Scenic Wonders Development

Air Quality & Greenhouse Gas Impact Assessment
August 2021

Prepared for:
QK
601 E Pollasky Ave, Suite 301
Clovis, CA 93612

Prepared by:
VRPA Technologies, Inc.
4630 W. Jennifer, Suite 105
Fresno, CA 93722
Project Manager: Jason Ellard

Scenic Wonders Development
Air Quality & Greenhouse Gas Impact Assessment

Study Team

- ✓ Georgiena Vivian, President, VRPA Technologies, Inc., gvivian@vrpatechnologies.com, (559) 259-9257
- ✓ Jason Ellard, Transportation Engineer, VRPA Technologies, Inc., jellard@vrpatechnologies.com, (559) 271-1200

Table of Contents

Section	Description	Page
1.0	Introduction	1
1.1	Description of the Region/Project	1
1.2	Regulatory	4
1.2.1	Federal Agencies	4
1.2.2	Federal Regulations	4
1.2.3	State Agencies	5
1.2.4	State Regulations	10
1.2.5	Regional Agencies	13
1.2.6	Regional Regulations	13
1.2.7	Local Plans	14
2.0	Environmental Setting	15
2.1	Topographic Conditions	15
2.2	Climate Conditions	15
2.3	Anthropogenic (Man-made) Sources	16
2.4	Mariposa County Monitoring	17
2.5	Air Quality Standards	19
2.5.1	Ozone (1-hour and 8-hour)	19
2.5.2	Suspended PM (PM10 and PM2.5)	21
2.5.3	Carbon Monoxide (CO)	22
2.5.4	Nitrogen Dioxide (NO2)	23
2.5.5	Sulfur Dioxide (SO2)	24
2.5.6	Lead (Pb)	25
2.5.7	Toxic Air Contaminants (TAC)	25
2.5.8	Odors	26
2.5.9	Naturally Occurring Asbestos (NOA)	29
2.5.10	Greenhouse Gas Emissions	29
3.0	Air Quality Impacts	30
3.1	Methodology	30
3.1.1	CalEEMod	30
3.2	Short-Term Impacts	31
3.3	Long Term Emissions	32
3.3.1	Localized Operational Emissions Ozone/Particulate Matter	32
3.3.2	Localized Operational Emissions	33

4.0 Impact Determinations and Recommended Mitigation 37
4.1 Air Quality 37
4.1.1 Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan 37
4.1.2 Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard 38
4.1.3 Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations 38
4.1.4 Result in other emissions such as those leading to odors adversely affecting a substantial number of people 39
4.2 Greenhouse Gas Emissions 40
4.2.1 Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment 40
4.2.2 Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases 40

Appendices

Appendix A – CalEEMod Worksheets

List of Tables

1 Ambient Air Quality Standards 8
2 Maximum Pollutant Levels at Yosemite National Park's Turtleback Dome Monitoring Station 17
3 Mariposa County Attainment Status 18
4 Recommendations on Siting New Sensitive Land Uses Such As Residences, Schools, Daycare Centers, Playgrounds, or Medical Facilities 27
5 Screening Levels for Potential Odor Sources 28
6 Air Quality Thresholds of Significance 30
7 Project Construction Emissions (tons/year) 32
8 Project Operational Emissions (tons/year) 32
9 Project Operational Greenhouse Gas Emissions 36

List of Figures

1	Regional Location	2
2	Project Location	3
3	Mountain Counties Air Basin	7

1.0 Introduction

1.1 Description of the Region/Project

This Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Impact Assessment has been prepared for the purpose of identifying potential project-specific or site-specific air quality impacts related to the Scenic Wonders Project (Project). The proposed Project site is located on a 6.2-acre parcel (APN 006-150-003) at 7548 Henness Circle, Yosemite CA 95389, which is currently vacant and adjacent to the existing Yosemite West Condominiums also used as vacation rentals. The surrounding area consists of rural foothill land with rental homes located to the west and south along existing paved rural roadways. The site is located to the south of the fork of Henness Ridge Road and Henness Circle, at the entrance of the Yosemite West community. Figure 1 shows the site's regional context. Figure 2 shows the Project location respective of the surrounding roadway network.

The first phase of development of the site includes the immediate construction of a primary and auxiliary residence used for long term rent. A detached garage structure for storage is included in Phase 1 development. The Auxiliary residence, its septic and water systems are currently under construction. Phased water and septic systems for the Auxiliary and Primary Residences along with the Detached Garage (the proposed commercial laundry is in Phase 2) are to be developed during Phase 1. It will include completion of the existing on-site water well with storage tanks and engineered septic system with secondary treatment for the two residences.

The Phase 2 development proposes 16 additional, economy apartments which will be utilized for staff employed by the applicant. These additional units would include 12 one-bedroom units and four (4) two-bedroom units. Approximately 46 people will reside at the project with phase 2 completion. The density of the project will be approximately 3 dwelling units per gross acre following completion of Phase 2. In comparison, Yosemite West Unit 1 is up to 8 dwelling units per acre (1/4 acre lots with two (2) units each). The project is adjacent to the Yosemite West Condominiums that has a density of approximately 34 units per gross acre. This project will be the lowest density property in the Yosemite West community.

Mariposa County is located in the Mountain Counties Air Basin (MCAB). Mariposa took its name from Mariposa Creek, which was so named by Spanish explorers when they discovered a great cluster of butterflies ("mariposas" in Spanish) in the foothills of the Sierras. Mariposa County was one of the original counties, encompassing roughly one fifth of the state of California when it entered into statehood in 1850. As the land filled with settlers, boundaries were redrawn until twelve present day counties emerged from the vast area: Fresno, Inyo, Kern, Kings, Los Angeles, Madera, Merced, Mono, San Benito, San Bernardino, San Luis Obispo, and Tulare. Thus, Mariposa County is known as the "Mother of Counties". Today, Mariposa County's legacy from these origins includes an incredible range of landscapes and a heritage of historic sites and towns.

Scenic Wonders Development
Regional Location

Figure 1



Scenic Wonders Development
Project Location

Figure 2



Mariposa County has a total area of 1,463 square miles located in the western foothills of the Sierra Nevada Mountains. It lies north of Fresno, east of Merced and southeast of Stockton. The county's eastern half is the central portion of Yosemite National Park.

1.2 Regulatory

Air quality within the Project area is addressed through the efforts of various federal, state, regional, and local government agencies. These agencies work jointly, as well as individually, to improve air quality through legislation, regulations, planning, policy-making, education, and a variety of programs. The agencies primarily responsible for improving the air quality within the Mariposa County are discussed below along with their individual responsibilities.

1.2.1 *Federal Agencies*

✓ **U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)**

The Federal Clean Air Bill first adopted in 1967 and periodically amended since then, established federal ambient air quality standards. A 1987 amendment to the Bill set a deadline for the attainment of these standards. That deadline has since passed. The other Clean Air Act (CAA) Bill Amendments, passed in 1990, share responsibility with the State in reducing emissions from mobile sources. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is responsible for enforcing the 1990 amendments.

The CAA and the national ambient air quality standards identify levels of air quality for six "criteria" pollutants, which are considered the maximum levels of ambient air pollutants considered safe, with an adequate margin of safety, to protect public health and welfare. The six criteria pollutants include ozone, carbon monoxide (CO), nitrogen dioxide, sulfur dioxide, particulate matter, and lead.

CAA Section 176(c) (42 U.S.C. 7506(c)) and EPA transportation conformity regulations (40 CFR 93 Subpart A) require that each new RTP and Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) be demonstrated to conform to the State Implementation Plan (SIP) before the RTP and TIP are approved by the Metropolitan planning organization (MPO) or accepted by the U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT). The conformity analysis is a federal requirement designed to demonstrate compliance with the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS). However, because the State Implementation Plan (SIP) for particulate matter 10 microns or less in diameter (PM10), particulate matter 2.5 microns or less in diameter (PM2.5), and Ozone address attainment of both the State and federal standards, for these pollutants, demonstrating conformity to the federal standards is also an indication of progress toward attainment of the State standards. Compliance with the State air quality standards is provided on the pages following this federal conformity discussion.

1.2.2 *Federal Regulations*

✓ **State Implementation Plan (SIP)/ Air Quality Management Plans (AQMPs)**

To ensure compliance with the NAAQS, EPA requires states to adopt SIP aimed at improving

air quality in areas of nonattainment or a Maintenance Plan aimed at maintaining air quality in areas that have attained a given standard. New and previously submitted plans, programs, district rules, state regulations, and federal controls are included in the SIPs. Amendments made in 1990 to the federal CAA established deadlines for attainment based on an area's current air pollution levels. States must enact additional regulatory programs for nonattainment's areas in order to adhere with the CAA Section 172. In California, the SIPs must adhere to both the NAAQS and the California Ambient Air Quality Standards (CAAQS).

To ensure that State and Federal air quality regulations are being met, Air Quality Management Plans (AQMPs) are required. AQMPs present scientific information and use analytical tools to identify a pathway towards attainment of NAAQS and CAAQS. The regional air districts begin the SIP process by submitting their AQMPs to the California Air Resources Board (CARB). CARB is responsible for revising the SIP and submitting it to EPA for approval. EPA then acts on the SIP in the Federal Register. The items included in the California SIP are listed in the Code of Federal Regulations Title 40, Chapter 1, Part 52, Subpart 7, Section 52.220.

✓ **Transportation Control Measures**

One particular aspect of the SIP development process is the assessment of available transportation control measures (TCMs) as a part of making progress towards clean air goals. TCMs are defined in Section 108(f)(1) of the CAA and are strategies designed to reduce vehicle miles traveled, vehicle idling, and associated air pollution. These goals are generally achieved by developing attractive and convenient alternatives to single-occupant vehicle use. Examples of TCMs include ridesharing programs, transportation infrastructure improvements such as adding bicycle and carpool lanes, and expansion of public transit.

✓ **Energy Policy Act of 1992 (EPAct)**

The Energy Policy Act of 1992 (EPAct) was passed to reduce the country's dependence on foreign petroleum and improve air quality. EPAct includes several parts intended to build an inventory of alternative fuel vehicles (AFVs) in large, centrally fueled fleets in metropolitan areas. EPAct requires certain federal, state, and local government and private fleets to purchase a percentage of light duty AFVs capable of running on alternative fuels each year. In addition, financial incentives are included in EPAct. Federal tax deductions will be allowed for businesses and individuals to cover the incremental cost of alternative fueled vehicles (AFVs). States are also required by the act to consider a variety of incentive programs to help promote AFVs.

1.2.3 *State Agencies*

✓ **California Air Resources Board (CARB)**

CARB is the agency responsible for coordination and oversight of State and local air pollution control programs in California and for implementing its own air quality legislation called the California Clean Air Act (CCAA), adopted in 1988. CARB was created in 1967 from the merging

of the California Motor Vehicle Pollution Control Board and the Bureau of Air Sanitation and its Laboratory.

CARB has primary responsibility in California to develop and implement air pollution control plans designed to achieve and maintain the NAAQS established by the EPA. Whereas CARB has primary responsibility and produces a major part of the SIP for pollution sources that are statewide in scope, it relies on the local air districts to provide additional strategies for sources under their jurisdiction. CARB combines its data with all local district data and submits the completed SIP to the EPA. The SIP consists of the emissions standards for vehicular sources and consumer products set by CARB, and attainment plans adopted by the Air Pollution Control Districts (APCDs) and Air Quality Management District's (AQMDs) and approved by CARB.

States may establish their own standards, provided the State standards are at least as stringent as the NAAQS. California has established California Ambient Air Quality Standards (CAAQS) pursuant to California Health and Safety Code (CH&SC) [§39606(b)] and its predecessor statutes.

The CH&SC [§39608] requires CARB to "identify" and "classify" each air basin in the State on a pollutant-by-pollutant basis. Subsequently, CARB designated areas in California as nonattainment based on violations of the CAAQSs. Designations and classifications specific to the MCAB can be found in the next section of this document. Areas in the State were also classified based on severity of air pollution problems. For each nonattainment class, the CCAA specifies air quality management strategies that must be adopted. For all nonattainment categories, attainment plans are required to demonstrate a five-percent-per-year reduction in nonattainment air pollutants or their precursors, averaged every consecutive three-year period, unless an approved alternative measure of progress is developed. In addition, air districts in violation of CAAQS are required to prepare an Air Quality Attainment Plan (AQAP) that lays out a program to attain and maintain the CCAA mandates.

Other CARB duties include monitoring air quality. CARB has established and maintains, in conjunction with local APCDs and AQMDs, a network of sampling stations (called the State and Local Air Monitoring [SLAMS] network), which monitor the present pollutant levels in the ambient air.

Mariposa County is in the CARB-designated, MCAB. A map of the MCAB is provided in Figure 3. In addition to Mariposa County, the MCAB includes Tuolumne, Calaveras, Amador, El Dorado, Placer, Nevada, Sierra, and Plumas Counties. Federal and State standards for criteria pollutants are provided in Table 1.

Scenic Wonders Development
Mountain Counties Air Basin

Figure 3



Table 1
Ambient Air Quality Standards

Pollutant	Averaging Time	California Standards [1]		National Standards [2]		
		Concentration [3]	Method [4]	Primary [3,5]	Secondary [3,6]	Method [7]
Ozone (O_3) [8]	1 Hour	0.09 ppm (180 µg/m^3)	Ultraviolet Photometry	--	Same as Primary Standard	Ultraviolet Photometry
	8 Hour	0.070 ppm (137 µg/m^3)		0.070 ppm (137 µg/m^3)		
Respirable Particulate Matter (PM10) [9]	24 Hour	50 µg/m^3	Gravimetric or Beta Attenuation	150 µg/m^3	Same as Primary Standard	Inertial Separation and Gravimetric Analysis
	Annual Arithmetic Mean	20 µg/m^3		--		
Fine Particulate Matter (PM2.5) [9]	24 Hour	--	--	35 µg/m^3	Same as Primary Standard	Inertial Separation and Gravimetric Analysis
	Annual Arithmetic Mean	12 µg/m^3	Gravimetric or Beta Attenuation	12.0 µg/m^3	15 µg/m^3	
Carbon Monoxide (CO)	1 Hour	20 ppm (23 mg/m^3)	Non-Dispersive Infrared Photometry (NDIR)	35 ppm (40 mg/m^3)	--	Non-Dispersive Infrared Photometry (NDIR)
	8 Hour	9.0 ppm (10 mg/m^3)		9 ppm (10 mg/m^3)	--	
	8 Hour (Lake Tahoe)	6 ppm (7 mg/m^3)		--	--	
Nitrogen Dioxide (NO_2) [10]	1 Hour	0.18 ppm (339 µg/m^3)	Gas Phase Chemiluminescence	100 ppb (188 µg/m^3)	--	Gas Phase Chemiluminescence
	Annual Arithmetic Mean	0.030 ppm (57 µg/m^3)		0.053 ppm (100 µg/m^3)	Same as Primary Standard	
Sulfur Dioxide (SO_2) [11]	1 Hour	0.25 ppm (655 µg/m^3)	Ultraviolet Fluorescence	75 ppb (196 µg/m^3)	--	Ultraviolet Fluorescence; Spectrophotometry (Pararosaniline Method)
	3 Hour	--		--	0.5 ppm (1300 µg/m^3)	
	24 Hour	0.04 ppm (105 µg/m^3)		0.14 ppm (for cetain areas) [11]	--	
	Annual Arithmetic Mean	--		0.030 ppm (for cetain areas) [11]	--	
Lead [12,13]	30 Day Average	1.5 µg/m^3	Atomic Absorption	--	--	High Volume Sampler and Atomic Absorption
	Calendar Quarter	--		1.5 µg/m^3 (for certain areas) [11]	Same as Primary Standard	
	Rolling 3-Month Average	--		0.15 µg/m^3		
Visibility Reducing Particles [14]	8 Hour	See footnote 14	Beta Attenuation and Transmittance through Filter Tape	No National Standards		
Sulfates	24 Hour	25 µg/m^3	Ion Chromatography			
Hydrogen Sulfide	1 Hour	0.03 ppm (42 µg/m^3)	Ultraviolet Fluorescence			
Vinyl Chloride [12]	24 Hour	0.01 ppm (26 µg/m^3)	Gas Chromatography			

See footnotes on next page ...

Footnotes:

1. California standards for ozone, carbon monoxide (except 8-hour Lake Tahoe), sulfur dioxide (1 and 24 hour), nitrogen dioxide, and particulate matter (PM10, PM2.5, and visibility reducing particles), are values that are not to be exceeded. All others are not to be equaled or exceeded. California ambient air quality standards are listed in the Table of Standards in Section 70200 of Title 17 of the California Code of Regulations.
2. National standards (other than ozone, particulate matter, and those based on annual arithmetic mean) are not to be exceeded more than once a year. The ozone standard is attained when the fourth highest 8-hour concentration measured at each site in a year, averaged over three years, is equal to or less than the standard. For PM10, the 24 hour standard is attained when the expected number of days per calendar year with a 24-hour average concentration above 150 µg/m3 is equal to or less than one. For PM2.5, the 24 hour standard is attained when 98 percent of the daily concentrations, averaged over three years, are equal to or less than the standard. Contact the U.S. EPA for further clarification and current national policies.
3. Concentration expressed first in units in which it was promulgated. Equivalent units given in parentheses are based upon a reference temperature of 25°C and a reference pressure of 760 torr. Most measurements of air quality are to be corrected to a reference temperature of 25°C and a reference pressure of 760 torr; ppm in this table refers to ppm by volume, or micromoles of pollutant per mole of gas.
4. Any equivalent measurement method which can be shown to the satisfaction of the ARB to give equivalent results at or near the level of the air quality standard may be used.
5. National Primary Standards: The levels of air quality necessary, with an adequate margin of safety to protect the public health.
6. National Secondary Standards: The levels of air quality necessary to protect the public welfare from any known or anticipated adverse effects of a pollutant.
7. Reference method as described by the U.S. EPA. An “equivalent method” of measurement may be used but must have a “consistent relationship to the reference method” and must be approved by the U.S. EPA.
8. On October 1, 2015, the national 8-hour ozone primary and secondary standards were lowered from 0.075 to 0.070 ppm.
9. On December 14, 2012, the national annual PM2.5 primary standard was lowered from 15 µg/m3 to 12.0 µg/m3. The existing national 24-hour PM2.5 standards (primary and secondary) were retained at 35 µg/m3, as was the annual secondary standard of 15 µg/m3. The existing 24-hour PM10 standards (primary and secondary) of 150 µg/m3 also were retained. The form of the annual primary and secondary standards is the annual mean, averaged over 3 years.
10. To attain the 1-hour national standard, the 3-year average of the annual 98th percentile of the 1-hour daily maximum concentrations at each site must not exceed 100 ppb. Note that the national 1-hour standard is in units of parts per billion (ppb). California standards are in units of parts per million (ppm). To directly compare the national 1-hour standard to the California standards the units can be converted from ppb to ppm. In this case, the national standard of 100 ppb is identical to 0.100 ppm.
11. On June 2, 2010, a new 1-hour SO2 standard was established and the existing 24-hour and annual primary standards were revoked. To attain the 1-hour national standard, the 3-year average of the annual 99th percentile of the 1-hour daily maximum concentrations at each site must not exceed 75 ppb. The 1971 SO2 national standards (24-hour and annual) remain in effect until one year after an area is designated for the 2010 standard, except that in areas designated nonattainment for the 1971 standards, the 1971 standards remain in effect until implementation plans to attain or maintain the 2010 standards are approved.

Note that the 1-hour national standard is in units of parts per billion (ppb). California standards are in units of parts per million (ppm). To directly compare the 1-hour national standard to the California standard the units can be converted to ppm. In this case, the national standard of 75 ppb is identical to 0.075 ppm.
12. The ARB has identified lead and vinyl chloride as 'toxic air contaminants' with no threshold level of exposure for adverse health effects determined. These actions allow for the implementation of control measures at levels below the ambient concentrations specified for these pollutants.
13. The national standard for lead was revised on October 15, 2008 to a rolling 3-month average. The 1978 lead standard (1.5 µg/m3 as a quarterly average) remains in effect until one year after an area is designated for the 2008 standard, except that in areas designated nonattainment for the 1978 standard, the 1978 standard remains in effect until implementation plans to attain or maintain the 2008 standard are approved.
14. In 1989, the ARB converted both the general statewide 10-mile visibility standard and the Lake Tahoe 30-mile visibility standard to instrumental equivalents, which are "extinction of 0.23 per kilometer" and "extinction of 0.07 per kilometer" for the statewide and Lake Tahoe Air Basin standards, respectively.

1.2.4 *State Regulations*

✓ **CARB Mobile-Source Regulation**

The State of California is responsible for controlling emissions from the operation of motor vehicles in the State. Rather than mandating the use of specific technology or the reliance on a specific fuel, CARB's motor vehicle standards specify the allowable grams of pollutant per mile driven. In other words, the regulations focus on the reductions needed rather than on the manner in which they are achieved.

✓ **California Clean Air Act**

The CCAA was first signed into law in 1988. The CCAA provides a comprehensive framework for air quality planning and regulation, and spells out, in statute, the state's air quality goals, planning and regulatory strategies, and performance. The CCAA establishes more stringent ambient air quality standards than those included in the Federal CAA. CARB is the agency responsible for administering the CCAA. CARB established ambient air quality standards pursuant to the CH&SC [§39606(b)], which are similar to the federal standards. The Mariposa County Air Pollution Control District (MCAPCD) is one of 35 AQMDs that have prepared air quality management plans to accomplish a five percent (5%) annual reduction in emissions documenting progress toward the State ambient air quality standards.

✓ **Tanner Air Toxics Act**

California regulates Toxic Air Contaminants (TACs) primarily through the Tanner Air Toxics Act (AB 1807) and the Air Toxics Hot Spots Information and Assessment Act of 1987 (AB 2588). The Tanner Act sets forth a formal procedure for CARB to designate substances as TACs. This includes research, public participation, and scientific peer review before CARB can designate a substance as a TAC. To date, CARB has identified more than 21 TACs and has adopted EPA's list of Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs) as TACs. Once a TAC is identified, CARB then adopts an Airborne Toxics Control Measure (ATCM) for sources that emit that particular TAC. If there is a safe threshold for a substance at which there is no toxic effect, the control measure must reduce exposure below that threshold. If there is no safe threshold, the measure must incorporate Best Available Control Technology (BACT) to minimize emissions.

AB 2588 requires that existing facilities that emit toxic substances above a specified level prepare a toxic-emission inventory, prepare a risk assessment if emissions are significant, notify the public of significant risk levels, and prepare and implement risk reduction measures. CARB has adopted diesel exhaust control measures and more stringent emission standards for various on-road mobile sources of emissions, including transit buses and off-road diesel equipment (e.g., tractors, generators).

These rules and standards provide for:

- More stringent emission standards for some new urban bus engines, beginning with 2002 model year engines.
- Zero-emission bus demonstration and purchase requirements applicable to transit

agencies
- Reporting requirements under which transit agencies must demonstrate compliance with the urban transit bus fleet rule.

✓ **AB 1493 (Pavley)**

AB 1493 (Pavley) enacted on July 22, 2002, required CARB to develop and adopt regulations that reduce greenhouse gases emitted by passenger vehicles and light duty trucks. Regulations adopted by CARB would apply to 2009 and later model year vehicles. CARB estimated that the regulation would reduce climate change emissions from light duty passenger vehicles by an estimated 18 percent in 2020 and by 27 percent in 2030 [Association of Environmental Professionals (AEP) 2007)]. In 2005, the CARB requested a waiver from U.S. EPA to enforce the regulation, as required under the CAA. Despite the fact that no waiver had ever been denied over a 40-year period, the then Administrator of the EPA sent Governor Schwarzenegger a letter in December 2007, indicating he had denied the waiver. On March 6, 2008, the waiver denial was formally issued in the Federal Register. Governor Schwarzenegger and several other states immediately filed suit against the federal government to reverse that decision. On January 21, 2009, CARB requested that EPA reconsider denial of the waiver. EPA scheduled a re-hearing on March 5, 2009. On June 30, 2009, EPA granted a waiver of CAA preemption to California for its greenhouse gas emission standards for motor vehicles beginning with the 2009 model year.

✓ **Assembly Bill 32 (California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006)**

California passed the California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006 (AB 32; California Health and Safety Code Division 25.5, Sections 38500 - 38599). AB 32 establishes regulatory, reporting, and market mechanisms to achieve quantifiable reductions in GHG emissions and establishes a cap on statewide GHG emissions. AB 32 required that statewide GHG emissions be reduced to 1990 levels by 2020. December 31, 2020 is the deadline for achieving the 2020 GHG emissions cap. To effectively implement the cap, AB 32 directs CARB to develop and implement regulations to reduce statewide GHG emissions from stationary sources. AB 32 specifies that regulations adopted in response to AB 1493 should be used to address GHG emissions from vehicles. However, AB 32 also includes language stating that if the AB 1493 regulations cannot be implemented, then CARB should develop new regulations to control vehicle GHG emissions under the authorization of AB 32.

AB 32 requires CARB to adopt a quantified cap on GHG emissions representing 1990 emissions levels and disclose how it arrived at the cap; institute a schedule to meet the emissions cap; and develop tracking, reporting, and enforcement mechanisms to ensure that the state reduces GHG emissions enough to meet the cap. AB 32 also includes guidance on instituting emissions reductions in an economically efficient manner, along with conditions to ensure that businesses and consumers are not unfairly affected by the reductions. Using these criteria to reduce statewide GHG emissions to 1990 levels by 2020 would represent an approximate 25 to 30 percent reduction in current emissions levels. However, CARB has

discretionary authority to seek greater reductions in more significant and growing GHG sectors, such as transportation, as compared to other sectors that are not anticipated to significantly increase emissions.

CARB's 2017 Climate Change Scoping Plan builds on the efforts and plans encompassed in the initial Scoping Plan adopted in December of 2008. The current plan has identified new policies and actions to accomplish the State's 2030 GHG limit.

✓ **Senate Bill 375**

SB 375, signed in September 2008 (Chapter 728, Statutes of 2008), aligns regional transportation planning efforts, regional GHG reduction targets, and land use and housing allocation. SB 375 requires Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) to adopt a sustainable communities strategy (SCS) or alternative planning strategy (APS) that will prescribe land use allocation in that MPO's regional transportation plan. CARB, in consultation with MPOs, has provided each affected region with reduction targets for GHGs emitted by passenger cars and light trucks in the region for the years 2020 and 2035. These reduction targets will be updated every eight years but can be updated every four years if advancements in emissions technologies affect the reduction strategies to achieve the targets. CARB is also charged with reviewing each MPO's SCS or APS for consistency with its assigned targets.

This law also extends the minimum time period for the regional housing needs allocation cycle from five years to eight years for local governments located within an MPO that meets certain requirements. City or county land use policies (including general plans) are not required to be consistent with the regional transportation plan (and associated SCS or APS). However, new provisions of CEQA incentivize (through streamlining and other provisions) qualified projects that are consistent with an approved SCS or APS, categorized as "transit priority projects."

✓ **Executive Order B-30-15**

Executive Order B-30-15, which was signed by Governor Brown in 2016, establishes a California greenhouse gas reduction target of 40 percent below 1990 levels by 2030 to ensure California meets its target of reducing greenhouse gas emissions to 80 percent below 1990 levels by 2050. Executive Order B-30-15 requires MPO's to implement measures that will achieve reductions of greenhouse gas emissions to meet the 2030 and 2050 greenhouse gas emissions reductions targets.

✓ **California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006: emissions limit, or SB 32**

SB 32 is a California Senate bill expanding upon AB 32 to reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. The lead author is Senator Fran Pavley and the principal co-author is Assembly member Eduardo Garcia. SB 32 was signed into law on September 8, 2016, by Governor Brown. SB 32 sets into law the mandated reduction target in GHG emissions as written into

Executive Order B-30-15. SB 32 requires that there be a reduction in GHG emissions to 40% below the 1990 levels by 2030. Greenhouse gas emissions include carbon dioxide, methane, nitrous oxide, sulfur hexafluoride, hydrofluorocarbons, and perfluorocarbons. The California Air Resources Board (CARB) is responsible for ensuring that California meets this goal. The provisions of SB 32 were added to Section 38566 of the Health and Safety Code subsequent to the bill's approval. The bill went into effect January 1, 2017. SB 32 builds onto Assembly Bill (AB) 32 written by Senator Fran Pavley and Assembly Speaker Fabian Nunez passed into law on September 27, 2006. AB 32 required California to reduce greenhouse gas emissions to 1990 levels by 2020 and SB 32 continues that timeline to reach the targets set in Executive Order B-30-15. SB 32 provides another intermediate target between the 2020 and 2050 targets set in Executive Order S-3-05.

1.2.5 *Regional Agencies*

✓ **Mariposa County Air Pollution Control District (MPAPCD)**

The Mariposa County Air Pollution Control District (MPAPCD) is the agency responsible for monitoring and regulating air pollutant emissions from stationary, area, and indirect sources within Mariposa County. The APCD also has responsibility for monitoring air quality and setting and enforcing limits for source emissions. While the MPAPCD provides techniques for reducing construction emissions, the APCD does not have a quantified emission significance thresholds for every criteria pollutant. As a result, analysis of the Project references the Guide for Assessing and Mitigating Air Quality Impacts (GAMAQI) prepared by the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District (SJVAPCD).

The GAMAQI, dated March 15, 2015, is an advisory document that provides Lead Agencies, consultants, and project applicants with analysis guidance and uniform procedures for addressing air quality impacts in environmental documents. This document describes the criteria that SJVAPCD uses when reviewing and commenting on the adequacy of environmental documents. It recommends thresholds for determining whether or not projects would have significant adverse environmental impacts, identifies methodologies for predicting project emissions and impacts, and identifies measures that can be used to avoid or reduce air quality impacts.

1.2.6 *Regional Regulations*

The APCD has adopted numerous rules and regulations to implement its air quality plans. Following, are significant rules that will apply to the Project.

✓ **Rule 207 – Violation of National Ambient Air Quality Standards**

Rule 207 is designed to prohibit the release or discharge into the atmosphere from any source or single processing unit, exclusive of sources emitting combustion contaminants only, particulate matter emissions in excess of 0.1 grains per cubic foot of dry exhaust gas at standard conditions.

✓ **Rule 416 – Violation of National Ambient Air Quality Standards**

Rule 416 is designed to prohibit the modification or construction of a facility that will cause a violation of a national ambient air quality standard.

✓ **Rule 2201 – Nuisance**

Rule 2201 prohibits the discharge from any source in quantities of air contaminants or other material which cause injury, detriment, nuisance or annoyance to any considerable number of persons, or to the public, or which endanger the comfort, repose, health or safety of any such persons, or the public, or which cause to have a natural tendency to cause injury or damage to business or property.

1.2.7 *Local Plans*

✓ **Mariposa County General Plan**

California State Law requires every city and county to adopt a comprehensive General Plan to guide its future development. The General Plan essentially serves as a "constitution for development"— the document that serves as the foundation for all land use decisions. The Mariposa County General Plan includes various elements, including air quality and greenhouse gases, that address local concerns and provides goals and policies to achieve its development goals.

2.0 Environmental Setting

This section describes existing air quality within the Mountain Counties Air Basin (MCAB) and in Mariposa County, including the identification of air pollutant standards, meteorological and topological conditions affecting air quality, and current air quality conditions. Air quality is described in relation to ambient air quality standards for criteria pollutants such as, ozone, carbon monoxide, and particulate matter. Air quality can be directly affected by the type and density of land use change and population growth in urban and rural areas.

2.1 Topographic Conditions

Air basins are geographic areas sharing a common "air shed." A description of the Air Basin in the County, as designated by CARB, is provided in paragraph below. Air pollution is directly related to the region's topographic features, which impact air movement within the Basin. The MCAB is comprised of eight counties: Plumas, Sierra Nevada, Placer, El Dorado, Amador, Calaveras, Tuolumne, and Mariposa. The air basin encompasses roughly 11,000 square miles and lies along the northern Sierra Mountain Range, adjacent to the Nevada Border. Elevations range from over 10,000 feet at the Sierra crest down to several hundred feet above sea level at the Sacramento County boundary.

2.2 Climate Conditions

The overall climate of the MCAB fluctuates considerably with elevation and proximity to the Sierra ridge. The various landscape features within the basin makes it possible for several climates to exist in relative proximity. The pattern of mountains and hills causes a wide variation in rainfall, temperature, and localized winds throughout the basin. Temperature variations have an important influence on MCAB wind flow, dispersion along mountain ridges, vertical mixing, and photochemistry. The Sierra Nevada receives large amounts of precipitation from storms moving in from the Pacific in the winter, with lighter amounts from intermittent “Monsoonal” moisture flows from the south and cumulus buildup in the summer. Precipitation levels are high in the highest mountain elevations but decline rapidly toward the western portion of the basin. Winter temperatures in the mountains can be below freezing for weeks at a time, and substantial depths of snow can accumulate, but in the western foothills, winter temperatures usually dip below freezing only at night and precipitation is mixed as rain or light snow. In the summer, temperatures in the mountains are mild, with daytime peaks in the 70s to low 80s degrees Fahrenheit, but the western end of the county can routinely exceed 100 degrees Fahrenheit.

Ozone, classified as a “regional” pollutant, often afflicts areas downwind of the original source of precursor emissions. Ozone can be easily transported by winds from a source area. Peak ozone levels tend to be higher in the southern portion of the air basin, as the prevailing summer winds sweep precursors downwind of northern source areas before concentrations peak. The separate designations reflect the fact that ozone precursor transport depends on daily meteorological

conditions.

Other primary pollutants, carbon monoxide (CO), for example, may form high concentrations when wind speed is low. During the winter, Mariposa County experiences cold temperatures and calm conditions that increase the likelihood of a climate conducive to high CO concentrations.

The winds and unstable air conditions experienced during the passage of storms result in periods of low pollutant concentrations and excellent visibility. Between winter storms, high pressure and light winds allow cold moist air to pool within the air basin. In the winter, these conditions can lead to CO "hotspots" along heavily traveled roads and at busy intersections. During summer's longer daylight hours, stagnant air, high temperatures, and plentiful sunshine provide the conditions and energy for the photochemical reaction between reactive organic compounds (ROG) and oxides of nitrogen (NOx) that results in the formation of ozone (O3). Because of its long formation time, ozone is a regional pollutant rather than a local hotspot problem.

2.3 Anthropogenic (Man-made) Sources

In addition to climatic conditions (wind, lack of rain, etc.), air pollution can be caused by anthropogenic or man-made sources. Air pollution in the MCAB can be directly attributed to human activities, which cause air pollutant emissions. Human causes of air pollution consist of population growth, urbanization (gas-fired appliances, residential wood heaters, etc.), mobile sources (i.e., cars, trucks, airplanes, trains, etc.), oil production, agriculture, and other socioeconomic activities.

The principal factors that affect air quality in and around the MCAB are:

1. The sink effect, climatic subsidence and temperature inversions and low wind speeds
2. Automobile and truck travel
3. Increases in mobile and stationary pollutants generated by local urban growth

Automobiles, trucks, buses and other vehicles using hydrocarbon (HC) fuels release exhaust products into the air. Each vehicle by itself does not release large quantities; however, when considered as a group, the cumulative effect is significant.

Other sources may not seem to fit into any one of the major categories or they may seem to fit in a number of them. These could include agricultural uses, dirt roads, animal shelters; animal feed lots, chemical plants and industrial waste disposal, which may be a source of dust, odors, or other pollutants. Industrial contaminants and their potential to produce various effects depend on the size and type of industry, pollution controls, local topography, and meteorological conditions.

2.4 Mariposa County Monitoring

The MCAPCD and the CARB maintain numerous air quality monitoring sites throughout each County in the Air Basin to measure ozone, PM2.5, and PM10. It is important to note that the federal ozone 1-hour standard was revoked by the EPA and is no longer applicable for federal standards. The closest monitoring station to the Project is located at Yosemite National Park's Turtleback Dome Monitoring Station. The monitoring station located at S Minaret Street in the City of Turlock was also used to document air quality in the area given the absence of data in Mariposa County. These stations monitor particulates, ozone, carbon monoxide, and nitrogen dioxide. Monitoring data for the past three years is summarized in Table 2.

Table 3 identifies Mariposa County's attainment status. As indicated, Mariposa County is nonattainment for Ozone (1 hour and 8 hour) and Unclassified/Nonattainment for PM10. In accordance with the FCAA, EPA uses the design value at the time of standard promulgation to assign nonattainment areas to one of several classes that reflect the severity of the nonattainment problem; classifications range from marginal nonattainment to extreme nonattainment. The FCAA contains provisions for changing the classifications using factors such as clean air progress rates and requests from States to move areas to a higher classification.

Table 2
Maximum Pollutant Levels at Yosemite National Park's Turtleback Dome Monitoring Station

Pollutant	Time Averaging	2017 Maximums	2018 Maximums	2019 Maximums	Standards	
					National	State
Ozone (O_3)	1 hour	0.113 ppm	0.111 ppm	0.080 ppm	-	0.09 ppm
Ozone (O_3)	8 hour	0.088 ppm	0.092 ppm	0.073 ppm	0.070 ppm	0.070 ppm
Nitrogen Dioxide (NO_2) [1]	1 hour	58.6 ppb	67.2 ppb	59.1 ppb	100 ppb	0.18 ppm
Nitrogen Dioxide (NO_2) [1]	Annual Average	9.0 ppb	9.0 ppb	8.0 ppb	0.053 ppm	0.030 ppm
Particulates (PM_{10}) [1]	24 hour	111.7 µg/m^3	238.7 µg/m^3	95.9 µg/m^3	150 µg/m^3	50 µg/m^3
Particulates (PM10) [1]	Federal Annual Arithmetic Mean	36.4 µg/m^3	36.8 µg/m^3	30.1 µg/m^3	-	20 µg/m^3
Particulates ($PM_{2.5}$) [1]	24 hour	72.3 µg/m^3	187.3 µg/m^3	40.7 µg/m^3	35 µg/m^3	-
Particulates ($PM_{2.5}$) [1]	Federal Annual Arithmetic Mean	12.7 µg/m^3	17.2 µg/m^3	10.6 µg/m^3	12 µg/m^3	12 µg/m^3

Source: California Air Resources Board (iADAM) Air Pollution Summaries, 2021

1 - Data from Turlock S Minaret Street Monitoring Station

Table 3
Mariposa County Attainment Status

Pollutant	Designation/Classification	
	Federal Standards	State Standards
Ozone - 1 Hour	Revoked in 2005	Nonattainment
Ozone - 8 Hour	Nonattainment	No State Standard
PM10	Unclassified	Unclassified/Nonattainment[1]
PM2.5	Unclassified/Attainment	Unclassified
Carbon Monoxide	Unclassified/Attainment	Unclassified
Nitrogen Dioxide	Unclassified/Attainment	Attainment
Sulfur Dioxide	Unclassified/Attainment	Attainment
Lead (Particulate)	Unclassified/Attainment	Attainment
Hydrogen Sulfide	No Federal Standard	Unclassified
Sulfates	No Federal Standard	Attainment
Visibility Reducing Particles	No Federal Standard	Unclassified

Source: ARB Website, 2021

1 - Nonattainment for Yosemite National Park

Notes:

National Designation Categories

Non-Attainment Area: Any area that does not meet (or that contributes to ambient air quality in a nearby area that does not meet) the national primary or secondary ambient air quality standard for the pollutant.

Unclassified/Attainment Area: Any area that cannot be classified on the basis of available information as meeting or not meeting the national primary or secondary ambient air quality standard for the pollutant or meets the national primary or secondary ambient air quality standard for the pollutant.

State Designation Categories

Unclassified: A pollutant is designated unclassified if the data are incomplete and do not support a designation of attainment or non-attainment.

Attainment: A pollutant is designated attainment if the State standard for that pollutant was not violated at any site in the area during a three-year period.

Non-attainment: A pollutant is designated non-attainment if there was at least one violation of a State standard for that pollutant in the area.

Non-Attainment/Transitional: A subcategory of the non-attainment designation. An area is designated non-attainment/transitional to signify that the area is close to attaining the standard for the pollutant.

2.5 Air Quality Standards

The FCAA, first adopted in 1963, and periodically amended since then, established National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS). A set of 1977 amendments determined a deadline for the attainment of these standards. That deadline has since passed. Other CAA amendments, passed in 1990, share responsibility with the State in reducing emissions from mobile sources.

In 1988, the State of California passed the CCAA (State 1988 Statutes, Chapter 568), which set forth a program for achieving more stringent California Ambient Air Quality Standards. The CARB implements State ambient air quality standards, as required in the CCAA, and cooperates with the federal government in implementing pertinent sections of the FCAA Amendments (FCAAA). Further, CARB regulates vehicular emissions throughout the State.

The EPA uses six "criteria pollutants" as indicators of air quality and has established for each of them a maximum concentration above which adverse effects on human health may occur. These threshold concentrations are called the NAAQS. Descriptions of nine pollutants of importance in Mariposa County are provide below.

2.5.1 Ozone (1-hour and 8-hour)

The most severe air quality problem in the MCAB is the high level of ozone. Ozone occurs in two layers of the atmosphere. The layer surrounding the earth's surface is the troposphere. Here, ground level, or "bad" ozone, is an air pollutant that damages human health, vegetation, and many common materials. It is a key ingredient of urban smog. The troposphere extends to a level about 10 miles up, where it meets the second layer, the stratosphere. The stratospheric, or "good" ozone layer, extends upward from about 10 to 30 miles and protects life on earth from the sun's harmful ultraviolet rays.

"Bad" ozone is what is known as a photochemical pollutant. It needs reactive organic gases (ROG), NOx, and sunlight. In order to reduce ozone concentrations, it is necessary to control the emissions of these ozone precursors.

Significant ozone formation generally requires an adequate amount of precursors in the atmosphere and several hours in a stable atmosphere with strong sunlight. High ozone concentrations can form over large regions when emissions from motor vehicles and stationary sources are carried hundreds of miles from their origins.

Ozone is a regional air pollutant. It is generated over a large area and is transported and spread by wind. Ozone, the primary constituent of smog, is the most complex, difficult to control, and pervasive of the criteria pollutants. Unlike other pollutants, ozone is not emitted directly into the air by specific sources. Ozone is created by sunlight acting on other air pollutants (called precursors), specifically NOx and ROG. Sources of precursor gases to the photochemical reaction that form ozone number in the thousands. Common sources include consumer products,

gasoline vapors, chemical solvents, and combustion products of various fuels. Originating from gas stations, motor vehicles, large industrial facilities, and small businesses such as bakeries and dry cleaners, the ozone-forming chemical reactions often take place in another location, catalyzed by sunlight and heat. High ozone concentrations can form over large regions when emissions from motor vehicles and stationary sources are carried hundreds of miles from their origins. Approximately 50 million people lived in counties with air quality levels above the EPA's health-based national air quality standard in 1994. The highest levels of ozone were recorded in Los Angeles, closely followed by the San Joaquin Valley. High levels also persist in other heavily populated areas, including the Texas Gulf Coast and much of the Northeast.

While the ozone in the upper atmosphere absorbs harmful ultraviolet light, ground-level ozone is damaging to the tissues of plants, animals, and humans, as well as to a wide variety of inanimate materials such as plastics, metals, fabrics, rubber, and paints. Societal costs from ozone damage include increased medical costs, the loss of human and animal life, accelerated replacement of industrial equipment, and reduced crop yields.

- ✓ ***Health Effects***

  While ozone in the upper atmosphere protects the earth from harmful ultraviolet radiation, high concentrations of ground-level ozone can adversely affect the human respiratory system. Many respiratory ailments, as well as cardiovascular disease, are aggravated by exposure to high ozone levels. Ozone also damages natural ecosystems, such as: forests and foothill communities; agricultural crops; and some man-made materials, such as rubber, paint, and plastic. High levels of ozone may negatively affect immune systems, making people more susceptible to respiratory illnesses, including bronchitis and pneumonia. Ozone accelerates aging and exacerbates pre-existing asthma and bronchitis and, in cases with high concentrations, can lead to the development of asthma in active children. Active people, both children and adults, appear to be more at risk from ozone exposure than those with a low level of activity. Additionally, the elderly and those with respiratory disease are also considered sensitive populations for ozone.

  People who work or play outdoors are at a greater risk for harmful health effects from ozone. Children and adolescents are also at greater risk because they are more likely than adults to spend time engaged in vigorous activities. Research indicates that children under 12 years of age spend nearly twice as much time outdoors daily than adults. Teenagers spend at least twice as much time as adults in active sports and outdoor activities. In addition, children inhale more air per pound of body weight than adults, and they breathe more rapidly than adults. Children are less likely than adults to notice their own symptoms and avoid harmful exposures.

  Ozone is a powerful oxidant—it can be compared to household bleach, which can kill living cells (such as germs or human skin cells) upon contact. Ozone can damage the respiratory tract, causing inflammation and irritation, and it can induce symptoms such as coughing,

chest tightness, shortness of breath, and worsening of asthmatic symptoms. Ozone in sufficient doses increases the permeability of lung cells, rendering them more susceptible to toxins and microorganisms. Exposure to levels of ozone above the current ambient air quality standard leads to lung inflammation and lung tissue damage and a reduction in the amount of air inhaled into the lungs.

The CARB found ozone standards in Mariposa County nonattainment of Federal and State standards.

2.5.2 Suspended PM (PM10 and PM2.5)

Particulate matter pollution consists of very small liquid and solid particles that remain suspended in the air for long periods. Some particles are large or concentrated enough to be seen as soot or smoke. Others are so small they can be detected only with an electron microscope. Particulate matter is a mixture of materials that can include smoke, soot, dust, salt, acids, and metals. Particulate matter is emitted from stationary and mobile sources, including diesel trucks and other motor vehicles; power plants; industrial processes; wood-burning stoves and fireplaces; wildfires; dust from roads, construction, landfills, and agriculture; and fugitive windblown dust. PM10 refers to particles less than or equal to 10 microns in aerodynamic diameter. PM2.5 refers to particles less than or equal to 2.5 microns in aerodynamic diameter and are a subset of PM10. Particulates of concern are those that are 10 microns or less in diameter. These are small enough to be inhaled, pass through the respiratory system and lodge in the lungs, possibly leading to adverse health effects.

In the western United States, there are sources of PM10 in both urban and rural areas. Because particles originate from a variety of sources, their chemical and physical compositions vary widely. The composition of PM10 and PM2.5 can also vary greatly with time, location, the sources of the material and meteorological conditions. Dust, sand, salt spray, metallic and mineral particles, pollen, smoke, mist, and acid fumes are the main components of PM10 and PM2.5. In addition to those listed previously, secondary particles can also be formed as precipitates from chemical and photochemical reactions of gaseous sulfur dioxide (SO2) and NOx in the atmosphere to create sulfates (SO4) and nitrates (NO3). Secondary particles are of greatest concern during the winter months where low inversion layers tend to trap the precursors of secondary particulates.

- ✓ ***Health Effects***

PM10 and PM2.5 particles are small enough—about one-seventh the thickness of a human hair, or smaller—to be inhaled and lodged in the deepest parts of the lung where they evade the respiratory system's natural defenses. Health problems begin as the body reacts to these foreign particles. Acute and chronic health effects associated with high particulate levels include the aggravation of chronic respiratory diseases, heart and lung disease, and coughing, bronchitis, and respiratory illnesses in children. Recent mortality studies have shown a

statistically significant direct association between mortality and daily concentrations of particulate matter in the air. Non-health-related effects include reduced visibility and soiling of buildings. PM10 can increase the number and severity of asthma attacks, cause or aggravate bronchitis and other lung diseases, and reduce the body's ability to fight infections. PM10 and PM2.5 can aggravate respiratory disease and cause lung damage, cancer, and premature death.

Although particulate matter can cause health problems for everyone, certain people are especially vulnerable to adverse health effects of PM10. These "sensitive populations" include children, the elderly, exercising adults, and those suffering from chronic lung disease such as asthma or bronchitis. Of greatest concern are recent studies that link PM10 exposure to the premature death of people who already have heart and lung disease, especially the elderly. Acidic PM10 can also damage manmade materials and is a major cause of reduced visibility in many parts of the United States.

The CARB found PM10 standards in Mariposa County Unclassified for Federal standards and Unclassified/Nonattainment for State standards. The Yosemite National Park portion of Mariposa County was found to be Nonattainment for State standards. The CARB found PM2.5 standards in Mariposa County Unclassified/Attainment of Federal standards and Unclassified of State standards.

2.5.3 Carbon Monoxide (CO)

Carbon monoxide (CO) is emitted by mobile and stationary sources as a result of incomplete combustion of hydrocarbons or other carbon-based fuels. CO is an odorless, colorless, poisonous gas that is highly reactive. CO is a byproduct of motor vehicle exhaust, contributes more than two thirds of all CO emissions nationwide. In cities, automobile exhaust can cause as much as 95 percent of all CO emissions. These emissions can result in high concentrations of CO, particularly in local areas with heavy traffic congestion. Other sources of CO emissions include industrial processes and fuel combustion in sources such as boilers and incinerators. Despite an overall downward trend in concentrations and emissions of CO, some metropolitan areas still experience high levels of CO.

✓ ***Health Effects***

CO enters the bloodstream and binds more readily to hemoglobin than oxygen, reducing the oxygen-carrying capacity of blood and thus reducing oxygen delivery to organs and tissues. The health threat from CO is most serious for those who suffer from cardiovascular disease. Healthy individuals are also affected but only at higher levels of exposure. At high concentrations, CO can cause heart difficulties in people with chronic diseases and can impair mental abilities. Exposure to elevated CO levels is associated with visual impairment, reduced work capacity, reduced manual dexterity, poor learning ability, difficulty performing complex tasks, and in prolonged, enclosed exposure, death.

The adverse health effects associated with exposure to ambient and indoor concentrations of CO are related to the concentration of carboxyhemoglobin (COHb) in the blood. Health effects observed may include an early onset of cardiovascular disease; behavioral impairment; decreased exercise performance of young, healthy men; reduced birth weight; sudden infant death syndrome (SIDS); and increased daily mortality rate.

Most of the studies evaluating adverse health effects of CO on the central nervous system examine high-level poisoning. Such poisoning results in symptoms ranging from common flu and cold symptoms (shortness of breath on mild exertion, mild headaches, and nausea) to unconsciousness and death.

The CARB found CO standards in Mariposa County as unclassified/attainment of Federal standards and Unclassified for State standards.

2.5.4 Nitrogen Dioxide (NO2)

Nitrogen oxides (NOx) is a family of highly reactive gases that are primary precursors to the formation of ground-level ozone and react in the atmosphere to form acid rain. NOx is emitted from combustion processes in which fuel is burned at high temperatures, principally from motor vehicle exhaust and stationary sources such as electric utilities and industrial boilers. A brownish gas, NOx is a strong oxidizing agent that reacts in the air to form corrosive nitric acid, as well as toxic organic nitrates. EPA regulates only nitrogen dioxide (NO2) as a surrogate for this family of compounds because it is the most prevalent form of NOx in the atmosphere that is generated by anthropogenic (human) activities.[1]

✓ ***Health Effects***

NOx is an ozone precursor that combines with Reactive Organic Gases (ROG) to form ozone. See the ozone section above for a discussion of the health effects of ozone.

Direct inhalation of NOx can also cause a wide range of health effects. NOx can irritate the lungs, cause lung damage, and lower resistance to respiratory infections such as influenza. Short-term exposures (e.g., less than 3 hours) to low levels of nitrogen dioxide (NO2) may lead to changes in airway responsiveness and lung function in individuals with preexisting respiratory illnesses. These exposures may also increase respiratory illnesses in children. Long-term exposures to NO2 may lead to increased susceptibility to respiratory infection and may cause irreversible alterations in lung structure. Other health effects associated with NOx are an increase in the incidence of chronic bronchitis and lung irritation. Chronic exposure to NO2 may lead to eye and mucus membrane aggravation, along with pulmonary dysfunction. NOx can cause fading of textile dyes and additives, deterioration of cotton and nylon, and corrosion of metals due to production of particulate nitrates. Airborne NOx can also impair

1 United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Nitrogen Oxides (NOx). Why and How They Are Controlled, 456/F-99-006R, November 2019

visibility. NOx is a major component of acid deposition in California. NOx may affect both terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems. NOx in the air is a potentially significant contributor to a number of environmental effects such as acid rain and eutrophication in coastal waters. Eutrophication occurs when a body of water suffers an increase in nutrients that reduce the amount of oxygen in the water, producing an environment that is destructive to fish and other animal life.

NO2 is toxic to various animals as well as to humans. Its toxicity relates to its ability to combine with water to form nitric acid in the eye, lung, mucus membranes, and skin. Studies of the health impacts of NO2 include experimental studies on animals, controlled laboratory studies on humans, and observational studies.

In animals, long-term exposure to NOx increases susceptibility to respiratory infections, lowering their resistance to such diseases as pneumonia and influenza. Laboratory studies show susceptible humans, such as asthmatics, exposed to high concentrations of NO2, can suffer lung irritation and, potentially, lung damage. Epidemiological studies have also shown associations between NO2 concentrations and daily mortality from respiratory and cardiovascular causes as well as hospital admissions for respiratory conditions.

NOx contributes to a wide range of environmental effects both directly and when combined with other precursors in acid rain and ozone. Increased nitrogen inputs to terrestrial and wetland systems can lead to changes in plant species composition and diversity. Similarly, direct nitrogen inputs to aquatic ecosystems such as those found in estuarine and coastal waters can lead to eutrophication as discussed above. Nitrogen, alone or in acid rain, also can acidify soils and surface waters. Acidification of soils causes the loss of essential plant nutrients and increased levels of soluble aluminum, which is toxic to plants. Acidification of surface waters creates conditions of low pH and levels of aluminum that are toxic to fish and other aquatic organisms.

The CARB found NO2 standards in Mariposa County as unclassified/attainment of Federal standards and attainment for State standards.

2.5.5 Sulfur Dioxide (SO2)

The major source of sulfur dioxide (SO2) is the combustion of high-sulfur fuels for electricity generation, petroleum refining and shipping. High concentrations of SO2 can result in temporary breathing impairment for asthmatic children and adults who are active outdoors. Short-term exposures of asthmatic individuals to elevated SO2 levels during moderate activity may result in breathing difficulties that can be accompanied by symptoms such as wheezing, chest tightness, or shortness of breath. Other effects that have been associated with longer-term exposures to high concentrations of SO2, in conjunction with high levels of PM, include aggravation of existing cardiovascular disease, respiratory illness, and alterations in the lungs' defenses. SO2 also is a major precursor to PM2.5, which is a significant health concern and a main contributor to poor visibility. In humid atmospheres, sulfur oxides can react with vapor to produce sulfuric acid, a

component of acid rain.

The CARB found SO2 standards in the Mariposa County as unclassified/attainment for Federal standards and attainment for State standards.

2.5.6 Lead (Pb)

Lead, a naturally occurring metal, can be a constituent of air, water, and the biosphere. Lead is neither created nor destroyed in the environment, so it essentially persists forever. Lead was used until recently to increase the octane rating in automobile fuel. Since the 1980s, lead has been phased out in gasoline, reduced in drinking water, reduced in industrial air pollution, and banned or limited in consumer products. Gasoline-powered automobile engines were a major source of airborne lead through the use of leaded fuels; however, the use of leaded fuel has been mostly phased out. Since this has occurred the ambient concentrations of lead have dropped dramatically.

Exposure to lead occurs mainly through inhalation of air and ingestion of lead in food, water, soil, or dust. It accumulates in the blood, bones, and soft tissues and can adversely affect the kidneys, liver, nervous system, and other organs. Excessive exposure to lead may cause neurological impairments such as seizures, mental retardation, and behavioral disorders. Even at low doses, lead exposure is associated with damage to the nervous systems of fetuses and young children. Effects on the nervous systems of children are one of the primary health risk concerns from lead. In high concentrations, children can even suffer irreversible brain damage and death. Children 6 years old and under are most at risk, because their bodies are growing quickly.

The CARB found Lead standards in Mariposa County as unclassified/attainment of Federal standards and attainment for State standards.

2.5.7 Toxic Air Contaminants (TAC)

In addition to the criteria pollutants discussed above, Toxic Air Contaminants (TAC) are another group of pollutants of concern. TAC are injurious in small quantities and are regulated despite the absence of criteria documents. The identification, regulation and monitoring of TAC is relatively recent compared to that for criteria pollutants. Unlike criteria pollutants, TAC are regulated on the basis of risk rather than specification of safe levels of contamination. The ten TAC are acetaldehyde, benzene, 1,3-butadiene, carbon tetrachloride, hexavalent chromium, para-dichlorobenzene, formaldehyde, methylene chloride, perchloroethylene, and diesel particulate matter (diesel PM). Caltrans' guidance for transportation studies references the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) memorandum titled "Interim Guidance on Air Toxic Analysis in NEPA Documents" which discusses emissions quantification of six "priority" compounds of 21 Mobile Source Air Toxics (MSAT) identified by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). The six "priority" compounds are diesel exhaust (particulate matter and organic gases), benzene, 1,3-butadiene, acetaldehyde, formaldehyde, and acrolein.

Some studies indicate that diesel PM poses the greatest health risk among the TAC listed above. A 10-year research program (California Air Resources Board 1998) demonstrated that diesel PM from diesel-fueled engines is a human carcinogen and that chronic (long-term) inhalation exposure to diesel PM poses a chronic health risk. In addition to increasing the risk of lung cancer, exposure to diesel exhaust can have other health effects. Diesel exhaust can irritate the eyes, nose, throat, and lungs, and it can cause coughs, headaches, lightheadedness, and nausea. Diesel exhaust is a major source of fine particulate pollution as well, and studies have linked elevated particle levels in the air to increased hospital admissions, emergency room visits, asthma attacks, and premature deaths among those suffering from respiratory problems.

Diesel PM differs from other TAC in that it is not a single substance but a complex mixture of hundreds of substances. Although diesel PM is emitted by diesel-fueled, internal combustion engines, the composition of the emissions varies, depending on engine type, operating conditions, fuel composition, lubricating oil, and whether an emission control system is present. Unlike the other TAC, however, no ambient monitoring data are available for diesel PM because no routine measurement method currently exists. The CARB has made preliminary concentration estimates based on a diesel PM exposure method. This method uses the CARB emissions inventory's PM10 database, ambient PM10 monitoring data, and the results from several studies to estimate concentrations of diesel PM. Table 4 depicts the CARB Handbook's recommended buffer distances associated with various types of common sources.

Existing air quality concerns within Mariposa County and the entire MCAB are related to increases of regional criteria air pollutants (e.g., ozone and particulate matter), exposure to toxic air contaminants, odors, and increases in greenhouse gas emissions contributing to climate change. The primary source of ozone (smog) pollution is motor vehicles. Particulate matter is caused by dust, primarily dust generated from construction and grading activities, and smoke which is emitted from fireplaces, wood-burning stoves, and agricultural burning.

2.5.8 Odors

Typically, odors are regarded as an annoyance rather than a health hazard. However, manifestations of a person's reaction to foul odors can range from psychological (e.g., irritation, anger, or anxiety) to physiological (e.g., circulatory and respiratory effects, nausea, vomiting, and headache).

With respect to odors, the human nose is the sole sensing device. The ability to detect odors varies considerably among the population and overall is quite subjective. Some individuals have the ability to smell minute quantities of specific substances; others may not have the same sensitivity but may have sensitivities to odors of other substances. In addition, people may have different reactions to the same odor; in fact, an odor that is offensive to one person (e.g., from a fast-food restaurant) may be perfectly acceptable to another. It is also important to note that an unfamiliar odor is more easily detected and is more likely to cause complaints than a familiar one. This is because of the phenomenon known as odor fatigue, in which a person can become desensitized to almost any odor and recognition only occurs with an alteration in the intensity.

TABLE 4

Recommendations on Siting New Sensitive Land Uses Such As Residences, Schools, Daycare Centers, Playgrounds, or Medical Facilities*

SOURCE CATEGORY	ADVISORY RECOMMENDATIONS
Freeways and High-Traffic Roads [1]	- Avoid siting new sensitive land uses within 500 feet of a freeway, urban roads with 100,000 vehicles/day, or rural roads with 50,000 vehicles/day.
Distribution Centers	- Avoid siting new sensitive land uses within 1,000 feet of a distribution center (that accommodates more than 100 trucks per day, more than 40 trucks with operating transport refrigeration units (TRUs) per day, or where TRU unit operations exceed 300 hours per week). - Take into account the configuration of existing distribution centers and avoid locating residences and other new sensitive land uses near entry and exit points.
Rail Yards	- Avoid siting new sensitive land uses within 1,000 feet of a major service and maintenance rail yard. - Within one mile of a rail yard, consider possible siting limitations and mitigation approaches.
Ports	- Avoid siting of new sensitive land uses immediately downwind of ports in the most heavily impacted zones. Consult local air districts or the ARB on the status of pending analyses of health risks.
Refineries	- Avoid siting new sensitive land uses immediately downwind of petroleum refineries. Consult with local air districts and other local agencies to determine an appropriate separation.
Chrome Platers	- Avoid siting new sensitive land uses within 1,000 feet of a chrome plater.
Dry Cleaners Using Perchloroethylene	- Avoid siting new sensitive land uses within 300 feet of any dry cleaning operation. For operations with two or more machines, provide 500 feet. For operations with 3 or more machines, consult with the local air district. - Do not site new sensitive land uses in the same building with perchloroethylene dry cleaning operations.
Gasoline Dispensing Facilities	- Avoid siting new sensitive land uses within 300 feet of a large gas station (defined as a facility with a throughput of 3.6 million gallons per year or greater). A 50 foot separation is recommended for typical gas dispensing facilities.

1: The recommendation to avoid siting new sensitive land uses within 500 feet of a freeway was identified in CARB's Air Quality and Land Use Handbook published in 2005. CARB recently published a technical advisory to the Air Quality and Land Use Handbook indicating that new research has demonstrated promising strategies to reduce pollution exposure along transportation corridors.

*Notes:
• These recommendations are advisory. Land use agencies have to balance other considerations, including housing and transportation needs, economic development priorities, and other quality of life issues.
• Recommendations are based primarily on data showing that the air pollution exposures addressed here (i.e., localized) can be reduced as much as 80% with the recommended separation.
• The relative risk for these categories varies greatly (see Table 1-2). To determine the actual risk near a particular facility, a site-specific analysis would be required. Risk from diesel PM will decrease over time as cleaner technology phases in.
• These recommendations are designed to fill a gap where information about existing facilities may not be readily available and are not designed to substitute for more specific information if it exists. The recommended distances take into account other factors in addition to available health risk data (see individual category descriptions).
• Site-specific project design improvements may help reduce air pollution exposures and should also be considered when siting new sensitive land uses.
• This table does not imply that mixed residential and commercial development in general is incompatible. Rather it focuses on known problems like dry cleaners using perchloroethylene that can be addressed with reasonable preventative actions.
• A summary of the basis for the distance recommendations can be found in the ARB Handbook: Air Quality and Land Use Handbook: A Community Health Perspective.

Source: CARB 2021

Quality and intensity are two properties present in any odor. The quality of an odor indicates the nature of the smell experience. For instance, if a person describes an odor as flowery or sweet, then the person is describing the quality of the odor. Intensity refers to the strength of the odor. For example, a person may use the word "strong" to describe the intensity of an odor. Odor intensity depends on the odorant concentration in the air.

When an odorous sample is progressively diluted, the odorant concentration decreases. As this occurs, the odor intensity weakens and eventually becomes so low that the detection or recognition of the odor is quite difficult. At some point during dilution, the concentration of the odorant reaches a detection threshold. An odorant concentration below the detection threshold means that the concentration in the air is not detectable by the average human.

The intensity of an odor source's operations and its proximity to sensitive receptors influences the potential significance of odor emissions. The neighboring San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District (SJVAPCD) has identified some common types of facilities that have been known to produce odors in the San Joaquin Valley Air Basin (SJVAB). The types of facilities that are known to produce odors are shown in Table 5 along with a reasonable distance from the source within which, the degree of odors could possibly be significant. The Project does not propose any uses that would be potential odor sources; however, the information presented in Table 5 will be used as a screening level analysis to determine if the Project would be impacted by existing odor sources in the study area. Such information is presented for informational purposes, but it is noted that the environment's effect on the Project, including exposure to potential odors, would not be an impact for CEQA purposes.

TABLE 5
Screening Levels for Potential Odor Sources

Type of Facility	Distance
Wastewater Treatment Facilities	2 miles
Sanitary Landfill	1 mile
Transfer Station	1 mile
Compositing Facility	1 mile
Petroleum Refinery	2 miles
Asphalt Batch Plant	1 mile
Chemical Manufacturing	1 mile
Fiberglass Manufacturing	1 mile
Painting/Coating Operations (e.g. auto body shops)	1 mile
Food Processing Facility	1 mile
Feed Lot/Dairy	1 mile
Rendering Plant	1 mile

Source: SJVAPCD 2021

2.5.9 Naturally Occurring Asbestos (NOA)

Asbestos is a term used for several types of naturally-occurring fibrous minerals found in many parts of California. The most common type of asbestos is chrysotile, but other types are also found in California. Asbestos is commonly found in ultramafic rock and near fault zones. The amount of asbestos that is typically present in these rocks ranges from less than 1% up to approximately 25% and sometimes more. It is released from ultramafic rock when it is broken or crushed. This can happen when cars drive over unpaved roads or driveways, which are surfaced with these rocks, when land is graded for building purposes, or at quarrying operations. Asbestos is also released naturally through weathering and erosion. Once released from the rock, asbestos can become airborne and may stay in the air for long periods of time. Asbestos is hazardous and can cause lung disease and cancer dependent upon the level of exposure. The longer a person is exposed to asbestos and the greater the intensity of the exposure, the greater the chances for a health problem.

The Project's construction phase may cause asbestos to become airborne due to the construction activities that will occur on site. The Project is required to abide by MCAPCD's Rule 2201 and 418 which are intended to control/limit air pollutants.

2.5.10 Greenhouse Gas Emissions

Gases that trap heat in the atmosphere are often called greenhouse gases. Some greenhouse gases such as carbon dioxide occur naturally and are emitted to the atmosphere through natural processes and human activities. Other greenhouse gases (e.g., fluorinated gases) are created and emitted solely through human activities. The principal greenhouse gases that enter the atmosphere because of human activities are:

- ✓ **Carbon Dioxide (CO2):** Carbon dioxide enters the atmosphere through the burning of fossil fuels (oil, natural gas, and coal), solid waste, trees and wood products, and also as a result of other chemical reactions (e.g., manufacture of cement, asphalt paving, truck trips). Carbon dioxide is also removed from the atmosphere (or "sequestered") when it is absorbed by plants as part of the biological carbon cycle.
- ✓ **Methane (CH4):** Methane is emitted during the production and transport of coal, natural gas, and oil. Methane emissions also result from livestock and other agricultural practices and by the decay of organic waste in municipal solid waste landfills.
- ✓ **Nitrous Oxide (N2O):** Nitrous oxide is emitted during agricultural and industrial activities, as well as during combustion of fossil fuels and solid waste.
- ✓ **Fluorinated Gases:** Hydrofluorocarbons, perfluorocarbons, and sulfur hexafluoride are synthetic, powerful greenhouse gases that are emitted from a variety of industrial processes. Fluorinated gases are sometimes used as substitutes for ozone-depleting substances (i.e., CFCs, HCFCs, and halons). These gases are typically emitted in smaller quantities, but because they are potent greenhouse gases, they are sometimes referred to as High Global Warming Potential gases ("High GWP gases").

3.0 Air-Quality Impacts

3.1 Methodology

The impact assessment for air quality focuses on potential effects the Project might have on air quality within the Mariposa County region. As noted previously, the Project is located within the MCAPCD. Since MCAPCD does not have quantified emission significance thresholds for every criteria pollutant, thresholds from the neighboring SJVAPCD were also used for determining environmental significance. Short-term emissions are mainly related to the construction phase of a project, which are recognized to be short in duration. Long-term emissions are primarily related to the activities that will occur indefinitely as a result of Project operations. Impacts will be evaluated both on the basis of CEQA Appendix G criteria and the significance criteria presented in Table 6 below.

Table 6
Air Quality Thresholds of Significance

Project Type	Ozone Precursor Emissions (tons/year)					
	CO	NO_X	ROG	SO_X	PM_{10}	$PM_{2.5}$
Mariposa County Air Pollution Control District / Mariposa County General Plan						
Construction/Operational Emissions	100	100	--	--	100	--
San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District						
Construction Emissions	100	10	10	27	15	15
Operational Emissions (Permitted Equipment and Activities)	100	10	10	27	15	15
Operational Emissions (Non-Permitted Equipment and Activities)	100	10	10	27	15	15

Source: MCAPCD 2021, SJVAPCD 2021

3.1.1 *CalEEMod*

CalEEMod is a statewide land use emissions computer model designed to provide a uniform platform for government agencies, land use planners, and environmental professionals to quantify potential criteria pollutant and greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions associated with both construction and operations from a variety of land use projects. The model quantifies direct emissions from construction and operations (including vehicle use), as well as indirect emissions, such as GHG emissions from energy use, solid waste disposal, vegetation planting and/or removal, and water use.

The model is an accurate and comprehensive tool for quantifying air quality impacts from land use projects throughout California. The model can be used for a variety of situations where an

air quality analysis is necessary or desirable such as CEQA and NEPA documents, pre-project planning, compliance with local air quality rules and regulations, etc.

3.2 Short-Term Impacts

Short-term impacts are mainly related to the construction phase of a project and are recognized to be short in duration. Construction air quality impacts are generally attributable to dust and exhaust pollutants generated by equipment and vehicles. Fugitive dust is emitted both during construction activity and as a result of wind erosion over exposed earth surfaces. Clearing and earth moving activities do comprise major sources of construction dust emissions, but traffic and general disturbances of soil surfaces also generate significant dust emissions. Further, dust generation is dependent on soil type and soil moisture. Exhaust pollutants are the non-useable gaseous waste products produced during the combustion process. Engine exhaust contains CO, HC, and NOx pollutants which are harmful to the environment.

Adverse effects of construction activities cause increased dust-fall and locally elevated levels of total suspended particulate. Dust-fall can be a nuisance to neighboring properties or previously completed developments surrounding or within the Project area and may require frequent washing during the construction period. PM10 emissions can result from construction activities of the Project. The SJVAPCD has determined that compliance with SJVAPCD Regulation VIII and other control measures will constitute sufficient mitigation to reduce PM10 impacts to a level considered less-than significant for most development projects.

Ozone precursor emissions are also an impact of construction activities and can be quantified through calculations. Numerous variables factored into estimating total construction emission include: level of activity, length of construction period, number of pieces and types of equipment in use, site characteristics, weather conditions, number of construction personnel, and amount of materials to be transported onsite or offsite. Additional exhaust emissions would be associated with the transport of workers and materials. Because the specific mix of construction equipment is not presently known for this Project, construction emissions were estimated using CalEEMod Model defaults for construction equipment.

Table 7 shows the CalEEMod estimated construction emissions that would be generated from construction of the Project. Results of the analysis show that emissions generated from construction of the Project will not exceed the MCAPCD and SJVAPCD emission thresholds.

Table 7
Project Construction Emissions

Summary Report	CO	NO_X	ROG	SO_X	PM_{10}	$PM_{2.5}$	CO2e
Project Construction Emissions	1.68	1.53	0.48	0.00	0.24	0.14	242.35
MCAPCD Level of Significance	100	100	None	None	100	None	None
SJVAPCD Level of Significance	100	10	10	27	15	15	None
Does the Project Exceed Standard?	No	No	No	No	No	No	No

Source: CalEEMod

3.3 Long-Term Emissions

Long-Term emissions from the Project would be generated primarily by mobile source (vehicle) emissions from the Project site and area sources such as lawn maintenance equipment.

3.3.1 *Localized Operational Emissions – Ozone/Particulate Matter*

The Mariposa County area is nonattainment for Federal and State air quality standards for ozone, Unclassified of Federal standards for PM10 and Unclassified/Nonattainment for State standards, and Unclassified/Attainment for Federal standards and Unclassified for State standards for PM2.5. Nitrogen oxides and reactive organic gases are regulated as ozone precursors. Significance criteria have been established for criteria pollutant emissions as documented in Section 3.1. Operational emissions have been estimated for the Project using the CalEEMod Model and detailed results are included in Appendix A of this report.

Results of the CalEEMod analysis are shown in Table 8. Results indicate that the annual operational emissions from the Project will be less than the MCAPCD and SJVAPCD emission thresholds for criteria pollutants.

Table 8
Project Operational Emissions (tons/year)

Summary Report	CO	NO_X	ROG	SO_X	PM_{10}	$PM_{2.5}$	CO2e
Project Operational Emissions	2.83	0.29	1.40	0.00	0.34	0.24	210.01
MCAPCD Level of Significance	100	100	None	None	100	None	None
SJVAPCD Level of Significance	100	10	10	27	15	15	None
Does the Project Exceed Standard?	No	No	No	No	No	No	No

Source: CalEEMod

3.3.2 *Localized Operational Emissions*

✓ **Carbon Monoxide**

Mariposa County is currently in Unclassified/Attainment for Federal standards and Unclassified for State standards for CO. An analysis of localized CO concentrations is typically warranted to ensure that standards are maintained. The traffic analysis prepared for the Project demonstrates that study area intersections and roadway segments will operate at acceptable levels of service. As a result, the overall CO concentrations at roadways and intersections in the study area would be less than significant.

✓ **Toxic Air Contaminants (TAC)**

The SJVAPCD's Guidance Document, Guidance for Assessing and Mitigating Air Quality Impacts – 2015, identifies the need for projects to analyze the potential for adverse air quality impacts to sensitive receptors. Sensitive receptors refer to those segments of the population most susceptible to poor air quality (i.e., children, the elderly, and those with pre-existing serious health problems affected by air quality). Land uses that have the greatest potential to attract these types of sensitive receptors include schools, parks, playgrounds, daycare centers, nursing homes, hospitals, and residential communities. From a health risk perspective, the Project is a Type B project in that it may potentially place sensitive receptors in the vicinity of existing sources.

The SJVAPCD's current thresholds of significance for TAC emissions from the operations of both permitted and non-permitted sources are presented below:

- Carcinogens: Maximally Exposed Individual risk equals or exceeds 10 in one million
- Chronic: Hazard Index equals or exceeds 1 for the Maximally Exposed Individual
- Acute: Hazard Index equals or exceeds 1 for the Maximally Exposed Individual

Carcinogenic (cancer) risk is expressed as cancer cases per one million. Noncarcinogenic (acute and chronic) hazard indices (HI) are expressed as a ratio of expected exposure levels to acceptable exposure levels.

These metrics are generally applied to the maximally exposed individual (MEI). There are separate MEIs for residential exposure (i.e., residential areas) and for worker exposure (i.e., off-site workplaces). Residential exposure is for a worst-case exposure duration of 24 hours a day, 350 days a year for 70 years. For off-site workplaces, the exposure is 8 hours a day, 245 days a year for 40 years.

Although the effects of the environment, including existing air quality conditions, on the Project are not impacts for CEQA purposes, the following analysis is presented for informational purposes and to demonstrate compliance with CARB guidance. The first step in evaluating the potential for impacts to sensitive receptors for TACs from the Project is to perform a screening level analysis. For Type B projects, one type of screening tool is found in

the CARB Handbook: Air Quality and Land Use Handbook: A Community Perspective. This handbook includes a table (depicted in Table 4) with recommended buffer distances associated with various types of common sources. The screening level analysis for the Project shows that TACs are not a concern based upon the recommendations provided in Table 4. An evaluation of nearby land uses considering CARB's Pollution Mapping Tool shows that the Project will not place sensitive receptors in the vicinity of existing toxic sources. Table 4 indicates that new sensitive land uses should not be sited within 500 feet of a freeway/urban roads with 100,000 vehicles/day, or rural roads with 50,000 vehicles/day. Traffic counts provided in the traffic study prepared for the Project indicate that roadways in the Project area carry significantly less (< 1,000) vehicles per day. Therefore, TAC's from sources in the study area will not significantly impact the Project. In addition, the Project will not generate TAC's that would have a significant impact on the environment or adjacent sensitive receptors.

✓ **Odors**

Typically, odors are regarded as an annoyance rather than a health hazard. However, manifestations of a person's reaction to foul odors can range from psychological (e.g., irritation, anger, or anxiety) to physiological (e.g., circulatory and respiratory effects, nausea, vomiting, and headache).

Quality and intensity are two properties present in any odor. The quality of an odor indicates the nature of the smell experience. For instance, if a person describes an odor as flowery or sweet, then the person is describing the quality of the odor. Intensity refers to the strength of the odor. For example, a person may use the word "strong" to describe the intensity of an odor. Odor intensity depends on the odorant concentration in the air.

When an odorous sample is progressively diluted, the odorant concentration decreases. As this occurs, the odor intensity weakens and eventually becomes so low that the detection or recognition of the odor is quite difficult. At some point during dilution, the concentration of the odorant reaches a detection threshold. An odorant concentration below the detection threshold means that the concentration in the air is not detectable by the average human.

The MCAPCD does not provide guidance on whether a project would expose sensitive receptors to odors. However, the SJVAPCD guidance indicates that an analysis of potential odor impacts be conducted for the following two situations:

- Generators – projects that would potentially generate odorous emissions proposed to be located near existing sensitive receptors or other land uses where people may congregate, and
- Receivers – residential or other sensitive receptor projects or other projects built for the intent of attracting people locating near existing odor sources.

The Project will not generate odorous emissions given the nature or characteristics of residential developments. The intensity of an odor source's operations and its proximity to

sensitive receptors influences the potential significance of odor emissions. The SJVAPCD has identified some common types of facilities that have been known to produce odors in the SJV Air Basin. The types of facilities that are known to produce odors are shown in Table 5 above along with a reasonable distance from the source within which, the degree of odors could possibly be significant. None of the facilities shown in Table 5 are located within the vicinity of the Project.

✓ **Naturally Occurring Asbestos (NOA)**

Asbestos is a term used for several types of naturally occurring fibrous minerals found in many parts of California. The most common type of asbestos is chrysotile, but other types are also found in California. Construction of the Project may cause asbestos to become airborne due to the construction activities that will occur on site. The Project is required to abide by MCAPCD's Rule 2201 and 418 which are intended to control/limit air pollutants. Compliance with Rule 2201 and 418 would limit fugitive dust emissions from construction, demolition, excavation, extraction, and other earthmoving activities associated with the Project.

✓ **Greenhouse Gas Emissions**

Mariposa County has not adopted its own greenhouse gas thresholds or prepared a Climate Action Plan that can be used as a basis for determining project significance. In 2009, the SJVAPCD adopted the following guidance documents applicable to projects within the San Joaquin Valley:

- ✓ Guidance for Valley Land-use Agencies in Addressing GHG Emission Impacts for New Projects under CEQA (SJVAPCD 2009), and
- ✓ District Policy: Addressing GHG Emission Impacts for Stationary Source Projects Under CEQA When Serving as the Lead Agency (SJVAPCD 2009).

This guidance and policy are the reference documents referenced in the SJVAPCD's GAMAQI adopted in March 2015 (SJVAPCD 2015). Consistent with the District Guidance and District Policy above, SJVAPCD (2015) acknowledges the current absence of numerical thresholds, and recommends a tiered approach to establish the significance of the GHG impacts on the environment:

i. If a project complies with an approved GHG emission reduction plan or GHG mitigation program which avoids or substantially reduces GHG emissions within the geographic area in which the project is located, then the project would be determined to have a less than significant individual and cumulative impact for GHG emissions;
ii. If a project does not comply with an approved GHG emission reduction plan or mitigation program, then it would be required to implement Best Performance Standards (BPS); and
iii. If a project is not implementing BPS, then it should demonstrate that its GHG emissions would be reduced or mitigated by at least 29 percent compared to Business as Usual

(BAU).

In the event that a local air district's guidance for addressing GHG impacts does not use numerical GHG emissions thresholds, at the lead agency's discretion, a neighboring air district's GHG threshold may be used to determine impacts. In December 2008, the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) Governing Board adopted the staff proposal for an interim GHG significance threshold for projects where the SCAQMD is lead agency. The SCAQMD guidance identifies a threshold of 10,000 MTCO2eq./year for GHG for construction emissions amortized over a 30-year project lifetime, plus annual operation emissions. This threshold is often used by agencies, such as the California Public Utilities Commission, to evaluate GHG impacts in areas that do not have specific thresholds (CPUC 2015)[2]. Though the Project is under MCAPCD jurisdiction, the SCAQMD GHG threshold provides some perspective on the GHG emissions generated by the Project.

The Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) and Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District (SMAQMD) states that projects that generate less than 1,100 MTCO2e annually would not have a significant GHG impact. The SMAQMD has established 1,100 MTCO2e annually for both construction and operational phases as the threshold to determine a less than significant impact.

Table 9 shows the yearly GHG emissions generated by the Project as determined by the CalEEMod model, which is less than the thresholds identified by the SCAQMD, BAAQMD, and SMAQMD.

Table 9
Project Operational Greenhouse Gas Emissions

Summary Report	CO_2e
Project Operational Emissions Per Year	210.0139 MT/yr

Source: CalEEMod

2 California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC). 2015. Section 4.7, "Greenhouse Gases." Final Environmental Impact Report for the Santa Barbara County Reliability Project. May 2015. Accessed January 18, 2018. http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/environment/info/ene/sbcrp/SBCRP_FEIR.html.

4.0 Impact Determinations and Recommended Mitigation

In accordance with CEQA, the effects of a project are evaluated to determine if they will result in significant adverse impacts on the environment. The criteria used to determine the significance of an air quality or greenhouse gas impact are based on the following thresholds of significance, which come from Appendix G of the CEQA Guidelines. Accordingly, air quality or greenhouse gas impacts resulting from the Project are considered significant if the Project would:

Air Quality

a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?
b) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard?
c) Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?
d) Result in other emissions such as those leading to odors adversely affecting a substantial number of people?

Greenhouse Gas Emissions

a) Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?
b) Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?

4.1 Air Quality

4.1.1 *Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan*

The project is located in the MCAB, which comprises seven air districts. Air quality for the County is regulated by the MCAPCD. The MCAB has been assigned either attainment on unclassified status for federal air quality standards. Therefore, MCAPCD is not required to prepare or implement an attainment plan. Accordingly, there is no applicable air quality plan. As such, no impacts would occur. Furthermore, results of the analysis presented in Tables 7, 8, and 9 above indicate that emissions generated from construction or operation of the Project will not exceed the MCAPCD and SJVAPCD emission thresholds.

4.1.2 *Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard*

This impact is related to regional criteria pollutant impacts. Project specific emissions that exceed the thresholds of significance for criteria pollutants would be expected to result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the County is in non-attainment under applicable federal or state ambient air quality standards. It should be noted that a project isn't characterized as cumulatively insignificant when project emissions fall below thresholds of significance. As discussed in Section 3.1, the MCAPCD and SJVAPCD have established thresholds of significance for determining environmental significance which are provided in Table 6.

As discussed above in Section 3.2 and 3.3, results of the analysis show that emissions generated from construction and operation of the Project will be less than the applicable MCAPCD and SJVAPCD emission thresholds for criteria pollutants. Therefore, no mitigation is needed.

4.1.3 *Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations*

The MCAPCD does not have a set of guidelines to determine significance whether a project would expose sensitive receptors to pollutants; therefore, for this analysis the SJVAPCD guidelines were used as a basis to determine project significance.

Sensitive receptors refer to those segments of the population most susceptible to poor air quality (i.e., children, the elderly, and those with pre-existing serious health problems affected by air quality). Land uses that have the greatest potential to attract these types of sensitive receptors include schools, parks, playgrounds, daycare centers, nursing homes, hospitals, and residential communities. From a health risk perspective, the proposed Project is a Type B project in that it may potentially place sensitive receptors in the vicinity of existing sources.

The first step in evaluating the potential for impacts to sensitive receptors for TACs from the Project is to perform a screening level analysis. For Type B projects, one type of screening tool is found in the CARB Handbook: Air Quality and Land Use Handbook: A Community Perspective. This handbook includes a table (depicted in Table 4) with recommended buffer distances associated with various types of common sources. The screening level analysis for the Project shows that TACs are not a concern based upon the recommendations provided in Table 4. An evaluation of nearby land uses considering CARB's Pollution Mapping Tool shows that the Project will not place sensitive receptors in the vicinity of existing toxic sources. Table 4 indicates that new sensitive land uses should not be sited within 500 feet of a freeway/urban roads with 100,000 vehicles/day, or rural roads with 50,000 vehicles/day. Traffic counts provided in the traffic study prepared for the Project indicate that roadways in the Project area carry significantly less (< 1,000) vehicles per day. Therefore, TAC's from sources in the study area will not significantly impact the Project. In addition, the Project will not generate TAC's that would have a significant impact on the environment or adjacent sensitive receptors. Therefore, no mitigation

is needed.

Short-Term Impacts

The annual emissions from the construction phase of the Project will be less than the applicable emission thresholds for criteria pollutants as shown in Table 7. Therefore, construction emissions associated with the Project are considered less than significant.

Long-Term Impacts

Long-Term emissions from the Project are generated primarily by mobile source (vehicle) emissions from the Project site and area sources. Emissions from long-term operations generally represent a project's most substantial air quality impact. Table 8 summarizes the Project's operational impacts by pollutant. Results indicate that the annual operational emissions from the Project will be less than the applicable emission thresholds for criteria pollutants. Therefore, operational emissions associated with the Project are considered less than significant.

4.1.4 *Result in other emissions such as those leading to odors adversely affecting a substantial number of people*

The MCAPCD does not provide guidance on whether a project would expose sensitive receptors to odors. The SJVAPCD requires that an analysis of potential odor impacts be conducted for the following two situations:

- ✓ Generators – projects that would potentially generate odorous emissions proposed to be located near existing sensitive receptors or other land uses where people may congregate, and

- ✓ Receivers – residential or other sensitive receptor projects or other projects built for the intent of attracting people located near existing odor sources.

The Project will not generate odorous emissions given the nature or characteristics of residential developments. The intensity of an odor source's operations and its proximity to sensitive receptors influences the potential significance of odor emissions. The SJVAPCD has identified some common types of facilities that have been known to produce odors in the SJV Air Basin. The types of facilities that are known to produce odors are shown in Table 5 above along with a reasonable distance from the source within which, the degree of odors could possibly be significant. None of the facilities shown in Table 5 are located within the vicinity of the Project. Therefore, no mitigation is needed.

4.2 Greenhouse Gas Emissions

4.2.1 *Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment*

The MCAPCD has not adopted its own greenhouse gas thresholds or prepared a Climate Action Plan that can be used as a basis for determining project significance. The SJVAPCD acknowledges the current absence of numerical thresholds and recommends a tiered approach to establish the significance of the GHG impacts on the environment:

i. If a project complies with an approved GHG emission reduction plan or GHG mitigation program which avoids or substantially reduces GHG emissions within the geographic area in which the project is located, then the project would be determined to have a less than significant individual and cumulative impact for GHG emissions;
ii. If a project does not comply with an approved GHG emission reduction plan or mitigation program, then it would be required to implement Best Performance Standards (BPS); and
iii. If a project is not implementing BPS, then it should demonstrate that its GHG emissions would be reduced or mitigated by at least 29 percent compared to Business as Usual (BAU).

The SCAQMD guidance identifies a threshold of 10,000 MTCO2eq./year for GHG for construction emissions amortized over a 30-year project lifetime, plus annual operation emissions. The Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) and Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District (SMAQMD) states that projects that generate less than 1,100 MTCO2e annually would not have a significant GHG impact. The SMAQMD has established 1,100 MTCO2e annually for both construction and operational phases as the threshold to determine a less than significant impact. Though the Project is under MCAPCD jurisdiction, these GHG thresholds provide some perspective on the GHG emissions generated by the Project.

Table 9 shows the yearly GHG emissions generated by the Project as determined by the CalEEMod model, which is less than the thresholds identified by the SCAQMD, BAAQMD, and SMAQMD. Implementation of the Project will not result in significant adverse impacts from greenhouse gas emissions within the Project study area. Therefore, no mitigation measures are needed.

4.2.2 *Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases*

As noted above, the MCAPCD has not adopted its own greenhouse gas thresholds or prepared a Climate Action Plan that can be used as a basis for determining project significance. California passed the California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006. AB 32 requires that statewide GHG emissions be reduced to 1990 levels by 2020. Under AB 32, CARB must adopt regulations by January 1, 2011 to achieve reductions in GHGs to meet the 1990 emission cap by 2020. On December 11, 2008, CARB adopted its initial Scoping Plan, which functions as a roadmap of

CARB's plans to achieve GHG reductions in California required by AB 32 through subsequently enacted regulations. CARB's 2017 Climate Change Scoping Plan builds on the efforts and plans encompassed in the initial Scoping Plan.

SB 375 requires MPOs to adopt a SCS or APS that will prescribe land use allocation in that MPO's regional transportation plan. CARB, in consultation with MPOs, has provided each affected region with reduction targets for GHGs emitted by passenger cars and light trucks in the region for the years 2020 and 2035.

Executive Order B-30-15 establishes a California greenhouse gas reduction target of 40 percent below 1990 levels by 2030 to ensure California meets its target of reducing greenhouse gas emissions to 80 percent below 1990 levels by 2050. Executive Order B-30-15 requires MPO's to implement measures that will achieve reductions of greenhouse gas emissions to meet the 2030 and 2050 greenhouse gas emissions reductions targets.

CARB's 2017 Climate Change Scoping Plan builds on the efforts and plans encompassed in the initial Scoping Plan. The current plan has identified new policies and actions to accomplish the State's 2030 GHG limit. Below is a list of applicable strategies in the Scoping Plan and the Project's consistency with those strategies.

- ✓ California Light-Duty Vehicle GHG Standards – Implement adopted standards and planned second phase of the program. Align zero-emission vehicle, alternative and renewable fuel and vehicle technology programs for long-term climate change goals.
  - The Project is consistent with this reduction measure. This measure cannot be implemented by a particular project or lead agency since it is a statewide measure. When this measure is implemented, standards would be applicable to light-duty vehicles that would access the residential development. The Project would not conflict or obstruct this reduction measure.
- ✓ Energy Efficiency – Pursuit of comparable investment in energy efficiency from all retail providers of electricity in California. Maximize energy efficiency building and appliance standards.
  - The Project is consistent with this reduction measure. Though this measure applies to the State to increase its energy standards, the Project would comply with this measure through existing regulation. The Project would not conflict or obstruct this reduction measure.
- ✓ Low Carbon Fuel – Development and adoption of the low carbon fuel standard.
  - The Project is consistent with this reduction measure. This measure cannot be implemented by a particular project or lead agency since it is a statewide measure. When

this measure is implemented, standards would be applicable to the fuel used by vehicles that would access the residential development. The Project would not conflict or obstruct this reduction measure.

Based on the assessment above, the Project will not conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases. The Project furthers the achievement of affected agency greenhouse gas reduction goals. Therefore, any impacts would be less than significant.

APPENDIX A
CalEEMod Emissions Worksheets

Scenic Wonders Development - Mariposa County, Annual

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Not Applied

Scenic Wonders Development

Mariposa County, Annual

1.0 Project Characteristics

1.1 Land Usage

Land Uses	Size	Metric	Lot Acreage	Floor Surface Area	Population
Apartments Low Rise	16.00	Dwelling Unit	4.36	16,000.00	46
Single Family Housing	2.00	Dwelling Unit	2.90	3,600.00	6

1.2 Other Project Characteristics

Urbanization	Urban	**Wind Speed (m/s)**	2.2	**Precipitation Freq (Days)**	58
Climate Zone	1			**Operational Year**	2023
Utility Company	Pacific Gas and Electric Company				
CO2 Intensity (lb/MWhr)	203.98	**CH4 Intensity (lb/MWhr)**	0.033	**N2O Intensity (lb/MWhr)**	0.004

1.3 User Entered Comments & Non-Default Data

Project Characteristics -

Land Use - Lot Acreage reflects Project Details

Table Name	Column Name	Default Value	New Value
tblLandUse	LotAcreage	1.00	4.36
tblLandUse	LotAcreage	0.65	2.90

2.0 Emissions Summary

Scenic Wonders Development - Mariposa County, Annual

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Not Applied

2.1 Overall Construction

Unmitigated Construction

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Year	tons/yr										MT/yr					
2021	0.1304	1.2370	0.9585	1.6600e-003	0.1751	0.0629	0.2379	0.0864	0.0585	0.1449	0.0000	144.6094	144.6094	0.0386	4.4000e-004	145.7053
2022	0.4808	1.5334	1.6757	2.7800e-003	0.0109	0.0784	0.0893	2.9300e-003	0.0737	0.0766	0.0000	240.6387	240.6387	0.0563	1.0100e-003	242.3488
Maximum	**0.4808**	**1.5334**	**1.6757**	**2.7800e-003**	**0.1751**	**0.0784**	**0.2379**	**0.0864**	**0.0737**	**0.1449**	**0.0000**	**240.6387**	**240.6387**	**0.0563**	**1.0100e-003**	**242.3488**

Mitigated Construction

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Year	tons/yr										MT/yr					
2021	0.1304	1.2370	0.9585	1.6600e-003	0.1751	0.0629	0.2379	0.0864	0.0585	0.1449	0.0000	144.6092	144.6092	0.0386	4.4000e-004	145.7052
2022	0.4808	1.5334	1.6757	2.7800e-003	0.0109	0.0784	0.0893	2.9300e-003	0.0737	0.0766	0.0000	240.6385	240.6385	0.0563	1.0100e-003	242.3485
Maximum	**0.4808**	**1.5334**	**1.6757**	**2.7800e-003**	**0.1751**	**0.0784**	**0.2379**	**0.0864**	**0.0737**	**0.1449**	**0.0000**	**240.6385**	**240.6385**	**0.0563**	**1.0100e-003**	**242.3485**

Scenic Wonders Development - Mariposa County, Annual

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Not Applied

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio-CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N20	CO2e
Percent Reduction	**0.00**	**0.00**	**0.00**	**0.00**	**0.00**	**0.00**	**0.00**	**0.00**	**0.00**	**0.00**	**0.00**	**0.00**	**0.00**	**0.00**	**0.00**	**0.00**

Quarter	Start Date	End Date	Maximum Unmitigated ROG + NOX (tons/quarter)	Maximum Mitigated ROG + NOX (tons/quarter)
1	**8-11-2021**	**11-10-2021**	0.9992	0.9992
2	**11-11-2021**	**2-10-2022**	0.6198	0.6198
3	**2-11-2022**	**5-10-2022**	0.5629	0.5629
4	**5-11-2022**	**8-10-2022**	0.5815	0.5815
5	**8-11-2022**	**9-30-2022**	0.2771	0.2771
		Highest	0.9992	0.9992

2.2 Overall Operational

Unmitigated Operational

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	tons/yr										MT/yr					
Area	1.2407	0.0236	1.5278	2.5300e-003		0.1962	0.1962		0.1962	0.1962	18.5932	8.0161	26.6093	0.0174	1.4600e-003	27.4793
Energy	1.0100e-003	8.6700e-003	3.6900e-003	6.0000e-005		7.0000e-004	7.0000e-004		7.0000e-004	7.0000e-004	0.0000	17.6529	17.6529	1.4200e-003	3.3000e-004	17.7878
Mobile	0.1609	0.2551	1.3000	1.6700e-003	0.1423	2.5300e-003	0.1448	0.0383	2.3900e-003	0.0407	0.0000	154.3213	154.3213	0.0158	0.0106	157.8600
Waste						0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	1.7985	0.0000	1.7985	0.1063	0.0000	4.4557
Water						0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.3721	0.8266	1.1986	0.0384	9.2000e-004	2.4311
Total	**1.4026**	**0.2874**	**2.8316**	**4.2600e-003**	**0.1423**	**0.1994**	**0.3417**	**0.0383**	**0.1993**	**0.2376**	**20.7638**	**180.8168**	**201.5806**	**0.1793**	**0.0133**	**210.0139**

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Not Applied

2.2 Overall Operational

Mitigated Operational

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	tons/yr										MT/yr					
Area	1.2407	0.0236	1.5278	2.5300e-003		0.1962	0.1962		0.1962	0.1962	18.5932	8.0161	26.6093	0.0174	1.4600e-003	27.4793
Energy	1.0100e-003	8.6700e-003	3.6900e-003	6.0000e-005		7.0000e-004	7.0000e-004		7.0000e-004	7.0000e-004	0.0000	17.6529	17.6529	1.4200e-003	3.3000e-004	17.7878
Mobile	0.1609	0.2551	1.3000	1.6700e-003	0.1423	2.5300e-003	0.1448	0.0383	2.3900e-003	0.0407	0.0000	154.3213	154.3213	0.0158	0.0106	157.8600
Waste						0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	1.7985	0.0000	1.7985	0.1063	0.0000	4.4557
Water						0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.3721	0.8266	1.1986	0.0384	9.2000e-004	2.4311
Total	**1.4026**	**0.2874**	**2.8316**	**4.2600e-003**	**0.1423**	**0.1994**	**0.3417**	**0.0383**	**0.1993**	**0.2376**	**20.7638**	**180.8168**	**201.5806**	**0.1793**	**0.0133**	**210.0139**

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio-CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N20	CO2e
Percent Reduction	**0.00**	**0.00**	**0.00**	**0.00**	**0.00**	**0.00**	**0.00**	**0.00**	**0.00**	**0.00**	**0.00**	**0.00**	**0.00**	**0.00**	**0.00**	**0.00**

3.0 Construction Detail

Construction Phase

Phase Number	Phase Name	Phase Type	Start Date	End Date	Num Days Week	Num Days	Phase Description
1	Demolition	Demolition	8/11/2021	9/7/2021	5	20	
2	Site Preparation	Site Preparation	9/8/2021	9/21/2021	5	10	
3	Grading	Grading	9/22/2021	10/19/2021	5	20	

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Not Applied

4	Building Construction	Building Construction	10/20/2021	9/6/2022	5	230	
5	Paving	Paving	9/7/2022	10/4/2022	5	20	
6	Architectural Coating	Architectural Coating	10/5/2022	11/1/2022	5	20	

Acres of Grading (Site Preparation Phase): 15

Acres of Grading (Grading Phase): 20

Acres of Paving: 0

Residential Indoor: 39,690; Residential Outdoor: 13,230; Non-Residential Indoor: 0; Non-Residential Outdoor: 0; Striped Parking Area: 0 (Architectural Coating – sqft)

OffRoad Equipment

Phase Name	Offroad Equipment Type	Amount	Usage Hours	Horse Power	Load Factor
Architectural Coating	Air Compressors	1	6.00	78	0.48
Demolition	Concrete/Industrial Saws	1	8.00	81	0.73
Building Construction	Cranes	1	7.00	231	0.29
Demolition	Excavators	3	8.00	158	0.38
Grading	Excavators	1	8.00	158	0.38
Building Construction	Forklifts	3	8.00	89	0.20
Building Construction	Generator Sets	1	8.00	84	0.74
Grading	Graders	1	8.00	187	0.41
Paving	Pavers	2	8.00	130	0.42
Paving	Paving Equipment	2	8.00	132	0.36
Paving	Rollers	2	8.00	80	0.38
Demolition	Rubber Tired Dozers	2	8.00	247	0.40
Grading	Rubber Tired Dozers	1	8.00	247	0.40
Site Preparation	Rubber Tired Dozers	3	8.00	247	0.40
Building Construction	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	3	7.00	97	0.37
Grading	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	3	8.00	97	0.37
Site Preparation	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	4	8.00	97	0.37

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Not Applied

Building Construction	Welders	1	8.00	46	0.45

Trips and VMT

Phase Name	Offroad Equipment Count	Worker Trip Number	Vendor Trip Number	Hauling Trip Number	Worker Trip Length	Vendor Trip Length	Hauling Trip Length	Worker Vehicle Class	Vendor Vehicle Class	Hauling Vehicle Class
Demolition	6	15.00	0.00	0.00	10.80	7.30	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Site Preparation	7	18.00	0.00	0.00	10.80	7.30	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Grading	6	15.00	0.00	0.00	10.80	7.30	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Building Construction	9	12.00	2.00	0.00	10.80	7.30	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Paving	6	15.00	0.00	0.00	10.80	7.30	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Architectural Coating	1	2.00	0.00	0.00	10.80	7.30	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT

3.1 Mitigation Measures Construction

3.2 Demolition - 2021

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	tons/yr										MT/yr					
Off-Road	0.0317	0.3144	0.2157	3.9000e-004		0.0155	0.0155		0.0144	0.0144	0.0000	34.0008	34.0008	9.5700e-003	0.0000	34.2400
Total	**0.0317**	**0.3144**	**0.2157**	**3.9000e-004**		**0.0155**	**0.0155**		**0.0144**	**0.0144**	**0.0000**	**34.0008**	**34.0008**	**9.5700e-003**	**0.0000**	**34.2400**

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Not Applied

3.2 Demolition - 2021

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	tons/yr										MT/yr					
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	1.2200e-003	8.7000e-004	8.0500e-003	1.0000e-005	1.1900e-003	1.0000e-005	1.2000e-003	3.2000e-004	1.0000e-005	3.3000e-004	0.0000	1.0958	1.0958	7.0000e-005	6.0000e-005	1.1141
Total	**1.2200e-003**	**8.7000e-004**	**8.0500e-003**	**1.0000e-005**	**1.1900e-003**	**1.0000e-005**	**1.2000e-003**	**3.2000e-004**	**1.0000e-005**	**3.3000e-004**	**0.0000**	**1.0958**	**1.0958**	**7.0000e-005**	**6.0000e-005**	**1.1141**

Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	tons/yr										MT/yr					
Off-Road	0.0317	0.3144	0.2157	3.9000e-004		0.0155	0.0155		0.0144	0.0144	0.0000	34.0007	34.0007	9.5700e-003	0.0000	34.2400
Total	**0.0317**	**0.3144**	**0.2157**	**3.9000e-004**		**0.0155**	**0.0155**		**0.0144**	**0.0144**	**0.0000**	**34.0007**	**34.0007**	**9.5700e-003**	**0.0000**	**34.2400**

Scenic Wonders Development - Mariposa County, Annual

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Not Applied

3.2 Demolition - 2021

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	tons/yr										MT/yr					
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	1.2200e-003	8.7000e-004	8.0500e-003	1.0000e-005	1.1900e-003	1.0000e-005	1.2000e-003	3.2000e-004	1.0000e-005	3.3000e-004	0.0000	1.0958	1.0958	7.0000e-005	6.0000e-005	1.1141
Total	**1.2200e-003**	**8.7000e-004**	**8.0500e-003**	**1.0000e-005**	**1.1900e-003**	**1.0000e-005**	**1.2000e-003**	**3.2000e-004**	**1.0000e-005**	**3.3000e-004**	**0.0000**	**1.0958**	**1.0958**	**7.0000e-005**	**6.0000e-005**	**1.1141**

3.3 Site Preparation - 2021

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	tons/yr										MT/yr					
Fugitive Dust					0.0983	0.0000	0.0983	0.0505	0.0000	0.0505	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	0.0194	0.2025	0.1058	1.9000e-004		0.0102	0.0102		9.4000e-003	9.4000e-003	0.0000	16.7179	16.7179	5.4100e-003	0.0000	16.8530
Total	**0.0194**	**0.2025**	**0.1058**	**1.9000e-004**	**0.0983**	**0.0102**	**0.1085**	**0.0505**	**9.4000e-003**	**0.0599**	**0.0000**	**16.7179**	**16.7179**	**5.4100e-003**	**0.0000**	**16.8530**

Scenic Wonders Development - Mariposa County, Annual

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Not Applied

3.3 Site Preparation - 2021

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	tons/yr										MT/yr					
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	7.3000e-004	5.2000e-004	4.8300e-003	1.0000e-005	7.1000e-004	1.0000e-005	7.2000e-004	1.9000e-004	1.0000e-005	2.0000e-004	0.0000	0.6575	0.6575	4.0000e-005	3.0000e-005	0.6685
Total	**7.3000e-004**	**5.2000e-004**	**4.8300e-003**	**1.0000e-005**	**7.1000e-004**	**1.0000e-005**	**7.2000e-004**	**1.9000e-004**	**1.0000e-005**	**2.0000e-004**	**0.0000**	**0.6575**	**0.6575**	**4.0000e-005**	**3.0000e-005**	**0.6685**

Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	tons/yr										MT/yr					
Fugitive Dust					0.0983	0.0000	0.0983	0.0505	0.0000	0.0505	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	0.0194	0.2025	0.1058	1.9000e-004		0.0102	0.0102		9.4000e-003	9.4000e-003	0.0000	16.7178	16.7178	5.4100e-003	0.0000	16.8530
Total	**0.0194**	**0.2025**	**0.1058**	**1.9000e-004**	**0.0983**	**0.0102**	**0.1085**	**0.0505**	**9.4000e-003**	**0.0599**	**0.0000**	**16.7178**	**16.7178**	**5.4100e-003**	**0.0000**	**16.8530**

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Not Applied

3.3 Site Preparation - 2021

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	tons/yr										MT/yr					
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	7.3000e-004	5.2000e-004	4.8300e-003	1.0000e-005	7.1000e-004	1.0000e-005	7.2000e-004	1.9000e-004	1.0000e-005	2.0000e-004	0.0000	0.6575	0.6575	4.0000e-005	3.0000e-005	0.6685
Total	**7.3000e-004**	**5.2000e-004**	**4.8300e-003**	**1.0000e-005**	**7.1000e-004**	**1.0000e-005**	**7.2000e-004**	**1.9000e-004**	**1.0000e-005**	**2.0000e-004**	**0.0000**	**0.6575**	**0.6575**	**4.0000e-005**	**3.0000e-005**	**0.6685**

3.4 Grading - 2021

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	tons/yr										MT/yr					
Fugitive Dust					0.0708	0.0000	0.0708	0.0343	0.0000	0.0343	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	0.0229	0.2474	0.1586	3.0000e-004		0.0116	0.0116		0.0107	0.0107	0.0000	26.0537	26.0537	8.4300e-003	0.0000	26.2644
Total	**0.0229**	**0.2474**	**0.1586**	**3.0000e-004**	**0.0708**	**0.0116**	**0.0824**	**0.0343**	**0.0107**	**0.0449**	**0.0000**	**26.0537**	**26.0537**	**8.4300e-003**	**0.0000**	**26.2644**

Scenic Wonders Development - Mariposa County, Annual

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Not Applied

3.4 Grading - 2021

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	tons/yr										MT/yr					
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	1.2200e-003	8.7000e-004	8.0500e-003	1.0000e-005	1.1900e-003	1.0000e-005	1.2000e-003	3.2000e-004	1.0000e-005	3.3000e-004	0.0000	1.0958	1.0958	7.0000e-005	6.0000e-005	1.1141
Total	**1.2200e-003**	**8.7000e-004**	**8.0500e-003**	**1.0000e-005**	**1.1900e-003**	**1.0000e-005**	**1.2000e-003**	**3.2000e-004**	**1.0000e-005**	**3.3000e-004**	**0.0000**	**1.0958**	**1.0958**	**7.0000e-005**	**6.0000e-005**	**1.1141**

Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	tons/yr										MT/yr					
Fugitive Dust					0.0708	0.0000	0.0708	0.0343	0.0000	0.0343	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	0.0229	0.2474	0.1586	3.0000e-004		0.0116	0.0116		0.0107	0.0107	0.0000	26.0537	26.0537	8.4300e-003	0.0000	26.2643
Total	**0.0229**	**0.2474**	**0.1586**	**3.0000e-004**	**0.0708**	**0.0116**	**0.0824**	**0.0343**	**0.0107**	**0.0449**	**0.0000**	**26.0537**	**26.0537**	**8.4300e-003**	**0.0000**	**26.2643**

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Not Applied

3.4 Grading - 2021

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	tons/yr										MT/yr					
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	1.2200e-003	8.7000e-004	8.0500e-003	1.0000e-005	1.1900e-003	1.0000e-005	1.2000e-003	3.2000e-004	1.0000e-005	3.3000e-004	0.0000	1.0958	1.0958	7.0000e-005	6.0000e-005	1.1141
Total	**1.2200e-003**	**8.7000e-004**	**8.0500e-003**	**1.0000e-005**	**1.1900e-003**	**1.0000e-005**	**1.2000e-003**	**3.2000e-004**	**1.0000e-005**	**3.3000e-004**	**0.0000**	**1.0958**	**1.0958**	**7.0000e-005**	**6.0000e-005**	**1.1141**

3.5 Building Construction - 2021

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	tons/yr										MT/yr					
Off-Road	0.0504	0.4620	0.4392	7.1000e-004		0.0254	0.0254		0.0239	0.0239	0.0000	61.3839	61.3839	0.0148	0.0000	61.7541
Total	**0.0504**	**0.4620**	**0.4392**	**7.1000e-004**		**0.0254**	**0.0254**		**0.0239**	**0.0239**	**0.0000**	**61.3839**	**61.3839**	**0.0148**	**0.0000**	**61.7541**

Scenic Wonders Development - Mariposa County, Annual

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Not Applied

3.5 Building Construction - 2021

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	tons/yr										MT/yr					
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	2.8000e-004	6.7400e-003	1.2200e-003	1.0000e-005	3.5000e-004	8.0000e-005	4.3000e-004	1.0000e-004	8.0000e-005	1.8000e-004	0.0000	1.2808	1.2808	1.0000e-005	1.8000e-004	1.3352
Worker	2.5800e-003	1.8300e-003	0.0171	3.0000e-005	2.5200e-003	2.0000e-005	2.5500e-003	6.7000e-004	2.0000e-005	6.9000e-004	0.0000	2.3232	2.3232	1.5000e-004	1.2000e-004	2.3619
Total	**2.8600e-003**	**8.5700e-003**	**0.0183**	**4.0000e-005**	**2.8700e-003**	**1.0000e-004**	**2.9800e-003**	**7.7000e-004**	**1.0000e-004**	**8.7000e-004**	**0.0000**	**3.6040**	**3.6040**	**1.6000e-004**	**3.0000e-004**	**3.6971**

Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	tons/yr										MT/yr					
Off-Road	0.0504	0.4620	0.4392	7.1000e-004		0.0254	0.0254		0.0239	0.0239	0.0000	61.3838	61.3838	0.0148	0.0000	61.7540
Total	**0.0504**	**0.4620**	**0.4392**	**7.1000e-004**		**0.0254**	**0.0254**		**0.0239**	**0.0239**	**0.0000**	**61.3838**	**61.3838**	**0.0148**	**0.0000**	**61.7540**

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Not Applied

3.5 Building Construction - 2021

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	tons/yr										MT/yr					
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	2.8000e-004	6.7400e-003	1.2200e-003	1.0000e-005	3.5000e-004	8.0000e-005	4.3000e-004	1.0000e-004	8.0000e-005	1.8000e-004	0.0000	1.2808	1.2808	1.0000e-005	1.8000e-004	1.3352
Worker	2.5800e-003	1.8300e-003	0.0171	3.0000e-005	2.5200e-003	2.0000e-005	2.5500e-003	6.7000e-004	2.0000e-005	6.9000e-004	0.0000	2.3232	2.3232	1.5000e-004	1.2000e-004	2.3619
Total	**2.8600e-003**	**8.5700e-003**	**0.0183**	**4.0000e-005**	**2.8700e-003**	**1.0000e-004**	**2.9800e-003**	**7.7000e-004**	**1.0000e-004**	**8.7000e-004**	**0.0000**	**3.6040**	**3.6040**	**1.6000e-004**	**3.0000e-004**	**3.6971**

3.5 Building Construction - 2022

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	tons/yr										MT/yr					
Off-Road	0.1510	1.3820	1.4482	2.3800e-003		0.0716	0.0716		0.0674	0.0674	0.0000	205.0768	205.0768	0.0491	0.0000	206.3051
Total	**0.1510**	**1.3820**	**1.4482**	**2.3800e-003**		**0.0716**	**0.0716**		**0.0674**	**0.0674**	**0.0000**	**205.0768**	**205.0768**	**0.0491**	**0.0000**	**206.3051**

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Not Applied

3.5 Building Construction - 2022

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	tons/yr										MT/yr					
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	6.8000e-004	0.0197	3.4200e-003	4.0000e-005	1.1500e-003	1.7000e-004	1.3200e-003	3.3000e-004	1.6000e-004	4.9000e-004	0.0000	4.2173	4.2173	3.0000e-005	5.9000e-004	4.3946
Worker	8.1200e-003	5.5000e-003	0.0519	8.0000e-005	8.4200e-003	8.0000e-005	8.5000e-003	2.2400e-003	7.0000e-005	2.3100e-003	0.0000	7.5545	7.5545	4.6000e-004	3.6000e-004	7.6737
Total	**8.8000e-003**	**0.0252**	**0.0553**	**1.2000e-004**	**9.5700e-003**	**2.5000e-004**	**9.8200e-003**	**2.5700e-003**	**2.3000e-004**	**2.8000e-003**	**0.0000**	**11.7718**	**11.7718**	**4.9000e-004**	**9.5000e-004**	**12.0684**

Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	tons/yr										MT/yr					
Off-Road	0.1510	1.3820	1.4482	2.3800e-003		0.0716	0.0716		0.0674	0.0674	0.0000	205.0766	205.0766	0.0491	0.0000	206.3049
Total	**0.1510**	**1.3820**	**1.4482**	**2.3800e-003**		**0.0716**	**0.0716**		**0.0674**	**0.0674**	**0.0000**	**205.0766**	**205.0766**	**0.0491**	**0.0000**	**206.3049**

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Not Applied

3.5 Building Construction - 2022

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	tons/yr										MT/yr					
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	6.8000e-004	0.0197	3.4200e-003	4.0000e-005	1.1500e-003	1.7000e-004	1.3200e-003	3.3000e-004	1.6000e-004	4.9000e-004	0.0000	4.2173	4.2173	3.0000e-005	5.9000e-004	4.3946
Worker	8.1200e-003	5.5000e-003	0.0519	8.0000e-005	8.4200e-003	8.0000e-005	8.5000e-003	2.2400e-003	7.0000e-005	2.3100e-003	0.0000	7.5545	7.5545	4.6000e-004	3.6000e-004	7.6737
Total	**8.8000e-003**	**0.0252**	**0.0553**	**1.2000e-004**	**9.5700e-003**	**2.5000e-004**	**9.8200e-003**	**2.5700e-003**	**2.3000e-004**	**2.8000e-003**	**0.0000**	**11.7718**	**11.7718**	**4.9000e-004**	**9.5000e-004**	**12.0684**

3.6 Paving - 2022

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	tons/yr										MT/yr					
Off-Road	0.0110	0.1113	0.1458	2.3000e-004		5.6800e-003	5.6800e-003		5.2200e-003	5.2200e-003	0.0000	20.0276	20.0276	6.4800e-003	0.0000	20.1895
Paving	0.0000					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total	**0.0110**	**0.1113**	**0.1458**	**2.3000e-004**		**5.6800e-003**	**5.6800e-003**		**5.2200e-003**	**5.2200e-003**	**0.0000**	**20.0276**	**20.0276**	**6.4800e-003**	**0.0000**	**20.1895**

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Not Applied

3.6 Paving - 2022

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	tons/yr										MT/yr					
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	1.1500e-003	7.8000e-004	7.3200e-003	1.0000e-005	1.1900e-003	1.0000e-005	1.2000e-003	3.2000e-004	1.0000e-005	3.3000e-004	0.0000	1.0670	1.0670	7.0000e-005	5.0000e-005	1.0839
Total	**1.1500e-003**	**7.8000e-004**	**7.3200e-003**	**1.0000e-005**	**1.1900e-003**	**1.0000e-005**	**1.2000e-003**	**3.2000e-004**	**1.0000e-005**	**3.3000e-004**	**0.0000**	**1.0670**	**1.0670**	**7.0000e-005**	**5.0000e-005**	**1.0839**

Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	tons/yr										MT/yr					
Off-Road	0.0110	0.1113	0.1458	2.3000e-004		5.6800e-003	5.6800e-003		5.2200e-003	5.2200e-003	0.0000	20.0275	20.0275	6.4800e-003	0.0000	20.1895
Paving	0.0000					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total	**0.0110**	**0.1113**	**0.1458**	**2.3000e-004**		**5.6800e-003**	**5.6800e-003**		**5.2200e-003**	**5.2200e-003**	**0.0000**	**20.0275**	**20.0275**	**6.4800e-003**	**0.0000**	**20.1895**

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Not Applied

3.6 Paving - 2022

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	tons/yr										MT/yr					
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	1.1500e-003	7.8000e-004	7.3200e-003	1.0000e-005	1.1900e-003	1.0000e-005	1.2000e-003	3.2000e-004	1.0000e-005	3.3000e-004	0.0000	1.0670	1.0670	7.0000e-005	5.0000e-005	1.0839
Total	**1.1500e-003**	**7.8000e-004**	**7.3200e-003**	**1.0000e-005**	**1.1900e-003**	**1.0000e-005**	**1.2000e-003**	**3.2000e-004**	**1.0000e-005**	**3.3000e-004**	**0.0000**	**1.0670**	**1.0670**	**7.0000e-005**	**5.0000e-005**	**1.0839**

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2022

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	tons/yr										MT/yr					
Archit. Coating	0.3066					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	2.0500e-003	0.0141	0.0181	3.0000e-005		8.2000e-004	8.2000e-004		8.2000e-004	8.2000e-004	0.0000	2.5533	2.5533	1.7000e-004	0.0000	2.5574
Total	**0.3087**	**0.0141**	**0.0181**	**3.0000e-005**		**8.2000e-004**	**8.2000e-004**		**8.2000e-004**	**8.2000e-004**	**0.0000**	**2.5533**	**2.5533**	**1.7000e-004**	**0.0000**	**2.5574**

Scenic Wonders Development - Mariposa County, Annual

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Not Applied

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2022

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	tons/yr										MT/yr					
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	1.5000e-004	1.0000e-004	9.8000e-004	0.0000	1.6000e-004	0.0000	1.6000e-004	4.0000e-005	0.0000	4.0000e-005	0.0000	0.1423	0.1423	1.0000e-005	1.0000e-005	0.1445
Total	**1.5000e-004**	**1.0000e-004**	**9.8000e-004**	**0.0000**	**1.6000e-004**	**0.0000**	**1.6000e-004**	**4.0000e-005**	**0.0000**	**4.0000e-005**	**0.0000**	**0.1423**	**0.1423**	**1.0000e-005**	**1.0000e-005**	**0.1445**

Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	tons/yr										MT/yr					
Archit. Coating	0.3066					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	2.0500e-003	0.0141	0.0181	3.0000e-005		8.2000e-004	8.2000e-004		8.2000e-004	8.2000e-004	0.0000	2.5533	2.5533	1.7000e-004	0.0000	2.5574
Total	**0.3087**	**0.0141**	**0.0181**	**3.0000e-005**		**8.2000e-004**	**8.2000e-004**		**8.2000e-004**	**8.2000e-004**	**0.0000**	**2.5533**	**2.5533**	**1.7000e-004**	**0.0000**	**2.5574**

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Not Applied

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2022

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	tons/yr										MT/yr					
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	1.5000e-004	1.0000e-004	9.8000e-004	0.0000	1.6000e-004	0.0000	1.6000e-004	4.0000e-005	0.0000	4.0000e-005	0.0000	0.1423	0.1423	1.0000e-005	1.0000e-005	0.1445
Total	**1.5000e-004**	**1.0000e-004**	**9.8000e-004**	**0.0000**	**1.6000e-004**	**0.0000**	**1.6000e-004**	**4.0000e-005**	**0.0000**	**4.0000e-005**	**0.0000**	**0.1423**	**0.1423**	**1.0000e-005**	**1.0000e-005**	**0.1445**

4.0 Operational Detail - Mobile

4.1 Mitigation Measures Mobile

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Not Applied

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	tons/yr										MT/yr					
Mitigated	0.1609	0.2551	1.3000	1.6700e-003	0.1423	2.5300e-003	0.1448	0.0383	2.3900e-003	0.0407	0.0000	154.3213	154.3213	0.0158	0.0106	157.8600
Unmitigated	0.1609	0.2551	1.3000	1.6700e-003	0.1423	2.5300e-003	0.1448	0.0383	2.3900e-003	0.0407	0.0000	154.3213	154.3213	0.0158	0.0106	157.8600

4.2 Trip Summary Information

	Average Daily Trip Rate			Unmitigated	Mitigated
Land Use	Weekday	Saturday	Sunday	Annual VMT	Annual VMT
Apartments Low Rise	117.12	130.24	100.48	327,488	327,488
Single Family Housing	18.88	19.08	17.10	52,386	52,386
Total	136.00	149.32	117.58	379,874	379,874

4.3 Trip Type Information

	Miles			Trip %			Trip Purpose %		
Land Use	H-W or C-W	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-NW	H-W or C-W	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-NW	Primary	Diverted	Pass-by
Apartments Low Rise	10.80	7.30	7.50	37.30	20.70	42.00	86	11	3
Single Family Housing	10.80	7.30	7.50	37.30	20.70	42.00	86	11	3

4.4 Fleet Mix

Land Use	LDA	LDT1	LDT2	MDV	LHD1	LHD2	MHD	HHD	OBUS	UBUS	MCY	SBUS	MH
Apartments Low Rise	0.376940	0.081838	0.215518	0.168928	0.071578	0.013376	0.007933	0.004020	0.000829	0.000342	0.045282	0.003664	0.009752
Single Family Housing	0.376940	0.081838	0.215518	0.168928	0.071578	0.013376	0.007933	0.004020	0.000829	0.000342	0.045282	0.003664	0.009752

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Not Applied

5.0 Energy Detail

Historical Energy Use: N

5.1 Mitigation Measures Energy

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	tons/yr										MT/yr					
Electricity Mitigated						0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	7.6135	7.6135	1.2300e-003	1.5000e-004	7.6888
Electricity Unmitigated						0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	7.6135	7.6135	1.2300e-003	1.5000e-004	7.6888
NaturalGas Mitigated	1.0100e-003	8.6700e-003	3.6900e-003	6.0000e-005		7.0000e-004	7.0000e-004		7.0000e-004	7.0000e-004	0.0000	10.0394	10.0394	1.9000e-004	1.8000e-004	10.0990
NaturalGas Unmitigated	1.0100e-003	8.6700e-003	3.6900e-003	6.0000e-005		7.0000e-004	7.0000e-004		7.0000e-004	7.0000e-004	0.0000	10.0394	10.0394	1.9000e-004	1.8000e-004	10.0990

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Not Applied

5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

Unmitigated

	NaturalGas Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kBTU/yr	tons/yr										MT/yr					
Apartments Low Rise	165875	8.9000e-004	7.6400e-003	3.2500e-003	5.0000e-005		6.2000e-004	6.2000e-004		6.2000e-004	6.2000e-004	0.0000	8.8517	8.8517	1.7000e-004	1.6000e-004	8.9043
Single Family Housing	22255.7	1.2000e-004	1.0300e-003	4.4000e-004	1.0000e-005		8.0000e-005	8.0000e-005		8.0000e-005	8.0000e-005	0.0000	1.1877	1.1877	2.0000e-005	2.0000e-005	1.1947
Total		**1.0100e-003**	**8.6700e-003**	**3.6900e-003**	**6.0000e-005**		**7.0000e-004**	**7.0000e-004**		**7.0000e-004**	**7.0000e-004**	**0.0000**	**10.0394**	**10.0394**	**1.9000e-004**	**1.8000e-004**	**10.0990**

Mitigated

	NaturalGas Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kBTU/yr	tons/yr										MT/yr					
Apartments Low Rise	165875	8.9000e-004	7.6400e-003	3.2500e-003	5.0000e-005		6.2000e-004	6.2000e-004		6.2000e-004	6.2000e-004	0.0000	8.8517	8.8517	1.7000e-004	1.6000e-004	8.9043
Single Family Housing	22255.7	1.2000e-004	1.0300e-003	4.4000e-004	1.0000e-005		8.0000e-005	8.0000e-005		8.0000e-005	8.0000e-005	0.0000	1.1877	1.1877	2.0000e-005	2.0000e-005	1.1947
Total		**1.0100e-003**	**8.6700e-003**	**3.6900e-003**	**6.0000e-005**		**7.0000e-004**	**7.0000e-004**		**7.0000e-004**	**7.0000e-004**	**0.0000**	**10.0394**	**10.0394**	**1.9000e-004**	**1.8000e-004**	**10.0990**

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Not Applied

5.3 Energy by Land Use - Electricity

Unmitigated

	Electricity Use	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kWh/yr	MT/yr			
Apartments Low Rise	66374.2	6.1412	9.9000e-004	1.2000e-004	6.2019
Single Family Housing	15912.8	1.4723	2.4000e-004	3.0000e-005	1.4869
Total		**7.6135**	**1.2300e-003**	**1.5000e-004**	**7.6888**

Mitigated

	Electricity Use	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kWh/yr	MT/yr			
Apartments Low Rise	66374.2	6.1412	9.9000e-004	1.2000e-004	6.2019
Single Family Housing	15912.8	1.4723	2.4000e-004	3.0000e-005	1.4869
Total		**7.6135**	**1.2300e-003**	**1.5000e-004**	**7.6888**

6.0 Area Detail

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Not Applied

6.1 Mitigation Measures Area

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	tons/yr										MT/yr					
Mitigated	1.2407	0.0236	1.5278	2.5300e-003		0.1962	0.1962		0.1962	0.1962	18.5932	8.0161	26.6093	0.0174	1.4600e-003	27.4793
Unmitigated	1.2407	0.0236	1.5278	2.5300e-003		0.1962	0.1962		0.1962	0.1962	18.5932	8.0161	26.6093	0.0174	1.4600e-003	27.4793

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Not Applied

6.2 Area by SubCategory

Unmitigated

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
SubCategory	tons/yr										MT/yr					
Architectural Coating	0.0307					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Consumer Products	0.0766					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Hearth	1.1294	0.0221	1.3942	2.5300e-003		0.1955	0.1955		0.1955	0.1955	18.5932	7.7977	26.3910	0.0172	1.4600e-003	27.2558
Landscaping	4.0300e-003	1.5400e-003	0.1337	1.0000e-005		7.4000e-004	7.4000e-004		7.4000e-004	7.4000e-004	0.0000	0.2183	0.2183	2.1000e-004	0.0000	0.2236
Total	**1.2407**	**0.0236**	**1.5278**	**2.5400e-003**		**0.1962**	**0.1962**		**0.1962**	**0.1962**	**18.5932**	**8.0161**	**26.6093**	**0.0174**	**1.4600e-003**	**27.4793**

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Not Applied

6.2 Area by SubCategory

Mitigated

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
SubCategory	tons/yr										MT/yr					
Architectural Coating	0.0307					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Consumer Products	0.0766					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Hearth	1.1294	0.0221	1.3942	2.5300e-003		0.1955	0.1955		0.1955	0.1955	18.5932	7.7977	26.3910	0.0172	1.4600e-003	27.2558
Landscaping	4.0300e-003	1.5400e-003	0.1337	1.0000e-005		7.4000e-004	7.4000e-004		7.4000e-004	7.4000e-004	0.0000	0.2183	0.2183	2.1000e-004	0.0000	0.2236
Total	**1.2407**	**0.0236**	**1.5278**	**2.5400e-003**		**0.1962**	**0.1962**		**0.1962**	**0.1962**	**18.5932**	**8.0161**	**26.6093**	**0.0174**	**1.4600e-003**	**27.4793**

7.0 Water Detail

7.1 Mitigation Measures Water

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Not Applied

	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	MT/yr			
Mitigated	1.1986	0.0384	9.2000e-004	2.4311
Unmitigated	1.1986	0.0384	9.2000e-004	2.4311

7.2 Water by Land Use

Unmitigated

	Indoor/Outdoor Use	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	Mgal	MT/yr			
Apartments Low Rise	1.04246 / 0.657206	1.0655	0.0341	8.2000e-004	2.1610
Single Family Housing	0.130308 / 0.0821507	0.1332	4.2600e-003	1.0000e-004	0.2701
Total		**1.1986**	**0.0384**	**9.2000e-004**	**2.4311**

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Not Applied

7.2 Water by Land Use

Mitigated

	Indoor/Outdoor Use	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	Mgal	MT/yr			
Apartments Low Rise	1.04246 / 0.657206	1.0655	0.0341	8.2000e-004	2.1610
Single Family Housing	0.130308 / 0.0821507	0.1332	4.2600e-003	1.0000e-004	0.2701
Total		**1.1986**	**0.0384**	**9.2000e-004**	**2.4311**

8.0 Waste Detail

8.1 Mitigation Measures Waste

Category/Year

	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
	MT/yr			
Mitigated	1.7985	0.1063	0.0000	4.4557
Unmitigated	1.7985	0.1063	0.0000	4.4557

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Not Applied

8.2 Waste by Land Use

Unmitigated

	Waste Disposed	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	tons	MT/yr			
Apartments Low Rise	7.36	1.4940	0.0883	0.0000	3.7014
Single Family Housing	1.5	0.3045	0.0180	0.0000	0.7544
Total		**1.7985**	**0.1063**	**0.0000**	**4.4557**

Mitigated

	Waste Disposed	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	tons	MT/yr			
Apartments Low Rise	7.36	1.4940	0.0883	0.0000	3.7014
Single Family Housing	1.5	0.3045	0.0180	0.0000	0.7544
Total		**1.7985**	**0.1063**	**0.0000**	**4.4557**

9.0 Operational Offroad

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Not Applied

Equipment Type	Number	Hours/Day	Days/Year	Horse Power	Load Factor	Fuel Type

10.0 Stationary Equipment

Fire Pumps and Emergency Generators

Equipment Type	Number	Hours/Day	Hours/Year	Horse Power	Load Factor	Fuel Type

Boilers

Equipment Type	Number	Heat Input/Day	Heat Input/Year	Boiler Rating	Fuel Type

User Defined Equipment

Equipment Type	Number

11.0 Vegetation