

City of Fowler

Daniel T. Parra Mayor

128 S. 5TH STREET - FOWLER, CA 93625 - VOICE: (559) 834-3113 - FAX: (559) 834-0185

Juan Mejia Mayor Pro-Tem

NOTICE OF EXEMPTION

TO: Fresno County Clerk 2221 Kern Street Fresno, CA 93721–2198 FROM:

City of Fowler 128 South Fifth Street Fowler, CA 93625 Council Members: Leonard J. Hammer Amarjeet Gill Karnig Kazarian

> Wilma Tucker City Manager

PROJECT TITLE: Adams Avenue Rehabilitation Project

LOCATION: Adams Avenue from Stearns Street to State Route 99 North Bound on-ramp.

DESCRIPTION OF THE NATURE AND PURPOSE OF PROJECT: The project consists of removal of existing hot mix asphalt pavement and pave new hot mix asphalt layer, adjust existing manholes and water valve frames, and covers to finish grade, reconstruct concrete curb ramps if necessary, and install pavement markings, markers, and striping.

PUBLIC AGENCY APPROVING THE PROJECT: City of Fowler

EXEMPT STATUS: Categorical Exemption Class: 1; 14 CCR 15301(c)

REASONS WHY PROJECT IS EXEMPT: This project falls under a Class 1 Categorical Exemption of existing highways and streets, sidewalks, gutters, bicycle and pedestrian trails, and similar facilities.

CONTACT: David Peters

PHONE NO: (559) 299-1544

EMAIL: DavidPeters@peters-engineering.com

Date: September 29, 2023

Environmental Checklist Form

City of Fowler

Date: September 22, 2023

PROJECT: Adams Avenue Rehabilitation Project

I. PROJECT INFORMATION

1. Project title:

Adams Avenue Rehabilitation

2. Lead agency name and address:

City of Fowler 128 South Fifth Street Fowler, CA 93625

3. Contact person and phone number:

David Peters, PE, TE – Project Engineer (559) 299-1544

4. Project location:

Adams Avenue from Stearns Avenue to State Route 99 north bound on-ramp

5. Project sponsor's name and address:

City of Fowler 128 South Fifth Street Fowler, CA 93625

- 6. General Plan designation: General commercial, low medium residential and light industrial.
- 7. Zoning:

The Project is located in zones: R-1-6, C-3, M-1

II. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The existing condition of the project area is an existing two-lane road with damaged pavement. The project will reconstruct pavement road sections including 2-18' wide lanes, remove existing hot mix asphalt section, pave new hot mix asphalt layer, adjust existing manholes and water valve frames and covers to finish grade, remove, and install new AC tikes, and install pavement markings, markers, and striping. This project will benefit the public by repairing the damaged roadway and improving safety.

1. Surrounding land uses and environmental setting: Briefly describe the project's surroundings:

The project area is located in both a residential and commercial location, surrounded by agricultural land.

2. Discretionary approval authority and other public agencies whose approval is required (e.g., permits, financing approval, or participation agreement.)

A City of Fowler encroachment permit will be required (construction equipment access and traffic control).

3. Have California Native American tribes traditionally and culturally affiliated with the project area requested consultation pursuant to Public Resources Code section 21080.3.1? If so, is there a plan for consultation that includes, for example, the determination of significance of impacts to tribal cultural resources, procedures regarding confidentiality, etc.?

The project is not located in a tribal land area and will not cause any impact on tribal cultural resources, procedures regarding confidentiality.

NOTE: Conducting consultation early in the CEQA process allows tribal governments, lead agencies, and project proponents to discuss the level of environmental review, identify and address potential adverse impacts to tribal cultural resources, and reduce the potential for delay and conflict in the environmental review process. (See Public Resources Code section 21080.3.2.) Information may also be available from the California Native American Heritage Commission's Sacred Lands File per Public Resources Code section 5097.96 and the California Historical Resources Information System administered by the California Office of Historic Preservation. Please also note that Public Resources Code section 21082.3(c) contains provisions specific to confidentiality.

III. ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.



IV. DETERMINATION: (To be completed by the Lead Agency)

On the basis of the initial evaluation that follows:

X I find that the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.

I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.

I find that the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.

I find that the proposed project MAY have a "potentially significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed.

I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards, and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, no further environmental document is required.

Mindetto

Signature

Date 09/29/2023

Printed Name David Peters

For

EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS:

1) A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g., the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g., the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).

2) All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.

3) Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less than significant with mitigation, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.

4) "Negative Declaration: Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less Than Significant Impact." The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from Section XVII, "Earlier Analyses," may be cross-referenced).

- 5) Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration. Section 15063(c)(3)(D). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
 - a. Earlier Analysis Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.
 - b. Impacts Adequately Addressed. Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
 - c. Mitigation Measures. For effects that are "Less than Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measures which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project.
- 6) Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g., general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.
- 7) Supporting Information Sources: A source list should be attached, and other sources used or individuals contacted should be cited in the discussion.
- 8) This is only a suggested form, and lead agencies are free to use different formats; however, lead agencies should normally address the questions from this checklist that are relevant to a project's environmental effects in whatever format is selected.
- 9) The explanation of each issue should identify:
 - a. the significance criteria or threshold, if any, used to evaluate each question; and
 - b. the mitigation measure identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significance

ENVIRONMENTAL SIGNIFICANCE CHECKLIST

This checklist identifies physical, biological, social and economic factors that might be affected by the proposed project. In many cases, background studies performed in connection with the projects indicate no impacts. A NO IMPACT answer in the last column reflects this determination. Where there is a need for clarifying discussion, the discussion is included in Section VI following the checklist. The words "significant" and "significance" used throughout the following checklist are related to CEQA, not NEPA, impacts.

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
 <u>AESTHETICS</u> Would the project: a) Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista? <u>Clarification</u>: Project area is not located within a scenic vista 	ta.			X
b) Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway? <u>Clarification :</u> Project area is not located within a scenic visit or other elements of aesthetic importance.	ta			X
c) In nonurbanized areas, substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of public views of the site and its surroundings? (Public views are those that are experienced from Publicly accessible vantage point). If the project conflict with applicable zoning and other regulations governing scenic quality? <u>Clarification :</u> Project aesthetics are consistent with similar improvements around the City and do not degrade the surroundings.				X
d) Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area? <u>Clarification :</u> The proposed improvements do not create a source of substantial light or glare.				X
II. AGRICULTURE RESOURCES In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Dept. of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to information complied by the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection regarding the states inventory of forest land, including the Forest and Range Assessment Project and the Forest Legacy Assessment project; and forest carbon measurement methodology provided in Forest Protocols adopted by the California Air Resources Board. Would the project:				
a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use? <u>Clarification :</u> The project will not convert any farmland.				X
b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract? <u>Clarification:</u> The project does not will not rezone any land and therefore will not conflict with existing zoning for				X
<i>agricultural use or the Williams Act contract.</i> c) Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code Section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code Section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code Section 51104(g))?				X
<u>Clarification:</u> The project does not will not rezone any land d) Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use? <u>Clarification</u> : Project is not located in forest land.				X

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
e) Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?	I,			X
<u>Clarification</u> : Project is limited to rehabilitation of pavemen and pedestrian facilities – farmland will not be affected.	t			
 <u>III. AIR QUALITY</u> Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the project: a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan? <u>Clarification :</u> The project does not obstruct implementation the applicable air quality plan. 				X
 b) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard? <u>Clarification :</u> The project does not violate any air quality standard. 				X
 c) Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations <u>Clarification :</u> The project does not result in the increase of a pollutants. 	any			X
 d) Result in other emissions (such as those leading to odors) adversely affecting a substantial number of people? <u>Clarification :</u> The project will not expose sensitive receptors substantial pollutant concentrations. 	s to			X
IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES Would the project: a) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service? <u>Clarification :</u> The project area is located within the City and	nd			X
 does not impact any sensitive wildlife or vegetation. b) Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or US Fish and Wildlife Service? <u>Clarification</u>: The project area is located within the City and does not impact any sensitive wildlife or vegetation. 				X
c) Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means? <u>Clarification :</u> The project area is not within a federally protected				X
wetland area.				

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
d) Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?				X
<u>Clarification :</u> The project area is located within the City and does not impact any sensitive wildlife or vegetation.	d			
e) Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?				X
<u>Clarification :</u> The project area is located within the City and does not impact any City ordinance protecting wildlife or vegetation.	d			
 f) Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan? <u>Clarification :</u> The project area is located within the City and does not impact any conservation or habitat plan. 	d			X
V. CULTURAL RESOURCES Would the project: a) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in §15064.5?				X
<u>Clarification :</u> The project area is located within the City and does not impact any historical or archeological resource.	d			
 b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to §15064.5? <u>Clarification</u>: The project area is located within the City and does not impact any historical or archeological resource. 	d			X
 c) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside o formal cemeteries? <u>Clarification</u>: The project does not disturb any remains or burial grounds. 	f			X
<u>VI. ENERGY.</u> Would the project: a) Result in potentially significant environmental impact due to wasteful inefficient, or unnecessary consumption of energy resources, during project construction or operation? <u>Clarification:</u> The project does not result in significant environmental impact.				X
 b) Conflict with or obstruct a state or local plan for renewable energy or energy efficiency? <u>Clarification</u>: The project does not conflict or obstruct state or local plan renewable energy or energy efficiency. 	Dr			X
 <u>VII. GEOLOGY AND SOILS</u> Would the project: a) Directly or indirectly cause potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42. 				X

<u>Clarification</u>: The project area is not located near a seismic fault, nor would it impact a seismic fault due to its installation.

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
ii) Strong seismic ground shaking? <u>Clarification :</u> The project area is not located near a seise fault, nor would it impact a seismic fault due to its installa				X
iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction? <u>Clarification</u> : The project area is not located near a seise fault, nor would it impact a seismic fault due to its installa				X
iv) Landslides? <u>Clarification :</u> The project area is located on flat terrain a would not pose a threat to landslides.	and			X
b) Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil? <u>Clarification</u> : The project area is located on flat terrain a would not create substantial soil erosion.	and			X
c) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potential result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?	ly			X
<u>Clarification :</u> The project area is not located near a seise fault and does not pose a threat to landslides or collapse.	mic			
d) Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18- 1-B o the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial direct indirect risks to life or property?				X
<u>Clarification :</u> The project area is not located on expansiv	ve soil.			
e) Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of set tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?				X
<u>Clarification :</u> The project does not involve septic tanks.				
f) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resou or site or unique geologic feature?				X
<u>Clarification :</u> There are no paleontological resources, si geologic features in the project area	tes or			
<u>VIII. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS</u> Would the project a) generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environme <u>Clarification</u> : The project will not directly or indirect generate any greenhouse gas emissions.	ent?			X
 b) Conflict with an applicable plan, or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases? <u>Clarification:</u> The project will not conflict with an applicable plan or regulations adopted for reducing emissing greenhouse gases 				X
 IX. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS – Would the project: a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials? 				X

<u>Clarification :</u> The project does not involve the use or disposal of hazardous materials.	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment? <u>Clarification :</u> The project does not involve the use or dispose	al			X
of hazardous materials.				
 c) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school? <u>Clarification</u>: The project does not involve the use or dispose or hazardous materials. 	al			X
 d) Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment? <u>Clarification</u>: The project area is not located on a hazardout materials site. 				X
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area? <u>Clarification :</u> The project does not involve the use of equipm impacting air space nor is an airport located within the City.	nent			X
 f) Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency evacuation plan? <u>Clarification</u>: The project does not involve the use of equipm impacting air space nor is an airport located within the City. 	nent			X
g) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed wi wildlands?				X
<u>Clarification :</u> The project area is not located within wildland or areas that would be exposed to wildfire.	ds			
X. <u>HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY</u> Would the project:				
a) Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements or otherwise substantially degrade surface or ground water quality? <u>Clarification :</u> The project will not violate any water quality				X
standards or waste discharge requirements.				
 b) Substantially decrease groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that the project may impede sustainable ground water management of the basin? <u>Clarification :</u> The project will not deplete groundwater supp or interfere with groundwater recharge. 				X
c) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river or through the addition of impervious surfaces, in a manner which would:				X
<u>Clarification :</u> The project area is not located in a drainage swale, stream, or other surface runoff pattern.				

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
i)result in a substantial erosion or saltation on-or off-site; <u>Clarification</u> : The project area is not located in a drainage swale, stream, or other surface runoff pattern.				X
ii) substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on-or offsite; <u>Clarification :</u> The project does not create areas that would generate storm water runoff that would increase pre-existing storm water runoff conditions.				X
iii) create or contribute runoff water which would excee the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantia additional sources of polluted runoff; or <u>Clarification :</u> The project does not generate storm water ru that would degrade water quality.				X
iv) impede or redirect flood flows? <u>Clarification :</u> The project does not involve housing.				X
d) In flood hazard, tsunami, or seiche zones, risk release of pollutants due to project inundation?				X
<u>Clarification :</u> The project is not within a floodway or drain pattern.	lage			
e) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of a water quality control plan or sustainable groundwater management plan? <u>Clarification</u> : The project is not within a floodway or drain pattern.	Dage			X
 <u>XI. LAND USE AND PLANNING</u> - Would the project: a) Physically divide an established community? <u>Clarification :</u> The project will not divide a community. 				X
b) Cause a significant environmental impact due to a conflict with any land use plan, policy, or regulation adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?				X
<u>Clarification :</u> The project area does not involve any land u plan, policy, or regulation adopted for the purpose of avoidi mitigating an environmental effect.				
XII. MINERAL RESOURCES Would the project:				
a) Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state				X
<u>Clarification :</u> The project area will not result in known mir loss.	ieral			
 b) Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan? <u>Clarification :</u> The project area is not delineated on the General Plan and the plan of the project area is not delineated on the General Plan of the project area is not delineated on the General Plan of the p				X

Plan as a local mineral site.

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
XIII. NOISE – Would the project result in:				
a) Generation of a substantial temporary or permanent increase ir ambient noise levels in the vicinity of the project in excess of	1 🗌			X
standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance or applicable standards of other agencies? <u>Clarification :</u> There will be a temporary increase in noise le created by the use of equipment during the construction plas the project. Upon completion of the project noise levels will return to pre-construction levels.	evels se of			
b) Generation of excessive ground borne vibration or ground borne noise levels?				X
<u>Clarification</u> : The project will not generate ground vibratic noise.	on or			
c) For a project located within the vicinity of a private airstrip or an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?	t,			X
<u>Clarification :</u> Project will not create a permanent increase a ambient noise levels.	in			
<u>XIV. POPULATION AND HOUSING</u> Would the project: a) Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?	y			X
<u>Clarification :</u> The project will not induce substantial growt	th.			
 b) Displace substantial numbers of existing people or housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? <u>Clarification :</u> The project will not displace housing. 				X
XV. PUBLIC SERVICES a) Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptabl service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:	e			
Fire protection? <u>Clarification :</u> The project will not impact fire protection				X
Police protection? <u>Clarification :</u> The project will not impact police operations	y.			X
Schools? <u>Clarification :</u> The project will not impact school operations	s.			X
Parks? <u>Clarification :</u> The project will not impact park operations.				X
Other public facilities? <u>Clarification :</u> The project will not impact other public facil	lities.			X

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
<u>XVI. RECREATION</u> – a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? <u><i>Clarification</i></u> : The project will not impact park operations.				X
 b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? <u>Clarification</u>: The project does not include recreational facilities. 				X
 <u>XVII. TRANSPORTATION</u> Would the project: a) Conflict with a program, plan, ordinance or policy addressing the circulation system, including transit, roadway, bicycle and pedestrian facilities? <u>Clarification</u>: The project does not involve any changes to transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities and no program, plan, ordinance, or policy addressing the circulation system will be affected. 				X
 b) Conflict or be inconsistent with CEQA Guidelines § 15064.3, subdivision (b)? <u>Clarification</u>: The project traffic control plan has been detail according to the acceptable standards for traffic control implemented by Caltrans. 	led			X
 <u>Clarification :</u> The project does not involve impacts to air space. c) Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)? <u>Clarification :</u> The project does not increase hazards to existin design features. 	İng			X
d) Result in inadequate emergency access? <u>Clarification</u> : The project will not result in inadequate parking	ng.			X
XVIII. TRIBAL CULTURAL RESOURCES Would the project:a) Would the project cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a tribal cultural resource, defined in PublicResources Code § 21074 as either a site, feature, place, cultural landscape that is geographically defined in terms of the size				X
and scope of the landscape, sacred place, or object with cultural value to a California Native American tribe, and that is: <u>i)</u> Listed or eligible for listing in the California Register of Historical Resources, or in a local register of historical resources as defined in Public Resources Code section 5020.1(k), or				X

<u>Clarification:</u> The project will not cause substantial adverse impacts to historical resources.

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
ii) A resource determined by the lead agency, in its discretion and supported by substantial evidence, to be significan pursuant to criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resource Code § 5024.1. In applying the criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resource Code § 5024.1, the lead agency shall consider the significance of the resource to a California Native American tribe. <u>Clarification:</u> The project will not cause substantial adverse change in the tribal cultural resources	es 🛄 c)			X
 <u>XIX. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS</u> – Would the project: a) Require or result in the relocation or construction of new or expanded water, wastewater treatment or storm water drainage, electric power, natural gas, or telecommunications facilities, the construction or relocation of which could cause significant environmental effects? <u>Clarification :</u> The project does not result in the construction 				X
 b) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project and reasonably foreseeable future development during normal, dry and multiple dry years? <u>Clarification :</u> The project does not increase pre-constructi surface run-off. 				X
c) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provid which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacit to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?	ity 🛄			X
<u>Clarification :</u> The project does not affect existing capacities d) Generate solid waste in excess of state or local standards, or if excess of the capacity of local infrastructure, or otherwise impair the attainment of solid waste reduction goals? <u>Clarification :</u> The project does not involve solid waste disp or production.	in r			X
 e) Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste? <u>Clarification</u>: The project does not involve solid waste disp or production. 				X
 <u>XX – WILDFIRE.</u> If located in or near state responsibility areas or lands classifies as very high fire hazard severity zones, would the project: a) Substantially impair an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan? <u>Clarification :</u> The project does not result in impairing any 				X

<u>Clarification</u>: The project does not result in impairing any emergency plans.

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
 b) Due to slope, prevailing winds, and other factors, exacerbate wildfire risks, and thereby expose project occupants to pollutant concentrations from a wildfire or the uncontrolled spread of a wildfire? <u>Clarification :</u> The project does not expose any pollutant concerns 				X
c) Require the installation or maintenance of associated infrastructure (such as roads, fuel breaks, emergency water sources, power lines or other utilities) that may exacerbate fire risk or that may result in temporary or ongoing impacts to the environment?				X
<u>Clarification</u> : The project does not affect existing capacities d) Expose people or structures to significant risks, including downslope or downstream flooding or landslides, as a result of runoff, post-fire slope instability, or drainage changes? <u>Clarification</u> : The project does not expose people or structu to any significant risks.				X
XXI. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE – a) Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory? <u>Clarification :</u> The project is not adjacent to any natural waterway or part of any sensitive wildlife habitat.				X
b) Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, bu cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)? <u>Clarification :</u> No individually limited but cumulatively	t			X
considerable impacts are foreseen.c) Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?				X

<u>Clarification</u>: The project does not have effects that will be adverse to humans.