County General Plan: Circulation and Scenic Highway Element https://www.icpds.com/assets/planning/circulation-scenic-highway-element-2008.pdf
- 2. California Farmland Mapping & Monitoring Program: Imperial County Important Farmland Map 2018 https://maps.conservation.ca.gov/DLRP/CIFF/
- Imperial County General Plan: Conservation and Open Space Element https://www.icpds.com/assets/planning/conservation-open-space-element-2016.pdf
  - a) Figure 1: Sensitive Habitat Map
  - b) Figure 2: Sensitive Species Map
  - c) Figure 5: Areas of Heighten Historic Period Sensitivity Map
  - d) Figure 6: Known Areas of Native American Cultural Sensitivity Map
  - e) Figure 8: Existing Mineral Resources Map
- California Geological Survey Hazard Program: Alquist-Priolo Fault Hazard Zones <u>https://gis.data.ca.gov/maps/ee92a5f9f4ee4ec5aa731d3245ed9f53/explore?location=32.538703%2C-110.920388%2C6.00</u>
- California Department of Conservation: Fault Activity Map https://maps.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/fam/
- United States Geological Survey's Quaternary Faults Map https://usgs.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=5a6038b3a1684561a9b0aadf88412fcf
- 7. California Tsunami Data Maps https://www.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/tsunami/maps
- 8. Imperial County General Plan: Seismic and Public Safety Element
  - https://www.icpds.com/assets/planning/seismic-and-public-safety.pdf
    - a) Figure 2: Landslide Activity Map
    - b) Figure 3: Erosion Activity Map
- United States Department of Agriculture- Natural Resources Conservation Service: Soils Map https://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov/App/WebSoilSurvey.aspx
- California Department of Toxic Substances Control: EnviroStor https://www.envirostor.dtsc.ca.gov/public/
- 11. Imperial County Airport Land Use Compatibility Map: Calexico International Airport https://www.icpds.com/assets/planning/calexico-international-airport.pdf
- Cal Fire: Fire Hazard Severity Zones Maps Imperial County https://osfm.fire.ca.gov/media/6680/fhszs\_map13.pdf
- Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Flood Map Service Center: Flood Insurance Rate Map https://msc.fema.gov/portal/search?AddressQuery=851%20pitzer%20road%20heber%20ca#searchresultsanchor
- Imperial County General Plan: Noise Element https://www.icpds.com/assets/planning/noise-element-2015.pdf
- 15. California Historic Resources: Imperial County https://ohp.parks.ca.gov/ListedResources/?view=county&criteria=13
- 16. Imperial County Public Works Department comment letter dated June 6, 2024.
- 17. Imperial County Air Pollution Control District comment letter dated May 22, 2024
- "County of Imperial General Plan EIR", prepared by Brian F. Mooney & Associates in 1993; and as Amended by County in 1996, 1998, 2001, 2003, 2006 & 2008, 2015, 2016.

Initial Study, Environmental Checklist Form & Negative Declaration for PM#02511 IS#24-0008 KUDU, Inc.

#### VI. NEGATIVE DECLARATION – County of Imperial

The following Negative Declaration is being circulated for public review in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act Section 21091 and 21092 of the Public Resources Code.

Project Name: Parcel Map #02511 / Initial Study #24-0008

Project Applicant: Kudu, Inc.

**Project Location:** 949 Lindsey Rd. Calipatria CA, 92233, The parcels are identified as Assessor's Parcel Number (APN) 020-130-018, with legal description POR PAR 5 COC OF NW4 & S2 SEC 9 12-13 480AC and APN: 020-130-019, legally described as POR PAR 5 COC OF NE4 SEC 9 12-13 160AC. Both parcels are located in an unincorporated area of the County of Imperial.

**Description of Project:** The parcel map consists of four (4) separate legal parcels and are assessed as two APNs being 020-130-018 and 020-130-019. Which are approximately 480 acres and 160 acres respectively. The reason behind the proposed parcel map is to separate the existing separately farmed fields into six (6) legal parcels. Per Subdivision Map Act Section 66426 (d) allowing more than four parcels on a Parcel Map.



#### VII. FINDINGS

This is to advise that the County of Imperial, acting as the lead agency, has conducted an Initial Study to determine if the project may have a significant effect on the environment and is proposing this Negative Declaration based upon the following findings:



The Initial Study shows that there is no substantial evidence that the project may have a significant effect on the environment and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.

The Initial Study identifies potentially significant effects but:

- (1) Proposals made or agreed to by the applicant before this proposed Mitigated Negative Declaration was released for public review would avoid the effects or mitigate the effects to a point where clearly no significant effects would occur.
- (2) There is no substantial evidence before the agency that the project may have a significant effect on the environment.
- (3) Mitigation measures are required to ensure all potentially significant impacts are reduced to levels of insignificance.

A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.

If adopted, the Negative Declaration means that an Environmental Impact Report will not be required. Reasons to support this finding are included in the attached Initial Study. The project file and all related documents are available for review at the County of Imperial, Planning & Development Services Department, 801 Main Street, El Centro, CA 92243 (442) 265-1736.

NOTICE

The public is invited to comment on the proposed Negative Declaration during the review period.

Date of Determination

Jim Minnick, Director of Planning & Development Services

The Applicant hereby acknowledges and accepts the results of the Environmental Evaluation Committee (EEC) and hereby agrees to implement all Mitigation Measures, if applicable, as outlined in the MMRP.

policant Signature

7-11-24



Initial Study, Environmental Checklist Form & Negative Declaration for PM#02511 IS#24-0008 KUDU, Inc.

### **SECTION 4**

VIII. RESPONSE TO COMMENTS

(ATTACH DOCUMENTS, IF ANY, HERE)



#### IX. MITIGATION MONITORING & REPORTING PROGRAM (MMRP)

(ATTACH DOCUMENTS, IF ANY, HERE)

RY\XX\S:\AllUsers\APN\020\130\018\PM02511\EEC\IS24-0008 Kudu Inc.docx

4

.

# **COMMENT LETTERS**

**EEC ORIGINAL PKG** 



Public Works works for the Public



Jun 07. 2024

COUNTY OF

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

155 S. 11th Sheet El Centro, CA 92243

Tel: (442) 265-1818 Fox: (442) 265-1858

Fallow Us:

June 6, 2024

Mr. Jim Minnick, Director Planning & Development Services Department 801 Main Street El Centro, CA 92243

Attention: Rocio Yee, Planner I

Yee, Planner I

RECEIVED

By Imperial County Plannning & Development Service

**SUBJECT: PM 2511 Kudu, Inc.** Located at 949 Lindsey Rd, Calipatria, CA 92233 APN's 020-130-018 & 020-130-019

Dear Mr. Minnick:

This letter is in response to your submittal received on May 8, 2024, for the above-mentioned project. The applicant is proposing to separate the existing four separately farmed fields that are assessed as two parcel numbers into six legal parcels.

Department staff has reviewed the package information and the following comments:

- Applicant shall furnish a Drainage and Grading Plan to provide for property grading and drainage control, which shall also include prevention of sedimentation of damage to off-site properties. Said plan shall be completed per the Engineering Design Guidelines Manual for the Preparation and Checking of Street Improvement, Drainage, and Grading Plans within Imperial County. The Drainage and Grading Plan shall be submitted to this department for review and approval. The developer shall implement the approved plan. Employment of the appropriate Best Management Practices (BMP's) shall be included.
- Any activity and/or work within Imperial County right-of-way shall be completed under a
  permit issued by this Department (encroachment permit) as per Chapter 12.12 EXCAVATIONS ON OR NEAR A PUBLIC ROAD of the Imperial County Ordinance.
- 3. All permanent structures shall be located outside of the ultimate County Right-of-Way.
- 4. The Permittee will be required to repair any damages caused to County roads by construction traffic during construction and maintain them in safe conditions.
- 5. Should any structures be developed in the future, street improvements will be required as per Imperial County Ordinance: 12.10.020 Street improvement requirements.
- 6. The applicant shall provide an Irrevocable Offer of Dedication (IOD) or dedicate the required portion for sufficient right of way for future development of Lindsey Road, being

An Equal Opportunity / Affirmative Action Employer



www.Bc=book.com/ ImperialCountyDPW/

hitps://twitter.com/ CountyDow/
classified as Minor Collector – Local Collector - two (2) lanes, requiring seventy (70) feet of right of way, being thirty - five (35) feet from the existing centerline. It is required that sufficient right of way be provided to meet this road classification. (As directed by Imperial County Board of Supervisors per Minute Order #6 dated 11/22/1994 per the Imperial County Circulation Element Plan of the General Plan).

- 7. The applicant shall provide an Irrevocable Offer of Dedication (IOD) or dedicate the required portion for sufficient right of way for future development of Young Rd, being classified as Local Roads / Residential two (2) lanes, requiring sixty (60) feet of right of way, being thirty (30) feet from the existing centerline. It is required that sufficient right of way be provided to meet this road classification. (As directed by Imperial County Board of Supervisors per Minute Order #6 dated 11/22/1994 per the Imperial County Circulation Element Plan of the General Plan).
- 8. The applicant shall provide an Irrevocable Offer of Dedication (IOD) or dedicate the required portion for sufficient right of way for future development of Severe Rd, being classified as Local Roads / Residential two (2) lanes, requiring sixty (60) feet of right of way, being thirty (30) feet from the existing centerline. It is required that sufficient right of way be provided to meet this road classification. (As directed by Imperial County Board of Supervisors per Minute Order #6 dated 11/22/1994 per the Imperial County Circulation Element Plan of the General Plan).
- 9. The applicant shall provide an Irrevocable Offer of Dedication (IOD) or dedicate the required portion for sufficient right of way for future development of Gentry Rd, being classified as Major Collector Collector with four (4) lanes, requiring eighty-four (84) feet of right of way, being forty-two (42) feet from the existing centerline. It is required that sufficient right of way be provided to meet this road classification. (As directed by Imperial County Board of Supervisors per Minute Order #6 dated 11/22/1994 per the Imperial County Circulation Element Plan of the General Plan.
- 10. Provide a Parcel Map prepared by a California Licensed Land Surveyor or Civil Engineer and submit to the Department of Public Works, for review and recordation. The Engineer must be licensed in the category required by the California Business & Professions Code.
- 11. Provide tax certificate from the Tax Collector's Office prior to recordation of the Parcel Map.
- 12. The Parcel Map shall be based upon a field survey. The basis of bearings for the Parcel Map shall be derived from the current epoch of the California Coordinate System (CCS), North America Datum of 1983 (NAD83). The survey shall show connections to a minimum of two (2) Continuously Operating Reference Stations (CORS) of the California Real Time Network (CRTN). NAD 83 coordinates shall be established for every monument shown on the Parcel map.
- 13. Each parcel created or affected by this map shall abut a maintained road and/or have legal and physical access to a public road.

Respectfully,

John A. Gay, PE Director of Public Works

By:

for 7

Veronica Atondo, PE, PLS Deputy Director of Public Works - Engineering

150 SOUTH NINTH STREET EL CENTRO, CA 92243-2850



May 22, 2024

RECEIVED

By Imperial County Planning & Developm

Jim Minnick, Director Imperial County Planning & Development Services 801 Main Street El Centro, CA 92243

SUBJECT: Parcel Map 02511 – Kudu, Inc.

Dear Mr. Minnick:

The Imperial County Air Pollution Control District (Air District) would like to thank you for the opportunity to review and comment on Parcel Map (PM) 02511 (Project). The project proposes separating four existing agricultural fields identified as Assessor's Parcels Numbers (APN) 020-130-018 and 020-130-019, which are approximately 480 acres and 160 acres respectively. The project proposes 6 agricultural parcels of 160.60, 159.92, 81.04, 79.44, 79.82, and 80.25 acres.

The Air District reminds the applicant that the project and all developments must comply with all Air District Rules & Regulations and would emphasize Regulation VIII – Fugitive Dust Rules, a collection of rules designed to maintain fugitive dust emissions below 20 % visual opacity.

Finally, the Air District requests a copy of the finalized map for its records.

For convenience, all Air District rules and regulations can be accessed online at <u>https://apcd.imperialcounty.org/rules-and-regulations</u>. Should you have any questions or concerns please feel free to contact the Air District by calling our office at (442) 265-1800.

Respectfully Ismael Garcia

Environmental Coordinator



APC Division Manager

PM 02511 - Kudu Inc.

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY / AFFIRMATIVE ACTION EMPLOYER

Page 1 of 1

TELEPHONE; (442) 265-1800

May 22, 2024

FAX: (442) 265-1799

# PM02511 APPLICATION

# **MINOR SUBDIVISION**

I.C. PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DEPT 801 Main Street, El Centro, CA 92243 (760) 482-4236

- APPLICANT MUST COMPLETE ALL NUMBERED (black) SPACES - Please type or print -

1.		Y OWNER'S NAME		EMAIL ADDRESS				
Kudu, Inc. 2. MAILING ADDRESS					hjelmore@icloud.com			
_	96 N. 8th	Street Brawley				PHONE NUMBER 760-344-990		
3.	ENGINEER Taylor Pr	eece	CAL LICENSE NO. PLS 9436		EMAIL ADDRESS taylor@presurvinc.com			
4. F	MAILING A P.O. Box 2	DDRESS 2216 El Centro,	CA 92244	ZIP COD		PHONE NUMBER 760-587-657		
5.	PROPERT	Y (site) ADDRESS		LOCATIO	N			
	NA			Vail Lat. 4-A Del. 451, 452, 453, Vail Lat 4 Del. 409, 410, 411, 412				
6.		R'S PARCEL NO. 018 & 020-130-	019	SIZE OF PROPERTY (in acres or square foot) 641.07 Ac.				
7.			parate sheet if necessary)	1 041.0				
See attached PTR								
8.	EXPLAIN P	URPOSE/REASON FO	Sub	division Map A	ct Section 66	6426(d) allow	ing more	e than
			ap. To separate separat					
9.	Proposed D	IVISION of the above	specified land is as follows:					
	PARCEL	SIZE in acres	EXISTING USE	PROPOSE	DUSE		ZONE	
	1 or A	or sq. feet						
	2 or B	See attached sh	eet for all six proposed p	parcels				
	3 or C							
	4 or D							
							-	
PLEA	ASE PROVID	E CLEAR & CONC	ISE INFORMATION (ATTACI	H SEPARATE SH	EET IF NEEDE	D)		
10.		PROPOSED SEWER						
11.								
12.	DESCRIBE	PROPOSED ACCESS	TO SUBDIVIDED LOTS	See attached	project des	scription		
13.		CEL PLANNED TO B	E ANNEXED? IF YES	TO WHAT CITY or		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
LHER	and the second	Yes X No			REQUIDER	SUBBORT O	ACHINE	AFTO
PROF	PERTY THAT		IVIDE THE ABOVE SPECIFIED	REQUIRED SUPPORT DOCUMENTS				
INFORMATION, AND PER THE MAP ACT AND PER THE SUBDIVISION ORDINANCE.				A. TENTATIVE MAP				
I, CERTIFY THAT THE ABOVE INFORMATION, TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE, IS TRUE AND CORRECT				B. P	B. PRELIMINARY TITLE REPORT (6 months or newer)			
Howard Elmore 03-25-2914				C. FI	C. FEE			
Print	Name (oursel		Date	D. 0				
Signa	lor Preec	e	3-28-24		al Note:			
Print Name (Defent) Date					zed owners affidavit is r in is signed by Agent.	required if		
Signature (Agent)								
APPL	ICATION REC	EIVED BY:	4×	DATE 3	3/28/24	REVIEW / APPRO		
APPL	ICATION DEE	MED COMPLETE BY		DATE	/	OTHER DEPT'S re	equired	PM#
APPL	ICATION REJ	ECTED BY:		DATE		E.HS APCD		02511
						UNDI		
FINAL								

#### Parcel Map

#### Kudu Section 9, T.12S., R.13E., S.B.M.

#### **Project Description**

The Parcel Map consists of four separate legal parcels and are assessed as two Assessor Parcel Numbers, being 020-130-018 and 020-130-019. The first parcel is located at the Southeast quadrant of Lindsey Road and Severe Road, the second parcel is located at the Northeast quadrant of Young Road and Severe Road, the third parcel is located at the Northwest quadrant of Young and Gentry Road, and the fourth parcel is located at the Southwest quadrant of Gentry and Lindsey Road in Imperial County, California.

The subject properties are described as being: The Northwest Quarter of Section 9, T.12S., R.13E., S.B.M. containing 160.24 Acres, the Southwest Quarter of Section 9, T.12S., R.13E., S.B.M. containing 160.27 Acres, the Southeast Quarter of Section 9, T.12S., R.13E., S.B.M. containing 160.29 Acres, and the Northeast Quarter of Section 9, T.12S., R.13E., S.B.M. containing 160.27 Acres.

The reasoning behind the proposed parcel map is to separate the existing separately farmed fields into legal parcels.

**Proposed Parcel 1** will have legal and physical access from Lindsey Road, will continue to receive water from the Vail Lateral 4-A Delivery #453, and will continue to drain to the Vail 5 Drain. There is no proposed development on Parcel 1 or any changes in water delivery.

**Proposed Parcel 2** will have legal and physical access from Severe Road and Young Road, will continue to receive water from the Vail Lateral 4-A Delivery #451 and #452, and will continue to drain to the Vail 5 Drain. There is no proposed development on Parcel 2 or any changes in water delivery.

**Proposed Parcel 3** will have legal and physical access from Lindsey Road and Gentry Road, will continue to receive water from the Vail Lateral 4 Delivery #412, and will continue to drain to the Vail 4-A Drain. There is no proposed development on Parcel 3 or any changes in water delivery.

February 29, 2024

2.2 8 20

Page 1 of 2

**Proposed Parcel 4** will have legal and physical access from Gentry Road, will continue to receive water from the Vail Lateral 4 Delivery #411, and will continue to drain to the Vail 4-A Drain. There is no proposed development on Parcel 4 or any changes in water delivery.

Proposed Parcel 5 will have legal and physical access from Gentry Road, will continue to receive water from the Vail Lateral 4 Delivery #410, and will continue to drain to the Vail 4-A Drain. There is no proposed development on Parcel 5 or any changes in water delivery.

**Proposed Parcel 6** will have legal and physical access from Gentry Road and Young Road, will continue to receive water from the Vail Lateral 4 Delivery #409, and will continue to drain to the Vail 4-A Drain. There is no proposed development on Parcel 6 or any changes in water delivery.

February 29, 2024

27.00

Page 2 of 2

#### Parcel Map

\*

#### Kudu Section 9, T.12S., R.13E., S.B.M.

#### **Proposed Parcels**

PARCEL	SIZE	EX. USE	PROPOSED USE	ZONE
Parcel 1	160.60 Acres	Ag. Field	Ag. Field	A-3-G
Parcel 2	159.92 Acres	Ag. Field	Ag. Field	A-3-G
Parcel 3	81.04 Acres	Ag. Field	Ag. Field	A-3-G
Parcel 4	79.44 Acres	Ag. Field	Ag. Field	A-3-G
Parcel 5	79.82 Acres	Ag. Field	Ag. Field	<b>A-3-G</b>
Parcel 6	80.25 Acres	Ag. Field	Ag. Field	A-3-G

February 29, 2024

. .



Requested by: spenner, Printed: 9/12/2023 1:36 PM

Von-Order Search Doc: IM:A 20-13

This map(plat is being furnished as an aid in locating the herein described Land in relation to adjoining streets, natural boundaries and other land, and is not a survey of the land depicted. Except to the extent a policy of title insurance is expressly modified by endorsement, if any, the Company does not insure dimensions, distances, location of easements, acreage or other matters shown thereon.
rtder Search Page 1 of 1 Requested By: Soenner, Printed: 9/12/2023 1:





KAZOHEMAPSZOHES3.DWG







#### IMPERIAL COUNTY PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT SERVICES GENERAL INDEMNIFICATION AGREEMENT

As part of this application, applicant and real party in interest, if different, agree to defend, indemnify, hold harmless, and release the County of Imperial ("County"), its agents, officers, attorneys, and employees (including consultants) from any claim, action, or proceeding brought against any of them, the purpose of which is to attack, set aside, void, or annul the approval of this application or adoption of the environmental document which accompanies it. This indemnification obligation shall include, but not be limited to, damages, costs, expenses, attorney fees, or expert witness fees that may be asserted by any person or entity, including the applicant, arising out of or in connection with the approval of this application, whether or not there is concurrent negligence on the part of the County, its agents, officers, attorneys, or employees (including consultants).

If any claim, action, or proceeding is brought against the County, its agents, officers, attorneys, or employees (including consultants), to attack, set aside, void, or annul the approval of the application or adoption of the environmental document which accompanies it, then the following procedures shall apply:

- 1. The Planning Director shall promptly notify the County Board of Supervisors of any claim, action or proceeding brought by an applicant challenging the County's action. The County, its agents, attorneys and employees (including consultants) shall fully cooperate in the defense of that action.
- 2. The County shall have the final determination on how to best defend the case and will consult with applicant regularly regarding status and the plan for defense. The County will also consult and discuss with applicant the counsel to be used by County to defend it, either with in-house counsel, or by retaining outside counsel provided that the County shall have the final decision on the counsel retained to defend it. Applicant shall be fully responsible for all costs incurred. Applicant shell be entitled to provide his or her own counsel to defend the case, and said independent counsel shall work with County Counsel to provide a joint defense.

Executed at <u>Brauley</u>	California on 3-25-24 - 201
APPLICANT          Kudu, Inc         Name:       Howard Elmore         By       Multure         Title       President         Mailing Address:       696 N 8th Street         Brawley, CA       92227	REAL PARTY IN INTEREST (If different from Applicant)         Name
ACCEPTED/RECEIVED BY PROJECT ID NO S:\FORMS_USTS\General Indemnification FORM 041516.doc	

MAIN OFFICE: 801 Main Street El Centro, CA 92243 (442) 265-1736 FAX: (442) 265-1735 E-MAIL: planning@co.imperial.ca.us



### Imperial County Planning & Development Services Planning / Building / Parks & Recreation

**Jim Minnick** DIRECTOR

7500.00

#### NOTICE TO APPLICANT

SUBJECT: PAYMENT OF FEES

Dear Applicant:

Pursuant to County Codified Ordinance Division 9, Chapter 1, Section 90901.02, all Land Use Applications must be submitted with their appropriate application fee. Failure to comply will cause application to be rejected.

Please note that once the Department application is received and accepted, a "time track" billing will commence immediately. Therefore, should you decide to cancel or withdraw your project at any time, the amount of time incurred against your project will be billed and deducted from your payment. As a consequence, if you request a refund pursuant to County Ordinance, your refund, if any, will be the actual amount paid minus all costs incurred against the project.

Please note there will be no exceptions to this policy. Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely yours,

fim Minnick, Director Rlanning & Development Services

RECEIVED BY:

DATE: 03-25-2924

Howard Elmore

