## AIR QUALITY STUDY

### INDEPENDENT STATION SWC GREENTREE BLVD. & HESPERIA RD. VICTORVILLE, CALIFORNIA APN 3090-331-02



### **LEAD AGENCY:**

CITY OF VICTORVILLE DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT, PLANNING DIVISION 14343 CIVIC DRIVE VICTORVILLE, CALIFORNIA 92393

**REPORT PREPARED BY:** 

BLODGETT BAYLOSIS ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING 2211 S. HACIENDA BOULEVARD, SUITE 107 HACIENDA HEIGHTS, CALIFORNIA 91745



#### THIS PAGE HAS BEEN INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. OVERVIEW OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT	
2. PROJECT LOCATION	
3. ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING	
4. PROJECT DESCRIPTION	
Exhibit 1 Regional Map Exhibit 2 Citywide Map Exhibit 3 Local Map Exhibit 4 Aerial Image of Project Site Exhibit 5 Site Plan of Project Site	
5. AIR QUALITY	
Exhibit 6 Air Quality Sensitive Receptors Map	16
6. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS	18
APPENDIX A – AIR QUALITY AND GREENHOUSE GAS REPORT	23

### **1. OVERVIEW OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT**

This Initial Study analyzes the environmental impacts associated with the construction and operation of a commercial center on a 1.44-acre property located on the southwest corner of Green Tree Boulevard and Hesperia Road. The new development would include a 5,785 square foot convenience store, a 1,733 square foot drive through carwash tunnel, and a fueling area with 8 dispensers and 16 fueling positions. The fueling area would be covered by a canopy with the dimensions being approximately 117-feet by 36-feet. One 20,000 gallon underground storage tank (UST) for 87-octane gasoline and a second UST 24,000 gallon split tank would contain diesel and 91-octane gasoline, would be installed to the west of the fueling area. Access to the project site would be provided by driveway connections with the south side of Green Tree Boulevard and the west side of Hesperia Road. A total of 30 parking spaces would be provided including 26 standard stalls, 2 EV stalls, and 2 ADA spaces. Landscaping would be provided along the Green Tree Boulevard and Hesperia Road frontages and in the parking area.<sup>1</sup>

### **2. PROJECT LOCATION**

The proposed project site is located in the southwestern portion of the City of Victorville. The City of Victorville is located in the southwestern portion of San Bernardino County in the southwestern Mojave Desert physiographic subregion. This physiographic subregion is more commonly referred to as either the "Victor Valley" or the "High Desert" due to its approximate elevation of 2,900 feet above sea level. The Victor Valley is separated from the more populated areas of coastal Southern California by the San Bernardino and San Gabriel mountains. The City of Victorville is bounded on the north by unincorporated San Bernardino County (Oro Grande); on the east by Apple Valley and unincorporated San Bernardino County (Bell Mountain); on the south by the City of Hesperia and unincorporated San Bernardino County (Baldy Mesa).<sup>2</sup> Regional access to the City of Victorville is provided by three area highways: the Mojave Freeway (Interstate 15), extending in a southwest to northeast orientation through the center of the City; U.S. Highway 395, traversing the western portion of the City in a northwest to southeast orientation; and Palmdale Road (SR-18) (State Route 18), which traverses the southern portion of the City in an east to west orientation.<sup>3</sup> The location of Victorville, in a regional context, is shown in Exhibit 1. A citywide map is provided in Exhibit 2.

The proposed project site is located at the southwest corner of Green Tree Boulevard and Hesperia Road in the City of Victorville, California 92301. The corresponding Assessor Parcel Number (APN) is 3090-331-02. The project site is located in Township 5 North, Range 4 West, Section 27, of the United States Geological Survey (USGS) 7 <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> Minute Hesperia, California Quadrangle, 1956. The project site's latitude and longitude is 34°49'90.70"N;-117°29'32.94"W. A local vicinity map is provided in Exhibit 3. An aerial photograph of the site and the surrounding area is provided in Exhibit 4.

### **3. ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING**

The proposed project site is located on a 1.44-acre parcel that is currently vacant. The project site is currently zoned *C-2 (General Commercial)* and the corresponding General Plan designation is *Commercial*. The site is approximately 882 meters above sea level and contains some rolling landscape. The vegetation community present on site supports a moderately disturbed desert scrub habitat

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A&S Engineering, Inc. Independent Station. SWC of Green Tree Blvd. and Hesperia Rd. September 13, 2022.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Blodgett Baylosis Environmental Planning. 2022.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Google Earth. Website accessed August 4, 2022.

encompassing mainly native plants and some non-native grasses. Land uses and development located in the vicinity of the proposed project are outlined below:

- *North of the project site:* Green Tree Boulevard extends along the project site's northern side with a commercial center located further north. The Zoning designation is *C-2 (General Commercial)* and the corresponding General Plan designation is *Commercial*.
- *East of the project site:* Hesperia Road extends along the project site's east side. Vacant disturbed land is located further east. The Zoning designation is *C-2 (General Commercial)* and the corresponding General Plan designation is *Commercial*.
- South of the project site: Vacant disturbed land abuts the site's southern side. The Zoning designation is C-2 (General Commercial) and the corresponding General Plan designation is Commercial.<sup>4</sup>
- *West of the project site:* A vacant C-2 zoned property is located directly to the west of the project site. Large lot residential development extends further west along the project site's west side. The Zoning designation is *R-1 (Single-Family)* and the corresponding General Plan designation is *Low Density Residential.*<sup>5</sup>

### **4. PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

The proposed project would consist of the following elements:

- *Site Plan*. The project involves the construction and operation of a commercial center on a property located on the southwest corner of Green Tree Boulevard and Hesperia Road. The new development would include a 5,785 square foot convenience store, a 1,733 square foot drive through carwash tunnel, and a fueling area with 8 dispensers and 16 fueling positions. <sup>6</sup>
- *Convenience Store*. The project involves the construction and operation of a 5,785 square foot convenience store. The new building would be a single story with a maximum height of 35-feet. The main entrances would be located on the north and east-facing elevations. The convenience store would include the cashier's area, sales and display area, and a food display area, and restrooms.<sup>7</sup>
- *Carwash*. The project involves the construction and operation of a 1,733 square foot automated drive through carwash tunnel. The dimensions of the carwash tunnel would be approximately 328-feet by 17-feet. Access to the carwash tunnel would be facilitated by a drive-through lane that would accommodate up to four vehicles before the automated control box.
- *Fueling Area*. A total of eight fuel dispensers would be located in the southern portion of the site, under a canopy. A total of sixteen fueling positions would be provided. The fuel dispensing area will be located under an 18-foot-tall canopy. The fueling area would be covered by a canopy with the dimensions being approximately 117-feet by 36-feet. One 20,000 gallon underground storage tank (UST) for 87-octane gasoline and a second UST 24,000 gallon split tank would contain diesel and 91-octane gasoline would be installed to the west of the fueling area.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Google Maps and City of Victorville Zoning Map. Website accessed on April 14, 2023.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Ibid.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> A&S Engineering, Inc. Independent Station. SWC of Green Tree Blvd. and Hesperia Rd. September 13, 2022.

<sup>7</sup> Ibid.



EXHIBIT 1 REGIONAL MAP Source: Blodgett Baylosis Environmental Planning



### EXHIBIT 2 CITYWIDE MAP

SOURCE: BLODGETT BAYLOSIS ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING



# EXHIBIT 3 LOCAL MAP Source: Blodgett Baylosis Environmental Planning



# EXHIBIT 4 AERIAL IMAGE OF PROJECT SITE Source: Blodgett Baylosis Environmental Planning

- *Access and Parking.* Access to the project site would be provided by driveway connections with the south side of Green Tree Boulevard and the west side of Hesperia Road. A total of 30 parking spaces would be provided including 26 standard stalls, 2 EV stalls, and 2 ADA spaces. Landscaping would be provided along the Green Tree Boulevard and Hesperia Road frontages, and in the parking area.<sup>8</sup>
- *Landscaping and Signage*. A total of 13,172 square feet (21% of the site area) of landscaping will be provided. A new sign will be installed on the project site's northeast corner.<sup>9</sup>

The proposed project's site plan is illustrated in Exhibit 5.

The proposed project's anticipated hours of operation will be seven days a week, 24 hours a day. The proposed highway commercial use is anticipated to employ approximately 2 to 3 persons per shift.

The construction for the current proposed project is assumed to commence in January 2025 and would take approximately five months to complete.<sup>10</sup> The key construction phases are outlined in the paragraphs that follow.

- *Grading and Site Preparation Phases.* The project site would be graded and ready for construction. During this phase, the building footings, utility lines, and other underground infrastructure would be installed. The typical heavy equipment used during this construction phase would include graders, bulldozers, offroad trucks, back-hoes, and trenching equipment. These phases would require one month to complete.
- *Building Phase*. The new buildings would be constructed during this phase. The typical heavy equipment used during this construction phase would include offroad trucks, cranes, and fork-lifts. This phase will take approximately three months to complete.
- *Paving, Landscaping, and Finishing Phases.* The typical heavy equipment used during these phases would include trucks, backhoes, rollers, pavers, and trenching equipment. The site will be paved during this phase. This phase will take approximately one month to complete.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Ibid.

<sup>9</sup> A&S Engineering, Inc. Independent Station. SWC of Green Tree Blvd. and Hesperia Rd. September 13, 2022.

<sup>10</sup> Ibid.



# EXHIBIT 5 SITE PLAN OF PROJECT SITE SOURCE: A & S ENGINEERING, INC.

### 5. AIR QUALITY

#### THRESHOLDS OF SIGNIFICANCE AND METHODOLOGY

According to Appendix G of the CEQA Guidelines, a project may be deemed to have a significant adverse impact on air quality if it results in any of the following:

- The proposed project would conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan.
- The proposed project would result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard.
- The proposed project would expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations.
- The proposed project would result in other emissions (such as those leading to odors adversely affecting a substantial number of people.

The Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District (MDAQMD) has established quantitative thresholds for short-term (construction) emissions and long-term (operational) emissions for the criteria pollutants listed below. Projects in the Mojave Desert Air Basin (MDAB) generating construction and operationalrelated emissions that exceed any of the following emissions thresholds are considered to be significant under CEQA.

- *Ozone*  $(O_3)$  is a nearly colorless gas that irritates the lungs, and damages materials and vegetation. Ozone is formed a by photochemical reaction (when nitrogen dioxide is broken down by sunlight).
- *Carbon Monoxide (CO)* is a colorless, odorless toxic gas that interferes with the transfer of oxygen to the brain and is produced by the incomplete combustion of carbon-containing fuels emitted as vehicle exhaust. The threshold is 548 pounds per day of carbon monoxide (CO).
- *Nitrogen Oxide (NO<sub>x</sub>)* is a yellowish-brown gas, which at high levels can cause breathing difficulties. NO<sub>x</sub> is formed when nitric oxide (a pollutant from burning processes) combines with oxygen. The daily threshold is 137 pounds per day of nitrogen oxide (NO<sub>x</sub>).
- *Sulfur Dioxide* (SO<sub>2</sub>) is a colorless, pungent gas formed primarily by the combustion of sulfurcontaining fossil fuels. Health effects include acute respiratory symptoms. The daily threshold is 137 pounds per day of sulfur oxides (SO<sub>x</sub>).
- *PM*<sub>10</sub> *and PM*<sub>2.5</sub> refers to particulate matter less than ten microns and two and one-half microns in diameter, respectively. Particulates of this size cause a greater health risk than larger-sized particles since fine particles can more easily cause irritation. The daily threshold is 82 pounds per day of PM<sub>10</sub> and 65 pounds per day of PM<sub>2.5</sub>.
- *Reactive Organic Gasses (ROG)* refers to organic chemicals that, with the interaction of sunlight photochemical reactions may lead to the creation of "smog." The daily threshold is 137 pounds per day of ROG.

# A. Would the project conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan? ● No Impact.

Air quality impacts may occur during the construction or operation of a project, and may come from stationary (e.g., industrial processes, generators), mobile (e.g., automobiles, trucks), or area (e.g., residential water heaters) sources. The city is located within the Mojave Desert Air Basin (MDAB) and is under the jurisdiction of the Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District (MDAQMD). The MDAB is an assemblage of mountain ranges interspersed with long broad valleys that often contain dry lakes. The MDAB is separated from the southern California coastal and central California valley regions by mountains (highest elevation approximately 10,000 feet). The Antelope Valley is bordered in the northwest by the Tehachapi Mountains and in the south by the San Gabriel Mountains.

The adjacent Mojave Desert is bordered in the southwest by the San Bernardino Mountains.<sup>11</sup> Projects that are consistent with the projections of employment and population forecasts identified in the Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (RTP/SCS) prepared by SCAG are considered consistent with the MDAQMP growth projections since the RTP/SCS forms the basis of the land use and transportation control portions of the MDAQMP. According to the Growth Forecast Appendix prepared by SCAG for the 2016-2045 RTP/SCS, the City of Victorville employment will increase from 41,200 in 2016 to 61,200 in 2045, an increase of 20,000 new employees through the year 2045.<sup>12</sup> The proposed project's employment will be significantly less than this figure. The proposed highway commercial use is anticipated to employ approximately 2 to 3 persons per shift. Therefore, the proposed project is not in conflict with the growth projections established for the City by SCAG.

The project's construction emissions would be below the thresholds of significance established by the MDAQMD (the project's daily construction emissions are summarized in Table 1). In addition, the proposed project's long- term (operational) airborne emissions will be below levels that the MDAQMD considers to be a significant impact (refer to Table 2). *As a result, no impacts will occur*.

# B. Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard? Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation.

According to the MDAQMD, any project is significant if it triggers or exceeds the daily emissions threshold identified previously (and noted at the bottom of Tables 1 and 2). In general, a project will have the potential for a significant air quality impact if any of the following are met:

- Generates total emissions (direct and indirect) that exceeds the MDAQMD thresholds (the proposed project emissions are less than the thresholds as indicated in Tables 1 and 2);
- Results in a violation of any ambient air quality standard when added to the local background (the proposed project will not result, in any violation of these standards);
- Does not conform with the applicable attainment or maintenance plan(s) (the proposed project is in conformance with the City's Zoning and General Plan); and,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District (MDAQMD). *California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and Federal Conformity Guidelines*. Report dated August 2016.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Southern California Association of Governments. *Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy 2016-2040.* Demographics & Growth Forecast. April 2016.

• Exposes sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations, including those resulting in a cancer risk greater than or equal to 10 in a million and/or a Hazard Index (HI) (non-cancerous) greater than or equal to 1 (the proposed project will not expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations nor is the site located near any sensitive receptors).

The proposed project's construction and operation will not lead to a violation of the above-mentioned criteria. As shown in Table 1, daily construction emissions will not exceed the MDAQMD significance thresholds.

Construction Phase	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10	PM2.5
Maximum Daily Emissions	7.76	5.65	11.2	0.02	5.94	3.08
Daily Thresholds	137	137	548	137	82	65
Significant Impact?	No	No	No	No	No	No

#### Table 1 Estimated Daily Construction Emissions

Source: CalEEMod V.2022.1.1.14
--------------------------------

Long-term emissions refer to those air quality impacts that would occur once the proposed project has been constructed and is operational. These impacts would continue over the operational life of the project. The two main sources of operational emissions include mobile emissions and area emissions related to off-site electrical generation. The analysis of long-term operational impacts summarized in Table 3-2 also used the CalEEMod V.2022.1.1.14 computer model. The analysis summarized in Table 2 indicates that the operational (long-term) emissions will be below the MDAQMD daily emissions thresholds.

Emission Source	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10	PM2.5
Total (lbs./day)	13.4	11.5	96.6	0.21	17.1	4.45
Daily Thresholds	137	137	548	137	82	65
Significant Impact?	No	No	No	No	No	No

Source: CalEEMod V.2022.1.1.14

The analysis presented in Tables 1 and 2 reflect projected emissions that are typically higher during the summer months and represent a worse-case scenario. As indicated in Tables 1 and 2, the impacts are considered to be less than significant. Nevertheless, the following mitigation measures have been incorporated herein to further reduce the potential air quality impacts to levels that are less than significant.

- The Applicant shall prepare and submit to the MDAQMD, prior to commencing earth-moving activity, a dust control plan that describes all applicable dust control measures that will be implemented at the project;
- The Applicant shall ensure that signage, compliant with Rule 403 Attachment, is erected at each project site entrance not later than the commencement of construction.
- The Applicant shall ensure the use of a water truck to maintain moist disturbed surfaces and actively spread water during visible dusting episodes to minimize visible fugitive dust emissions. For projects with exposed sand or fines deposits (and for projects that expose such soils

through earth-moving), chemical stabilization or covering with a stabilizing layer of gravel will be required to eliminate visible dust/sand from sand/fines deposits.

- All perimeter fencing shall be wind fencing or the equivalent, to a minimum of four feet of height or the top of all perimeter fencing. The owner/operator shall maintain the wind fencing as needed to keep it intact and remove windblown dropout. This wind fencing requirement may be superseded by local ordinance, rule or project-specific biological mitigation prohibiting wind fencing.
- All maintenance and access vehicular roads and parking areas shall be stabilized with chemical, gravel or asphaltic pavement sufficient to eliminate visible fugitive dust from vehicular travel and wind erosion. Take actions to prevent project-related track out onto paved surfaces and clean any project-related track out within 24 hours. All other earthen surfaces within the project area shall be stabilized by natural or irrigated vegetation, compaction, chemical or other means sufficient to prohibit visible fugitive dust from wind erosion.

The aforementioned mitigation measures would reduce the potential air quality impacts to levels that are less than significant.

# C. Would the project expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations? • Less than Significant Impact.

According to the MDAQMD, residences, schools, daycare centers, playgrounds, and medical facilities are considered sensitive receptor land uses. The following project types proposed for sites within the specified distance to an existing or planned (zoned) sensitive receptor land use must be evaluated: any industrial project within 1,000 feet; a distribution center (40 or more trucks per day) within 1,000 feet; a major transportation project within 1,000 feet; a dry cleaner using perchloroethylene within 500 feet; and a gasoline dispensing facility within 300 feet. Due to the nature of the proposed use and its proximity to the nearest sensitive receptor (residential housing), approximately 200 feet to the west of the proposed gas station, mitigation must be enforced to ensure that pollutant exposure remains less than significant. Sensitive receptors are shown in Exhibit 6.

In 2022, the California Air Resources Board (CARB) released the Gasoline Service Station Industrywide Risk Assessment Technical Guidance report which provides emission factors for loading, breathing, fueling, spillage, and hose permeation. According to estimates from the project description, the project would potentially have a fuel throughput of 1,825,000 gallons of fuel per year or 5,000 gallons per day. Based on this throughput estimate, the proposed project is anticipated to emit an additional 0.23 pounds per day of VOC. Thus, the total daily VOC emissions from operational emissions estimated by CalEEMod as well as VOCs from gasoline dispensing would be 13.42 pounds per day (13.2 pounds per day + 0.23 pounds per day), and the result would still be below the 137 pounds per day limit set by MDAQMD. Therefore, the impact of any additional VOCs from the storage, transfer, and dispensing of gasoline is considered less than significant. In addition, the MDAQMD indicates that there is a potentially significant impact if a project would result in a substantial pollutant concentration that would result in a cancer risk equal to or exceeding 10 chances in one million or have a Hazard Index (HI) that is greater than or equal to 1.0. The proposed project's maximum cancer risk is 1.97 chances per million, the chronic HI is 0.03, and the acute HI is 0.38, which are all well below the thresholds (refer to Appendix A). *As a result, the impact will be less than significant*.



### **EXHIBIT 6 AIR QUALITY SENSITIVE RECEPTORS MAP**

SOURCE: BLODGETT BAYLOSIS ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING

# D. Would the project result in other emissions (such as those leading to odors) adversely affecting a substantial number of people? • Less than Significant Impact.

Gasoline contains almost 150 chemicals, including benzene, which has a "sweet smell", according to the U.S. Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR). The smell of benzene is so potent that a person can begin to smell this odor 0.25 parts of gasoline per million parts of air (ppm). The most common exposure to gasoline at the gasoline dispensing facility occurs by breathing vapors when filling a car's fuel tank. Both gas station infrastructure and vehicles visiting gas stations are sources of emissions at gas stations. However, this the most common routine sources of odor-related sources are associated with the following activities: fuel dispensing, spillage, and hose permeation. Beginning in 1988, odors and other emissions from gas stations were significantly reduced due to air quality regulations requiring reformulated gasoline and emission control technology. Data from CARB's statewide ambient air monitoring network shows that concentrations of benzene, the most toxic of the gas station emissions, have decreased by approximately 90 percent since 1989. State and federal vapor recovery regulations that address gasoline and gas stations are listed below:

- In 1988, the Benzene Airborne Toxic Control Measure42 required all existing and new gas stations with annual throughput43 greater than 480,000 gallons to install vapor recovery systems44 by 1991.
- In 2001, the Certification Procedure for Vapor Recovery Systems at Gasoline Dispensing Facilities (CP-201)46 required enhanced vapor recovery (EVR) systems to be phased in for existing gas stations in state ozone nonattainment areas47 and new stations statewide. CARB certification procedures have been used to specify performance standards for gas station vapor recovery systems since 1975.
- In 2003, California's Phase 3 Reformulated Gasoline (CaRFG Phase 3) Regulations lowered Reid Vapor Pressure requirements on gas used in motor vehicles below the national standard.
- In 2015, specifications for Enhanced Conventional (ECO) Nozzles were approved for non-retail gas stations.
- In 2018, CARB approved specifications for Enhanced ORVR Vehicle-Recognition (EOR) nozzles for gas stations with vapor assist control systems.
- In 2018, CARB approved amendments to specifications for fill pipes and openings of motor vehicle fuel tanks.

The MDAQCD has also adopted and is implementing *Rule 402, Nuisance*. This rule is intended to prevent the discharge of pollutant emissions from an emissions source that results in a public nuisance. Specifically, this rule prohibits any person from discharging quantities of air contaminants or other material from any source such that it would result in an injury, detriment, nuisance, or annoyance to any considerable number of persons or to the public. Additionally, the discharge of air contaminants would also be prohibited where it would endanger the comfort, repose, health, or safety of any number of persons or the public, or that cause, or have a natural tendency to cause, injury or damage to business or property. This rule does not apply to odors emanating from agricultural operations necessary for the growing of crops or the raising of fowl or animals. The future uses within the proposed project site would be required to adhere to the rules governing nuisance odors. *As a result, the impacts would be less than significant.* 

#### **MITIGATION MEASURES**

The following mitigation measures have been incorporated herein to further reduce the potential air quality impacts to levels that are less than significant.

**AIR MITIGATION #1.** The Applicant shall prepare and submit to the MDAQMD, prior to commencing earth-moving activity, a dust control plan that describes all applicable dust control measures that will be implemented at the project;

**AIR MITIGATION #2.** The Applicant shall ensure that signage, compliant with Rule 403 Attachment, is erected at each project site entrance not later than the commencement of construction.

**AIR MITIGATION #3.** The Applicant shall ensure the use of a water truck to maintain moist disturbed surfaces and actively spread water during visible dusting episodes to minimize visible fugitive dust emissions. For projects with exposed sand or fines deposits (and for projects that expose such soils through earthmoving), chemical stabilization or covering with a stabilizing layer of gravel will be required to eliminate visible dust/sand from sand/fines deposits.

**AIR MITIGATION #4.** All perimeter fencing shall be wind fencing or the equivalent, to a minimum of four feet of height or the top of all perimeter fencing. The owner/operator shall maintain the wind fencing as needed to keep it intact and remove windblown dropout. This wind fencing requirement may be superseded by local ordinance, rule or project-specific biological mitigation prohibiting wind fencing.

**AIR MITIGATION #5.** All maintenance and access vehicular roads and parking areas shall be stabilized with chemical, gravel or asphaltic pavement sufficient to eliminate visible fugitive dust from vehicular travel and wind erosion. Take actions to prevent project-related track out onto paved surfaces and clean any project-related track out within 24 hours. All other earthen surfaces within the project area shall be stabilized by natural or irrigated vegetation, compaction, chemical or other means sufficient to prohibit visible fugitive dust from wind erosion.

#### **6.** GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

#### THRESHOLDS OF SIGNIFICANCE AND METHODOLOGY

According to Appendix G of the CEQA Guidelines, a project may be deemed to have a significant adverse impact on greenhouse gas emissions if it results in any of the following:

- The proposed project would generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment.
- The proposed project would conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases.

Examples of GHG that are produced both by natural and industrial processes include carbon dioxide ( $CO_2$ ), methane ( $CH_4$ ), and nitrous oxide ( $N_2O$ ). The accumulation of GHG in the atmosphere regulates the earth's temperature. Without these natural GHG, the Earth's surface would be about 61°F cooler. However, emissions from fossil fuel combustion have elevated the concentrations of GHG in the atmosphere to above natural levels. These man-made GHG will have the effect of warming atmospheric temperatures with the attendant impacts of changes in the global climate, increased sea levels, and changes to the worldwide biome. The major GHG that influence global warming are described below.

- *Water Vapor*. Water vapor is the most abundant GHG present in the atmosphere. While water vapor is not considered a pollutant, while it remains in the atmosphere it maintains a climate necessary for life. Changes in the atmospheric concentration of water vapor is directly related to the warming of the atmosphere rather than a direct result of industrialization. As the temperature of the atmosphere rises, more water is evaporated from ground storage (rivers, oceans, reservoirs, soil). Because the air is warmer, the relative humidity can be higher (in essence, the air is able to "hold" more water when it is warmer), leading to more water vapor in the atmosphere. As a GHG, the higher concentration of water vapor is then able to absorb more thermal indirect energy radiated from the Earth, thus further warming the atmosphere. When water vapor increases in the atmosphere, more of it will eventually also condense into clouds, which are more able to reflect incoming solar radiation. This will allow less energy to reach the Earth's surface thereby affecting surface temperatures.
- *Carbon Dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>)*. The natural production and absorption of CO<sub>2</sub> is achieved through the terrestrial biosphere and the ocean. Manmade sources of CO<sub>2</sub> include the burning coal, oil, natural gas, and wood. Since the industrial revolution began in the mid-1700's, these activities have increased the atmospheric concentrations of CO<sub>2</sub>. Prior to the industrial revolution, concentrations were fairly stable at 280 parts per million (ppm). The International Panel on Climate Change (IPCC Fifth Assessment Report, 2014) Emissions of CO<sub>2</sub> from fossil fuel combustion and industrial processes contributed about 78% of the total GHG emissions increase from 1970 to 2010, with a similar percentage contribution for the increase during the period 2000 to 2010.
- *Methane (CH<sub>4</sub>).* CH<sub>4</sub> is an extremely effective absorber of radiation, although its atmospheric concentration is less than that of CO<sub>2</sub>. Methane's lifetime in the atmosphere is brief (10 to 12 years), compared to some other GHGs (such as CO<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O, and Chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs). CH<sub>4</sub> has both natural and anthropogenic sources. It is released as part of the biological processes in low oxygen environments, such as in swamplands or in rice production (at the roots of the plants). Over the last 50 years, human activities such as growing rice, raising cattle, using natural gas, and mining coal have added to the atmospheric concentration of methane. Other human-related sources of methane production include fossil-fuel combustion and biomass burning.
- *Nitrous Oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O).* Concentrations of N<sub>2</sub>O also began to increase at the beginning of the industrial revolution. In 1998, the global concentration of this GHG was documented at 314 parts per billion (ppb). N<sub>2</sub>O is produced by microbial processes in soil and water, including those reactions which occur in fertilizer containing nitrogen. In addition to agricultural sources, some industrial processes (fossil fuel-fired power plants, nylon production, nitric acid production, and vehicle emissions) also contribute to its atmospheric load. It is also commonly used as an aerosol spray propellant.
- *Chlorofluorocarbons (CFC)*. CFCs are gases formed synthetically by replacing all hydrogen atoms in methane or ethane (C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>) with chlorine and/or fluorine atoms. CFCs are nontoxic, nonflammable, insoluble, and chemically unreactive in the troposphere (the level of air at the Earth's surface). CFCs have no natural source but were first synthesized in 1928. It was used for refrigerants, aerosol propellants, and cleaning solvents. Due to the discovery that they are able to destroy stratospheric ozone, a global effort to halt their production was undertaken and in 1989 the European Community agreed to ban CFCs by 2000 and subsequent treaties banned CFCs worldwide by 2010. This effort was extremely successful, and the levels of the major CFCs are now remaining level or declining. However, their long atmospheric lifetimes mean that some of the CFCs will remain in the atmosphere for over 100 years.

- *Hydrofluorocarbons (HFC)*. HFCs are synthetic man-made chemicals that are used as a substitute for CFCs. Out of all the GHGs, they are one of three groups with the highest global warming potential. The HFCs with the largest measured atmospheric abundances are (in order), HFC-23 (CHF<sub>3</sub>), HFC-134a (CF<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>F), and HFC-152a (CH<sub>3</sub>CHF<sub>2</sub>). Prior to 1990, the only significant emissions were HFC-23. HFC-134a use is increasing due to its use as a refrigerant. Concentrations of HFC-23 and HFC-134a in the atmosphere are now about 10 parts per trillion (ppt) each. Concentrations of HFC-152a are about 1 ppt. HFCs are manmade and used for applications such as automobile air conditioners and refrigerants.
- *Perfluorocarbons (PFC).* PFCs have stable molecular structures and do not break down through the chemical processes in the lower atmosphere. High-energy ultraviolet rays about 60 kilometers above Earth's surface are able to destroy the compounds. Because of this, PFCs have very long lifetimes, between 10,000 and 50,000 years. Two common PFCs are tetrafluoromethane ( $C_4$ ) and hexafluoroethane ( $C_2F_6$ ). Concentrations of  $CF_4$  in the atmosphere are over 70 ppt. The two main sources of PFCs are primary aluminum production and semiconductor manufacturing.
- *Sulfur Hexafluoride* (*SF*<sub>6</sub>). SF<sub>6</sub> is an inorganic, odorless, colorless, nontoxic, nonflammable gas. SF<sub>6</sub> has the highest global warming potential of any gas evaluated; 23,900 times that of CO<sub>2</sub>. Concentrations in the 1990s where about 4 ppt. Sulfur hexafluoride is used for insulation in electric power transmission and distribution equipment, in the magnesium industry, in semiconductor manufacturing, and as a tracer gas for leak detection.

The MDAQMD mass emissions threshold is 10,000 MTCO2e per year.

#### ANALYSIS OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

# A. Would the project generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment? • Less than Significant Impact.

The State of California requires CEQA documents to include an evaluation of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions or gases that trap heat in the atmosphere. GHG emissions are emitted by both natural processes and human activities. Examples of GHG that are produced both by natural and industrial processes include carbon dioxide ( $CO_2$ ), methane ( $CH_4$ ), and nitrous oxide ( $N_2O$ ). Carbon dioxide equivalent, or  $CO_2E$ , is a term that is used for describing different greenhouses gases in a common and collective unit. The computer program developed for the South Coast Air Quality Management District, (CalEEMod) was used to calculate the greenhouse gas emissions and these worksheets are included in Appendix A. Table 3 shows GHG emissions and evaluates emissions against MDAQMD significance thresholds. The MDAQMD established the 10,000 MTCO2 threshold for commercial land uses. As indicated in Table 3, the operational CO2E is 4,253.71 metric tons per year which is well below the threshold.

	GHO	GHG Emissions (pounds/day)									
Source	CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2E	MTCO <sub>2</sub> E						
Long-Term (Operational) Emissions	21,356	1.43	0.94	23,308	4,253.71						
Short-Term (Construction) Emissions	1,381	1,387	253.13								
Significance Threshold Per Year		•	•		10,000						

#### Table 3 Greenhouse Gas Emissions

In Table 3, the operational CO2E is 23,308 pounds per day or 4,253.71 MTCO2E per year, which is well below the threshold. This figure does not take into account the implementation of *low impact development* 

(LID) requirements (drought tolerant landscaping, water efficient appliances, and energy efficient appliances) and compliance to Transportation Demand Management (TDM) requirements. *As a result, the potential impacts would be less than significant.* 

# **B.** Would the project conflict with an applicable plan, policy, or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing emissions of greenhouse gases? • Less than Significant Impact.

The San Bernardino County Transit Authority (SBCTA) authorized the preparation of a county-wide Regional Greenhouse Gas Reduction Plan. This plan was completed and finalized in March of 2014. The plan contains multiple reduction measures that would be effective in reducing GHG emissions throughout the SBCTA region. The lack of development in the immediate area may preclude residents from obtaining employment or commercial services within City boundaries, thus compelling residents to travel outside of City boundaries for employment and commercial services. According to the Citywide inventory completed for this planning effort, the primary sources of GHG emissions in Victorville are on-road transportation (52%), building energy (40%), and waste (6%). Emissions are projected to increase by 20% from 2016 to 2030 and by 42% from 2016 to 2045 due to economic and population growth. In 2016, Victorville had per capita emissions of 7.2 MTCO2e, which is lower than the region's average per capita emissions of 7.5 MTCO2e. The City Collaborates with the SBCTA Greenhouse Gas Reduction Plan that was recently updated in 2021. The City Collaborates with the SBCTA Greenhouse Gas Reduction Plan that was recently updated in 2021. A GHG Screening Table was used to evaluate this project and is recommended by the GHG Reduction Plan to identify relevant mitigation. This section summarizes key general plan policies that support the City of Victorville's GHG reduction measures or would contribute to GHG reductions and sustainable practices in the City. All policies listed below are from the Victorville 2008 General Plan.

- (Energy Efficiency) Implementation Measure 7.2.1.2: Minimize energy use of new residential, commercial, and industrial projects by requiring high efficiency heating, lighting, and other appliances, such as cooking equipment, refrigerators, furnaces, overhead and area lighting, and low NO<sub>x</sub> water heaters. This implementation measure is consistent with the proposed project.
- (Energy Efficiency) Implementation Measure 7.2.1.1: Incorporate green building principles and practices, to the extent practicable and financially feasible, into the design, development, and operation of all City owned facilities. This implementation measure is consistent with the proposed project though the new development is privately owned.
- (Lighting Efficiency) Implementation Measure 7.2.1.1: Incorporate green building principles and practices, to the extent practicable and financially feasible, into the design, development, and operation of all City owned facilities. This implementation measure is consistent with the proposed project though the new development is privately owned.
- (Lighting Efficiency) Implementation Measure 7.2.1.10: Incandescent lighting is discouraged for all new construction; all City facilities should replace incandescent lighting with CF or LED lighting unless light fixture does not exist for particular use. This implementation measure is consistent with the proposed project though the new development is privately owned.
- (Renewable Energy) Implementation Measure 7.1.1.3: Establish a photovoltaic target and require new construction to contribute to that target. This implementation measure is consistent with the proposed project. The use of solar panels is encouraged.
- Implementation Measure 7.1.1.4: Require all new commercial or industrial development to generate electricity on site to maximum extent feasible. This implementation measure is consistent with the proposed project.

- (Solar Energy) Implementation Measure 7.1.1.4: Require all new commercial or industrial development to generate electricity on site to maximum extent feasible. This implementation measure is consistent with the proposed project.
- (Renewable Energy) Implementation Measure 7.1.1.4: Require all new commercial or industrial development to generate electricity on site to maximum extent feasible. This implementation measure is consistent with the proposed project.
- (Water Efficient Landscaping) Policy 1.1.1: Require water conservation measures in the design of new development and major redevelopment, for both public and private projects, such as low water consuming indoor plumbing devices and use of xerophytic landscape materials that require minimal irrigation. This implementation measure is consistent with the proposed project.

The project would not involve or require any variance from an adopted plan, policy, or regulation governing GHG emissions. This project would not adversely affect the implementation of those policies. *As a result, the impacts would be less than significant.* 

#### **MITIGATION MEASURES**

The analysis of potential impacts related to greenhouse gas emissions indicated that no significant adverse impacts would result from the proposed project's approval and subsequent implementation in that no GHG thresholds would be exceeded. As a result, no mitigation measures would be required.



### **APPENDIX A – AIR QUALITY AND GREENHOUSE GAS REPORT**

### VICT 006 Detailed Report

#### **Table of Contents**

- 1. Basic Project Information
  - 1.1. Basic Project Information
  - 1.2. Land Use Types
  - 1.3. User-Selected Emission Reduction Measures by Emissions Sector

#### 2. Emissions Summary

- 2.1. Construction Emissions Compared Against Thresholds
- 2.2. Construction Emissions by Year, Unmitigated
- 2.4. Operations Emissions Compared Against Thresholds
- 2.5. Operations Emissions by Sector, Unmitigated

#### 3. Construction Emissions Details

- 3.1. Demolition (2024) Unmitigated
- 3.3. Site Preparation (2024) Unmitigated
- 3.5. Grading (2024) Unmitigated
- 3.7. Building Construction (2024) Unmitigated

- 3.9. Paving (2024) Unmitigated
- 3.11. Architectural Coating (2024) Unmitigated
- 4. Operations Emissions Details
  - 4.1. Mobile Emissions by Land Use
    - 4.1.1. Unmitigated

#### 4.2. Energy

- 4.2.1. Electricity Emissions By Land Use Unmitigated
- 4.2.3. Natural Gas Emissions By Land Use Unmitigated
- 4.3. Area Emissions by Source
  - 4.3.2. Unmitigated
- 4.4. Water Emissions by Land Use
  - 4.4.2. Unmitigated
- 4.5. Waste Emissions by Land Use
  - 4.5.2. Unmitigated
- 4.6. Refrigerant Emissions by Land Use
  - 4.6.1. Unmitigated
- 4.7. Offroad Emissions By Equipment Type

#### 4.7.1. Unmitigated

- 4.8. Stationary Emissions By Equipment Type
  - 4.8.1. Unmitigated
- 4.9. User Defined Emissions By Equipment Type
  - 4.9.1. Unmitigated
- 4.10. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type
  - 4.10.1. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type Unmitigated
  - 4.10.2. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type Unmitigated
  - 4.10.3. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species Unmitigated
- 5. Activity Data
  - 5.1. Construction Schedule
  - 5.2. Off-Road Equipment

5.2.1. Unmitigated

- 5.3. Construction Vehicles
  - 5.3.1. Unmitigated
- 5.4. Vehicles
  - 5.4.1. Construction Vehicle Control Strategies

#### 5.5. Architectural Coatings

#### 5.6. Dust Mitigation

- 5.6.1. Construction Earthmoving Activities
- 5.6.2. Construction Earthmoving Control Strategies
- 5.7. Construction Paving
- 5.8. Construction Electricity Consumption and Emissions Factors
- 5.9. Operational Mobile Sources
  - 5.9.1. Unmitigated
- 5.10. Operational Area Sources
  - 5.10.1. Hearths
    - 5.10.1.1. Unmitigated
  - 5.10.2. Architectural Coatings
  - 5.10.3. Landscape Equipment
- 5.11. Operational Energy Consumption
  - 5.11.1. Unmitigated
- 5.12. Operational Water and Wastewater Consumption
  - 5.12.1. Unmitigated

#### 5.13. Operational Waste Generation

- 5.13.1. Unmitigated
- 5.14. Operational Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Equipment
  - 5.14.1. Unmitigated
- 5.15. Operational Off-Road Equipment
  - 5.15.1. Unmitigated
- 5.16. Stationary Sources
  - 5.16.1. Emergency Generators and Fire Pumps
  - 5.16.2. Process Boilers
- 5.17. User Defined

#### 5.18. Vegetation

- 5.18.1. Land Use Change
  - 5.18.1.1. Unmitigated
- 5.18.1. Biomass Cover Type
  - 5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

#### 5.18.2. Sequestration

5.18.2.1. Unmitigated

#### 6. Climate Risk Detailed Report

- 6.1. Climate Risk Summary
- 6.2. Initial Climate Risk Scores
- 6.3. Adjusted Climate Risk Scores
- 6.4. Climate Risk Reduction Measures

#### 7. Health and Equity Details

- 7.1. CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Scores
- 7.2. Healthy Places Index Scores
- 7.3. Overall Health & Equity Scores
- 7.4. Health & Equity Measures
- 7.5. Evaluation Scorecard
- 7.6. Health & Equity Custom Measures
- 8. User Changes to Default Data

### 1. Basic Project Information

#### 1.1. Basic Project Information

Data Field	Value
Project Name	VICT 006
Construction Start Date	1/1/2024
Operational Year	2025
Lead Agency	-
Land Use Scale	Project/site
Analysis Level for Defaults	County
Windspeed (m/s)	2.80
Precipitation (days)	12.4
Location	34.49919567510379, -117.29404701924187
County	San Bernardino-Mojave Desert
City	Victorville
Air District	Mojave Desert AQMD
Air Basin	Mojave Desert
TAZ	5127
EDFZ	10
Electric Utility	Southern California Edison
Gas Utility	Southwest Gas Corp.
App Version	2022.1.1.14

#### 1.2. Land Use Types

Land Use Subtype Size Unit Lot Acreage Building Area (sq ft) Landscape Area (sq ft) Andscape Area (sq ft)	Special Landscape Pop Area (sq ft)	Population [	Description
---	---------------------------------------	--------------	-------------

Convenience Market with Gas Pumps	8.00	Pump	0.03	5,785			_	-
Automobile Care Center	1.73	1000sqft	0.04	1,733			_	-
Parking Lot	30.0	Space	0.27	0.00	-	—	_	_

#### 1.3. User-Selected Emission Reduction Measures by Emissions Sector

No measures selected

### 2. Emissions Summary

#### 2.1. Construction Emissions Compared Against Thresholds

Un/Mit.	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	-	-		-		-	-		-	-		-		-	-	-	-	
Unmit.	0.74	7.76	5.65	7.21	0.01	0.26	0.23	0.44	0.24	0.05	0.25	-	1,381	1,381	0.05	0.02	1.02	1,387
Daily, Winter (Max)	-			-	-			_	-		<u></u>	_	-	-	-	-	-	
Unmit.	1.45	1.22	11.4	11.2	0.02	0.53	5.41	5.94	0.49	2.59	3.08		1,812	1,812	0.07	0.02	0.02	1,819
Average Daily (Max)	-	_	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
Unmit.	0.23	0.30	1.83	2.32	< 0.005	0.08	0.05	0.13	0.08	0.02	0.09	-	433	433	0.02	0.01	0.04	435
Annual (Max)	-			-	-			-	-	_			-				-	-
Unmit.	0.04	0.05	0.33	0.42	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	< 0.005	0.02	-	71.8	71.8	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	72.1

#### Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

2.2. Construction Emissions by Year, Unmitigated

Year	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily - Summer (Max)	_	_	-	-	-		-	_	-			-	-	3 <u>—</u> 3)		-	-	-
2024	0.74	7.76	5.65	7.21	0.01	0.26	0.23	0.44	0.24	0.05	0.25		1,381	1,381	0.05	0.02	1.02	1,387
Daily - Winter (Max)		_	-	-	-	_	-		-	_	-	-	-	_	-		-	_
2024	1.45	1.22	11.4	11.2	0.02	0.53	5.41	5.94	0.49	2.59	3.08	-	1,812	1,812	0.07	0.02	0.02	1,819
Average Daily	_	_		-	-	_		-	-	_		-	-	_		-	-	_
2024	0.23	0.30	1.83	2.32	< 0.005	0.08	0.05	0.13	0.08	0.02	0.09	-	433	433	0.02	0.01	0.04	435
Annual	_	_			-	3-3	-	-	_	-	-	-		3. <del></del>			-	_
2024	0.04	0.05	0.33	0.42	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	< 0.005	0.02		71.8	71.8	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	72.1

#### Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

#### 2.4. Operations Emissions Compared Against Thresholds

Un/Mit.	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	_		_	-	-
Unmit.	14.1	13.4	10.7	96.6	0.21	0.17	16.9	17.1	0.16	4.29	4.45	5.87	21,350	21,356	1.43	0.94	1,636	23,308
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	-		-	-	_		-	-	_		-	-	_		-	-	_
Unmit.	12.3	11.6	11.5	75.8	0.19	0.17	16.9	17.1	0.16	4.29	4.45	5.87	19,514	19,520	1.47	0.97	1,561	21,407
Average Daily (Max)				-			-	_	_			_	-		_	_	-	_
Unmit.	10.7	10.3	6.61	46.9	0.09	0.08	7.26	7.34	0.08	1.84	1.92	5.87	9,065	9,071	1.24	0.54	1,573	10,837

Annual (Max)	-	_		_	_	-				_		-				-		_
Unmit.	1.95	1.89	1.21	8.57	0.02	0.02	1.32	1.34	0.01	0.34	0.35	0.97	1,501	1,502	0.21	0.09	260	1,794

#### 2.5. Operations Emissions by Sector, Unmitigated

Sector	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mobile	14.0	13.2	10.6	96.3	0.21	0.17	16.9	17.1	0.16	4.29	4.45	-	20,980	20,980	0.82	0.94	77.7	21,357
Area	0.06	0.23	< 0.005	0.33	< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	-	1.34	1.34	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	1.35
Energy	0.01	< 0.005	0.05	0.04	< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	-	366	366	0.02	< 0.005	-	368
Water	-	-		-	-		-	-		-		0.47	2.07	2.54	0.05	< 0.005	_	4.11
Waste	-				-		-	-	-	-		5.39	0.00	5.39	0.54	0.00	-	18.9
Refrig.	-				-	. <u> </u>		_	-	-			-			-	1,559	1,559
Total	14.1	13.4	10.7	96.6	0.21	0.17	16.9	17.1	0.16	4.29	4.45	5.87	21,350	21,356	1.43	0.94	1,636	23,308
Daily, Winter (Max)	-	_	<u></u> ,	-	-	5 - <u>17</u>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	ý <u></u> 5)	-	<u> </u>	-	_
Mobile	12.3	11.4	11.5	75.8	0.19	0.17	16.9	17.1	0.16	4.29	4.45	-	19,146	19,146	0.86	0.97	2.01	19,458
Area	_	0.17		-	_		-	-	-	_		-	-	-	-	_	-	-
Energy	0.01	< 0.005	0.05	0.04	< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	-	366	366	0.02	< 0.005	_	368
Water	_	_		_	_	_	-	_	_	_		0.47	2.07	2.54	0.05	< 0.005	_	4.11
Waste	_			-	_			_	-	-		5.39	0.00	5.39	0.54	0.00	_	18.9
Refrig.	_			-	_	_	-		-			-	_		-	<u> </u>	1,559	1,559
Total	12.3	11.6	11.5	75.8	0.19	0.17	16.9	17.1	0.16	4.29	4.45	5.87	19,514	19,520	1.47	0.97	1,561	21,407
Average Daily		-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	<del></del>	-	-	—

Mobile	10.6	10.1	6.57	46.7	0.09	0.08	7.26	7.33	0.07	1.84	1.91	-	8,696	8,696	0.63	0.54	14.4	8,888
Area	0.03	0.20	< 0.005	0.16	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	-	0.66	0.66	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	0.67
Energy	0.01	< 0.005	0.05	0.04	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	-	366	366	0.02	< 0.005	-	368
Water	-	-		-	-	-			-	-	-	0.47	2.07	2.54	0.05	< 0.005	-	4.11
Waste	-			-			-	( <del>)</del>	-	-		5.39	0.00	5.39	0.54	0.00	-	18.9
Refrig.	-	-	<del></del>	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	1,559	1,559
Total	10.7	10.3	6.61	46.9	0.09	0.08	7.26	7.34	0.08	1.84	1.92	5.87	9,065	9,071	1.24	0.54	1,573	10,837
Annual	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	-	-	-	-	_
Mobile	1.94	1.85	1.20	8.53	0.02	0.01	1.32	1.34	0.01	0.34	0.35	-	1,440	1,440	0.10	0.09	2.38	1,471
Area	0.01	0.04	< 0.005	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	-	0.11	0.11	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	0.11
Energy	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	-	60.7	60.7	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	60.9
Water	-	-			-	-	-	-	-	-		0.08	0.34	0.42	0.01	< 0.005	-	0.68
Waste	-	-			-				-	-	-	0.89	0.00	0.89	0.09	0.00	-	3.12
Refrig.	-	-		-	-	-		-	-	-	<del></del>	-	-	-	<del>81-8</del> 1	-	258	258
Total	1.95	1.89	1.21	8.57	0.02	0.02	1.32	1.34	0.01	0.34	0.35	0.97	1,501	1,502	0.21	0.09	260	1,794

### 3. Construction Emissions Details

#### 3.1. Demolition (2024) - Unmitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	_		<u>er_e</u> r		—		<u></u>	- <u></u>	-	_	<u>11 - 1</u> 1	-	-	s <u>—</u> 11	-	2 <u>11 - 12</u>	-	_
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	-	_	-	-	-	_	_	_	_	-	-	_	s <u>—</u> 27	_		-	_
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	_	-	-	-	_	-	_	_	_		-			_		-	_

Off-Road Equipmen	0.61 t	0.51	4.69	5.79	0.01	0.19		0.19	0.17		0.17	-	852	852	0.03	0.01	-	855
Demolitio n	—	_	2-1	-	-	-	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-			-	-	-	_
Off-Road Equipmen	0.02 t	0.01	0.13	0.16	< 0.005	0.01	-	0.01	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	-	23.3	23.3	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	23.4
Demolitio n	_	-	-	-	-		0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-
Off-Road Equipmen	< 0.005 t	< 0.005	0.02	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	-	3.87	3.87	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	3.88
Demolitio n	-	-		-	-	-	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	-	-	-		-	-	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-		-	-	—	-	-	-	_
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	-	-		-	-	_	_
Daily, Winter (Max)		_	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-		-	-		-	-	_	
Worker	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.61	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.13	0.00	0.03	0.03	-	132	132	0.01	< 0.005	0.02	133
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	_	-	-		-				-	-			-	3 <b></b> 3			-	-

Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	3.72	3.72	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	3.77
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	-	-		-	-			_	-				_			_	-	-
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005		0.62	0.62	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.62
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

#### 3.3. Site Preparation (2024) - Unmitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite		-			_			1	-	-			_	0. <u></u> 1.		1 <del></del>	_	
Daily, Summer (Max)		-		-	-	-		-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Daily, Winter (Max)		_		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Off-Road Equipmen	0.60 t	0.50	4.60	5.56	0.01	0.24		0.24	0.22	-	0.22	-	858	858	0.03	0.01	-	861
Dust From Material Movemen	 t		-	-	-	- <u>-</u>	0.53	0.53	-	0.06	0.06	-	-	s <u></u> 1)	-		-	_
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily		_	<u></u>	-				<u></u>	-	_	<u></u>		-	s <u></u> n			-	_
Off-Road Equipmen	< 0.005 t	< 0.005	0.01	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	-	2.35	2.35	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	2.36
Dust From Material Movemen	 1	_	_	_	_		< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	_			_	_	_	_
-------------------------------------	--------------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	----------	------	------------	---------	---------	---------	------
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_		-	_	_		_	_	_			_			_	_	_
Off-Road Equipmen	< 0.005 t	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	-	0.39	0.39	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	0.39
Dust From Material Movemen		_		-	-		< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	_	-	-	-	-	_
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	-	-		-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	_
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	-	-	-	-	_	-		-	-	_		-	-	-	-	_	-
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	-		-	-	-	
Worker	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.30	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.07	0.00	0.02	0.02	-	65.9	65.9	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	66.7
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily					-		-	<u></u>	-	-	-	<u> </u>	-	( <u> </u>	-		-	-
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	0.19	0.19	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.19
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_			-	-	_			-			-			-	_	-	_
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005		0.03	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

## 3.5. Grading (2024) - Unmitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	co	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N20	R	CO2e
Onsite				_	_	5#1	_	_	_	_		_	_		-	1 <u>11_11</u>	a e	_
Daily, Summer (Max)		-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Daily, Winter (Max)		_		-	-	_	_	-	-	_	-	-	_	_	_		-	_
Off-Road Equipmen	1.41 t	1.19	11.4	10.7	0.02	0.53	-	0.53	0.49	_	0.49	-	1,713	1,713	0.07	0.01	-	1,719
Dust From Material Movemen		_	-	-	-	_	5.31	5.31	-	2.57	2.57	-	-		-	-	-	2
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	_		-	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	-		-	-	-	-
Off-Road Equipmen	0.01 t	0.01	0.06	0.06	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	-	9.39	9.39	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	9.42
Dust From Material Movemen	 t	-	-	-	-	-	0.03	0.03	-	0.01	0.01	-	-	-	-	_	-	_
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual				-	_		-	-	_	s <u>—</u> s	_	-		-			_	_
Off-Road Equipmen	< 0.005 t	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	-	1.55	1.55	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	1.56

Dust From Material Movemen	1	_	_	_	-		0.01	0.01	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	-	_	-	-	-	_
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	-	_		-	-	-		-	-			-	-			_	-	_
Daily, Summer (Max)		_	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	_		-	-	_	_	_	-	_
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	_		-	-	_	_	-	-	_
Worker	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.45	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.10	0.00	0.02	0.02	-	98.9	98.9	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	100
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	-	_		-		1. 			-				-	2. <u></u> 1			-	-
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	0.56	0.56	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.57
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	-	-		-	-	-		-	-			-	-	-			-	_
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	0.09	0.09	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.09
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

## 3.7. Building Construction (2024) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	-	_		—	_	_	-	-	_	_	-	-	_	_	-	-	_	_

16/49

Daily, Summer (Max)		_	_	-	-	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	_		_	_	-	_
Off-Road Equipmen	0.67 t	0.56	5.60	6.98	0.01	0.26	-	0.26	0.23		0.23	-	1,305	1,305	0.05	0.01	-	1,309
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	_	-	-	-		-	-	-	_		-	-	_	-	_	-	_
Off-Road Equipmen	0.67 t	0.56	5.60	6.98	0.01	0.26	-	0.26	0.23	-	0.23	-	1,305	1,305	0.05	0.01	-	1,309
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	-		-	-	-		-	-			-	-		-		-	_
Off-Road Equipmen	0.18 t	0.15	1.53	1.91	< 0.005	0.07	-	0.07	0.06	_	0.06	-	357	357	0.01	< 0.005	-	359
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		_	_	-
Off-Road Equipmen	0.03 t	0.03	0.28	0.35	< 0.005	0.01		0.01	0.01	-	0.01	-	59.2	59.2	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	59.4
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	-		-	-	( <u> </u> )	-	-	-	_	<u></u>	-	-	s <u>—</u> n			-	_
Daily, Summer (Max)	-	-		-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	_
Worker	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.22	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.01	-	35.8	35.8	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.14	36.4
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	40.0	40.0	< 0.005	0.01	0.11	41.7
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

VICT 006 Detailed F	Report, 6/26/2023
---------------------	-------------------

Daily, Winter (Max)	-	_		-	-		_	-	-	-	-	-			-	-	_	_
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.15	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.01		31.7	31.7	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	32.1
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	40.0	40.0	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	41.6
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005		8.95	8.95	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	9.07
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	11.0	11.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	11.4
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	-	_	<u></u>	-	-	_	-		-	_	-	-	-	sn			-	_
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	1.48	1.48	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	1.50
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	1.82	1.82	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	1.89
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

## 3.9. Paving (2024) - Unmitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	-	-			-	<u> </u>	-	-	-	-		-	-			-	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	-	-	_	_
Off-Road Equipmen	0.63 t	0.53	4.52	5.32	0.01	0.21	_	0.21	0.19		0.19	-	823	823	0.03	0.01		826
Paving	-	0.14		-	-	_		-	-	_		-	-	. <b>—</b> 8	—	-	—	_
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Daily, Winter (Max)	_		-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Average Daily	-	_		-	-		-	-	-			-	-			-	-	_
Off-Road Equipmen	0.01 t	0.01	0.06	0.07	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	-	11.3	11.3	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	11.3
Paving	_	< 0.005	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_		-	-	-	—	-	-	-	-		-	_	-	-	-	-	-
Off-Road Equipmen	< 0.005 t	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	-	1.87	1.87	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	1.87
Paving	_	< 0.005			-				-	-		-	-				-	-
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	-			-				-	_	<u></u>	-	-		-		-	_
Daily, Summer (Max)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	_
Worker	0.11	0.10	0.09	1.58	0.00	0.00	0.23	0.23	0.00	0.05	0.05	-	261	261	0.01	0.01	1.02	265
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	_		-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	_
Average Daily	-	-		-	-		-		-	-		-	-	3 <b></b> 8	-	-	-	-
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005		3.25	3.25	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	3.30
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	<u>_</u>	<u></u>		_	_	<u></u>	2 <u>11 - 11</u>	_	-	<u></u>	-	-	s <u></u> s	-	<u></u>	-	_

Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	0.54	0.54	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.55
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

## 3.11. Architectural Coating (2024) - Unmitigated

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	_	-		-	-		-	-	-			-	-	2 <b></b> 2)	-	-	-	_
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	_		-	-	_	-	-	-	_
Off-Road Equipmen	0.17 t	0.14	0.91	1.15	< 0.005	0.03	-	0.03	0.03	-	0.03	-	134	134	0.01	< 0.005	-	134
Architect ural Coatings	_	7.63		-	-	_		-	-	_		-	-	_	-	-	-	_
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	_	-	-	-	_		-	-	-		-	-	_	-	-	-	-
Average Daily	_	-	-	-			-	1	-	-			-	_	-	1.1	-	-
Off-Road Equipmen	< 0.005 t	< 0.005	0.01	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005		1.83	1.83	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	1.84
Architect ural Coatings		0.10		_	_	_			-	_		_	_		-		-	_
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual		-		-	-	<u> </u>	-	-	-	L_	-	-	_	-	-	-	<u> </u>	_

Off-Road Equipmen	< 0.005 t	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	-	0.30	0.30	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	0.30
Architect ural Coatings		0.02	-	-	-	· · · · ·	-	-	-	_	-	-	—		-	-	_	
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	-	-	-	-	_		-		-	-	-	-	-			-	-	-
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	-	-	-	-			-	-	-	-		_	8	-	-	-	
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	7.17	7.17	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	7.28
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)		-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Average Daily	-	-	<del>1</del>	-	-	-			-	-	<u></u>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005		0.09	0.09	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.09
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

# 4. Operations Emissions Details

4.1. Mobile Emissions by Land Use

#### 4.1.1. Unmitigated

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	СО2Т	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
Convenie nce Market with Gas Pumps	13.8	13.0	10.5	94.7	0.20	0.16	16.7	16.8	0.15	4.22	4.38	-	20,651	20,651	0.80	0.92	76.5	21,022
Automob ile Care Center	0.22	0.21	0.17	1.51	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.27	0.27	< 0.005	0.07	0.07	-	329	329	0.01	0.01	1.22	335
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	14.0	13.2	10.6	96.3	0.21	0.17	16.9	17.1	0.16	4.29	4.45	-	20,980	20,980	0.82	0.94	77.7	21,357
Daily, Winter (Max)	-	_		-	-		-		-			-	-		_	_	-	_
Convenie nce Market with Gas Pumps	12.1	11.3	11.3	74.6	0.19	0.16	16.7	16.8	0.16	4.22	4.38	-	18,845	18,845	0.85	0.95	1.98	19,153
Automob ile Care Center	0.19	0.18	0.18	1.19	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.27	0.27	< 0.005	0.07	0.07	-	300	300	0.01	0.02	0.03	305
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	12.3	11.4	11.5	75.8	0.19	0.17	16.9	17.1	0.16	4.29	4.45		19,146	19,146	0.86	0.97	2.01	19,458
Annual	_	-		_	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	_

Convenie Market with Gas Pumps	1.91	1.82	1.18	8.37	0.02	0.01	1.29	1.31	0.01	0.33	0.34	-	1,408	1,408	0.10	0.09	2.33	1,439
Automob ile Care Center	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.16	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	0.03	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	-	31.7	31.7	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.05	32.3
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	1.94	1.85	1.20	8.53	0.02	0.01	1.32	1.34	0.01	0.34	0.35	-	1,440	1,440	0.10	0.09	2.38	1,471

## 4.2. Energy

#### 4.2.1. Electricity Emissions By Land Use - Unmitigated

Land	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)		—		-													_	
Convenie nce Market with Gas Pumps	_	_	-		_	_		-		_		-	272	272	0.02	< 0.005		273
Automob ile Care Center	—	_		_	_			_	_	3 <u> </u>			24.1	24.1	< 0.005	< 0.005		24.2
Parking Lot	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	15.0	15.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	15.1
Total		-		-	-					_			311	311	0.02	< 0.005		312

Daily, Winter (Max)		_		—	_			_	_	_		-	-		_		-	_
Convenie nce Market with Gas Pumps	_	—	_		_	_			_	_		_	272	272	0.02	< 0.005	—	273
Automob ile Care Center	—	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	-		_	24.1	24.1	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	24.2
Parking Lot	-	_	-	_	-	-	—	_	-	-	-	-	15.0	15.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	15.1
Total	-	-		-	-	-		-					311	311	0.02	< 0.005	-	312
Annual	_	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	-		-	-	—	-	-	-	-
Convenie nce Market with Gas Pumps	_	_	_	-		_				_		_	45.1	45.1	< 0.005	< 0.005		45.2
Automob ile Care Center		_	-	_	-	_		-	_	_	-	-	3.99	3.99	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	4.00
Parking Lot	_	-	-	-	-	_		-	-	_	-	-	2.49	2.49	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	2.50
Total	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	-	51.5	51.5	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	51.7

4.2.3. Natural Gas Emissions By Land Use - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N20	R	CO2e
Use																		

24 / 49

Daily, Summer (Max)	-	_		-	-	_	-		-			-	-	_	_	_	-	_
Convenie nce Market with Gas Pumps	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005		31.3	31.3	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	31.4
Automob ile Care Center	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	-	23.8	23.8	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	23.9
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Total	0.01	< 0.005	0.05	0.04	< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	-	55.1	55.1	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	55.3
Daily, Winter (Max)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	-		-	_
Convenie nce Market with Gas Pumps	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	-	31.3	31.3	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	31.4
Automob ile Care Center	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005		23.8	23.8	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	23.9
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Total	0.01	< 0.005	0.05	0.04	< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	-	55.1	55.1	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	55.3
Annual	_	-		-	-	_	-		_	-		-	_		-	-	-	-
Convenie nce Market with Gas Pumps	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	-	5.18	5.18	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	5.20

Automob Care Center	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	-	3.94	3.94	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	3.95
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Total	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	<u> </u>	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005		9.12	9.12	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	9.15

## 4.3. Area Emissions by Source

## 4.3.2. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day f	for daily, MT/yr for annual)
--	------------------------------

Source	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	-	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	_	_	-	_	-	—	-	_
Consum er Products	_	0.16	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	_	_	_	-	_
Architect ural Coatings		0.01	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	_	-	_	_	_
Landsca pe Equipme nt	0.06	0.05	< 0.005	0.33	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	_	1.34	1.34	< 0.005	< 0.005		1.35
Total	0.06	0.23	< 0.005	0.33	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	-	1.34	1.34	< 0.005	< 0.005		1.35
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	_	-	_	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-		_
Consum er Products	_	0.16		—	-	3		_	-	2		_	-	_	-	_	_	_

Architect ural Coatings		0.01	<u></u> )	-				_	_			-				-		
Total		0.17		-	-	-		-	-	_		-	-	-			-	_
Annual	_	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
Consum er Products	_	0.03		-	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-		_
Architect ural Coatings	_	< 0.005	-	-	-	-		-	_	-		-	-		-	_	_	_
Landsca pe Equipme nt	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	_	0.11	0.11	< 0.005	< 0.005		0.11
Total	0.01	0.04	< 0.005	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	-	0.11	0.11	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	0.11

## 4.4. Water Emissions by Land Use

#### 4.4.2. Unmitigated

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)		_		_	-	_		-	_	-		-	_	_		_	_	_
Convenie nce Market with Gas Pumps		_			-	_	-	_	_	_	-	0.16	0.70	0.86	0.02	< 0.005	_	1.39
Automob ile Care Center		_	_	_	-		-	_	_	_	-	0.31	1.37	1.68	0.03	< 0.005		2.71

Parking Lot				-	-	<u> </u>	-	-	-	_		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Total		_		-	-	-	-	-			<u></u>	0.47	2.07	2.54	0.05	< 0.005	-	4.11
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	_	-	-	_	-	-	-	_
Convenie nce Market with Gas Pumps	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	0.16	0.70	0.86	0.02	< 0.005	_	1.39
Automob ile Care Center		_		_			_	_	_	_	_	0.31	1.37	1.68	0.03	< 0.005		2.71
Parking Lot	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Total	_	-	_	-	-	—	-	-	-	_		0.47	2.07	2.54	0.05	< 0.005	-	4.11
Annual	_	-		-	-			-	-			-	-	a	-	-	-	_
Convenie nce Market with Gas Pumps	_			17-57				17-00		_		0.03	0.12	0.14	< 0.005	< 0.005		0.23
Automob ile Care Center	_		_	a <del></del> -	-		-	-	_			0.05	0.23	0.28	0.01	< 0.005		0.45
Parking Lot	_	_	-	-	-	_	-		-	_		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Total	_			-	_	<u> </u>	-		_	-		0.08	0.34	0.42	0.01	< 0.005	_	0.68

4.5. Waste Emissions by Land Use

#### 4.5.2. Unmitigated

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	-	-	-	-	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	-	_	-	-	-	_
Convenie nce Market with Gas Pumps			_		_	_				_		1.83	0.00	1.83	0.18	0.00	_	6.39
Automob ile Care Center	_		_		_		_		_	_	_	3.57	0.00	3.57	0.36	0.00	_	12.5
Parking Lot	-	-	-	-	-	_		-	-		-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-		5.39	0.00	5.39	0.54	0.00	-	18.9
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	_		-	-		_	-		-		-	-	··		-	_	_
Convenie nce Market with Gas Pumps	_	_	_	_		_	_	_		_	_	1.83	0.00	1.83	0.18	0.00	_	6.39
Automob ile Care Center		_	-	-	_	( <u></u> )	_			-	_	3.57	0.00	3.57	0.36	0.00		12.5
Parking Lot		_	-	-	-			-	-			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Total	_			-	-			_	-			5.39	0.00	5.39	0.54	0.00	-	18.9
Annual	_	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-		_	-	-		-	-	-

Convenie Market with Gas Pumps				-		-				-		0.30	0.00	0.30	0.03	0.00	_	1.06
Automob ile Care Center	_	_	-	_	-	33			_	_		0.59	0.00	0.59	0.06	0.00	-	2.07
Parking Lot	_	_		-	-	_	-	_	-		-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
Total	-	-		-	-	<u> </u>			-			0.89	0.00	0.89	0.09	0.00	-	3.12

## 4.6. Refrigerant Emissions by Land Use

#### 4.6.1. Unmitigated

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)		_	-	-	-		_	-	_			-	_			_	_	_
Convenie nce Market with Gas Pumps		_	_	—	_	_		_		_				_			1,199	1,199
Automob ile Care Center		_	_	-	-	_				_		-				_	359	359
Total	-	-		-	-	-		-	-	-		-	-	_		-	1,559	1,559
Daily, Winter (Max)				-	-		_	-				_		() <u> </u>		-		

Convenie nce		_		-	-			-		_	<u></u> )	-	 -		-	1,199	1,199
Automob ile Care Center		_	-	—				—	_	-		-	 · • ·	-	-	359	359
Total	-	_	<u></u>	-				-			<u></u> )	-	 		-	1,559	1,559
Annual	-	_	-	_	_	_	-	-	-	-		-	 -	-	-		_
Convenie nce Market with Gas Pumps		_	_	-						_			 	-		199	199
Automob ile Care Center		-	-	_		_		_		_	_	_	_	_	_	59.5	59.5
Total	-	-		_	-		-	_	-	_	-	_	 			258	258

## 4.7. Offroad Emissions By Equipment Type

#### 4.7.1. Unmitigated

Equipme nt Type	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	_	-	-	_	_	-	-	_	_
Total	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	-		-	-	-		-	-	—	-
Daily, Winter (Max)				-	-			_				-			-			_
Total	-			-	_		-		-	-		-	_		-	-	_	_

Annual	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		-	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	 —	 <u> </u>		—	 <u> </u>	 -		<u> </u>
Total	-	_	-	—	-	_	 _	 -	_	-	 -	 -	-	

## 4.8. Stationary Emissions By Equipment Type

#### 4.8.1. Unmitigated

#### Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Equipme nt Type	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	_	-	-	_			-				-		_	-	-	_	
Total	—	<u> </u>	<u></u>	-	—	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	—	_	<u> </u>	-			<u> </u>	<u></u>		_
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	_	_			_	-			_	-			_		-		
Total	_	-		-	_	_	_	_	_	_		-	—	_	_	_	-	_
Annual	_	_		_	_	<u> </u>		-	_			-	_		-	_	_	_
Total	_	-			_			1	_	_		-		-		8 <del>1</del>		_

#### 4.9. User Defined Emissions By Equipment Type

#### 4.9.1. Unmitigated

Equipme nt Type	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	СО2Т	CH4	N2O	R	CO2é
Daily, Summer (Max)		_	-	-	_				_		-	-	_					_

Total	-	-	-		-			-		_			-			-		<u> </u>
Daily, Winter (Max)	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	_		_	_	_			_	-		_
Total	-	_	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	—	_
Annual	-	-	-	-	-	_	—	-	_	_		-	-	a <b></b> a	-	—	_	-
Total	-		-	-	_	_	-		-	-			-	—	-	_	_	_

### 4.10. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type

#### 4.10.1. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type - Unmitigated

Vegetatio n	TOG	ROG	NOx	co	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	-	-	-	-	_	_	-	_	_	-	-		_	-	_	_	_
Total					_			a <del>n a</del> r	-								_	_
Daily, Winter (Max)		_	-	-	-		_	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	_			
Total	-	-		(T				100000						1		10		-
Annual		_		-	-	—	<del></del>	-		—	<u></u>	-		—	<del></del>	-		—
Total	-	_	<u></u>		-	<u> </u>			-	_	<u></u>		_				-	_

#### Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

4.10.2. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type - Unmitigated

Land	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Use																		

Daily, Summer (Max)	_	-		_	_	_	-		_	_		_	_		-			
Total	-			-	-				-		-		-				-	-
Daily, Winter (Max)	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	_		_	-	-		_
Total	-	_	-	_	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	—	_
Annual	-	-		-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	_	8. <del></del>	-	-	_	_
Total		-			_	_	_		_				_	_	_	1	_	—

#### 4.10.3. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species - Unmitigated

Species	TOG	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)		-	-	-	-	_	-	-		_	_	-		_	_	-		_
Avoided	-	_			-	_			-	-		-	-	<u> </u>			-	_
Subtotal	-	-		-	-	<u> </u>	-		-			-	-	; <u></u> ; ;			-	_
Sequest ered	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-		-	_	_		-	-	_
Subtotal	-			-	—	3 <u>-</u> 3			-			-		2			-	
Remove d	_	_		-	-	_	-		-	_	<del></del>	-	_	—	-		-	_
Subtotal	_				_	<u> </u>	-	-	_	_		—	_	3	-	-	_	
		-		-	_				-	-		-	-				-	-
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	-	<del></del>	-	-	-		-		—		-		—			_	—
Avoided	-	—		-	-	-	<del></del>	-	-	-		-	-	-	<del></del> .		-	—
Subtotal	<u> </u>	-	<u>67 - 9</u> 1	<u></u>	-	_		<u></u>	-	_	<u>10 - 0</u>	<u> </u>	-	_	<u>a - a</u>	<u></u>	_	-

Sequest	-	-			-					-				<u> </u>		-		-
Subtotal	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	_		-	-	—	_
Remove d	_		-	-	_			-	_	_		-	_			_		_
Subtotal	_	_		-	_	_	—		_	-			_	2 <b></b>			_	_
						<u> </u>			_				_					—
Annual	_		<u>()</u>						-		<u>10</u>	(1)	-			( <u>1)</u>	_	_
Avoided		—	<del></del>	-		—		-		—		-	-	—	<del></del>	-	_	-
Subtotal	-	_	<u></u>			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u></u>	-	_	<u>11 - 1</u> 2		-	s <u></u> n	<u> </u>	<u></u>	_	_
Sequest ered		-	-	-		_	-		—	-	-		_	-	-			_
Subtotal	_	-			-			-	-	-		-	-	· · )		-	-	_
Remove d	_	_	-	-	-		-	-	_	-		-	-	-	-	_		_
Subtotal	_	_	_	-	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	-	_	_	-	_	_	_
_		_		-	_	_			_	_			_				_	

# 5. Activity Data

## 5.1. Construction Schedule

Phase Name	Phase Type	Start Date	End Date	Days Per Week	Work Days per Phase	Phase Description
Demolition	Demolition	1/1/2024	1/15/2024	5.00	10.0	
Site Preparation	Site Preparation	1/16/2024	1/17/2024	5.00	1.00	
Grading	Grading	1/18/2024	1/20/2024	5.00	2.00	
Building Construction	Building Construction	1/21/2024	6/9/2024	5.00	100	-
Paving	Paving	6/10/2024	6/17/2024	5.00	5.00	-
Architectural Coating	Architectural Coating	6/18/2024	6/25/2024	5.00	5.00	_

## 5.2. Off-Road Equipment

#### 5.2.1. Unmitigated

Phase Name	Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Engine Tier	Number per Day	Hours Per Day	Horsepower	Load Factor
Demolition	Concrete/Industrial Saws	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	33.0	0.73
Demolition	Rubber Tired Dozers	Diesel	Average	1.00	1.00	367	0.40
Demolition	Tractors/Loaders/Backh oes	Diesel	Average	2.00	6.00	84.0	0.37
Site Preparation	Graders	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	148	0.41
Site Preparation	Tractors/Loaders/Backh oes	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	84.0	0.37
Grading	Graders	Diesel	Average	1.00	6.00	148	0.41
Grading	Rubber Tired Dozers	Diesel	Average	1.00	6.00	367	0.40
Grading	Tractors/Loaders/Backh oes	Diesel	Average	1.00	7.00	84.0	0.37
Building Construction	Cranes	Diesel	Average	1.00	4.00	367	0.29
Building Construction	Forklifts	Diesel	Average	2.00	6.00	82.0	0.20
Building Construction	Tractors/Loaders/Backh oes	Diesel	Average	2.00	8.00	84.0	0.37
Paving	Cement and Mortar Mixers	Diesel	Average	4.00	6.00	10.0	0.56
Paving	Pavers	Diesel	Average	1.00	7.00	81.0	0.42
Paving	Rollers	Diesel	Average	1.00	7.00	36.0	0.38
Paving	Tractors/Loaders/Backh oes	Diesel	Average	1.00	7.00	84.0	0.37
Architectural Coating	Air Compressors	Diesel	Average	1.00	6.00	37.0	0.48

5.3. Construction Vehicles

## 5.3.1. Unmitigated

Phase Name	Тгір Туре	One-Way Trips per Day	Miles per Trip	Vehicle Mix
Demolition	-	_	-	-
Demolition	Worker	10.0	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Demolition	Vendor	_	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Demolition	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Demolition	Onsite truck		-	HHDT
Site Preparation		-	-	
Site Preparation	Worker	5.00	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Site Preparation	Vendor	—	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Site Preparation	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Site Preparation	Onsite truck	—	-	HHDT
Grading	-	_		
Grading	Worker	7.50	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Grading	Vendor	—	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Grading	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Grading	Onsite truck	—	-	HHDT
Building Construction	-	-	-	-
Building Construction	Worker	2.41	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Building Construction	Vendor	1.23	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Building Construction	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Building Construction	Onsite truck		-	HHDT
Paving		—	-	
Paving	Worker	17.5	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Paving	Vendor	-	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Paving	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Paving	Onsite truck		-	HHDT

Architectural Coating				-
Architectural Coating	Worker	0.48	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Architectural Coating	Vendor		10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Architectural Coating	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Architectural Coating	Onsite truck			HHDT

## 5.4. Vehicles

#### 5.4.1. Construction Vehicle Control Strategies

Non-applicable. No control strategies activated by user. 5.5. Architectural Coatings

Phase Name	Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Parking Area Coated (sq ft)
Architectural Coating	0.00	0.00	11,277	3,759	706

## 5.6. Dust Mitigation

#### 5.6.1. Construction Earthmoving Activities

Phase Name	Material Imported (cy)	Material Exported (cy)	Acres Graded (acres)	Material Demolished (sq. ft.)	Acres Paved (acres)
Demolition	0.00	0.00	0.00	—.	_
Site Preparation			0.50	0.00	_
Grading		a	1.50	0.00	
Paving	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.27

#### 5.6.2. Construction Earthmoving Control Strategies

Non-applicable. No control strategies activated by user.

#### 5.7. Construction Paving

Land Use	Area Paved (acres)	% Asphalt
Convenience Market with Gas Pumps	0.00	0%
Automobile Care Center	0.00	0%
Parking Lot	0.27	100%

## 5.8. Construction Electricity Consumption and Emissions Factors

#### kWh per Year and Emission Factor (lb/MWh)

Year	kWh per Year	CO2	CH4	N2O
2024	0.00	532	0.03	< 0.005

## 5.9. Operational Mobile Sources

#### 5.9.1. Unmitigated

Land Use Type	Trips/Weekday	Trips/Saturday	Trips/Sunday	Trips/Year	VMT/Weekday	VMT/Saturday	VMT/Sunday	VMT/Year
Convenience Market with Gas Pumps	2,580	2,580	2,580	941,700	4,625	23,609	23,609	3,667,942
Automobile Care Center	41.1	41.1	20.6	13,934	211	376	188	84,489
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

#### 5.10. Operational Area Sources

5.10.1. Hearths

5.10.1.1. Unmitigated

5.10.2. Architectural Coatings

Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Parking Area Coated (sq ft)
0	0.00	11,277	3,759	706

#### 5.10.3. Landscape Equipment

Season	Unit	Value
Snow Days	day/yr	0.00
Summer Days	day/yr	180

## 5.11. Operational Energy Consumption

#### 5.11.1. Unmitigated

#### Electricity (kWh/yr) and CO2 and CH4 and N2O and Natural Gas (kBTU/yr)

Land Use	Electricity (kWh/yr)	CO2	CH4	N2O	Natural Gas (kBTU/yr)
Convenience Market with Gas Pumps	186,721	532	0.0330	0.0040	97,651
Automobile Care Center	16,529	532	0.0330	0.0040	74,322
Parking Lot	10,303	532	0.0330	0.0040	0.00

## 5.12. Operational Water and Wastewater Consumption

#### 5.12.1. Unmitigated

Land Use	Indoor Water (gal/year)	Outdoor Water (gal/year)
Convenience Market with Gas Pumps	83,657	0.00
Automobile Care Center	163,043	0.00
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00

#### 5.13. Operational Waste Generation

#### 5.13.1. Unmitigated

Land Use	Waste (ton/year)	Cogeneration (kWh/year)	
Convenience Market with Gas Pumps	3.39	-	
Automobile Care Center	6.62	_	
Parking Lot	0.00	_	

## 5.14. Operational Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Equipment

#### 5.14.1. Unmitigated

Land Use Type	Equipment Type	Refrigerant	GWP	Quantity (kg)	Operations Leak Rate	Service Leak Rate	Times Serviced
Convenience Market with Gas Pumps	Other commercial A/C and heat pumps	R-410A	2,088	< 0.005	4.00	4.00	18.0
Convenience Market with Gas Pumps	Supermarket refrigeration and condensing units	R-404A	3,922	26.5	16.5	16.5	18.0
Automobile Care Center	Other commercial A/C and heat pumps	R-410A	2,088	< 0.005	4.00	4.00	18.0
Automobile Care Center	Supermarket refrigeration and condensing units	R-404A	3,922	26.5	16.5	16.5	18.0

## 5.15. Operational Off-Road Equipment

#### 5.15.1. Unmitigated

Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Engine Tier	Number per Day	Hours Per Day	Horsepower	Load Factor

## 5.16. Stationary Sources

41/49

#### 5.16.1. Emergency Generators and Fire Pumps

Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Number per Day	Hours per Day	Hours per Year	Ног	rsepower	Load Factor
5.16.2. Process Boiler	S						
Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Number	Boiler Bating	(MMBtu/br)	Daily Heat In	put (MMBtu/day)	nnual Heat Input (MMBtu/vr)

## 5.17. User Defined

Equipment Type	Fuel Type
-	_

## 5.18. Vegetation

#### 5.18.1. Land Use Change

#### 5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

Vegetation Land Use Type	Vegetation Soil Type	Initial Acres	Final Acres
5.18.1. Biomass Cover Type			
5.18.1.1. Unmitigated			
Biomass Cover Type	Initial Acres	Final Acres	
5.18.2. Sequestration			
5.18.2.1. Unmitigated			
Тгее Туре	Number	Electricity Saved (kWh/year)	Natural Gas Saved (btu/year)
	42	/ 49	

## 6. Climate Risk Detailed Report

#### 6.1. Climate Risk Summary

Cal-Adapt midcentury 2040–2059 average projections for four hazards are reported below for your project location. These are under Representation Concentration Pathway (RCP) 8.5 which assumes GHG emissions will continue to rise strongly through 2050 and then plateau around 2100.

Climate Hazard	Result for Project Location	Unit
Temperature and Extreme Heat	33.0	annual days of extreme heat
Extreme Precipitation	1.05	annual days with precipitation above 20 mm
Sea Level Rise	0.00	meters of inundation depth
Wildfire	0.00	annual hectares burned

Temperature and Extreme Heat data are for grid cell in which your project are located. The projection is based on the 98th historical percentile of daily maximum/minimum temperatures from observed historical data (32 climate model ensemble from Cal-Adapt, 2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5). Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

Extreme Precipitation data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The threshold of 20 mm is equivalent to about 3/4 an inch of rain, which would be light to moderate rainfall if received over a full day or heavy rain if received over a period of 2 to 4 hours. Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

Sea Level Rise data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The projections are from Radke et al. (2017), as reported in Cal-Adapt (2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5), and consider different increments of sea level rise coupled with extreme storm events. Users may select from four model simulations to view the range in potential inundation depth for the grid cell. The four simulations make different assumptions about expected rainfall and temperature are: Warmer/drier (HadGEM2-ES), Cooler/wetter (CNRM-CM5), Average conditions (CanESM2), Range of different rainfall and temperature possibilities (MIROC5). Each grid cell is 50 meters (m) by 50 m, or about 164 feet (ft) by 164 ft.

Wildfire data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The projections are from UC Davis, as reported in Cal-Adapt (2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5), and consider historical data of climate, vegetation, population density, and large (> 400 ha) fire history. Users may select from four model simulations to view the range in potential wildfire probabilities for the grid cell. The four simulations make different assumptions about expected rainfall and temperature are: Warmer/drier (HadGEM2-ES), Cooler/wetter (CNRM-CM5), Average conditions (CanESM2), Range of different rainfall and temperature possibilities (MIROC5). Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

#### 6.2. Initial Climate Risk Scores

Climate Hazard	Exposure Score	Sensitivity Score	Adaptive Capacity Score	Vulnerability Score
Temperature and Extreme Heat	4	0	0	N/A
Extreme Precipitation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sea Level Rise	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Wildfire	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Flooding	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Drought	0	0	0	N/A

Snowpack Reduction	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air Quality Degradation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

The sensitivity score reflects the extent to which a project would be adversely affected by exposure to a climate hazard. Exposure is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest exposure.

The adaptive capacity of a project refers to its ability to manage and reduce vulnerabilities from projected climate hazards. Adaptive capacity is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest ability to adapt.

The overall vulnerability scores are calculated based on the potential impacts and adaptive capacity assessments for each hazard. Scores do not include implementation of climate risk reduction measures. 6.3. Adjusted Climate Risk Scores

Climate Hazard	Exposure Score	Sensitivity Score	Adaptive Capacity Score	Vulnerability Score
Temperature and Extreme Heat	4	1	1	4
Extreme Precipitation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sea Level Rise	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Wildfire	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Flooding	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Drought	1	1	1	2
Snowpack Reduction	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air Quality Degradation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

The sensitivity score reflects the extent to which a project would be adversely affected by exposure to a climate hazard. Exposure is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest exposure.

The adaptive capacity of a project refers to its ability to manage and reduce vulnerabilities from projected climate hazards. Adaptive capacity is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest ability to adapt.

The overall vulnerability scores are calculated based on the potential impacts and adaptive capacity assessments for each hazard. Scores include implementation of climate risk reduction measures.

6.4. Climate Risk Reduction Measures

## 7. Health and Equity Details

#### 7.1. CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Scores

The maximum CalEnviroScreen score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects a higher pollution burden compared to other census tracts in the state.

Indicator	Result for Project Census Tract
44/49	

Exposure Indicators	-
AQ-Ozone	88.7
AQ-PM	9.88
AQ-DPM	29.6
Drinking Water	30.2
Lead Risk Housing	21.9
Pesticides	0.00
Toxic Releases	13.4
Traffic	54.6
Effect Indicators	-
CleanUp Sites	0.00
Groundwater	47.4
Haz Waste Facilities/Generators	95.2
Impaired Water Bodies	0.00
Solid Waste	0.00
Sensitive Population	_
Asthma	93.8
Cardio-vascular	98.9
Low Birth Weights	71.6
Socioeconomic Factor Indicators	_
Education	68.4
Housing	65.2
Linguistic	52.5
Poverty	66.4
Unemployment	96.3

7.2. Healthy Places Index Scores

Indicator	Result for Project Census Tract
Economic	
Above Poverty	14.94931349
Employed	17.00243809
Median HI	18.72192994
Education	_
Bachelor's or higher	26.30565892
High school enrollment	25.31759271
Preschool enrollment	24.5091749
Transportation	<u></u>
Auto Access	25.92069806
Active commuting	43.48774541
Social	-
2-parent households	5.800076992
Voting	39.66380085
Neighborhood	_
Alcohol availability	70.13986911
Park access	15.46259464
Retail density	37.23854741
Supermarket access	28.62825613
Tree canopy	15.28294623
Housing	_
Homeownership	33.11946619
Housing habitability	33.79956371
Low-inc homeowner severe housing cost burden	35.49339151
Low-inc renter severe housing cost burden	14.87232131
Uncrowded housing	40.60053895

The maximum Health Places Index score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects healthier community conditions compared to other census tracts in the state.

46/49

Health Outcomes	
Insured adults	50.93032208
Arthritis	15.6
Asthma ER Admissions	6.9
High Blood Pressure	21.3
Cancer (excluding skin)	42.8
Asthma	8.7
Coronary Heart Disease	17.4
Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease	8.5
Diagnosed Diabetes	24.2
Life Expectancy at Birth	15.6
Cognitively Disabled	54.2
Physically Disabled	24.6
Heart Attack ER Admissions	8.9
Mental Health Not Good	18.5
Chronic Kidney Disease	27.1
Obesity	21.5
Pedestrian Injuries	19.6
Physical Health Not Good	20.5
Stroke	13.0
Health Risk Behaviors	
Binge Drinking	68.3
Current Smoker	16.7
No Leisure Time for Physical Activity	29.5
Climate Change Exposures	
Wildfire Risk	0.0
SLR Inundation Area	0.0

47 / 49

Children	14.8
Elderly	49.5
English Speaking	55.7
Foreign-born	28.5
Outdoor Workers	75.4
Climate Change Adaptive Capacity	-
Impervious Surface Cover	83.3
Traffic Density	61.7
Traffic Access	23.0
Other Indices	-
Hardship	76.9
Other Decision Support	-
2016 Voting	41.6

## 7.3. Overall Health & Equity Scores

Metric	Result for Project Census Tract
CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Score for Project Location (a)	59.0
Healthy Places Index Score for Project Location (b)	15.0
Project Located in a Designated Disadvantaged Community (Senate Bill 535)	No
Project Located in a Low-Income Community (Assembly Bill 1550)	Yes
Project Located in a Community Air Protection Program Community (Assembly Bill 617)	No

a: The maximum CalEnviroScreen score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects a higher pollution burden compared to other census tracts in the state.

b: The maximum Health Places Index score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects healthier community conditions compared to other census tracts in the state.

#### 7.4. Health & Equity Measures

No Health & Equity Measures selected. 7.5. Evaluation Scorecard

Health & Equity Evaluation Scorecard not completed. 7.6. Health & Equity Custom Measures

No Health & Equity Custom Measures created.

# 8. User Changes to Default Data

Screen	Justification	
Land Use	Food Mart Building has a total floor area of 5,785 sq ft.	
Required Value	User Defined Input	Instructions
--	----------------------------	--
Annual Throughput (gallons/year)	1825000	Enter your gas station's annual throughput in gallons of gasoline dispensed per year.
Hourly Dispensing Throughput (gallons/hour)	700	The tool will calculate the maximum hourly vehicle fueling throughput based on annual throughput as defined by Table 10 of the 2020 Gasoline Service Station Industrywide fisk Assessment Technical Guidance Document (Technical Guidance). If a different value is desired please enter it into cell L4.
Hourly Loading Throughput (gallons/hour)	8800	The tool will calculate the maximum hourly loading throughput based on annual throughput as defined by Table 10 of the Technical Guidance. If a different value is desired please enter it into cell L5.
Meteorological Data	Ontario	Select appropriate meteorological data. Met sets provided include 2 rural (Redding and Lancaster) and 4 urban (Fresno, Ontario, San Diego, and San Jose) locations. Use whichever best correlates to your location. If you would like to use site-specific meteorological data please refer to the Variable Met Tool.
Distance to Nearest Resident (meters)	61	Enter the distance to the nearest residential receptor in meters as measured from the edge of the station canopy. Please note that the value must be between 10 and 1000 meters. The distance you input will round down to the nearest receptor distance used in the Technical Guidance (e.g., 19m will return value at 10m distance).
Distance to Nearest Business (meters)	20.7	Enter the distance to the nearest worker receptor in meters as measured from the edge of the station canopy. Please note that the value must be between 10 and 1000 meters. The distance you input will round down to the nearest receptor distance used in the Technical Guidance (e.g., 19m will return value at 10m distance).
Distance to Acute Receptor (meters)	20.7	Enter the distance where acute impacts are expected in meters as measured from the edge of the station canopy. This can be the distance to the property boundary, nearest resident, nearest worker, or any other user defined location. Please note that the value must be between 10 and 1000 meters. The distance you input will round down to the nearest receptor distance used in the Technical Guidance (e.g., 19m will return value at 10m distance).
Control Scenario	EVR Phase I & EVR Phase II	Select the appropriate control scenario for your gas station. Please refer to technical Guidance for an explanation of the different control scenarios. Almost all gas stations in California are equipped with EVR Phase I and EVR Phase II controls.
Include Building Downwash Adjustments	no	Building downwash may over estimate risk results. High results should be investigated further through site-specific health risk assessment.
	#20100/#1_000	
Risk Value	Results	
(chances/million)	1.97	
Max Worker Cancer Risk (chances/million)	0.64	6/26/2023 1:53 PM
Chronic HI	0.03	
Acute HI	0.38	

## 2022 CARB & CAPCOA Gasoline Service Station Industrywide Risk Assessment Look-up Tool Version 1.0 - February 18, 2022