Air Quality & Greenhouse Gas Emissions Assessment El Rancho High School Baseball Field Improvement Project

Pico Rivera, California

Prepared For:

Placeworks 3 MacArthur Place, Suite 1100 Sana Ana, CA 92707



September 2023

CONTENTS

1.0	INTRO	OUCTION	۷	1
	1.1	Project	Location and Description	1
2.0	AIR QU	ALITY		3
	2.1	Air Qua	ility Setting	3
		2.1.1	South Coast Air Basin	3
		2.1.2	Criteria Air Pollutants	4
		2.1.3	Toxic Air Contaminants	7
		2.1.4	Ambient Air Quality	7
		2.1.5	Sensitive Receptors	9
	2.2	Regulat	tory Framework	. 10
		2.2.1	Federal	. 10
		2.2.2	State	. 10
		2.2.3	Local	. 13
	2.3	Air Quality Emissions Impact Assessment		
		2.3.1	Thresholds of Significance	. 14
		2.3.2	Methodology	. 16
		2.3.3	Impact Analysis	. 16
3.0	GREEN	HOUSE (GAS EMISSIONS	. 27
	3.1	Greenh	ouse Gas Setting	. 27
		3.1.1	Sources of Greenhouse Gas Emissions	. 28
	3.2	Regulat	tory Framework	. 28
		3.2.1	State	. 29
		3.2.2	Local	. 31
	3.3	Greenh	ouse Gas Emissions Impact Assessment	. 31
		3.3.1	Thresholds of Significance	. 31
		3.3.2	Methodology	. 34
		3.3.3	Impact Analysis	. 34
4.0	REFERE	NCES		. 36

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1. Criteria Air Pollutants- Summary of Common Sources and Effects	.5
Table 2-2. Summary of Ambient Air Quality Data	.8
Table 2-3. Attainment Status of Criteria Pollutants in the Los Angeles County Portion of the SoCAB	.9

i

Table 2-4. SCAQMD Regional Significance Thresholds – Pounds per Day	15
Table 2-5. Local Significance Thresholds at 25 Meters of a Sensitive Receptor	16
Table 2-6. Construction-Related Emissions (Regional Significance Analysis)	17
Table 2-7. Equipment-Specific Grading Rates	178
Table 2-8. Construction-Related Emissions (Localized Significance Analysis)	19
Table 3-1. Greenhouse Gases	28
Table 3-2. Construction-Related Greenhouse Gas Emissions	34

LIST OF ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A – CalEEMod Output File for Criteria Air Pollutant and Greenhouse Gas Emissions

LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

µg/m³Micrograms per cubic meter1992 CO Plan1992 Federal Attainment Plan for Carbon MonoxideABAssembly BillAQMDAir Quality Management DistrictATCMairborne toxics control measureBAAQMDBay Area Air Quality Management DistrictCAAClean Air ActCAAQSCalifornia Ambient Air Quality StandardsCalEEModCalifornia Emissions Estimator ModelCaltransCalifornia Department of TransportationCARBCalifornia Air Pollution Control Officers AssociationCARBCalifornia Clean Air ActCCRCalifornia Code of RegulationsCEQACalifornia Environmental Quality ActCH4MethaneCityCity of Pico RiveraCO2Carbon dioxideCO2eCarbon dioxide equivalentCountyLos Angeles CountyDPMDiesel particulate matterEOExecutive OrderGHGGreenhouse gasGWPGlobal warming potentialHVACheating, ventilation, and air conditioningIPCCIntergovernmental Panel on Climate ChangeLSTsLocalized significance thresholdN20National Ambient Air Quality Standards	°F	Degrees Fahrenheit
ABAssembly BillAQMDAir Quality Management DistrictATCMairborne toxics control measureBAAQMDBay Area Air Quality Management DistrictCAAClean Air ActCAAQSCalifornia Ambient Air Quality StandardsCalEEModCalifornia Emissions Estimator ModelCaltransCalifornia Department of TransportationCAPCOACalifornia Air Pollution Control Officers AssociationCARBCalifornia Clean Air ActCCRCalifornia Clean Air ActCCRCalifornia Environmental Quality ActCH4MethaneCityCity of Pico RiveraCO2eCarbon dioxide equivalentCountyLos Angeles CountyDPMDiesel particulate matterEOExecutive OrderGHGGreenhouse gasGWPGlobal warming potentialHVACheating, ventilation, and air conditioningIPCCIntergovernmental Panel on Climate ChangeLSTsLocalized significance thresholdN ₂ ONitrous oxide	µg/m³	Micrograms per cubic meter
AQMDAir Quality Management DistrictATCMairborne toxics control measureBAAQMDBay Area Air Quality Management DistrictCAAClean Air ActCAAQSCalifornia Ambient Air Quality StandardsCalEEModCalifornia Emissions Estimator ModelCaltransCalifornia Department of TransportationCAPCOACalifornia Air Pollution Control Officers AssociationCARBCalifornia Air Resources BoardCCAACalifornia Code of RegulationsCEQACalifornia Environmental Quality ActCH4MethaneCityCity of Pico RiveraCO2Carbon dioxide equivalentCountyLos Angeles CountyDPMDiesel particulate matterEOExecutive OrderGHGGreenhouse gasGWPGlobal warming potentialHVACheating, ventilation, and air conditioningIPCCIntergovernmental Panel on Climate ChangeLSTsLocalized significance thresholdN ₂ ONitrous oxide	1992 CO Plan	1992 Federal Attainment Plan for Carbon Monoxide
ATCMairborne toxics control measureBAAQMDBay Area Air Quality Management DistrictCAAClean Air ActCAAQSCalifornia Ambient Air Quality StandardsCalEEModCalifornia Emissions Estimator ModelCaltransCalifornia Department of TransportationCAPCOACalifornia Air Pollution Control Officers AssociationCARBCalifornia Air Resources BoardCCAACalifornia Clean Air ActCCRCalifornia Environmental Quality ActCH4MethaneCityCity of Pico RiveraCO2Carbon dioxideCO2eCarbon dioxide equivalentCountyLos Angeles CountyDPMDiesel particulate matterEOExecutive OrderGHGGreenhouse gasGWPGlobal warming potentialHVACheating, ventilation, and air conditioningIPCCIntergovernmental Panel on Climate ChangeLSTsLocalized significance thresholdN ₂ ONitrous oxide	AB	Assembly Bill
BAAQMDBay Area Air Quality Management DistrictCAAClean Air ActCAAQSCalifornia Ambient Air Quality StandardsCalEEModCalifornia Emissions Estimator ModelCaltransCalifornia Department of TransportationCAPCOACalifornia Air Pollution Control Officers AssociationCARBCalifornia Clean Air ActCCRCalifornia Code of RegulationsCEQACalifornia Environmental Quality ActCH4MethaneCityCity of Pico RiveraCO2Carbon dioxide equivalentCountyLos Angeles CountyDPMDiesel particulate matterEOExecutive OrderGHGGreenhouse gasGWPGlobal warming potentialHVACheating, ventilation, and air conditioningIPCCIntergovernmental Panel on Climate ChangeLSTsLocalized significance thresholdNzONitrous oxide	AQMD	Air Quality Management District
CAAClean Air ActCAAQSCalifornia Ambient Air Quality StandardsCalEModCalifornia Emissions Estimator ModelCaltransCalifornia Department of TransportationCAPCOACalifornia Air Pollution Control Officers AssociationCARBCalifornia Air Resources BoardCCAACalifornia Clean Air ActCCRCalifornia Environmental Quality ActCH4MethaneCityCity of Pico RiveraCO2Carbon dioxide equivalentCountyLos Angeles CountyDPMDiesel particulate matterEOExecutive OrderGHGGreenhouse gasGWPGlobal warming potentialHVACheating, ventilation, and air conditioningIPCCIntergovernmental Panel on Climate ChangeLSTsLocalized significance thresholdNzONitrous oxide	ATCM	airborne toxics control measure
CAAQSCalifornia Ambient Air Quality StandardsCalEEModCalifornia Emissions Estimator ModelCaltransCalifornia Department of TransportationCAPCOACalifornia Air Pollution Control Officers AssociationCARBCalifornia Air Resources BoardCCAACalifornia Clean Air ActCCRCalifornia Environmental Quality ActCH4MethaneCityCity of Pico RiveraCO2Carbon dioxideCO2eCarbon dioxide equivalentCountyLos Angeles CountyDPMDiesel particulate matterEOExecutive OrderGHGGreenhouse gasGWPGlobal warming potentialHVACheating, ventilation, and air conditioningIPCCIntergovernmental Panel on Climate ChangeLSTsLocalized significance thresholdN2ONitrous oxide	BAAQMD	Bay Area Air Quality Management District
CalEEModCalifornia Emissions Estimator ModelCaltransCalifornia Department of TransportationCAPCOACalifornia Air Pollution Control Officers AssociationCARBCalifornia Air Resources BoardCCAACalifornia Clean Air ActCCRCalifornia Code of RegulationsCEQACalifornia Environmental Quality ActCH4MethaneCityCity of Pico RiveraCO2Carbon dioxideCO2eCarbon dioxide equivalentCountyLos Angeles CountyDPMDiesel particulate matterEOExecutive OrderGHGGreenhouse gasGWPGlobal warming potentialHVACheating, ventilation, and air conditioningIPCCIntergovernmental Panel on Climate ChangeLSTsLocalized significance thresholdN2ONitrous oxide	CAA	Clean Air Act
CaltransCalifornia Department of TransportationCAPCOACalifornia Air Pollution Control Officers AssociationCARBCalifornia Air Resources BoardCCAACalifornia Clean Air ActCCRCalifornia Code of RegulationsCEQACalifornia Environmental Quality ActCH4MethaneCityCity of Pico RiveraCO2Carbon dioxideCO2Carbon dioxide equivalentCountyLos Angeles CountyDPMDiesel particulate matterEOExecutive OrderGHGGreenhouse gasGWPGlobal warming potentialHVACheating, ventilation, and air conditioningIPCCIntergovernmental Panel on Climate ChangeLSTsLocalized significance thresholdN2ONitrous oxide	CAAQS	California Ambient Air Quality Standards
CAPCOACalifornia Air Pollution Control Officers AssociationCARBCalifornia Air Resources BoardCCAACalifornia Clean Air ActCCRCalifornia Code of RegulationsCEQACalifornia Environmental Quality ActCH4MethaneCityCity of Pico RiveraCO2Carbon dioxideCO2eCarbon dioxide equivalentCountyLos Angeles CountyDPMDiesel particulate matterEOExecutive OrderGHGGreenhouse gasGWPGlobal warming potentialHVACheating, ventilation, and air conditioningIPCCIntergovernmental Panel on Climate ChangeLSTsLocalized significance thresholdN2ONitrous oxide	CalEEMod	California Emissions Estimator Model
CARBCalifornia Air Resources BoardCCAACalifornia Clean Air ActCCRCalifornia Code of RegulationsCEQACalifornia Environmental Quality ActCH4MethaneCityCity of Pico RiveraCO2Carbon dioxideCO2eCarbon dioxide equivalentCountyLos Angeles CountyDPMDiesel particulate matterEOExecutive OrderGHGGreenhouse gasGWPGlobal warming potentialHVACheating, ventilation, and air conditioningIPCCIntergovernmental Panel on Climate ChangeLSTsLocalized significance thresholdN2ONitrous oxide	Caltrans	California Department of Transportation
CCAACalifornia Clean Air ActCCRCalifornia Code of RegulationsCEQACalifornia Environmental Quality ActCH4MethaneCityCity of Pico RiveraCO2Carbon dioxideCO2eCarbon dioxide equivalentCountyLos Angeles CountyDPMDiesel particulate matterEOExecutive OrderGHGGreenhouse gasGWPGlobal warming potentialHVACheating, ventilation, and air conditioningIPCCIntergovernmental Panel on Climate ChangeLSTsLocalized significance thresholdN2ONitrous oxide	CAPCOA	California Air Pollution Control Officers Association
CCRCalifornia Code of RegulationsCEQACalifornia Environmental Quality ActCH4MethaneCityCity of Pico RiveraCO2Carbon dioxideCO2eCarbon dioxide equivalentCountyLos Angeles CountyDPMDiesel particulate matterEOExecutive OrderGHGGreenhouse gasGWPGlobal warming potentialHVACheating, ventilation, and air conditioningIPCCIntergovernmental Panel on Climate ChangeLSTsLocalized significance thresholdN2ONitrous oxide	CARB	California Air Resources Board
CEQACalifornia Environmental Quality ActCH4MethaneCityCity of Pico RiveraCO2Carbon dioxideCO2eCarbon dioxide equivalentCountyLos Angeles CountyDPMDiesel particulate matterEOExecutive OrderGHGGreenhouse gasGWPGlobal warming potentialHVACheating, ventilation, and air conditioningIPCCIntergovernmental Panel on Climate ChangeLSTsLocalized significance thresholdN2ONitrous oxide	CCAA	California Clean Air Act
CH4MethaneCityCity of Pico RiveraCO2Carbon dioxideCO2eCarbon dioxide equivalentCountyLos Angeles CountyDPMDiesel particulate matterEOExecutive OrderGHGGreenhouse gasGWPGlobal warming potentialHVACheating, ventilation, and air conditioningIPCCIntergovernmental Panel on Climate ChangeLSTsLocalized significance thresholdN2ONitrous oxide	CCR	California Code of Regulations
CityCity of Pico RiveraCO2Carbon dioxideCO2eCarbon dioxide equivalentCountyLos Angeles CountyDPMDiesel particulate matterEOExecutive OrderGHGGreenhouse gasGWPGlobal warming potentialHVACheating, ventilation, and air conditioningIPCCIntergovernmental Panel on Climate ChangeLSTsLocalized significance thresholdN2ONitrous oxide	CEQA	California Environmental Quality Act
CO2Carbon dioxideCO2eCarbon dioxide equivalentCountyLos Angeles CountyDPMDiesel particulate matterEOExecutive OrderGHGGreenhouse gasGWPGlobal warming potentialHVACheating, ventilation, and air conditioningIPCCIntergovernmental Panel on Climate ChangeLSTsLocalized significance thresholdN2ONitrous oxide	CH ₄	Methane
CO2eCarbon dioxide equivalentCountyLos Angeles CountyDPMDiesel particulate matterEOExecutive OrderGHGGreenhouse gasGWPGlobal warming potentialHVACheating, ventilation, and air conditioningIPCCIntergovernmental Panel on Climate ChangeLSTsLocalized significance thresholdN2ONitrous oxide	City	City of Pico Rivera
CountyLos Angeles CountyDPMDiesel particulate matterEOExecutive OrderGHGGreenhouse gasGWPGlobal warming potentialHVACheating, ventilation, and air conditioningIPCCIntergovernmental Panel on Climate ChangeLSTsLocalized significance thresholdN2ONitrous oxide	CO ₂	Carbon dioxide
DPMDiesel particulate matterEOExecutive OrderGHGGreenhouse gasGWPGlobal warming potentialHVACheating, ventilation, and air conditioningIPCCIntergovernmental Panel on Climate ChangeLSTsLocalized significance thresholdN2ONitrous oxide	CO ₂ e	Carbon dioxide equivalent
EOExecutive OrderGHGGreenhouse gasGWPGlobal warming potentialHVACheating, ventilation, and air conditioningIPCCIntergovernmental Panel on Climate ChangeLSTsLocalized significance thresholdN2ONitrous oxide	County	Los Angeles County
GHGGreenhouse gasGWPGlobal warming potentialHVACheating, ventilation, and air conditioningIPCCIntergovernmental Panel on Climate ChangeLSTsLocalized significance thresholdN2ONitrous oxide	DPM	Diesel particulate matter
GWPGlobal warming potentialHVACheating, ventilation, and air conditioningIPCCIntergovernmental Panel on Climate ChangeLSTsLocalized significance thresholdN2ONitrous oxide	EO	Executive Order
HVACheating, ventilation, and air conditioningIPCCIntergovernmental Panel on Climate ChangeLSTsLocalized significance thresholdN2ONitrous oxide	GHG	Greenhouse gas
IPCCIntergovernmental Panel on Climate ChangeLSTsLocalized significance thresholdN2ONitrous oxide	GWP	Global warming potential
LSTs Localized significance threshold N ₂ O Nitrous oxide	HVAC	heating, ventilation, and air conditioning
N ₂ O Nitrous oxide	IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
	LSTs	Localized significance threshold
NAAOS National Ambient Air Quality Standards	N ₂ O	Nitrous oxide
	NAAQS	National Ambient Air Quality Standards

LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

NO ₂ Nitrogen dioxide	
NO _x Nitric oxides	
O ₃ Ozone	
OPR Office of Planning and Research	
PM Particulate matter	
PM ₁₀ Coarse particulate matter	
PM _{2.5} Fine particulate matter	
ppb Parts per billion	
ppm Parts per million	
Project El Rancho High School Baseball Field Impr	ovement Project
RCPG Regional Comprehensive Plan and Guide	
ROGs Reactive organic gases	
RTP/SCS Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable	Communities Strategy
SB Senate Bill	
SCAG Southern California Association of Govern	ments
SCAQMD South Coast Air Quality Management Dist	rict
SIP State Implementation Plan	
SO ₂ Sulfur dioxide	
SO _x Sulfur oxides	
SRA Source receptor area	
SoCAB South Coast Air Basin	
TACs Toxic air contaminants	
USEPA U.S. Environmental Protection Agency	
VOC Volatile Organic Compounds	

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report documents the results of an Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Emissions Assessment completed for the El Rancho High School Baseball Field Improvement Project (Project), which proposes modernizations to the existing baseball field within the El Rancho High School campus in the City of Pico Rivera (City). This assessment was prepared using methodologies and assumptions recommended in the rules and regulations of the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD). Regional and local existing conditions are presented, along with pertinent emissions standards and regulations. The purpose of this assessment is to estimate Project-generated criteria air pollutants and GHG emissions attributable to the Project and to determine the level of impact the Project would have on the environment.

1.1 **Project Location and Description**

The existing El Rancho High School campus is located at 6501 Passons Boulevard in the City of Pico Rivera, California. Nestled between Loch Alene Avenue to the west, Balfour Street to the north, and Homebrook Street to the south, the school is predominately surrounded by residential and commercial office land uses. California Highway 605 is located approximately 5,000 feet east of the Project Site.

The Project is proposing the renovations of the existing baseball field on the campus. Specifically proposed improvements involve the reconfiguration of an existing baseball diamond, as well as other related improvements such as the installation of batting cages, field lighting, and foul ball netting. The improvements to the baseball diamond would not result in an increase of events, additional school sports programs or participants, or result in additional spectators beyond current conditions. For the purposes of this analysis, eight acres in total were estimated to be disturbed by these proposed improvements.

1







Figure 1 - Project Location

El Rancho Baseball Field Improvement Project, 2023-140

2.0 AIR QUALITY

2.1 Air Quality Setting

Air quality in a region is determined by its topography, meteorology, and existing air pollutant sources. These factors are discussed below, along with the current regulatory structure that applies to the South Coast Air Basin (SoCAB), which encompasses the Project Site, pursuant to the regulatory authority of the SCAQMD.

Ambient air quality is commonly characterized by climate conditions, the meteorological influences on air quality, and the quantity and type of pollutants released. The air basin is subject to a combination of topographical and climatic factors that reduce the potential for high levels of regional and local air pollutants. The following section describes the pertinent characteristics of the air basin and provides an overview of the physical conditions affecting pollutant dispersion in the Project Area.

2.1.1 South Coast Air Basin

The California Air Resources Board (CARB) divides the State into air basins that share similar meteorological and topographical features. The Project Site lies in the SoCAB, which includes the non-desert portions of Los Angeles, Riverside, and San Bernardino counties and all of Orange County. The air basin is on a coastal plain with connecting broad valleys and low hills and is bounded by the Pacific Ocean on the southwest, with high mountains forming the remainder of the perimeter (SCAQMD 1993).

2.1.1.1 Temperature and Precipitation

The air basin is part of a semi-permanent high-pressure zone in the eastern Pacific. As a result, the climate is mild, tempered by cool sea breezes. This usually mild weather pattern is interrupted infrequently by periods of extremely hot weather, winter storms, and Santa Ana winds. The annual average temperature varies little throughout the 6,645-square-mile SoCAB, ranging from the low 60s to the high 80s, measured in degrees Fahrenheit (°F). With a more pronounced oceanic influence, coastal areas show less variability in annual minimum and maximum temperatures than inland areas (SCAQMD 1993).

In contrast to a very steady pattern of temperature, rainfall is seasonally and annually highly variable. Almost all annual rains fall between November and April. Summer rainfall is normally restricted to widely scattered thundershowers near the coast, with slightly heavier shower activity in the east and over the mountains.

2.1.1.2 Humidity

Although the SoCAB has a semiarid climate, the air near the earth's surface is typically moist because of the presence of a shallow marine layer. Except for infrequent periods when dry, continental air is brought into the SoCAB by offshore winds, the "ocean effect" is dominant. Periods of heavy fog, especially along the coast, are frequent, and low clouds, often referred to as high fog, are a characteristic climatic feature. Annual average humidity is 70 percent at the coast and 57 percent in the eastern portions of the SoCAB (SCAQMD 1993).

3

2.1.1.3 Wind

Wind patterns across the south coastal region are characterized by westerly or southwesterly onshore winds during the day and by easterly or northeasterly breezes at night. Wind speed is higher during the dry summer months than during the rainy winter.

Between periods of wind, air stagnation may occur in both the morning and evening hours. Air stagnation is one of the critical determinants of air quality conditions on any given day. During the winter and fall, surface high-pressure systems over the SoCAB, combined with other meteorological conditions, can result in very strong, downslope Santa Ana winds. These winds normally continue a few days before predominant meteorological conditions are reestablished.

The mountain ranges to the east affect the diffusion of pollutants by inhibiting the eastward transport of pollutants. Air quality in the SoCAB generally ranges from fair to poor and is similar to air quality in most of coastal Southern California. The entire region experiences heavy concentrations of air pollutants during prolonged periods of stable atmospheric conditions (SCAQMD 1993).

2.1.1.4 Inversion

In conjunction with the two characteristic wind patterns that affect the rate and orientation of horizontal pollutant transport, two similarly distinct types of temperature inversions control the vertical depth through which pollutants are mixed. These inversions are the marine/subsidence inversion and the radiation inversion. The height of the base of the inversion at any given time is known as the "mixing height." The combination of winds and inversions is a critical determinant leading to highly degraded air quality in the summer and generally good air quality in the winter in Pico Rivera (SCAQMD 1993).

2.1.2 Criteria Air Pollutants

Criteria air pollutants are defined as those pollutants for which the federal and state governments have established air quality standards for outdoor or ambient concentrations to protect public health with a determined margin of safety. Ozone (O₃), coarse particulate matter (PM₁₀), and fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) are generally considered to be regional pollutants because they or their precursors affect air quality on a regional scale. Pollutants such as carbon monoxide (CO), nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), and sulfur dioxide (SO₂) are considered to be local pollutants because they tend to accumulate in the air locally. PM is also considered a local pollutant. Health effects commonly associated with criteria pollutants are summarized in Table 2-1.

4

Table 2-1. Criteria Air Pollutants- Summary of Common Sources and Effects					
Pollutant	Major Manmade Sources	Human Health & Welfare Effects			
со	An odorless, colorless gas formed when carbon in fuel is not burned completely; a component of motor vehicle exhaust.	Reduces the ability of blood to deliver oxygen to vital tissues, effecting the cardiovascular and nervous system. Impairs vision, causes dizziness, and can lead to unconsciousness or death.			
NO ₂	A reddish-brown gas formed during fuel combustion for motor vehicles, energy utilities and industrial sources.	Respiratory irritant; aggravates lung and heart problems. Precursor to ozone and acid rain. Causes brown discoloration of the atmosphere.			
O ₃	Formed by a chemical reaction between reactive organic gases (ROGs) and nitrous oxides (N ₂ O) in the presence of sunlight. Common sources of these precursor pollutants include motor vehicle exhaust, industrial emissions, solvents, paints and landfills.	Irritates and causes inflammation of the mucous membranes and lung airways; causes wheezing, coughing and pain when inhaling deeply; decreases lung capacity; aggravates lung and heart problems. Damages plants; reduces crop yield.			
PM ₁₀ & PM _{2.5}	Power plants, steel mills, chemical plants, unpaved roads and parking lots, wood-burning stoves and fireplaces, automobiles and others.	Increased respiratory symptoms, such as irritation of the airways, coughing, or difficulty breathing; aggravated asthma; development of chronic bronchitis; irregular heartbeat; nonfatal heart attacks; and premature death in people with heart or lung disease. Impairs visibility (haze).			
SO ₂	A colorless, nonflammable gas formed when fuel containing sulfur is burned. Examples are refineries, cement manufacturing, and locomotives.	Respiratory irritant. Aggravates lung and heart problems. Can damage crops and natural vegetation. Impairs visibility.			

Source: California Air Pollution Control Officers Association (CAPCOA 2013)

2.1.2.1 Carbon Monoxide

CO in the urban environment is associated primarily with the incomplete combustion of fossil fuels in motor vehicles. CO combines with hemoglobin in the bloodstream and reduces the amount of oxygen that can be circulated through the body. High CO concentrations can cause headaches, aggravate cardiovascular disease and impair central nervous system functions. CO concentrations can vary greatly over comparatively short distances. Relatively high concentrations of CO are typically found near crowded intersections and along heavy roadways with slow moving traffic. Even under the most severe meteorological and traffic conditions, high concentrations of CO are limited to locations within relatively short distances of the source. Overall CO emissions are decreasing as a result of the Federal Motor Vehicle Control Program, which has mandated increasingly lower emission levels for vehicles manufactured since 1973. CO levels in the SoCAB are in compliance with the state and federal one- and eight-hour standards.

2.1.2.2 Nitrogen Oxides

Nitrogen gas comprises about 80 percent of the air and is naturally occurring. At high temperatures and under certain conditions, nitrogen can combine with oxygen to form several different gaseous compounds collectively called nitric oxides (NO_x). Motor vehicle emissions are the main source of NO_x in urban areas. NO_x is very toxic to animals and humans because of its ability to form nitric acid with water in the eyes, lungs, mucus membrane, and skin. In animals, long-term exposure to NO_x increases susceptibility to respiratory infections, and lowering resistance to such diseases as pneumonia and influenza. Laboratory studies show that susceptible humans, such as asthmatics, who are exposed to high concentrations can suffer from lung irritation or possible lung damage. Precursors of NO_x, such as NO and NO₂, attribute to the formation of O₃ and PM_{2.5}. Epidemiological studies have also shown associations between NO₂ concentrations and daily mortality from respiratory and cardiovascular causes and with hospital admissions for respiratory conditions.

2.1.2.3 Ozone

 O_3 is a secondary pollutant, meaning it is not directly emitted. It is formed when volatile organic compounds (VOCs) or ROGs and NO_x undergo photochemical reactions that occur only in the presence of sunlight. The primary source of ROG emissions is unburned hydrocarbons in motor vehicle and other internal combustion engine exhaust. NO_x forms as a result of the combustion process, most notably due to the operation of motor vehicles. Sunlight and hot weather cause ground-level O₃ to form. Ground-level O₃ is the primary constituent of smog. Because O_3 formation occurs over extended periods of time, both O_3 and its precursors are transported by wind and high O_3 concentrations can occur in areas well away from sources of its constituent pollutants.

People with lung disease, children, older adults, and people who are active can be affected when O₃ levels exceed ambient air quality standards. Numerous scientific studies have linked ground-level O₃ exposure to a variety of problems including lung irritation, difficult breathing, permanent lung damage to those with repeated exposure, and respiratory illnesses.

2.1.2.4 Particulate Matter

PM includes both aerosols and solid particulates of a wide range of sizes and composition. Of concern are those particles smaller than or equal to 10 microns in diameter size (PM₁₀) and small than or equal to 2.5 microns in diameter (PM_{2.5}). Smaller particulates are of greater concern because they can penetrate deeper into the lungs than larger particles. PM₁₀ is generally emitted directly as a result of mechanical processes that crush or grind larger particles or form the resuspension of dust, typically through construction activities and vehicular travel. PM₁₀ generally settles out of the atmosphere rapidly and is not readily transported over large distances. PM_{2.5} is directly emitted in combustion exhaust and is formed in atmospheric reactions between various gaseous pollutants, including NO_x, sulfur oxides (SO_x) and VOCs. PM_{2.5} can remain suspended in the atmosphere for days and/or weeks and can be transported long distances.

The principal health effects of airborne PM are on the respiratory system. Short-term exposure of high $PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{10} levels are associated with premature mortality and increased hospital admissions and emergency

room visits. Long-term exposure is associated with premature mortality and chronic respiratory disease. According to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), some people are much more sensitive than others to breathing PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}. People with influenza, chronic respiratory and cardiovascular diseases, and the elderly may suffer worse illnesses; people with bronchitis can expect aggravated symptoms; and children may experience decline in lung function due to breathing in PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}. Other groups considered sensitive include smokers and people who cannot breathe well through their noses. Exercising athletes are also considered sensitive because many breathe through their mouths.

2.1.3 Toxic Air Contaminants

In addition to the criteria pollutants discussed above, toxic air contaminants (TACs) are another group of pollutants of concern. TACs are considered either carcinogenic or noncarcinogenic based on the nature of the health effects associated with exposure to the pollutant. For regulatory purposes, carcinogenic TACs are assumed to have no safe threshold below which health impacts would not occur, and cancer risk is expressed as excess cancer cases per one million exposed individuals. Noncarcinogenic TACs differ in that there is generally assumed to be a safe level of exposure below which no negative health impact is believed to occur. These levels are determined on a pollutant-by-pollutant basis.

There are many different types of TACs, with varying degrees of toxicity. Sources of TACs include industrial processes such as petroleum refining and chrome plating operations, commercial operations such as gasoline stations and dry cleaners, and motor vehicle exhaust. Public exposure to TACs can result from emissions from normal operations, as well as from accidental releases of hazardous materials during upset conditions. The health effects of TACs include cancer, birth defects, neurological damage, and death.

Most recently, CARB identified DPM as a TAC. DPM differs from other TACs in that it is not a single substance but rather a complex mixture of hundreds of substances. Diesel exhaust is a complex mixture of particles and gases produced when an engine burns diesel fuel. DPM is a concern because it causes lung cancer; many compounds found in diesel exhaust are carcinogenic. DPM includes the particle-phase constituents in diesel exhaust. The chemical composition and particle sizes of DPM vary between different engine types (heavy-duty, light-duty), engine operating conditions (idle, accelerate, decelerate), fuel formulations (high/low sulfur fuel), and the year of the engine (USEPA 2002). Some short-term (acute) effects of diesel exhaust include eye, nose, throat, and lung irritation, and diesel exhaust can cause coughs, headaches, lightheadedness, and nausea. DPM poses the greatest health risk among the TACs; due to their extremely small size, these particles can be inhaled and eventually trapped in the bronchial and alveolar regions of the lung.

2.1.4 Ambient Air Quality

Ambient air quality at the Project Site can be inferred from ambient air quality measurements conducted at nearby air quality monitoring stations. CARB maintains more than 60 monitoring stations throughout California. O₃, PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} are the pollutant species most potently affecting the Project region. As described in detail below, the region is designated as a nonattainment area for the federal O₃ and PM_{2.5} standards and is also a nonattainment area for the state standards for O₃, PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ (CARB 2022b). The Pico Rivera air quality monitoring station (4144 San Gabriel River Parkway, Pico Rivera), located

7

approximately two miles northeast of the Project Site, monitors ambient concentrations of O_3 , while the Los Angeles – North Main Street air quality monitoring station (1630 North Main Street, Los Angeles), located 9.5 miles northwest of the Project Site monitors ambient concentrations of $PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{10} . Ambient emission concentrations will vary due to localized variations in emission sources and climate and should be considered "generally" representative of ambient concentrations in the Project Area.

Table 2-2 summarizes the published data concerning O_3 from the Pico Rivera Monitoring Station, and $PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{10} from the Los Angeles – North Main Street monitoring station. O_3 , PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ are the pollutant species most potently affecting the Project region.

Table 2-2. Summary of Ambient Air Quality Data							
Pollutant Standards	2019	2020	2021				
O ₃ – Pico Rivera Monitoring Station							
Max 1-hour concentration (ppm)	0.108	0.169	0.104				
Max 8-hour concentration (ppm) (state/federal)	0.092 / 0.091	0.114 / 0.114	0.074 / 0.074				
Number of days above 1-hour standard (state/federal)	5 / 0	20 / 3	2/0				
Number of days above 8-hour standard (state/federal)	8 / 1	25 / 7	3/0				
PM ₁₀ – Los Angeles – North Main Street Monitoring	Station						
Max 24-hour concentration (μ g/m ³) (state/federal)	93.9 / 62.4	185.2 / 83.7	138.5 / 64.0				
Number of days above 24-hour standard (state/federal)	* / *	35.6 / *	17.2 / *				
PM _{2.5} – Los Angeles – North Main Street Monitoring	Station						
Max 24-hour concentration (μ g/m ³) (state/federal)	* / *	35.6 / *	17.2 / 0				
Number of days above federal 24-hour standard	62.4	83.7	64.0				

Source: CARB 2022a

 $\mu g/m^3$ = micrograms per cubic meter; ppm = parts per million

* = Insufficient (or no) data available

The USEPA and CARB designate air basins or portions of air basins and counties as being in "attainment" or "nonattainment" for each of the criteria pollutants. Areas that do not meet the standards are classified as nonattainment areas. The National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) (other than O₃, PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} and those based on annual averages or arithmetic mean) are not to be exceeded more than once per year. The NAAQS for O₃, PM₁₀, and PM_{2.5} are based on statistical calculations over one- to three-year periods, depending on the pollutant. The California Ambient Air Quality Standards (CAAQS) are not to be exceeded during a three-year period. The attainment status for the Los Angeles County portion of the SoCAB, which encompasses the Project Site, is included in Table 2-3.

8

Table 2-3. Attainment Status of Criteria Pollutants in the Los Angeles County Portion of the SoCAB							
Pollutant	State Designation	Federal Designation					
O ₃	Nonattainment	Nonattainment					
PM ₁₀	Nonattainment	Attainment					
PM _{2.5}	Nonattainment	Nonattainment					
СО	Attainment	Unclassified/Attainment					
NO ₂	Attainment	Unclassified/Attainment					
SO ₂	Attainment	Unclassified/Attainment					
Lead	Attainment	Nonattainment					

Source: CARB 2022b

The determination of whether an area meets the state and federal standards is based on air quality monitoring data. Some areas are unclassified, which means there is insufficient monitoring data for determining attainment or nonattainment. Unclassified areas are typically treated as being in attainment. Because the attainment/nonattainment designation is pollutant-specific, an area may be classified as nonattainment for one pollutant and attainment for another. Similarly, because the state and federal standards differ, an area could be classified as attainment for the federal standards of a pollutant and as nonattainment for the state standards of the same pollutant. The region is designated as a nonattainment area for the federal O₃, PM_{2.5}, and lead standards and is also a nonattainment area for the state standards for O₃, PM_{2.5}, and PM₁₀ (CARB 2022b). It is noted that the Project would not be a source of lead emissions.

2.1.5 Sensitive Receptors

Sensitive receptors are defined as facilities or land uses that include members of the population who are particularly sensitive to the effects of air pollutants, such as children, the elderly, and people with illnesses. Examples of these sensitive receptors are residences, schools, hospitals, and daycare centers. CARB has identified the following groups of individuals as the most likely to be affected by air pollution: the elderly over 65, children under 14, athletes, and persons with cardiovascular and chronic respiratory diseases such as asthma, emphysema, and bronchitis. The nearest sensitive receptors to the Project Site are several single-family residences fronting Balfour Street, located directly adjacent to the Project Site's northern boundary.

2.2 Regulatory Framework

2.2.1 Federal

2.2.1.1 Clean Air Act

The Clean Air Act (CAA) of 1970 and the CAA Amendments of 1971 required the USEPA to establish the NAAQS, with states retaining the option to adopt more stringent standards or to include other specific pollutants.

These standards are the levels of air quality considered safe, with an adequate margin of safety, to protect the public health and welfare. They are designed to protect those "sensitive receptors" most susceptible to further respiratory distress such as asthmatics, the elderly, very young children, people already weakened by other disease or illness, and persons engaged in strenuous work or exercise. Healthy adults can tolerate occasional exposure to air pollutant concentrations considerably above these minimum standards before adverse effects are observed.

The USEPA has classified air basins (or portions thereof) as being in attainment, nonattainment, or unclassified for each criteria air pollutant, based on whether or not the NAAQS have been achieved. If an area is designated unclassified, it is because inadequate air quality data were available as a basis for a nonattainment or attainment designation. Table 2-3 lists the federal attainment status of the SoCAB for the criteria pollutants.

2.2.2 State

2.2.2.1 California Clean Air Act

The California Clean Air Act (CCAA) allows the State to adopt ambient air quality standards and other regulations provided that they are at least as stringent as federal standards. CARB, a part of the California Environmental Protection Agency, is responsible for the coordination and administration of both federal and state air pollution control programs within California, including setting the CAAQS. CARB also conducts research, compiles emission inventories, develops suggested control measures, and provides oversight of local programs. CARB establishes emissions standards for motor vehicles sold in California, consumer products (such as hairspray, aerosol paints, and barbecue lighter fluid), and various types of commercial equipment. It also sets fuel specifications to further reduce vehicular emissions. CARB also has primary responsibility for the development of California's State Implementation Plan (SIP), for which it works closely with the federal government and the local air districts.

2.2.2.2 California State Implementation Plan

The federal CAA (and its subsequent amendments) requires each state to prepare an air quality control plan referred to as the SIP. The SIP is a living document that is periodically modified to reflect the latest emissions inventories, plans, and rules and regulations of air basins as reported by the agencies with jurisdiction over them. The CAA Amendments dictate that states containing areas violating the NAAQS revise their SIPs to include extra control measures to reduce air pollution. The SIP includes strategies and control measures to attain the NAAQS by deadlines established by the CAA. The USEPA has the responsibility to review all SIPs to determine if they conform to the requirements of the CAA.

State law makes CARB the lead agency for all purposes related to the SIP. Local air districts and other agencies prepare SIP elements and submit them to CARB for review and approval. CARB then forwards SIP revisions to the USEPA for approval and publication in the Federal Register. The 2022 Air Quality Management Plan (2022 AQMP) is the SIP for the SoCAB. The 2022 AQMP is a regional blueprint for achieving air guality standards and healthful air in the SoCAB and those portions of the Salton Sea Air Basin that are under SCAQMD's jurisdiction. The 2022 AQMP includes aggressive new regulations and the development of incentive programs to support early deployment of advanced technologies. The two key areas for incentive programs are (1) promoting widespread deployment of available zero emission and low NOx technologies and (2) developing new zero emission and ultra-low NOx technologies for use in cases where the technology is not currently available. The 2022 AQMP prioritizes distribution of incentive funding in "environmental justice" areas and seek opportunities to focus benefits on the most disadvantaged communities. The 2022 AQMP focuses on available, proven, and cost-effective alternatives to traditional strategies, while seeking to achieve multiple goals in partnership with other entities promoting reductions in GHGs and toxic risk, as well as efficiencies in energy use, transportation, and goods movement. The AQMP relies on a regional and multi-level partnership of governmental agencies at the federal, state, regional, and local level. These agencies (USEPA, CARB, local governments, Southern California Association of Governments [SCAG] and the SCAQMD) are the primary agencies that implement the AQMP programs. The 2022 AQMP incorporates the latest scientific and technical information and planning assumptions, including SCAG's latest Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy, updated emission inventory methodologies for various source categories, and SCAG's latest growth forecasts. The 2022 AQMP includes integrated strategies and measures to meet the NAAQS. The current status of the SIPs for the SoCAB's nonattainment pollutants are shown below:

- On November 28, 2007, CARB submitted a SIP revision to the USEPA for O₃, PM_{2.5} (1997 Standard), CO, and NO₂ in the SoCAB. This revision is identified as the "2007 South Coast SIP". The 2007 South Coast SIP demonstrates attainment of the federal PM_{2.5} standard in the SoCAB by 2014 and attainment of the federal eight-hour O₃ standard by 2023. This SIP also includes a request to reclassify the O₃ attainment designation from "severe" to "extreme". The USEPA approved the redesignation effective June 4, 2010. The "extreme" designation requires the attainment of the eight-hour O₃ standard in the SoCAB by June 2024. CARB approved PM_{2.5} SIP revisions in April 2011 and the O₃ SIP revisions in July 2011. The USEPA approved the PM_{2.5} SIP in 2013 and has approved 46 of the 61, 1997 eight-hour O₃ SIP requirements. In 2014, the USEPA proposed a finding that the SoCAB has attained the 1997 PM_{2.5} standards; however, the SoCAB was not redesignated as an attainment area because the USEPA had not approved a maintenance plan and additional requirements under the CAA had not been met.
- In 2012, the SCAQMD adopted the 2012 AQMP, which was a regional and multiagency effort (the SCAQMD, CARB, SCAG, and the USEPA). The primary purposes of the 2012 AQMP were to

demonstrate attainment of the federal 24-hour PM_{2.5} standard by 2014 and to update the USEPAapproved eight-hour Ozone Control Plan. In 2012, the 2012 AQMP was submitted to CARB and the USEPA for concurrent review and approval for inclusion in the SIP. The 2012 AQMP was approved by CARB on January 25, 2013.

- In 2017, the SCAQMD adopted the 2016 AQMP. The 2016 AQMP includes strategies and measures to meet the following NAAQS:
 - 2008 eight-hour O_3 (75 parts per billion [ppb]) by 2013
 - 2012 Annual PM_{2.5} (12 μg/m³) by 2025
 - 1997 eight-hour O₃ (80 ppb) by 2023
 - 1979 one-hour O₃ (120 ppb) by 2022
 - 2006 24-hour PM_{2.5} (35 μg/m³) by 2019
- In 2022, the SCAQMD adopted the 2022 AQMP. In response to the USEPA lowering the primary and secondary O₃ standard levels to 70 ppb, the 2022 AQMP was developed to address the requirements for meeting this standard. The 2022 AQMP explores new and innovative ways to accomplish these goals through incentive programs, efficiency improvements, recognition of cobenefits from other programs, regulatory measures, and other voluntary actions.

2.2.2.3 Tanner Air Toxics Act & Air Toxics "Hot Spots" Information and Assessment Act

CARB's statewide comprehensive air toxics program was established in 1983 with Assembly Bill (AB) 1807, the Toxic Air Contaminant Identification and Control Act (Tanner Air Toxics Act of 1983). AB 1807 created California's program to reduce exposure to air toxics and sets forth a formal procedure for CARB to designate substances as TACs. Once a TAC is identified, CARB adopts an airborne toxics control measure (ATCM) for sources that emit designated TACs. If there is a safe threshold for a substance at which there is no toxic effect, the control measure must reduce exposure to below that threshold. If there is no safe threshold, the measure must incorporate toxics best available control technology to minimize emissions.

CARB also administers the State's mobile source emissions control program and oversees air quality programs established by state statute, such as AB 2588, the Air Toxics "Hot Spots" Information and Assessment Act of 1987. Under AB 2588, TAC emissions from individual facilities are quantified and prioritized by the air quality management district or air pollution control district. High priority facilities are required to perform a health risk assessment (HRA) and, if specific thresholds are exceeded, required to communicate the results to the public in the form of notices and public meetings. In September 1992, the "Hot Spots" Act was amended by Senate Bill (SB) 1731, which required facilities that pose a significant health risk to the community to reduce their risk through a risk management plan.

2.2.3 Local

2.2.3.1 South Coast Air Quality Management District

The SCAQMD is the air pollution control agency for Orange County and the urban portions of Los Angeles, Riverside, and San Bernardino counties, including the Project Site. The agency's primary responsibility is ensuring that the NAAQS and CAAQS are attained and maintained in the SoCAB. The SCAQMD is also responsible for adopting and enforcing rules and regulations concerning air pollutant sources, issuing permits for stationary sources of air pollutants, inspecting stationary sources of air pollutants, responding to citizen complaints, monitoring ambient air quality and meteorological conditions, awarding grants to reduce motor vehicle emissions, and conducting public education campaigns, as well as many other activities. All projects are subject to SCAQMD rules and regulations in effect at the time of construction.

The following is a list of noteworthy SCAQMD rules that are required of construction activities associated with the Proposed Project:

- Rule 201 & Rule 203 (Permit to Construct & Permit to Operate) Rule 201 requires a "Permit to Construct" prior to the installation of any equipment "the use of which may cause the issuance of air contaminants . . ." and Regulation II provides the requirements for the application for a Permit to Construct. Rule 203 similarly requires a Permit to Operate.
- Rule 212 (Standards for Approving Permits and Issuing Public Notice)- This rule requires the applicant to show that the equipment used of which may cause the issuance of air contaminants or the use of which may eliminate, reduce, or control the issuance of air contaminants, is so designed, controlled, or equipped with such air pollution control equipment that it may be expected to operate without emitting air contaminates in violation of Section 41700, 4170 or 44300 of the Health and Safety Code or of these rules.
- Rule 402 (Nuisance) This rule prohibits the discharge from any source whatsoever such quantities of air contaminants or other material which cause injury, detriment, nuisance, or annoyance to any considerable number of persons or to the public, or which endanger the comfort, repose, health, or safety of any such persons or the public, or which cause, or have a natural tendency to cause, injury or damage to business or property. This rule does not apply to odors emanating from agricultural operations necessary for the growing of crops or the raising of fowl or animals.
- Rule 403 (Fugitive Dust) This rule requires fugitive dust sources to implement best available control measures for all sources, and all forms of visible PM are prohibited from crossing any property line. This rule is intended to reduce PM₁₀ emissions from any transportation, handling, construction, or storage activity that has the potential to generate fugitive dust. PM₁₀ suppression techniques are summarized below.
 - a) Portions of a construction site to remain inactive longer than a period of three months will be seeded and watered until grass cover is grown or otherwise stabilized.
 - b) All onsite roads will be paved as soon as feasible or watered periodically or chemically stabilized.

- c) All material transported offsite will be either sufficiently watered or securely covered to prevent excessive amounts of dust.
- d) The area disturbed by clearing, grading, earthmoving, or excavation operations will be minimized at all times.
- e) Where vehicles leave a construction site and enter adjacent public streets, the streets will be swept daily or washed down at the end of the workday to remove soil tracked onto the paved surface.
- Rule 1113 (Architectural Coatings) This rule requires manufacturers, distributors, and end-users of architectural and industrial maintenance coatings to reduce ROG emissions from the use of these coatings, primarily by placing limits on the ROG content of various coating categories.
- Rule 1401 (New Source Review of Toxic Air Contaminants) This rule requires new source review of any new, relocated, or modified permit units that emit TACs. The rule establishes allowable risks for permit units requiring permits pursuant to Rules 201 and 203 discussed above.

2.2.2.4 Southern California Association of Governments

On September 3, 2020, the SCAG Regional Council adopted the *2020-2045 Regional Transportation Plan/ Sustainable Communities Strategy* (2020 RTP/SCS). The 2020 RTP/SCS charts a course for closely integrating land use and transportation – so that the region can grow smartly and sustainably. It was prepared through a collaborative, continuous, and comprehensive process with input from local governments, county transportation commissions, tribal governments, non-profit organizations, businesses and local stakeholders within the counties of Imperial, Los Angeles, Orange, Riverside, San Bernardino, and Ventura. The 2020 RTP/SCS is a long-range visioning plan that balances future mobility and housing needs with economic, environmental and public health goals. The SCAG region strives toward sustainability through integrated land use and transportation planning. The SCAG region must achieve specific federal air quality standards and is required by state law to lower regional GHG emissions. Specifically, the region has been tasked by CARB to achieve a 19 percent per capita reduction by the end of 2035.

2.3 Air Quality Emissions Impact Assessment

2.3.1 Thresholds of Significance

The impact analysis provided below is based on the following California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines Appendix G thresholds of significance. The Project would result in a significant impact to air quality if it would do any of the following:

- 1) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of any applicable air quality plan.
- 2) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the Project region is nonattainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors).
- 3) Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations.

4) Result in other emissions (such as those leading to odors adversely affecting a substantial number of people).

The significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district (SCAQMD) may be relied upon to make the above determinations. According to the SCAQMD, an air quality impact is considered significant if the Proposed Project would violate any ambient air quality standard, contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation, or expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations. The SCAQMD has established thresholds of significance for air quality for construction and operational activities of land use development projects such as that proposed, as shown in Table 2-4.

Air Pollutant	Construction Activities	Operations
Reactive Organic Gas	75	55
Carbon Monoxide	550	550
Nitrogen Oxide	100	55
Sulfur Oxide	150	150
Coarse Particulate Matter	150	150
Fine Particulate Matter	55	55

Source: SCAQMD 1993 (PM_{2.5} threshold adopted June 1, 2007)

By its very nature, air pollution is largely a cumulative impact. No single project is sufficient in size, by itself, to result in nonattainment of ambient air quality standards. Instead, a project's individual emissions contribute to existing cumulatively significant adverse air quality impacts. If a project's individual emissions exceed its identified significance thresholds, the project would be cumulatively considerable. Projects that do not exceed significance thresholds would not be considered cumulative considerable.

Localized Significance Thresholds

In addition to regional significance thresholds, the SCAQMD developed localized significance thresholds (LSTs) for emissions of NO₂, CO, PM₁₀, and PM_{2.5} generated at new development sites (offsite mobile source emissions are not included in the LST analysis protocol). LSTs represent the maximum emissions that can be generated at a Project Site without expecting to cause or substantially contribute to an exceedance of the most stringent national or state ambient air quality standards. LSTs are based on the ambient concentrations of that pollutant within the Project source receptor area (SRA), as demarcated by the SCAQMD, and the distance to the nearest sensitive receptor. LST analysis is applicable for all projects that disturb five acres or less on a single day. The SCAQMD has prepared mass rate LST look-up tables for projects disturbing one acre, two acres, and five acres. The Proposed Project spans approximately 18.4-acres and is located within SCAQMD SRA 5 (Southeast Los Angeles County). Table 2-5 shows the LSTs for a

Table 2-5. Local Significance Thresholds at 25 Meters of a Sensitive Receptor Pollutant							
Project Size	NO ₂	(pounds CO	per day) PM ₁₀	PM _{2.5}			
Construction Threshold							
1 Acres	114	571	4	3			
2 Acres	80	861	7	4			
5 Acres	172	1,480	14	7			

one-acre, two-acre, and five-acre project site in SRA 5, as derived from the SCAQMD mass rate LST look-up tables, with sensitive receptors located within 25 meters.

Source: SCAQMD 2009

2.3.2 Methodology

Air quality impacts were assessed in accordance with methodologies recommended by the SCAQMD. Where criteria air pollutant quantification was required, emissions were modeled using the California Emissions Estimator Model (CalEEMod), version 2022.1. CalEEMod is a statewide land use emissions computer model designed to quantify potential criteria pollutant emissions associated with both construction and operations from a variety of land use projects. Project construction-generated air pollutant emissions were calculated primarily using CalEEMod model equipment defaults for Los Angeles County. Once construction is complete, no emissions would be emitted greater than beyond existing conditions, resulting in no operational emission impacts.

2.3.3 Impact Analysis Impact Analysis

2.3.3.1 Project Construction-Generated Criteria Air Quality Emissions

Regional Construction Significance Analysis

Construction-generated emissions are temporary and short-term but have the potential to represent a significant air quality impact. Three basic sources of short-term emissions will be generated through construction of the Proposed Project: operation of the construction vehicles (i.e., excavators, trenchers, dump trucks), the creation of fugitive dust during clearing and grading, and the use of asphalt or other oil-based substances during paving activities. Construction activities such as excavation and grading operations, construction vehicle traffic, and wind blowing over exposed soils would generate exhaust emissions and fugitive PM emissions that affect local air quality at various times during construction. Effects would be variable depending on the weather, soil conditions, the amount of activity taking place, and the nature of dust control efforts. The dry climate of the area during the summer months creates a high potential

for dust generation. Construction activities would be subject to SCAQMD Rule 403, which requires taking reasonable precautions to prevent the emissions of fugitive dust, such as using water or chemicals, where possible, for control of dust during the clearing of land and other construction activities.

Construction-generated emissions associated the Proposed Project were calculated using the CARBapproved CalEEMod computer program, which is designed to model emissions for land use development projects, based on typical construction requirements. See Attachment A for more information regarding the construction assumptions, including construction equipment and duration, used in this analysis.

Predicted maximum daily construction-generated emissions for the Proposed Project are summarized in Table 2-6. Construction-generated emissions are short-term and of temporary duration, lasting only as long as construction activities occur, but would be considered a significant air quality impact if the volume of pollutants generated exceeds the SCAQMD's thresholds of significance.

Table 2-6. Construction-Related Emissions (Regional Significance Analysis)							
Construction Voor	Pollutant (pounds per day)						
Construction Year	ROG	NOx	со	SO ₂	PM ₁₀	PM _{2.5}	
Construction Year One	1.73	17.40	16.20	0.03	3.03	1.70	
Construction Year Two	1.34	11.70	14.20	0.02	0.58	0.47	
SCAQMD Regional Significance Threshold	75	100	550	150	150	55	
Exceed SCAQMD Regional Threshold?	No	No	No	No	No	No	

Table 2-6. Construction-Related Emissions (Regional Significance Analysis)

Source: CalEEMod version 2022.1. Refer to Attachment A for Model Data Outputs.

Notes: Emissions taken from the season (summer or winter) with the highest output. Emission reduction/credits for construction emissions are applied based on the required implementation of SCAQMD Rule 403. The specific Rule 403 measures applied in CalEEMod include the following: sweeping/cleaning adjacent roadway access areas daily; washing equipment tires before leaving the construction site; water exposed surfaces three times daily; and limit speeds on unpaved roads to 15 miles per hour. Building construction, paving and painting assumed to occur simultaneously.

As shown in Table 2-6, emissions generated during Project construction would not exceed the SCAQMD's regional thresholds of significance. Therefore, criteria pollutant emissions generated during Project construction would not result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the Project region is nonattainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard.

Localized Construction Significance Analysis

The nearest sensitive receptors to the Project Site are several single-family residences fronting Balfour Street, located directly adjacent to the Project Site's northern boundary. LSTs were developed in response to SCAQMD Governing Boards' Environmental Justice Enhancement Initiative (I-4). The SCAQMD provided the *Final Localized Significance Threshold Methodology* (dated June 2003 [revised 2008]) for guidance. The LST methodology assists lead agencies in analyzing localized impacts associated with Project-specific level proposed projects.

For this Project, the appropriate SRA for the localized significance thresholds is Southeast Los Angeles County, SRA 5. As previously described, the SCAQMD has produced lookup tables for projects that disturb less than or equal to five acres daily. The SCAQMD has also issued guidance on applying the CalEEMod emissions software to LSTs for projects greater than five acres. Since CalEEMod calculates construction emissions based on the number of equipment hours and the maximum daily soil disturbance activity possible for each piece of equipment, Table 2-7 is used to determine the maximum daily disturbed acreage for comparison to LSTs. All construction years have the same equipment, as such, only phases are show in the table.

Table 2-7. Equipment-Specific Grading Rates							
Construction Phase	Equipment Type	Acres Graded/Disturbed per 8-Hour Day	Equipment Quantity	Operating Hours per Day	Acres Graded per Day		
Cita Dranavatian	Rubber Tired Dozers	0.5	1	8	0.5		
Site Preparation	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	0.5	1	8	0.5		
	Site Preparation Total:				1.0		
	Excavators	0.0	1	8	0.0		
Creding	Graders	0.5	1	8	0.5		
Grading	Rubber Tired Dozers	0.5	1	8	0.5		
	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	0.5	1	8	0.5		
	Grading Total:				1.5		
	Air Compressors	0.0	1	8	0.0		
	Cranes	0.0	1	8	0.0		
	Forklifts	0.0	1	8	0.0		
	Generator Sets	0.0	1	8	0.0		
Building Construction,	Pavers	0.0	1	8	0.0		
Paving and Painting	Paving Equipment	0.0	1	8	0.0		
i unung	Rollers	0.0	1	8	0.0		
	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	0.5	1	8	0.5		
	Welders	0.0	1	8	0.0		
	Building Construction, Pavi	ing and Painting Tota	l:		0.5		

As shown in Table 2-7, Project implementation could potentially disturb a total maximum of 1.0 acres during site preparation, 1.5 acres during site grading, and 0.5 acres during the combined building construction, paving, and painting phases. As described, the SCAQMD has produced lookup tables for projects that disturb one, two and five acres. Since the Project Site could potentially disturb over one acre during the site

preparation and grading phase and less than an acre during the building construction, paving and painting phases, the LST threshold value for a one-acre site was employed from the LST lookup tables.

LST thresholds are provided for distances to sensitive receptors of 25, 50, 100, 200, and 500 meters. The nearest sensitive receptors to construction activity as a result of the Project are residences located directly adjacent to the Project Site (<25 meters). Notwithstanding, the SCAQMD Methodology explicitly states: "It is possible that a project may have receptors closer than 25 meters. Projects with boundaries located closer than 25 meters to the nearest receptor should use the LSTs for receptors located at 25 meters." Therefore, LSTs for receptors located at 25 meters were utilized in this analysis.

The SCAQMD's methodology clearly states that "offsite mobile emissions from a project should not be included in the emissions compared to LSTs." Therefore, for purposes of the construction LST analysis, only emissions included in the CalEEMod "onsite" emissions outputs were considered. Table 2-8 presents the results of localized emissions from the most polluting activity for each year of construction.

	•	-	-	
A	Pollutant (pounds per day)			
Activity	NOx	со	PM 10	PM _{2.5}
Site Preparation	11.60	10.30	0.52	0.47
Grading	15.80	15.00	2.57	1.56
Building Construction, Paving, and Painting (Calander Year One)	12.35	13.83	0.53	0.50
Building Construction, Paving, and Painting (Calander Year Two)	11.65	13.75	0.48	0.45
SCAQMD Localized Significance Threshold (1.0 acre of disturbance)	80	571	4	3
Exceed SCAQMD Localized Threshold?	No	No	No	No

Table 2-8. Construction-Related Emissions (Localized Significance Analysis)

Source: CalEEMod version 2022.1 Refer to Attachment A for Model Data Outputs.

Notes: Emissions taken from the season (summer or winter) with the highest output. This modeling output accounts for 282 cubic yards of cut and 2428 cubic yards of fill during the grading phase. Emission reduction/credits for construction emissions are applied based on the required implementation of SCAQMD Rule 403. The specific Rule 403 measures applied in CalEEMod include the following: sweeping/cleaning adjacent roadway access areas; water exposed surfaces; and limit speeds on unpaved roads. Building construction, paving and painting assumed to occur simultaneously.

Table 2-8 shows that the emissions of these pollutants on the peak day of construction would not result in significant concentrations of pollutants at nearby sensitive receptors. Therefore, significant impacts would not occur concerning LSTs during construction activities. LSTs were developed in response to SCAQMD Governing Boards' Environmental Justice Enhancement Initiative. The SCAQMD Environmental Justice Enhancement Initiative program seeks to ensure that everyone has the right to equal protection from air pollution. The Environmental Justice Program is divided into three categories, with the LST protocol promulgated under Category I: *Further-Reduced Health Risk*. Thus, the fact that onsite Project construction

emissions would be generated at rates below the LSTs for NO_x, CO, PM₁₀, and PM_{2.5} demonstrates that the Project would not adversely impact the neighboring receptors in the vicinity of the Project.

2.3.3.2 Project Operations Criteria Air Quality Emissions

Regional Operational Significance Analysis

The Project is proposing several improvements to the existing baseball field on the campus for the purposes of modernization. The improvements to the baseball field, addition of batting cages, field lighting, and foul ball netting would not have effect student population, no additional school sports programs would be added, and there would be no increase the number of participants or spectators. According to the traffic volume study completed for the Proposed Project, the average daily trips would not change from existing conditions for the Project Site. The operational emissions would solely be generated from the field lighting associated with the baseball field and would have a negligible contribution to existing conditions. Therefore, the Project would not generate quantifiable criteria emissions from Project operations.

2.3.3.3 Conflict with the 2022 Air Quality Management Plan

As part of its enforcement responsibilities, the USEPA requires each state with nonattainment areas to prepare and submit a SIP that demonstrates the means to attain the federal standards. The SIP must integrate federal, state, and local plan components and regulations to identify specific measures to reduce pollution in nonattainment areas, using a combination of performance standards and market-based programs. Similarly, under state law, the CCAA requires an air quality attainment plan to be prepared for areas designated as nonattainment with regard to the NAAQS and CAAQS. Air quality attainment plans outline emissions limits and control measures to achieve and maintain these standards by the earliest practical date.

As previously mentioned, the Project Site is located within the SoCAB, which is under the jurisdiction of the SCAQMD. The SCAQMD is required, pursuant to the federal CAA, to reduce emissions of criteria pollutants for which the SoCAB is in nonattainment. In order to reduce such emissions, the SCAQMD drafted and adopted the 2022 AQMP. The 2022 AQMP establishes a program of rules and regulations directed at reducing air pollutant emissions and achieving state and federal air quality standards. The 2022 AQMP is a regional and multi-agency effort including the SCAQMD, CARB, SCAG, and the USEPA. The plan's pollutant control strategies are based on the latest scientific and technical information and planning assumptions, including SCAG's latest RTP/SCS, updated emission inventory methodologies for various source categories, and SCAG's latest growth forecasts. (SCAG's latest growth forecasts were defined in consultation with local governments and with reference to local general plans.) The Project is subject to the SCAQMD's AQMP.

According to the SCAQMD, in order to determine consistency with SCAQMD's air quality planning two main criteria must be addressed.

Criterion 1:

With respect to the first criterion, SCAQMD methodologies require that an air quality analysis for a project include forecasts of project emissions in relation to contributing to air quality violations and delay of attainment.

a) Would the project result in an increase in the frequency or severity of existing air quality violations or cause or contribute to new air quality violations?

As shown in Table 2-6 and 2-8 above, the Proposed Project would result in emissions that would be below the SCAQMD regional and localized thresholds during construction. As also demonstrated, operations of the Project would not result in the generation of emissions beyond existing conditions. Therefore, the Proposed Project would not result in an increase in the frequency or severity of existing air quality violations and would not have the potential to cause or affect a violation of the ambient air quality standards.

b) Would the project delay timely attainment of air quality standards or the interim emissions reductions specified in the AQMP?

As shown in Table 2-6, the Proposed Project would result in emissions that would be below the SCAQMD regional thresholds during construction. As also demonstrated, operations of the Project would not result in the generation of emissions beyond existing conditions. Because the Project would result in less than significant regional emission impacts, it would not delay the timely attainment of air quality standards or AQMP emissions reductions.

Criterion 2:

With respect to the second criterion for determining consistency with SCAQMD and SCAG air quality policies, it is important to recognize that air quality planning within the SoCAB focuses on attainment of ambient air quality standards at the earliest feasible date. Projections for achieving air quality goals are based on assumptions regarding population, housing, and growth trends. Thus, the SCAQMD's second criterion for determining Project consistency focuses on whether or not the Proposed Project exceeds the assumptions utilized in preparing the forecasts presented its air quality planning documents. Determining whether or not a project exceeds the assumptions reflected in the 2022 AQMP involves the evaluation of the three criteria outlined below. The following discussion provides an analysis of each of these criteria.

a) Would the project be consistent with the population, housing, and employment growth projections utilized in the preparation of the 2022 AQMP?

A project is consistent with regional air quality planning efforts in part if it is consistent with the population, housing, and employment assumptions that were used in the development of the SCAQMD air quality plans. Generally, three sources of data form the basis for the projections of air pollutant emissions in Pico Rivera. Specifically, SCAG's Growth Management Chapter of the Regional Comprehensive Plan and Guide (RCPG) provides regional population forecasts for the region and SCAG's RTP/SCS provides socioeconomic forecast projections of regional population growth. The City of Pico Rivera's General Plan is referenced by SCAG in order to assist forecasting future growth in the City.

The Project is proposing several improvements to the existing baseball field on campus. The improvements to the baseball diamond, addition of batting cages, field lighting, and foul ball netting would not have effect student population, no additional school sports programs would be added, and there would be no increase the number of participants or spectators. The Project does not involve the development of new housing or employment centers. As such, the Project would not be contributing to an increase in population, housing or employment growth. Therefore, the Proposed Project would be considered consistent with the population, housing, and employment growth projections utilized in the preparation of SCAQMD's air quality plans.

b) Would the project implement all feasible air quality mitigation measures?

In order to further reduce emissions, the Project would be required to comply with emission reduction measures promulgated by the SCAQMD, such as SCAQMD Rules 201, 402, 403, and 1113. SCAQMD Rule 402 prohibits the discharge from any source whatsoever such quantities of air contaminants or other material which cause injury, detriment, nuisance, or annoyance to any considerable number of persons or to the public, or which endanger the comfort, repose, health, or safety of any such persons or the public, or which cause, or have a natural tendency to cause, injury or damage to business or property. SCAQMD Rule 403 requires fugitive dust sources to implement Best Available Control Measures for all sources, and all forms of visible particulate matter are prohibited from crossing any property line. SCAQMD Rule 403 is intended to reduce PM₁₀ emissions from any transportation, handling, construction, or storage activity that has the potential to generate fugitive dust. SCAQMD 1113 requires manufacturers, distributors, and end-users of architectural and industrial maintenance coatings to reduce ROG emissions from the use of these coatings, primarily by placing limits on the ROG content of various coating categories. As such, the Proposed Project meets this consistency criterion.

c) Would the project be consistent with the land use planning strategies set forth by SCAQMD air quality planning efforts?

The AQMP contains air pollutant reduction strategies based on SCAG's latest growth forecasts, and SCAG's growth forecasts were defined in consultation with local governments and with reference to local general plans. The Proposed Project is consistent with the land use designation and development density presented in the City's General Plan and therefore, would not exceed the population or job growth projections used by the SCAQMD to develop the AQMP.

In conclusion, the determination of AQMP consistency is primarily concerned with the long-term influence of a project on air quality. The Proposed Project would not result in a long-term impact on the region's ability to meet state and federal air quality standards. The Proposed Project's long-term influence would also be consistent with the goals and policies of the SCAQMD's 2022 AQMP.

The Project would be consistent with the emission-reduction goals of the 2022 AQMP.

2.3.3.4 Exposure of Sensitive Receptors to Toxic Air Contaminants

As previously described, sensitive receptors are defined as facilities or land uses that include members of the population that are particularly sensitive to the effects of air pollutants, such as children, the elderly, and people with illnesses. Examples of these sensitive receptors are residences, schools, hospitals, and daycare centers. CARB has identified the following groups of individuals as the most likely to be affected by air pollution: the elderly over age 65, children under age 14, athletes, and persons with cardiovascular and chronic respiratory diseases such as asthma, emphysema, and bronchitis. The nearest sensitive receptors to the Project Site are the single-family residences fronting Balfour Street, located directly adjacent to the Project Site's northern boundary.

Construction-Generated Air Contaminants

Construction-related activities would result in temporary, short-term Proposed Project-generated emissions of diesel particulate matter (DPM), ROG, NOx, CO, and PM₁₀ from the exhaust of off-road, heavy-duty diesel equipment for site preparation (e.g., clearing, grading); soil hauling truck traffic; paving; and other miscellaneous activities. The region is designated as a nonattainment area for the federal O₃, PM_{2.5}, and lead standards and is also a nonattainment area for the state standards for O₃, PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ (CARB 2022b). Thus, existing O₃, PM₁₀, and PM_{2.5} levels in the SoCAB are at unhealthy levels during certain periods. However, as shown in Table 2-6 and Table 2-8, the Project would not exceed the SCAQMD regional or localized significance thresholds for emissions.

The health effects associated with O_3 are generally associated with reduced lung function. Because the Project would not involve construction activities that would result in O_3 precursor emissions (ROG or NOx) in excess of the SCAQMD thresholds, the Project is not anticipated to substantially contribute to regional O_3 concentrations and the associated health impacts.

CO tends to be a localized impact associated with congested intersections. In terms of adverse health effects, CO competes with oxygen, often replacing it in the blood, reducing the blood's ability to transport oxygen to vital organs. The results of excess CO exposure can include dizziness, fatigue, and impairment of central nervous system functions. The Project would not involve construction activities that would result in CO emissions in excess of the SCAQMD thresholds. Thus, the Project's CO emissions would not contribute to the health effects associated with this pollutant.

Particulate matter (PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}) contains microscopic solids or liquid droplets that are so small that they can get deep into the lungs and cause serious health problems. Particulate matter exposure has been linked to a variety of problems, including premature death in people with heart or lung disease, nonfatal heart attacks, irregular heartbeat, aggravated asthma, decreased lung function, and increased respiratory symptoms such as irritation of the airways, coughing, or difficulty breathing. For construction activity, DPM is the primary TAC of concern. PM₁₀ exhaust is considered a surrogate for DPM as all diesel exhaust is considered to be DPM and PM₁₀ exhaust contains PM_{2.5} exhaust as a subset. As with O₃ and NOx, the Project would not generate emissions of PM₁₀ or PM_{2.5} that would exceed the SCAQMD's thresholds. Accordingly, the Project's PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} emissions are not expected to cause any increase in related regional health effects for these pollutants.

In summary, Project construction would not result in a potentially significant contribution to regional concentrations of nonattainment pollutants and would not result in a significant contribution to the adverse health impacts associated with those pollutants.

Operational Air Contaminants

Operation of the Proposed Project would not result in the development of any substantial sources of air toxics. There are no stationary sources associated with the operations of the Project; nor would the Project attract additional mobile sources that spend long periods queuing and idling at the site. Onsite Project emissions would not result in significant concentrations of pollutants at nearby sensitive receptors. The Project would not have a high carcinogenic or non-carcinogenic risk during operation.

Carbon Monoxide Hot Spots

It has long been recognized that CO exceedances are caused by vehicular emissions, primarily when idling at intersections. Concentrations of CO are a direct function of the number of vehicles, length of delay, and traffic flow conditions. Under certain meteorological conditions, CO concentrations close to congested intersections that experience high levels of traffic and elevated background concentrations may reach unhealthy levels, affecting nearby sensitive receptors. Given the high traffic volume potential, areas of high CO concentrations, or "hot spots," are typically associated with intersections that are projected to operate at unacceptable levels of service during the peak commute hours. It has long been recognized that CO hotspots are caused by vehicular emissions, primarily when idling at congested intersections. However, transport of this criteria pollutant is extremely limited, and CO disperses rapidly with distance from the source under normal meteorological conditions. Furthermore, vehicle emissions standards have become increasingly more stringent in the last 20 years. Currently, the allowable CO emissions standard in California is a maximum of 3.4 grams/mile for passenger cars (there are requirements for certain vehicles that are more stringent). With the turnover of older vehicles, introduction of cleaner fuels, and implementation of increasingly sophisticated and efficient emissions control technologies, CO concentration in the SoCAB is designated as in attainment. Detailed modeling of Project-specific CO "hot spots" is not necessary and thus this potential impact is addressed qualitatively.

A CO "hot spot" would occur if an exceedance of the state one-hour standard of 20 parts per million (ppm) or the eight-hour standard of 9 ppm were to occur. The analysis prepared for CO attainment in the South Coast Air Quality Management District's (SCAQMD's) *1992 Federal Attainment Plan for Carbon Monoxide* in Los Angeles County and a Modeling and Attainment Demonstration prepared by the SCAQMD as part of the 2003 AQMP can be used to demonstrate the potential for CO exceedances of these standards. The SCAQMD is the air pollution control officer for much of southern California. The SCAQMD conducted a CO hot spot analysis as part of the 1992 CO Federal Attainment Plan at four busy intersections in Los Angeles County during the peak morning and afternoon time periods. The intersections evaluated included Long Beach Boulevard and Imperial Highway (Lynwood), Wilshire Boulevard and Veteran Avenue (Westwood), Sunset Boulevard and Highland Avenue (Hollywood), and La Cienega Boulevard and Century Boulevard (Inglewood). The busiest intersection evaluated was at Wilshire Boulevard and Veteran Avenue, which has a traffic volume of approximately 100,000 vehicles per day. Despite this level of traffic, the CO analysis concluded that there was no violation of CO standards (SCAQMD 1992). In order to establish a more

accurate record of baseline CO concentrations affecting the Los Angeles, a CO "hot spot" analysis was conducted in 2003 at the same four busy intersections in Los Angeles at the peak morning and afternoon time periods. This "hot spot" analysis did not predict any violation of CO standards. The highest one-hour concentration was measured at 4.6 ppm at Wilshire Boulevard and Veteran Avenue and the highest eighthour concentration was measured at 8.4 ppm at Long Beach Boulevard and Imperial Highway. Thus, there was no violation of CO standards.

Similar considerations are also employed by other Air Districts when evaluating potential CO concentration impacts. More specifically, the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD), the air pollution control officer for the San Francisco Bay Area, concludes that under existing and future vehicle emission rates, a given project would have to increase traffic volumes at a single intersection by more than 44,000 vehicles per hour or 24,000 vehicles per hour where vertical and/or horizontal air does not mix—in order to generate a significant CO impact.

The improvements to the baseball field, addition of batting cages, field lighting, and foul ball netting would not have effect student population, no additional school sports programs would be added, and there would be no increase the number of participants or spectators. Thus, the Proposed Project would not generate traffic volumes at any intersection of more than 100,000 vehicles per day (or 44,000 vehicles per day) and there is no likelihood of the Project traffic exceeding CO values.

2.3.3.5 Odors

Typically, odors are regarded as an annoyance rather than a health hazard. However, manifestations of a person's reaction to foul odors can range from psychological (e.g., irritation, anger, or anxiety) to physiological (e.g., circulatory and respiratory effects, nausea, vomiting, and headache).

With respect to odors, the human nose is the sole sensing device. The ability to detect odors varies considerably among the population and overall is quite subjective. Some individuals have the ability to smell minute quantities of specific substances; others may not have the same sensitivity but may have sensitivities to odors of other substances. In addition, people may have different reactions to the same odor; in fact, an odor that is offensive to one person (e.g., from a fast-food restaurant) may be perfectly acceptable to another. It is also important to note that an unfamiliar odor is more easily detected and is more likely to cause complaints than a familiar one. This is because of the phenomenon known as odor fatigue, in which a person can become desensitized to almost any odor and recognition only occurs with an alteration in the intensity.

Quality and intensity are two properties present in any odor. The quality of an odor indicates the nature of the smell experience. For instance, if a person describes an odor as flowery or sweet, then the person is describing the quality of the odor. Intensity refers to the strength of the odor. For example, a person may use the word "strong" to describe the intensity of an odor. Odor intensity depends on the odorant concentration in the air. When an odorous sample is progressively diluted, the odorant concentration decreases. As this occurs, the odor intensity weakens and eventually becomes so low that the detection or recognition of the odor is quite difficult. At some point during dilution, the concentration of the odorant

reaches a detection threshold. An odorant concentration below the detection threshold means that the concentration in the air is not detectable by the average human.

During construction, the Proposed Project presents the potential for generation of objectionable odors in the form of diesel exhaust in the immediate vicinity of the site. However, these emissions are short-term in nature and will rapidly dissipate and be diluted by the atmosphere downwind of the emission sources. Additionally, odors would be localized and generally confined to the construction area. Therefore, construction odors would not adversely affect a substantial number of people to odor emissions.

According to the SCAQMD, land uses commonly considered to be potential sources of obnoxious odorous emissions include agriculture (farming and livestock), wastewater treatment plants, food processing plants, chemical plants, composting facilities, refineries, landfills, dairies, and fiberglass molding. The Proposed Project does not include any uses identified by the SCAQMD as being associated with odors.

3.0 GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

3.1 Greenhouse Gas Setting

Certain gases in the earth's atmosphere, classified as GHGs, play a critical role in determining the earth's surface temperature. Solar radiation enters the earth's atmosphere from space. A portion of the radiation is absorbed by the earth's surface and a smaller portion of this radiation is reflected back toward space. This absorbed radiation is then emitted from the earth as low-frequency infrared radiation. The frequencies at which bodies emit radiation are proportional to temperature. Because the earth has a much lower temperature than the sun, it emits lower-frequency radiation. Most solar radiation passes through GHGs; however, infrared radiation is absorbed by these gases. As a result, radiation that otherwise would have escaped back into space is instead trapped, resulting in a warming of the atmosphere. This phenomenon, known as the greenhouse effect, is responsible for maintaining a habitable climate on earth. Without the greenhouse effect, the earth would not be able to support life as we know it.

Prominent GHGs contributing to the greenhouse effect are CO₂, methane (CH₄), and N₂O. Fluorinated gases also make up a small fraction of the GHGs that contribute to climate change. Fluorinated gases include chlorofluorocarbons, hydrofluorocarbons, perfluorocarbons, sulfur hexafluoride, and nitrogen trifluoride; however, it is noted that these gases are not associated with typical land use development. Human-caused emissions of these GHGs in excess of natural ambient concentrations are believed to be responsible for intensifying the greenhouse effect and leading to a trend of unnatural warming of the earth's climate, known as global climate change or global warming. More specifically, experts agree that human activities, principally through emissions of greenhouse gases, have unequivocally caused global warming, with global surface temperature reaching 1.1°C above 1850–1900 in 2011–2020. (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [IPCC] 2023).

Table 3-1 describes the primary GHGs attributed to global climate change, including their physical properties, primary sources, and contributions to the greenhouse effect.

Each GHG differs in its ability to absorb heat in the atmosphere based on the lifetime, or persistence, of the gas molecule in the atmosphere. CH_4 traps over 25 times more heat per molecule than CO_2 , and N_2O absorbs 298 times more heat per molecule than CO_2 . Often, estimates of GHG emissions are presented in carbon dioxide equivalents (CO_2e), which weight each gas by its global warming potential. Expressing GHG emissions in CO_2e takes the contribution of all GHG emissions to the greenhouse effect and converts them to a single unit equivalent to the effect that would occur if only CO_2 were being emitted.

Climate change is a global problem. GHGs are global pollutants, unlike criteria air pollutants and TACs, which are pollutants of regional and local concern. Whereas pollutants with localized air quality effects have relatively short atmospheric lifetimes (about one day), GHGs have long atmospheric lifetimes (one to several thousand years). GHGs persist in the atmosphere for long enough time periods to be dispersed around the globe. Although the exact lifetime of any particular GHG molecule is dependent on multiple variables and cannot be pinpointed, it is understood that more CO₂ is emitted into the atmosphere than is sequestered by ocean uptake, vegetation, or other forms. Despite the sequestration of CO₂, human-caused climate

Table 3-1. Greenhouse Gases			
Greenhouse Gas	Description		
CO2	Carbon dioxide is a colorless, odorless gas. CO_2 is emitted in a number of ways, both naturally and through human activities. The largest source of CO_2 emissions globally is the combustion of fossil fuels such as coal, oil, and gas in power plants, automobiles, industrial facilities, and other sources. A number of specialized industrial production processes and product uses such as mineral production, metal production, and the use of petroleum-based products can also lead to CO_2 emissions. The atmospheric lifetime of CO_2 is variable because it is so readily exchanged in the atmosphere. ¹		
CH₄	Methane is a colorless, odorless gas and is the major component of natural gas, about 87 percent by volume. It is also formed and released to the atmosphere by biological processes occurring in anaerobic environments. Methane is emitted from a variety of both human-related and natural sources. Human-related sources include fossil fuel production, animal husbandry (intestinal fermentation in livestock and manure management), rice cultivation, biomass burning, and waste management. These activities release significant quantities of CH ₄ to the atmosphere. Natural sources of CH ₄ include wetlands, gas hydrates, permafrost, termites, oceans, freshwater bodies, non-wetland soils, and other sources such as wildfires. The atmospheric lifetime of CH ₄ is about 12 years. ²		
N ₂ O	Nitrous oxide is a clear, colorless gas with a slightly sweet odor. Nitrous oxide is produced by both natural and human-related sources. Primary human-related sources of N ₂ O are agricultural soil management, animal manure management, sewage treatment, mobile and stationary combustion of fossil fuels, adipic acid production, and nitric acid production. N ₂ O is also produced naturally from a wide variety of biological sources in soil and water, particularly microbial action in wet tropical forests. The atmospheric lifetime of N ₂ O is approximately 120 years. ³		

change is already causing damaging effects, including weather and climate extremes in every region across the globe (IPCC 2023).

Sources: ¹USEPA 2016a, ²USEPA 2016b, ³USEPA 2016c

The quantity of GHGs that it takes to ultimately result in climate change is not precisely known; it is sufficient to say the quantity is enormous, and no single project alone would measurably contribute to a noticeable incremental change in the global average temperature or to global, local, or microclimates. From the standpoint of CEQA, GHG impacts to global climate change are inherently cumulative.

3.1.1 Sources of Greenhouse Gas Emissions

In 2022, CARB released the 2022 edition of the California GHG inventory covering calendar year 2020 emissions. In 2020, California emitted 369.2 million gross metric tons of CO₂e including from imported electricity. Combustion of fossil fuel in the transportation sector was the single largest source of California's GHG emissions in 2020, accounting for approximately 38 percent of total GHG emissions in the state. Continuing the downward trend from previous years, transportation emissions decreased 27 million metric tons of CO₂e in 2020, though the intensity of this decrease was most likely from light duty vehicles after

shelter-in-place orders were enacted in response to the COVID-19 pandemic. Emissions from the electricity sector account for 16 percent of the inventory and have remained at a similar level as in 2019 despite a 44 percent decrease in in-state hydropower generation (due to below average precipitation levels), which was more than compensated for by a 10 percent growth in in-state solar generation and cleaner imported electricity incentivized by California's clean energy policies. California's industrial sector accounts for the second largest source of the state's GHG emissions in 2020, accounting for 23 percent (CARB 2022c).

3.2 Regulatory Framework

3.2.1 State

3.2.1.1 Executive Order S-3-05

Executive Order (EO) S-3-05, signed by Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger in 2005, proclaims that California is vulnerable to the impacts of climate change. It declares that increased temperatures could reduce the Sierra Nevada snowpack, further exacerbate California's air quality problems, and potentially cause a rise in sea levels. To combat those concerns, the EO established total GHG emission targets for the state. Specifically, emissions are to be reduced to the 2000 level by 2010, the 1990 level by 2020, and to 80 percent below the 1990 level by 2050.

3.2.1.2 Assembly Bill 32 Climate Change Scoping Plan and Updates

In 2006, the California legislature passed Assembly Bill (AB) 32 (Health and Safety Code § 38500 et seq., or AB 32), also known as the Global Warming Solutions Act. AB 32 required CARB to design and implement feasible and cost-effective emission limits, regulations, and other measures, such that statewide GHG emissions are reduced to 1990 levels by 2020 (representing a 25 percent reduction in emissions). Pursuant to AB 32, CARB adopted a Scoping Plan in December 2008, which outlined measures to meet the 2020 GHG reduction goals. California exceeded the target of reducing GHG emissions to 1990 levels by the year 2017.

The Scoping Plan is required by AB 32 to be updated at least every five years. The latest update, the 2022 Scoping Plan Update, outlines strategies and actions to reduce greenhouse gas emissions in California. The plan focuses on achieving the state's goal of reaching carbon neutrality by 2045 and reducing greenhouse gas emissions to 40% below 1990 levels by 2030. The plan includes a range of strategies across various sectors, including transportation, industry, energy, and agriculture. Some of the key strategies include transitioning to zero-emission vehicles, expanding renewable energy sources, promoting sustainable land use practices, implementing a low-carbon fuel standard, and reducing emissions from buildings. Additionally, the plan addresses equity and environmental justice by prioritizing investments in communities most impacted by pollution and climate change. The plan also aims to promote economic growth and job creation through the transition to a low-carbon economy.

29

3.2.1.3 Senate Bill 32 and Assembly Bill 197 of 2016

In August 2016, Governor Brown signed SB 32 and AB 197, which serve to extend California's GHG reduction programs beyond 2020. SB 32 amended the Health and Safety Code to include § 38566, which contains language to authorize CARB to achieve a statewide GHG emission reduction of at least 40 percent below 1990 levels by no later than December 31, 2030.

3.2.1.4 Senate Bill X1-2 of 2011, Senate Bill 350 of 2015, and Senate Bill 100 of 2018

In 2018, SB 100 was signed codifying a goal of 60 percent renewable procurement by 2030 and 100 percent by 2045 Renewables Portfolio Standard.

3.2.1.5 2022 Building Energy Efficiency Standards for Residential and Nonresidential Buildings

The Building and Efficiency Standards (Energy Standards) were first adopted and put into effect in 1978 and have been updated periodically in the intervening years. These standards are a unique California asset that have placed the State on the forefront of energy efficiency, sustainability, energy independence and climate change issues. The 2022 California Building Codes include provisions related to energy efficiency to reduce energy consumption and greenhouse gas emissions from buildings. Some of the key energy efficiency components of the codes are:

- 1. Energy Performance Requirements: The codes specify minimum energy performance standards for the building envelope, lighting, heating and cooling systems, and other components.
- 2. Lighting Efficiency: The codes require that lighting systems meet minimum efficiency standards, such as the use of energy-efficient light bulbs and fixtures.
- 3. HVAC Systems: The codes establish requirements for heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC) systems, including the use of high-efficiency equipment, duct sealing, and controls.
- 4. Building Envelope: The codes include provisions for insulation, air sealing, glazing, and other building envelope components to reduce energy loss and improve indoor comfort.
- 5. Renewable Energy: The codes encourage the use of renewable energy systems, such as photovoltaic panels and wind turbines, to reduce dependence on non-renewable energy sources.
- 6. Commissioning: The codes require the commissioning of building energy systems to ensure that they are installed and operate correctly and efficiently.

Overall, the energy efficiency provisions of the 2022 California Building Codes aim to reduce the energy consumption of buildings, lower energy costs for building owners and occupants, and reduce the environmental impact of the built environment. The 2022 Building Energy Efficiency Standards improve upon the 2019 Energy Standards for new construction of, and additions and alterations to, residential and nonresidential buildings. The exact amount by which the 2022 Building Codes are more efficient compared to the 2019 Building Codes would depend on the specific provisions that have been updated and the

specific building being considered. However, in general, the 2022 Building Codes have been updated to include increased requirements for energy efficiency, such as higher insulation and air sealing standards, which are intended to result in more efficient buildings. The 2022 standards are a major step toward meeting Zero Net Energy.

3.2.2 Local

3.2.2.1 South Coast Air Quality Management District

To provide guidance to local lead agencies on determining significance for GHG emissions in CEQA documents, SCAQMD staff is convening an ongoing GHG CEQA Significance Threshold Working Group. Members of the working group include government agencies implementing CEQA and representatives from various stakeholder groups that provide input to SCAQMD staff on developing the significance thresholds. On October 8, 2008, the SCAQMD released the Draft AQMD Staff CEQA GHG Significance Thresholds. These thresholds have not been finalized and continue to be developed through the working group.

On September 28, 2010, SCAQMD Working Group Meeting #15 provided further guidance, including an interim screening level numeric "bright-line" threshold of 3,000 metric tons of CO₂e annually and an efficiency-based threshold of 4.8 metric tons of CO₂e per service population (defined as the people that work and/or congregate on the Project Site) per year in 2020 and 3.0 metric tons of CO₂e per service population per year in 2035. The SCAQMD has not announced when staff is expecting to present a finalized version of these thresholds to the governing board.

3.3 Greenhouse Gas Emissions Impact Assessment

3.3.1 Thresholds of Significance

The impact analysis provided below is based on the following CEQA Guidelines Appendix G thresholds of significance. The Project would result in a significant impact to greenhouse gas emissions if it would:

- 1) Generate GHG emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment.
- 2) Conflict with any applicable plan, policy, or regulation of an agency adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases or

The Appendix G thresholds for GHG emissions do not prescribe specific methodologies for performing an assessment, do not establish specific thresholds of significance, and do not mandate specific mitigation measures. Rather, the CEQA Guidelines emphasize the lead agency's discretion to determine the appropriate methodologies and thresholds of significance consistent with the manner in which other impact areas are handled in CEQA. With respect to GHG emissions, the CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.4(a) states that lead agencies "shall make a good-faith effort, based to the extent possible on scientific and factual data, to describe, calculate or estimate" GHG emissions resulting from a project. The CEQA Guidelines note that an agency has the discretion to either quantify a project's GHG emissions or rely on a "qualitative analysis or other performance-based standards." (14 CCR 15064.4(b)). A lead agency may use a "model or

methodology" to estimate GHG emissions and has the discretion to select the model or methodology it considers "most appropriate to enable decision makers to intelligently take into account the project's incremental contribution to climate change." (14 CCR 15064.4(c)). Section 15064.4(b) provides that the lead agency should consider the following when determining the significance of impacts from GHG emissions on the environment:

- 1. The extent a project may increase or reduce GHG emissions as compared to the existing environmental setting.
- 2. Whether the project emissions exceed a threshold of significance that the lead agency determines applies to the project.
- 3. The extent to which the project complies with regulations or requirements adopted to implement a statewide, regional, or local plan for the reduction or mitigation of GHG emissions (14 CCR 15064.4(b)).

In addition, Section 15064.7(c) of the CEQA Guidelines specifies that "[w]hen adopting or using thresholds of significance, a lead agency may consider thresholds of significance previously adopted or recommended by other public agencies, or recommended by experts, provided the decision of the lead agency to adopt such thresholds is supported by substantial evidence" (14 CCR 15064.7(c)). The CEQA Guidelines also clarify that the effects of GHG emissions are cumulative and should be analyzed in the context of CEQA's requirements for cumulative impact analysis (see CEQA Guidelines Section 15130). As a note, the CEQA Guidelines were amended in response to Senate Bill 97. In particular, the CEQA Guidelines were amended to specify that compliance with a GHG emissions reduction plan renders a cumulative impact insignificant.

Per CEQA Guidelines Section 15064(h)(3), a project's incremental contribution to a cumulative impact can be found not cumulatively considerable if the project would comply with an approved plan or mitigation program that provides specific requirements that would avoid or substantially lessen the cumulative problem within the geographic area of the project. To qualify, such plans or programs must be specified in law or adopted by the public agency with jurisdiction over the affected resources through a public review process to implement, interpret, or make specific the law enforced or administered by the public agency. Examples of such programs include a "water quality control plan, air quality attainment or maintenance plan, integrated waste management plan, habitat conservation plan, natural community conservation plans [and] plans or regulations for the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions." Put another way, CEQA Guidelines Section 15064(h)(3) allows a lead agency to make a finding of less than significant for GHG emissions if a project complies with adopted programs, plans, policies and/or other regulatory strategies to reduce GHG emissions.

The local air quality agency regulating the SoCAB is the SCAQMD, the regional air pollution control officer for the basin. As previously stated, to provide guidance to local lead agencies on determining significance for GHG emissions in CEQA documents, SCAQMD staff convened a GHG CEQA Significance Threshold Working Group. The Working Group was formed to assist the SCAQMD's efforts to develop a GHG significance threshold and is composed of a wide variety of stakeholders including the State Office of Planning and Research (OPR), CARB, the Attorney General's Office, a variety of city and county planning departments in the Basin, various utilities such as sanitation and power companies throughout the Basin,

industry groups, and environmental and professional organizations. The numeric bright line and efficiencybased thresholds described above were developed to be consistent with CEQA requirements for developing significance thresholds, are supported by substantial evidence, and provide guidance to CEQA practitioners and lead agencies with regard to determining whether GHG emissions from a proposed project are significant.

In Center for Biological Diversity v. Department of Fish and Wildlife (2015) 62 Cal. 4th 2014, 213, 221, 227, following its review of various potential GHG thresholds proposed in an academic study [Crockett, Addressing the Significance of Greenhouse Gas Emissions: California's Search for Regulatory Certainty in an Uncertain World (July 2011), 4 Golden Gate U. Envtl. L. J. 203], the California Supreme Court identified the use of numeric bright-line thresholds as a potential pathway for compliance with CEQA GHG requirements. The study found numeric bright line thresholds designed to determine when small projects were so small as to not cause a cumulatively considerable impact on global climate change was consistent with CEQA. Specifically, Public Resources Code section 21003(f) provides it is a policy of the State that "[a]ll persons and public agencies involved in the environmental review process be responsible for carrying out the process in the most efficient, expeditious manner in order to conserve the available financial, governmental, physical and social resources with the objective that those resources may be better applied toward the mitigation of actual significant effects on the environment." The Supreme Court-reviewed study noted, "[s]ubjecting the smallest projects to the full panoply of CEQA requirements, even though the public benefit would be minimal, would not be consistent with implementing the statute in the most efficient, expeditious manner. Nor would it be consistent with applying lead agencies' scarce resources toward mitigating actual significant climate change impacts." (Crockett, Addressing the Significance of Greenhouse Gas Emissions: California's Search for Regulatory Certainty in an Uncertain World (July 2011), 4 Golden Gate U. Envtl. L. J. 203, 221, 227.)

The significance of the Project's GHG emissions is evaluated consistent with CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.4(b)(2) by considering whether the Project complies with applicable plans, policies, regulations and requirements adopted to implement a statewide, regional, or local plan for the reduction or mitigation of GHG emissions. For the Proposed Project, the SCAQMD's 3,000 metric tons of CO₂e per year threshold is used as the significance threshold in addition to the gualitative thresholds of significance set forth below from Section VII of CEQA Guidelines Appendix G. The 3,000 metric tons of CO₂e per year threshold represents a 90 percent capture rate (i.e., this threshold captures projects that represent approximately 90 percent of GHG emissions from new sources). The 3,000 metric tons of CO₂e per year value is typically used in defining small projects within this air basin that are considered less than significant because it represents less than one percent of future 2050 statewide GHG emissions target and the lead agency can provide more efficient implementation of CEQA by focusing its scarce resources on the top 90 percent. This threshold is correlated to the 90 percent capture rate for industrial projects within the air basin. Land use projects above the 3,000 metric tons of CO₂e per year level would fall within the percentage of largest projects that are worth mitigating without wasting scarce financial, governmental, physical and social resources (Crockett 2011). As noted in the academic study, the fact that small projects below a numeric bright line threshold are not subject to CEQA-based mitigation does not mean such small projects do not help the State achieve its climate change goals because even small projects participate in or comply with non-CEQA-based GHG reduction programs, such as constructing development in accordance with statewide GHG-reducing energy efficiency building standards, called Cal Green or Title 24 energy-efficiency building standards (Crockett 2011).

3.3.2 Methodology

GHG emissions-related impacts were assessed in accordance with methodologies recommended by the SCAQMD. Where GHG emission quantification was required, emissions were modeled using CalEEMod, version 2022.1. CalEEMod is a statewide land use emissions computer model designed to quantify potential GHG emissions associated with both construction and operations from a variety of land use projects. Project construction generated GHG emissions were calculated using CalEEMod model defaults for Los Angeles County and average daily trip numbers from the Traffic Volume Study completed for this Project. The Project's operational GHG emissions from the new lighting system were calculated using CalEEMod and information provided by the Musco Lighting Plans for the Proposed Project.

3.3.3 Impact Analysis

3.3.3.1 Generation of GHG Emissions

Construction Analysis

Construction-related activities that would generate GHG emissions include worker commute trips, haul trucks carrying supplies and materials to and from the Project site, and off-road construction equipment (e.g., dozers, loaders, excavators). Table 3-2 illustrates the specific construction generated GHG emissions that would result from construction of the Project. Once construction is complete, the generation of these GHG emissions would cease.

Table 3-2. Construction-Related Greenhouse G	as Emissions									
Year Two Construction Emissions 26										
Year One Construction Emissions	287									
Year Two Construction Emissions	26									
Total	313									
SCAQMD Significance Threshold	3,000									
Exceed SCAQMD Threshold?	No									

Source: CalEEMod version 2022.1. Refer to Attachment B for Model Data Outputs.

As shown in Table 3-2, Project construction would result in the generation of approximately 313 metric tons of CO₂e over the course of two years of construction. Once construction is complete, the generation of these GHG emissions would cease.

Operational Significance Analysis

The Project is proposing renovations to the existing baseball field on the campus. Specifically proposed improvements to the baseball field involve the reconfiguration of an existing diamond, as well as other related improvements such as the installation of batting cages, field lighting, and foul ball netting. These improvements would not increase student population, would not add any school sports programs, and would not increase the number of participants or spectators for practice or games. The operational emissions associated with the Proposed Project would solely be generated from the energy consumption associated with the new field lighting. As such, the additional lighting system would result in the emission of approximately 16 metric tons of CO₂e per year. This estimation was made with the consideration of Musco Lighting Plans for the Proposed Project. This would not surpass the SCAQMD's numerical brightline threshold of 3,000 metric tons of CO₂e annually. This threshold was developed based on substantial evidence and in accordance with the State's GHG reduction goals.

3.3.3.2 Conflict with any Applicable Plan, Policy, or Regulation of an Agency Adopted for the Purpose of Reducing the Emissions of Greenhouse Gases

The City of Pico Rivera has not adopted a Climate Action Plan or any other plan for the purpose of reducing GHG emissions. However, the State of California promulgates several mandates and goals to reduce statewide GHG emissions, including the goal to reduce statewide GHG emissions to 40 percent below 1990 levels by the year 2030 (SB 32) and 80 percent below 1990 levels by the year 2050 (Executive Order S-3-05). The Proposed Project is subject to compliance with SB 32. As discussed previously, the Proposed Project generated GHG emissions would not surpass GHG significance thresholds, which were prepared with the purpose of complying with these requirements. The 3,000 metric tons of CO₂e threshold was prepared with the purpose of complying with statewide GHG-reduction efforts. Thus, the Project would not conflict with any applicable plan, policy or regulation related to the reduction in GHG emissions.

4.0 **REFERENCES**

- CAPCOA. 2022. California Emissions Estimator Model (CalEEMod), version 2022.1.
- _____. 2013. Health Effects. http://www.capcoa.org/health-effects/.
- CARB. 2022a. Air Quality Data Statistics. http://www.arb.ca.gov/adam/index.html.
- _____. 2022b. State and Federal Area Designation Maps. https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/documents/maps-state-and-federal-area-designations
- _____. 2022c. California Greenhouse Gas Emission Inventory 2022 Edition. https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/ghginventory-data
- Crockett, Alexander G. 2011. Addressing the Significance of Greenhouse Gas Emissions Under CEQA: California's Search for Regulatory Certainty in an Uncertain World.
- IPCC. 2023. Climate Change 2023 Synthesis Report Summary for Policymakers. https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar6/syr/downloads/report/IPCC_AR6_SYR_SPM.pdf
- SCAQMD. 2009. Localized Significance Threshold Appendix C Mass Rate LST Look-Up Tables. Revised October 21, 2009. http://www.aqmd.gov/ceqa/handbook/LST/LST.html.
- _____. 2008. Final Localized Significance Threshold Methodology (dated June 2003 [revised 2008]).
- _____. 2003. 2003 Air Quality Management Plan.
- _____. 1993. CEQA Air Quality Handbook.
- _____. 1992. 1992 Federal Attainment Plan for Carbon Monoxide.
- USEPA. 2016a. Climate Change Greenhouse Gas Emissions: Carbon Dioxide. http://www.epa.gov/climatechange/emissions/co2.html.
- _____. 2016b. Methane. https://www3.epa.gov/climatechange/ghgemissions/gases/ch4.html.
- _____. 2016c. Nitrous Oxide. https://www3.epa.gov/climatechange/ghgemissions/gases/n2o.html.
- _____. 2002. Health Assessment Document for Diesel Engine Exhaust. https://nepis.epa.gov/Exe/ZyPURL.cgi?Dockey=300055PV.TXT.

LIST OF ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A – CalEEMod Output File for Criteria Air Pollutant and Greenhouse Gas Emissions

ATTACHMENT A

CalEEMod Output File for Criteria Air Pollutant and Greenhouse Gas Emissions

El Rancho Baseball Field Improvement Detailed Report

Table of Contents

- 1. Basic Project Information
 - 1.1. Basic Project Information
 - 1.2. Land Use Types
 - 1.3. User-Selected Emission Reduction Measures by Emissions Sector
- 2. Emissions Summary
 - 2.1. Construction Emissions Compared Against Thresholds
 - 2.2. Construction Emissions by Year, Unmitigated
 - 2.4. Operations Emissions Compared Against Thresholds
 - 2.5. Operations Emissions by Sector, Unmitigated
- 3. Construction Emissions Details
 - 3.1. Site Preparation (2024) Unmitigated
 - 3.3. Grading (2024) Unmitigated
 - 3.5. Building Construction (2024) Unmitigated
 - 3.7. Building Construction (2025) Unmitigated

- 3.9. Paving (2024) Unmitigated
- 3.11. Paving (2025) Unmitigated
- 3.13. Architectural Coating (2024) Unmitigated
- 3.15. Architectural Coating (2025) Unmitigated
- 4. Operations Emissions Details
 - 4.1. Mobile Emissions by Land Use
 - 4.1.1. Unmitigated
 - 4.2. Energy
 - 4.2.1. Electricity Emissions By Land Use Unmitigated
 - 4.2.3. Natural Gas Emissions By Land Use Unmitigated
 - 4.3. Area Emissions by Source
 - 4.3.1. Unmitigated
 - 4.4. Water Emissions by Land Use
 - 4.4.1. Unmitigated
 - 4.5. Waste Emissions by Land Use
 - 4.5.1. Unmitigated
 - 4.6. Refrigerant Emissions by Land Use

4.6.1. Unmitigated

- 4.7. Offroad Emissions By Equipment Type
 - 4.7.1. Unmitigated
- 4.8. Stationary Emissions By Equipment Type
 - 4.8.1. Unmitigated
- 4.9. User Defined Emissions By Equipment Type
 - 4.9.1. Unmitigated
- 4.10. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type
 - 4.10.1. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type Unmitigated
 - 4.10.2. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type Unmitigated
 - 4.10.3. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species Unmitigated
- 5. Activity Data
 - 5.1. Construction Schedule
 - 5.2. Off-Road Equipment
 - 5.2.1. Unmitigated
 - 5.3. Construction Vehicles
 - 5.3.1. Unmitigated

5.4. Vehicles

- 5.4.1. Construction Vehicle Control Strategies
- 5.5. Architectural Coatings

5.6. Dust Mitigation

- 5.6.1. Construction Earthmoving Activities
- 5.6.2. Construction Earthmoving Control Strategies

5.7. Construction Paving

- 5.8. Construction Electricity Consumption and Emissions Factors
- 5.9. Operational Mobile Sources
 - 5.9.1. Unmitigated
- 5.10. Operational Area Sources

5.10.1. Hearths

- 5.10.1.1. Unmitigated
- 5.10.2. Architectural Coatings
- 5.10.3. Landscape Equipment
- 5.11. Operational Energy Consumption

5.11.1. Unmitigated

- 5.12. Operational Water and Wastewater Consumption
 - 5.12.1. Unmitigated
- 5.13. Operational Waste Generation
 - 5.13.1. Unmitigated
- 5.14. Operational Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Equipment
 - 5.14.1. Unmitigated
- 5.15. Operational Off-Road Equipment
 - 5.15.1. Unmitigated
- 5.16. Stationary Sources
 - 5.16.1. Emergency Generators and Fire Pumps
 - 5.16.2. Process Boilers
- 5.17. User Defined
- 5.18. Vegetation
 - 5.18.1. Land Use Change
 - 5.18.1.1. Unmitigated
 - 5.18.1. Biomass Cover Type
 - 5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

5.18.2. Sequestration

5.18.2.1. Unmitigated

6. Climate Risk Detailed Report

- 6.1. Climate Risk Summary
- 6.2. Initial Climate Risk Scores
- 6.3. Adjusted Climate Risk Scores
- 6.4. Climate Risk Reduction Measures
- 7. Health and Equity Details
 - 7.1. CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Scores
 - 7.2. Healthy Places Index Scores
 - 7.3. Overall Health & Equity Scores
 - 7.4. Health & Equity Measures
 - 7.5. Evaluation Scorecard
 - 7.6. Health & Equity Custom Measures
- 8. User Changes to Default Data

1. Basic Project Information

1.1. Basic Project Information

Data Field	Value
Project Name	El Rancho Baseball Field Improvement
Construction Start Date	1/2/2024
Operational Year	2024
Lead Agency	
Land Use Scale	
Analysis Level for Defaults	County
Windspeed (m/s)	1.80
Precipitation (days)	18.2
Location	33.98591677012155, -118.08847689881468
County	Los Angeles-South Coast
City	Pico Rivera
Air District	South Coast AQMD
Air Basin	South Coast
TAZ	4803
EDFZ	7
Electric Utility	Southern California Edison
Gas Utility	Southern California Gas
App Version	2022.1.1.19

1.2. Land Use Types

Land Use Subtype	Size	Unit	Lot Acreage	Building Area (sq ft)	Landscape Area (sq ft)	Special Landscape Area (sq ft)	Population	Description
				A-49 7 / 47				

City Park 8.00 Acre		0.00	8.00	8.00		_
---------------------	--	------	------	------	--	---

1.3. User-Selected Emission Reduction Measures by Emissions Sector

No measures selected

2. Emissions Summary

2.1. Construction Emissions Compared Against Thresholds

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Un/Mit.	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	-	-		-	-	-		—	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
Unmit.	1.42	12.4	14.4	0.02	0.54	0.10	0.64	0.50	0.02	0.52	—	2,580	2,580	0.10	0.02	0.42	2,590
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	_	-		-	-	-			_		-	_	-	-	-	_
Unmit.	1.73	17.4	16.2	0.03	0.75	2.29	3.03	0.69	1.01	1.70	—	3,707	3,707	0.17	0.22	0.09	3,775
Average Daily (Max)	—		-		_	-	-		_	—	_	-	—	-	-	-	_
Unmit.	0.94	8.35	9.37	0.02	0.36	0.18	0.55	0.33	0.07	0.40	—	1,723	1,723	0.07	0.03	0.18	1,732
Annual (Max)	-	_	—	—	_	_	—	_	—	-	-	_	-	_	-	_	_
Unmit.	0.17	1.52	1.71	< 0.005	0.07	0.03	0.10	0.06	0.01	0.07	_	285	285	0.01	< 0.005	0.03	287

2.2. Construction Emissions by Year, Unmitigated

		· · · · · ·			/		\		31 3		/						
Year	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e

Daily - Summer (Max)			_			_	_		_	-	_	_		_	_	-	_
2024	1.42	12.4	14.4	0.02	0.54	0.10	0.64	0.50	0.02	0.52	—	2,580	2,580	0.10	0.02	0.42	2,590
Daily - Winter (Max)	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	-
2024	1.73	17.4	16.2	0.03	0.75	2.29	3.03	0.69	1.01	1.70	—	3,707	3,707	0.17	0.22	0.09	3,775
2025	1.34	11.7	14.2	0.02	0.48	0.10	0.58	0.44	0.02	0.47	—	2,572	2,572	0.10	0.02	0.01	2,582
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	-	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2024	0.94	8.35	9.37	0.02	0.36	0.18	0.55	0.33	0.07	0.40	—	1,723	1,723	0.07	0.03	0.18	1,732
2025	0.08	0.71	0.86	< 0.005	0.03	0.01	0.04	0.03	< 0.005	0.03	—	156	156	0.01	< 0.005	0.01	157
Annual	-	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	-	—	—	—	—	—
2024	0.17	1.52	1.71	< 0.005	0.07	0.03	0.10	0.06	0.01	0.07	_	285	285	0.01	< 0.005	0.03	287
2025	0.01	0.13	0.16	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	_	25.8	25.8	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	25.9

2.4. Operations Emissions Compared Against Thresholds

Un/Mit.	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	_		_	_		_	-			_	_	_	_	_		_	_
Unmit.	0.68	0.43	5.39	0.01	0.01	0.83	0.83	0.01	0.21	0.22	0.37	1,066	1,066	0.10	0.04	3.77	1,085
Daily, Winter (Max)	_		_	—	—	_	-	—	—	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	-
Unmit.	0.67	0.47	5.12	0.01	0.01	0.83	0.83	0.01	0.21	0.22	0.37	1,025	1,026	0.10	0.05	0.10	1,042
Average Daily (Max)	-		_	_			_			_	_	_	_	_		_	-

Unmit.	0.37	0.27	2.74	0.01	< 0.005	0.47	0.47	< 0.005	0.12	0.12	0.37	630	630	0.08	0.03	0.93	641
Annual (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	0.07	0.05	0.50	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.08	0.09	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	0.06	104	104	0.01	< 0.005	0.15	106

2.5. Operations Emissions by Sector, Unmitigated

Sector	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mobile	0.65	0.42	4.60	0.01	0.01	0.83	0.83	0.01	0.21	0.22	-	968	968	0.06	0.04	3.77	986
Area	0.03	0.01	0.79	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	-	2.12	2.12	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	2.13
Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	-	95.7	95.7	0.01	< 0.005	_	96.0
Water	—	-	—	_	—	—	—	—	_	—	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.37	0.00	0.37	0.04	0.00	_	1.30
Refrig.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	_	—	—	-	0.00	0.00
Total	0.68	0.43	5.39	0.01	0.01	0.83	0.83	0.01	0.21	0.22	0.37	1,066	1,066	0.10	0.04	3.77	1,085
Daily, Winter (Max)	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	_	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	_
Mobile	0.64	0.46	4.33	0.01	0.01	0.83	0.83	0.01	0.21	0.22	_	927	927	0.06	0.04	0.10	942
Area	0.03	0.01	0.79	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	-	2.12	2.12	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	2.13
Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	-	95.7	95.7	0.01	< 0.005	_	96.0
Water	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005
Waste	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	0.37	0.00	0.37	0.04	0.00	_	1.30
Refrig.	_	-	_	_		_	—	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	0.00	0.00
Total	0.67	0.47	5.12	0.01	0.01	0.83	0.83	0.01	0.21	0.22	0.37	1,025	1,026	0.10	0.05	0.10	1,042

Average Daily	-	—	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	—	-	—	—	-	-	-	-
Mobile	0.36	0.27	2.51	0.01	< 0.005	0.47	0.47	< 0.005	0.12	0.12	_	533	533	0.03	0.03	0.93	543
Area	0.01	< 0.005	0.22	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	0.61	0.61	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	0.61
Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	—	95.7	95.7	0.01	< 0.005	-	96.0
Water	—	—	_	—	—	_	_	—	_	—	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.37	0.00	0.37	0.04	0.00	-	1.30
Refrig.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	0.00	0.00
Total	0.37	0.27	2.74	0.01	< 0.005	0.47	0.47	< 0.005	0.12	0.12	0.37	630	630	0.08	0.03	0.93	641
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	-	—
Mobile	0.07	0.05	0.46	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.08	0.09	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	—	88.3	88.3	0.01	< 0.005	0.15	89.9
Area	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	0.10	0.10	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	0.10
Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	15.8	15.8	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	15.9
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005
Waste	—	_	-	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.06	0.00	0.06	0.01	0.00	-	0.21
Refrig.	—	_	_	—	—	_	—	—	-	—	—	_	—	—	_	0.00	0.00
Total	0.07	0.05	0.50	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.08	0.09	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	0.06	104	104	0.01	< 0.005	0.15	106

3. Construction Emissions Details

3.1. Site Preparation (2024) - Unmitigated

Location	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	-	—	—	_	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	-	-	-	_		_	-	-		_	-	-	_	-	_	_	-

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

El Rancho Baseball Field Improvement Detailed Report, 9/20/2023

Daily,	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	-	-
Winter (Max)																	
Off-Road Equipment	1.18 I	11.6	10.3	0.02	0.52	—	0.52	0.47	—	0.47	—	1,668	1,668	0.07	0.01	—	1,674
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	-	-	—	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	—	-	—	-	-
Off-Road Equipment	0.03 I	0.32	0.28	< 0.005	0.01	-	0.01	0.01	-	0.01	-	45.7	45.7	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	45.9
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	-	-	_	-	—	_	-	—	_	_	—	—	—	-	_	-
Off-Road Equipment	0.01	0.06	0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	-	7.57	7.57	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	7.59
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	-	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	_	-	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	_
Daily, Winter (Max)			-			_	-		-	-	-	-	-	-			
Worker	0.02	0.03	0.32	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.07	0.00	0.02	0.02	_	66.9	66.9	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	67.7
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	-	_	_	_	-	-
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	1.86	1.86	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	1.89
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	0.31	0.31	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.31
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.3. Grading (2024) - Unmitigated

					i annaar,												
Location	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite		—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—
Daily, Summer (Max)		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_			_	—
Daily, Winter (Max)		_	_	-	_	_	_	_	-	-		-	-			_	—
Off-Road Equipment	1.66	15.8	15.0	0.02	0.73	_	0.73	0.67	-	0.67	—	2,378	2,378	0.10	0.02	—	2,386
Dust From Material Movement		-	_	_		1.84	1.84	_	0.89	0.89	-		_	_		-	_
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily		_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	-	_	-	-	-	-
Off-Road Equipment	0.09	0.87	0.82	< 0.005	0.04	-	0.04	0.04	-	0.04		130	130	0.01	< 0.005	-	131
Dust From Material Movement		_				0.10	0.10	_	0.05	0.05	_			_	_	_	
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 A	0.00 -55	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_
Off-Road Equipmen	0.02 t	0.16	0.15	< 0.005	0.01	_	0.01	0.01	-	0.01	-	21.6	21.6	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	21.6
Dust From Material Movement			-	-		0.02	0.02	-	0.01	0.01	-	-	-		-		-
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-		_	-	-	-	-
Daily, Winter (Max)	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	-
Worker	0.04	0.06	0.64	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.13	0.00	0.03	0.03	-	134	134	0.01	< 0.005	0.01	135
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.02	1.55	0.57	0.01	0.01	0.31	0.33	0.01	0.09	0.10	—	1,195	1,195	0.06	0.19	0.07	1,254
Average Daily	—	-	_	—	—	—	—	—	-	—	—	—	-	—	—	—	_
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	7.44	7.44	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	7.54
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	< 0.005	0.09	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	—	65.5	65.5	< 0.005	0.01	0.07	68.8
Annual	_	—	—	—	_	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	1.23	1.23	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	1.25
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	< 0.005	0.02	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	10.8	10.8	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	11.4

3.5. Building Construction (2024) - Unmitigated

Location	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	-	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	-	_	_	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)		-	_	_	-	_	_		_	-	_	_	_	—	_	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.83 I	7.53	7.67	0.02	0.31	-	0.31	0.29	—	0.29	—	1,584	1,584	0.06	0.01	-	1,590
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	-	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Off-Road Equipment	0.83 I	7.53	7.67	0.02	0.31	-	0.31	0.29	—	0.29	—	1,584	1,584	0.06	0.01	-	1,590
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	_	_	_	-	-	-	-	_	_	-	_	-	_	-	_	-	-
Off-Road Equipment	0.47 I	4.30	4.38	0.01	0.18	-	0.18	0.16	_	0.16	_	905	905	0.04	0.01	-	908
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	_
Off-Road Equipment	0.09 I	0.78	0.80	< 0.005	0.03	-	0.03	0.03	_	0.03	_	150	150	0.01	< 0.005	-	150
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	-	_	_	-		-	_	—	_	_		-	_	—	_	_
Worker	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Worker	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	-	—	-	—	—	—	—	—	-	-	-	-	-	—	—	-	—
Worker	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	-
Worker	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.7. Building Construction (2025) - Unmitigated

Location	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	СО2Т	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_
Daily, Summer (Max)										—					—		_
Daily, Winter (Max)																	_
Off-Road Equipment		7.04	7.62	0.02	0.28	—	0.28	0.26	—	0.26	—	1,584	1,584	0.06	0.01	-	1,590

Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily		—	—		—	—	—	_	—	—	—		—	—	_	—	—
Off-Road Equipmen	0.05 t	0.43	0.46	< 0.005	0.02	_	0.02	0.02	_	0.02	_	96.1	96.1	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	96.5
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	-	-	-	-	_	—	-	—	_	-	—	-	—	—
Off-Road Equipmen	0.01 t	0.08	0.08	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	_	15.9	15.9	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	16.0
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	-	-	-	-	-	_	-		-	_	-	-	_	-	_	-
Daily, Winter (Max)		-	-	-	-	-	_	-		-	-	-	-		-	_	-
Worker	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	_	-	—	_	_	_	-	-	-	—	_	—	—	-	_	-	-
Worker	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	-	-	—	—	—	-	—	_	-	—	-	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	₄₋₅ 9.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.9. Paving (2024) - Unmitigated

			_	_							,						
Location	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	_	-	_	_	-	—	—	—	_	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)		-	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	-	_	-
Off-Road Equipment	0.43	3.91	5.01	0.01	0.19	_	0.19	0.18	_	0.18	-	756	756	0.03	0.01	_	758
Paving	0.00	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	-	—					_					-	-	-	
Off-Road Equipment	0.43	3.91	5.01	0.01	0.19	—	0.19	0.18	—	0.18	—	756	756	0.03	0.01		758
Paving	0.00	_	_	_	_	_	-	-	_	-	_	-	_	-	_	_	_
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily		_	-	-	-	_	-	_	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	-
Off-Road Equipment	0.24	2.23	2.86	< 0.005	0.11	_	0.11	0.10	_	0.10	-	432	432	0.02	< 0.005	_	433
Paving	0.00	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Off-Road Equipment	0.04	0.41	0.52	< 0.005	0.02	_	0.02	0.02	_	0.02	-	71.5	71.5	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	71.8
Paving	0.00	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)		-	-	-	-	_	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-
Worker	0.03	0.04	0.57	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.10	0.00	0.02	0.02	_	106	106	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.42	107
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	-	-	_	-	-	_	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	_
Worker	0.03	0.04	0.48	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.10	0.00	0.02	0.02	_	100	100	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	102
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	-	-	-	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	-	—	—	—	—	—	-
Worker	0.02	0.02	0.29	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.06	0.00	0.01	0.01	_	58.2	58.2	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.10	59.0
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	9.64	9.64	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	9.77
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.11. Paving (2025) - Unmitigated

	onoronice	(.e. e.e,,					.,	j , j .								
Location	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

El Rancho Baseball Field Improvement Detailed Report, 9/20/2023

Daily, Summer (Max)		_	_	_		_	_		_	_					_	_	_
Daily, Winter (Max)		_	_	-		—	—			—					_		-
Off-Road Equipment	0.40 t	3.73	4.99	0.01	0.17	-	0.17	0.16	—	0.16	—	756	756	0.03	0.01	—	758
Paving	0.00	_	-	_	-	_	_	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	-
Off-Road Equipment	0.02 t	0.23	0.30	< 0.005	0.01	-	0.01	0.01	_	0.01	_	45.8	45.8	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	46.0
Paving	0.00	_	-	_	-	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Off-Road Equipment	< 0.005	0.04	0.06	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	_	7.59	7.59	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	7.62
Paving	0.00	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	-	-	_	_	_	_	_
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	-	_	_
Daily, Summer (Max)	-	-	_	-		-	-	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	-	-
Daily, Winter (Max)		_	_	-		-	_							_	_	_	_
Worker	0.03	0.04	0.44	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.10	0.00	0.02	0.02	—	98.3	98.3	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	99.5
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	-	—		—	—	-	—	—	-	—	—	_	—	—	-
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	6.05	6.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	6.13
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	1.00	1.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	1.02
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.13. Architectural Coating (2024) - Unmitigated

Location	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	_	_			_	_	—		—	—					—	_
Off-Road Equipment		0.91	1.15	< 0.005	0.03	-	0.03	0.03	—	0.03	-	134	134	0.01	< 0.005	-	134
Architectu ral Coatings	0.00	_	-	_	_	-	-	—	_	_	—	—		_	_	_	-
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)		_	_	_			_	_	_	_	_	_			_	_	_
Off-Road Equipment		0.91	1.15	< 0.005	0.03	_	0.03	0.03	—	0.03	—	134	134	0.01	< 0.005	—	134

El Rancho Baseball Field Improvement Detailed Report, 9/20/2023

Architectu ral	0.00	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	_
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	_	—	—	—	-	-	—	-	-	—	-	—	—	—	-	_	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.08	0.52	0.66	< 0.005	0.02	_	0.02	0.02	_	0.02	_	76.3	76.3	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	76.6
Architectu ral Coatings	0.00			—		—	-		—	_	—	—		-	-	_	-
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	-	-	—	_	-	—	_	_	—	_	_	-	_	_	_	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.01	0.09	0.12	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	-	12.6	12.6	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	12.7
Architectu ral Coatings	0.00	_	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	—	-	-	—	-	-	-	-
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_
Daily, Summer (Max)				_	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	_	-	-	-	-
Worker	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)		_	_	_	_	-	—	_	_	_	-	-	_	—	_	_	-
Worker	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

A-64 22 / 47

Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	-	—	—	—	—	—		—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.15. Architectural Coating (2025) - Unmitigated

		- (••••••			-	. <u>,</u> ,		/						
Location	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)						_								_	-		
Daily, Winter (Max)	—													_	-		
Off-Road Equipment		0.88	1.14	< 0.005	0.03	—	0.03	0.03	—	0.03	—	134	134	0.01	< 0.005	—	134
Architectu ral Coatings	0.00		—			—								_	_		
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily		—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	_	—	_

Off-Road Equipment		0.05	0.07	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	8.10	8.10	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	8.13
Architectu ral Coatings	0.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	-	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Off-Road Equipment	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005	—	1.34	1.34	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	1.35
Architectu ral Coatings	0.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	-	-
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	-	—	—	-	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	_	_	-	-	_	-	-	_	_	-	_	—	_	-	_	_
Daily, Winter (Max)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	-	_
Worker	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily		—	—		—			—	_		—	—	—	_		—	—
Worker	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	-	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	_
Worker	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

4. Operations Emissions Details

4.1. Mobile Emissions by Land Use

4.1.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	-	-	—	-	-	-	-	—	_	-	-	—	-
City Park	0.65	0.42	4.60	0.01	0.01	0.83	0.83	0.01	0.21	0.22	—	968	968	0.06	0.04	3.77	986
Total	0.65	0.42	4.60	0.01	0.01	0.83	0.83	0.01	0.21	0.22	—	968	968	0.06	0.04	3.77	986
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	_	_	_	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	_	-	-	-	—	-
City Park	0.64	0.46	4.33	0.01	0.01	0.83	0.83	0.01	0.21	0.22	-	927	927	0.06	0.04	0.10	942
Total	0.64	0.46	4.33	0.01	0.01	0.83	0.83	0.01	0.21	0.22	-	927	927	0.06	0.04	0.10	942
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	-
City Park	0.07	0.05	0.46	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.08	0.09	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	-	88.3	88.3	0.01	< 0.005	0.15	89.9
Total	0.07	0.05	0.46	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.08	0.09	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	_	88.3	88.3	0.01	< 0.005	0.15	89.9

4.2. Energy

4.2.1. Electricity Emissions By Land Use - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

					,		/	· · ·		<i>J</i> , <i>J</i>		/						
	Land Use	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
1										-67								

25 / 47

Daily, Summer (Max)		-		-			-	-		-	-	-			-	_	
City Park	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	95.7	95.7	0.01	< 0.005	—	96.0
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	95.7	95.7	0.01	< 0.005	—	96.0
Daily, Winter (Max)		_	_	_			_	_		_	-	-		_	_		
City Park	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	95.7	95.7	0.01	< 0.005	—	96.0
Total	_	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	_	95.7	95.7	0.01	< 0.005	—	96.0
Annual	_	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	_	—	-	-	—	—	—
City Park	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	15.8	15.8	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	15.9
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	15.8	15.8	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	15.9

4.2.3. Natural Gas Emissions By Land Use - Unmitigated

Land Use	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	-
City Park	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)			_	-	_	-	-			_		-	-	_	-		-
City Park	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
City Park	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	0.00

4.3. Area Emissions by Source

4.3.1. Unmitigated

	onatan	(10, 44)	ior daily	, (01//)1 10	annaar			ay for da	,		aury						
Source	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	СО2Т	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	_	_	-		_	-	_			—	-	-	-	—	-	-	—
Consume r Products	< 0.005	-	-	_	-	-	_		_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	—
Architectu ral Coatings	0.00	-	-	_	-	-	_	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Landscap e Equipme nt	0.03	0.01	0.79	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005		2.12	2.12	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	2.13
Total	0.03	0.01	0.79	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	2.12	2.12	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	2.13
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	_	-	_	-	-	_	_	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	—
Consume r Products	< 0.005	-	-	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Architectu ral Coatings	0.00	-	-	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	
Landscap e Equipme nt	0.03	0.01	0.79	< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005		2.12	2.12	< 0.005	< 0.005		2.13
Total	0.03	0.01	0.79	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	2.12	2.12	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	2.13
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

Consume Products	< 0.005	_	_	_			_	—	—	_	_	—	—	—	—	—	—
Architectu ral Coatings	0.00	_	_	—				_				_		—	_		_
Landscap e Equipme nt	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005	< 0.005		< 0.005		0.10	0.10	< 0.005	< 0.005		0.10
Total	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005		0.10	0.10	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	0.10

4.4. Water Emissions by Land Use

4.4.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E		PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	-	_	_	_	-	—	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-
City Park	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	-	_	_	-	-	—	-	_	-	-	_	-	-	_	_	_
City Park	_	—	-	-	-	_	—	-	—	-	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005
Total	_	—	-	-	-	_	—	-	_	_	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	< 0.005
Annual	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_
City Park	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	_	< 0.005
Total	_	—	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005

4.5. Waste Emissions by Land Use

4.5.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

		· · · · ·	,	,	,		``		J. J		,						
Land Use	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)		-	-	-	-	_	—			-	-	-	-	-			-
City Park	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.37	0.00	0.37	0.04	0.00	—	1.30
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.37	0.00	0.37	0.04	0.00	—	1.30
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	_	_	_					_	_	-	_	_			_
City Park	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.37	0.00	0.37	0.04	0.00	—	1.30
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.37	0.00	0.37	0.04	0.00	—	1.30
Annual	—	—	—	_	—	—	_	—	—	_	—	_	_	—	—	—	_
City Park	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	0.06	0.00	0.06	0.01	0.00	_	0.21
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	0.06	0.00	0.06	0.01	0.00	_	0.21

4.6. Refrigerant Emissions by Land Use

4.6.1. Unmitigated

Land Use	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)																	_
City Park	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—		—	—	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)				—				—		—	—	_		_	—	—	_

El Rancho Baseball Field Improvement Detailed Report, 9/20/2023

City Park	—	_	_	—	_	_	—	—	_		—	_		_	_	0.00	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_
City Park	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	0.00	0.00
Total	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	_	_	0.00	0.00

4.7. Offroad Emissions By Equipment Type

4.7.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Equipme nt Type	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—		—	—		—	—	—	—	—		—		—
Total	—	—	—	-	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	_		_	_											_	_	
Total	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

4.8. Stationary Emissions By Equipment Type

4.8.1. Unmitigated

Equipme nt Type	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	СО2Т	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—		—	—	—	—		—			—	—	—	—
Total	_	_	—	—	_	_	_	-	_	_	—	_	_	_	_	-	—
Daily, Winter (Max)																	
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Annual	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Total	—	_	—	—		—	_	—	—		—	_	_	_	—	—	—

4.9. User Defined Emissions By Equipment Type

4.9.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Equipme nt Type	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—		—	—			—					—	—	—
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_		_	_	_	_
Daily, Winter (Max)															_		
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_		_	_	_	_
Total	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_		_	_	_	_

4.10. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type

4.10.1. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Vegetatio n	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)			_														
Total		—	—		—	—	—		—	—	—	—	_	_	—		—
Annual	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Total	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	—	_	_	_

4.10.2. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—			—		—	—	—	—	—	—			—	—	_	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	-	-	_
Daily, Winter (Max)				_										-	-	_	-
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	-	_	—
Annual	_		_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Total	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

4.10.3. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants	(lb/day for	r daily, ton/yr t	for annual)) and GHGs ((lb/day for dail	y, MT/yr for annual)
---------------------	-------------	-------------------	-------------	--------------	------------------	----------------------

ontenta i		(, ,	· · · · · ·				ay lot dui	,								
Species	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	-	—	—	—
Avoided		—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	_
Subtotal		—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	_	_
Sequeste red		—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	_	—
Subtotal		—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_	_	—	—	—	—	—	_
Removed	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal		_	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	_
_		_	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	_	_	_	—	-	_	_	_
Daily, Winter (Max)	_	—	—	—	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	_
Avoided		—	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	_	—	—	_
Subtotal	—	—	—	_		—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sequeste red	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal		—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	_
Removed		—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	_	_
Subtotal		—	—	—	—	—	—	_	—	—	_	—	—	—	—	_	_
_		_	—	—	_	_	—	—	—	_	_	—	—	_	_	—	_
Annual		_	—	—	—	_	—	—	—	_	_	_	—	_	_	—	_
Avoided	_	—	_	—	_	_	—	_	—	—	_	—	—	-	_	_	_
Subtotal	_	_	_	—	_	_	-	_	_		_	_	-	-	-	-	_
Sequeste red		_				_	_	— A-			_	_	_	_	_	_	_

El Rancho Baseball Field Improvement Detailed Report, 9/20/2023

Subtotal	_	_	_	—	_	_	—	_	_		—	_	_	_	_		_
Removed	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	_	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	_
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

5. Activity Data

5.1. Construction Schedule

Phase Name	Phase Type	Start Date	End Date	Days Per Week	Work Days per Phase	Phase Description
Site Preparation	Site Preparation	1/31/2024	2/14/2024	5.00	10.0	—
Grading	Grading	2/15/2024	3/14/2024	5.00	20.0	—
Building Construction	Building Construction	3/15/2024	1/31/2025	5.00	230	—
Paving	Paving	3/15/2024	1/31/2025	5.00	231	—
Architectural Coating	Architectural Coating	3/15/2024	1/31/2025	5.00	231	—

5.2. Off-Road Equipment

5.2.1. Unmitigated

Phase Name	Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Engine Tier	Number per Day	Hours Per Day	Horsepower	Load Factor
Site Preparation	Rubber Tired Dozers	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	367	0.40
Site Preparation	Tractors/Loaders/Backh oes	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	84.0	0.37
Grading	Graders	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	148	0.41
Grading	Excavators	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	36.0	0.38
Grading	Tractors/Loaders/Backh oes	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	84.0	0.37
Grading	Rubber Tired Dozers	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	367	0.40

Building Construction	Forklifts	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	82.0	0.20
Building Construction	Generator Sets	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	14.0	0.74
Building Construction	Cranes	Diesel	Average	1.00	7.00	367	0.29
Building Construction	Welders	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	46.0	0.45
Building Construction	Tractors/Loaders/Backh oes	Diesel	Average	1.00	7.00	84.0	0.37
Paving	Pavers	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	81.0	0.42
Paving	Paving Equipment	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	89.0	0.36
Paving	Rollers	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	36.0	0.38
Architectural Coating	Air Compressors	Diesel	Average	1.00	6.00	37.0	0.48

5.3. Construction Vehicles

5.3.1. Unmitigated

Phase Name	Тгір Туре	One-Way Trips per Day	Miles per Trip	Vehicle Mix
Site Preparation	_	_	_	_
Site Preparation	Worker	5.00	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Site Preparation	Vendor	—	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Site Preparation	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Site Preparation	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Grading	—	—	—	—
Grading	Worker	10.0	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Grading	Vendor	—	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Grading	Hauling	16.9	20.0	HHDT
Grading	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Building Construction	—	—	—	—
Building Construction	Worker	0.00	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Building Construction	Vendor	0.00 A-77	10.2	HHDT,MHDT

Building Construction	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Building Construction	Onsite truck		_	HHDT
Paving	—			—
Paving	Worker	7.50	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Paving	Vendor		10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Paving	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Paving	Onsite truck			HHDT
Architectural Coating	—			—
Architectural Coating	Worker	0.00	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Architectural Coating	Vendor		10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Architectural Coating	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Architectural Coating	Onsite truck		_	HHDT

5.4. Vehicles

5.4.1. Construction Vehicle Control Strategies

Control Strategies Applied	PM10 Reduction	PM2.5 Reduction
Water unpaved roads twice daily	55%	55%
Limit vehicle speeds on unpaved roads to 25 mph	44%	44%
Sweep paved roads once per month	9%	9%

5.5. Architectural Coatings

Phase Name	Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Parking Area Coated (sq ft)
Architectural Coating	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	

5.6. Dust Mitigation

5.6.1. Construction Earthmoving Activities

Phase Name	Material Imported (Cubic Yards)	Material Exported (Cubic Yards)	Acres Graded (acres)	Material Demolished (sq. ft.)	Acres Paved (acres)
Grading	2,428	282	20.0	0.00	—
Paving	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

5.6.2. Construction Earthmoving Control Strategies

Control Strategies Applied	Frequency (per day)	PM10 Reduction	PM2.5 Reduction
Water Exposed Area	3	74%	74%

5.7. Construction Paving

Land Use	Area Paved (acres)	% Asphalt
City Park	0.00	0%

5.8. Construction Electricity Consumption and Emissions Factors

kWh per Year and Emission Factor (lb/MWh)

Year	kWh per Year	CO2	CH4	N2O
2024	0.00	532	0.03	< 0.005
2025	0.00	532	0.03	< 0.005

5.9. Operational Mobile Sources

5.9.1. Unmitigated

Land Use Type	Trips/Weekday	Trips/Saturday	Trips/Sunday	Trips/Year	VMT/Weekday	VMT/Saturday	VMT/Sunday	VMT/Year
City Park	76.0	192	192	39,837	462	1,168	1,168	242,254

5.10. Operational Area Sources

5.10.1. Hearths

5.10.1.1. Unmitigated

5.10.2. Architectural Coatings

Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Parking Area Coated (sq ft)
0	0.00	0.00	0.00	_

5.10.3. Landscape Equipment

Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Number Per Day	Hours per Day	Hours per Year	Horsepower	Load Factor
Lawn Mowers	Gasoline 4-Stroke	1.00	1.00	104	3.86	0.36

5.11. Operational Energy Consumption

5.11.1. Unmitigated

Electricity (kWh/yr) and CO2 and CH4 and N2O and Natural Gas (kBTU/yr)

Land Use	Electricity (kWh/yr)	CO2	CH4	N2O	Natural Gas (kBTU/yr)
City Park	65,638	532	0.0330	0.0040	0.00

5.12. Operational Water and Wastewater Consumption

5.12.1. Unmitigated

Land Use	Indoor Water (gal/year)	Outdoor Water (gal/year)
City Park	0.00	249

5.13. Operational Waste Generation

5.13.1. Unmitigated

Land Use	Waste (ton/year)	Cogeneration (kWh/year)
City Park	0.69	—

5.14. Operational Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Equipment

5.14.1. Unmitigated

Land Use Type	Equipment Type	Refrigerant	GWP	Quantity (kg)	Operations Leak Rate	Service Leak Rate	Times Serviced
City Park	Other commercial A/C and heat pumps	R-410A	2,088	< 0.005	4.00	4.00	18.0
City Park	Stand-alone retail refrigerators and freezers	R-134a	1,430	0.04	1.00	0.00	1.00

5.15. Operational Off-Road Equipment

5.15.1. Unmitigated

Equipment Type Fuel Type	Engine Tier	Number per Day	Hours Per Day	Horsepower	Load Factor
--------------------------	-------------	----------------	---------------	------------	-------------

5.16. Stationary Sources

5.16.1. Emergency Generators and Fire Pumps

Equipment Type Fuel Type	Number per Day	Hours per Day	Hours per Year	Horsepower	Load Factor
--------------------------	----------------	---------------	----------------	------------	-------------

5.16.2. Process Boilers

Equipment Type Fuel Type Number Boiler Rating (MMBtu/h	r) Daily Heat Input (MMBtu/day) Annual Heat Input (MMBtu/yr)
--	--

5.17. User Defined

Equipment Type		Fuel Type	
5.18. Vegetation			
5.18.1. Land Use Change			
5.18.1.1. Unmitigated			
Vegetation Land Use Type	Vegetation Soil Type	Initial Acres	Final Acres
5.18.1. Biomass Cover Type			
5.18.1.1. Unmitigated			
Biomass Cover Type	Initial Acres	Final Acres	
5.18.2. Sequestration			
5.18.2.1. Unmitigated			
Тгее Туре	Number	Electricity Saved (kWh/year)	Natural Gas Saved (btu/year)

6. Climate Risk Detailed Report

6.1. Climate Risk Summary

Cal-Adapt midcentury 2040–2059 average projections for four hazards are reported below for your project location. These are under Representation Concentration Pathway (RCP) 8.5 which assumes GHG emissions will continue to rise strongly through 2050 and then plateau around 2100.

El Rancho Baseball Field Improvement Detailed Report, 9/20/2023

Climate Hazard	Result for Project Location	Unit
Temperature and Extreme Heat	13.1	annual days of extreme heat
Extreme Precipitation	4.85	annual days with precipitation above 20 mm
Sea Level Rise	0.00	meters of inundation depth
Wildfire	0.00	annual hectares burned

Temperature and Extreme Heat data are for grid cell in which your project are located. The projection is based on the 98th historical percentile of daily maximum/minimum temperatures from observed historical data (32 climate model ensemble from Cal-Adapt, 2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5). Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

Extreme Precipitation data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The threshold of 20 mm is equivalent to about ³/₄ an inch of rain, which would be light to moderate rainfall if received over a full day or heavy rain if received over a period of 2 to 4 hours. Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

Sea Level Rise data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The projections are from Radke et al. (2017), as reported in Cal-Adapt (2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5), and consider different increments of sea level rise coupled with extreme storm events. Users may select from four model simulations to view the range in potential inundation depth for the grid cell. The four simulations make different assumptions about expected rainfall and temperature are: Warmer/drier (HadGEM2-ES), Cooler/wetter (CNRM-CM5), Average conditions (CanESM2), Range of different rainfall and temperature possibilities (MIROC5). Each grid cell is 50 meters (m) by 50 m, or about 164 feet (ft) by 164 ft.

Wildfire data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The projections are from UC Davis, as reported in Cal-Adapt (2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5), and consider historical data of climate, vegetation, population density, and large (> 400 ha) fire history. Users may select from four model simulations to view the range in potential wildfire probabilities for the grid cell. The four simulations make different assumptions about expected rainfall and temperature are: Warmer/drier (HadGEM2-ES), Cooler/wetter (CNRM-CM5), Average conditions (CanESM2), Range of different rainfall and temperature possibilities (MIROC5). Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

6.2. Initial Climate Risk Scores

Climate Hazard	Exposure Score	Sensitivity Score	Adaptive Capacity Score	Vulnerability Score
Temperature and Extreme Heat	1	0	0	N/A
Extreme Precipitation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sea Level Rise	1	0	0	N/A
Wildfire	1	0	0	N/A
Flooding	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Drought	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Snowpack Reduction	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air Quality Degradation	0	0	0	N/A

The sensitivity score reflects the extent to which a project would be adversely affected by exposure to a climate hazard. Exposure is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest exposure.

The adaptive capacity of a project refers to its ability to manage and reduce vulnerabilities from projected climate hazards. Adaptive capacity is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest ability to adapt.

The overall vulnerability scores are calculated based on the potential impacts and adaptive capacity assessments for each hazard. Scores do not include implementation of climate risk reduction measures. 6.3. Adjusted Climate Risk Scores

Climate Hazard	Exposure Score	Sensitivity Score	Adaptive Capacity Score	Vulnerability Score
Temperature and Extreme Heat	1	1	1	2
Extreme Precipitation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sea Level Rise	1	1	1	2
Wildfire	1	1	1	2
Flooding	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Drought	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Snowpack Reduction	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air Quality Degradation	1	1	1	2

The sensitivity score reflects the extent to which a project would be adversely affected by exposure to a climate hazard. Exposure is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest exposure.

The adaptive capacity of a project refers to its ability to manage and reduce vulnerabilities from projected climate hazards. Adaptive capacity is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest ability to adapt.

The overall vulnerability scores are calculated based on the potential impacts and adaptive capacity assessments for each hazard. Scores include implementation of climate risk reduction measures.

6.4. Climate Risk Reduction Measures

7. Health and Equity Details

7.1. CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Scores

The maximum CalEnviroScreen score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects a higher pollution burden compared to other census tracts in the state.

Indicator	Result for Project Census Tract	
Exposure Indicators	—	
AQ-Ozone	59.7	
AQ-PM	83.6	
AQ-DPM	65.4	
Drinking Water	56.2	
A-84 42 / 47		

El Rancho Baseball Field Improvement Detailed Report, 9/20/2023

Lead Risk Housing	90.6
Pesticides	0.00
Toxic Releases	84.5
Traffic	52.4
Effect Indicators	—
CleanUp Sites	7.71
Groundwater	59.6
Haz Waste Facilities/Generators	20.3
Impaired Water Bodies	33.2
Solid Waste	11.6
Sensitive Population	—
Asthma	66.1
Cardio-vascular	87.8
Low Birth Weights	33.1
Socioeconomic Factor Indicators	—
Education	75.7
Housing	59.7
Linguistic	54.6
Poverty	51.2
Unemployment	72.5

7.2. Healthy Places Index Scores

The maximum Health Places Index score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects healthier community conditions compared to other census tracts in the state.

Indicator	Result for Project Census Tract	
Economic		
Above Poverty	47.27319389	
Employed	40.16424997	
A-85		

43 / 47

Median HI	49.22366226
Education	—
Bachelor's or higher	20.73655845
High school enrollment	100
Preschool enrollment	72.83459515
Transportation	
Auto Access	23.89323752
Active commuting	49.17233415
Social	
2-parent households	45.78467856
Voting	31.79776723
Neighborhood	
Alcohol availability	41.8324137
Park access	52.31618119
Retail density	83.19004235
Supermarket access	67.93276017
Tree canopy	41.55010907
Housing	_
Homeownership	77.96740665
Housing habitability	44.12934685
Low-inc homeowner severe housing cost burden	19.72282818
Low-inc renter severe housing cost burden	56.70473502
Uncrowded housing	14.10239959
Health Outcomes	
Insured adults	21.95560118
Arthritis	0.0
Asthma ER Admissions	27.3
٨	86

High Blood Pressure	0.0
Cancer (excluding skin)	0.0
Asthma	0.0
Coronary Heart Disease	0.0
Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease	0.0
Diagnosed Diabetes	0.0
Life Expectancy at Birth	47.4
Cognitively Disabled	64.4
Physically Disabled	69.8
Heart Attack ER Admissions	4.5
Mental Health Not Good	0.0
Chronic Kidney Disease	0.0
Obesity	0.0
Pedestrian Injuries	88.3
Physical Health Not Good	0.0
Stroke	0.0
Health Risk Behaviors	_
Binge Drinking	0.0
Current Smoker	0.0
No Leisure Time for Physical Activity	0.0
Climate Change Exposures	—
Wildfire Risk	0.0
SLR Inundation Area	0.0
Children	15.5
Elderly	53.1
English Speaking	55.6
Foreign-born	61.4
Α	-87

Outdoor Workers	39.5
Climate Change Adaptive Capacity	—
Impervious Surface Cover	33.7
Traffic Density	46.6
Traffic Access	23.0
Other Indices	—
Hardship	72.0
Other Decision Support	—
2016 Voting	39.3

7.3. Overall Health & Equity Scores

Metric	Result for Project Census Tract
CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Score for Project Location (a)	74.0
Healthy Places Index Score for Project Location (b)	42.0
Project Located in a Designated Disadvantaged Community (Senate Bill 535)	No
Project Located in a Low-Income Community (Assembly Bill 1550)	Yes
Project Located in a Community Air Protection Program Community (Assembly Bill 617)	No

a: The maximum CalEnviroScreen score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects a higher pollution burden compared to other census tracts in the state. b: The maximum Health Places Index score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects healthier community conditions compared to other census tracts in the state.

7.4. Health & Equity Measures

No Health & Equity Measures selected.

7.5. Evaluation Scorecard

Health & Equity Evaluation Scorecard not completed.

7.6. Health & Equity Custom Measures

No Health & Equity Custom Measures created.

8. User Changes to Default Data

Screen	Justification
Construction: Construction Phases	no demolition needed
Construction: Off-Road Equipment	Proposed Project will not require more than 1 of each equipment type. The Project includes installation of field lighting, batting cages, and the reconfiguration of a baseball diamond.
Construction: Dust From Material Movement	material movement specifics provided by PD
Operations: Vehicle Data	Per traffic report, Practice days result in 76 ADT, and Game days result in 192 ADT
Operations: Energy Use	Based on information from Musco Lighting Plans. Assumes that baseball/softball lights are on 24 hours a week for the school year.