CAP CONSISTENCY CHECKLIST SUBMITTAL APPLICATION

- The Checklist is required only for projects subject to CEQA review.[2]
- If required, the Checklist must be included in the project submittal package. Application submittal procedures can be found in Chapter 11: Land Development Procedures of the City's Municipal Code.
- The requirements in the Checklist will be included in the project's conditions of approval.
- The applicant must provide an explanation of how the proposed project will implement the requirements described herein to the satisfaction of the Planning Department.

Application Information

Contact Information

Project No./Name: 676666 / CROWN POINT CDP

Property Address: 3622 CROWN POINT DRIVE

Applicant Name/Co.: AMIR TAJ / AMIR TAJ DESIGNS

Contact Phone: 310-497-1839 Contact Email: AMIRTAJ@YAHOO.COM

Was a consultant retained to complete this checklist? ☒ Yes ☐ No If Yes, complete the following

Consultant Name: ED SIEFKEN Contact Phone: 619-778-9750

Company Name: SIEFKEN & ASSOCIATES Contact Email: ESIEFKEN@SAN.RR.COM

Project Information

1. What is the size of the project (acres)? _____
2. Identify all applicable proposed land uses:
   - ☒ Residential (indicate # of single-family units): ONE SINGLE DWELLING UNIT
   - ☐ Residential (indicate # of multi-family units): _____
   - ☐ Commercial (total square footage): _____
   - ☐ Industrial (total square footage): _____
   - ☐ Other (describe): _____
3. Is the project or a portion of the project located in a Transit Priority Area? ☐ Yes ☒ No
4. Provide a brief description of the project proposed:

BUILD A TWO STORY RESIDENCE OVER A BASEMENT GARAGE WITH ROOF DECK AND BALCONIES

[2] Certain projects seeking ministerial approval may be required to complete the Checklist. For example, projects in a Community Plan Implementation Overlay Zone may be required to use the Checklist to qualify for ministerial level review. See Supplemental Development Regulations in the project's community plan to determine applicability.

CAP CONSISTENCY CHECKLIST QUESTIONS

Step 1: Land Use Consistency

The first step in determining CAP consistency for discretionary development projects is to assess the project's consistency with the growth projections used in the development of the CAP. This section allows the City to determine a project's consistency with the land use assumptions used in the CAP.

Step 1: Land Use Consistency		
Checklist Item (Check the appropriate box and provide explanation and supporting documentation for your answer)	Yes	No
A. Is the proposed project consistent with the existing General Plan and Community Plan land use and zoning designations?;[3] OR,		
B. If the proposed project is not consistent with the existing land use plan and zoning designations, and includes a land use plan and/or zoning designation amendment, would the proposed amendment result in an increased density within a Transit Priority Area (TPA)[4] and implement CAP Strategy 3 actions, as determined in Step 3 to the satisfaction of the Development Services Department?; OR,	☒	☐
C. If the proposed project is not consistent with the existing land use plan and zoning designations, does the project include a land use plan and/or zoning designation amendment that would result in an equivalent or less GHG-intensive project when compared to the existing designations?		

If "***Yes***," proceed to Step 2 of the Checklist. For question B above, complete Step 3. For question C above, provide estimated project emissions under both existing and proposed designation(s) for comparison. Compare the maximum buildout of the existing designation and the maximum buildout of the proposed designation.

If "***No***," in accordance with the City's Significance Determination Thresholds, the project's GHG impact is significant. The project must nonetheless incorporate each of the measures identified in Step 2 to mitigate cumulative GHG emissions impacts unless the decision maker finds that a measure is infeasible in accordance with CEQA Guidelines Section 15091. Proceed and complete Step 2 of the Checklist.

THE PROPOSED PROJECT CONSISTS OF A RESIDENTIAL SINGLE DWELLING UNIT WHICH IS CONSISTANT WITH THE EXISTING ZONING DESIGNATION OF RS-1-7, COMMUNITY PLAN LAND USE DESIGNATION OF LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL, AND GENERAL PLAN LAND USE DESILNATION OF RESIDENTIAL.

[3] This question may also be answered in the affirmative if the project is consistent with SANDAG Series 12 growth projections, which were used to determine the CAP projections, as determined by the Planning Department.

[4] This category applies to all projects that answered in the affirmative to question 3 on the previous page: Is the project or a portion of the project located in a transit priority area.

Step 2: CAP Strategies Consistency

The second step of the CAP consistency review is to review and evaluate a project's consistency with the applicable strategies and actions of the CAP. Step 2 only applies to development projects that involve permits that would require a certificate of occupancy from the Building Official or projects comprised of one and two family dwellings or townhouses as defined in the California Residential Code and their accessory structures.[5] All other development projects that would not require a certificate of occupancy from the Building Official shall implement Best Management Practices for construction activities as set forth in the Greenbook (for public projects).

Step 2: CAP Strategies Consistency			
Checklist Item (Check the appropriate box and provide explanation for your answer)	Yes	No	N/A
Strategy 1: Energy & Water Efficient Buildings			
1. *Cool/Green Roofs.* Would the project include roofing materials with a minimum 3-year aged solar reflection and thermal emittance or solar reflection index equal to or greater than the values specified in the voluntary measures under California Green Building Standards Code (Attachment A)?; OR • Would the project roof construction have a thermal mass over the roof membrane, including areas of vegetated (green) roofs, weighing at least 25 pounds per square foot as specified in the voluntary measures under California Green Building Standards Code?; OR • Would the project include a combination of the above two options? Check "N/A" only if the project does not include a roof component. THIS PROJECT INCLUDES A COOL ROOF	☒	☐	☐

[5] Actions that are not subject to Step 2 would include, for example: 1) discretionary map actions that do not propose specific development, 2) permits allowing wireless communication facilities, 3) special events permits, 4) use permits or other permits that do not result in the expansion or enlargement of a building (e.g., decks, garages, etc.), and 5) non-building infrastructure projects such as roads and pipelines. Because such actions would not result in new occupancy buildings from which GHG emissions reductions could be achieved, the items contained in Step 2 would not be applicable.

2. *Plumbing fixtures and fittings* With respect to plumbing fixtures or fittings provided as part of the project, would those low-flow fixtures/appliances be consistent with each of the following: Residential buildings: • Kitchen faucets: maximum flow rate not to exceed 1.5 gallons per minute at 60 psi; • Standard dishwashers: 4.25 gallons per cycle; • Compact dishwashers: 3.5 gallons per cycle; and • Clothes washers: water factor of 6 gallons per cubic feet of drum capacity? Nonresidential buildings: • Plumbing fixtures and fittings that do not exceed the maximum flow rate specified in Table A5.303.2.3.1 (voluntary measures) of the California Green Building Standards Code (See Attachment A); and • Appliances and fixtures for commercial applications that meet the provisions of Section A5.303.3 (voluntary measures) of the California Green Building Standards Code (See Attachment A)? Check "N/A" only if the project does not include any plumbing fixtures or fittings. PLUMBING FIXTURES WILL MEET THE LOW-FLOW FIXTURE / APPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS LISTED FOR RESIDENTIAL BUILDINGS	☒	☐	☐

Strategy 3: Bicycling, Walking, Transit & Land Use			
3. *Electric Vehicle Charging* • Multiple-family projects of 17 dwelling units or less: Would 3% of the total parking spaces required, or a minimum of one space, whichever is greater, be provided with a listed cabinet, box or enclosure connected to a conduit linking the parking spaces with the electrical service, in a manner approved by the building and safety official, to allow for the future installation of electric vehicle supply equipment to provide electric vehicle charging stations at such time as it is needed for use by residents? • Multiple-family projects of more than 17 dwelling units: Of the total required listed cabinets, boxes or enclosures, would 50% have the necessary electric vehicle supply equipment installed to provide active electric vehicle charging stations ready for use by residents? • Non-residential projects: Of the total required listed cabinets, boxes or enclosures, would 50% have the necessary electric vehicle supply equipment installed to provide active electric vehicle charging stations ready for use? Check "N/A" only if the project is a single-family project or would not require the provision of listed cabinets, boxes, or enclosures connected to a conduit linking the parking spaces with electrical service, e.g., projects requiring fewer than 10 parking spaces. N/A, THIS PROJECT ONLY PROPOSES ONE UNIT	☐	☐	☒
Strategy 3: Bicycling, Walking, Transit & Land Use (Complete this section if project includes non-residential or mixed uses)			
4. *Bicycle Parking Spaces* Would the project provide more short- and long-term bicycle parking spaces than required in the City's Municipal Code (Chapter 14, Article 2, Division 5)?[6] Check "N/A" only if the project is a residential project. N/A PROJECT ONLY PROPOSES ONE UNIT	☐	☐	☒

[6] Non-portable bicycle corrals within 600 feet of project frontage can be counted towards the project's bicycle parking requirements.

5. *Shower facilities*

If the project includes nonresidential development that would accommodate over 10 tenant occupants (employees), would the project include changing/shower facilities in accordance with the voluntary measures under the California Green Building Standards Code as shown in the table below?

Number of Tenant Occupants (Employees)	Shower/Changing Facilities Required	Two-Tier (12" X 15" X 72") Personal Effects Lockers Required
0-10	0	0
11-50	1 shower stall	2
51-100	1 shower stall	3
101-200	1 shower stall	4
Over 200	1 shower stall plus 1 additional shower stall for each 200 additional tenant-occupants	1 two-tier locker plus 1 two-tier locker for each 50 additional tenant-occupants

Check "N/A" only if the project is a residential project, or if it does not include nonresidential development that would accommodate over 10 tenant occupants (employees).

N/A, THIS PROJECT ONLY PROPOSES ONE UNIT

☐	☐	☒

6. *Designated Parking Spaces*

If the project includes a nonresidential use in a TPA, would the project provide designated parking for a combination of low-emitting, fuel-efficient, and carpool/vanpool vehicles in accordance with the following table?

Number of Required Parking Spaces	Number of Designated Parking Spaces
0-9	0
10-25	2
26-50	4
51-75	6
76-100	9
101-150	11
151-200	18
201 and over	At least 10% of total

This measure does not cover electric vehicles. See Question 4 for electric vehicle parking requirements.

Note: Vehicles bearing Clean Air Vehicle stickers from expired HOV lane programs may be considered eligible for designated parking spaces. The required designated parking spaces are to be provided within the overall minimum parking requirement, not in addition to it.

Check "N/A" only if the project is a residential project, or if it does not include nonresidential use in a TPA.

N/A, THIS PROJECT PROPOSES ONLY ONE UNIT

☐	☐	☒

7. *Transportation Demand Management Program* If the project would accommodate over 50 tenant-occupants (employees), would it include a transportation demand management program that would be applicable to existing tenants and future tenants that includes: At least one of the following components: • Parking cash out program • Parking management plan that includes charging employees market-rate for single-occupancy vehicle parking and providing reserved, discounted, or free spaces for registered carpools or vanpools • Unbundled parking whereby parking spaces would be leased or sold separately from the rental or purchase fees for the development for the life of the development And at least three of the following components: • Commitment to maintaining an employer network in the SANDAG iCommute program and promoting its RideMatcher service to tenants/employees • On-site carsharing vehicle(s) or bikesharing • Flexible or alternative work hours • Telework program • Transit, carpool, and vanpool subsidies • Pre-tax deduction for transit or vanpool fares and bicycle commute costs • Access to services that reduce the need to drive, such as cafes, commercial stores, banks, post offices, restaurants, gyms, or childcare, either onsite or within 1,320 feet (1/4 mile) of the structure/use? Check "N/A" only if the project is a residential project or if it would not accommodate over 50 tenant-occupants (employees). N/A, THIS PROJECT PROPOSES ONLY ONE UNIT	☐	☐	☒

Step 3: Project CAP Conformance Evaluation (if applicable)

N/A, THIS PROJECT NOT LOCATED IN A TPA

The third step of the CAP consistency review only applies if Step 1 is answered in the affirmative under option B. The purpose of this step is to determine whether a project that is located in a TPA but that includes a land use plan and/or zoning designation amendment is nevertheless consistent with the assumptions in the CAP because it would implement CAP Strategy 3 actions. In general, a project that would result in a reduction in density inside a TPA would not be consistent with Strategy 3.The following questions must each be answered in the affirmative and fully explained.

1. **Would the proposed project implement the General Plan's City of Villages strategy in an identified Transit Priority Area (TPA) that will result in an increase in the capacity for transit-supportive residential and/or employment densities?**

   Considerations for this question:
   - Does the proposed land use and zoning designation associated with the project provide capacity for transit-supportive residential densities within the TPA?
   - Is the project site suitable to accommodate mixed-use village development, as defined in the General Plan, within the TPA?
   - Does the land use and zoning associated with the project increase the capacity for transit-supportive employment intensities within the TPA?

2. **Would the proposed project implement the General Plan's Mobility Element in Transit Priority Areas to increase the use of transit?**

   Considerations for this question:
   - Does the proposed project support/incorporate identified transit routes and stops/stations?
   - Does the project include transit priority measures?

3. **Would the proposed project implement pedestrian improvements in Transit Priority Areas to increase walking opportunities?**

   Considerations for this question:
   - Does the proposed project circulation system provide multiple and direct pedestrian connections and accessibility to local activity centers (such as transit stations, schools, shopping centers, and libraries)?
   - Does the proposed project urban design include features for walkability to promote a transit supportive environment?

4. **Would the proposed project implement the City of San Diego's Bicycle Master Plan to increase bicycling opportunities?**

   Considerations for this question:
   - Does the proposed project circulation system include bicycle improvements consistent with the Bicycle Master Plan?
   - Does the overall project circulation system provide a balanced, multimodal, "complete streets" approach to accommodate mobility needs of all users?

5. **Would the proposed project incorporate implementation mechanisms that support Transit Oriented Development?**

   Considerations for this question:
   - Does the proposed project include new or expanded urban public spaces such as plazas, pocket parks, or urban greens in the TPA?
   - Does the land use and zoning associated with the proposed project increase the potential for jobs within the TPA?
   - Do the zoning/implementing regulations associated with the proposed project support the efficient use of parking through mechanisms such as: shared parking, parking districts, unbundled parking, reduced parking, paid or time-limited parking, etc.?

6. **Would the proposed project implement the Urban Forest Management Plan to increase urban tree canopy coverage?**

   Considerations for this question:
   - Does the proposed project provide at least three different species for the primary, secondary and accent trees in order to accommodate varying parkway widths?
   - Does the proposed project include policies or strategies for preserving existing trees?
   - Does the proposed project incorporate tree planting that will contribute to the City's 20% urban canopy tree coverage goal?

CLIMATE ACTION PLAN CONSISTENCY CHECKLIST
ATTACHMENT A

This attachment provides performance standards for applicable Climate Action Pan (CAP) Consistency Checklist measures.

Table 1 Roof Design Values for Question 1: Cool/Green Roofs supporting Strategy 1: Energy & Water Efficient Buildings of the Climate Action Plan

Land Use Type	Roof Slope	Minimum 3-Year Aged Solar Reflectance	Thermal Emittance	Solar Reflective Index
Low-Rise Residential	≤ 2:12	0.55	0.75	64
	> 2:12	0.20	0.75	16
High-Rise Residential Buildings, Hotels and Motels	≤ 2:12	0.55	0.75	64
	> 2:12	0.20	0.75	16
Non-Residential	≤ 2:12	0.55	0.75	64
	> 2:12	0.20	0.75	16

Source: Adapted from the California Green Building Standards Code (CALGreen) Tier 1 residential and non-residential voluntary measures shown in Tables A4.106.5.1 and A5.106.11.2.2, respectively. Roof installation and verification shall occur in accordance with the CALGreen Code.

CALGreen does not include recommended values for low-rise residential buildings with roof slopes of ≤ 2:12 for San Diego's climate zones (7 and 10). Therefore, the values for climate zone 15 that covers Imperial County are adapted here.

Solar Reflectance Index (SRI) equal to or greater than the values specified in this table may be used as an alternative to compliance with the aged solar reflectance values and thermal emittance.

Table 2	Fixture Flow Rates for Non-Residential Buildings related to Question 2: Plumbing Fixtures and Fittings supporting Strategy 1: Energy & Water Efficient Buildings of the Climate Action Plan
Fixture Type	**Maximum Flow Rate**
Showerheads	1.8 gpm @ 80 psi
Lavatory Faucets	0.35 gpm @60 psi
Kitchen Faucets	1.6 gpm @ 60 psi
Wash Fountains	1.6 [rim space(in.)/20 gpm @ 60 psi]
Metering Faucets	0.18 gallons/cycle
Metering Faucets for Wash Fountains	0.18 [rim space(in.)/20 gpm @ 60 psi]
Gravity Tank-type Water Closets	1.12 gallons/flush
Flushometer Tank Water Closets	1.12 gallons/flush
Flushometer Valve Water Closets	1.12 gallons/flush
Electromechanical Hydraulic Water Closets	1.12 gallons/flush
Urinals	0.5 gallons/flush

Source: Adapted from the California Green Building Standards Code (CALGreen) Tier 1 non-residential voluntary measures shown in Tables A5.303.2.3.1 and A5.106.11.2.2, respectively. See the California Plumbing Code for definitions of each fixture type.

Where complying faucets are unavailable, aerators rated at 0.35 gpm or other means may be used to achieve reduction.

Acronyms:
gpm = gallons per minute
psi = pounds per square inch (unit of pressure)
in. = inch

Table 3	Standards for Appliances and Fixtures for Commercial Application related to Question 2: Plumbing Fixtures and Fittings supporting Strategy 1: Energy & Water Efficient Buildings of the Climate Action Plan	
Appliance/Fixture Type	**Standard**	
Clothes Washers	Maximum Water Factor (WF) that will reduce the use of water by 10 percent below the California Energy Commissions' WF standards for commercial clothes washers located in Title 20 of the *California Code of Regulations.*	
Conveyor-type Dishwashers	0.70 maximum gallons per rack (2.6 L) (High-Temperature)	0.62 maximum gallons per rack (4.4 L) (Chemical)
Door-type Dishwashers	0.95 maximum gallons per rack (3.6 L) (High-Temperature)	1.16 maximum gallons per rack (2.6 L) (Chemical)
Undercounter-type Dishwashers	0.90 maximum gallons per rack (3.4 L) (High-Temperature)	0.98 maximum gallons per rack (3.7 L) (Chemical)
Combination Ovens	Consume no more than 10 gallons per hour (38 L/h) in the full operational mode.	
Commercial Pre-rinse Spray Valves (manufactured on or after January 1, 2006)	Function at equal to or less than 1.6 gallons per minute (0.10 L/s) at 60 psi (414 kPa) and • Be capable of cleaning 60 plates in an average time of not more than 30 seconds per plate. • Be equipped with an integral automatic shutoff. • Operate at static pressure of at least 30 psi (207 kPa) when designed for a flow rate of 1.3 gallons per minute (0.08 L/s) or less.	

Source: Adapted from the California Green Building Standards Code (CALGreen) Tier 1 non-residential voluntary measures shown in Section A5.303.3. See the California Plumbing Code for definitions of each appliance/fixture type.

Acronyms:
L = liter
L/h = liters per hour
L/s = liters per second
psi = pounds per square inch (unit of pressure)
kPa = kilopascal (unit of pressure)