To: Office of Planning and Research P.O. Box 3044, Room 113	From: (Public Agency): City of Merced 678 W. 18th Street
Sacramento, CA 95812-3044	Merced, CA 95340
County Clerk	(Address)
County of: Merced	(Address)
Merced, CA 95340	
Project Title: Site Plan Review #517 Env.	
Project Applicant: Gateway Park Develop	ment Partners, LLC
Project Location - Specific:	
3120 Campus Parkway (south side	of Campus Pkwy, east of Coffee St.
Project Location - City: Merced	Project Location - County: Merced
Description of Nature, Purpose and Beneficia	aries of Project:
Construction of a 2,313 s.f. fast-foo	d restaurant with drive-thru and outdoor seating.
	N
Name of Public Agency Approving Project: C	
Name of Person or Agency Carrying Out Pro	ject: Robert Toro
Exempt Status: (check one): ☐ Ministerial (Sec. 21080(b)(1); 15268 ☐ Declared Emergency (Sec. 21080(b)(4) ☐ Emergency Project (Sec. 21080(b)(4) ☐ Categorical Exemption. State type a ☐ Statutory Exemptions. State code no	(3); 15269(a)); l); 15269(b)(c)); nd section number: Section 15162
Reasons why project is exempt:	
consistent with the General Plan ar	on the City's determination that the project remains and the CEQA Section 15162 [Environmental Gateway Master Plan (SCH 2015101048)].
Lead Agency Contact Person: Julie Nelson	Area Code/Telephone/Extension: 209-385-6967
Signature:	n finding. by the public agency approving the project? Yes No Date: 4/3/23 Title: A. Planner ed by Applicant
Authority cited: Sections 21083 and 21110, Public Researche: Sections 21108, 21152, and 21152.1, Public Researches 21108, and 21152.1, and	

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Section 15162 Findings:

Application: Site Plan Review #517 – Environmental Review #23-09

Assessor Parcel Number or Location: Assessor's Parcel Number (APN): 061-670-002

Previous Initial Study/EIR Reference: This site was previously reviewed through Environmental Review #15-18 [EIR for the Merced Gateway Master Plan (SCH #2015101048)].

Original Project Date: Initial Study #15-18 was approved on August 7, 2017, by the Merced City Council.

Section A - Previous Studies

1. Substantial changes are proposed in the project that will require major revisions of the previous project EIR or Negative Declaration due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects?

Yes	No
	X

Comment/Finding: The proposed project is consistent with the previous environmental review. The site plan for the Merced Gateway Master Plan project included a fast-food restaurant with drive-through. There is no change from the original plan that was analyzed under the previous EIR and therefore, no new significant or increased impacts.

2. Substantial changes have occurred with respect to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken that will require major revisions of the previous EIR or Negative Declaration due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects?

Yes	No
	X

Comment/Finding: There have been no changes in the circumstances under which the project is undertaken that would require major revisions in the previous EIR. There are no new significant environmental effects or substantial increases in the severity of previously identified environmental effects, and the area under consideration remains the same area previously evaluated.

3. New information of substantial importance that was not known and could not have been know with the exercise of reasonable diligence at the time the previous EIR was certified as complete or the Negative Declaration was adopted, has been revealed? (If "Yes" is checked, go to Section "B" below)

Yes	No
	X

Comment/Finding: There is no new information of substantial importance that was not known and could not have been known with the reasonable diligence at the time the previous EIR was adopted.

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Section 15162 Findings Page 2

Section B - New Information

	Yes	No
A) The project will have one or more significant effects not discussed in the previous EIR or negative declaration.		X
B) Significant effects previously examined will be substantially more severe than shown in the previous EIR.	Yes	No X
C) Mitigation measures or alternatives previously found not to be feasible would in fact be feasible, and would substantially reduce one or more significant effects of the project, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measure or alternative.	Yes	No X
D) Mitigation measures or alternatives which are considerably different from those analyzed in the previous EIR would substantially reduce one or more significant effects on the environment, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measure or alternative.	Yes	No X

Comment/Finding: All previously identified mitigation measures will be enforced with this project including payment of Public Facility Impact Fees. Therefore, the resulting impacts are no greater than those previously analyzed and the previously imposed mitigation measures remain sufficient to address all impacts from this project.

On the basis of this evaluation, in accordance with the requirements of Section 15162 of the CEQA Guidelines:

	1. It is found that subsequent negative declaration will need to be prepared.
	2. It is found that an addendum Negative Declaration will need to be prepared.
	3. That a subsequent EIR will need to be prepared.
X	4. No further documentation is required.

Date: April 3, 2024 Prepared By:

Julie Nelson, Senior Planner