APPENDIX 5.16 GP-2: TRIBAL CORRESPONDENCE MATRIX

Document/Action	Date	Notes/Comments
Notice of Preparation	February 2024	Tribes notified: - Kern Valley Indian Community - Morongo Band of Mission Indians - Quechan Tribe of the Fort Yuma Reservation - San Fernando Band of Mission Indians - San Manuel Band of Mission Indians - Serrano Nation of Mission Indians - Twenty-Nine Palms Band of Mission Indians
Notice of Preparation Response – Morongo Band of Mission Indians	March 11, 2024	RE: NOP for City of Barstow General Plan Update BIG Specific Plan – received via email. Copy of 3/11/24 response letter here, https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1C5hG2GuQHhSvQLy2rEJBrQMAl8b1ux44?usp=sharing. Opting in for consultation, requests included: - Currently proposed Project design and Mass Grading Maps - A records search conducted at the appropriate California Historical Resources Information System (CHRIS) center with at least a 1.0-mile search radius from the project boundary. If this work has already been done, please furnish copies of the cultural resource documentation (ArcMap Shapefiles, reports and site records) generated through this search so that we can compare and review with our records to begin productive consultation. - Tribal participation (a.k.a. tribal monitors) during the pedestrian survey and testing, if this fieldwork has not already taken place. In the event that archaeological crews have completed this work, our office requests a copy of the current Phase I study or other cultural assessments (including the cultural resources inventory). - Shapefiles of the Projects area of effect (APE) - Geotechnical Report
Initial Consultation Letter	March 18, 2024	All tribes included in NOP send-out also included in consultation letter send out. Letters sent via email, using NAHC listing and mailed via USPS.

Consultation Letter Response – San Manuel	March 27 & April 24, 2024	Sent via email; confirmation letter was received by SM Cultural Resources Management Dept. on March 25, 2024. Requests included: Due to the nature and location of the proposed projects, YSMN would like to initiate consultation pursuant to SB 18 and is requesting additional information concerning the proposed zoning changes, including draft text, maps, cultural assessment, etc. We are also requesting a draft copy of the General Plan Update (GPU) to review the proposed updates. Furthermore, we want to know if the General Plan Update would trigger any ground-disturbing 'by-right development' that would preclude the YSMN from consulting on any developments pursuant to CEQA/AB52? We want to ensure that the YSMN has the opportunity to provide mitigation measures for ground-disturbing projects as they appear due to the potential to impact tribal cultural resources. Pursuant to CEQA AB 52, YSMN also respectfully requests the following for review upon availability for the BIG Specific Plan Area: • Cultural report • Geotechnical report (if required for the project) • Project plans showing the depth of proposed disturbance City response sent April 1st, 2024. Issues with email deemed not received after follow-up call to SM on 4/24/24.
Phone Call/Inquiry – San Manuel	April 24, 2024	**From:** Genesis Crank <gcrank@barstowca.org> **Sent:** Wednesday, April 24, 2024 2:50 PM **To:** Kristen Tuosto <Kristen.Tuosto@sanmanuel-nsn.gov> **Subject:** RE: AB 52 & SB 18 Response: City of Barstow General Plan Update and Barstow International Gateway Specific Plan Project, City of Barstow [CIT-BAR-2024-1] Good Afternoon, Kristen: Glad we had a chance to connect, as discussed all General Plan Update info is published to our City website here General Plan Update | City of Barstow (barstowca.org). Currently, there are no Gen. Plan elements to share in there entirety but our draft land use map and other relevant references can be accessed. As we produce and present drafts & exhibits to our Council & Planning Commission in the coming months, we will upload those to the site as well. The Barstow International Gateway (BIG) applicant BNSF, has submitted solely a pre-application to the City thus far and the requested documents are not something we have in our files quite yet nor were they a part of their initial pre-app submittal package. The recent Notice of Preparation (Notice of Preparation [PDF]) and BNSF project website www.bnsfbig.com have the bulk of information that the City has at this time. The requested documents have been relayed to the applicant and once received on our end, can be produced and shared with YSMN.

		We are happy to move forward with consultation at any time, just wanted to notify the requested documents are not something we have to provide just yet. Feel free to contact me with any questions. _____ **SM Response received 4/24/24** Hello Genesis, It was nice to get an update on the General Plan Update and the BIG. While we are waiting on reports, I was wondering if you could answer a question about the General Plan Update. Would the General Plan Update allow for any ground-disturbing 'by-right development' that would preclude the YSMN from consulting on any developments pursuant to CEQA/AB52? I want to ensure that the YSMN has the opportunity to provide mitigation measures for future ground-disturbing projects, as there is potential to impact tribal cultural resources. _____ City Response 5/2/2024 Kristen, There could be instances of by-right projects that fall under CEQA categorical exemptions such as in-fill development, often smaller scale projects but nonetheless would not be subject to CEQA/AB52. We would welcome receiving any information and/or materials YSMN can provide regarding preferred mitigation measures.
San Manuel – Request for Conditions on Exempt Projects	May 7, 2024	**Received via email** Hello Genesis, Thank you for letting me know.

		For the projects that fall under CEQA categorical exemptions and are not subject to CEQA/AB52, YSMN requests that the following language be made a part of the project/permit/plan conditions: **CUL MMs** 1. In the event that cultural resources are discovered during project activities, all work in the immediate vicinity of the find (within a 60-foot buffer) shall cease and a qualified archaeologist meeting Secretary of Interior standards shall be hired to assess the find. Work on the other portions of the project outside of the buffered area may continue during this assessment period. Additionally, the Yuhaaviatam of San Manuel Nation Cultural Resources Department (YSMN) shall be contacted, as detailed within TCR-1, regarding any pre-contact finds and be provided information after the archaeologist makes his/her initial assessment of the nature of the find, so as to provide Tribal input with regards to significance and treatment. 2. If significant pre-contact cultural resources, as defined by CEQA (as amended, 2015), are discovered and avoidance cannot be ensured, the archaeologist shall develop a Monitoring and Treatment Plan, the drafts of which shall be provided to YSMN for review and comment, as detailed within TCR-1. The archaeologist shall monitor the remainder of the project and implement the Plan accordingly. 3. If human remains or funerary objects are encountered during any activities associated with the project, work in the immediate vicinity (within a 100-foot buffer of the find) shall cease and the County Coroner shall be contacted pursuant to State Health and Safety Code §7050.5 and that code enforced for the duration of the project. **TCR MMs** 1. The Yuhaaviatam of San Manuel Nation Cultural Resources Management Department (YSMN) shall be contacted, as detailed in CUL-1, of any pre-contact cultural resources discovered during project implementation, and be provided information regarding the nature of the find, so as to provide Tribal input with regards to significance and treatment. Should the find be deemed significant, as defined by CEQA (as amended, 2015), a Cultural Resources Monitoring and Treatment Plan shall be created by the archaeologist, in coordination with YSMN, and all subsequent finds shall be subject to this Plan. This Plan shall allow for a monitor to be present that represents YSMN for the remainder of the project, should YSMN elect to place a monitor on-site. 2. Any and all archaeological/cultural documents created as a part of the project (isolate records, site records, survey reports, testing reports, etc.) shall be supplied to the applicant and Lead Agency for dissemination to YSMN. The Lead Agency and/or applicant shall, in good faith, consult with YSMN throughout the life of the project.
San Manuel – Update Inquiry	May 24, 2024	**Received via email** Hello Genesis, Does the City have more information about the Barstow International Gateway (BIG) Specific Plan? Specifically, when the cultural assessment/report and EIR will be available?

		Thank you, Kristen --- **City response sent 6/4/2024** Good Morning, Kristen: At this time, the City has not received anything further from BNSF aside from the details and figures included in our March NOP for the EIR. We do not anticipate public review of the draft EIR to be conducted until early 2025 however, our team is working to prepare additional consult materials with the information we currently have. I'll be following up with that correspondence as soon as we have it prepared on our end, our goal is to keep the tribes informed as we continue to work through this process and provide additional info and references as they become available. I anticipate sending additional correspondence over within the next couple of weeks. Feel free to reach out with any questions.
Kimley Horn – Tribal Consultation Letter & Data Package	June 19, 2024	Draft consultation letter and consultation data received by Kimley Horn for City review and dissemination. Data included: Attachment 1: General Plan Update Maps Attachment 2: Barstow International Gateway Maps Attachment 3: Data Package Overview (CONFIDENTIAL) Attachment 4: Site Records (CONFIDENTIAL) Attachment 5: Cultural Resource GIS Data (CONFIDENTIAL) Attachment 6: Preliminary Grading Limits GIS Data (DRAFT/SUBJECT TO CHANGE) Attachment 7: List of Sites with Human Remains (CONFIDENTIAL) Attachment 8: Previous Cultural Reports of Sites with Human Remains (CONFIDENTIAL) Attachment 9: Geotechnical Reports (DRAFT/SUBJECT TO CHANGE)
Consultation Letter & Data Package Send-Out	July 9, 2024	Files sent to Morongo & San Manuel via email only. Data sent to SM - https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1Sl6eiamBT1wdnqK90CpTWC2geoC-h_6a?usp=drive_link Data sent to Morongo - https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1qqKzptyDZUK5bygovxsnoXwg3mLTimU3?usp=sharing

Tribal Consultation Response Letter – Twenty-Nine Palms Band of Mission Indians	July 15, 2024	Twenty-Nine Palms Band of Mission Indians provided response to March 2024 initial consultation letter via email July 15, 2024. **RE: Barstow International Gateway Specific Plan Project** Dear Genesis: This letter is in regards to an informal consultation and in compliance with Barstow International Gateway Specific Plan Project. The City of Barstow is proposing to update its existing General Plan which will include the preparation and adoption of an Environmental Impact Report. The General Plan Update (GPU) includes proposed annexation of unincorporated County land immediately west of the City. The BIG specific proposes an integrated rail facility and onsite transload warehouse center at the City's western extent. The BIG specific plan would also establish site-specific zoning regulations and development standards for the specific plan area. After reviewing the proposed project, the Twenty-Nine Palms Band of Mission Indians has determined: The project is outside of the known Chemehuevi Traditional Use Area. The other tribes who do have cultural affiliation with the project area should be contacted. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact the Tribal Historic Preservation Office at (760) 775-3259 or by email at Christopher.Nicosia@29palmsbomi-nsn.gov. Sincerely, Christopher Nicosia _____ City response sent July 16, 2024 to notify we are in receipt of letter.
Data Package Response – Morongo	July 23, 2024	**Received via email July 23, 2024** Thank you, Ms. Crank, We are in receipt of the documents provided below. As we are awaiting Cultural Documents, our letter also requested Native American participation during Pedestrian surveys to assist in the identification of Tribal Cultural Resources. Has the Pedestrian survey taken place? If not, we would like to participate. _____ **City forwarded inquiry to Kimley Horn; City response below sent to Morongo on July 29, 2024** Good Afternoon, Apologies for the delayed response. Thank you for confirming receipt, much appreciated.

		As for cultural resource surveys, they were conducted over several different visits between 2022 and 2024, and wrapped up this year in May.
July Consultation Letter Response – Morongo	August 21, 2024	**Received via email August 21, 2024** The Morongo Band of Mission Indians (Tribe/MBMI) Tribal Historic Preservation Office received the of City of Barstow (City) letter regarding the above referenced project on July 23, 2024. Thank you for your acknowledgement for the request of Project Information as the proposed BIG Specific Plan Project (Project) is located within the ancestral territory and traditional use area of the Cahuilla and Serrano people of the Morongo Band of Mission Indians. As previously communicated, Tribal cultural resources are non-renewable resources and therefore of high importance to the Morongo Tribe, therefore, tribal participation (a.k.a. tribal monitors) is recommended during all ground disturbing activities. Thank you for the opportunity to review the provided information. At this time, we request Tribal participation (a.k.a. tribal monitors) during the pedestrian survey and all subsequent testing for the Project. Please see our attached letter. Our Office wishes to meet with and has availability during the following times to meet with your Team: -Thursday, August 22nd 9:30AM -10:30AM -Friday, August 23rd Anytime between 9:00AM and 11:30AM -Monday, August 26th 2:30PM and 3:30PM We look forward to working with the City to protect these irreplaceable resources out of respect for ancestors of the Morongo people who left them there, and for the people of today and for generations to come. _____ – **City response sent via email August 26, 2024** Good Morning, Laura: Thank you for your email, we too look forward to working together through the consultation process. As noted in the attached email, the City is pending submittal of the Cultural Resources Report specific to the Barstow International Gateway (BIG) project site. We are still pending submittal of those documents although we were hopeful to provide your tribe with that submission allowing time for review ahead of consultation however, we

		are absolutely available to kick consultation meetings off now and can continue as additional documents are produced. Many of the resource surveys were conducted on the project site between 2022-2024, wrapping up this past May, the package will include all findings. I apologize as requested dates/times provided have passed, however if you would like to send some additional upcoming availability we can coordinate with staff on our side to get something set up.
Draft Cultural Resources Assessment – Provided by Kimley Horn	September 15, 2024	Hi Genesis, Thank you again for your patience while we've been completing the initial draft of the Cultural Resources Assessment for the BIG Barstow Project. I will send you the materials via a secure share site shortly. You should see the following items: - Draft report - Appendix A: 2023 and 2024 NAHC SLF results - Appendix B: 11 maps with sites in the project area - Appendix C: 247 site records (for 186 sites in the project area) - Shapefiles and KMLs for the latest footprint analyzed in the report and the site locations As noted previously, there will continue to be minor footprint changes and, as such, future drafts of the report that will make their way to you in the future. However, the current footprint encompasses the bulk of the cultural resources that will need to be addressed during environmental review for the project, so this draft should still be useful as you begin your review and start Tribal consultation. Please also know that we did not digitize the site boundaries for the sites as they are visible in the sites records, but are happy to do that upon request. I know it's a ton of data, so please do not hesitate to reach out if you or the Tribes have any questions.
Inquiry – Morongo	September 20, 2024	**Received via email 9/20/2024** Good morning, Ms. Crank, Our Office is following up on the status of the submittal of the cultural reports indicated to be forthcoming in the email below. Please advise. Respectfully, **Laura Chatterton** *Cultural Resource Specialist*

		Tribal Historic Preservation Office Morongo Band of Mission Indians 12700 Pumarra Road Banning, CA 92220 O: (951) 755.5256 C: (951) 663.7570 _____ **City response sent September 23, 2024** Good Morning, Laura: Thank you for following up. The City received the cultural report packages last week and staff will be working to get all of the files saved and sent out this week. It is quite a large volume of data and files so I am hopeful to be able to generate just one shared drive link for you to utilize.
Cultural Resources Assessment – Send Out	September 30, 2024	**Sent via email Sept. 30, 2024 to Morongo & San Manuel** Good Afternoon, All: Attached you will find a copy of the current draft Barstow International Gateway Cultural Resources Assessment for your review. Small project area changes (i.e. increased buffer around a road or other disturbed areas) may arise and should a new records search and survey for additional acreage be prompted, we will be sure to provide Morongo with those updates as received. The current footprint encompasses the bulk of the cultural resources that will need to be addressed during environmental review and the below link will take you to a folder labeled *Cultural Resources Report – Sept. 2024* containing files related to: *LINK* - https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/11VKLm-7yHsmgRWe5ch6jN1u3sdTVZi0a?usp=sharing - Draft report - Appendix A: 2023 and 2024 NAHC SLF results - Appendix B: 11 maps with sites in the project area - Appendix C: 247 site records (for 186 sites in the project area) - Shapefiles and KMLs for the latest footprint analyzed in the report and the site locations Should you have any issues accessing the files or questions, feel free to reach out.

Request – San Manuel	October 9, 2024	**Request received via email Oct. 9, 2024** Hello Genesis, Would it be possible for you to share this information through this website: Barstow International Gateway (BIG) I have also sent a link. San Manuel no longer allows us the ability to access Google Drive, DropBox, Box, or WeTransfer. Thank you, Kristen _____ **Files uploaded by City October 17, 2024**
Cultural Resource Assessment Response – San Manuel	November 4, 2024	**Received via email November 4, 2024** Hello Genesis, Thank you for sharing the requested documents with us. Yuhaaviatam of San Manuel Nation (YSMN, formerly known as the San Manuel Band of Mission Indians) is still formulating our mitigation measures response; however, after a preliminary review of the documents, YSMN has a few requests and questions. For requests, we ask that no further ground disturbance be conducted without Tribal consultation, as we would like to be present for ground disturbance activities. We would also like to confirm that the archaeological testing conducted so far did not include the collection of any pre-contact artifacts and that nothing from the more recent surveys or testing has been removed from the project footprint. We would also like to open discussions on reburial locations for both future materials anticipated to be encountered during project implementation and artifacts and ancestors that have been previously removed from the project footprint. We think the reburial location(s) should be in a park-like location that Tribal members can access. While reviewing the documents and the site locations on GIS, we noticed that many of the pre-contact archaeological sites and ancestor remains have been located near the banks of the Mojave River. Do you know how much development/ground disturbance is happening in those areas? Can we limit the development in those areas, as the probability of discovering both archaeological sites and ancestors is high? Also, do you happen to know who the Lead Federal Agency is for this project (assuming there is one)?

		Finally, can we have the contact information for the point of contact for the CRM firm? We found some discrepancies between our site information and the ones provided by the CRM firm, particularly for one of the ancestors. I would be happy to have a virtual meeting to discuss YSMN's request and questions. Best, Kristen _____ **Forwarded to Kimley Horn on November 6, 2024 – pending response(s); Notified San Manuel follow up responses to come.**
Cultural Assessment Follow Up – Morongo	November 18, 204	**Sent via email November 18, 2024** Good Morning, All: I just wanted to circle back to assure all materials have been received? Feel free to send any questions my way if necessary. Thank you, **Genesis Crank**
Cultural Assessment Update – Kimley Horn	November 23, 2024	**Rec'd in response to San Manuel comments forwarded Nov. 6, 2024; Included draft reburial considerations for Tribe review.** Hi Genesis, Apologies for the delay – I am still waiting on information related to some of the questions SM sent along, but I wanted to at least get the below information you way before the holidays: - I would definitely follow-up with Morongo, as I think we would want to see the preliminary responses from both Tribes so we have all of their collective requests/initial thoughts before moving on some items. - You definitely want get started on more formal consultation, if you have not already. It may not be time just yet to loop in any consultants (i.e. us!), but I am sure we will need to do so early next year, assuming the Tribes are comfortable with this. - You can confirm with SM that no additional ground disturbance will occur. All Phase I and Phase II efforts were completed by BCR and no more is planned (unless the Tribes ask for additional work, and of course they would be involved in that to whatever extent they would like to be involved).

		- We gave early notice to the client about reburial areas awhile back, but have alerted them again that we are getting close on this conversation. The attached is a draft of considerations for reburial areas for Tribal review (we definitely need their input – this is their conversation to lead, and the client will do their best to meet all needs/will provide options). o Side note: we will do some outreach to repositories related to ancestors that have been previously removed. Unfortunately, some ancestors were removed decades ago and the paper trail is non-existent. The Tribes may have some information on this that they've learned via the NAGPRA process, but we can also make some outreach. - We also noticed data issues between the site points/UTMs vs. the site boundaries. I have been delayed on QCing the site boundary data (we pulled directly from site records, so they should be accurate), but hopefully I can get that your way for the Tribes within the next week and a half or so. o If it helps at all, I was the one that digitized all of the GIS data on file with SM, and I was utilizing the same faulty information from the Information Center that BCR pulled for this project, so unfortunately I think the data is just generally kind of iffy. - USACE will be the lead agency, but we have no information on the timeline for their 106 process. Best, Jessica Mauck | Cultural Resources Management
Response to San Manuel 11/4/24 Inquiry	December 2, 2024	**Sent via email:** Hi, Kristen: I received some feedback over the holiday that I wanted to pass along, still awaiting some info related to the questions but wanted to send over what I have gotten. - To confirm, no additional ground disturbance will occur. All Phase I and Phase II efforts were completed by BCR Consulting and no more is planned unless Tribes ask for further work in which they would be involved to whatever extent requested. - Attached you will find a draft of considerations for reburial areas that we welcome YSMN review and comments on. Outreach to repositories related to ancestors that have been previously removed is planned, unfortunately some were removed decades ago and the paper trail is non-existent. With that, we also welcome any information that YSMN may have or have gained through the NAGPRA process in addition to outreach efforts. Overall we are aiming to assure that diligent efforts to meet all needs and options are provided regarding reburial areas. - Data issues between the site points/UTMs versus the site boundaries have been noted. I have been advised quality control of the site boundary data (being pulled directly from site records) is underway and I should have that feedback soon, once received I'll be sure to share.

		- USACE will be the lead agency however, we do not have any information regarding the timeline for their 106 process. As mentioned I will be sure to share additional information as I receive it, hope you enjoyed the holiday! Thank you, Genesis Crank
Cultural Assessment Response – Morongo	November 26, 2024	**Received via email; formal response included as attachment.** Ms. Crank: The Morongo Band of Mission Indians (Tribe/MBMI) Tribal Historic Preservation Office (THPO) has completed its review of the Cultural Resource Documents received by our Office. Tribal cultural resources are non-renewable resources and therefore of high importance to the Morongo Tribe and tribal participation (a.k.a. Tribal Monitors) is requested by MBMI THPO during all ground disturbing activities. Lands within this Project are sensitive for cultural resources regardless of the presence or absence of remaining surface artifacts and features. After reviewing the Assessment, there are several concerns that the Tribe has with the "Ethnographic Background,"□ Section 5.0 (Page 16), the "Local History,"□ Section 5.2 (Page 19-21) and the overall mitigation measures that need to be incorporated to protect the highly sensitive cultural resources, including ancestral remains located within the APE of the project location. Please see the attached letter for more information. Best, Sarah Bertman Sarah Bertman (she/her/hers) *Tribal Archaeologist*
City Response – Morongo	December 3, 2024	**Sent via email; included reburial area considerations for Morongo's review.** Good Afternoon, Sarah: Thank you for providing this response on behalf of Morongo, it has been shared with our team. I am working on gathering the requested availability so I can follow up with those dates and times for your review, once shared availability is decided we are happy to set up the online meeting.

		Ahead of scheduling a meeting please review the attached as reburial area considerations are being discussed, we welcome the Morongo Tribe review and comments on the draft document. Feel free to send any comments, questions, or concerns ahead of the meeting or we can discuss at that time. Thank you, Genesis Crank
Kimley Horn – Revised Cultural Docs Update	12/18/2024	**Received via email:** Hi Genesis, Just a quick update that, while we didn't get clearance for all of the additional survey areas, we did get clearance for most of it. As it sounds like there will be some additional coordination to get permission for the remaining area, we are still going to update the report with the Q4 survey data. As such, we are still on track to send you an updated report by 12/31 (it just won't be the last update report we send your way). Best, Jessica Mauck | Cultural Resources Management
Tribal Consultation Meeting 1 – Morongo	December 19, 2024	In attendance: Genesis Crank, Economic Development Specialist Amy Sanchez, Administrative Analyst Matthew Summers, City Attorney Vernetra Gavin, Asst. City Attorney Sarah Bertman, Tribal Archaeologist Joan Schneider, Tribal Archaeologist Ann Brierty, Tribal Historic Preservation Officer **Topics derived from 11/26/24 response letter including:** - **Concerns surrounding procedures followed should tribal human remains be discovered.** - **Would like to assure a cultural resource management plan is discussed with both the City and developer(s) of BIG project site.** - **Mojave River area remains largest area of concern due to known historical tribal villages, remains, etc.** - **Encouraged references to the Morongo people in, reported that they worked on/lived along the existing rail.** - **Pointed out sites within the info provided that contain remains with certainty or possibility.** - **Requested to be included in mitigation measure reviews when being drafted.**

		- **Requested an in-person meeting during consultation to allow Morongo to complete a site visit.**
Response Received – San Manuel	12/27/2024	**Received via email** Hello Genesis, Thank you for this information. The location of the project has known Tribal Cultural Resources within its footprint and has the potential to encounter subsurface Tribal Cultural Resources. However, before providing mitigation measures, I would like to have a virtual meeting to discuss one of the mitigation measures that YSMN is requesting for public outreach and education. I want to make sure it is a feasible request. Thank you, Kristen
City Response – San Manuel	1/3/2025	**Sent via email** Good Afternoon, Kristen: I hope you enjoyed your holidays. I am happy to get a meeting set up. We returned from our holiday closure yesterday so I can send out an availability request to those on our side, would setting something up within the next week or two work for you? I can gather open availability on our side to send your way and see what fits with your schedule or feel free to send over your availability first and we can coordinate on our end, either works! I should advise, we are only in office Mon. – Thurs. Thank you, Genesis Crank **Meeting date TBD, aiming for next couple of weeks.**

Response Received – San Manuel	1/6/2025	**Rec'd via email** Hello Genesis, Yes, a meeting within the next two weeks would work great for me. Thank you, Kristen **LA Wildfires impacted City Attorney's availability. SM reached out 1/16/25 to follow up on possible dates; circled back with attorney's.**
City Response – San Manuel	2/6/2025	**Sent via email** Hi, Kristen: Please let me know if any of the below timeframes work for you next week: Monday 2-10-25 – 1:00 PM; Tuesday 2-11-25 – 11:00 AM Wednesday 2-12-25 – 10 AM; 11:00 AM Morongo has also opted in for consultation.
Response Received – San Manuel	2/10/2025	**Rec'd via email** Hello Genesis, I'm sorry for not getting back to you sooner, but Wednesday, 2-12-25, at 10 AM works for me and my team. Thank you, Kristen Confirmed City availability, sent meeting invite 2/11/2025
Response Received – San Manuel	2/11/2025	**Sent via email** Hello Genesis, Thank you.

		At this meeting, we would like to discuss one of our planned mitigation measures, the CUL-4 Public Outreach and Education Program (see attachment for draft mitigation measures), to make sure it's feasible. Please let me know if you have any questions about our draft mitigation measures before the meeting. Thank you, Kristen
Tribal Consultation Meeting 1 – San Manuel	2/12/2025	**Held via Zoom** In attendance: Genesis Crank, Economic Development Specialist Amy Sanchez, City of Barstow Administrative Analyst Vernetra Gavin, City of Barstow Asst. City Attorney Kristen Tuosto – San Manuel Tribal Archaeologist Ashley Lothyan – San Manuel Cultural Resources Office Topics primarily focused on the 2/11/25 draft MM's provided by SM. - City requested examples of "public outreach and education programs" as referenced in CUL-4 in draft MM that SM has required on other projects. Examples have not been shared as of 2/24/2025, but examples given during the meeting were GIS Story Maps, info pamphlets, etc. SM did notate that CUL-4 has been prompted on other projects that carry a "Federal Nexus" (e.g. U.S. Army Corp of Engineers project). - City requested further info on CUL-2 to understand the level of applicability to the project (i.e. entirety of project area versus soils undisturbed). - City requested further detail on timeframes on monitoring ground disturbing activities, no clear timeline given however it will be notated in formal draft MM response to SM clarity is being requested. - City reminded of draft reburial considerations and requested any feedback SM has on those to be sent our way, nothing received as of 2/24/2025. - SM relayed that formal response from City following draft MM is requested in order to prepare SM's final measures.
Follow Up Received – San Manuel	2/28/2025	Kristen Tuosto – Tribal Archaeologist emailed link to requested examples of public outreach and educational programming materials as discussed during 2/12/25 meeting.

Files Received – Revised Cultural Assessment Docs	4/15/2025 & 5/14/2025	Rec'd files from Kimley –Horn.
Inquiry – San Manuel	5/20/2025	Email received 5/20/25: "Hello Genesis, In discussions with leadership, I would like to further explore reburial locations within the BIG project and San Manuel's requirements for reburial with the City of Barstow. For reburials, San Manuel requires a 'green space,' a location that will not be covered by any impermeable material, will not be disturbed by future development or natural erosion processes, and can be dug 4-6 feet deep. As many of the human remains have been found in the dunes, we believe a location near the dunes in the northern portion of the project would be a good location for a reburial or reburials. We want to use this area to repatriate materials collected from this area, as well as materials encountered during project implementation. We are also interested in this reburial area being turned into a conservation or preservation easement, or transferring the reburial areas into the Tribes stewardship. I would be happy to have a meeting to discuss this and our previously sent over draft mitigation measures. Thank you, Kristen" **REBURIAL/ REBURIAL SITE(S) DISCUSSION ON GOING**
Notification to Tribe – Morongo	6/17/2025	Email sent by Kimley Horn staff, J. Mauck "Hi Sarah, I hope you are well. With the City's permission, I just transmitted the reburial location information for the BIG Barstow project in Barstow, CA via a secure share folder (you should see an e-mail). This package contains: • Reburial options map • Reburial options KMZ

		• Overview of existing conditions of each location (includes information relevant to the Tribe's requests and considerations) • Site photos for each location Please let me know if you have any issues with downloading the data from the Share folder."
Notification to Tribes – Cultural Resource Assessment Update	6/25/2025	Email to San Manuel: "Good Afternoon, Kristen: There have been some minor revisions to the appendices, Cultural Resources Assessment, and an updated GIS dataset with site boundaries and points for isolated finds. I know you all now have a preferred system to upload files into, when you can, could you please send a link my way so we can get these files sent your way? Much appreciated"
Notification to Tribes – Cultural Resource Assessment Update	6/26/2025	Email to Morongo: "Good Afternoon, All: I wanted to circle back to this thread to ensure all that were included in the September 2024 send out of the draft Cultural Resources Report and associated files, are also included in the send out of the below updated folder link. There have been minor edits to the report to remove three (3) resources that have been determined to be out of the project area, which includes 2 prehistoric isolates and 1 historic built environmental resource. All appendices, including site records, maps, and NAHC submittals have been completed, and the GIS dataset has been updated with site boundaries and points for isolated finds. The link sent back in September remains active here, https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1qqKzptyDZUK5bygovxsnoXwg3mLTimU3?usp=drive_link and a folder titled ***June 2025 – Updated Files*** has been added and holds the noted reports and files for your review. Should you have any issues accessing the files, please let me know."
Follow-Up Email Sent – San Manuel	7/10/2025 & 7/14/2025	Email sent to follow up on needed shared drive link to send files to San Manuel. Received shared drive link via email on 7/14/25.

Follow-Up Email Sent – Morongo	7/14/2025	Email sent by Kimley-Horn staff, J. Mauck "Hi Sarah, I hope you are doing well. I just wanted to check in and see if there were any questions or any initial feedback the Tribe wanted to provide to the contractor regarding the reburial area options at this time. Additionally, please know that the contractor would also be happy to host a site visit within the next few weeks, should that be preferable. Best, Jessica"
Response Received – Morongo	7/15/2025	Email received from Morongo Tribal Archaeologist "Hi Jessica, Thanks for reaching out! I had a sticky note on my computer to remember to download and review all the reburial documents you shared for the Barstow BIG project. I was looking over them, and I have some questions so far, that I am hoping you can help provide answers to (I am not sure if these topics have already been discussed, and Morongo was unaware and/or not part of the conversation, so please excuse me if my questions sound rudimentary or even redundant to what consultations you may have already participated in): 1. Have any of the other Consulting Tribes for this project weighed in on the different options that have been presented for the reburial location? 2. Will Kimley-Horn be carrying out the excavation of the reburial location (once it is decided upon)? If not, who then? Are there any procedures that have been established for in the event inadvertent discoveries are made during excavation for reburial? 3. On another note-- because this project is incredibly expansive and warrants public attention, do you (or Genesis who I see is cc'd on this email) know if any of the other Consulting Tribes have discussed any type of community outreach that the City should participate in? This would be a great opportunity to engage the local Barstow community in some type of educational presentation, pamphlet, display, etc., regarding the local ethnic groups that inhabit the area, and the different Tribes that they identify with. Likewise, its a good opportunity to talk about concepts like NAGPRA, etc. 4. Speaking of-- because these reburial locations are quite large, are any other Consulting Tribes utilizing this reburial for any NAGPRA repatriations? Do you know if this has been discussed? This would be a great opportunity. Again, thank you Jessica for getting this information over to the Tribe. And I apologize if these questions have already been asked (to either Kimley-Horn or the City). I believe the last interaction that MBMI has had with this

		project was some time ago; I remember sending a letter providing comments for the September 2024 Draft Cultural Resources Assessment that was authored by Kimley-Horn and BCR, but do not remember receiving any comments regarding my letter."
Response Email – Kimley Horn	7/15/2025	Cultural Resources Management @ Kimley Horn sent response email to Morongo: 1. "Have any of the other Consulting Tribes for this project weighed in on the different options that have been presented for the reburial location? A Consulting Tribe included some general guidance for reburial areas earlier in the year just as a part of general consultation. However, there really has not been much active discussion, as the first step in the process was to have the contractors identify some options (once they got a bit further in the design) for Tribal review and consideration. All Consulting Tribes were provided the same data package on the same date (6/17), but no responses have come in so far aside from Morongo today. 2. Will Kimley-Horn be carrying out the excavation of the reburial location (once it is decided upon)? If not, who then? Are there any procedures that have been established for in the event inadvertent discoveries are made during excavation for reburial? This has not yet been discussed, so we can move forward with this in whatever way is preferred by the Consulting Tribes. It's likely that folks are anticipating the contractor conducting excavation of the reburial location with the presence of monitors just due to volume and size of the reburial areas, but we can shift gears if needed. Similarly with inadvertent discoveries – we can definitely identify culturally appropriate protocols, implement any pre-construction investigations that Tribes would like to see, etc. Happy to support whatever way I can. 3. On another note-- because this project is incredibly expansive and warrants public attention, do you (or Genesis who I see is cc'd on this email) know if any of the other Consulting Tribes have discussed any type of community outreach that the City should participate in? This would be a great opportunity to engage the local Barstow community in some type of educational presentation, pamphlet, display, etc., regarding the local ethnic groups that inhabit the area,

		and the different Tribes that they identify with. Likewise, its a good opportunity to talk about concepts like NAGPRA, etc. One other Consulting Tribe did include a draft mitigation measure on this very subject, particularly as it relates to public education and the cultural significance of the Barstow area. I recall the draft mitigation measure being somewhat broad to give the City, applicant, and Tribes some wiggle room in deciding exactly what those exhibits/pamphlets/etc. would look like and who partners could be, though it is still in draft form. @Genesis Crank I recommend disseminating the latest set of draft mitigation measures to the Consulting Tribes for review, if you have not done so already. 4. Speaking of-- because these reburial locations are quite large, are any other Consulting Tribes utilizing this reburial for any NAGPRA repatriations? Do you know if this has been discussed? This would be a great opportunity. This has not been mentioned by any Consulting Tribes, though I did highlight for folks at one point that this could be requested given how much has been removed from this general area in the past. I believe the proposed areas are on the larger side both due to the contractor taking an abundance of caution and folks wanting to ensure there were also buffer zones between reburied material/ancestors and ground disturbing activities"
Inquiry Received – San Manuel	7/21/2025	Email from San Manuel, sent to Kimley-Horn (City staff CC'd): "Hello Jessica, Thank you for providing the maps. We do have a few questions regarding access to the potential burial sites and would like to set up a site visit in August. We are also curious about what sites are being protected from development and the methods of protection. I would be happy to have a virtual meeting prior to the in person meeting to discuss some of the topics before the site visit or we can discuss them in person. Thank you,

		Kristen" RESPONSE SENT 7/21/25 from Kimley-Horn, J. Mauck: "Hi Kristen, I've requested some information from the contractor regarding the latest design. As for a meeting, I totally defer to the City and Tribe on whether or not folks want to have a virtual meeting prior to the field visit. I am happy to support if needed! In the meantime, I can get started with coordinating a field visit. Are there particular dates in August that work better than others?"
Response Received – San Manuel	7/22/2025	Email from San Manuel, sent to Kimley-Horn (City staff CC'd): "Hello Jessica, Thank you. I am available before noon on: • 8/5 • 8/11 • 8/12 • 8/14 Thank you again for setting up the in-person site visit. Best, Kristen"
Response Received – Morongo	7/28/2025	Email from Morongo, sent to Kimley-Horn (City staff CC'd): "Hi Jessica, Thanks for taking the time to answer those questions! I appreciate your in-depth contributions and insights. Just for clarity of the other Consulting Tribes, can you, or @Genesis Crank let Morongo know what other Tribes are consulting on this project?

		Also, yes, Genesis, can you please send over the latest set of draft mitigation measures for our office to review? Jessica, I am glad to know that you also highlighted the opportunity for possible NAGPRA reburials to the other Consulting Tribes too! That was a great suggestion, and I'm happy to know that you are thinking beyond the confines of the project in support of the Tribes! Best, Sarah" City Staff – G.Crank resent 6/26/2025 email containing updated Cultural Resources Assessment report and associated files. No Draft MM's to provide as of 7/28/2025.
Inquiry – Kimley-Horn	7/30/2025	"Hi Jamie (Hamm) and Genesis, I wanted to reach out to see if you had some availability in late August/early September to present for a site visit with the consulting Tribes on BIG. While reburial area discussions are what triggered this effort, I anticipate they will have questions about the proposed project in general. Knowing this, please let me know if we should plan on adding anyone else to the list to invite to the field. Right now, I am looking at the following dates: August 26 August 28 September 2 September 3 September 4 September 5 Please let me know if any of those dates work and if you have specific blocks of time you prefer. @Genesis Crank I plan to send out a group of dates to the Tribes early next week to gauge their availability (I will be out for the rest of the week), if that works for you! Best, Jessica"

		Response from J. Thomas 7/31/25: "Hi all, Can we look at days in the week of August 11th instead, preferably 8/13 or 8/15? I know this is a shorter notice for travelling, but it would greatly help to conclude tribal consultation. **James Thomas, CEP-IT"** Response from J. Hamm 7/31/25: "We have a CMGC meeting most of the engineering/JV folks will be attending in Sacramento 8/13-8/15 unfortunately."
Response Received – Kimley-Horn	8/2/2025	G. Crank called J. Mauck 7/31/25: Email received 8/2/25: "Hi Genesis - I was out on Thursday and Friday, so Jamie tried to give you a call, but we all keep missing each other lol. Do you have a good time for a chat on Monday or Tuesday? I have an urgent deliverable for a different project that I'll be working on and will likely be pulled into meetings at random times, so please let me know what time works for you (will probably be easier if we can get it blocked on calendars). Jessica" Connected on 8/6/25.

Email Sent – Kimley Horn	8/6/2025	"Hi Jamie H., I just touched base with Genesis and it's looking like our best options are September 2 and 3. Can you confirm that these dates are still open for you? If so, I will send out a calendar hold to both you and Genesis while I coordinate a specific date/time with the consulting Tribes. Best, **Jessica Mauck"** Reply from J. Hamm "Can we try 9/3 to give us a travel buffer after Labor Day? Thanks" Reply from J. Mauck "I will send out a hold for 9/3 shortly and circulate that date to the tribes. Stay tuned!"
Response Sent – San Manuel	8/6/2025	Sent by J. Mauck "Hi Kristen, Apologies for the delay on getting back to you regarding a field visit. In speaking with the City and the contractor, it is looking like September 3 is our best option for a site visit. Does this work on your end? Additionally, as the contractor has to travel in from out of state, we were proposing a single site visit with representatives from both consulting Tribes (San Manuel and Morongo), if this is okay with San Manuel. Best, **Jessica Mauck"**

Response Sent – Morongo	8/6/2025	Sent by J. Mauck "Hi Sarah, We are looking to hold a site visit for the consulting Tribes. Based on availability I've gathered from the contractor, City, and cultural stuff with KH, we are looking at September 3rd. If there is interest in joining a site visit, does this date work on your end? Additionally, as the contractor has to travel in from out of state, we were proposing a single site visit with representatives from both consulting Tribes (San Manuel and Morongo), if this is okay with Morongo. Best, **Jessica Mauck"**
Response Received – San Manuel	8/7/2025	"Hello Jessica, September 3 works for us, and we are fine with Morongo being present. Thank you, Kristen"
Email Sent – Kimley Horn	8/7/2025	Sent to J. Thomas "Hi James – Combed through past threads and for SM, all has been provided such as the cultural report(s) and geotechnical report(s). There was a request for project plans showing depth of proposed disturbance as well, but based off of the past threads – other details provided gave them the info needed. Morongo's original March 2024 letter requested for: • Currently proposed Project design and Mass Grading Maps • A records search conducted at the appropriate California Historical Resources Information System (CHRIS) center with at least a 1.0-mile search radius from the project boundary. If this work has already been done, please furnish copies of the cultural resource documentation (ArcMap Shapefiles, reports and site records) generated through this search so that we can compare and review with our records to begin productive consultation.

		• Tribal participation (a.k.a. tribal monitors) during the pedestrian survey and testing, if this fieldwork has not already taken place. In the event that archaeological crews have completed this work, our office requests a copy of the current Phase I study or other cultural assessments (including the cultural resources inventory). • Shapefiles of the Projects area of effect (APE) • Geotechnical Report All of the items, aside from the highlighted were provided as of July 2024 per their attached letter. Aside from these items, other requests were strictly for site visits and review of draft MM's from both Tribes. If I come across anything I'll be sure to let you know, but I think we have captured the bulk. Thank you! Genesis" Response received 8/7/25 "This is great, thank you Genesis! Yes, we can provide the CHRIS results in tomorrow's transmittal. **James Thomas"**
Submittal Received – Kimley Horn	8/8/2025	Draft BIG Tribal Cultural Mitigation Measures submitted 8/8/2025 "Hi Genesis, Please see attached draft tribal cultural resource mitigation measures. We've also attached the draft cut/fill map, which we think will help to show that the northerly portion of the site is generally proposed for fill. We look forward to meeting with the tribes. Please let us know if you have any questions. Best, **James Thomas, CEP-IT"**

Draft MM's sent to Tribes	8/12/2025	City provided San Manuel & Morongo draft BIG MM's and draft cut/fill map via email. Email sent to Morongo: "Good Morning, All: Please see the attached for a copy of the Draft Barstow International Gateway (BIG) Tribal Cultural Resource Mitigation Measures. Additionally, you will find a copy of the draft cut and fill map, noting that the northerly portion of the site is generally proposed for fill, for your reference as well. Lastly, just wanted to circle back on Jessica's email from last week regarding the proposed September 3rd site visit. If Morongo is interested in joining a site visit and has no objection to a single visit with representatives from both Tribes (San Manuel & Morongo), please let us know. Feel free to reach out with any questions. Thank you, Genesis" Email sent to San Manuel: "Good Morning, Kristin: Please see the attached for a copy of the Draft Barstow International Gateway (BIG) Tribal Cultural Resource Mitigation Measures. Additionally, you will find a copy of the draft cut and fill map, noting that the northerly portion of the site is generally proposed for fill, for your reference as well. Feel free to reach out with any questions, as we look forward to our upcoming site visit. Thank you, Genesis"

Call to Morongo	8/12/2025	G. Crank called Sarah Bertman (951-728-0877, Morongo archaeologist 8/12/25; unable to leave VM.
Email Sent – Morongo	8/20/2025	"Good Afternoon, Sarah – Just wanted to follow up on the below regarding the September 3rd site visit. Please let us know if Morongo would like to attend and/or if another date is preferred. Additionally, hopeful you all received the draft mitigation measures sent your way. If not, please let me know and we can resend. Thank you! Genesis"
Call to Morongo	8/21/2025	G. Crank attempted Sarah Bertman with Morongo via phone call, no answer, nor ability to leave VM. Reach out to Morongo's Tribal Historic Preservation Office at 951-849-4697 and connected with Admin. Asst. Elisha who informed Sarah Bertman is no longer with Morongo. Requested a message was left for Ann Brierty and/or Joan Schneider from the City.
Email Received – Kimley Horn	8/26/2025	"Hi Genesis, I updated the meeting invite with the firm time block for the field visit, and added both Ann and Joan to the invite. I know they haven't responded just yet, but wanted to at least keep them in the loop on the meeting just in case they are able to join us at the last minute. I am sure Sarah's departure has been tough on their already-high workload. I will update the invite with some more information later in the week. Best, **Jessica Mauck"**

Response Received – Morongo	8/26/2025	"Greetings Genesis Crank, Thank you for forwarding the draft mitigation measures and map for this proposed project. Can you provide a legend for the map, as there are different colors that reference items that are not clear to me. Morongo Band of Mission Indians (MBMI), Tribal Historic Preservation Office (THPO) and staff will be attending the field site meeting on Wednesday, September 3rd. We will be on the lookout for the meeting location and agenda. Also, please update your contact information; Sarah Bertman is no longer employed with MBMI THPO. Respectfully, Ann Brierty Tribal Historic Preservation Officer Morongo Band of Mission Indians 12700 Pumarra Road Banning, CA 92220 O: (951) 755.5259 M: (951) 663.2842"
Email Sent – Morongo & San Manuel	8/27/2025	Sent by Kimley Horn, J. Mauck "Hi Kristen – We are working out logistics for the field visit. Can you confirm how many representatives from San Manuel will be attending? Thank you!" "Hi Ann – We are working out logistics for the field visit. Can you confirm how many representatives from MBMI will be attending? Thank you!"
Email Sent – Kimley Horn	8/27/2025	Sent by G. Crank "Hi Jessica – Before I send the follow-up 9/8 meeting request their way, is there any feedback you might have on Anne's mapping question? Wasn't sure if a legend was doable. Thanks! Genesis"

		Response received 8/27/2025: "I just sent an email to Jamie H. and James T. for some guidance (this thread had consultation so I figured I'd create a new one). Stay tuned!"
Email Sent – San Manuel	8/28/2025	Sent by G. Crank "Good Afternoon, Kristen I hope you are well. I know there have been a few different threads of correspondence, but I wanted to reach out as we would like to schedule a consultation meeting post-site visit with San Manuel. Allowing you and your team to share additional feedback after viewing the project area. We can conduct the meeting via Zoom. We were hopeful to schedule the meeting for ***Sept. 8th***. If you and your team have availability that day, kindly provide the time frame(s) a meeting could be accommodated. If another date that week works better for you all, feel free to send that info over as well. We look forward to your response. Thank you, Genesis"
Email Sent – Morongo	8/28/2025	Sent by G. Crank "Good Afternoon, All: I hope you are well. I know there have been a few different threads of correspondence, but I wanted to reach out as we would like to schedule a consultation meeting post-site visit with Morongo. Allowing you and your team to share additional feedback after viewing the project area. We can conduct the meeting via Zoom.

		We were hopeful to schedule the meeting for ***Sept. 8th***. If you and your team have availability that day, kindly provide the time frame(s) a meeting could be accommodated. If another date that week works better for you all, feel free to send that info over as well. We look forward to your response. Thank you, Genesis"
Email Sent – Morongo	8/28/2025	Sent by G. Crank "Hi, Ann: I am glad you all are able to join us on 9/3. We have reached out to the applicable points of contact regarding the map to get you some more detail. We will be certain to follow up once their response is received. Jessica will be providing you all with the agenda and site visit details. Thank you, Genesis"
Email Received – Kimley Horn	9/3/2025	"Hi all, I unfortunately will not be at today's field visit (severe migraine, now travelled into my neck). Christina and Max will still be joining, and they have all of the attachments from the invitation printed out (1 attendee sheet, and then 20 copies of the agenda and driving route sheet, the reburial location map, and reburial options overview doc). The agenda is relatively broad - mainly just visiting each reburial site and answering any questions the tribes have - so hopefully it will be an easy enough day for the City to lead and navigate in my absence. The only other item I was going to bring is the cooler with extra waters, Gatorade, and snacks. It may not be needed as it's only a few hours, but wanted to mention it would now be missing. I am so, so sorry about this!

		Jessica Mauck”
Site Visit – San Manuel & Morongo	9/3/2025	City staff, Kimley Horn staff, Tribal reps from both Morongo & San Manuel visited the BIG project site and visited the proposed reburial sites. Meetings post site visit with both Tribes to be scheduled.
Email Received – Kimley Horn	9/4/2025	“Hi Genesis, Apologies for my absence yesterday, though it sounds like everything went very well and as expected. I will send you a more formal set of notes today for your review, but I wanted to at least provide what sounds like our immediate action items: 1. KH to update technical report (confidential and non-confidential) and the CUL section to include reference to a historic Laguna Pueblo camp and family in Barstow. 2. KH to prepare site record for isolated find observed in the field during the site visit and add this metric to the reports and CUL/TCR sections. This find is ineligible and will not alter any results/analysis. 3. KH to make minor change to expand/add more detail to Operations LTS section in CUL, per last week's workshop. 4. City to schedule follow-ups with the Tribes to discuss mitigation. 5. - I saw that San Manuel responded with their availability for Monday, so please do send out a meeting invite for that follow-up as soon as you are able. 6. - I don't believe Morongo has responded. I recommend calling to get a meeting on the books. Please let me know if there is anything I missed for immediate action items. I believe the most urgent task is getting the follow-up meetings scheduled with the tribes, which hopefully you are able to do without too many hiccups!

		Best, **Jessica Mauck"** **Response sent 8/4/25** "Hi Jessica, Completely understand, hope you are feeling better. You captured the bulk. Morongo did express wanting to discuss CUL-7, Public Education further. I advised we were aiming to set up a follow-up call post site visit on 9/8 since we hadn't received their response. They confirmed they will be responding with their availability, and I'll send a follow-up as a reminder, but they did advise there is a conference for THPO's next week and their availability is limited. I requested availability for all necessary from Morongo is provided ASAP to be compared with ours internally. Awaiting a response from legal on our side regarding the San Manuel meeting prior to sending the invite, but we will for certain meet with them next week. I'll be sure to continue keeping you cc'd so you are in the loop. Thank you, Genesis"

Email Sent – Morongo	9/10/2025	"Hi Ann, I hope all is well. As discussed last week, we were hopeful to schedule a follow up meeting with your team post site visit. I know you all had obligations this week, but I just left you a voicemail and wanted to send a friendly reminder about the request for availability to meet next week. At your earliest convenience, could you please send over some dates/times and we can compare availability on our side. Much appreciated! Genesis"
Email Received – San Manuel	9/10/2025	"Hello Genesis, This week is pretty packed for me, but I am available next week on: • Monday 15: 9am-11am & 2pm-4pm • Friday 19: 9am-11am & 2pm-3pm. Please let me know if either of those days work. Best, Kristen" "Hello Genesis,

		Sorry, I had a change in schedule, I will not be available on Friday 19. Instead, I will be available on Thursday the 18 from 11am to 2:30pm. Best, Kristen" Response sent by G. Crank 9/10/25: "Just sent a calendar invite your way for 9/15 at 2 PM, feel free to share with anyone you may need to on your team J Thanks! Genesis"
Phone Call – Morongo	9/11/2025	G. Crank called Ann Brierty 951-663-2842, no answer, VM left to remind about City's request for availability for a post site visit meeting.
Virtual Consultation Meeting – San Manuel	9/15/2025	Meeting scheduled with City & Kimley Horn staff and San Manuel to discuss post 9/3/25 site visit and draft BIG MM's.

Next Steps:

- Meeting with Morongo post site-visit.

- Finalization of consultation letter.
- Final comments/feedback on reburial location(s) visited 9/3/25, and draft BIG MM's provided to Tribes on 8/12/25.