

**CULTURAL RESOURCES STUDY  
FOR THE  
IDI LOGISTICS ECKHOFF STREET PROJECT**

**CITY OF ORANGE,  
ORANGE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA**

**APNs 386-371-20, -31, and -32**

**Lead Agency:**

**City of Orange  
300 East Chapman Avenue  
Orange, California 92866**

**Preparer:**

**Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc.  
14010 Poway Road, Suite A  
Poway, California 92064**

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Brian F. Smith", written over a horizontal line.

**Signature**

**Project Proponent:**

**T&B Planning, Inc.  
3200 El Camino Real, Suite 100  
Irvine, California 92602**

***December 16, 2021***

## **Archaeological Database Information**

<b><i>Authors:</i></b>	Brian F. Smith, Jillian L.H Conroy, and Elena C. Goralogia
<b><i>Consulting Firm:</i></b>	Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc. 14010 Poway Road, Suite A Poway, California 92064 (858) 679-8218
<b><i>Client/Project Proponent:</i></b>	T&B Planning, Inc. 3200 El Camino Real, Suite 100 Irvine, California 92602
<b><i>Report Date:</i></b>	December 16, 2021
<b><i>Report Title:</i></b>	Cultural Resources Study for the IDI Logistics Eckhoff Street Project, City of Orange, Orange County, California (APNs 386-371-20, -31, and -32)
<b><i>Type of Study:</i></b>	Phase I Cultural Resources Survey
<b><i>New Site(s):</i></b>	None
<b><i>Updated Site(s):</i></b>	None
<b><i>USGS Quadrangle:</i></b>	Orange, California (7.5 minute)
<b><i>Acreage:</i></b>	12.69 acres
<b><i>Key Words:</i></b>	Survey; negative; no monitoring recommended.

## **Table of Contents**

<b><u>Section</u></b>	<b><u>Description</u></b>	<b><u>Page</u></b>
MANAGEMENT SUMMARY/ABSTRACT .....		iv
1.0 INTRODUCTION .....		1.0–1
1.1 Project Description.....		1.0–1
1.2 Environmental Setting .....		1.0–1
1.3 Cultural Setting .....		1.0–1
1.3.1 Prehistoric Period.....		1.0–1
1.3.2 Historic Period.....		1.0–8
1.4 Results of the Archaeological Records Search .....		1.0–10
1.5 Applicable Regulations.....		1.0–11
1.5.1 California Environmental Quality Act.....		1.0–11
2.0 RESEARCH DESIGN .....		2.0–1
3.0 ANALYSIS OF PROJECT EFFECTS .....		3.0–1
3.1 Survey Methods .....		3.0–1
3.2 Results of the Field Survey .....		3.0–1
4.0 RECOMMENDATIONS.....		4.0–1
5.0 LIST OF PREPARERS AND ORGANIZATIONS CONTACTED .....		5.0–1
6.0 REFERENCES CITED.....		6.0–1

## **List of Appendices**

Appendix A – Resumes of Key Personnel

Appendix B – Archaeological Records Search Results\*

Appendix C – NAHC Sacred Lands File Search Results\*

*\*Deleted for public review and bound separately in the Confidential Appendix*

## **List of Figures**

<b><u>Figure</u></b>	<b><u>Description</u></b>	<b><u>Page</u></b>
Figure 1.1–1	General Location Map .....	1.0–2
Figure 1.1–2	Project Location Map .....	1.0–3
Figure 1.1–3	Project Development Map.....	1.0–4

## **List of Plates**

<b><u>Plate</u></b>	<b><u>Description</u></b>	<b><u>Page</u></b>
Plate 3.2–1	Overview of the project, facing south.....	3.0–2
Plate 3.2–2	Overview of the project, facing north.....	3.0–2
Plate 3.2–3	1938 Aerial Photograph.....	3.0–3
Plate 3.2–4	1973 Aerial Photograph.....	3.0–4
Plate 3.2–5	1977 Aerial Photograph.....	3.0–5
Plate 3.2–6	1995 Aerial Photograph.....	3.0–6

## **List of Tables**

<b><u>Table</u></b>	<b><u>Description</u></b>	<b><u>Page</u></b>
Table 1.4–1	Cultural Resources Within One Mile of the Project.....	1.0–10



## **MANAGEMENT SUMMARY/ABSTRACT**

In response to a request from the project applicant, Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc. (BFSA) conducted a cultural resources study for the proposed IDI Logistics Eckhoff Street Project (Assessor's Parcel Numbers 386-371-20, -31, and -32). The 12.69-acre project is located southeast of the intersection of Eckhoff Street and Collins Avenue in the city of Orange, Orange County, California. The western half of the project is situated within Section 25, Township 4 South, Range 10 West, and the eastern half is situated within Section 30, Township 4 South, Range 9 West on the USGS *Orange, California* topographic quadrangle. The project consists of a proposal to demolish existing structures and construct two industrial warehouses.

The purpose of this investigation was to locate and record any cultural resources present within the project and subsequently evaluate any resources as part of the City of Orange's environmental review process conducted in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). The archaeological investigation of the project also included a review of an archaeological records search performed at the South Central Coastal Information Center (SCCIC) at California State University, Fullerton (CSU Fullerton) in order to assess previous archaeological studies and identify any previously recorded archaeological sites within the project or in the immediate vicinity. A Sacred Lands File (SLF) search was also requested from the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC).

Survey conditions were generally fair; however, the ground surface was not visible throughout the survey area due to buildings and hardscape, which comprise the entirety of the property. Due to the industrial buildings, cement lots, and asphalt parking lots, no exposed soil or vegetation was present on the property. Aerial photographs indicate that the property was used as an orchard as early as 1938 until at least 1973, but by 1977, had been entirely graded and the surrounding area had been industrialized with warehouses. The Phase I survey of the IDI Eckhoff Street Project did not result in the identification of any cultural resources within the project and none of the extant buildings were found to meet the minimum age threshold to be considered historic under CEQA.

The IDI Logistics Eckhoff Street Project will not result in direct impacts to recorded cultural resources. Due to the disturbed nature of the property due to previous grading, clearing, and industrial development, as well as the surrounding industrial development since the 1970s, there is little likelihood that archaeological deposits are present within the project boundaries. Therefore, it is recommended that the project be allowed to proceed without mitigation measures. A copy of this report will be permanently filed with the SCCIC at CSU Fullerton. All notes, photographs, and other materials related to this project will be curated at the archaeological laboratory of BFSA in Poway, California.

## **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

### **1.1 Project Description**

The archaeological survey program for the IDI Logistics Eckhoff Street Project was conducted in order to comply with CEQA and City of Orange environmental guidelines. The 12.69-acre project (APNs 386-371-20, -31, and -32) is located southeast of the intersection of Eckhoff Street and Collins Avenue in the city of Orange, Orange County, California (Figure 1.1–1). The western half of the project is situated within Section 25, Township 4 South, Range 10 West, and the eastern half is situated within Section 30, Township 4 South, Range 9 West on the USGS *Orange, California* topographic quadrangle (Figure 1.1–2). The project proposes the demolition of the existing commercial warehouses on the property and their replacement with two new warehouse structures and associated parking (Figure 1.1–3). The decision to request this investigation was based upon cultural resource sensitivity of the locality as suggested by known site density and predictive modeling. Sensitivity for cultural resources in a given area is usually indicated by known settlement patterns, which in Orange County were focused around freshwater resources and a food supply.

### **1.2 Environmental Setting**

The IDI Logistics Eckhoff Street Project is generally situated in the Peninsular Ranges Geologic Province of southern California. The range, which lies in a northwest to southeast trend through the county, extends some 1,000 miles from the Raymond-Malibu Fault Zone in western Los Angeles County to the southern tip of Baja California. The subject property is located adjacent to the eastern bank of the Santa Ana River and west of the Santa Ana Mountains. Elevations within the project range from approximately 151 to 161 feet above mean sea level. The vegetation during prehistoric times most likely consisted of a river valley riparian environment within the Santa Ana River watershed, native oak trees, and coastal/inland sage/scrub and chaparral in the nearby foothills.


### **1.3 Cultural Setting**

#### *1.3.1 Prehistoric Period*

Archaeological investigations in southern California have documented a diverse and rich record of human occupation spanning the past 10,000 years. In northern San Diego, Orange, and Riverside counties, most researchers organize prehistory into the Paleo Indian, Archaic, and Late Prehistoric Periods and history into the Mission, Rancho, and American Settlement periods. The San Dieguito Complex, Milling Stone Horizon, La Jolla Complex, Pauma Complex, and San Luis Rey Complex are the archaeological manifestations that have been used to describe the Archaic and Late Prehistoric periods in the region.








**Figure 1.1-2**  
**Project Location Map**  
 The IDI Logistics Eckhoff Street Project

USGS Anaheim and Orange Quadrangles (7.5-minute series)







**Figure 1.1–3**  
**Project Development Map**  
The IDI Logistics Eckhoff Street Project

*Paleo Indian Period (Late Pleistocene: 11,500 to circa 9,000 YBP)*

The San Dieguito Complex/Paleo Indian Period is associated with the terminus of the late Pleistocene (11,500 to 9,000 years before the present [YBP]). The term “San Dieguito Complex” is a cultural distinction used to describe a group of people that occupied sites in the region between 11,500 and 7,000 YBP. Initially believed to have been big game hunters, the San Dieguito are better typified as wide-ranging hunter/gatherers. The earliest evidence of San Dieguito Complex sites is known from San Diego County, the Colorado Desert, and further north along the California coast. These people abandoned the drying inland lakes of the present California desert and arrived in San Diego County circa 9,000 YBP, as documented at the Harris (SDI-149; Warren 1966), Rancho Park North (SDI-4392; Kaldenberg 1982), and Agua Hedionda (SDI-210/UCLJ-M-15 and SDI-10,965/SDM-W-131 [Moriarty 1967; Gallegos and Carrico 1984; Gallegos 1991]) sites.

A San Dieguito component appears to have been present in the lower strata, “Malaga Cove I,” at the Malaga Cove Site (LAN-138) in the city of Palos Verdes Estates in Los Angeles County (Walker 1951). Other Paleo Indian Period sites containing San Dieguito components in the coastal region of southern California have been identified at the Irvine (ORA-64 [Drover et al. 1983; Macko 1998]), Ballona Creek, Angeles Mesa, and Rancho La Brea (Wallace 1955: 215–218) sites.

Diagnostic San Dieguito artifacts include finely crafted scraper planes, choppers, scrapers, crescentics, elongated bifacial knives, and intricate leaf-shaped points (Rogers 1939; Warren 1967). This tool assemblage resembles those of the Western Lithic Co-Tradition (Davis et al. 1969) and the Western Pluvial Lakes Tradition (Bedwell 1970; Moratto 1984). Typical San Dieguito sites lack ground stone tools. The San Dieguito Complex is the least understood of the cultures that occupied the southern California region and debate continues as to whether the San Dieguito sites are actually different activity areas of the early Encinitas Tradition peoples (Bull 1987; Gallegos 1987), or whether the San Dieguito Complex peoples had a separate origin and culture from the Encinitas Tradition (Hayden 1987; Moriarty 1987; Smith 1987). According to this second scenario, the San Dieguito Complex peoples may have been assimilated into the dominant Encinitas Tradition culture (Kaldenberg 1982; Moriarty 1967). A third possibility is that the San Dieguito Complex gave rise to the Encinitas Tradition (Koerper et al. 1991).

*Archaic Period (Early and Middle Holocene: circa 9,000 to 1,300 YBP)*

The Archaic Period begins with the onset of the Holocene around 9,000 YBP. The transition from the Pleistocene to the Holocene was a period of major environmental change throughout North America (Antevs 1953; Van Devender and Spaulding 1979). In southern California, the general climate at the beginning of the early Holocene was marked by cool/moist periods and an increase in warm/dry periods and rising sea levels. The warming trend and rising sea levels generally continued until the late Holocene. Archaeological research indicates that southern California was occupied between 9,000 and 1,300 YBP by a population(s) that utilized a wide range of both marine and terrestrial resources. A number of different archaeological manifestations based upon geographical setting, tool kit, and/or chronology are recognized during

the Archaic Period, including the Milling Stone Horizon and San Dieguito, La Jolla, Encinitas, and Pauma complexes. Archaic sites generally contain milling tools, especially manos and metates, cobble and flake tools, dart projectile points and the concomitant use of the atlatl, crescents, shell, fish bone, and animal bone representing large and small game. Additionally, Archaic groups buried their dead as flex inhumations, a religious and cultural practice that is distinct from the succeeding Late Prehistoric groups.

The La Jolla Complex is regionally associated with the Encinitas Tradition (Warren 1968) and shared cultural components with the widespread Milling Stone Horizon (Wallace 1955). The coastal expression of this complex, which focused upon coastal resources and development of deeply stratified shell middens located primarily around bays and lagoons, appeared in the southern California coastal areas. Some of the older sites associated with this expression are located at Topanga Canyon, Newport Bay, Agua Hedionda Lagoon, and some of the Channel Islands. Radiocarbon dates from sites attributed to this complex span a period of more than 7,000 years in this region, beginning more than 9,000 YBP.

The Encinitas Tradition is best recognized for its pattern of large coastal sites characterized by shell middens, grinding tools closely associated with the marine resources of the area, cobble-based tools, and flexed human burials (Shumway et al. 1961; Smith and Moriarty 1985). While ground stone tools and scrapers are the most recognized tool types, coastal Encinitas Tradition sites also contain numerous utilized flakes, which may have been used to pry open shellfish. Artifact assemblages at coastal sites indicate a subsistence pattern focused upon shellfish collection and nearshore fishing, which suggests an incipient maritime adaptation with regional similarities to more northern sites of the same period (Koerper et al. 1986). Other artifacts associated with Encinitas Tradition sites include stone bowls, doughnut stones, discoidals, stone balls, and stone, bone, and shell beads.

By 5,000 YBP, an inland expression of the La Jolla Complex, which exhibits influences from the Campbell Tradition from the north, is evident in the archaeological record. These inland Milling Stone Horizon sites have been termed “Pauma Complex” (True 1958; Warren et al. 1961; Meighan 1954). By definition, Pauma Complex sites share a predominance of grinding implements (manos and metates), lack mollusk remains, have a greater tool variety (including atlatl dart points, quarry-based tools, and crescentics), and seem to express a more sedentary lifestyle with a subsistence economy based upon the use of a broad variety of terrestrial resources. Although originally viewed as a separate culture from the coastal La Jolla Complex (True 1980), it appears that these inland sites may be part of a subsistence and settlement system utilized by the coastal peoples.

#### Late Prehistoric Period (Late Holocene: 1,300 YBP to 1790)

The Late Prehistoric Period, sometimes referred to as San Luis Rey I and II, began approximately 1,300 YBP. Cremation, ceramics, the bow and arrow, small triangular points, the use of Obsidian Butte obsidian, and the reliance upon the acorn as a main food staple are the

defining characteristics of the Late Prehistoric Period (Chartkoff and Chartkoff 1984; Gallegos 2002, Moratto 1984). These characteristics are thought to represent the movement of Shoshonean-speaking groups into northern San Diego, Orange, Riverside, and Los Angeles counties. Economic systems diversified and intensified during this period with the continued elaboration of trade networks, cremation of the dead, the use of shell-bead currency, and the appearance of more labor-intensive, yet effective, milling technologies such as the bedrock mortar for use in acorn processing.

*Protohistoric Period (Late Holocene: 1790 to Present)*

This period begins with the Hispanic intrusion into southern California and the founding of the Mission San Juan Capistrano near what is currently the Lake Forest area in 1776. Ethnohistorical and ethnographic evidence indicates that three Shoshonean-speaking groups that occupied the southern and eastern portions of Orange County were the Luiseño, Gabrielino, and Acjachemem (Juaneño), each of which is culturally similar but that possess slight dialectic differences. Along the coast, the groups made use of the available marine resources by fishing and collecting mollusks for food. Seasonally available terrestrial resources, including acorns and game, were also sources of nourishment for these groups. The elaborate kinship and clan systems between these groups facilitated a wide-reaching trade network that included trade of Obsidian Butte obsidian, resources from the eastern deserts, and steatite from the Channel Islands. All three groups also shared a distinct world view that stemmed from use of the hallucinogen datura and an elaborate religion that included ritualized sand paintings of the sacred being Chingichngish (Bean and Shipek 1978; Kroeber 1976). Some notable differences, however, can be seen in the material culture between the three groups. For example, the Gabrielino used containers made from steatite, which is a soapstone material from the Santa Catalina Islands, instead of pottery, which was the preferred material for the Juaneño and the Luiseño (Kroeber 1976).

The Luiseño, Gabrielino, and Juaneño occupied sedentary villages most often located in sheltered areas in valley bottoms, along streams, or along coastal strands near mountain ranges. Villages were located near water sources to facilitate acorn leaching and in areas that offered thermal and defensive protection. Villages were composed of areas that were both publicly and privately, or family, owned. Publicly owned areas included trails, temporary campsites, hunting areas, and quarry sites. Inland groups had fishing and gathering sites along the coast that were utilized, particularly from January to March, when inland food resources were scarce. During October and November, most of the village would relocate to mountain oak groves to harvest acorns. For the remainder of the year, most would remain at the village sites, where food resources were within a day's travel (Bean and Shipek 1978; Kroeber 1976).

The Aliso Creek watershed has been reported to be the ethnohistoric boundary between the Luiseño, Gabrielino, and Juaneño. The Gabrielino occupied territory northwest of Aliso Creek, the Juaneño occupied territory to the south, and the Luiseño occupied territory to the southeast and east. However, there is evidence indicating that the Juaneño territory actually extended farther



north, possibly past the Santa Ana River into modern-day Huntington Beach (Boscana 1978 [1933]).

### *1.3.2 Historic Period*

The historic period began on July 16, 1769, when the first Spanish exploring party commanded by Gaspar de Portolá (with Father Junípero Serra in charge of religious conversion of the native populations) arrived in San Diego to secure California for the Spanish (Palou 1926). The natural attraction of the harbor at San Diego and the establishment of a military presence in the area solidified the importance of San Diego to the Spanish colonization of the region and the growth of the civilian population. Missions were constructed from San Diego to as far north as San Francisco. The mission locations were based upon a number of important territorial, military, and religious considerations. Grants of land were made to those who applied, but many tracts reverted back to the government due to lack of use. As an extension of territorial control by the Spanish, each mission was placed so as to command as much territory and as large a population as possible.

Mission San Juan Capistrano, located near the present Lake Forest area, exerted much influence over the Acjachemem (Juaneño), who either adapted to mission life, rebelled and ran away, or died from European diseases. While primary access to California during the Spanish Period was by sea, the route of El Camino Real served as the land route for transportation, commercial, and military activities. This route was considered to be the most direct path between the missions (Rolle 1969). As increasing numbers of Spanish and Mexican people, and the later Americans during the Gold Rush, settled in the area, the Native populations diminished as they were displaced or decimated by disease (Carrico and Taylor 1983).

By 1821, Mexico had gained independence from Spain and the northern territories were subject to political repercussions. By 1834, all of the mission lands had been removed from the control of the Franciscan Order under the Acts of Secularization. Without proper maintenance, the missions quickly began to disintegrate, and after 1836, missionaries ceased to make regular visits inland to minister the needs of the native peoples (Engelhardt 1921). Large tracts of land continued to be granted to those who applied or had gained favor with the Mexican government. Grants of land were also made to settle government debts.

The Rancho Period represents the time between 1821 and 1848. By 1821, Mexico had gained independence from Spain and the northern territories were subject to political repercussions. By 1834, all of the mission lands had been removed from the control of the Franciscan Order under the Acts of Secularization (Engelhardt 1921). Numerous Mexican land tracts, or rancheros, were established throughout coastal and interior California.

California was invaded by United States troops during the Mexican-American War of 1846 to 1848. The acquisition of strategic Pacific ports and California land was one of the principal objectives of the war (Price 1967). At the time, the inhabitants of California were practically defenseless, and they quickly surrendered to the United States Navy in July 1847 (Bancroft 1886).

In 1848, the Treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo granted sovereignty over Alta California, New Mexico, and Arizona to the United States, which began the American Settlement Period. The new colonial order soon seized power in California with disastrous results for the native people (Castillo 1978). European control over Alta California had been concentrated along the coast, but with the great influx of American colonists seeking land and mineral resources, the inland became more populated and native populations were displaced from more of their lands. Conflicts between the Native Americans and the intruding white colonists led to the establishment of reservations for some villages by executive order.

The cattle ranchers of the “counties” of southern California prospered during the cattle boom of the early 1850s. Raising cattle soon declined, however, contributing to the expansion of agriculture. The completion of the transcontinental railroad in 1869 encouraged developers, land speculators, and colonists to invest and live in southern California. Orange County’s economy changed from stock raising to farming, and growing grain or citrus crops replaced the raising of cattle in many of the county’s inland valleys (Blick 1976; Elliott 1965).

### General History of the Orange Area

As part of a petition to the Spanish government in 1810, Jose Antonio Yorba and his cousin Juan Pablo Peralta were granted 78,941 acres of grazing land previously granted to Juan Pablo Grijalva, their uncle and father, respectively, “[t]he first land user in the Orange area ... a retired Spanish soldier” (Maniery et al. 2006). “Yorba chose the flatlands near the Santa Ana River at what became known as Olive. His son[s] ... built adobes on the hills by Olive, out of the flood plain ... [which] became known as Santa Ana Ranch and served as the rancho headquarters” (Maniery et al. 2006). “Yorba and Peralta each had nine children. As these descendants married, the family expanded and settled on different parts of the rancho” (Maniery et al. 2006).

“In the 1860s, the Yorba rancho was subdivided by heirs of the original grantees and [lawyers] Andrew Glassell and Alfred Chapman ... took 4,000 acres in lieu of attorneys’ fees” (Maniery et al. 2006). Ten- to 40-acre parcels were formed from the subdivided land and placed for sale in 1870 as the “Richland Farm Lots” (Maniery et al. 2006). “Glassell’s brother, Captain William Glassell, surveyed and platted a new town named Richland in 1871; the name was changed to Orange two years later to satisfy postal requirements” (Maniery et al. 2006) since “there was already a Richland, California, up near Sacramento” (Brigandi 2011).

Orange was incorporated in 1888 and “was primarily an agricultural community until the 1950s, when modern suburban development arrived” (Brigandi 2011). “At the time of incorporation, Orange had a population of 600, and was a 3.1 square mile city bounded by” Batavia Street, Collins Avenue, La Veta Avenue, and Santiago Creek (City of Orange n.d.). Profitable crops included grapes, wheat, oats, barley, corn, fruit trees, a variety of vegetables, tobacco, and hops (Brigandi 2011), “but by 1920, oranges had become the city’s premier crop” (City of Orange n.d.). The population of the city grew during World War II, with “thousands of servicemen ... [being] trained in Southern California” (City of Orange n.d.). “After World War II, returning

soldiers and a massive influx of new residents to the state” contributed to the population boom and “Orange, located centrally in the Los Angeles Basin” became an attractive option and “its remaining open and agricultural space ... [was developed] into bedroom communities” (Maniery et al. 2006). Orange continued to expand throughout the subsequent decades and “is home to thousands of businesses, ranging from major Fortune 500 companies to family-owned stores,” in addition to Chapman University and Santiago Canyon College (City of Orange n.d.).

#### 1.4 Results of the Archaeological Records Search

An archaeological records search for the project and the surrounding area within a one-mile radius was requested from the SCCIC at CSU Fullerton on March 11, 2021 and the results were returned on April 9, 2021. The SCCIC records search results indicated that four resources, all historic in age, are located within a one-mile radius of the project (Table 1.4–1). These resources include the Old Towne Orange Historic District, a segment of the historic Burlington Northern Santa Fe railroad, the historic Angel Stadium of Anaheim, and a historic transmission tower. None of these resources are mapped within the subject property. The records search results also indicated that 41 cultural resource studies have been conducted within a one-mile radius of the project, none of which cover any portions of the subject property. The complete records search results can be found in Appendix B.

**Table 1.4–1**  
Cultural Resources Within One Mile of the Project

Site Number	Site Type
P-30-159932	Old Towne Orange Historic District
P-30-176663	Historic Burlington Northern Santa Fe Railroad
P-30-177113	Historic Angel Stadium of Anaheim
P-30-177610	Historic transmission tower

BFSA also reviewed the following sources to help facilitate a better understanding of the historic use of the property:

- The National Register of Historic Places index
- Historic USGS data
- Historic aerial photographs (1938, 1947, 1955, 1973, 1977, and 1995)

These sources did not indicate the presence of archaeological resources within the project. For background research, the absence of positive results does not necessarily indicate the absence of cultural resources; however, given the historic settlement of the region, there is a low to moderate

potential for archaeological discoveries.

BFSa also requested a records search of the SLF of the NAHC, which was negative for the presence of sacred sites in the search radius. All correspondence is provided in Appendix C.

## **1.5 Applicable Regulations**

Resource importance is assigned to districts, sites, buildings, structures, and objects that possess exceptional value or quality illustrating or interpreting the heritage of Orange County in history, architecture, archaeology, engineering, and culture. A number of criteria are used in demonstrating resource importance. Specifically, the criteria outlined in CEQA and City of Orange environmental guidelines provide the guidance for making such a determination. The following sections detail the criteria that a resource must meet in order to be determined important.

### *1.5.1 California Environmental Quality Act*

According to CEQA (§15064.5a), the term “historical resource” includes the following:

- 1) A resource listed in or determined to be eligible by the State Historical Resources Commission for listing in the California Register of Historical Resources (CRHR) (Public Resources Code SS5024.1, Title 14 CCR. Section 4850 et seq.).
- 2) A resource included in a local register of historical resources, as defined in Section 5020.1(k) of the Public Resources Code or identified as significant in an historical resource survey meeting the requirements of Section 5024.1(g) of the Public Resources Code, shall be presumed to be historically or culturally significant. Public agencies must treat any such resource as significant unless the preponderance of evidence demonstrates that it is not historically or culturally significant.
- 3) Any object, building, structure, site, area, place, record, or manuscript, which a lead agency determines to be historically significant or significant in the architectural, engineering, scientific, economic, agricultural, educational, social, political, military, or cultural annals of California may be considered to be an historical resource, provided the lead agency’s determination is supported by substantial evidence in light of the whole record. Generally, a resource shall be considered by the lead agency to be “historically significant” if the resource meets the criteria for listing on the CRHR (Public Resources Code SS5024.1, Title 14, Section 4852) including the following:
  - a) Is associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of California’s history and cultural heritage;
  - b) Is associated with the lives of persons important in our past;
  - c) Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, region, or method of construction, or represents the work of an important creative individual, or possesses high artistic values; or

- d) Has yielded, or may be likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history.
- 4) The fact that a resource is not listed in, or determined eligible for listing in the CRHR, not included in a local register of historical resources (pursuant to Section 5020.1(k) of the Public Resources Code), or identified in an historical resources survey (meeting the criteria in Section 5024.1(g) of the Public Resources Code) does not preclude a lead agency from determining that the resource may be an historical resource as defined in Public Resources Code Section 5020.1(j) or 5024.1.

According to CEQA (§15064.5b), a project with an effect that may cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an historical resource is a project that may have a significant effect on the environment. CEQA defines a substantial adverse change as:

- 1) Substantial adverse change in the significance of an historical resource means physical demolition, destruction, relocation, or alteration of the resource or its immediate surroundings such that the significance of an historical resource would be materially impaired.
- 2) The significance of an historical resource is materially impaired when a project:
  - a) Demolishes or materially alters in an adverse manner those physical characteristics of an historical resource that convey its historical significance and that justify its inclusion in, or eligibility for inclusion in the CRHR; or
  - b) Demolishes or materially alters in an adverse manner those physical characteristics that account for its inclusion in a local register of historical resources pursuant to Section 5020.1(k) of the Public Resources Code or its identification in an historical resources survey meeting the requirements of Section 5024.1(g) of the Public Resources Code, unless the public agency reviewing the effects of the project establishes by a preponderance of evidence that the resource is not historically or culturally significant; or,
  - c) Demolishes or materially alters in an adverse manner those physical characteristics of an historical resource that convey its historical significance and that justify its eligibility for inclusion in the CRHR as determined by a lead agency for purposes of CEQA.

Section 15064.5(c) of CEQA applies to effects on archaeological sites and contains the following additional provisions regarding archaeological sites:

- 1. When a project will impact an archaeological site, a lead agency shall first determine

- whether the site is an historical resource, as defined in subsection (a).
2. If a lead agency determines that the archaeological site is an historical resource, it shall refer to the provisions of Section 21084.1 of the Public Resources Code, Section 15126.4 of the guidelines, and the limits contained in Section 21083.2 of the Public Resources Code do not apply.
  3. If an archaeological site does not meet the criteria defined in subsection (a), but does meet the definition of a unique archaeological resource in Section 21803.2 of the Public Resources Code, the site shall be treated in accordance with the provisions of Section 21083.2. The time and cost limitations described in Public Resources Code Section 21083.2 (c-f) do not apply to surveys and site evaluation activities intended to determine whether the project location contains unique archaeological resources.
  4. If an archaeological resource is neither a unique archaeological nor historical resource, the effects of the project on those resources shall not be considered a significant effect on the environment. It shall be sufficient that both the resource and the effect on it are noted in the Initial Study or Environmental Impact Report, if one is prepared to address impacts on other resources, but they need not be considered further in the CEQA process.

Section 15064.5 (d) and (e) contain additional provisions regarding human remains. Regarding Native American human remains, paragraph (d) provides:

- (d) When an initial study identifies the existence of, or the probable likelihood of, Native American human remains within the project, a lead agency shall work with the appropriate Native Americans as identified by the NAHC as provided in Public Resources Code SS5097.98. The applicant may develop an agreement for treating or disposing of, with appropriate dignity, the human remains and any items associated with Native American burials with the appropriate Native Americans as identified by the NAHC. Action implementing such an agreement is exempt from:
  - 1) The general prohibition on disinterring, disturbing, or removing human remains from any location other than a dedicated cemetery (Health and Safety Code Section 7050.5).
  - 2) The requirements of CEQA and the Coastal Act.

## **2.0 RESEARCH DESIGN**

The primary goal of the research design is to attempt to understand the way in which humans have used the land and resources within the project area through time, as well as to aid in the determination of resource significance. For the current project, the study area under investigation is west-central Orange County. The scope of work for the cultural resources study conducted for the IDI Logistics Eckhoff Street Project included the survey of a 12.69-acre area. Given the area involved, the research design for this project was focused upon realistic study options. Since the main objective of the investigation was to identify the presence of and potential impacts to cultural resources, the goal is not necessarily to answer wide-reaching theories regarding the development of early southern California, but to investigate the role and importance of identified resources. Nevertheless, the assessment of the significance of a resource must take into consideration a variety of characteristics, as well as the ability of a resource to address regional research topics and issues.

Although elementary resource evaluation programs are limited in terms of the amount of information available, several specific research questions were developed that could be used to guide the initial investigations of any observed cultural resources. The following research questions take into account the size and location of the project discussed above.

### ***Research Questions:***

- Can located cultural resources be associated with a specific time period, population, or individual?
- Do the types of any located cultural resources allow a site activity/function to be determined from a preliminary investigation? What are the site activities? What is the site function? What resources were exploited?
- How do located sites compare to others reported from different surveys conducted in the area?
- How do located sites fit existing models of settlement and subsistence for valley environments of the region?

### ***Data Needs***

At the survey level, the principal research objective is a generalized investigation of changing settlement patterns in both the prehistoric and historic periods within the study area. The overall goal is to understand settlement and resource procurement patterns of the project area occupants. Therefore, adequate information on site function, context, and chronology from an archaeological perspective is essential for the investigation. The fieldwork and archival research were undertaken with the following primary research goals in mind:

- 1) To identify cultural resources occurring within the project;
- 2) To determine, if possible, site type and function, context of the resource(s), and chronological placement of each cultural resource identified;
- 3) To place each cultural resource identified within a regional perspective; and
- 4) To provide recommendations for the treatment of each cultural resources identified.



### **3.0 ANALYSIS OF PROJECT EFFECTS**

The cultural resources study of the project consisted of an institutional records search, an intensive cultural resource survey of the entire 12.69-acre project, and the preparation of this technical report. This study was conducted in conformance with City of Orange environmental guidelines, Section 21083.2 of the California Public Resources Code, and CEQA. Statutory requirements of CEQA (Section 15064.5) were followed for the identification and evaluation of resources. Specific definitions for archaeological resource type(s) used in this report are those established by the State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO 1995).

#### **3.1 Survey Methods**

The survey methodology employed during the current investigation followed standard archaeological field procedures and was sufficient to accomplish a thorough assessment of the project. The field methodology employed for the project included walking evenly spaced survey transects set approximately five meters apart while visually inspecting the ground surface. All potentially sensitive areas where cultural resources might be located were closely inspected. Photographs documenting survey areas and overall survey conditions were taken frequently.

#### **3.2 Results of the Field Survey**

Staff archaeologist Mary Chitjian conducted the archaeological survey for the IDI Logistics Eckhoff Street Project on April 5, 2021. The archaeological survey of the property was an intensive reconnaissance consisting of a series of survey transects across the subject property. Survey conditions were generally fair; however, the ground surface was not visible throughout the survey area due to buildings and hardscape, which comprise the entirety of the property (Plates 3.2–1 and 3.2–2). The existing industrial buildings, cement lots, and asphalt parking lots covered and majority of the subject property and no exposed soil or vegetation was present on the property. An underground tunnel is present that once ran below North Eckhoff Street to connect to adjacent properties but has since been blocked off and is now used for storage. An additional storm/water drain runs beneath the property from north to south.


Aerial photographs indicate that the property was used as an orchard as early as 1938 until at least 1973. A farmhouse and associated outbuildings are located outside of and adjacent to the southwest project boundary on both the 1938 and the 1973 aerial photographs (Plates 3.2–3 and 3.2–4). By 1977, the entire property had been graded, the farmhouse and outbuildings had been demolished, and the surrounding area had been industrialized with warehouses (Plate 3.2–5). The project area was likely developed for industrial use soon after the property was graded; however, available aerial photographs only show this development by 1995 (Plate 3.2–6). Additional structures were added to the property as late as 2013, as the area continued to be used for industrial warehouses.



**Plate 3.2-1: Overview of the project, facing south.**




**Plate 3.2-2: Overview of the project, facing north.**




**Plate 3.2–3**  
**1938 Aerial Photograph**  
The IDI Logistics Eckhoff Street Project





**Plate 3.2-4**  
**1973 Aerial Photograph**  
The IDI Logistics Eckhoff Street Project



**Plate 3.2–5**  
**1977 Aerial Photograph**  
The IDI Logistics Eckhoff Street Project





**Plate 3.2-6**  
**1995 Aerial Photograph**  
The IDI Logistics Eckhoff Street Project

As shown in the aerial photographs, the entire property has been disturbed, first by orchards, then by the grading of the project for the development of warehouses. During the archaeological survey of the property, there was no visible ground surface due to presence of the paved parking lots and warehouses. The survey did not result in the identification of any historic or prehistoric cultural resources and none of the extant buildings were found to meet the minimum age threshold to be considered historic under CEQA.

## **4.0 RECOMMENDATIONS**

The cultural resources study for the IDI Logistics Eckhoff Street Project, which was completed in accordance with City of Orange report guidelines and CEQA significance evaluation criteria, was negative for the presence of cultural resources. No potential impacts to significant cultural resources are associated with the proposed development of the project. Furthermore, the records search results indicated that only four historic resources, all associated with the built environment, have been recorded within a one-mile radius of the project, and no prehistoric resources have ever been recorded within the vicinity of the project. Due to the disturbed nature of the property due to previous grading, clearing, and industrial development, as well as the surrounding industrial development since the 1970s, there is little likelihood that archaeological deposits are present within the project boundaries. Therefore, mitigation measures will not be recommended and the project should be allowed to proceed without additional archaeological studies.



## **5.0 LIST OF PREPARERS AND ORGANIZATIONS CONTACTED**

The archaeological survey program for the IDI Logistics Eckhoff Street Project was directed by Principal Investigator Brian F. Smith. The archaeological fieldwork was conducted by staff archaeologist Mary Chitjian. The report text was prepared by Brian Smith, Jillian Conroy, and Elena Goralogia. Report graphics were provided by Jillian Conroy. Technical editing and report production were conducted by Elena Goralogia with assistance from Courtney McNair. The archaeological records search was provided by the SCCIC at CSU Fullerton.

## 6.0 REFERENCES CITED

Antevs, Ernst

- 1953 The Postpluvial or the Neothermal. Berkeley: *University of California Archaeological Survey Reports* 22:9–23.

Bancroft, Hubert Howe

- 1886 *History of California* (Vol. V); 1846-1848. The History Company, San Francisco, California.

Bean, Lowell John and Florence C. Shipek

- 1978 Luiseño. In *Handbook of North American Indians*, Volume 8: California, edited by R.F. Heizer. Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C.

Bedwell, S. F.

- 1970 *Prehistory and Environment of the Pluvial Fork Rock Lake Area of South Central Oregon*. Unpublished Ph.D. Dissertation, Department of Anthropology, University of Oregon, Eugene.

Blick, James D.

- 1976 Agriculture in San Diego County. In *San Diego – An Introduction to the Area*, edited by Philip J. Pryde, pp. 121–135. Kendall/Hunt Publishing Company, Dubuque, Iowa.

Boscana, Geronimo

- 1978 *Chinigchinich: A Revised and Annotated Version of Alfred Robinson's Translation of Father Geronimo Boscana's Historical Account of the Beliefs, Usages, Customs, and Extravagancies of the Indians of this Mission San Juan Capistrano Called the Acagchemem Tribe (1846)*. Edited by P.T. Hanna. Originally published in 1933, Fine Arts Press, Santa Ana, California. Reprinted by Malki Museum Press, Banning, California.

Brigandi, Phil

- 2011 *A Brief History of Orange, California: The Plaza City*. The History Press, Charleston, South Carolina.

Bull, Charles S.

- 1987 A New Proposal: Some Suggestions for San Diego Prehistory. In *San Dieguito-La Jolla: Chronology and Controversy*, San Diego County Archaeological Society Research Paper (1), edited by Dennis R. Gallegos.

Carrico, Richard L. and Clifford V. F. Taylor

- 1983 Excavation of a Portion of Ystagua: A Coastal Valley Ipai Settlement. On file at the City of San Diego Planning Department, Environmental Quality Division, San Diego, California.

Castillo, Edward D.

- 1978 The Impact of Euro-American Exploration and Settlement. In *Handbook of North American Indians*, Vol 8, edited by Robert F. Heizer. Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C.

Chartkoff, Joseph L. and Kerry Kona Chartkoff

- 1984 *The Archaeology of California*. Stanford University Press, Stanford, California.

City of Orange

- N.d. History of Orange. Electronic Document, <https://www.cityoforange.org/411/History-of-Orange>, accessed April 6, 2021.

Davis, E.L., C.W. Brott, and D.L. Weide

- 1969 The Western Lithic Co-Tradition. *San Diego Museum Papers* (6). San Diego Museum of Man, San Diego, California.

Drover, Christopher E., Henry C. Koerper, and Paul E. Langenwaller II

- 1983 Early Holocene Human Adaptation on the Southern California Coast: A Summary Report of Investigations at the Irvine Site (CA-ORA-64), Newport Bay, Orange County, California. *Pacific Coast Archaeological Society Quarterly* 19(3&4):1–84.

Elliott, Wallace W.

- 1965 *History of San Bernardino and San Diego Counties with Illustrations*. Riverside Museum Press, Riverside, California. Originally published 1883, W.W. Elliott, San Francisco, California.

Engelhardt, Zephyrin

- 1921 *San Diego Mission*. James M. Barry Company, San Francisco, California.

Gallegos, Dennis R.

- 1987 A Review and Synthesis of Environmental and Cultural Material for the Batiquitos Lagoon Region. In *San Dieguito-La Jolla: Chronology and Controversy*. Editor. San Diego County Archaeological Society Research Paper No. 1.
- 1991 Antiquity and Adaptation at Agua Hedionda, Carlsbad, California. In *Hunter-Gatherers of Early Holocene Coastal California*, edited by Jon M. Erlandson and Roger H. Colten. Perspectives in California Archaeology, Volume 1, Institute of Archaeology, University of California, Los Angeles. Gallegos, Dennis R. and Richard Carrico
- 2002 Southern California in Transition: Late Holocene Occupation of Southern San Diego County. In *Catalysts to Complexity: Late Holocene Societies of the California Coast*, Edited by Jon M. Erlandson and Terry Jones. Institute of Archaeology, University of California, Los Angeles.

Gallegos, Dennis R. and Richard Carrico

- 1984 Windsong Shores Data Recovery Program for Site W-131, Carlsbad, California. Unpublished report on file at the South Coastal Information Center at San Diego State University, San Diego, California.

Hayden, Julian D.

- 1987 Notes on the Apparent Course of San Dieguito Development. In *San Dieguito-La Jolla: Chronology and Controversy*, edited by Susan M. Hector. San Diego County Archaeological Society Research Paper (1).

Kaldenberg, Russell L.

- 1982 Rancho Park North: A San Dieguito-La Jolla Shellfish Processing Site in Coastal Southern California. In *Occasional Paper* (6). Imperial Valley College Museum Society, El Centro, California.

Koerper, Henry C., Paul E. Langenwaller II, and Adella B. Schroth

- 1991 Early Holocene Adaptations and the Transition Phase Problem: Evidence from the Allan O. Kelly Site, Agua Hedionda Lagoon. In *Hunter-Gatherers of Early Holocene Coastal California*, edited by Jon M. Erlandson and Roger H. Colten, pp. 43–62. Cotsen Institute of Archaeology, University of California, Los Angeles.

Koerper, Henry, C., Jonathan E. Ericson, Christopher E. Drover, and Paul E. Langenwaller II

- 1986 Obsidian Exchange in Prehistoric Orange County. *Pacific Coast Archaeological Society Quarterly* 22 (1):33–69.

Kroeber, A.L.

- 1976 *Handbook of the Indians of California*. Bureau of American Ethnology Bulletin 78, 1976 Dover Publications Edition, Dover Publications, Inc., New York.

Macko, Michael E.

- 1988 Neolithic Newport: Executive Summary – Results of Implementing Mitigation Measures Specified in the Operation Plan and Research Design for the Proposed Newporter North Residential Development at ORA-64. Macko, Inc. Unpublished report on file at the South Central Coastal Information Center at California State University, Fullerton, Fullerton, California.

Maniery, Mary L., Cindy L. Baker, and John W. Dougherty

- 2006 Research Design and Sensitivity Assessment for the City of Orange, Orange County, California. PAR Environmental Services, Inc. Unpublished report on file at the City of Orange, Orange, California.

Meighan, Clement W.

- 1954 A Late Complex in Southern California Prehistory. *Southwestern Journal of Anthropology* 10(2).

Moratto, Michael J.

1984 *California Archaeology*. Academic Press, New York.

Moriarty, James R., III

1967 Transitional Pre-Desert Phase in San Diego County. *Science* 155.

1987 A Separate Origins Theory for Two Early Man Cultures in California. Environmental and Cultural Material for the Batiquitos Lagoon Region. In *San Dieguito-La Jolla: Chronology and Controversy*, edited by Dennis Gallegos. San Diego County Archaeological Society Research Paper 1:49–60.

Palou, Fray Francisco

1926 *Historical Memoirs of New California*, edited by Herbert Eugene Bolton (4 Volumes). University of California Press, Berkeley.

Price, Glenn W.

1967 *Origins of the War with Mexico*. University of Texas Press, Austin.

Rogers, Malcolm

1939 Early Lithic Industries of the Lower Basin of the Colorado River and Adjacent Desert Areas. In *San Diego Museum Papers* (No. 3 – 1989 printing). San Diego Museum of Man, San Diego, California.

Rolle, Andrew F.

1969 *California: A History* (Second Edition). Thomas Y. Crowell Company, New York.

Shumway, George, Carl L. Hubbs, and James R. Moriarty III

1961 Scripps Estate Site, San Diego, California: A La Jolla Site Dated 5,460-7,370 Years Before the Present. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 93(3).

Smith, Brian F.

1987 The Excavations at Site CA-SDI-9956/W-3376. On file at the County of San Diego Planning and Development Services Department, San Diego, California.

Smith, Brian F. and James R. Moriarty III

1985 An Archaeological Reconnaissance of San Diego Motor Racing Park, Otay Mesa, San Diego. Unpublished report on file at the City of San Diego Environmental Analysis Division, San Diego, California.

State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO)

1995 *Instructions for Recording Historical Resources*. Office of Historic Preservation, Sacramento.

True, Delbert L.

1958 An Early Complex in San Diego County, California. *American Antiquity* 23(3).

- 1980 The Pauma Complex in Northern San Diego County. *Journal of New World Archaeology* 3(4):1–39

Van Devender, T.R. and W.G. Spaulding

- 1979 Development of Vegetation and Climate in the Southwestern United States. *Science* 204:701–710.

Walker, E.F.

- 1951 Five Prehistoric Sites in Los Angeles County, California. *Publications of the Frederick Webb Hodge Anniversary Publication Fund* 6:1–116.

Wallace, William J.

- 1955 A Suggested Chronology for Southern California Coastal Archaeology. *Southwestern Journal of Anthropology* 11:214–230. Albuquerque.

Warren, Claude N.

- 1966 *The San Dieguito Type Site: Malcolm J. Rogers' 1938 Excavation on the San Dieguito River. San Diego Museum Papers* (6).

- 1967 The San Dieguito Complex: A Review and Hypothesis. In *American Antiquity* 32(2):168–185.

- 1968 Cultural Tradition and Ecological Adaptation on the Southern Coast. In *Archaic Prehistory in the Western United States*, C.I. Williams, ed. *Eastern New Mexico University Contributions in Anthropology* 1(3):1–14.

Warren, Claude N., D.L. True, and Ardith A. Eudey

- 1961 Early Gathering Complexes of Western San Diego County: Results and Interpretations of an Archaeological Survey. *Archaeological Survey Annual Report 1960-1961*. University of California, Los Angeles.

**APPENDIX A**

**Resumes of Key Personnel**

# Brian F. Smith, MA

## Owner, Principal Investigator

Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc.  
14010 Poway Road • Suite A •  
Phone: (858) 679-8218 • Fax: (858) 679-9896 • E-Mail: bsmith@bfsa-ca.com



## Education

---

**Master of Arts, History, University of San Diego, California** 1982

**Bachelor of Arts, History, and Anthropology, University of San Diego, California** 1975

## Professional Memberships

---

Society for California Archaeology

## Experience

---

**Principal Investigator**  
**Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc.**

**1977–Present**  
**Poway, California**

Brian F. Smith is the owner and principal historical and archaeological consultant for Brian F. Smith and Associates. Over the past 32 years, he has conducted over 2,500 cultural resource studies in California, Arizona, Nevada, Montana, and Texas. These studies include every possible aspect of archaeology from literature searches and large-scale surveys to intensive data recovery excavations. Reports prepared by Mr. Smith have been submitted to all facets of local, state, and federal review agencies, including the US Army Corps of Engineers, the Bureau of Land Management, the Bureau of Reclamation, the Department of Defense, and the Department of Homeland Security. In addition, Mr. Smith has conducted studies for utility companies (Sempra Energy) and state highway departments (CalTrans).

## Professional Accomplishments

---

These selected major professional accomplishments represent research efforts that have added significantly to the body of knowledge concerning the prehistoric life ways of cultures once present in the Southern California area and historic settlement since the late 18th century. Mr. Smith has been principal investigator on the following select projects, except where noted.

Downtown San Diego Mitigation and Monitoring Reporting Programs: Large numbers of downtown San Diego mitigation and monitoring projects, some of which included Broadway Block (2019), 915 Grape Street (2019), 1919 Pacific Highway (2018), Moxy Hotel (2018), Makers Quarter Block D (2017), Ballpark Village (2017), 460 16<sup>th</sup> Street (2017), Kettner and Ash (2017), Bayside Fire Station (2017), Pinnacle on the Park (2017), IDEA1 (2016), Blue Sky San Diego (2016), Pacific Gate (2016), Pendry Hotel (2015), Cisterra Sempra Office Tower (2014), 15<sup>th</sup> and Island (2014), Park and G (2014), Comm 22 (2014), 7<sup>th</sup> and F Street Parking (2013), Ariel Suites (2013), 13<sup>th</sup> and Marker (2012), Strata (2008), Hotel Indigo (2008), Lofts at 707 10<sup>th</sup> Avenue Project (2007), Breeza (2007), Bayside at the Embarcadero (2007), Aria (2007), Icon (2007), Vantage Pointe (2007), Aperture (2007), Sapphire Tower (2007), Lofts at 655 Sixth Avenue (2007), Metrowork (2007), The Legend (2006), The Mark (2006), Smart Corner (2006), Lofts at 677 7<sup>th</sup> Avenue (2005), Aloft on Cortez Hill (2005), Front and Beech Apartments (2003), Bella Via Condominiums (2003), Acqua Vista Residential Tower (2003), Northblock Lofts (2003), Westin Park Place Hotel (2001), Parkloft



Apartment Complex (2001), Renaissance Park (2001), and Laurel Bay Apartments (2001).

1900 and 1912 Spindrift Drive: An extensive data recovery and mitigation monitoring program at the Spindrift Site, an important prehistoric archaeological habitation site stretching across the La Jolla area. The project resulted in the discovery of over 20,000 artifacts and nearly 100,000 grams of bulk faunal remains and marine shell, indicating a substantial occupation area (2013-2014).

Emerald Acres: Archaeological survey and testing program of 14 archaeological sites across 333 acres in the Winchester area of Riverside County (2000-2018).

San Diego Airport Development Project: An extensive historic assessment of multiple buildings at the San Diego International Airport and included the preparation of Historic American Buildings Survey documentation to preserve significant elements of the airport prior to demolition (2017-2018).

Citracado Parkway Extension: A still-ongoing project in the city of Escondido to mitigate impacts to an important archaeological occupation site. Various archaeological studies have been conducted by BFSa resulting in the identification of a significant cultural deposit within the project area.

Westin Hotel and Timeshare (Grand Pacific Resorts): Data recovery and mitigation monitoring program in the city of Carlsbad consisted of the excavation of 176 one-square-meter archaeological data recovery units which produced thousands of prehistoric artifacts and ecofacts, and resulted in the preservation of a significant prehistoric habitation site. The artifacts recovered from the site presented important new data about the prehistory of the region and Native American occupation in the area (2017).

Citracado Business Park West: An archaeological survey and testing program at a significant prehistoric archaeological site and historic building assessment for a 17-acre project in the city of Escondido. The project resulted in the identification of 82 bedrock milling features, two previously recorded loci and two additional and distinct loci, and approximately 2,000 artifacts (2018).

The Everly Subdivision Project: Data recovery and mitigation monitoring program in the city of El Cajon resulted in the identification of a significant prehistoric occupation site from both the Late Prehistoric and Archaic Periods, as well as producing historic artifacts that correspond to the use of the property since 1886. The project produced an unprecedented quantity of artifacts in comparison to the area encompassed by the site, but lacked characteristics that typically reflect intense occupation, indicating that the site was used intensively for food processing (2014-2015).

Ballpark Village: A mitigation and monitoring program within three city blocks in the East Village area of San Diego resulting in the discovery of a significant historic deposit. Nearly 5,000 historic artifacts and over 500,000 grams of bulk historic building fragments, food waste, and other materials representing an occupation period between 1880 and 1917 were recovered (2015-2017).

Archaeology at the Padres Ballpark: Involved the analysis of historic resources within a seven-block area of the "East Village" area of San Diego, where occupation spanned a period from the 1870s to the 1940s. Over a period of two years, BFSa recovered over 200,000 artifacts and hundreds of pounds of metal, construction debris, unidentified broken glass, and wood. Collectively, the Ballpark Project and the other downtown mitigation and monitoring projects represent the largest historical archaeological program anywhere in the country in the past decade (2000-2007).

4S Ranch Archaeological and Historical Cultural Resources Study: Data recovery program consisted of the excavation of over 2,000 square meters of archaeological deposits that produced over one million artifacts, containing primarily prehistoric materials. The archaeological program at 4S Ranch is the largest archaeological study ever undertaken in the San Diego County area and has produced data that has exceeded expectations regarding the resolution of long-standing research questions and regional prehistoric settlement patterns.

Charles H. Brown Site: Attracted international attention to the discovery of evidence of the antiquity of man in North America. Site located in Mission Valley, in the city of San Diego.

Del Mar Man Site: Study of the now famous Early Man Site in Del Mar, California, for the San Diego Science Foundation and the San Diego Museum of Man, under the direction of Dr. Spencer Rogers and Dr. James R. Moriarty.

Old Town State Park Projects: Consulting Historical Archaeologist. Projects completed in the Old Town State Park involved development of individual lots for commercial enterprises. The projects completed in Old Town include Archaeological and Historical Site Assessment for the Great Wall Cafe (1992), Archaeological Study for the Old Town Commercial Project (1991), and Cultural Resources Site Survey at the Old San Diego Inn (1988).

Site W-20, Del Mar, California: A two-year-long investigation of a major prehistoric site in the Del Mar area of the city of San Diego. This research effort documented the earliest practice of religious/ceremonial activities in San Diego County (circa 6,000 years ago), facilitated the projection of major non-material aspects of the La Jolla Complex, and revealed the pattern of civilization at this site over a continuous period of 5,000 years. The report for the investigation included over 600 pages, with nearly 500,000 words of text, illustrations, maps, and photographs documenting this major study.

City of San Diego Reclaimed Water Distribution System: A cultural resource study of nearly 400 miles of pipeline in the city and county of San Diego.

Master Environmental Assessment Project, City of Poway: Conducted for the City of Poway to produce a complete inventory of all recorded historic and prehistoric properties within the city. The information was used in conjunction with the City's General Plan Update to produce a map matrix of the city showing areas of high, moderate, and low potential for the presence of cultural resources. The effort also included the development of the City's Cultural Resource Guidelines, which were adopted as City policy.

Draft of the City of Carlsbad Historical and Archaeological Guidelines: Contracted by the City of Carlsbad to produce the draft of the City's historical and archaeological guidelines for use by the Planning Department of the City.

The Mid-Bayfront Project for the City of Chula Vista: Involved a large expanse of undeveloped agricultural land situated between the railroad and San Diego Bay in the northwestern portion of the city. The study included the analysis of some potentially historic features and numerous prehistoric

Cultural Resources Survey and Test of Sites Within the Proposed Development of the Audie Murphy Ranch, Riverside County, California: Project manager/director of the investigation of 1,113.4 acres and 43 sites, both prehistoric and historic—including project coordination; direction of field crews; evaluation of sites for significance based on County of Riverside and CEQA guidelines; assessment of cupule, pictograph, and rock shelter sites, co-authoring of cultural resources project report. February- September 2002.

Cultural Resources Evaluation of Sites Within the Proposed Development of the Otay Ranch Village 13 Project, San Diego County, California: Project manager/director of the investigation of 1,947 acres and 76 sites, both prehistoric and historic—including project coordination and budgeting; direction of field crews; assessment of sites for significance based on County of San Diego and CEQA guidelines; co-authoring of cultural resources project report. May-November 2002.

Cultural Resources Survey for the Remote Video Surveillance Project, El Centro Sector, Imperial County: Project manager/director for a survey of 29 individual sites near the U.S./Mexico Border for proposed video surveillance camera locations associated with the San Diego Border barrier Project—project coordination and budgeting; direction of field crews; site identification and recordation; assessment of

potential impacts to cultural resources; meeting and coordinating with U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, U.S. Border Patrol, and other government agencies involved; co-authoring of cultural resources project report. January, February, and July 2002.

Cultural Resources Survey and Test of Sites Within the Proposed Development of the Menifee West GPA, Riverside County, California: Project manager/director of the investigation of nine sites, both prehistoric and historic—included project coordination and budgeting; direction of field crews; assessment of sites for significance based on County of Riverside and CEQA guidelines; historic research; co-authoring of cultural resources project report. January-March 2002.

Mitigation of An Archaic Cultural Resource for the Eastlake III Woods Project for the City of Chula Vista, California: Project archaeologist/ director—included direction of field crews; development and completion of data recovery program including collection of material for specialized faunal and botanical analyses; assessment of sites for significance based on CEQA guidelines; management of artifact collections cataloging and curation; data synthesis; co-authoring of cultural resources project report, in prep. September 2001-March 2002.

Cultural Resources Survey and Test of Sites Within the Proposed French Valley Specific Plan/EIR, Riverside County, California: Project manager/director of the investigation of two prehistoric and three historic sites—included project coordination and budgeting; survey of project area; Native American consultation; direction of field crews; assessment of sites for significance based on CEQA guidelines; cultural resources project report in prep. July-August 2000.

Cultural Resources Survey and Test of Sites Within the Proposed Lawson Valley Project, San Diego County, California: Project manager/director of the investigation of 28 prehistoric and two historic sites—included project coordination; direction of field crews; assessment of sites for significance based on CEQA guidelines; cultural resources project report in prep. July-August 2000.

Cultural Resource Survey and Geotechnical Monitoring for the Mohyi Residence Project, La Jolla, California: Project manager/director of the investigation of a single-dwelling parcel—included project coordination; field survey; assessment of parcel for potentially buried cultural deposits; monitoring of geotechnical borings; authoring of cultural resources project report. Brian F. Smith and Associates, San Diego, California. June 2000.

Enhanced Cultural Resource Survey and Evaluation for the Prewitt/Schmucker/Cavadias Project, La Jolla, California: Project manager/director of the investigation of a single-dwelling parcel—included project coordination; direction of field crews; assessment of parcel for potentially buried cultural deposits; authoring of cultural resources project report. June 2000.

Cultural Resources Survey and Test of Sites Within the Proposed Development of the Menifee Ranch, Riverside County, California: Project manager/director of the investigation of one prehistoric and five historic sites—included project coordination and budgeting; direction of field crews; feature recordation; historic structure assessments; assessment of sites for significance based on CEQA guidelines; historic research; co-authoring of cultural resources project report. February-June 2000.

Salvage Mitigation of a Portion of the San Diego Presidio Identified During Water Pipe Construction for the City of San Diego, California: Project archaeologist/director—included direction of field crews; development and completion of data recovery program; management of artifact collections cataloging and curation; data synthesis and authoring of cultural resources project report in prep. April 2000.

Enhanced Cultural Resource Survey and Evaluation for the Tyrian 3 Project, La Jolla, California: Project manager/director of the investigation of a single-dwelling parcel—included project coordination; assessment of parcel for potentially buried cultural deposits; authoring of cultural resources project report. April 2000.

Enhanced Cultural Resource Survey and Evaluation for the Lamont 5 Project, Pacific Beach, California: Project manager/director of the investigation of a single-dwelling parcel—including project coordination; assessment of parcel for potentially buried cultural deposits; authoring of cultural resources project report. April 2000.

Enhanced Cultural Resource Survey and Evaluation for the Reiss Residence Project, La Jolla, California: Project manager/director of the investigation of a single-dwelling parcel—including project coordination; assessment of parcel for potentially buried cultural deposits; authoring of cultural resources project report. March-April 2000.

Salvage Mitigation of a Portion of Site SDM-W-95 (CA-SDI-211) for the Poinsettia Shores Santalina Development Project and Caltrans, Carlsbad, California: Project archaeologist/ director—including direction of field crews; development and completion of data recovery program; management of artifact collections cataloging and curation; data synthesis and authoring of cultural resources project report in prep. December 1999-January 2000.

Survey and Testing of Two Prehistoric Cultural Resources for the Airway Truck Parking Project, Otay Mesa, California: Project archaeologist/director—including direction of field crews; development and completion of testing recovery program; assessment of site for significance based on CEQA guidelines; authoring of cultural resources project report, in prep. December 1999-January 2000.

Cultural Resources Phase I and II Investigations for the Tin Can Hill Segment of the Immigration and Naturalization Services Triple Fence Project Along the International Border, San Diego County, California: Project manager/director for a survey and testing of a prehistoric quarry site along the border—NRHP eligibility assessment; project coordination and budgeting; direction of field crews; feature recordation; meeting and coordinating with U.S. Army Corps of Engineers; co-authoring of cultural resources project report. December 1999-January 2000.

Mitigation of a Prehistoric Cultural Resource for the Westview High School Project for the City of San Diego, California: Project archaeologist/ director—including direction of field crews; development and completion of data recovery program including collection of material for specialized faunal and botanical analyses; assessment of sites for significance based on CEQA guidelines; management of artifact collections cataloging and curation; data synthesis; co-authoring of cultural resources project report, in prep. October 1999-January 2000.

Mitigation of a Prehistoric Cultural Resource for the Otay Ranch SPA-One West Project for the City of Chula Vista, California: Project archaeologist/director—including direction of field crews; development of data recovery program; management of artifact collections cataloging and curation; assessment of site for significance based on CEQA guidelines; data synthesis; authoring of cultural resources project report, in prep. September 1999-January 2000.

Monitoring of Grading for the Herschel Place Project, La Jolla, California: Project archaeologist/ monitor—including monitoring of grading activities associated with the development of a single- dwelling parcel. September 1999.

Survey and Testing of a Historic Resource for the Osterkamp Development Project, Valley Center, California: Project archaeologist/ director—including direction of field crews; development and completion of data recovery program; budget development; assessment of site for significance based on CEQA guidelines; management of artifact collections cataloging and curation; data synthesis; authoring of cultural resources project report. July-August 1999.

Survey and Testing of a Prehistoric Cultural Resource for the Proposed College Boulevard Alignment Project, Carlsbad, California: Project manager/director —including direction of field crews; development and completion of testing recovery program; assessment of site for significance based on CEQA guidelines; management of artifact collections cataloging and curation; data synthesis;

authoring of cultural resources project report, in prep. July-August 1999.

Survey and Evaluation of Cultural Resources for the Palomar Christian Conference Center Project, Palomar Mountain, California: Project archaeologist—including direction of field crews; assessment of sites for significance based on CEQA guidelines; management of artifact collections cataloging and curation; data synthesis; authoring of cultural resources project report. July-August 1999.

Survey and Evaluation of Cultural Resources at the Village 2 High School Site, Otay Ranch, City of Chula Vista, California: Project manager/director—management of artifact collections cataloging and curation; assessment of site for significance based on CEQA guidelines; data synthesis; authoring of cultural resources project report. July 1999.

Cultural Resources Phase I, II, and III Investigations for the Immigration and Naturalization Services Triple Fence Project Along the International Border, San Diego County, California: Project manager/director for the survey, testing, and mitigation of sites along border—supervision of multiple field crews, NRHP eligibility assessments, Native American consultation, contribution to Environmental Assessment document, lithic and marine shell analysis, authoring of cultural resources project report. August 1997- January 2000.

Phase I, II, and III Investigations for the Scripps Poway Parkway East Project, Poway California: Project archaeologist/project director—including recordation and assessment of multicomponent prehistoric and historic sites; direction of Phase II and III investigations; direction of laboratory analyses including prehistoric and historic collections; curation of collections; data synthesis; coauthorship of final cultural resources report. February 1994; March-September 1994; September-December 1995.

Archaeological Evaluation of Cultural Resources Within the Proposed Corridor for the San Elijo Water Reclamation System Project, San Elijo, California: Project manager/director—test excavations; direction of artifact identification and analysis; graphics production; coauthorship of final cultural resources report. December 1994-July 1995.

Evaluation of Cultural Resources for the Environmental Impact Report for the Rose Canyon Trunk Sewer Project, San Diego, California: Project manager/Director—direction of test excavations; identification and analysis of prehistoric and historic artifact collections; data synthesis; co-authorship of final cultural resources report, San Diego, California. June 1991-March 1992.

## Reports/Papers

---

Author, coauthor, or contributor to over 2,500 cultural resources management publications, a selection of which are presented below.

- 2019 Final Archaeological Data Recovery and Mitigation Monitoring Program for the Westin Hotel and Timeshare Project, City of Carlsbad, California.
- 2019 A Phase I and II Cultural Resources Assessment for the Jack Rabbit Trail Logistics Center Project, City of Beaumont, Riverside County, California.
- 2019 A Section 106 (NHPA) Historic Resources Study for the Altair Project, City of Temecula, California.
- 2019 Phase II Cultural Resource Study for the McElwain Project, City of Murrieta, California.
- 2019 Cultural Resources Mitigation Monitoring Report for the Family Dollar Mecca Project, Riverside County, California.

- 2019 A Cultural Resources Assessment for TR 37177, City of Riverside, Riverside County, California.
- 2019 Cultural Resources Monitoring Report for the Westlake Project (TM 33267), City of Lake Elsinore, Riverside County, California.
- 2019 A Phase I Cultural Resources Survey for the Go Fresh Gas Project, Perris, California.
- 2019 Cultural Resources Monitoring Report for the South Milliken Distribution Center Project, City of Eastvale, Riverside County, California.
- 2019 A Class III Section 106 (NHPA) Study for the Perris Valley Storm Drain Channel Widening Project, Perris, Riverside County, California.
- 2019 A Section 106 (NHPA) Historic Resources Study for the Twin Channel Project, City of San Bernardino, San Bernardino County, California.
- 2019 A Class III Archaeological Study for the Tuscany Valley (TM 33725) Project National Historic Preservation Act Section 106 Compliance, Lake Elsinore, Riverside County, California.
- 2019 A Phase I Cultural Resources Survey for the IPT Perris DC III Western/Nandina Project, Perris, California.
- 2019 A Phase I Cultural Resources Assessment for the Menifee Gateway Project, City of Menifee, Riverside County, California.
- 2019 Results of Archaeological Monitoring at the Atwell Phase 1A Project (formerly Butterfield Specific Plan), City of Banning, Riverside County, California.
- 2019 A Phase I Cultural Resource Study for the Eastvale Self Storage Project, Eastvale, California.
- 2019 A Phase I Cultural Resources Survey Report for the Commercial/Retail NWC Mountain and Lake Streets Project, City of Lake Elsinore, Riverside County, California.
- 2019 A Phase I Cultural Resources Assessment for the Anza Baptist Church Project, Riverside County, California.
- 2019 A Phase I Cultural Resources Assessment for the Inland Propane Project, Riverside County, California.
- 2019 A Phase I and II Cultural Resources Assessment for the Seaton Commerce Center Project, Riverside County, California.
- 2019 A Phase I Cultural Resources Assessment for the Val Verde Logistics Center Project, Riverside County, California.
- 2019 A Phase I Cultural Resources Assessment for the Santa Gertrudis Creek Pedestrian/Bicycle Trail Extension and Interconnect Project, City of Temecula, Riverside County, California.
- 2019 Cultural Resource Report for the U.S. Allied Carriers Project, City of Riverside, Riverside County, California.
- 2018 A Section 106 (NHPA) Historical Resources Study for the Otay Ranch Village 13 Project, County of San Diego.
- 2018 An Archaeological/Historical Study for the Citracado Business Park West Project, City of Escondido.

- 2018 Cultural Resources Monitoring Report for the Uptown Bressi Ranch Project, Carlsbad.
- 2018 A Phase I Cultural Resources Assessment for the South Pointe Banning Project, CUP 180010, Riverside County, California.
- 2018 Mitigation Monitoring Report for the Stedman Residence Project, 9030 La Jolla Shores Lane, La Jolla, California 92037.
- 2018 Historic Resources Interim Monitoring Reports No. 1 through 4 for the LADOT Bus Maintenance and CNG Fueling Facility, Los Angeles.
- 2018 A Phase I and II Cultural Resources Assessment for the Emerald Acres Project, Winchester, Riverside County.
- 2018 Mitigation Monitoring Report for the Green Dragon Project, City of San Diego.
- 2017 Cultural Resource Monitoring Report for the Moxy Hotel Project, San Diego, California.
- 2017 Mitigation Monitoring Report for the Bayside Fire Station, City of San Diego.
- 2017 Mitigation Monitoring Program for the Ballpark Village Project, City of San Diego.
- 2017 Historical Resource Research Report for the Herbert and Alexina Childs/Thomas L. Shepherd House, 210 Westbourne Street, La Jolla, California 92037.
- 2017 A Phase I and II Cultural Resources Assessment for the Alberhill Ranch Specific Plan Amendment No. 3.1 Project, City of Lake Elsinore, Riverside County, California.
- 2017 A Cultural Resources Mitigation Monitoring Report for the Golden City Project, Tracts 28532-1, -2, -3, -4, and -5, and Tract 34445, City of Murrieta, California.
- 2016 Mitigation Monitoring Report for the Blue Sky San Diego Project, City of San Diego.
- 2016 Historic Resource Research Report for the Midway Postal Service and Distribution Center, 2535 Midway Drive, San Diego, California 92138.
- 2016 Results of the Mitigation Monitoring Program for the Amitai Residence Project, 2514 Ellentown Road, La Jolla, California 92037.
- 2016 Historic American Buildings Survey, Los Angeles Memorial Sports Arena.
- 2015 An Archaeological/Historical Study for the Safari Highlands Ranch Project, City of Escondido, County of San Diego.
- 2015 A Phase I and II Cultural Resources Assessment for the Decker Parcels II Project, Planning Case No. 36962, Riverside County, California.
- 2015 A Phase I and II Cultural Resources Assessment for the Decker Parcels I Project, Planning Case No. 36950, Riverside County, California.
- 2015 Cultural Resource Data Recovery and Mitigation Monitoring Program for Site SDI-10,237 Locus F, Everly Subdivision Project, El Cajon, California.
- 2015 Phase I Cultural Resource Survey for the Woodward Street Senior Housing Project, City of San Marcos, California (APN 218-120-31).

- 2015 An Updated Cultural Resource Survey for the Box Springs Project (TR 33410), APNs 255-230-010, 255-240-005, 255-240-006, and Portions of 257-180-004, 257-180-005, and 257-180-006.
- 2015 A Phase I and II Cultural Resource Report for the Lake Ranch Project, TR 36730, Riverside County, California.
- 2015 A Phase II Cultural Resource Assessment for the Munro Valley Solar Project, Inyo County, California.
- 2014 Cultural Resources Monitoring Report for the Diamond Valley Solar Project, Community of Winchester, County of Riverside.
- 2014 National Historic Preservation Act Section 106 Compliance for the Proposed Saddleback Estates Project, Riverside County, California.
- 2014 A Phase II Cultural Resource Evaluation Report for RIV-8137 at the Toscana Project, TR 36593, Riverside County, California.
- 2014 Cultural Resources Study for the Estates at Del Mar Project, City of Del Mar, San Diego, California (TTM 14-001).
- 2014 Cultural Resources Study for the Aliso Canyon Major Subdivision Project, Rancho Santa Fe, San Diego County, California.
- 2014 Cultural Resources Due Diligence Assessment of the Ocean Colony Project, City of Encinitas.
- 2014 A Phase I and Phase II Cultural Resource Assessment for the Citrus Heights II Project, TTM 36475, Riverside County, California.
- 2013 A Phase I Cultural Resource Assessment for the Modular Logistics Center, Moreno Valley, Riverside County, California.
- 2013 A Phase I Cultural Resources Survey of the Ivey Ranch Project, Thousand Palms, Riverside County, California.
- 2013 Cultural Resources Report for the Emerald Acres Project, Riverside County, California.
- 2013 A Cultural Resources Records Search and Review for the Pala Del Norte Conservation Bank Project, San Diego County, California.
- 2013 An Updated Phase I Cultural Resources Assessment for Tentative Tract Maps 36484 and 36485, Audie Murphy Ranch, City of Menifee, County of Riverside.
- 2013 El Centro Town Center Industrial Development Project (EDA Grant No. 07-01-06386); Result of Cultural Resource Monitoring.
- 2013 Cultural Resources Survey Report for the Renda Residence Project, 9521 La Jolla Farms Road, La Jolla, California.
- 2013 A Phase I Cultural Resource Study for the Ballpark Village Project, San Diego, California.
- 2013 Archaeological Monitoring and Mitigation Program, San Clemente Senior Housing Project, 2350 South El Camino Real, City of San Clemente, Orange County, California (CUP No. 06-065; APN-060-032-04).
- 2012 Mitigation Monitoring Report for the Los Peñasquitos Recycled Water Pipeline.



- 2012 Cultural Resources Report for Meniffee Heights (Tract 32277).
- 2012 A Phase I Cultural Resource Study for the Altman Residence at 9696 La Jolla Farms Road, La Jolla, California 92037.
- 2012 Mission Ranch Project (TM 5290-1/MUP P87-036W3): Results of Cultural Resources Monitoring During Mass Grading.
- 2012 A Phase I Cultural Resource Study for the Payan Property Project, San Diego, California.
- 2012 Phase I Archaeological Survey of the Rieger Residence, 13707 Durango Drive, Del Mar, California 92014, APN 300-369-49.
- 2011 Mission Ranch Project (TM 5290-1/MUP P87-036W3): Results of Cultural Resources Monitoring During Mass Grading.
- 2011 Mitigation Monitoring Report for the 1887 Viking Way Project, La Jolla, California.
- 2011 Cultural Resource Monitoring Report for the Sewer Group 714 Project.
- 2011 Results of Archaeological Monitoring at the 10th Avenue Parking Lot Project, City of San Diego, California (APNs 534-194-02 and 03).
- 2011 Archaeological Survey of the Pelberg Residence for a Bulletin 560 Permit Application; 8335 Camino Del Oro; La Jolla, California 92037 APN 346-162-01-00.
- 2011 A Cultural Resources Survey Update and Evaluation for the Robertson Ranch West Project and an Evaluation of National Register Eligibility of Archaeological sites for Sites for Section 106 Review (NHPA).
- 2011 Mitigation Monitoring Report for the 43rd and Logan Project.
- 2011 Mitigation Monitoring Report for the Sewer Group 682 M Project, City of San Diego Project #174116.
- 2011 A Phase I Cultural Resource Study for the Nooren Residence Project, 8001 Calle de la Plata, La Jolla, California, Project No. 226965.
- 2011 A Phase I Cultural Resource Study for the Keating Residence Project, 9633 La Jolla Farms Road, La Jolla, California 92037.
- 2010 Mitigation Monitoring Report for the 15th & Island Project, City of San Diego; APNs 535-365-01, 535-365-02 and 535-392-05 through 535-392-07.
- 2010 Archaeological Resource Report Form: Mitigation Monitoring of the Sewer and Water Group 772 Project, San Diego, California, W.O. Nos. 187861 and 178351.
- 2010 Pottery Canyon Site Archaeological Evaluation Project, City of San Diego, California, Contract No. H105126.
- 2010 Archaeological Resource Report Form: Mitigation Monitoring of the Racetrack View Drive Project, San Diego, California; Project No. 163216.
- 2010 A Historical Evaluation of Structures on the Butterfield Trails Property.
- 2010 Historic Archaeological Significance Evaluation of 1761 Haydn Drive, Encinitas, California (APN

260-276-07-00).

- 2010 Results of Archaeological Monitoring of the Heller/Nguyen Project, TPM 06-01, Poway, California.
- 2010 Cultural Resource Survey and Evaluation Program for the Sunday Drive Parcel Project, San Diego County, California, APN 189-281-14.
- 2010 Archaeological Resource Report Form: Mitigation Monitoring of the Emergency Garnet Avenue Storm Drain Replacement Project, San Diego, California, Project No. B10062
- 2010 An Archaeological Study for the 1912 Spindrift Drive Project
- 2009 Cultural Resource Assessment of the North Ocean Beach Gateway Project City of San Diego #64A-003A; Project #154116.
- 2009 Archaeological Constraints Study of the Morgan Valley Wind Assessment Project, Lake County, California.
- 2008 Results of an Archaeological Review of the Helen Park Lane 3.1-acre Property (APN 314-561-31), Poway, California.
- 2008 Archaeological Letter Report for a Phase I Archaeological Assessment of the Valley Park Condominium Project, Ramona, California; APN 282-262-75-00.
- 2007 Archaeology at the Ballpark. Brian F. Smith and Associates, San Diego, California. Submitted to the Centre City Development Corporation.
- 2007 Result of an Archaeological Survey for the Villages at Promenade Project (APNs 115-180-007-3, 115-180-049-1, 115-180-042-4, 115-180-047-9) in the City of Corona, Riverside County.
- 2007 Monitoring Results for the Capping of Site CA-SDI-6038/SDM-W-5517 within the Katzer Jamul Center Project; P00-017.
- 2006 Archaeological Assessment for The Johnson Project (APN 322-011-10), Poway, California.
- 2005 Results of Archaeological Monitoring at the El Camino Del Teatro Accelerated Sewer Replacement Project (Bid No. K041364; WO # 177741; CIP # 46-610.6.
- 2005 Results of Archaeological Monitoring at the Baltazar Draper Avenue Project (Project No. 15857; APN: 351-040-09).
- 2004 TM 5325 ER #03-14-043 Cultural Resources.
- 2004 An Archaeological Survey and an Evaluation of Cultural Resources at the Salt Creek Project. Report on file at Brian F. Smith and Associates.
- 2003 An Archaeological Assessment for the Hidden Meadows Project, San Diego County, TM 5174, Log No. 99-08-033. Report on file at Brian F. Smith and Associates.
- 2003 An Archaeological Survey for the Manchester Estates Project, Coastal Development Permit #02-009, Encinitas, California. Report on file at Brian F. Smith and Associates.
- 2003 Archaeological Investigations at the Manchester Estates Project, Coastal Development Permit #02-009, Encinitas, California. Report on file at Brian F. Smith and Associates.
- 2003 Archaeological Monitoring of Geological Testing Cores at the Pacific Beach Christian Church Project. Report on file at Brian F. Smith and Associates.

- 2003 San Juan Creek Drilling Archaeological Monitoring. Report on file at Brian F. Smith and Associates.
- 2003 Evaluation of Archaeological Resources Within the Spring Canyon Biological Mitigation Area, Otay Mesa, San Diego County, California. Brian F. Smith and Associates, San Diego, California.
- 2002 An Archaeological/Historical Study for the Otay Ranch Village 13 Project (et al.). Brian F. Smith and Associates, San Diego, California.
- 2002 An Archaeological/Historical Study for the Audie Murphy Ranch Project (et al.). Brian F. Smith and Associates, San Diego, California.
- 2002 Results of an Archaeological Survey for the Remote Video Surveillance Project, El Centro Sector, Imperial County, California. Brian F. Smith and Associates, San Diego, California.
- 2002 A Cultural Resources Survey and Evaluation for the Proposed Robertson Ranch Project, City of Carlsbad. Brian F. Smith and Associates, San Diego, California.
- 2002 Archaeological Mitigation of Impacts to Prehistoric Site SDI-7976 for the Eastlake III Woods Project, Chula Vista, California. Brian F. Smith and Associates, San Diego, California.
- 2002 An Archaeological/Historical Study for Tract No. 29777, Meniffee West GPA Project, Perris Valley, Riverside County. Brian F. Smith and Associates, San Diego, California.
- 2002 An Archaeological/Historical Study for Tract No. 29835, Meniffee West GPA Project, Perris Valley, Riverside County. Brian F. Smith and Associates, San Diego, California.
- 2001 An Archaeological Survey and Evaluation of a Cultural Resource for the Moore Property, Poway. Brian F. Smith and Associates, San Diego, California.
- 2001 An Archaeological Report for the Mitigation, Monitoring, and Reporting Program at the Water and Sewer Group Job 530A, Old Town San Diego. Brian F. Smith and Associates, San Diego, California.
- 2001 A Cultural Resources Impact Survey for the High Desert Water District Recharge Site 6 Project, Yucca Valley. Brian F. Smith and Associates, San Diego, California.
- 2001 Archaeological Mitigation of Impacts to Prehistoric Site SDI-13,864 at the Otay Ranch SPA-One West Project. Brian F. Smith and Associates, San Diego, California.
- 2001 A Cultural Resources Survey and Site Evaluations at the Stewart Subdivision Project, Moreno Valley, County of San Diego. Brian F. Smith and Associates, San Diego, California.
- 2000 An Archaeological/Historical Study for the French Valley Specific Plan/EIR, French Valley, County of Riverside. Brian F. Smith and Associates, San Diego, California.
- 2000 Results of an Archaeological Survey and the Evaluation of Cultural Resources at The TPM#24003–Lawson Valley Project. Brian F. Smith and Associates, San Diego, California.
- 2000 Archaeological Mitigation of Impacts to Prehistoric Site SDI-5326 at the Westview High School Project for the Poway Unified School District. Brian F. Smith and Associates, San Diego, California.
- 2000 An Archaeological/Historical Study for the Meniffee Ranch Project. Brian F. Smith and Associates, San Diego, California.
- 2000 An Archaeological Survey and Evaluation of Cultural Resources for the Bernardo Mountain Project, Escondido, California. Brian F. Smith and Associates, San Diego, California.

- 2000 A Cultural Resources Impact Survey for the Nextel Black Mountain Road Project, San Diego, California. Brian F. Smith and Associates, San Diego, California.
- 2000 A Cultural Resources Impact Survey for the Rancho Vista Project, 740 Hilltop Drive, Chula Vista, California. Brian F. Smith and Associates, San Diego, California.
- 2000 A Cultural Resources Impact Survey for the Poway Creek Project, Poway, California. Brian F. Smith and Associates, San Diego, California.
- 2000 Cultural Resource Survey and Geotechnical Monitoring for the Mohyi Residence Project. Brian F. Smith and Associates, San Diego, California.
- 2000 Enhanced Cultural Resource Survey and Evaluation for the Prewitt/Schmucker/Cavadias Project. Brian F. Smith and Associates, San Diego, California.
- 2000 Enhanced Cultural Resource Survey and Evaluation for the Lamont 5 Project. Brian F. Smith and Associates, San Diego, California.
- 2000 Salvage Excavations at Site SDM-W-95 (CA-SDI-211) for the Poinsettia Shores Santalina Development Project, Carlsbad, California. Brian F. Smith and Associates, San Diego, California.
- 2000 Enhanced Cultural Resource Survey and Evaluation for the Reiss Residence Project, La Jolla, California. Brian F. Smith and Associates, San Diego, California.
- 2000 Enhanced Cultural Resource Survey and Evaluation for the Tyrian 3 Project, La Jolla, California. Brian F. Smith and Associates, San Diego, California.
- 2000 A Report for an Archaeological Evaluation of Cultural Resources at the Otay Ranch Village Two SPA, Chula Vista, California. Brian F. Smith and Associates, San Diego, California.
- 2000 An Archaeological Evaluation of Cultural Resources for the Airway Truck Parking Project, Otay Mesa, County of San Diego. Brian F. Smith and Associates, San Diego, California.
- 2000 Results of an Archaeological Survey and Evaluation of a Resource for the Tin Can Hill Segment of the Immigration and Naturalization and Immigration Service Border Road, Fence, and Lighting Project, San Diego County, California. Brian F. Smith and Associates, San Diego, California.
- 1999 An Archaeological Survey of the Home Creek Village Project, 4600 Block of Home Avenue, San Diego, California. Brian F. Smith and Associates, San Diego, California.
- 1999 An Archaeological Survey for the Sgobassi Lot Split, San Diego County, California. Brian F. Smith and Associates, San Diego, California.
- 1999 An Evaluation of Cultural Resources at the Otay Ranch Village 11 Project. Brian F. Smith and Associates, San Diego, California.
- 1999 An Archaeological/Historical Survey and Evaluation of a Cultural Resource for The Osterkamp Development Project, Valley Center, California. Brian F. Smith and Associates, San Diego, California.
- 1999 An Archaeological Survey and Evaluation of Cultural Resources for the Palomar Christian Conference Center Project, Palomar Mountain, California. Brian F. Smith and Associates, San Diego, California.
- 1999 An Archaeological Survey and Evaluation of a Cultural Resource for the Proposed College Boulevard Alignment Project. Brian F. Smith and Associates, San Diego, California.

- 1999 Results of an Archaeological Evaluation for the Anthony's Pizza Acquisition Project in Ocean Beach, City of San Diego (with L. Pierson and B. Smith). Brian F. Smith and Associates, San Diego, California.
- 1996 An Archaeological Testing Program for the Scripps Poway Parkway East Project. Brian F. Smith and Associates, San Diego, California.
- 1995 Results of a Cultural Resources Study for the 4S Ranch. Brian F. Smith and Associates, San Diego, California.
- 1995 Results of an Archaeological Evaluation of Cultural Resources Within the Proposed Corridor for the San Elijo Water Reclamation System. Brian F. Smith and Associates, San Diego, California.
- 1994 Results of the Cultural Resources Mitigation Programs at Sites SDI-11,044/H and SDI-12,038 at the Salt Creek Ranch Project. Brian F. Smith and Associates, San Diego, California.
- 1993 Results of an Archaeological Survey and Evaluation of Cultural Resources at the Stallion Oaks Ranch Project. Brian F. Smith and Associates, San Diego, California.
- 1992 Results of an Archaeological Survey and the Evaluation of Cultural Resources at the Ely Lot Split Project. Brian F. Smith and Associates, San Diego, California.
- 1991 The Results of an Archaeological Study for the Walton Development Group Project. Brian F. Smith and Associates, San Diego, California.

**APPENDIX B**

**Archaeological Records Search Results**

*(Deleted for Public Review; Bound Separately)*

**APPENDIX C**

**NAHC Sacred Lands File Search Results**

***(Deleted for Public Review; Bound Separately)***