A PHASE I CULTURAL RESOURCES SURVEY FOR THE 5026-5030 PATTERSON AVENUE PROJECT

PERRIS, CALIFORNIA

PRA 21-05282; APNs 294-190-047 and -048

Submitted to:

City of Perris Planning Division 135 North D Street Perris, California 92570

Prepared for:

CGU Capital Management 302 West Fifth Street, Suite 103 San Pedro, California 90731

Prepared by:

Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc. 14010 Poway Road, Suite A Poway, California 92064



Archaeological Database Information

Authors: Andrew J. Garrison, M.A., RPA and Brian F. Smith, M.A.

Consulting Firm: Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc.

14010 Poway Road, Suite A Poway, California 92064

(858) 679-8218

Report Date: March 3, 2022; Revised June 16, 2023; Revised July 13, 2023

Report Title: A Phase I Cultural Resources Survey for the 5026-5030

Patterson Avenue Project, Perris, California (PRA 21-05282)

Prepared for: CGU Capital Management

302 West Fifth Street, Suite 103 San Pedro, California 90731

Submitted to: City of Perris

Planning Division 135 North D Street Perris, California 92570

Submitted by: Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc.

14010 Poway Road, Suite A Poway, California 92064

Assessor's Parcel Number(s): 294-190-047 and -048

USGS Quadrangle: Section 36, Township 3 South, Range 4 West of the *Perris*,

California (7.5-minute).

Study Area: 4.8 acres

Key Words: USGS Perris Quadrangle (7.5-minute); City of Perris

archaeological survey; negative.

Table of Contents

Sect	<u>ion</u> <u>Page</u>
I.	INTRODUCTION AND MANAGEMENT SUMMARY1
II.	SETTING1
	Natural Environment1
	Cultural Setting – Archaeological Perspectives5
	Introduction6
	Paleo Indian Period (Late Pleistocene: 11,500 to circa 9,000 YBP)6
	Archaic Period (Early and Middle Holocene: circa 9,000 to 1,300 YBP)7
	Late Prehistoric Period (Late Holocene: 1,300 YBP to 1790)9
	Protohistoric Period (Late Holocene: 1542 to circa 1769)10
	Ethnohistoric Period (1769 to Present)17
	History of the City of Perris21
	History of the Project Area21
	History of Development Within the Project22
III.	SCOPE OF WORK24
	Research Goals24
	Data Needs25
	Applicable Regulations25
	California Environmental Quality Act25
	Local Guidelines28
IV.	RESULTS28
	Background Research and Results of Records Searches28
	Field Reconnaissance31
V.	RECOMMENDATIONS33
VI.	CERTIFICATION34
VII	REFERENCES 34

Page

Page

Appendices

Appendix A	– Resumes of Key Personnel	
Appendix B	- PVCC Specific Plan FEIR Applicable Mitigation Measures	
Appendix C	- Archaeological Records Search Results*	
Appendix D	- NAHC Sacred Lands File Search Results*	
Appendix E	– Assessor's Lot Books	
*Deleted for pı	ublic review and bound separately in the Confidential Appendix	
	List of Figures	
<u>Figure</u>		Pa
Figure 1	General Location Map	.2
Figure 2	Project Location Map (USGS)	.3
Figure 3	Development Location Map	.4
	<u>List of Plates</u>	
<u>Plate</u>	<u>I</u>	Pa
Plate 1	Overview of 5026 Patterson Avenue, facing west	32

List of Tables

<u>Table</u>		
Table 1	Previously Recorded Archaeological Sites Within a One-Mile Radius	
	of the 5026-5030 Patterson Avenue Project	29

Plate 2

I. <u>INTRODUCTION AND MANAGEMENT SUMMARY</u>


In response to a requirement by the City of Perris for the environmental assessment of a proposed industrial building site, Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc. (BFSA) has conducted an archaeological survey of the proposed 5026-5030 Patterson Avenue Project site located within the northern part of the city of Perris, Riverside County, California. The 4.8-acre project site is located between Oleander and Nandina avenues in the northern corner of the city of Perris, Riverside County, California (Figure 1). The project site (Assessor's Parcel Numbers [APNs] 294-190-047 and -048) is situated within Section 36, Township 3 South, Range 4 West of the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) *Perris, California* (7.5-minute) topographic quadrangle map (Figure 2). The project site is also located within the Perris Valley Commerce Center (PVCC) Specific Plan planning area of the City of Perris. The project includes the construction and operation of an industrial building (Figure 3).

The archaeological survey was conducted on February 14, 2022, in order to determine if cultural resources exist within the property. The survey of the property did not result in the identification of any cultural resources. As a part of this study, a copy of this report will be submitted to the Eastern Information Center (EIC) at the University of California at Riverside (UCR). Qualifications of key BFSA staff involved in the preparation of this report can be found within Appendix A. All investigations conducted by BFSA related to this project conformed to California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and City of Perris environmental guidelines, including the PVCC Specific Plan Final Environmental Impact Report (FEIR).

II. SETTING

Natural Environment

Riverside County, including the city of Perris, lies in the Peninsular Ranges Geologic Province of southern California. This range, which lies in a northwest-to-southeast trend through the county, extends around 1,000 miles from the Raymond-Malibu Fault Zone in western Los Angeles County to the southern tip of Baja California. Regionally, the project site lies within the Perris Block, a structural block bounded on the west by the Elsinore fault zone and on the east by the San Jacinto fault zone (Morton 2003). The geology mapped underlying the project site and immediate area indicates that the project site is underlain by lower Pleistocene (approximately 1.8 million to perhaps 200,000 to 300,000 years old) very old alluvial fan deposits (Morton 2001, 2003; Morton and Cox 2001; Morton and Matti 2001). These sediments are described as "... mostly well dissected, well-indurated, reddish-brown sand deposits. Commonly contains duripans and locally silcretes" (Morton 2001). According to Woodford et al. (1971), the alluvium overlying the granitic bedrock below the project is approximately 300 feet thick (Wirths 2022). Soils within the project site include Exeter sandy loam, deep, 0 to 2 percent slopes and Pachappa fine sandy loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes (NCRS 2019). Elevations at the project range from 1,495 to 1,505 feet above mean sea level.








Figure 2
Project Location Map

The 5026-5030 Patterson Avenue Project

USGS Riverside East, Steele Peak, Perris, and Sunnymead Quadrangles (7.5-minute series)






Figure 3
Development Location Map

The 5026-5030 Patterson Avenue Project

The Perris Valley originally contained perennial grasses that have primarily been replaced by non-native weeds and grasses. Although not found within the subject property, the Riversidian sage scrub plant community is the most prevalent native vegetation found in the region. The Riversidian sage scrub is primarily found within adjacent Lakeview Mountains and Bernasconi Hills and includes desert encelia, brittle brush, sagebrush, black sage, white sage, buckwheat, foxtails, and cacti. Mammals within the region include mule deer, coyote, bobcat, mountain lion, ground squirrel, and quail; birds include hawks and eagles, owls, mourning dove, mockingbird, jay, heron, crow, finch, and sparrow.

During the prehistoric period, vegetation near the project site provided sufficient food resources to support prehistoric human occupants. Animals that inhabited the project area during prehistoric times included mammals such as rabbits, squirrels, gophers, mice, rats, deer, and coyotes, in addition to a variety of reptiles and amphibians. The natural setting of the project area during the prehistoric occupation offered a rich nutritional resource base. Fresh water was likely obtainable from seasonal drainages and the San Jacinto River located southeast of the project site.

Historically, the property was utilized for agriculture or ranching/grazing of livestock, until commercial uses were developed on the two parcels. Both parcels are currently vacant, with only scattered foundations and debris (equipment parts, trash, building elements, and tires) present. Both parcels have been completely disturbed by past use.

<u>Cultural Setting – Archaeological Perspectives</u>

The archaeological perspective seeks to reconstruct past cultures based upon the material remains left behind. This is done using a range of scientific methodologies, almost all of which draw from evolutionary theory as the base framework. Archaeology allows one to look deeper into history or prehistory to see where the beginnings of ideas manifest themselves via analysis of material culture, allowing for the understanding of outside forces that shape social change. Thus, the archaeological perspective allows one to better understand the consequences of the history of a given culture upon modern cultures. Archaeologists seek to understand the effects of past contexts of a given culture on this moment in time, not culture in context *in* the moment.

Despite this, a distinction exists between "emic" and "etic" ways of understanding material culture, prehistoric lifeways, and cultural phenomena in general (Harris 1991). While "emic" perspectives serve the subjective ways in which things are perceived and interpreted by the participants within a culture, "etic" perspectives are those of an outsider looking in hopes of attaining a more scientific or "objective" understanding of the given phenomena. Archaeologists, by definition, will almost always serve an etic perspective as a result of the very nature of their work. As indicated by Laylander et al. (2014), it has sometimes been suggested that etic understanding, and therefore an archaeological understanding, is an imperfect and potentially ethnocentric attempt to arrive at emic understanding. In contrast to this, however, an etic understanding of material culture, cultural phenomena, and prehistoric lifeways can address significant dimensions of culture that lie entirely beyond the understanding or interest of those

solely utilizing an emic perspective. As Harris (1991:20) appropriately points out, "Etic studies often involve the measurement and juxtaposition of activities and events that native informants find inappropriate or meaningless." This is also likely true of archaeological comparisons and juxtapositions of material culture. However, culture as a whole does not occur in a vacuum and is the result of several millennia of choices and consequences influencing everything from technology, to religions, to institutions. Archaeology allows for the ability to not only see what came before, but to see how those choices, changes, and consequences affect the present. Where possible, archaeology should seek to address both emic and etic understandings to the extent that they may be recoverable from the archaeological record as manifestations of patterned human behavior (Laylander et al. 2014).

To that point, the culture history offered herein is primarily based upon archaeological (etic) and ethnographic (partially emic and partially etic) information. It is understood that the ethnographic record and early archaeological records were incompletely and imperfectly collected. In addition, in most cases, more than a century of intensive cultural change and cultural evolution had elapsed since the terminus of the prehistoric period. Coupled with the centuries and millennia of prehistoric change separating the "ethnographic present" from the prehistoric past, this has affected the emic and etic understandings of prehistoric cultural settings. Regardless, there remains a need to present the changing cultural setting within the region under investigation. As a result, both archaeological and Native American perspectives are offered when possible.

Introduction

Paleo Indian, Archaic Period Milling Stone Horizon, and the Late Prehistoric Takic groups are the three general cultural periods represented in Riverside County. The following discussion of the cultural history of Riverside County references the San Dieguito Complex, Encinitas Tradition, Milling Stone Horizon, La Jolla Complex, Pauma Complex, and San Luis Rey Complex, since these culture sequences have been used to describe archaeological manifestations in the region. The Late Prehistoric component present in the Riverside County area was primarily represented by the Cahuilla, Gabrielino, and Luiseño Indians.

Absolute chronological information, where possible, will be incorporated into this archaeological discussion to examine the effectiveness of continuing to interchangeably use these terms. Reference will be made to the geological framework that divides the archaeologically-based culture chronology of the area into four segments: the late Pleistocene (20,000 to 10,000 years before the present [YBP]), the early Holocene (10,000 to 6,650 YBP), the middle Holocene (6,650 to 3,350 YBP), and the late Holocene (3,350 to 200 YBP).

Paleo Indian Period (Late Pleistocene: 11,500 to circa 9,000 YBP)

Archaeologically, the Paleo Indian Period is associated with the terminus of the late Pleistocene (12,000 to 10,000 YBP). The environment during the late Pleistocene was cool and moist, which allowed for glaciation in the mountains and the formation of deep, pluvial lakes in

the deserts and basin lands (Moratto 1984). However, by the terminus of the late Pleistocene, the climate became warmer, which caused the glaciers to melt, sea levels to rise, greater coastal erosion, large lakes to recede and evaporate, extinction of Pleistocene megafauna, and major vegetation changes (Moratto 1984; Martin 1967, 1973; Fagan 1991). The coastal shoreline at 10,000 YBP, depending upon the particular area of the coast, was near the 30-meter isobath, or two to six kilometers further west than its present location (Masters 1983).

Paleo Indians were likely attracted to multiple habitat types, including mountains, marshlands, estuaries, and lakeshores. These people likely subsisted using a more generalized hunting, gathering, and collecting adaptation utilizing a variety of resources including birds, mollusks, and both large and small mammals (Erlandson and Colten 1991; Moratto 1984; Moss and Erlandson 1995).

Archaic Period (Early and Middle Holocene: circa 9,000 to 1,300 YBP)

Archaeological data indicates that between 9,000 and 8,000 YBP, a widespread complex was established in the southern California region, primarily along the coast (Warren and True 1961). This complex is locally known as the La Jolla Complex (Rogers 1939; Moriarty 1966), which is regionally associated with the Encinitas Tradition (Warren 1968) and shares cultural components with the widespread Milling Stone Horizon (Wallace 1955). The coastal expression of this complex appeared in southern California coastal areas and focused upon coastal resources and the development of deeply stratified shell middens that were primarily located around bays and lagoons. The older sites associated with this expression are located at Topanga Canyon, Newport Bay, Agua Hedionda Lagoon, and some of the Channel Islands. Radiocarbon dates from sites attributed to this complex span a period of over 7,000 years in this region, beginning over 9,000 YBP.

The Encinitas Tradition is best recognized for its pattern of large coastal sites characterized by shell middens, grinding tools that are closely associated with the marine resources of the area, cobble-based tools, and flexed human burials (Shumway et al. 1961; Smith and Moriarty 1985). While ground stone tools and scrapers are the most recognized tool types, coastal Encinitas Tradition sites also contain numerous utilized flakes, which may have been used to pry open shellfish. Artifact assemblages at coastal sites indicate a subsistence pattern focused upon shellfish collection and nearshore fishing. This suggests an incipient maritime adaptation with regional similarities to more northern sites of the same period (Koerper et al. 1986). Other artifacts associated with Encinitas Tradition sites include stone bowls, doughnut stones, discoidals, stone balls, and stone, bone, and shell beads.

The coastal lagoons in southern California supported large Milling Stone Horizon populations circa 6,000 YBP, as is shown by numerous radiocarbon dates from the many sites adjacent to the lagoons. The ensuing millennia were not stable environmentally, and by 3,000 YBP, many of the coastal sites in central San Diego County had been abandoned (Gallegos 1987, 1992). The abandonment of the area is usually attributed to the sedimentation of coastal lagoons

and the resulting deterioration of fish and mollusk habitat, which is a well-documented situation at Batiquitos Lagoon (Miller 1966; Gallegos 1987). Over a two-thousand-year period at Batiquitos Lagoon, dominant mollusk species occurring in archaeological middens shift from deep-water mollusks (*Argopecten* sp.) to species tolerant of tidal flat conditions (*Chione* sp.), indicating water depth and temperature changes (Miller 1966; Gallegos 1987).

This situation likely occurred for other small drainages (Buena Vista, Agua Hedionda, San Marcos, and Escondido creeks) along the central San Diego coast where low flow rates did not produce sufficient discharge to flush the lagoons they fed (Buena Vista, Agua Hedionda, Batiquitos, and San Elijo lagoons) (Byrd 1998). Drainages along the northern and southern San Diego coastline were larger and flushed the coastal hydrological features they fed, keeping them open to the ocean and allowing for continued human exploitation (Byrd 1998). Peñasquitos Lagoon exhibits dates as late as 2,355 YBP (Smith and Moriarty 1985) and San Diego Bay showed continuous occupation until the close of the Milling Stone Horizon (Gallegos and Kyle 1988). Additionally, data from several drainages in Camp Pendleton indicate a continued occupation of shell midden sites until the close of the period, indicating that coastal sites were not entirely abandoned during this time (Byrd 1998).

By 5,000 YBP, an inland expression of the La Jolla Complex is evident in the archaeological record, exhibiting influences from the Campbell Tradition from the north. These inland Milling Stone Horizon sites have been termed "Pauma Complex" (True 1958; Warren et al. 1961; Meighan 1954). By definition, Pauma Complex sites share a predominance of grinding implements (manos and metates), lack mollusk remains, have greater tool variety (including atlatl dart points, quarry-based tools, and crescentics), and seem to express a more sedentary lifestyle with a subsistence economy based upon the use of a broad variety of terrestrial resources. Although originally viewed as a separate culture from the coastal La Jolla Complex (True 1980), it appears that these inland sites may be part of a subsistence and settlement system utilized by the coastal peoples. Evidence from the 4S Project in inland San Diego County suggests that these inland sites may represent seasonal components within an annual subsistence round by La Jolla Complex populations (Raven-Jennings et al. 1996). Including both coastal and inland sites of this time period in discussions of the Encinitas Tradition, therefore, provides a more complete appraisal of the settlement and subsistence system exhibited by this cultural complex.

More recent work by Sutton has identified a more localized complex known as the Greven Knoll Complex. The Greven Knoll Complex is a redefined northern inland expression of the Encinitas Tradition first put forth by Mark Sutton and Jill Gardener (2010). Sutton and Gardener (2010:25) state that "[t]he early millingstone archaeological record in the northern portion of the interior southern California was not formally named but was often referred to as 'Inland Millingstone,' 'Encinitas,' or even 'Topanga.'" Therefore, they proposed that all expressions of the inland Milling Stone in southern California north of San Diego County be grouped together in the Greven Knoll Complex.

The Greven Knoll Complex, as postulated by Sutton and Gardener (2010), is broken into three phases and obtained its name from the type-site Greven Knoll located in Yucaipa, California. Presently, the Greven Knoll Site is part of the Yukaipa't Site (SBR-1000) and was combined with the adjacent Simpson Site. Excavations at Greven Knoll recovered manos, metates, projectile points, discoidal cogged stones, and a flexed inhumation with a possible cremation (Kowta 1969:39). It is believed that the Greven Knoll Site was occupied between 5,000 and 3,500 YBP. The Simpson Site contained mortars, pestles, side-notched points, and stone and shell beads. Based upon the data recovered at these sites, Kowta (1969:39) suggested that "coastal Milling Stone Complexes extended to and interdigitated with the desert Pinto Basin Complex in the vicinity of the Cajon Pass."

Phase I of the Greven Knoll Complex is generally dominated by the presence of manos and metates, core tools, hammerstones, large dart points, flexed inhumations, and occasional cremations. Mortars and pestles are absent from this early phase, and the subsistence economy emphasized hunting. Sutton and Gardener (2010:26) propose that the similarity of the material culture of Greven Knoll Phase I and that found in the Mojave Desert at Pinto Period sites indicates that the Greven Knoll Complex was influenced by neighbors to the north at that time. Accordingly, Sutton and Gardener (2010) believe that Greven Knoll Phase I may have appeared as early as 9,400 YBP and lasted until about 4,000 YBP.

Greven Knoll Phase II is associated with a period between 4,000 and 3,000 YBP. Artifacts common to Greven Knoll Phase II include manos and metates, Elko points, core tools, and discoidals. Pestles and mortars are present; however, they are only represented in small numbers. Finally, there is an emphasis upon hunting and gathering for subsistence (Sutton and Gardener 2010:8).

Greven Knoll Phase III includes manos, metates, Elko points, scraper planes, choppers, hammerstones, and discoidals. Again, small numbers of mortars and pestles are present. Greven Knoll Phase III spans from approximately 3,000 to 1,000 YBP and shows a reliance upon seeds and yucca. Hunting is still important, but bones seem to have been processed to obtain bone grease more often in this later phase (Sutton and Gardener 2010:8).

The shifts in food processing technologies during each of these phases indicate a change in subsistence strategies; although people were still hunting for large game, plant-based foods eventually became the primary dietary resource (Sutton 2011a). Sutton's (2011b) argument posits that the development of mortars and pestles during the middle Holocene can be attributed to the year-round exploitation of acorns as a main dietary provision. Additionally, the warmer and drier climate may have been responsible for groups from the east moving toward coastal populations, which is archaeologically represented by the interchange of coastal and eastern cultural traits (Sutton 2011a).

Late Prehistoric Period (Late Holocene: 1,300 YBP to 1790)

Many Luiseño hold the world view that as a population they were created in southern

California; however, archaeological and anthropological data proposes a scientific/archaeological perspective. Archaeological and anthropological evidence suggests that at approximately 1,350 YBP, Takic-speaking groups from the Great Basin region moved into Riverside County, marking the transition to the Late Prehistoric Period. An analysis of the Takic expansion by Sutton (2009) indicates that inland southern California was occupied by "proto-Yuman" populations before 1,000 YBP. The comprehensive, multi-phase model offered by Sutton (2009) employs linguistic, ethnographic, archaeological, and biological data to solidify a reasonable argument for population replacement of Takic groups to the north by Penutians (Laylander 1985). As a result, it is believed that Takic expansion occurred starting around 3,500 YBP moving toward southern California, with the Gabrielino language diffusing south into neighboring Yuman (Hokan) groups around 1,500 to 1,000 YBP, possibly resulting in the Luiseño dialect.

Based upon Sutton's model, the final Takic expansion would not have occurred until about 1,000 YBP, resulting in Vanyume, Serrano, Cahuilla, and Cupeño dialects. The model suggests that the Luiseño did not simply replace Hokan speakers but were rather a northern San Diego County/southern Riverside County Yuman population who adopted the Takic language. This period is characterized by higher population densities and elaborations in social, political, and technological systems. Economic systems diversified and intensified during this period with the continued elaboration of trade networks, the use of shell-bead currency, and the appearance of more labor-intensive, yet effective, technological innovations. Technological developments during this period included the introduction of the bow and arrow between A.D. 400 and 600 and the introduction of ceramics. Atlatl darts were replaced by smaller arrow darts, including Cottonwood series points. Other hallmarks of the Late Prehistoric Period include extensive trade networks as far-reaching as the Colorado River Basin and cremation of the dead.

Protohistoric Period (Late Holocene: 1542 to circa 1769)

Ethnohistoric and ethnographic evidence indicates that three Takic-speaking groups occupied portions of Riverside County: the Cahuilla, the Gabrielino, and the Luiseño. The geographic boundaries between these groups in pre- and proto-historic times are difficult to place, but the project site is located well within the borders of ethnographic Luiseño territory. This group was a seasonal hunting and gathering people with cultural elements that were very distinct from Archaic Period peoples. These distinctions include cremation of the dead, the use of the bow and arrow, and exploitation of the acorn as a main food staple (Moratto 1984). Along the coast, the Luiseño made use of available marine resources by fishing and collecting mollusks for food. Seasonally available terrestrial resources, including acorns and game, were also sources of nourishment for Luiseño groups. Elaborate kinship and clan systems between the Luiseño and other groups facilitated a wide-reaching trade network that included trade of Obsidian Butte obsidian and other resources from the eastern deserts, as well as steatite from the Channel Islands.

According to Charles Handley (1967), the primary settlements of Late Prehistoric Luiseño Indians in the San Jacinto Plain were represented by Ivah and Soboba near Soboba Springs, Jusipah

near the town of San Jacinto, Ararah in Webster's Canyon en route to Idyllwild, Pahsitha near Big Springs Ranch southeast of Hemet, and Corova in Castillo Canyon. These locations share features such as the availability of food and water resources. Features of this land use include petroglyphs and pictographs, as well as widespread milling, which is evident in bedrock and portable implements. Groups in the vicinity of the project site, neighboring the Luiseño, include the Cahuilla and the Gabrielino. Ethnographic data for the three groups is presented below.

Luiseño: An Archaeological and Ethnographic Perspective

When contacted by the Spanish in the sixteenth century, the Luiseño occupied a territory bounded on the west by the Pacific Ocean, on the east by the Peninsular Ranges mountains at San Jacinto (including Palomar Mountain to the south and Santiago Peak to the north), on the south by Agua Hedionda Lagoon, and on the north by Aliso Creek in present-day San Juan Capistrano. The Luiseño were a Takic-speaking people more closely related linguistically and ethnographically to the Cahuilla, Gabrielino, and Cupeño to the north and east rather than the Kumeyaay who occupied territory to the south. The Luiseño differed from their neighboring Takic speakers in having an extensive proliferation of social statuses, a system of ruling families that provided ethnic cohesion within the territory, a distinct worldview that stemmed from the use of datura (a hallucinogen), and an elaborate religion that included the creation of sacred sand paintings depicting the deity Chingichngish (Bean and Shipek 1978; Kroeber 1976).

Subsistence and Settlement

The Luiseño occupied sedentary villages most often located in sheltered areas in valley bottoms, along streams, or along coastal strands near mountain ranges. Villages were located near water sources to facilitate acorn leaching and in areas that offered thermal and defensive protection. Villages were composed of areas that were publicly and privately (by family) owned. Publicly owned areas included trails, temporary campsites, hunting areas, and quarry sites. Inland groups had fishing and gathering sites along the coast that were intensively used from January to March when inland food resources were scarce. During October and November, most of the village would relocate to mountain oak groves to harvest acorns. The Luiseño remained at village sites for the remainder of the year, where food resources were within a day's travel (Bean and Shipek 1978; Kroeber 1976).

The most important food source for the Luiseño was the acorn, six different species of which were used (*Quercus californica*, *Quercus agrifolia*, *Quercus chrysolepis*, *Quercus dumosa*, *Quercus engelmannii*, and *Quercus wislizenii*). Seeds, particularly of grasses, flowering plants, and mints, were also heavily exploited. Seed-bearing species were encouraged through controlled burns, which were conducted at least every third year. A variety of other stems, leaves, shoots, bulbs, roots, and fruits were also collected. Hunting augmented this vegetal diet. Animal species taken included deer, rabbit, hare, woodrat, ground squirrel, antelope, quail, duck, freshwater fish from mountain streams, marine mammals, and other sea creatures such as fish, crustaceans, and

mollusks (particularly abalone, or *Haliotis* sp.). In addition, a variety of snakes, small birds, and rodents were eaten (Bean and Shipek 1978; Kroeber 1976).

Social Organization

Social groups within the Luiseño nation consisted of patrilinear families or clans, which were politically and economically autonomous. Several clans comprised a religious party, or nota, which was headed by a chief who organized ceremonies and controlled economics and warfare. The chief had assistants who specialized in particular aspects of ceremonial or environmental knowledge and who, with the chief, were part of a religion-based social group with special access to supernatural power, particularly that of Chingichngish. The positions of chief and assistants were hereditary, and the complexity and multiplicity of these specialists' roles likely increased in coastal and larger inland villages (Bean and Shipek 1978; Kroeber 1976; Strong 1929).

Marriages were arranged by the parents, often made to forge alliances between lineages. Useful alliances included those between groups of differing ecological niches and those that resulted in territorial expansion. Residence was patrilocal (Bean and Shipek 1978; Kroeber 1976). Women were primarily responsible for plant gathering and men principally hunted, although, at times, particularly during acorn and marine mollusk harvests, there was no division of labor. Elderly women cared for children and elderly men participated in rituals, ceremonies, and political affairs. They were also responsible for manufacturing hunting and ritual implements. Children were taught subsistence skills at the earliest age possible (Bean and Shipek 1978; Kroeber 1976).

Material Culture

House structures were conical, partially subterranean, and thatched with reeds, brush, or bark. Ramadas were rectangular, protected workplaces for domestic chores such as cooking. Ceremonial sweathouses were important in purification rituals; these were round and partially subterranean thatched structures covered with a layer of mud. Another ceremonial structure was the wamkis (located in the center of the village, serving as the place of rituals), where sand paintings and other rituals associated with the Chingichngish religious group were performed (Bean and Shipek 1978; Kroeber 1976).

Clothing was minimal; women wore a cedar-bark and netted twine double apron and men wore a waist cord. In cold weather, cloaks or robes of rabbit fur, deerskin, or sea otter fur were worn by both sexes. Footwear included deerskin moccasins and sandals fashioned from yucca fibers. Adornments included bead necklaces and pendants made of bone, clay, stone, shell, bear claw, mica, deer hooves, and abalone shell. Men wore ear and nose piercings made from cane or bone, which were sometimes decorated with beads. Other adornments were commonly decorated with semiprecious stones including quartz, topaz, garnet, opal, opalite, agate, and jasper (Bean and Shipek 1978; Kroeber 1976).

Hunting implements included the bow and arrow. Arrows were tipped with either a carved, fire-hardened wood tip or a lithic point, usually fashioned from locally available metavolcanic

material or quartz. Throwing sticks fashioned from wood were used in hunting small game, while deer head decoys were used during deer hunts. Coastal groups fashioned dugout canoes for nearshore fishing and harvested fish with seines, nets, traps, and hooks made of bone or abalone shell (Bean and Shipek 1978; Kroeber 1976).

The Luiseño had a well-developed basket industry. Baskets were used in resource gathering, food preparation, storage, and food serving. Ceramic containers were shaped by paddle and anvil and fired in shallow, open pits to be used for food storage, cooking, and serving. Other utensils included wood implements, steatite bowls, and ground stone manos, metates, mortars, and pestles (Bean and Shipek 1978; Kroeber 1976). Additional tools such as knives, scrapers, choppers, awls, and drills were also used. Shamanistic items include soapstone or clay smoking pipes and crystals made of quartz or tourmaline (Bean and Shipek 1978; Kroeber 1976).

Cahuilla: An Archaeological and Ethnographic Perspective

At the time of Spanish contact in the sixteenth century, the Cahuilla occupied territory that included the San Bernardino Mountains, Orocopia Mountain, and the Chocolate Mountains to the west, Salton Sea and Borrego Springs to the south, Palomar Mountain and Lake Mathews to the west, and the Santa Ana River to the north. The Cahuilla are a Takic-speaking people closely related to their Gabrielino and Luiseño neighbors, although relations with the Gabrielino were more intense than with the Luiseño. They differ from the Luiseño and Gabrielino in that their religion is more similar to the Mohave tribes of the eastern deserts than the Chingichngish religious group of the Luiseño and Gabrielino. The following is a summary of ethnographic data regarding this group (Bean 1978; Kroeber 1976).

Subsistence and Settlement

Cahuilla villages were typically permanent and located on low terraces within canyons in proximity to water sources. These locations proved to be rich in food resources and also afforded protection from prevailing winds. Villages had areas that were publicly owned and areas that were privately owned by clans, families, or individuals. Each village was associated with a particular lineage and series of sacred sites that included unique petroglyphs and pictographs. Villages were occupied throughout the year; however, during a several-week period in the fall, most of the village members relocated to mountain oak groves to take part in acorn harvesting (Bean 1978; Kroeber 1976).

The Cahuilla's use of plant resources is well documented. Plant foods harvested by the Cahuilla included valley oak acorns and single-leaf pinyon pine nuts. Other important plant species included bean and screw mesquite, agave, Mohave yucca, cacti, palm, chia, quail brush, yellowray goldfield, goosefoot, manzanita, catsclaw, desert lily, mariposa lily, and a number of other species such as grass seed. A number of agricultural domesticates were acquired from the Colorado River tribes including corn, bean, squash, and melon grown in limited amounts. Animal species taken included deer, bighorn sheep, pronghorn antelope, rabbit, hare, rat, quail, dove, duck, roadrunner, and a variety of rodents, reptiles, fish, and insects (Bean 1978; Kroeber 1976).

Social Organization

The Cahuilla was not a political nation, but rather a cultural nationality with a common language. Two non-political, non-territorial patrimoieties were recognized: the Wildcats (túktem) and the Coyotes (?istam). Lineage and kinship were memorized at a young age among the Cahuilla, providing a backdrop for political relationships. Clans were composed of three to 10 lineages; each lineage owned a village site and specific resource areas. Lineages within a clan cooperated in subsistence activities, defense, and rituals (Bean 1978; Kroeber 1976).

A system of ceremonial hierarchy operated within each lineage. The hierarchy included the lineage leader, who was responsible for leading subsistence activities, guarding the sacred bundle, and negotiating with other lineage leaders in matters concerning land use, boundary disputes, marriage arrangements, trade, warfare, and ceremonies. The ceremonial assistant to the lineage leader was responsible for organizing ceremonies. A ceremonial singer possessed and performed songs at rituals and trained assistant singers. The shaman cured illnesses through supernatural powers, controlled natural phenomena, and was the guardian of ceremonies, keeping evil spirits away. The diviner was responsible for finding lost objects, telling future events, and locating game and other food resources. Doctors were usually older women who cured various ailments and illnesses with their knowledge of medicinal herbs. Finally, certain Cahuilla specialized as traders, who ranged as far west as Santa Catalina and as far east as the Gila River (Bean 1978; Kroeber 1976).

Marriages were arranged by parents from opposite moieties. When a child was born, an alliance formed between the families, which included frequent reciprocal exchanges. The Cahuilla kinship system extended to relatives within five generations. Important economic decisions, primarily the distribution of goods, operated within this kinship system (Bean 1978; Kroeber 1976).

Material Culture

Cahuilla houses were dome-shaped or rectangular, thatched structures. The home of the lineage leader was the largest, located near the ceremonial house with the best access to water. Other structures within the village included the men's sweathouse and granaries (Bean 1978; Kroeber 1976).

Cahuilla clothing, like other groups in the area, was minimal. Men typically wore a loincloth and sandals; women wore skirts made from mesquite bark, animal skin, or tules. Babies wore mesquite bark diapers. Rabbit skin cloaks were worn in cold weather (Bean 1978; Kroeber 1976).

Hunting implements included the bow and arrow, throwing sticks, and clubs. Grinding tools used in food processing included manos, metates, and wood mortars. The Cahuilla were known to use long grinding implements made from wood to process mesquite beans; the mortar was typically a hollowed log buried in the ground. Other tools included steatite arrow shaft straighteners (Bean 1978; Kroeber 1976).

Baskets were made from rush, deer grass, and skunkbrush. Different species and leaves were chosen for different colors in the basket design. Coiled-ware baskets were either flat (for plates, trays, or winnowing), bowl-shaped (for food serving), deep, inverted, and cone-shaped (for transporting), or rounded and flat-bottomed for storing utensils and personal items (Bean 1978; Kroeber 1976).

Cahuilla pottery was made from a thin, red-colored ceramic ware that was often painted and incised. Four basic vessel types are known for the Cahuilla: small-mouthed jars, cooking pots, bowls, and dishes. Additionally, smoking pipes and flutes were fashioned from ceramic (Bean 1978; Kroeber 1976).

Gabrielino: An Archaeological and Ethnographic Perspective

The territory of the Gabrielino at the time of Spanish contact covers much of present-day Los Angeles and Orange counties. The southern extent of this culture area is bounded by Aliso Creek, the eastern extent is located east of present-day San Bernardino along the Santa Ana River, the northern extent includes the San Fernando Valley, and the western extent includes portions of the Santa Monica Mountains. The Gabrielino also occupied several Channel Islands including Santa Barbara Island, Santa Catalina Island, San Nicholas Island, and San Clemente Island. Because of their access to certain resources, including a steatite source from Santa Catalina Island, this group was among the wealthiest and most populous aboriginal groups in all of southern California. Trade of materials and resources controlled by the Gabrielino extended as far north as the San Joaquin Valley, as far east as the Colorado River, and as far south as Baja California (Bean and Smith 1978; Kroeber 1976).

Subsistence and Settlement

The Gabrielino lived in permanent villages and occupied smaller resource-gathering camps at various times of the year depending upon the seasonality of the resource. Larger villages were comprised of several families or clans, while smaller, seasonal camps typically housed smaller family units. The coastal area between San Pedro and Topanga Canyon was the location of primary subsistence villages, while secondary sites were located near inland sage stands, oak groves, and pine forests. Permanent villages were located along rivers and streams and in sheltered areas along the coast. As previously mentioned, the Channel Islands were also the locations of relatively large settlements (Bean and Smith 1978; Kroeber 1976).

Resources procured along the coast and on the islands were primarily marine in nature and included tuna, swordfish, ray and shark, California sea lion, Stellar sea lion, harbor seal, northern elephant seal, sea otter, dolphin and porpoise, various waterfowl species, numerous fish species, purple sea urchin, and mollusks, such as rock scallop, California mussel, and limpet. Inland resources included oak acorn, pine nut, Mohave yucca, cacti, sage, grass nut, deer, rabbit, hare, rodent, quail, duck, and a variety of reptiles such as western pond turtle and numerous snake species (Bean and Smith 1978; Kroeber 1976).

Social Organization

The social structure of the Gabrielino is little known; however, there appears to have been at least three social classes: 1) the elite, which included the rich, chiefs, and their immediate family; 2) a middle class, which included people of relatively high economic status or long-established lineages; and 3) a class of people that included most other individuals in the society. Villages were politically autonomous units comprised of several lineages. During times of the year when certain seasonal resources were available, the village would divide into lineage groups and move out to exploit them, returning to the village between forays (Bean and Smith 1978; Kroeber 1976).

Each lineage had its own leader, with the village chief coming from the dominant lineage. Several villages might be allied under a paramount chief. Chiefly positions were of an ascribed status, most often passed to the eldest son. Chiefly duties included providing village cohesion, leading warfare and peace negotiations with other groups, collecting tribute from the village(s) under his jurisdiction, and arbitrating disputes within the village(s). The status of the chief was legitimized by his safekeeping of the sacred bundle, a representation of the link between the material and spiritual realms and the embodiment of power (Bean and Smith 1978; Kroeber 1976).

Shamans were leaders in the spirit realm. The duties of the shaman included conducting healing and curing ceremonies, guarding the sacred bundle, locating lost items, identifying and collecting poisons for arrows, and making rain (Bean and Smith 1978; Kroeber 1976).

Marriages were made between individuals of equal social status and, in the case of powerful lineages, marriages were arranged to establish political ties between the lineages (Bean and Smith 1978; Kroeber 1976).

Men conducted the majority of the heavy labor, hunting, fishing, and trading with other groups. Women's duties included gathering and preparing plant and animal resources, and making baskets, pots, and clothing (Bean and Smith 1978; Kroeber 1976).

Material Culture

Gabrielino houses were domed, circular structures made of thatched vegetation. Houses varied in size and could house from one to several families. Sweathouses (semicircular, earth-covered buildings) were public structures used in male social ceremonies. Other structures included menstrual huts and a ceremonial structure called a yuvar, an open-air structure built near the chief's house (Bean and Smith 1978; Kroeber 1976).

Clothing was minimal; men and children most often went naked, while women wore deerskin or bark aprons. In cold weather, deerskin, rabbit fur, or bird skin (with feathers intact) cloaks were worn. Island and coastal groups used sea otter fur for cloaks. In areas of rough terrain, yucca fiber sandals were worn. Women often used red ochre on their faces and skin for adornment or protection from the sun. Adornment items included feathers, fur, shells, and beads (Bean and Smith 1978; Kroeber 1976).

Hunting implements included wood clubs, sinew-backed bows, slings, and throwing clubs. Maritime implements included rafts, harpoons, spears, hook and line, and nets. A variety of other

tools included deer scapulae saws, bone and shell needles, bone awls, scrapers, bone or shell flakers, wedges, stone knives and drills, metates, mullers, manos, shell spoons, bark platters, and wood paddles and bowls. Baskets were made from rush, deer grass, and skunkbush. Baskets were fashioned for hoppers, plates, trays, and winnowers for leaching, straining, and gathering. Baskets were also used for storing, preparing, and serving food, and for keeping personal and ceremonial items (Bean and Smith 1978; Kroeber 1976).

The Gabrielino had exclusive access to soapstone, or steatite, procured from Santa Catalina Island quarries. This highly prized material was used for making pipes, animal carvings, ritual objects, ornaments, and cooking utensils. The Gabrielino profited well from trading steatite since it was valued so much by groups throughout southern California (Bean and Smith 1978; Kroeber 1976).

Ethnohistoric Period (1769 to Present)

Traditionally, the history of the state of California has been divided into three general periods: the Spanish Period (1769 to 1821), the Mexican Period (1822 to 1846), and the American Period (1848 to present) (Caughey 1970). The American Period is often further subdivided into additional phases: the nineteenth century (1848 to 1900), the early twentieth century (1900 to 1950), and the Modern Period (1950 to present). From an archaeological standpoint, all of these phases can be referred to together as the Ethnohistoric Period. This provides a valuable tool for archaeologists, as ethnohistory is directly concerned with the study of indigenous or non-Western peoples from a combined historical/anthropological viewpoint, which employs written documents, oral narrative, material culture, and ethnographic data for analysis.

European exploration along the California coast began in 1542 with the landing of Juan Rodriguez Cabrillo and his men at San Diego Bay. Sixty years after the Cabrillo expeditions, an expedition under Sebastian Viscaíno made an extensive and thorough exploration of the Pacific coast. Although the voyage did not extend beyond the northern limits of the Cabrillo track, Viscaíno had the most lasting effect upon the nomenclature of the coast. Many of his place names have survived, whereas practically every one of the names created by Cabrillo have faded from use. For instance, Cabrillo named the first (now) United States port he stopped at "San Miguel"; 60 years later, Viscaíno changed it to "San Diego" (Rolle 1969). The early European voyages observed Native Americans living in villages along the coast but did not make any substantial, long-lasting impact. At the time of contact, the Luiseño population was estimated to have ranged from 4,000 to as many as 10,000 individuals (Bean and Shipek 1978; Kroeber 1976).

The historic background of the project area began with the Spanish colonization of Alta California. The first Spanish colonizing expedition reached southern California in 1769 with the intention of converting and civilizing the indigenous populations, as well as expanding the knowledge of and access to new resources in the region (Brigandi 1998). As a result, by the late eighteenth century, a large portion of southern California was overseen by Mission San Luis Rey (San Diego County), Mission San Juan Capistrano (Orange County), and Mission San Gabriel

(Los Angeles County), who began colonization the region and surrounding areas (Chapman 1921).

Up until this time, the only known way to feasibly travel from Sonora to Alta California was by sea. In 1774, Juan Bautista de Anza, an army captain at Tubac, requested and was given permission by the governor of the Mexican State of Sonora to establish an overland route from Sonora to Monterey (Chapman 1921). In doing so, Juan Bautista de Anza passed through Riverside County and described the area in writing for the first time (Caughey 1970; Chapman 1921). In 1797, Father Presidente Lausen (of Mission San Diego de Alcalá), Father Norberto de Santiago, and Corporal Pedro Lisalde (of Mission San Juan Capistrano) led an expedition through southwestern Riverside County in search of a new mission site to establish a presence between San Diego and San Juan Capistrano (Engelhardt 1921). Their efforts ultimately resulted in the establishment of Mission San Luis Rey in Oceanside, California.

Each mission gained power through the support of a large, subjugated Native American workforce. As the missions grew, livestock holdings increased and became increasingly vulnerable to theft. In order to protect their interests, the southern California missions began to expand inland to try and provide additional security (Beattie and Beattie 1939; Caughey 1970). In order to meet their needs, the Spaniards embarked on a formal expedition in 1806 to find potential locations within what is now the San Bernardino Valley. As a result, by 1810, Father Francisco Dumetz of Mission San Gabriel had succeeded in establishing a religious site, or capilla, at a Cahuilla rancheria called Guachama (Beattie and Beattie 1939). San Bernardino Valley received its name from this site, which was dedicated to San Bernardino de Siena by Father Dumetz. The Guachama rancheria was located in present-day Bryn Mawr in San Bernardino County.

These early colonization efforts were followed by the establishment of estancias at Puente (circa 1816) and San Bernardino (circa 1819) near Guachama (Beattie and Beattie 1939). These efforts were soon mirrored by the Spaniards from Mission San Luis Rey, who in turn established a presence in what is now Lake Elsinore, Temecula, and Murrieta (Chapman 1921). The indigenous groups who occupied these lands were recruited by missionaries, converted, and put to work in the missions (Pourade 1961). Throughout this period, the Native American populations were decimated by introduced diseases, a drastic shift in diet resulting in poor nutrition, and social conflicts due to the introduction of an entirely new social order (Cook 1976).

Mexico achieved independence from Spain in 1822 and became a federal republic in 1824. As a result, both Baja and Alta California became classified as territories (Rolle 1969). Shortly thereafter, the Mexican Republic sought to grant large tracts of private land to its citizens to begin to encourage immigration to California and to establish its presence in the region. Although a number of similar land grants originally were issued under the Spanish, the Mexican government greatly expanded the process, issuing 50 land grants between 1822 and 1832 (Library of Congress, General Collections 2021). Part of the establishment of power and control included the desecularization of the missions circa 1832. These same missions were also located on some of the most fertile land in California and, as a result, were considered highly valuable. The resulting land grants, known as "ranchos," covered expansive portions of California and by 1846, more than

600 land grants had been issued by the Mexican government (Library of Congress, General Collections 2021). Rancho Jurupa was the first rancho to be established and was issued to Juan Bandini in 1838. Although Bandini primarily resided in San Diego, Rancho Jurupa was located in what is now Riverside County (Pourade 1963). A review of Riverside County place names quickly illustrates that many of the ranchos in Riverside County lent their names to present-day locations, including Jurupa, El Rincon, La Sierra, El Sobrante de San Jacinto, La Laguna (Lake Elsinore), Santa Rosa, Temecula, Pauba, San Jacinto Nuevo y Potrero, and San Jacinto Viejo (Gunther 1984). As was typical of many ranchos, these were all located in the valley environments within western Riverside County.

The treatment of Native Americans grew worse during the Rancho Period. Most of the Native Americans were forced off of their land or put to work on the now privately-owned ranchos, most often as slave labor. In light of the brutal ranchos, the degree to which Native Americans had become dependent upon the mission system is evident when, in 1838, a group of Native Americans from Mission San Luis Rey petitioned government officials in San Diego to relieve suffering at the hands of the rancheros:

We have suffered incalculable losses, for some of which we are in part to be blamed for because many of us have abandoned the Mission ... We plead and beseech you ... to grant us a Rev. Father for this place. We have been accustomed to the Rev. Fathers and to their manner of managing the duties. We labored under their intelligent directions, and we were obedient to the Fathers according to the regulations, because we considered it as good for us. (Brigandi 1998:21)

Native American culture had been disrupted to the point where they could no longer rely upon prehistoric subsistence and social patterns. Not only does this illustrate how dependent the Native Americans had become upon the missionaries, but it also indicates a marked contrast in the way the Spanish treated the Native Americans compared to the Mexican and United States ranchers. Spanish colonialism (missions) is based upon utilizing human resources while integrating them into their society. The Mexican and American ranchers did not accept Native Americans into their social order and used them specifically for the extraction of labor, resources, and profit. Rather than being incorporated, they were either subjugated or exterminated (Cook 1976).

By 1846, tensions between the United States and Mexico had escalated to the point of war (Rolle 1969). In order to reach a peaceful agreement, the Treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo was put into effect in 1848, which resulted in the annexation of California to the United States. Once California opened to the United States, waves of settlers moved in searching for gold mines, business opportunities, political opportunities, religious freedom, and adventure (Rolle 1969; Caughey 1970). By 1850, California had become a state and was eventually divided into 27 separate counties. While a much larger population was now settling in California, this was primarily in the central valley, San Francisco, and the Gold Rush region of the Sierra Nevada

Mountain range (Rolle 1969; Caughey 1970). During this time, southern California grew at a much slower pace than northern California and was still dominated by the cattle industry established during the earlier rancho period. However, by 1859, the first United States Post Office in what would eventually become Riverside County was set up at John Magee's store on the Temecula Rancho (Gunther 1984).

During the same decade, circa 1852, the Native Americans of southern Riverside County, including the Luiseño and the Cahuilla, thought they had signed a treaty resulting in their ownership of all lands from Temecula to Aguanga east to the desert, including the San Jacinto Valley and the San Gorgonio Pass. The Temecula Treaty also included food and clothing provisions for the Native Americans. However, Congress never ratified these treaties, and the promise of one large reservation was rescinded (Brigandi 1998).

With the completion of the Southern Pacific Railroad in 1869, southern California saw its first major population expansion. The population boom continued circa 1874 with the completion of connections between the Southern Pacific Railroad in Sacramento to the transcontinental Central Pacific Railroad in Los Angeles (Rolle 1969; Caughey 1970). The population influx brought farmers, land speculators, and prospective developers to the region. As the Jurupa area became more and more populated, circa 1870, Judge John Wesley North and a group of associates founded the city of Riverside on part of the former rancho.

Although the first orange trees were planted in Riverside County circa 1871, it was not until a few years later when a small number of Brazilian navel orange trees were established that the citrus industry truly began in the region (Patterson 1971). The Brazilian navel orange was well suited to the climate of Riverside County and thrived with assistance from several extensive irrigation projects. At the close of 1882, an estimated half a million citrus trees were present in California. It is estimated that nearly half of that population was in Riverside County. Population growth and 1880s tax revenue from the booming citrus industry prompted the official formation of Riverside County in 1893 out of portions of what was once San Bernardino County (Patterson 1971).

Shortly thereafter, with the start of World War I, the United States began to develop a military presence in Riverside County with the construction of March Air Reserve Base. During World War II, Camp Anza and Camp Haan were constructed, with the former located in the western part of the city of Riverside and the latter in what is now the current location of the National Veteran's Cemetery. In the decades that followed, populations spread throughout the county into Lake Elsinore, Corona, Norco, Murrieta, and Wildomar. However, a significant portion of the county remained largely agricultural well into the 1970s. Following the 1970s, Riverside saw a period of dramatic population increase as the result of new development, more than doubling the population of the county with a population of over 1.3 million residents (Patterson 1971).

History of the City of Perris

The project site is located within the former Rancho San Jacinto Nuevo y Portrero land grant. The rancho was granted to Miguel Pedrorena by Mexican Governor Pío Pico in 1846 (Hoffman 1862). After Pedrorena's death in 1850, the grant passed to his heirs under the guardianship of T.W. Sutherland (Gunther 1984).

In 1881, the California Southern Railroad laid the tracks for the transcontinental route of the Santa Fe Railway through the plains, west of the project site. At this time, the area where the railroad was placed was referred to as the San Jacinto Plains. Surveying and construction of the railroad route was led by Frederick Thomas Perris, for whom the city of Perris was named. The railroad was completed in 1882, which allowed hundreds of settlers to enter the area for homesteading, most of them settling in Pinacate to the south (City of Perris 2013).

While still part of San Diego County, Rancho San Jacinto Nuevo y Portrero was patented to T.W. Sutherland, guardian of Miguel Pedrorena's children, in 1883 (Robinson 1997). In 1885, the citizens of Pinacate gathered together to create a more conveniently located station along the railroad route, and in 1886, after much hard work, the town site of Perris was established (City of Perris 2013). In 1911, Perris became an incorporated city, relying heavily upon dry grain farming and citrus groves (City of Perris 2013).

History of the Project Area

The subject property is located outside of the originally-delineated city of Perris. However, this area has traditionally been associated with the city and historically part of its sphere of influence. Starting in the late nineteenth century and extending through the twentieth century, this region was mainly an agricultural community. Although the property is located just to the north, it is located within an area historically influenced by the Perris Irrigation District, also known as the Perris Land Company. The holdings of the Perris Land Company were subdivided in 1891 under the moniker of the Riverside Tract (Riverside County Records SDMB 14/668). The name of the tract was dictated by the investors, almost all of whom resided in the city of Riverside (Gunther 1984). The land was laid out in 80-acre blocks that were subdivided into 10-acre lots. The farm lots were sold off to farmers and speculators alike. Investors were guaranteed of the success of the Perris Irrigation District; however, by 1900, many of the properties had failed as farmers could not obtain steady access to water.

Although the Perris Irrigation District was not as successful as originally predicted, traditionally, the area did remain agricultural throughout the twentieth century. Due to the limited groundwater, dry grain farming was the main crop until the 1950s when the Eastern Municpal Water District began constructing infrastructure to better distribute water to the region. With better access to water, alfalfa, the King potato (which would produce two crops a year), and sugar beets became the mainstays of farming in the Perris Valley (City of Perris n.d.).

The general area also was influenced by the development of March Air Reserve Base during the twentieth century. March Air Reserve Base was originally established on March 1, 1918

as the Alessandro Flying Training Field following the United States' entry into World War I (Gunther 1984). The name was officially changed to March Field on March 20, 1918 in honor of Peyton C. March, Jr., who had been killed in a training plane crash in Fort Worth, Texas earlier that year. The air field changed names many times throughout the 1940s. In 1941, the name was changed to March Army Air Field; in 1942, to March Army Air Base; in 1947, to March Army Air Force Base to reflect the establishment of the United States Air Force; and finally to March Air Reserve Base in 1996 (March Field Air Museum 2020). Although the official name changed multiple times, residents have continued to refer to it as "March Field" (Gunther 1984).

The establishment of March Air Reserve Base was important to the region for many reasons associated with the role the local inhabitants and region would contribute to World War I and World War II. However, farming continued to be important to the region. During the mid- to late twentieth century, the Riverside County Flood Control and the Metropolitan Water District (MWD) began to establish storm drains and new modern water conveyance systems. The establishment of these modern water conveyance systems allowed farmers to better manage water on their land (City of Perris n.d.; Environmental Science Associates 2016; MWD n.d.).

Although Perris generally remained agricultural throughout the twentieth century, in recent years, the city has seen a growth in residential and industrial development. Today, many of the large agricultural fields have been developed into large logistics centers and warehouses servicing the greater Southern California region.

History of Development Within the Project Site

Bureau of Land Management (BLM) General Land Office (GLO) records indicate the property was transferred to the State of California on February 28, 1855 as part of a large 1,920-acre patent (BLM Doc. No. 2281855). GLO records also indicate that, historically, a trail/road passed just west of the parcels, identified on the 1855 Plat Map as "The Road to Temecula." Both the 1901 30' *Elsinore* and 15' *Riverside* USGS Quadrangles also show a road meandering from north to south, just west of the project site; however, the alignment is different. Regardless, aerial photographs from 1938 do not show any roads or trails directly west of the project site.

Ownership history for the property was traced using Assessor's lot books on-file at the County of Riverside Robert J. Fitch Archives. The subject property does not appear to have been historically part of any formal subdivisions. In 1892, the subject property was part of a large 40-acre property that included the entirety of the northeast quarter of the southwest quarter of Section 36 and assessed to Ella A. Penny. In 1896, the property was assessed to Alex J. Cotton who held onto the property until it was transferred to Etta B. Conner in 1900. After being acquired by Conner, the property changed owners regularly until J.E. and Robert Marsh purchased it in 1914. In 1920, the Val Verde Improvement Company acquired the 40-acre lot before it was transferred to Judson C. Rives in 1923. However, in 1924, the property was assessed to Frank R. Strong. It appears as though the transaction between Rives and Strong subdivided the 40-acre lot with the current project site now listed within a 20-acre property comprised of the southern half, of the

northeast quarter, of the southwest quarter of Section 36. In 1936, the property was transferred to Vernon Bettin. It appears that when Bettin purchased the property, the larger 20-acre lot was split in half, placing the current project site within a parcel listed as 9.65 acres.

In 1945, the property was transferred to Donald Young. Young and his wife, Ortance, owned other properties in the project vicinity. An inventory of inscriptions compiled from tombstones found within the Perris Valley Cemetery District indicates the Youngs passed away in 1949 (Barnhurst 2003a). Shortly after, in 1950, Ira W. and Pauline Clark acquired and owned the property until 1964, the last available book found within the archives.

Based upon the Assessor's lot books, the Clarks purchased multiple farm lots in the area during the mid-1950s. Online marriage records indicated Ira and Pauline were married in Oklahoma in 1928. An inventory of inscriptions compiled from tombstones found within the Perris Valley Cemetery District indicates that Ira was born in 1905 and passed away in 1992, and Pauline was born in 1910 and passed away in 1990 (Barnhurst 2003b). Despite owning multiple agricultural fields in the region, like the rest of the previous property owners, very little information pertaining to the Clarks could be found within historic newspapers and online archives. Further, inquiry into historic newspapers and ancestry records did not reveal any information about any of the respective owners that would indicate they were significant historical figures.

It appears the property was traditionally utilized as fields. Although the early records show that the original 40-acre lot was assessed for structural values, once the property was subdivided and the current project site placed within the smaller 20-acre lot owned by Strong, no further assessments for buildings are shown. Although the Perris Valley was known for crop farming, the lack of assessment for crops in the Assessor's lot books indicates that the property may have been utilized for ranching and livestock grazing instead. Furthermore, historic land-uses of properties in the region were known to have been used for livestock grazing and sheep/cattle ranching (Garrison and Smith 2020).

Aerial photographs show the property remained a vacant field until sometime between 1967 and 1978 when the southern half was cleared for the storage of tractor-trailers. This corresponds with the known subdivision of the project site as the 9.65-acre parcel listed in the 1964 County of Riverside Assessor's lot book was subdivided in 1975 and then again in 1977, reflecting the current parcel alignment (Riverside County Records PM 20/78-79 and 37/84). Further, it appears that at least one structure was added to the property between 1978 and 1994. Subsequent photographs show the continued and increased use of the property for industrial enterprises as well as tractor-trailer storage; however, current aerial photographs show that the project site has been cleared of all the previous improvements.

III. SCOPE OF WORK

In order to assess the potential for cultural resources within the proposed project site, the archaeological investigation consisted of the following tasks:

- An archaeological records search was conducted by BFSA at the EIC at UCR to gather any information regarding recorded cultural resources within or adjacent to the project site.
- 2) A review of the Sacred Lands File (SLF) search was conducted by the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) for the project site.
- 3) Additional archival research of the project site, including historic maps, BLM GLO records, Riverside County Assessor's data, and Riverside County Transportation and Land Management Agency (TLMA) records.
- 4) The initial archaeological survey of the project site was accomplished by conducting a systematic pedestrian survey that followed survey transects across the project site. All areas of disturbed ground and any rodent burrows were analyzed for evidence of buried archaeological deposits.
- 5) This archaeological technical report was prepared to present the results of the field survey, impact analysis, and presentation of any mitigation measures required for project approval.

Research Goals

The primary goal of the research design is to attempt to understand the way in which humans have used the land and resources within the project site over time, as well as to aid in the determination of resource significance. For the current project, the study area under investigation is in the west-central portion of Riverside County. The scope of work for the archaeological program conducted for the proposed 5026-5030 Patterson Avenue Project included a survey of the 4.8-acre project site. Given the area involved and the narrow focus of the cultural resources study, the research design for this project was necessarily limited and general in nature. Since the main objective of the investigation was to identify the presence of and potential impacts to cultural resources, the goal here is not necessarily to answer wide-reaching theories regarding the development of early southern California, but to investigate the role and importance of the identified resources. Although survey-level investigations are limited in terms of the amount of information available, several specific research questions were developed that could be used to guide the initial investigations of any observed cultural resources. The following research questions take into account the size and location of the project site.

Research Questions:

• Can located cultural resources be situated with a specific time period,

- population, or individual?
- Do the types of located cultural resources allow a site activity/function to be determined from a preliminary investigation? What are the site activities? What is the site function? What resources were exploited?
- How do the located sites compare to others reported from different surveys conducted in the area?
- How do the located sites fit existing models of settlement and subsistence for valley environments of the region?

Data Needs

At the survey level, the principal research objective is a generalized investigation of changing settlement patterns in both the prehistoric and historic periods within the study area. The overall goal is to understand human settlement and resource procurement patterns in the project area. Therefore, adequate information on site function, context, and chronology from an archaeological perspective is essential for the investigation. The fieldwork and archival research were undertaken with these primary research goals in mind:

- 1) To identify cultural resources occurring within the project site;
- 2) To determine, if possible, site type and function, context of the deposit, and chronological placement of each cultural resource identified;
- 3) To place each cultural resource identified within a regional perspective; and
- 4) To provide recommendations for the treatment of each of the cultural resources identified.

Applicable Regulations

Resource importance is assigned to districts, sites, buildings, structures, and objects that possess exceptional value or quality illustrating or interpreting the heritage of Riverside County in history, architecture, archaeology, engineering, and culture. A number of criteria are used in demonstrating resource importance. Expressly, criteria outlined in CEQA provide the guidance for making such a determination. The following sections detail the specific CEQA criteria that a resource must meet in order to be determined important.

California Environmental Quality Act

According to the Guidelines for Implementation of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA Guidelines) (§15064.5a), the term "historical resource" includes the following:

1) A resource listed in, or determined to be eligible by the State Historical Resources Commission for listing in, the California Register of Historical Resources (CRHR) (Public Resources Code SS5024.1, Title 14 CCR. Section 4850 et seq.).

- 2) A resource included in a local register of historical resources, as defined in Section 5020.1(k) of the Public Resources Code or identified as significant in an historical resource survey, meeting the requirements of Section 5024.1(g) of the Public Resources Code, shall be presumed to be historically or culturally significant. Public agencies must treat any such resource as significant unless the preponderance of evidence demonstrates that it is not historically or culturally significant.
- 3) Any object, building, structure, site, area, place, record, or manuscript, which a lead agency determines to be historically significant or significant in the architectural, engineering, scientific, economic, agricultural, educational, social, political, military, or cultural annals of California may be considered to be an historical resource, provided the lead agency's determination is supported by substantial evidence in light of the whole record. Generally, a resource shall be considered by the lead agency to be "historically significant" if the resource meets the criteria for listing on the CRHR (Public Resources Code SS5024.1, Title 14, Section 4852) including the following:
 - a) Is associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of California's history and cultural heritage;
 - b) Is associated with the lives of persons important in our past;
 - c) Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, region, or method of construction, or represents the work of an important creative individual, or possesses high artistic values; or
 - d) Has yielded, or may be likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history.
- 4) The fact that a resource is not listed in, or determined eligible for listing in the CRHR, not included in a local register of historical resources (pursuant to Section 5020.1[k] of the Public Resources Code), or identified in an historical resources survey (meeting the criteria in Section 5024.1[g] of the Public Resources Code) does not preclude a lead agency from determining that the resource may be an historical resource as defined in Public Resources Code Section 5020.1(j) or 5024.1.

According to the CEQA Guidelines (§15064.5b), a project with an effect that may cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an historical resource is a project that may have a significant effect on the environment. CEQA defines a substantial adverse change as:

 Substantial adverse change in the significance of an historical resource means physical demolition, destruction, relocation, or alteration of the resource or its immediate surroundings such that the significance of an historical resource would be materially impaired.

- 2) The significance of an historical resource is materially impaired when a project:
 - a) Demolishes or materially alters in an adverse manner those physical characteristics of an historical resource that convey its historical significance and that justify its inclusion in, or eligibility for, inclusion in the CRHR; or
 - b) Demolishes or materially alters in an adverse manner those physical characteristics that account for its inclusion in a local register of historical resources pursuant to Section 5020.1(k) of the Public Resources Code or its identification in an historical resources survey meeting the requirements of Section 5024.1(g) of the Public Resources Code, unless the public agency reviewing the effects of the project establishes by a preponderance of evidence that the resource is not historically or culturally significant; or,
 - c) Demolishes or materially alters in an adverse manner those physical characteristics of an historical resource that convey its historical significance and that justify its eligibility for inclusion in the CRHR as determined by a lead agency for purposes of CEQA.

Section 15064.5(c) of the CEQA Guidelines applies to effects on archaeological sites and contains the following additional provisions regarding archaeological sites:

- 1) When a project will impact an archaeological site, a lead agency shall first determine whether the site is an historical resource, as defined in subsection (a).
- 2) If a lead agency determines that the archaeological site is an historical resource, it shall refer to the provisions of Section 21084.1 of the Public Resources Code, Section 15126.4 of the guidelines, and the limits contained in Section 21083.2 of the Public Resources Code do not apply.
- 3) If an archaeological site does not meet the criteria defined in subsection (a), but does meet the definition of a unique archaeological resource in Section 21083.2 of the Public Resources Code, the site shall be treated in accordance with the provisions of Section 21083.2. The time and cost limitations described in Public Resources Code Section 21083.2 (c-f) do not apply to surveys and site evaluation activities intended to determine whether the project location contains unique archaeological resources.
- 4) If an archaeological resource is neither a unique archaeological nor historical resource, the effects of the project on those resources shall not be considered a significant effect on the environment. It shall be sufficient that both the resource and the effect on it are noted in the Initial Study or EIR, if one is prepared to address impacts on other resources, but they need not be considered further in the CEQA process.

Section 15064.5 (d) and (e) of the CEQA Guidelines contain additional provisions regarding human remains. Regarding Native American human remains, paragraph (d) provides:

- (d) When an initial study identifies the existence of, or the probable likelihood, of Native American human remains within the project, a lead agency shall work with the appropriate Native Americans as identified by the NAHC as provided in Public Resources Code SS5097.98. The applicant may develop an agreement for treating or disposing of, with appropriate dignity, the human remains and any items associated with Native American burials with the appropriate Native Americans as identified by the NAHC. Action implementing such an agreement is exempt from:
 - 1) The general prohibition on disinterring, disturbing, or removing human remains from any location other than a dedicated cemetery (Health and Safety Code Section 7050.5).
 - 2) The requirement of CEQA and the Coastal Act.

Local Guidelines

The project site is situated within the PVCC Specific Plan planning area of the City of Perris and is subject to the policies and regulations established within the Specific Plan. The required mitigation measures from the PVCC Specific Plan FEIR, as modified, have been incorporated into the project and are presented in Section V of this report below. However, the PVCC Specific Plan EIR does not establish any additional local level criteria for evaluating resources beyond the standard CEQA criteria. Rather, the Specific Plan reiterates that projects within the PVCC planning area must adhere to the following two measures from the City of Perris General Plan – Conservation Element (2008) to assess the potential for significant resources within the subject property:

For all projects subject to CEQA, applicants will be required
to submit results of an archaeological records search request
through the [EIC], at the [UCR].
Requires Phase I survey for all projects located in areas that

Measure IV.A.3 Requires Phase I survey for all projects located in areas that have not previously been surveyed for archaeological or historic resources, or which lie near areas where archaeological and/or historic sites have been recorded. (City of Perris 2008)

IV. <u>RESULTS</u>

Background Research and Results of Records Searches

BFSA conducted a records search utilizing information obtained from the EIC at UCR (Appendix C). The records search did not identify any resources within the subject property; however, 42 resources are on file with the EIC located within one mile of the project site (Table 1). The prehistoric resources identified during the records search consist of prehistoric bedrock milling features and prehistoric food processing stations. The historic resources identified during the records search consist of a historic residence, the Camp Haan barracks, trash scatters, water conveyance systems, structure pads, a railroad grade, a well/cistern, foundation/structure pads, a road, military property, engineering structures, a canal/aqueduct, a highway trail/road, and utility poles.

Table 1
Previously Recorded Archaeological Sites
Within a One-Mile Radius of the 5026-5030 Patterson Avenue Project Site

Site Number	Site Description
RIV-3500	
RIV-3501	
RIV-5368	
RIV-5386/5387/RIV-12,941	
RIV-5389	
RIV-5390	
RIV-5391	
RIV-5392	
RIV-5393	
RIV-5394	
RIV-5824	
RIV-6663	
RIV-7465	
RIV-7466	
RIV-7467	
RIV-7468	
RIV-7469	Prehistoric bedrock milling feature(s)
RIV-7549	
RIV-8401	
RIV-8402	
RIV-8884	
RIV-8885	

Site Number	Site Description
RIV-11,874	
RIV-5370	Dulida in Carlama and disc
RIV-5371	Prehistoric food processing station
P-33-007639	Historic residence
P-33-007649	Historia Community and a secondar
P-33-007650	Historic Camp Haan barracks
RIV-5826H	III'd and a second and a second and
P-33-028172	Historic trash scatter
P-33-008701	11.4
RIV-12,878	Historic water conveyance system
P-33-008702	Historic structure pad
RIV-8196	Historic railroad grade
P-33-024092	Historic well/cistern
RIV-12,870	Historic foundation/structure pads, road, and military property
P-33-024852	
P-33-024853	Historic engineering structure
P-33-024854	
P-33-024867	Historic canal/aqueduct
P-33-024868	Historic highway trail/road
RIV-12,877	Historic utility poles

The records search results also indicated that there has been a total of 51 cultural resource studies conducted within a one-mile radius of the project site, two of which included the current project parcels (McKenna 2006; Tang et al. 2007). The Tang et al. (2007) study, conducted by CRM Tech, consisted of a large overview of resources within the North Perris Industrial Specific Plan, which would later become the current PVCC Specific Plan. The study included a reconnaissance-level field (driving) survey of the planning area, records search, literature review, and public outreach. No resources were identified within the subject property during the 2007 study, and the project site was not subject to a focused survey as part of the CRM Tech study.

To better understand the historic use of the property and identify any potential resources, BFSA also reviewed the following historic sources:

- The National Register of Historic Places Index
- The Office of Historic Preservation (OHP), Archaeological Determinations of Eligibility
- The OHP, Directory of Properties in the Historic Property Data File
- BLM GLO records
- The 30' USGS *Elsinore* topographic map (1901)
- The 15' USGS *Riverside* topographic map (1901 and 1942)

- The 7.5' USGS *Steele Peak* topographic map (1953)
- Riverside County Assessor's parcel maps and lot books
- Riverside County TLMA records
- Aerial photographs (1938 through 2021) available from the University of California at Santa Barbara library, Historicaerials.com, and Google Earth

None of these additional sources identified any potential resources within the project site, and the research results of the historic use of the property is incorporated into the presented history of the project site above. BFSA also requested a records search of the NAHC SLF. As of the date of this study, the results from the NAHC have not yet been received. Original correspondence is provided in Appendix D.

Based upon the results of the records search and literature review, there is limited potential for archaeological resources to be located within the project site. Although a large number of prehistoric bedrock milling features have been recorded within a mile of the project site, none are within a quarter-mile, and most cluster over one-half to three-quarters of a mile to the west and southwest within the bedrock-laden foothills surrounding Mead Valley. In contrast, the current project site is located within a valley setting devoid of any bedrock outcroppings. Based upon the records search results, no resources are located within one-quarter mile of the project site. Further, the closest resources to the project site are tied to the historic built environment; however, based upon County of Riverside records, historic maps, and aerial photographs, no structures or historic features have ever existed within the subject property.

Field Reconnaissance

Principal Investigator Brian F. Smith conducted the pedestrian survey of the property on February 14, 2022. Aerial photographs, maps, and a compass permitted orientation and the location of project site boundaries. The survey employed parallel transects to ensure maximum lot coverage. All exposed ground was inspected for cultural materials. Ground visibility was somewhat limited due to various footings, foundations, gravel parking areas, and patches of weeds. A survey form, field notes, and photographs documented the survey work undertaken.

At the time of the survey, the subject property was characterized as flat and impacted by previous development and trucking uses. No structures remain on either of the parcels, although foundations were noted on the southern parcel where maintenance buildings appear to have been located. Vegetation on the property primarily consisted of non-native trees, weeds, and grasses. Views of the property are provided on Plates 1 and 2.

No cultural resources, either historic or prehistoric, were discovered during the survey. The property did not historically contain any structures. The existing foundations and improvements found within the property are modern and do not meet the age threshold to be evaluated as historical resources. Finally, the lack of prehistoric sites is likely due to the absence of bedrock and dependable natural water sources at this location.



Plate 1: Overview of 5026 Patterson Avenue, facing west. All previous improvements to the parcel have been cleared. The parcel has been graded, leveled, and covered by gravel and fill dirt.



Plate 2: Overview of the eastern portion of 5030 Patterson Avenue. This parcel appears to have been less developed than 5026 Patterson, although it has been graded flat, with gravel covering the eastern portion of the parcel.

V. <u>RECOMMENDATIONS</u>

The cultural resources study for the 5026-5030 Patterson Avenue Project did not identify any cultural resources within the property. The archaeological study was completed in accordance with the City of Perris environmental policies, including the PVCC Specific Plan FEIR, and CEQA significance evaluation criteria. Given that no archaeological sites, features, or artifacts have been recorded within the project site or were identified during the survey, no potential impacts to cultural resources are associated with the proposed development of the project. Further, based upon a review of the records search and archival data, built environment resources are the most prevalent in the project vicinity, yet the current project site did not historically contain structures since it was used as an open field prior to the modern development. Therefore, as a result of previous ground-disturbing activities associated with previous agricultural use and the partial development of the property, there is minimal potential for archaeological resources to be present or disturbed by the proposed project. Based upon the records search and the results of the field survey, no further archaeological study is recommended and no site-specific mitigation measures for cultural resources are recommended as a condition of project approval.

Although no site-specific measures are recommended and the potential for archaeological resources is low, as a result of the concerns from local Native American groups on projects in the vicinity, and in accordance with the PVCC Specific Plan EIR, the City will require monitoring of the initial ground-disturbing activities at the project site. Should human remains be discovered during grading, treatment of these remains shall follow California Public Resources Code 5097.9 as outlined in mitigation measure MM Cultural 6 of the PVCC Specific Plan EIR.

VI. CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that the statements furnished above and in the attached exhibits present the data and information required for this archaeological report, and that the facts, statements, and information presented are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief, and have been compiled in accordance with CEQA criteria as defined in Section 15064.5.

Brian F. Smith

Principal Investigator

July 13, 2023

Date

VII. <u>REFERENCES</u>

Albert A. Webb Associates

2011 Perris Valley Commerce Center Specific Plan Final Environmental Impact Report (SCH No. 2009081086). City of Perris, Riverside County, California.

Barnhurst, Cheryle

- 2003a Tombstone inscriptions (U through Z) at Perris Valley Cemetery District, compiled by the members of the Moreno Valley Genealogical Society, Moreno Valley, California. Electronic document, http://files.usgwarchives.net/ca/riverside/cemeteries/perrisvalley -uz.txt, accessed October 18, 2019.
- 2003b Tombstone inscriptions (C through D) at Perris Valley Cemetery District, compiled by the members of the Moreno Valley Genealogical Society, Moreno Valley, California. Electronic document, http://files.usgwarchives.net/ca/riverside/cemeteries/perrisvalley-uz.txt, accessed October 18, 2019.

Bean, Lowell John

1978 Cahuilla. In *California*, edited by Robert F. Heizer, pp. 575-587. Handbook of North American Indians, Vol. 8. William C. Sturtevant, general editor, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C.

Bean, Lowell John and Florence C. Shipek

1978 Luiseño. In *California*, edited by R.F. Heizer, pp. 550-563. Handbook of North American Indians, Vol. 8. William C. Sturtevant, general editor, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C.

Bean, Lowell John and Charles R. Smith

1978 Gabrielino. In *California*, edited by R.F. Heizer. Handbook of North American Indians, Vol. 8. William C. Sturtevant, general editor, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C.

Beattie, George W. and Helen P. Beattie

1939 Heritage of the Valley: San Bernardino's First Century. Biobooks, Oakland, California.

Brigandi, Phil

1998 *Temecula: At the Crossroads of History*. Heritage Media Corporation, Encinitas, California.

Byrd, Brian F.

1998 Harvesting the Littoral Landscape During the Late Holocene: New Perspectives from Northern San Diego County. *Journal of California and Great Basin Anthropology* 20(2):195-218.

California Natural Resources Agency

2023 California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Statute and Guidelines. Association of Environmental Professionals.

Caughey, John W.

1970 California, A Remarkable State's Life History. Prentice-Hall Inc., Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey.

Chapman, Charles E.

1921 A History of California: The Spanish Period. The Macmillan Company, New York.

City of Perris

- N.d History. Electronic document, http://www.cityofperris.org/about/history.html, accessed January 23, 2019.
- 2008 City of Perris General Plan Conservation Element. Electronic document, http://www.cityofperris.org/city-hall/general-plan/Conservation_Element_01-08-09.pdf, accessed June 29, 2020.
- 2011 Perris Valley Commerce Center (PVCC) Specific Plan Final EIR. Electronic document, http://www.cityofperris.org/city-hall/specific-plans/PVCC/PVCC_MMRP _11-30%2011_rev.pdf, accessed March 6, 2019.
- 2013 About Perris. Electronic document, http://www.cityofperris.org, accessed August 1, 2014.

Cook, Sherburne F.

1976 *The Conflict Between the California Indian and White Civilization*. University of California Press, Berkeley and Los Angeles, California.

Engelhardt, Zephyrin

1921 San Luis Rey Mission, The King of the Missions. James M. Barry Company, San Francisco, California.

Environmental Science Associates

DWR Perris Dam Emergency Release Facility Draft Environmental Impact Report. Prepared for the California Department of Water Resources. Electronic document, https://water.ca.gov/LegacyFiles/lakeperris/DEIR/3-9_Hydrology.pdf, accessed January 23, 2019.

Erlandson, Jon M. and Roger H. Colten (editors)

1991 An Archaeological Context for Archaeological Sites on the California Coast. In *Hunter-Gatherers of Early Holocene Coastal California*. Perspectives in California Archaeology, Volume 1, Institute of Archaeology, University of California, Los Angeles.

Fagan, B.

1991 Ancient North America: The Archaeology of a Continent. Thames and Hudson. London.

Gallegos, Dennis

- 1987 A Review and Synthesis of Environmental and Cultural Material for the Batiquitos Lagoon Region. In *San Dieguito-La Jolla: Chronology and Controversy*, edited by Dennis Gallegos. San Diego County Archaeological Society Research Paper No. 1.
- 1992 Patterns and Implications of Coastal Settlement in San Diego County: 9,000 to 1,300 Years Ago. In *Essays on the Prehistory of Maritime California*, edited by Terry Jones. Center for Archaeological Research, Davis, California.

Gallegos, Dennis R. and Carolyn E. Kyle

1988 Five Thousand Years of Maritime Subsistence at Ballast Point Prehistoric Site SDI-48 (W-164) San Diego, California. Unpublished report on file at the South Coastal Information Center at San Diego State University, San Diego, California.

Garrison, Andrew and Brian F. Smtih

2020 A Phase I Cultural Resources Survey for the First Industrial Wilson Avenue Project Perris, California. Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc. Unpublished report on file at the Eastern Information Center at the University of California at Riverisde, California.

Gunther, Jane Davies

1984 Riverside County, California, Place Names: Their Origins and Their Stories. Rubidoux Printing, Riverside, California.

Handley, C.

1967 The Sun City Story. Sun City News, Sun City, California.

Harris, Marvin

1991 Cultural Anthropology. HarperCollins Publishers Inc., New York, New York.

Hoffman, Ogden

1862 Reports of Land Cases Determined in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, Numa Hubert, San Francisco.

Koerper, Henry, C., Jonathan E. Ericson, Christopher E. Drover, and Paul E. Langenwalter, II
1986 Obsidian Exchange in Prehistoric Orange County. *Pacific Coast Archaeological Society Quarterly* 22 (1):33-69.

Kowta, Makoto

1969 The Sayles Complex: A Late Millingstone Assemblage from Cajon Pass, and the Econological Implications of its Scraper Planes. *University of California Prehistory* (6), Salina, California.

Kroeber, A.L.

1976 Handbook of the Indians of California. Reprinted. Dover Editions, Dover Publications, Inc., New York. Originally published 1925, Bulletin No. 78, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C.

Laylander, Don (editor)

1985 Some Linguistic Approaches to Southern California's Prehistory. San Diego State University Cultural Resource Management Casual Papers 2(1):14-58.

Laylander, Don, Jerry Schaefer, Nick Doose, Jessica Hennessey, and Ian Scharlotta

2014 A Regional Synthesis of Prehistoric Archaeological Landscapes in the Jacumba/McCain Valley Region, San Diego and Imperial Counties, California. Prepared for the Bureau of Land Management and San Diego Gas & Electric by ASM Affiliates, Carlsbad, California.

Library of Congress, General Collections

2021 Mexican California. In "California as I Saw It:" First-Person Narratives of California's Early Years, 1849-1900. Electronic collection, https://www.loc.gov/collections/california-first-person-narratives/articles-and-essays/early-california-history/mexican-california/, accessed March 22, 2021.

March Field Air Museum

History of March Air Reserve Base. Electronic document, https://www.marchfield.org/visit/about-us/march-air-reserve-base-history/, accessed May 18, 2020.

Martin, P.S.

- 1967 Prehistoric Overkill. In *Pleistocene Extinctions: The Search for a Cause*, edited by P. Martin and H.E. Wright. Yale University Press: New Haven.
- 1973 The Discovery of America. *Science* 179(4077): 969-974.

Masters, Patricia M.

1983 Detection and Assessment of Prehistoric Artifact Sites off the Coast of Southern California. In *Quaternary Coastlines and Marine Archaeology: Towards the Prehistory of Land Bridges and Continental Shelves*, edited by P.M. Masters and N.C. Flemming, pp. 189-213. Academic Press, London.

McKenna, Jeanette A.

2006 A Phase I Cultural Resources Investigation of the Associated Ready Mix Project Area (2.5 ac) in the City of Perris, Riverside County, California. McKenna et Al. Unpublished report on file at Eastern Information Center at University of California Riverside, Riverside, California.

Meighan, Clement W.

1954 A Late Complex in Southern California Prehistory. Southwestern Journal of Anthropology 10(2).

Metropolitan Water District (MWD)

N.d. Who We Are: 75 Years. Electronic document, http://www.mwdh2o.com/WhoWeAre/History/75years/, accessed January 23, 2019.

Miller, J.

1966 The Present and Past Molluscan Faunas and Environments of Four Southern California Coastal Lagoons. Master's thesis on file at the University of California at San Diego, San Diego, California.

Moratto, Michael J.

1984 California Archaeology. Academic Press, New York.

Moriarty, James R., III

1966 Culture Phase Divisions Suggested by Topological Change Coordinated with Stratigraphically Controlled Radiocarbon Dating in San Diego. *Anthropological Journal of Canada* 4(4):20-30.

Morton, D.M.

- 2001 Geologic map of the Steele Peak 7.5' quadrangle, Riverside County, California: U. S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 01-449, scale 1:24,000.
- 2003 Preliminary geologic map of the Perris 7.5' quadrangle, Riverside County, California: U. S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 03-270, scale 1:24,000.

Morton, D.M., and B. Cox

2001 Geologic Map of the Riverside East 7.5' quadrangle, Riverside County, California: U. S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 01-452, scale 1:24,000.

Morton, D.M., and J.C. Matti

2001 Geologic Map of the Sunnymead 7.5' quadrangle, Riverside County, California: U. S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 01-450, scale 1:24,000.

Moss, M.L. and J. Erlandson

1995 Reflections on North American Coast Prehistory. *Journal of World Prehistory* 9(1):1-46.

Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS)

Web Soil Survey. Electronic document, https://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov/ App/WebSoilSurvey.aspx, accessed March 22, 2021.

Patterson, Tom

1971 A Colony for California: Riverside's First Hundred Years. Press-Enterprise, Riverside, California.

Pourade, Richard F.

- 1961 Time of the Bells. In *The History of San Diego* (Volume 2). Union-Tribune Publishing Company, San Diego, California.
- 1963 The Silver Dons. In *The History of San Diego* (Volume 3). Union-Tribune Publishing Company, San Diego, California.

Raven-Jennings, Shelly, Brian F. Smith and Johnna L. Buysse

1996 The Results of a Cultural Resource Study at the 4S Ranch, Rancho Bernardo, County of San Diego. Unpublished report on file at the South Coastal Information Center at San Diego State University, San Diego, California.

Robinson, John W.

1997 Rancho San Jacinto Viejo and the Estudillo Family. In *Rancho Days in Southern California*, (Los Angeles: Los Angeles Corral of the Westerners), pp. 143-161.

Rogers, Malcolm J.

1939 Early Lithic Industries of the Lower Basin of the Colorado River and Adjacent Desert Areas. In *San Diego Museum Papers* (3) [1989 printing]. San Diego Museum of Man, San Diego, California.

Rolle, Andrew F.

1969 California: A History (Second Edition). Thomas Y. Crowell Company, New York.

Shumway, George, Carl L. Hubbs, and James R. Moriarty, III

Scripps Estate Site, San Diego, California: A La Jollan Site Dated 5,460-7,370 Years Before the Present. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 93(3).

Smith, Brian F. and James R. Moriarty, III

1985 The Archaeological Excavations at Batiquitos Pointe and Batiquitos Bluffs. Unpublished report on file at the City of Carlsbad, Carlsbad, California.

Strong, William Duncan

1929 Aboriginal Society in Southern California. *University of California Publications in American Archaeology and Ethnology* 26(1).

Sutton, Mark Q.

- 2009 People and Language: Defining the Takic Expansion into Southern California. *Pacific Coast Archaeological Society Quarterly* 41(2&3): 33-93.
- 2011a The Palomar Tradition and Its Place in the Prehistory of Southern California. *Pacific Coast Archaeological Society Quarterly* 44(4):1-74.
- 2011b A Prehistory of North America. Routledge, New York.

Sutton, Mark Q. and Jill K. Gardener

- 2010 Reconceptualizing the Encinitas Tradition of Southern California. *Pacific Coast Archaeological Society Quarterly* 42(4):1-64.
- Tang, Bai "Tom", Michael Hogan, Clarence Bodmer, Josh Smallwood, and Melissa Hernandez
 Cultural Resources Technical Report, North Perris Industrial Specific Plan, City of Perris, Riverside County, California. CRM Tech. Unpublished report on file at Eastern Information Center at University of California Riverside, Riverside, California.

True, Delbert L.

- 1958 An Early Complex in San Diego County, California. *American Antiquity* 23(3).
- 1980 The Pauma Complex in Northern San Diego County. *The Journal of New World Archaeology* 3(4):1-39.

Wallace, William J.

1955 A Suggested Chronology for Southern California Coastal Archaeology. *Southwestern Journal of Anthropology* 11:214-230.

Warren, Claude N.

1968 Cultural Tradition and Ecological Adaptation on the Southern Coast. In Archaic Prehistory in the Western United States, edited by C.I. Williams. *Eastern New Mexico University Contributions in Anthropology* 1(3): 1-14.

Warren, Claude N. and D.L. True

1961 The San Dieguito Complex and its Place in California Prehistory. *Archaeological Survey Annual Report* 1960-1961. University of California Press, Los Angeles, California.

Warren, Claude N., D.L. True, and Ardith A. Eudey

1961 Early Gathering Complexes of Western San Diego County: Results and Interpretations of an Archaeological Survey. *Archaeological Survey Annual Report* 1960-1961. University of California, Los Angeles.

Wirths, Todd

2022 Paleontological Assessment for the 5026-5030 Patterson Avenue Project. Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc. Report in progress.

Woodford, A.O., Shelton, J.S., Doehring, D.O., and Morton, R.K.

1971 Pliocene-Pleistocene history of the Perris Block, southern California. Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 82, p. 3421–3448, 18 figs.

APPENDIX A

Resumes of Key Personnel

Brian F. Smith, MA

Owner, Principal Investigator

Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc. 14010 Poway Road • Suite A •

Phone: (858) 679-8218 • Fax: (858) 679-9896 • E-Mail: bsmith@bfsa-ca.com



Education

Master of Arts, History, University of San Diego, California

1982

Bachelor of Arts, History, and Anthropology, University of San Diego, California

1975

Professional Memberships

Society for California Archaeology

Experience

Principal Investigator
Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc.

1977–Present Poway, California

Brian F. Smith is the owner and principal historical and archaeological consultant for Brian F. Smith and Associates. Over the past 32 years, he has conducted over 2,500 cultural resource studies in California, Arizona, Nevada, Montana, and Texas. These studies include every possible aspect of archaeology from literature searches and large-scale surveys to intensive data recovery excavations. Reports prepared by Mr. Smith have been submitted to all facets of local, state, and federal review agencies, including the US Army Corps of Engineers, the Bureau of Land Management, the Bureau of Reclamation, the Department of Defense, and the Department of Homeland Security. In addition, Mr. Smith has conducted studies for utility companies (Sempra Energy) and state highway departments (CalTrans).

Professional Accomplishments

These selected major professional accomplishments represent research efforts that have added significantly to the body of knowledge concerning the prehistoric life ways of cultures once present in the Southern California area and historic settlement since the late 18th century. Mr. Smith has been principal investigator on the following select projects, except where noted.

Downtown San Diego Mitigation and Monitoring Reporting Programs: Large numbers of downtown San Diego mitigation and monitoring projects, some of which included Broadway Block (2019), 915 Grape Street (2019), 1919 Pacific Highway (2018), Moxy Hotel (2018), Makers Quarter Block D (2017), Ballpark Village (2017), 460 16th Street (2017), Kettner and Ash (2017), Bayside Fire Station (2017), Pinnacle on the Park (2017), IDEA1 (2016), Blue Sky San Diego (2016), Pacific Gate (2016), Pendry Hotel (2015), Cisterra Sempra Office Tower (2014), 15th and Island (2014), Park and G (2014), Comm 22 (2014), 7th and F Street Parking (2013), Ariel Suites (2013), 13th and Marker (2012), Strata (2008), Hotel Indigo (2008), Lofts at 707 10th Avenue Project (2007), Breeza (2007), Bayside at the Embarcadero (2007), Aria (2007), Icon (2007), Vantage Pointe (2007), Aperture (2007), Sapphire Tower (2007), Lofts at 655 Sixth Avenue (2007), Metrowork (2007), The Legend (2006), The Mark (2006), Smart Corner (2006), Lofts at 677 7th Avenue (2005), Aloft on Cortez Hill (2005), Front and Beech Apartments (2003), Bella Via Condominiums (2003), Acqua Vista Residential Tower (2003), Northblock Lofts (2003), Westin Park Place Hotel (2001), Parkloft

Apartment Complex (2001), Renaissance Park (2001), and Laurel Bay Apartments (2001).

1900 and 1912 Spindrift Drive: An extensive data recovery and mitigation monitoring program at the Spindrift Site, an important prehistoric archaeological habitation site stretching across the La Jolla area. The project resulted in the discovery of over 20,000 artifacts and nearly 100,000 grams of bulk faunal remains and marine shell, indicating a substantial occupation area (2013-2014).

<u>San Diego Airport Development Project</u>: An extensive historic assessment of multiple buildings at the San Diego International Airport and included the preparation of Historic American Buildings Survey documentation to preserve significant elements of the airport prior to demolition (2017-2018).

<u>Citracado Parkway Extension</u>: A still-ongoing project in the city of Escondido to mitigate impacts to an important archaeological occupation site. Various archaeological studies have been conducted by BFSA resulting in the identification of a significant cultural deposit within the project area.

<u>Westin Hotel and Timeshare (Grand Pacific Resorts)</u>: Data recovery and mitigation monitoring program in the city of Carlsbad consisted of the excavation of 176 one-square-meter archaeological data recovery units which produced thousands of prehistoric artifacts and ecofacts, and resulted in the preservation of a significant prehistoric habitation site. The artifacts recovered from the site presented important new data about the prehistory of the region and Native American occupation in the area (2017).

<u>The Everly Subdivision Project</u>: Data recovery and mitigation monitoring program in the city of El Cajon resulted in the identification of a significant prehistoric occupation site from both the Late Prehistoric and Archaic Periods, as well as producing historic artifacts that correspond to the use of the property since 1886. The project produced an unprecedented quantity of artifacts in comparison to the area encompassed by the site, but lacked characteristics that typically reflect intense occupation, indicating that the site was used intensively for food processing (2014-2015).

<u>Ballpark Village</u>: A mitigation and monitoring program within three city blocks in the East Village area of San Diego resulting in the discovery of a significant historic deposit. Nearly 5,000 historic artifacts and over 500,000 grams of bulk historic building fragments, food waste, and other materials representing an occupation period between 1880 and 1917 were recovered (2015-2017).

<u>Archaeology at the Padres Ballpark</u>: Involved the analysis of historic resources within a seven-block area of the "East Village" area of San Diego, where occupation spanned a period from the 1870s to the 1940s. Over a period of two years, BFSA recovered over 200,000 artifacts and hundreds of pounds of metal, construction debris, unidentified broken glass, and wood. Collectively, the Ballpark Project and the other downtown mitigation and monitoring projects represent the largest historical archaeological program anywhere in the country in the past decade (2000-2007).

<u>4S Ranch Archaeological and Historical Cultural Resources Study</u>: Data recovery program consisted of the excavation of over 2,000 square meters of archaeological deposits that produced over one million artifacts, containing primarily prehistoric materials. The archaeological program at 4S Ranch is the largest archaeological study ever undertaken in the San Diego County area and has produced data that has exceeded expectations regarding the resolution of long-standing research questions and regional prehistoric settlement patterns.

<u>Charles H. Brown Site</u>: Attracted international attention to the discovery of evidence of the antiquity of man in North America. Site located in Mission Valley, in the city of San Diego.

<u>Del Mar Man Site</u>: Study of the now famous Early Man Site in Del Mar, California, for the San Diego Science Foundation and the San Diego Museum of Man, under the direction of Dr. Spencer Rogers and Dr. James R. Moriarty.

Old Town State Park Projects: Consulting Historical Archaeologist. Projects completed in the Old Town State Park involved development of individual lots for commercial enterprises. The projects completed in Old Town include Archaeological and Historical Site Assessment for the Great Wall Cafe (1992), Archaeological Study for the Old Town Commercial Project (1991), and Cultural Resources Site Survey at the Old San Diego Inn (1988).

<u>Site W-20, Del Mar, California</u>: A two-year-long investigation of a major prehistoric site in the Del Mar area of the city of San Diego. This research effort documented the earliest practice of religious/ceremonial activities in San Diego County (circa 6,000 years ago), facilitated the projection of major non-material aspects of the La Jolla Complex, and revealed the pattern of civilization at this site over a continuous period of 5,000 years. The report for the investigation included over 600 pages, with nearly 500,000 words of text, illustrations, maps, and photographs documenting this major study.

<u>City of San Diego Reclaimed Water Distribution System</u>: A cultural resource study of nearly 400 miles of pipeline in the city and county of San Diego.

<u>Master Environmental Assessment Project, City of Poway</u>: Conducted for the City of Poway to produce a complete inventory of all recorded historic and prehistoric properties within the city. The information was used in conjunction with the City's General Plan Update to produce a map matrix of the city showing areas of high, moderate, and low potential for the presence of cultural resources. The effort also included the development of the City's Cultural Resource Guidelines, which were adopted as City policy.

<u>Draft of the City of Carlsbad Historical and Archaeological Guidelines</u>: Contracted by the City of Carlsbad to produce the draft of the City's historical and archaeological guidelines for use by the Planning Department of the City.

<u>The Mid-Bayfront Project for the City of Chula Vista</u>: Involved a large expanse of undeveloped agricultural land situated between the railroad and San Diego Bay in the northwestern portion of the city. The study included the analysis of some potentially historic features and numerous prehistoric

Cultural Resources Survey and Test of Sites Within the Proposed Development of the Audie Murphy Ranch, Riverside County, California: Project manager/director of the investigation of 1,113.4 acres and 43 sites, both prehistoric and historic—included project coordination; direction of field crews; evaluation of sites for significance based on County of Riverside and CEQA guidelines; assessment of cupule, pictograph, and rock shelter sites, co-authoring of cultural resources project report. February- September 2002.

Cultural Resources Evaluation of Sites Within the Proposed Development of the Otay Ranch Village 13 Project, San Diego County, California: Project manager/director of the investigation of 1,947 acres and 76 sites, both prehistoric and historic—included project coordination and budgeting; direction of field crews; assessment of sites for significance based on County of San Diego and CEQA guidelines; co-authoring of cultural resources project report. May-November 2002.

Cultural Resources Survey for the Remote Video Surveillance Project, El Centro Sector, Imperial County: Project manager/director for a survey of 29 individual sites near the U.S./Mexico Border for proposed video surveillance camera locations associated with the San Diego Border barrier Project—project coordination and budgeting; direction of field crews; site identification and recordation; assessment of potential impacts to cultural resources; meeting and coordinating with U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, U.S. Border Patrol, and other government agencies involved; co-authoring of cultural resources project report. January, February, and July 2002.

<u>Cultural Resources Survey and Test of Sites Within the Proposed Development of the Menifee West GPA, Riverside County, California</u>: Project manager/director of the investigation of nine sites, both prehistoric and historic—included project coordination and budgeting; direction of field crews; assessment of sites

for significance based on County of Riverside and CEQA guidelines; historic research; co-authoring of cultural resources project report. January-March 2002.

Cultural Resources Survey and Test of Sites Within the Proposed French Valley Specific Plan/EIR, Riverside County, California: Project manager/director of the investigation of two prehistoric and three historic sites—included project coordination and budgeting; survey of project area; Native American consultation; direction of field crews; assessment of sites for significance based on CEQA guidelines; cultural resources project report in prep. July-August 2000.

Cultural Resources Survey and Test of Sites Within the Proposed Development of the Menifee Ranch, Riverside County, California: Project manager/director of the investigation of one prehistoric and five historic sites—included project coordination and budgeting; direction of field crews; feature recordation; historic structure assessments; assessment of sites for significance based on CEQA guidelines; historic research; co-authoring of cultural resources project report. February-June 2000.

Salvage Mitigation of a Portion of the San Diego Presidio Identified During Water Pipe Construction for the City of San Diego, California: Project archaeologist/director—included direction of field crews; development and completion of data recovery program; management of artifact collections cataloging and curation; data synthesis and authoring of cultural resources project report in prep. April 2000.

<u>Enhanced Cultural Resource Survey and Evaluation for the Tyrian 3 Project, La Jolla, California</u>: Project manager/director of the investigation of a single-dwelling parcel—included project coordination; assessment of parcel for potentially buried cultural deposits; authoring of cultural resources project report. April 2000.

Enhanced Cultural Resource Survey and Evaluation for the Lamont 5 Project, Pacific Beach, California: Project manager/director of the investigation of a single-dwelling parcel—included project coordination; assessment of parcel for potentially buried cultural deposits; authoring of cultural resources project report. April 2000.

Enhanced Cultural Resource Survey and Evaluation for the Reiss Residence Project, La Jolla, California: Project manager/director of the investigation of a single-dwelling parcel—included project coordination; assessment of parcel for potentially buried cultural deposits; authoring of cultural resources project report. March-April 2000.

Salvage Mitigation of a Portion of Site SDM-W-95 (CA-SDI-211) for the Poinsettia Shores Santalina Development Project and Caltrans, Carlsbad, California: Project archaeologist/ director—included direction of field crews; development and completion of data recovery program; management of artifact collections cataloging and curation; data synthesis and authoring of cultural resources project report in prep. December 1999-January 2000.

<u>Survey and Testing of Two Prehistoric Cultural Resources for the Airway Truck Parking Project, Otay Mesa, California</u>: Project archaeologist/director—included direction of field crews; development and completion of testing recovery program; assessment of site for significance based on CEQA guidelines; authoring of cultural resources project report, in prep. December 1999-January 2000.

Cultural Resources Phase I and II Investigations for the Tin Can Hill Segment of the Immigration and Naturalization Services Triple Fence Project Along the International Border, San Diego County, California: Project manager/director for a survey and testing of a prehistoric quarry site along the border—NRHP eligibility assessment; project coordination and budgeting; direction of field crews; feature recordation; meeting and coordinating with U.S. Army Corps of Engineers; co-authoring of cultural resources project report. December 1999-January 2000.

Mitigation of a Prehistoric Cultural Resource for the Westview High School Project for the City of San Diego, California: Project archaeologist/ director—included direction of field crews; development and completion of data recovery program including collection of material for specialized faunal and botanical analyses; assessment of sites for significance based on CEQA guidelines; management of artifact collections cataloging and curation; data synthesis; co-authoring of cultural resources project report, in prep. October 1999-January 2000.

Mitigation of a Prehistoric Cultural Resource for the Otay Ranch SPA-One West Project for the City of Chula Vista, California: Project archaeologist/director—included direction of field crews; development of data recovery program; management of artifact collections cataloging and curation; assessment of site for significance based on CEQA guidelines; data synthesis; authoring of cultural resources project report, in prep. September 1999-January 2000.

<u>Monitoring of Grading for the Herschel Place Project, La Jolla, California</u>: Project archaeologist/ monitor—included monitoring of grading activities associated with the development of a single- dwelling parcel. September 1999.

<u>Survey and Testing of a Historic Resource for the Osterkamp Development Project, Valley Center, California</u>: Project archaeologist/ director—included direction of field crews; development and completion of data recovery program; budget development; assessment of site for significance based on CEQA guidelines; management of artifact collections cataloging and curation; data synthesis; authoring of cultural resources project report. July-August 1999.

Survey and Testing of a Prehistoric Cultural Resource for the Proposed College Boulevard Alignment Project, Carlsbad, California: Project manager/director—included direction of field crews; development and completion of testing recovery program; assessment of site for significance based on CEQA guidelines; management of artifact collections cataloging and curation; data synthesis; authoring of cultural resources project report, in prep. July-August 1999.

<u>Survey and Evaluation of Cultural Resources for the Palomar Christian Conference Center Project, Palomar Mountain, California</u>: Project archaeologist—included direction of field crews; assessment of sites for significance based on CEQA guidelines; management of artifact collections cataloging and curation; data synthesis; authoring of cultural resources project report. July-August 1999.

Survey and Evaluation of Cultural Resources at the Village 2 High School Site, Otay Ranch, City of Chula Vista, California: Project manager/director —management of artifact collections cataloging and curation; assessment of site for significance based on CEQA guidelines; data synthesis; authoring of cultural resources project report. July 1999.

<u>Cultural Resources Phase I, II, and III Investigations for the Immigration and Naturalization Services Triple Fence Project Along the International Border, San Diego County, California</u>: Project manager/director for the survey, testing, and mitigation of sites along border—supervision of multiple field crews, NRHP eligibility assessments, Native American consultation, contribution to Environmental Assessment document, lithic and marine shell analysis, authoring of cultural resources project report. August 1997- January 2000.

Phase I, II, and II Investigations for the Scripps Poway Parkway East Project, Poway California: Project archaeologist/project director—included recordation and assessment of multicomponent prehistoric and historic sites; direction of Phase II and III investigations; direction of laboratory analyses including prehistoric and historic collections; curation of collections; data synthesis; coauthorship of final cultural resources report. February 1994; March-September 1994; September-December 1995.

Andrew J. Garrison, MA, RPA

Project Archaeologist

Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc. 14010 Poway Road • Suite A •

Phone: (858) 679-8218 • Fax: (858) 679-9896 • E-Mail: agarrison@bfsa-ca.com



Education

Master of Arts, Public History, University of California, Riverside

2009

Bachelor of Science, Anthropology, University of California, Riverside

2005

Bachelor of Arts, History, University of California, Riverside

2005

Professional Memberships

Register of Professional Archaeologists Society for California Archaeology Society for American Archaeology California Council for the Promotion of History Society of Primitive Technology Lithic Studies Society California Preservation Foundation Pacific Coast Archaeological Society

Experience

Project Archaeologist Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc.

June 2017–Present Poway, California

Project management of all phases of archaeological investigations for local, state, and federal agencies including National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) and California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) level projects interacting with clients, sub-consultants, and lead agencies. Supervise and perform fieldwork including archaeological survey, monitoring, site testing, comprehensive site records checks, and historic building assessments. Perform and oversee technological analysis of prehistoric lithic assemblages. Author or co-author cultural resource management reports submitted to private clients and lead agencies.

Senior Archaeologist and GIS Specialist Scientific Resource Surveys, Inc.

2009–2017 Orange, California

Served as Project Archaeologist or Principal Investigator on multiple projects, including archaeological monitoring, cultural resource surveys, test excavations, and historic building assessments. Directed projects from start to finish, including budget and personnel hours proposals, field and laboratory direction, report writing, technical editing, Native American consultation, and final report submittal. Oversaw all GIS projects including data collection, spatial analysis, and map creation.

Preservation Researcher City of Riverside Modernism Survey

2009 Riverside, California

Completed DPR Primary, District, and Building, Structure and Object Forms for five sites for a grant-funded project to survey designated modern architectural resources within the City of Riverside.

Information Officer Eastern Information Center (EIC), University of California, Riverside

2005, 2008–2009 Riverside. California

Processed and catalogued restricted and unrestricted archaeological and historical site record forms. Conducted research projects and records searches for government agencies and private cultural resource firms.

Reports/Papers

- 2019 A Class III Archaeological Study for the Tuscany Valley (TM 33725) Project National Historic Preservation Act Section 106 Compliance, Lake Elsinore, Riverside County, California. Contributing author. Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc.
- 2019 A Phase I and II Cultural Resources Assessment for the Jack Rabbit Trail Logistics Center Project, City of Beaumont, Riverside County, California. Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc.
- 2019 A Phase I Cultural Resources Assessment for the 10575 Foothill Boulevard Project, Rancho Cucamonga, California. Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc.
- 2019 Cultural Resources Study for the County Road and East End Avenue Project, City of Chino, San Bernardino County, California. Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc.
- 2019 Phase II Cultural Resource Study for the McElwain Project, City of Murrieta, California. Contributing author. Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc.
- 2019 A Section 106 (NHPA) Historic Resources Study for the McElwain Project, City of Murrieta, Riverside County, California. Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc.
- 2018 Cultural Resource Monitoring Report for the Sewer Group 818 Project, City of San Diego. Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc.
- 2018 Phase I Cultural Resource Survey for the Stone Residence Project, 1525 Buckingham Drive, La Jolla, California 92037. Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc.
- 2018 A Phase I Cultural Resources Assessment for the Seaton Commerce Center Project, Riverside County, California. Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc.
- 2017 A Phase I Cultural Resources Assessment for the Marbella Villa Project, City of Desert Hot Springs, Riverside County, California. Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc.
- 2017 Phase I Cultural Resources Survey for TTM 37109, City of Jurupa Valley, County of Riverside. Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc.
- 2017 A Phase I Cultural Resources Assessment for the Winchester Dollar General Store Project, Riverside County, California. Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc.
- 2016 John Wayne Airport Jet Fuel Pipeline and Tank Farm Archaeological Monitoring Plan. Scientific Resource Surveys, Inc. On file at the County of Orange, California.
- 2016 Historic Resource Assessment for 220 South Batavia Street, Orange, CA 92868 Assessor's Parcel Number 041-064-4. Scientific Resource Surveys, Inc. Submitted to the City of Orange as part of

- Mills Act application.
- 2015 Historic Resource Report: 807-813 Harvard Boulevard, Los Angeles. Scientific Resource Surveys, Inc. On file at the South Central Coastal Information Center, California State University, Fullerton.
- 2015 Exploring a Traditional Rock Cairn: Test Excavation at CA-SDI-13/RBLI-26: The Rincon Indian Reservation, San Diego County, California. Scientific Resource Surveys, Inc.
- 2014 Archaeological Monitoring Results: The New Los Angeles Federal Courthouse. Scientific Resource Surveys, Inc. On file at the South Central Coastal Information Center, California State University, Fullerton.
- 2012 Bolsa Chica Archaeological Project Volume 7, Technological Analysis of Stone Tools, Lithic Technology at Bolsa Chica: Reduction Maintenance and Experimentation. Scientific Resource Surveys, Inc.

Presentations

- 2017 "Repair and Replace: Lithic Production Behavior as Indicated by the Debitage Assemblage from CA-MRP-283 the Hackney Site." Presented at the Society for California Archaeology Annual Meeting, Fish Camp, California.
- 2016 "Bones, Stones, and Shell at Bolsa Chica: A Ceremonial Relationship?" Presented at the Society for California Archaeology Annual Meeting, Ontario, California.
- 2016 "Markers of Time: Exploring Transitions in the Bolsa Chica Assemblage." Presented at the Society for California Archaeology Annual Meeting, Ontario, California.
- 2016 "Dating Duress: Understanding Prehistoric Climate Change at Bolsa Chica." Presented at the Society for California Archaeology Annual Meeting, Ontario, California.
- 2014 "New Discoveries from an Old Collection: Comparing Recently Identified OGR Beads to Those Previously Analyzed from the Encino Village Site." Presented at the Society for California Archaeology Annual Meeting, Visalia, California.
- 2012 Bolsa Chica Archaeology: Part Seven: Culture and Chronology. Lithic demonstration of experimental manufacturing techniques at the April meeting of The Pacific Coast Archaeological Society, Irvine, California.

APPENDIX B

PVCC Specific Plan FEIR Applicable Mitigation Measures

Biological Resources	Biological Resources										
					Verification of Compliance						
Impact/Threshold	Mitigation Measure	Monitoring Timing/ Frequency	Action Indicating Compliance	Monitoring Agency	Initials	Date	Remarks				
	at least 90 percent avoidance of areas providing long-term conservation value for the NEPSSA and CAPSSA target species. If avoidance is not feasible, then such implementing projects will require the approval of a DBESP including appropriate mitigation.	conjunction with development applications as part of the CEQA process Approval of a DBESP will be required as part of the CEQA process	Planning Division								

Cultural Resources										
Impact/Threshold	Mitigation Measure	Monitoring Timing/	Action Indicating	Monitoring	Verifica	Terification of Compliance				
Impacty Threshold	magation measure	Frequency	Compliance	Agency	Initials	Date	Remarks			
The project would cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in Section 15064.5 of the CEQA Guidelines.	MM Cultural 1: Prior to the consideration by the City of Perris of implementing development or infrastructure projects for properties that are vacant, undeveloped, or considered to be sensitive for cultural resources by the City of Perris Planning Division, a Phase I Cultural Resources Study of the subject property prepared in accordance	In conjunction with development applications, and prior to issuance of grading permits	Submittal of a Phase I Cultural Resources Study and issuance of grading permits	City of Perris Planning Division						

Perris Valley Commerce Center Specific Plan Final EIR

Impact/Threshold	Mitigation Measure	Monitoring Timing/	Action Indicating	Monitoring	Verifica	tion of Co	ompliance
impact/Timeshold	whitigation weasure	Frequency	Compliance	Agency	Initials	Date	Remark
	professional archeologist¹ shall be submitted to the City of Perris Planning Division for review and approval. The Phase I Cultural Resources Study shall determine whether the subject implementing development would potentially cause a substantial adverse change to any significant paleontological, archaeological, or historic resources. The Phase I Cultural Resources Study shall be prepared to meet the standards established by Riverside County and shall, at a minimum, include the results of the following: 1. Records searches at the Eastern						
	Information Center (EIC), the National or State Registry of Historic Places and any appropriate public, private, and tribal archives. 2. Sacred Lands File record search with the NAHC followed by project scoping with tribes recommended by the NAHC. 3. Field survey of the implementing						

¹ For the purpose of this measure, the City of Perris considers professional archaeologists to be those who meet the United States Secretary of the Interior's standards for recognition as a professional, including an advanced degree in anthropology, archaeology, or a related field, and the local experience necessary to evaluate the specific project. The professional archaeologist must also meet the minimum criteria for recognition by the Register for Professional Archaeologists (RPA), although membership is not required.

Cultural Resources							
Impact/Threshold	Mitigation Measure	Monitoring Timing/	Action Indicating	Monitoring	Verificat	tion of Co	mpliance
Impact/Threshold	Mingation Measure	Frequency	Compliance	Agency	Initials	Date	Remarks
	development or infrastructure project site.						
	The proponents of the subject implementing development projects and the professional archaeologists are also encouraged to contact the local Native American tribes (as identified by the California Native Heritage Commission and the City of Perris) to obtain input regarding the potential for native American resources to occur at the project site. Measures shall be identified to mitigate the known and potential significant effects of the implementing development or infrastructure project, if any. Mitigation for historic resources shall be considered in the following order of preference: 1. Avoidance. 2. Changes to the structure provided pursuant to the Secretary of Interior's Standards. 3. Relocation of the structure. 4. Recordation of the structure to Historic American Buildings Survey (HABS)/Historic American Engineering Record (HAER) standard if demolition is allowed.						

Cultural Resources							
Impact/Threshold	Mitigation Measure	Monitoring Timing/	Action Indicating	Monitoring	Verificat	tion of Co	mpliance
impuot, imeonora		Frequency	Compliance	Agency	Initials	Date	Remarks
	Avoidance is the preferred treatment for known significant prehistoric and historical archaeological sites, and sites containing Native American human remains. Where feasible, plans for implementing projects shall be developed to avoid known significant archaeological resources and sites containing human remains. Where avoidance of construction impacts is possible, the implementing projects shall be designed and landscaped in a manner, which will ensure that indirect impacts from increased public availability to these sites are avoided. Where avoidance is selected, archaeological resource sites and sites containing Native American human remains shall be placed within permanent conservation easements or dedicated open space areas. The Phase I Cultural Resources Study submitted for each implementing development or infrastructure project shall have been completed no more than three (3) years prior to the submittal of the application for the subject implementing development project or the start of construction of an implementing infrastructure project.						

Culti- under that s	Mitigation Measure 1 Cultural 2: If the Phase I tural Resources Study required	Timing/ Frequency	Action Indicating Compliance	Monitoring Agency			
Culti- under that s		т : .: :.1			Initials	Date	Remarks
need deve prop archa gradi archa imple meas Phas to m activ unea archa resou archa appro Plant activ the a by the The respondent of the re	er MM Cultural 1 determines monitoring during construction professional archaeologist is ded for the implementing elopment project; the project conent shall retain a professional macologist prior to the issuance of ling permits. The task of the macologist shall be to verify lementation of the mitigation usures identified in the approved se I Cultural Resources Study and monitor the initial ground-altering vities² at the subject site for the macological and/or cultural murces. Selection of the macologist shall be subject to the macologist shall be subject to the macologist shall occur at the site until marchaeologist has been approved the City. archaeological monitor shall be monsible for maintaining daily field es, a photographic record, and morting all finds in a timely manner. archaeologist shall also be	In conjunction with development applications, and prior to issuance of grading permits	Retention of professional archaeologist/ongoing monitoring/submittal of Report of Findings, if applicable	City of Perris Planning Division			

Perris Valley Commerce Center Specific Plan Final EIR

Cultural Resources	With a time Warran	Monitoring	Action Indicating Compliance	Monitoring	Verifica	tion of Co	ompliance
Impact/Threshold	Mitigation Measure	Timing/ Frequency		Agency	Initials	Date	Remarks
	MM Cultural 3 If the Phase I Cultural Resources Study required under MM Cultural 1 determines that monitoring during construction by both a professional archaeologist and a Native American representative is needed for the implementing development project, the project proponent shall retain a professional archaeologist and a Native American representative of Luiseño descent prior to the issuance of grading permits. The professional archaeologist and Native American observer shall be required on site during all initial ground-altering activities. The Native American observer shall have the authority to temporarily divert, redirect, or halt the ground disturbance activities to allow the evaluation of cultural resources with the project archaeologist. The evaluation and treatment provisions of mitigation measure MM Cultural 2 shall apply to this measure.	Monitors retained prior to issuance of grading permits. Monitoring shall take place during all initial groundaltering activities	Retention of professional archaeologist/ongoing monitoring/submittal of Report of Findings, if applicable	City of Perris Planning Division			
	MM Cultural 4 In the event that cultural resources are discovered at a development site that is not monitored by a professional	Ongoing during construction	Retention of professional archaeologist/ongoing monitoring/submittal of	City of Perris Planning			

² For the purpose of this measure, ground-altering activities include, but are not limited to, debris removal, vegetation removal, tree removal, grading, trenching, or other site preparation activities. Initial ground-altering activities refer to the first time that the existing materials are altered by construction-related activities. Materials that have already been disturbed by construction-related activities do not require subsequent monitoring.

Cultural Resources							
Impact/Threshold	Mitigation Measure	Monitoring Timing/	Action Indicating	Monitoring	Verificat	tion of Co	mpliance
Impact/Threshold	Mingation Measure	Frequency	Compliance	Agency	Initials	Date	Remarks
	archaeologist, all activities in the immediate vicinity of the find shall stop, the project developer shall notify the City of Perris Planning Division, and the project developer shall retain a professional archaeologist to analyze the find for identification as prehistoric and historical archaeological resources. The evaluation and treatment provisions of mitigation measure MM Cultural 2 shall apply to this measure.		Report of Findings, if applicable	Division			
The project would directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature.	MM Cultural 5: Prior to grading for projects requiring subsurface excavation that exceeds five (5) feet in depth, proponents of the subject implementing development projects shall retain a professional paleontologist to verify implementation of the mitigation measures identified in the approved Phase I Cultural Resources Study and to monitor the subsurface excavation that exceed five (5) feet in depth. Selection of the paleontologist shall be subject to the approval of the City of Perris Planning Manager and no grading activities shall occur at the site until the paleontologist has been approved by the City. Monitoring should be restricted to undisturbed subsurface areas of older alluvium, which might be present below the surface. The	Prior to issuance of grading permits Ongoing monitoring during subsurface excavation	Retention of professional paleontologist/ongoing monitoring/submittal of Report of Findings, if applicable	City of Perris Planning Division			

mpact/Threshold	Mitigation Measure	Monitoring Timing/	Action Indicating	Monitoring	Verifica	tion of Co	mpliance
		Frequency	Compliance	Agency	Initials	Date	Remark
	paleontologist shall be prepared to quickly						
	salvage fossils as they are unearthed to avoid						
	construction delays. The paleontologist shall						
	also remove samples of sediments which are						
	likely to contain the remains of small fossil						
	invertebrates and vertebrates. The						
	paleontologist shall have the power to						
	temporarily halt or divert grading equipment to						
	allow for removal of abundant or large						
	specimens.						
	Collected samples of sediments shall be washed						
	to recover small invertebrate and vertebrate						
	fossils. Recovered specimens shall be prepared						
	so that they can be identified and permanently						
	preserved. Specimens shall be identified and						
	curated and placed into an accredited repository						
	(such as the Western Science Center or the						
	Riverside Metropolitan Museum) with						
	permanent curation and retrievable storage.						
	A report of findings, including an itemized						
	inventory of recovered specimens, shall be						
	prepared upon completion of the steps outlined						
	above. The report shall include a discussion of						
	the significance of all recovered specimens. The						
	report and inventory, when submitted to the						
	City of Perris Planning Division, will signify						
	completion of the program to mitigate impacts						

Perris Valley Commerce Center Specific Plan Final EIR

Cultural Resources	Cultural Resources									
Impact/Threshold	Mitigation Measure	Monitoring Timing/	Action Indicating	Monitoring	Verification of Compliance					
Impact, Tineshold	Mingation Measure	Frequency	Compliance	Agency	Initials	Date	Remarks			
	to paleontological resources.									
The project would cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in Section 15064.5 of the CEQA Guidelines.	MM Cultural 6: In the event that human remains (or remains that may be human) are discovered at the implementing development project site during grading or earthmoving, the construction contractors shall immediately stop all activities in the immediate area of the find. The project proponent shall then inform the Riverside County Coroner and the City of Perris Planning Division and the coroner will be permitted to examine the remains. If the coroner determines that the remains are of Native American origin, the coroner will notify the NAHC and the Commission will identify the "Most Likely Descendent" (MLD). ³ Despite the affiliation of any Native American representatives at the site, the Commission's identification of the MLD will stand. The MLD shall be granted access to inspect the site of the discovery of the Native	During construction activities	Coroner and NAHC contacted and submittal of Report of Findings, if applicable	City of Perris Planning Division						

³ The "Most Likely Descendent" ("MLD") is a reference used by the California Native American Heritage Commission to identify the individual or population most likely associated with any human remains that may be identified within a given project area. Under California Public Resources Code section 5097.98, the Native American Heritage Commission has the authority to name the MLD for any specific project and this identification is based on a report of Native American remains through the County Coroner's office. In the case of the City of Perris, the Native American Heritage Commission may identify any Luiseño descendent, but generally names the Soboba or Pechanga bands of Mission Indians (both Luiseño populations) and alternates between the two groups. The City of Perris will recognize any MLD identified by the Native American Heritage Commission without giving preference to any particular population. In cases where the Native American Heritage Commission is not tasked with the identification of a Native American representative, the City of Perris reserves the right to make an independent decision based upon the nature of the proposed project.

Cultural Resources							
Impact/Threshold	Mitigation Measure	Monitoring Timing/	Action Indicating	Monitoring	Verificat	tion of Co	mpliance
Impact/ Tileshold	wingation weasure	Frequency	Compliance	Agency	Initials	Date	Remarks
	American human remains and may recommend to the project proponent means for treatment or disposition, with appropriate dignity of the human remains and any associated grave goods. The MLD shall complete their inspection and make recommendations or preferences for treatment within 48 hours of being granted access to the site. The disposition of the remains will be determined in consultation with the City of Perris, the project proponent, and the MLD. The City of Perris will be responsible for the final decision, based upon input from the various stakeholders. If the human remains are determined to be other than Native American in origin, but still of archaeological value, the remains will be recovered for analysis and subject to curation or reburial at the expense of the project proponent. If deemed appropriate, the remains will be recovered by the coroner and handled through the Coroner's Office. Coordination with the Coroner's Office will be through the City of Perris and in consultation with the various stakeholders. The specific locations of Native American burials and reburials will be proprietary and not						

Cultural Resources								
Impact/Threshold	Mitigation Measure	Monitoring Timing/	Action Indicating	Monitoring	Verifica	cation of Compliance		
impues, imedicio	Tillinguitti Tillinguit	Frequency	Compliance	Agency	Initials	Date	Remarks	
	disclosed to the general public. The locations will be documented by the consulting archaeologist in conjunction with the various stakeholders and a report of findings shall be filed with the Eastern Information Center (EIC).							

Geology and Soils									
Impact/Threshold	Mitigation Measure	Monitoring Timing/	Action Indicating	Monitoring	Verification of Compliance				
Impact/ Timeshold	Wingation Measure	Frequency	Compliance	Agency	Initials	Date	Remarks		
Expose people or property to substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction. Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the proposed project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence,	MM Geo 1: Concurrent with the City of Perris' review of implementing development projects, the project proponent of the implementing development project shall submit a geotechnical report prepared by a registered geotechnical engineer and a qualified engineering geologist to the City of Perris Public Works/Engineering Administration Division for its review and approval. The geotechnical report shall assess the soil stability within the implementing development project affecting individual lots and building pads, and shall describe the methodology (e.g., overexcavated, backfilled, compaction) being used to implement the	In conjunction with development applications, and prior to issuance of grading permits	Submittal of geotechnical report	City of Perris Public Works/ Engineering Division					

APPENDIX C

Archaeological Records Search Results

(Deleted for Public Review; Bound Separately)

APPENDIX D

NAHC Sacred Lands File Search Results

(Deleted for Public Review; Bound Separately)


APPENDIX E

Assessor's Lot Books

3 s - 4 W,


Adington School District

Oak Glen Scrool District.




Sehool .

59							
No. OWNER DESCRIPTION Sec. Twp. No. Lot Block	P. LAND T Range Acres Surface Quality Value Value Value Value Kind	BUILDINGS TRE Value Value Value Citrus Value	VINES VINES Value Value Value Kind Value Value Value Value 1893 1894 1895	ALFALFA C	OTHER IMPROVEMENTS ND Value Value Value Value 1892 1893 1894 1895 1	TRANSFERRED TO Date NAME Consideration	Date NAME Consid-
CARR	1692 1693 1694 1695	1892 1893 1894 1895 Buds Seeds Dec'd 1892	1893 1894 1895 1892 1893 1894 1895	1892 1893 1894 1895	1892 1893 1894 - 1895	eration	eration
Do. SEP all "NWOGNW"	80 " " 1995 1100 240 240 V						
Mrs S. L. Atwater are Drs NE"	160 Hills Pasture \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\						
De al 1 N.E. of NW "	40 " " 120 /20 V		*				
South A Marin S. F. E. 10	320 Rolling Grain 2562 2562 2400 21400 V						
	20 Rough H. Rocky 420 420 280 280 Cabin						
Win John Vlew 8/2. 96) W ² ·5 5.W ⁴ Heurietta Pflafflin Dr. A. C. Posey NW ⁴ ·5 N.W ⁴ Saeurity Savings Bank Trust Co. E ² ·5 N.W ⁴ "	Grains 9	105 105 200 200					
5 of 5 w of N.W. "	80 " Pasture 420 420 420 420 V				3.	15.95 A la Posey	
Chao. D. Jones. No Son of N. W " Manage of 1- 165 165 165 165 165 165 165 165 165 165	20 Grain 105 105 105 105 40 Mars mill	100					
J' - writer							
			5"				
			\$ d				
T.C. James Musual Col Notassed 93 5.W4 //	16. Rolling Grain 1680 1200 1200 1 16. Rolling Grain 1680 1200 1200 V		1/6				
H.S. Janvis do Jo. N.W4 "	16 allolling Mram 1680 - 1200 1200						
						-	
Y Sarah A. Marvin All 16	640 Rolling Gram 4704 4700 4700 4700 V						
HO V James D. Tung ood NE 4 36	160 Level FAPOLD 4000 4000:						
Mr. Nr of SE*	40. " " " 1000/000 Y					/. /27	
42 Khonis Jr. Newerf 70 Jo 95. sulclose for 10 1/2 8 12527 8 12527 "	40 " " 840 1000 1000 V Cabine 1 40 " " 840 2310 1000 500 V	25				17.95 L & Leeman	
SE. of S.W. of S.E. 72527 "	10 " " 210 250 250 V 20 " " 420 500 500 V					-13-44 Chas. H-Hoez Pg 2B1 000 may Victor E Hala Pg 10B1-10	
45 - Liggie F. Newerf. N2055Wog SE 72527 S.W. S SWog SE 47 H.T. Hewitt N.E. of NW 4 "	10 " " 210 210 250 250 4 4. Level It. Ma 840 200 1000 1000 V					14.95 Chas Hamilton 1-100 700	6 1
48 Mins S. Tampen Pitchbuy mass. N.W of N.W "	40 11 11 840 840/000/000						1-57
N.E. of S.W. 1422 " S.E. of N.W. 1422 " S.E. of N.W. 1422 "	40 Level TARAD 840 840 1000 1000 V				en.	ng Geo F. Datty Pall 1	130 94 aly Je Cacion 122 2400
57 - O.G. Stevens, Est. / Luca R. R. W. J. S. W. of S. W. "	20 " " MB. 525 525 500 500 V				%	1295 Gled Patty 146 Estith Stevens	
53 Mark A. Penney Not and 93 S.W. S.W. 1899.03	10 Serel FAMB. 210 - 250 250 V						
SE'ng S.W og N.W Vida os "	10 " " 1210 - 250 250 V		10 S				
Do. NW of SW 4 1422 " SEY of SW 4 "	40 ". "Pld. 840 - 1000 = See like 40 Serel Fr. B. d. 420 420 1000 = Barn	100 =	2		ų.	20-93 J. W. Nance Pg 165 160.	2-28-94 F. N. Stover Pg 1-37 1240
58 Sc Calif-R.R.C- Do-in-W2-03-544 4 Swife 252 11	10. 250 V		7			Z	
Adlover a mi gama above for /2 The of 8 E'14 & SWA TO "	250 Band			10 25			
6/2/8E/4/Sev/4401. 1	20		No.	10			
Mins alman amstrong Koffet sie of Swift "	(20. lus \$12) 500 V					1-194	
Viella a Berrong Su/4 f Her/4 of Sw/4 "	10 250 V 20 500 V				6.	8.94 Tillie anderson	
Guet Swanson 1-146 161/4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	10						H ·
							*



RIVERSIDE COUNTY.

MORSE'S SYSTEM OF PROPERTY INDE: FOR ASSESSORS OR ABSTRA PATENT APPLIED FOR BRADFORD MORSE, RIVERSIDE,		RI	IVERSIDE COL	JNTY.		
No. OWNER	TRANSFERRED TO TRANSFERRED TO	DESCRIPTION	School Sec. Twp. School or or RANGE ACRES Rolling Grain Dry Broken Pasture Semi- Hilly Timber moist	LAND BUILDINGS VALUE VALUE	TREES	VINES OTHER IMPROVEMENTS VALUE VALUE
	DATE NAME AMT. DATE NAME AM	т.	Or or RANGE ACRES Rolling Grain Dry District Lot Block Broken Pasture Semi-Hilly Timber moist Mounts Rocks Water Rt	1896 1897 1898 1899 KIND 1896 1897 1898 1899	Citrus Decid	1897 1898 1899 KIND 1896 1897 1898 1899
V I Camillo Martin	Frances A. Martin 713	1		33603360 3025 2725		
V 2	H " TOS		8.0 , ,	840 840 755 680		
V 4 Henry S. Williams	12/9 95 . H & Amstrong 2-17	13 DW/4 + S/2 of nw/4		680 1680 1510 1360 Barn 50 50 50 5		
1 5 albert 16. Quatrian	Joseph W Walfakele no.	SE14+ 11-01 21614	240 " "	2520 2520 2070 2045		
V 6 , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		20 S/2 of New 14	Year of the second of the seco	840 840 755 680 Starmine 350 350 350 35	0 1	
y 8 "		Sev/4 of n & 1/4		420 420 370 345		
V 9 11	12/9 95 Victor D. Duboce 17 Frank J. Steeley 1061	20 NE14		1680 1680 1510 1360		
V II Camillo Martin	Trances A. Martin 713	(20 W) 4 ·		1680 1680 1510 1360 6720 6720 6056 5450 stamu 250 250 250 25	To r	
V 12	" "		3 ! 640 " "	6720 6720 6050 5450		
√ 13 // ·		16"/2 of few/4		840 840 755 680 Studies 350 350 350 35	0 1	
√ 15 <i>y</i>	11 11 (25)S		20 " 1	420 420 370 340 210 210 190 170		
16 Hoenry L. Williams	12/995 Victor D' Duboce 2+17 Trank J. Steele 779 1064	42 Nav /4	160 " "	1680 1680 1510 1360	•	
V 18 James D Twogood	1 1061	2 n/2 g n 6/4 g sw/4		210 210 190 170		
1 19 Junes of Swogood	. "	(n/29 n/29 8 6/4) no/49 nu		1000 250 225 205		
V20. L. E. Leeman	Mary E Waddington 350	11/2 01 20 1/2 of of 8 1/14	40 " Bal 574)	1000 1000 900 810 Babin 25. 20 20 2	0 -	
V22 Chas. H. Halty	6.24.96 Chas J. Diekman. M & Wadington	0 E'/2 of DE'/4 of DE'/4.	2.0 " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " "	500 500 450 405 250 250 205		
1 23 Victor E. Hulce.	3747 (60)	6 n/2 of Siv/4 of 86/4	20 , 1	500 500 450 405		
V 24/ Solo 26 2 10+		Deci/4 of Deci/4 of DE/4		250 250 225 205 500 500 450 405		
V 26. 16. J. Hewitt	The synamy ors (60)	n & /4 of nev/4.		1000 1000 900 810		
27. mrs. S. J. Jamplin	3-9	nw/4 & no/4		000 1000 900 810		
129 Mark a. Penney	10/19-97H Feletcher Rogers 11. T. Hewitt	A E /4 of nev/4 sw/4 of Sic/4 of nev/4	1/40 " 1. 1	250 250 ms 205		
/30	1 548 G	950 n/2 of sw/4 of new/4	20 1 1	500 500 450 405		
31 / 32 / 0/2 / 0	V +8 6	DE1/4 of Sw1/4 of niv1/4	/ / / / /	200 250 215 205		
32 Obed Patty 33 Edith Stevens		Sw/4 of Sw/4 East of RI Sw/4 of Sw/4 West "	RR. 20 " " " " "	500 500 450 405. 400 400 360 325		
V 34 Olan Jak	2-63	n 6/4 of Dec /4		000 1000 900 810	5 210	
v 36 F. W. Stover	10/4 967 7 Stoven 2-63 662 7	2 nw 14 of 8 E0 14 of Dw 1/4. De 1/4 of 8 E 1/4 of Dw 1/4				Sex 10
37 Thos. Hefferman	1484	Y 61/2 of DE1/4 of Sw14		260 250 225 205 Bam 75 35 35 35 500 500 450 405 Ban 75 75 75 75		Tag 10
1 38. Mrs. abiah armstron	Mark a. Penney	· nev'/4 of nev'/4 of sw'/4	10. " "	260 250 228 205		
1 40 Gust Swanson		N & 1/4 of New 1/4 of Dev 1/4 S & 1/4 of New 1/4 of Dev 1/4	10 11	250 250 225 205		
1 Tellia Onderson		Sw/4 of nw/4 of sw/4 l	Less RRYCOR 7,00 "	250 185 170 155		
V 42 21 of Smatrong 2-17	Geo. Wiefenbach 887	- J WW/4	71 Midland 29 V 3 3w 16.0	1680 1680 1510 1360 V		
V43 Chas Jackman	Geo. Wiexenbach 887	TON 6/4 of no 1/4 of DE 1/4	Alessandro 3 6 " 4W. 20	250 225 200 1		
45						
40 47						
48						
49 50		મે.` જુંટ				
5 ^x						
52		.>				
53 54						
55	•					
56 57		:				
58			•			
59						
60 61						
62						
63						
6 ₅						
66						
67 68						
03 69						
70						
71						
73						
74						
75 76						
77		,				
78 70						
So					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	-	9 1				,




RIVERSIDE COUNTY. 82 Quellase 84 711 CanaloxC7 TREES AND OTHER IMPROVEMENTS BUILDINGS LAND VALUE DESCRIPTION MTG. SEC. TWP. RANGE ACRES OR OR LOT BLOCK ASSESSED TO ASSESSED TO ASSESSED TO ASSESSED TO | 1899 | 1900 | 1901 | 1902 | 1903 | 1904 | 1905 | 1906 | 1907 | 1899 | 1900 | 1901 | 1902 | 1903 | 1904 | 1905 | 1906 | 1907 | 1899 | 1900 | 1901 | 1902 | 1903 | 1904 | 1905 | 1906 | 1907 | DISTRICT Midland "19 35. 3W. 3vo 2725 2240 2240 1920 1600 1600 1600 1600 1600 1/h 1 Frances A. Martin 680 560 560 480 400 400 400 400 400 sr of M.E.Y 1360 1120 1120, 960 800 800 800 800 800 471 20 22045 1680 1120 960 800 800 800 800 -Th. L. Newert Geo. G. Lawrence S W. Beo. Easton 4 W.S. Armstrong 560 480 400 400 400 400 400 (Goo. G. banrence) & Sr. & N.W. 2045 1680 1120 1120 1120 1120 1120 1120 112 <u>05.W.Wol/skill</u> 560 560 560 560 560 560 560 50 of N.E. 680 560 560 560 560 560 560 560 560 560 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 180 5 % N.W. 1/2 345 280 280 280 280 280 280 280 280 477 (11) Can 29 SWY OF NEY 1360 1120 1120 1120 1120 1120 1120 1/20 1120 160 NE! 1360 1120 1120 960 800 800 800 800 *Geo. Easton Th h. Newerk in Geo. G. Lawrence 12 W.S. Armstrong N.W. 1360 1120 1120 960 960 960 960 960 -160 13 Frank J. Steele S. W.4 Nohn C. Jones 5450 4480 4480 3840 3200 3200 3200 3200 3200 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 · 2519 @ 1816 30 640 AII 14 Frances A. Martin 5450 4480 4480 3840 3200 3200 3200 3200 3200 680 560 560 480 400 400 400 400 400 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 W 2 of 8W.4 80 32 340 280 280 240 200 200 200 200 200 SEY of SW.4 170 140 140 120 100 100 100 100 100 STOP NEYOUSWY 1360 1120 1120 960 960 960 960 960 -John C. Jones Kh. h. Newery Geo. G. hawrence N. W. 19 Frank J. Steele 160 170 140 140 120 120 120 120 120 -No of NET of SWY 20 Wm S. Freeman T. B. Marshall 20 370 1760 XXX SWY-29 Joseph dourdan 960 Nº OF MWH 32 Mrof NE+of SW# Geo. Benhm STOF MW 20 80 25 W.Q. howdermilk 29 26 J. E. Bridge:
27 J. F. dourdan 16 160 HWH 36 35 4W 30 960 800 THE No SET of MET SET ONE ON ET Schnelder 3240 3240 1120 30 Amanda M. Two good Laura Pavery exal 205 205 70 60 50 vo X M.W. of M.W. & SET 1 10 60 50 205 N.Et of N.W! of SE! 50 50 50 32 Geo. Wiefenbach with. L. Newert 71-1.M. + J.H. Martin 10 200 300 300 360 H.25 25 25 25 25 75 9010 57 of Nr of S.E++5" of S.E" . [N.E+ 33 Mary E Waddington 405 405 140 120 100 100 100 120 Nr of NEY of SEY 34 Geo. Wiekenbach XL. Wewert XHM. + J.H. Martin 20 140 120 100 100 100 100 Eroj'S.Etoj'S.Et 35 ME Waddington Erof S.Et of S.W. of S.W. of S.W. of S.Et of S.W. of S.W. of S.Et of 20 1903 405 140 120 100 160 100 100 201 60 50 50 50 50 S.W+ 0 & S.W+ 0 & S. E + 10 38 Chas. Harrilton W.T. Wyatt + J. E. Hammett 100 100 120 140 120 100 100 39 M.E. Waddington Wrof S. Et of S. Et 40 Ruby Hewitt state. X D. E. MYERS NEx) ON M.W. 40 E. E. HENDRICK 810 280 240 200 200 200 200 240 41 Mrs.S.J. Tamblin K.W.N, V, N.NA 40 810 *Chas. a. Tamplin 280 240 200 200 200 200 240 S. EYOF N.W.Y 42 Henry F. Rogers XT. B. Marshall 2 M4 of 2. M4 of U.M.A 205 205 43 Mark A. Penneginie D. E. MYERS E. E. HENDRICK 405 405 Nr. of 5. W + of H. W. + 205 200 S.Ex. of S.W, of M.W. STATE. 100 100 100 120 2.0 SW+ of SW! (E) of R.R.) 46 Obed Patty 120 100 td. H. Martin 1.95 80 100 80 SW4 of SW4 (W. of R.R.) D. E. Myers 1 16 325 325 80 80 47 Edith Stevens E. E. HENDRICK XEtta B. Conner XR.B. Jaquith 4593 Mary G. Osmond LNEYOF SWY 48 Alex. J. Callon 50 N.W + of S.E + of S.W. 49 F.W. Stover J.H. Martin 10 SW4 of S.E4 of S.W.4 E7 of S.E4 of S.W.4 50 50 205 205 70 50 50 10 60 100 100 51 Thos. Heffern an Mary K. 1-lefternan 50 50 50 60 N.W4 of M.W4 of S.W.4 70 D' E. MYERS 10 52 Mark F. Penney E. E. HENDRICK NE+ of M.W+ of S.W.+ 60 205 205 70 50 50 1/10 Vo 60 50 10 50 50 50 D. E. MYERS Bertha a. Swanso E. E. HENDRICK 54 Gust. Swanson 155 155 55 45 40 40 40 40 50 5. W+ of N.W. of S.W + (less R.R. + C. Road) 55 Millie Anderson DEE. MYERS E. E. HENDRICK 150 150 56 albert J. Twogood X5" of S.W of N.E" + M.W of N.W of S.E" 150 57 Nelson H. Twogood 150 150 180 Not SW of NE + SW of NW of NE 150 150 180 58 Josephine R. Gibson Not N.W of N.E"+ S.E" of N.W of N.E" 30 150 159 180 30 59 Jesse Two good etal. N' of N.E" + SW" of N.E" of N.E" 68

TRACT Mª TWELVE

SUB. OF SEC. 3, T. 3S., R. 4W. S.B.M.


Scale - 1"=400'


			/ 70177 /3age 6a		•
583.89	71.55		34 8685	1300	1344119
	16,46	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ac 60	37.13	47.04
	A 85901	;		70.	134374
	16.10 15 15 16.5E az	10 CC	10.	20.00	8
	434,40	10 de 14	10 ac 13	1300	1343.36
112380	17 707	20	22/ 66	24	26
b lan	1172,03	10 Nez-e	23	.25	. 40.70
860	10 ac 37 35 10.94		33	30°	27 0.35
64.	1.0 . 10 le i	34	23.14	100c 32 10 ac. 31	29 28 3 10. 10,3H
					




							RIVERSIDE COUNTY			The second secon		63
1913	1914	1915	1916	1917	1918	1919	DESCRIPTION	SCHOOL DISTRICT	Sec. Twp.	e Acres	face ality	BUILDINGS TREES, VINES, ETC. 1913 1914 1915 1916 1917 1918 1919 1913 1914 1915 1916 1917 1918 1919 1913 1914 1915 1916 1917 1918 1919
! !	ASSESSED TO	ASSESSED TO	ASSESSED TO	ASSESSED TO	- ASSESSED TO	ASSESSED TO	3	سر ۱ ماله	Lot Block	Ra Rai		
			,				Nog SEN OF NET SET OF NET OF NET	Schneider	36 3	JW 30		450 DO 525 530 750 750 750 160 160 160 160
1 avery Laura	3,41	- Marel	2179 Stevens Elise		Stoltz Berlha		NEW of NW Hoy SE"			10	8 1 1	150 DO. 175 250 250 250 250 260
2 Martin H. M.+ J.H		= 1=ataldane 1:1ary	133 N S, EVERS LIISE				Say Nox SE" S SE of NE			60		900 DO. 1050 1500 1500 1500 1500
3 Waddington Mary P	·	Zaladlana Manis	2507 Stevens Elise 2607	•	Stoltz Berlha		Not NE" of SE"			70		300 00. 350 500 500 500 500
4 Morrin H.M. J.H.		Eabardano Litary.	223 Nations Line				E of SE" of SE"			70		300 DO. 350 500 500 500 500
5 Waddington Mary E					AND		E. Gac of SE of SW of SE"			6		100 D 100 150 150 150 150 150 100
6 Taylor W.HT Wyclist Bird	18:	23 K W K K	Topinam Ollied 2537				NE of SW of SEAN 8 ac. of NW of SW.	SE*		18		270360 360 450 450 450 450
7 Walker C.C. Dird	L das.	1 Therost Tred J.	1 Jopan Olliss		3335		SIVAU of Wiof SN' of SE' + W HOW of SE' & SN' ox SE'	1		16	1	240320 300 400 400 400 100 DO. 100 -
8 Bush B.B.					Wells W. B.		W'd SE'd SE"			170		300 1 350 500 500 500 500
9 Maddington Mary F						No. in	+ NE of NW h			40		600 DO. 600 800 1000 1000 1000
10 HENDRICK, E. E. EST.			2176	ann an an Aireann ann an Aireann ann an Aireann an Aireann an Aireann an Aireann an Aireann an Aireann an Aire		į.	+ NW not NW n			fo		" DO. 600 800 800 1000
11 Tamplin Chas a.		Reese Minnie B	237/1	appealante yan akin Ayaninganga, iga, masamananin cirindisi masamanda dipenta n	The part of the state of the st		SE" of NWI			40		1 DD. 600800 1000 1000 1000 300 DO. 300 750 750 750 750
12 Wilson W.H. 88.							- SWHONNH			40		11 -3. 600 800 800 800 800
18 HENDRICK, E. E. EST.		and the second s		-			1 SIN 6X N.N.					
14 //	,											
15 //	143	5								70		300 DO. 300 Noo 400 Noo Noo
16 PCENSSEY, Linhaney Wenter 17 HENTRICK, E. E. EST.	vorthamie M. 19	19				,	- SW* of SW# LEGRR.>			16		240 240 320 320 320 320
17 HENDRICK, E. E. EST.	2.49	: 1	76/6		3126		SW" of SW" (WOXRR)	_		40		600 DO. 600 800 1000 1000 50 DO.
18 Walker Theo Mith Mars	Sh J E. + Robert	.5	Marsh JE PRobert 2017		Val Verde Imp. Co.		NEt of SWH Less Lot 100 + 15 175Z=C	· <i>c</i> /		10		150 DO. 150 \$00 200 200 200 400 DO. 400 200 200 200 200
19 Pfeuffer-Luhancy Went	worth annie M.193	F(-	NW" of SE of SW"		-	10		
20							SW+ of SE+ of SW+		 	70	+	300 - 300 per 500 500 500 500 75 DO. 75 80 50 50
21 Heffernan Mary		*					E of SET of SWT.	_		70 ×6		300 DO 285 380 380 470 170
22 5 assaman 0.18365		b ,				367/	- No of NW of SWI Tess County Road C Begax SEC-T of NE of SWH N100 x W 15			T D	+	DO DO 700 380 470 70
23			- 7718			Yal Verde Mut. Water	C BegatSECT OFNET SWH N100 KW 15	ō	 	<u> </u>	+ +	
HENDRICK, E. E. EST.			Swanson Bertia GJ				> SE of NW of SW		1	10		150 DO. 150 200 200 750 750
25 11					979		Y SW" of NW" of SY" (Less RR+ Co. Road) Sof SW" of NE & NW" of NY" of SE"			75	0	17000 170 140 140 140 140
26 Twogood alberts		Annual Control of the			Twogood Sarah a.	<u> </u>	Sof SW of NE & NW of NY of SE"		 	30	1	450 DO. 525 750 750 750 750 150
27 " Nelson H	1			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Not SWA OT NE + SWA OT NA OT NE			30		" DO, 525 750 750 750 750 750
28 GibsondosaphineR		•					Not NW of NE " SE" of NW " of NE"		-	30		" Do " 530750 750 750
29 Trogood Dessie etal					-		N' of NE" of NE" + SW " of NE" of NE"		<u> </u>	30		11 D. 525 750 750 750 750
30	,		-	•						1 1		
31												
39	ethanilatethan etmana etm arryttanilatethanilatethanilatethanilatethanilatethanilatethanilatethanilatethanilate	and the second s	+	and the community and and the second control of the								
33				AND THE PARTY OF T		,	Tracx No 12	Midland				
.34			7	Pickinson Go-IN	DICKINSONGW +WG				1	47 6		930 930 930
25				A STATE OF THE STA	, ,				2	47 6 46 6 37 13 30.0 20.0 20.0	8	710 710 710
2 30									23	2737		540 520 520
90		-	1	1°Grath Warren F	381		1.		4	27/3	5	520 520 520
37				Vorth Warrent			,		1.5	16 4		290 290 290
38				4						140	- 	400 400 400
39				7 , ,					0	9,8		190 190 190
40				Indrews Lewis VV	3119	V			1	1		
41 Landin Maria Caracter and the Control of the Con					* The Kinson GW YWG	and analysis for the second rate determinants on the second secon			8	4/67		1600 800 800
42				andrews Lewis W	781				9	20		K00 K00 H00
43	mpagamatangga, gaggapagatahan kangkan katalan da Perta yay paganan apa		/ / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /	McGrath Warren F	- 4				10	20		200 200 200
44				2-	71				1-1/1	/0	-	200 200 200
45				Indrews Leivis W	81				12	10		400 HOO 100
46			/	1º Grath Worren F					13	16		200 200 200
47									14	10		200 200 200
48									15	165	8	330 330 330
49		` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` `	1	ti .					16	16,1	3	280 280 280
50	-			9					17-	123	5	210 210 210
51			•						18	134		270 270 270
52				L			<u> </u>		19	- 7a	5	140 140 140
53			,						20	10	. - -	200 200 200
54									21	1 96	/	180 180 180
55	•			<u> </u>		-			122	1 / 0	`	200 200 200
56				<i>n</i>					7.3	10 90	3	180 180 180
57				Andrews Lewis W	781			`	44	20		400 doo 100
58			1	Tickinson GeoW	JICKINSON GVV TIVG	1			75	20		400 HOO 100
59				STATE SOIL SEAVY					26	401		800 800 800
60	-								27	263	4	400 Kg0 V00
61				<u> </u>					78	103		200 200 200
69				7-1-1 1111	781				70	10,5		200 200 200
· 69				Indraws Lewis W					30	Va		400 Hoo Hoo
03				MCC LEOW	Die Kinson GW + WG		·		2.	1/0	111	200 200 200
04			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	NeGrath Warren F	'O' 1				nel	10		
. 60			ı ı	exinson Geo VI etal	7 201 1 17/19 3				35	2/3/11	# +	180 180 180
. 66			l l		The Kinson GIVTIVE				33			40 dio 40
67				Andrews Lewis W	· •				34	65	# - -	130 130 130
68						-			35.	16 4		330 330 330
69							·		36	10		200 200 200
70				,i					37	10	_	200 200 200
71									38_	9 2	5	180 180 180
72										/		
73												
74							1,	and the state of t		,		
75		The second of the second decreases and the second s		TO SECURE OF THE PROPERTY OF T	<			!		1 1	!	

T.3.S. R.4.W.








RIVERSIDE	COTINITY
RIVERSHIP	

								RIVERSIDE COUNTY												61	
1	1920	1921	1922	1923	1924	1925	1926	DESCRIPTION	SCHOOL DISTRICT	Sec. Twp.	, es			ID VALUE			ILDINGS		TREES, VIN		
	SSESSED TO	ASSESSED TO	ASSESSED TO	ASSESSED TO	ASSESSED TO	ASSESSED TO	ASSESSED TO 10453 10363		Zell- C	Sec. Twp. or Block		-							0 1921 1922 1923	3 1924 1925 192	26
1 Boroa 2 Thomas	2 John 3824	1					+aston H.D.	NEY less NE+ of NE+ of NE+ NW4. FNW+	valley Cent	te 33 3	4 W 13	l li		1 1	1 1	800 800 600	600 660 7	20 720			
	s John M							NW# of iv= + of NW# > SEH of NEW of NVV			ex	1 11	1 1 .	480 480 4 160 160 1	1 }}						_
4 Thomas	EB		-	-				Svr4 of NW4			4	1		400 400 4	i 1						
5 Hoynes 6 Moran	(· .	-	-	Head all 5079	-	-		NofSE4of, NVIH			8	0 160	j j	160 200 2 800 800 8	1 1			-			
7	.,,			, 4781	-			SE4			16	0 1120	1 1	1600 1600 16	1 1						
8 Cheney 9 Vicker 10 Matott - 11 Smith	WSTGS			,		-	Vickers Thomas Letal	Stof SE tof-NYV			2	- 1 11] }	160 160 1	i 11						
10 Majott	Frank Q 2538					Duval Mattie M 742	Vichers Momas Lerais	NET of NET of NWH			1) 1	1 1	80 80 8	1 11						
- 11 Smith	Clarence B	-		-				E of SW4 of NE 4 of NW4			ي ا	60	1 1.	60 60	l II						
12 Lantz	\sqrt{R}						Boroa John	NE# of NE# of NE#	/-		ى . ا	30	5050	50 50 3	50 50			70			
14			-	-			Doroa John	WE OF WE OF NE	-						200			7.20			
1	7 VosephH 3943			Head AN 5099				SW ⁴		34	16	,	1120 1120	1 1	1 1	250 250 1.50		1			
16 Stewa 17 Mosenth	1			Dolon GE - 5079				SET.		-	100]]		1 1	150 150 150	150 200 2	00200			
18	1 1			Lower GE	·					,	/ 9	7100	1100 1100	1100 1100 11	1100		-	-			
19						,											-				
20 21 1.7/2 /-	ns, YTF, Co		Worrison FT 435		-	Hawes Helen H	913	5w4	-	35		9 1180	1180118-	1180 1180 11	80 118-	1000 /000 /000	1000 1330 12	30/230			
22		<u> </u>	,			A Company of the Comp						μ.υ.	1.097.00	1,40,1,01,11	~ / ^ 0	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	7,000,530,13				
23								-													
25									-												
26				-	7							`		-	-						
27 Grefy	Berlya V		-		/		.	NofSEH. FNEWY SENOFNEHOFNEH		36 3	4W 30	1 1	1 1	1 1, 1	1 1	120 120 120	120 120 16	0 160		.	
29 Waddi	Berlia Ington Mary EV					-		NEMOFNIMOSSEMY NO OFNEM OFSEM Y SEMOFSEM		-	1 3	. 1 11 11 1	I' I'	1000 100010	1 (1		-		- - -		_
30	11				/			SE4ofSE4 Stof NotSE4 & Stof SE4of NE4			6	9/1500	1500/500	1.500 1500 15	00 1500			_			
31 Taylor	MH Nyalif m Ollie Q 7 33.35 WB		with Martha E 4397	13 ush 13 13 4699	6837	7		Elde of SE of SW of SE4.						150 150 1					. 100 100 10	0 100	
33 Wells	3335 WA	, пе	will riarma. L	Bush 13 13 4699	oush DD			NETOSOWOSSET ** NEOR OF NETOSETT WHO OF SETOSOWOSS	4		就	7)))	400 400	450 850 8.	850					-	
34 Hendr	richs EEEsX		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					NEHOFNWH			1 !	1000	1000 1000	1000100010	I BI		-				
36	<i>i</i> ,							SW4.SW4 WOFTH	· .		4			800 1000 10 320 320 3		-					
37	1 2931- 2337	3000					1	Svyt of NW of SWY Less Tour TT			;	1 8	1	140150 1.	1 1						-
38 Spreng	ger WJ	Tamplin C.a 1831	h 1- + 74542					NW of NW 4					1000 1000	1000 1000 10	00 1000						
40 Went	worth Viola M	Tamplin C. a 3983 V	entworth Quie M					SE# " " SW" of SE" of SW"			4.	0 1800	1	1800 180018	1 1	200 200 200					
41	į.	!	,		and the second s	ō	<u> </u>			-				-		100	31	, 70		-	
$\frac{42 \sqrt{a/ver} }{43}$	de Imp So Mutual Water	,		Tives Judson CV	Strong Frank R	'	10399	NEtofsint Less 100 x 150 in SEcon	<u> </u>		1 25	1000	1000/000	1000 100010	1 1						
44 Heffe	rnan Mary K	0.	The second secon	-	ادي د مستعدد د			Begal SESOT OF NE 4 of SWY NIOO X VV150 ETO SEHO SSWY No FNWY of SWY Less Co Tood	√			500	500 500	1 1 1	20 30	50 50 50	50 70 7	0 70		-	
45 Sassa	man O son Berthall Son Berthall			ļ	Lavis RR-	Dickman Laura I.	Paris, Reynold R 9982	No fivre fort Less Co Tood	x		1 /	7 470	470 470	470 470 4	70 470						
46 Swans	son Berthal				Wagood Wmf 5494	·	5 %	SET of NW of SW4			15		i I	250 250 2	1						
48 1/	Nelson H				vva good v v T			Not or of the instant of Na of SE	<i>.</i>		3	1 1)	750 750	250 380 3 250 750 7	1 1						
49	Jessie etal			127-11			9242	NT, NET. " ST. NE			3	750	1 1/	250 750 7) 11						
51	n Cosephine 7				Wogood Clarence a	\		SVY-LSVY TNEW-NOTSELL THE			3	750	750 750	750 750 7			-	-			
52		-					Jones, Henry M. 10309	No five of sur Less Co Tood SET of Nord of Sur And of Nord of SET No f Sur of NEW + Nort of Nord of SET No NEW Sur NEW No NEW Sur NEW No for of NEW - No f SET + Sur + NET	-		1 2			9,5,3	500						
53 54																					
55		•																			
56					•			•													
57			` ` `					-								-					
59	-	-	-		•			•									-				
60								•													
62			e	\ \ <u></u>	-			-	_			25.00					~-				
63		-		-							.										
64										-											
66		,		,				·						-		-					
.67	-								~			-	-			-		-			
68 69				:												- -		•			
70						,	_														
71	-	1	_		.				7												
73	THE STATE OF THE SAME SHAPE OF THE STATE OF							CONTROL OF A CONTROL OF THE CONTROL										- are followed by Mark by Mark and the second of the secon			
74		- /	,														and a second sec				-
75		į						,		1	·	1	! ! .				1 }				ļ.



Assessor's Map 11-45 Riverside County

1926.

						R	IVERSIDE COUNTY	· ·			1		(985	REAL PO	_			.		,		İ	45
1927:1926	:19281927	1929 1928	1930: 1929	===193I; 1930	1932= 1931	19331932	DESCRIPTION	SCHOOL DISTRICT	Soo To	9			LAND V.	UES 10% 1	TATE		BUILDIN	ıca		7	TOPES VINE	'S ETC	
ASSESSED TO	ASSESSED TO	ASSESSED TO	ASSESSED TO	ASSESSED TO	ASSESSED TO	ASSESSED TO	BESCHI HOW	Valley Cent	Sec. Two	ock 2	Acres 192	27-1928-	1929 193	0+1931+19	32 1933 -1	1927 1928 19	929 1930	1931 19	32 1933 19	27 1928	1,1929 1930	-1931 -1932	_1933
1 Hawes Heler H. 6912	Turner EvaB	V	`				2014		35 3	5 / W/ /	60 //	80 1180	1600 160	0 160016	00 1600	1330 1330 13	23_1929	1330 12	- 1532 15.	26 19-7	1079 1970	1930 1931	1032
2																							
-, 3																							
5										-				-		.							
6																			-				, v~ -
7																							
84					· · - · - · - · - · - · - ·											_ - -							
10	Named, is the date and family of the design of the state		e and analysis of the terminal state of the second state of the se	allow attention that control and printed and placed in tender in the printed and control in the printed of the	one of the transfer and the felling is the details a sense for the transfer of	er maner in the stemman of the second control of the second	A CONTRACTOR OF THE AND A TOTAL CONTRACTOR AND					•	-							and the state of t			
·11					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					+++													-
12 twogood Jessie dul					,		NotNET OTNET	-	36	7	0 7	160 750	150 750	750 75	0 750	-	`\\.	-				-	
13 / //		13486				22831	Sw. *			1	<u> </u>				.								
14 Avery Loura T		Jackson GR etal.	· · · · · ·			Worton E.E. A.	5 E. V.	-		+	,d /a	50 1050	1050 105	0 900 90	0 900	160 160 16	0 160	100 10	90				~ -
16 Wastington Maria				•		do	N SE NE	Mary and a second secon		3	3 /		1000 100	1500 N	ā (C		*,						
17 Wada Ingioral Tary 1							SZ NZ of SEY			4		WQ 1361	150		W00					ا ر <u>ا</u>			-
18 Gibson Josephine	R	The state of the s	Commence of the commence of th		A		NZ of NWY of NEX			1	o Z	50 750	750 25	750 75	5000					EX pring argument, as many		derivation of Nations 5.4. (as 4)	100 F 1 100 F 10 10 F 2 10 F 2 10 F 2
19, "							SE		-		9				+							,	
TwoqoodNesonH.	Turanad Clarenca V						NET F SWY OF NEX			1	ê }2	50 250	250 25	250 21	0200					~ .			-
22 Twogood Clarences	Chadood Caberney A						SWY OF SWY OF NEY	or comments			7 1.2	80 .286	350 380	380 38	0250								
23							NZofSEY of SWY ofNEY	-			5											y pres	
24 Twogood WIF	•						52.		-		\$ 5	380 380	380 38	380 38	Po 380								
25 " 26 St. 14 TO 41	72397					174/	NW & of NW of SEIF		-		9				_				-			-	
5toltz Bertho	Prince PC	/	,		·- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Reeg L. (Minnie)	NE LNET LSET			1 1		250 -250	250 25 500 50	o 250 25 o 500 50	250		-						
28 Maddington Mary E		The first of the second of the	STEEL CONTINUES OF THE	4			SET OF SE			4	0 10	1 1	.	600 100	t II				2.71		I 75 C \ (, - , - , , ,
29 Bush B. B. 6897					· . · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		IV = of SW Y of SEY			1 3				0 850 83			· ·			٠		-,	4 T
30 "							NET of ENY of SE	maked as		1	0		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·										
32 Taylor W. H. & Wyclif		. and the season of the season	- and the second law and second second	16257	ente investigat i visita se en la registrata e en especialista.		W. 4 ac of SE of SWY of SE	VS			4 /	5. 15.	100 150	150 15	4 (6				,			1 m 10 m 20 a	
33	Tringand M. F. 9914						Flac	-			e l	250	250 25	250 25	50250				nesc 1				
34 Trautwein Mary Hetar	,			77819			NE, of IX WY			4		00 /000	1000100	1000 100	800								
35 Tamplin C.A.				1/216			NW4 of NVV4			4		00 /000	1000 100	1000 100	0/000			 	1 1				200.
36 TrautwernMaryHelo 37 Stanley Frank R.			de Till i e de e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	17956	18724 Roman Kotl	77031 22031-21824 F) Thank 541-1	SEX of HW.4.			1 / 4	0 10	100 /000	1000 100	1200 100	800	870 870 E;	_	700 -	0 70	27000 to 150 h.	*18** WGAS *** *** ***	ATTIVITY OF ALTHING NO. WE MICHELPHYSE	obe dan at area e trans
38				Mischien borg (10	DENSON HUINEINIC	- j · jivinas Linei	2 0) 11 VV-1				160	06 /760	1400 170	- 730	- / = 00	010 0/0 6;	10 800	700 70	5 5 60			-114	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
39 Jones Henry 1 6560							N2 of NE of 3W4			7	0 5			500 50									
:40 StrongFrankR 3518							57 /055 100'X 150'19 5Ecur	-		2	ك ا	Ton 50.	500 500	500 50								W-1	~
41 Valverde Mutua Mate 42 Davis Reynold R	Vigor Halara M	,				Zega Enrico 22648	Com SEcoret NE of SWY NIOO'X W 150.				2	70 Jo	30 30	30 3 , 230 ps	0 30						,	A* -	-
43 Swanson Berthall	- Just result M					CHOI GAILCHI MICHETE	SE of NW of SW 4	To a second	32		0 2	50 250	250 25	250 25	0250								
44 Trantwein Mary H. etai							SW + of NW of SW ! KEE Road & PR				750 1			160 16						-			
4404			and the contract of the contra	1 .		W. of	la de la companya de	[7	4	20 320	320 32	328 3	300	2.1			/	E 30040 1-0-4 2 2 -		h was at a second and a	- 4 1
46 Wentworth Hunier							1			17 12		- 1 1		0 500 50		740 330 30	200	30.4 24	0 000	-			-
48 HefferinginMaryK	1					Elizabeth A. 22584 Herferman Mary C. +.	EZOFSETOFENY	-		٦ 2	-1 1 1			500 50		1 1 .	00 300	1 !		`			
49	Hominguez doe: Link Car	N 09/e CE 12874		- ,		NE	E201N/201NW/2015W/6			1	9	250	250 250	250 26	0 250				747	- -	- , ,		
50		L			-			-												-	-		~
52																		*,					
53								122.					~ -				1						
54				1 W 2000 SHE SA COME SHE NACE . 2. 11 EM		LA GERMAN L. C. C. VIVI C. MACCO				an most of the state of the state of						AT THE SITE OF THE PARTY OF THE	. 21. 20.000 30-0-40.	and an in section of	ac ar 60, -4, r & day 6 an	200 s.	ALMIP A START OF MICE STEEL OF STARTS	L CE OFFITT MINN IS NOT	e na are
99 										- -				-									
. 57	- /				~ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	/		•							-	,				-	1		-
58			4. 4								, , ,												
59	-			1						-					-	-				,			
60					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·																		
62		•										-	~.		-	- , -	·/ · -		-				-
63							•												and the second	NA 7 1-1 2 A			
64								and the second														,	
65	-		. '					G parties and the second				-					-						
67																							
68								The state of the s			7 2 44 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		-										
69								- 100		_	# 1												
70											- 12227	-							-			-	many man and a service of the servic
71 72					-				-		the Walter		-										-
78		- , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					- i , } 				- ,					-	-			4		o plan
74								, ,			0 T		ey and				-		7 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0				e de la companya de l
75	ļ										11											manusa permananan di dalam di	

	1936	1937	tone			RIVERSIDE	COUNTY R	eal Property Ownership Reco	rd					45
	ASSESSED TO	ARRESSED TO	1938 ASSESSED TO	1939	1940	1941	1942	DESCRIPTION		3		SCHOOL	LAND VALUE BUILDINGS	TREES, VINES, ETC.
	1 4964			ASSESSED TO	ABSESSED TO	ASSESSED TO	ASSESSED TO		SEC. TO OR C LOT BL	OR E	Acres	VALLEY CE	1937 1938 1939 1940 1941 1942 1937 1938 1939 1940 1941 1942	
	2 Turner Eva B						100000					Sold 1908	1950 1340 1340 1300 1300 1300 1500 1500 1500 1500 150	
								2 SNU	35 3.	35 4W	160	36-6 190T	1950 1340 1300 1300 1300 1300 1300 1300 130	
								4						
								5						
								6						
								8						
								9			1			
							1	0			1 4			
1	2 Twogood Jessie et al.			TOTA Jos W 25402-34534		Tath Steven (Bachara			24		ME		600 500 500 500 2000 2000	
-	06			de l		Lath Steven (Barbara		13 SWANT NEADER OF NEAD AND A SHEET	20		-42		dos 25 250 250 -	
	Gibson Charles A					A CONTE	1	14						
				Tith Joe W		do		3 SE 1 of NEL of NEL Nº OF SEA OF NEL	1		30		900 750 050 230 - 40 40	
	Gibson Josephine R	Gibson Chanles A(Dell-1)		Total Je w 25102				16			-20		900 750 750 750 -	
3		do a		do 1		do do		17 K ² of NW of NE 4			10			
- 1	27794			200.0				19						
2	Two good Nelban H			Total since pa		do		20 SWAN NWAN NEW			10		3m 250 250 250 -	
70	Twogood Charence A		bridges S.T. Emily	-				22 NE d of SW d of NE d			10	Pe 1984	300 250 250 250 200 200	
21	Twoyood W F 5493	Charles St.	da da					22 AE - g 3A - g AE - 23 AH			10	R 1912	See 250 250 250 200 200	
28	Two good Clarence A		Sto.					24 5 82	9/-			2 Alle 1984	300 250 250 250 200 /80 45 120 120 130 100 100	
	- D 544	The state of the s	- da				29	ZS Nº of SEO of SNO of NEO				30 2504	150 130 130 130 100 100 150 130 130 130 100 80	
2	Twogood Was F		40					26 52			9			
35								28						
25	Wasisington Mary E						SE	29 52 of SE4 of NE4 Excelling	4		-26		600 500 500 500 400 360	
30								30						
30								31 32 NE ⁴ of NW ⁴			4	ь	Bec 1000 1000 1000 800 800	
32	Frontierio Hary H et al							33						
74								34					Day 100 100 100 800 100	
* 35	Tomplin CA							35 NW of NW			4	10		
- [36								37			1			
- I								38 5W ² of NW ⁴			4	40	See /000 100 100 Fee Esc	
38	Trautiment Mary H et al							39						
- 39							de 41545					10 2	Day 1200 1111 1111 800 700 700 750 750 750 750 750	7.50
-	eman Federal Land Brit of Borkeley	*		Bridges States of Bridge				41 SE 4 of MA Exchange	-					
2								43						
45	29236			1 4775			254-912	44 Nº of NE® of SWE				20 Res		
#_	Delmonn John H							5				PHE B	Ring 290 240 240 250 190 190	
		The deal Selection Co.						45 8 of NE4 of SW4 Exc 100' x 150' in If Or 47 Bag SE Car NE4 of SW4 N 100' X W 150'			1	Sect to Name Sect 12, 655 Sect 1355		
***	Strong Front R							18 SHITS NET OF SPIT	-			10 2 3	Jun 250 250 250 200 200	
-		Lingstram deanle						A THE ALL OF MAN OF SAME				10	30 200 210 200 200 200 30 200 200 200 200	
49								10 MM LEC LO MO Z MA THE LOCAL				7.50	20 190 IN 180 150 150	
30 3	Oche C.E. 2014 Eur Errico 4. 2014 Tergarelli Meneie	2	7590 Asta 1					51 SW4	1		1	10 R 100	3m 250 250 250 200 200	
F10 100	- two Mary HETCH							52 5E*				450 R		
22.5	wanson Bertho AJ 2	Bridges Sid " "		SE 26079			Andrew Charle H. (Ella)	SA SHE OF SHE E OF CORE & FRE ! W.	-		1		740 560 560 560 460 460 400 440 440 440 350 350	
111	- Med		The second of	Market Control				55				17.50		
	Contracto Craile C							56 cs =2 1 cs 6 2 cs 6	1			20 500 10	600 500 500 500 4000 was	
74 A	2200 M (2000 P 122 M 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12						Berger Green Charles	53 E 2 d 3E 4 d 5W4				20 8	600 500 500 500 400 cm 360 360 1860 1860 A	
. 57	- Burmon Hory C & Elis A		27 THE EST TO	Montager H. Grand C.			BESTERN, LANCE THE JAMES	9						
- 31 M	estante Orace C							0						
39 -								61						
- 60								62 63 804 - 33-17 - Porcei I				810	250 250 250 250 250 270	
- 66								64						
62 4	TASF RR							65						
64								66	-					
45								0						
- 16								68						
a					THE STATE OF THE S			70						
08								71						
9								72						
70								73						
73								74						The state of the s
12	The second second		4			A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH		75		-		The same of the sa		

	1942 PRISE 18	23					~								
1			INTO TO	1944 1949 1945			RIVER	DOIDE							
1 3	Tumer En 8 "	rece		ASSESSED TO	1945 1946	1946 8943 1945	TUVEL	KSIDE COL	JNTY I	Real Property Ownership Recor	-d				
1 4		1	Ses 40.501		ASSESSED TO	ASSESSED TO	ASSESSED	10		DESCRIPTION NECOI	rd		T		11-45-00 00 45
# 6		100	1					Ause	OT CHES		SEC. YWP. OR OR LOT BLOCK	M ACRES	VAL VERI	DE LAND VALUE BUILDINGS	TREES, VINES, ETC.
2		1								1 2 SW Exe N 30			98.04	≥5 1045 1046 1046 1047 1046 1045 1044 1044 1044 1044 1044 1044 1044	1025 1022 1023 1000 1000 1000
2 70	76 . 70	-	1							3	35 35	4W 160	" 5 R 1988	1290 1290 1290 1290 1290 1290 1500 2000 2000 2000 2000 20	
10	the Steven (Berie	2								5					
12										6					
3							Total Roman 100	5-7/95		8					
R								1 1 1 Bex 32	Percis /	9 N2 of NE & N2 of SE of NE	36	100			
	EST END								1	11		700		2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000	
	de		Buss						12	2					
	1				You	138-387-3/4 37 December December	70		14	4					
			-						15	S NE® of SW® of NE®		10	See Long		
	-			-102		an .			17	7 NW of SW of NE			1245	200 200 200 200 200 200	
	do		1			da 1			18	3		10	411 12	200 200 200 200 200 200	
				The I		de s			19	SW of SW of NE+ Exc Hwy R/W		8	95 1944	180 180 180 180 180	
			1			do 1			/21	Nº of SE of SW of NE					
4120	MayE		-						22	5 ² " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " "			95 1941	700	
								1th 1- 154 210 Pm	24					20 30 30 30	
*						6	100k ha W. 113 white	10 1 young Dennis C	Cotanil 25	5° of SE° of NE° EXC HWY R/W					
0.112	THETO								27	5 of SE of NE EXC HWY R/W		17.	88	360 360 360 360 360	
				42972					28						
	1								29	NE of NW					
				THE WATER					31	NE of NW		40		1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000	
, 1	7310								32						
4	1								33	SW of NW Enc Hary Kyw		40	45	1000 1000 1000	
	1						2868 -729	90	35					1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000	
3369 Y.T.O	Emily					Tan,	alia Charles & Ald	639	36 /	NW of NW					
	1	B	Mark F. M. C.	1000		EST-TET-TOT			37			40		800 800 800 800 800	
					Yaung Too	Marine .			38	SE' of NW'					
									40	Exc Hwy R/W		30	20 1944	760 760 760 760 760 750 750 750 750 75	75 65
238				1		6			41					750 75	150 730
									42 N	V ² of NE' of SW ⁴					
239					do	227-4/48			43			20	9 8 1944	400 400 400 400 400 400	
		-		-		1723-4/45			45	"W" of NE" of SW"		1	2 198	200 200 200 200 200 200	
				-	de	1			46 SE	E of NE of SW EXC 100' X 150 in SE Cor				200	111111
-	*********	1.		1					X 47 Be	seg SE COT NE' of SW N 100' X W 150'			9 65	190 190 190 190 190 190	
	A307					To the last		2040	- 40				Bold 192		
/		7	19 - 205 45572	4				yes Hose it to Dalos	EURY 49 NE	E* of NW of SW* Exc Hmy R/m			0	200 200 200	
		Marin,	Enter Marin	1		THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T			SI SW	" " EXC CORD & RR R/W & EXC HWY R/M	Y		9	200 200 200 200 200 200 230 230 230 230 230 230	
0	43 931 43 3050	+			Young Decele Co	(cenerally)			1 52 SE	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *			7 50	190 190 190 190 190 190	
	402		47455		, and	Characo	A 323 Me 199		53 Beg.	BOEW of Ecor Wast SEY OF SWY NARD' WOLL -			10 19	944 200 200 200 200 200 200	
							Marie Cara San		24 211	OF DIV E OF CO RI A RAKIN ELLEY			C THOUGH MAN UP	1948 Sold 1947	
									55 55	Hot say Wet on Rd & RO RM			17 50	410 410 410 260 40 40	620 200
									56	1 cm 1 cm			, 30	440 440 440 440 440	111111
			1		60.	110- 410 MES PHILLS	St des movement		5/ E 0	of SE of SW Selections in the second			20 8	1932 9 1945 400 400 400 400 400 400	1
					the state of the s	Waster Carretine	doncine sacro		50 W	Charles and the control of the contr				400 700 400 400 400	111111
					Balling Blen E Ite				v 60	of SE" of SW" - My 178 Experient of int E fine so			20	400 400 400 400 - 1860 24802	
					da	7	-		61	MARIE CHERT HE HE MARIE STAN GURAS WAS AND STAN				400 400 400 400 - 1860 2480 2	180 2990 -
					do	1			06 24			-	-		
								7	63 804-	- 33-17 Parcel 1			39	160 160 160	
						Want 100 ac	1037-5746		64			1	8 10		200 300
						Ward Wo M.	1		1 65 809 3	173 E Hint Eline Huy & 11 hire Chander					
						1115-1/11-280 Party	1795-67el		00	15 × 14 160				20	
	-					Husson Long	E. Derothy Ry	3802.51	1 67 Bey s	SE Compt H 4 SE 4 SE 4 SE 1 N SEC'ENTE			1 80	20 20	620
						Jane J. Jane	Andre Canner	eng Con (Albert)	1 08	The first the try & N line George	1		1 85	40 40	620
							3400-1109		69 = 150	2 × 14 160					
						Clark hearth 1	contract)		1 70 Par of	5" of SW" bug int Nine of 5" of SW4 & Elice May 5			100	20 20	630 620
							1		1 dig Hay	4 202 92 NE 126.92 SE 422.61 6 to set 200 W at 1 12		1	S	pet file	1
						the state of the s			72 00 100	SEND SWYNER'S 305 NESSESSED WEST SEND					
									73 Jus W	to hay	1		-		
			1			The state of the last of the l			74		1		27 7/ 50	360 540	

ASSESSED TO	ABBEBBBB 940																
1 THENET EVO B 11866 75	ABBESSED TO	ABSESSED TO															
		TO TO	ASSESSED TO		RIVEP	KSIDF	COUNTY Real Property	O LI D								W 100 Hot	
			- arn 10	ABBESSED TO	4984/953 ABBESSED TO	LINE	Mile Mar No. 100	The second secon	d					45		11-45-60	
					1100E38ED 10	ero.	DESCRIPTION	DESTION TWP. OR SANDE		DATE SOLD PORTAXES LAND	1949	1950.	1981	1952	1083	1954	ATTY
					0		V 1/4 EXC N 30 FT		98 04 P		LIED DING	0 MP. TAV	LAND MAP TO	KAND ISEP. 37	The second secon	KRY LAND HER KRY	A
4 1814 Barbara 2135.764						I	58.18 AC					A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	2110,000	2130 3200	2100 3200		
						A		35 3S 4H									A
Young Denald C (Orlance P)					V	A							A				
Young Denana C Conancer				The perodest and will	Lanison 12	417										A	
de		Charles de Tot Marie 19				9 N	1/2 OF SE 1/4 OF NE 1/4	A AND N ITE OF	98 04	BOL STUDE 5		3000	Acre	1000	1400		
				ANYSH		N	HE LIA LOD AC 2				A						
de		A STATE OF THE STA	1	A		No. 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10	OF AN	1/4	98 0/	4 no its 1881 3		900	900	500	700		
						- 4	C AC	Tip. 201 100 100						111			
10		A Company of the Comp	A	Meyer		I	IO AC	1/4	1	4 SOLPHIEL 3	500	900	800	500	0 700		
do		A do				19 8	SW I/A OF SW I/A OF NE I	36 35 AW I/A EXC HWY R/W	98 0	04 8000 1881	450	810	810	450	650		
				A MARIE TO THE PARTY OF THE PAR		- 6	0.90 AC	36 38 40									
do 208.149		A W		And the second s		-1	HWY R/W: 5 AC	I/A OF NE I/A EXC		O-4 INTERNAT		180	180	2.51	50 350	0	A
			A			24 5	S 1/2 OF SE 1/4 OF SW 1/	I/A OF NE I/A	98 1	04 800 1001	200	360	360	2	28	85	
Walnesin Mary H et al		A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY	A	APPLE .		- 1	EXC HWY R/W. 3.95 AC	36 35 AW									
do						10	S 1/2 OF SE 1/4 OF NE 1. 17.88 AC	14 EXC HWY RIN	98 0	0.4 (180) 180)	240	1400	1400		900 13	1200	
2. 840.00/00				Comb this 22 course	A American	30	17.88 AC NE 1/4 OF NW 1/4. 40 AC	36 35 4W	98-	04	2000	1200	1/40			1	
implies Charles of (Might 1) stas ung Danald C (Ortance P)				A	4	133	SW I/4 OF NW I/4 EXC HW	WY R/W	98	04 BOLD 1988	A 2380	1200	112	10 1	2,340	1190	
ing Daneila C. Corporation		January Bresser of Heavy			Manufacture of		39.65 AC NW 1/4" OF NW 1/4. 40 AC			04	2400	1200			1200		
de					A STATE OF THE STA	39	SE I/4 OF NW I/4 EXC HW	INY RIN		3 04			a week /1			1900 1400	
		Con to N Think					38.2 AC	36 35 4W				1	111		111	A	
de *17- 4/48							N 1/2 OF NE 1/4 OF SW			8 04 M	5 1005 1 000	140	9 7	2000	7000	1400	
1775-4/45		do 1		March 1			SN I/4 OF NE I/4 OF SW	W 1/4 35 4N	9/	8 04 sole	A 1941 500	70	10	1000	500	700	
do		45					IO AC	36 38 4W	V			1		111	111	470	
						45	SE I/A OF NE I/A OF SW	W I/4 EXC IOO F		98 04 M	O THE AND	Rev	670	960	480	470	
							150 FT IN SE COR. 9.65	SS AC									
STATE		Many Consultation of				4	BEG SE COR NE 1/4 OF S	36 38 AN SN 1/4 N 100 FT		98 04 +	1425 RX		40	340	70	70	
E POSE E B Dolores V					A		150 FT	36 35 4h	N			1		A Comment	500	700	
			Care Downson Vallach	Manage de la Parista	A	49	NE I/A OF NW I/A OF SI	SH IVA EXC HNY R	RIN 9	98 04	150		700	700	300		
a Rosa 33180							IO AC NN I/4 OF NN I/4 OF S	36 38 41	I W	98 04	154		340	360	360	360 590	100
				CERTAIN LINE AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE		30	RR R/W AND EXC HWY R/	W IVA EAC							A		
							Q AC SATAR.	36 35 4	AN			A	A	leve	170	0 140	
tween Hory H etal						SI	SH I/A OF NH I/A OF S	SW I/A EXC RR A	AND CO	98 04	and the same	Andr	340	140			
*** *****							RD . 5.52 AC	36 35 4	AN	98 04	SOLUMBA 50		1%0	200	500	700	
Donald C (Orflance P)		The said Street				53	D SE IJA OF NN IJA OF S	SN 1/4								A	Anna 7
3500-160							IO AC BEG 305 FT N OF SE CO	TOD W TIR OF SE	IVA OF W	98 04	SOLD MAR S	00 320	140 30	O Years	300	0 320	
ins Chas W							CO I A A SO THE WORLD	DU L I III TO TO TO TO									
							a ma nec eve nec 373	3 FT E OF LEL E	D TITLE		A						
							HWY AND N LINE OLEAN	NDER ST 5 =			1947						
							TEO ET. 2 AC	30 30	47.14	98 04		230	9780	44		544a 5	222
4104						5:	5 SH IZA OF SH IZA H O	OF CO RD AND RR	RIN		A					600	400
en Mory H Mal							17.50 AC	SH I A		98 0		1900	600			1000	
21.580							7 E 1/2 OF SE 1/4 OF S		AN			200 0	20 280	0 000	250 2000	264,3950	260315
man Mary Ca Eliza A					2 7995		O POR OF SH 1/4 OF SH	N 1/4 BEG		98 0	4	1250	AMILIA				
1124-1448							IS DEC SE MIN N E.	E3 *E & A A	E LINE								
Shen E (Erweses)							- A STATE AND N LAW	M. W. C. Tr. D. Lett. By and a.									
								DEC M Odmica	* *								
							N 70 DEG 03 NIN 13	SEC E 730.01	FT TH								
							NOTE AND		No. of the contract of the con								
						V	3.9 AC 33 17 PARCE	SEL I 36 38	S AN	98	04	101	1	40 440	1	FO 60 991	9 00
							The second secon	IV W AD THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO THE PERSON NAMED IN	AND N LIN	IE . SO	04						
						Y	OLEANDER E 125 FT										
FRR WALLEY									3S 4N				a local	145 140	V 100 34	100 P	F \$00
M (Corolla 19)							57 BEG SE COR OF W 1/	ID OF SE INA P	OF SN 1/4	. 34	8 04						
							AT BEG SE CON C. 267	27 9									
							N 305 FT X N 267 F		35 4N								
									A THE STATE OF THE								
Alph 6 (berth)							1.85 AC										

ASSESSED TO	Assessed to	RIVE
	ASSÉSSENTO	RIVERSIDE COUNTY Real Property Ownership Record
Jet Padio Edna V chal		Assessed to Real Property Ownership Record
TAY LAND IMP		DESCRIPTION STORM
		DESCRIPTION SECTION TWP, OR SCHOOL DIST. DATE 1954 1955 1956 1957 1958 OR LOT BLOCK RANGE LINE SCHOOL DIST. SOLD LAND IMP. TOV
		VAL VERDE VAL VERDE 158-1- 200 3200 2/00 3/50 2/00 3/50
		158.18 AC 200 3200 2100 3200 2100 3150 2100 3150
		35 35 AW
	du nous en	
a seed		
HE SING MO	USA THUS ELL	
100	USA THEOLOGICA	N 1/2 OF SE 1/4 OF NE 1/4 OF NE 1/4 20 AC NE 1/4 OF SW 1/4 OF NE
a de la como de la com	13993-400 20945-400	TO SW I/A OF SW I/A OF WE
	451 2 29945 - 4785	
	USA TAVES 57.00	NW 1/4 OF SW 1/4 OF NE 1/4 17 98 04 700 700 -
	U.S. Sonsoy	SW 1/4 OF SW 1/4 OF NE 1/4 EXC HWY R/W 19 98 04 630 - 630 -
		N 1/2 OF SE 7
	Little on the Control Man 35925 - 4/25	TWY R/W 5 AM 5 AM 5 AM
		EXG HWY HALL 22 98 UA 22 98 UA
14 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		S 1/2 OF SE 1/4 OF NE 1/4 EXC HWY H/W 26 98 04 1360 560 560 560 560 560 560 560 560 560 5
	Clark Ira W Chauling All	SW 1/4 OF NW 1/4 EXC HWY R/W 39.65 AC M/L 33 98 04 1190 1190 1980 1980
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	South Ha II Couline All	36 3S 4W 1952 1952
434		SE 1/4 OF NW 1/4 FYO WAY 1/4 FYO WAY 1/4 FYO WAY
an BalneM)		THE PART TO LANGE TO
		20 AC NE 1/4 OF SW 1/4 EXC HWY R/W 42 98 04 1400 1400 1400
		10 AC 0F NE 1/4 OF SW 1/4 4 98 04 700 700 1500
		SE 1/4 OF NE 1/4 OF SW 1/4 EXC 100 FT X 46 98 04 670 670 1450
- du	Clara Ira II & Maria Con Con	ISO FT IN SE COR 9.65 AC
net and	Sente Care Care Care Care	BEG SE COR NE 1/4 OF SW 1/4 N 100 FT X 47 98 04 40 40 70 70 70
35/7-16"		W 150 FT 36 38 4W
Taxins Mil		NE 1/4 OF NW 1/4 OF SW 1/4 EXC HWY R/W 49 98 04 700 700
3743-967		10 AC NW 1/4 OF NW 1/4 OF SW 1/4 EXC CO RD AND 50 98 04 360 6220 360 7280 150 7280 1150 7280
		RR R/W AND EXC HWY R/W 8.97 AC 36 38 4W
Ledekal		SW 1/4 OF NW 1/4 OF SW 1/4 EXC RR AND CO 51 98 04 140 140 - 280 280
and the same		RD 5.52 AC 36 38 4W
14 9/49 Buline M)		SE 1/4 OF NW 1/4 OF SW 1/4
164.147		BEG 305 FT W OF SE COR W 1/2 OF SE 1/4 OF 53 98 84 140 320 140 410 300 710 300 710
W. JOSH		SW 1/4 N 253 FT W 560 FT M/L SE 276.20
		E TO BEG EXC BEG 373 FT E OF IBT E LINE HWY AND N LINE OLEANDER ST E 275 FT X N
		160 FT 2 AC 36 35 4W
addy to the total of the total		SW 1/4 OF SW 1/4 W OF CO RD AND 36 35 4W
whajit dal		E 1/2 OF SE 1/4 OF SW 1/4
MAN LEIZA		20 AC PUR UF SW 1/4 OF SW 1/4 BEC N 19 DEC 60 98 04 260 3150 260 3100 260 3100 370 3070 390 3070
Statisted.		POR OF SW 174 OF
St. 1844 St. Frances)		AND MITHE OLEANDER STITE IN TO DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF
		HWY AND N LINE 56 MIN 47 SEC W 643.05 FT N 70 DEG 03 MIN I3 SEC E 248.92 FT S 19 DEG 56 MIN MIN I3 SEC E 248.92 FT S 19 DEG 56 MIN W
		THE THE SET THE SET DEG AT THE
		264 FT TO PT OF BU EXC.
		2.6 AC M/L 2.6 AC M/L 36 35 4W 63 98 04
		SBE 804 33 1 ACPARCEL 14 AND N LINE 65 98 04 60 990 60 1170 60 1170
199	Ellison Orial S (Gertrude 1)	BEG 373 FT E OF THE OF THE OF THE OF THE STATE OF STATE O
The Miles	Ellisanorial Systematics	OLEANDER E 163 1 36 38 4W 67 98 04 140 140 2310 - 140 2310 2310 2310 2310 2310
		BEG SE COR OF W 1/2 OF SE 1/4 O. S.
1976 9/12	Tright & Andrews Cuillette	W 302 F 1 " 36 3S 4W
Total Halic E)	Andrews Lot I	1.85 AC

1/90	DESCRIPTION	SECTION T	Wa as							RIVERSIDE	COUNTY Re	al Property	ty Ownership Record							В
1	PONA V ET AL	SECTION TO OR LOT	BLOCK OR	RANGE	SCHOOL DISTRICT CODE AREA	YEAR SOLD FOR TAXES	LINE											959	7	191
MA	RTIN EDNA V ET AL						NO.	19	S 9 ASSESSED TO	1960 ASSESSED	10	1961 ASSE	ESSED TO 190	ASSESSED TO	1963	ASSESSED TO		UAP.	1 487	LAND IN
1/4/	EXC N 30 1 198 10 AC	35	38	411	30=04		02			1100		141			Marticha	and fit below the state	20100	3150		2100 3
1 1/4	ARK IRA W & PAULINE M ARK IRA W & PAULINE M P-SE 178 OF NE 174 LYING E OF POR TO-USA							10												
100	F-SE_TA.				-94=19-	#OFD 169	09	1		45A 00	W 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1						980			CHAIN.
AP M		36	3.5	AW																
1 1 0	3 " 1/4 OF NE 1/4: 10 AC				98=19															
1 11		36	35	411	7		10	17												
130	SA OF NE 1/9 10 AC				90-10	1	1	1 34												
11/4	Ok au	36	31	410	4		1													
10	OF SH 1/A OF NE 1/A EXC HWY R/W. 10 AC M/L				98-13		19	0 1												
1 415		36	3	5 41	*															
The V	OF SE 1/4 OF SW 1/4 OF NE 1/4 EXC HWY R/W			-	98-1	3	2	u												
1914		34																		
A. A.		-		35 41																
	OF SE 1/4 OF SW 1/4 OF NE 1/4. 5 AC	3		35 4	98-1	13	-	22												
1 100	TOO W S PAULINE M									mn	1415 SIVE 3	200				,		500		
1	W SE 1/4 OF NE 1/4 LYING E OF FOR TO USA FO	4.4			98=	13 BOLD	1958	26				1								
1		3	16	35	AN															
10	WE WANTHEIN MARY H FT AL					19		-			W Pharine	9 (XT (F 11))						100	No.	
	OF NN 1/4 EXC HWY R/W. 39.65 AC M/L		16	38	PM AGI	-13 SOLD	1950	33		KONCH CO										
200						-04		36	×											
			36	38		04		30											20196	
1	OF NW 1/A					3-13 sot	J) 1958	80						+						
	CLARK IRA N & PAULINE M				-	1000														
1	OR NA The Care was an and an		36	35	AW														Jan	-
10	A SAME NO					8-13 8	SOLD 184	8 42												
	GARK IRA W & PAULINE N		36	38																0
1	OF NE 1/4 OF SW 1/4 EXC HWY R/W. 20 AC					8-13 s	ot or year	4 44	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR											
	CLARK IRA W & PAULINE M		36	25	AN														14	(50)
	A OF NE 1/4 OF SW 1/4 . 10 AC					98-13 \$	OLD 198	US 48												
1	- LDA N & PAULINE N	-																		170.4
	A OF NE 1/4 OF SW 1/4 EXC 1001 X 150' IN SE	CON	9.0	90	AW															-
			30	32	AW	98-13	SOLD II	253 4	7											dena
	CLEK IRA W & PAULINE M																			
1	N COR OF NE 1/4 OF SW 1/4 N 100' X W 150'		36	35	416	98-13	Sat D H	200								N/m m				1150
														10	#-90"- and 21					1
-	CLARK IRA N & PAULINE M TA OF NN 1/4 OF SW 1/4 EXC HWY R/W. 10 AC /		36	35	411				50											
1						-98-13										mag.			T da	· Van
	JESST JOE & VIRGINIA CO DD & RR R/W											distribution of		Less		10.00				1
	THE NO 1/4 OF SH 1/4 EXC CO RO & RR R/W		-36	- 34	5-4W				5)											75
	KNY RIV. 8.97 AC					98-13			51											
			-31	2 3	S TW				1 1000											
	THUTNEIN MARY H ET AL	AC				98-1	3 5	2.16	52					T VINE						
TA	CLARK IRA W & PAULINE M				1年 特別															
			-			98-1	13_		53											
	4 OF NW 1/4 OF SW 1/4 + 10 AC																			
1	WORENS CHARLES W & ETHEL	1/4																		
	WANTENS CHARLES W & ETTEN OF SE 1/4 OF SW WAS WOF SE COR OF W 1/2 OF SE 1/4 OF SW	EG.																		
	T E TO DEO																			
	TOF INT E LN HWY & N LN OLEANDER ST E 27	2		16	35 A	M														
	120° 2 AC																			
-			-	1	mark 1						-				ARL TELEVISION					