APPENDIX 4-A
CONSTRUCTION HEALTH RISK & GREENHOUSE GAS ASSESSMENT

KORTUM RANCH SUBDIVISION CONSTRUCTION HEALTH RISK & GREENHOUSE GAS ASSESSMENT

Calistoga, California

May 19, 2023
Revised January 31, 2024

Prepared for:

Krystle Rizzi
Senior Planner
M-Group
499 Humboldt Street
Santa Rosa, CA 95404

Prepared by:

Zachary Palm
Jordyn Bauer
Casey Divine

ILLINGWORTH & RODKIN, INC.
Acoustics • Air Quality
429 East Cotati Avenue
Cotati, CA 94931
(707) 794-0400

I&R Project#: 23-021

Introduction

The purpose of this report is to address the potential health risk and greenhouse gas (GHG) impacts associated with the construction of a proposed residential development located at 500 Kortum Canyon Road in Calistoga, California. Air quality and GHG impacts would be associated with construction of the residential buildings. Air pollutant emissions associated with the construction of the project were predicted using appropriate computer models. In addition, the potential health risk impacts from existing toxic air contaminant (TAC) sources affecting the nearby and proposed sensitive receptors were evaluated. The analysis was conducted following guidance provided by the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD).[1]

Project Description

The existing project site is made up of four parcels totaling approximately 47.5 acres. The site was previously demolished in 2020 of all former structures and abandoned material. The project proposes to subdivide the four parcels into 22 individual single-family residential lots ranging from approximately 0.38 to 6.1 acres in size. The size of the single-family residences are anticipated to range from approximately 2,500 to 4,500 square feet (sf). The project also proposes the construction of a private street throughout the project site.

Setting

The project is located in Napa County, which is in the San Francisco Bay Area Air Basin. Ambient air quality standards have been established at both the State and federal level. The Bay Area meets all ambient air quality standards with the exception of ground-level ozone, respirable particulate matter (PM_{10}), and fine particulate matter ($PM_{2.5}$).

<u>Air Pollutants of Concern</u>

High ozone concentrations in the air basin are caused by the cumulative emissions of reactive organic gases (ROG) and nitrogen oxides (NO_X). These precursor pollutants react under certain meteorological conditions to form ozone. Controlling the emissions of these precursor pollutants is the focus of the Bay Area's attempts to reduce ambient ozone concentrations. The highest ozone levels in the Bay Area occur in the eastern and southern inland valleys that are downwind of air pollutant sources. High ozone concentrations aggravate respiratory and cardiovascular diseases, reduced lung function, and increase coughing and chest discomfort.

Particulate matter is another problematic air pollutant in the air basin. Particulate matter is assessed and measured in terms of respirable particulate matter or particles that have a diameter of 10 micrometers or less (PM_{10}) and fine particulate matter where particles have a diameter of 2.5 micrometers or less ($PM_{2.5}$). Elevated concentrations of PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ are the result of both region-wide (or cumulative) emissions and localized emissions. High particulate matter concentrations aggravate respiratory and cardiovascular diseases, reduce lung function, increase mortality (e.g., lung cancer), and result in reduced lung function growth in children.

[1] Bay Area Air Quality Management District, 2022 *CEQA Air Quality Guidelines*, April 2023.

Toxic Air Contaminants

Toxic air contaminants (TAC) are a broad class of compounds known to cause morbidity or mortality, often because they cause cancer. TACs are found in ambient air, especially in urban areas, and are caused by industry, agriculture, fuel combustion, and commercial operations (e.g., dry cleaners). TACs are typically found in low concentrations, even near their source (e.g., diesel particulate matter [DPM] near a freeway). Because chronic exposure of TACs can result in adverse health effects, they are regulated at the regional, State, and federal level.

Diesel exhaust is the predominant TAC in urban air and is estimated to represent about three-quarters of the cancer risk from TACs (based on the Bay Area average). According to the California Air Resources Board (CARB), diesel exhaust is a complex mixture of gases, vapors, and fine particles. This makes the evaluation of health effects from diesel exhaust exposure a complicated scientific issue. Some of the chemicals in diesel exhaust, such as benzene and formaldehyde, have been previously identified as TACs by the CARB, and are listed as carcinogens either under the State's Proposition 65 or under the Federal Hazardous Air Pollutants programs. The most recent Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment(OEHHA) risk assessment guidelines were published in February of 2015 and incorporated into BAAQMD's current CEQA guidance.[2]

Sensitive Receptors

There are groups of people more affected by air pollution than others. CARB has identified the following persons who are most likely to be affected by air pollution: children under 16, the elderly over 65, athletes, and people with cardiovascular and chronic respiratory diseases. These groups are classified as sensitive receptors. Locations that may contain a high concentration of these sensitive population groups include residential areas, hospitals, daycare facilities, elder care facilities, and elementary schools. For cancer risk assessments, children are the most sensitive receptors, since they are more susceptible to cancer causing TACs. Residential locations are assumed to include infants and small children. The closest sensitive receptors to the project site are the residents in the single-family housing to the north, northeast, south and west of the project site. There are also children located at the nearby Hearts & Hands Preschool north of the project site. This project would introduce new sensitive receptors (i.e., residents) to the area.

Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD)

BAAQMD has jurisdiction over an approximately 5,600-square mile area, commonly referred to as the San Francisco Bay Area (Bay Area). The District's boundary encompasses the nine San Francisco Bay Area counties, including Alameda County, Contra Costa County, Marin County, San Francisco County, San Mateo County, Santa Clara County, Napa County, southwestern Solano County, and southern Sonoma County.

[2] OEHHA, 2015. *Air Toxics Hot Spots Program Risk Assessment Guidelines, The Air Toxics Hot Spots Program Guidance Manual for Preparation of Health Risk Assessments*. Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment. February.

BAAQMD is the lead agency in developing plans to address attainment and maintenance of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) and California Ambient Air Quality Standards (CAAQS). The District also has permit authority over most types of stationary equipment utilized for the proposed project. The BAAQMD is responsible for permitting and inspection of stationary sources; enforcement of regulations, including setting fees, levying fines, and enforcement actions; and ensuring that public nuisances are minimized.

BAAQMD's Community Air Risk Evaluation (CARE) program was initiated in 2004 to evaluate and reduce health risks associated with exposures to outdoor TACs in the Bay Area.[3] The program examines TAC emissions from point sources, area sources, and on-road and off-road mobile sources with an emphasis on diesel exhaust, which is a major contributor to airborne health risk in California. The CARE program is an on-going program that encourages community involvement and input. The technical analysis portion of the CARE program has been implemented in three phases that includes an assessment of the sources of TAC emissions, modeling and measurement programs to estimate concentrations of TAC, and an assessment of exposures and health risks. Throughout the program, information derived from the technical analyses has been used to focus emission reduction activities in areas with high TAC exposures and high density of sensitive populations. Risk reduction activities associated with the CARE program are focused on the most at-risk communities in the Bay Area. Seven areas have been identified by BAAQMD as impacted communities. They include Eastern San Francisco, Richmond/San Pablo, Western Alameda County, San José, Vallejo, Concord, and Pittsburg/Antioch. The project site is not located within any of the BAAQMD CARE areas.

Overburdened communities are areas located (i) within a census tract identified by the California Communities Environmental Health Screening Tool (CalEnviroScreen), Version 4.0 implemented by OEHHA, as having an overall score at or above the 70th percentile, or (ii) within 1,000 feet of any such census tract.[4] The BAAQMD has identified several overburdened areas within the air district's boundaries. However, the project site is not within an overburdened area as identified by BAAQMD as the Project site is scored at the 25th percentile on CalEnviroScreen.[5]

<u>BAAQMD CEQA Air Quality Guidelines</u>

In June 2010, BAAQMD adopted thresholds of significance to assist in the review of projects under CEQA. In 2023, the BAAQMD revised the *California Environmental Quality Act* (*CEQA) Air Quality Guidelines* that included the significance thresholds to assist in the evaluation of air quality impacts of projects and plans proposed within the Bay Area. The thresholds contained in the CEQA guidance were designed to establish the level at which BAAQMD believed air pollution emissions would cause significant environmental impacts under CEQA. The original 2011 thresholds were challenged in court and were mostly upheld.

---

[3] See BAAQMD: https://www.baaqmd.gov/community-health/community-health-protection-program/community-air-risk-evaluation-care-program , accessed 2/18/2021.
[4] See BAAQMD: https://www.baaqmd.gov/~/media/dotgov/files/rules/reg-2-permits/2021-amendments/documents/20210722_01_appendixd_mapsofoverburdenedcommunities-pdf.pdf?la=en.
[5] OEHAA, CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Maps https://experience.arcgis.com/experience/11d2f52282a54ceebcac7428e6184203/page/CalEnviroScreen-4_0/

In 2017, BAAQMD updated its CEQA Air Quality Guidelines and included revised significance thresholds. The 2017 guidelines recommended procedures for evaluating potential air impacts during the environmental review process consistent with CEQA requirements including thresholds of significance, mitigation measures, and background air quality information. They also include assessment methodologies for air toxics, odors, and GHG emissions.

In 2022, BAAQMD revised its CEQA Guidelines and GHG thresholds, eliminating quantified emissions limits for GHG analyses. The current BAAQMD guidelines and thresholds were used in this analysis and are summarized in Table 1.[6] Air quality impacts and community health risks are considered potentially significant if they exceed these thresholds.

[6] Bay Area Air Quality Management District, 2023. *2022 CEQA Guidelines*. April.

Table 1. Health Risk Significance and GHG Thresholds

Criteria Air Pollutant	Construction Thresholds Average Daily Emissions (lbs./day)
ROG	54
NO_x	54
PM_{10}	82 (Exhaust)
$PM_{2.5}$	54 (Exhaust)
CO	Not Applicable
Fugitive Dust	Construction Dust Ordinance or other Best Management Practices
Health Risks and Hazards	**Single Sources Within 1,000-foot Zone of Influence**
Excess Cancer Risk	10 per one million
Hazard Index	1.0
Incremental annual $PM_{2.5}$	0.3 $\mu g/m^3$
Greenhouse Gas Emissions	
Land Use Projects – (Must Include A or B)	A. Projects must include, at a minimum, the following project design elements: 1. Buildings a. The project will not include natural gas appliances or natural gas plumbing (in both residential and nonresidential development). b. The project will not result in any wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary energy usage as determined by the analysis required under CEQA Section 21100(b)(3) and Section 15126.2(b) of the State CEQA Guidelines. 2. Transportation a. Achieve a reduction in project-generated vehicle miles traveled (VMT) below the regional average consistent with the current version of the California Climate Change Scoping Plan (currently 15 percent) or meet a locally adopted Senate Bill 743 VMT target, reflecting the recommendations provided in the Governor's Office of Planning and Research's Technical Advisory on Evaluating Transportation Impacts in CEQA: i. Residential projects: 15 percent below the existing VMT per capita ii. Office projects: 15 percent below the existing VMT per employee iii. Retail projects: no net increase in existing VMT b. Achieve compliance with off-street electric vehicle requirements in the most recently adopted version of CALGreen Tier 2. B. Be consistent with a local GHG reduction strategy that meets the criteria under State CEQA Guidelines Section 15183.5(b).
Note: ROG = reactive organic gases, NOx = nitrogen oxides, PM_{10} = course particulate matter or particulates with an aerodynamic diameter of 10 micrometers (µm) or less, $PM_{2.5}$ = fine particulate matter or particulates with an aerodynamic diameter of 2.5µm or less. GHG = greenhouse gases.	

Source: Bay Area Air Quality Management District, 2022

City of Calistoga General Plan

The following objectives and policies contained in the City's General Plan[7] are applicable to air quality and the proposed project:

Objective LU 3.2: Ensure that new development complements Calistoga's small town rural character and minimizes impacts on the environment.

- *Policy P3.2-2*: The use of "green construction" and land development techniques shall be encouraged as a means to reduce the environmental impacts of construction activity.

Objective CIR-1.3: Coordinate the provision of circulation facilities with new development.

- *Policy P1.3-1*: New development shall be designed to the extent possible with streets that continue the city's existing grid pattern, which allows through traffic and provides multiple connections to arterial streets.

- *Policy P1.3-2*: New development shall provide sidewalks as needed to close gaps in the city's active transportation network. These gap closures may include off-site locations if the closure improves pedestrian connectivity from the new development to schools or other activity centers.

- *Policy P1.3-3*: New development shall provide bicycle improvements called for in the Active Transportation Plan.

Objective OSC-6.1: Minimize air pollution emissions.

- *Policy P6.1-1*: The City should support efforts to reduce vehicular emissions in the Calistoga Planning area by reducing congestion and dependence on automobile related forms of transportation.

- *Policy P6.1-2*: Growth and development types that can inhibit air quality goals should be monitored and controlled, and the approval of development should be conditional on the mitigation of significant adverse impacts to air quality.

- *Policy P6.1-3*: The City shall support the Bay Area Air Quality Management District in the implementation of reasonable and feasible new regulations related to the improvement of air quality throughout the Napa Valley.

- *Policy P6.1-5*: The City shall minimize emissions from construction activities by implementing all feasible, cost effective measures to control dust and PM_{10}, as

[7] City of Calistoga, 2003, updated 2014 and 2015. *City of Calistoga General Plan*.

defined by BAAQMD. These measures include clean-burning fuels and tuning engines to minimize pollution.

Objective OSC-7.1: Minimize Calistoga's contribution to impacts on the global environment such as dependence on fossil fuels, consumption of non-renewable resources and discharge of toxins and pollutants.

- *Policy P7.1-1*: The City shall promote the conservation of non-renewable energy resources and encourage the use of solar energy.
- *Policy P7.1-3*: The City shall promote decreased reliance on motor vehicle travel through effective land use policies, improved public transit and facilities to accommodate bicycle and pedestrian modes of travel.
- *Policy P7.1-4*: New building construction to minimize consumption of energy resources shall be encouraged through adoption of energy-efficient building codes and regulations.
- *Policy P7.1-5*: The City shall encourage new development to minimize impacts on the local environment.

Construction Health Risk Impacts and Mitigation Measures

Project impacts related to increased health risk can occur either by generating emissions of TACs and air pollutants or by introducing a new sensitive receptor in proximity to an existing source of TACs. Temporary project construction activity would generate emissions of DPM from equipment and trucks and also generate dust on a temporary basis that could affect nearby sensitive receptors. A construction health risk assessment was prepared to address project construction impacts on the surrounding off-site sensitive receptors.

Additionally, the project could introduce new residents that are sensitive receptors, who would be exposed to existing sources of TACs and localized air pollutants in the vicinity of the project. Therefore, the impact of the existing sources of TAC upon the existing sensitive receptors and new incoming sensitive receptors was assessed.

Health risk impacts are addressed by predicting increased lifetime cancer risk, the increase in annual $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations, and computing the Hazard Index (HI) for non-cancer health risks. Construction equipment and associated heavy-duty truck traffic generates diesel exhaust, which is a known TAC. These exhaust emissions pose health risks for sensitive receptors such as surrounding residents. The primary health risk impact issues associated with construction emissions are cancer risk and exposure to $PM_{2.5}$. A health risk assessment of the project construction activities was conducted that evaluated potential health effects to nearby sensitive receptors from construction emissions of DPM and $PM_{2.5}$.[8] This assessment included dispersion

[8] DPM is identified by California as a toxic air contaminant due to the potential to cause cancer.

modeling to predict the offsite and onsite concentrations resulting from project construction, so that lifetime cancer risks and non-cancer health effects could be evaluated.

Construction Period Emissions

The California Emissions Estimator Model (CalEEMod) Version 2022.1.1.12 was used to estimate emissions from on-site construction activity, construction vehicle trips, and evaporative emissions. The project land use types and size, and anticipated construction schedule were input to CalEEMod. The CalEEMod model output along with construction inputs are included in *Attachment 1*.

CalEEMod Modeling

Land Use Inputs

The proposed project land uses were entered into CalEEMod as described in Table 2.

Table 2. Summary of Project Land Use Inputs

Project Land Uses	Size	Units	Square Feet (sf)	Acreage
Single Family Housing	22	Dwelling Unit	42,900*	7.14*
*CalEEMod defaults used.				

Construction Inputs

CalEEMod computes annual emissions for construction that are based on the project type, size, and acreage. The model provides emission estimates for both on-site and off-site construction activities. On-site activities are primarily made up of construction equipment emissions, while off-site activity includes worker, hauling, and vendor traffic. The construction build-out scenario, including equipment list and schedule, were based on CalEEMod defaults for a project of this type and size.

Within each of the CalEEMod construct phases, the quantity of equipment to be used along with the average hours per day and total number of workdays were based on CalEEMod defaults. The construction schedule assumed that the earliest possible start date would be January 2024 and would be completed over a period of approximately 14 months, or 325 construction workdays.

Construction Truck Traffic Emissions

Construction would produce traffic in the form of worker trips and truck traffic. The traffic-related emissions are based on worker and vendor trip estimates produced by CalEEMod and haul trips that were computed based on the estimate of demolition material to be exported, estimate of soil imported and/or exported to the site, and the estimate of concrete and asphalt truck trips to and from the site. CalEEMod provides daily estimates of worker and vendor trips for each applicable phase. The total trips for worker and vendor trips were computed by multiplying the daily trip rate by the number of days in that phase. Haul trips for demolition and soil import/export were estimated by CalEEMod using the estimated demolition and grading

volumes from the project plans. The number of concrete and asphalt deliveries were estimated for the project based on project plans provided by the applicant and converted to daily one-way trips, assuming two trips per delivery. These values are shown in the project construction equipment worksheet included in *Attachment 1*.

Summary of Computed Construction Period Emissions

Average daily emissions were annualized for each year of construction by dividing the annual construction emissions by the number of active construction workdays that year. Table 3 shows the annualized average daily construction emissions and average daily project emissions of ROG, NO_X, PM_{10} exhaust, and $PM_{2.5}$ exhaust during construction. As indicated in Table 3, predicted daily project construction emissions would not exceed the BAAQMD significance thresholds during any year of construction.

Table 3. Construction Period Emissions

Year	ROG	NOx	PM_{10} Exhaust	$PM_{2.5}$ Exhaust
Construction Emissions (Tons)				
2024 - 2025	0.52	2.07	0.09	0.08
Average Daily Construction Emissions (pounds/day)				
2024 + 2025 (325 construction workdays)	3.21	12.72	0.55	0.51
BAAQMD Thresholds (pounds per day)	*54* lbs./day	*54* lbs./day	*82* lbs./day	*54* lbs./day
Exceed Threshold?	No	No	No	No

Construction activities, particularly during site preparation and grading, would temporarily generate fugitive dust in the form of PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$. Sources of fugitive dust would include disturbed soils at the construction site and trucks carrying uncovered loads of soils. Unless properly controlled, vehicles leaving the site would deposit mud on local streets, which could be an additional source of airborne dust after it dries. The BAAQMD requires all projects include a "basic" set of best management practices (BMPs) to manage fugitive dust and consider impacts from dust (i.e. fugitive PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$) to be less than significant BMPs are implemented.

Basic Best Management Practices: **Include measures to control dust and exhaust during construction.**

During any construction period ground disturbance, the applicant shall ensure that the project contractor implement measures to control dust and exhaust. Implementation of the measures recommended by BAAQMD and listed below would reduce the air quality impacts associated with grading and new construction to a less-than-significant level. The contractor shall implement the following BMPs that are required of all projects:

1. All exposed surfaces (e.g., parking areas, staging areas, soil piles, graded areas, and unpaved access roads) shall be watered two times per day.

2. All haul trucks transporting soil, sand, or other loose material off-site shall be covered.

3. All visible mud or dirt track-out onto adjacent public roads shall be removed using wet power vacuum street sweepers at least once per day. The use of dry power sweeping is prohibited.

4. All vehicle speeds on unpaved roads shall be limited to 15 miles per hour (mph).

5. All roadways, driveways, and sidewalks to be paved shall be completed as soon as practicable. Building pads shall be laid as soon as practicable after grading unless seeding or soil binders are used.

6. All excavation, grading, and/or demolition activities shall be suspended when average wind speeds exceed 20 mph.

7. All trucks and equipment, including their tires, shall be washed off prior to leaving the site.

8. Unpaved roads providing access to site located 100 feet of further from a paved road shall be treated with a 6- to 12-inch layer of compacted layer of wood chips, mulch, or gravel.

9. Publicly visible signs shall be posted with the telephone number and name of the person to contact at the lead agency regarding dust complaints. This person shall respond and take corrective action within 48 hours. The Air District's General Air Pollution Complaints number shall be visible to ensure compliance with applicable regulations.

BAAQMD strongly encourages enhanced BMPs for construction sites near schools, residential areas, or other sensitive land uses. Enhanced measures include:

- Limit the simultaneous occurrence of excavation, grading, and ground-disturbing construction activities.
- Install wind breaks (e.g., trees, fences) on the windward side(s) of actively disturbed areas of construction. Wind breaks should have at maximum 50 percent air porosity.
- Plant vegetative ground cover (e.g., fast-germinating native grass seed) in disturbed areas as soon as possible and watered appropriately until vegetation is established.
- Install sandbags or other erosion control measures to prevent silt runoff to public roadways from sites with a slope greater than one percent.
- Minimize the amount of excavated material or waste materials stored at the site.
- Hydroseed or apply non-toxic soil stabilizers to construction areas, including previously graded areas, that are inactive for at least 10 calendar days.

The measures above are consistent with BAAQMD-recommended basic and enhanced BMPs for reducing fugitive dust contained in the BAAQMD CEQA Air Quality Guidelines. For this analysis, only the basic set of best management practices are required as the unmitigated fugitive dust emissions from project sources were below the BAAQMD single-source threshold.

Health Risk from Project Construction

The primary health risk impact issued associated with construction projects are cancer risks associated with diesel exhaust (i.e., DPM), which is a known TAC, and exposure to high ambient

concentrations of dust (i.e., $PM_{2.5}$). DPM poses both a potential health and nuisance impact to nearby receptors. A health risk assessment of the project construction activities was conducted that evaluated potential health effects to nearby sensitive receptors from construction emissions of DPM and $PM_{2.5}$.[9] This assessment included dispersion modeling to predict the offsite and onsite concentrations resulting from project construction, so that increased cancer risks and non-cancer health effects could be estimated.

Construction Period Emissions

The CalEEMod model provided total uncontrolled annual PM_{10} exhaust emissions (assumed to be DPM) for the off-road construction equipment and for exhaust emissions from on-road vehicles. Total DPM emissions were estimated to be 0.09 tons (179 pounds) and fugitive dust emissions ($PM_{2.5}$) to be 0.04 tons (71 pounds) from all construction stages. The on-road emissions are a result of haul truck travel during grading activities, worker travel, and vendor deliveries during construction. A trip length of one mile was used to represent vehicle travel while at or near the construction site. It was assumed that the emissions from on-road vehicles traveling at or near the site would occur at the construction site.

Dispersion Modeling

The U.S. EPA AERMOD dispersion model was used to predict DPM and $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations at sensitive receptors (i.e., residences) in the vicinity of the project construction area. The AERMOD dispersion model is a BAAQMD-recommended model for use in modeling analysis of these types of emission activities for CEQA projects.[10] Emission sources for the construction site were grouped into two categories: exhaust emissions of DPM and fugitive $PM_{2.5}$ dust emissions.

Construction Sources

To represent the construction equipment exhaust emissions, an area source emission release height of 20 feet (6 meters) was used for the area source.[11] The release height incorporates both the physical release height from the construction equipment (i.e., the height of the exhaust pipe) and plume rise after it leaves the exhaust pipe. Plume rise is due to both the high temperature of the exhaust and the high velocity of the exhaust gas. It should be noted that when modeling an area source, plume rise is not calculated by the AERMOD dispersion model as it would do for a point source (exhaust stack). Therefore, the release height from an area source used to represent emissions from sources with plume rise, such as construction equipment, should be based on the height the exhaust plume is expected to achieve, not just the height of the top of the exhaust pipe.

For modeling fugitive $PM_{2.5}$ emissions, a near-ground level release height of 7 feet (2 meters) was used for the area source. Fugitive dust emissions at construction sites come from a variety of sources, including truck and equipment travel, grading activities, truck loading (with loaders) and unloading (rear or bottom dumping), loaders and excavators moving and transferring soil

[9] DPM is identified by California as a toxic air contaminant due to the potential to cause cancer.
[10] BAAQMD, 2023, *Appendix E of the 2022 BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines.* April.

and other materials, etc. All of these activities result in fugitive dust emissions at various heights at the point(s) of generation. Once generated, the dust plume will tend to rise as it moves downwind across the site and exit the site at a higher elevation than when it was generated. For all these reasons, a 7-foot release height was used as the average release height across the construction site. Emissions from the construction equipment and on-road vehicle travel were distributed throughout the modeled area sources

AERMOD Inputs and Meteorological Data

The modeling used a five-year data set (2013-2017) of hourly meteorological data from the Napa County Airport prepared for use with the AERMOD model by BAAQMD. Construction emissions were modeled as occurring daily between 7:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m., when the majority of construction activity is expected to occur. Annual DPM and $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations from construction activities during the 2024-2025 period were calculated using the model. DPM and $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations were calculated at nearby sensitive receptors. Receptor heights of 5 feet (1.5 meters) and 15 feet (4.5 meters) were used to represent the breathing height on the first and second floor of nearby single-family residences.[12]

[12] Bay Area Air Quality Management District, 2012, Recommended Methods for Screening and Modeling Local Risks and Hazards, Version 3.0. May. Web: https://www.baaqmd.gov/~/media/files/planning-and-research/ceqa/risk-modeling-approach-may-2012.pdf?la=en

Figure 1. Locations of Project Construction Site, Off-Site Sensitive Receptors, and Maximum TAC Impacts (MEIs)



Summary of Construction Health Risk Impacts at the Off-Site MEIs

The maximum increased cancer risks were calculated using the modeled TAC concentrations combined with the BAAQMD CEQA guidance for age sensitivity factors and exposure parameters. Non-cancer health hazards (HI) and maximum $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations were also calculated and identified. Age-sensitivity factors reflect the greater sensitivity of infants and children to cancer causing TACs. Third-trimester, infant, child, and adult exposures were assumed to occur at all residences during the entire construction period, while child exposures were assumed at the preschool.

The modeled maximum annual $PM_{2.5}$ concentration was calculated based on combined exhaust and fugitive concentrations. The maximum computed HI value was based on the ratio of the maximum DPM concentration modeled and the chronic inhalation reference exposure level of 5 $\mu g/m^3$.

The maximum modeled annual DPM and $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations were identified at nearby sensitive receptors (as shown in Figure 1) to find the maximally exposed individuals (MEI).

Results of this assessment indicated that the construction MEIs were located at the same receptor but at two different heights. The cancer risk MEI was located on the second floor of an adjacent single-family home north of the project site and the annual $PM_{2.5}$ concentration MEI was located on the first floor of the same single-family home north of the project site. Table 4 summarizes the maximum cancer risks, $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations, and HI for project's construction activities at the MEIs. *Attachment 2* to this report includes the emission calculations used for the construction area source modeling and the cancer risk calculations.

As shown in Table 4, the maximum cancer risks from uncontrolled (i.e., unmitigated) construction activities at the cancer risk MEI location would exceed the BAAQMD single-source significance threshold. However, with the incorporation of the basic best management practices *and AQ-1*, the mitigated risk values would reduce emissions such that the cancer risk associated with construction would no longer exceed the BAAQMD single-source significance threshold. The unmitigated annual $PM_{2.5}$ concentration and HI at the MEIs do not exceed their respective BAAQMD single-source significance thresholds.

Additionally, modeling was conducted to predict the cancer risks, non-cancer health hazards, and maximum $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations associated with construction activities at the Hearts & Hands Preschool. The maximum increased cancer risks were adjusted using child exposure parameters. The uncontrolled cancer risk, $PM_{2.5}$ concentration, and HI at the nearby Hearts and Hands Preschool do not exceed their respective BAAQMD single-source significance thresholds, as shown in Table 4.

Table 4. Construction Risk Impacts at the Off-Site MEIs

Source		Cancer Risk[1] (per million)	Annual $PM_{2.5}$[1] ($\mu g/m^3$)	Hazard Index
Project Construction	Unmitigated	**13.56 (infant)**	0.11	0.02
	Mitigated[2]	3.59 (infant)	0.06	<0.01
	BAAQMD Single-Source Threshold	***10***	***0.3***	***1.0***
Exceed Threshold?	Unmitigated	***Yes***	*No*	*No*
	Mitigated[2]	*No*	*No*	*No*
Impacts at Hearts & Hands Preschool				
Project Construction	Unmitigated	0.24 (child)	0.01	<0.01
	BAAQMD Single-Source Threshold	***10***	***0.3***	***1.0***
Exceed Threshold?	Unmitigated	*No*	*No*	*No*

Notes: [1] Maximum cancer risk and $PM_{2.5}$ concentration occur at different receptor heights.
[2] Construction equipment with Tier 4 interim engines as Mitigation Measures.

Mitigation Measure AQ-1: Use construction equipment that has low diesel particulate matter exhaust emissions.

Implement a feasible plan to reduce DPM emissions by 30 percent such that increased cancer risk and annual $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations from construction would be reduced below TAC significance levels as follows:

1. All construction equipment larger than 25 horsepower used at the site for more than two continuous days or 20 hours total shall meet U.S. EPA Tier 4 emission standards for PM (PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$), if feasible, otherwise,

a. If use of Tier 4 equipment is not available, alternatively use equipment that meets U.S. EPA emission standards for Tier 2 or 3 engines and include particulate matter emissions control equivalent to CARB Level 3 verifiable diesel emission control devices that altogether achieve a 30 percent reduction in particulate matter exhaust in comparison to uncontrolled equipment; alternatively (or in combination).

b. Use of electrical or non-diesel fueled equipment.

2. Alternatively, the applicant may develop another construction operations plan demonstrating that the construction equipment used on-site would achieve a reduction in construction diesel particulate matter emissions by 30 percent or greater. Elements of the plan could include a combination of some of the following measures:
   - Implementation of No. 1 above to use Tier 4 or alternatively fueled equipment,
   - Installation of electric power lines during early construction phases to avoid use of diesel generators and compressors,
   - Use of electrically-powered equipment,
   - Forklifts and aerial lifts used for exterior and interior building construction shall be electric or propane/natural gas powered,
   - Change in construction build-out plans to lengthen phases, and
   - Implementation of different building techniques that result in less diesel equipment usage.

   Such a construction operations plan would be subject to review by an air quality expert and approved by the City prior to construction.

Effectiveness of Mitigation Measure AQ-1

CalEEMod was used to compute emissions associated with Mitigation Measure AQ-1 assuming that all equipment met U.S. EPA Tier 4 Interim engines standards. With this measure implemented, the project's construction cancer risk levels (assuming infant exposure) would be reduced by 75 percent to 3.59 chances per million and would no longer exceed the single-source cancer risk threshold.

Cumulative Health Risks of all TAC Sources at the Off-Site Project MEIs

Cumulative health risk assessments typically look at all substantial sources of TACs that can affect sensitive receptors that are located within 1,000 feet of a project site (i.e., influence area). These sources include rail lines, highways, busy surface streets, and existing stationary sources identified by BAAQMD.

A review of the project area indicated that one roadway within the influence area, St. Helena Highway, could have traffic exceeding 10,000 vehicles per day. Other nearby streets would have less than 10,000 vehicles per day. A review of BAAQMD's stationary source geographic information systems (GIS) map tool identified four stationary sources with the potential to affect

the project site and MEIs. Figure 2 shows the region included within the influence area and the off-site MEIs. Health risk impacts from these sources upon the MEIs are reported in Table 5. Details of the modeling and health risk calculations are included in *Attachment 3.*

Figure 2. Project Site, Project MEIs, and Nearby TAC Sources



<u>Highways – St. Helena Highway</u>

The project site is located near the St Helena Highway (see Figure 2). Cancer risk, $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations, and HI associated with traffic on St. Helena Highway was estimated using BAAQMD screening values provided via GIS data files (i.e., raster files). BAAQMD raster files provide screening-level cancer risk, $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations, and HI for roadways within the Bay Area and were produced using AERMOD and 20x20-meter emissions grid.

Screening-level cancer risk, $PM_{2.5}$ concentration, and HI at the project MEIs were identified using GIS software and are listed in Table 5. At the MEIs, the increased cancer risk from the highway would be 1.73 per million, the $PM_{2.5}$ concentration from the highway would be less than 0.04 $\mu g/m^3$, and the HI from the highway would be less than 0.01. Note that these values are not adjusted for age sensitivity or exposure duration and are considered higher than values that would be obtained with refined modeling methods.

BAAQMD Permitted Stationary Sources

Permitted stationary sources of air pollution near the project site were identified using BAAQMD's *Permitted Stationary Sources 2021* GIS map website.[13] This mapping tool identifies the location of nearby stationary sources and their estimated risk and hazard impacts, including emissions and adjustments to account for OEHHA guidance. Four sources were identified using this tool, two diesel generators and two gasoline dispensing facilities. The BAAQMD GIS website provided screening risks and hazards for these sources. Therefore, a stationary source information request was not required to be submitted to BAAQMD.

The screening risk and hazard levels provided by BAAQMD for the stationary sources were adjusted for distance using BAAQMD's *Distance Adjustment Multiplier Tool for Diesel Internal Combustion Engines* and *Gasoline Dispensing Facilities.* Health risk impacts from the stationary source upon the MEIs are reported in Table 5.

Summary of Cumulative Health Risk Impact at Off-Site MEI

Table 5 reports both the project and cumulative health risk impacts at the sensitive receptors most affected by project construction (i.e., the project MEIs). The project would have an exceedance with respect to health risk caused by project construction since the unmitigated maximum cancer risk exceeds the BAAQMD single-source thresholds. With the implementation of *Mitigation Measure AQ-1*, the project's cancer risk would be lowered to a level below the single-source thresholds. The cancer risk, annual $PM_{2.5}$ concentration, and hazard index, unmitigated or mitigated, do not exceed the BAAQMD cumulative-source thresholds.

Table 5. Impacts from Combined Sources at Off-Site MEI

Source		Cancer Risk (per million)	Annual $PM_{2.5}$ ($\mu g/m^3$)	Hazard Index
Project Construction	Unmitigated	**13.56 (infant)**	0.11	0.02
	Mitigated	3.59 (infant)	0.06	<0.01
BAAQMD Single-Source Threshold		***10***	***0.3***	***1.0***
Exceed Threshold?	Unmitigated	***Yes***	*No*	*No*
	Mitigated	*No*	*No*	*No*
Cumulative Operational Sources				
St Helena Highway, BAAQMD Raster Screening Tool		1.73	0.04	<0.01
Pacific Bell (Facility ID #13455, Generator), MEI at 1000+ feet		0.37	<0.01	<0.01
Fast & Easy Mart #56 (Facility ID #110850, GDF), MEI at 1000+ feet		0.21	-	<0.01
Calistoga 76 (Facility ID #112220, GDF), MEI at 1000+ feet		0.23	-	<0.01
Rivers Marie Winery (Facility ID #201937, Generator), MEI at 1000+ feet		0.23	<0.01	<0.01
Combined Sources	Unmitigated	16.33	<0.17	<0.07
	Mitigated	6.36	<0.12	<0.06
BAAQMD Cumulative Source Threshold		***100***	***0.8***	***10.0***
Exceed Threshold?	Unmitigated	*No*	*No*	*No*
	Mitigated	*No*	*No*	*No*

[13] BAAQMD, Web: https://baaqmd.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=845658c19eae4594b9f4b805fb9d89a3

Non-CEQA: On-site Community Risk Assessment for TAC Sources - New Project Residences

A health risk assessment was completed to assess the impact that the existing TAC sources would have on the new proposed sensitive receptors (residents) introduced by the project. The same existing TAC sources identified above in Table 5 were used.[14] Figure 3 shows the project site in relation to the nearby TAC sources. The cumulative on-site health risk assessment results are listed in Table 6. *Attachment 3* includes risk calculations for TAC source impacts upon the proposed on-site sensitive receptors.

Figure 3. Locations of New On-Site Residential Receptors and Location of Maximum TAC Impacts



[14] We note that to the extent this analysis considers *existing* air quality issues in relation to the impact on *future residents* of the Project, it does so for informational purposes only pursuant to the judicial decisions in *CBIA v. BAAQMD* (2015) 62 Cal.4th 369, 386 and *Ballona Wetlands Land Trust v. City of Los Angeles* (2011) 201 Cal.App.4th 455, 473, which confirm that the impacts of the environment on a project are excluded from CEQA unless the project itself "exacerbates" such impacts.

Highways – St. Helena Highway

The highway analysis for the project residents was conducted in the same manner with the BAAQMD screening tools as described above for the off-site MEIs.

Stationary Sources

The stationary source analysis for the project residents was conducted in the same manner as described above for the off-site MEIs. In addition and for conservatism, distances from stationary sources to the project site were measured to the project site boundary, resulting in screening values that are higher than what would be realized at any individual home within the project site.

Summary of Cumulative Health Risks at the Project Site

Health risk impacts from the existing TAC sources upon the on-site MEI are reported in Table 6. The risks from the singular TAC sources are compared against the BAAQMD single-source threshold. The risks from all the sources are then combined and compared against the BAAQMD cumulative-source threshold. As shown, none of the risk values exceed BAAQMD single-source or cumulative-source thresholds.

Table 6. Impacts from Combined Sources to Project Site Receptors

Source	Cancer Risk (per million)	Annual $PM_{2.5}$ ($\mu g/m^3$)	Hazard Index
St. Helena Highway, BAAQMD Raster Screening Tool	2.30	0.04	<0.01
Pacific Bell (Facility ID #13455, Generator), MEI at 1000+ feet	0.37	<0.01	<0.01
Fast & Easy Mart #56 (Facility ID #110850, Gasoline Dispensing Facility), MEI at 320 feet	1.31	-	<0.01
Calistoga 76 (Facility ID #112220, Gasoline Dispensing Facility), MEI at 290 feet	1.78	-	<0.01
Rivers Marie Winery (Facility ID #201937, Generator), MEI at 940 feet	0.23	<0.01	<0.01
BAAQMD Single-Source Threshold	***10***	***0.3***	***1.0***
Exceed Threshold?	*No*	*No*	*No*
Cumulative Total	5.99	<0.06	<0.05
BAAQMD Cumulative Source Threshold	***100***	***0.8***	***10.0***
Exceed Threshold?	*No*	*No*	*No*

GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

Setting

Gases that trap heat in the atmosphere, GHGs, regulate the earth's temperature. This phenomenon, known as the greenhouse effect, is responsible for maintaining a habitable climate. The most common GHGs are carbon dioxide (CO_2) and water vapor but there are also several others, most importantly methane (CH_4), nitrous oxide (N_2O), hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs), perfluorocarbons (PFCs), and sulfur hexafluoride (SF_6). These are released into the earth's atmosphere through a variety of natural processes and human activities. Sources of GHGs are generally as follows:

- CO_2, CH_4, and N_2O are byproducts of fossil fuel combustion.
- N_2O is associated with agricultural operations such as fertilization of crops.
- CH_4 is commonly created by off-gassing from agricultural practices (e.g., keeping livestock) and landfill operations.
- Chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs) were widely used as refrigerants, propellants, and cleaning solvents but their production has been stopped by international treaty.
- HFCs are now used as a substitute for CFCs in refrigeration and cooling.
- PFCs and sulfur hexafluoride emissions are commonly created by industries such as aluminum production and semi-conductor manufacturing.

Each GHG has its own potency and effect upon the earth's energy balance. This is expressed in terms of a global warming potential (GWP), with CO_2 being assigned a value of 1 and sulfur hexafluoride being several orders of magnitude stronger. In GHG emission inventories, the weight of each gas is multiplied by its GWP and is measured in units of CO_2 equivalents (CO_2e).

An expanding body of scientific research supports the theory that global climate change is currently affecting changes in weather patterns, average sea level, ocean acidification, chemical reaction rates, and precipitation rates, and that it will increasingly do so in the future. The climate and several naturally occurring resources within California are adversely affected by the global warming trend. Increased precipitation and sea level rise will increase coastal flooding, saltwater intrusion, and degradation of wetlands. Mass migration and/or loss of plant and animal species could also occur. Potential effects of global climate change that could adversely affect human health include more extreme heat waves and heat-related stress; an increase in climate-sensitive diseases; more frequent and intense natural disasters such as flooding, hurricanes and drought; and increased levels of air pollution.

Federal and Statewide GHG Emissions

The U.S. EPA reported that in 2022, total gross nationwide GHG emissions were 5,215.6 million metric tons (MMT) carbon dioxide equivalent (CO_2e).[15] These emissions were lower than peak

[15] United States Environmental Protection Agency, 2022. *Draft Inventory of U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks 1990-2020*. February. Web: https://www.epa.gov/ghgemissions/inventory-us-greenhouse-gas-emissions-and-sinks

levels of 7,416 MMT that were emitted in 2007. CARB updates the statewide GHG emission inventory on an annual basis where the latest inventory includes 2000 through 2020 emissions.[16] In 2020, GHG emissions from statewide emitting activities were 369.2 MMT CO_2e. The 2020 emissions have decreased by 25 percent since peak levels in 2004 and are 35.3 MMT CO_2e lower than 2019 emissions level and almost 62 MMT CO_2e below the State's 2020 GHG limit of 431 MMT CO_2e. Per capita GHG emissions in California have dropped from a 2001 peak of 13.8 MT CO_2e per person to 9.3 MT CO_2e per person in 2020.

Recent Regulatory Actions for GHG Emissions

Executive Order S-3-05 – California GHG Reduction Targets

Executive Order (EO) S-3-05 was signed by Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger in 2005 to set GHG emission reduction targets for California. The three targets established by this EO are as follows: (1) reduce California's GHG emissions to 2000 levels by 2010, (2) reduce California's GHG emissions to 1990 levels by 2020, and (3) reduce California's GHG emissions by 80 percent below 1990 levels by 2050.

Assembly Bill 32 – California Global Warming Solutions Act (2006)

Assembly Bill (AB) 32, the Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006, codified the State's GHG emissions target by directing CARB to reduce the State's global warming emissions to 1990 levels by 2020. AB 32 was signed and passed into law by Governor Schwarzenegger on September 27, 2006. Since that time, the CARB, CEC, California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC), and Building Standards Commission have all been developing regulations that will help meet the goals of AB 32 and Executive Order S-3-05, which has a target of reducing GHG emissions 80 percent below 1990 levels.

The first Scoping Plan for AB 32 was adopted by CARB in December 2008. Its most recent update was completed in December of 2022[17]. It contains the State's main strategies to achieve carbon neutrality by 2045. This plan extends and expands upon the earlier versions with a target of reducing anthropogenic emissions to 85 percent below 1990 levels by 2045. It also takes the step of adding carbon neutrality as a science-based guide and touchstone for California's climate work. Measures to achieve carbon neutrality include rapidly moving to zero emission vehicles (ZEV), removing natural gas as an option for space conditioning, increasing the number of solar arrays and wind turbines, and scaling up renewable hydrogen for hard-to-electrify end uses.

Senate Bill 375 – California's Regional Transportation and Land Use Planning Efforts (2008)

California enacted legislation (SB 375) to expand the efforts of AB 32 by controlling indirect GHG emissions caused by urban sprawl. SB 375 provides incentives for local governments and applicants to implement new conscientiously planned growth patterns. This includes incentives

[16] CARB. 2022. *California Greenhouse Gas Emission for 2000 to 2020*. Web: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/classic/cc/inventory/2000-2020_ghg_inventory_trends.pdf
[17] CARB. 2022. Final 2022 Scoping Plan Update and Appendices. Web: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/our-work/programs/ab-32-climate-change-scoping-plan/2022-scoping-plan-documents

for creating attractive, walkable, and sustainable communities and revitalizing existing communities. The legislation also allows applicants to bypass certain environmental reviews under CEQA if they build projects consistent with the new sustainable community strategies. Development of more alternative transportation options that would reduce vehicle trips and miles traveled, along with traffic congestion, would be encouraged. SB 375 enhances CARB's ability to reach the AB 32 goals by directing the agency in developing regional GHG emission reduction targets to be achieved from the transportation sector for 2020 and 2035. CARB works with the metropolitan planning organizations (e.g., ABAG and MTC) to align their regional transportation, housing, and land use plans to reduce VMT and demonstrate the region's ability to attain its GHG reduction targets. A similar process is used to reduce transportation emissions of ozone precursor pollutants in the Bay Area.

Senate Bill 350 - Renewable Portfolio Standards

In September 2015, the California Legislature passed SB 350, which increases the states Renewables Portfolio Standard (RPS) for content of electrical generation from the 33 percent target for 2020 to a 50 percent renewables target by 2030.

Executive Order B-30-15 & Senate Bill 32 GHG Reduction Targets – 2030 GHG Reduction Target

In April 2015, Governor Brown signed EO B-30-15, which extended the goals of AB 32, setting a GHG emissions target at 40 percent of 1990 levels by 2030. On September 8, 2016, Governor Brown signed Senate Bill (SB) 32, which legislatively established the GHG reduction target of 40 percent of 1990 levels by 2030. In November 2017, CARB issued *California's 2017 Climate Change Scoping Plan*. [18] While the State is on track to exceed the AB 32 scoping plan 2020 targets, this plan is an update to reflect the enacted SB 32 reduction target.

SB 32 was passed in 2016, which codified a 2030 GHG emissions reduction target of 40 percent below 1990 levels. CARB has drafted a 2022 Scoping Plan Update to reflect the 2030 target set by Executive Order B-30-15 and codified by SB 32. The 2022 draft plan:

- Identifies a path to keep California on track to meet its SB 32 GHG reduction target of at least 40 percent below 1990 emissions by 2030.
- Identifies a technologically feasible, cost-effective path to achieve carbon neutrality by 2045 or earlier.
- Focuses on strategies for reducing California's dependency on petroleum to provide consumers with clean energy options that address climate change, improve air quality, and support economic growth and clean sector jobs.
- Integrates equity and protecting California's most impacted communities as a driving principle.
- Incorporates the contribution of natural and working lands to the state's GHG emissions, as well as its role in achieving carbon neutrality.

[18] California Air Resource Board, 2017. *California's 2017 Climate Change Scoping Plan: The Strategy for Achieving California's 2030 Greenhouse Gas Targets.* November. Web: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/classic//cc/scopingplan/scoping_plan_2017.pdf

- Relies on the most up to date science, including the need to deploy all viable tools, including carbon capture and sequestration as well a direct air capture.
- Evaluates multiple options for achieving our GHG and carbon neutrality targets, as well as the public health benefits and economic impacts associated with each.

The Scoping Plan was updated in 2022 and lays out how the state can get to carbon neutrality by 2045 or earlier. It is the first Scoping Plan that adds carbon neutrality as a science-based guide and touchstone beyond statutorily established emission reduction targets.[19]

The mid-term 2030 target is considered critical by CARB on the path to obtaining an even deeper GHG emissions target of 80 percent below 1990 levels by 2050, as directed in Executive Order S-3-05. The 2022 Scoping Plan outlines the suite of policy measures, regulations, planning efforts, and investments in clean technologies and infrastructure, providing a blueprint to continue driving down GHG emissions and to not only obtain the statewide goals, but cost-effectively achieve carbon-neutrality by 2045 or earlier. In the 2022 Scoping Plan, CARB recommends:

- VMT per capita reduced 12% below 2019 levels by 2030 and 22% below 2019 levels by 2045.
- 100% of Light-duty vehicle sales are zero emissions vehicles (ZEV) by 2035.
- 100% of medium duty/heavy duty vehicle sales are ZEV by 2040.
- 100% of passenger and other locomotive sales are ZEV by 2030.
- 100% of line haul locomotive sales are ZEV by 2035.
- All electric appliances in new residential and commercial building beginning 2026 (residential) and 2029 (commercial).
- 80% of residential appliance sales are electric by 2030 and 100% of residential appliance sales are electric by 2035.
- 80% of commercial appliance sales are electric by 2030 and 100% of commercial appliance sales are electric by 2045.

SB 743 Transportation Impacts

Senate Bill 743 required lead agencies to abandon the old "level of service" metric for evaluating a project's transportation impacts, which was based solely on the amount of delay experienced by motor vehicles. In response, the Governor's Office of Planning and Research (OPR) developed a VMT metric that considered other factors such as reducing GHG emissions and developing multimodal transportation[20]. A VMT-per-capita metric was adopted into the CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.3 in November 2017. Given current baseline per-capita VMT levels computed by CARB in the 2030 Scoping Plan of 22.24 miles per day for light-duty vehicles and 24.61 miles per day for all vehicle types, the reductions needed to achieve the 2050 climate goal are 16.8 percent for light-duty vehicles and 14.3 percent for all vehicle types combined. Based on

[19] https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/our-work/programs/ab-32-climate-change-scoping-plan/2022-scoping-plan-documents
[20] Governor's Office of Planning and Research. 2018. *Technical Advisory on Evaluating Transportation Impacts in CEQA*. December.

this analysis (as well as other factors), OPR recommended using a 15-percent reduction in per capita VMT as an appropriate threshold of significance for evaluating transportation impacts.

Executive Order B-55-18 – Carbon Neutrality

In 2018, a new statewide goal was established to achieve carbon neutrality as soon as possible, but no later than 2045, and to maintain net negative emissions thereafter. CARB and other relevant state agencies are tasked with establishing sequestration targets and create policies/programs that would meet this goal.

Senate Bill 100 – Current Renewable Portfolio Standards

In September 2018, SB 100 was signed by Governor Brown to revise California's RPS program goals, furthering California's focus on using renewable energy and carbon-free power sources for its energy needs. The bill would require all California utilities to supply a specific percentage of their retail sales from renewable resources by certain target years. By December 31, 2024, 44 percent of the retails sales would need to be from renewable energy sources, by December 31, 2026 the target would be 40 percent, by December 31, 2027 the target would be 52 percent, and by December 31, 2030 the target would be 60 percent. By December 31, 2045, all California utilities would be required to supply retail electricity that is 100 percent carbon-free and sourced from eligible renewable energy resource to all California end-use customers.

California Building Standards Code – Title 24 Part 11 & Part 6

The California Green Building Standards Code (CALGreen Code) is part of the California Building Standards Code under Title 24, Part 11.[21] The CALGreen Code encourages sustainable construction standards that involve planning/design, energy efficiency, water efficiency resource efficiency, and environmental quality. These green building standard codes are mandatory statewide and are applicable to residential and non-residential developments. The most recent CALGreen Code (2022 California Building Standard Code) was effective as of January 1, 2023.

The California Building Energy Efficiency Standards (California Energy Code) is under Title 24, Part 6 and is overseen by the California Energy Commission (CEC). This code includes design requirements to conserve energy in new residential and non-residential developments, while being cost effective for homeowners. This Energy Code is enforced and verified by cities during the planning and building permit process. The current energy efficiency standards (2022 Energy Code) replaced the 2019 Energy Code as of January 1,2023. Under the 2019 standards, single-family homes are predicted to be 53 percent more efficient than homes built under the 2016 standard due more stringent energy-efficiency standards and mandatory installation of solar photovoltaic systems. For nonresidential developments, it is predicted that these buildings will use 30 percent less energy due to lightening upgrades.[22]

[21] See: https://www.dgs.ca.gov/BSC/Resources/Page-Content/Building-Standards-Commission-Resources-List-Folder/CALGreen#:~:text=CALGreen%20is%20the%20first%2Din,to%201990%20levels%20by%202020.
[22] See: https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2020-03/Title_24_2019_Building_Standards_FAQ_ada.pdf

Requirements for electric vehicle (EV) charging infrastructure are set forth in Title 24 of the California Code of Regulations. The CALGreen standards consist of a set of mandatory standards required for new development, as well as two more voluntary standards known as Tier 1 and Tier 2. The CalGreen 2022 standards require deployment of additional EV chargers in various building types, including multifamily residential and nonresidential land uses. They include requirements for both EV capable parking spaces and the installation of Level 2 EV supply equipment for multifamily residential and nonresidential buildings. The 2022 CALGreen standards include requirements for both EV readiness, installation of EV chargers, and include both mandatory requirements and more aggressive voluntary Tier 1 and Tier 2 provisions. Providing EV charging infrastructure that meets current CALGreen requirements will not be sufficient to power the anticipated more extensive level of EV penetration in the future that is needed to meet SB 30 climate goals.

CEC studies have identified the most aggressive electrification scenario as putting the building sector on track to reach the carbon neutrality goal by 2045.[23] Installing new natural gas infrastructure in new buildings will interfere with this goal. To meet the State's goal, communities have been adopting "Reach" codes that prohibit natural gas connections in new and remodeled buildings.

Advanced Clean Cars

The Advanced Clean Cars Program, originally adopted by CARB in 2012, was designed to bring together CARB's traditional passenger vehicle requirements to meet federal air quality standards and also support California's AB 32 goals to develop and implement programs to reduce GHG emissions back down to 1990 levels by 2020, a goal achieved in 2016 as a result of numerous emissions reduction programs.

Advanced Clean Cars II (ACC II) is phase two of the original rule. ACC II establishes a year-by-year process, starting in 2026, so all new cars and light trucks sold in California will be zero-emission vehicles by 2035, including plug-in hybrid electric vehicles. The regulation codifies the light-duty vehicle goals set out in Governor Newsom's Executive Order N-79-20. Currently, 16 percent of new light-duty vehicles sold in California are zero emissions or plug-in hybrids. By 2030, 68 percent of new vehicles sold in California would be zero emissions and 100 percent by 2035.

<u>City of Calistoga Climate Action Plan</u>

In 2014, the City adopted its Climate Action Plan.[24] As part of the plan, the City developed a GHG emissions reduction plan that provides mitigation measures for four areas: Transportation, Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Carbon Sequestration, and Community Engagement and Advocacy. Some of the mitigation measures support citizen efforts to reduce their GHG emissions by providing information on energy and water savings. Other strategies utilize regulations to influence the actions of Calistoga's residents and businesses. Where possible, the

[23] California Energy Commission. 2021. F*inal Commission Report: California Building Decarbonization Assessment. Publication Number CEC-400-2021-006-CMF*.August

[24]Available at: http://www.ci.calistoga.ca.us/home/showdocument?id=24005. Accessed on April 24, 2020.

City will reduce emissions within its direct control through choices made in its budget and operations. The Goals, Objectives, and Measures applicable to this project include:

Goal: Minimize personal vehicle use by providing alternative transportation modes.

Objective T-5 under this goal is to minimize personal vehicle usage by visitors to the community through Measure T-5 A; encourage local visitor accommodations to provide bicycles and shuttle service to guests, and to promote the use of the Calistoga Shuttle. This will be included in the projects TDM plan.

Goal: Minimize traffic congestion to reduce fuel use and the generation of emissions.

Objective T-9 under this goal is to optimize fuel efficiency in the local transportation system.
One of the measures used to accomplish this (Measure T-9 C), established an anti-idling policy.

Goal: Reduce energy demand through conservation and efficiency.

The City's plan establishes several measures to maximize energy and water conservation and support efforts to utilize renewable energy. This includes the use of geothermal energy and heat-exchange technology for pools and spas, as planned for the project.

<u>BAAQMD GHG Significance Thresholds</u>

On April 20, 2022, BAAQMD adopted new thresholds of significance for operational GHG emissions from land use projects for projects beginning the CEQA process. The current thresholds of significance are:

A. Projects must include, at a minimum, the following project design elements:
   a. Buildings
      i. The project will not include natural gas appliances or natural gas plumbing (in both residential and non-residential development).
      ii. The project will not result in any wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary energy usage as determined by the analysis required under CEQA Section 21100(b)(3) and Section 15126.2(b) of the State CEQA Guidelines.
   b. Transportation
      i. Achieve a reduction in project-generated vehicle miles traveled (VMT) below the regional average consistent with the current version of the California Climate Change Scoping Plan (currently 15 percent) <u>or meet a locally adopted Senate Bill 743 VMT target</u>, reflecting the recommendations provided in the Governor's Office of Planning and Research's Technical Advisory on Evaluating Transportation Impacts in CEQA:
         1. Residential Projects: 15 percent below the existing VMT per capita
         2. Office Projects: 15 percent below the existing VMT per employee
         3. Retail Projects: no net increase in existing VMT

ii. Achieve compliance with off-street electric vehicle requirements in the most recently adopted version of CALGreen Tier 2.

B. Be consistent with a local GHG reduction strategy that meets the criteria under State CEQA Guidelines Section 15183.5(b).

New land use projects are required to meet either section A or B from the above list, not both, to be considered less than significant.

Impact GHG-1: Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?

GHG emissions associated with development of the proposed project would occur over the short-term from construction activities, consisting primarily of emissions from equipment exhaust and worker and vendor trips. There would also be long-term operational emissions associated with vehicular traffic within the project vicinity, energy and water usage, and solid waste disposal. Emissions for the proposed project are discussed below.

CalEEMod Modeling

CalEEMod was used to predict GHG emissions from operation of the site assuming full build-out of the project. The project land use types and size and other project-specific information were input to the model, as described below. CalEEMod output is included in *Attachment 1*.

Land Uses

All project land uses were input to CalEEMod as described above in the construction criteria pollutant section.

Model Year

Emissions associated with vehicle travel depend on the year of analysis because emission control technology requirements are phased-in over time. Therefore, the earlier the year analyzed in the model, the higher the emission rates utilized by CalEEMod. The earliest full year of operation would be 2026. Emissions associated with build-out later than 2026 would be lower.

Traffic Information

CalEEMod allows the user to enter specific vehicle trip generation rates. Therefore, the project-specific daily trip generation rate provided by the traffic consultant was entered into the model.[25] The project would produce approximately 217 daily trips. Saturday and Sunday trip rates were adjusted by multiplying the ratio of the CalEEMod default rates for Saturday and Sunday trips to the default weekday rate with the project-specific daily weekday trip rate. The default trip lengths and trip types specified by CalEEMod were used.

[25] Draft Transportation Impact Study – Kortum Ranch Subdivision, W-Trans, April 6, 2023.

Energy

The Marin Clean Energy (MCE) default intensity factors were used for this analysis. MCE has a default rate of 187.98 pounds of CO_2 per megawatt of electricity produced, which is based on MCE's 2019 emissions rate. However, the project will be an all-electric project[26]. As a result, the natural gas usage in CalEEMod was set to 0 and included in the project's electricity usage.

Wood-Burning Devices

CalEEMod default inputs assume new residential construction would include woodburning fireplaces and stoves. The project would not include wood-burning devices, as these devices are prohibited by BAAQMD Regulation 6, Rule 3.[27] Therefore, the number of woodstoves and woodburning fireplaces in CalEEMod were set to zero.

Other Inputs

Default model assumptions for emissions associated with solid waste generation use were used. Wastewater treatment was changed to 100 percent aerobic conditions to represent the use of city sewer services (i.e., project would not send wastewater to septic tanks or facultative lagoons).

Construction GHG Emissions

GHG emissions associated with construction were computed at 445 MT of CO_2e for the total construction period. These are the emissions from on-site operation of construction equipment, vendor and hauling truck trips, and worker trips. Neither the City nor BAAQMD have an adopted threshold of significance for construction-related GHG emissions, though the California Office of Planning and Research (OPR) recommends quantifying emissions and disclosing that GHG emissions would occur during construction, even is cases where BAAQMD does not. BAAQMD encourages the incorporation of best management practices to reduce GHG emissions during construction where feasible and applicable.

Operational GHG Emissions

The CalEEMod model was used to estimate daily emissions associated with operation of the proposed project. As shown in Table 7 for informational purposes, net annual GHG emissions resulting from operation of the proposed project are predicted to be 534 MT of CO_2e in 2025.

[26] Email from Krystle Rizzi, January 31st, 2024.
[27] Bay Area Air Quality Management District, https://www.baaqmd.gov/~/media/dotgov/files/rules/regulation-6-rule-3/documents/20191120_r0603_final-pdf.pdf?la=en

Table 7. Annual Project GHG Emissions (CO_2e) in Metric Tons

Source Category	Proposed Project in 2025
Mobile	493
Area	0
Energy Consumption	34
Water Usage	2
Solid Waste Generation	4
Refrigerants	0
Total (MT CO_{2e}/year)	534

For this impact to be considered less than significant, it must be consistent with a local GHG reduction strategy (Threshold B) or meet the minimum project design elements recommended by BAAQMD (Threshold A). Threshold A is being applied to the analysis of this project as Threshold B is not applicable. Threshold A requires the project:

1. Avoid construction of new natural gas connections for the residential building,
    - Conforms – the project will be all-electric with no natural gas usage.
2. Avoid wasteful or inefficient use of electricity,
    - Conforms – would meet CALGreen Building Standards Code requirements that are considered to be energy efficient.
3. Include electric vehicle (EV) charging infrastructure that meets current Building Code CALGreen Tier 2 compliance, and
    - Does not conform – the number of EV spaces to be included with the project is currently unknown.
4. Reduce VMT per service population by 15 percent over regional average.
    - Conforms – Napa county's per capita VMT is 14.18. According to the project's traffic consultant[28], the project will have per capita VMT of 10.87, or a reduction of 24%, more than the required 15% minimum.

Mitigation Measure GHG-1: Install Level 2 Supply Equipment (EVSE) for each single-family home.

All single-family dwelling units must be EV capable. Installation of a raceway to accommodate a dedicated 208/240-volt branch circuit and associated overcurrent protective device shall occur in the garage of each single-family dwelling unit.

Conclusion GHG-1

As proposed, the project does not conform with the four requirements of Threshold A as shown above. However, with the implementation of *Mitigation Measure GHG-1* mandating the installation of level 2 supply equipment, the project would comply with all four BAAQMD GHG thresholds of significance. This would result in the project having a less-than-significant impact from GHG emissions.

[28] Draft Transportation Impact Study – Kortum Ranch Subdivision, W-Trans, April 6, 2023.

Impact GHG-2: Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?

The City of Calistoga has a CAP and enforces its building codes, which aim to reduce GHG emissions. Therefore, if individual projects conform to City building Codes, they will conform with the CAP and would not conflict with local plans, policies, or regulations applicable to GHG emissions.

Conclusion GHG-2

The proposed project would be constructed in conformance with, at minimum, the 2022 CalGreen and the Title 24 Building Codes, which requires high-efficiency water fixtures, water-efficient irrigation systems, and compliance with current energy efficiency standards. Compliance with these standards ensures compliance with State and federal plans, policies, and regulations applicable to GHG emissions.

Supporting Documentation

Attachment 1 includes the CalEEMod output for project construction and operation emissions. Also included are any modeling assumptions.

Attachment 2 is the health risk assessment. This includes the summary of the dispersion modeling and the cancer risk calculations for construction. The AERMOD dispersion modeling files for this assessment, which are quite voluminous, are available upon request and would be provided in digital format.

Attachment 3 includes the cumulative health risk calculations from existing sources affecting the construction MEI.

Attachment 1: CalEEMod Modeling Inputs and Outputs

Air Quality/Noise Construction Information Data Request

Project Name: **Kortum Ranch, Calistoga DEFAULTS**

Complete ALL Portions in Yellow

See Equipment Type TAB for type, horsepower and load factor

Project Size 22 **Dwelling Units** _____ **total project acres disturbed**

_____ **s.f. residential**

_____ **s.f. retail**

_____ **s.f. office/commercial**

_____ **s.f. other, specify:**

_____ **s.f. parking garage** _____ **spaces**

_____ **s.f. parking lot** _____ **spaces**

Construction Days (i.e, M-F) _____ **to** _____

Construction Hours **am to** **pm**

Pile Driving? Y/N?

Project include on-site GENERATOR OR FIRE PUMP during project OPERATION (not construction)? Y/N? ____

IF YES (if BOTH separate values) -->

Kilowatts/Horsepower: _____

Fuel Type: _____

Location in project (Plans Desired if Available):

DO NOT MULTIPLY EQUIPMENT HOURS/DAY BY THE QUANTITY OF EQUIPMENT

Quantity	Description	HP	Load Factor	Hours/day	Total Work Days	Avg. Hours per day	HP Annual Hours	Comments
	Demolition	**Start Date:**	**1/1/2024**	**Total phase:**	**20**			**Overall Import/Export Volumes**
		End Date:	**1/29/2024**					
1	Concrete/Industrial Saws	81	0.73	8	20	8	9461	**Demolition Volume**
3	Excavators	158	0.38	8	20	8	28819	Square footage of buildings to be demolished
2	Rubber-Tired Dozers	247	0.4	8	20	8	31616	(*or* total tons to be hauled)
	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	97	0.37			0	0	**_?_** square feet *or*
	Other Equipment?							**_?_** Hauling volume (tons)
								Any pavement demolished and hauled? **_?_ tons**
	Site Preparation	**Start Date:**	**1/30/2024**	**Total phase:**	**10**			
		End Date:	**2/13/2024**					
	Graders	187	0.41			0	0	
3	Rubber Tired Dozers	247	0.4	8	10	8	23712	
4	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	97	0.37	8	10	8	11485	
	Other Equipment?							
	Grading / Excavation	**Start Date:**	**2/14/2024**	**Total phase:**	**20**			
		End Date:	**3/13/2024**					**Soil Hauling Volume**
1	Excavators	158	0.38	8	20	8	9606	Export volume = **_500_** cubic yards?
1	Graders	187	0.41	8	20	8	12267	Import volume = **_500_** cubic yards?
1	Rubber Tired Dozers	247	0.4	8	20	8	15808	
	Concrete/Industrial Saws	81	0.73			0	0	
3	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	97	0.37	8	20	8	17227	
	Other Equipment?							
	Trenching/Foundation	**Start Date:**	**2/14/2024**	**Total phase:**	**20**			
		End Date:	**3/13/2024**					
1	Tractor/Loader/Backhoe	97	0.37	8	20	8	5742	
1	Excavators	158	0.38	8	20	8	9606	
	Other Equipment?							
	Building - Exterior	**Start Date:**	**3/14/2024**	**Total phase:**	**230**			**Cement Trucks? _336_ Total Round-Trips**
		End Date:	**1/30/2025**					
1	Cranes	231	0.29	7	230	7	107854	Electric? (Y/N) ___ Otherwise assumed diesel
3	Forklifts	89	0.2	8	230	8	98256	Liquid Propane (LPG)? (Y/N) ___ Otherwise Assumed diesel
1	Generator Sets	84	0.74	8	230	8	114374	Or temporary line power? (Y/N) ___
3	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	97	0.37	7	230	7	173349	
1	Welders	46	0.45	8	230	8	38088	
	Other Equipment?							
Building - Interior/Architectural Coating		**Start Date:**	**1/31/2025**	**Total phase:**	**20**			
		End Date:	**2/28/2025**					
1	Air Compressors	78	0.48	6	20	6	4493	
	Aerial Lift	62	0.31			0	0	
	Other Equipment?							
	Paving	**Start Date:**	**3/1/2025**	**Total phase:**	**20**			
		Start Date:	**3/29/2025**					
	Cement and Mortar Mixers	9	0.56			0	0	
2	Pavers	130	0.42	8	20	8	17472	**Asphalt? ___ cubic yards or ___60_ round trips?**
2	Paving Equipment	132	0.36	8	20	8	15206	
2	Rollers	80	0.38	8	20	8	9728	
	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	97	0.37			0	0	
	Other Equipment?							
	Additional Phases	**Start Date:**		**Total phase:**				
		Start Date:						
						#DIV/0!	0	
						#DIV/0!	0	
						#DIV/0!	0	
						#DIV/0!	0	
						#DIV/0!	0	

Equipment types listed in "Equipment Types" worksheet tab.

Equipment listed in this sheet is to provide an example of inputs

It is assumed that water trucks would be used during grading

Add or subtract phases and equipment, as appropriate

Modify horsepower or load factor, as appropriate

Complete one sheet for each project component

Construction Criteria Air Pollutants							
Unmitigated	ROG	NOX	PM10 Exhaust	PM2.5 Exhaust	PM2.5 Fugitive	**CO2e**	
Year	Tons					MT	
Construction Equipment							
2024-2025	0.52	2.07	0.09	0.08	0.05	445.48	
	Total Construction Emissions						
Tons	0.52	2.07	0.09	0.08		445.48	
Pounds/Workdays	*Average Daily Emissions*					Workdays	
2024-2025	3.21	12.72	0.55	0.51			**325**
Threshold - lbs/day	**54.0**	**54.0**	**82.0**	**54.0**			
	Total Construction Emissions						
Pounds	3.21	12.72	0.55	0.51		0.00	
Average	3.21	12.72	0.55	0.51		0.00	325.00
Threshold - lbs/day	**54.0**	**54.0**	**82.0**	**54.0**			

Operational Criteria Air Pollutants							
Unmitigated	ROG	NOX	Total PM10	Total PM2.5			
Year	Tons						
Total	0.52	0.36	0.24	0.07			
	Net Annual Operational Emissions						
Tons/year	0.52	0.36	0.24	0.07			
Threshold - Tons/year	**10.0**	**10.0**	**15.0**	**10.0**			
	Average Daily Emissions						
Pounds Per Day	2.83	1.95	1.34	0.37			
Threshold - lbs/day	**54.0**	**54.0**	**82.0**	**54.0**			

Category	**CO2e**			
	Project	Existing	Project 2030	Existing
Mobile	492.80			
Area	0.00			
Energy	34.29			
Water	2.35			
Waste	4.29			
Refrig.	0.05			
TOTAL	533.79	0.00	0.00	0.00
Net GHG Emissions		533.79		0.00

Traffic Consultant Trip Gen						CalEEMod Default		
Land Use		Size	Daily Trips	New Trips	Weekday Trip Gen	Weekday	Sat	Sun
Single Family Dwelling	Dwelling U	22	216	216	***9.82***	9.44	9.54	8.55
						Rev	***9.92***	***8.89***

Table 1 – Trip Generation Summary

Land Use	Units	Daily		AM Peak Hour				PM Peak Hour			
		Rate	Trips	Rate	Trips	In	Out	Rate	Trips	In	Out
SF Detached Housing	23 du	9.43	217	0.70	16	4	12	0.94	22	14	8

Note: SF = Single Family; du = dwelling unit

From correspondence regarding error in trip gen: One minor error, the project is 22 and not 23 lots: This will reduce the daily, AM, and PM trips generated by the project to one fewer than that noted in the study.

Kortum Ranch, Calistoga 2025 T4i Detailed Report

Table of Contents

1. Basic Project Information

1.1. Basic Project Information

1.2. Land Use Types

1.3. User-Selected Emission Reduction Measures by Emissions Sector

2. Emissions Summary

2.1. Construction Emissions Compared Against Thresholds

2.2. Construction Emissions by Year, Unmitigated

2.3. Construction Emissions by Year, Mitigated

2.4. Operations Emissions Compared Against Thresholds

2.5. Operations Emissions by Sector, Unmitigated

2.6. Operations Emissions by Sector, Mitigated

3. Construction Emissions Details

3.1. Demolition (2024) - Unmitigated

3.2. Demolition (2024) - Mitigated

3.3. Site Preparation (2024) - Unmitigated

3.4. Site Preparation (2024) - Mitigated

3.5. Grading (2024) - Unmitigated

3.6. Grading (2024) - Mitigated

3.7. Building Construction (2024) - Unmitigated

3.8. Building Construction (2024) - Mitigated

3.9. Building Construction (2025) - Unmitigated

3.10. Building Construction (2025) - Mitigated

3.11. Paving (2025) - Unmitigated

3.12. Paving (2025) - Mitigated

3.13. Architectural Coating (2025) - Unmitigated

3.14. Architectural Coating (2025) - Mitigated

3.15. Trenching (2024) - Unmitigated

3.16. Trenching (2024) - Mitigated

4. Operations Emissions Details

4.1. Mobile Emissions by Land Use

4.1.1. Unmitigated

4.1.2. Mitigated

4.2. Energy

4.2.1. Electricity Emissions By Land Use - Unmitigated

4.2.2. Electricity Emissions By Land Use - Mitigated

4.2.3. Natural Gas Emissions By Land Use - Unmitigated

4.2.4. Natural Gas Emissions By Land Use - Mitigated

4.3. Area Emissions by Source

4.3.1. Unmitigated

4.3.2. Mitigated

4.4. Water Emissions by Land Use

4.4.1. Unmitigated

4.4.2. Mitigated

4.5. Waste Emissions by Land Use

4.5.1. Unmitigated

4.5.2. Mitigated

4.6. Refrigerant Emissions by Land Use

4.6.1. Unmitigated

4.6.2. Mitigated

4.7. Offroad Emissions By Equipment Type

4.7.1. Unmitigated

4.7.2. Mitigated

4.8. Stationary Emissions By Equipment Type

4.8.1. Unmitigated

4.8.2. Mitigated

4.9. User Defined Emissions By Equipment Type

4.9.1. Unmitigated

4.9.2. Mitigated

4.10. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type

4.10.1. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type - Unmitigated

4.10.2. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type - Unmitigated

4.10.3. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species - Unmitigated

4.10.4. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type - Mitigated

4.10.5. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type - Mitigated

4.10.6. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species - Mitigated

5. Activity Data

5.1. Construction Schedule

5.2. Off-Road Equipment

5.2.1. Unmitigated

5.2.2. Mitigated

5.3. Construction Vehicles

5.3.1. Unmitigated

5.3.2. Mitigated

5.4. Vehicles

5.4.1. Construction Vehicle Control Strategies

5.5. Architectural Coatings

5.6. Dust Mitigation

5.6.1. Construction Earthmoving Activities

5.6.2. Construction Earthmoving Control Strategies

5.7. Construction Paving

5.8. Construction Electricity Consumption and Emissions Factors

5.9. Operational Mobile Sources

5.9.1. Unmitigated

5.9.2. Mitigated

5.10. Operational Area Sources

5.10.1. Hearths

5.10.1.1. Unmitigated

5.10.1.2. Mitigated

5.10.2. Architectural Coatings

5.10.3. Landscape Equipment

5.10.4. Landscape Equipment - Mitigated

5.11. Operational Energy Consumption

5.11.1. Unmitigated

5.11.2. Mitigated

5.12. Operational Water and Wastewater Consumption

5.12.1. Unmitigated

5.12.2. Mitigated

5.13. Operational Waste Generation

5.13.1. Unmitigated

5.13.2. Mitigated

5.14. Operational Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Equipment

5.14.1. Unmitigated

5.14.2. Mitigated

5.15. Operational Off-Road Equipment

5.15.1. Unmitigated

5.15.2. Mitigated

5.16. Stationary Sources

5.16.1. Emergency Generators and Fire Pumps

5.16.2. Process Boilers

5.17. User Defined

5.18. Vegetation

5.18.1. Land Use Change

5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

5.18.1.2. Mitigated

5.18.1. Biomass Cover Type

5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

5.18.1.2. Mitigated

5.18.2. Sequestration

5.18.2.1. Unmitigated

5.18.2.2. Mitigated

6. Climate Risk Detailed Report

6.1. Climate Risk Summary

6.2. Initial Climate Risk Scores

6.3. Adjusted Climate Risk Scores

6.4. Climate Risk Reduction Measures

7. Health and Equity Details

7.1. CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Scores

7.2. Healthy Places Index Scores

7.3. Overall Health & Equity Scores

7.4. Health & Equity Measures

7.5. Evaluation Scorecard

7.6. Health & Equity Custom Measures

8. User Changes to Default Data

1. Basic Project Information

1.1. Basic Project Information

Data Field	Value
Project Name	Kortum Ranch, Calistoga 2025 T4i
Construction Start Date	1/1/2024
Operational Year	2025
Lead Agency	—
Land Use Scale	Project/site
Analysis Level for Defaults	County
Windspeed (m/s)	2.20
Precipitation (days)	43.0
Location	500 Kortum Canyon Rd, Calistoga, CA 94515, USA
County	Napa
City	Calistoga
Air District	Bay Area AQMD
Air Basin	San Francisco Bay Area
TAZ	802
EDFZ	2
Electric Utility	MCE
Gas Utility	Pacific Gas & Electric
App Version	2022.1.1.21

1.2. Land Use Types

Land Use Subtype	Size	Unit	Lot Acreage	Building Area (sq ft)	Landscape Area (sq ft)	Special Landscape Area (sq ft)	Population	Description

Single Family Housing	22.0	Dwelling Unit	7.14	42,900	257,683	0.00	60.0	—

1.3. User-Selected Emission Reduction Measures by Emissions Sector

Sector	#	Measure Title
Construction	C-5	Use Advanced Engine Tiers

2. Emissions Summary

2.1. Construction Emissions Compared Against Thresholds

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Un/Mit.	ROG	NOx	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	1.24	11.6	0.50	0.51	1.01	0.46	0.13	0.59	0.94	2,769
Mit.	0.46	9.94	0.12	0.51	0.63	0.12	0.13	0.25	0.94	2,769
% Reduced	63%	14%	75%	—	37%	75%	—	58%	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	30.3	36.0	1.60	8.26	9.86	1.47	4.09	5.56	0.05	5,460
Mit.	30.2	14.8	0.20	8.26	8.36	0.19	4.09	4.19	0.05	5,460
% Reduced	< 0.5%	59%	87%	—	15%	87%	—	25%	—	—
Average Daily (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	1.78	10.2	0.44	0.75	1.19	0.41	0.28	0.68	0.30	2,156
Mit.	1.70	7.51	0.09	0.75	0.84	0.09	0.28	0.37	0.30	2,156
% Reduced	4%	26%	79%	—	29%	79%	—	47%	—	—
Annual (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Unmit.	0.32	1.86	0.08	0.14	0.22	0.07	0.05	0.12	0.05	357
Mit.	0.31	1.37	0.02	0.14	0.15	0.02	0.05	0.07	0.05	357
% Reduced	4%	26%	79%	—	29%	79%	—	47%	—	—

2.2. Construction Emissions by Year, Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Year	ROG	NOx	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	R	CO2e
Daily - Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2024	1.24	11.6	0.50	0.51	1.01	0.46	0.13	0.59	0.94	2,769
Daily - Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2024	3.72	36.0	1.60	8.26	9.86	1.47	4.09	5.56	0.05	5,460
2025	30.3	10.9	0.44	0.88	1.24	0.40	0.22	0.55	0.04	2,758
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2024	1.08	10.2	0.44	0.75	1.19	0.41	0.28	0.68	0.30	2,156
2025	1.78	1.13	0.05	0.08	0.13	0.04	0.02	0.06	0.06	285
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2024	0.20	1.86	0.08	0.14	0.22	0.07	0.05	0.12	0.05	357
2025	0.32	0.21	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	47.2

2.3. Construction Emissions by Year, Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Year	ROG	NOx	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	R	CO2e
Daily - Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2024	0.46	9.94	0.12	0.51	0.63	0.12	0.13	0.25	0.94	2,769

Daily - Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2024	0.71	14.8	0.20	8.26	8.36	0.19	4.09	4.19	0.05	5,460
2025	30.2	9.95	0.12	0.88	0.98	0.12	0.22	0.31	0.04	2,758
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2024	0.34	7.51	0.09	0.75	0.84	0.09	0.28	0.37	0.30	2,156
2025	1.70	1.07	0.01	0.08	0.09	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.06	285
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2024	0.06	1.37	0.02	0.14	0.15	0.02	0.05	0.07	0.05	357
2025	0.31	0.20	< 0.005	0.01	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	47.2

2.4. Operations Emissions Compared Against Thresholds

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Un/Mit.	ROG	NOx	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	2.98	1.82	0.03	1.37	1.40	0.03	0.35	0.38	12.9	3,428
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	2.89	2.11	0.03	1.37	1.40	0.03	0.35	0.38	0.63	3,270
Average Daily (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	2.83	1.95	0.03	1.31	1.34	0.03	0.34	0.37	5.64	3,224
Annual (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	0.52	0.36	0.01	0.24	0.24	< 0.005	0.06	0.07	0.93	534

2.5. Operations Emissions by Sector, Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Sector	ROG	NOx	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mobile	1.89	1.82	0.03	1.37	1.40	0.03	0.35	0.38	12.6	3,180
Area	1.08	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00
Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	207
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	14.2
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	25.9
Refrig.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.31	0.31
Total	2.98	1.82	0.03	1.37	1.40	0.03	0.35	0.38	12.9	3,428
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mobile	1.81	2.11	0.03	1.37	1.40	0.03	0.35	0.38	0.33	3,023
Area	1.08	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00
Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	207
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	14.2
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	25.9
Refrig.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.31	0.31
Total	2.89	2.11	0.03	1.37	1.40	0.03	0.35	0.38	0.63	3,270
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mobile	1.75	1.95	0.03	1.31	1.34	0.03	0.34	0.37	5.33	2,977
Area	1.08	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00
Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	207
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	14.2
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	25.9
Refrig.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.31	0.31
Total	2.83	1.95	0.03	1.31	1.34	0.03	0.34	0.37	5.64	3,224
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Mobile	0.32	0.36	0.01	0.24	0.24	< 0.005	0.06	0.07	0.88	493
Area	0.20	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00
Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	34.3
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	2.35
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	4.29
Refrig.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.05	0.05
Total	0.52	0.36	0.01	0.24	0.24	< 0.005	0.06	0.07	0.93	534

2.6. Operations Emissions by Sector, Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Sector	ROG	NOx	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mobile	1.89	1.82	0.03	1.37	1.40	0.03	0.35	0.38	12.6	3,180
Area	1.08	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00
Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	207
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	14.2
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	25.9
Refrig.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.31	0.31
Total	2.98	1.82	0.03	1.37	1.40	0.03	0.35	0.38	12.9	3,428
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mobile	1.81	2.11	0.03	1.37	1.40	0.03	0.35	0.38	0.33	3,023
Area	1.08	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00
Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	207
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	14.2
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	25.9
Refrig.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.31	0.31

Total	2.89	2.11	0.03	1.37	1.40	0.03	0.35	0.38	0.63	3,270
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mobile	1.75	1.95	0.03	1.31	1.34	0.03	0.34	0.37	5.33	2,977
Area	1.08	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00
Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	207
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	14.2
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	25.9
Refrig.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.31	0.31
Total	2.83	1.95	0.03	1.31	1.34	0.03	0.34	0.37	5.64	3,224
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mobile	0.32	0.36	0.01	0.24	0.24	< 0.005	0.06	0.07	0.88	493
Area	0.20	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00
Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	34.3
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	2.35
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	4.29
Refrig.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.05	0.05
Total	0.52	0.36	0.01	0.24	0.24	< 0.005	0.06	0.07	0.93	534

3. Construction Emissions Details

3.1. Demolition (2024) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	ROG	NOx	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Off-Road Equipment	2.62	24.9	1.06	—	1.06	0.98	—	0.98	—	3,437
Demolition	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.14	1.36	0.06	—	0.06	0.05	—	0.05	—	188
Demolition	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.03	0.25	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	31.2
Demolition	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.06	0.06	0.00	0.51	0.51	0.00	0.13	0.13	0.02	125
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	< 0.005	0.10	< 0.005	0.06	0.06	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	77.3
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	6.94
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	4.24
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	1.15
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Hauling	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.70

3.2. Demolition (2024) - Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	ROG	NOx	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.41	11.9	0.20	—	0.20	0.19	—	0.19	—	3,437
Demolition	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.02	0.65	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	188
Demolition	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	< 0.005	0.12	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	31.2
Demolition	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.06	0.06	0.00	0.51	0.51	0.00	0.13	0.13	0.02	125

Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	< 0.005	0.10	< 0.005	0.06	0.06	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	77.3
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	6.94
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	4.24
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	1.15
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.70

3.3. Site Preparation (2024) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	ROG	NOx	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	3.65	36.0	1.60	—	1.60	1.47	—	1.47	—	5,314
Dust From Material Movement	—	—	—	7.67	7.67	—	3.94	3.94	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.10	0.99	0.04	—	0.04	0.04	—	0.04	—	146

Dust From Material Movement	—	—	—	0.21	0.21	—	0.11	0.11	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.02	0.18	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	24.1
Dust From Material Movement	—	—	—	0.04	0.04	—	0.02	0.02	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.07	0.07	0.00	0.60	0.60	0.00	0.15	0.15	0.02	146
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	4.05
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.67
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.4. Site Preparation (2024) - Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	ROG	NOx	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.64	14.7	0.10	—	0.10	0.10	—	0.10	—	5,314
Dust From Material Movement	—	—	—	7.67	7.67	—	3.94	3.94	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.02	0.40	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	146
Dust From Material Movement	—	—	—	0.21	0.21	—	0.11	0.11	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	< 0.005	0.07	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	24.1
Dust From Material Movement	—	—	—	0.04	0.04	—	0.02	0.02	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.07	0.07	0.00	0.60	0.60	0.00	0.15	0.15	0.02	146

Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	4.05
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.67
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.5. Grading (2024) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	ROG	NOx	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	1.90	18.2	0.84	—	0.84	0.77	—	0.77	—	2,969
Dust From Material Movement	—	—	—	2.76	2.76	—	1.34	1.34	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.10	1.00	0.05	—	0.05	0.04	—	0.04	—	163

Dust From Material Movement	—	—	—	0.15	0.15	—	0.07	0.07	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.02	0.18	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	26.9
Dust From Material Movement	—	—	—	0.03	0.03	—	0.01	0.01	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.06	0.06	0.00	0.51	0.51	0.00	0.13	0.13	0.02	125
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.01	0.65	0.01	0.39	0.40	0.01	0.10	0.11	0.03	483
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	6.94
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	< 0.005	0.04	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	0.02	26.5
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	1.15
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	4.38

3.6. Grading (2024) - Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	ROG	NOx	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.39	10.3	0.08	—	0.08	0.08	—	0.08	—	2,969
Dust From Material Movement	—	—	—	2.76	2.76	—	1.34	1.34	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.02	0.56	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	163
Dust From Material Movement	—	—	—	0.15	0.15	—	0.07	0.07	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	< 0.005	0.10	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	26.9
Dust From Material Movement	—	—	—	0.03	0.03	—	0.01	0.01	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.06	0.06	0.00	0.51	0.51	0.00	0.13	0.13	0.02	125

Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.01	0.65	0.01	0.39	0.40	0.01	0.10	0.11	0.03	483
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	6.94
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	< 0.005	0.04	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	0.02	26.5
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	1.15
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	4.38

3.7. Building Construction (2024) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	ROG	NOx	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	1.20	11.2	0.50	—	0.50	0.46	—	0.46	—	2,406
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	1.20	11.2	0.50	—	0.50	0.46	—	0.46	—	2,406
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.69	6.43	0.29	—	0.29	0.26	—	0.26	—	1,379
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.13	1.17	0.05	—	0.05	0.05	—	0.05	—	228
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.03	0.02	0.00	0.27	0.27	0.00	0.07	0.07	0.31	71.2
Vendor	< 0.005	0.09	< 0.005	0.06	0.06	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	0.17	67.5
Hauling	< 0.005	0.29	< 0.005	0.18	0.18	< 0.005	0.05	0.05	0.46	224
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.27	0.27	0.00	0.07	0.07	0.01	66.1
Vendor	< 0.005	0.10	< 0.005	0.06	0.06	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	67.4
Hauling	< 0.005	0.30	< 0.005	0.18	0.18	< 0.005	0.05	0.05	0.01	224
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.15	0.15	0.00	0.04	0.04	0.08	38.4
Vendor	< 0.005	0.06	< 0.005	0.03	0.03	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	0.04	38.7
Hauling	< 0.005	0.17	< 0.005	0.10	0.10	< 0.005	0.03	0.03	0.11	129
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	6.35
Vendor	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	6.40
Hauling	< 0.005	0.03	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.02	21.3

3.8. Building Construction (2024) - Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	ROG	NOx	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.42	9.54	0.12	—	0.12	0.11	—	0.11	—	2,406
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.42	9.54	0.12	—	0.12	0.11	—	0.11	—	2,406
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.24	5.47	0.07	—	0.07	0.07	—	0.07	—	1,379
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.04	1.00	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	228
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.03	0.02	0.00	0.27	0.27	0.00	0.07	0.07	0.31	71.2
Vendor	< 0.005	0.09	< 0.005	0.06	0.06	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	0.17	67.5
Hauling	< 0.005	0.29	< 0.005	0.18	0.18	< 0.005	0.05	0.05	0.46	224
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.27	0.27	0.00	0.07	0.07	0.01	66.1
Vendor	< 0.005	0.10	< 0.005	0.06	0.06	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	67.4
Hauling	< 0.005	0.30	< 0.005	0.18	0.18	< 0.005	0.05	0.05	0.01	224
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Worker	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.15	0.15	0.00	0.04	0.04	0.08	38.4
Vendor	< 0.005	0.06	< 0.005	0.03	0.03	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	0.04	38.7
Hauling	< 0.005	0.17	< 0.005	0.10	0.10	< 0.005	0.03	0.03	0.11	129
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	6.35
Vendor	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	6.40
Hauling	< 0.005	0.03	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.02	21.3

3.9. Building Construction (2025) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	ROG	NOx	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	1.13	10.4	0.43	—	0.43	0.40	—	0.40	—	2,406
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.07	0.61	0.03	—	0.03	0.02	—	0.02	—	141
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.01	0.11	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	23.4
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.27	0.27	0.00	0.07	0.07	0.01	64.9
Vendor	< 0.005	0.09	< 0.005	0.06	0.06	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	66.4
Hauling	< 0.005	0.29	< 0.005	0.18	0.18	< 0.005	0.05	0.05	0.01	220
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	3.85
Vendor	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	3.90
Hauling	< 0.005	0.02	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	12.9
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.64
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.65
Hauling	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	2.14

3.10. Building Construction (2025) - Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	ROG	NOx	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.42	9.53	0.12	—	0.12	0.11	—	0.11	—	2,406
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Off-Road Equipment	0.02	0.56	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	141
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	< 0.005	0.10	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	23.4
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.27	0.27	0.00	0.07	0.07	0.01	64.9
Vendor	< 0.005	0.09	< 0.005	0.06	0.06	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	66.4
Hauling	< 0.005	0.29	< 0.005	0.18	0.18	< 0.005	0.05	0.05	0.01	220
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	3.85
Vendor	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	3.90
Hauling	< 0.005	0.02	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	12.9
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.64
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.65
Hauling	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	2.14

3.11. Paving (2025) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	ROG	NOx	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.80	7.45	0.35	—	0.35	0.32	—	0.32	—	1,517
Paving	0.00	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.04	0.41	0.02	—	0.02	0.02	—	0.02	—	83.1
Paving	0.00	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.01	0.07	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	13.8
Paving	0.00	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.06	0.06	0.00	0.51	0.51	0.00	0.13	0.13	0.01	123
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.01	0.61	0.01	0.37	0.38	0.01	0.10	0.10	0.02	456
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	6.81
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	< 0.005	0.03	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	0.02	25.0

Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	1.13
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	4.14

3.12. Paving (2025) - Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	ROG	NOx	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.23	7.21	0.09	—	0.09	0.08	—	0.08	—	1,517
Paving	0.00	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.01	0.39	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	83.1
Paving	0.00	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	< 0.005	0.07	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	13.8
Paving	0.00	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.06	0.06	0.00	0.51	0.51	0.00	0.13	0.13	0.01	123
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.01	0.61	0.01	0.37	0.38	0.01	0.10	0.10	0.02	456
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	6.81
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	< 0.005	0.03	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	0.02	25.0
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	1.13
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	4.14

3.13. Architectural Coating (2025) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	ROG	NOx	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.13	0.88	0.03	—	0.03	0.03	—	0.03	—	134
Architectural Coatings	30.2	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.01	0.05	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	7.34
Architectural Coatings	1.66	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	1.22
Architectural Coatings	0.30	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.05	0.05	0.00	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	13.0
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.72
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.12
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.14. Architectural Coating (2025) - Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	ROG	NOx	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.02	1.07	0.03	—	0.03	0.03	—	0.03	—	134
Architectural Coatings	30.2	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	< 0.005	0.06	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	7.34
Architectural Coatings	1.66	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	1.22
Architectural Coatings	0.30	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.05	0.05	0.00	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	13.0

Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.72
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.12
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.15. Trenching (2024) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	ROG	NOx	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.22	2.05	0.08	—	0.08	0.08	—	0.08	—	434
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.01	0.11	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	23.8
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	< 0.005	0.02	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	3.93

Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.17	0.17	0.00	0.04	0.04	0.01	41.7
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	2.31
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.38
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.16. Trenching (2024) - Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	ROG	NOx	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.07	2.28	0.04	—	0.04	0.03	—	0.03	—	434
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	< 0.005	0.12	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	23.8
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	< 0.005	0.02	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	3.93
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.17	0.17	0.00	0.04	0.04	0.01	41.7
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	2.31
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.38
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

4. Operations Emissions Details

4.1. Mobile Emissions by Land Use

4.1.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	ROG	NOx	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Single Family Housing	1.89	1.82	0.03	1.37	1.40	0.03	0.35	0.38	12.6	3,180
Total	1.89	1.82	0.03	1.37	1.40	0.03	0.35	0.38	12.6	3,180
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Single Family Housing	1.81	2.11	0.03	1.37	1.40	0.03	0.35	0.38	0.33	3,023
Total	1.81	2.11	0.03	1.37	1.40	0.03	0.35	0.38	0.33	3,023
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Single Family Housing	0.32	0.36	0.01	0.24	0.24	< 0.005	0.06	0.07	0.88	493
Total	0.32	0.36	0.01	0.24	0.24	< 0.005	0.06	0.07	0.88	493

4.1.2. Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	ROG	NOx	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Single Family Housing	1.89	1.82	0.03	1.37	1.40	0.03	0.35	0.38	12.6	3,180
Total	1.89	1.82	0.03	1.37	1.40	0.03	0.35	0.38	12.6	3,180
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Single Family Housing	1.81	2.11	0.03	1.37	1.40	0.03	0.35	0.38	0.33	3,023

Total	1.81	2.11	0.03	1.37	1.40	0.03	0.35	0.38	0.33	3,023
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Single Family Housing	0.32	0.36	0.01	0.24	0.24	< 0.005	0.06	0.07	0.88	493
Total	0.32	0.36	0.01	0.24	0.24	< 0.005	0.06	0.07	0.88	493

4.2. Energy

4.2.1. Electricity Emissions By Land Use - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	ROG	NOx	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Single Family Housing	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	207
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	207
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Single Family Housing	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	207
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	207
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Single Family Housing	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	34.3
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	34.3

4.2.2. Electricity Emissions By Land Use - Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	ROG	NOx	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	R	CO2e

Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Single Family Housing	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	207
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	207
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Single Family Housing	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	207
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	207
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Single Family Housing	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	34.3
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	34.3

4.2.3. Natural Gas Emissions By Land Use - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	ROG	NOx	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Single Family Housing	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Single Family Housing	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Single Family Housing	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00

Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00

4.2.4. Natural Gas Emissions By Land Use - Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	ROG	NOx	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Single Family Housing	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Single Family Housing	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Single Family Housing	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00

4.3. Area Emissions by Source

4.3.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Source	ROG	NOx	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hearths	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00
Consumer Products	0.92	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Architectural Coatings	0.17	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	1.08	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hearths	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00
Consumer Products	0.92	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Architectural Coatings	0.17	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	1.08	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hearths	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00
Consumer Products	0.17	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Architectural Coatings	0.03	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	0.20	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00

4.3.2. Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Source	ROG	NOx	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hearths	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00
Consumer Products	0.92	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Architectural Coatings	0.17	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	1.08	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00

Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hearths	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00
Consumer Products	0.92	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Architectural Coatings	0.17	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	1.08	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hearths	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00
Consumer Products	0.17	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Architectural Coatings	0.03	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	0.20	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00

4.4. Water Emissions by Land Use

4.4.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	ROG	NOx	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Single Family Housing	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	14.2
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	14.2
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Single Family Housing	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	14.2
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	14.2

Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Single Family Housing	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	2.35
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	2.35

4.4.2. Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	ROG	NOx	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Single Family Housing	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	14.2
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	14.2
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Single Family Housing	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	14.2
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	14.2
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Single Family Housing	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	2.35
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	2.35

4.5. Waste Emissions by Land Use

4.5.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	ROG	NOx	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Single Family Housing	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	25.9
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	25.9
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Single Family Housing	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	25.9
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	25.9
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Single Family Housing	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	4.29
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	4.29

4.5.2. Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	ROG	NOx	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Single Family Housing	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	25.9
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	25.9
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Single Family Housing	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	25.9
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	25.9
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Single Family Housing	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	4.29
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	4.29

4.6. Refrigerant Emissions by Land Use

4.6.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	ROG	NOx	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Single Family Housing	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.31	0.31
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.31	0.31
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Single Family Housing	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.31	0.31
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.31	0.31
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Single Family Housing	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.05	0.05
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.05	0.05

4.6.2. Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	ROG	NOx	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Single Family Housing	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.31	0.31
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.31	0.31
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Single Family Housing	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.31	0.31
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.31	0.31
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Single Family Housing	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.05	0.05
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.05	0.05

4.7. Offroad Emissions By Equipment Type

4.7.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Equipment Type	ROG	NOx	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.7.2. Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Equipment Type	ROG	NOx	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.8. Stationary Emissions By Equipment Type

4.8.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Equipment Type	ROG	NOx	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.8.2. Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Equipment Type	ROG	NOx	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.9. User Defined Emissions By Equipment Type

4.9.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Equipment Type	ROG	NOx	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.9.2. Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Equipment Type	ROG	NOx	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.10. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type

4.10.1. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Vegetation	ROG	NOx	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.10.2. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	ROG	NOx	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.10.3. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Species	ROG	NOx	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avoided	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sequestered	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Removed	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avoided	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sequestered	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Removed	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avoided	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sequestered	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Removed	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.10.4. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type - Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Vegetation	ROG	NOx	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.10.5. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type - Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	ROG	NOx	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.10.6. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species - Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Species	ROG	NOx	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	R	CO2e

Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avoided	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sequestered	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Removed	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avoided	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sequestered	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Removed	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avoided	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sequestered	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Removed	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

5. Activity Data

5.1. Construction Schedule

Phase Name	Phase Type	Start Date	End Date	Days Per Week	Work Days per Phase	Phase Description
Demolition	Demolition	1/1/2024	1/29/2024	5.00	20.0	—
Site Preparation	Site Preparation	1/30/2024	2/13/2024	5.00	10.0	—
Grading	Grading	2/14/2024	3/13/2024	5.00	20.0	—
Building Construction	Building Construction	3/14/2024	1/30/2025	5.00	230	—
Paving	Paving	3/1/2025	3/29/2025	5.00	20.0	—
Architectural Coating	Architectural Coating	1/31/2025	2/27/2025	5.00	20.0	—
Trenching	Trenching	2/14/2024	3/12/2024	5.00	20.0	—

5.2. Off-Road Equipment

5.2.1. Unmitigated

Phase Name	Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Engine Tier	Number per Day	Hours Per Day	Horsepower	Load Factor
Demolition	Rubber Tired Dozers	Diesel	Average	2.00	8.00	367	0.40
Demolition	Excavators	Diesel	Average	3.00	8.00	36.0	0.38
Demolition	Concrete/Industrial Saws	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	33.0	0.73
Site Preparation	Rubber Tired Dozers	Diesel	Average	3.00	8.00	367	0.40
Site Preparation	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	Diesel	Average	4.00	8.00	84.0	0.37
Grading	Graders	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	148	0.41
Grading	Excavators	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	36.0	0.38
Grading	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	Diesel	Average	3.00	8.00	84.0	0.37
Grading	Rubber Tired Dozers	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	367	0.40

Building Construction	Forklifts	Diesel	Average	3.00	8.00	82.0	0.20
Building Construction	Generator Sets	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	14.0	0.74
Building Construction	Cranes	Diesel	Average	1.00	7.00	367	0.29
Building Construction	Welders	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	46.0	0.45
Building Construction	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	Diesel	Average	3.00	7.00	84.0	0.37
Paving	Pavers	Diesel	Average	2.00	8.00	81.0	0.42
Paving	Paving Equipment	Diesel	Average	2.00	8.00	89.0	0.36
Paving	Rollers	Diesel	Average	2.00	8.00	36.0	0.38
Architectural Coating	Air Compressors	Diesel	Average	1.00	6.00	37.0	0.48
Trenching	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	84.0	0.37
Trenching	Excavators	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	36.0	0.38

5.2.2. Mitigated

Phase Name	Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Engine Tier	Number per Day	Hours Per Day	Horsepower	Load Factor
Demolition	Rubber Tired Dozers	Diesel	Tier 4 Interim	2.00	8.00	367	0.40
Demolition	Excavators	Diesel	Tier 4 Interim	3.00	8.00	36.0	0.38
Demolition	Concrete/Industrial Saws	Diesel	Tier 4 Interim	1.00	8.00	33.0	0.73
Site Preparation	Rubber Tired Dozers	Diesel	Tier 4 Interim	3.00	8.00	367	0.40
Site Preparation	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	Diesel	Tier 4 Interim	4.00	8.00	84.0	0.37
Grading	Graders	Diesel	Tier 4 Interim	1.00	8.00	148	0.41
Grading	Excavators	Diesel	Tier 4 Interim	1.00	8.00	36.0	0.38
Grading	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	Diesel	Tier 4 Interim	3.00	8.00	84.0	0.37
Grading	Rubber Tired Dozers	Diesel	Tier 4 Interim	1.00	8.00	367	0.40
Building Construction	Forklifts	Diesel	Tier 4 Interim	3.00	8.00	82.0	0.20

Building Construction	Generator Sets	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	14.0	0.74
Building Construction	Cranes	Diesel	Tier 4 Interim	1.00	7.00	367	0.29
Building Construction	Welders	Diesel	Tier 4 Interim	1.00	8.00	46.0	0.45
Building Construction	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	Diesel	Tier 4 Interim	3.00	7.00	84.0	0.37
Paving	Pavers	Diesel	Tier 4 Interim	2.00	8.00	81.0	0.42
Paving	Paving Equipment	Diesel	Tier 4 Interim	2.00	8.00	89.0	0.36
Paving	Rollers	Diesel	Tier 4 Interim	2.00	8.00	36.0	0.38
Architectural Coating	Air Compressors	Diesel	Tier 4 Interim	1.00	6.00	37.0	0.48
Trenching	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	Diesel	Tier 4 Interim	1.00	8.00	84.0	0.37
Trenching	Excavators	Diesel	Tier 4 Interim	1.00	8.00	36.0	0.38

5.3. Construction Vehicles

5.3.1. Unmitigated

Phase Name	Trip Type	One-Way Trips per Day	Miles per Trip	Vehicle Mix
Demolition	—	—	—	—
Demolition	Worker	15.0	11.7	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Demolition	Vendor	—	8.40	HHDT,MHDT
Demolition	Hauling	1.00	20.0	HHDT
Demolition	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Site Preparation	—	—	—	—
Site Preparation	Worker	17.5	11.7	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Site Preparation	Vendor	—	8.40	HHDT,MHDT
Site Preparation	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Site Preparation	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Grading	—	—	—	—

Grading	Worker	15.0	11.7	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Grading	Vendor	—	8.40	HHDT,MHDT
Grading	Hauling	6.25	20.0	HHDT
Grading	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Building Construction	—	—	—	—
Building Construction	Worker	7.92	11.7	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Building Construction	Vendor	2.35	8.40	HHDT,MHDT
Building Construction	Hauling	2.90	20.0	HHDT
Building Construction	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Paving	—	—	—	—
Paving	Worker	15.0	11.7	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Paving	Vendor	—	8.40	HHDT,MHDT
Paving	Hauling	6.00	20.0	HHDT
Paving	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Architectural Coating	—	—	—	—
Architectural Coating	Worker	1.58	11.7	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Architectural Coating	Vendor	—	8.40	HHDT,MHDT
Architectural Coating	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Architectural Coating	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Trenching	—	—	—	—
Trenching	Worker	5.00	11.7	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Trenching	Vendor	—	8.40	HHDT,MHDT
Trenching	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Trenching	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT

5.3.2. Mitigated

Phase Name	Trip Type	One-Way Trips per Day	Miles per Trip	Vehicle Mix

Demolition	—	—	—	—
Demolition	Worker	15.0	11.7	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Demolition	Vendor	—	8.40	HHDT,MHDT
Demolition	Hauling	1.00	20.0	HHDT
Demolition	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Site Preparation	—	—	—	—
Site Preparation	Worker	17.5	11.7	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Site Preparation	Vendor	—	8.40	HHDT,MHDT
Site Preparation	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Site Preparation	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Grading	—	—	—	—
Grading	Worker	15.0	11.7	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Grading	Vendor	—	8.40	HHDT,MHDT
Grading	Hauling	6.25	20.0	HHDT
Grading	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Building Construction	—	—	—	—
Building Construction	Worker	7.92	11.7	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Building Construction	Vendor	2.35	8.40	HHDT,MHDT
Building Construction	Hauling	2.90	20.0	HHDT
Building Construction	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Paving	—	—	—	—
Paving	Worker	15.0	11.7	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Paving	Vendor	—	8.40	HHDT,MHDT
Paving	Hauling	6.00	20.0	HHDT
Paving	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Architectural Coating	—	—	—	—
Architectural Coating	Worker	1.58	11.7	LDA,LDT1,LDT2

Architectural Coating	Vendor	—	8.40	HHDT,MHDT
Architectural Coating	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Architectural Coating	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Trenching	—	—	—	—
Trenching	Worker	5.00	11.7	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Trenching	Vendor	—	8.40	HHDT,MHDT
Trenching	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Trenching	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT

5.4. Vehicles

5.4.1. Construction Vehicle Control Strategies

Non-applicable. No control strategies activated by user.

5.5. Architectural Coatings

Phase Name	Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Parking Area Coated (sq ft)
Architectural Coating	86,873	28,958	0.00	0.00	—

5.6. Dust Mitigation

5.6.1. Construction Earthmoving Activities

Phase Name	Material Imported (cy)	Material Exported (cy)	Acres Graded (acres)	Material Demolished (sq. ft.)	Acres Paved (acres)
Demolition	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	—
Site Preparation	—	—	15.0	0.00	—
Grading	500	500	20.0	0.00	—
Paving	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.24

5.6.2. Construction Earthmoving Control Strategies

Control Strategies Applied	Frequency (per day)	PM10 Reduction	PM2.5 Reduction
Water Exposed Area	2	61%	61%

5.7. Construction Paving

Land Use	Area Paved (acres)	% Asphalt
Single Family Housing	0.24	0%

5.8. Construction Electricity Consumption and Emissions Factors

kWh per Year and Emission Factor (lb/MWh)

Year	kWh per Year	CO2	CH4	N2O
2024	0.00	188	0.03	< 0.005
2025	0.00	188	0.03	< 0.005

5.9. Operational Mobile Sources

5.9.1. Unmitigated

Land Use Type	Trips/Weekday	Trips/Saturday	Trips/Sunday	Trips/Year	VMT/Weekday	VMT/Saturday	VMT/Sunday	VMT/Year
Single Family Housing	216	218	196	77,897	1,782	1,801	1,614	642,528

5.9.2. Mitigated

Land Use Type	Trips/Weekday	Trips/Saturday	Trips/Sunday	Trips/Year	VMT/Weekday	VMT/Saturday	VMT/Sunday	VMT/Year
Single Family Housing	216	218	196	77,897	1,782	1,801	1,614	642,528

5.10. Operational Area Sources

5.10.1. Hearths

5.10.1.1. Unmitigated

Hearth Type	Unmitigated (number)
Single Family Housing	—
Wood Fireplaces	0
Gas Fireplaces	0
Propane Fireplaces	0
Electric Fireplaces	0
No Fireplaces	18
Conventional Wood Stoves	0
Catalytic Wood Stoves	0
Non-Catalytic Wood Stoves	0
Pellet Wood Stoves	0

5.10.1.2. Mitigated

Hearth Type	Unmitigated (number)
Single Family Housing	—
Wood Fireplaces	0
Gas Fireplaces	0
Propane Fireplaces	0
Electric Fireplaces	0
No Fireplaces	18
Conventional Wood Stoves	0
Catalytic Wood Stoves	0

Non-Catalytic Wood Stoves	0
Pellet Wood Stoves	0

5.10.2. Architectural Coatings

Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Parking Area Coated (sq ft)
86872.5	28,958	0.00	0.00	—

5.10.3. Landscape Equipment

Season	Unit	Value
Snow Days	day/yr	0.00
Summer Days	day/yr	180

5.10.4. Landscape Equipment - Mitigated

Season	Unit	Value
Snow Days	day/yr	0.00
Summer Days	day/yr	180

5.11. Operational Energy Consumption

5.11.1. Unmitigated

Electricity (kWh/yr) and CO2 and CH4 and N2O and Natural Gas (kBTU/yr)

Land Use	Electricity (kWh/yr)	CO2	CH4	N2O	Natural Gas (kBTU/yr)
Single Family Housing	397,898	188	0.0330	0.0040	0.00

5.11.2. Mitigated

Electricity (kWh/yr) and CO2 and CH4 and N2O and Natural Gas (kBTU/yr)

Land Use	Electricity (kWh/yr)	CO2	CH4	N2O	Natural Gas (kBTU/yr)
Single Family Housing	397,898	188	0.0330	0.0040	0.00

5.12. Operational Water and Wastewater Consumption

5.12.1. Unmitigated

Land Use	Indoor Water (gal/year)	Outdoor Water (gal/year)
Single Family Housing	759,076	3,439,931

5.12.2. Mitigated

Land Use	Indoor Water (gal/year)	Outdoor Water (gal/year)
Single Family Housing	759,076	3,439,931

5.13. Operational Waste Generation

5.13.1. Unmitigated

Land Use	Waste (ton/year)	Cogeneration (kWh/year)
Single Family Housing	13.8	—

5.13.2. Mitigated

Land Use	Waste (ton/year)	Cogeneration (kWh/year)
Single Family Housing	13.8	—

5.14. Operational Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Equipment

5.14.1. Unmitigated

Land Use Type	Equipment Type	Refrigerant	GWP	Quantity (kg)	Operations Leak Rate	Service Leak Rate	Times Serviced
Single Family Housing	Average room A/C & Other residential A/C and heat pumps	R-410A	2,088	< 0.005	2.50	2.50	10.0
Single Family Housing	Household refrigerators and/or freezers	R-134a	1,430	0.12	0.60	0.00	1.00

5.14.2. Mitigated

Land Use Type	Equipment Type	Refrigerant	GWP	Quantity (kg)	Operations Leak Rate	Service Leak Rate	Times Serviced
Single Family Housing	Average room A/C & Other residential A/C and heat pumps	R-410A	2,088	< 0.005	2.50	2.50	10.0
Single Family Housing	Household refrigerators and/or freezers	R-134a	1,430	0.12	0.60	0.00	1.00

5.15. Operational Off-Road Equipment

5.15.1. Unmitigated

Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Engine Tier	Number per Day	Hours Per Day	Horsepower	Load Factor

5.15.2. Mitigated

Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Engine Tier	Number per Day	Hours Per Day	Horsepower	Load Factor

5.16. Stationary Sources

5.16.1. Emergency Generators and Fire Pumps

Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Number per Day	Hours per Day	Hours per Year	Horsepower	Load Factor

5.16.2. Process Boilers

Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Number	Boiler Rating (MMBtu/hr)	Daily Heat Input (MMBtu/day)	Annual Heat Input (MMBtu/yr)

5.17. User Defined

Equipment Type	Fuel Type
—	—

5.18. Vegetation

5.18.1. Land Use Change

5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

Vegetation Land Use Type	Vegetation Soil Type	Initial Acres	Final Acres

5.18.1.2. Mitigated

Vegetation Land Use Type	Vegetation Soil Type	Initial Acres	Final Acres

5.18.1. Biomass Cover Type

5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

Biomass Cover Type	Initial Acres	Final Acres

5.18.1.2. Mitigated

Biomass Cover Type	Initial Acres	Final Acres

5.18.2. Sequestration

5.18.2.1. Unmitigated

Tree Type	Number	Electricity Saved (kWh/year)	Natural Gas Saved (btu/year)

5.18.2.2. Mitigated

Tree Type	Number	Electricity Saved (kWh/year)	Natural Gas Saved (btu/year)

6. Climate Risk Detailed Report

6.1. Climate Risk Summary

Cal-Adapt midcentury 2040–2059 average projections for four hazards are reported below for your project location. These are under Representation Concentration Pathway (RCP) 8.5 which assumes GHG emissions will continue to rise strongly through 2050 and then plateau around 2100.

Climate Hazard	Result for Project Location	Unit
Temperature and Extreme Heat	18.9	annual days of extreme heat
Extreme Precipitation	17.1	annual days with precipitation above 20 mm
Sea Level Rise	0.00	meters of inundation depth
Wildfire	17.9	annual hectares burned

Temperature and Extreme Heat data are for grid cell in which your project are located. The projection is based on the 98th historical percentile of daily maximum/minimum temperatures from observed historical data (32 climate model ensemble from Cal-Adapt, 2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5). Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.
Extreme Precipitation data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The threshold of 20 mm is equivalent to about ¾ an inch of rain, which would be light to moderate rainfall if received over a full day or heavy rain if received over a period of 2 to 4 hours. Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.
Sea Level Rise data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The projections are from Radke et al. (2017), as reported in Cal-Adapt (Radke et al., 2017, CEC-500-2017-008), and consider inundation location and depth for the San Francisco Bay, the Sacramento-San Joaquin River Delta and California coast resulting different increments of sea level rise coupled with extreme storm events. Users may select from four scenarios to view the range in potential inundation depth for the grid cell. The four scenarios are: No rise, 0.5 meter, 1.0 meter, 1.41 meters
Wildfire data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The projections are from UC Davis, as reported in Cal-Adapt (2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5), and consider historical data of climate, vegetation, population density, and large (> 400 ha) fire history. Users may select from four model simulations to view the range in potential wildfire probabilities for the grid cell. The four simulations make different assumptions about expected rainfall and temperature are: Warmer/drier (HadGEM2-ES), Cooler/wetter (CNRM-CM5), Average conditions (CanESM2), Range of different rainfall and temperature possibilities (MIROC5). Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

6.2. Initial Climate Risk Scores

Climate Hazard	Exposure Score	Sensitivity Score	Adaptive Capacity Score	Vulnerability Score
Temperature and Extreme Heat	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Extreme Precipitation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sea Level Rise	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Wildfire	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Flooding	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Drought	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Snowpack Reduction	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air Quality Degradation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

The sensitivity score reflects the extent to which a project would be adversely affected by exposure to a climate hazard. Exposure is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest exposure.
The adaptive capacity of a project refers to its ability to manage and reduce vulnerabilities from projected climate hazards. Adaptive capacity is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest ability to adapt.
The overall vulnerability scores are calculated based on the potential impacts and adaptive capacity assessments for each hazard. Scores do not include implementation of climate risk reduction measures.

6.3. Adjusted Climate Risk Scores

Climate Hazard	Exposure Score	Sensitivity Score	Adaptive Capacity Score	Vulnerability Score
Temperature and Extreme Heat	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Extreme Precipitation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sea Level Rise	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Wildfire	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Flooding	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Drought	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Snowpack Reduction	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air Quality Degradation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

The sensitivity score reflects the extent to which a project would be adversely affected by exposure to a climate hazard. Exposure is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest exposure.
The adaptive capacity of a project refers to its ability to manage and reduce vulnerabilities from projected climate hazards. Adaptive capacity is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest ability to adapt.
The overall vulnerability scores are calculated based on the potential impacts and adaptive capacity assessments for each hazard. Scores include implementation of climate risk reduction measures.

6.4. Climate Risk Reduction Measures

7. Health and Equity Details

7.1. CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Scores

The maximum CalEnviroScreen score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects a higher pollution burden compared to other census tracts in the state.

Indicator	Result for Project Census Tract
Exposure Indicators	—
AQ-Ozone	13.6
AQ-PM	7.24
AQ-DPM	34.5
Drinking Water	83.2
Lead Risk Housing	56.1
Pesticides	60.1
Toxic Releases	0.43
Traffic	10.2
Effect Indicators	—
CleanUp Sites	0.00
Groundwater	0.00
Haz Waste Facilities/Generators	51.7
Impaired Water Bodies	23.9
Solid Waste	0.00
Sensitive Population	—
Asthma	36.1
Cardio-vascular	43.3
Low Birth Weights	42.2
Socioeconomic Factor Indicators	—

Education	52.9
Housing	24.5
Linguistic	54.2
Poverty	48.4
Unemployment	—

7.2. Healthy Places Index Scores

The maximum Health Places Index score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects healthier community conditions compared to other census tracts in the state.

Indicator	Result for Project Census Tract
Economic	—
Above Poverty	40.20274605
Employed	88.14320544
Median HI	39.3301681
Education	—
Bachelor's or higher	54.03567304
High school enrollment	100
Preschool enrollment	81.02142949
Transportation	—
Auto Access	43.87270627
Active commuting	82.40728859
Social	—
2-parent households	53.2144232
Voting	88.93879122
Neighborhood	—
Alcohol availability	23.71358912
Park access	59.81008597
Retail density	40.72885923

Supermarket access	55.89631721
Tree canopy	89.43924034
Housing	—
Homeownership	51.98254844
Housing habitability	33.8380598
Low-inc homeowner severe housing cost burden	38.32926986
Low-inc renter severe housing cost burden	28.02515078
Uncrowded housing	37.99563711
Health Outcomes	—
Insured adults	25.56140126
Arthritis	0.0
Asthma ER Admissions	68.8
High Blood Pressure	0.0
Cancer (excluding skin)	0.0
Asthma	0.0
Coronary Heart Disease	0.0
Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease	0.0
Diagnosed Diabetes	0.0
Life Expectancy at Birth	69.4
Cognitively Disabled	44.8
Physically Disabled	13.7
Heart Attack ER Admissions	70.1
Mental Health Not Good	0.0
Chronic Kidney Disease	0.0
Obesity	0.0
Pedestrian Injuries	19.6
Physical Health Not Good	0.0

Stroke	0.0
Health Risk Behaviors	—
Binge Drinking	0.0
Current Smoker	0.0
No Leisure Time for Physical Activity	0.0
Climate Change Exposures	—
Wildfire Risk	4.3
SLR Inundation Area	0.0
Children	64.0
Elderly	10.4
English Speaking	18.9
Foreign-born	69.9
Outdoor Workers	3.4
Climate Change Adaptive Capacity	—
Impervious Surface Cover	87.9
Traffic Density	17.2
Traffic Access	23.0
Other Indices	—
Hardship	55.1
Other Decision Support	—
2016 Voting	85.8

7.3. Overall Health & Equity Scores

Metric	Result for Project Census Tract
CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Score for Project Location (a)	25.0
Healthy Places Index Score for Project Location (b)	66.0
Project Located in a Designated Disadvantaged Community (Senate Bill 535)	No

Project Located in a Low-Income Community (Assembly Bill 1550)	Yes
Project Located in a Community Air Protection Program Community (Assembly Bill 617)	No

a: The maximum CalEnviroScreen score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects a higher pollution burden compared to other census tracts in the state.
b: The maximum Health Places Index score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects healthier community conditions compared to other census tracts in the state.

7.4. Health & Equity Measures

No Health & Equity Measures selected.

7.5. Evaluation Scorecard

Health & Equity Evaluation Scorecard not completed.

7.6. Health & Equity Custom Measures

No Health & Equity Custom Measures created.

8. User Changes to Default Data

Screen	Justification
Characteristics: Utility Information	MCE is default clean energy provider for City of Calistoga.
Construction: Construction Phases	Defaults
Construction: Off-Road Equipment	Defaults
Construction: Trips and VMT	Demo = assume 1 trip/day. Building construction = Est. 336 total concrete truck round trips (2.9 trips/day), Paving = Est 60 total asphalt truck round trips (6 trips/day).
Operations: Hearths	No hearths,
Operations: Water and Waste Water	Wastewater treatment 100% aerobic - no septic tanks or lagoons.
Operations: Vehicle Data	Traffic provided trip gen.
Construction: On-Road Fugitive Dust	silt loading = 0.5g/m2. BAAQMD basic BMPs = 15mph unpaved travel speed
Operations: Energy Use	Per email from Krystle Rizzi on 01/31/24, project will be all-electric.

2.2. Construction Emissions by Year, Unmitigated - HRA

Year	ROG	NOx	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	R	CO_2e
Daily - Summer (Max)										
2024	1.24	11.3	0.5	0.04	0.54	0.46	0.01	0.47	0.07	2440
Daily - Winter (Max)										
2024	3.71	36	1.6	7.72	9.32	1.47	3.95	5.42	< 0.005	5330
2025	30.3	10.5	0.43	0.06	0.47	0.4	0.02	0.41	< 0.005	2439
Average Daily										
2024	1.08	9.96	0.44	0.39	0.83	0.4	0.19	0.59	0.02	1923
2025	1.78	1.08	0.05	0.01	0.05	0.04	< 0.005	0.04	< 0.005	236
Annual										
2024	0.2	1.82	0.08	0.07	0.15	0.07	0.03	0.11	< 0.005	318
2025	0.32	0.2	0.01	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	39.1

2.3. Construction Emissions by Year, Mitigated - HRA

Year	ROG	NOx	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	R	CO_2e
Daily - Summer (Max)										
2024	0.55	10.4	0.15	0.04	0.19	0.14	0.01	0.15	0.07	2544
Daily - Winter (Max)										
2024	0.71	14.8	0.2	7.72	7.82	0.19	3.95	4.05	< 0.005	5330
2025	30.2	10.4	0.15	0.06	0.19	0.14	0.02	0.15	< 0.005	2543
Average Daily										
2024	0.39	7.74	0.11	0.39	0.5	0.1	0.19	0.29	0.02	1983
2025	1.7	1.07	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	< 0.005	0.02	< 0.005	242
Annual										
2024	0.07	1.41	0.02	0.07	0.09	0.02	0.03	0.05	< 0.005	328
2025	0.31	0.2	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	40.1

5.3. Construction Vehicles - HRA

5.3.1. Unmitigated

Phase Nam	Trip Type	One-Way T	Miles per T	Vehicle Mix
Demolition				
Demolition	Worker	15	1	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Demolition	Vendor		1	HHDT,MHDT
Demolition	Hauling	1	1	HHDT
Demolition	Onsite truck			HHDT
Site Preparation				
Site Prepar	Worker	17.5	1	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Site Prepar	Vendor		1	HHDT,MHDT
Site Prepar	Hauling	0	1	HHDT
Site Prepar	Onsite truck			HHDT
Grading				
Grading	Worker	15	1	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Grading	Vendor		1	HHDT,MHDT
Grading	Hauling	6.25	1	HHDT
Grading	Onsite truck			HHDT
Building Construction				
Building Co	Worker	7.92	1	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Building Co	Vendor	2.35	1	HHDT,MHDT
Building Co	Hauling	2.9	1	HHDT
Building Co	Onsite truck			HHDT
Paving				

Paving	Worker	15	1	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Paving	Vendor		1	HHDT,MHDT
Paving	Hauling	6	1	HHDT
Paving	Onsite truck			HHDT
Architectural Coating				
Architectur	Worker	1.58	1	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Architectur	Vendor		1	HHDT,MHDT
Architectur	Hauling	0	1	HHDT
Architectur	Onsite truck			HHDT
Trenching				
Trenching	Worker	5	1	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Trenching	Vendor		1	HHDT,MHDT
Trenching	Hauling	0	1	HHDT
Trenching	Onsite truck			HHDT

5.3.2. Mitigated - HRA

Phase Nam	Trip Type	One-Way T	Miles per T	Vehicle Mix
Demolition				
Demolition	Worker	15	1	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Demolition	Vendor		1	HHDT,MHDT
Demolition	Hauling	1	1	HHDT
Demolition	Onsite truck			HHDT
Site Preparation				
Site Prepar	Worker	17.5	1	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Site Prepar	Vendor		1	HHDT,MHDT
Site Prepar	Hauling	0	1	HHDT
Site Prepar	Onsite truck			HHDT
Grading				
Grading	Worker	15	1	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Grading	Vendor		1	HHDT,MHDT
Grading	Hauling	6.25	1	HHDT
Grading	Onsite truck			HHDT
Building Construction				
Building Co	Worker	7.92	1	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Building Co	Vendor	2.35	1	HHDT,MHDT
Building Co	Hauling	2.9	1	HHDT
Building Co	Onsite truck			HHDT
Paving				
Paving	Worker	15	1	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Paving	Vendor		1	HHDT,MHDT
Paving	Hauling	6	1	HHDT
Paving	Onsite truck			HHDT
Architectural Coating				
Architectur	Worker	1.58	1	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Architectur	Vendor		1	HHDT,MHDT
Architectur	Hauling	0	1	HHDT
Architectur	Onsite truck			HHDT
Trenching				
Trenching	Worker	5	1	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Trenching	Vendor		1	HHDT,MHDT
Trenching	Hauling	0	1	HHDT
Trenching	Onsite truck			HHDT

Attachment 2: Project Construction Emissions and Health Risk Calculations

Kortum Ranch, Calistoga, CA
- Construction Health Impact Summary

Maximum Impacts at MEI Residential Location - Without Mitigation

Emissions Year	Maximum Concentrations		Cancer Risk (per million)		Hazard Index (-)	Maximum Annual PM2.5 Concentration ($\mu g/m^3$)
	Exhaust PM10/DPM ($\mu g/m^3$)	Fugitive PM2.5 ($\mu g/m^3$)	Infant/Child	Adult		
2024-2025*	0.0763	0.0486	**13.56**	0.16	0.02	0.11

* Includes 2025 (three months of construction)

Maximum Impacts at MEI Residential Location - With Mitigation

Emissions Year	Maximum Concentrations		Cancer Risk (per million)		Hazard Index (-)	Maximum Annual PM2.5 Concentration ($\mu g/m^3$)
	Exhaust PM10/DPM ($\mu g/m^3$)	Fugitive PM2.5 ($\mu g/m^3$)	Infant/Child	Adult		
2024-2025*	0.0202	0.0269	3.59	0.04	0.004	0.06

- Tier 4 Interim Engines Mitigation

* Includes 2025 (three months of construction)

Maximum Impacts at Hearts & Hands Preschool - Without Mitigation

Construction Year	Unmitigated Emissions				
	Maximum Concentrations		Child Cancer Risk (per million)	Hazard Index (-)	Maximum Annual PM2.5 Concentration ($\mu g/m^3$)
	Exhaust PM10/DPM ($\mu g/m^3$)	Fugitive PM2.5 ($\mu g/m^3$)			
2024-2025*	0.0038	0.0060	0.24	0.001	0.01

* Includes 2025 (three months of construction)

Kortum Ranch, Calistoga, CA

DPM Emissions and Modeling Emission Rates - Unmitigated

Construction Year	Activity	DPM (ton/year)	Area Source	DPM Emissions (lb/yr)	DPM Emissions (lb/hr)	DPM Emissions (g/s)	Modeled Area (m^2)	DPM Emission Rate ($g/s/m^2$)
*2024-2025**	Construction	0.0894	CON_DPM	178.9	0.05444	6.86E-03	75681	9.06E-08

* Includes 2025 (three months of construction)

Construction Hours

hr/day =	9	(8am - 5pm)
days/yr =	365	
hours/year =	3285	

DPM Construction Emissions and Modeling Emission Rates - With Mitigation

Construction Year	Activity	DPM (ton/year)	Area Source	DPM Emissions (lb/yr)	DPM Emissions (lb/hr)	DPM Emissions (g/s)	Modeled Area (m^2)	DPM Emission Rate ($g/s/m^2$)
*2024-2025**	Construction	0.0237	CON_DPM	47.5	0.01444	1.82E-03	75681	2.40E-08

* Includes 2025 (three months of construction)

Construction Hours

hr/day =	9	(8am - 5pm)
days/yr =	365	
hours/year =	3285	

Kortum Ranch, Calistoga, CA

PM2.5 Fugitive Dust Emissions for Modeling - Unmitigated

Construction Year	Activity	Area Source	PM2.5 Emissions (ton/year)	PM2.5 Emissions (lb/yr)	PM2.5 Emissions (lb/hr)	PM2.5 Emissions (g/s)	Modeled Area (m^2)	PM2.5 Emission Rate $g/s/m^2$
*2024-2025**	Construction	CON_FUG	0.0356	71.2	0.02167	2.73E-03	75,681	3.61E-08

* Includes 2025 (three months of construction)

Construction Hours

hr/day =	9	(8am - 5pm)
days/yr =	365	
hours/year =	3285	

PM2.5 Fugitive Dust Construction Emissions for Modeling - With Mitigation

Construction Year	Activity	Area Source	PM2.5 Emissions (ton/year)	PM2.5 Emissions (lb/yr)	PM2.5 Emissions (lb/hr)	PM2.5 Emissions (g/s)	Modeled Area (m^2)	PM2.5 Emission Rate $g/s/m^2$
*2024-2025**	Construction	CON_FUG	0.0356	71.2	0.02167	2.73E-03	75,681	3.61E-08

* Includes 2025 (three months of construction)

Construction Hours

hr/day =	9	(8am - 5pm)
days/yr =	365	
hours/year =	3285	

Kortum Ranch, Calistoga, CA - Construction Impacts - Without Mitigation
Maximum DPM Cancer Risk and PM2.5 Calculations From Construction Impacts at Off-Site MEI Location - 1.5 meter receptor height (1st Floor Level)

Cancer Risk (per million) = CPF x Inhalation Dose x ASF x ED/AT x FAH x 1.0E6

Where: CPF = Cancer potency factor $(mg/kg\text{-}day)^{-1}$
ASF = Age sensitivity factor for specified age group
ED = Exposure duration (years)
AT = Averaging time for lifetime cancer risk (years)
FAH = Fraction of time spent at home (unitless)

Inhalation Dose = C_{air} x DBR x A x (EF/365) x 10^{-6}

Where: C_{air} = concentration in air ($\mu g/m^3$)
DBR = daily breathing rate (L/kg body weight-day)
A = Inhalation absorption factor
EF = Exposure frequency (days/year)
10^{-6} = Conversion factor

Values

	Infant/Child			Adult
Age -->	**3rd Trimester**	**0 - 2**	**2 - 16**	**16 - 30**
Parameter				
ASF =	10	10	3	1
CPF =	1.10E+00	1.10E+00	1.10E+00	1.10E+00
DBR* =	361	1090	572	261
A =	1	1	1	1
EF =	350	350	350	350
AT =	70	70	70	70
FAH =	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.73

* 95th percentile breathing rates for infants and 80th percentile for children and adults

Construction Cancer Risk by Year - Maximum Impact Receptor Location

			Infant/Child - Exposure Information			Infant/Child	Adult - Exposure Information			Adult
							Modeled			
			DPM Conc (ug/m3)		Age	Cancer	DPM Conc (ug/m3)		Age	Cancer
Exposure Year	**Exposure Duration (years)**	**Age**	**Year**	**Annual**	**Sensitivity Factor**	**Risk (per million)**	**Year**	**Annual**	**Sensitivity Factor**	**Risk (per million)**
0	0.25	-0.25 - 0*	2024-2025**	0.0571	10	0.78	2024-2025**	0.0571	-	-
1	1	0 - 1	2024-2025**	0.0571	10	9.38	2024-2025**	0.0571	1	0.16
2	1	1 - 2		0.0000	10	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00
3	1	2 - 3		0.0000	3	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00
4	1	3 - 4		0.0000	3	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00
5	1	4 - 5		0.0000	3	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00
6	1	5 - 6		0.0000	3	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00
7	1	6 - 7		0.0000	3	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00
8	1	7 - 8		0.0000	3	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00
9	1	8 - 9		0.0000	3	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00
10	1	9 - 10		0.0000	3	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00
11	1	10 - 11		0.0000	3	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00
12	1	11 - 12		0.0000	3	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00
13	1	12 - 13		0.0000	3	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00
14	1	13 - 14		0.0000	3	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00
15	1	14 - 15		0.0000	3	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00
16	1	15 - 16		0.0000	3	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00
17	1	16-17		0.0000	1	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00
18	1	17-18		0.0000	1	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00
19	1	18-19		0.0000	1	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00
20	1	19-20		0.0000	1	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00
21	1	20-21		0.0000	1	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00
22	1	21-22		0.0000	1	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00
23	1	22-23		0.0000	1	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00
24	1	23-24		0.0000	1	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00
25	1	24-25		0.0000	1	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00
26	1	25-26		0.0000	1	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00
27	1	26-27		0.0000	1	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00
28	1	27-28		0.0000	1	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00
29	1	28-29		0.0000	1	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00
30	1	29-30		0.0000	1	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00
Total Increased Cancer Risk						**10.15**				**0.16**

Maximum		
Hazard Index	**Fugitive PM2.5**	**Total PM2.5**
0.01	0.05	0.11

* Third trimester of pregnancy
** Includes 2025 (three months of construction)

Kortum Ranch, Calistoga, CA - Construction Impacts - Without Mitigation
Maximum DPM Cancer Risk and PM2.5 Calculations From Construction
Impacts at Off-Site MEI Location - 4.5 meter receptor height (2nd Floor Level)

Cancer Risk (per million) = CPF x Inhalation Dose x ASF x ED/AT x FAH x 1.0E6

Where: CPF = Cancer potency factor $(mg/kg\text{-}day)^{-1}$
ASF = Age sensitivity factor for specified age group
ED = Exposure duration (years)
AT = Averaging time for lifetime cancer risk (years)
FAH = Fraction of time spent at home (unitless)

Inhalation Dose = C_{air} x DBR x A x (EF/365) x 10^{-6}

Where: C_{air} = concentration in air ($\mu g/m^3$)
DBR = daily breathing rate (L/kg body weight-day)
A = Inhalation absorption factor
EF = Exposure frequency (days/year)
10^{-6} = Conversion factor

Values

	Infant/Child			Adult
Age -->	**3rd Trimester**	**0 - 2**	**2 - 16**	**16 - 30**
Parameter				
ASF =	10	10	3	1
CPF =	1.10E+00	1.10E+00	1.10E+00	1.10E+00
DBR* =	361	1090	572	261
A =	1	1	1	1
EF =	350	350	350	350
AT =	70	70	70	70
FAH =	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.73

* 95th percentile breathing rates for infants and 80th percentile for children and adults

Construction Cancer Risk by Year - Maximum Impact Receptor Location

			Infant/Child - Exposure Information			Infant/Child	Adult - Exposure Information			Adult
							Modeled			
			DPM Conc (ug/m3)		Age	Cancer	DPM Conc (ug/m3)		Age	Cancer
Exposure	Exposure Duration		Year	Annual	Sensitivity	Risk	Year	Annual	Sensitivity	Risk
Year	(years)	Age			Factor	(per million)			Factor	(per million)
0	0.25	-0.25 - 0*	2024-2025**	0.0763	10	1.04	2024-2025**	0.0763	-	-
1	1	0 - 1	2024-2025**	0.0763	10	12.52	2024-2025**	0.0763	1	0.22
2	1	1 - 2		0.0000	10	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00
3	1	2 - 3		0.0000	3	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00
4	1	3 - 4		0.0000	3	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00
5	1	4 - 5		0.0000	3	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00
6	1	5 - 6		0.0000	3	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00
7	1	6 - 7		0.0000	3	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00
8	1	7 - 8		0.0000	3	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00
9	1	8 - 9		0.0000	3	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00
10	1	9 - 10		0.0000	3	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00
11	1	10 - 11		0.0000	3	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00
12	1	11 - 12		0.0000	3	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00
13	1	12 - 13		0.0000	3	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00
14	1	13 - 14		0.0000	3	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00
15	1	14 - 15		0.0000	3	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00
16	1	15 - 16		0.0000	3	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00
17	1	16-17		0.0000	1	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00
18	1	17-18		0.0000	1	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00
19	1	18-19		0.0000	1	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00
20	1	19-20		0.0000	1	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00
21	1	20-21		0.0000	1	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00
22	1	21-22		0.0000	1	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00
23	1	22-23		0.0000	1	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00
24	1	23-24		0.0000	1	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00
25	1	24-25		0.0000	1	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00
26	1	25-26		0.0000	1	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00
27	1	26-27		0.0000	1	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00
28	1	27-28		0.0000	1	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00
29	1	28-29		0.0000	1	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00
30	1	29-30		0.0000	1	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00
Total Increased Cancer Risk						**13.56**				**0.22**

Maximum		
Hazard Index	**Fugitive PM2.5**	**Total PM2.5**
0.02	0.03	0.10

* Third trimester of pregnancy
** Includes 2025 (three months of construction)

Kortum Ranch, Calistoga, CA - Construction Impacts - With Mitigation
Maximum DPM Cancer Risk and PM2.5 Calculations From Construction
Impacts at Off-Site MEI Location - 1.5 meter receptor height (1st Floor Level)

Cancer Risk (per million) = CPF x Inhalation Dose x ASF x ED/AT x FAH x 1.0E6

Where: CPF = Cancer potency factor $(mg/kg\text{-}day)^{-1}$
ASF = Age sensitivity factor for specified age group
ED = Exposure duration (years)
AT = Averaging time for lifetime cancer risk (years)
FAH = Fraction of time spent at home (unitless)

Inhalation Dose = C_{air} x DBR x A x (EF/365) x 10^{-6}

Where: C_{air} = concentration in air ($\mu g/m^3$)
DBR = daily breathing rate (L/kg body weight-day)
A = Inhalation absorption factor
EF = Exposure frequency (days/year)
10^{-6} = Conversion factor

Values

	Infant/Child			Adult
Age -->	**3rd Trimester**	**0 - 2**	**2 - 16**	**16 - 30**
Parameter				
ASF =	10	10	3	1
CPF =	1.10E+00	1.10E+00	1.10E+00	1.10E+00
DBR* =	361	1090	572	261
A =	1	1	1	1
EF =	350	350	350	350
AT =	70	70	70	70
FAH =	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.73

* 95th percentile breathing rates for infants and 80th percentile for children and adults

Construction Cancer Risk by Year - Maximum Impact Receptor Location

			Infant/Child - Exposure Information			Infant/Child	Adult - Exposure Information			Adult			
							Modeled					Maximum	
			DPM Conc (ug/m3)		Age	Cancer	DPM Conc (ug/m3)		Age	Cancer	Hazard	Fugitive	Total
Exposure Year	Exposure Duration (years)	Age	Year	Annual	Sensitivity Factor	Risk (per million)	Year	Annual	Sensitivity Factor	Risk (per million)	Index	PM2.5	PM2.5
0	0.25	-0.25 - 0*	2024-2025**	0.0151	10	0.21	2024-2025**	0.0151	-	-			
1	1	0 - 1	2024-2025**	0.0151	10	2.48	2024-2025**	0.0151	1	0.04	0.00	0.05	0.06
2	1	1 - 2		0.0000	10	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00			
3	1	2 - 3		0.0000	3	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00			
4	1	3 - 4		0.0000	3	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00			
5	1	4 - 5		0.0000	3	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00			
6	1	5 - 6		0.0000	3	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00			
7	1	6 - 7		0.0000	3	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00			
8	1	7 - 8		0.0000	3	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00			
9	1	8 - 9		0.0000	3	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00			
10	1	9 - 10		0.0000	3	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00			
11	1	10 - 11		0.0000	3	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00			
12	1	11 - 12		0.0000	3	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00			
13	1	12 - 13		0.0000	3	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00			
14	1	13 - 14		0.0000	3	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00			
15	1	14 - 15		0.0000	3	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00			
16	1	15 - 16		0.0000	3	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00			
17	1	16-17		0.0000	1	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00			
18	1	17-18		0.0000	1	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00			
19	1	18-19		0.0000	1	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00			
20	1	19-20		0.0000	1	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00			
21	1	20-21		0.0000	1	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00			
22	1	21-22		0.0000	1	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00			
23	1	22-23		0.0000	1	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00			
24	1	23-24		0.0000	1	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00			
25	1	24-25		0.0000	1	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00			
26	1	25-26		0.0000	1	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00			
27	1	26-27		0.0000	1	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00			
28	1	27-28		0.0000	1	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00			
29	1	28-29		0.0000	1	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00			
30	1	29-30		0.0000	1	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00			
Total Increased Cancer Risk						**2.69**				**0.04**			

* Third trimester of pregnancy
** Includes 2025 (three months of construction)

Kortum Ranch, Calistoga, CA - Construction Impacts - With Mitigation
Maximum DPM Cancer Risk and PM2.5 Calculations From Construction
Impacts at Off-Site MEI Location - 4.5 meter receptor height (1st Floor Level)

Cancer Risk (per million) = CPF x Inhalation Dose x ASF x ED/AT x FAH x 1.0E6

Where: CPF = Cancer potency factor $(mg/kg\text{-}day)^{-1}$
ASF = Age sensitivity factor for specified age group
ED = Exposure duration (years)
AT = Averaging time for lifetime cancer risk (years)
FAH = Fraction of time spent at home (unitless)

Inhalation Dose = C_{air} x DBR x A x (EF/365) x 10^{-6}

Where: C_{air} = concentration in air ($\mu g/m^3$)
DBR = daily breathing rate (L/kg body weight-day)
A = Inhalation absorption factor
EF = Exposure frequency (days/year)
10^{-6} = Conversion factor

Values

	Infant/Child			Adult
Age -->	**3rd Trimester**	**0 - 2**	**2 - 16**	**16 - 30**
Parameter				
ASF =	10	10	3	1
CPF =	1.10E+00	1.10E+00	1.10E+00	1.10E+00
DBR* =	361	1090	572	261
A =	1	1	1	1
EF =	350	350	350	350
AT =	70	70	70	70
FAH =	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.73

* 95th percentile breathing rates for infants and 80th percentile for children and adults

Construction Cancer Risk by Year - Maximum Impact Receptor Location

			Infant/Child - Exposure Information			Infant/Child	Adult - Exposure Information			Adult			
							Modeled					Maximum	
			DPM Conc (ug/m3)		Age	Cancer	DPM Conc (ug/m3)		Age	Cancer	Hazard	Fugitive	Total
Exposure Year	Exposure Duration (years)	Age	Year	Annual	Sensitivity Factor	Risk (per million)	Year	Annual	Sensitivity Factor	Risk (per million)	Index	PM2.5	PM2.5
0	0.25	-0.25 - 0*	2024-2025**	0.0202	10	0.27	2024-2025**	0.0202	-	-			
1	1	0 - 1	2024-2025**	0.0202	10	3.32	2024-2025**	0.0202	1	0.06	0.00	0.03	0.05
2	1	1 - 2		0.0000	10	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00			
3	1	2 - 3		0.0000	3	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00			
4	1	3 - 4		0.0000	3	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00			
5	1	4 - 5		0.0000	3	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00			
6	1	5 - 6		0.0000	3	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00			
7	1	6 - 7		0.0000	3	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00			
8	1	7 - 8		0.0000	3	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00			
9	1	8 - 9		0.0000	3	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00			
10	1	9 - 10		0.0000	3	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00			
11	1	10 - 11		0.0000	3	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00			
12	1	11 - 12		0.0000	3	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00			
13	1	12 - 13		0.0000	3	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00			
14	1	13 - 14		0.0000	3	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00			
15	1	14 - 15		0.0000	3	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00			
16	1	15 - 16		0.0000	3	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00			
17	1	16-17		0.0000	1	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00			
18	1	17-18		0.0000	1	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00			
19	1	18-19		0.0000	1	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00			
20	1	19-20		0.0000	1	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00			
21	1	20-21		0.0000	1	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00			
22	1	21-22		0.0000	1	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00			
23	1	22-23		0.0000	1	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00			
24	1	23-24		0.0000	1	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00			
25	1	24-25		0.0000	1	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00			
26	1	25-26		0.0000	1	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00			
27	1	26-27		0.0000	1	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00			
28	1	27-28		0.0000	1	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00			
29	1	28-29		0.0000	1	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00			
30	1	29-30		0.0000	1	0.00		0.0000	1	0.00			
Total Increased Cancer Risk						**3.59**				**0.06**			

* Third trimester of pregnancy
** Includes 2025 (three months of construction)

Kortum Ranch, Calistoga, CA - Construction Impacts - Without Mitigation
Maximum DPM Cancer Risk and PM2.5 Calculations From Construction Impacts at Hearts & Hands Preschool (+3 years) - 1 meter - Child Exposure

Student Cancer Risk (per million) = CPF x Inhalation Dose x ASF x ED/AT x 1.0E6

Where: CPF = Cancer potency factor $(mg/kg\text{-}day)^{-1}$
ASF = Age sensitivity factor for specified age group
ED = Exposure duration (years)
AT = Averaging time for lifetime cancer risk (years)

Inhalation Dose = C_{air} x SAF x 8-Hr BR x A x (EF/365) x 10^{-6}

Where: C_{air} = concentration in air ($\mu g/m^3$)
SCAF = School Child Adjustment Factor (unitless) for source operation and exposures different than 8 hours/day
= (24/SHR) x (7days/SDay) x (SCHR/8 hrs)
SHR = Hours/day of emission source operation
SDay = Number of days per week of source operation
SCHR = School operation hours while emission source in operation
8-Hr BR = Eight-hour breathing rate (L/kg body weight-per 8 hrs)
A = Inhalation absorption factor
EF = Exposure frequency (days/year)
10^{-6} = Conversion factor

Values

	Infant	School Child
Age -->	**0 - <2**	**2 - <16**
Parameter		
ASF =	10	3
DPM CPF =	1.10E+00	1.10E+00
8-Hr BR* =	1200	520
SCHR =	9	9
SHR =	10	10
SDay =	5	5
A =	1	1
EF =	250	250
AT =	70	70
SAF =	3.78	3.78

* 95th percentile 8-hr breathing rates for moderate intensity activities

Construction Cancer Risk by Year - Maximum Impact Receptor Location

Exposure Year	Exposure Duration (years)	Age	Child - Exposure Information: DPM Conc (ug/m3) Year	DPM Conc (ug/m3) Annual	Age* Sensitivity Factor	Child Cancer Risk (per million)
1	1	3 - 4	2024-2025**	0.0038	3	0.24
Total Increased Cancer Risk						**0.24**

Maximum Hazard Index	Maximum Fugitive PM2.5	Maximum Total PM2.5
0.001	0.01	0.01

* Children assumed to be 3 years of age and older with 1 year of Construction Exposure
** Includes 2025 (three months of construction)

Attachment 3: Health Risk Modeling Information and Calculations

BAAQMD Raster Data

1. Cancer Risk at MEI
2. PM2.5 at MEI
3. HI at MEI
4. Onsite Cancer Risk
5. Onsite PM2.5
6. Onsite HI


1.734632

0.043842

0.005165

2.302588

0.044046

0.005794

Bay Area Air Quality Management District

Risk & Hazard Stationary Source Inquiry Form

This form is required when users request stationary source data from BAAQMD

This form is to be used with the BAAQMD's Google Earth stationary source screening tables.

Click here for guidance on coducting risk & hazard screening, including roadways & freeways, refer to the District's Risk & Hazard Analysis flow chart.

Click here for District's Recommended Methods for Screening and Modeling Local Risks and Hazards document.

Table A: Requester Contact Information

Date of Request	**4/20/2023**
Contact Name	Jordyn Bauer
Affiliation	Illingworth & Rodkin, Inc.
Phone	707-794-0400 x103
Email	jbauer@illingworthrodkin.com
Project Name	Kortum Ranch
Address	500 Kortum Canyon Rod
City	Calistoga
County	Napa
Type (residential, commercial, mixed use, industrial, etc.)	Residential
Project Size (# of units or building square feet)	21du

Comments:

For Air District assistance, the following steps must be completed:

1. Complete all the contact and project information requested in **Table A** incomplete forms will not be processed. Please include a project site map.

2. Download and install the free program Google Earth, **http://www.google.com/earth/download/ge/,** and then download the county specific Google Earth stationary source application files from the District's website, **http://www.baaqmd.gov/Divisions/Planning-and-Research/CEQA-GUIDELINES/Tools-and-Methodology.aspx.** The small points on the map represent stationary sources permitted by the District (Map A on right). These permitted sources include diesel back-up generators, gas stations, dry cleaners, boilers, printers, auto spray booths, etc. Click on a point to view the source's Information Table, including the name, location, and preliminary estimated cancer risk, hazard index, and PM2.5 concentration.

3. Find the project site in Google Earth by inputting the site's address in the Google Earth search box.

4. Identify stationary sources within at least a 1000ft radius of project site. Verify that the location of the source on the map matches with the source's address in the Information Table, by using the Google Earth address search box to confirm the source's address location. Please report any mapping errors to the District.

5. List the stationary source information in **Table B** lue section only.

6. Note that a small percentage of the stationary sources have Health Risk Screening Assessment (HRSA) data INSTEAD of screening level data. These sources will be noted by an asterisk next to the Plant Name (Map B on right). If HRSA values are presented, these values have already been modeled and cannot be adjusted further.

7. Email this completed form to District staff. District staff will provide the most recent risk, hazard, and PM2.5 data that are available for the source(s). If this information or data are not available, source emissions data will be provided. Staff will respond to inquiries within three weeks.

Note that a public records request received for the same stationary source information will cancel the processing of your SSIF request.

Submit forms, maps, and questions to Matthew Hanson at 415-749-8733, or mhanson@baaqmd.gov

Table B: Google Earth data

Distance from Receptor (feet) or MEI[1]	Plant No.	Facility Name	Address	Cancer Risk[2]	Hazard Risk[2]	$PM_{2.5}$[2]	Source No.[3]	Type of Source[4]	Fuel Code[5]	Status/Comments
1000+	13455	Pacific Bell	1310 Lincoln Avenue	9.133	0.014	0.012		Generator		2021 Dataset
1000+	110850	Fast & Easy Mart #56	1108 Lincoln Ave	13.695	0.059	0		Gas Dispensing Facility		2021 Dataset
1000+	112220	Calistoga 76	1202 Foothill Blvd	15.623	0.068	0		Gas Dispensing Facility		2021 Dataset
1000+	201937	Rivers Marie Winery	900 FOOTHILL BOULEVARI	5.796	0.002	0.008		Generator		2021 Dataset

Project MEI

Distance Adjustment Multiplier	Adjusted Cancer Risk Estimate	Adjusted Hazard Risk	Adjusted PM2.5
0.04	0.37	0.00056	0.0005
0.02	0.21	0.00089	0.0000
0.02	0.23	0.00102	0.0000
0.04	0.23	0.00008	0.0003

Project Site

Distance from Receptor (feet) or MEI[1]	FACID (Plant No.)	Distance Adjustment Multiplier	Adjusted Cancer Risk Estimate	Adjusted Hazard Risk	Adjusted PM2.5
1000+	13455	0.04	0.37	0.0006	0.0005
320	110850	0.10	1.31	0.0057	0.0000
290	112220	0.11	1.78	0.0078	0.0000
940	201937	0.040	0.23	0.0001	0.0003

Footnotes:

1. Maximally exposed individual

2. These Cancer Risk, Hazard Index, and PM2.5 columns represent the values in the Google Earth Plant Information Table.

3. Each plant may have multiple permits and sources.
4. Permitted sources include diesel back-up generators, gas stations, dry cleaners, boilers, printers, auto spray booths, etc.
5. Fuel codes: 98 = diesel, 189 = Natural Gas.
6. If a Health Risk Screening Assessment (HRSA) was completed for the source, the application number will be listed here.

8. Engineer who completed the HRSA. For District purposes only.
9. All HRSA completed before 1/5/2010 need to be multiplied by an age sensitivity factor of 1.7.
10. The HRSA "Chronic Health" number represents the Hazard Index.
11. Further information about common sources:
    a. Sources that only include diesel internal combustion engines can be adjusted using the BAAQMD's Diesel Multiplier worksheet.
    b. The risk from natural gas boilers used for space heating when <25 MM BTU/hr would have an estimated cancer risk of one in a million or less, and a chronic hazard index of 0.003 or
    c. BAAQMD Reg 11 Rule 16 required that all co-residential (sharing a wall, floor, ceiling or is in the same building as a residential unit) dry cleaners cease use of perc on July 1, 2010. Therefore, there is no cancer risk, hazard or PM2.5 concentrations from co-residential dry cleaning businesses in the BAAQMD.
    d. Non co-residential dry cleaners must phase out use of perc by Jan. 1, 2023. Therefore, the risk from these dry cleaners does not need to be factored in over a 70-year period, but instead should reflect
    e. Gas stations can be adjusted using BAAQMD's Gas Station Distance Mulitplier worksheet.
    f. Unless otherwise noted, exempt sources are considered insignificant. See BAAQMD Reg 2 Rule 1 for a list of exempt sources.
    g. This spray booth is considered to be insignificant.

Date last updated:
03/13/2018

Screening Report

Area of Interest (AOI) Information

Area : 10,166,609.63 ft²

Apr 20 2023 13:41:06 Pacific Daylight Time



Permitted Stationary Sources

1:18,056

0 0.1 0.2 0.4 mi

0 0.17 0.35 0.7 km

Map data © OpenStreetMap contributors, Microsoft, Facebook, Inc. and its affiliates, Esri Community Maps contributors, Map layer by Esri

Summary

Name	Count	Area(ft²)	Length(ft)
Permitted Stationary Sources	4	N/A	N/A

Permitted Stationary Sources

#	Facility_I	Facility_N	Address	City	State
1	13455	Pacific Bell	1310 Lincoln Avenue	Calistoga	CA
2	110850	Fast & Easy Mart #56	1108 Lincoln Ave	Calistoga	CA
3	112220	Calistoga 76	1202 Foothill Blvd	Calistoga	CA
4	201937	Rivers Marie Winery	900 FOOTHILL BOULEVARD	Calistoga	CA

#	Zip	County	Latitude	Longitude	Details
1	94515	Napa	38.577150	-122.579917	Generator
2	94515	Napa	38.575391	-122.580270	Gas Dispensing Facility
3	94515	Napa	38.575428	-122.580639	Gas Dispensing Facility
4	94515	Napa	38.574770	-122.577420	Generator

#	NAICS	NAICS_Sect	NAICS_Subs	NAICS_Indu	Cancer_Ris
1	517110	Information	Telecommunications	Wired Telecommunications Carriers	9.133000
2	447110	Retail Trade	Gasoline Stations	Gasoline Stations with Convenience Stores	13.695000
3	447110	Retail Trade	Gasoline Stations	Gasoline Stations with Convenience Stores	15.623000
4	111332	Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing and Hunting	Crop Production	Grape Vineyards	5.796000

#	Chronic_Ha	PM25	Count
1	0.014000	0.012000	1
2	0.059000	0.000000	1
3	0.068000	0.000000	1
4	0.002000	0.008000	1

NOTE: A larger buffer than 1000 feet may be warranted depending on proximity to significant sources.