

# Appendix E

---

## Geotechnical Report



**PRELIMINARY GEOTECHNICAL AND  
GEOHAZARDS TECHNICAL REPORT  
ETIWANDA COMMERCE CENTER  
PROJECT  
BLACK CREEK INDUSTRIAL  
ACQUISITIONS LLC  
RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CALIFORNIA**

**PROJECT NO. 24001666.002A**

**FEBRUARY 2, 2024**

**This document was prepared for use only by the client, only for the purposes stated, and within a reasonable time from issuance. Non-commercial, educational and scientific use of this report by regulatory agencies is regarded as a "fair use" and not a violation of copyright. Regulatory agencies may make additional copies of this document for internal use. Copies may also be made available to the public as required by law. The reprint must acknowledge the copyright and indicate that permission to reprint has been received.**



February 2, 2024  
Kleinfelder Project No.: 24001666.002A

Mr. Chad Beckstrom  
Senior Environmental Director  
**Ascent Environmental, Inc**  
15642 Sand Canyon, #54491  
Irvine, California 92619

**Subject: Preliminary Geotechnical and Geohazards Technical Report  
Etiwanda Commerce Center Project  
Black Creek Industrial Acquisitions LLC  
Rancho Cucamonga, California**

Dear Mr. Beckstrom:

Kleinfelder is pleased to present this report summarizing the preliminary geotechnical and geohazard study performed for the project site, located south of Arrow Route in the city of Rancho Cucamonga, California. Our conclusions and recommendations are presented in the attached report. An Executive Summary has been included for your convenience.

We appreciate the opportunity to provide geotechnical engineering services to you on this project. If you have any questions regarding this report or if we can be of further service, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

Sincerely,

Saige Carter, GIT  
Staff Geologist I

Michael O. Cook, PG, CEG  
Senior Geologist

Eric W. Noel, P.E., G.E.  
Senior Geotechnical Engineer

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<u>Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
<b>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY .....</b>	<b>ES-1</b>
<b>1 INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 PROJECT DESCRIPTION.....	1
1.2 SCOPE OF SERVICES .....	3
<b>2 SITE CONDITIONS.....</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1 SITE DESCRIPTION .....	5
2.2 EXISTING SITE FEATURES AND CONSTRAINTS .....	5
2.2.1 Artificial Fill .....	6
2.2.2 Alluvium/Native Soils.....	6
2.2.3 Stockpiled crushed aggregate.....	6
2.2.4 Underground Utilities.....	6
2.3 GROUNDWATER.....	7
<b>3 GEOLOGY.....</b>	<b>8</b>
3.1 GEOLOGIC SETTING .....	8
3.2 GEOLOGIC HAZARDS.....	9
<b>4 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS .....</b>	<b>13</b>
4.1 GENERAL.....	13
4.2 GEOHAZARDS.....	14
4.3 SEISMIC DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS .....	14
4.3.1 General .....	14
4.4 EARTHWORK .....	15
4.4.1 General .....	15
4.3.2 Site Preparation .....	15
4.3.3 Over excavation .....	16
<b>5 LIMITATIONS .....</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>6 REFERENCES.....</b>	<b>18</b>

**FIGURES**

Figure 1	Site Vicinity Map
Figure 2	Storm Retention Basins
Figure 3	Regional Geologic Map

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

---

This report presents the results of our preliminary geotechnical and geohazards study for the proposed Etiwanda Commerce Center Project in Rancho Cucamonga, California. The location of the proposed development is shown on Figure 1, Site Vicinity Map.

The purpose of this preliminary study was to evaluate the general site conditions, the nature and engineering properties of the subsurface soils, potential geohazards, and to provide recommendations for the proposed project. Our scope of work included review of existing geotechnical reports, review of published geologic reports and maps of region and report preparation. No subsurface investigation was conducted as part of this preliminary site review. The interpretation of the near surface soil conditions presented in this report is based on laboratory test results conducted by others as referenced. A regional geologic map of the site is presented as Figure 3, Regional Geologic Map.

Geohazards evaluated for potential impact to the site and the proposed developments included: seismic shaking, fault rupture, landslides, liquefaction, flooding, seiche, radon gas, naturally occurring asbestos, and regional subsidence. Based on this review, the risk of surface rupture at the site resulting from faulting is considered low, the risk at the site from landslides and other forms of mass wasting is considered very low. The properties of the soils underlying the site, and depth to groundwater, indicate that there is a low potential for impact due to liquefaction from a seismic event. The site is located outside any flood plain safety and the risk of seiche damage following a seismic event at the site is considered low. Radon test results within the project site are considered nonhazardous. The site is not located within an area of known naturally occurring asbestos and is not located within an area of known or reported land subsidence.

Based on research of the general area, groundwater is anticipated to be greater than 600 feet below ground surface (bgs) and is not expected to impact project development.

Fluctuations of localized zones of perched water and rise in soil moisture content should be anticipated during the rainy season. Irrigation of landscaped areas may also lead to an increase in soil moisture content and fluctuations of intermittent shallow perched groundwater levels.

The executive summary briefly summarizes results of our geotechnical and geohazard study for the project site and should be used only in conjunction with recommendations presented in the attached report. This summary is also subject to the limitations included in Section 5 of this report.

## 1 INTRODUCTION

---

This report presents the results of our preliminary geotechnical and geohazards study for the proposed Etiwanda Commerce Center Project situated in southeast Rancho Cucamonga, California. The project site is located south of the BNSF/Metrolink railroad tracks, west of Etiwanda Avenue, generally north of 6<sup>th</sup> Street, and generally east of Interstate I-15. The location of the proposed development is shown on Figure 1, Site Vicinity Map.

The purpose of this preliminary geotechnical and geohazards study is to summarize our findings of the general site and subsurface conditions and to outline geotechnical-related and geohazard risks that may affect the cost and long-term performance of the proposed project. Additional geotechnical studies (including field exploration and laboratory testing) will be required to develop design-level recommendations for the project once conceptual plans are finalized. The scope of our services was presented in our proposal dated June 28, 2023.

### 1.1 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The following project description is developed from the Notice of Preparation (NOP). The project site is located at 8996 Etiwanda Avenue in Rancho Cucamonga, CA and includes approximately 2,787,840 square feet (approximately 64 acres) of lot area. Black Creek Industrial Acquisitions LLC is proposing to develop the project site which would include the site clearing and grading of the project site and the construction, use, and maintenance of five new buildings for general warehouse space, office space, loading docks for truck trailed, and include 729 automobile parking spaces and 211 truck trailer parking spaces. In total, the proposed project would introduce 1,214,131 gross square feet of new building floor area. Vehicle access to the project site would be provided by public roadway connections from Etiwanda Avenue and Napa Street and by the vehicular circulation system to be constructed as part of the proposed project. Five buildings are proposed, each including loading docks and administrative office space. Building 1, the largest building, will be constructed in the center of the project area, building 2 will be constructed in the northeast corner of the project site along Etiwanda Avenue, buildings 3 and 4 will occupy the western portion of the site, and the fifth and smallest building, will be constructed in the southeastern portion of the project site.

Dock-high doors will be constructed along portions of each building. The buildings will be surrounded by asphaltic concrete pavements in the parking and drive lanes. Table 1.1 summarizes the purposed building square footage and parking stalls for the proposed project.

**Table 1.1**

Building Number	Warehouse (sf)	Office (sf)	Total Building/Lot (sf)	Automobile Parking Stalls <sup>1</sup>		Trailer Parking Stalls <sup>2</sup>	
				Required	Provided	Required	Provided
1	578,741	25,000	603,741	265	259	114	117
2	122,343	10,000	132,343	91	126	19	20
3	239,245	10,000	249,245	120	186	31	33
4	159,559	10,000	169,559	100	100	30	35
5	54,243	5,000	59,243	54	58	6	6
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,154,131</b>	<b>60,000</b>	<b>1,214,131</b>	<b>630</b>	<b>729</b>	<b>200</b>	<b>211</b>

Notes:

<sup>1</sup>Generation rate for warehouse and office parking per RCMC requirements in Chapter 17.46 Parking and Loading Standards, Section 17.64.050, Number of Parking Spaces Required, Table 17.64.050-1, Parking Requirements by Land Use.

<sup>2</sup>Generation rates for warehouse truck trailer parking per RCMC requirements in Section 17.64.100 D.1, Trailer Parking Required. No truck trailer parking is required for office space.

sf = square feet

Source: RGA Office of Architectural Design, October 21, 2021.

The remainder of the site development is planned to be paved for parking, driveways and a new street network for circulation within the project site. A new street, “A,” is planned to be constructed as a westward continuation of Napa Street along the south side of buildings 1 and 2 and along the north side of building 5. New street, “B,” is planned to be constructed between buildings 1 and 2 and along the west side of building 5. Street “C” is planned to run along the east side of buildings 3 and 4 between building 1 connecting to street “A” at the southwest corner of building 1. Street “D” runs along the north side of buildings 1 and 2 just south of the BNSF/Metrolink railroad tracks. The proposed project would also include water quality basins, utility infrastructure, exterior lighting and signage, and approximately 16 percent landscape coverage.

On August 31, 2023, a site walk was conducted by Kleinfelder staff. The property mainly consists of asphalt pavement, bare ground, tumbleweeds and ruderal vegetation, and some trees in the eastern portion of the site near the entrance gate at Napa Street. Access to the site is off of Etiwanda Avenue and Napa Street with an asphalt entrance and exit with short driveways and two locked gates. Stockpiles that consisted of crushed concrete, asphalt, coarse aggregate, reinforced concrete pipe, and other materials were present mostly in the eastern portion of the project site. All of the buildings have been demolished on the site, but portions of the concrete slab and steel foundation for the largest building are still in place. Storm drains, sewer manholes, below grade vaults, and water utility valves were observed at various location across site.

A dry retention pond, shown on Figure 2, was observed on the northeast corner of the site with above grade earth berms approximately 10 feet high, and an unlined earth drainage channel was observed running east west on the west side of the project site. Three stormwater retention basins were located within the southeast portion of the site area. Two of the retention basins, located in the southeast corner of the site near the offsite SCE substation have been partially backfilled with fill soil. The third and largest retention basin, located in the southeast corner along Etiwanda Avenue, is open and approximately 10 feet deep.

Conceptual grading plans indicate 217,456 cubic yards of cut and fill to be balanced on site with the maximum daily disturbance during grading to be 8,700 cubic yards. Deeper excavations to remove existing undocumented artificial fills, building foundations, subterranean structures, buried utilities, tree stumps, and roots are also recommended.

We anticipate parking lot and drive aisles will consist of asphaltic concrete (AC) pavement and Portland cement concrete pavement (PCCP). Ancillary construction is anticipated to include concrete flat work, landscaping, and installation of buried utilities.

## **1.2 SCOPE OF SERVICES**

The scope of our preliminary geotechnical and geohazards study consisted of reviewing readily available geotechnical and geologic information for the project site, conduct a site reconnaissance to observe and document the existing conditions, and preparation of this report. Our report includes a description of the work performed, a discussion of the geotechnical and geohazard conditions observed at the site, and preliminary recommendations. A description of our scope of services performed for this project is presented below.

**Task 1 – Background Data Review.** We reviewed published and unpublished geologic literature in our files and the files of public agencies, including selected publications prepared by the California Geological Survey and the U.S. Geological Survey. We also reviewed readily available seismic and faulting information, including data for designated earthquake fault zones and our in-house database of faulting in the general site vicinity. Available historic air photographs from the University of Santa Barbara were reviewed for project site conditions over time.

A geotechnical investigation of the site was conducted by Southern California Geotechnical, Inc. in 2020. The results of that investigation presented in the report prepared by Southern California Geotechnical, Inc., dated October 30, 2020, and was provided to Kleinfelder by Ascent.

**Task 2 – Site Reconnaissance.** A site reconnaissance was performed on August 31, 2023 to observe and document the existing conditions at the project site.

**Task 3 – Report Preparation.** This report summarizes the work performed, data acquired, and our findings, and conclusions. This report also contains reference maps and graphics.

## 2 SITE CONDITIONS

---

### 2.1 SITE DESCRIPTION

The project site consists of an irregular-shaped parcel totaling approximately 64 acres. The site is located south of the BNSF/Metrolink railroad tracks, west of Etiwanda Avenue, generally north of 6<sup>th</sup> Street, and generally east of Interstate I-15. The site is relatively flat with scattered areas of uneven terrain caused by construction/excavation activity associated with equipment and material removal. The overall site generally drains to the south with approximate surface elevations of 1120 feet at the northwest corner of the site to 1095 feet at the southeast corner of the site. There is approximately 25 feet of relief across the site. The site is currently vacant with remnants of building foundations and piles of crushed concrete, asphalt aggregate, crushed steel reinforced concrete pipe and other construction debris.

### 2.2 EXISTING SITE FEATURES AND CONSTRAINTS

The project site is located at the northern end of the Perris block along the northern boundary of the Peninsular Ranges geomorphic province of California (CGS, 2002; Norris and Webb, 1990). The area is underlain by late Holocene age, very young alluvial-fan deposits overlying Quaternary age alluvial-fan deposits and Cretaceous age plutonic rock bedrock at depth (Fife, 1976; Morton and Miller, 2006).

Soils encountered during a field investigation by Southern California Geotechnical (SCG, 2020) consisted of:

- Artificial fill extending up to approximately 8.5 feet below the ground surface (bgs),
- Alluvium was encountered from the contact with the artificial fill to at least approximately 30 feet bgs;

- The soils encountered during the Southern California Geotechnical investigation field work consisted primarily of interbedded sands with varying silt content, and gravel to the total depths explored.

#### 2.2.1 Artificial Fill

Artificial fill soils were encountered to depths of 2.0 to 8.5 feet below the ground surface (SCG, 2020). The fill soils encountered generally consisted of loose to dense silty fine sands with varying medium to coarse sand and fine to coarse gravel content. These fill soils are considered undocumented and are recommended to be entirely removed to provide uniform support for proposed structures and pavement improvements.

#### 2.2.2 Alluvium/Native Soils

Alluvial fan deposits, estimated to be approximately 1,000 feet thick, underly the site (Fife, 1976). The alluvium/native soils encountered by SCG generally consisted of loose to medium dense fine sandy silt, silty fine sands, and loose to very dense fine to coarse sand (SCG, 2020).

#### 2.2.3 Stockpiled crushed aggregate

At the time of the Kleinfelder site reconnaissance, large piles of crushed aggregate material consisting of rock, concrete, steel reenforced concrete pipes and other construction debris approximately 20 to 30 feet high were present on the western side of the project site.

#### 2.2.4 Underground Utilities

Metal manhole covers and below grade vaults were observed at various locations around the project site indicating that buried utilities are still present on the site. Utilities observed included sewer, water, storm drain, and electrical utilities.

## 2.3 GROUNDWATER

Groundwater was not encountered in any borings drilled by SCG in 2020. Depth to groundwater beneath the site is estimated to be greater than 500 feet bgs (WMWD, 2022). The nearest groundwater wells to the project site are two Cucamonga Water District wells #39 and #40 located approximately 1.8 miles northeast of the site (WMWD, 2022). Approximate ground surface elevations for well #39 is 1,377 feet above mean sea level (MSL) and #40 is 1,278 feet MSL. Depths bgs to groundwater in wells #39 and #40 were measured on March 18, 2022 at 604 and 602 feet bgs, respectively.

## 3 GEOLOGY

---

### 3.1 GEOLOGIC SETTING

The project site is situated within the Chino Valley at the northern end of the Perris block along the northern boundary of the Peninsular Ranges geomorphic province of California (Norris and Webb, 1990). The geology of the region is presented on a referenced geologic map by Morton and Miller (2006) Figure 3 – Regional Geologic Map.

#### 3.1.1 Regional Geology

The Peninsular Ranges are the southernmost segment of a chain of North American Mesozoic batholiths that extend from Alaska to the southern tip of Baja California and are a series of northwest-southeast trending mountain ranges separated by similarly trending valleys (CGS, 2002). These geomorphic structures in the area are sub-parallel to the major fault systems, such as the Elsinore Fault zone, which includes the Whittier, Chino-Central Avenue, and the San Jacinto Fault zones. The Perris Block is composed chiefly of crystalline rocks of Cretaceous and earlier ages with thin mantles of sedimentary and volcanic rocks (Woodford et al, 1971). The Perris Block is bounded on the northeast by the San Jacinto fault zone, on the north by the Sierra Madre-Cucamonga fault zone, and on the west by the Elsinore Fault zone. The southern boundary is undefined (Norris and Webb, 1990).

#### 3.1.2 Site Geology

The site is underlain by late-Holocene age alluvial-fan deposits (Qyf<sub>1</sub>, Qf<sub>2</sub>) (Morton and Miller, 2006). Native alluvial soils are estimated to be 1,000 to 1,100 feet thick beneath the site (Fife, 1976). Surficial deposits observed by SCG consist of fill soils ranging in thickness from approximately 2.0 to 8.5 feet bgs (SCG, 2020) over alluvial fan deposits to the maximum depth explored of 30 feet bgs. These fill soils generally consisted of medium dense to dense fine sands with little silt, and silty fine sands with varying medium to coarse sand and fine gravel content. Some of the fill soils contained trace amounts of slag debris.

Alluvial deposits generally consist of loose to medium dense sands, silty sands, and sandy silts with varying clay and fine gravel content.

## 3.2 GEOLOGIC HAZARDS

We have addressed following the potential geologic hazards for the site:

- Seismic Shaking
- Fault rupture
- Landslides
- Liquefaction
- Flooding
- Seiche
- Radon gas
- Naturally occurring asbestos and
- Regional Subsidence

### 3.2.1 Seismic Shaking

The project is within the zone of influence for several faults which are considered active and which are capable of producing seismic shaking at the site that could be potentially damaging to buildings and appurtenant structures. It is anticipated that the project site will periodically experience ground acceleration as the result of moderate to large magnitude earthquakes on faults in or outside the project area.

### 3.2.2 Fault Rupture

Earthquakes and faulting occur as the tectonic plates, which comprise the Earth's crust, or lithosphere, move relative to one-another. Faults identified by the State of California and the County of San Bernardino as being active are not known to be present at the surface within the project limits. No portion of the site is located within a State of California-Special Studies Zone, formerly Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zone (CGS, 2021) or the County of San Bernardino General Plan-Geologic Hazard Overlays map EHFN C. The site is underlain by Holocene age alluvial sands and gravels up to 1,000 of feet thick, which overlies Cretaceous age plutonic rock of the Perris Block. A review of stereo and oblique air photos, which encompass the project site,

did not reveal lineaments or geomorphic features indicative of surface faulting. The site is situated on the northern end of the Perris Block, which is bounded by the active Sierra Madre fault zone approximately 5.2 miles north and San Jacinto fault zone approximately 8.5 miles northeast (USGS, 2020) of the site. The nearest active or potentially active faults near the site are the Red Hill-Etiwanda Avenue fault approximately 3.5 miles northwest and the Fontana seismic trend approximately 2.7 miles southeast of the site.

Based on the lack of geomorphic evidence such as lineaments, off-set drainages or concentration of vegetation, and the distance to known active faults in the region, the risk of surface rupture at the site resulting from faulting is considered low.

### 3.2.3 Landslides

Landslides and other forms of mass wasting, including mud flows, debris flows, soil slips, and rock falls occur as soil or rock moves down slope under the influence of gravity. Landslides are frequently triggered by intense rainfall or seismic shaking. The site is not located within a State or County of San Bernardino (SBC, 2010a,b) designated landslide hazard zone. The site is relatively flat and the risk at the site from landslides and other forms of mass wasting is considered very low.

### 3.2.4 Liquefaction

Liquefaction occurs when saturated, loose, coarse-grained or silty soils are subjected to strong shaking resulting from earthquake motions. The coarse-grained or silty soils typically lose a portion or all of their shear strength and regain strength sometime after the shaking stops. Soil movements (both vertical and lateral) have been observed under these conditions due to consolidation of the liquefied soils. The project site is not located within a State of California or County of San Bernardino zone of suspected liquefaction susceptibility (SBC, 2010a).

Based on our review of published reports, the properties of the soils underlying the site, and depth to groundwater, there is a low potential for impact due to liquefaction from a seismic event.

### 3.2.5 Flooding/Seiches

Surface water flow at the site is generally via sheet flow in a south to southwesterly direction. The site is within a flood hazard area “X” which is an area designated as areas to be outside the 0.2% annual chance floodplain (FEMA, 2014a, 2014b and 2016). The site is located outside any flood plain safety districts by the County of San Bernardino (SBC, 2010d).

The project site is not located down stream of, or within a Dam inundation zone as identified by the County of San Bernardino (SBC, 2010d).

A seiche is a wave or sloshing of a body of water that is at least partially impounded caused by strong wind or seismic shaking. The site is not downstream of large standing bodies of water or tanks which potentially could cause flooding and inundate the project site. The risk of seiche damage following a seismic event at the site is considered low.

### 3.2.6 Radon Gas

Radon is a naturally occurring radioactive gas that is invisible and odorless. The gas forms from the radioactive decay of small amounts of uranium and thorium naturally present in rocks and soils. Some amount of radon exists in all rocks and soils, but some rock types, such as black shales and certain igneous rocks, can have uranium and thorium in amounts higher than is typical for the earth’s crust. At these locations, increased amounts of radon will be generated in the subsurface. Because radon is a gas, it can easily move through soil and cracks in building slabs or basement walls and concentrate inside a building. Areas with higher amounts of radon in the underlying rocks and soil are likely to have higher percentages of buildings with indoor radon levels in excess of U.S. Environmental Protection Agency guidelines. The U.S. EPA recommends that individuals avoid long-term exposures to radon concentrations above 4 picocuries per liter, California Geological Survey (<https://maps.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/radon/>). The last reported

data of Radon test results by area code compiled by CalEPA in 2016 had results all below 4 picocuries.

### 3.2.7 Asbestos

The site is not located within an area of known naturally occurring asbestos (NOA) materials or nearby mining operations that have produced asbestos materials (CDPH, 2023).

### 3.2.8 Subsidence

The project site is not located within an area of known or reported land subsidence by the County of San Bernardino or the State of California.

## 4 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

---

### 4.1 GENERAL

Based on the results of our geotechnical and geohazard analyses conducted during this study, it is our professional opinion that the proposed project is geotechnically feasible, provided the recommendations presented in this report are incorporated into the project design and construction. The primary geotechnical considerations for site development are as follows:

1. Undocumented artificial fill soils (as deep as 8.5 feet bgs) located on the project site.
2. Based on the review of geotechnical reports provided and soils observed during our reconnaissance, we do not anticipate expansive soils to adversely impact the design and construction of the proposed project. A site-specific geotechnical investigation and lab testing must be conducted once design plans have been prepared to document surface and near surface soil conditions.
3. The anticipated groundwater level indicates that the site soil has a remote potential for liquefaction during a design-level earthquake.
4. The following preliminary opinions, conclusions, and recommendations are based on the properties of the materials observed in the prior explorations, the results of our literature review, and our engineering analyses performed. Our recommendations regarding the geotechnical aspects of the design and construction of the project are presented in the following sections. We recommend that the final grading plans be reviewed prior to the start of construction.

## 4.2 GEOHAZARDS

1. The project site is located in a seismically active region of southern California. The proposed site can be expected to be subject to strong seismic shaking during its design life.
2. The risk of surface rupture at the site resulting from faulting is considered low.
3. The anticipated groundwater level indicates that the site soil has a remote potential for liquefaction during a design-level earthquake.
4. The risk at the site from landslides and other forms of mass wasting is considered very low.
5. There is a low potential for impact due to liquefaction from a seismic event.
6. The site is in an area designated as: areas to be outside the 0.2% annual chance floodplain.
7. The risk of seiche damage following a seismic event at the site is considered low.
8. Radon test results within the project site area are considered nonhazardous.
9. The site is not located within an area of known naturally occurring asbestos material.
10. The site is not located within an area of known or reported land subsidence.

## 4.3 SEISMIC DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS

### 4.3.1 General

The project site is located in a seismically active region of southern California. The proposed site can be expected to be subject to strong seismic shaking during its design life. Potential seismic hazards include ground shaking and seismic settlement. According to the 2022 California Building Code, every structure, and portion thereof, including non-structural components that are permanently attached to structures and their supports and attachments, shall be designed and constructed to resist the effects of earthquake motions in accordance with ASCE/SEI 7-16. The Seismic Design Category for a structure should be determined in accordance with Section 1613 of the 2019 CBC.

## 4.4 EARTHWORK

### 4.4.1 General

Recommendations for site preparation are presented below. All site preparation and earthwork operations should be performed in accordance with applicable codes, safety regulations and other local, state or federal specifications. All references to maximum unit weights are established in accordance with the latest version of ASTM Standard Test Method D1557.

Grading operations during the wet season or in areas where the soils are saturated may require provisions for drying of soils prior to compaction. If the project necessitates fill placement and compaction in wet conditions, we can provide suggested alternative recommendations for drying the soil. Conversely, additional moisture may be required during the dry months. A sufficient water source should be available to provide adequate water during compaction. During dry months, moisture conditioning of the subgrade soils may be required if left exposed for greater than a few days.

### 4.3.2 Site Preparation

Prior to general site grading, existing vegetation, debris, and oversized materials (greater than 6 inches in maximum dimension) should be stripped and disposed outside the construction limits. We estimate the depth of stripping to be approximately 6 inches over most portions of the site. Deeper stripping or grubbing may be required where higher concentrations of vegetation are encountered during site grading. Stripped topsoil (less any debris) may be stockpiled and reused for landscaping purposes; however, this material should be evaluated for suitability if it is desired to use this material for engineered fill below structures.

All oversize and organic debris, including any produced by demolition operations, (wood, steel, piping, plastics, etc.), should be separated and disposed offsite. The material generated during demolition of the existing roadways and concrete structures may be reused onsite. If reused, the particles should be crushed to a maximum particle size of 6 inches and spread across the site to prevent nesting.

Existing utility pipelines (if encountered) which extend beyond the limits of the proposed construction and are to be abandoned in place should be plugged with cement grout to prevent

migration of soil and/or water. Demolition, disposal, and grading operations should be observed and tested.

#### 4.3.3 Over excavation

Recommendations for over excavation of the proposed building pads (building foundations and floor slabs), embankments, and parking lots (pavements) should be reviewed based on finalized grading and foundation. All site preparation and earthwork operations should be performed in accordance with applicable codes, safety regulations and other local, state, or federal specifications. All references to maximum unit weights are established in accordance with the latest version of ASTM Standard Test Method D1557.

## 5 LIMITATIONS

---

This report has been prepared to inform the Environmental Impact Report for the proposed Etiwanda Commerce Center Project in Rancho Cucamonga, California. This report was prepared for Ascent (client) on behalf of the City of Rancho Cucamonga (owner). The findings, conclusions and recommendations presented in this report were prepared in a manner consistent with the standards of care and skill ordinarily exercised by members of our profession practicing under similar conditions in the geographic vicinity and at the time the services will be performed. No warranty or guarantee, express or implied, is made. Our geotechnical and geohazard study of this project was based on the approximate building locations provided to us by the client.

The owner has the responsibility to see that all parties to the project, including the designer, contractor, subcontractors, etc., are made aware of this report in its entirety. This report contains information that may be useful in the preparation of the Environmental Impact Report.

This report may be used by the owner for the purposes stated within a reasonable time from its issuance. Land use, site conditions (both on site and off site) or other factors may change over time, and additional work may be required with the passage of time. Any party, other than the owner/client who wishes to use this report shall notify Kleinfelder of such intended use. Based on the intended use of this report and the nature of the new project, additional work may be needed and an updated report may need to be issued. Non-compliance with any of these requirements by the owner or anyone else will release Kleinfelder from any liability resulting from the use of this report by any unauthorized party and the owner/client agrees to defend, indemnify, and hold harmless Kleinfelder from any claims or liability associated with such unauthorized use or non-compliance.

The scope of our geotechnical and geological services did not include any environmental site assessment for the presence or absence of hazardous/toxic materials, including methane or other landfill related gases. Kleinfelder will assume no responsibility or liability whatsoever for any claim, damage, or injury which results from pre-existing hazardous materials being encountered or present on the project site, or from the discovery of such hazardous materials.

## 6 REFERENCES

---

California Department of Public Health (CDPH), 2023, Areas with Potential for Naturally Occurring Asbestos, CDPH website: <https://cdphdata.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=da4b648958844134adc25ff002dbea1c>, accessed 9/6/23.

California Geological Survey (CGS), 2002, California Geomorphic Provinces, Note 36, revised 12/2002.

California Geological Survey (CGS), 2021, Earthquake Zones of Required Investigation, CGS website: [maps.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/EQZApp/](https://maps.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/EQZApp/), accessed 09/20/23.

California Geological Survey (CGS), California Department of Conservation, 2023, Indoor Radon Potential, website: <https://maps.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/radon/>, accessed 9/6/23.

City of Rancho Cucamonga, 2023, Notice of Preparation of a Draft Environmental Impact Report and Notice of a Scoping Meeting for the Etiwanda Commerce Center Project.

Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), 2016, Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM), San Bernardino County, California and incorporated areas, Panel 8633 of 9400, Map Number 06071C8633J, map revised September 2, 2016.

Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), 2014a, Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM), San Bernardino County, California and incorporated areas, Panel 8634 of 9400, Map Number 06071C8634J, map revised September 26, 2014.

Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), 2014b, Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM), San Bernardino County, California and incorporated areas, Panel 8635 of 9400, Map Number 06071C8635J, map revised September 26, 2014.

Fife, D.L., 1976, Geologic Hazards in Southwestern San Bernardino County, California, California Geological Survey (formerly California Division of Mines and Geology), Special Report 113, text and maps, scale 1:48,000.

Morton, D.M., 1978, Geologic Map of the Fontana Quadrangle, San Bernardino, and Riverside Counties, California, United States Geological Survey, Open File Report 78-19, scale 1:24,000.

Morton, D.M., Miller, F.K., 2006, Geologic Map of the San Bernardino and Santa Ana 30' by 60' quadrangles, California, United States Geological Survey, Version 1.0, Open File Report OF-2006-1217, scale 1:100,000.

Norris, R.M., Webb, R.W., 1990, Geology of California, second ed., John Wiley and Sons.

Public Works Standards, Inc., The Greenbook: Standard Specifications for Public Works Construction, Bni Building News, 2018

San Bernardino County (SBC), 2010a, San Bernardino County Land Use Plan, General Plan, Geologic Hazard Overlays, EHFH C, Victorville/San Bernardino, scale 1:115,200.

San Bernardino County (SBC), 2010b, San Bernardino County Land Use Plan, General Plan, Hazard Overlays, EHFH B, Victorville/San Bernardino, scale 1:115,200.

San Bernardino County (SBC), 2010c, San Bernardino County Land Use Plan, General Plan, Hazard Overlays, FH29B, Fontana, scale 1:14,400

San Bernardino County (SBC), 2010d, San Bernardino County Land Use Plan, General Plan, Hazard Overlays, FH28B, Guasti, scale 1:14,400

San Bernardino County (SBC), 2010e, San Bernardino County Land Use Plan, General Plan, Geologic Hazard Overlays, FH29C, Fontana, scale 1:14,400

Southern California Geotechnical (SCG), 2020, Geotechnical Investigation, Proposed Commercial/Industrial Development, NWC Etiwanda Avenue and Napa Street, Rancho Cucamonga, California, prepared for BTC III Rancho Cucamonga Logistics Center LP, project number 20G207-1, dated October 30, 2020

USGS, 2020, Earthquake Hazards, Faults, Quaternary Fault and Fold Data Base of the United States, Interactive Fault Map, <https://www.usgs.gov/natural-hazards/earthquake-hazards/faults>

University California Santa Barbara (UCSB), 2020, UC Santa Barbara Library Aerial Photography Information web site, <https://www.library.ucsb.edu/src/airphotos/aerial-photography-information>,

UCSB Aerial Photographs			
Flight ID	Frame	Scale	Flight date
C-3668	62, 63, 64	1:21,000	9/6/1935
AXL-1953B	35K-110, 35K-111	1:20,000	10/22/1952
C-24153	246, 247	1:12,000	8/10/1961


Western Municipal Water District (WMWD), 2022, Cooperative Well Measuring Program, Covering the Upper Santa Ana River Watershed, San Jacinto Watershed, Santa Margarita Watershed, Spring 2022, published June 2022.

Woodford, A.O., Shelton, J.S., Doehring, D.O., and Morton, R.K., 1971, Pliocene-Pleistocene history of the Perris Block, southern California Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 82, p. 3421-3448.

## FIGURES

---


Date: 1/5/2024 User: SCarter Path: \\azrgisstor03\GIS\_Projects\Client\Ascent\24001666-RanchoCucamonga\Ascent\_RanchoCucamonga.aprx



City of Rancho Cucamonga, California State Parks, Esri, TomTom, Garmin, SafeGraph, GeoTechnologies, Inc, METI/NASA, USGS, Bureau of Land Management, EPA, NPS, US Census Bureau, USDA, USFWS, Maxar, Microsoft

The information included on this graphic representation has been compiled from a variety of sources and is subject to change without notice. Kleinfelder makes no representations or warranties, express or implied, as to accuracy, completeness, timeliness, or rights to the use of such information. This document is not intended for use as a land survey product nor is it designed or intended as a construction design document. The use or misuse of the information contained on this graphic representation is at the sole risk of the party using or misusing the information.

REFERENCE:  
VICINITY MAP CREATED FROM DATA  
COMPILED BY USGS US TOPO GUASTI, CA  
QUADRANGLE 7.5-MINUTE SERIES, 2021.



PROJECT NO.	24001666.003A
CREATED:	1/5/2024
CREATED BY:	SCarter
CHECKED BY:	MCook
FILE NAME:	Ascent_RanchoCucamonga.mxd

**SITE VICINITY MAP**

ETIWANDA COMMERCE CENTER PROJECT  
BLACK CREEK INDUSTRIAL ACQUISITIONS LLC  
RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CALIFORNIA

FIGURE  
**1**



SCALE  
1 in : 1,000 ft

The information included on this graphic representation has been compiled from a variety of sources and is subject to change without notice. Kleinfelder makes no representations or warranties, express or implied, as to accuracy, completeness, timeliness, or rights to the use of such information. This document is not intended for use as a land survey product nor is it designed or intended as a construction design document. The use or misuse of the information contained on this graphic representation is at the sole risk of the party using or misusing the information.



PROJECT NO.	24001666.001A
DRAWN:	10/2023
DRAWN BY:	HTM
CHECKED BY:	MC
FILE NAME:	STORM RETENTION BASINS


## STORM RETENTION BASINS

ETIWANDA COMMERCE CENTER PROJECT  
BLACK CREEK INDUSTRIAL ACQUISITIONS LLC  
RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CALIFORNIA

FIGURE:

**2**

Date: 1/5/2024 User: SCarter Path: \\azrgisstor03\GIS\_Projects\Client\Ascent\24001666-RanchoCucamonga\Ascent\_RanchoCucamonga.aprx



### MAP UNITS

- Artificial Fill (late Holocene) - Sand, gravel, and bedrock
- Very Young Wash Deposits (late Holocene) - Unconsolidated sand and gravel deposits
- Very Young Alluvial-Fan Deposits (late Holocene) - Unconsolidated deposits of sand, gravel, and boulders
- Unit 2      Unit 1
- Young Alluvial-Fan Deposits (Holocene and late Pleistocene) - Unconsolidated to moderately consolidated silt, sand, pebbly cobbly sand, and bouldery alluvial-fan deposits
- Unit 4      Unit 3
- Unit 2      Unit 1
- Young Eolian Deposits (Holocene and late Pleistocene) - Silt and medium to fine sand
- Very Old Alluvial-Fan Deposits (early Pleistocene) - Well consolidated silt, sand, gravel, and conglomerate
- Unit 1
- Generic Cretaceous Granitic Rocks of the Peninsular Ranges Batholith - Granodiorite, Undifferentiated
- Tonalite      Heterogeneous Tonalitic Rocks
- Intermixed Paleozoic(?) Schist and Cretaceous Granitic Rocks
- Conglomerate and Sandstone, San Sevaine Canyon Area (Pliocene and Miocene)
- Charnockite (Cretaceous) - Massive to foliated charnockite
- Granulitic Gneiss, Mylonite, and Cataclasite, Retrograde (Proterozoic)
- Granulitic Gneiss, Mylonite, and Cataclasite (Proterozoic)

City of Rancho Cucamonga, California State Parks, Esri, TomTom, Garmin, SafeGraph, GeoTechnologies, Inc, METI/ NASA, USGS, Bureau of Land Management, EPA, NPS, USDA, USFWS, Maxar

**REFERENCE:**  
 GEOLOGIC MAP OF THE SAN BERNARDINO AND SANTA ANA 30' X 60' QUADRANGLES, CALIFORNIA. DOUGLAS M. MORTON AND FRED K. MILLER, 2006.



The information included on this graphic representation has been compiled from a variety of sources and is subject to change without notice. Kleinfelder makes no representations or warranties, express or implied, as to accuracy, completeness, timeliness, or rights to the use of such information. This document is not intended for use as a land survey product nor is it designed or intended as a construction design document. The use or misuse of the information contained on this graphic representation is at the sole risk of the party using or misusing the information.

**KLEINFELDER**  
*Bright People. Right Solutions.*  
[www.kleinfelder.com](http://www.kleinfelder.com)

PROJECT NO.	24001666.003A
CREATED:	1/5/2024
CREATED BY:	SCarter
CHECKED BY:	MCook
FILE NAME:	Ascent_RanchoCucamonga.mxd

<b>REGIONAL GEOLOGIC MAP</b>	FIGURE
ETIWANDA COMMERCE CENTER PROJECT BLACK CREEK INDUSTRIAL ACQUISITIONS LLC RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CALIFORNIA	<b>3</b>